Global elongation and high shape flexibility as an evolutionary hypothesis of accommodating
mammalian brains into skulls

Running title: Marsupial brain shape evolution

Vera Weisbecker[1*], Timothy Rowe[2], Stephen Wroe[3], Thomas E. Macrini[4], Kathleen L. S. Garland[5], Kenny
J. Travouillon[6], Karen Black [7], Michael Archer[7], Suzanne J. Hand[7], Jeri Berlin[2], Robin M.D. Beck[8],
Sandrine Ladevèze[9], Alana C. Sharp[10], Karine Mardon[11] Emma Sherratt[12*]

[1]College of Science and Engineering, Flinders University, Bedford Park, SA 5042

[2]Department of Geological Sciences, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712-1692, USA

[3]School of Environmental and Rural Science, University of New England, Armidale, NSW, 2351,
Australia

[4]Department of Biological Sciences, St. Mary's University, One Camino Santa Maria, San Antonio, TX,
78228 USA

[5]School of Biological Sciences, Monash University, Clayton, VIC 3168

[6]Western Australian Museum, Locked Bag 49, Welshpool DC, WA, 6986 Australia

[7]PANGEA Research Center, School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of New
South Wales, UNSW 2052, Australia

[8] School of Science, Engineering and Environment, University of Salford, Salford, M5 4WT, UK

[9]CR2P UMR 7207, CNRS/MNHN/Sorbonne Université, Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, 57 rue
Cuvier CP38, F-75005 Paris, France.

[10]Institute of Life Course and Medical Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L7 8TX

[11]Center of Advanced Imaging, The University of Queensland, St. Lucia QLD 4072

[12]The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia 5005, Australia

*Corresponding author e-mail addresses: vera.weisbecker@flinders.edu.au;
emma.sherratt@gmail.com

Abstract

Little is known about how the large brains of mammals are accommodated into the dazzling diversity

of their skulls. It has been suggested that brain shape is influenced by relative brain size, that it evolves

or develops according to extrinsic or intrinsic mechanical constraints, and that its shape can provide

insights into its proportions and function. Here, we characterise the shape variation among 84

marsupial cranial endocasts of 57 species including fossils, using 3D geometric morphometrics and

virtual dissections. Statistical shape analysis revealed four main patterns: over half of endocast shape

variation ranges between elongate and straight to globular and inclined; little allometric variation with

respect to centroid size, and none for relative volume; no association between locomotion and

endocast shape; limited association between endocast shape and previously published histological

cortex volumes. Fossil species tend to have smaller cerebral hemispheres. We find divergent endocast

shapes in closely related species and within species, and diverse morphologies superimposed over the

main variation. An evolutionarily and individually malleable brain with a fundamental tendency to

arrange into a spectrum of elongate-to-globular shapes – possibly mostly independent of brain

function - may explain the accommodation of brains within the enormous diversity of mammalian

skull form.

Keywords: Brain, marsupials, allometry, spatial packing, neocortex, geometric morphometrics

Introduction

The origin of mammals is demarcated by two key innovations: the evolution of a large brain (Rowe et al. 2011), and a fundamental reorganisation of the skull and particularly the endocranial cavity housing the brain (Maier 1993; Koyabu et al. 2014). Fitting the brain into the evolving skull with its diverse functions requires tight evolutionary and developmental integration between the two (Hanken and Thorogood 1993; Richtsmeier and Flaherty 2013). However, the evolution of this relationship – which can be characterised through studies of the endocranial cavity, or "endocast" (Jerison 1973; Macrini et al. 2007a) - is little understood on larger evolutionary scales, with most research focused on the large-brained primates (Bienvenu et al. 2011; Aristide et al. 2016; Zollikofer et al. 2017) or experimental rodent models (Lieberman et al. 2008; Nieman et al. 2012), and focused on the understanding of the exceedingly large human brain.

The most widely discussed hypotheses of primate brain shape evolution – mostly done on cranial endocasts representing the bony braincase cavity mostly occupied by the brain - focus on allometry (change with size) either related to absolute or relative brain sizes. For example, the influential "spatial packing" hypothesis posits that relatively larger brains can evolve to be flexed at the base and rounded, because this packs the brain most efficiently into a limited space (Biegert 1957; Lieberman et al. 2008; Bastir et al. 2011; Zollikofer et al. 2017). "Spatial packing" is thought to explain the co-evolution of mammalian brain and cranial base shape in primates (Gould 1977; Ross and Ravosa 1993; Ross and Henneberg 1995; Bastir et al. 2011) and mouse strains (Lieberman et al. 2008). There is also general support that absolute brain size (rather than relative brain size) correlates with primate endocast shape, probably differentially in different clades (Aristide et al. 2016; Sansalone et al. 2020). However, beyond primates, there are multiple hypotheses of how the mammalian brain is shaped, involving different levels of organisation. For example, the growing mammalian brain appears to adapt to endocranial shape changes (Jeffery and Spoor 2006; Macrini et al. 2007c; Budday et al. 2015); physical internal constraints of neuronal connectivity determine the shape at least of the neocortex

(Atkinson et al. 2015; Mota and Herculano-Houzel 2015); and pleiotropic genetic effects appear to

shape both cranial vault and brain and may limit the availability of endocast shapes to selection

(Hanken and Thorogood 1993; Koyabu et al. 2014). Lastly, individual brains can grow and shrink

substantially in the lifetime of an individual, expanding the osseous braincase (Dechmann et al. 2017),

but there is also evolutionary (Koyabu et al. 2014) and developmental (Nieman et al. 2012; Richtsmeier

and Flaherty 2013) evidence that the dorsal cranial vault adapts to increases in brain size, not vice

versa.

Characterizing the patterns of mammalian brain shape evolution represents a necessary first step in

unravelling the complex intrinsic and extrinsic determinants of mammalian brain shape and

development. Metatherian mammals (marsupials and their closest extinct relatives) are an ideal study

group for this: they are an old (> 110 million years; Eldridge et al. 2019), monophyletic,

phylogenetically well-understood radiation of mammals and occupy all terrestrial ecological niches

except for active flight. Body masses of living marsupials span three orders of magnitude (2.6 g up to

85 kg; Weisbecker et al. 2019), with extinct representatives weighing up to 2.7 tonnes (Wroe et al.

2004), making them particularly well-suited to resolve current debates on whether brain size and brain

shape are correlated. In addition, the development of living marsupials makes them a more suitable

radiation for studies of brain morphology: marsupials are born at highly altricial stages compared to

placentals, after maximally 30 days of pregnancy, and grow during uniform three-phase lactation

period (Hinds 1988), in which the milk composition is tailored to the requirements of the pouch young.

Marsupial brain development occurs nearly entirely *ex utero* during lactation (Smith 1997).

Reproductive traits relating to maternal investment are an important influence on the variability of

brain growth (e.g. Bennett and Harvey 1985; Barton and Capellini 2011). The relative uniformity of

marsupial reproduction provides an additional argument for this clade to be a test case for mammalian

brain evolution (Pirlot 1981; Weisbecker and Goswami 2010, 2011b; Weisbecker et al. 2015),

particularly because marsupial and placental brains are fundamentally similar in structure (Ashwell

2010), relative brain size (Weisbecker and Goswami 2010), and neuronal scaling (Dos Santos et al.

2017).

Here we use geometric morphometrics to analyse three-dimensional endocast shape in a sample of

57 marsupial species across all major clades, including 12 fossil species. We aim to determine if a

common pattern of shape variation emerges in marsupials, and whether suggestions for allometric

patterning of endocast shape, posited for primates, can be generalised to marsupials and could

generally explain mammalian brain shape evolution. We also assess suggestions that endocast shape

corresponds with locomotor function (Ahrens 2014; Bertrand et al. 2019b) and phylogeny (e.g. Silcox

et al. 2009; Thiery and Ducrocq 2015; Bertrand et al. 2016; Bertrand et al. 2019b). To further

understand if brain shape is indicative of internal brain structure, we use volume dissections of our

endocasts and a limited dataset of neocortical and isocortical grey matter volumes (noting that iso-

and neocortex largely refer to the same structure; Palomero-Gallagher and Zilles 2015) to assess the

degree to which brain partition volumes and brain shape correspond in a large, phylogenetically

diverse mammalian radiation.

Methods

Specimens

This study is based on cranial endocasts, which have been shown to be a good approximation of brain shape for mammals and marsupials in particular (Jerison 1973; Macrini et al. 2007a). Virtual reconstructions of endocasts from conventional and micro-Computed Tomography (μCT) scans from adult skulls of 57 marsupial species were prepared in Materialise Mimics (v.17-20) through virtually "flood-filling" the endocranial cavity of specimens, mostly by two operators, with some help from technical staff. The list of specimens with their museum numbers is available in the main data file used in this analysis (Supplementary Table 1). The 3D endocasts files that were landmarked are available on Figshare (10.6084/m9.figshare.12284456.)

One specimen per species was sourced for 38 species, and multiple specimens, where possible including males and females, were sourced for 19 species to assess how representative a single endocast is of a species. Scans of extant species were conducted at either the University of Texas High-Resolution X-ray Computed Tomography Facility (Austin, Texas, USA), a SkyScan 1074 at the University of Queensland (School of Biological Sciences), or a Siemens Inveon Pet-CT scanner at the UQ Centre of Advanced Imaging at the University of Queensland (Australia). To allow easy manipulation of large scans, these were cropped to just the brain case and/or subsampled by either using every second slice only and/or reducing the resolution of each slice in ImageJ (Schneider et al. 2012). All but three endocasts were derived from museum specimens (for accession numbers, see Supplementary Table 1). The study also used post-mortem CT scans of one captive dunnart (*Sminthopsis macroura*), and two captive koalas (*Phascolarctos cinereus*), whose deaths were unrelated to this study. The *S. macroura* specimen was obtained in 2008 from Melbourne University under Animal Ethics MAEC (Vic) License No. 06118. The koalas were scanned under University of Queensland permit SVS/405/12. CT scans of twelve fossils were also included. Note that the Tasmanian tiger (*Thylacinus cynocephalus*), which went extinct in 1936, is here assigned "extant" status because of its very recent extinction. Small

132 areas of incomplete surfaces at the rear of the cerebellum were virtually repaired in *Dromiciops*

133 *gliroides* and *Petaurus australis*. This involved the scaling and manual fitting of similarly curved

134 cerebral surfaces to complete the missing surface*. Caenolestes fuliginosus* was scanned in two

135 sessions so that two scans were reconstructed and the resulting two endocasts were retrospectively

136 fit together, using the global registration tool in Mimics.

Figure 1: A summary of the species and one of the three phylogenetic topologies used, with the "main

clade" designations and their colour scheme used throughout the figures of this study. Crosses next

to species names denote fossil species. Endocasts arranged radially reflect the mean shapes for each

clade plus some notable endocasts of individual species (connected to their species name by lines) to

highlight the shape diversity.

Endocast landmarking and protocol comparisons

Endocast shape was characterised in three dimensions with 29 fixed landmarks, ten curve

semilandmarks, and 32 surface sliding semilandmarks (Figure 2; for anatomical description and

numbering of the landmarking protocols, see Supplementary Information 1). The landmarking of

endocasts represents a challenge because the cerebral hemispheres have large areas with few

landmarkable homologous features. This was exacerbated due to varying scan resolutions, and the

high diversity of brain shapes within our sample, so that landmark repeatability was expected to be

an issue (Pereira-Pedro and Bruner 2018). To capture endocast shape effectively, and test the

repeatability of our resulting landmark dataset, one of us (VW) landmarked each endocast twice and

trialled two different protocols for surface landmark placement. This included a fully manual protocol

using only the Checkpoint programme (Wiley 2015). The other protocol used the Checkpoint software

(Wiley 2015) for fixed and curve semilandmarks, and automatic placement of surface semilandmarks

using the *Morpho* R package (v. 2.8).

The performance and similarity of the two surface landmarking protocols was tested in several ways.

To assess repeatability of landmarking, the shape variance from each replicate and each protocol was

evaluated against among-species variance using a Procrustes analysis of variance (ANOVA) (Zelditch

et al. 2012). To test the correspondence between the two protocols, principal components analyses

(PCA) was used to construct two multi-dimensional spaces, and the distribution of species in each

space was compared using a Mantel test in the *Vegan* R package v. 2.5-6 (Oksanen et al. 2016). In

addition, scatter plots of the first two principal components for each protocol, as well as graphs of the

shape changes along the axes, were produced for visual comparison.

All automated landmarking procedures, analyses and results were conducted in the R statistical

environment (R core team 2018) and can be replicated with our code on github; the ply files that can

be used for automatic landmarking are in 10.6084/m9.figshare.12253409 .

Figure 2: Landmark placement on the brain of *Phascogale tapoatafa* JM12395 (the specimen used to

produce the atlas for automatic landmark placements)*,* warped to the mean shape in geomorph.

Large, purple spheres are fixed landmarks; turquoise spheres are curve semilandmarks; and green

spheres are patch semilandmarks.

Endocast partitions and additional neo/isocortex data

The endocasts were virtually "dissected" into four partitions representing the cerebrum, olfactory

bulbs, cerebellum, and brain base (the protocol for how the boundaries were defined is in

Supplementary information 1). Volumes of the four dissected partitions were measured using Mimics

(versions 17-20) and averaged per species if multiple specimens per species were available. Such

partitions are only approximate representations of brain regions because they do not allow for

internal brain boundaries. However, this method has been successfully used as a general indicator of

overall brain proportions (Sakai et al. 2016; Sakai et al. 2018; Bertrand et al. 2019a) and is used with

this caveat here. A log-shape ratio approach was used to standardise the partition volumes with

respect to scale (Mosimann 1970). This was done by deriving the logarithm of the value obtained by

dividing each partition volume by the geometric mean of all volumes for a specimen, which removes

the isometric component of size and is thus comparable to the scaling of landmark configurations

during generalised Procrustes analysis.

Because the neocortex comprises a large part of overall volume of the marsupial brain (Pirlot 1981)

and mammalian cerebral hemispheres (Jerison 2012), we also assessed if any of the endocast shape

or cerebral hemisphere volume variation in our sample was suggestive of neocorticalisation – where

some marsupials, particularly diprotodontians (Pirlot 1981), or extinct mammals in general (Jerison

2012) have relatively larger or smaller neocortices, respectively. While a confident dissection of the

neocortical portion of the cerebral hemispheres was not possible, we matched data from two

publications with a sample that was overlapping ours. We computed the log-shape ratios of neocortex

volumes from Pirlot (1981) for 17 species of marsupials, including members of Didelphimorphia,

Dasyuromorphia, Peramelemorphia and Diprotodontia; and isocortex grey matter volumes for 19

Diprotodontian species from Jyothilakshmi et al. (2020). These log-shape ratios were based on the

larger datasets of histology-based brain region volumes of which the neo/isocortical volume data were

part (for computation of these from the full datasets, refer to the relevant file on github). In one case

for Pirlot (1981) and five cases for Jyothilakshmi et al. (2020), a species in our dataset was matched

with a value from a congeneric species of a similar body weight (noted in Supplementary Table 1).

Phylogeny, body mass, and locomotor mode

The details on tree estimation are in Supplementary information 1; the tree files are part of the raw

data folder on this study's github repository. For all phylogenetic analyses, we used three topologies

differing in their placement for the fossil *Yalkaparidon*, whose phylogenetic affinities are uncertain

(Beck et al. 2014). Figure 2 displays one of these phylogenetic topologies. All polytomies were

considered as soft polytomies, and randomly resolved to zero branch lengths using the multi2di

function from the *ape* package v. 5..4-1 (Paradis et al. 2004) in R. In all phylogenetically-informed

analyses in this paper, the use of different topologies had minimal impact on test statistics and no

impact on significance levels, and thus an average of the test statistics from the three trees is

presented here.

Body mass estimates for extant species were taken from Weisbecker et al. (2013), and for

fossil species were derived from multiple publications as outlined in Supplementary Table 1 (Wroe et

al. 1999; Argot 2003; Turney et al. 2008; Travouillon et al. 2009; Black et al. 2012; Sharp 2016).

All species were allocated broad locomotor categories: arboreal, terrestrial, gliding, scansorial,

hopping and fossorial (Supplementary Table 1). Locomotor data for Australian species were taken

from Weisbecker et al. (2020) , and for American species was taken from Nowak (2018). Note that the

locomotion of the tree kangaroo *Dendrolagus lumholtzi* was scored as "hopping", because this is the

main locomotor mode of the species despite the fact that it lives in trees. Note also that only two

dasyurids (the yellow-footed antechinus and the northern quoll) in the dataset were classified as

"scansorial"; due to this small sample size, these two were classified as "arboreal" because most other

dasyurids are terrestrial, so that a capacity to climb as part of the scansorial locomotor repertoire is

likely to have arisen from terrestrial ancestry. The only fossorial species sampled, *Notoryctes typhlops*,

was excluded from the locomotor analyses.

 Shape analyses

Landmark coordinates were subjected to a generalized Procrustes superimposition and projection into

tangent space (Rohlf and Slice 1990) using the R package *geomorph* v.3.3.1 (Adams et al. 2020). The

semilandmarks identified as curves were permitted to slide along their tangent directions in order to

minimize Procrustes distance between specimens (Gunz et al. 2005); semilandmarks placed as patches

were allowed to slide in any direction on two planes. The resulting Procrustes shape coordinates were

averaged over the two replicates per specimen. We then performed a second Procrustes fit on the

averaged shape coordinates to account for object symmetry (Klingenberg et al. 2002). Only the

symmetric component of shape was used as shape variables in the subsequent analyses.

We performed a PCA of species-averaged shape variables to investigate whether variation

was restricted to one main axis of brain shape variation, or whether variation was diffuse across

several PC axes. For this we examined and plotted all PCs explaining more than 10% of variation. We

estimated how much influence allometry has on the primary axis (PC1) by using phylogenetic

generalized least squares (PGLS) analysis and evaluating a model of PC1 scores against log centroid

size. Note that we used the multivariate generalisation of PGLS (Adams 2014b) implemented in

procD.pgls function of *geomorph* for bivariate and multivariate analyses. To visualise the shape

changes associated with each PC axis, we used a surface warping approach (Sherratt et al. 2014)

whereby an average-shaped triangular mesh is warped to the shape at the minima and maxima of

each PC axis using thin-plate spline. The average-shaped mesh was created by taking a species'

endocast the shape of which was most similar to the hypothetical mean shape of all species

(*Phascogale tapoatafa* JM12395) and warping the mesh to this hypothetical mean shape using the

plotRefToTarget function in geomorph. To aid interpretation of the surface warps, we also produced

landmark displacement graphs depicting the direction and magnitude of shape change vectors of each

landmark from the mean shape to the PC minima and maxima. To visually depict the diversity of

endocast shapes for each major clade as colour-coded in Figure 2, we also warped the mean shape

mesh to the mean shape configurations for each of seven clades that had multiple species. We also

display some of the more unusual endocasts discussed in this manuscript (Figure 2).

Phylogenetic signal, allometry, and locomotor mode

To assess the amount of endocast shape variation that can be explained by phylogenetic relatedness,

we computed K_{mult}, an adaptation of Blomberg's K that identifies phylogenetic signal in a multivariate

context (Adams 2014a). K_{mult} was computed for endocast shape, centroid size, and brain region

volume (details below) to test the degree to which variation in brain shape, size and volumetric

proportions correspond to Brownian-motion evolutionary processes.

259   To assess the evolutionary allometry in endocast shape, body mass and centroid size were

considered. Centroid sizes were calculated from the endocast landmarks, and we also assessed to see

how well it correlated with endocast volume. We performed a PGLS analysis of the shape variables

against log-transformed endocast size, and statistical assessment was done by permutation, using

1000 iterations for each model. We also calculated a measure of relative brain size, using the residuals

of a linear regression of log-centroid size against log-body mass, which in our sample represents a

good approximation of brain/body mass scaling in marsupials (Weisbecker and Goswami 2011a). To

visualise how endocast shape is predicted by size, we calculated the regression score (Drake and

Klingenberg 2008), which is a univariate summary of the direction of the multivariate regression vector

(calculated during the PGLS), and produced landmark displacement graphs of endocast shape for small

and large brain sizes.

270   To assess if endocast shape was predicted by locomotor mode, we used PGLS to evaluate a

model of shape variables against log-centroid size plus a factor of locomotor categories (details

above). We included analyses to discount the possibility that this relationship might be influenced by

an interaction or difference in intercept between size and locomotor mode.

Intraspecific variation of endocast shape

To assess whether within-species diversity of endocast shapes might have an important impact on the

results of our previous between-species analyses, we compared among-species brain shape variation

to variation within species. To do this, we conducted a PCA of shape variables of all specimens,

calculated the Procrustes distances between all pairs of specimens, and plotted frequency

distributions of the pairwise distances separated into within-species and among species groups.

Comparison of endocast shape with partition volume evolution, and relationship with histological

neo/isocortical volumes

For an estimate of how well endocast partition volumes correspond with their shapes, the distribution

of species in multi-dimensional PC space between the shape *vs.* volume PCA was computed using a

Mantel test in the *Vegan* package (Oksanen et al. 2016) in R. We also computed phylogenetic signal

(K_{mult}) and evolutionary allometry of brain partition volumes for comparison with K_{mult} of shape

variation. Lastly, we assessed if there was any association between shape, cerebral volume, and the

literature-derived neocortical/isocortical data (explained above), which might be the case if changes

in the relative size of the neocortex are reflected in changes in the relative size of cerebral hemisphere

volume. Such an association would suggest that brain shape or volume can be used as an indicator of

neocorticalisation, where the neocortical part of the cerebrum expands disproportionately (Jerison

2012; Bertrand et al. 2019a). These analyses were done by evaluating PGLS models of the association

between cerebral log-shape ratio and PC2 and neocortex/isocortex grey matter volumes.

Results

Endocast landmarking protocol comparisons

The manual and automated placement protocols for surface semilandmarks performed nearly equally well on several analyses: in the Procrustes ANOVA comparing replicates, the automated protocol had slightly higher repeatability (0.91 with manual *vs.* 0.92 with automated placement). The Mantel test of PC score matrices revealed a very similar arrangement of species in PC space (matrix correlation = 0.97), and shape variation along PC1 was near-identical as well (Supplementary Fig. 1). Due to the slightly higher repeatability and better replicability of the automated placement, we present the results from this protocol; however, all analyses can be re-run using the manual protocol in our github code, with near-identical results.

Endocast shape

The main variation in endocast shape is heavily concentrated on PC1 (Fig. 3), accounting for more than half (56%) of overall brain shape, with PC2 accounting for an additional 12.5%; the subsequent PCs explain 7% or less of the overall variation and are not considered here.

The shape variation along PC1 is almost entirely related to anteroposterior stretching/compression that results in cylindrical, slender shapes at low scores, and shorter, wider, and deeper (and hence more compact and rounded) shapes at high scores (Fig. 3; landmark displacement graphs in Supplementary Fig. 2). Thus, more "stretched" brain shapes at low PC1 scores have longer, narrower olfactory bulbs, cerebra, cerebella, and brain bases. High-PC1 brains also tend to have steeper inclines of the ventral cerebral hemispheres relative to the brain base (Fig. 1, see also supplementary movie 1 (10.6084/m9.figshare.13331273) and landmark displacement graphs in Supplementary Fig. 2).

Figure 3: Phylomorphospace (with one of the phylogenies superimposed over the scatter plot) of principal component 1 vs PC2 for 57 species of marsupials according to their brain shape (top) and corresponding shape variation illustrated as warped endocasts representing the highest and lowest PC1 and 2 scores (bottom). Polygons are drawn around living members of all major clades. Stars represent fossil species.

We also ascertained that the shape variation along PC1 is not driven by two very round-brained

outliers, namely the notoryctimorphian *Notoryctes typhlops* (the highly derived marsupial mole) and

the paucituberculatan *Caenolestes fuliginosus* (a so-called "shrew opossum"; Fig. 1); removal of these

species reduces the magnitude, but not the direction, of shape variation along PC1 and the

arrangement of species relative to each other in PC1/2 morphospace remains near-identical

(Supplementary Fig. 3).

At first glance, PC2 (accounting for 12% of shape variation) appears to separate diprotodontians from

other marsupials. Vombatiforms (wombats, koalas, and fossil relatives) and "possums"

(Phalangeroidea and Petauroidea) have overall lower PC2 scores compared to the macropodiform

kangaroos (Fig. 3). However, extending each clade's morphospace to also include fossil species

(Supplementary Fig. 4) reveals extensive overlap of macropodiforms, vombatiforms, and

petauroid/phalangeroid possums. High scores of PC2 capture a mostly dorsal and slightly posterior

expansion of the cerebral hemispheres relative to the olfactory bulbs and the cerebrum, such that the

cerebrum appears enlarged, as well as a slight forward shift of the brain base (Fig. 2; Supplementary

Fig. 2 and warp movie Supplementary movie 1). Variation in cerebral hemisphere volume is the visually

most conspicuous feature of PC2. Notably, four distantly related fossil species are among the eight

lowest-scoring species on PC2: *Borhyaena tuberata* (an early Miocene member of Sparassodonta,

which is outside the marsupial crown-clade), *Galadi speciosus* (an early Miocene stem-

peramelemorphian)*, Barinya wangala* (an early-to-middle Miocene dasyuromorphian), and

Nimbacinus dicksoni (a middle Miocene thylacinid*).

Phylogenetic signal, allometry, and locomotor mode

Overall phylogenetic signal (the degree to which Brownian motion evolution along the phylogeny

explains the distribution of values), as measured by K_{mult}, was moderate (0.48) for shape but much

higher for size (1.02). As also visible in PC2 of the PC space, each clade tended to have its own brain

shape, but these shapes are broadly similar compared to the diversity of shapes within each clade (see

Fig 1, Supplementary Fig. 5)

Size has a significant but minor association with endocast shape, explaining around 7% of shape

variation regardless of whether centroid size (highly-correlated with, and thus equivalent to, brain

volume; Table 1) or body mass is used as a size proxy (Table 1), Relative brain size (residuals of the

regression of log brain volumes against log body mass) was not significantly associated with brain

shape, although this relationship was only just beyond our significance threshold of 0.05 (Table 1).

Plotting the regression score against log-centroid size (Fig. 4) revealed that vombatiforms (wombats,

koalas, and fossil relatives) and peramelemorphians (bandicoots and bilbies) have brain shapes that

do not follow any allometric pattern; removing these two groups from the regression analysis resulted

in a higher contribution of evolutionary allometry to brain shape in the remaining marsupials,

explaining up to 17% of shape variation (Table 1). A PGLS of PC1 and log centroid size showed that

only 12% of PC1 is explained by centroid size (Table 1). However, the variation explained by allometry

appears to be a subset of the much greater variation along PC1: the landmark displacement graphs

describing the shape change from the predicted values for a small compared to a large endocast (Fig.

4) are very similar to the shape changes associated with minimum and maximum PC1 scores.

370   **Table 1:** Summary table of all PGLS analyses conducted in this study. Df, degrees of freedom; F, F-value

371   of effect size; R^2, R-squared value; p, probability of no effect. Sample sizes for factorial categories are

372   noted in the predictor column, except for arboreal (n=11+2 scansorial species) and terrestrial (n=19);

373   locomotion. Bold p – values denote values below our significance threshold of 0.05.

Dependent variable	Predictor	Df	F	R^2	p
Allometry					
Centroid size	Brain volume	55	11925.1	0.995	**0.000**
Shape variables	Log-centroid size	55	4.267	0.07	**0.011**
Shape variables	Log-body mass	55	3.84	0.07	**0.017**
Shape variables	Residuals of log-brain volume/log-body mass regression	55	2.408	0.04	0.051
Shape variables without vombatiforms and peramelemorphs	Log-centroid size without vombatiforms (n=8) and peramalemorphs (n=7)	40	7.91	0.17	**0.001**
Shape variables without vombatiforms and peramelemorphs	Log-body mass without vombatiforms and peramalemorphs	40	6.768	0.14	**0.001**
PC1 scores	Log-centroid size	55	7.186	0.12	**0.02**
Locomotion					
Shape variables	Interaction term, locomotor modes (see caption) and log-centroid size	35	0.894	0.06	0.582
Shape variables	All locomotor modes (see caption) with log-centroid size as covariate	38	0.748	0.05	0.752
Shape variables	All locomotor modes	40	1.056	0.07	0.367
Shape variables of diprotodontians	Hopping (n=8)/nonhopping (n=16)	22	0.285	0.01	0.971
Shape variables of diprotodontians	Gliding (n=4)/nongliding (n=20)	22	1.433	0.06	0.209
Associations among partition volumes, neocortex/isocortex grey matter volumes, and shape					
Brain region vol. log-shape ratio (LSR)	Log-geometric mean	55	2.383	0.04	0.086
Brain region vol. LSR	Log-body mass	55	1.794	0.03	0.161
Shape variables	Cerebral vol. LSR	55	4.606	0.08	**0.003**
Shape PC2 scores	Cerebral vol. LSR	55	11.779	0.18	**0.003**
Shape PC2 scores	Extant (n=45 *vs.* fossil (n=12)	55	12.476	0.18	**0.003**
Cerebral LSR	Extant *vs.* fossil	55	13.07	0.19	**0.001**
Shape PC2 scores	Diprotodontians (n=31) *vs.* others (26)	55	0.827	0.01	0.361
Cerebral LSR	Diprotodontians *vs.* others	55	1.563	0.03	0.209
Shape variables	Isoc. grey matter vol. LSR	17	0.578	0.03	0.672
Cerebral LSR	Isoc. grey matter vol. LSR	17	3.99	0.19	0.053.
Shape PC2 scores	Isoc. grey matter vol. LSR	17	1.101	0.06	0.317
Shape variables	Neoc. vol. LSR	15	1.532	0.09	0.174
Cerebral LSR	Neoc. vol. LSR	15	5.72	0.28	**0.028**
Shape PC2 scores	Neoc. vol. LSR	15	2.911	0.16	0.108

Figure 4: Top: Plot of regression score against log-centroid size showing a small signal of

evolutionary allometry in endocast shape (R^2=0.072, p=0.001). Colouration as in Fig. 1, stars are

fossil species. Bottom left: Shape changes associated with small to large centroid sizes, shown as

landmark displacement graph where ball represents small endocasts and lines point towards large

configuration. Bottom right: Shape changes associated with PC1 for comparison with the allometric

pattern, where balls indicate the landmark configuration of low PC scores and lines point towards

the configuration of shapes with high PC1 scores. Ball colours differentiate landmarks over the

surface of four brain regions: olfactory bulbs (orange), cerebrum (red), cerebellum (green), and brain

base (purple)

We found no statistical association between any locomotor mode and brain shape (Table 1). However,

locomotor mode is phylogenetically confounded among marsupials, particularly in relation to the

single evolutionary transformation towards an upright posture related to hopping. This is relevant

because the hopping kangaroos visually appear to score higher on PC2 than most other marsupials,

except the possibly non-upright fossils *Ekaltadeta ima* and *Balbaroo nalima* (Den Boer et al. 2019),

which are among the lowest-scoring diprotodontians (Fig. 3). However, we cannot distinguish whether

this relates to locomotor mode or represents a random effect of Brownian motion. Note that

differences between Macropodiformes and the remaining marsupials is concentrated in a dorsal and

anterior expansion of the cerebral hemispheres, rather than the region of potential postural

differences around the back of the brain (Russo and Kirk 2013), as shown in a follow-up visualisation

comparing the mean landmark configurations of kangaroos *versus* other Diprodotontia

(Supplementary Fig. 6). The arboreal dasyurid *Phascogale tapoatafa* and arboreal diprotodontians are

widely separated in PC morphospace; similarly, the possum genera *Petaurus, Petauroides,* and

Acrobates, which have independently evolved gliding adaptations, have widely diverging brain shapes

in PC1/PC2 space. It is also noteworthy that the ecologically very similar wombat genera *Vombatus*

and *Lasiorhinus* are widely separated on PC1.

Intraspecific variation of endocast shape

A PCA of all specimens revealed substantial intraspecific variation in species represented by more than

one specimen, with several instances where species overlap in PC morphospace but individuals of the

same species are well separated (Fig. 5). This is consistent with clear visual differences of brain shapes

within some species (see Supplementary Fig. 7, which compares strikingly different endocasts of two

red kangaroo [*Macropus rufus*] individuals). However, comparison between intraspecific and

interspecific Euclidean distances in Procrustes space (Supplementary Fig. 8) shows that, in terms of

overall shape, intraspecific shape variation is much lower than interspecific variation.

Figure 5: Plot of principal component 1 vs PC2 for all specimens according to their brain shape.

Species with a single representative are grey points. Members of one species are coloured

(arbitrarily) and connected by lines, demonstrating intraspecific variation in brain shapes along the

two main axes of shape variation. Within-species distances compared to among species distances in

Procrustes space are shown in Supplementary Fig. 8.

Comparison of endocast shape with partition volume evolution, and relationship with histological

neo/isocortical volumes

By comparison with endocast shape, the relative volumes of the brain partitions have slightly higher

phylogenetic signal (K_{mult} = 0.66, p=0.001), but have no signal of evolutionary allometry (Table 1). A

Mantel test of pairwise distances between species in the shape and volume PCA spaces is significant

(*p*=0.000, but with low Pearson correlation values of 0.27), confirming our expectation that the two

describe different patterns of evolutionary diversification (Fig. 6 shows the distribution of species on

a volume-based PCA); for example, the PC1 of volumes shows high loading of olfactory bulb volume

that is not apparent along the main variation of shapes (Fig. 6; supplementary movie 1). However,

because PC2 of endocast shape appeared to reflect volumetric dominance of the cerebrum, and could

possibly be associated with "neocorticalisation", we ascertained that fossil species (n=12) indeed have

significantly lower PC2 scores than extant species; fossil status explained nearly a fifth of PC2 (R^2=0.18;

(Table 1)), but note that PC2 itself only explains 12.5% of endocast shape variation. We then assessed

if relative cerebral size (as identified by the log shape ratio value of the cerebrum) was associated with

endocast shape or PC2 of the shape PCA. This revealed a significant association of cerebral partition

volume with shape and PC2 (Table 1), but neither association explained much variation. In keeping

with their significantly smaller PC2 scores, fossil taxa also had significantly smaller cerebra relative to

the remainder of brain partition volumes, and fossil status explained nearly 20% of variation in

cerebral volume (Table 1). However, despite appearing to also have relatively larger PC2 scores and

cerebral partition LSR, diprotodontians did not have significantly higher PC2 scores or Cerebral LSR in

a phylogenetic context (Table 1).

We found no dependable association between shape variation, relative volume variation, and

neocortical or isocortical volume. The only significant association we found was a small association

between the log-shape ratios of cerebral hemispheres and neocortex volumes (across 17 species)

(Table 1). Therefore, although cerebral hemisphere partition volume may be indicative of neocortex

volume, this association is not strong and cannot be translated to shape variation.

Figure 6: Plot of principal component 1 vs PC2 of species according to their brain region volume (top)

and PC1 and PC2 variable loadings (bottom) based on log-shape ratios of four endocast partitions:

olfactory bulb [O.bulb], cerebrum [Cerebr.], cerebellum [Cerebell.] and brain base [B.Base]. Note

that the main differentiation between species here is due to volumes of the olfactory bulb.

Colouration as in Fig. 1 and 2.

Discussion

Our results reveal that over half of marsupial endocast shape variation lies along a spectrum from elongate/straight to globular/inclined endocast shapes, which strongly resemble the "spatial packing" effects proposed for primates and experiments on mice (Ross and Henneberg 1995; Lieberman et al. 2008; Bastir et al. 2011; Marcucio et al. 2011; Zollikofer et al. 2017). However, "spatial packing" was suggested to accommodate relatively larger brains into skulls with short cranial bases, while the marsupial pattern is not associated with relative brain size. The spectrum of shapes is also very broad, ranging from nearly spherical in the marsupial mole *Notoryctes typhlops* to nearly tubular in species like the fossil vombatiform *Silvabestius johnnilandi* (compare on Fig.1). This resembles other instances where an axis of global change related to elongation determines morphological diversification, for example in the whole body of fishes, lizards, and mustelids (Bergmann and Irschick 2010; Ward and Mehta 2010; Law et al. 2019). Shape diversification partially associated with elongation has also been postulated for the therian cranium (e.g. Cardini et al. 2015), although this so-called "Cranial Rule of Evolutionary Allometry" is also associated with size variation, which has no strong influence in our dataset.

The high variability of endocast shapes across short evolutionary time scales (or even within species) suggests that the diverse brain tissues within the endocast are integrated to produce a limited set of shapes reflected in PC1 (Felice et al. 2018). But what determines this strong main axis of variation? The pattern resembles an evolutionary "line of least resistance" (Schluter 1996), a genetically favoured direction of morphological evolution. In mammals, strong allometric variation is often portrayed as a hallmark of such evolutionary lines of least resistance (e.g. Marroig and Cheverud 2005; Marcy et al. 2020). However, the pattern we observe is not necessarily genetic and shows no strong allometry. In addition, endocasts differ from whole-body or overall cranial shape through the juncture between the soft tissue of the brain with its neuronal functionality on one hand, and the osseous skull with its functional diversity on the other.

Focusing on the juncture between brain and skull tissue, brain shape is known to be

mechanically malleable. For example, it can be determined by intrinsic mechanical properties (such as

tissue stiffness or internal tension of neurons; Atkinson et al. 2015; Koser et al. 2016; Heuer and Toro

2019), as well as external impacts (e.g. contact with cranial bones during brain growth; Macrini et al.

2007c; Budday et al. 2015). Such impacts are known to change brain shape over short evolutionary

time scales and also individual development (Budday et al. 2015; Gómez-Robles et al. 2015), just as

observed here. Similarly, brain sizes and regional volumes (and therefore presumably their shape) can

vary substantially during individual lifetimes (Burger et al. 2013; Dechmann et al. 2017). Thus,

mechanistic processes may mould brains into default shapes which we see on PC1, but without

representing a "true" constraint because the shape of the brain is not intrinsically fixed. This is also

consistent with our observations of highly unique endocranial shapes. Particularly striking examples

of this are the deep "waist" between the cerebrum and the cerebellum, reflecting a deep imprint of

the highly pneumatised mastoid part of the petrosal of the pygmy glider *Acrobates pygmaeus,* or the

flat brain with imprint of the middle ear cavity in *Planigale ingrami* (compare in Fig. 1)

With respect to possible associations between brain shape and skull functionality, neither

allometry or locomotor mode were strongly associated with endocast shape. There are at least two

functional reasons to explain this: First, smaller mammals have relatively larger brains for their body

mass, and would therefore be expected to share common constraints of distributing a heavy brain in

a small skull. In addition, as postulated under the "spatial packing" hypothesis, spatial constraints

might require brains to be packed in a more globular way when their mass increases relative to body

mass. However, allometry is not an important part of brain shape variation (mirroring results from a

study on squirrels and their fossil relatives; Bertrand et al. 2019b) and our "spatial packing" – like

pattern exists without allometry; the much higher phylogenetic signal of centroid size compared to

endocast shape also demonstrates the relative evolutionary independence of the two. We also found

no association of locomotor categories with endocast shape, including a lack of association between

gliding and endocast shape, which contrasts with findings in gliding squirrels (Bertrand et al. 2019b).

There is also no obvious connection between facial length and brain globularity (Evans et al. 2017;

Zollikofer et al. 2017): for example, the two most globular brains in the sample belong to the relatively

short-snouted marsupial mole and the relatively long-snouted shrew opossum (see Fig. 2).

The lack of functionally explainable pattern of endocast shape can have several

methodological causes related to a relatively broad landmarking protocol, broad locomotor

categories, and the phylogenetically confounded distribution of locomotor mode (for successful,

phylogenetically more restricted analyses of ecology and endocast shape, see Ahrens 2014; Bertrand

et al. 2019b). However, it is also possible that the evolutionary flexibility of brain shape suggested

above, and its apparent propensity to adapt to the braincase, might result in noisy and divergent

adaptations that are statistically not tractable. This also emphasises that interpreting associations

between locomotor mode and endocast shape, as well as possibly many other adaptations such as

feeding biology, require consideration of whether variation is caused by cranial, rather than

somatosensory, adaptation (Jeffery and Spoor 2006). However, it is also possible that the skull is not

always a strong predictor of locomotor mode; for example, the skull shape of kangaroos is not strongly

associated with hopping or different modes of hopping (Butler et al. 2020), possibly explaining why

we also found no significant association between endocasts of hopping *vs*. non-hopping kangaroos.

We find that different descriptions of endocast or brain macromorphology (shape

coordinates, volumetric dissection, and functional region volume such as neocortex) are not

interchangeable in terms of the information they contain. What little significant correspondence we

find (e.g. that overall shape, PC2, and neocortex volume are significantly associated with relative

cerebral hemisphere size) is not sufficient to allow biological conclusions from one measure to the

other. This also appears to be the case with specific somatosensory regions such as the visual cortex,

which occupies the region that expands most along PC2 (Karlen and Krubitzer 2007). An association

between occipital expansion of the neocortex and a potential improvement of vision capabilities has

been suggested in rodents and their relatives (e.g. Bertrand et al. 2019a). In marsupials, however, a

previous study (Karlen and Krubitzer 2007) showed no differences in visual cortex area between two

species with high PC2 scores (*Dactylopsila trivirgata* and *Trichosurus vulpecula*) *versus* species with

low PC2 scores (*Dasyurus hallucatus*, *Monodelphis domestica*, and *Didelphis virginiana*). In addition,

the marsupial mole *Notoryctes typhlops* with its dorsally rounded cerebral hemispheres (Fig. 1) has no

functional eyes (Van Dyck and Strahan 2008).

Fossil species have significantly smaller relative cerebral size and PC2 scores than their living

relatives, which superficially supports the notion of increasing neocortex dominance

("neocorticalisation") in mammals (Jerison 2012), and specifically marsupial (Haight and Murray

1981), evolution. However, very weak associations between shape, cerebral, and histological cortical

volumes suggest that surface area measurements (Jerison 1973; Bertrand et al. 2016) on a sample

where these measurements can be made with confidence will be required to clarify this effect.

Phylogenetic divisions in brain shape have been successfully established at finer phylogenetic

scales (e.g. Silcox et al. 2009; Thiery and Ducrocq 2015; Bertrand et al. 2016; Bertrand et al. 2019b).

We also find moderate phylogenetic signal in brain shape. However, this differentiation is

concentrated on the second principal component and explains little shape variation (compare the

mean shapes with the shapes of individual species in Fig. 1 or supplementary Figure 5). Brain shape is,

therefore, likely too ambiguous to be useful in marsupial phylogenetics, with anatomical scores that

reflect the internal bony surface likely more successful (Haight and Murray 1981; Macrini et al. 2007b).

Conclusions

High evolutionary shape plasticity along a global axis of elongation emerges as a powerful mechanism

of balancing the evolution of marsupial, and possibly mammalian, cranial function against the need to

accommodate the brain. However, the precise mechanisms for this flexibility remain to be understood

and are likely quite diverse. For example, on one hand, brain shape and volume proportions can

undergo drastic seasonal change in some small mammals (Dechmann et al. 2017). On the other hand,

dogs bred for specific, small cranial vault shapes can display pathological cerebellar compression

(Hechler and Moore 2018). Lastly, over the large time scales of mammalian brain evolution, increases

in brain size appear to have triggered cranial vault expansion through a heterochronic delay in

ossification of the cranial roof (Koyabu et al. 2014). The main challenge for understanding how the

mammalian brain co-evolves with the skull will therefore be in separating the effects of individual

developmental flexibility of brain shape on one hand, and deep time co-evolution between the cranial

vault and brain size on the other, in a broader sample of non-primate mammals.

Author contributions:

VW conceived of the study, performed most of the endocasting, co-analysed the data, and wrote the

manuscript. TR, SW, TEM, KJT, KB, MA, SH, JB, RMDB, SL, AS, provided specimen scans, co-wrote the

manuscript, and co-developed the palaeontological aspects of the study. RMDB produced the

phylogenies. KG participated in endocasting and most of the endocast dissections, and co-developed

the dissection protocol. KM scanned a proportion of specimens, and co-wrote the manuscript. ES

developed most R code, co-analysed the data, and co-wrote the manuscript.

Acknowledgements:

This research was funded by an Australian Research Council Discovery Early Career Award

(DE120102034), Discovery Project DP170103227, and Future Fellowship FT180100634 to V.W;

ARC DP170101420 to MA and SJH; ATM MNHN 'Biodiversité actuelle et fossile' to S.L.; and a

University of Adelaide Fellowship to E.S. Scans provided by the Digimorph platform were funded by

National Science Foundation (NSF) IIS 9874781 and IIS 0208675 (TR), and several scans were done by

T.M. under NSF DEB 9873663 (Nancy Simmons, AMNH) and NSF DEB 0309369 (Rowe and Macrini).

For involvement in the collection of the fossils, we thank P. Creaser and the CREATE Fund, K. and M.

Pettit, the University of New South Wales, Environment Australia, Queensland Parks and Wildlife

Service, Outback at Isa, Mount Isa City Council, The Riversleigh Society and the Waanyi people. We

thank the late Simon Collins (School Veterinary Science, UWQ) and Stephen Johnston (School of

Agricultural and food Sciences, UQ) for making available CT scans of two *Phascolarctos cinereus*. We

thank Candi Wong and Narelle Hill for support with endocast segmentation and dissection. The

authors acknowledge the facilities and scientific and technical assistance of the National Imaging

Facility, a National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy (NCRIS) capability, at the Centre for

Advanced Imaging, University of Queensland. We thank Materialise Malaysia for assistance with

endocast preparation in Mimics. This study was mostly conducted on the traditional lands of the

Yuggera (Brisbane) and Kaurna (Adelaide) people.

Data Availability:

All endocasts are available on Figshare: For the original stl files and endocast dissections, the doi is

http://10.0.23.196/m9.figshare.12284456). For ply files used for automatic landmark placement, the

doi is http://10.0.23.196/m9.figshare.12284456. The full R code for data read-in, analysis, and Figures,

including, auxiliary files, volume data, and landmark coordinate data are available in github repository

https://github.com/VWeisbecker/Brain_shape_study   (see README instructions there).

References

Adams, D., M. Collyer, and A. Kaliontzopoulou. 2020. Geomorph: Geometric Morphometric Analyses

of 2D/3D Landmark Data. Version 3.2.1. R package.

Adams, D. C. 2014a. A generalized K statistic for estimating phylogenetic signal from shape and other

high-dimensional multivariate data. *Syst. Biol.* **63**:685-697.

Adams, D. C. 2014b. A method for assessing phylogenetic least squares models for shape and other

high-dimensional multivariate data. *Evolution* **68**:2675-2688.

Ahrens, H. E. 2014. Morphometric study of phylogenetic and ecologic signals in procyonid

(Mammalia: Carnivora) endocasts. *Anat. Rec.* **297**:2318-2330.

Argot, C. 2003. Functional adaptations of the postcranial skeleton of two Miocene borhyaenoids

(Mammalia, Metatheria), *Borhyaena* and *Prothylacinus*, from South America. *Palaeontology*

46:1213-1267.

Aristide, L., S. F. dos Reis, A. C. Machado, I. Lima, R. T. Lopes, and S. I. Perez. 2016. Brain shape

convergence in the adaptive radiation of New World monkeys. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*

113:2158-2163.

Ashwell, K. 2010. *The neurobiology of Australian marsupials: brain evolution in the other mammalian*

radiation. Cambridge University Press.

Atkinson, E. G., J. Rogers, M. C. Mahaney, L. A. Cox, and J. M. Cheverud. 2015. Cortical folding of the

primate brain: An interdisciplinary examination of the genetic architecture, modularity, and

evolvability of a significant neurological trait in pedigreed baboons (genus *Papio*). *Genetics*

200:651.

Barton, R. A. and I. Capellini. 2011. Maternal investment, life histories, and the costs of brain growth

in mammals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **108**:6169.

Bastir, M., A. Rosas, P. Gunz, A. Peña-Melian, G. Manzi, K. Harvati, R. Kruszynski, C. Stringer, and J.-J.

Hublin. 2011. Evolution of the base of the brain in highly encephalized human species.

Nature Commun. **2**:588.

Beck, R. M., K. J. Travouillon, K. P. Aplin, H. Godthelp, and M. Archer. 2014. The osteology and

systematics of the enigmatic australian oligo-miocene metatherian *Yalkaparidon*

(Yalkaparidontidae; Yalkaparidontia;? Australidelphia; Marsupialia). *J. Mamm. Evol.* **21**:127-

172.

Bennett, P. M. and P. H. Harvey. 1985. Brain size, development and metabolism in birds and

mammals. *J. Zool.* **207**:491-509.

Bergmann, P. J. and D. J. Irschick. 2010. Alternate pathways of body shape evolution translate into

common patterns of locomotor evolution in two clades of lizards. *Evolution* **64**:1569-1582.

Bertrand, O., C., F. Amador-Mughal, and T. Silcox Mary. 2016. Virtual endocasts of Eocene Paramys

(Paramyinae): oldest endocranial record for Rodentia and early brain evolution in

Euarchontoglires. *Proc. Royal Soc. B* **283**:20152316.

Bertrand, O. C., F. Amador-Mughal, M. M. Lang, and M. T. Silcox. 2019a. New virtual endocasts of

Eocene Ischyromyidae and their relevance in evaluating neurological changes occurring

through time in Rodentia. *J. Mamm. Evol.* **26**:345-371.

Bertrand, O. C., G. San Martin-Flores, and M. T. Silcox. 2019b. Endocranial shape variation in the

squirrel-related clade and their fossil relatives using 3D geometric morphometrics:

contributions of locomotion and phylogeny to brain shape. *J. Zool.* **308**:197-211.

Biegert, J. 1957. Der Formwandel des Primatenschädels und seine Beziehungen zur ontogenetischen

Entwicklung und den phylogenetischen Spezialisationen des Kopforgans. *Morphol. Jahrb.*

98:77-199.

Bienvenu, T., F. Guy, W. Coudyzer, E. Gilissen, G. Roualdès, P. Vignaud, and M. Brunet. 2011.

Assessing endocranial variations in great apes and humans using 3D data from virtual

endocasts. *Am. J. Phys. Anthr.* **145**:231-246.

Black, K. H., A. B. Camens, M. Archer, and S. J. Hand. 2012. Herds Overhead: *Nimbadon*

lavarackorum (Diprotodontidae), heavyweight marsupial herbivores in the Miocene forests

of Australia. *PLoS ONE* **7**:e48213.

Budday, S., P. Steinmann, A. Goriely, and E. Kuhl. 2015. Size and curvature regulate pattern selection

in the mammalian brain. *Extreme Mech. Lett.* **4**:193-198.

Burger, D. K., J. M. Saucier, A. N. Iwaniuk, and D. M. Saucier. 2013. Seasonal and sex differences in

the hippocampus of a wild rodent. *Behav. Brain. Res.* **236**:131-138.

Butler, K., K. J. Travouillon, A. R. Evans, L. Murphy, G. J. Price, M. Archer, S. J. Hand, and V.

Weisbecker. 2020. 3d morphometric analysis reveals similar ecomorphs for early kangaroos

(Macropodidae) and fanged kangaroos (Balbaridae) from the Riversleigh World Heritage

Area, Australia. *J. Mamm. Evol.*:1-21.

Cardini, A., D. Polly, R. Dawson, and N. Milne. 2015. Why the Long Face? Kangaroos and Wallabies

Follow the Same 'Rule' of Cranial Evolutionary Allometry (CREA) as Placentals. *Evol. Biol.*

42:169-176.

Dechmann, D. K. N., S. LaPoint, C. Dullin, M. Hertel, J. R. E. Taylor, K. Zub, and M. Wikelski. 2017.

Profound seasonal shrinking and regrowth of the ossified braincase in phylogenetically

distant mammals with similar life histories. *Scientif. Rep.* **7**:42443.

Den Boer, W., N. E. Campione, and B. P. Kear. 2019. Climbing adaptations, locomotory disparity and

ecological convergence in ancient stem 'kangaroos'. *Roy. Soc. Open Sci.* **6**:181617.

Dos Santos, S. E., J. Porfirio, F. B. da Cunha, P. R. Manger, W. Tavares, L. Pessoa, M. A. Raghanti, C. C.

Sherwood, and S. Herculano-Houzel. 2017. Cellular scaling rules for the brains of marsupials:

Not as "primitive" as expected. *Brain Behav. Evol.* **89**:48-63.

Drake, A. G. and C. P. Klingenberg. 2008. The pace of morphological change: Historical

transformation of skull shape in St Bernard dogs. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. B* **275**:71-76.

Eldridge, M. D. B., R. M. D. Beck, D. A. Croft, K. J. Travouillon, and B. J. Fox. 2019. An emerging

consensus in the evolution, phylogeny, and systematics of marsupials and their fossil

relatives (Metatheria). *J. Mammal.* **100**:802-837.

Evans, K. M., B. T. Waltz, V. A. Tagliacollo, B. L. Sidlauskas, and J. S. Albert. 2017. Fluctuations in

Evolutionary Integration Allow for Big Brains and Disparate Faces. *Scientif. Rep.* **7**:40431.

Felice, R. N., M. Randau, and A. Goswami. 2018. A fly in a tube: Macroevolutionary expectations for

integrated phenotypes. *Evolution* **72**:2580-2594.

Gómez-Robles, A., W. D. Hopkins, S. J. Schapiro, and C. C. Sherwood. 2015. Relaxed genetic control

of cortical organization in human brains compared with chimpanzees. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*

U.S.A. **112**:14799-14804.

Gould, S. J. 1977. *Ontogeny and phylogeny*. Harvard University Press.

Gunz, P., P. Mitterroecker, and F. L. Bookstein. 2005. Semilandmarks in three dimensions *in* D. Slice,

ed. *Modern Morphometrics in Physical Anthropology*. Kluwer Academic, New York.

Haight, J. R. and P. F. Murray. 1981. The cranial endocast of the early miocene marsupial, *Wynyardia*

bassiana: An assessment of taxonomic relationships based upon comparisons with recent

forms. *Brain Behav. Evol.* **19**:17-36.

Hanken, J. and P. Thorogood. 1993. Evolution and development of the vertebrate skull: The role of

pattern formation. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **8**:9-15.

Hechler, A. C. and S. A. Moore. 2018. Understanding and treating chiari-like malformation and

syringomyelia in dogs. *Topics Com. Anim. Med.* **33**:1-11.

Heuer, K. and R. Toro. 2019. Role of mechanical morphogenesis in the development and evolution of

the neocortex. *Phys. Life Rev.*

Hinds, L. A. 1988. Hormonal control of lactation. Pp. 55-67 *in* C. H. Tyndale-Biscoe, and P. A.

Janssens, eds. *The Developing Marsupial. Models for Biomedical Research*. Sprinter, Berlin.

Jeffery, N. and F. Spoor. 2006. The primate subarcuate fossa and its relationship to the semicircular

canals part I: prenatal growth. *J. Hum. Evol.* **51**:537-549.

Jerison, H. J. 1973. *Evolution of Brain and Intelligence*. Academic Press, London.

Jerison, H. J. 2012. Digitized fossil brains: neocorticalization. *J. Bioling.* **6**:383-392.

Jyothilakshmi, T. K., Y. Gurovich, and K. W. S. Ashwell. 2020. Numerical analysis of the cerebral

cortex in diprotodontids (Marsupialia; Australidelphia) and comparison with eutherian

brains. *Zoology* **143**:125845.

Karlen, S. J. and L. Krubitzer. 2007. The functional and anatomical organization of marsupial

neocortex: Evidence for parallel evolution across mammals. *Prog. Neurobiol.* **82**:122-141.

Klingenberg, C. P., M. Barluenga, and A. Meyer. 2002. Shape analysis of symmetric structures:

Quantifying variation among individuals and asymmetry. *Evolution* **56**:1909-1920.

Koser, D. E., A. J. Thompson, S. K. Foster, A. Dwivedy, E. K. Pillai, G. K. Sheridan, H. Svoboda, M.

Viana, L. d. F. Costa, J. Guck, C. E. Holt, and K. Franze. 2016. Mechanosensing is critical for

axon growth in the developing brain. *Nature Neurosci.* **19**:1592.

Koyabu, D., I. Werneburg, N. Morimoto, C. P. E. Zollikofer, A. M. Forasiepi, H. Endo, J. Kimura, S. D.

Ohdachi, N. Truong Son, and M. R. Sánchez-Villagra. 2014. Mammalian skull heterochrony

reveals modular evolution and a link between cranial development and brain size. *Nature*

Commun. **5**.

Law, C. J., G. J. Slater, and R. S. Mehta. 2019. Shared extremes by ectotherms and endotherms: Body

elongation in mustelids is associated with small size and reduced limbs. *Evolution* **73**:735-

749.

Lieberman, D. E., B. Hallgrímsson, W. Liu, T. E. Parsons, and H. A. Jamniczky. 2008. Spatial packing,

cranial base angulation, and craniofacial shape variation in the mammalian skull: testing a

new model using mice. *J. Anat.* **212**:720-735.

Macrini, T. E., C. De Muizon, R. L. Cifelli, and T. Rowe. 2007a. Digital cranial endocast of *Pucadelphys*

andinus, a Paleocene metatherian. *J. Vert. Paleontol.* **27**:99-107.

Macrini, T. E., G. W. Rougier, and T. Rowe. 2007b. Description of a cranial endocast from the fossil

mammal vincelestes neuquenianus (theriiformes) and its relevance to the evolution of

endocranial characters in therians. *Anat. Rec.* **290**:875-892.

Macrini, T. E., T. Rowe, and J. L. VandeBerg. 2007c. Cranial endocasts from a growth series of

Monodelphis domestica (Didelphidae, Marsupialia): a study of individual and ontogenetic

variation. *J. Morphol.* **268**:844-865.

Maier, W. 1993. Cranial morphology of the therian common ancestor, as suggested by the

adaptations of neonate marsupials. Pp. 165-181 *in* F. S. Szalay, M. J. Novacek, and M. C.

McKenna, eds. *Mammal Phylogeny: Mesozoic Differentiation, Multituberculates,*

Monotremes, Early Therians, and Marsupials. Springer New York, New York, NY.

Marcucio, R. S., N. M. Young, D. Hu, and B. Hallgrimsson. 2011. Mechanisms that underlie co-

variation of the brain and face. *Genesis* **49**:177-189.

Marcy, A. E., T. Guillerme, E. Sherratt, K. C. Rowe, M. J. Phillips, and V. Weisbecker. 2020. Australian

Rodents Reveal Conserved Cranial Evolutionary Allometry across 10 Million Years of Murid

Evolution. *Am. Nat.*:000-000.

Marroig, G. and J. M. Cheverud. 2005. Size as a line of least evolutionary resistance: Diet and

adaptive morphological radiation in new world monkeys. *Evolution* **59**:1128-1142.

Mosimann, J. E. 1970. Size allometry: size and shape variables with characterizations of the

lognormal and generalized gamma distributions. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **65**:930-945.

Mota, B. and S. Herculano-Houzel. 2015. Cortical folding scales universally with surface area and

thickness, not number of neurons. *Science* **349**:74-77.

Nieman, B. J., M. C. Blank, B. B. Roman, R. M. Henkelman, and K. J. Millen. 2012. If the skull fits:

magnetic resonance imaging and microcomputed tomography for combined analysis of

brain and skull phenotypes in the mouse. *Physiol. Genom.* **44**:992.

Nowak, R. M. 2018. *Walker's mammals of the world: monotremes, marsupials, afrotherians,*

xenarthrans, and sundatherians. Johns Hopkins University Press.

Oksanen, J., F. G. Blanchet, R. Kindt, P. Legendre, P. R. Minchin, R. B. O'Hara, G. L. Simpson, P.

Solymos, M. H. H. Stevens, and H. Wagner. 2016. VEGAN-Community Ecology Package.

Palomero-Gallagher, N. and K. Zilles. 2015. Chapter 22 - Isocortex. Pp. 601-625 *in* G. Paxinos, ed. *The*

Rat Nervous System (Fourth Edition). Academic Press, San Diego.

Paradis, E., J. Claude, and K. Strimmer. 2004. APE: analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R

language. *Bioinformatics* **20**:289-290.

764 Pereira-Pedro, A. S. and E. Bruner. 2018. Landmarking Endocasts. Pp. 127-142 *in* E. Bruner, N.

765  Ogihara, and H. C. Tanabe, eds. *Digital Endocasts: From Skulls to Brains*. Springer Japan,

766  Tokyo.

767 Pirlot, P. 1981. A quantitative approach to the marsupial brain in an eco-ethological perspective. *Rev.*

768  *Can. Biol.* **40**:229-250.

769 R core team. 2018. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for

770  Statistical Computing, Vienna, Australia.

771 Richtsmeier, J. T. and K. Flaherty. 2013. Hand in glove: brain and skull in development and

772  dysmorphogenesis. *Acta Neuropath.* **125**:469-489.

773 Rohlf, F. J. and D. Slice. 1990. Extensions of the Procrustes method for the optimal superimposition

774  of landmarks. *Syst. Zool.* **39**:40-59.

775 Ross, C. and M. Henneberg. 1995. Basicranial flexion, relative brain size, and facial kyphosis in Homo

776  sapiens and some fossil hominids. *Am. J. Phys. Anthr.* **98**:575-593.

777 Ross, C. F. and M. J. Ravosa. 1993. Basicranial flexion, relative brain size, and facial kyphosis in

778  nonhuman primates. *Am. J. Phys. Anthr.* **91**:305-324.

779 Rowe, T. B., T. E. Macrini, and Z.-X. Luo. 2011. Fossil evidence on origin of the mammalian brain.

780  *Science* **332**:955-957.

781 Russo, G. A. and E. C. Kirk. 2013. Foramen magnum position in bipedal mammals. *J. Hum. Evol.*

782  **65**:656-670.

783 Sakai, S. T., B. M. Arsznov, A. E. Hristova, E. J. Yoon, and B. L. Lundrigan. 2016. Big cat coalitions: A

784  comparative analysis of regional brain volumes in Felidae. **10**.

785 Sakai, S. T., B. Whitt, B. M. Arsznov, and B. L. Lundrigan. 2018. Endocranial development in the

786  coyote (*Canis latrans*) and Gray Wolf (Canis lupus): A computed tomographic study. *Brain*

787  *Behav. Evol.* **91**:65-81.

Sansalone, G., K. Allen, J. A. Ledogar, S. Ledogar, D. R. Mitchell, A. Profico, S. Castiglione, M.

Melchionna, C. Serio, A. Mondanaro, P. Raia, and S. Wroe. 2020. Variation in the strength of

allometry drives rates of evolution in primate brain shape. *Proc. Royal Soc. B* **287**:20200807.

Schluter, D. 1996. Adaptive radiation along genetic lines of least resistance. *Evolution* **50**:1766-1774.

Schneider, C. A., W. S. Rasband, and K. W. Eliceiri. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image

analysis. *Nature Methods* **9**:671-675.

Sharp, A. C. 2016. A quantitative comparative analysis of the size of the frontoparietal sinuses and

brain in vombatiform marsupials. *Mem. Mus. Vic.*

Sherratt, E., D. J. Gower, C. P. Klingenberg, and M. Wilkinson. 2014. Evolution of cranial shape in

caecilians (Amphibia: Gymnophiona). *Evol. Biol.* **41**:528-545.

Silcox, M. T., C. K. Dalmyn, and J. I. Bloch. 2009. Virtual endocast of *Ignacius graybullianus*

(Paromomyidae, Primates) and brain evolution in early primates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*

Smith, K. K. 1997. Comparative patterns of craniofacial development in eutherian and metatherian

mammals. *Evolution* **51**:1663-1678.

Thiery, G. and S. Ducrocq. 2015. Endocasts and brain evolution in Anthracotheriidae (Artiodactyla,

Hippopotamoidea). *J. Anat.* **227**:277-285.

Travouillon, K. J., S. Legendre, M. Archer, and S. J. Hand. 2009. Palaeoecological analyses of

Riversleigh's Oligo-Miocene sites: Implications for Oligo-Miocene climate change in

Australia. *Palaeogeogr., Palaeoclimat. Palaeoecol.* **276**:24-37.

Turney, C. S. M., T. F. Flannery, R. G. Roberts, C. Reid, L. K. Fifield, T. F. G. Higham, Z. Jacobs, N.

Kemp, E. A. Colhoun, R. M. Kalin, and N. Ogle. 2008. Late-surviving megafauna in Tasmania,

Australia, implicate human involvement in their extinction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*

105:12150-12153.

Van Dyck, S. and R. Strahan. 2008. *The Mammals of Australia*. New Holland Pub Pty Limited, Sydney,

Australia.

Ward, A. B. and R. S. Mehta. 2010. Axial elongation in fishes: Using morphological approaches to

elucidate developmental mechanisms in studying body shape. *Int. Comp. Biol.* **50**:1106-1119.

Weisbecker, V., K. Ashwell, and D. Fisher. 2013. An improved body mass dataset for the study of

marsupial brain size evolution. *Brain Behav. Evol.* **82**:81-82.

Weisbecker, V., S. Blomberg, A. W. Goldizen, M. Brown, and D. Fisher. 2015. The evolution of

relative brain size in marsupials is energetically constrained but not driven by behavioral

complexity. *Brain Behav. Evol.* **85**:125-135.

Weisbecker, V. and A. Goswami. 2010. Brain size, life history, and metabolism at the

marsupial/placental dichotomy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **107**:16216-16221.

Weisbecker, V. and A. Goswami. 2011a. Marsupials indeed confirm an ancestral mammalian pattern:

A reply to Isler. *BioEssays* **33**:358-361.

Weisbecker, V. and A. Goswami. 2011b. Neonatal maturity as the key to understanding brain size

evolution in homeothermic vertebrates. *BioEssays* **33**:155-158.

Weisbecker, V., C. Speck, and A. M. Baker. 2019. A tail of evolution: evaluating body length, weight

and locomotion as potential drivers of tail length scaling in Australian marsupial mammals.

Zool. J. Linn. Soc.

Weisbecker, V., C. Speck, and A. M. Baker. 2020. A tail of evolution: evaluating body length, weight

and locomotion as potential drivers of tail length scaling in Australian marsupial mammals.

Zool. J. Linn. Soc **188**:242-254.

Wiley, D. 2015. Stratovan Checkpoint.

Wroe, S., M. Crowther, J. Dortch, and J. Chong. 2004. The size of the largest marsupial and why it

matters. *Proc. Roy. Soc. B* **271**:S34-S36.

Wroe, S., T. J. Myers, R. T. Wells, and A. Gillespie. 1999. Estimating the weight of the Pleistocene

marsupial lion, *Thylacoleo carnifex* (Thylacoleonidae:Marsupialia): implications for the

ecomorphology of a marsupial super-predator and hypotheses of impoverishment of

Australian marsupial carnivore faunas. *Aust. J. Zool.* **47**:489-498.

Zelditch, M. L., D. L. Swiderski, and H. D. Sheets. 2012. *Geometric Morphometrics for Biologists: A*

Primer. Academic Press, New York.

Zollikofer, C. P. E., T. Bienvenu, and M. S. Ponce de León. 2017. Effects of cranial integration on

hominid endocranial shape. *J. Anat.* **230**:85-105.

Supplementary Figure 1: Landmark displacement graphs of ordinated endocast shape for landmark coordinates with surface semilandmarks automatically placed (left) and manually placed (right) along the first Principal Component (PC1), showing the displacement of landmarks from the extreme shape associated with PC1 minimum scores (grey spheres) to the mean shape (end of hairlines). This comparison is on the full dataset without symmetry removal or averaging of specimens within a species.

Supplementary Figure 2: Landmark displacement graphs of shape variation along PC1 and PC2, with the mean shape as reference (spheres) and the "hairs" of the vectors pointing to Principal Components score extremes for PC1 and PC2.

Supplementary Figure 3 Principal Components (PC) plots of species with (bottom) and without (top) the two highly spherical endocasts of the marsupial mole, *Notoryctes typhlops*, and the shrew-opossum, *Caenolestes fuliginosus*. Note that the removal of these two species caused species to have very similar relative positions, but switched PC1 score signs; the signs of the PC1 scores in the plot including all species (bottom) were therefore also switched to facilitate comparison.

Supplementary Figure 4: Plot of principal component 1 vs PC2 for 57 species of marsupials according to their brain shape (top) and corresponding shape variation illustrated as warped endocasts representing the highest and lowest PC1 and 2 scores (bottom). Polygons are drawn around living members of all major clades and their extinct relatives. Stars represent extinct species.

Macropodiformes

Petauroidea

Phalangeroidea

Vombatiformes

Didelphimorphia

Dasyuromorphia

Peramelemorphia

Supplementary Figure 5: Mean shapes for the Diprotodontian major clades and the three remaining orders of marsupials for which multiple speciems were available.

lateral view, top is dorsal;   dorsal view, right is anterior

Supplementary Figure 6: Lateral (left) and dorsal (right) heat plots of the distribution of shape variation magnitudes in the mean configuration of diprotodontial marsupials (balls) compared to the mean landmark configuration of macropodiforms (kangaroos; ends of hairline vectors). The colouration is calibrated for the greatest magnitude in landmark displacement to be darkest red, and the least magnitude to be the lightest yellow. Note the lack of substantial movement around the brain base.

Orufus_F_UNEM9332

Orufus_M_UNEM9328

Supplementary Figure 7: Dorsal (left) and lateral (right) images of brains of a female (top) and male (bottom) red kangaroo (*Osphranter rufus*). Note the substantial differences in shape, particularly in terms of width and inclination.

Supplementary Figure 8: Density plots of the frequency of Procrustes distances (i.e. taking all of shape into consideration), showing that Procrustes distances within species are generally lower than among species means or among all specimens.

Supplementary Information 1: Methodological details for landmark placement, endocast dissection, and the phylogenies used.

Landmarks

Refer to Fig.1 below for illustration of landmarks. The numbers indicated below are the automatic patch placement; note that the procedure of automatic patch placement changes the landmark numbers from the numbers originally given in Checkpoint. The anatomical terminology was taken from Macrini *et al.* (2007).

Fixed landmarks:

1: Left paraflocculus
2: Right paraflocculus
3: Anterior midpoint of hind brain
4: Base of olfactory bulb
5: Left Tip of olfactory bulb
6: Right Tip of olfactory bulb
7: Left hypoglossal foramen
8: Right hypoglossal foramen

Fixed landmarks of patch:

The patch was constructed in checkpoint, which only produces square patches which are difficult to place on round objects like the brain. To enable the characterisation of the lateral sides of the brain, we therefore placed two-point curves in checkpoint between landmarks 25-22, 44-22, 47-24 (left) and 26-24, 50-22, 53-22 (right). However, landmarks shared with the patch and the curve landmarks thus obtained were slid as a patch, as seen in Fig. 2.

36: Point of divergence between the two cerebral hemispheres, just anterior to the transverse sinus
35,39: Center of indentation between cerebrum, lateral, and middle cerebellar lobe
21,23: Point on cerebrum anterior to prootic vein exit
37: Center of patch – on the cerebral midline and equidistant from points 38 and 36
25,26: lateral extremity of junction between olfactory bulb and cerebral hemispheres
38: midpoint of the cross described by the midline of the brain and the junction between olfactory bulb and cerebral hemispheres
21-22, 23-24: Point on cerebrum anterior to prootic vein exit (shared with patch) to point of divergence between cerebral hemisphere and emergence of V2 (maxillary branch of trigeminus)

Curves (all with two end points and two semilandmarks):
9-12: Base to top of middle cerebellar lobe
13-16, 17-20: posteriormost point of lateral cerebral lobe to highest point of parafloccular stalk
30-27: Connecting the lateral-most points of each olfactory bulb side.
35-39: connecting the ventral stalk nerves exiting the jugular foramen.

Figure 1: Numbers of fixed landmarks outlined above on the brain of *Phascogale tapoatafa* JM12395 (the specimen used to produce the atlas for automatic landmark placements)*,* warped to the mean shape in geomorph. The blue numbers represent auxiliary curve origins placed in checkpoint to allow for the application of sliding surface semilandmarks on the lateral side of the brain. Numbers are given for all fixed landmarks, superimposed on the landmarks which are coloured as in Fig. 1.

Endocast dissection

All sections were performed in Mimics V. 17-20, or for corrections of irregular cuts in 3Matics v. 9. See Fig. 2 for an illustration of the dissections. The dissected volumes are all available on Figshare repository http://10.0.23.196/m9.figshare.12284456

Olfactory bulb

Cut along the groove between the olfactory bulb and the cerebral cortex.

Cerebellum

Dorsal line along the junction between cerebrum and cerebellum; cut between vestibulo-cochlear nerve and paraflocculus; cut along the boundary of cerebellum and medulla.

Brain stem

Cut between vestibulo-cochlear nerve and paraflocculus; cut across the opening of the foramen magnum; cut across the medulla/pons boundary at the posterior end of the cerebral hemisphere behind the base of the foramen ovale of the trigeminal nerve (V5)

Cerebrum

Subtract the above three from the brain for cerebral volume

Figure 2: Details of endocast dissections in dorsal (A,B), lateral (C, D), and ventral (E) positions, illustrated on the brain of the sugar glider (Petaurus breviceps) TMMM82261. F) is the transverse, coronal, and sagittal view of the skull (in green) with the endocast in it (in pink). The thin lines reflect the lines along which the endocast was dissected.

Phylogeny

Tree topology and divergence dates for living taxa follow Mitchell et al. (2014), except that the tree root was positioned between Didelphimorphia and the remaining extant orders following Gallus et al. (2015). *Borhyaena tuberata* is a member of Sparassodonta, which falls outside crown-clade Marsupialia(Forasiepi 2009); we have arbitrarily set the divergence of *Borhyaena* as 5 million years before the estimated time of origin of crown-clade Marsupialia. The macropodiforms *Balbaroo nalima* and *Ekaltadeta ima* are placed in a polytomy with Hypsiprymnodontidae, Potoroidae and Macropodidae (Black et al. 2014). The macropodid *Simosthenurus occidentalis* is assumed to have diverged at the same time as *Lagostrophus fasciatus* (Llamas et al. 2015). The fossil dasyuromorphian *Barinya wangala* is placed in a polytomy with Dasyuridae, Myrmecobiidae and Thylacinidae(Archer et al. Submitted), whereas the fossil thylacinid *Nimbacinus dicksoni* is assumed to have diverged from the recently extinct *Thylacinus* midway between the age of *Nimbacinus*(Woodhead et al. 2016) and the time of the Dasyuridae-Myrmecobiidae-Thylacinidae split. The extinct peramelemorphian *Galadi speciosus* is assumed to have diverged from the midpoint of the branch leading to crown-Peramelemorphia (Travouillon et al. 2015). Divergence dates for fossil vombatiforms are based on *Beck et al*. (Beck et al. 2014a). Three different positions for *Yalkaparidon coheni* were tested (following the phylogenetic analyses of Beck *et al.*(2014b)): stem-diprotodontian (diverging at the midpoint of the branch leading to crown-Diprotodontia), stem-australidelphian (diverging at the midpoint of the branch leading to crown-Australidelphia), and member of Agreodontia sensu Beck *et al*. (2014b) in a polytomy with Dasyuromorphia, Peramelemorphia and Notoryctemorphia. For all phylogenetic analyses, all three placements for *Yalkaparidon* were tested and results were averaged.

Archer, M., S. J. Hand, K. H. Black, R. M. Beck, M. Archer, L. A. B. Wilson, S. Kealy, and T.-t. Hung. Submitted. A new family of bizarre durophagous carnivorous marsupials from Miocene deposits in the Riversleigh World Heritage Area, northwestern Queensland. *Scientif. Rep.*

Beck, R. M., J. Louys, and P. Brewer. 2014a. The skull and skeleton of a large-bodied diprotodontian marsupial from the Late Oligocene of central Australia and the origin of wombats. Society of Vertebrate Palaeontology Meeting, Berlin.

Beck, R. M., K. J. Travouillon, K. P. Aplin, H. Godthelp, and M. Archer. 2014b. The Osteology and Systematics of the Enigmatic Australian Oligo-Miocene Metatherian Yalkaparidon (Yalkaparidontidae; Yalkaparidontia;? Australidelphia; Marsupialia). *J. Mamm. Evol.* **21**:127-172.

Black, K. H., K. J. Travouillon, W. Den Boer, B. P. Kear, B. N. Cooke, and M. Archer. 2014. A new species of the basal "kangaroo" Balbaroo and a re-evaluation of stem macropodiform interrelationships. *PLoS ONE* **9**:e112705.

Forasiepi, A. M. 2009. *Osteology of Arctodictis sinclairi (Mammalia, Metatheria, Sparassodonta) and phylogeny of Cenozoic metatherian carnivores from South America*. Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales.

Gallus, S., A. Janke, V. Kumar, and M. A. Nilsson. 2015. Disentangling the relationship of the Australian marsupial orders using retrotransposon and evolutionary network analyses. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **7**:985-992.

Llamas, B., P. Brotherton, K. J. Mitchell, J. E. Templeton, V. A. Thomson, J. L. Metcalf, K. N. Armstrong, M. Kasper, S. M. Richards, and A. B. Camens. 2015. Late Pleistocene Australian marsupial DNA clarifies the affinities of extinct megafaunal kangaroos and wallabies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **32**:574-584.

Macrini, T. E., T. Rowe, and J. L. VandeBerg. 2007. Cranial endocasts from a growth series of *Monodelphis domestica* (Didelphidae, Marsupialia): a study of individual and ontogenetic variation. *J. Morphol.* **268**:844-865.

Mitchell, K. J., R. C. Pratt, L. N. Watson, G. C. Gibb, B. Llamas, M. Kasper, J. Edson, B. Hopwood, D. Male, K. Armstrong, M. Meyer, M. Hofreiter, J. Austin, S. C. Donnellan, M. S. Y. Lee, M. J. Phillips, and A. Cooper. 2014. Molecular phylogeny, biogeography, and habitat preference evolution of marsupials. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **31**:2322-2330.

Travouillon, K. J., M. Archer, S. J. Hand, and J. Muirhead. 2015. Sexually dimorphic bandicoots (Marsupialia: Peramelemorphia) from the Oligo-Miocene of Australia, first cranial ontogeny for fossil bandicoots and new species descriptions. *J. Mamm. Evol.* **22**:141-167.

Woodhead, J., S. J. Hand, M. Archer, I. Graham, K. Sniderman, D. A. Arena, K. H. Black, H. Godthelp, P. Creaser, and E. Price. 2016. Developing a radiometrically-dated chronologic sequence for Neogene biotic change in Australia, from the Riversleigh World Heritage Area of Queensland. *Gondwana Res.* **29**:153-167.