Sequential recognition of discrete export signals in flagellar subunits during bacterial Type III secretion

Authors

Owain J. Bryant[a] , Paraminder Dhillon[a], Colin Hughes[a], Gillian M. Fraser[a,*]

Affiliations

[a]Department of Pathology, University of Cambridge, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge, CB2 1QP, United Kingdom

Corresponding author

[*]Gillian M. Fraser

Department of Pathology, University of Cambridge, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge, CB2 1QP, United Kingdom

Phone: +44 1223 330245

Email: gmf25@cam.ac.uk

Keywords

Type III secretion system; protein export; bacterial flagella biogenesis

[b]Owain Bryant current address: Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3QU

[c]Paraminder Dhillon current address: The FEBS Journal Editorial Office, 59 St Andrew's House, Cambridge, CB2 3BZ, United Kingdom

Abstract

The flagellar T3SS delivers proteins from the bacterial cytosol to nascent cell surface flagella. Early subunits of the flagellar rod and hook are unchaperoned and contain their own export signals. One export signal, the gate recognition motif (GRM) docks subunits at the export gate, which must then open for unfolded subunits to enter the flagellar channel. Here, we identify a second signal at the extreme N-terminus of flagellar rod/hook subunits and determine that key to the signal is its hydrophobicity. We show that the two export signals are recognised sequentially, with the N-terminal signal being recognised only after subunits have docked at the export gate. The position of the N-terminal signal relative to the GRM is important, as a FlgD deletion variant (FlgDshort), in which the distance between the N-terminal signal and the GRM was shortened, stalled at the export machinery and was not exported. The attenuation of motility caused by FlgDshort was suppressed by mutations that destabilised the closed conformation of the FlhAB-FliPQR flagellar export gate, suggesting that the hydrophobic N-terminal signal might trigger gate opening.

Introduction

Type III Secretion Systems (T3SS) are multi-component molecular machines that deliver protein cargo from the bacterial cytosol either to their site of assembly in cell surface flagella or virulence factor injectosomes, or directly to their site of action in eukaryotic target cells or the extracellular environment [1-5]. The flagellar T3SS (fT3SS) directs the export of thousands of structural subunits required for the assembly and operation of flagella, rotary nanomotors for cell motility that extend from the bacterial cell surface [1],[6]. Newly synthesised subunits of the flagellar rod,

hook and filament are targeted to the fT3SS, where they are unfolded and

translocated across the cell membrane, powered by the proton motive force and ATP

hydrolysis, into an external export channel that spans the length of the nascent

flagellum [7],[8]. During flagellum biogenesis, when the rod/hook structure reaches

its mature length, the fT3SS switches export specificity from recognition of 'early'

rod/hook subunits to 'late' subunits for filament assembly [9],[10]. This means that

early and late flagellar subunits must be differentiated by the fT3SS machinery to

ensure that they are exported at the correct stage of flagellum biogenesis. This is

achieved, in part, by targeting subunits to the export machinery at the right time

using a combination of export signals in the subunit mRNA and/or polypeptide. T3SS

substrates contain N-terminal signals for targeting to the export machinery, however

these signals do not share a common peptide sequence [11-15]. In addition, some

substrates are piloted to the T3SS machinery by specific chaperones [16-21].

The core export components of the fT3SS are evolutionarily related to those of the

virulence injectosome, with which they share considerable structural and amino acid

sequence similarity [22-25]. The flagellar export machinery comprises an ATPase

complex (FliHIJ) located in the cytoplasm, peripheral to the membrane. Immediately

above the ATPase is a nonameric ring formed by the cytoplasmic domain of FlhA

(FlhA$_C$), which functions as a subunit docking platform [20][21][26]. A recent cryo-

ET map indicates that the FlhA family have a sea-horse-like structure, in which FlhAc

forms the 'body' and the FlhA N-terminal region (FlhA$_N$) forms the 'head', which is

fixed in the plane of the membrane [27]. FlhA$_N$ wraps around the base of a complex

formed by FliPQR and the N-terminal sub-domain of FlhB (FlhB$_N$), and together

these form the FlhAB-FliPQR export gate that connects the cytoplasm to the central

channel in the nascent flagellum, which is contiguous with the extracellular

environment [22],[28]. FlhB$_N$ is connected *via* a linker (FlhB$_{CN}$) to the cytoplasmic

domain of FlhB (FlhB$_C$), which is thought to sit between the FlhA$_N$ and FlhA$_C$ rings,

where it functions as a docking site for early flagellar subunits [22],[14],[28].

The 'early' flagellar subunits that assemble to form the rod and hook substructures

are not chaperoned: instead, the signals for targeting and export are found within the

early subunits themselves. We have shown that one of these signals is a small

hydrophobic sequence termed the gate recognition motif (GRM), which is essential

for early subunit export [14]. This motif binds a surface exposed hydrophobic pocket

on FlhBc [14]. Once subunits reach the export machinery, they must be unfolded

before they can pass through the narrow channel formed by FliPQR-FlhB$_N$ into the

central channel of the nascent flagellum, through which the subunits transit until they

reach the tip and fold into the structure [22],[6]. Structural studies suggest that

FliPQR-FlhB$_N$ adopts an energetically favourable closed conformation, possibly to

maintain the membrane permeability barrier [22],[25],[29],[30]. This suggests that

there must be a mechanism to trigger opening of the export gate when subunits dock

at cytoplasmic face of the flagellar export machinery.

Here we sought to identify new export signals within flagellar rod/hook subunits,

using the hook-cap subunit FlgD as a model export substrate. We show that the

extreme N-terminus of rod/hook subunits contains a hydrophobic export signal and

investigate its functional relationship to the subunit gate recognition motif (GRM).

Results

Identification of a hydrophobic export signal at the N-terminus of FlgD

The N-terminal region of flagellar rod and hook subunits is required for their export

[12],[14]. Using the flagellar hook-cap protein FlgD as a model rod/hook subunit, we

sought to identify specific export signals within the N-terminus. A screen of ten FlgD

variants containing internal five-residue scanning deletions in the first 50 residues

(though FlgDΔ2-5 is a four-residue deletion, retaining the initial methionine) identified

just two variants defective for export into culture supernatant (**Fig. 1A**). Loss of

residues 2-5 caused a significant reduction in export, as did deletion of residues 36-

40, though to a lesser extent (**Fig. 1A**; [14]). We have shown that FlgD residues 36-

40 are the gate recognition motif (GRM) required for transient subunit docking at the

FlhB$_C$ component of the export gate [14]. The results suggest that the extreme N-

terminus might also be important for interaction with the export machinery.

To gain insight into the putative new signal, we screened for intragenic suppressor

mutations that could restore export of the FlgDΔ2-5 variant. A *Salmonella flgD* null

strain expressing *flgDΔ2-5 in trans* was inoculated into soft-tryptone agar and

incubated until 'spurs' of motile cell populations appeared. Sequencing of *flgDΔ2-5*

alleles from these motile populations identified ten different intragenic gain-of-

function mutations. These could be separated into two classes (**Fig. 1B**).

The first class of motile revertants carried *flgDΔ2-5* alleles with missense mutations

that introduced small non-polar residues at the extreme N-terminus of FlgDΔ2-5 (**Fig.**

1B). Deletion of residues 2-5 (^{2}SIAV^5) had removed all small non-polar amino acids

from the first ten residue region of FlgD, effectively creating a new N-terminus

containing a combination of polar, charged or large non-polar residues (**Fig. S1**).

Analysis of other flagellar rod and hook subunit primary sequences revealed that in

every case their native N-terminal regions contain small non-polar residues

positioned upstream of the gate recognition motif (GRM residues 36-40; **Fig. S1**),

indicating that hydrophobicity may be key to the function of the N-terminal export

signal. Export assays performed with two representative motile revertant strains

carrying *flgDΔ2-5* variants with gain-of-function point mutations, those encoding

FlgDΔ2-5-N$_8$I and FlgDΔ2-5-T$_{11}$I, revealed that export of these subunits had

recovered to ~50% of the level observed for wild type FlgD (**Fig. 1C**; **Fig. S2; Fig.**

S3).

The second class of motile revertants carried *flgDΔ2-5* alleles that had acquired

duplications or insertions introducing at least six additional residues between the

FlgDΔ2-5 N-terminus and the gate recognition motif (GRM; **Fig. 1B**). It seemed

possible that these insertions/duplications might have restored subunit export either

by insertion of amino acids that could function as a 'new' hydrophobic export signal,

or by restoring the position of an existing small hydrophobic residue or sequence

relative to the GRM.

To assess these possibilities, we tested whether export of FlgDΔ2-5 could be

recovered by inserting either polar ([19]STSTST[20]) or small non-polar ([19]AGAGAG[20])

residues in the FlgDΔ2-5 N-terminal region at a position equivalent to one of the

suppressing duplications ([19]GSGSMT[20]; **Fig. 1B and D**; **Fig. S3**). We reasoned that

if suppression by the additional sequence had been caused by repositioning an

existing small hydrophobic amino acid relative to the GRM, then any insertional

sequence (polar or non-polar) would restore export, while if suppression had resulted

from insertion of a 'new' export signal, then either the polar STSTST or non-polar

AGAGAG, but not both, could be expected to restore export.

We found that both the engineered FlgD variants (FlgDΔ2-5-[19]AGAGAG[20] and

FlgDΔ2-5-[19]STSTST[20]) were exported from a *Salmonella flgD* null strain as

effectively as the gain-of-function mutant FlgDΔ2-5-[19]GSGSMT[20] isolated from the

suppressor screen (**Fig. 1D**). This suggests that the insertions had repositioned a

sequence in the FlgDΔ2-5 N-terminus relative to the GRM to overcome the loss of

small hydrophobic residues.

The position of the hydrophobic export signal relative to the gate recognition

motif is critical for rod and hook subunit export

The discovery of intragenic suppressors of the FlgDΔ2-5 export defect indicated that

FlgD export requires a hydrophobic signal towards the subunit N-terminus and that

the position of this hydrophobic signal relative to the previously described GRM is

important. Sequence analysis of the gain-of-function FlgDΔ2-5 insertion variants

revealed that the insertions were all located between the GRM and valine$_{15}$ (V$_{15}$; **Fig.**

1B). We reasoned that the insertions repositioned valine$_{15}$ relative to the GRM, such

that it could perform the function of the N-terminal hydrophobic signal lost in FlgDΔ2-

5. To test this view, we replaced V$_{15}$ with alanine in the gain-of-function variant

FlgDΔ2-5-[19](GSGSMT)[20] and assayed its export in the *Salmonella flgD* null (**Fig. 2A**;

Fig. S4). Unlike the *flgD* null strain producing either the parental FlgDΔ2-5-

^{19}(GSGSMT)^{20} or wild type FlgD, the *flgD* null carrying variant FlgDΔ2-5-

^{19}(GSGSMT)^{20}-V_{15}A was non-motile, reflecting the variant's failure to export (**Fig.**

2A). This suggests that the V_{15} residue had indeed compensated for the missing N-

terminal hydrophobic signal.

By screening for intragenic suppressors of the motility defect associated with

FlgDΔ2-5-^{19}(GSGSMT)^{20}-V_{15}A, four gain-of-function missense mutations were

identified, M_7I, D_9A, T_{11}I and G_{14}V. All of these had introduced small hydrophobic

residues, all positioned at least 27 residues upstream of the GRM. These FlgDΔ2-5-

^{19}(GSGSMT)^{20}-V_{15}A gain-of-function variants restored motility to the *Salmonella flgD*

null strain and were exported at levels similar to wildtype FlgD and FlgDΔ2-5-

^{19}(GSGSMT)^{20} (**Fig. 2A**). These data confirm the importance of small non-polar

residues positioned upstream of the GRM.

Our results so far had indicated that the position of the FlgD N-terminal hydrophobic

export signal relative to the GRM was critical and suggested that, for export to occur

efficiently, at least 26 residues must separate the hydrophobic signal and the GRM

(**Fig 1C**). In the primary sequences of all *Salmonella* flagellar rod/hook subunits the

GRM is positioned ≥ 30 amino acids downstream of the subunit N-terminus (**Fig S1**),

suggesting that separation of the two signals by a minimum number of residues

might be a common feature among early flagellar subunits. To test this, a suite of

engineered *flgD* alleles was constructed that encoded FlgD variants in which

wildtype residues 9-32 were replaced with between one and four repeats of the six

amino acid sequence Gly-Ser-Thr-Asn-Ala-Ser (GSTNAS). Swimming motility and

export assays revealed that the minimum number of inserted GSTNAS repeats that

could support efficient FlgD export was three, equivalent to separation of the

hydrophobic N-terminal signal and the GRM by 24 residues (**Fig. 2B**; **Fig. S5**).

Below this threshold, FlgD export and swimming motility were strongly attenuated

(**Fig. 2B**). A further set of recombinant *flgD* alleles was constructed, which encoded

FlgDΔ9-32 variants carrying two GSTNAS repeats (hereafter termed FlgD$_{short}$)

directly followed by between one and five additional residues (**Fig. 2C**; **Fig. S5**).

Motility and FlgD export increased incrementally with the addition of each amino acid

(**Fig. 2C**). The data indicate that a low level of FlgD export is supported when the

hydrophobic N-terminal signal ($_2$SIAV$_5$) and the GRM ($_{36}$FLTLL$_{40}$) are separated by

19 residues, with export efficiency and swimming motility increasing as separation of

the export signals approaches the optimal 30 residues.

To further establish the requirement for a minimum number of residues between the

hydrophobic N-terminal signal and the GRM, we screened for intragenic suppressor

mutations that could restore swimming motility in a *flgD* null strain producing

FlgD$_{short}$. Sequencing of *flgD$_{short}$* alleles from motile revertant strains identified 12

gain-of-function mutations that introduced additional residues between the

hydrophobic N-terminal signal and the GRM (**Fig. S6**). Swimming motility and FlgD

export was assessed for three *flgD* null strains expressing representative *flgD$_{short}$*

gain-of-function variants and all showed increased FlgD subunit export and

swimming motility compared to the *flgD* null expressing *flgD$_{short}$* (**Fig. 2D**; **Fig. S6**).

The data confirm that the position of the hydrophobic N-terminal signal relative to the

GRM is critical for efficient FlgD subunit export.

To establish that this is a general requirement for the export of other rod and hook

subunits, engineered alleles of *flgE* (hook) and *flgG* (rod) were constructed that

encoded variants in which FlgE residues 9-32 or FlgG residues 11-35 were either

deleted (FlgE$_{short}$ and FlgG$_{short}$) or replaced with four repeats of the sequence

GSTNAS (**Fig. 3**; **Fig. S7**). As had been observed for FlgD$_{short}$, export of the

FlgE$_{short}$ and FlgG$_{short}$ variants was severely attenuated compared to wild type FlgE

and FlgG (**Fig. 3**). Furthermore, insertion of four GSTNAS repeats into FlgE$_{short}$ and

FlgG$_{short}$ recovered subunit export to wild type levels, indicating that the minimum

separation of the hydrophobic N-terminal signal and the GRM is a feature throughout

rod and hook subunits (**Fig. 3**).

Sequential engagement of the subunit GRM and hydrophobic N-terminal

export signal by the flagellar export machinery

Having identified a new hydrophobic N-terminal export signal and established that its

position relative to the GRM was critical, we next wanted to determine the order in

which the signals were recognised/engaged by the export machinery. The signals

might be recognised simultaneously, with both being required for initial entry of

rod/hook subunits into the export pathway. Alternatively, they might be recognised

sequentially. If this were the case, then a subunit variant that possessed the 'first'

signal but was deleted for the 'second' signal might enter the export pathway but fail

to progress, becoming stalled at a specific step to block the pathway and prevent

export of wild type subunits. To test if FlgDΔ2-5 or FlgDΔGRM stalled in the export

pathway, recombinant expression vectors encoding these variants or wild type FlgD

were introduced into a *Salmonella ΔrecA* strain that is wild type for flagellar export

(**Fig. 4**). We could then assess whether the variant FlgD constructs could interfere *in*

trans with the wild type flagellar export. We saw that FlgDΔ2-5 inhibited motility and

export of the FliK and FlgK flagellar subunits, whereas FlgDΔGRM did not (**Fig. 4B**

and C). The data indicate that FlgDΔ2-5 enters the flagellar export pathway and

stalls at a critical point, blocking export. In contrast, FlgDΔGRM does not stall or

block export.

To determine whether FlgDΔ2-5 stalls at a point before or after subunit docking at

the FlhB$_C$ component of the flagellar export gate *via* the GRM, a recombinant vector

encoding a FlgD variant in which both export signals were deleted (FlgDΔ2-5ΔGRM)

was constructed. If loss of the hydrophobic N-terminal signal had caused subunits to

stall after docking at FlhB$_C$, then additional deletion of the subunit GRM would relieve

this block. Motility and subunit export assays revealed that the *Salmonella ΔrecA*

strain producing FlgDΔ2-5ΔGRM displayed swimming motility and levels of FliK and

FlgK subunit export similar to cells producing FlgDΔGRM (**Fig. 4B and C**). The data

suggest that FlgDΔ2-5 stalls after docking at the FlhB$_C$ export gate, preventing

docking of other early subunits.

It seemed possible that subunit docking *via* the GRM to the FlhB$_C$ export gate might

position the hydrophobic N-terminal signal in close proximity to its recognition site on

the export machinery. If this were the case, 'short' subunit variants containing

deletions that decreased the number of residues between the hydrophobic N-

terminal signal and the GRM might also stall at FlhB$_C$, and this stalling might be

relieved by additional deletion of the GRM. To test this, recombinant expression

vectors encoding 'short' subunit variants (FlgE$_{short}$ or FlgD$_{short}$), 'short' subunit

variants additionally deleted for the GRM (FlgE$_{short}$ΔGRM or FlgD$_{short}$ΔGRM) or wild

type FlgE or FlgD were introduced into a *Salmonella* Δ*recA* strain (**Fig 5**). Compared

to the wild type subunits expressed *in trans*, the 'short' subunits inhibited swimming

motility and the export of other flagellar subunits (FliD, FliK, FlgK), whereas

FlgE$_{short}$ΔGRM and FlgD$_{short}$ΔGRM did not (**Fig 5**). Taken together with the data

presented in Figure 4, the results indicate that the subunit GRM and the hydrophobic

N-terminal signal are recognised sequentially, with subunits first docking at FlhB$_C$ *via*

the GRM, which positions the hydrophobic N-terminal signal for subsequent

interactions with the export machinery (**Fig 4D**).

Mutations that promote opening of the export gate partially compensate for

incorrect positioning of the subunit N-terminal export signal

The accruing data indicated that subunit docking at FlhB$_C$ might correctly position the

hydrophobic N-terminal signal for recognition by the export machinery. To model the

position of FlhB$_C$ relative to other components of the export machinery, we placed

the structures of FlhB$_C$ and the FliPQR-FlhB$_N$ export gate into the tomographic

reconstruction of the *Salmonella* SPI-1 type III secretion system (**Fig 6B**; [31]). The

model indicated that FliPQR-FlhB$_N$ and the subunit docking site on FlhB$_C$ are

separated by a minimum distance of ~78 Å, and that FlhB$_C$ is positioned no more

than ~22-45 Å from FlhA (Fig 6A; [27]). Taking FlgD as a model early flagellar

subunit, the distance between the FlgD N-terminal hydrophobic signal and the GRM

was found to be in the range of ~45 Å (α-helix) to ~105 Å (unfolded contour length),

depending on the predicted structure adopted by the subunit N-terminus (Fig 6A).

Based on these estimates, it seemed feasible that the hydrophobic N-terminal signal

of a subunit docked at $FlhB_C$ could contact either FlhA or the $FliPQR$-$FlhB_N$ complex,

and that this interaction might trigger opening of the export gate [27],[30],[22]. If this

were true, mutations that promote the open conformation of the export gate might

compensate for the incorrect positioning of the hydrophobic N-terminal export signal

in 'short' rod/hook subunits. One such export gate mutation, $FliP$-$M_{210}A$, has been

shown to increase ion conductance across the bacterial inner membrane, indicating

that this gate variant fails to close efficiently [29].

To test whether the $FliP$-$M_{210}A$ variant gate could promote export of 'short' subunits,

in which the distance between the hydrophobic N-terminal signal and the GRM was

reduced, a recombinant expression vector encoding $FlgD_{short}$ was introduced into

Salmonella flgD null strains in which the *fliP* gene had been replaced with

recombinant genes encoding either a functional FliP variant with an internal HA-tag

(designated wild type gate) or the equivalent HA-tagged $FliP$-$M_{210}A$ variant

(designated $M_{210}A$ gate; **Fig. 6C**; **Fig. S8**). The swimming motility of these strains

was found to be consistently stronger in the strain producing the $M_{210}A$ gate

compared to the strain with the wild type gate, with the motility halo of the *fliP-*

M210A-ΔflgD strain expressing $FlgD_{short}$ having a 50% greater diameter than that of

the wild type *fliP-ΔflgD* strain expressing $FlgD_{short}$ (**Fig. 6D**). This increase in motility

indicated that the defect caused by incorrect positioning of the hydrophobic N-

terminal signal relative to the GRM in FlgD$_{short}$ could indeed be partially

compensated by promoting the gate open conformation.

Discussion

T3SS substrates contain N-terminal export signals, though these signals have not

been fully defined and how they promote subunit export remains unclear. Here, we

characterised a new hydrophobic N-terminal export signal in early flagellar rod/hook

subunits and showed that the position of this signal relative to the known subunit

gate recognition motif (GRM) is key to export.

Loss of the hydrophobic N-terminal signal in the hook cap subunit FlgD had a

stronger negative effect on subunit export than deletion of the GRM that enables

subunit docking at FlhB$_C$, suggesting that the hydrophobic N-terminal signal may be

required to trigger an essential export step. A suppressor screen showed that the

export defect caused by deleting the hydrophobic N-terminal signal could be

overcome by mutations that either reintroduced small non-polar amino acids

positioned 3-7 residues from the subunit N-terminus (*e.g.* M$_7$I), or introduced

additional residues between V$_{15}$ and the GRM. In such 'gain of function' strains

containing insertions, changing V$_{15}$ to alanine abolished subunit export, which was

rescued by re-introduction of small non-polar residues close to the N-terminus.

These data point to an essential export function for small non-polar residues close to

the N-terminus of rod/hook subunits.

It was fortuitous that we chose FlgD as the model for early flagellar subunit. All early

subunits contain small hydrophobic residues close to the N-terminus, but FlgD is

unique in that only four (I_3, A_4, V_5 and V_{15}) of its first 25 residues are small and non-

polar (**Fig. S1**). Indeed, there are only three other small hydrophobic residues

between the FlgD N-terminus and the GRM (**Fig. 1**). While deletion of residues 2-5 in

FlgD removes the critical hydrophobic N-terminal signal, similar deletions in the N-

terminal regions of other rod/hook subunits reposition existing small non-polar

residues close to the N-terminus (**Fig. S1**). This is perhaps why previous deletion

studies in early flagellar subunits have failed to identify the hydrophobic N-terminal

signal [14],[37],[38].

The finding that subunits lacking the hydrophobic N-terminal export signal, but not

the GRM, stalled during export suggested that these two signals were recognised by

the flagellar export machinery in a specific order. Mutant variants of other flagellar

export substrates or export components have been observed to block the export

pathway. For example, a FlgN chaperone variant lacking the C-terminal 20 residues

stalls at the FliI ATPase [39], while a GST-tagged FliJ binds FlhA but is unable to

associate correctly with FliI so blocking wild type FliJ interaction with FlhA [40].

These attenuations can be reversed by further mutations that disrupt the stalling

interactions. This was also observed for FlgDΔ2-5. Loss of the hydrophobic N-

terminal signal resulted in a dominant-negative effect on motility and flagellar export,

but this was abolished by subsequent deletion of the GRM. This indicates that

FlgDΔ2-5 stalls in the export pathway at FlhB$_C$, blocking the binding site for early

flagellar subunits. These data are consistent with sequential recognition of the two

export signals: the GRM first docking subunits at FlhB$_C$, and positioning the

hydrophobic N-terminal signal to trigger the next export step.

The position of the subunit hydrophobic N-terminal export signal relative to the GRM

appears critical for export. Engineering of *flgD* to encode variants in which the region

between the N-terminus and the GRM was replaced with polypeptide sequences of

varying lengths showed that these signals must be separated by a minimum of 19

residues for detectable export, with substantial export requiring separation by 30

residues (**Fig. 3**). When subunits dock at FlhB$_C$, which is likely situated within or just

below the plane of the inner membrane, the hydrophobic N-terminal signal is

positioned close to the FlhAB-FliPQR export gate (**Fig. 6B**). Subunits in which the

GRM and N-terminal signal are brought closer together stall at FlhB$_C$, suggesting

that the hydrophobic N-terminal signal is unable to contact its recognition site on the

export machinery (**Figs. 5, 6A and 6B**). In all flagellar rod/hook subunits, the GRM is

positioned at least 30 residues from the N-terminus (**Fig. S1**). The physical distance

between the two signals will depend on the structure adopted by the subunit N-

terminus (**Fig. 6A**). The N-terminal region of flagellar subunits is often unstructured

in solution [11-15],[32], and such disorder may be an intrinsic feature of flagellar

export signals [11-15],[33],[34], as is typical in other bacterial N-terminal export

signals such as those in substrates of the Sec and Tat systems [35],[36].

Unstructured signals may facilitate multiple interactions with different binding

partners during export, and in the case of export systems that transport unfolded

proteins they may aid initial entry of substrates into narrow export channels [35].

As yet, nothing is known about the structure of the subunit N-terminal domain upon

interaction with the flagellar export machinery. Signal peptides in TAT pathway

substrates switch between disordered and α-helical conformations depending on the

hydrophobicity of the environment [41]. It therefore seems likely that local

environments along the flagellar export pathway will influence the conformation of

subunit export signals [42],[22]. In flagellar subunits, if the region between the

hydrophobic N-terminal signal and the GRM is unstructured and extended, this

would correspond to a polypeptide contour length of approximately 72-105 Å (where

the length of one amino acid is ~3.6 Å). If the same region were to fold as an α-helix,

its length would be approximately 30-36 Å (where one amino acid rises every ~1.5

Å). Without further structural information on subunit interactions with the flagellar

export machinery and the precise position of $FlhB_C$ within the machinery, it is difficult

to determine precisely where the hydrophobic N-terminal signal contacts the

machinery.

We speculate that one function of the subunit hydrophobic N-terminal signal might

be to trigger opening of the FlhAB-FliPQR export gate, which rests in an

energetically favourable closed conformation to maintain the permeability barrier

across the bacterial inner membrane [29],[22],[30],[27]. The atomic resolution

structure of FliPQR showed that it contains three gating regions [22]. FliR provides a

loop (the R-plug) that sits within the core of the structure. Below this, five copies of

FliP each provides three methionine residues that together form a methionine-rich

ring under which ionic interactions between FliQ residues hold the base of the

structure shut (Q-latch).

A recent structure of a substrate trapped within the vT3SS revealed conformational

changes within the export gate [43]. These changes include a rearrangement of the

M-gate methione residues and folding up of the FliR plug to allow substrate passage.

If the function of the subunit hydrophobic N-terminal signal was to trigger opening of

this gate, we hypothesised that mutations which destabilised the gate's closed

conformation would suppress the motility defect associated with FlgD$_{short}$, in which

the distance between the N-terminal signal and the GRM is reduced. Introduction of

the FliP-M$_{210}$A mutation, which partially destabilises the gate's closed state, did

indeed partly suppress the FlgD$_{short}$ motility defect. We did not find export gate

variants that completely destabilised gate closure, but it may be that such mutations

disrupt the membrane permeability barrier [29]. This could also explain why in

screens for suppressors of FlgDΔ2-5 or FlgD$_{short}$ we did not isolate mutations in

genes encoding export gate components (data not shown).

The surface-exposed hydrophobic GRM-binding pocket on FlhB$_C$ is well conserved

across the T3SS SctU family, to which FlhB belongs [14],[44],[45]. Furthermore, the

GRM is conserved in all four injectosome early subunits (SctI, SctF, SctP, OrgC) and

is located at least 30 residues away from small hydrophobic residues near the

subunit N-terminus. It therefore seems plausible that the 'dual signal' mechanism we

propose for early flagellar export operates in all T3SS pathways.

Based on the genetic and biochemical work presented here, we propose a model of

sequential recognition of export signals at the T3SS export machinery. Early

substrates engage with the GRM-binding pocket on FlhB$_C$, positioning the subunit N-

terminal hydrophobic signal to contact its recognition site. Given that $FlhB_C$ is

positioned directly below the FliPQR-$FlhB_N$ gate, the N-terminal hydrophobic signal

of substrates docked at $FlhB_C$ would be suitably positioned to trigger export gate

opening. Based on the location of $FlhB_C$ and the relative position between export

signals, the N-terminal hydrophobic signal could trigger gate opening by indirect

interactions with FlhA/FlhB or *via* direct interactions with the FliPQR-$FlhB_N$ export

gate components.

In many other pathways, the presence of a substrate triggers opening or assembly of

the export channel. The outer membrane chitin transporter in *Vibrio* adopts a closed

conformation in which the N-terminus of a neighbouring subunit acts as a pore plug

[46]. Chitin binding to the transporter ejects the plug, opening the transport channel

and allowing chitin transport [45]. In the Sec pathway, interactions of SecA,

ribosomes or pre-proteins with SecYEG can induce conformational changes that

promote channel opening [47-49],[35]. In the TAT system, which transports folded

substrates across the cytoplasmic membrane, substrate binding to the TatBC

complex triggers association with, and subsequent polymerisation of, TatA, which is

required for substrate translocation [50],[36]. All of these mechanisms serve both to

conserve energy and prevent disruption of the membrane permeability barrier. Our

data suggest that in a comparable way the signal of non-polar residues within the N-

termini of early rod/hook subunits trigger export gate opening.

Methods

Bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions

Salmonella strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in **Supplementary**

Table 1. The Δ*flgD*::K_m^R strain in which the *flgD* gene was replaced by a kanamycin

resistance cassette was constructed using the λ Red recombinase system [58].

Strains containing chromosomally encoded FliP variants were constructed by aph-I-

SceI Kanamycin resistance cassette replacement using pWRG730 [59].

Recombinant proteins were expressed in *Salmonella* from the isopropyl β-D-

thiogalactoside-inducible (IPTG) inducible plasmid pTrc99a [60]. Bacteria were

cultured at 30–37 °C in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth containing ampicillin (100 μg/ml).

Flagellar subunit export assay

Salmonella strains were cultured at 37 °C in LB broth containing ampicillin and IPTG

to mid-log phase (OD_{600nm} 0.6-0.8). Cells were centrifuged (6000*g*, 3 min) and

resuspended in fresh media and grown for a further 60 min at 37 °C. The cells were

pelleted by centrifugation (16,000*g*, 5 min) and the supernatant passed through a 0.2

μm nitrocellulose filter. Proteins were precipitated with 10% trichloroacetic acid

(TCA) and 1% Triton-X100 on ice for 1 hour, pelleted by centrifugation (16,000*g*, 10

min), washed with ice-cold acetone and resuspended in SDS-PAGE loading buffer

(volumes calibrated according to cell densities). Fractions were analysed by

immunoblotting.

Motility assays

For swimming motility, cultures were grown in LB broth to A600nm 1. Two microliters

of culture were inoculated into soft tryptone agar (0.3% agar, 10 g/L tryptone, 5g/L

NaCl) containing ampicillin (100 µg/ml). Plates were incubated at 37 °C for between

4 and 6 hours unless otherwise stated.

Isolation of motile strains carrying suppressor mutations

Cells of the *Salmonella flgD* null strain transformed with plasmids expressing FlgD

variants (FlgDΔ2-5, FlgDΔ2-5-^{19}GSGSMT^{20}-V15A or FlgD$_{short}$) were cultured at 37

°C in LB broth containing ampicillin (100 µg/ml) to mid-log phase and inoculated into

soft tryptone agar (0.3% agar, 10 g/L tryptone, 5g/L NaCl) containing ampicillin (100

µg/ml). Plates were incubated at 30 °C until motile 'spurs' appeared. Cells from the

spurs were streaked to single colony and cultured to isolate the *flgD* encoding

plasmid. Plasmids were transformed into the *Salmonella flgD* null strain to assess

whether the plasmids were responsible for the motile suppressor phenotypes.

Plasmids were sequenced to identify the suppressor mutations.

Quantification and statistical analysis

Experiments were performed at least three times. Immunoblots were quantified using

Image Studio Lite. The unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test was used to determine *p*-

values and significance was determined as *$p < 0.05$. Data are represented as mean

± standard error of the mean (SEM), unless otherwise specified and reported as

biological replicates.

Author contributions

Owain J. Bryant: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Visualisation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Paraminder Dhillon: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Visualisation, Writing – review & editing. **Colin Hughes**: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Visualisation, Writing – review & editing. **Gillian M. Fraser**: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Visualisation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by grants from the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BB/M007197/1) to G.M.F, the Wellcome Trust (082895/Z/07/Z) to C.H. and G.M.F., a Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council studentship to P.D., and a University of Cambridge John Lucas Walker studentship to O.J.B.

Materials & Correspondence

Materials are available from the corresponding author upon request.

References

References

[1]   L. D. B. Evans, C. Hughes, and G. M. Fraser, "Building a flagellum in biological

outer space.," *Microb. cell (Graz, Austria)*, 2014.

[2]   W. Deng *et al.*, "Assembly, structure, function and regulation of type III

secretion systems," *Nature Reviews Microbiology*. 2017.

[3]   D. Büttner and S. Y. He, "Type III protein secretion in plant pathogenic

bacteria," *Plant Physiol.*, 2009.

[4]   M. E. Konkel *et al.*, "Secretion of virulence proteins from Campylobacter jejuni

is dependent on a functional flagellar export apparatus," *J. Bacteriol.*, 2004.

[5]   M. Dongre *et al.*, "Flagella-mediated secretion of a novel Vibrio cholerae

cytotoxin affecting both vertebrate and invertebrate hosts," *Commun. Biol.*,

2018.

[6]   L. D. B. Evans, C. Hughes, and G. M. Fraser, "Building a flagellum outside the

bacterial cell," *Trends in Microbiology*. 2014.

[7]   T. Minamino and K. Namba, "Distinct roles of the FliI ATPase and proton

motive force in bacterial flagellar protein export," *Nature*, vol. 451, no. 7177,

pp. 485–488, 2008.

[8]   K. Paul, M. Erhardt, T. Hirano, D. F. Blair, and K. T. Hughes, "Energy source of

flagellar type III secretion," *Nature*, 2008.

[9]   A. W. Williams, S. Yamaguchi, F. Togashi, S. I. Aizawa, I. Kawagishi, and R.

M. Macnab, "Mutations in fliK and flhB affecting flagellar hook and filament

assembly in Salmonella typhimurium," *J. Bacteriol.*, 1996.

[10]   G. M. Fraser, T. Hirano, H. U. Ferris, L. L. Devgan, M. Kihara, and R. M.

Macnab, "Substrate specificity of type III flagellar protein export in Salmonella

is controlled by subdomain interactions in FlhB.," *Mol. Microbiol.*, 2003.

[11]   G. Kuwajima, I. Kawagishi, M. Homma, J. Asaka, E. Kondo, and R. M.

Macnab, "Export of an N-terminal fragment of Escherichia coli flagellin by a

flagellum-specific pathway.," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 2006.

[12]   T. Minamino and R. M. Macnab, "Components of the Salmonella flagellar

export apparatus and classification of export substrates," *J. Bacteriol.*, 1999.

[13]   M. G. Kornacker and A. Newton, "Information essential for cell-cycle-

dependent secretion of the 591-residue Caulobacter hook protein is confined

to a 21-amino-acid sequence near the N-terminus," *Mol. Microbiol.*, 1994.

[14]   L. D. B. Evans, S. Poulter, E. M. Terentjev, C. Hughes, and G. M. Fraser, "A

chain mechanism for flagellum growth," *Nature*, vol. 504, no. 7479, pp. 287–

290, 2013.

[15]   B. M. Végh, P. Gál, J. Dobó, P. Závodszky, and F. Vonderviszt, "Localization

of the flagellum-specific secretion signal in Salmonella flagellin," *Biochem.*

Biophys. Res. Commun., 2006.

[16]   P. Wattiau, B. Bernier, P. Deslée, T. Michiels, and G. R. Cornelis, "Individual

chaperones required for Yop secretion by Yersinia," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.*

S. A., 1994.

[17]   G. M. Fraser, J. C. Q. Bennett, and C. Hughes, "Substrate-specific binding of

hook-associated proteins by FlgN and FliT, putative chaperones for flagellum

assembly," *Mol. Microbiol.*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 569–580, 1999.

[18]   J. Thomas, G. P. Stafford, and C. Hughes, "Docking of cytosolic chaperone-

substrate complexes at the membrane ATPase during flagellar type III protein

export.," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, vol. 101, no. 11, pp. 3945–3950, 2004.

[19] Y. Akeda and J. E. Galán, "Chaperone release and unfolding of substrates in
type III secretion," *Nature*, 2005.

[20] G. Bange, N. Kümmerer, C. Engel, G. Bozkurt, K. Wild, and I. Sinning, "FlhA
provides the adaptor for coordinated delivery of late flagella building blocks to
the type III secretion system.," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, vol. 107, no. 25,
pp. 11295–11300, 2010.

[21] M. Kinoshita, N. Hara, K. Imada, K. Namba, and T. Minamino, "Interactions of
bacterial flagellar chaperone-substrate complexes with FlhA contribute to co-
ordinating assembly of the flagellar filament," *Mol. Microbiol.*, vol. 90, no. 6, pp.
1249–1261, 2013.

[22] L. Kuhlen *et al.*, "Structure of the core of the type iii secretion system export
apparatus," *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.*, 2018.

[23] P. Abrusci *et al.*, "Architecture of the major component of the type III secretion
system export apparatus," *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 99–104,
2012.

[24] K. Eichelberg, C. C. Ginocchio, and J. E. Galan, "Molecular and functional
characterization of the Salmonella typhimurium invasion genes invB and invC:
Homology of InvC to the F0F1 ATPase family of proteins," *J. Bacteriol.*, 1994.

[25] S. Johnson, L. Kuhlen, J. C. Deme, P. Abrusci, and S. M. Lea, "The Structure
of an Injectisome Export Gate Demonstrates Conservation of Architecture in
the Core Export Gate between Flagellar and Virulence Type III Secretion
Systems," *MBio*, 2019.

[26] Q. Xing, K. Shi, A. Portaliou, P. Rossi, A. Economou, and C. G. Kalodimos,
"Structures of chaperone-substrate complexes docked onto the export gate in

a type III secretion system," *Nat. Commun.*, 2018.

[27]   C. Butan, M. Lara-Tejero, W. Li, J. Liu, and J. E. Galán, "High-resolution view

of the type III secretion export apparatus in situ reveals membrane remodeling

and a secretion pathway.," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2019.

[28]   S. Mizuno, H. Amida, N. Kobayashi, S. I. Aizawa, and S. I. Tate, "The NMR

structure of FliK, the trigger for the switch of substrate specificity in the flagellar

type III secretion apparatus," *J. Mol. Biol.*, 2011.

[29]   E. Ward, T. T. Renault, E. A. Kim, M. Erhardt, K. T. Hughes, and D. F. Blair,

"Type-III secretion pore formed by flagellar protein FliP," *Mol. Microbiol.*, 2018.

[30]   L. Kuhlen *et al.*, "The substrate specificity switch FlhB assembles onto the

export gate to regulate type three secretion," *Nat. Commun.*, 2020.

[31]   V. A. Meshcheryakov, A. Kitao, H. Matsunami, and F. A. Samatey, "Inhibition

of a type III secretion system by the deletion of a short loop in one of its

membrane proteins," *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr.*, 2013.

[32]   F. Vonderviszt, R. Ishima, K. Akasaka, and S. I. Aizawa, "Terminal disorder: A

common structural feature of the axial proteins of bacterial flagellum?," *J. Mol.*

Biol., 1992.

[33]   C. Weber-Sparenberg *et al.*, "Characterization of the type III export signal of

the flagellar hook scaffolding protein FlgD of Escherichia coli," *Arch. Microbiol.*,

2006.

[34]   S. I. Aizawa, F. Vonderviszt, R. Ishima, and K. Akasaka, "Termini of

Salmonella flagellin are disordered and become organized upon

polymerization into flagellar filament," *J. Mol. Biol.*, 1990.

[35]   A. Tsirigotaki, J. De Geyter, N. Šoštarić, A. Economou, and S. Karamanou,

"Protein export through the bacterial Sec pathway," *Nature Reviews Microbiology*. 2017.

[36] T. Palmer and B. C. Berks, "The twin-arginine translocation (Tat) protein export pathway," *Nature Reviews Microbiology*. 2012.

[37] T. Hirano, S. Shibata, K. Ohnishi, T. Tani, and S. I. Aizawa, "N-terminal signal region of FliK is dispensable for length control of the flagellar hook," *Mol. Microbiol.*, 2005.

[38] T. Minamino, B. González-Pedrajo, K. Yamaguchi, S. I. Aizawa, and R. M. Macnab, "FliK, the protein responsible for flagellar hook length control in Salmonella, is exported during hook assembly," *Mol. Microbiol.*, 1999.

[39] J. Thomas, G. P. Stafford, and C. Hughes, "Docking of cytosolic chaperone-substrate complexes at the membrane ATPase during flagellar type III protein export," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 2004.

[40] T. Ibuki, Y. Uchida, Y. Hironaka, K. Namba, K. Imada, and T. Minamino, "Interaction between FliJ and FlhA, components of the bacterial flagellar type iii export apparatus," *J. Bacteriol.*, 2013.

[41] M. San Miguel, R. Marrington, P. M. Rodger, A. Rodger, and C. Robinson, "An Escherichia coli twin-arginine signal peptide switches between helical and unstructured conformations depending on the hydrophobicity of the environment," *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 2003.

[42] M. Erhardt *et al.*, "Mechanism of type-III protein secretion: Regulation of FlhA conformation by a functionally critical charged-residue cluster," *Mol. Microbiol.*, vol. 104, no. 2, pp. 234–249, 2017.

[43] S. Miletic, D. Fahrenkamp, N. Goessweiner-Mohr, J. Wald, M. Pantel, O.

Vesper, V. Kotov, and T. Marlovits. "Substrate-engaged type III secretion system structures reveal gating mechanism for unfolded protein translocation" bioRxiv., **doi:** https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.17.423328

[44] R. Zarivach *et al.*, "Structural analysis of the essential self-cleaving type III secretion proteins EscU and SpaS," *Nature*, 2008.

[45] G. T. Lountos, B. P. Austin, S. Nallamsetty, and D. S. Waugh, "Atomic resolution structure of the cytoplasmic domain of Yersinia pestis YscU, a regulatory switch involved in type III secretion," *Protein Sci.*, 2009.

[46] A. Aunkham *et al.*, "Structural basis for chitin acquisition by marine Vibrio species," *Nat. Commun.*, 2018.

[47] Y. Ge, A. Draycheva, T. Bornemann, M. V. Rodnina, and W. Wintermeyer, "Lateral opening of the bacterial translocon on ribosome binding and signal peptide insertion," *Nat. Commun.*, 2014.

[48] J. Zimmer, Y. Nam, and T. A. Rapoport, "Structure of a complex of the ATPase SecA and the protein-translocation channel," *Nature*, 2008.

[49] R. M. Voorhees, I. S. Fernández, S. H. W. Scheres, and R. S. Hegde, "Structure of the mammalian ribosome-Sec61 complex to 3.4 Å resolution," *Cell*, 2014.

[50] H. Mori and K. Cline, "A twin arginine signal peptide and the pH gradient trigger reversible assembly of the thylakoid ΔpH/Tat translocase," *J. Cell Biol.*, 2002.

[51] L. D. B. Evans, P. M. Bergen, O. J. Bryant, and G. M. Fraser, "Interactions of flagellar structural subunits with the membrane export machinery," in *Methods in Molecular Biology*, 2017.

[53]　F. H. Login and H. Wolf-Watz, "YscU/FlhB of Yersinia pseudotuberculosis

harbors a C-terminal type III secretion signal," *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2015.

[54]　S. Frost, O. Ho, F. H. Login, C. F. Weise, H. Wolf-Watz, and M. Wolf-Watz,

"Autoproteolysis and Intramolecular Dissociation of Yersinia YscU Precedes

Secretion of Its C-Terminal Polypeptide YscUCC," *PLoS One*, 2012.

[55]　T. Minamino, Y. V. Morimoto, N. Hara, and K. Namba, "An energy transduction

mechanism used in bacterial flagellar type III protein export," *Nat. Commun.*,

2011.

[56]　M. Baba *et al.*, "Rotation of artificial rotor axles in rotary molecular motors,"

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 2016.

[57]　N. Hara, K. Namba, and T. Minamino, "Genetic characterization of conserved

charged residues in the bacterial flagellar type III export protein FlhA," *PLoS*

One, vol. 6, no. 7, 2011.

[58]　K. A. Datsenko and B. L. Wanner, "One-step inactivation of chromosomal

genes in Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.*

S. A., 2000.

[59]　S. Hoffmann, C. Schmidt, S. Walter, J. K. Bender, and R. G. Gerlach,

"Scarless deletion of up to seven methylaccepting chemotaxis genes with an

optimized method highlights key function of CheM in Salmonella

Typhimurium," *PLoS One*, 2017.

[60]　E. Amann, B. Ochs, and K. J. Abel, "Tightly regulated tac promoter vectors

useful for the expression of unfused and fused proteins in Escherichia coli,"

Gene, 1988.

[61]　B. Hu, M. Lara-Tejero, Q. Kong, J. E. Galán, and J. Liu, "In Situ Molecular

Architecture of the Salmonella Type III Secretion Machine," *Cell*, 2017.

[62]   T. Minamino and R. M. Macnab, "Domain structure of Salmonella FlhB, a

flagellar export component responsible for substrate specificity switching," *J.*

Bacteriol., 2000.

[63]   J. Kyte and R. F Doolittle, "A simple method for displaying the hydropathic

character of a protein". *J. Mol. Biol.*, 1982.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Screening for export-defective FlgD variants

a. Whole cell (cell) and supernatant (sec) proteins from late exponential phase cultures of a *Salmonella flgD* null strain expressing wild type FlgD (FlgD) or its variants (Δ2-5, Δ6-10, Δ11-15, Δ16-20, Δ21-25, Δ26-30, Δ31-35, Δ36-40, Δ41-45 or Δ46-50) were separated by SDS (15%)-PAGE and analysed by immunoblotting with anti-FlgD polyclonal antisera.

b. A schematic displaying the intragenic suppressor mutations within amino acids 1-40 of FlgD isolated from the FlgDΔ2-5 variant. Small non-polar residues are highlighted in orange. All suppressor mutations were located between the gate-recognition motif (GRM, blue) and the extreme N-terminus, and can be separated into two classes: insertions or duplications that introduced additional sequence between valine-15 and the gate-recognition motif, or missense mutations that re-introduce small non-polar residues at the N-terminus.

c. Whole cell (cell) and supernatant (sec) proteins from late exponential-phase cultures of *Salmonella flgD* null strains expressing suppressor mutants isolated from the FlgDΔ2-5 variant (FlgDΔ2-5-N_8I or FlgDΔ2-5-$T_{11}I$), FlgDΔ2-5 variant (-) or wild type FlgD (FlgD) were separated by SDS (15%)-PAGE and analysed by immunoblotting with anti-FlgD polyclonal antisera.

d. Whole cell (cell) and supernatant (sec) proteins from late exponential phase cultures of *Salmonella flgD* null strains expressing wild type FlgD (FlgD), FlgDΔ2-5 (DΔ2-5) or variants of FlgDΔ2-5 containing between residues 19 and 20 a six-residue insertion of either small non-polar (AGAGAG) residues (3x(AG)), polar

(STSTST) residues (3x(ST)), or the sequence from an isolated insertion suppressor

mutant (GSGSMT), were separated by SDS (15%)-PAGE and analysed by

immunoblotting with anti-FlgD polyclonal antisera.

Figure 2. Export of FlgD variants in which the position of the N-terminal

hydrophobic export signal is varied relative to the gate recognition motif

(GRM)

Whole cell (cell) and supernatant (sec) proteins from late exponential-phase cultures

of a *Salmonella flgD* null strain expressing suppressor mutants isolated from the

FlgDΔ2-5-[19](GSGSMT)[20]-$V_{15}A$ variant ($V_{15}A$-M_7I, $V_{15}A$-D_9A, $V_{15}A$-$T_{11}I$, $V_{15}A$-$G_{14}V$),

their parent FlgD variant FlgDΔ2-5-[19](GSGSMT)[20]-$V_{15}A$ (labelled as $V_{15}A$), FlgDΔ2-

5-[19](GSGSMT)[20] (labelled as -) or wild type FlgD (FlgD) were separated by SDS

(15%)-PAGE and analysed by immunoblotting with anti-FlgD polyclonal antisera.

Swimming motility (bottom panel; 0.25% soft tryptone agar) of the same strains was

assayed at 37°C for 4-6 hours.

b. Whole cell (cell) and supernatant (sec) proteins from late exponential-phase

cultures of a *Salmonella flgD* null strain expressing wild type FlgD (FlgD), FlgDΔ9-32

or its variants in which residues 9-32 were replaced by between one and four six-

residue repeats of Gly-Ser-Thr-Asn-Ala-Ser (Δ9-32 4xRpt, Δ9-32 3xRpt, Δ9-32

2xRpt or Δ9-32 1xRpt) were separated by SDS (15%)-PAGE and analysed by

immunoblotting with anti-FlgD polyclonal antisera. Swimming motility (bottom panel;

0.25% soft tryptone agar) of the same strains was assayed at 37°C for 4-6 hours.

c. Whole cell (cell) and supernatant (sec) proteins from late exponential-phase

cultures of a *Salmonella flgD* null strain expressing wild type FlgD (FlgD), a FlgD

variant in which residues 9-32 were replaced by two repeats of a six-residue

sequence Gly-Ser-Thr-Asn-Ala-Ser (labelled as 2xRpt) or its variants containing

between one and five additional residues inserted directly after the two repeats

(2xRpt+1, 2xRpt+2, 2xRpt+3, 2xRpt+4 or 2xRpt+5) were separated by SDS (15%)-

PAGE and analysed by immunoblotting with anti-FlgD polyclonal antisera. Swimming

motility (bottom panel; 0.25% soft tryptone agar) of the same strains was assayed at

37°C for 4-6 hours.

d. Whole cell (cell) and supernatant (sec) proteins from late exponential-phase

cultures of a *Salmonella flgD* null strain expressing wild type FlgD (FlgD), a FlgD

variant in which residues 9-32 were replaced by two repeats of a six-residue

sequence Gly-Ser-Thr-Asn-Ala-Ser (FlgD$_{short}$) or suppressor mutants isolated from

this strain (rev1, rev2 or rev3) were separated by SDS (15%)-PAGE and analysed by

immunoblotting with anti-FlgD polyclonal antisera. Swimming motility (bottom panel;

0.25% soft tryptone agar) of the same strains were was assayed at 37°C for 4-6

hours.

e. N-terminal sequences of wild type FlgD, a FlgD variant in which residues 9-32 are

replaced by two repeats of a six-residue sequence Gly-Ser-Thr-Asn-Ala-Ser (yellow;

FlgD$_{short}$) and its suppressor mutant revertants (rev 1-3) aligned at the gate

recognition motif (GRM, blue). Suppressor mutants contained insertions (underlined)

that introduced additional residues between the N-terminal hydrophobic signal

(orange) and the gate recognition motif (blue).

Figure 3. Effect of the relative position of the N-terminus and GRM on the

export of rod and hook subunits

a. Schematic representation of a wild type subunit (subunit$_{wild\ type}$), a subunit

containing a deletion of sequence between the N-terminus and GRM (subunit$_{short}$)

and a subunit in which the deleted sequence was replaced by four repeats of a six-

residue sequence Gly-Ser-Thr-Asn-Ala-Ser (yellow, subunit$_{short}$+4Rpt).

b. Whole cell (cell) and supernatant (sec) proteins from late exponential-phase

cultures of a *Salmonella flgE* null strain expressing wild type FlgG (FlgG$_{wild\ type}$), a

FlgG variant in which residues 11-35 were deleted (FlgG$_{short}$) or a FlgG variant in

which residues 11-35 were replaced by four repeats of a six-residue sequence Gly-

Ser-Thr-Asn-Ala-Ser (FlgG$_{short}$+4Rpt). All FlgG variants were engineered to contain

an internal 3xFLAG tag for immunodetection. Proteins were separated by SDS

(15%)-PAGE and analysed by immunoblotting with anti-FLAG monoclonal antisera.

Swimming motility (bottom panel; 0.25% soft tryptone agar) of the same strains was

assayed at 37°C for 4-6 hours.

c. Whole cell (cell) and supernatant (sec) proteins from late exponential-phase

cultures of a *Salmonella flgD* null strain expressing wild type FlgE (FlgE$_{wild\ type}$), a

FlgE variant in which residues 9-32 were deleted (FlgE$_{short}$) or a FlgE variant in which

residues 9-32 were replaced by four repeats of a six-residue sequence Gly-Ser-Thr-

Asn-Ala-Ser (FlgE$_{short}$+4Rpt). All FlgE variants were engineered to contain an

internal 3xFLAG tag for immunodetection. Proteins were separated by SDS (15%)-

PAGE and analysed by immunoblotting with anti-FLAG monoclonal antisera.

Swimming motility (bottom panel; 0.25% soft tryptone agar) of the same strains was

assayed at 37°C for 4-6 hours.

Figure 4. Effect on subunit export of overexpression of FlgDΔ2-5 and variants.

a. Schematic representation of a FlgD subunit containing a N-terminal hydrophobic

signal (orange, 2-5) and a gate-recognition motif (blue, GRM).

b. Swimming motility of a *Salmonella* Δ*recA* strain expressing wild type FlgD (FlgD),

its variants (DΔ2-5ΔGRM, DΔ2-5 or DΔGRM) or empty pTrc99a vector (-). Motility

was assessed in 0.25% soft-tryptone agar containing 100 μg/ml ampicillin and

100μM IPTG and incubated for 4-6 hours at 37°C.

c. Whole cell (cell) and secreted proteins (secreted) from late-exponential-phase

cultures were separated by SDS (15%)-PAGE and analysed by immunoblotting with

anti-FliK, anti-FlgK, anti-FlgL, anti-FlhA or anti-FlgN polyclonal antisera. Apparent

molecular weights are in kilodaltons (kDa).

d. A model depicting a FlgDΔ2-5 subunit (left) docked *via* its gate recognition motif

(GRM, blue) at the subunit binding pocket on FlhB$_C$ (PDB: 3B0Z[31], red), preventing

wild type subunits (right) from docking at FlhB$_C$.

Figure 5. Effect on subunit export of overexpressed FlgE_short, FlgD_short and variants

a. Swimming motility of a *Salmonella* Δ*recA* strain expressing wild type FlgE (FlgE wild type), a FlgE variant in which residues 9-32 were deleted (FlgE_short), a FlgE variant in which residues 9-32 and residues 39-43 (corresponding to the gate-recognition motif) were deleted (FlgE_short ΔGRM), a FlgE variant in which residues 39-43 were deleted (FlgE ΔGRM) or empty pTrc99a vector (-). All FlgG variants were engineered to contain an internal 3xFLAG tag for immunodetection. Motility was assessed in 0.25% soft-tryptone agar containing 100 µg/ml ampicillin and 100 µM IPTG and incubated for 4-6 hours at 37°C (top panel). Whole cell (cell) and secreted proteins (secreted) from late-exponential-phase cultures were separated by SDS (15%)-PAGE and analysed by immunoblotting with anti-FLAG monoclonal antisera or anti-FlgD, anti-FliD, anti-FlgK, anti-FliK, anti-FlhA or anti-FlgN polyclonal antisera (bottom). Apparent molecular weights are in kilodaltons (kDa).

b. Swimming motility of a *Salmonella* Δ*recA* strain expressing wild type FlgD (FlgD wild type), a FlgD variant in which residues 9-32 were replaced with two repeats of the six amino acid sequence Gly-Ser-Thr-Asn-Ala-Ser (FlgD_short), a FlgD variant in which residues 9-32 were replaced with two repeats of the six amino acid sequence Gly-Ser-Thr-Asn-Ala-Ser and residues 36-40 were deleted (FlgD_short ΔGRM), a FlgD variant in which residues 36-40 were deleted (FlgD ΔGRM) or empty pTrc99a vector (-). Motility was assessed in 0.25% soft-tryptone agar containing 100 µg/ml ampicillin and 100 µM IPTG and incubated for 4-6 hours at 37°C (top panel). Whole cell (cell) and secreted proteins (secreted) from late-exponential-phase cultures were

separated by SDS (15%)-PAGE and analysed by immunoblotting with anti-FlgD,

anti-FliD, anti-FlgK, anti-FliK, anti-FlhA or anti-FlgN polyclonal antisera (bottom).

Apparent molecular weights are in kilodaltons (kDa).

Figure 6. Suppression of the FlgD$_{short}$ motility defect by mutations in FliP

a. A model depicting subunits docked *via* their gate-recognition motif (GRM, blue) at

the subunit binding pocket on FlhB$_C$ (PDB: 3B0Z [31], red) with N-termini of early

flagellar subunits adopting either an α-helical conformation separating the N-terminal

hydrophobic signal (2-5, orange) and gate-recognition motif (GRM, blue) by ~40-60

Ångstrom (where each amino acid is on average separated by ~1.5Å, left) or an

unfolded conformation where the polypeptide contour length separating the N-

terminal hydrophobic signal (2-5) and gate-recognition motif (GRM) is ~90-150

Ångstrom (where each amino acid is on average separated by ~3.5Å, middle left).

Values corresponding to the distance separating the N-terminal hydrophobic signal

(2-5, orange) and gate-recognition motif (GRM, blue) of a FlgD subunit variant in

which residues 9-32 are replaced with two repeats of the six amino acid sequence

Gly-Ser-Thr-Asn-Ala-Ser (FlgD$_{short}$) indicate that the N-terminal hydrophobic signal

(2-5, orange) and gate-recognition motif (GRM, blue) are separated by ~29

Ångstrom (α-helical conformation, middle right) or ~67 Ångstrom (unfolded

polypeptide contour length, right).

b. Placement of the crystal structure of FlhBc (PDB:3BOZ [31]; red) and the cryo-EM

structure of FliPQR-FlhB (PDB:6S3L[30]) in a tomographic reconstruction of the

Salmonella SPI-1 injectisome (EMD-8544 [60]; grey). The minimum distance

between the subunit gate recognition motif binding site on FlhB$_C$ (grey) to FlhB$_N$

(defined as *Salmonella* FlhB residue 211 [62]; ~78Å) was estimated by combining:

the value corresponding to the distance between the subunit binding pocket on

FlhB$_C$ [14] (grey) and the N-terminal visible residue (D$_{229}$) in the FlhB$_C$ structure

(PDB:3BOZ [31]; ~52Å) with the value corresponding to the minimum distance

between FlhB residues 211 and 228 (based on a linear α-helical conformation;

~26Å).

c. Swimming motility of recombinant *Salmonella flgD* null strains producing a

chromosomally-encoded FliP-M$_{210}$A variant (M$_{210}$A gate, left) or wild type FliP (wild

type gate, right). Wild type FliP and FliP-M$_{210}$A were engineered to contain an

internal HA tag positioned between residue 21 and 22 to allow immunodetection of

FliP. Both strains produced either a pTrc99a plasmid-encoded FlgD subunit variant

in which residues 9-32 were replaced with two repeats of the six amino acid

sequence Gly-Ser-Thr-Asn-Ala-Ser (FlgD$_{short}$; top panel) or a pTrc99a plasmid-

encoded wild type FlgD subunit (FlgD$_{wild\ type}$; bottom panel). Motility was assessed in

0.25% soft-tryptone agar containing 100 µg/ml ampicillin and 50 µM IPTG and

incubated for 16 hours (top panel) or 4-6 hours at 37°C (bottom panel).

d. The mean motility halo diameter of a recombinant *Salmonella flgD* null strain

producing chromosomally-encoded FliP-M$_{210}$A (M$_{210}$A gate, left) and expressing the

FlgD short variant (left hand bar) was plotted as a percentage of the mean motility

halo diameter of the wild type FliP gate strain (wild type) producing FlgDshort (right

hand bar). Error bars show the standard error of the mean calculated from four

biological replicates. * indicates a p-value < 0.05.

    Supplementary Figure Legends

Figure S1.

a. N-terminal sequences of all *Salmonella* flagellar rod and hook subunits aligned at

their gate recognition motif (GRM, blue). Small non-polar residues upstream of the

gate recognition motif are highlighted (yellow).

b. Hydrophobicity plots for the N-terminal 60 residues of each *Salmonella* flagellar

rod and hook subunit were generated by ExPASy tools using the Kyte and Doolittle

method [62]. The *x* axis of the plot indicates the amino acid position, starting from the

N terminus. The *y* axis of the plot indicates the hydrophobicity of the amino acid

sequence, where higher values represent higher hydrophobicity. Amino acid

sequence corresponding to the gate-recognition motif of each subunit is highlighted

in blue.

Figure S2

a. Swimming motility of a *Salmonella* Δ*recA* strain expressing suppressor mutants

isolated from the FlgDΔ2-5 variant (FlgDΔ2-5 N_8I or FlgDΔ2-5 $T_{11}I$), the parent

FlgDΔ2-5 variant (DΔ2-5) or wild type FlgD (FlgD). Motility was assessed in 0.25%

soft-tryptone agar containing 100 μg/ml ampicillin and 50 μM IPTG and incubated 4-

6 hours at 37°C.

b. Swimming motility of a *Salmonella* Δ*recA* strain expressing wild type FlgD (FlgD),

FlgDΔ2-5 (DΔ2-5) or its variants containing a six-residue insertion between residues

19 and 20 of either small non-polar (AGAGAG) residues (DΔ2-5 3x(AG)), polar

(STSTST) residues (DΔ2-5 3x(ST)), or the sequence from an isolated insertion

suppressor mutant (GSGSMT) (DΔ2-5 GSGSMT). Motility was assessed in 0.25%

soft-tryptone agar containing 100 µg/ml ampicillin and 50 µM IPTG and incubated 4-

6 hours at 37°C.

Figure S3

a. Whole cell (cell) and supernatant (secreted) proteins from late exponential-phase

cultures of *Salmonella flgD* null strains expressing: suppressor mutants isolated from

the FlgDΔ2-5 variant (FlgDΔ2-5 N_8I or FlgDΔ2-5 $T_{11}I$), FlgDΔ2-5 variant (-) or wild

type FlgD (FlgD) were separated by SDS (15%)-PAGE and analysed by

immunoblotting with anti-FlhA or FlgN polyclonal antisera. Apparent molecular

weights are in kilodaltons (kDa).

b. Whole cell (cell) and supernatant (secreted) proteins from late exponential-phase

cultures of *Salmonella flgD* null strains expressing wild type FlgD (FlgD), FlgDΔ2-5

(DΔ2-5) or its variants containing a six-residue insertion between residues 19 and 20

of either small non-polar (AGAGAG) residues (DΔ2-5 3x(AG)), polar (STSTST)

residues (DΔ2-5 3x(ST)), or the sequence from an isolated insertion suppressor

mutant (GSGSMT) (DΔ2-5 GSGSMT) were separated by SDS (15%)-PAGE and

analysed by immunoblotting with anti-FlgD polyclonal antisera. Apparent molecular

weights are in kilodaltons (kDa).

Figure S4

a. Whole cell (cell) and supernatant (secreted) proteins from late exponential-phase

cultures of a *Salmonella flgD* null strain expressing suppressor mutants isolated from

a FlgDΔ2-5-^{19}(GSGSMT)^{20}-$V_{15}A$ variant ($V_{15}A$-M_7I, $V_{15}A$-D_9A, $V_{15}A$-$T_{11}I$, $V_{15}A$-

$G_{14}V$), their parent FlgD variant FlgDΔ2-5-^{19}(GSGSMT)^{20}-$V_{15}A$ ($V_{15}A$), FlgDΔ2-5-

^{19}(GSGSMT)^{20} (-) or wild type FlgD (FlgD) were separated by SDS (15%)-PAGE

and analysed by immunoblotting with anti-FlhA and FlgN polyclonal antisera.

Apparent molecular weights are in kilodaltons (kDa).

Figure S5

a. Whole cell (cell) and supernatant (secreted) proteins from late exponential-phase

cultures of a *Salmonella flgD* null strain expressing wild type FlgD (FlgD), FlgDΔ9-32

or its variants in which residues 9-32 were replaced by between one and four six-

residue repeats of Gly-Ser-Thr-Asn-Ala-Ser (GSTNAS): (Δ9-32 4xRpt, Δ9-32 3xRpt,

Δ9-32 2xRpt, Δ9-32 1xRpt) were separated by SDS (15%)-PAGE and analysed by

immunoblotting with anti-FlhA and anti-FlgN polyclonal antisera. Apparent molecular

weights are in kilodaltons (kDa).

b. Whole cell (cell) and supernatant (secreted) proteins from late exponential-phase

cultures of a *Salmonella flgD* null strain expressing wild type FlgD (FlgD), a FlgD

variant in which residues 9-32 were replaced by two repeats of a six-residue

sequence Gly-Ser-Thr-Asn-Ala-Ser (2xRpt) or its variants containing between one

and five additional residues inserted directly after the two repeats (2xRpt+ 1, 2xRpt+

2, 2xRpt+ 3, 2xRpt+ 4 or 2xRpt+ 5) were separated by SDS (15%)-PAGE and

analysed by immunoblotting with anti-FlgD polyclonal antisera. Apparent molecular

weights are in kilodaltons (kDa).

Figure S6

a. Whole cell (cell) and supernatant (secreted) proteins from late exponential-phase

cultures of a *Salmonella flgD* null strain expressing wild type FlgD (FlgD), a FlgD

variant in which residues 9-32 were replaced by two repeats of a six-residue

sequence Gly-Ser-Thr-Asn-Ala-Ser (FlgD$_{short}$) or suppressor mutants isolated from

this strain (rev1, rev2 or rev3) were separated by SDS (15%)-PAGE and analysed by

immunoblotting with anti-FlhA and anti-FlgN polyclonal antisera. Apparent molecular

weights are in kilodaltons (kDa).

b. N-terminal sequences of wild type FlgD (wild type), a FlgD variant in which residus

9-32 are replaced by two repeats of a six-residue sequence Gly-Ser-Thr-Asn-Ala-Ser

(yellow; FlgD$_{short}$) and its suppressor mutants (rev 1-7) aligned to their gate-

recognition motif (GRM, blue). Suppressor mutants contained insertions (underlined)

that introduced additional residues between the N-terminal hydrophobic signal

(orange) and the gate-recognition motif (blue).

Figure S7

a. Whole cell (cell) and supernatant (sec) proteins from late exponential-phase

cultures of a *Salmonella flgE* null strain expressing wild type FlgG (FlgG$_{wild type}$), a

FlgG variant in which residues 11-35 were deleted (FlgG$_{short}$) or a FlgG variant in

which residues 11-35 were replaced by four repeats of a six-residue sequence Gly-

Ser-Thr-Asn-Ala-Ser (FlgG$_{short}$+4Rpt). All FlgG variants were engineered to contain

an internal 3xFLAG tag for immunodetection. Proteins were separated by SDS

(15%)-PAGE and analysed by immunoblotting with anti-FlhA or anti-FlgN polyclonal

antisera. Apparent molecular weights are in kilodaltons (kDa).

b. Whole cell (cell) and supernatant (secreted) proteins from late exponential-phase

cultures of a *Salmonella flgD* null strain expressing wild type FlgE (FlgE$_{wild\ type}$), a

FlgE variant in which residues 9-32 were deleted (FlgE$_{short}$) or a FlgE variant in which

residues 9-32 were replaced by four repeats of a six-residue sequence Gly-Ser-Thr-

Asn-Ala-Ser (FlgE$_{short}$+4Rpt). All FlgE variants were engineered to contain an

internal 3xFLAG tag for immunodetection. Proteins were separated by SDS (15%)-

PAGE and analysed by immunoblotting with anti-FLAG monoclonal antisera.

Swimming motility (bottom panel; 0.25% soft tryptone agar) of the same strains were

carried out at 37°C for 4-6 hours. Apparent molecular weights are in kilodaltons

(kDa).

Figure S8

a. Whole cell (cell) proteins from late exponential-phase cultures of recombinant

Salmonella flgD null strains producing a chromosomally-encoded FliP-M$_{210}$A variant

(M$_{210}$A gate, left) or wild type FliP (wild type gate, right). Wild type FliP and FliP-

M$_{210}$A were engineered to contain an internal HA tag positioned between residue 21

and 22 to allow immunodetection of FliP [29] (bottom panel). Both strains produced

either a pTrc99a plasmid-encoded FlgD subunit variant in which residues 9-32 were

replaced with two repeats of the six amino acid sequence Gly-Ser-Thr-Asn-Ala-Ser

(FlgD$_{short}$; top panel) or a pTrc99a plasmid-encoded wild type FlgD subunit (FlgD$_{wild}$

$_{type}$; middle panel). Proteins were separated by SDS (15%)-PAGE and analysed by

immunoblotting with anti-FlgD polyclonal antisera or anti-HA monoclonal antisera.

Figure 1

Figure 2

a

4xRpt GRM

N ▬▬■■■■▬▬■▬▬▬▬▬▬ C subunit_short+4xRpt

N ▬▬▬■▬▬▬▬▬ C subunit_short

N ▬▬▬▬▬■▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬ C Subunit_wild type

b Rod

	FlgG_short + 4xRpt	FlgG_short	FlgG_wild type	
sec				FlgG
cell				FlgG

c Hook

	FlgE_short + 4xRpt	FlgE_short	FlgE_wild type	
sec				FlgE
cell				FlgE

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6