A Research Article for submission to eLife

Word count: 3,388

The effect of pasture management on fire ant, *Solenopsis invicta* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae),

abundance and the relationship to arthropod community diversity

Ryan B. Schmid[1] and Jonathan G. Lundgren[1]

[1]Ecdysis Foundation, 46958 188th St., Estelline, SD 57234

Address correspondence to:

Ryan Schmid
Ecdysis Foundation
46958 188th St.
Estelline, SD 57234
E-mail: ryan.schmid@ecdysis.bio

Abstract

The red imported fire ant, *Solenopsis invicta*, is one of the most prolific invasive species

to the Southeastern U.S. These invaders preferentially colonize highly disturbed land and

grassland habitat. Management of livestock in pasture systems can have a profound impact on

the level of disturbance in grassland habitats, and we hypothesized that pasture management

would have a significant effect on *S. invicta* abundance in the Southeastern U.S., and arthropod

diversity would negatively correlate with this invasive species. We studied the effects that

pasture management systems (based on stocking density, rotation frequency, and insecticide

application rates) have on fire ant mound abundance and arthropod diversity for the soil, foliar,

and dung communities. *S. invicta* mounds were quantified and mound areas were documented

along transect lines in six pastures. Soil and foliar arthropod communities were collected along

the same transect lines, and dung communities sampled from pats within the pasture system.

Pastures managed under adaptive multi-paddock (AMP) practices had 3.35× more *S. invicta*

mounds and 4.64× more mound area than their conventionally managed counterparts.

However, arthropod diversity did not correlate with *S. invicta* abundance for any of the three

arthropod communities sampled. This study shows pasture management can have a significant

impact on *S. invicta* mound abundance, but arthropod communities in AMP managed pastures

did not suffer decreased diversity from increased abundance of *S. invicta*. Additionally, this

study demonstrates that this invasive species does not necessarily contribute to diversity

decline, at least under AMP pasture systems.

Introduction

Invasive species are regarded as one of the top threats to native biodiversity (Simberloff 2000). In general, the consensus is that invasive ants negatively affect the diversity of native ant communities and other arthropods (Porter and Savignano 1990, Human and Gordon 1997, Jourdan 1997, Hoffmann et al. 1999, Sanders et al. 2001). One of the most well-known invasive ants in the Southeastern U.S. is the red imported fire ant, *Solenopsis invicta* (Burden) (Hymenoptera: Formicidae), owing to environmental and economic problems associated with this species (Lofgren 1986). Since its invasion of the U.S. on the shores of Mobile, AL during the 1930s, this species has risen in notoriety from a relatively minor member of the South American ant community to become a dominant ant species throughout the Southeastern U.S. Many studies have found that the rise in population densities of *S. invicta* are negatively associated with overall ant species richness and abundance (Stein and Thorvilson 1989, Camilo and Phillips Jr. 1990). However, habitat disruption is a common confounding factor in these studies that can both increase *S. invicta* abundance while simultaneously hindering native ant communities (Wojcik 1983, Buczkowski and Richmond 2012). This is a difficult subject to detangle, as *S. invicta* is closely associated with disturbed habitats, and remains a poorly understood aspect of *S. invicta* ecology in the Southeastern U.S.

Open grassland environments are the preferred habitat of *S. invicta* in both the U.S. and its native range (Wojcik 1983). Consequently, livestock pastures represent a significant portion of *S. invicta*'s habitat in the Southeastern U.S. landscape. While *S. invicta* is typically described as a pest by many people in the U.S. (including livestock producers), this ant species can benefit certain aspects of cattle production on open pastures. First, reports from cattle ranchers

indicated the appearance of *S. invicta* in pastures coincided with a decline in lone star tick

populations. Subsequent studies found that foraging *S. invicta* significantly reduce lone star tick

eggs, engorged larvae, and engorged female ticks in pastureland, which is likely the reason for

the reduced lone star tick populations observed by ranchers (Harris and Burns 1972, Burns and

Melancon 1977). Second, *S. invicta* reduces adult fly emergence of two major pest of the cattle

industry, the horn-fly, *Haematobia irritans* (Linnaeus) (Diptera: Muscidae) and the stable-fly,

Stomoxys calcitrans (Linnaeus) (Diptera: Muscidae) (Summerlin and Kunz 1978, Tschinkel 2006).

Despite the impact of *S. invicta* on these dung-dwelling fly pests, *S. invicta* has not been shown

to affect the reproduction and activity of beneficial dung beetles (Tschinkel 2006, Steele 2016).

This suggests that *S. invicta* is beneficial for pest control in livestock production. While the

impact of *S. invicta* on livestock operations has been studied, the reverse of this relationship or

the degree to which the livestock management impacts the *S. invicta* community within

pastures remains poorly understood. Given *S. invicta's* affinity for disturbed habitats, it stands

to reason that livestock management systems that frequently disturb pastures will increase the

abundance of this invasive fire ant.

Adaptive multi-paddock (AMP) grazing is a grazing system that was developed and

refined during the latter half of the 20th century, due in part to mounting evidence for

environmental benefits (Teague et al. 2011). AMP grazing utilizes herd management techniques

like multiple paddocks per herd, high animal densities, short periods of grazing, adequate

recovery periods for vegetation, and high stocking rates (Savory and Parsons 1980, Savory and

Butterfield 1999, Teague 2014). AMP grazing practices improve the health of pasture soil and

plant communities, which enhances soil organic matter, water holding capacity, nutrient

availability, and increases fungal to bacterial ratios in the soil (Teague et al. 2011). These

management practices also produce short, punctuated disturbances of paddocks within

pastures, potentially creating the opportunity for *S. invicta* to seize upon the disturbed habitat

and colonize the paddock following a grazing event. Understanding the impact of AMP grazing

on the abundance of *S. invicta*, and consequently the impact of *S. invicta* on arthropod

community diversity, is important to the sustainability of an AMP grazing system. Our

hypothesis was that pastures managed under AMP grazing regimes would contain higher

densities of *S. invicta* mounds relative to their conventional counterparts, and arthropod

community diversity would negatively correlate with *S. invicita* mound abundance.

Results

Arthropod communities. The complete inventory of arthropod morphospecies collected

from this study are presented in Schmid et al. (In prep). There were 15,705 arthropod

specimens, representing 184 morphospecies, collected in the soil samples. In the foliar

community, there were 13,376 arthropod specimens collected, representing 371

morphospecies. Lastly, dung arthropod sampling resulted in 3,465 specimens, representing 110

morphospecies. Acari and Collembola represented 14,983 and 3,603 specimens, respectively, of

the total 32,546 collected arthropod specimens from the three communities. Lack of taxonomic

keys and technical expertise to identify these two groups beyond the order Acarina and the

family level for Collembola prevented the inclusion of these groups in diversity analysis.

Solenopsis invicta *mound abundance and area in livestock management systems.*

Solenopsis invicta mound abundance differed significantly between grazing treatments

($F_{1,4}$ = 11.77; *P* = 0.03) (Figure 1A). Mean ant mound abundance was 3.35× higher in AMP

managed pastures than conventional pastures. The higher abundance of *S. invicta* mounds

resulted in a significantly greater area of *S. invicta* mounds per pasture ($F_{1,4} = 33.06$; *P* = 0.01)

(Figure 1B), with the mean area of *S. invicta* mounds measured within AMP pastures being

4.64× more than conventional pastures.

111
112   **Figure 1.** Mean (± SEM) *Solenopsis invicta* mound abundance (A) and mound area (B) in
113   adaptive multi-paddock (AMP) and conventionally grazed (CG) pastures (n = 6). Statistical
114   difference (*) was set at α = 0.05.
115

116   Solenopsis invicta *correlations to arthropod diversity.* Soil, foliar, and dung arthropod

117   community species richness and diversity (Shannon H') varied between sampled pastures.

118   However, *S. invicta* mound abundance did not significantly correlate to species richness or

119   diversity for any of the arthropod communities (Table 1).

	Soil Arthropods			Foliar Arthropods			Dung Arthropods		
	df	F-ratio	P-value	df	F-ratio	P-value	df	F-ratio	P-value
Species Richness	1, 4	0.04	0.86	1, 4	1.44	0.30	1, 4	1.24	0.33
Diversity (Shannon H')	1, 4	0.77	0.43	1, 4	1.47	0.29	1, 4	4.70	0.10

121
122   **Table 1.** Regression analysis results comparing *Solenopsis invicta* mound abundance to
123   arthropod community (soil, foliar, and dung communities) species richness and diversity
124   (Shannon H').
125

Discussion

This study revealed significant differences in *S. invicta* mound abundance and area

within pasture systems under differing cattle management in the Southeastern U.S. Higher

mound abundance and area was consistently found in sampled areas within AMP managed

pastures, relative to their conventionally managed counterparts. Despite higher abundance of

S. invicta, none of the sampled arthropod communities (soil, foliar, and dung) correlated with

S. invicta mound abundance. This result opposes our hypothesis that *S. invicta* abundance

would negatively correlate with arthropod community diversity, which was founded upon the

reputation of *S. invicta* to decrease native arthropod community diversity within invaded

habitats (Camilo and Phillips Jr. 1990, Porter and Savignano 1990, Lu et al. 2012).

There are at least two potential explanations for the lack of correlation between

S. invicta mound abundance and arthropod community diversity. First, *S. invicta* and arthropod

communities may be governed by disparate regulatory factors in our tested pasture systems.

For example, both biotic and abiotic factors, e.g., temperature, soil structure, moisture, habitat

heterogeneity, are known to affect *S. invicta* and arthropod communities in myriad ways

(Tschinkel 2006, Gurr et al. 2012). It is plausible that the range and complexity of environmental

factors interacting within our pasture systems may affect *S. invicta* populations independently

of the native arthropod communities. However, this hypothesis contrasts Morrison and Porter

(2003), which documented positive correlations between *S. invicta* populations and the ant and

non-ant arthropods, suggesting that *S. invicta* and other arthropods were regulated by common

factors. It is worth noting that Morrison and Porter (2003) exclusively sampled on pasture land,

and although grazing intensity varied among sites, grazing intensity was not included in the

analysis of *S. invicta* nor arthropod diversity. The results of our study indicate that grazing

intensity may be an important factor when examining *S. invicta* abundance in pasture land.

This leads us to hypothesize a second explanation for the lack of correlation between

S. invicta and arthropod communities. We theorize the native arthropod communities

measured in our AMP pasture systems may be more resilient to invading *S. invicta*, effectively

able to buffer against invasion by a foreign species as a result of community or habitat

structure. A keystone goal of AMP producers is to increase the resilience of an operation by

bolstering various aspects of the environment, e.g., vegetation diversity, soil microbiota, soil

physical properties, and water holding capacity (Teague et al. 2011, Hillenbrand et al. 2019).

Strengthening these environmental features supports a more diverse array of flora and fauna

(including arthropods) (Huston and Marland 2003, Welti et al. 2017, Aldebron et al. 2020), and

this increased habitat complexity provides more niches, structure, resources, etc. that may

have allowed the AMP arthropod community to maintain diversity while also absorbing higher

numbers of *S. invicta*. As our study was based on correlation and not causality, we are unable

to substantiate either theory with the data from this study.

Anecdotal evidence gathered via informal discussions with ranch managers at the time

of sampling suggested that AMP managed pastures would have fewer *S. invicta* mounds, and

consequently fewer associated problems with *S. invicta* in their pastures. Opinions on this

subject were based upon experience and observations across the fence lines by the ranchers

themselves, who observed *S. invicta* mounds around gates, water tanks, and well-trodden

cattle-paths in their conventionally managed counterparts. Opposingly, AMP ranchers actively

try to maintain ground cover throughout their operation through managed herd movement and

elongated land rest periods; thus, minimizing high-traffic areas and bare ground (Gosnell et al.

2019). Often, these high-traffic areas are used by humans as well as livestock during routine

checks on the herd, water tanks, mineral feeders, fencing, etc. The lack of abundant *S. invicta*

mounds in areas frequented by AMP herdsmen may have led them to believe they had less fire

ants in their pastures. However, our data shows the opposite, at least in our sampled areas

away from high-traffic zones. The areas that were sampled in the pastures is an important point

to keep in mind when interpreting our results together with the opinion of the ranchers. It may

simply be that the AMP ranchers interviewed for this study do not come into contact with

S. invicta in their pastures as often because mounds are not present in highly trafficked areas.

Taking the opinion of ranchers into consideration with our results, we hypothesize that

regeneratively managed ranches had greater functionality within their pastures because

S. invicta did not hinder ranch operations with mounds present in high-traffic areas. This is an

important hypothesis to consider for future study, as mitigating human interaction and

S. invicta associated problems would be an important positive outcome of AMP ranching. While

the functionality of pastures expressed by these ranchers was beyond the scope of this study, it

is worth noting for further investigation in ranching systems.

Methods

Site selection. Sampled pastures (n = 6) were located in two Southeastern U.S. states

(Alabama n = 4, Mississippi n = 2) (Figure 2).

189
190   **Figure 2.** State maps of ranches sampled for *Solenopsis invicta* mound abundance and areas, as
191   well as soil, foliar, and dung arthropod community diversity. Ranches were located within
192   highlighted counties (Wikinson county, MS, n = 2 ranches; Calhoun county, AL, n = 2 ranches;
193   DeKalb county, AL, n = 2 ranches).
194

Sampling occurred within the known range of *S. invicta* in the U.S., and *S. invicta* mounds were

present in all sampled pastures. All grazing management systems implemented on sampled

pastures were employed at least 10 years prior to sampling. Ranch managers executed a variety

of grazing management practices that fit within their ranching system and goals. Management

practices that varied between operations included stocking density, rotation frequency, and

insecticide usage (Table 2). These management practices were used to categorize ranches into

one of two treatment groups, AMP and conventional, based on meeting a majority ($\geq$ 4) of the

qualifications defined in Table 2. Grazing treatments were paired (< 8 km apart) between

treatments across study locations.

Location (county and state)	Stocking density	Rotation frequency	Insecticide/wormer	Composite rank score	System designation
Wilkinson, MS	2	2	1	5	AMP
Wilkinson, MS	0	2	0	2	Conventional
Calhoun, AL	2	2	2	6	AMP
Calhoun, AL	0	0	0	0	Conventional
Dekalb, AL	2	2	1	5	AMP
Dekalb, AL	0	0	1	1	Conventional

205
Table 2. Ranch systems (n = 6) were categorized based on three cattle management practices:
stocking density of cattle, rotation frequency of the herd, and use of insecticides to develop a
composite rank score for each ranch. Ranches whose rank scores are in the top 50% were
considered adaptive multi-paddock grazing (AMP) (white rows); those with rank scores in the
lower 50% were considered conventional (shaded rows). The three management practices were
scored 0 – 2, with higher numbers reflecting AMP practices. Stocking density (animal unit; AU)
was divided into <5 AU/ha (0), 5 – 10 AU/ha (1), and >10 AU/ha (2). Rotation frequency was
divided into >30 d rotation (0), 10 – 30 d rotation (1), and <10 d rotation (2).
Insecticide/wormer application was divided into multiple applications (0), application once a
year to individuals in herd thought to need treatment (1), and no insecticide or wormers (2).
216

Sampling procedure. Sampling occurred from September 29 – October 1, 2018. Two

separate areas with comparable soil characteristics within each pasture were selected for

sampling. Three 46 m transect lines were established perpendicular to the slope of each of the

two sampling areas, for a total of six transect lines sampled. *S. invicta* mounds present within

1 m of transect lines were counted, along with the area of each mound according to procedures

outlined by Porter et al. (1992) for sampling fire ant mound densities and areas along transects.

The soil arthropod community was sampled at 7.6 m and 38.1 m on four of the transects using

soil cores (10 cm diameter, 10 cm deep). Dung arthropod community was sampled from

randomly selected dung pats from each ranch (n = 5 pats per ranch). Age of the dung pats

ranged from 2 – 5 d old, as this age of pat has peak arthropod abundance and diversity

(Pecenka and Lundgren 2018). Arthropods within soil cores and dung pats were extracted using

a Berlese system over 7 d, which ensured that each soil/dung core had completely dried and all

arthropods had left the core. Soil and dung cores were kept cool on ice upon extraction from

the field, and loaded into the Berlese system as soon as possible after extraction (within 60 h of

collection). Foliar-dwelling arthropods were sampled from pasture vegetation at 22.9 m along

three of the transect lines that *S. invicta* mounds were sampled. Pasture foliage was swept with

a 38 cm diameter net, with 25 sweeps occurring perpendicular to each side of the transects

(total of 50 sweeps per transect). Arthropods collected from sweep samples and extracted from

the Berlese system were stored in 70% ethanol, until they could be identified and cataloged.

Each specimen was identified to the lowest taxonomic level, representing functional

morphospecies. Larvae were considered as distinct morphospecies, owing to their discrete

differences in ecological function. Vouchers of all specimens are housed at the Mark F.

Longfellow Biological Collection at Blue Dasher Farm (Estelline, SD).

Data analysis. S. invicta mound abundance and area were compared between grazing

treatments (AMP and conventional grazing) using one-way ANOVA, with the data conforming to

the assumptions of ANOVA. Linear regression analysis was conducted to gain greater insight on

correlations between *S. invicta* abundance and arthropod community diversity and species

richness for soil, foliar, and dung arthropod communities. Statistical significance for *P*-value was

set at α = 0.05. All statistics were conducted using Systat 13 (Systat Software, Inc.; Point

Richmond, CA).

Acknowledgements

We thank Liz Adee, Mike Bredeson, Nicole Schultz, Alec Peterson, Sierra Stendahl, and Kassidy

Weathers for collecting specimens. Dr. Kelton Welch identified the insect specimens for this

study.

Competing Interests

Funding for this project came from the Carbon Nation Foundation.

References cited

Aldebron, C., M. S. Jones, W. E. Snyder, and C. K. Blubaugh. **2020**. Soil organic matter links

organic farming to enhanced predator evenness. Biol. Control. 146: 104278.

Buczkowski, G., and D. S. Richmond. **2012**. The effect of urbanization on ant abundance and

diversity: A temporal examination of factors affecting biodiversity. PLoS ONE. 7: e41729.

Burns, E. C., and D. G. Melancon. **1977**. Effect of imported fire ant (Hymenoptera: Formicidae)

invasion on lone star tick (Acarina: Ixodidae) populations. J. Med. Entomol. 14: 247–249.

Camilo, G. R., and S. A. Phillips Jr. 1990. Evolution of ant communities in response to invasion

by the fire ant *Solenopsis invicta*, pp. 190–198. *In* Appl. Myremecology World Perspect.

Boulder, CO, Westview Press.

Gosnell, H., N. Gill, and M. Voyer. **2019**. Transformational adaptation on the farm: Processes of

change and persistence in transitions to 'climate-smart' regenerative agriculture. Glob.

Environ. Change. 59: 101965.

Gurr, G. M., S. D. Wratten, W. E. Snyder, and D. M. Y. Read. **2012**. Biodiversity and Insect

Pests: Key Issues for Sustainable Management. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, West

Sussex.

Harris, W. G., and E. C. Burns. **1972**. Predation on the lone star tick by the imported fire ant.

Environ. Entomol. 1: 362–365.

Hillenbrand, M., R. Thompson, F. Wang, S. Apfelbaum, and R. Teague. **2019**. Impacts of

holistic planned grazing with bison compared to continuous grazing with cattle in South

Dakota shortgrass prairie. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 279: 156–168.

Hoffmann, B. D., A. N. Andersen, and G. J. E. Hill. **1999**. Impact of an introduced ant on native

rain forest invertebrates: *Pheidole megacephala* in monsoonal Australia. Oecologia. 120:

595–604.

Human, K. G., and D. M. Gordon. **1997**. Effects of Argentine ants on invertebrate biodiversity in

Northern California. Conserv. Biol. 11: 1242–1248.

Huston, M. A., and G. Marland. **2003**. Carbon management and biodiversity. J. Environ.

Manage. 67: 77–86.

Jourdan, H. 1997. Threats on Pacific islands: The spread of the tramp ant *Wasmannia*

auropunctata (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Pac. Conserv. Biol. 3: 61–64.

Lofgren, C. S. 1986. The economic importance and control of imported fire ants in the United

States, pp. 227–255. *In* Econ. Impact Control Soc. Insects. Praeger, New York, NY.

Lu, Y. Y., B. Wu, Y.-J. Xu, and L. Zeng. **2012**. Effects of red imported fire ants (*Solenopsis invicta*)

on the species structure of ant communities in south China. Sociobiology. 59: 275.

Morrison, L. W., and S. D. Porter. **2003**. Positive association between densities of the red

imported fire ant, *Solenopsis invicta* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae), and generalized ant

and arthropod diversity. Environ. Entomol. 32: 548–554.

Pecenka, J. R., and J. G. Lundgren. **2018**. The importance of dung beetles and arthropod

communities on degradation of cattle dung pats in eastern South Dakota. PeerJ. 6:

e5220.

Porter, S. D., H. G. Fowler, and W. P. Mackay. **1992**. Fire ant mound densities in the United

States and Brazil (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 85: 1154–1161.

Porter, S. D., and D. A. Savignano. **1990**. Invasion of polygyne fire ants decimates native ants

and disrupts arthropod community. Ecology. 71: 2095–2106.

Sanders, N. J., K. E. Barton, and D. M. Gordon. **2001**. Long-term dynamics of the distribution of

the invasive Argentine ant, *Linepithema humile*, and native ant taxa in northern

California. Oecologia. 127: 123–130.

Savory, A., and J. Butterfield. **1999**. Holistic Management: A New Framework for Decision

Making, 2nd ed. Island Press, Washington, D.C.

Savory, A., and S. D. Parsons. **1980**. The Savory grazing method. Rangelands. 2: 234–237.

Schmid, R. B., K. D. Welch, and J. G. Lundgren. **In prep**. A survey of the foliar, soil, and dung

arthropod communities in pastures of the Southeastern United States.

Simberloff, D. 2000. Nonindigenous species: A global threat to biodiversity and stability, pp.

325–334. *In* Nat. Hum. Soc. Quest Sustain. World. National Academies Press,

Washington, D.C.

Steele, C. 2016. Pasture management, the red imported fire ant (*Solenopsis invicta*) and dung

beetle mediated ecosystem services. 97.

Stein, M. B., and H. G. Thorvilson. **1989**. Ant species sympatric with the red imported fire ant in

southeastern Texas. Southwest. Entomol. 14: 225–231.

Summerlin, J. W., and S. E. Kunz. **1978**. Predation of the red imported fire ant on stable flies.

Southwest. Entomol. 3: 260–262.

Teague, R. 2014. Deficiencies in the Briske et al. Rebuttal of the Savory method. Rangelands.

36: 37–38.

Teague, W. R., S. L. Dowhower, S. A. Baker, N. Haile, P. B. DeLaune, and D. M. Conover. **2011**.

Grazing management impacts on vegetation, soil biota and soil chemical, physical and

hydrological properties in tall grass prairie. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 141: 310–322.

Tschinkel, W. R. 2006. The Fire Ants. The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, Cambridge,

MA.

Welti, E., C. Helzer, and A. Joern. **2017**. Impacts of plant diversity on arthropod communities

and plant–herbivore network architecture. Ecosphere. 8: e01983.

Wojcik, D. P. 1983. Comparison of the ecology of red imported fire ants in North and South

America. Fla. Entomol. 66: 101.