1 **A holobiont view of island biogeography: unraveling patterns driving the nascent**
2 **diversification of a Hawaiian spider and its microbial associates**
3

4 Ellie E. Armstrong*[,1], Benoît Perez-Lamarque*[,2,3], Ke Bi[4,5,6], Cerise Chen[7,8], Leontine E.
5 Becking[9,10], Jun Ying Lim[11], Tyler Linderoth[12], Henrik Krehenwinkel[7,13], Rosemary Gillespie[7]
6
[1] Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA
[2] Institut de Biologie de l'ENS (IBENS), Département de biologie, École normale supérieure,
CNRS, INSERM, Université PSL, Paris, France
[3] Institut de Systématique, Évolution, Biodiversité (ISYEB), Muséum national d'Histoire
naturelle, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, EPHE, UA, Paris, France
[4] Computational Genomics Resource Laboratory, California Institute for Quantitative
Biosciences, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA 94720
[5] Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA 94720
[6] Ancestry, 153 Townsend St., Ste. 800 San Francisco, CA, USA 94107
[7] Department of Environmental Science, Policy and Management, University of California,
Berkeley, CA, USA
[8] Long Marine Laboratory, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA, USA
[9] Marine Animal Ecology Group, Wageningen University & Research, Wageningen, The
Netherlands
[10] Wageningen Marine Research, Den Helder, The Netherlands
[11] School of Biological Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, 60 Nanyang Drive,
Singapore 637551
[12] Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge, UK
[13] Department of Biogeography, Trier University, Trier, Germany
26
27 * Contributed equally
28
29 **Corresponding Author:** elliea@stanford.edu, benoit.perez@ens.psl.eu

Abstract (250 words)

The diversification of a host lineage can be influenced by both the external environment and its assemblage of microbes. Here, we use a young lineage of spiders, distributed along a chronologically arranged series of volcanic mountains, to determine the parallels between the evolutionary histories of the host spiders and their associated microbial communities, together forming the "holobiont". Using the stick spider *Ariamnes waikula* (Araneae, Theridiidae) on the island of Hawaiʻi, and outgroup taxa on older islands, we tested whether each component of the holobiont (the spider hosts, the intracellular endosymbionts, and the gut microbial communities) showed correlated signatures of diversity due to sequential colonization from older to younger volcanoes. In order to investigate this, we generated ddRAD data for the host spiders and 16S rRNA gene amplicon data from their microbiota. We expected sequential colonizations to result in a (phylo)genetic structuring of the host spiders and in a diversity gradient in microbial communities. Results showed that the host *A. waikula* is indeed structured by geographic isolation, suggesting sequential colonization from older to younger volcanoes. Similarly, the endosymbiont communities were markedly different between *Ariamnes* species on different islands, but more homogeneous among *A. waikula* populations on the island of Hawaiʻi. Conversely, the gut microbiota was largely conserved across all populations and species, which we suspect are generally environmentally derived. Our results highlight that the different components of the holobiont have responded in distinct ways to the dynamic environment of the volcanic archipelago, showing the necessity of understanding the interplay between components to better characterize holobiont evolution.

Keywords: Host-associated microbes, endosymbiont, speciation, population structure, adaptive radiation, Ariamnes, Hawaiian Islands

Introduction

Patterns of biodiversity are influenced by both ecological and evolutionary processes operating within the dynamic context of a community (Weber et al. 2017). The external environment can serve to isolate populations for various periods, and select for traits that influence their evolutionary trajectory. At the same time, a given organism also represents a community itself by hosting a diverse array of microbial species, many of which perform essential functions for their host (Koskella & Vos, 2015). The importance of microbial communities for promoting the isolation of their hosts (Bordenstein et al. 2001) and facilitating their adaptation to novel ecological niches (O'Connor et al. 2014) has been increasingly recognized. A species' response to dynamic changes in the environment can frequently be modulated by the "holobiont" of host and microbial associates (Margulis & Fester 1991, Moran et al. 2019). In some cases, this holobiont may even act as a unit of selection (Guerrero et al. 2013). Therefore, understanding whether host organisms and their associated microbial communities respond in a similar manner to external forces, is essential for identifying potential drivers of evolution (McFall-Ngai et al. 2013).

Among arthropods, associated microbial communities are often highly diverse assemblages, accounting for an extensive range of interactions with their host (Engel & Moran 2013). Many arthropods host different microbial communities in different body parts that occupy various niches such as the gut microbiota or intracellular endosymbionts (Hansen & Moran 2014). The composition of the gut microbiota is often determined by complex interactions of environment, diet, developmental stage, and host evolutionary history (Yun et al. 2014), contributing to various functions for the host ranging from nutrition to protection against pathogens (Engel & Moran 2013, Moran et al. 2019, Hammer et al. 2019). However, for some arthropod taxa, recent work also suggests that a large proportion of the gut microbiota is purely environmentally derived, highly transient, and does not always have apparent functional relevance (Hammer et al. 2017; Kennedy et al. 2020). In contrast, a functional reliance of the host on its microbial communities could result

in more stable and predictable gut microbial communities, that may be conserved and co-evolve

with their host (Engel & Moran 2013, Kwong et al. 2017).

In contrast to the gut microbiota, most endosymbionts are vertically-transmitted

intracellular bacteria. They can comprise tightly coevolved taxa, supplying their host with essential

nutrients, such as bacteria of the genus *Buchnera* in aphids (Koga et al. 2003). Many other

endosymbionts manipulate the reproduction of their host, such as species in the genera

Wolbachia, *Rickettsia*, *Rickettsiella,* and *Cardinium* (Duron et al. 2017; Hoy & Jeyaprakash 2005;

Vanthournout & Hendrickx 2015; White et al. 2020; Zhang et al. 2017). These taxa can promote

cytoplasmic incompatibilities between hosts, enhancing genetic isolation (Shropshire et al. 2020).

Some endosymbionts have also been associated with behavioral tendencies for long-distance

dispersal (Goodacre et al. 2006), translating into higher gene flow and suppressing divergence

between lineages otherwise isolated by distance.

Considering this background, a key point of interest is dissecting to what extent the nature

of the host-microbe relationship affects the assembly and composition of host-associated

microbial communities as the host adapts and evolves in its environment. Here, we examine the

assembly and composition of different microbial communities within an evolving host by focusing

on a lineage of spiders that shows recent divergence between populations on the youngest island

of Hawai'i (Gillespie et al. 2018).

The Hawaiian archipelago is comprised of a chain of volcanic islands that increase in age

from southeast to northwest, providing an ideal system for tracking the interplay between a host

and the different components of its microbial community within an isolated setting (Shaw &

Gillespie 2016). Even on the youngest island of Hawai'i, the volcanoes are arranged

chronologically, from approximately 430,000 years old (Kohala), to the active flows of Kīlauea,

with population structure of local flora and fauna generally shaped by progressive colonization of

the newly emerged volcanoes (e.g. Simon 1987; Wagner & Funk, 1995; Blankers et al. 2018;

Eldon et al. 2019; Goodman et al. 2019). Stick-spiders in the genus *Ariamnes* (Theridiidae) have

diversified rapidly across the landscapes in the Hawaiian archipelago (Gillespie & Rivera 2007)

and exhibit repeated diversification into ecomorphs adapted to specific microhabitats such as the

"gold" ecomorph that is are entirely cryptic on the underside of leaves (Gillespie & Rivera 2007;

Gillespie *et al.* 2018). However, their diet is conserved and they are specialized consumers of

other spiders (Kennedy et al. 2018). Compared to their mainland counterparts in which dispersal

by ballooning has been well documented (e.g. Larrivee & Buddle 2011), Hawaiian *Ariamnes* (as

with other Hawaiian spiders) show little propensity for dispersal; such a loss of dispersal, and

associated high levels of local endemism, are a common feature of organisms on remote islands

(Gillespie et al 2012).

The current study focuses on a single species of the Hawaiian *Ariamnes* (*A. waikula*),

endemic to the youngest island of Hawai'i. We aim to determine whether this highly specialized

spider lineage shows parallel signatures of recurrent colonization events across volcanoes within

the island in the same way as its microbial associates. We hypothesize that the population

structure of *A. waikula* reflects a stepping stone colonization from older to younger volcanoes, and

that populations from geologically older sites will show higher differentiation and higher within

population diversity compared to younger sites, as they generate novel diversity subsequent to

the founder event(s) (Roderick et al. 2012). For the microbial communities within the spider hosts,

patterns of composition may or may not reflect the patterns of host differentiation depending on

the nature of the host/microbe relationship. First, we predict that microbial associates that are

vertically transmitted, including the intracellular endosymbionts, (i) will show patterns of community

composition that closely mirror the population structure of the host (i.e. strong correlation between

the host genetic structure and the microbial beta diversities) and (ii) will present lower microbial

alpha diversities at the younger sites due to stochastic losses of some microbial symbionts during

colonization events (Minard et al. 2015). Second, if microbial associates are mainly

environmentally acquired and filtered by phylogenetically-conserved host traits (Moran & Sloan

2015), we can expect a correlation between the host genetic structure and the microbial beta

diversities (Mazel et al. 2018) and we do not expect any reduction of the microbial alpha diversities

at the younger sites. Third, if host-associated microbial communities are passively assembled

without any filtering by the host, we expect no particular patterns in terms of microbial alpha

diversity or relating host genetic structure and the microbial beta diversities. In the latter case,

microbial beta diversities might rather be linked to geographical distances or other environmental

factors.

To test these predictions, we examined the population genetic structure of *A. waikula* on

Hawai'i Island, along with several outgroup species from other islands, using genome-wide single

nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) data generated with double digest RAD sequencing (ddRAD). We

then investigated how different components of their microbiota have changed as the spiders

colonized new locations. To do so, we compared the community structure of microbial populations

to that of their host individual using 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing, capturing the diversity

of both the endosymbionts and the gut microbiota. Finally, we complemented these analyses with

simulations to assess the robustness of our findings.

Materials and Methods

Sampling

We sampled *Ariamnes* across Hawaiʻi Island, focusing on individuals of *A. waikula* (gold ecomorph), from 6 populations. In addition, we included 2 individuals of the related *A. hiwa* (brown ecomorph) and 32 gold ecomorph individuals from two other species: *A. melekalikimaka* on West Maui and *A. n. sp.* Molokaʻi (Gillespie et al. 2018). Using *A. hiwa*, *A. melekalikimaka*, and *A. n. sp.* Molokaʻi we were able to confirm monophyly of the Hawaiʻi Island clade and compare the diversity of the microbial communities of other species between and within islands. Sampling sites were all located within the wet forest (rainfall 2500-3000mm) and between elevations of 1000m and 1300m, with the exception of the "Saddle" population which was slightly higher (Table S1). Our sites were all chosen to standardize variables (temperature, precipitation, elevation) to the extent possible. Individuals were collected by hand and immediately preserved in 90% EtOH. We collected a total of 133 individuals for sequencing (Supplementary Tables S1 & S2). Only adults were collected for this study, to decrease the likelihood of capturing differences driven by age.

Ariamnes - ddRAD library preparation and sequencing

To examine the population structure of the spider host, we used ddRAD to obtain reduced representation genome-wide SNP data. Genomic DNA was extracted from spider legs with several modifications to the Qiagen DNeasy kit protocol. Legs were first removed from each specimen using sterile tweezers so that the abdomen remained intact for the microbial DNA analysis. DNA was then extracted by placing the tissue in Proteinase K and lysis buffer and grinding them with a sterile pestle to break up the exoskeleton. We then added 4uL RNase A (100mg/ml) and incubated the extractions for two minutes at room temperature. Tubes with tissue and extraction solution were then placed overnight in a heat block at 56°C. The remainder of the extraction protocol was performed following the manufacturer's instructions. We built ddRAD libraries following an

adapted protocol of Peterson *et al.* (2012) (Saarman & Pogson, 2015; see Maas et al. 2018 for

protocol optimization steps). Briefly, we started the ddRAD protocol with a total of 100 nanograms

of DNA per sample. The DNA was digested using SphI-HF (rare-cutting) and MlucI (frequent-

cutting) restriction enzymes. We assessed fragmentation with a Bioanalyzer High Sensitivity chip

(Agilent). We multiplexed 15-20 individuals per library for a total of eight ddRAD libraries, using

oligo sequences of 42bp including a barcode of 5bp (Peterson *et al.* 2012). We used a Sage

Science Pippen Prep to size select 451-551bp (including internal adapters) fragments, and

confirmed the sizes using a Bioanalyzer. Ten indexing polymerase chain reaction (PCR) cycle

were run on each library to enrich for double-digested fragments and to incorporate a unique

external index for each library pool. The eight libraries were sequenced using 100bp paired-end

sequencing on one Illumina HiSeq2500 lane at the Vincent J. Coates Genomic Sequencing

Facility at UC Berkeley.

Ariamnes - ddRAD data filtering and processing

We used a custom perl script invoking a variety of external programs to filter and process

the ddRAD data (RADTOOLKITv0.13.10; https://github.com/CGRL-QB3-UCBerkeley/RAD). Briefly,

raw fastq reads were first de-multiplexed based on the sequence composition of internal barcodes

with tolerance of one mismatch. De-multiplexed reads were removed if the expected cutting site

was not found at the beginning of the 5'-end of the sequences. The reads were then filtered using

cutadapt (Martin 2011) and Trimmomatic using default parameters (Bolger et al. 2014) to trim off

Illumina adapter contaminations and low-quality reads. The resulting cleaned forward reads of

each individual were first clustered using cd-hit with 97% as the clustering threshold (Fu et al.

2012; Li & Godzik 2006), keeping only those clusters with at least two reads. In each cluster, we

pulled out the corresponding reverse reads based on the identifiers. Both forward and reverse

clusters were kept only if the corresponding reverse reads also formed one cluster. If reverse

reads were grouped into more than one cluster, then only the forward read cluster was kept. For

each paired cluster, the representative sequences for each forward and reverse cluster

determined by cd-hit were retained. We then merged the forward and reverse sequences using

FLASH (Magoč & Salzberg 2011). If they could not be merged then they were joined by placing

"N"s between the two sequences. The resulting loci were then masked for putative repetitive and

low-complexity elements, and short repeats using RepeatMasker (Smit et al. 2004) with "spider"

as the database. After masking, we eliminated loci if more than 60% of the nucleotides were Ns.

The resulting ddRAD loci from each individual were combined and clustered for all individuals.

Contigs that were at least 40 nucleotides in length and shared by at least 60% of all the individuals

served as a reference. Cleaned sequencing reads from each individual were then aligned to the

reference using Novoalign (http://www.novocraft.com), discarding any ambiguously mapped

reads. We used Picard (http://picard.sourceforge.net) to add read groups and GATK (McKenna et

al. 2010) to perform realignment around indels in BAM format generated by SAMtools (Li et al.

2009). We then used SAMtools/BCFtools (Li et al. 2009) to generate data quality control

information in VCF format. These data were then further filtered using a custom perl script,

SNPcleaner (Bi et al. 2013). We filtered out any loci with more than two called alleles. We masked

sites within 10 bp upstream and downstream of an indel. We discarded sites with a total depth

outside of the genome-wide 1st and 99th percentile. To avoid excessive heterogeneity in sample

representation among sites, we also removed alignments if more than 40% of the samples had

less than 3X coverage.

Since most of the individuals were expected to have low coverage (between 5-15x) we

used ANGSD (Korneliussen et al. 2014) to calculate genotype likelihoods and genotypes for the

analyses. This tool was specifically developed for population and evolutionary genomic analyses

of low coverage data. We used genotype likelihoods whenever the downstream tools allowed us

to, randomness in allele sampling as well as sequencing or mapping errors lead to uncertainty in

genotype calling (Crawford & Lazzaro 2012)).

Ariamnes - Phylogenetic Analyses

We investigated the phylogenetic relationships between populations of *A. waikula* and the

other *Ariamnes* species to better understand colonization patterns. To do so, we used the Stacks

pipelines (Catchen et al. 2013) to group reads into homologous loci across all individuals and

extract phylogenetically informative sites (i.e. fixed within individuals but variable between

individuals). This yielded an alignment of 58,899 sites with which we performed phylogenetic

reconstruction using IQ-TREE (Nguyen et al. 2015) by combining model selection from

ModelFinder Plus (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017) and assessing branch supports with 1,000

ultrafast bootstrap (Hoang et al. 2018). Finally, we rooted the tree using the *A. hiwa* individuals

and calibrated it with r8s (Sanderson 2003) without specifying any absolute dating (*i.e.* setting the

root age to 1).

A. waikula - Population Genetic Analyses

We first explored *A. waikula* population structure using Principal Components Analysis

(PCA). PCA, as opposed to structure analyses which force data into a specific number of clusters,

is an unsupervised method which attempts to find orthogonal directions of maximum variance

through a cloud of data. Therefore, it is a useful way to explore data structure prior to using

supervised methods for inferring population structure. We used the previously generated genotype

likelihoods to calculate genotype posterior probabilities under an allele frequvvency prior (-doPost

1) in binary format (-doGeno 32) for use with ngsCovar (part of the ngsTools package; (Fumagalli

et al. 2014). We used ngsCovar to calculate a genetic covariance matrix among individuals from

the genotype probabilities at sites having a minor allele frequency of 5% to avoid noise from very

rare alleles (which could be due to sequencing errors). Comparisons between the first three

principal component axes were then plotted using R (R Core Team, 2020). Pairwise F_{ST} values

were calculated in ANGSD from allele frequency likelihoods (-doSaf 1) and the respective pair's

genome-wide, unfolded, joint site frequency spectrum (SFS) as a prior for jointly observing any

combination of allele frequencies between the two populations. We used unfolded allele

frequencies by supplying the reference sequences as a pseudo-ancestral sequence since

ANGSDv0.930 is only able to accurately estimate F_{ST} using unfolded data. In order to visualize

F_{ST} distances, we performed multidimensional scaling (to 2 dimensions) with R (R Core Team,

2020; using the *cmdscale* function). Last, we estimated pairwise genetic distances calculated from

genotype likelihoods using the software ngsDist (Viera et al. 2015) and balanced minimum

evolution using FastME (Lefort et al. 2015). To do so, we first calculated genotype likelihoods

using ANGSD (see above), which were used to construct a pairwise distance matrix between all

individuals using ngsDist.

A crucial factor affecting population structure is gene flow between populations. In order to

determine levels of connectivity between populations of *A. waikula*, we used two different

approaches: ngsAdmix (Skotte *et al.* 2013) and EEMS (Petkova et al. 2016). ngsAdmix is a

genotype likelihood based-tool for estimating individual admixture proportions, while EEMS uses

genotype calls to infer effective migration surfaces. We inferred ancestry proportions indicative of

admixture for different values of K (number of ancestral populations; ranging from two to six, which

is the number of populations sampled) using R for visualization. We then generated genotype calls

for the EEMS analysis using ANGSD with the following flags: '-doMaf2', '-doGeno 2', '-doPost 1',

'-doSaf 1', '-fold 1', a SNP p-value cut-off of 1e-6, and a posterior probability for calling genotypes

of 0.75. We only considered sites with a minimum depth of 3. We converted the output into the

adegenet (R-package) input format using PopGenTools (https://github.com/CGRL-QB3-

UCBerkeley/PopGenTools) to calculate genetic distances between all individuals. EEMS also

requires a geographic map of the area in question, so we used the program to divide Hawaiʻi

Island into grids of 10km (Supplementary Fig. S1) and subsequently added the samples to the

grid using one GPS coordinate per population (Supplementary Table S1). Using a stepping stone

model, we calculated migration rates between the demes. Convergence of the MCMC runs was

assessed by plotting and inspecting the traces by eye (Supplementary Fig. S2). We performed 10

independent runs for each of the analyses.

Next, we calculated population genetic statistics such as nucleotide diversity (Pi),

Watterson's Theta, and Tajima's D. To do so, we generated a folded SFS for each population

using ANGSD and realSFS (part of the ANGSD package). We then ran ANGSD -*doTheta 1* and -

pest (which provides the genome-wide SFS prior to ANGSD) and the respective output formats

were converted to bed format using *thetaStat make_bed*. Subsequently, we calculated the per

population statistics using *thetaStat do_stat*. The average, min, and max Tajima's D were then

calculated and we further extracted the genome-wide average Watterson's theta and Pi values.

While Watterson's theta and Pi are both measures of genetic diversity, Watterson's theta is based

only on the number of segregating sties and so is sensitive to novel, rare diversity, whereas Pi is

most influenced by sites with alleles at intermediate frequency more typical ancestral diversity.

We measured both Pi and theta, as well as Tajima's D to gain a wholistic understanding of the

genetic signatures of colonization events and possible subsequent expansions. We then also used

linear models in R to test whether genetic diversity (Pi or Watterson's theta) was positively related

to the age of a given volcano.

Microbial Communities

To characterize the microbial community within the *A. waikula* hosts, a subset of 71

individuals from the eight populations on Hawai'i and two additional islands (Table 1;

Supplementary Tables S1 & S2) were selected for analysis. We focused on the mid and hindgut,

both located in the spider's opisthosoma. The preservation in ethanol led to considerable

shrinkage of the opisthosoma and thus did not allow us to separately dissect out the gut. Instead,

we used the whole opisthosoma to extract DNA, as described in Kennedy et al. (2020). Specimens

which did not have the opisthosoma intact were not used. The digestive tract comprises the

majority of the opisthosoma's cavity. In addition, it contains silk glands, the heart, lungs, and

gonads. The opisthosoma was removed with a sterile razor blade and then washed in ethanol to

remove external bacteria (Hammer et al. 2015). Besides the intracellular endosymbionts, we

considered the remaining bacteria to be representative of the "gut microbiota", even if it technically

consists of the "opisthosoma microbiota", but previous studies have shown that the gut microbiota

dominates in the opisthosoma (Sheffer et al. 2020, Kennedy et al. 2020). The tissue was then

transferred into lysis buffer and finely ground with a sterile pestle. DNA was extracted using the

Gentra Puregene Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Spider abdominal tissue can contain PCR inhibitors (Schrader et al. 2012), thus we cleaned the

DNA extract with 0.9X AmPure Beads XP.

We next amplified a ~300 bp fragment of the V1-V2 region of the bacterial 16S rRNA using

the Qiagen Multiplex PCR kit according to the manufacturer's protocols and using the primer pair

MS-27F (AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG) and MS-338R (TGCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT) (Gibson

et al. 2014). PCRs were run with 20ng of template DNA and 30 cycles at an annealing temperature

of 55°C. PCR products were separated from leftover primer by 1X AmPure Beads XP. A six-cycle

indexing PCR was performed on the cleaned products, adding dual indexes to every sample using

the Qiagen Multiplex PCR kit. Indexing was performed according to (Lange et al. 2014). The dual

indexed libraries were isolated from leftover primer as described above, quantified using a Qubit

fluorometer, and pooled in equal amounts into a single tube. The library was sequenced on an

Illumina MiSeq using V3 chemistry and 300 bp paired reads. In order to discard contaminants from

our final dataset, we also performed blank extraction controls and negative PCR controls (without

DNA template), which were sequenced along the other samples.

We used the 16S profiling analysis pipeline for Illumina paired-end sequences of the

Brazilian Microbiome Project (Pylro et al. 2014), including QIIME 1.8.0 (Caporaso et al. 2010) and

USEARCH 11 (Edgar 2013). We modified some steps of these pipelines, using our own Bash and

R scripts (R Core Team, 2020; see Data Accessibility section). The QIIME script

join_paired_ends.py was used to merge paired reads. The fastq_filter command in USEARCH

was used for quality filtering the assemblies (below a base calling error probability of 0.5, using

an average Q-score for each read). We used the Stream EDitor in UNIX to remove PCR primers

from all assembled sequences. Sequences were de-replicated using USEARCH, removing all

singletons. OTUs were generated at a similarity cutoff of 3% or 0% (0 radius OTUs, or "Z-OTU")

from the de-replicated sequences and chimera removed de novo using USEARCH. The following

analyses were thus independently applied on the two distinct sets of OTUs. We assigned

taxonomy to the resulting OTUs using the assign_taxonomy.py script based on the Greengenes

database (http://greengenes.secondgenome.com). We removed sequences corresponding to

OTUs found in high prevalence and abundance in the different negative controls from all samples,

as these could represent contaminants (Hornung et al. 2019).

Spiders are known to carry various endosymbiotic bacteria (Goodacre et al. 2006,

Vanthournout et al. 2015, White et al. 2020). These can be vastly overrepresented in microbial

analyses and thus may completely dominate the microbial community structure. Since we did not

extract the gut from individuals, endosymbionts from outside of the gut could be particularly

prevalent in our analysis. We thus separated known endosymbionts in our samples (*i.e. Rickettsia,*

Rickettsiella, Wolbachia, and *Canditatus Cardinium*) from the remaining bacterial sequences

(referred to as the "gut microbiota"), resulting in two OTU sequence files. Both of these datasets

were used for the following analyses separately.

OTU tables were prepared by mapping sequences back to the filtered OTU sequence files

using USEARCH. The OTU tables were rarefied to an even coverage (from 400 to 8,000 reads

with 20 replications per rarefaction depth) using the multiple_rarefactions.py script in QIIME. Given

the rarefaction curves (Supplementary Fig. S3), we chose rarefied depths at 3,200, 110, and 3,000

reads for the whole microbiota, the endosymbionts, and the gut microbiota respectively and

replicated 20 times this rarefaction; these rarefied OTU tables were used in all the following

analyses. Rarefying at 110 reads for the endosymbionts enabled us to keep all the samples for

the following analyses, but using a higher threshold did not qualitatively affect our results (not

shown) given that most samples were dominated by a single endosymbiotic OTU (see Results).

We plotted the relative abundances of different microbial taxa at the genus and order levels for

the different *Ariamnes* populations.

We then investigated whether microbial associates showed similar diversity patterns

compared to their *Ariamnes* hosts along the chronosequence. Chao1 indexes of alpha diversity

were calculated from the rarefied OTU tables using QIIME (alpha_diversity.py), and to evaluate

the presence of a bottleneck in microbial diversity, linear mixed models were used to test for an

effect of the volcano age and the genetic diversity of *Ariamnes* host populations (Pi and

Watterson's theta) on the bacterial alpha diversity, with sampling site as a random effect. The

different variables were log-transformed prior to analyses, and homoscedasticity and normality of

the model residuals were verified.

To measure microbiota differentiation across *Ariamnes* species and populations, we

computed beta diversity between microbial communities of each individual using QIIME

(beta_diversity.py with Bray-Curtis dissimilarities). Beta diversity of microbial populations was

visualized with a Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) and as dendrograms using a neighbor

joining reconstruction with the R-package ape (Paradis et al., 2004). To test whether individuals

from the same population tend to host similar bacterial communities across the different islands

(*i.e.* different *Ariamnes* species) or within Hawai'i Island only (*A. waikula*), we performed a

Permutational analysis of variance (PERMANOVA; *adonis* function, vegan R-package) on the

beta diversity matrices with 10,000 permutations, after having verified the homogeneity of the

variances (*betadispers* function). Next, we analyzed whether the microbiota of the *Ariamnes*

holobiont mirror the host's phylogeny by testing the correlations between microbial Bray-Curtis

dissimilarities and host genetic distances (ngsDist distances) or between microbiota differentiation

and host phylogenetic distances, using Mantel tests with 10,000 permutations (vegan R-package).

These analyses were performed between populations of *A. waikula* in Hawai'i Island and were

compared to the analyses performed between populations of different *Ariamnes* species (*i.e.*

including *A. melekalikimaka* on West Maui and *A. n. sp.* Molokaʻi). Finally, we tested whether the

microbiota differentiation could be explained by geography alone, by performing a Mantel test

between the microbial Bray-Curtis dissimilarities and the geographical distances between

sampling sites.

In addition, we replicated all diversity analyses of the microbial communities using

phylogenetic diversity indexes: alpha diversities were measured using Faith's phylogenetic

diversity, and beta-diversities were measured using weighted UniFrac distances (Lozupone &

Knight, 2005).

During all analyses of diversity, we also controlled for batch effects during the PCR steps

by assessing the correlations between proximal samples in the PCR plates.

Simulations

Our data for the microbial communities relied on 16S rRNA, raising the question as to

whether the rate of evolution of this particular molecular marker was sufficient to capture changes

at the very short timescales (<2 Myr) involved in the current study. The 16S rRNA gene of

symbiotic bacteria is generally assumed to accumulate only 1-2% of sequence divergences per

50 Myr on average (Moran et al. 1993; Ochman et al. 1999). To investigate whether such a slow

substitution rate is sufficient to detect microbial differentiation on <2Myr timescales we performed

simulations. We directly modeled the evolution of the DNA sequences of transmitted OTUs along

the *Ariamnes* phylogeny so as to simulate their evolution on a phylogenetic tree independent from

the *Ariamnes* tree (following Perez-Lamarque & Morlon 2019). We considered DNA sequences of

300 bp, with 10% variable sites, and a substitution rate of 0.001 events per site per million years

(such that two sequences would accumulate 1% divergence in 50 Myr on average). The *Ariamnes*

microbiota were composed of 100 OTUs with a certain percentage of vertically transmitted OTUs

(either 0, 10, 25, 50, 75, or 100%) and the remainder acquired from the environment. For each

percentage of transmitted OTUs, we performed 30 simulations of mock microbiota using the

function *simulate_alignment* from the R-package HOME (Perez-Lamarque & Morlon 2019). Then,

we considered that each OTU had an abundance of 100 and we added a sampling process of

50% of the OTUs in each microbiota (representing the sampling hazards of a metabarcoding

sequencing). Finally, we computed the Bray-Curtis beta diversities of the mock *Ariamnes*

microbiota and tested the presence of microbial differentiation by performing a Mantel test with

the host phylogenetic distances.

We then tested whether the presence of a bottleneck in the pool of available microbes

along the island chronosequence could be detected in the alpha diversities of the *Ariamnes*

microbiota. We considered 100 OTUs (each OTU having an abundance of 100) and simulated

bottlenecks of the microbial OTUs between the old sites (Moloka'i and Maui islands) and the

younger Hawai'i Island. We considered different strengths of bottlenecks (from 0% to 50% of the

microbial OTUs) and simulated mock microbiota for each *Ariamnes* by randomly picking 100

microbes from the local pools. We then tested the relationship between microbial alpha diversities

measured using Chao1 index and the volcano age using linear mixed models. Note that here we

only considered microbial bottlenecks as the stochastic losses of some microbial OTUs during

island colonization, but the signal of the microbial bottlenecks can also be reinforced by the

reduction of the genetic diversity within each microbial lineage at colonization events.

Results

Ariamnes - Phylogenetic Analyses

We obtained approximately 210 million paired-end reads from Illumina sequencing of the

ddRAD libraries. After filtering for contaminants and low-quality reads, there were approximately

83 million remaining across the 123 demultiplexed samples. From these, we retained a total of

2,957,301 sites passing filters out of a possible 7,378,384 across all individuals that were used in

downstream analyses and resulting in 123 individuals for population genetic analysis. After quality

filtering, the average sequencing depth of samples was 12x (range of 3-42x across 123 samples).

Phylogenetic analysis confirms that the *A. melekalikimaka* (West Maui), *A. n. sp.* (Molokaʻi)

and *A. waikula* (Hawaiʻi Island) are monophyletic groups (Fig. 1). Among *A. waikula* individuals,

the population from Kohala (the oldest volcano on Hawaiʻi Island) is sister to the clade that contains

all other individuals. Except for one individual from Saddle and two from Alili, these populations

from Saddle (between Mauna Loa and Mauna Kea) and Alili form monophyletic clades, suggesting

that there may be infrequent dispersal between some populations. The populations from Olaʻa,

Puʻu Makaʻala and Thurston, all sites that are close together in the saddle between the volcanoes

of Mauna Loa and Kilauea (MLKS), form one mixed clade.

A. waikula - Population genetics

We analyzed population differentiation and structure for the full data set, and a subset

including only *A. waikula* from Hawaiʻi Island. We did not include the two outgroup *A. hiwa*

individuals. Divergence (F_{ST}) was highest and similar between the different species on separate

islands: 0.52-0.54 for *A. melekalikimaka* (Maui) compared to *A. waikula* (Hawaiʻi Island); 0.46-0.49

for *A. n. sp.* (Molokaʻi) compared to *A. waikula (*Hawaiʼi Island); 0.47 for *A. n. sp.* (Molokai)

compared to *A. melekalikimaka* (Maui) (Table S3). These levels of divergence are close to those

reported for COI data between *Ariamnes* populations on Maui compared to Hawaii Island

(Roderick et al. 2012). *A. waikula* populations on Hawaiʻi Island were structured primarily

according to locality, with Kohala, Alili, and Saddle being distinct from each other and from the

MLKS sites (pairwise F_{ST} range 0.03-0.15; Puʻu Makaʻala, Thurston and Olaʻa; Fig. 1A).

Clustering analyses (PCA and ngsAdmix) of *A. waikula* broadly showed clustering of

groups according to locality/volcano, except at MLKS sites (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Fig. S5). PC1

explained approximately 16% of the variation and separated Kohala and the younger sites, placing

Saddle in the middle (Fig. 1A). Similarly, admixture analysis showed Kohala first splitting off at

K=2. PC2 explained approximately 4% of the variation and primarily separated Alili from the MLKS

sites, while PC3 (3%) separates Saddle, and to a lesser degree, Alili from the other populations

(Supplementary Fig. S5). Admixture analyses show the same pattern, with individuals from Alili

clustering separately at K=3 and Saddle at K=4. At K=6 we see slightly more similar ancestry

between individuals from Thurston and Olaʻa, than either of these two site with Puʻu Makaʻala (Fig.

2B). For the ngsAdmix analyses, we found consistent results from the 50 independent runs for

higher values of K for the Hawaiʻi only sampling (Supplementary Fig. S4). Lastly, the EEMS

analyses with *A. waikula* individuals indicate potential gene flow between the MLKS populations:

Puʻu Makaʻala, Thurston, and Olaʻa (Fig. 2C).

Contrary to expectations, we found that Watterson's theta was fairly even across localities

on the youngest island of Hawaiʼi (Fig. 3). However, this correlation between Watterson's theta

and volcano age was not significant (F=0.023, p-value = 0.87) for *A. waikula* populations within

Hawaiʼi (Figure 3) and no other correlations were found between theta and volcano age or for

Ariamnes genetic diversity (Pi or Theta).

We also investigated Tajima's D, which reflects population size changes as a skew in the

site frequency spectrum (Supplementary Fig. S6). We found that most sampling sites showed only

marginally negative values consistent with population expansion (average: -1.0 to -0.06;

Supplementary Table S4).

Microbial Communities

We obtained a total of 4,932,236 bacterial reads from the 16S rRNA sequencing. After the

quality filtering steps, demultiplexing, and removal of contaminants based on the negative controls

(including the genera *Brachybacterium*, *Veillonella*, or *Brevibacterium*), we obtained a total of

1,315,469 sequences, which range from 5,000 sequences to 45,000 per individual *Ariamnes*

sample. Due to the largely unexplored nature of the microbial communities of Hawaiian

arthropods, we could not assign genus level taxonomy to the majority of microbial OTUs (only

241OTUs out of the 571 97% OTUs and 742 OTUs out of the 1,357 Z-OTUs), but were able to

assign order for the majority of them (528 OTUs 97% and 1,290 Z-OTUs).

We did not find any significant differences in the alpha diversities of the whole microbiota

among *Ariamnes* populations or species (Supplementary Table S5). Using linear mixed models,

we also did not find a significant association of the microbial alpha diversity with volcano age or

the genetic diversity of the host population (Fig. 3), even though the alpha diversities of the gut

microbiota seemed to be slightly higher for host populations present on old volcanos (Fig. 3D).

The results were similar for both Z-OTUs and 97% OTUs.

Intracellular endosymbiont community: When only selecting the endosymbionts, the

community showed considerable variation between the different species of *Ariamnes* (Fig. 1B).

Ariamnes melekalikimaka from West Maui mostly carry *Wolbachia*, while *Rickettsia* dominate the

endosymbiont community of *A. n. sp.* Moloka'i, and *Rickettsiella* dominates the populations of *A.*

waikula on Hawai'i Island (Fig. 4A & Supplementary Fig. S7). This suggests that each spider

population was colonized by a single endosymbiont strain (single OTU; Supplementary Fig. S8).

The exception to this was several individuals of *A. waikula* spiders from Alili, Ola'a, and Thurston

that appear to have been simultaneously colonized by *Rickettsiella* and *Rickettsia.* We observed

a large variability in the relative abundance of the endosymbionts within each sample, from

occupying the majority of the microbial reads (in most samples) to less than 5% of the reads in a

few samples (Supplementary Fig. S8). The pronounced turnover of endosymbiont taxa between

host populations was mirrored in the Principal coordinate analyses (PCoA): Individuals of the

different species from different islands formed separated clusters in PCoA plots and cluster

dendrograms for the endosymbiont communities (Fig. 1B, Supplementary Figs. S9 & S10). PCoA

plots and cluster dendrograms exhibit a clear pattern of phylosymbiosis, where the divergences

between microbiota reflect the phylogenetic distances between the *Ariamnes* hosts. Mantel tests

showed a significant correlation between the endosymbiont community beta diversity and the

Ariamnes (phylo)genetic distances (R>0.6, P<0.001; Table 2 & Supplementary Table S7). Mantel

tests similarly showed a significant correlation between the endosymbiont community beta

diversity and the geographical distances (R=0.56, p<0.001, Table 2 & Supplementary Table S7),

but their correlation coefficients were generally lower that those found with the *Ariamnes*

(phylo)genetic distances, suggesting that the different *Ariamnes* host lineages better explain the

endosymbiont variation than the geography alone. Within Hawai'i Island, endosymbiont

communities of *A. waikula* from the same population tend to be more similar than between

populations (Supplementary Table S6; *e.g.* individuals from Ola'a tend to cluster together in Fig.

1B), suggesting a slight population differentiation. However, we found no strong correlation

between microbial beta diversity and *A. waikula* (phylo)genetic distances using Mantel tests (Table

2 & Supplementary Table S7).

Gut microbiota: In contrast to the endosymbionts, the gut microbiota showed a

homogeneous taxonomic composition of microbial taxa at the genus level across different

Ariamnes populations or species (Fig. 4B & Supplementary Fig. S7). This stability of the

composition of the gut microbiota was also confirmed at the order level (Fig. 4B & Supplementary

Fig. S7) and at the level of the individual spiders (Supplementary Fig. S8). No clear differentiation

according to the different species (across islands) or different *A. waikula* populations from Hawai'i

Island could be visually detected for the beta diversity of the gut microbiota, either from PCoA

decomposition (Supplementary Fig. S9) or hierarchical clustering (dendrogram; Fig. 1C &

Supplementary Fig. S10), though there are some slight patterns of clustering by host population.

This slight differentiation was confirmed using PERMANOVA: The gut microbiota of individuals

from the same population were significantly more similar than the gut microbiota from different

populations or species, even within *A. waikula* populations from Hawai'i (R^2=0.29, P<0.001,

Supplementary Table S6). These results indicate that, although the composition of the gut

microbiota seems taxonomically similar, there is a slight trend toward microbiota differentiation

according to the host populations. However, using Mantel tests we found no significant

correlations between the gut microbial community beta diversities and the *Ariamnes* genetic

distances, the *Ariamnes* phylogenetic distances, nor the geographical distances (Table 2 &

Supplementary Table S7), suggesting that there is likely no pattern of sequential colonization from

older to younger volcanoes (stepping stone colonization) in these gut microbial communities in

opposition to their spider hosts. We also noticed that besides the slight effect of host populations

on the PCoA decomposition (Supplementary Fig. S9) or on the dendrogram of the gut microbiota

(Fig. 1C), these gut bacterial communities tend to group into two clusters (Supplementary Fig. S9

and Fig. 1C), with one cluster ("*cluster 1*") being composed of 14 individual spiders from Alili, Ola'a,

Thurston, and Pu'u Maka'ala. Examination of the details of the differences in gut microbial

composition of these two clusters, we found that spider individuals from *cluster 1* were additionally

colonized by a single abundant OTU from the order Entomoplasmatales (Supplementary Fig.

S11).

Finally, the diversity analyses using phylogenetic metrics showed similar patterns to that obtained

with non-phylogenetic metrics (results not shown).

Simulations

Simulations to assess whether the slow substitutions rates of 16S rRNA can indeed

capture signatures of change among host-associated microbes in recently evolving lineages

(<2MY) showed that we can recover a significant phylosymbiotic pattern, i.e. a significant

correlation between the microbial beta diversity and the *Ariamnes* phylogenetic distances, even

when only 10% of the bacterial OTUs were transmitted and 90% of the remaining OTUs were

evolving independently from the *Ariamnes* phylogeny (Figure S12). When >=75% of the bacterial

OTUs were transmitted, we always recovered a strong and significant phylosymbiosis (Figure

S12). This suggested that, despite the slow evolution of the 16S rRNA gene, we should be able

to detect microbiota differentiation if some of the *Ariamnes*-associated bacteria diverged

separately in the different populations, whether because of vertical transmission or because of

vicariance events in the environmental pools of available bacteria. Moreover, simulations of the

bottlenecks in microbial diversity during island colonizations showed that we can recover a signal

in the microbial alpha diversity (a decrease of diversity in the youngest sites) even if the bottleneck

has impacted only a small proportion of the bacterial OTUs (<10%; Figure S13).

Discussion

In this study, we examined the early stages of diversification of Hawaiian *Ariamnes* spiders in concert with the different components of their associated microbial community on the youngest island of the Hawaiian archipelago. Our results show a strong effect of isolation by distance in the host and contrasting patterns of community composition among both the endosymbionts and the gut microbiota they harbor, suggesting eco-evolutionary distinctiveness of the host and each of the two microbial components.

Isolation by distance explains Ariamnes diversification

Our analyses suggest that the nascent diversification of *A. waikula* is mainly the result of isolation by distance. The different populations of *A. waikula* show a predictable pattern of colonization across Hawaiʻi Island from the oldest (Kohala) to the youngest (MLKS) sites, with little genetic structure between the geographically proximal MLKS sites of Olaʻa, Puʻu Makaʻala, and Thurston (PCA, Supplementary Fig. S5; FST, Supplementary Table S3; Admixture, Fig. 2B). Our analyses suggest either that gene flow is still occurring between these MLKS populations, or that the recency of colonization means that there has been insufficient time for differentiation in this area (Roderick et al. 2012). Interestingly, we did not find a significant increase of genetic diversity with volcano age, as expected with successive founding events. These patterns may become more apparent with additional loci or more extensive sampling.

Patterns of genetic differentiation suggest a potentially complicated course of colonization across Hawaiʻi Island for *A. waikula*. Although Kohala, which represents the oldest volcano, was consistently characterized as distinct from other populations in PCA, phylogenetic, and admixture analyses, both Saddle and Alili were also largely distinct. These patterns are potentially explained by a shifting mosaic population structure (Carson et al 1990) with multiple colonization events, historical connectivity, or ongoing admixture. However, sampling of additional sites, individuals,

and species will be required to disentangle the historical sequence of events explaining the

relationship between these sites. Our results are consistent with other population genetic studies

on Hawai'i Island showing strong differentiation and potential speciation of lineages on the

different volcanoes within the island (Funk & Wagner 1995; Holland & Cowie 2007; Muir & Price

2008; Croucher et al. 2012; Goodman et al. 2019; Eldon et al. 2019; Blankers et al. 2018).

Interestingly, spiders on the mainland would not show this isolation by volcano pattern, because

they do efficiently disperse by ballooning; here, our data, in contrast, suggest that the species

does not efficiently balloon anymore in this island system (Gillespie et al 2012).

Major endosymbiont changes after potential colonization event

We found major shifts among the dominant endosymbiont genera across the different

islands and *Ariamnes* species: Species of *Ariamnes* from the two geologically oldest sites included

in the study (Moloka'i and Maui) each harbor a unique endosymbiont genus (*Rickettsia* and

Wolbachia respectively) while the different populations of *A. waikula* on the youngest Hawaii Island

all have a mix of endosymbionts dominated by the genus *Rickettsiella*. Most spider individuals

were colonized by a single endosymbiont OTU as previously observed in *Argiope* spiders (Sheffer

et al. 2020), but there were also a few individuals that were co-colonized by both *Rickettsiella* and

Rickettsia. Although such endosymbiont coexistence has been previously observed (*e.g.* Mouton

et al. (2004) found up to 3 coexisting *Wolbachia* strains in some wasps), we cannot exclude the

possibility that one of these endosymbionts may have come from a prey item that had just been

eaten before sampling (Kennedy et al. 2020). The overall shifts in endosymbionts across *Ariamnes*

species likely contribute to the congruence between the host phylogeny and the dendrogram of

microbiota dissimilarity - a pattern referred as phylosymbiosis (Brooks et al. 2016; Lim &

Bordenstein, 2020). The strong conservatism of the dominant endosymbiont within each island is

consistent with the maternal inheritance and vertical transmission of the endosymbionts (Duron et

al. 2008) and the fact that it generates phylosymbiosis has been similarly observed in other

arthropods (e.g. a *Camponotus* ant system and its associated endosymbiont *Candidatus*

Blochmania (Degnan et al. 2004)). Therefore, the endosymbiont component of the microbiota

reflects the overall evolutionary relationships of the *Ariamnes* host. However, from our study, we

cannot say whether endosymbionts played a role in promoting the genetic isolation of *A. waikula*

populations; this hypothesis would require experimental manipulation of microbial communities

within different host populations.

The observed turnover of dominant endosymbiont genera between islands and host

populations suggests that endosymbiont horizontal transmission happens quickly. Previous

studies have also shown frequent horizontal transfers in the *Wolbachia* strains infecting

arthropods, this pattern being more common than co-speciation events (Baldo et al., 2008;

Stahlhut et al. 2010). In particular, Stahlhut et al. (2010) showed that hosts sharing the same

ecology were likely to horizontally exchange *Wolbachia* strains. In contrast, a more recent study

reported lower turnover rates over time (7 Myr on average) for the bacterial genus *Wolbachia*

colonizing arthropods in French Polynesia and found that *Wolbachia* colonization did not seem

related to recent events of isolation mediated by island structure (Bailly-Bechet et al. 2017). Given

that the islands used in the current study, and thus the spider populations studied here, are

separated by <2 Myr (Gillespie et al. 2018), the more rapid turnover in *Ariamnes* endosymbiotic

communities (compared to *Wolbachia* in the French Polynesian arthropods) appears to be linked

to the geological formation of islands. Therefore, it seems to exist a range of endosymbiont

dynamics, from conserved and stable host associations (Degnan et al. 2004) to labile and

horizontally acquired (Baldo et al., 2008; Stahlhut et al. 2010; this study). For *Ariamnes* spiders,

including *A. waikula* (Kennedy et al. 2018), predation on other arthropods and cannibalism are

particularly important (Whitehouse et al. 2002), providing a potential avenue for endosymbiont

horizontal transfer (Su et al. 2019) and may explain the high endosymbiont turnover observed in

this system. However, from our current analyses, because we did not study the phylogenetic

history of individual microbial lineages, we cannot date the events of endosymbiont acquisition.

In particular, we cannot separate a scenario of recent horizontal shifts of the endosymbionts,

where endosymbionts were very recently acquired from the environment, from a scenario of older

shifts, where endosymbionts were acquired during colonization events and were thus

synchronous with speciation events or subject to priority effects. Testing this will require modeling

host-microbiota evolution, which is currently challenging on such short evolutionary timescales

(Groussin et al. 2017; Perez-Lamarque & Morlon 2019).

Several previous studies have suggested that endosymbionts such as *Rickettsia* reduce

the behavioral propensity for ballooning dispersal in spiders (Goodacre et al. 2009). Hawaiian

Ariamnes have never been shown to balloon and exhibit high local endemism, a common

phenomenon in organisms endemic to remote islands, generally attributed to distinct local

selective pressures (Gillespie et al 2012). The possibility that such a reduction in dispersal may

be associated with bacterial infection is intriguing. Experimental studies will be needed to test the

hypothesis.

Similarity of the gut microbiota

Across all spider populations, we observed a conserved gut microbiota: Over

approximately one million years of divergence, the composition seems to have remained stable

and does not reflect the *Ariamnes* genetic structure. The core lineages at the generic level are the

same as those typically found in the gut microbiota of other arthropods (Engel & Moran 2013),

including spiders (Kennedy et al. 2020, Sheffer et al. 2020). In addition, although we detected

slight but significant differences in the gut microbiota between the different spider species and

between the *A. waikula* populations within Hawai'i Island, these patterns of differentiation were

not associated with *Ariamnes* (phylo)genetic structure, volcano age, nor the geographical

distances between the sampling sites. Most of the variation in the gut microbiota observed using

PCoA or cluster dendrograms (Fig. 3C and Supplementary Fig. S9) was actually explained by a

single OTU from the order Entomoplasmatales that was present in high abundance in many *A.*

waikula individuals across different populations (Supplementary Fig. S8). Given that

Entomoplasmatales are arthropod pathogens (Gasparich, 2014), the observed variation across

Ariamnes gut microbiota may simply reflect the local acquisition from the environment,

decorrelated from the host (phylo)genetic structure.

We found that both alpha and beta diversity of gut microbes was similar across the different

islands and populations, decoupled from the spider's phylogeny. This absence of a correlation

with the host's phylogenetic structure suggests that the gut microbiota is likely acquired from the

spider's environment, most probably through the spider's diet (Kennedy et al. 2020; Zhang et al.

2018). The pattern of slight differentiation according to the host populations could either be due to

gradual changes in the host-filtering process (Mazel et al. 2018; see Introduction), or only reflect

the local variations of the bacterial pool available in the host environment.

One could argue that the absence of strong differentiation in the microbial communities

could come from the slow evolution of the 16S rRNA marker which may not provide the needed

resolution to show differences at short timescales (<2 Myr). However, given that we used Z-OTU

clustering (0% radius OTUs) that can detect any variation in the 16S sequences and that the 16S

gene of symbiotic bacteria is estimated to diverge at least 1-2% per 50 Myr on average (Ochman

et al., 1999), our simulations demonstrated that we should expect to have some variation in a

portion of the 16S sequences of the transmitted bacteria. Thus, we should expect to detect

microbial differentiation (by comparing their beta diversity) and a resulting pattern of

phylosymbiosis (Sanders et al. 2014, Perez-Lamarque & Morlon, 2019). In particular, our

simulations demonstrated that even 10% transmission of the microbial OTUs can be sufficient to

generate a significant pattern of phylosymbiosis within such a short amount of time. In addition, if

the *Ariamnes*-associated microbial communities experienced bottlenecks at colonization events,

we expect filtering of the preexisting (genetic or strain) diversity that has accumulated for more

than 2 Myr, and this should not be affected by the slow evolution of the 16S rRNA gene. Here

again, this expectation was supported by the simulations which showed that diversity bottlenecks

in the microbial communities resulting from a stepping stone colonization, could readily be

detected and was not sensitive to the short timescales of the *A. waikula* divergences.

The overall similarity of the gut microbiota at the generic level has been shown in other

systems, such as recently diversified *Anolis* lizards (Ren et al. 2016) and *Cephalotes* turtle ants

(Sanders et al. 2014). Such similarity likely conserves the functional properties of the gut

microbiota (Muegge et al. 2011), which could be particularly advantageous for arthropods which

often rely on gut symbionts to complement imbalanced nutrition (Engel & Moran 2013) or detoxify

toxin-rich diets (Adams et al. 2013). It is unclear whether *Ariamnes* spiders, and predatory

arthropods in general, also rely on their microbiota for various functions. A recent study suggested

that, the spider microbiota can be mainly derived from the prey items, without any apparent

functions (Kennedy et al., 2020). If the same applies for the Hawaiian *Ariamnes*, the conserved

gut microbiota of *A. waikula* may reflect its conserved niche, with the diet presumably similar

despite changes in geographical range.

Conclusion

Organisms host unique and large microbial diversities, and it is likely that the different

components of the microbial community experience different ecological and evolutionary

dynamics. In this study, we demonstrated a dichotomy between the endosymbiont communities

and the gut microbiota: For the host Hawaiian *Ariamnes* spiders, abiotic factors, in particular

isolation by distance along the volcano chronosequence, appear to have the strongest influence

in explaining divergence patterns. The evolutionary history of the hosts also strongly impacts the

colonization of endosymbionts, which show clear shifts in their composition between species on

different islands. However, we find little differentiation in endosymbionts across populations of *A.*

waikula within Hawaʻi Island, despite the strong genetic structure of the host. In contrast to the

differences we find between taxa in the endosymbiont composition, the composition of the gut

microbiota is overall similar across both species and populations despite strong geographic

isolation of their hosts. Our results stress the high heterogeneity within the different components

of the *Ariamnes* holobiont that likely did not act as a single homogenous unit of selection.

Investigating the composition of microbiota through a broader sampling across different

ecomorphs and islands would significantly contribute to our understanding of the dynamics of

host-microbe interactions as it pertains to rapid speciation events.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge support and assistance from the following: The permit processing

and access to different reserves and private land was possible thanks to Steve Bergfeld (DOFAW

Big Island), Pat Bily (TNC Maui), Tabetha Block (HETF), Pomaikaʻi Kaniaupio-Crozier (Maui Land

and Pineapple), Lance DaSilva (DOFAW Maui), Charmian Dang (NAR), Melissa Dean (HETF),

Betsy Gagne (NAR), Lisa Hadway (DOFAW Big Island), Cynthia King (DLNR), Russell Kallstrom

(TNC Molokaʻi), Joey Mello (DOFAW Big Island), Ed Misaki (TNC Molokaʻi). For support and

advice in the lab, we are very grateful to Lydia Smith (Evolutionary Genetics Lab, Museum of

Vertebrate Zoology, UC Berkeley), Shana McDevitt (Vincent J. Coates Genomics Sequencing

Laboratory, QB3, UC Berkeley), and Anna Sellas (California Academy of Sciences, San

Francisco). Samples were provided by Susan Kennedy and Andrew Rominger. We thank

Benjamin Peter, Thorfinn S. Korneliussen, and Line Skotte for advice on the analyses. L.E.B was

supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research VENI #863.14.020. B.P.L was

supported by a master fellowship from the École Normale Supérieure of Paris. H.K. was supported

by a postdoctoral fellowship by the German Research Foundation (DFG). Support for the project

was provided by the NSF DEB 1241253 to R.G.G.

Data Accessibility

The pipelines used for processing ddRAD seq data are available in https://github.com/CGRL-QB3-UCBerkeley/RAD. All scripts used to analyze the Ariamnes microbiota are available in https://github.com/BPerezLamarque/Scripts. The raw data can be found on dryad (https://datadryad.org) DOI: https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.nzs7h44qj

Author Contributions

R.G.G and H.K. conceived of the study. E.A. and B.P-L. performed DNA extractions. C.C. and L.E.B. performed ddRAD lab work. E.A., K.B., and T.L. performed ddRAD genetic analyses. B.P-L. and H.K. performed lab work and analyses for the microbial component of the study. E.A., B.P-L., H.K., and R.G.G wrote the manuscript. All authors edited and approved the manuscript before final submission.

Figures

 Figure 1: Host phylogenetic history partially recapitulates microbiota differentiation

 (A) Phylogenetic tree of the *Ariamnes waikula* individuals across the island of Hawai'i obtained

 using IQ-TREE smoothed with r8s. Two specimens of *A. hiwa* (brown ecomorph) and 32

 specimens of *A. melekalikimaka* (from Maui; gold ecomorph) and *A. n. sp.* (from Molokaʻi; gold

 ecomorph) were used as outgroup taxa. The bootstrap support values are indicated on the side

 of the main phylogenetic nodes. (B) Microbiota dendrograms reconstructed from the

 endosymbiont community and (C) the gut microbiota for the Z-OTU. (D) map of the sampled host

 populations and the corresponding volcano age on each of the areas.

 Figure 2: Population genetic analyses of *Ariamnes waikula*. A) ngsAdmix results for K=2 to

753 K=8 for all *A. waikula* specimens. B) ngsAdmix results f

754 or K=2 to K=6 (from top to bottom) for all *A. waikula* from Hawai'i Island only. C) EEMS analysis

755 of all *A. waikula* specimens. Brown color indicates barriers for gene flow (the stronger the darker),

756 and cyan indicates gene flow between populations (the stronger the darker).

758
759

Figure 3: The bottleneck in diversity along the volcano age tends to affect the *Ariamnes* hosts, but not their microbial associates

Note that Maui and Molokaʻi correspond to different *Ariamnes* species (*A. melekalikimaka* and *A. n. sp respectively*) and are only represented as a reference here: the following linear model testing the effect of volcano age on host (A-B) or microbial (C-D) diversities were only performed on the six *A. waikula* populations in Hawaiʻi.

(A) *Ariamnes* genetic diversity (Pi) estimated per population as a function of the volcano age (in Myr). We found no significant relationship between *A. waikula* genetic diversity (Pi) and the volcano age (linear model: F=1.7, df=4, p-value=0.27), despite the observed trend, probably because of the small number of sampled populations in Hawaiʻi (6 only).

(B) *Ariamnes* genetic diversity (Watterson's theta) estimated per population as a function of the volcano ago (in Myr). We found no significant relationship between *A. waikula* genetic diversity (Watterson's theta) and the volcano age (linear model: F=0.023, df=4, p-value=0.87).

(C) Microbial alpha diversity (Chao1 index on Z-OTUs) estimated for the endosymbionts as a function of the volcano ago (in Myr). Each dot corresponds to the average of the 20 rarefactions performed for each individual. We found no significant relationship between endosymbionts alpha diversity and the volcano age (linear mixed model: t=1.10, p-value=0.24).

(D) Microbial alpha diversity (Chao1 index on Z-OTUs) estimated for the gut community as a function of the volcano ago (in Myr). Each dot corresponds to the average of the 20 rarefactions performed for each individual. We found no significant relationship between gut microbial alpha diversity and the volcano age (linear mixed model: t=-0.08, p-value=0.94). The absence of a significant correlation was not influenced by the presence of an outlier sample in Thurston with very high microbial diversity.

 Figure 4: Relative abundances of endosymbionts (A and C) and gut symbionts (B and D) per

spider population defined from rarefied Z-OTU table.

The panel A-B represents the taxonomic assignations at the genus level, whereas the panel C-D

represents the taxonomic assignations at the order level. The relative abundance of each OTU is

delimited using the horizontal grey lines and OTUs are colored according to genus. Rare

taxonomic assignations (representing less than 1% of the abundance) are merged together. Note

that Maui and Molokaʻi correspond to different *Ariamnes* species (*A. melekalikimaka* and *A. n. sp*

respectively) whereas the other populations correspond to *A. waikula* on Hawaiʻi Island. See Table

1 for the number of individual spiders per sampling site.

Tables
797
Table 1: Individual, population, and sampling locality information for *Ariamnes* spiders in this
study. Volcano ages are given based on K-Ar dating estimates of the maximum time available for
occupancy (Langenheim & Clague 1987). Substrate ages (in years) are approximate and based
on geologic estimates of the youngest lava flow making up each sampling locality. * Samples with
sufficient coverage for microbiota analysis. ¶ Approximate ages and estimates of time available
for colonization (Carson & Clague 1995). For full details see Supplementary Tables S1 and S2.
804
805

Species	Island	Population	Volcano, age (mill. years) ¶	Substrate age (years)	Individuals (ddRAD)	Microbiota analysis *
A. waikula	Hawaiʻi	Thurston	Kīlauea, 0.004	600	13	9
A. waikula	Hawaiʻi	Alili	Mauna Loa, 0.01	20,000	18	13
A. waikula	Hawaiʻi	Puʻu Makaʻala	Mauna Loa, 0.01	11,000	18	13
A. waikula	Hawaiʻi	Olaʻa	Mauna Loa, 0.01	7,500	16	11
A. waikula	Hawaiʻi	Saddle	Mauna Loa, Kea 0.01-0.38	4,000	6	3
A. waikula	Hawaiʻi	Kohala	Kohala, 0.43	300,000	18	6
A. n. sp	Molokaʻi	Kamakou	E. Molokaʻi, 1.8	1,400,000	16	7
A. melekalikimaka	Maui	Puʻu Kukui	W. Maui, 1.3	1,500,000	16	9
A. hiwa	Hawaiʻi	Puʻu Makaʻala	Mauna Loa, 0.01	11,000	1	N/A
A. hiwa	Hawaiʻi	Thurston	Kīlauea, 0.004	600	1	N/A
					Total: 123	**Total: 71**

Table 2: Mantel tests comparing the microbial beta diversity dissimilarities to the genetic
distances, the phylogenetic distances of their associated *Ariamnes,* and the geographic distances
between the sampling sites. Beta diversity dissimilarities were computed on rarefied Z-OTU tables
using the Bray-Curtis dissimilarities. Bold values represent significant correlations. Mantel tests
were either performed on all populations (between *Ariamnes* species and *A. waikula* populations)
or only on *A. waikula* populations.

Matrix 1	Matrix 2	All populations		*A. waikula* populations	
		Correlation	p-value	Correlation	p-value
Whole microbiota beta diversity distances	*Ariamnes* genetic distances	**0.324**	**9.9e-05**	0.063	0.20
	Ariamnes phylogenetic distances	**0.334**	**9.9e-05**	0.012	0.40
	Geographic distances	**0.303**	**9.9e-05**	0.053	0.20
Endosymbionts beta diversity distances	*Ariamnes* genetic distances	**0.619**	**9.9e-05**	0.015	0.49
	Ariamnes phylogenetic distances	**0.642**	**9.9e-05**	0.047	0.32
	Geographic distances	**0.567**	**9.9e-05**	0.108	0.169
Gut microbiota beta diversity distances	*Ariamnes* genetic distances	-0.048	0.66	-0.052	0.70
	Ariamnes phylogenetic distances	-0.038	0.63	-0.139	0.93
	Geographic distances	0.007	0.42	-0.084	0.78

815   **Supplementary Figures**

819   **Figure S1**: **Grid system used for the EEMS analyses on Hawaiʻi Island (left) and**

820   **corresponding results (right)**

821   A: Alili, K: Kohala, O: Olaʻa , P: Puʻu Makaʻala, S: Saddle, T: Thurston

Figure S2. Traces of the MCMC runs of the 10 replicates to assess convergence.

Only individuals of the gold ecomorph from Hawai'i Island were used here.

Figure S3: Rarefaction curve of the whole microbiota: number of observed OTU in each sample according to the number of rarefied reads per sample. Results of OTU at 97% are presented on the left, whereas Z-OTU are on the right. Rarefactions are performed 20 times independently and the mean value is plotted here. Note that one sample (from Thurston) present an unexpectedly high diversity compared to other samples.

835 **Figure S4**: Log likelihood for 50 iterations of NGSAdmix K values 2-6 for *A. waikula* from Hawai'i

836 Island.

837   .

840   **Figure S5**: PCA analyses of ddRAD data of *A. waikula* from Hawai'i Island

Ariamnes ddRAD SFS

844   **Figure S6**: Site frequency spectrum of all *Ariamnes* ddRAD data after filtering.

Figure S7: Relative abundances of endosymbionts per population (A and C) and gut symbionts

per spider population (B and D) defined from rarefied 97% OTU table.

The panel A-B represents the taxonomic assignations at the genus level, whereas the panel C-D

represents the taxonomic assignations at the order level. The relative abundance of each OTU is

delimited using the horizontal grey lines and OTUs are colored according to genus/order. Rare

taxonomic assignations (representing less than 1% of the abundance) are merged together. Note

that Maui and Moloka'i correspond to different *Ariamnes* species (*A. melekalikimaka* and *A. n. sp*

respectively) whereas the other populations correspond to *A. waikula* on Hawai'i Island. See Table

1 for the number of individual spiders per sampling site.

Figure S8: Relative abundances of the microbial community (both endosymbionts and gut symbionts) per spider individual from rarefied Z-OTU table.

The relative abundance of each OTU is delimited using the horizontal grey lines and OTUs are colored according to genus. Rare taxonomic assignations (representing less than 0.5% of the abundance) are merged together. Note that Maui and Moloka'i correspond to different *Ariamnes* species (*A. melekalikimaka* and *A. n. sp respectively*) whereas the other populations correspond to *A. waikula* on Hawai'i Island.

Figure S9: Principal coordinate analyses (PCoA) decomposition of the microbial communities according to the type of OTU clustering (OTU at 97% or Z-OTU) and the type of microbial communities (whole microbiota, endosymbionts, or gut microbiota).

Note that Maui and Moloka'i correspond to different *Ariamnes* species (*A. melekalikimaka* and *A. n. sp respectively*) whereas the other populations correspond to *A. waikula* on Hawai'i Island. We noticed the presence of two clusters in the PCoA of the gut microbiota that are not explained by the identity of the host species or population: these two clusters (cluster 0 and cluster 1) are highlighted in grey.

Figure S10: (A) Microbiota dendrograms reconstructed from the gut microbiota and (B) the endosymbiont community, for the OTU at 97%. Note that Maui and Molokaʻi correspond to different *Ariamnes* species (*A. melekalikimaka* and *A. n. sp respectively*) whereas the other populations correspond to *A. waikula* on Hawaiʻi Island.

Figure S11: Relative abundances of the gut microbial community per spider individual from the

rarefied Z-OTU table.

The relative abundance of each OTU is delimited using the horizontal grey lines and OTUs are

colored according to order. Rare taxonomic assignations (representing less than 0.5% of the

abundance) are merged together. Note that Maui and Moloka'i correspond to different *Ariamnes*

species (*A. melekalikimaka* and *A. n. sp respectively*) whereas the other populations correspond

to *A. waikula* on Hawai'i Island.

Samples were sorted by cluster (cluster 0 and cluster 1), which emerged in the PCoA

decomposition of the gut microbiota (see Fig. S9).

891
892

Figure S12: Pattern of phylosymbiosis as a function of the simulated percentage of transmitted

bacteria:

For each percentage of vertically transmitted bacteria (0, 10, 25, 50, 75, or 100%), 30 mock

microbiota communities, each of 100 OTUs, associated with *Ariamnes* spiders were simulated.

Mantel tests between the *Ariamnes* phylogenetic distances and the simulated microbial beta

diversity (Bray-Curtis) show (a) the Pearson correlation values (R) of the Mantel tests and (b) the

corresponding p-values (obtained with 10,000 permutations – the red horizontal line indicates

the significance threshold of 0.05, i.e. below this line there is a significant pattern of

phylosymbiosis).

Note that the results are qualitatively similar when using UniFrac distances instead of Bray-

Curtis dissimilarities.

Figure S13: Presence of a bottleneck in *Ariamnes* microbial alpha diversities when simulating a

bottleneck in the environmental pools of available microbes.

We simulated a diversity bottleneck along the island chronosequence (between the older

Molokaʻi-Maui islands and the recent Hawaiʻi Island) and randomly assembled mock *Ariamnes*

microbiota from the pool of available microbes (see Methods). For each percentage of microbial

OTUs experiencing the bottleneck (0, 5, 10, 30, or 50%), we replicated 30 mock microbiota

communities and tested whether the alpha diversities (Chao1 index) of the *Ariamnes* microbiota

increase with the volcano age (along the chronosequence) using linear mixed models. Plots

show solid lines when the relationship was significant (p-value<0.05), dotted lines when not

significant.

Supplementary Tables

Table S1: Specimen collection detail for population genetic and microbial samples.

Species	Eco-morph	Island	Population	Volcano (age)	Substrate age (years)	Latitude	Longitude	Collector	Date	Individuals (ddRAD)	Microbiota
A. waikula	Gold	Hawai'i	Kohala	Kohala, 0.43	300,000	20.1048	-155.7242	Rominger	2014-01-12	18	6
A. waikula	Gold	Hawai'i	Saddle	Mauna Loa, Kea 0.01-0.38	4,000	19.6746	-155.3316	Rominger	2014-01-07	6	3
A. waikula	Gold	Hawai'i	Puu Makaala	Kilauea, 0.004	11,000	19.3748	-155.2202	Rominger	2014-01-05	18	13
A. waikula	Gold	Hawai'i	Thurston	Kilauea, 0.004	600	19.4078	-155.2388	Rominger	2014-01-08	13	9
A. waikula	Gold	Hawai'i	Ola'a	Kilauea, 0.004	7,500	19.4530	-155.2466	Rominger	2014-01-09	16	11
A. waikula	Gold	Hawai'i	Alili	Mauna Loa, 0.01	20,000	19.2309	-155.5134	Rominger	2014-01-04	18	13
A. hiwa	Brown	Hawai'i	Puu Makaala	Kilauea, 0.004	11,000	19.3748	-155.2202	Rominger	2014-01-05	1	N/A
A. hiwa	Brown	Hawai'i	Thurston	Kilauea, 0.004	600	19.4078	-155.2388	Rominger	2014-01-08	1	N/A
A. meleka-likimaka	Gold	W. Maui	Puu Kukui	Puu Kukui, 1.3	1,500,000	20.9194	-156.5972	Gillespie	2012-06-29	16	9
A. n. sp	Gold	Moloka'i	Kamakou	Moloka'i, 1.8	1,400,000	21.1108	-156.8906	Gillespie	2012-06-23	16	7

917
918

919 **Table S2:** Specimen collection detail for population genetic and microbial samples.

Island	Population	Name ddRAD	Name microbiota	SeqID	Pool	Sample ID
Hawai'i	Pu'u Maka'ala	1	83	RGCC001A_S25_AACCA	A2	AJR923
Hawai'i	Pu'u Maka'ala	2	NA	RGCC001A_S25_AAGGA	A8	AJR913
Hawai'i	Pu'u Maka'ala	3	82	RGCC001A_S25_ACACA	A10	AJR948
Hawai'i	Pu'u Maka'ala	4	NA	RGCC001A_S25_ACTGG	A13	AJR956
Hawai'i	Pu'u Maka'ala	5	70	RGCC001A_S25_ACGGT	A12	AJR955
Hawai'i	Pu'u Maka'ala	6	65	RGCC001A_S25_ACTTC	A14	AJR964
Hawai'i	Pu'u Maka'ala	7	47	RGCC001A_S25_AGCTA	A9	AJR915
Hawai'i	Pu'u Maka'ala	8	NA	RGCC001A_S25_ATACG	A15	AJR965
Hawai'i	Pu'u Maka'ala	9	59	RGCC001A_S25_ATGAG	A16	AJR1017
Hawai'i	Pu'u Maka'ala	10	NA	RGCC001A_S25_CAACC	A6	AJR950
Hawai'i	Pu'u Maka'ala	11	85	RGCC001A_S25_CGATC	A3	AJR924
Hawai'i	Pu'u Maka'ala	12	80	RGCC001A_S25_CTGCG	A17	AJR1033
Hawai'i	Pu'u Maka'ala	13	60	RGCC001A_S25_CTGTC	A18	AJR1034
Hawai'i	Pu'u Maka'ala	14	52	RGCC001A_S25_CTTGG	A19	AJR1036
Hawai'i	Pu'u Maka'ala	15	74	RGCC001A_S25_GACAC	A20	AJR1037
Hawai'i	Pu'u Maka'ala	16	NA	RGCC001A_S25_GCATG	A1	AJR922
Hawai'i	Pu'u Maka'ala	17	NA	RGCC001A_S25_GGTTG	A7	AJR951
Hawai'i	Pu'u Maka'ala	18	NA	RGCC001A_S25_TCGAT	A4	AJR946
Hawai'i	Pu'u Maka'ala	19	61	RGCC001A_S25_TGCAT	A5	AJR947
Hawai'i	Alili	20	66	RGCC001B_S26_AACCA	B2	AJR839
Hawai'i	Alili	21	62	RGCC001B_S26_AAGGA	B8	AJR850
Hawai'i	Alili	22	88	RGCC001B_S26_AATTA	B11	AJR855
Hawai'i	Alili	23	100	RGCC001B_S26_ACACA	B10	AJR854
Hawai'i	Alili	24	45	RGCC001B_S26_ACGGT	B12	AJR857
Hawai'i	Alili	25	NA	RGCC001B_S26_ACTTC	B14	AJR859
Hawai'i	Alili	26	78	RGCC001B_S26_AGCTA	B9	AJR852
Hawai'i	Alili	27	118	RGCC001B_S26_ATACG	B15	AJR860
Hawai'i	Alili	28	104	RGCC001B_S26_ATGAG	B16	AJR861
Hawai'i	Alili	29	98	RGCC001B_S26_CAACC	B6	AJR848
Hawai'i	Alili	30	NA	RGCC001B_S26_CGATC	B3	AJR844
Hawai'i	Alili	31	107	RGCC001B_S26_CTGCG	B17	AJR891
Hawai'i	Alili	32	NA	RGCC001B_S26_CTGTC	B18	AJR893

Hawai'i	Alili	33	NA	RGCC001B_S26_GACAC	B20	AJR897
Hawai'i	Alili	34	NA	RGCC001B_S26_GCATG	B1	AJR838
Hawai'i	Alili	35	102	RGCC001B_S26_GGTTG	B7	AJR849
Hawai'i	Alili	36	NA	RGCC001B_S26_TCGAT	B4	AJR846
Hawai'i	Alili	37	NA	RGCC001B_S26_TGCAT	B5	AJR847
Hawai'i	Kohala	38	NA	RGCC001C_S27_AACCA	C2	AJR1413
Hawai'i	Kohala	39	55	RGCC001C_S27_AAGGA	C8	AJR1425
Hawai'i	Kohala	40	120	RGCC001C_S27_AATTA	C11	AJR1428
Hawai'i	Kohala	41	99	RGCC001C_S27_ACACA	C10	AJR1427
Hawai'i	Kohala	42	84	RGCC001C_S27_ACTGG	C13	AJR1430
Hawai'i	Kohala	43	NA	RGCC001C_S27_ACTTC	C14	AJR1476
Hawai'i	Kohala	44	NA	RGCC001C_S27_AGCTA	C9	AJR1426
Hawai'i	Kohala	45	NA	RGCC001C_S27_ATACG	C15	AJR1477
Hawai'i	Kohala	46	NA	RGCC001C_S27_ATGAG	C16	AJR1478
Hawai'i	Kohala	47	NA	RGCC001C_S27_CAACC	C6	AJR1417
Hawai'i	Kohala	48	NA	RGCC001C_S27_CGATC	C3	AJR1414
Hawai'i	Kohala	49	NA	RGCC001C_S27_CTGCG	C17	AJR1518
Hawai'i	Kohala	50	NA	RGCC001C_S27_CTGTC	C18	AJR1519
Hawai'i	Kohala	51	NA	RGCC001C_S27_CTTGG	C19	AJR1520
Hawai'i	Kohala	52	NA	RGCC001C_S27_GCATG	C1	AJR1412
Hawai'i	Kohala	53	NA	RGCC001C_S27_GGTTG	C7	AJR1418
Hawai'i	Kohala	54	117	RGCC001C_S27_TCGAT	C4	AJR1415
Hawai'i	Kohala	55	NA	RGCC001C_S27_TGCAT	C5	AJR1416
Hawai'i	Ola'a	56	NA	RGCC001D_S28_AACCA	D2	AJR1151
Hawai'i	Ola'a	57	NA	RGCC001D_S28_AAGGA	D8	AJR1158
Hawai'i	Ola'a	58	67	RGCC001D_S28_AATTA	D11	AJR1161
Hawai'i	Ola'a	59	64	RGCC001D_S28_ACACA	D10	AJR1160
Hawai'i	Ola'a	60	81	RGCC001D_S28_ACTGG	D13	AJR1187
Hawai'i	Ola'a	61	49	RGCC001D_S28_ACTTC	D14	AJR1188
Hawai'i	Ola'a	62	58	RGCC001D_S28_AGCTA	D9	AJR1159
Hawai'i	Ola'a	63	48	RGCC001D_S28_ATACG	D15	AJR1190
Hawai'i	Ola'a	64	72	RGCC001D_S28_CAACC	D6	AJR1156
Hawai'i	Ola'a	65	53	RGCC001D_S28_CGATC	D3	AJR1152
Hawai'i	Ola'a	66	119	RGCC001D_S28_CTGCG	D17	AJR1192

Hawai'i	Ola'a	67	NA	RGCC001D_S28_CTGTC	D18	AJR1232
Hawai'i	Ola'a	68	NA	RGCC001D_S28_CTTGG	D19	AJR1233
Hawai'i	Ola'a	69	NA	RGCC001D_S28_GCATG	D1	AJR1150
Hawai'i	Ola'a	70	NA	RGCC001D_S28_GGTTG	D7	AJR1157
Hawai'i	Ola'a	71	NA	RGCC001D_S28_TCGAT	D4	AJR1153
Hawai'i	Ola'a	72	109	RGCC001D_S28_TGCAT	D5	AJR1155
Hawai'i	Thurston	105	NA	RGCC001H_S31_AACCA	H2	AJR1061
Hawai'i	Thurston	106	44	RGCC001H_S31_AAGGA	H8	AJR1067
Hawai'i	Thurston	107	111	RGCC001H_S31_AATTA	H11	AJR1107
Hawai'i	Thurston	108	87	RGCC001H_S31_ACACA	H10	AJR1106
Hawai'i	Thurston	109	NA	RGCC001H_S31_ACGGT	H12	AJR1108
Hawai'i	Thurston	110	NA	RGCC001H_S31_ACTGG	H13	AJR1109
Hawai'i	Thurston	111	NA	RGCC001H_S31_ACTTC	H14	AJR1110
Hawai'i	Thurston	112	NA	RGCC001H_S31_AGCTA	H9	AJR1068
Hawai'i	Thurston	114	108	RGCC001H_S31_CGATC	H3	AJR1062
Hawai'i	Thurston	115	115	RGCC001H_S31_GCATG	H1	AJR1060
Hawai'i	Thurston	116	79	RGCC001H_S31_GGTTG	H7	AJR1066
Hawai'i	Thurston	117	97	RGCC001H_S31_TGCAT	H5	AJR1064
Hawai'i	Saddle	118	NA	RGCC001I_S32_AACCA	H2	AJRA2
Hawai'i	Saddle	119	NA	RGCC001I_S32_CAACC	H6	AJRA6
Hawai'i	Saddle	120	63	RGCC001I_S32_CGATC	H3	AJRA3
Hawai'i	Saddle	121	103	RGCC001I_S32_GCATG	H1	AJRA1
Hawai'i	Saddle	122	NA	RGCC001I_S32_TCGAT	H4	AJRA4
Hawai'i	Saddle	123	57	RGCC001I_S32_TGCAT	H5	AJRA5
Hawai'i	Thurston	NA	42	RGCC001H_S31_TCGAT	H4	AJR1063
Hawai'i	Kohala	NA	51	RGCC001C_S27_ACGGT	C12	AJR1429
Hawai'i	Thurston	NA	69	RGCC001H_S31_CAACC	H6	AJR1065
Hawai'i	Alili	NA	71	RGCC001B_S26_ACTGG	B13	AJR858
Hawai'i	Alili	NA	77	RGCC001B_S26_CTTGG	B19	AJR894
Hawai'i	Pu'u Maka'ala	NA	101	RGCC001A_S25_AATTA	A11	AJR949
Hawai'i	Ola'a	NA	113	RGCC001D_S28_ACGGT	D12	AJR1183
Hawai'i	Kohala	NA	NA	RGCC001C_S27_GACAC	C20	AJR1521
Hawai'i	Ola'a	NA	NA	RGCC001D_S28_ATGAG	D16	AJR1191
Moloka'i	Moloka'i	73	NA	RGCC001F_S29_AACCA	F2	RGGA10

Moloka'i	Moloka'i	74	NA	RGCC001F_S29_AAGGA	F8	RGG43
Moloka'i	Moloka'i	75	106	RGCC001F_S29_AATTA	F11	RGG46
Moloka'i	Moloka'i	76	86	RGCC001F_S29_ACACA	F10	RGG45
Moloka'i	Moloka'i	77	68	RGCC001F_S29_ACGGT	F12	RGG47
Moloka'i	Moloka'i	78	73	RGCC001F_S29_ACTGG	F13	RGG48
Moloka'i	Moloka'i	79	NA	RGCC001F_S29_ACTTC	F14	RGG5
Moloka'i	Moloka'i	80	114	RGCC001F_S29_AGCTA	F9	RGG44
Moloka'i	Moloka'i	81	NA	RGCC001F_S29_ATACG	F15	RGG6
Moloka'i	Moloka'i	82	NA	RGCC001F_S29_ATGAG	F16	RGG9
Moloka'i	Moloka'i	83	105	RGCC001F_S29_CAACC	F6	RGG41
Moloka'i	Moloka'i	84	NA	RGCC001F_S29_CGATC	F3	RGGA11
Moloka'i	Moloka'i	85	NA	RGCC001F_S29_GCATG	F1	RGGA9
Moloka'i	Moloka'i	86	112	RGCC001F_S29_GGTTG	F7	RGG42
Moloka'i	Moloka'i	87	NA	RGCC001F_S29_TCGAT	F4	RGG2
Moloka'i	Moloka'i	88	NA	RGCC001F_S29_TGCAT	F5	RGG3
Maui	W_Maui	89	56	RGCC001G_S30_AACCA	G2	RGG121
Maui	W_Maui	90	NA	RGCC001G_S30_AAGGA	G8	RGG135
Maui	W_Maui	91	43	RGCC001G_S30_AATTA	G11	RGG138
Maui	W_Maui	92	50	RGCC001G_S30_ACACA	G10	RGG137
Maui	W_Maui	93	116	RGCC001G_S30_ACGGT	G12	RGG151
Maui	W_Maui	94	NA	RGCC001G_S30_ACTGG	G13	RGG152
Maui	W_Maui	95	54	RGCC001G_S30_ACTTC	G14	RGG153
Maui	W_Maui	96	46	RGCC001G_S30_AGCTA	G9	RGG136
Maui	W_Maui	97	76	RGCC001G_S30_ATACG	G15	RGG154
Maui	W_Maui	98	41	RGCC001G_S30_ATGAG	G16	RGG155
Maui	W_Maui	99	NA	RGCC001G_S30_CAACC	G6	RGG133
Maui	W_Maui	100	110	RGCC001G_S30_CGATC	G3	RGG122
Maui	W_Maui	101	NA	RGCC001G_S30_GCATG	G1	RGGA2
Maui	W_Maui	102	NA	RGCC001G_S30_GGTTG	G7	RGG134
Maui	W_Maui	103	NA	RGCC001G_S30_TCGAT	G4	RGG131
Maui	W_Maui	104	NA	RGCC001G_S30_TGCAT	G5	RGG132
Hawai'i	Ola'a	NA	NA	RGCC001D_S28_GACAC	D20	AJR1186
Hawai'i	Thurston	113	NA	RGCC001H_S31_ATACG	H15	AJR1111

920
921

922   **Table S3: F$_{ST}$ values for ddRAD data from *Ariamnes waikula* spiders from Hawai'i.**

923
924

	Alili	Kohala	Ola'a	Thurston	Saddle	Moloka'i	Maui
Pu'u Maka'ala	0.0650	0.1466	0.0291	0.0461	0.1066	0.4870	0.5454
Alili		0.1394	0.0544	0.0629	0.0978	0.4829	0.5407
Kohala			0.1367	0.1496	0.1315	0.4742	0.5264
Ola'a				0.0334	0.0937	0.4817	0.5404
Thurston					0.1120	0.4815	0.5468
Saddle						0.4629	0.5410
Moloka'i							0.4781

926 **Table S4: Average Tajima's D values for each population of** *A. waikula* **(Hawai'i) and** *A.*

927 *melekalikimaka (*Maui) and *A. n. spp.* (Moloka'i).

Population	Average	Min	Max
Alili	-0.77	-2.68	3.33
Ola'a	-0.95	-2.83	3.84
Pu'u Maka'ala	-1.00	-2.77	3.25
Saddle	-0.61	-2.97	3.79
Thurston	-0.74	-2.87	3.73
Kohala	-0.71	-2.82	3.52
Maui	-0.06	-2.63	3.94
Moloka'i	-0.23	-2.46	3.66

929
930

931 **Table S5:** Mean alpha diversity of the microbial communities per host populations. Alpha

932 diversities were computed on rarefied OTU tables defined at 97% or Z-OTUs using the Chao 1

933 index.

Island / species	Population	Number individuals	OTU at 97%		Z-OTU	
			Alpha diversity	Standard deviation	Alpha diversity	Standard deviation
Hawai'i *A. waikula*	Alili	13	42.4	7.2	95.4	4.0
Hawai'i *A. waikula*	Kohala	6	55.8	12.3	114.8	7.8
Hawai'i *A. waikula*	Ola'a	9	41.6	3.0	96.3	8.5
Hawai'i *A. waikula*	Pu'u Maka'ala	13	49.5	3.2	108.0	3.4
Hawai'i *A. waikula*	Saddle	3	44.8	1.6	103.1	5.2
Hawai'i *A. waikula*	Thurston	9	40.8	4.6	103.2	5.7
Maui *A. melekelikimaka*	Puu Kukui	9	46.0	5.7	106.6	4.4
Moloka'i *A. n. sp.*	Kamakou	7	52.2	6.7	106.6	5.3

936 **Table S6:** PERMANOVA testing the effect of host populations on the dissimilarities between

937 microbial communities. The adjusted R-squared and the associated p-value are shown for each

938 test. Significant relationships indicate a differentiation of the microbial communities according to

939 the host populations.

Populations	OTU at 97%			Z-OTU		
	Whole microbiota	Gut microbiota	Endo-symbionts	Whole microbiota	Gut microbiota	Endo-symbionts
All populations (from different species)	0.37905 (0.0001)	0.31109 (0.0001)	0.7146 (0.0001)	0.37709 (0.0001)	0.31739 (0.0001)	0.7115 (0.0001)
A. waikula populations within Hawai'i Island	0.21715 (0.0062)	0.29671 (0.0007)	0.28093 (0.0190)	0.21542 (0.0057)	0.29 (0.0003)	0.29072 (0.0120)

Table S7: Mantel tests comparing the microbial beta diversity dissimilarities to the genetic

distances, the phylogenetic distances of their associated *Ariamnes,* and the geographical

distances between the sampling sites. Beta diversity dissimilarities were computed on rarefied

OTU tables defined at 97% using the Bray-Curtis dissimilarities. Bold values represent significant

correlations. Mantel tests were either performed on all populations (between *Ariamnes* species

and *A. waikula* populations) or only on *A. waikula* populations.

Matrix 1	Matrix 2	All populations		*A. waikula* populations	
		Correlation	p-value	Correlation	p-value
Whole microbiota beta diversity distances	***Ariamnes* genetic distances**	**0.312**	**9.9e-05**	0.12	0.07
	***Ariamnes* phylogenetic distances**	**0.323**	**9.9e-05**	0.03	0.32
	Geographic distances	**0.292**	**9.9e-05**	0.06	0.16
Endosymbionts beta diversity distances	***Ariamnes* genetic distances**	**0.620**	**9.9e-05**	0.01	0.50
	***Ariamnes* phylogenetic distances**	**0.646**	**9.9e-05**	0.06	0.26
	Geographic distances	**0.565**	**9.9e-05**	0.12	0.14
Gut microbiota beta diversity distances	***Ariamnes* genetic distances**	-0.096	0.84	-0.04	0.67
	***Ariamnes* phylogenetic distances**	-0.095	0.86	-0.13	0.91
	Geographic distances	-0.030	0.62	-0.07	0.73

References

Adams AS, Aylward FO, Adams SM*, et al.* (2013) Mountain pine beetles colonizing historical and naive host trees are associated with a bacterial community highly enriched in genes contributing to terpene metabolism. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **79**, 3468-3475.

Bailly-Bechet M, Martins-Simões P, Szöllősi GJ*, et al.* (2017) How long does Wolbachia remain on board? *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **34**, 1183-1193.

Baldo L, Ayoub NA, Hayashi CY, Russell JA, Stahlhut JK, Werren JH (2008), Insight into the routes of Wolbachia invasion: high levels of horizontal transfer in the spider genus Agelenopsis revealed by Wolbachia strain and mitochondrial DNA diversity. Mol Ecol.17(2):557-69.

Bi K, Linderoth T, Vanderpool D*, et al.* (2013) Unlocking the vault: next-generation museum population genomics. *Molecular ecology* **22**, 6018-6032.

Blankers T, Oh KP, Bombarely A, Shaw KL (2018) The genomic architecture of a rapid island radiation: recombination rate variation, chromosome structure, and genome assembly of the Hawaiian cricket Laupala. *Genetics* **209**, 1329-1344.

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014) Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114-2120.

Bordenstein S, O'Hara F & Werren J (2001), Wolbachia-induced incompatibility precedes other hybrid incompatibilities in Nasonia. Nature 409, 707–71

Brooks AW, Kohl KD, Brucker RM, van Opstal EJ, Bordenstein SR (2016) Phylosymbiosis: relationships and functional effects of microbial communities across host evolutionary history. *PLoS biology* **14**, e2000225.

Brucker RM, Bordenstein SR (2012) Speciation by symbiosis. *Trends in ecology & evolution* **27**, 443-451.

Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J*, et al.* (2010) QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nature methods* **7**, 335.

Carson, H.L., Lockwood, J.P. and Craddock, E.M., 1990. Extinction and recolonization of local populations on a growing shield volcano. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *87*(18), pp.7055-7057.

Carson H, Clague D (1995) Geology and biogeography of the Hawaiian Islands. In Wagner, WL & Funk, VA (eds). Hawaiian Biogeography, Evolution on a Hot Spot Archipelago, Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington: pp. 14–29.

Catchen J, Hohenlohe PA, Bassham S, Amores A, Cresko WA (2013) Stacks: an analysis tool set for population genomics. *Molecular ecology* **22**, 3124-3140.

Crawford JE, Lazzaro BP (2012) Assessing the accuracy and power of population genetic inference from low-pass next-generation sequencing data. *Frontiers in genetics* **3**.

Croucher PJ, Oxford GS, Lam A, Mody N, Gillespie RG (2012) Colonization history and population genetics of the color-polymorphic Hawaiian happy-face spider Theridion grallator (Araneae, Theridiidae). *Evolution: International Journal of Organic Evolution* **66**, 2815-2833.

Degnan PH, Lazarus AB, Brock CD, Wernegreen JJ (2004) Host–symbiont stability and fast evolutionary rates in an ant–bacterium association: cospeciation of Camponotus species and their endosymbionts, Candidatus Blochmannia. *Systematic biology* **53**, 95-110.

Duron O, Binetruy F, Noël V*, et al.* (2017) Evolutionary changes in symbiont community structure in ticks. *Molecular ecology* **26**, 2905-2921.

Duron O, Bouchon D, Boutin S*, et al.* (2008) The diversity of reproductive parasites among arthropods: Wolbachiado not walk alone. *BMC biology* **6**, 27.

Edgar RC (2013) UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. *Nature methods* **10**, 996.

Eldon J, Bellinger MR, Price DK (2019) Hawaiian picture-winged Drosophila exhibit adaptive
population divergence along a narrow climatic gradient on Hawaiʻi Island. *Ecology and*
evolution **9**, 2436.
Engel P, Moran NA (2013) The gut microbiota of insects–diversity in structure and function. *FEMS*
microbiology reviews **37**, 699-735.
Fu L, Niu B, Zhu Z, Wu S, Li W (2012) CD-HIT: accelerated for clustering the next-generation
sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 3150-3152.
Fumagalli M, Vieira FG, Linderoth T, Nielsen R (2014) ngsTools: methods for population genetics
analyses from next-generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1486-1487.
Gasparich GE (2014), The Family Entomoplasmataceae. In: Rosenberg E., DeLong E.F., Lory S.,
Stackebrandt E., Thompson F. (eds) The Prokaryotes. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
Guerrero R, Margulis L, Berlanga M (2013) Symbiogenesis: the holobiont as a unit of evolution.
Int Microbiol. 16(3):133-43
Gibson J, Shokralla S, Porter TM*, et al.* (2014) Simultaneous assessment of the macrobiome and
microbiome in a bulk sample of tropical arthropods through DNA metasystematics.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **111**, 8007-8012.
Gillespie RG, Baldwin BG, Waters JM, Fraser CI, Nikula R, and Roderick GK (2012) "Long-
distance dispersal: a framework for hypothesis testing." Trends in ecology & evolution 27,
no. 1: 47-56.
Gillespie RG, Benjamin SP, Brewer MS, Rivera MAJ, Roderick GK (2018) Repeated
Diversification Of Ecomorphs In Hawaiian Stick Spiders. *Current Biology* **28**, 941-947.
Gillespie RG, Rivera MA (2007) Free-living spiders of the genus Argyrodes (Araneae: Theridiidae)
in Hawaiʻi. *Journal of Arachnology* **35**, 11-37.
Goodacre SL, Martin OY, Bonte D*, et al.* (2009) Microbial modification of host long-distance
dispersal capacity. *Bmc Biology* **7**, 32.
Goodacre SL, Martin OY, Thomas CG, Hewitt GM (2006) Wolbachia and other endosymbiont
infections in spiders. *Molecular Ecology* **15**, 517-527.
Goodman KR, Prost S, Bi K, Brewer MS, Gillespie RG (2019) Host and geography together drive
early adaptive radiation of Hawaiian planthoppers. *Molecular ecology* **28**, 4513-4528.
Groussin M, Mazel F, Sanders JG, Smillie CS, Lavergne S, Thuiller W, & Alm EJ (2017).
Unraveling the processes shaping mammalian gut microbiomes over evolutionary time.
Nature Communications, 8(1), 163–185. doi:10.1038/ncomms14319
Hammer TJ, Dickerson JC, Fierer N (2015). Evidence-based recommendations on
storing and handling specimens for analyses of insect microbiota. *PeerJ, 3*, e1190.
doi:10.7717/peerj.1190
Hammer TJ, Janzen DH, Hallwachs W, Jaffe SP, Fierer N (2017) Caterpillars lack a resident gut
microbiome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **114**, 9641-9646.
Hammer TJ, Sanders JG and Fierer N (2019) Not all animals need a microbiome. FEMS
microbiology letters, 366(10): p.fnz117
Hansen AK, Moran NA (2014) The impact of microbial symbionts on host plant utilization by
herbivorous insects. *Molecular ecology* **23**, 1473-1496.
Hiller AE, Koo MS, Goodman KR*, et al.* (2019) Niche conservatism predominates in adaptive
radiation: comparing the diversification of Hawaiian arthropods using ecological niche
modelling. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **127**, 479-492.
Hoang DT, Chernomor O, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ, Vinh LS (2018) UFBoot2: improving the
ultrafast bootstrap approximation. *Molecular biology and evolution* **35**, 518-522.
Holland BS & Cowie RH (2007) A geographic mosaic of passive dispersal: population structure in
the endemic Hawaiian amber snail Succinea caduca (Mighels, 1845). Molecular Ecology,
16(12)

Hornung B, Zwittink RD, Kuijper EJ (2019), Issues and current standards of controls in microbiome research, FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 95: fiz045

Hoy MA, Jeyaprakash A (2005) Microbial diversity in the predatory mite Metaseiulus occidentalis (Acari: Phytoseiidae) and its prey, Tetranychus urticae (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Biological Control* **32**, 427-441.

Huson, D. H., & Bryant, D. (2006). Application of phylogenetic networks in evolutionary studies. *Molecular biology and evolution*, 23(2), 254-267.

Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TK, von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS (2017) ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nature methods* **14**, 587.

Kennedy SR, Dawson TE, Gillespie RG (2018) Stable isotopes of Hawaiian spiders reflect substrate properties along a chronosequence. *PeerJ* **6**, e4527.

Kennedy SR, Tsau S, Gillespie R, Krehenwinkel H (2020) Are you what you eat? A highly transient and prey-influenced gut microbiome in the grey house spider Badumna longinqua. *Molecular Ecology*.

Koga R, Tsuchida T, Fukatsu T (2003) Changing partners in an obligate symbiosis: a facultative endosymbiont can compensate for loss of the essential endosymbiont Buchnera in an aphid. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* **270**, 2543-2550.

Korneliussen TS, Albrechtsen A, Nielsen R (2014) ANGSD: analysis of next generation sequencing data. *BMC bioinformatics* **15**, 356.

Koskella B & Vos M (2015) Adaptation in natural microbial populations. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 46, 503-522.

Lange V, Böhme I, Hofmann J*, et al.* (2014) Cost-efficient high-throughput HLA typing by MiSeq amplicon sequencing. *BMC genomics* **15**, 63.

LangenheimAVM, and Clague DA (1987) The Hawaiian-Emperor volcanic chain, Geologic evolution: Stratigraphic framework of volcanic rocks of the Hawaiian Islands Vol. 1, Chap 1, Part 2 IN: Volcanism in Hawaii: Papers to commemorate the 75th anniversary of the founding of the Hawaiian Volcano Observatory, R. W. Decker, T. L.Wright, and P. H. Stauffer, Eds., USGS Prof. Paper 1350, Vol. 1, 771–780

Larrivee M & Buddle CM (2011) Ballooning propensity of canopy and understorey spiders in a mature temperate hardwood forest. Ecological Entomology, 36(2)

Lefort, V., Desper, R., & Gascuel, O. (2015). FastME 2.0: a comprehensive, accurate, and fast distance-based phylogeny inference program. *Molecular biology and evolution*, **32**(10), 2798-2800.

Kwong WK, Medina LA, Koch H, Sing KW, Soh EJY, Ascher JS, Jaffé R and Moran NA, (2017) Dynamic microbiome evolution in social bees. Science Advances, 3(3): p.e1600513

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A*, et al.* (2009) The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 2078-2079.

Li W, Godzik A (2006) Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein or nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* **22**, 1658-1659.

Lim SJ & Bordenstein SR (2020) An introduction to phylosymbiosi sProc. R. Soc. B. 2872019290020192900

Lozupone C & Knight R (2005) UniFrac: a New Phylogenetic Method for Comparing Microbial Communities Applied and Environmental Microbiology 71 (12): 8228-8235

Maas, D. L., Prost, S., Bi, K., Smith, L. L., Armstrong, E. E., Aji, L. P., Toha, A.H.A., Gillespie, R.G., & Becking, L. E. (2018). Rapid divergence of mussel populations despite incomplete barriers to dispersal. *Molecular ecology*, 27(7), 1556-1571.

Magoč T, Salzberg SL (2011) FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 2957-2963.

Margulis L, Fester R (1991) *Symbiosis as a source of evolutionary innovation: speciation and*
morphogenesis Mit Press.
Martin M (2011) Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
EMBnet. journal **17**, pp. 10-12.
Mazel F, Davis KM, Loudon A*, et al.* (2018) Is host filtering the main driver of phylosymbiosis
across the tree of life? *mSystems* **3**, e00097-00018.
McFall-Ngai M, Hadfield MG, Bosch TC*, et al.* (2013) Animals in a bacterial world, a new
imperative for the life sciences. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **110**,
3229-3236.
McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E*, et al.* (2010) The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce
framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome research* **20**,
1297-1303.
Minard G, TRAN F-H, Goubert C*, et al.* (2015) French invasive Asian tiger mosquito populations
harbor reduced bacterial microbiota and genetic diversity compared to Vietnamese
autochthonous relatives. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **6**, 970.
Moeller AH, Caro-Quintero A, Mjungu D*, et al.* (2016) Cospeciation of gut microbiota with
hominids. *Science* **353**, 380-382.
Moran NA, Munson MA, Baumann P, Ishikawa H. (1993) A molecular clock in endosymbiotic
bacteria is calibrated using the insect hosts. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci; 253: 167–171.
Moran NA, Ochman H, and Hammer TJ (2019) Evolutionary and ecological consequences of gut
microbial communities. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 50: 451-
475
Moran NA, Sloan DB (2015) The hologenome concept: helpful or hollow? *PLoS biology* **13**.
Mouton L, Dedeine F, Henri H, Boulétreau M, Profizi N, Vavre F (2004). Virulence, multiple
infections and regulation of symbiotic population in the Wolbochia-Asobara tabida
symbiosis. Genetics 168:181-189.
Muegge BD, Kuczynski J, Knights D*, et al.* (2011) Diet drives convergence in gut microbiome
functions across mammalian phylogeny and within humans. *Science* **332**, 970-974.
Muir C & Price DK (2008) Population structure and genetic diversity in two species of Hawaiian
picture-winged Drosophila. Molecular phylogenetics and evolution, 47(3)
Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ (2015) IQ-TREE: a fast and effective
stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Molecular biology*
and evolution **32**, 268-274.
O'Connor TK, Humphrey PT, Lapoint RT, Whiteman NK, O'Grady PM (2014) Microbial
interactions and the ecology and evolution of Hawaiian Drosophilidae. *Frontiers in*
microbiology **5**, 616.
Ochman H, Elwyn S, Moran NA (1999) Calibrating bacterial evolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci; 96:
12638–12643.
Oksanen J, Kindt R, Pierre L, O'Hara B, Simpson GL, Solymos P, Stevens MH. HH, Wagner H,
Blanchet FG, Kindt R, et al. 2016. vegan: Community Ecology Package, R package version
2.4-0. R package version 2.2-1.
Papadopulos AS, Kaye M, Devaux C*, et al.* (2014) Evaluation of genetic isolation within an island
flora reveals unusually widespread local adaptation and supports sympatric speciation.
Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences **369**, 20130342.
Paradis, E., Claude, J., & Strimmer, K. (2004). APE: Analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in
R language. *Bioinformatics*, *20*(2), 289–290. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btg412
Perez-Lamarque B, Morlon H (2019). Characterizing symbiont inheritance during host–microbiota
evolution: Application to the great apes gut microbiota. Molecular Ecology Resources, 1–
14. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.13063

Petkova, D., Novembre, J., & Stephens, M. (2016). Visualizing spatial population structure with
estimated effective migration surfaces. *Nature genetics*, *48*(1), 94-100.
Pylro VS, Roesch LFW, Morais DK*, et al.* (2014) Data analysis for 16S microbial profiling from
different benchtop sequencing platforms. *Journal of microbiological methods* **107**, 30-37.
Ren T, Kahrl AF, Wu M, Cox RM (2016) Does adaptive radiation of a host lineage promote
ecological diversity of its bacterial communities? A test using gut microbiota of Anolis
lizards. *Molecular ecology* **25**, 4793-4804.
Rennison DJ, Rudman SM, Schluter D (2019) Parallel changes in gut microbiome composition
and function during colonization, local adaptation and ecological speciation. *Proceedings*
of the Royal Society B **286**, 20191911.
Roderick GK, Croucher PJ, Vandergast AG, Gillespie RG (2012) Species differentiation on a
dynamic landscape: shifts in metapopulation genetic structure using the chronology of the
Hawaiian archipelago. *Evolutionary Biology* **39**, 192-206.
Sanders JG, Powell S, Kronauer DJ*, et al.* (2014) Stability and phylogenetic correlation in gut
microbiota: lessons from ants and apes. *Molecular Ecology* **23**, 1268-1283.
Sanderson MJ (2003) r8s: inferring absolute rates of molecular evolution and divergence times in
the absence of a molecular clock. *Bioinformatics* **19**, 301-302.
Schrader C, Schielke A, Ellerbroek L, Johne R (2012) PCR inhibitors–occurrence, properties and
removal. *Journal of applied microbiology* **113**, 1014-1026.
Simon C (1987) Hawaiian evolutionary biology: an introduction. Trends in ecology & evolution 2,
no. 7: 175-178
Shaw KL, Gillespie RG (2016) Comparative phylogeography of oceanic archipelagos: Hotspots
for inferences of evolutionary process. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
113, 7986-7993.
Sheffer MM, Uhl G, Prost S, Lueders T, Urich T, Bengtsson MM (2019). Tissue- and Population-
Level Microbiome Analysis of the Wasp Spider Argiope bruennichi Identified a Novel Dominant
Bacterial Symbiont. Microorganisms, 8(1), 8. doi:10.3390/microorganisms8010008
Sherrod DR, Sinton JM, Watkins SE, Brunt KM (2007) Geologic map of the State of Hawai'i. *US*
geological survey open-file report **1089**.
Shropshire JD, Leigh B, Bordenstein SR (2020) Symbiont-mediated cytoplasmic incompatibility:
what have we learned in 50 years? Elife. 9:e61989.
Skotte L, Korneliussen TS, Albrechtsen A (2013) Estimating individual admixture proportions from
next generation sequencing data. *Genetics* **195**, 693-702.
Smit A, Hubley R, Green P (2004) RepeatMasker Open-3.0. 1996–2004. *Institute for Systems*
Biology.
Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of
large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics*, **30**(9), 1312-1313.
Stahlhut JK, Desjardins CA, Clark ME, Baldo L, Russell JA, Werren JH, Jaenike J (2010). The
mushroom habitat as an ecological arena for global exchange of Wolbochia. Molecular
Ecology 19:1940-1952.
Su Q, Hu G, Yun Y, Peng Y (2019) Horizontal transmission of Wolbachia in Hylyphantes
graminicola is more likely via intraspecies than interspecies transfer. *Symbiosis* **79**, 123-
128.
Team RC (2018) R Foundation for Statistical Computing; Vienna, Austria: 2015. *R: A language*
and environment for statistical computing, 2013.
Vanthournout B, Hendrickx F (2015) Endosymbiont dominated bacterial communities in a dwarf
spider. *PLoS One* **10**.

Vieira FG, Lassalle F, Korneliussen TS, Fumagalli M (2015) Improving the estimation of genetic
distances from Next-Generation Sequencing data. Biological Journal of the Linnean
Society **117**(1):139-149
Wagner WL & Funk VA (1995) Hawaiian Biogeography: Evolution on a Hot Spot Archipelago.
Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC.
Wang IJ (2013) Examining the full effects of landscape heterogeneity on spatial genetic variation:
a multiple matrix regression approach for quantifying geographic and ecological isolation.
Evolution **67**, 3403-3411.
Weber MG, Wagner CE, Best RJ, Harmon LJ, Matthews B (2017) Evolution in a community
context: on integrating ecological interactions and macroevolution. *Trends in ecology &*
evolution **32**, 291-304.
White JA, Styer A, Rosenwald LC*, et al.* (2020) Endosymbiotic bacteria are prevalent and diverse
in agricultural spiders. *Microbial ecology* **79**, 472-481.
Whitehouse M, Agnarsson I, Miyashita T*, et al.* (2002) *Argyrodes*: phylogeny, sociality and
interspecific interactions—a report on the *Argyrodes* symposium, Badplaas 2001. *Journal*
of Arachnology **30**, 238-245.
Yun J-H, Roh SW, Whon TW*, et al.* (2014) Insect gut bacterial diversity determined by
environmental habitat, diet, developmental stage, and phylogeny of host. *Appl. Environ.*
Microbiol. **80**, 5254-5264.
Zhang L, Yun Y, Hu G, Peng Y (2018) Insights into the bacterial symbiont diversity in spiders.
Ecology and evolution **8**, 4899-4906.
Zhang L, Zhang G, Yun Y, Peng Y (2017) Bacterial community of a spider, Marpiss magister
(Salticidae). *3 Biotech* **7**, 371.