Large and interacting effects of temperature and nutrient addition on stratified

microbial ecosystems — results from an aquatic model micro-ecosystem

Marcel Suleiman, Yves Choffat, Uriah Daugaard, Owen L Petchey

Department of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies, University of Zurich,

Switzerland

Corresponding author: Marcel Suleiman, Department of Evolutionary Biology and

Environmental Studies, University of Zurich, Switzerland

eMail: marcel.suleiman@ieu.uzh.ch   Tel: +41 44 635 4773

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Abstract

Aquatic ecosystems are often stratified, with cyanobacteria in oxic layers and

phototrophic sulfur bacteria in anoxic ones. Changes in stratification caused by global

environmental change are an ongoing concern. Understanding how such aerobic and

anaerobic microbial communities, and associated abiotic conditions, respond to

multifarious environmental changes is an important endeavor in microbial ecology.

Insights come from observations of naturally occurring stratified aquatic ecosystems,

and from theoretical models of ecological processes. Here we complement such

studies with an experimental approach in the laboratory, using a novel aquatic micro-

ecosystem, with distinct oxic/anoxic strata. Our two main objectives are to 1) describe

the features of this promising micro-ecosystem and 2) report how the microbial

community composition (full-length 16S rRNA-sequencing) and the abiotic conditions

responded to two globally relevant environmental changes (temperature and nutrient

addition). The composition of the strongly stratified microbial communities was highly

affected by temperature and by the interaction of temperature and nutrient addition.

Dissolved oxygen and pH responded to the treatments, with differences in effect

between the oxic and anoxic zone. Further research with this experimental system

could focus on effects of environmental change on spatial distribution and on stability

of the communities to press and pulse perturbations.

Introduction

Microorganisms are key players in nearly all ecosystems, ranging from the human gut

to marine and freshwater habitats. The functioning of microbial communities is critical

for many ecosystem services, since microorganisms are the driving force behind

biogeochemical cycles [1–4]. Nevertheless, microbes are highly dependent on

environmental conditions; even slight changes can lead to taxonomic and functional

community shifts [5, 6]. Consequently, microbial communities and their functions are

significantly affected by global change [7], which has recently led to the emergence of

the research field termed "Global Change Microbiology" [8].

Stratification of productive lakes is a common phenomenon observed in

summer, resulting in warm and oxygen-rich upper water layers, dominated by

cyanobacteria and algae, and colder anoxic deeper layers, that harbor heterotrophic

biomass-degraders and sulfate-reducing bacteria. In between these layers, the

metalimnion can be found, which is formed by decreasing light-intensities and oxygen

concentrations (microaerophilic), and an increasing pool of reduced sulfur

compounds, creating ideal niches for phototrophic sulfur bacteria and

chemolithoautotrophic microorganisms [9–11].

Beside chemical and physical parameters that influence the presence of oxic

and anoxic layers, it was recently postulated that mutual inhibition between

cyanobacteria and anaerobic sulfur-dependent bacteria creates and maintains the

distinct oxic and anoxic zones of such ecosystems [12]. The mathematical model used

in that study predicts abrupt transitions between aerobic and anaerobic

microorganisms and the occurrence of oxic-anoxic regime shifts. Moreover, studies

have demonstrated that global change consequences could have strong impacts on

this sensitive ecosystem, including dominant growth of harmful cyanobacteria due to

warming [13], or increasing primary production and creation of anoxic layers due to

increased nutrient input [14].

The mentioned studies show that important insights come from analyses of

observations of naturally occurring aquatic ecosystems, and from theoretical models

of the plausible and relevant ecological and biochemical processes. In addition, in the

field of global change biology, targeted experiments that employ a limited number of

standardised synthetic model ecosystems have been described as an "entirely

different approach" [15] and are considered vital alongside studies of naturally

occurring ecosystems [16] and hybrids of both approaches [17]. Key challenges in

global change microbiology that are amenable to research with synthetic ecosystems

include the role of evolutionary processes, the role of historical contingency [18] and

interactions among microorganisms [19], and the integration of data and theory [18].

Experiments about the role of environmental, organismal interactions and biochemical

feedbacks in stratified aquatic micro-ecosystems appear absent, however.

Establishing suitable such standardised-model ecosystem for analyzing

potential responses of a broad range of microorganisms remains crucial. Being able

to monitor global change responses of diverse functional groups of potentially

interacting microbes is a desirable feature of such an experimental system, which point

towards systems with strong abiotic gradients in space and or time. In this work, we

get inspiration from an "old" but highly valuable approach, the Winogradsky column

[20, 21]. We constructed a modified and smaller version that allows a highly replicable

development of a broad range of complex and dynamic microbial groups in one

experimental unit. In contrast to classical Winogradsky columns, our micro-

ecosystems are mostly liquid, with only a small sediment layer (~ 6 % v/v of the

column). Thus, we created a highly replicable liquid oxic-anoxic interphase and self-

developing model systems.

By applying this approach, we were able to analyze microbial responses to

multifarious environmental change (temperature and nutrient addition manipulated

factorially), including the responses of community composition and abiotic

environmental conditions. As well as showing how microbial community composition,

dissolved oxygen, pH, and hydrogen sulphide respond to temperature and nutrient

addition, we highlight the potential for global change microbiology research of this new

approach coupled with state-of-the-art sequencing technologies.

We hypothesize that higher temperature will increase the dominance of

anaerobic microbes due to an increase in the extent of the anoxic zone, due to the

lower solubility of oxygen in warmer water. We hypothesize that nutrient addition

(addition of ammonium phosphate) will alter community composition, but we cannot *a*

priori say how, as this would depend on features of the organisms, such as competitive

and facilitative interactions among them, which we do not have sufficient information

about. We did not have any *a priori* expectation of whether the combined effect of

temperature and nutrient addition would be additive (no interaction), more than

additive (positive interaction), or less than additive (negative interaction). We

anticipated the potential for micro-ecosystems to become entirely oxic or entirely

anoxic, and for changes in composition to be non-linear and to potentially include

discontinuous responses that are predicted by theory.

Materials and methods

Preparation of micro-ecosystems

The vessels used to house the micro-ecosystems were standard glass test-tubes

(diameter 13 mm, height 16 cm). Each was equipped with two oxygen sensors

(PreSens Precision Sensing GmbH, Germany), one at a height of 4 cm (bottom

sensor) and the other at 14 cm (top sensor), both stuck to the inside surface of the

test-tube wall. These sensors allow optical measurements of oxygen to be taken

through the wall of test tube.

Each test-tube (which we also refer to as a "column") had a butyl rubber stopper

on top, with two canulas. The longer canula (StericanR, length 120mm, diameter 0.8

mm) reached to the depth of the bottom O_2 sensor and was covered with an oxygen-

protecting plug (BD Plug). The shorter canula (length 40 mm, 0.9 mm diameter) was

always open and extended only into the headspace of the micro-ecosystem. This

shorter canula therefore allowed for gas exchange between the headspace and the

atmosphere (Fig. 1a).

Micro-ecosystems were established from sediment and water samples taken in

July from a small pond in Zürich, Switzerland (47°23'51.2"N 8°32'33.3"E, temperature

of 24.6 °C, pH of 6.7.) at a depth of 30 cm. In total, 200 g sediment and 2 L water were

collected. The sediment sample was supplemented with sterile 0.5 % crystalline

cellulose, 0.5 % methyl-cellulose, 1 % $CaSO_4$, 0.2 % $CaCO_3$ and 0.01 % $NH_4H_2PO_4$.

Sediment was homogenized by mixing for 30 min, before each test-tube was filled with

sediment up to a height of 1.5 cm (in 0.5 cm steps, with constant mixing of the source

sediment bottle between steps). Sediment that stuck to inner wall of the test-tube was

removed carefully in all cases. Columns were filled with well-homogenized 16 mL pond

water (supplemented with 0.01 % $NH_4H_2PO_4$), leaving approximately 1 cm of

headspace. In contrast to classical Winogradsky columns [20–22], our set-up is

dominated by liquid and contains a small amount of sediment. Columns were

incubated at room temperature for two hours without light to allow the sediment to

settle fully, after which an initial oxygen concentration of the top and bottom sensor

was recorded and the columns were placed in incubators.

Fig. 1 Preparation and incubation conditions of the micro-ecosystems

(**a**) Columns were filled with 1.5 cm sediment and pond water with supplementary resources (see main text for details). Columns were equipped with two oxygen sensors (top and bottom), and closed with a butyl rubber stopper. One canula allow gas exchange between the headspace and the atmosphere (pink). A second canula is used for taking samples at the bottom sensor (green, anoxic stopper). (**b**) Micro-ecosystems were incubated in light-gradient-producing holders. Sediment was covered inside the metal base (1.5 cm depth) for incubation without light. Columns were thereby incubated with a light gradient ranging from 6.2 kilo lux (klx) to 0 lux (lx).

Incubation and treatments

Each column was incubated at one of seven temperatures: 12, 16, 20, 24, 28, 32 or 36 °C. Incubators (Pol Eco Apparatura sp.j.) were equipped with two fluorescent light sources (PHILIPS Master TL8W/840) with a dark-light cycle of 8:16 hours. Columns were placed in a 1.5 cm hole in a metal base, so that the sediment layer was continuously kept in darkness. Up to a height of 10 cm, the columns were surrounded by a plastic holder that causes a gradient of light, ranging from 340 lx at the intersphere of sediment:water at the bottom and up to 700 lx at the top of the light-protector (Fig. 1b). The top of the column experienced fluorescent light intensity of 6200 lx. Each holder contained six columns, in two groups of three replicates, separated by a water-filled column. This arrangement was placed in the middle of both light sources in the incubator, allowing the same light-intensity at the back and front of each column.

A second treatment was the manipulation of nutrient concentration. For this, 0.1 % $NH_4H_2PO_4$ was added to half of the columns by taking 16 µL of a sterile 10 % $NH_4H_2PO_4$ solution, while 16 µL sterile water was used for the controls. This treatment was performed once per week (day 1, 8, 14, 20) and was factorially crossed with the temperature treatment, resulting in 7 (temperature) x 2 (nutrient levels) and 3 replicated, giving a total of 42 columns. These were incubated for 22 days.

Sampling and measurements

Liquid samples were taken on day 8, 14 and 20 by collecting 200 ul of liquid from the bottom of the columns by using the installed canula.This liquid was used to measure H_2S (Microsensor, AMT Analysenmesstechnik GmbH, Germany) and was replaced

with anoxic sterile lake water. Non-invasive oxygen measurements were performed at

the top and bottom sensor of the columns (everyday except of day 5, 11, 12 and 17).

After incubation, all columns were destructively sampled. For each column, the

whole liquid part (16 mL) and the sediment layer were processed separately. One

replicate of each treatment combination had the liquid part separated at the height of

the bottom oxygen layer, giving a sample of the upper and lower liquid layer as well

as a sample for the sediment. Each sample was centrifuged (16,000 rpm, 3 min) and

pellets were frozen at -80 °C until DNA extraction. Supernatant was used for pH

measurements, as well as total nitrogen and total organic carbon analysis. While

sampling, the H_2S concentration at the sediment-water interphase was measured

under anoxic conditions.

DNA extraction, 16S rRNA amplification

DNA extractions were performed with ZymoBIOMICS DNA Microprep Kit

(ZymoResearch), following the manufacturer`s instructions. 16S rRNA gene

amplifications were performed with 27F forward primer (5`-

AGRGTTYGATYMTGGCTCAG-3`) and 1592R reverse primer (5`-

RGYTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3`), resulting in an amplification product of ~1500 bp.

Primers were equipped with 5`phosphate and a 5`buffer sequence of GCATC.

Barcodes, PCR chemicals and conditions (27 cycles) were performed as suggested

by Pacific Biosciences (PacBio, 2020). PCR products were visualized on an 1 % (w/v)

agarose gel and were pooled with equal concentrations. Afterwards, PCR products

were purified using AMPure®PB beads (PacBio), following manufacturer`s guidelines.

Library Preparation and Sequencing

Sequencing was performed at the Functional Genomic Center Zürich, Switzerland. The SMRT bell was produced using the SMRTbell Express Template Prep Kit 2.0 (PacBio). The input amplicon pool concentration was measured using a Qubit Fluorometer dsDNA High Sensitivity assay (Life Technologies). A Bioanalyzer 12Kb assay (Agilent) was used to assess the amplicon pool size distribution. 0.75μg of amplicon pool was DNA damage repaired and end-repaired using polishing enzymes. A ligation was performed to create the SMRT bell template, according to the manufacturer's instructions. The SMRT bell library was quality inspected and quantified using a Bioanalyzer 12Kb assay (Agilent) and on a Qubit Fluorimeter (Life technologies) respectively. A ready to sequence SMRT bell-Polymerase Complex was created using the Sequel II Binding Kit 2.1 and Internal Control 1.0 (PacBio) according to the manufacturer instruction. The Pacific Biosciences Sequel II instrument was programmed to sequence the library on 1 Sequel II SMRT Cell 8M, taking 1 movie of 15 hours per cell, using the Sequel II Sequencing Kit 2.0 (PacBio). Sequencing data quality were checked, via the PacBio SMRT Link software, using the "run QC module". Sequencing raw data are deposited at NCBI SRA under the BioSample accession numbers SAMN16774060 to SAMN16774152.

Bioinformatics

Bioinformatics were performed using R and the package Dada2 [23]. In short, reads were filtered regarding primer sequences, length (1300-1600 bp), quality, error rates and chimeras. The outcome of the filter processes can be observed in Supplementary data for each sample. Finally, the constructed sequence table was aligned with the

SILVA ribosomal RNA database [24], using version 138 (non-redundant dataset 99).

Afterwards, a phyloseq formatted data object was constructed, containing the OTU

table, taxonomy table and sample metadata, using the package phyloseq [25], which

was also used for microbial community analysis together with the vegan package [26].

All sequences that were assigned to "chloroplast" were removed from the phyloseq

file, since primers were chosen for bacterial 16S rRNA gene. Microbial community

composition was analysed with non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS), using

the metaMDS function from the vegan package. The metaMDS function was instructed

to use Bray-Curtis distance, to transform the data to have 2 dimensions, and to try 200

different starting positions in order to achieve convergence. NDMS was performed on

relative abundances of OTUs with a relative abundance of at least 1% in at least one

sample. Linear models with appropriate error structures were used to assess the

weight of evidence supporting causal effects of treatments and treatment interactions

on response variables. We intentionally avoid referring to effects as significant or not

significant, and rather use the terms: no evidence $p > 0.1$, weak evidence $0.1 > p >$

0.05, moderate evidence $0.05 > p > 0.01$, strong evidence $0.01 > p > 0.001$, very

strong evidence $p < 0.001$.

Results

Macroscopic observations

All columns developed a stratified growth of colorful microorganisms within the incubation time, regardless of the temperature and nutrient addition treatment (Fig. 2a & b). Macro- and microscopical observation of morphology and color indicated that the micro-ecosystems likely consisted of a relatively large upper layer of cyanobacteria and algae and phototrophic sulfur bacteria in a smaller lower layer. Moreover, a white layer occurred in all columns during the first 10 days (Fig. 2c) at different positions depending on the incubation temperature (12 °C and 16 °C below the bottom-sensor, and at higher temperatures above the bottom-sensor). DGGE analysis identified this layer as a consortium of species of the microaerophilic genus *Magnetospirillum*, indicating that this layer is the oxic-anoxic interphase of the micro-ecosystems. In general, all micro-ecosystems developed an oxic and anoxic part (Fig. 5), and triplicates of each treatment combination predominantly showed comparable growth and development. Gas formation was observed in the sediment layers of the columns, indicating an active microbial community (Fig. 2d).

Fig. 2 Macroscopical observation of the micro-ecosystems. **(a)** Control micro-ecosystems (triplicates) after incubation at 12-36°C for 22 days. **(b)** Nutrient addition micro-ecosystems (triplicates) after incubation at 12-36 °C for 22 days. **(c)** Observation of a white bacterial cloud within 10 days of incubation. Exemplary columns incubated at 20 °C of day 10 are shown. Red arrow highlights the position of cloud. **(d)** Gas formation in the sediments, exemplary picture taken at day 12 of columns incubated at 28 °C.

Relative abundances of microbial taxa of the liquid part

In order to study the microbial communities in detail, full-length 16S rRNA sequencing

was performed. Removal of sequences that did not occur at more than 1 % in at least

one sample resulted in 343 different OTUs (from initial 5633 unique sequences in all

samples).

All of the micro-ecosystems at 12 °C were dominated by members of the order

Burkholderiales (54 – 69 %) (Fig. 3a, Fig. S1 for details). Relative abundance of

Burkholderiales decreased to 15 – 37 % (control) and 29 – 53 % (nutrient addition) at

16 °C, and relative abundance of *Rhodospirillales* increased up to 23 %. At 20 °C,

members of *Chlorobiales* and *Chromatiales* became more abundant. Members of

Chlorobiales were dominant in all micro-ecosystems at 24 °C (33 – 64 % controls, 62

– 64 % nutrient addition-treatments), 28 °C (42 – 92 % controls, 35 – 70 % nutrient

addition-treatments), and 32 °C (78 – 90 % controls, 18 – 50 % nutrient addition). At

36 °C, members of *Chlorobiales* disappeared, and in no-nutrient-addition treatments,

members of the cyanobacterial order *Limnotrichales* were dominant (up to 25 %; but

none occurred in nutrient addition treatment).

The full-length 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing resulted in a detailed account

of the microbial community to genus level (Fig. 3b, Fig. S2-S8 for details). All micro-

ecosystems at 12 °C (Fig. 3b, Fig. S2) were dominated by members of the aerobic

genus *Giesbergeria* (controls 22 – 34 %, nutrient addition 57 – 67 %), followed by

Aquaspirillum in the controls and *Flavobacterium* in the nutrient-addition micro-

ecosystems. At 16 °C (Fig. 3b, Fig. S3), control micro-ecosystems were dominated by

members of the aerobic genus *Uliginosibacterium* (12 – 27%), which had a very low

abundance in the nutrient addition treatment (less than 2 %). In contrast, *Giesbergeria*

and *Aquaspirillum* (4 – 35 %) were very abundant in the nutrient addition treatment.

Genus composition at 20 °C (Fig. 3b, Fig. S4) included anaerobic phototrophic

sulfur bacteria, in particular the green sulfur bacteria *Chlorobium* appeared highly

abundant in the nutrient addition treatments (up to 46 %) and the purple sulfur bacteria

Chromatium in all micro-ecosystems at this temperature (up to 28 %). With increasing

temperature, green sulfur bacteria *Chlorobium* and *Chlorobaculum* genera increased

in relative abundance. At 24 °C (Fig. 3b, Fig. S5), *Chlorobium* and *Chlorobaculum*

were detected in the controls, with 11 – 39 % and 6 – 25 % relative abundance,

respectively, and in the nutrient addition treatments with 39 – 65 % and 27 – 60 %.

Furthermore, the picocyanobacterial genus *Cyanobium* appeared (up to 7 %) in the

control micro-ecosystems.

At 28 °C (Fig. 3b, Fig. S6), green sulfur bacteria continued to increase their

dominance, especially *Chlorobium* (up to 92 %). Interestingly, the *Control_3* column

consisted of a comparable lower amount of green sulfur bacteria, but additionally of

the purple sulfur bacteria *Allochromatium* (41 %). This finding can be confirmed by the

macroscopical observation of the pink layer (Fig. 2a). Additionally, the *Nutrient*

addition_2 column consisted of *Chlorobaculum* instead of *Chlorobium* in contrast to

the *Nutrient addition_1* and *Nutrient addition_3* columns.

At 32 °C (Fig. 3b, Fig. S7), *Chlorobium* dominated the control-micro-

ecosystems, while this genus also had lower though still high relative abundance in

the nutrient addition treatments (up to 52 %).

At 36 °C (Fig. 3b, Fig. S8), the microbial community changed again: *Chlorobium*

and *Chlorobaculum* disappeared totally. Present instead were the facultatively

anaerobic, sulfur-oxidizing bacterium *Sulfuricurvum* (up to 49 %), the cyanobacterial

genera *Nostoc* (up to 36 %) and *Limnothrix* (up to 25 %), and the phototrophic sulfur

bacteria *Phaeospirillum* (up to 11 %).

Separate sequencing and analysis of the upper and lower layer of liquid

revealed dominance of phototrophic sulfur bacteria in the lower anoxic layer and of

cyanobacteria in the upper oxic layer of the columns (Fig. S9).

Fig. 3 Microbial community composition of the micro-ecosystems. (a) Relative abundance on order level is shown for each micro-ecosystem. Orders with relative abundances < 7 % in all samples were assigned to "other". **(b)** Relative abundance of 8 dominant and functional important genera depending on the temperature and treatment conditions. Red bars represent control triplicates, blue bars nutrient addition triplicates.

Community composition (NMDS) of the liquid part

The effects of temperature and nutrient addition on the relative abundance described in the previous sections were very evident in the analyses of community composition via NMDS (Fig. 4a). Compositional variation along the first NMDS axis (hereafter NMDS1) was strongly associated with temperature, but not with the nutrient addition treatment, nor the interaction of the temperature and nutrient addition treatment (Fig. 4b) (Table 1). In contrast, compositional variation along NMDS2 was affected by a strong interaction between temperature and the nutrient addition treatment (Fig. 4c) (Table 1). Effects of temperature on NMDS2 scores were non-linear in both the control and the nutrient addition treatments. In the control treatment, the NMDS2 score remained constant from 12 to 24 °C and then decreased up to 36 °C. In contrast, in the nutrient addition treatment NMDS2 values first decrease (12 to 16 °C), then increase (16 to 28/32 °C) and then decrease again (32 to 36 °C).

Fig. 4 Variation in microbial community composition. (a) NMDS scores based on Bray-Curtis distance showing the variation in microbial community composition of the liquid part of the columns. (**b**) The effect of temperature and nutrient addition on NMDS1. (**c**) The effect of temperature and nutrient addition on NMDS2. (**b** & **c**) Control micro-ecosystems are shown as red circles, nutrient addition-treated micro-ecosystems as blue triangles. Lines are local polynomial regressions; grey ribbons show the 95% confidence intervals.

Microbial communities within the sediments

The community composition of taxonomic orders in the sediment was comparable to that in the liquid part (Fig. S10), though a high relative abundance of *Chlorobiales* and *Chromatiales* was detected between 20 and 32 °C. All ecosystems (except 12 °C) were rich in relative abundance of members of the anaerobic order *Spirochaetales* (up to 48 %). On genus level, however, the community consisted of a majority of sequences that could not be assigned to known taxonomic groups. With focus on sulfate-reducing microorganisms, the sediment harbored members of the order *Desulfutomaculales* up to 2 %. Besides that, genera including *Desulfobulbus*, *Desulfovibrio*, *Desulfovirga, Desulfomicrobium*, *Desulforhabdus,* were detected*,* but all in a low relative abundance of less than 1 %. Furthermore, members of the anaerobic biomass-degrading family *Clostridiaceae* made up to 5 % of the communities, depending on the temperature.

Oxygen dynamics

Oxygen concentration in the top of the columns increased within the first 10 days in all incubations, and then remained oxic until the end of the experiment (Fig. 5a). Moreover, oxygen concentrations in the upper part of the columns were in general higher than 21% (concentration expected if in equilibrium with the atmosphere), and sometimes reached up to 60 %. We assume that oxygen production took place at the upper part of the columns by the algae and cyanobacteria, causing this high level of dissolved oxygen. The controls and the nutrient addition-treated columns showed only slight differences in their oxygen levels.

The long-term effect of temperature and nutrient addition on oxygen

concentration in the upper part of the columns was calculated as the mean of the

oxygen concentration during the last three days of the experiment (Fig. 5c). There was

very strong evidence that temperature decreased the oxygen concentration from about

35 % at 12 °C to about 29 % at 36 °C (Table 1). There was moderately strong evidence

that the nutrient addition treatment reduced oxygen concentration by about 4 %

irrespective of temperature (i.e. there was no evidence of an interaction; Table 1).

Dynamics of the oxygen concentration of the bottom part of the micro-

ecosystems were very different, and were strongly affected by temperature and

nutrient addition (Fig. 5b). At 12 °C, a hump-shaped dynamic can be observed, going

from anoxic to oxic and back to anoxic during the experiment. The same trend can be

observed at 16°C and 20 °C, but took place earlier in the experiment. At 24-36°C there

was no hump, and conditions were almost entirely anoxic, though with some rapid

anoxic-oxic shifts at 16 °C, 20 °C, 24 °C, 28°C and 36 °C at the end of the experiment.

These rapid shifts between oxic and anoxic states correspond with shifts in the position

of the white band from above (anoxic) to below (oxic) the bottom oxygen sensor (Fig.

2c).

The long-term effect of temperature and nutrient addition on the oxygen

concentration of the bottom part of the columns was assessed by transforming the

measured oxygen concentration into a binary variable: oxic (O_2 concentration > 2 %)

or anoxic (O_2 concentration < 2%). There was moderate evidence of an interaction

between temperature and nutrient addition in determining oxic or anoxic state (Table

1). The anoxic state became more likely as temperature increased in the control

treatment, but temperature did not have such an effect in the nutrient addition

treatment (Table 1).

Fig. 5 Oxygen-dynamics and long-term oxygen-states of the micro-ecosystems.
In all panels, control incubations are shown in red circles (●), nutrient addition
treatments in blue triangles (▲). The oxygen concentration [%] of the micro-
ecosystems incubated at different temperatures was measured at the top (**a**) and
bottom (**b**) sensor over time. (**c**) The mean of the oxygen concentrations of the top
sensor during the last three days of the experiment, lines represent the linear model
fit and colored ribbons the 95 % confidence intervals. (**d**) The binary oxygen state of
the at the bottom sensor, lines represent the regression line of the used model and
colored ribbons the 95 % confidence intervals.

Table 1. Statistical analysis of the various response variables used in this study.
Estimates of the temperature treatment are in units of the response variable per
degree celcius, and estimates of the nutrient addition treatment are the effect of
nutrient addition on the response variable. The interaction estimate is the effect of the
nutrient addition on the estimate of the temperature effect. Terms in the main text used
for p-values: no evidence $p > 0.1$, weak evidence $0.1 > p > 0.05$, moderate evidence
$0.05 > p > 0.01$, strong evidence $0.01 > p > 0.001$, very strong evidence $p < 0.001$. All
models were general linear model with F-tests, except for the bottom oxygen analysis,
which was a generalised linear model with binary response variable with likelihood
ratio tests (LR-test). The total nitrogen response variable was arcsine squareroot
transformed prior to analysis.

		Temperature	Nutrient addition	Interaction
Response variable				
Oxygen top	Estimate	-0.28	-4.32	0.08
	Standard error	0.10	3.66	0.14
	F-test p-value	1.9e-03	0.05	0.58
Oxygen bottom	Estimate	-0.23	-3.2	0.23
	Standard error	0.12	2.64	0.13
	F-test p-value	0.17	0.05	0.05
H_2S at sediment-water interphase	Estimate	-0.17	-12.56	0.43
	Standard error	8.59	0.25	0.35
	F-test p-value	0.43	0.71	0.21
pH top	Estimate	-4e-03	3.01	-6e-04
	Standard error	9.1e-03	0.33	0.01
	F-test p-value	0.49	<2e-16	0.97
pH bottom	Estimate	0.04	-0.78	-0.03
	Standard error	0.01	0.36	0.01
	LR-test p-value	2.6e-03	7.27e-15	0.07
Total nitrogen (TN)	Estimate	-7.8e-04	0.70	-2.7e-03
	Standard error	1.7e-03	0.06	2.3e-03
	F-test p-value	0.08	< 2e-16	0.26
Total organic carbon (TOC)	Estimate	-0.2	12.43	-0.02
	Standard error	0.45	15.63	0.63
	F-test p-value	0.52	0.02	0.98
NMDS1	Estimate	0.11	0.22	-0.00825
	Standard error	0.005749	0.21	0.008130
	F-test p-value	<2e-16	0.99	0.30
NMDS2	Estimate	0.04	1.77	-0.07
	Standard error	0.01	0.41	0.02
	F-test p-value	0.85	0.87	6.49e-05

Chemical parameters

Highest H_2S concentrations at the height of the bottom oxygen sensor were measured at 32 °C on day 8 (max 6 mg/L) and day 14 (max 5 mg/L), before the H_2S concentration decreased strongly by day 20 (Fig. 6a). H_2S on day 22 at the sediment-water interphase was detectable in most micro-ecosystems (Fig. 6b), with frequently high concentrations with more than 15 mg/L. However, there was no evidence for an effect of temperature, nutrient addition, or of their interaction on H_2S at the end of the experiment (Table 1).

There was very strong evidence of that nutrient addition affected the top (Fig. 6c) and bottom pH (Fig. 6d, Table 1), and additionally strong evidence that temperature affected the bottom pH value. Moreover, the pH of top and bottom part of the micro-ecosystems showed clear differences (compare Fig. 6c & d). While the control micro-ecosystems had a pH gradient from ~pH 7.5 at the bottom to ~ pH 9 at the top, no such gradients occurred in the nutrient addition-treatment, which exhibited a pH of ~ 6 in both the top and bottom parts of the columns.

In addition, total nitrogen (TN) and total organic carbon (TOC) were analyzed for the liquid part of the micro-ecosystems (Fig. 6e & f), and data indicated a very strong evidence that nutrient addition increased TN concentration and a strong evidence for increasing the TOC concentration (Table 1).

Fig. 6 Chemical parameters of the micro-ecosystems.

(**a**) Measurement of the Net-H_2S concentration [mg/L] at the bottom oxygen sensor per temperature at incubation day 8, 14 and 20. (**b**) Measurement of the Net-H_2S concentration [mg/L] at the soil-water interphase per temperature at final incubation day 22. (**c**) pH of the columns per temperature at the upper part. (**d**) pH of the columns per temperature at the bottom part. (**e**) Total nitrogen [mg/L] concentration [mg/L] of the micro-ecosystems depending on incubation temperature. Data were arcsine transformed for statistical analysis. (**f**) Total organic carbon concentration [mg/L] of the micro-ecosystems depending on incubation temperature. Controls are shown in red dots (🔴), nutrient addition treatments with blue dots (🟢). Small black points indicate mean values. Fitted models and confidence intervals (95 %) are shown as respectively lines and shaded areas for plots for which there was evidence of their significance.

Discussion

Understanding and predicting the consequences of multifarious environmental change is very important in a broad range of research disciplines, and investigations should be made from global- to micro-scales [27]. In contrast to existing work on higher-developed organisms [28–30] less is known about how bacterial ecosystems react to multifarious global change scenarios [7, 31–33]. In addition, there is a need of experimental studies of complex microbial model ecosystems that can potentially display diverse responses to changing environmental conditions for various microbial groups in one experimental unit. With inspiration from Winogradsky columns [34], we introduce a new experimental micro-ecosystem, that includes the development of aerobic and anerobic microbial communities in one experimental ecosystem in a replicable manner. This system represents an appropriate model system for analyzing responses of complex and stratified microbial communities to global change scenarios.

We used this model system to shed light on the consequences of multifarious environmental change, namely simultaneous warming and nutrient deposition. We hypothesized a simultaneous effect on oxygen dynamics and microbial community compositions. While temperature is considered to be a main driver for shaping soil/sediment communities [35–37], we furthermore observed non-additive effects of warming and nutrient addition on the aquatic microbial community (Fig. 4c, Table 1). This highlights the importance of studying potential interactions of multiple environmental change parameters simultaneously [38], given that they can differ in effect compared to the sum of individual disturbances. Environmental change cannot be reduced to the sums of individual factors. It is multifarious, providing the opportunity

for "risk multiplication" and for greater challenges when attempting to understand and

predict ecosystem responses to environmental change [39].

Besides the effect on overall microbial community composition, our results

additionally indicated that multiple drivers affect the oxic and anoxic layers differently.

The oxic layer of the system was affected by temperature and nutrient addition

additively (Fig. 5a & c, Table 1). In contrast, the anoxic layers non-additive effects (Fig.

5b & c). These observations might be due to the different biogeochemistry processes

in the two strata, such as the interplay of specific microbial communities, and their

interplay with available nutrients, trace elements, as well as abiotic factors and the

presence of specific oxygen-reducing sulfur compounds [9, 12, 40–43].

The microbial community composition and the oxygen dynamics are tightly

coupled in a feedback loop. Key players in this feedback loop are oxygen-producing

cyanobacteria, sulfate-reducing bacteria and phototrophic sulfur bacteria [12, 44].

While cyanobacteria and especially green and purple sulfur bacteria were common in

the micro-ecosystems, the abundance of sulfate-reducing microorganisms was

comparably low. This observation could be explained by the sampling schedule:

detection of high H_2S concentration within the micro-ecosystems indicates a nutrient

rich habitat for phototrophic sulfur bacteria [45, 46], which suggests previous high

activity of sulfate-reducing microorganisms [47, 48]. Earlier or later sampling may have

revealed more oxidized sulfur-compounds and higher abundance of sulfate-reducing

microorganisms. Further explanation of this unbalanced ratio between these two

functional groups could probably be found in the light-dark- and the sediment-water

ratio of our model system.

Some results hint at the presence of alternate stable states [49] in the

phototrophic sulfur bacterial communities. There was considerable variation among

some of the replicates of the same treatment combination, despite them having very

similar initial conditions. For example, some contained either the green phototrophs

Chlorobium or *Chlorobaculum*, or the purple phototroph *Allochromatium* (based on

sequencing and macro/microscopical observation of the respective columns). Beside

these alternative compositions within the same functional group, also the oxygen

dynamics indicated interesting patterns, particularly at 28 °C, where two of three

replicates of the nutrient addition-treated micro-ecosystems became oxic at the bottom

sensor. Since no significant differences in the microbial community can be observed

in these incubations, vertical movements of the oxic-anoxic interphase may have

occurred, which was also visible by the different height of the white microbial

consortium, consisting of *Magnetospirillum* representatives. The role and relevance of

Magnetospirillaceae [50] should be investigated further. Additionally, sinking of

cyanobacteria into the anoxic layer could also result in temporary changes of oxygen

dynamics in our dynamic system.

This study reveals that two environmental change factors caused large and

non-additive variation in the composition of aquatic microbial communities and in the

abiotic conditions of the ecosystem. We believe that these insights are only the tip of

the iceberg of what can be learned from such model micro-ecosystems with strong

and even stratified spatial environmental gradients. Further research with this new

experimental system could take many paths, including elements that we wished to but

could not include in the current study due to limited resources. These include:

increasing the temporal and spatial resolution of the sampling, in order to understand

more about the shift in the anoxic-oxic boundary, and the microbes associated with it;

studying the stability of the communities to press and pulse perturbations, and how

this stability may depend on aspects of community composition, such as functional

composition and intraspecific diversity; the extent and significance of evolutionary processes such as mutation and selection for mediating effects of environmental change; and observation of community composition via metagenomic methods, in order to capture not just the bacterial component of the micro-ecosystems, but also to research the functional significance of other likely inhabitants, such as viruses. Finally, one could research why these micro-ecosystems did not become entirely oxic or entirely anoxic, and why there was little evidence of the discontinuous responses to environmental change that are predicted for systems with strong positive feedbacks, such as this one.

Acknowledgements

MS was funded by Forschungskredit of the UZH (FK-20-125). OLP was supported by the University of Zurich Research Priority Programs in Global Change and Biodiversity. We thank Robin Hostettler for technical support and Marcel Freund for preparing the light-gradient chambers.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Author contributions

OP and MS planned the experimental set-up. MS performed all experiments in the lab. MS performed the upstream bioinformatics. OP and MS performed the downstream analyses of microbial community compositions. UD supported statistical analysis and modelling of the oxygen data and general coding in RStudio. YC performed total nitrogen and organic carbon measurements and provided technical support. OP and MS drafted the manuscript. All authors confirmed the final version of the manuscript.

References

1. Weiss J V, Emerson D, Backer SM, Megonigal JP. Enumeration of Fe(II)-oxidizing and Fe(III)-reducing bacteria in the root zone of wetland plants: Implications for a rhizosphere iron cycle. *Biogeochemistry* 2003; **64**: 77–96.

2. Kertesz MA. Riding the sulfur cycle - Metabolism of sulfonates and sulfate esters in Gram-negative bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2000; **24**: 135–175.

3. Stein LY, Klotz MG. The nitrogen cycle. *Curr Biol* 2016; **26**: R94–R98.

4. Falkowski PG, Fenchel T, Delong EF. The microbial engines that drive earth's biogeochemical cycles. *Science (80-)* 2008; **320**: 1034–1039.

5. Allison SD, Lu Y, Weihe C, Goulden ML, Martiny AC, Treseder KK, et al. Microbial abundance and composition influence litter decomposition response to environmental change. *Ecology* 2013; **94**: 714–725.

6. Evans SE, Wallenstein MD. Climate change alters ecological strategies of soil bacteria. *Ecol Lett* 2014; **17**: 155–164.

7. Cavicchioli R, Ripple WJ, Timmis KN, Azam F, Bakken LR, Baylis M, et al. Scientists' warning to humanity: microorganisms and climate change. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2019; **17**: 569–586.

8. Boetius A. Global change microbiology — big questions about small life for our future. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2019; **17**: 331–332.

9. Jorgensen BB, Kuenen JG, Cohen Y. Microbial transformations of sulfur compounds in a stratified lake (Solar Lake, Sinai)1. *Limnol Oceanogr* 1979; **24**: 799–822.

10. Savvichev AS, Babenko V V, Lunina ON, Letarova MA, Boldyreva DI, Veslopolova EF, et al. Sharp water column stratification with an extremely dense

592  microbial population in a small meromictic lake, Trekhtzvetnoe. *Environ*

593  *Microbiol* 2018; **20**: 3784–3797.

594 11. Morrison JM, Baker KD, Zamor RM, Nikolai S, Elshahed MS, Youssef NH.

595  Spatiotemporal analysis of microbial community dynamics during seasonal

596  stratification events in a freshwater lake (Grand Lake, OK, USA). *PLoS One*

597  2017; **12**: 1–29.

598 12. Bush T, Diao M, Allen RJ, Sinnige R, Muyzer G, Huisman J. Oxic-Anoxic regime

599  shifts mediated by feedbacks between biogeochemical processes and microbial

600  community dynamics. *Nat Commun* 2017; **8**.

601 13. Posch T, Köster O, Salcher MM, Pernthaler J. Harmful filamentous

602  cyanobacteria favoured by reduced water turnover with lake warming. *Nat Clim*

603  *Chang* 2012; **2**: 809–813.

604 14. Luek A, Rowan DJ, Rasmussen JB. N-P Fertilization Stimulates Anaerobic

605  Selenium Reduction in an End-Pit Lake. *Sci Rep* 2017; **7**: 10502.

606 15. Hutchins DA, Jansson JK, Remais J V, Rich VI, Singh BK, Trivedi P. Climate

607  change microbiology — problems and perspectives. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2019;

608  **17**: 391–396.

609 16. Lahti L, Salojärvi J, Salonen A, Scheffer M, de Vos WM. Tipping elements in the

610  human intestinal ecosystem. *Nat Commun* 2014; **5**: 4344.

611 17. De Vos MGJ, Zagorski M, McNally A, Bollenbach T. Interaction networks,

612  ecological stability, and collective antibiotic tolerance in polymicrobial infections.

613  *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2017; **114**: 10666–10671.

614 18. Widder S, Allen RJ, Pfeiffer T, Curtis TP, Wiuf C, Sloan WT, et al. Challenges in

615  microbial ecology: Building predictive understanding of community function and

616  dynamics. *ISME J* 2016; **10**: 2557–2568.

617   19.   Overmann J, van Gemerden H. Microbial interactions involving sulfur bacteria:
618   implications for the ecology and evolution of bacterial communities. *FEMS*
619   *Microbiol Rev* 2000; **24**: 591–599.

620   20.   Dworkin M. Sergei Winogradsky: A founder of modern microbiology and the first
621   microbial ecologist. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2012; **36**: 364–379.

622   21.   Zavarzin GA. Winogradsky and modern microbiology. *Microbiology* 2006; **75**:
623   501–511.

624   22.   Rundell EA, Banta LM, Ward D V., Watts CD, Birren B, Esteban DJ. 16S rRNA
625   Gene Survey of Microbial Communities in winogradsky columns. *PLoS One*
626   2014; **9**.

627   23.   Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP.
628   DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat*
629   *Methods* 2016; **13**: 581–583.

630   24.   Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA
631   ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-
632   based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2012; **41**: D590–D596.

633   25.   McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. Phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive
634   Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**.

635   26.   Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, Mcglinn D, et al.
636   Package 'vegan' Title Community Ecology Package. *Community Ecol Packag*
637   2019; **2**: 1–297.

638   27.   Balser TC, McMahon KD, Bart D, Bronson D, Coyle DR, Craig N, et al. Bridging
639   the gap between micro - and macro-scale perspectives on the role of microbial
640   communities in global change ecology. *Plant Soil* 2006; **289**: 59–70.

28.   González-Varo JP, Biesmeijer JC, Bommarco R, Potts SG, Schweiger O, Smith

HG, et al. Combined effects of global change pressures on animal-mediated

pollination. *Trends Ecol Evol* 2013; **28**: 524–530.

29. Pennekamp F, Pontarp M, Tabi A, Altermatt F, Alther R, Choffat Y, et al.

Biodiversity increases and decreases ecosystem stability. *Nature* 2018; **563**:

109–112.

30. Tylianakis JM, Didham RK, Bascompte J, Wardle DA. Global change and

species interactions in terrestrial ecosystems. *Ecol Lett* 2008; **11**: 1351–1363.

31. Hutchins DA, Fu F. Microorganisms and ocean global change. *Nat Microbiol*

2017; **2**.

32. Coyle DR, Nagendra UJ, Taylor MK, Campbell JH, Cunard CE, Joslin AH, et al.

Soil fauna responses to natural disturbances, invasive species, and global

climate change: Current state of the science and a call to action. *Soil Biol*

Biochem 2017; **110**: 116–133.

33. Rillig MC, Ryo M, Lehmann A, Aguilar-Trigueros CA, Buchert S, Wulf A, et al.

The role of multiple global change factors in driving soil functions and microbial

biodiversity. *Science (80-)* 2019; **366**: 886–890.

34. Dworkin M, Gutnick D. Sergei Winogradsky: a founder of modern microbiology

and the first microbial ecologist. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2012; **36**: 364–379.

35. Garcia-Pichel F, Loza V, Marusenko Y, Mateo P, Potrafka RM. Temperature

Drives the Continental-Scale Distribution of Key Microbes in Topsoil

Communities. *Science (80-)* 2013; **340**: 1574–1577.

36. Cole JK, Peacock JP, Dodsworth JA, Williams AJ, Thompson DB, Dong H, et

al. Sediment microbial communities in Great Boiling Spring are controlled by

temperature and distinct from water communities. *ISME J* 2013; **7**: 718–729.

37. Deng Y, Ning D, Qin Y, Xue K, Wu L, He Z, et al. Spatial scaling of forest soil

667  microbial communities across a temperature gradient. *Environ Microbiol* 2018;

668  **20**: 3504–3513.

669 38. Niiranen S, Yletyinen J, Tomczak MT, Blenckner T, Hjerne O, MacKenzie BR,

670  et al. Combined effects of global climate change and regional ecosystem drivers

671  on an exploited marine food web. *Glob Chang Biol* 2013; **19**: 3327–3342.

672 39. Schulte to Bühne H, Tobias JA, Durant SM, Pettorelli N. Improving Predictions

673  of Climate Change–Land Use Change Interactions. *Trends Ecol Evol* 2020.

674 40. Chapra SC, Canale RP. Long-term phenomenological model of phosphorus and

675  oxygen for stratified lakes. *Water Res* 1991; **25**: 707–715.

676 41. Luther GW, Glazer B, Ma S, Trouwborst R, Shultz BR, Druschel G, et al. Iron

677  and sulfur chemistry in a stratified lake: Evidence for iron-rich sulfide complexes.

678  *Aquat Geochemistry* 2003; **9**: 87–110.

679 42. Yu Z, Yang J, Amalfitano S, Yu X, Liu L. Effects of water stratification and mixing

680  on microbial community structure in a subtropical deep reservoir. *Sci Rep* 2014;

681  **4**: 1–7.

682 43. Garcia SL, Salka I, Grossart H-P, Warnecke F. Depth-discrete profiles of

683  bacterial communities reveal pronounced spatio-temporal dynamics related to

684  lake stratification. *Environ Microbiol Rep* 2013; **5**: 549–555.

685 44. Lee JZ, Burow LC, Woebken D, Craig Everroad R, Kubo MD, Spormann AM, et

686  al. Fermentation couples Chloroflexi and sulfate-reducing bacteria to

687  Cyanobacteria in hypersaline microbial mats. *Front Microbiol* 2014; **5**: 1–17.

688 45. Guerrero R, Montesinos E, Pedrós-Alió C, Esteve I, Mas J, van Gemerden H, et

689  al. Phototrophic sulfur bacteria in two Spanish lakes: Vertical distribution and

690  limiting factors1. *Limnol Oceanogr* 1985; **30**: 919–931.

691 46. Hamilton TL, Bovee RJ, Thiel V, Sattin SR, Mohr W, Schaperdoth I, et al.

Coupled reductive and oxidative sulfur cycling in the phototrophic plate of a

meromictic lake. *Geobiology* 2014; **12**: 451–468.

47. Sass H, Cypionka H, Babenzien H-D. Vertical distribution of sulfate-reducing

bacteria at the oxic-anoxic interface in sediments of the oligotrophic Lake

Stechlin. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 1997; **22**: 245–255.

48. Pimenov N V, Zakharova EE, Bryukhanov AL, Korneeva VA, Kuznetsov BB,

Tourova TP, et al. Activity and structure of the sulfate-reducing bacterial

community in the sediments of the southern part of Lake Baikal. *Microbiology*

2014; **83**: 47–55.

49. Beisner BE, Cuddington K. Alternative stable states in ecology. *Front Ecol* 2010;

327: 259–266.

50. Shinoda Y, Akagi J, Uchihashi Y, Hiraishi A, Yukawa H, Yurimoto H, et al.

Anaerobic degradation of aromatic compounds by Magnetospirillum strains:

Isolation and degradation genes. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* 2005; **69**: 1483–

1491.