Comprehensive analysis of Japanese archipelago population history by

detecting ancestry-marker polymorphisms without using ancient DNA data

Yusuke Watanabe[1,2], Jun Ohashi[1*]

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, Graduate School of Science, The University

of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

[2]Present Adress: Genome Medical Science Project Toyama Project, National

Center for Global Health and Medicine, Tokyo 162-8655, Japan.

*Correspondence: juno-tky@umin.ac.jp

Abstract

Modern Japanese have two major ancestral populations: the indigenous Jomon people and immigrants from continental East Asia. To figure out the population history in Japanese archipelago, we developed a reference-free detection method of genetic components from ancestral populations using a summary statistic, the ancestry-marker index (*AMI*). We applied the *AMI* to modern Japanese samples and identified 208,648 SNPs that were likely derived from the Jomon people (Jomon-derived SNPs). The analysis of Jomon-derived SNPs in 10,842 modern Japanese individuals recruited from all the 47 prefectures of Japan showed that the genetic differences among the prefectures were mainly caused by differences in the admixture proportion of the Jomon people and the population size of immigrants varied between regions in mainland Japan. We also estimated the migration route of the ancestral Jomon population to Japanese archipelago and their phenotype frequencies based on the haplotype structures of modern Japanese composed of Jomon-derived SNPs.

Introduction

Modern Japanese populations are divided into three main populations: the Ainu, who live mainly in Hokkaido; the Ryukyuan, who live mainly in Okinawa; and mainland Japanese, who live in Honshu, Shikoku, and Kyushu (Fig. 1). As a powerful hypothesis of the formation processes of Japanese populations, a dual structure model[1] was proposed based on morphology. This model assumes that Japanese originated through a mixture of the Jomon people, who settled in the Japanese archipelago during the Jomon period (from 16,500 YBP to 2,800 YBP)[2-4], and the immigrants came to the Japanese archipelago from continental East Asia around the beginning of the Yayoi period (around 2,800 YBP)[4]. According to this model, compared to mainland Japanese, the Ainu and the Ryukyuan were genetically less influenced by immigrants. Findings from genetical studies not only support the dual structure model, but also reveal the detailed population history of Japanese archipelago[5-11]. Whole-genome analyses extracted from the remains of the Jomon people have suggested that the Jomon were highly differentiated from other East Asians, forming a basal lineage to the East and Northeast Asians[8,10,11]. The genetic relationship between a Jomon individual and other East Asians suggested that the ancestral population of the Jomon people is one of the earliest-wave migrants who might have taken a coastal route on the way from Southeast Asia toward East Asia[11]. It was also revealed that the Jomon people were genetically closely related to the Ainu/Ryukyuan, and that 10–20% of genomic components found in mainland Japanese are derived from the Jomon people[8,10]. Altogether, though some

previous studies on ancient Jomon genomes have referred to the population

history of Japanese archipelago, these studies were based on a single Jomon

individual. To understand the population-level characteristics, genetic

information for multiple individuals is essentially required. The fact that the

genomes of modern Japanese have inherited Jomon-derived genomic

components means that the genomes of the modern Japanese contains

equivalent genetic information of multiple individuals of the Jomon people. With

such information, we can clarify, for example, how they admixed in the Japanese

Archipelago. From regional differences in the Jomon-derived genomic

components of modern Japanese, we can infer the admixture process between

the Jomon people and continental East Asians in Japanese archipelago. It will

also provide more reliable results on the migration route of the ancestral Jomon

population to the Japanese archipelago, which has been discussed based on

the genome of a single Jomon individual[10,11]. Moreover, while the previous study

have referred to the phenotype of a single Jomon individual[10], genomic

information of modern Japanese will reveal the phenotypic characteristics of the

Jomon people as a population (i.e. phenotype frequency). In order to achieve

the above objectives, the present study attempted to detect Jomon-derived

genomic components of modern Japanese.

In populations derived from a mixture of two source populations,

recombination between haplotypes from different source populations inevitably

occurs after the admixture event. As a result, haplotypes from two ancestral

populations are patchily present in the chromosomes of admixed population,

and the alleles in the haplotypes from each ancestral population are in linkage

disequilibrium (LD) with each other (Supplementary Fig. 1). Most existing

methods[12–16] for estimating the local ancestry of genomes using the LD state

require genome information of ancestral populations or of modern populations

as proxies of ancestral populations. In the Japanese population, only a few

Jomon individuals[8–11] have been sequenced so far. Furthermore, the sequence

depths of these samples are low, except for the Funadomari Jomon[10] people

excavated from Rebun Island in Hokkaido, making it difficult to obtain sufficient

genome information to accurately estimate the local ancestry of modern

Japanese (i.e., the genomes of many Jomon individuals are required to perform

highly accurate studies). Therefore, at present, it is not possible to estimate the

local ancestry of modern mainland Japanese using previous methods. In this

study, we developed a method using a summary statistic, the Ancestry-Marker

Index (*AMI*), to detect ancestry-marker SNPs derived from the Jomon people in

modern mainland Japanese that does not require genomes obtained from

Jomon skeletal specimens. The *AMI* was developed with inspiration from S*,

detecting archaic-hominin-derived haplotypes using the specific SNPs in the

out-of-Africa population which assumed to be originate from admixture of archaic

hominin and early Eurasians[17–20]. Since the Jomon people have been found to

be highly differentiated from other East Asians[8,10], they are expected to have

had specific variants that were not found in other East Asians. Thus, the modern

mainland Japanese also likely have specific variants derived from the Jomon

people. The *AMI* detects the Jomon-derived variants based on the LD between

Japanese specific variants. Based on the *AMI*, we could successfully extract the

Jomon-derived variants from real genomic data of the Japanese. Using

Jomon-derived variants, we conducted comprehensive analysis to elucidate the

population history of the Japanese archipelago population; for example, regional

differences, the migration route to Japanese archipelago and phenotypes of the

Jomon people. Based on these results, we propose a model of admixture

between the Jomon people and immigrants from continental East Asia in the

Japanese Archipelago.

Results

Performance of *AMI*

To confirm the usefulness of the *AMI*, we performed a coalescent simulation assuming a mixture of the Jomon people and continental East Asians (Supplementary Fig. 2). The preliminary 10 Mb simulation suggested that modern Japanese still have haplotypes of several megabases that are derived from the Jomon people (see Supplementary Fig. 3 and Supplementary Note 1).

There are three types of Japanese specific variants: (type 1) Jomon-derived variants, which appeared in the Jomon lineage before the admixture event; (type 2) variants derived from continental East Asians, which appeared in the continental East Asian populations and were moved into the Japanese lineages through the admixture, but were eventually lost in the East Asian population; and (type 3) novel variants that appeared only in Japanese lineages after the admixture (Supplementary Fig. 4). Our aim is to distinguish Jomon-derived variants (type 1) from (type 2) and (type 3). Of these Japanese specific variants, the Jomon-derived variants (type 1) are considered to be accumulated on the same haplotype or to be in strong LD with each other (Supplementary Fig. 4 (b)). In the subsequent 1 Mb simulation, Japanese specific variants (types 1, 2, and 3) were extracted from each genealogy. The distributions of *AMI* for Jomon-derived variants (type 1) and other variant types (types 2 and 3) are shown in Fig. 2 (a). *AMI* is determined by first calculating the linkage

disequilibrium coefficient r^2 between Japanese specific variant pairs, and then

counting the number of variants with $r^2 > 0.01$ for a focal Japanese specific

variant. It was found that Jomon-derived variants (type 1) had larger *AMI* values

than the other Japanese specific variants (types 2 and 3). Fig. 2 (a) indicates

that although variant pairs with linkage disequilibrium coefficient $r^2 > 0.01$ can

occur by chance, the number of variant pairs with $r^2 > 0.01$ is much larger in

Jomon-derived variants (type 1) than in other Japanese specific variants (type 2

and 3). The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis showed that

Jomon-derived variants (type 1) could be distinguished from the other Japanese

specific variants (types 2 and 3) by the *AMI* (area under the curve [AUC] = 0.91;

Fig. 2 (b). AUC takes a value from 0 to 1, and the closer the value is to 1, the

better to distinguish positive from negative.). The Youden index, a measure of

the cutoff value, was 28.0374. We performed further simulations, varying the

split time between the Jomon people and continental East Asians or the effective

population size in simulation, to confirm robustness of *AMI* to different population

history. Though the value of the Youden index varied depending on the

population history assumed, the Jomon-derived variants could be accurately

detected (Supplementary Fig. 5). According to Fig. 2, we set the threshold for

detecting Jomon-derived variants at *AMI* > 28.0374.

We also attempted to detect the Jomon-derived genomic components by

S*[17,18], a reference-free method previously proposed, and found that S* was

unable to detect the Jomon-derived genomic components, perhaps due to an

insufficient number of Jomon-derived specific variants of mainland Japanese

(Supplementary Fig. 6 and Supplementary Note 2).

Detection of Jomon variants in real data

Using the data set of 87 KPGP Koreans[21] and 26 global populations of

1KG[22], approximately 1.7 million SNPs were found to be specific to mainland

Japanese (1KG JPT). Of these 1.7 million SNPs, 208,648 SNPs exceeding the

threshold of *AMI* were regarded as Jomon-derived SNPs. Jomon-derived SNPs

were distributed throughout the autosomal genome (Supplementary Fig. 7).

Hereafter, at the Jomon-derived SNPs, an allele only found in the mainland

Japanese population is called a "Jomon allele."

To examine the detection accuracy of Jomon-derived SNPs, we calculated

the *JAS* for the Ikawazu[9,11]/Funadomari[10] Jomon individuals and mainland

Japanese. If Jomon-derived SNPs were properly detected by the *AMI*, the *JAS*

of the Ikawazu or Funadomari Jomon were expected to be higher than those of

mainland Japanese. Of the JPT mainland Japanese, NA18976 was genetically

close to continental East Asians in PCA (Supplementary Fig. 8) and was

expected to have a lower *JAS*. The distribution of the *JAS* is shown in

Supplementary Fig. 9. The mean *JAS* of 103 mainland Japanese individuals,

excluding NA18976, was 0.0164. As expected, NA18976 had the lowest *JAS*,

0.00269, which was much lower than that of the other mainland Japanese. The

JAS in the Ikawazu/Funadomari Jomon were 0.0523 and 0.0555, respectively,

indicating that the Jomon alleles were found more frequently in Jomon people

than in the modern mainland Japanese. These results suggest that the *AMI*

could detect SNPs derived from the Jomon people. It should also be noted that

the *JAS* values were only a few % for both Jomon individuals, which suggests

that the number of Jomon-specific variants obtained from *AMI* analyses of

modern Japanese were several tens of times greater than that obtained from the

whole genome sequence of a single Jomon individual.

Detection of regional genetic differences in mainland Japanese by

Jomon-derived SNPs

JAS **by region and prefecture**

Previous prefecture-scale population studies showed that the Tohoku,

Kanto, and Kyushu populations (Fig. 1) are genetically more closely related to

the Ryukyuans, while the Kinki and Shikoku populations are more closely related

to continental East Asians[23,24]. Based on these facts, we hypothesized that the

genetic regional differences among the modern mainland Japanese are caused

by regional geographical differences in the admixture proportion of the Jomon

and immigrants from continental East Asia. To verify this, we calculated the

average *JAS* for each geographic region and prefecture from imputed genotypes

of 3,917 Jomon-derived SNPs of 10,842 Japanese individuals previously used

for regional population genetic analysis[24]. We removed the Hokkaido samples,

which were largely affected by the immigration of Japanese after the Meiji period,

for subsequent analysis and a total of 10,412 samples were used. The samples

of each prefecture except for Hokkaido were divided into ten regions: Tohoku,

Kanto, Hokuriku, Chubu, Tokai, Kinki, Chugoku, Shikoku, Kyushu, and Okinawa

in accordance with a previous study[25] (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 1). The

*JAS*s in these ten geographical regions are presented in Fig. 3 (a) and

Supplementary Table 2. We found that the *JAS* was the highest in Okinawa

(0.0255), followed by Tohoku (0.0189) and Kanto (0.018), and the lowest in Kinki

(0.0163), followed by Shikoku (0.016). In prefecture scale, the average *JAS* in

mainland Japan tended to be higher in prefectures located in the northernmost

and southernmost parts of mainland Japan (Fig. 3 (b) and Supplementary Table

3). The *JAS* was especially high in Aomori (0.0192), Iwate (0.0195), Fukushima

(0.0187), and Akita (0.0186) prefectures of the Tohoku region, as well as

Kagoshima Prefecture (0.0186) in Kyushu. Japanese individuals in these

prefectures are considered to possess more Jomon-derived genomic

components than those in other prefectures. Prefectures with lower *JAS*s were

in the Kinki and Shikoku regions, including Wakayama (0.0157), Nara (0.0156),

Kochi (0.016), Tokushima (0.0161), and Mie (0.0161). These populations are

considered to have more genomic components derived from continental East

Asians. The *JAS* of each prefecture and the principal component 1 (PC1) value,

which was obtained from the principal component analysis (PCA) of a previous

study by the allele frequency of autosomal 183,708 SNPs in each prefecture[24]

are plotted in Fig. 3 (c). The *JAS* was strongly correlated with PC1 (R = 0.91,

two-sided *t*-test $P = 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$). The geographic distribution was not changed by

tighter cutoff values (AMI > 100) for the detection of Jomon-derived SNPs by

AMI (Supplementary Fig. 10 and Supplementary Note 3).

To confirm the results from *JAS*, *f3* statistic[26] was calculated for a single

Jomon individual[10,11] (Supplementary Fig. 11 and Supplementary Table 4). The

distribution of *f3*(each prefecture; Jomon individual, CHB) (Supplementary Fig.

11) was similar to the distribution of the *JAS*s shown in Fig. 3(b). The *f3* was

generally small in prefectures with high *JAS*s, such as Kagoshima Prefecture in

Kyushu and Aomori and Iwate Prefectures in Tohoku, and was large in the

prefectures of regions with low *JAS*s, such as Kinki and Shikoku. Based on

these two findings: a correlation between the *JAS* and the PC1 of Fig. 3 (c) and

the concordance between the geographical distribution of *f3* and *JAS*, it is

strongly suggested that the genetic regional differences of modern Japanese

can be explained mainly by regional geographical differences in the admixture

proportions of the Jomon people. It should be emphasized that the admixture,

although to varying degrees, widely occurred throughout the Japanese

archipelago. Notably, prefectures in the Tohoku region showed higher *JAS*s

than those in the Kyushu region. However, *f3* values were lower in Kyushu than

in Tohoku. Since the Jomon-derived SNPs were detected in 1KG JPT

(Japanese living in Tokyo), the specific variants possessed by the Jomon people

of the Kyushu region may not have been detected, and thus the *JAS* in Kyushu

may have been underestimated. In other words, these results could reflect

differences in the genetic background of Jomon people in Tohoku and Kyushu.

Overall, the geographical gradient of *f3* in mainland Japan was more consistent

with the *JAS* than the distances from the locations (Funadomari and Ikawazu)

where Jomon samples were taken.

We assumed that the regional differences in the *JAS* were related to

regional differences in population size during the Jomon period. Therefore, we

examined the correlation between *JAS* and three indexes related to the Jomon

population size. The *JAS* of each prefecture was significantly correlated with the

number of archeological sites from the Jomon period ($R = 0.69$, two-sided *t*-test

$P = 1.27 \times 10^{-7}$; Fig. 4 (a)). The *JAS* of each region also correlated with the

population size estimated from the number of archeological sites in the Late

Jomon period ($R = 0.7$, two-sided *t*-test $P = 3.6 \times 10^{-2}$; Fig. 4 (b)). Moreover, the

JAS of each prefecture was strongly correlated with $\log_{10}$(number of

archeological sites in the Yayoi period/number of archeological sites in the Late

Jomon period) ($R = -0.64$, two-sided *t*-test $P = 2.08 \times 10^{-6}$; Fig. 4 (c)). It is

considered that Figs. 4 (a) and (b) correspond to Jomon population size in the

Jomon period and Fig. 4 (c) corresponds to the population growth rate occurring

from the Late Jomon period to the Yayoi period. The correlation between *JAS*

and population size in each region suggests that the smaller the population size

in the Jomon period, the lower *JAS* in modern mainland Japan (i.e., the higher

contribution of genomic components of immigrants from continental East Asia).

To summarize the above results, we can conclude that genetic differences

among the regions of the modern Japanese population were mainly caused by

differences in the admixture proportion of the Jomon people and that differences

in the admixture proportion were caused by differences in the population sizes in

each region during the Final Jomon period. Regarding these, previous

morphological analyses showed that, of several populations in Japan, the

Hokkaido Ainu and contemporary Kinki populations had contrasting cranial

morphologies, while other modern regional populations were intermediate, with

the Tohoku population being relatively similar in morphology to the Hokkaido

Ainu[1,27]. Archeological evidence suggests that immigrants from continental East

Asia first reached northern Kyushu[2], which seems contradictory considering that

the *JAS* was lower in the Kinki and Shikoku regions than in northern Kyushu.

The reason for this could be that the Kinki and Shikoku regions had a smaller

population size during the Final Jomon period (Fig. 4), and thus, the proportion

of genomic components derived from the immigrants became larger than in the

other regions. In this study, we could clearly evaluate the similarity of local

populations to the Jomon people using the Jomon-derived SNPs, and could

clarify the main cause of genetic differences among the regional populations in

mainland Japan.

PCA of prefectures according to Jomon allele frequency

A PCA was conducted for 46 Japanese prefectures using Jomon allele

frequencies (Supplementary Fig. 12 (a)). This PCA demonstrated that Okinawa

Prefecture was separated from the other prefectures by PC1. Next, 45

prefectures in mainland Japan (Okinawa was excluded from further analyses

based on Supplementary Fig. 12 (a)) were analyzed in PCA (Supplementary Fig.

12 (b)). The PC1 showed that prefectures in the Tohoku and Kanto regions,

where higher *JAS*s were observed among Japanese prefectures, were greatly

differentiated from prefectures in Kinki and Shikoku, where lower *JAS*s were

observed (Fig. 3). The *JAS* was strongly correlated with the PC1 of

Supplementary Fig. 12 (b) (R = -0.94, P < 2.2×10^{-16}; Supplementary Fig. 13),

which shows that PC1 reflects the ancestry proportion of Jomon people in each

prefecture. In contrast, the PC2 of Supplementary Fig. 12 (b) was strongly

correlated with both the latitude and longitude of each prefecture (latitude: R =

0.78, two-sided *t*-test P = 2.30×10^{-10}, longitude: R = 0.66, two-sided *t*-test P =

9.31×10^{-7}; Supplementary Fig. 14 (a) and (b)). These results indicate that the

PC2 is determined by the geographical location of each prefecture, which might

reflect that the genetic background of the Jomon people may differ according to

the geographical locations in the Japanese archipelago. Previous studies have

shown regional differences in the skeletal morphology of the Jomon people in

the Japanese archipelago[28–32]. For example, Kondo et al. suggested that Jomon

craniofacial   morphology,   especially   in   the   neurocranium,   exhibit   a

northeast-to-southwest geographical cline across the Japanese archipelago[32].

To the best of our knowledge, including studies with ancient Jomon genomes,

this is the first genome-wide study to refer to the genetic regional differences

among the Jomon people in the Japanese archipelago.

Genetic relationships between the Jomon people and other East Asians

To clarify the genetic relationship between the Jomon people and other East

Asian populations, we estimated allele frequencies of genome-wide SNPs in the

Jomon people based on the haplotype structures composed of Jomon-derived

SNPs in the modern Japanese (Supplementary Fig. 15, Methods in detail). The

f3(Onge; Estimated Jomon frequencies, X) was calculated using Onge as the

outgroup of East Asians in line with a previous study[11]. The *f3* values for various

East Asians used as X are shown in Fig. 5. The maximum value of *f3* was

obtained when the Ikawazu Jomon was used as X (f3=0.0656), suggesting that

our estimation reflects the allele frequencies of the Jomon people. In a previous

study, a particularly strong genetic affinity was found between the Ikawazu

Jomon individual and Taiwan aborigines, suggesting that the ancestral

population of the Jomon people migrated through the coastal areas of East Asia

(=coastal route). However, in this study, the *f3* values for Taiwan aborigines (Ami

and Atayal) were lower than Tujia and Miao. In other words, our study failed to

replicate a particularly strong genetic affinity between the Taiwan aborigines and

the Jomon people. For comparison, we calculated *f3*(Onge; Ikawazu Jomon or

Funadomari Jomon, X) using the Ikawazu or Funadomari Jomon individual

whose genome had been sequenced in previous studies (Supplementary Fig

16). In the case of Ikawazu Jomon, a strong genetic affinity was observed with

Ami and Atayal, while in the Funadomari Jomon, the affinity was similar to or

lower than that of Dai, Tujia and Miao. These results do not strongly support the

coastal route obtained in the previous study of the single ikawazu Jomon

genome, which seems not precisely reflect the population history of the Jomon

people due to a sampling bias. Although higher *f3* values were observed for Ami

and Atayal among East Asians in the previous study of the Funadomari Jomon

individual[10], the previous *f3* analysis differs from the present study in that Mbuti

(an African population) was used as the outgroup. The results of *f3* analysis

would vary depending on the outgroup setting. However, it is common between

our estimated allele frequency of the Jomon people, Ikawazu and Funadomari

that *f3* was lower when Southeast Asian populations (Burmese, Thai, and

Cambodian) and Tibetan populations (Tibetan, Sherpa, and Chokhopani (an iron

age individual (3.0–2.4 kya)) were used as X (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Fig. 16).

The previous study showed that the ancestral population of the Jomon people

migrated to East Eurasia through the southern side of the Himalayas (=southern

route)[11]. A previous study of modern and ancient East Asians including the

seven Jomon individuals suggest that the Jomon people have two ancestry

components from ancient East Asians, i.e. the Interior South ancestry and the

Coastal ancestry[33]. Considering the results of this study and previous studies, at

least the ancestral population of Jomon people seem to have migrated to the

Japanese archipelago from the south of East Eurasia (southern parts of the

Himalayas) via the southern of China, although it cannot be concluded whether

they passed through coastal or inland areas.

Haplotype structures composed of Jomon-derived SNPs in genes

associated with characteristic phenotypes of East Asians

To estimate the phenotype frequencies in the Jomon people, we

investigated the haplotype structures of four genes (*ABCC11*, *EDAR*, *ALDH2*,

and *ADH1B*), each having a nonsynonymous SNP associated with characteristic

phenotypes of East Asians[34–39]. The derived alleles of these four

nonsynonymous SNPs are associated with the following phenotypes: *ABCC11*

rs17822931: dry ear wax[34], *EDAR* rs3827760: thicker hair[35] and shovel-shaped

incisors[36], and *ALDH2* rs671 and *ADH1B* rs1229984: lower alcohol tolerance[37–

39]. Haplotype structures composed of Jomon-derived SNPs are shown in Fig. 6.

The haplotypes in each region could be classified into four types according to

the presence or absence of the derived allele associated with the phenotype,

and the composition of Jomon-derived alleles. The frequency of each haplotype

in the Japanese population is presented in Table 1. Here, the haplotypes

containing the Jomon-derived SNPs are called "Jomon-derived haplotypes." For

ABCC11 and *EDAR*, Jomon-derived haplotypes were observed for both

ancestral and derived alleles of the phenotype-associated SNPs. In *ABCC11*

(Fig. 6 (a)), the frequencies of the Jomon-derived haplotypes in mainland

Japanese were 10.6% for the ancestral allele (wet ear wax) and 24% for the

derived allele (dry ear wax). In *EDAR* (Fig. 6(b)), the Jomon-derived haplotype

frequencies were 14.9% for the ancestral allele (thinner hair and

non-shovel-shaped incisors) and 17.3% for the derived allele (thicker hair and

shovel-shaped incisors) in mainland Japanese. The haplotypes containing

ancestral and derived alleles of the phenotype-associated SNPs had different

Jomon alleles. Thus, it is unlikely that these haplotypes were generated by

recombination in the Japanese population after the admixture between the

Jomon and immigrants from continental East Asia. The present results suggest

that modern Japanese have derived alleles from both the Jomon people and

immigrants from continental East Asia in *EDAR* and *ABCC11*. Previous studies

examining ancient DNA of the Hokkaido Jomon population obtained from

archeological sites showed that the derived allele (dry ear wax) of *ABCC11* was

present in the Hokkaido Jomon population at a frequency of 47.6%[40,41]. As for

EDAR, although the frequency of the derived allele (shovel-shaped incisors and

thicker hair) in the Jomon people has not been estimated, it has been shown that

shovel-shaped incisors were found at a frequency of 68.9% in the Jomon

people[42]. The results of these previous studies are consistent with our results. In

ALDH2 rs671 (Fig. 6 (c)), the Jomon-derived haplotypes containing the derived

allele of phenotype-associated SNPs were found to be rare (2.4%) in modern

Japanese, and the number of Jomon alleles per Jomon-derived haplotype

containing the derived allele was very small. This suggests that the Jomon

people had few derived alleles (lower alcohol tolerance) of rs671, and most of

the derived alleles found in modern Japanese originated from continental East

Asians. In *ADH1B* rs1229984 (Fig. 6 (d)), the total frequency of the

Jomon-derived haplotypes was relatively lower than that of the other three

genes. The frequencies of the Jomon-derived haplotype were 3.8% for the

ancestral allele (higher alcohol tolerance) and 4.8% for the derived allele (lower

alcohol tolerance). In addition, when we calculated the number of

Jomon-derived SNPs per 1 Mb at the genome-wide scale (Supplementary Fig.

17), we found that the number of Jomon-derived SNPs was especially small in

the region around *ADH1B* (red dashed line). Therefore, regarding *ADH1B*, it is

possible that the Jomon people possessed the derived allele of rs1229984 at a

higher frequency compared to that of *ALDH2,* but both the Jomon-derived

haplotypes with ancestral and derived alleles may have been lost after the

admixture in Japanese. Koganebuchi et al., [43] previously estimated that most of

the derived alleles in *ALDH2* originated from immigrants from continental East

Asia, which agrees with our results, while they concluded that the genetic

contribution of immigrants was small for *ADH1B*, which contradicts the results of

this study. Their study[43] assumed that, among mainland Japanese, the

population in northern Kyushu had a relatively large genetic contribution from

immigrants, but this assumption is inconsistent with the *JAS* estimated in the

present study (Fig. 3 (b)). Thus, it is more plausible that *ADH1B* haplotypes of

mainland Japanese were introduced mainly by immigrants from continental East

Asia, regardless of the allelic status (ancestral or derived) of rs1229984.

Discussion

In this study, we developed the *AMI* as a summary statistic to detect the

Jomon-derived variants in modern Japanese without requiring any genomic

sequences from the former. The computer simulation showed that *AMI* can

detect ancestral variants with high accuracy, even in an admixed population

whose source populations diverged tens of thousands of years ago. Since we

were able to detect Jomon-derived SNPs by the *AMI* even changing the

population history in the simulations, the present approach using the *AMI* is likely

to be applied to other admixed populations which source population whose

source populations diverged relatively recently. Potential applications include

the population of Madagascar[44,45] and the current Polynesian population[46,47],

which were formed around hundreds to thousands years ago by population

admixture. As exemplified by these cases, the genetic diversity of modern

humans has been greatly influenced by population admixture events[48,49]. The

AMI will be a powerful tool for clarifying the population history of not only the

Japanese but also other admixed populations. It should be noted that the

threshold of the *AMI* was determined by the Youden index calculated based on

coalescent simulations in this study, but one may set the threshold according to

one's own research purpose; if one wants to reduce false positives (i.e., variants

derived from ancestral admixture), one can set the threshold strictly; if one wants

to reduce false negatives, one can just set the threshold loosely. Practically, the

AMI threshold does not necessarily have to be set based on simulations that

assume a population history. In this study, our main aim is to extract

Jomon-derived variants from the whole-genome to determine the prevalence of

each prefecture, so the threshold was set to pick up as many Jomon-derived

SNPs as possible in order to grasp the trend of the entire genome in each

Japanese prefectural population.

As for the process of population formation in the Japanese archipelago from

the Late Jomon period to the present, we propose a model, which is shown in

Fig. 7. From the Late to Final Jomon period, the Jomon people settled down in

mainland Japan, and the population size or the population density of the Jomon

people varied among regions. According to Koyama 1979[25], based on the

number of archeological sites, it was estimated that the population sizes in the

Tohoku and Kanto regions were relatively large at 43,800 and 52,100,

respectively, while those in the Kinki and Shikoku regions were relatively small at

4,400 and 2,700, respectively. Thus, in the Kinki and Shikoku regions, modern

Japanese have lower degrees of genomic components derived from the Jomon

people. In the Final Jomon period, continental East Asians arrived in northern

Kyushu and started to admix with the Jomon people in all regions of mainland

Japan. During the Yayoi period, the population size of immigrants was relatively

increased in the Kinki and Shikoku regions, where the populations were small at

the end of the Jomon period. Further analyses of ancient human DNA from the

Final Jomon period to the Yayoi period will allow the verification of the Japanese

population history model proposed in this study.

We proposed a method to estimate allele frequencies in ancestral populations

by classifying haplotypes of the current population according to their origin using

SNPs derived from the ancestral population as markers. Even for admixed

populations for which ancient DNA analysis cannot be performed, the same

approach as in this study will help to infer the population history reflecting the

genetic information of a large part of individuals (namely, allele frequency) of the

ancestral population and to clarify their phenotype frequencies from the

estimated genotype frequencies. For example, by calculating the allele

frequencies of ancestral population of modern Ryukyuans, which seemed to

have the same roots as the Jomon people in mainland Japanese, and/or of the

Jomon people in each region in the mainland Japanese as this study, we can

clarify genetic regional differences among the ancient Jomon populations.

Ryukyu islands have an extremely hot and humid environment, and the amount

of DNA from human remains is small, making ancient DNA analysis difficult[50].

This method allows us to obtain genetic information equivalent to multiple

ancient individuals in such hot and humid regions. The use of modern human

populations from various regions of the Japanese archipelago will further clarify

the migration history of the Jomon people in future studies.

Methods

Coalescent simulation by Msprime

To investigate the characteristics of the Jomon-derived autosomal genomic components of mainland Japanese, we conducted a coalescent simulation assuming the admixture of the Jomon and continental East Asians using msprime[51] (Supplementary Fig. 2). A remarkable feature of the msprime program is that it specifies the time and population where the mutation and coalescence events occurred. Our simulation code was made with reference to a previous study[52]. The split between the Jomon ancestors and continental East Asians was set to 1,200 generations ago (30,000 YBP), according to the divergence time estimated in Kanzawa-Kiriyama et al.,[10] (between 18,000 YBP and 38,000 YBP) and the beginning of the Jomon period (around 16,000 YBP)[2]. Migration from continental East Asia to mainland Japan was set between 120 and 80 generations ago, with reference to the beginning of the Yayoi period, around 2,800 years ago[4]. The total admixture proportion of the Jomon people in the modern mainland Japanese was set to 12%[8]. The effective population size was set to 5,000 for both populations. The mutation rate and recombination rate were set to 1.2×10^{-8} per bp per generation and 1.3×10^{-8} per bp per generation, respectively[53–56].

This study aimed to detect Jomon-derived variants based on LD among Japanese specific variants. There are three types of Japanese specific variants:

(type 1) Jomon-derived variants; (type 2) variants derived from continental East

Asians; and (type 3) novel variants (Supplementary Fig. 4 (a) and (b)). It should

be noted that Japanese specific variants generated earlier than the split time of

the Jomon people and the continental East Asians were classified as

Jomon-derived variants (type 1). We compared the LD status of three types of

Japanese specific variants in the coalescent simulations. The origin of each

haplotype of mainland Japanese can be estimated from coalescent time to the

haplotypes of the Jomon or continental East Asians. That is, if a haplotype of a

mainland Japanese sample coalesced with haplotypes of Jomon samples earlier

than the admixture of the Jomon people and continental East Asians, the

haplotype is inferred to be derived from Jomon. To extract the three types of

Japanese specific variants (i.e., variants not found in samples from continental

East Asians), 3,000 replicates of 1 Mb simulations were performed. We sampled

200 haplotypes from each of the four populations (modern mainland Japanese,

modern continental East Asians, Jomon people 120 generations ago, and

continental East Asians 120 generations ago) to detect variants observed in

modern mainland Japanese but not seen in continental East Asians. Each

Japanese specific variant was classified into (type 1) the Jomon-derived variant,

(type 2) the continental East Asian-derived variant, and (type 3) the novel variant

based on when and in which lineage the mutation occurred (Supplementary Fig.

4 (a)). To calculate the ancestry marker index (*AMI*), we first calculate the

linkage disequilibrium coefficient r^2 between Japanese specific variant pairs

within each 1Mb bin. For each type of the Japanese specific variant, the *AMI*

was calculated as:

AMI

$$= \frac{\{Number\ of\ variants\ with\ linkage\ disequilibrium\ coefficients\ (r^2) > 0.01\}}{(Number\ of\ Japanese\ specific\ variants\ per\ KB)}$$

Jomon-derived variants are expected to have higher *AMI* values. The

performance of the *AMI* was verified by receiver operating characteristic (ROC)

analysis using the ROCR package in R. The threshold to detect Jomon-derived

variants was determined based on the Youden Index.

Detection and verification of Jomon-derived SNPs on autosomes using

real data

Detection of Jomon-derived variants in real data

Jomon-derived SNPs were inferred from the whole genome sequence data

from 26 populations from different parts of the world, including mainland

Japanese (JPT) and four continental East Asian populations (CHB, CHS, CDX,

and KHV), obtained from the 1000 Genomes Project Phase III (1KG)[22], and 87

individuals from the Korean Personal Genome Project[21]. In this study, only

biallelic SNPs were used. Prior to extracting the Jomon-derived SNPs, we

performed a principal component analysis (PCA) in PLINK (version 1.9)[57] using

1KG mainland Japanese (JPT) and Han Chinese (CHB) data. During this

analysis, we found that one JPT individual (NA18976) was close to the

continental East Asians (Supplementary Fig. 8), so NA18976 was excluded from

subsequent analyses. First, 1,784,634 SNPs specific to 1KG JPT were detected

using VCFtools v0.1.13[58]. Next, LD coefficients (r^2) were calculated between the

Japanese specific SNPs located within 1 Mb from each other with the --hap-r2

option of VCFtools in combination with the --ld-window-bp option. The number of

SNPs with $r^2 > 0.01$ was counted for each Japanese specific SNP. The density

of Japanese specific variants per 1 kb of each chromosome was calculated

using the --SNPdensity option of VCFtools, and the *AMI* was calculated for each

Japanese specific SNP. To eliminate the possibility of sequence errors, regions

with a density of Japanese specific variants per kb below a mean of - 1sd of

each chromosome were excluded from the analysis. In this analysis, we

assumed that the number of Japanese specific variants per kb, which is the

denominator of the *AMI*, is constant for each chromosome (i.e., the numerator of

the *AMI* was normalized for each chromosome). Based on the threshold set by

the ROC analysis of simulated Japanese specific variants, we inferred variants

originating from the Jomon people.

Verification of Jomon-derived SNPs based on whole-genome sequence

data from Jomon remains

For the verification of Jomon-derived SNPs based on the whole genome

sequence data, the "Jomon allele score" (*JAS*) was calculated for the

Ikawazu[9,11] and Funadomari[10] Jomon, as well as for 104 individuals from the

1KG JPT. The *JAS* was calculated using the following formula:

$$JAS = \frac{(Jomon\ derived\ allele\ count)}{2*(Number\ of\ total\ Jomon-derived\ SNPs)}.$$

The BAM file of the Ikawazu Jomon was provided by Hiroki Ota of Tokyo

University, Tokyo, Japan, and Takashi Gakuhari of Kanazawa University,

Ishikawa, Japan. The BAM file of the Funadomari Jomon was provided by

Naruya Saito from the National Institute of Genetics, Shizuoka, Japan, and

Hideaki Kanzawa-Kiriyama from the National Museum of Nature and Science,

Tokyo, Japan. The genotypes of Ikawazu Jomon and Funadomari Jomon

samples were called by the UnifiedGenotyper tool in the GenomeAnalysisToolkit

version 3.6[59]. For the Ikawazu Jomon, the --mbq 30 --ploidy 2 --output_mode

EMIT_ALL_CONFIDENT_SITES options were specified. For the Funadomari

Jomon, the options described in the original paper were specified. Jomon SNPs

were subjected to LD pruning by the --indep-pairwise command of PLINK

(--indep-pairwise 1000 200 0.8). In addition, only the Jomon-derived SNPs of

depth ≥ 6 in the Ikawazu and Funadomari Jomon were used for the calculation of

the *JAS*. As a result, 4,458 SNPs were used to calculate *JAS*.

Detection of regional genetic differences in mainland Japanese by

Jomon-derived SNPs

Sample data

We used 183,708 SNPs from 10,842 individuals from the Japanese

archipelago published by Watanabe et al.[24]. All the individuals investigated in

this study were customers of the Japanese Direct to Consumer (DTC)

genetic-testing service, HealthData Lab (Yahoo! Japan Corporation, Tokyo,

Japan). They were provided an agreement, and informed consent was obtained

for their data to be used for research. In this study, the Japanese archipelago

was divided into eleven regions (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 1): Hokkaido

(430 individuals), Tohoku (746 individuals), Kanto (3,990 individuals), Hokuriku

(431 individuals), Chubu (410 individuals), Tokai (933 individuals), Kinki (1,861

individuals), Chugoku (600 individuals), Shikoku (314 individuals), Kyushu

(1,016 individuals), and Okinawa (111 individuals). All statistical analyses were

conducted at the Yahoo! Japan Corporation, with personal information of the

customers completely hidden. We obtained approval from the Ethics Committee

of the Yahoo! Japan Corporation.

Imputation of genotypes of Jomon-derived SNPs

Haplotype phasing and genotype imputation were performed using

EAGLE2[60] and Minimac3[61], respectively, with whole genome sequence data of

413 mainland Japanese[62] phased by SHAPEIT2[63]. After the imputation,

Jomon-derived SNPs with high imputation quality ($R^2 > 0.8$) were extracted. Also,

LD pruning was performed with PLINK (--indep-pairwise 1000 200 0.1), and a

total of 3,917 Jomon-derived SNPs were used for the analysis.

Geographical distribution of the Jomon allele score

In subsequent analyses, individuals from Hokkaido that were largely

affected by immigration after the Meiji period were excluded. Using 3,917

Jomon-derived SNPs, we calculated the *JAS* for individuals of each prefecture

and compared them between regions and prefectures.

***f3*-testing of prefectural populations in Japan**

The *f3*-test[26] was carried out in order to examine the relatedness between

contemporary populations of each prefecture in Japan and the Funadomari

Jomon or Ikawazu Jomon. Each Jomon sample and the 1KG CHB were set as

638 the source populations of admixture, and each prefecture of Japan was set as

639 the target population, (described as $f3$(each prefecture; Jomon, CHB)). LD

640 pruning was carried out on whole genome SNPs common in the Japanese, 1KG

641 CHB, Funadomari Jomon, and Ikawazu Jomon by PLINK (--indep-pairwise 1000

642 200 0.1), with 17,492 SNPs being used for subsequent analyses. For the

643 Funadomari and Ikawazu Jomon people, VCF files were converted to PED files

644 using VCFtools and combined with the PED file of the Japanese. The PED files

645 were converted to eigenstrat format with the Admixtools[26] convertf command,

646 and then the $f3$-test was conducted with the Admixtools qp3Pop command.

648 **Examination of correlations between population size during the Jomon**

649 **period and *JAS* in each prefecture**

650  We compared the population size estimated from the number of

651 archeological sites in each prefecture, assuming that the population size per

652 archeological site was constant in each prefecture during the same period. We

653 examined the correlations between (a) the average *JAS* in each prefecture and

654 the number of archeological sites from the Jomon period (obtained from the

655 Statistical report of buried cultural properties, Agency of Cultural Affairs, Japan;

656 https://www.bunka.go.jp/seisaku/bunkazai/shokai/pdf/h29_03_maizotokei.pdf),

657 (b) the average *JAS* in each region and the population size estimated from the

658 number of archeological sites in the Late Jomon period[25], and (c) the average

659 *JAS* in each prefecture and the $\log_{10}$(number of archeological sites in the Yayoi

660 period/number of archeological sites in the Late Jomon period)[25]. Finally, (a) and

661 (c) were plotted for each prefecture, while (b) was plotted for each region

because data for each prefecture were not available. Correlation test was

conducted by R cor.test function (df = 43).

PCA based on the Jomon allele frequencies of each prefecture

The Jomon allele frequency was calculated for 50 randomly sampled

individuals from each prefecture using VCFtools version 0.1.13. A PCA was

performed based on the Jomon allele frequency using R version 3.6.0.

Correlation test between PC1 and JAS, and PC2 and longitude/latitude were

conducted by R cor.test function (df = 43).

Estimation of the allele frequencies of genome-wide SNPs of the Jomon
people based on the haplotype structure composed of the Jomon-derived
SNPs

We calculated the allele frequencies of genome-wide SNPs in Jomon people

based on the haplotype structure composed of the Jomon-derived SNPs

(Supplementary Fig. 15). First, haplotypes surrounding a focal bi-allelic SNP with

alleles 1 and 2 are classified into Jomon-derived haplotypes and

Continental-East-Asian-derived haplotype, based on the presence or absence of

Jomon alleles in the 500 kb upstream and downstream (1Mb in total) of the focal

SNP. The frequency of the allele 1 of the focal SNP in Jomon people is

expressed as follows.

$$Frequency\ of\ the\ allele\ 1\ in\ the\ Jomon\ people$$
$$= \frac{Number\ of\ Jomon\ derived\ haplotypes\ containing\ the\ allele\ 1\ of\ the\ focal\ SNP}{Total\ number\ of\ Jomon\ derived\ haplotypes\ surrounding\ the\ focal\ SNP}$$

In the example of Supplementary Fig. 15, the frequency of the allele 1 in the

Jomon people is 1/3. We calculated the allele frequency in the Jomon people

based on the phased-genotypes of 104 modern Japanese in 1KG for 5,316,769

SNPs in the whole genome. The --IMPUTE option of VCFtools was used to

extract haplotypes composed of Jomon-derived SNPs in regions 500 kb

upstream and downstream (1 Mb in total) of focal SNPs. Based on the estimated

frequencies of genome-wide SNPs, we estimated the genetic relationship

between the Jomon people and other East Asians. The population genotype

data set (Panel 2240K) used in Gakuhari et al 2020[11] was kindly provided by

Takashi Gakuhari of Kanazawa University, Ishikawa, Japan, and 81 individuals

including the Ikawazu Jomon[9,11] of 38 East Asian populations were extracted.

Nivkh and Ulchi were excluded from this analysis because it has been

suggested that they were recently admixed with Jomon lineage population (likely

Ainu, who have a genetic background of the Jomon people)[10,11]. Among the

genome-wide SNPs for which we estimated the Jomon allele frequencies, we

used 20,053 SNPs with genotype information was available in all East Asian

individuals of 2240K. We then calculated $f3$(Onge ; Estimated Jomon

frequencies, X) for testing the genetic relationship between the Jomon people

and each test population X, using Onge as the outgroup of East Asians[11]. In

addition, we focused on the haplotype structures composed of Jomon-derived

SNPs in the regions surrounding SNPs associated with characteristic

phenotypes of East Asians (*ABCC11*: rs17822931[34], *EDAR*: rs3827760[35,36],

ALDH2: rs671[37,43,64–66], and *ADH1B*: rs1229984[38,67–70]), and estimated the

frequencies of these phenotypes in the Jomon people.

Statistics and reproducibility.

708   Coalescent simulations of this study using msprime can be reproduced

709 by specifying seeds in our code described in supplementary note 4. Statistical

710 analyses were done using publicly available packages, so reproducibility can be

711 accomplished using parameters described in Methods sections.

Data and code availability

The individual genotypes of 10,842 Japanese analyzed in this study are

not available to avoid personal identification. The list of Jomon-derived SNPs

detected in this study, and the allele frequencies of Jomon-derived SNPs in each

Japanese prefecture are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Our custom code for msprime simulation was described in Supplementary Note

4.

References

1. Hanihara, K. Dual structure model for the population history of the

Japanese. *Japan Rev.* **2**, 1–33 (1991).

2. Habu, J. *Ancient Jomon of Japan*. (Cambridge University Press, 2004).

3. Mizoguchi, K. *The archaeology of Japan : from the earliest rice farming*

villages to the rise of the state. (Cambrige University Press, 2013).

4. Fujio, S. *History of Yayoi Period*. (Kodansha, 2015).

5. Jinam, T. *et al.* The history of human populations in the Japanese

Archipelago inferred from genome-wide SNP data with a special reference

to the Ainu and the Ryukyuan populations. *J. Hum. Genet.* **57**, 787–795

(2012).

6. Jinam, T. A. *et al.* Unique characteristics of the Ainu population in Northern

Japan. *J. Hum. Genet.* **60**, 565–571 (2015).

7. Nakagome, S. *et al.* Model-based verification of hypotheses on the origin

of modern Japanese revisited by Bayesian inference based on

genome-wide SNP data. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **32**, 1533–1543 (2015).

8. Kanzawa-Kiriyama, H. *et al.* A partial nuclear genome of the Jomons who

lived 3000 years ago in Fukushima, Japan. *J. Hum. Genet.* **62**, 213–221

(2017).

9. Mccoll, H. *et al.* The prehistoric peopling of Southeast Asia. *Science (80-.).*

361, 88–92 (2018).

10.   Kanzawa-Kiriyama, H. *et al.* Late Jomon male and female genome

sequences from the Funadomari site in Hokkaido , Japan. *Anthropol. Sci.*

127, 83–108 (2019).

11.   Gakuhari, T. *et al.* Ancient Jomon genome sequence analysis sheds light

on migration patterns of early East Asian. *Commun. Biol.* **3,** 437, (2020).

https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-020-01162-2

12.   Price, A. L. *et al.* Sensitive detection of chromosomal segments of distinct

ancestry in admixed populations. *PLoS Genet.* **5**, e1000519 (2009).

13.   Baran, Y. *et al.* Fast and accurate inference of local ancestry in Latino

populations. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 1359–1367 (2012).

14.   Churchhouse, C. & Marchini, J. Multiway Admixture Deconvolution Using

Phased or Unphased Ancestral Panels. *Genet. Epidemiol.* **37**, 1–12

(2013).

15.   Guan, Y. Detecting structure of haplotypes and local ancestry. *Genetics*

196, 625–642 (2014).

16.   Hui, D. *et al.* LAIT: A local ancestry inference toolkit. *BMC Genet.* **18**, 1–5

(2017).

17.   Plagnol, V. & Wall, J. D. Possible ancestral structure in human populations.

PLoS Genet. **2**, e105 (2006).

18.   Vernot, B. & Akey, J. M. Resurrecting Surviving Neandeltal Linages from

Modern Human Genomes. *Science (80-.).* **343**, 1017–1021 (2014).

19.   Vernot, B. *et al.* Excavating Neandertal and Denisovan DNA from the

genomes of Melanesian individuals. *Science (80-.).* **352**, 235–239 (2016).

20.   Jacobs, G. S. *et al.* Multiple Deeply Divergent Denisovan Ancestries in

Papuans. *Cell* **177**, 1010-1021.e1-e24 (2019).

21.   Zhang, W. *et al.* Whole genome sequencing of 35 individuals provides

insights into the genetic architecture of Korean population. *BMC*

Bioinformatics **15**, 1–13 (2014).

22.   Auton, A. *et al.* A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* **526**,

68–74 (2015).

23.   Yamaguchi-Kabata, Y. *et al.* Japanese Population Structure, Based on

SNP Genotypes from 7003 Individuals Compared to Other Ethnic Groups:

Effects on Population-Based Association Studies. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **83**,

445–456 (2008).

24.   Watanabe, Y., Isshiki, M. & Ohashi, J. Prefecture-level population

structure of the Japanese based on SNP genotypes of 11,069 individuals.

J. Hum. Genet. **In press**, (2020).

25.   Koyama, S. Jomon Subsistence and Population. *Senri Ethnol. Stud.* **2**, 1–

65 (1979).

26.   Patterson, N. *et al.* Ancient admixture in human history. *Genetics* **192**,

1065–1093 (2012).

27.   Hanihara, K. Geographic variation of modern Japanese crania and its

relationship to the origin of Japanese. *Homo* **36**, 1–10 (1985).

28.   Kaifu, Y. Regional variation in mandibular morphology of the Jomon

people. *Bull Natl Sci Mus* **21**, 37–50 (1995).

29.   Maeda, T. Mandibular ramus morphology of the Jomon people from

Hokkaido. Anthropological. *Anthropol. Sci. (Japanese Ser.* **110**, 27–40

(2002).

30.   Takigawa, W. Inter-regional variation of metric traits of limb bones in

Jomon and modern Japanese. Anthropological. *Anthropol. Sci. (Japanese*

Ser. **114**, 101–129 (2006).

31.   Fukase, H. *et al.* Geographic variation in body form of prehistoric Jomon

males in the Japanese archipelago: Its ecogeographic implication. *Am. J.*

Phys. Anthropol. **149**, 125–135 (2012).

32.   Kondo, O., Fukase, H. & Fukumoto, T. Regional variations in the Jomon

population revisited on craniofacial morphology. *Anthropol. Sci.* **125**, 85–

100 (2017).

33.   Wang, C.-C. *et al.* Genomic Insights into the Formation of Human

Populations in East Asia. *Nature* (2021).

doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03336-2

34.   Yoshiura, K. I. *et al.* A SNP in the ABCC11 gene is the determinant of

human earwax type. *Nat. Genet.* **38**, 324–330 (2006).

35.   Fujimoto, A. *et al.* A scan for genetic determinants of human hair

morphology: EDAR is associated with Asian hair thickness. *Hum. Mol.*

Genet. **17**, 835–843 (2008).

36.   Kimura, R. *et al.* A Common Variation in EDAR Is a Genetic Determinant

of Shovel-Shaped Incisors. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **85**, 528–535 (2009).

37.   Harada, S., Agarwal, D. P. & Goedde, H. W. Aldehyde Dehydrogenase

Deficiency As Cause of Facial Flushing Reaction To Alcohol in Japanese.

Lancet **318**, 982 (1981).

38.   Edenberg H.J. & Bosron W.F. *Alcohol dehydrogenases. In:*

Comprehensive Toxicology, Vol. 3: Biotransformation. (Pergamon Elsevier

Science, 1997).

39.   Edenberg, H. J. & McClintick, J. N. Alcohol dehydrogenases, aldehyde

dehydrogenases and alcohol use disorders: a critical review. *Alcohol Clin*

Exp Res **42**, 2281–2297 (2018).

40.   Sato, T. *et al.* Allele frequencies of the ABCC11 gene for earwax

phenotypes among ancient populations of Hokkaido, Japan. *J. Hum.*

Genet. **54**, 409–413 (2009).

41.   Kazuta, H. *et al.* Genotype frequencies of the ABCC11 gene in

2000-3000-year-old human bones from the Epi-Jomon and Jomon sites in

Hokkaido, Japan. *Anthropol. Sci.* **119**, 81–86 (2011).

42.   Matsumura, H. A Microevolutional History of the Japanese People from a

Dental Characteristics Perspective. *Anthropol. Sci.* **102**, 93–118 (1994).

43.   Koganebuchi, K. *et al.* The allele frequency of ALDH2*Glu504Lys and

ADH1B*Arg47His for the Ryukyu islanders and their history of expansion

among East Asians. *Am. J. Hum. Biol.* **29**, 1–14 (2017).

44.   Pierron, D. *et al.* Genomic landscape of human diversity across

Madagascar. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **114**, E6498–E6506 (2017).

45.   Pierron, D. *et al.* Strong selection during the last millennium for African

836   ancestry in the admixed population of Madagascar. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 1–9

837   (2018).

838   46.   Skoglund, P. *et al.* Genomic insights into the peopling of the Southwest

839   Pacific. *Nature* **538**, 510–513 (2016).

840   47.   Pugach, I. *et al.* The gateway from near into remote oceania: New insights

841   from genome-wide data. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **35**, 871–886 (2018).

842   48.   Lazaridis, I. *et al.* Ancient human genomes suggest three ancestral

843   populations for present-day Europeans. *Nature* **513**, 409–413 (2014).

844   49.   Nielsen, R. *et al.* Tracing the peopling of the world through genomics.

845   *Nature* **541**, 302–310 (2017).

846   50.   Hofreiter, M. *et al.* The future of ancient DNA: Technical advances and

847   conceptual shifts. *BioEssays* **37**, 284–293 (2015).

848   51.   Kelleher, J., Etheridge, A. M. & McVean, G. Efficient Coalescent

849   Simulation and Genealogical Analysis for Large Sample Sizes. *PLoS*

850   *Comput. Biol.* **12**, 1–22 (2016).

851   52.   Browning, S. R., Browning, B. L., Zhou, Y., Tucci, S. & Akey, J. M.

852   Analysis of Human Sequence Data Reveals Two Pulses of Archaic

853   Denisovan Admixture. *Cell* **173**, 1–9 (2018).

854   53.   International Human Genome Sequencing Consortium. Initial sequencing

855   and analysis of the human genome International Human Genome. *Nature*

856   **409**, 860–921 (2001).

857   54.   Altshuler, D. L. *et al.* A map of human genome variation from

858   population-scale sequencing. *Nature* **467**, 1061–1073 (2010).

859   55.   Scally, A. & Durbin, R. Revising the human mutation rate: Implications for

860   understand ing human evolution. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **13**, 745–753 (2012).

861   56.   Kong, A. *et al.* Rate of de novo mutations and the importance of father-s

862   age to disease risk. *Nature* **488**, 471–475 (2012).

863   57.   Purcell, S. *et al.* PLINK: A tool set for whole-genome association and

864   population-based linkage analyses. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **81**, 559–575

865   (2007).

866   58.   Danecek, P. *et al.* The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* **27**,

867   2156–2158 (2011).

868   59.   McKenna, A. *et al.* The genome analysis toolkit: A MapReduce framework

869   for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res.* **20**,

870   1297–1303 (2010).

871   60.   Loh, P. R. *et al.* Reference-based phasing using the Haplotype Reference

872   Consortium panel. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 1443–1448 (2016).

873   61.   Das, S. *et al.* Next-generation genotype imputation service and methods.

874   *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 1284–1287 (2016).

875   62.   Watanabe, Y. *et al.* Analysis of whole Y-chromosome sequences reveals

876   the Japanese population history in the Jomon period. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 8556

877   (2019).

878   63.   Delaneau, O., Zagury, J. F. & Marchini, J. Improved whole-chromosome

879   phasing for disease and population genetic studies. *Nat. Methods* **10**, 5–6

880   (2013).

64. Oota, H. *et al.* The evolution and population genetics of the ALDH2 locus:

Random genetic drift, selection, and low levels of recombination. *Ann.*

Hum. Genet. **68**, 93–109 (2004).

65. Li, H. *et al.* Refined geographic distribution of the oriental ALDH2* 504Lys

(nee 487Lys) variant. *Ann. Hum. Genet.* **73**, 335–345 (2009).

66. Luo, H. R. *et al.* Origin and dispersal of atypical aldehyde dehydrogenase

ALDH2*487Lys. *Gene* **435**, 96–103 (2009).

67. Osier, M. *et al.* Linkage disequilibrium at the ADH2 and ADH3 loci and risk

of alcoholism. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **64**, 1147–1157 (1999).

68. Osier, M. V. *et al.* A global perspective on genetic variation at the ADH

genes reveals unusual patterns of linkage disequilibrium and diversity. *Am.*

J. Hum. Genet. **71**, 84–99 (2002).

69. Han, Y. *et al.* Evidence of positive selection on a class I ADH locus. *Am. J.*

Hum. Genet. **80**, 441–456 (2007).

70. Li, H. *et al.* Geographically separate increases in the frequency of the

derived ADH1B*47His allele in eastern and western Asia. *Am. J. Hum.*

Genet. **81**, 842–846 (2007).

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the individuals who participated in the study. We

would like to express our deepest gratitude to Mr. Masahiro Inoue, Shota Arichi,

and Akito Tabira who obtained the genotype data and provided the technical

environment for analyzing them. We would like to thank Dr. Hiroki Ota of Tokyo

University, Tokyo, Japan, and Dr. Takashi Gakuhari of Kanazawa University,

Ishikawa, Japan, for providing us the BAM file of the IKawazu Jomon. Dr.

Takashi Gakuhari also gave us the population genotype data set (Panel 2240K)

used in Gakuhari et al 2020. We also thank Dr. Naruya Saito from the National

Institute of Genetics, Shizuoka, Japan, and Dr. Hideaki Kanzawa-Kiriyama from

the National Museum of Nature and Science, Tokyo, Japan for providing us the

BAM file of the Funadomari Jomon. This study was partly supported by

Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) (18H02514) and Grant-in-Aid for

Scientific Research on Innovative Areas (19H05341) from the Ministry of

Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan. Computations

were partially performed on the NIG supercomputer at ROIS National Institute of

Genetics.

Author contributions

918   Y.W. and J.O. conceived the study. Y.W. designed and conducted the

919 data analyses. Y.W. performed the computer simulations. Y.W. wrote the

920 manuscript with support from J.O. J.O. supervised the project. All authors read

921 and approved the final manuscript.

923 Competing interests

924 The authors declare no competing interests.

Fig. legends

Fig. 1 Map of the Japanese prefectures. The prefectures of Japan are divided into eleven regions. The prefecture numbers in Supplementary Table 1 are indicated (the corresponding prefecture names are given in Supplementary Table 1). In this study, "mainland Japanese" means the Japanese people except for individuals from Hokkaido and Okinawa.

Fig. 2 The performance of the *AMI* for the detection of the Jomon-derived SNPs

(a) Distribution of *AMI* simulated by msprime. The histogram of *AMI* for the Jomon-derived variants (type 1) and the other variants (types 2 and 3) are shown. The red dashed line indicates the threshold of *AMI* (28.0374) obtained from ROC analysis for the detection of the Jomon-derived variants (type 1).

(b) ROC curve illustrating the performance of the *AMI* for the detection of the Jomon-derived SNPs. The ROC curve was drawn based on the simulated data shown in Fig. 2 (a). The *AMI* showed high accuracy (AUC = 0.91) for discriminating the Jomon-derived variants (type 1) from the other variants (types 2 and 3).

Fig. 3 JAS of each Japanese region.

(a) Distribution of *JAS* in ten regions. The boxplot of the *JAS* is presented for each of the ten regions, excluding Hokkaido.

(b) *JAS* of each prefecture in Mainland Japan. The average *JAS* by prefecture

was calculated. Hokkaido and Okinawa prefectures are not illustrated. The

prefecture with the higher average *JAS* is illustrated by the darker color.

(c) Relationship between the PC1 of the PCA performed in a previous study by

the allele frequency of autosomal 183,708 SNPs in each prefecture and average

JAS. Each prefecture was colored according to the region of Japan in Fig. 1.

Horizontal axe: PC1, vertical axe: average *JAS*. Pearson's correlation

coefficients (*R*), *P* values, regression lines and 95% CI are shown.

Fig. 4 Relationship between the *JAS* and values associated with the

population size of prefectures in the Jomon to Yayoi periods.

The horizontal axis shows the average *JAS* and the vertical axis shows the (a)

number of archaeological sites of the whole Jomon period, (b) population size in

the Late Jomon period, and (c) $\log_{10}$ (number of archaeological sites in the Yayoi

period/number of archaeological sites in the Late Jomon period). Pearson's

correlation coefficients (*R*), *P* values, regression lines and 95% CI are shown in

each figure. Each prefecture is colored according to the region in Fig. 1.

Fig. 5 f3-statistics between East Asians and estimated allele frequencies of

the Jomon people.

f3(Onge ; Estimated Jomon frequencies, X), where X are the East Asians

including 37 present day populations and one ancient population (Chokhopani,

an iron age individual). Darker plots (higher *f3* value) indicates higher genetic

affinity with the Jomon people.

Fig. 6 Haplotype structures composed of Jomon-derived SNPs in four genes associated with the characteristic phenotypes of East Asians.

The haplotype structures surrounding four nonsynonymous SNPs, (a) rs17822931 in *ABCC11* (associated with ear wax type), (b) rs3827760 in *EDAR* (associated with hair thickness and shovel-shaped incisors), (c) rs671 in *ALDH2* (associated with alcohol tolerance), and (d) rs1229984 in *ADH1B* (associated with alcohol tolerance) are illustrated. Each horizontal line represents each haplotype, and each vertical line represents each of the Jomon-derived SNP or phenotype-associated SNP. The derived alleles of the SNPs associated with phenotypes are shown in orange, and the ancestral alleles are shown in blue. The red color represents the Jomon allele, i.e., horizontal lines containing the red colored grid indicate the Jomon-derived haplotypes.

Fig. 7 The formation process of the Japanese population from the Late Jomon period to the present.

From the Late to the Final Jomon period, the Jomon people settled down in Mainland Japan, and the population size varied between regions. In the Final Jomon period, the continental East Asians arrived in northern Kyushu and then admixed with Jomon people in all the regions of Mainland Japan. In regions such as Kinki and Shikoku, where the population size was smaller at the end of the Jomon period, modern Japanese have lower degrees of genome components derived from the Jomon people.

994 **Table 1 Frequencies of the Jomon-derived haplotypes specified by**
995 **phenotypes associated SNPs in *ABCC11*, *EDAR*, *ALDH2*, and *ADH1B*.**

(a) *ABCC11*	rs17822931		
	Ancestral	Derived	Total
Jomon-derived haplotype	0.106	0.240	0.346
Non-Jomon–derived haplotype	0.014	0.639	0.653
Total	0.120	0.879	

1000

1001

(b) *EDAR*	rs3827760		
	Ancestral	Derived	Total
Jomon-derived haplotype	0.149	0.173	0.322
Non-Jomon–derived haplotype	0.048	0.630	0.678
Total	0.197	0.803	

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

(c) *ALDH2*	rs671		
	Ancestral	Derived	Total
Jomon-derived haplotype	0.125	0.024	0.149
Non-Jomon–derived haplotype	0.635	0.216	0.851
Total	0.760	0.240	

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

(d) *ADH1B*	rs1229984		
	Ancestral	Derived	Total
Jomon-derived haplotype	0.038	0.048	0.086
Non-Jomon–derived haplotype	0.231	0.683	0.914
Total	0.269	0.731	

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

Fig. 1

(a)

(b)

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

~Late Jomon Period

Continental East Asian

Jomon

Final Jomon Period

Yayoi Period

Present

Fig. 7