The indole-alkaloid gramine shapes the bacterial communities thriving at the

barley root-soil interface

Running title: Gramine as a determinant of the barley rhizosphere bacterial microbiota

Mauro Maver[1,2], Jenny Morris[3], Pete E. Hedley[3], Tanja Mimmo[1,4] and Davide Bulgarelli[2]

1-Faculty of Science and Technology, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Bolzano, Italy

2-Plant Sciences, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee, United Kingdom

3-Cell and Molecular Sciences, The James Hutton Institute, Dundee, United Kingdom

4-Competence Centre of Plant Health, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Bolzano, Italy

Correspondence to Tanja Mimmo tanja.mimmo@unibz.it and Davide Bulgarelli

d.bulgarelli@dundee.ac.uk

Abstract

The biosynthesis of plant allelochemicals underpinning inter-organismal relationships has been moulded by domestication and breeding selection. The indole-alkaloid gramine, whose occurrence in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) is widespread among wild genotypes but virtually absent from modern varieties, is a paradigmatic example of this phenomenon. This prompted us to investigate how the exogenous application of gramine impacted on the rhizosphere bacterial microbiota of two, gramine-free, elite barley varieties grown in a reference agricultural soil. Our investigation revealed that the application of the indole-alkaloid gramine modulates the proliferation of a subset of soil bacteria with a relatively broad phylogenetic assignment. This effect is two-pronged: a limited, but significant, component of the barley microbiota responds to gramine application in a genotype- and dosage-independent manner while at the highest dosage this secondary metabolite attenuates the host recruitment cues of the barley microbiota. Interestingly, this latter effect displayed a bias for members of the phyla Proteobacteria. These initial observations indicate that gramine can act as a determinant of the bacterial communities inhabiting the root-soil interface.

Main text

Plants have evolved the capacity to shape soil microorganisms to establish symbiotic interactions with the microbiota proliferating at the root-soil interface [1]. Chief towards the recruitment and maintenance of a distinct plant microbiota is the release from roots of metabolites capable of modulating the interactions among plants, microbes and the surrounding environment [2]. Consistently, an increasing number of plant secondary metabolites have recently been implicated in the definition of the plant microbiota [3].

Interestingly, the processes of crop domestication and breeding selection, which gave rise to modern cultivated varieties, impacted on plant's capacity to assemble a rhizosphere microbiota [4]. As wild ancestors of modern cultivated varieties may hold the capacity to adapt to marginal soil conditions, there is a growing interest in discerning the molecular mechanisms underpinning the recruitment of the microbiota in crop wild relatives and its contribution to plant's adaptation to the environment [5]. This is particularly attractive for crops like barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) the fourth most cultivated cereal worldwide, for which modern and wild genotypes are readily available for experimentation [6, 7].

The genus *Hordeum* has evolved two main indole alkaloids with allelopathic and defensive functions, the benzoxazinoid DIBOA and gramine, whose biosynthesis is mutually exclusive within barley lineages [8]. In particular, gramine is the main allelochemical of the species *H. vulgare* which has historically been implicated in defensive responses against aphids [9] as well as foliar pathogens [10, 11]. Intriguingly, crop selection left a footprint on the biosynthesis of this secondary metabolite: modern varieties (*H. vulgare* subp. *vulgare*) fail to accumulate gramine to levels identified in their wild relatives (*H. vulgare* subp. *spontaneum*) [11, 12]. Of note, this apparent counter-selection for gramine within the domesticated material has been exerted on at least two distinct barley genes [13].

As benzoxazinoids recently gained centre-stage as main determinants of the microbiota in

maize [14, 15] we hypothesized that in barley this regulatory role is played, at least in part, by

gramine. To test this hypothesis, we exposed two 'Elite', gramine-free, barley genotypes, the

cultivars Morex and Barke [13], to exogenous applications of gramine and we assessed the

impact of these treatments on the taxonomic composition of the bacteria thriving at the root-soil

interface using a cultivation independent approach.

To evaluate the effects on the composition of the barley rhizosphere microbe associated

communities due to the external addition of gramine, we sequenced the hypervariable V4 region

of the 16S rRNA gene of 45 samples, extracted from rhizosphere and bulk soils. Pre-germinated

seeds of the two-row malting Barke and the six-row malting Morex, selected for their

demonstrated inability to accumulate gramine in their tissues were grown for 4 weeks in a

previously characterised agricultural soil designated "Quarryfield" [7, 16]. Two different

concentrations of a solution of gramine, 24 µM and 46 µM, representing a range of

concentration of this metabolite in a panel of wild barley genotypes [12] were applied to the

pots, along with a mock control (G0), 4 days after seedling transplanting. The retention capacity

of our reference soil for gramine was assessed on unplanted soil specimens (Supplementary

Figure 1). At early stem elongation, plants were excavated from the soil and we generated 6

983 582 high-quality amplicon metagenomic 16S amplicon sequencing reads using an

established Illumina MiSeq protocol (Supplementary information).

Upon processing of the sequencing reads in silico (Supplementary Information) and consistent

with previous observations of barley plants grown in the same soil type [7, 16], we failed to

identify a significant effect of either the microhabitat or treatment on the alpha-diversity

parameters of the tested communities (Supplementary Figure 2). Conversely, we observed a

clear impact of the external application of gramine on the bacterial communities thriving at the

root soil interface regardless of the applied concentration: both a Canonical Analysis of Principal

Coordinates computed on a Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix (Supplementary Figure 3) and a

Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) built on the Weighted Unifrac distance (Supplementary

Figure 4) revealed a partition of the microbiota according to the applied treatment. Regardless

of the matrix used, the effect of gramine appeared more pronounced on the unplanted soil

communities when compared with rhizosphere specimens. Congruently, a permutational

analysis of variance computed on both matrices indicated a significant effect of a) the individual

microhabitat, unplanted soil, Morex and Barke rhizosphere, respectively (R^2 ~40%) b) the

treatment (R^2 ~5%) and c) their interaction term (R^2 ~7%; Supplementary Table 1, Adonis *p*

<0.05, 5 000 permutations) on the bacterial microbiota. Taken together, this suggests that the

gramine application exerts a selective pressure on the proliferation of a defined subset of

members of the microbiota, rather than on their presence/absence, and this pressure appears

stronger on unplanted soil communities. It is therefore legitimate to hypothesize that,

considering also the convergence of rhizosphere profiles on the computed ordination (see

Supplementary Figures 3 and 4), Elite genotypes may have evolved the capacity of reverting, at

least in part, the selective pressure of gramine on soil bacteria. To test this hypothesis, we

implemented a set of pair-wise comparison between individual genera retrieved from unplanted

soil and rhizosphere communities at the three levels of gramine tested. In agreement with our

hypothesis, we discovered that the majority of genera enriched in the rhizosphere of either

genotype does not respond to gramine treatment (Figures 1A and1B, Wald test, *p* <0.05, FDR

corrected). Conversely, 15 genera whose cumulative relative abundance represented ~2.06%

and 1.75% of the Morex and Barke rhizosphere communities respectively, were identified as

gramine-responsive in a genotype-independent manner (Figure 1D, Wald test, *p* <0.05, FDR

corrected). Interestingly, when we evaluated the impact of gramine on the host control of the

rhizosphere microbiota we identified an interference between the application of gramine and the

endogenous host recruitment cues of the tested genotypes. For instance, plants exposed to no

gramine or the lowest dosage displayed a differential enrichment between cultivars of 17 and 20

genera, respectively (Figures 2A and B, Wald test, p <0.05, FDR corrected), Conversely at the

highest gramine dosage the host genotype effect was limited to 5 genera differentially enriched

(Figure 2C, Wald test, p <0.05, FDR corrected). When we inspected the taxonomic affiliation of

these differentially enriched genera, we noticed a predominance of members of the phylum

Proteobacteria (Figures 2E-F).

Our results indicate that the application of the indole-alkaloid gramine is capable of modulating

the proliferation of a subset of soil bacteria with relatively broad phylogenetic assignments. This

effect is two-pronged: a component of the barley microbiota responds to gramine application in

a genotype- and dosage-independent manner while at the highest dosage this secondary

metabolite attenuates the host recruitment cues of the barley microbiota with a bias for

members of the phylum Proteobacteria. It is interesting to note that gramine applications failed

to trigger a sustained enrichment of members of the Actinobacteria, which can be considered as

an hallmark of elite, gramine-free, barley genotypes grown in the same soil type [7] and in other

modern/ancestral plant pairs [17]. As gramine biosynthesis has previously been reported as

stress induced [11, 18], we anticipate that exposure to different soils, and therefore different

microbiomes, is likely to amplify (or obliterate) the effect of this metabolite on edaphic microbes.

We therefore propose to capitalise on these initial observations and the expanding genomic

resources for barley [19, 20] to resolve the genetic basis of gramine biosynthesis and ultimately

elucidate its adaptive value for plant-microbe interactions.

Data availability
131

The sequences generated in the 16S rRNA gene sequencing survey are deposited in the

European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under the accession number PRJEB39836. The version of

the individual packages and scripts used to analyse the data and generate the figures of this

study are available at https://github.com/Stramon1um/gramine_microbiome.

Figure legend

Figure 1. Gramine modulates bacterial abundances at the barley root-soil interface A)

Genera simultaneously enriched in pair-wise comparisons retrieved from unplanted soil and

Morex rhizosphere, B) and from unplanted soil and Barke rhizosphere, at the three levels of

gramine tested. Vertical bars denote the number of genera enriched shared or unique for each

comparison, while the horizontal bars the number of genera enriched in the indicated gramine

concentration. In A and B genera differentially enriched a p <0.05, Wald Test, FDR corrected.

C) Heatmap of the 15 bacteria, classified either at Order or Phylum level, significantly enriched

in rhizosphere samples in a genotype- and gramine dosage- (24 and 46 µM, respectively)

independent manner.

Figure 2. Gramine application attenuates the genotype effect on the rhizosphere

microbiota Ternary plots depicting bacteria distribution across the indicated microhabitats in

sample exposed to A) no gramine, B) gramine 24 µM or C) gramine 46 µM. In each plot,

individual dots depict individual bacteria whose size is proportional their sequencing

abundances. Position of the dots within the plots reflects the contribution of each microhabitats

to bacterial abundances. Coloured dots denote bacterial significantly enriched (p <0.05, Wald

Test, FDR corrected) either in Morex versus Barke (magenta dots) or Barke versus Morex (blue

dots). Only bacteria significantly enriched in rhizosphere samples versus bulk soil included in

the plots. Pie charts depicting the taxonomic assignment at class level of genera differentially

enriched at D) no gramine, E) gramine 24 µM or F) gramine 46 µM. The size of the slices is

proportional to the number of genera assigned to a given class in the given comparisons.

Authors contributions

MM, TM and DB conceived and designed the experimental approach. MM performed the experiments. JM and PH generated the 16S rRNA sequencing reads. MM and DB analysed the data. MM, TM and DB wrote the manuscript, all the authors reviewed and approved is publication.

Acknowledgements

We thank Malcolm Macaulay, (The James Hutton Institute, UK) for the technical assistance in preparing the amplicon sequencing library. We thank Carmen Escudero Martinez (University of Dundee, UK) and Rodrigo Alegria Terrazas (Mohammed VI Polytechnic University, Morocco) for the critical comments on the manuscript.

Funding

The work presented in this manuscript was supported by a Royal Society of Edinburgh/Scottish Government Personal Research Fellowship co-funded by Marie Curie Actions and a UK Research and Innovation grant (BB/S002871/1) awarded to DB.

176
Literature cited

1.   Schlaeppi K, Bulgarelli D. The Plant Microbiome at Work. *Mol Plant-Microbe Interact*

2015; **28**: 212–217.

2.   Pascale A, Proietti S, Pantelides IS, Stringlis IA. Modulation of the Root Microbiome by

Plant Molecules: The Basis for Targeted Disease Suppression and Plant Growth

Promotion. *Front Plant Sci* 2020; **10**: 1–23.

3.   Rolfe SA, Griffiths J, Ton J. Crying out for help with root exudates: adaptive mechanisms

by which stressed plants assemble health-promoting soil microbiomes. *Curr Opin*

Microbiol 2019; **49**: 73–82.

4.   Pérez-Jaramillo JE, Mendes R, Raaijmakers JM. Impact of plant domestication on

rhizosphere microbiome assembly and functions. *Plant Mol Biol* 2016; **90**: 635–644.

5.   Escudero-Martinez C, Bulgarelli D. Tracing the evolutionary routes of plant–microbiota

interactions. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2019; **49**: 34–40.

6.   Bulgarelli D, Schlaeppi K, Spaepen S, Ver Loren van Themaat E, Schulze-Lefert P.

Structure and functions of the bacterial microbiota of plants. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 2013;

64: 807–38.

7.   Alegria Terrazas R, Balbirnie-Cumming K, Morris J, Hedley PE, Russell J, Paterson E, et

al. A footprint of plant eco-geographic adaptation on the composition of the barley

rhizosphere bacterial microbiota. *Sci Rep* 2020; **10**: 1–14.

8.   Grün S, Frey M, Gierl A. Evolution of the indole alkaloid biosynthesis in the genus

Hordeum: Distribution of gramine and DIBOA and isolation of the benzoxazinoid

biosynthesis genes from Hordeum lechleri. *Phytochemistry* 2005; **66**: 1264–1272.

9.   Corcuera LJ. Biochemical basis for the resistance of barley to aphids. *Phytochemistry*

1993; **33**: 741–747.

10.   Sepulveda BA, Corcuera LJ. Effect of gramine on the susceptibility of barley leaves to

202   Pseudomonas syringae. *Phytochemistry* 1990; **29**: 465–467.

203   11.   Matsuo H, Taniguchi K, Hiramoto T, Yamada T, Ichinose Y, Toyoda K, et al. Gramine

204   increase associated with rapid and transient systemic resistance in barley seedlings

205   induced by mechanical and biological stresses. *Plant Cell Physiol* 2001; **42**: 1103–11.

206   12.   Maver M, Miras-Moreno B, Lucini L, Trevisan M, Pii Y, Cesco S, et al. New insights in the

207   allelopathic traits of different barley genotypes: Middle Eastern and Tibetan wild-relative

208   accessions vs. cultivated modern barley. *PLoS One* 2020; **15**: 1–18.

209   13.   Larsson KAE, Zetterlund I, Delp G, Jonsson LM V. N-Methyltransferase involved in

210   gramine biosynthesis in barley: Cloning and characterization. *Phytochemistry* 2006; **67**:

211   2002–2008.

212   14.   Hu L, Robert CAM, Cadot S, Zhang X, Ye M, Li B, et al. Root exudate metabolites drive

213   plant-soil feedbacks on growth and defense by shaping the rhizosphere microbiota. *Nat*

214   *Commun* 2018; **9**: 2738.

215   15.   Kudjordjie EN, Sapkota R, Steffensen SK, Fomsgaard IS, Nicolaisen M. Maize

216   synthesized benzoxazinoids affect the host associated microbiome. *Microbiome* 2019; **7**:

217   1–17.

218   16.   Robertson-Albertyn S, Alegria Terrazas R, Balbirnie K, Blank M, Janiak A, Szarejko I, et

219   al. Root Hair Mutations Displace the Barley Rhizosphere Microbiota. *Front Plant Sci*

220   2017; **8**: 1094.

221   17.   Pérez-Jaramillo JE, Carrión VJ, de Hollander M, Raaijmakers JM. The wild side of plant

222   microbiomes. *Microbiome* 2018; **6**: 143.

223   18.   Velozo JA, Alvarez RI, Wächter GA, Timmermann BN, Corcuera LJ. Increase in gramine

224   content in barley infested by the aphid Schizaphis graminum R. *Phytochemistry* 1999; **52**:

225   1059–1061.

226   19.   Jayakodi M, Padmarasu S, Haberer G, Bonthala VS, Gundlach H, Monat C, et al. The

227   barley pan-genome reveals the hidden legacy of mutation breeding. 2020.

228   20.   Maurer A, Draba V, Jiang Y, Schnaithmann F, Sharma R, Schumann E, et al. Modelling

229   the genetic architecture of flowering time control in barley through nested association

230   mapping. *BMC Genomics* 2015; **16**: 290.

A

Morex

Genera simultaneously enriched

- 73
- 46
- 33
- 30
- 12
- 12
- 11

Genera G46
Genera G0
Genera G24

150 100 50 0
Enriched genera

B

Barke

Genera simultaneously enriched

- 59
- 55
- 35
- 31
- 24
- 15
- 10

Genera G24
Genera G46
Genera G0

100 50 0
Enriched genera

C

Enriched bacteria taxonomy

- Nitrosotaleales
- Proteobacteria
- uncultured bacterium
- Planctomycetales
- Proteobacteria
- Micropepsales
- Acidobacteria
- Rhizobiales
- Cytophagales
- Chitinophagales
- Caulobacterales
- Micrococcales
- Acidobacteriales
- Betaproteobacteriales
- Acidobacteriales

G0	G0	G0	G24	G24	G24	G46	G46	G46
BULK	RHIZO	RHIZO	BULK	RHIZO	RHIZO	BULK	RHIZO	RHIZO
SOIL	BARKE	MOREX	SOIL	BARKE	MOREX	SOIL	BARKE	MOREX

Reads abundance

1   16   256

Differential bacterial enrichments

● Bacteria significantly enriched in Morex   ● Bacteria significantly enriched in Barke   ● Bacteria not enriched

Taxonomic affiliation

● Actinobacteria   ● Alphaproteobacteria   ● Bacteroidia   ● Gammaproteobacteria   ● Other taxa