Large and interacting effects of temperature and nutrient addition on

stratified microbial ecosystems in a small, replicated, and liquid

dominated Winogradsky column approach

Marcel Suleiman, Yves Choffat, Uriah Daugaard, Owen L Petchey

Department of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies, University of Zurich,

Switzerland

Corresponding author: Marcel Suleiman, Department of Evolutionary Biology and

Environmental Studies, University of Zurich, Switzerland

eMail: marcel.suleiman@ieu.uzh.ch   Tel: +41 44 635 4773

Running title: Global change effects on aquatic communities

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Abstract

Aquatic ecosystems are often stratified, with cyanobacteria in oxic layers and phototrophic sulfur bacteria in anoxic ones. Changes in stratification caused by global environmental change are an ongoing concern. Increasing understanding how such aerobic and anaerobic microbial communities, and associated abiotic conditions, respond to multifarious environmental changes is an important endeavor in microbial ecology. Insights can come from observational and experimental studies of naturally occurring stratified aquatic ecosystems, from theoretical models of ecological processes, and from experimental studies of replicated microbial communities in the laboratory. Here we demonstrate a laboratory-based approach with small, replicated, and liquid dominated Winogradsky columns, with distinct oxic/anoxic strata in a highly replicable manner. Our objective is to apply simultaneous global change scenarios (temperature, nutrient addition) on this micro-ecosystem to report how the microbial communities (full-length 16SrRNA-seq.) and the abiotic conditions (O_2, H_2S, TOC) of the oxic/anoxic layer responded to these environmental changes. Composition of the strongly stratified microbial communities was greatly affected by temperature and by the interaction of temperature and nutrient addition, demonstrating the need of investigating global change treatments simultaneously. Especially phototrophic sulfur bacteria dominated the water column at higher temperatures, and may indicate the presence of alternative stable states. We show that the establishment of such a micro-ecosystem has potential to test global change scenarios in stratified eutrophic limnic systems.

Introduction

Microorganisms are key players in nearly all ecosystems, ranging from the human gut to marine and freshwater habitats. The functioning of microbial communities is critical for many ecosystem services, since microorganisms are the driving force behind biogeochemical cycles (Kertesz 2000; Weiss et al. 2003; Falkowski et al. 2008; Stein and Klotz 2016). Nevertheless, microbes are highly dependent on environmental conditions; even slight changes can lead to taxonomic and functional community shifts (Allison et al. 2013; Evans and Wallenstein 2014). Consequently, microbial communities and their functions are significantly affected by global change (Cavicchioli et al. 2019), which has recently led to the emergence of the research field termed "Global Change Microbiology" (Boetius 2019).

Stratification of productive lakes is a common phenomenon observed in summer, resulting in warm and oxygen-rich upper water layers, dominated by cyanobacteria and algae, and colder anoxic deeper layers, that harbor heterotrophic biomass-degraders and sulfate-reducing bacteria. In between these layers, the metalimnion can be found, which is formed by decreasing light-intensities and oxygen concentrations (microaerophilic), and an increasing pool of reduced sulfur compounds, creating ideal niches for phototrophic sulfur bacteria and chemolithoautotrophic microorganisms (Jorgensen et al. 1979; Morrison et al. 2017; Savvichev et al. 2018; Vigneron et al. 2021).

Beside chemical and physical parameters that influence the presence of oxic and anoxic layers, it was recently postulated that mutual inhibition between cyanobacteria and anaerobic sulfur-dependent bacteria creates and maintains the distinct oxic and anoxic zones of such ecosystems (Bush et al. 2017). The

mathematical model used in that study predicts abrupt transitions between aerobic

and anaerobic microorganisms and the occurrence of oxic-anoxic regime shifts.

Moreover, studies have demonstrated that global change consequences could have

strong impacts on this sensitive ecosystem, including dominant growth of harmful

cyanobacteria due to warming (Posch et al. 2012), or increasing primary production

and creation of anoxic layers due to increased nutrient input (Luek et al. 2017).

The mentioned studies show that important insights come from analyses of

observations of naturally occurring aquatic ecosystems (Vigneron et al. 2021), and

from theoretical models of the plausible and relevant ecological and biochemical

processes. In addition, in the field of global change biology, targeted experiments that

employ a limited number of standardised synthetic model ecosystems have been

described as an "entirely different approach" (Hutchins et al. 2019) and are considered

vital alongside studies of naturally occurring ecosystems (Lahti et al. 2014) and hybrids

of both approaches (De Vos et al. 2017). Key challenges in global change

microbiology that are amenable to research with synthetic ecosystems include the role

of evolutionary processes, the role of historical contingency (Widder et al. 2016) and

interactions among microorganisms (Overmann and van Gemerden 2000), and the

integration of data and theory (Widder et al. 2016). Experiments about the role of

environmental, organismal interactions and biochemical feedbacks in stratified aquatic

micro-ecosystems appear absent, however.

Establishing suitable such standardised-model ecosystem for analyzing

potential responses of a broad range of microorganisms remains crucial. Being able

to monitor global change responses of diverse functional groups of potentially

interacting microbes is a desirable feature of such an experimental system, which point

towards systems with strong abiotic gradients in space and or time. In this work, we

get inspiration from an "old" but highly valuable approach, the Winogradsky column

(Zavarzin 2006; Dworkin 2012; Pagaling et al. 2017). We constructed a modified and

smaller version that allows a highly replicable development of a broad range of

complex and dynamic microbial groups in one experimental unit. In contrast to

classical Winogradsky columns, our micro-ecosystems are mostly liquid, with only a

small sediment layer (~ 6 % v/v of the column). Thus, we created a highly replicable

liquid oxic-anoxic interphase and self-developing model systems.

By applying this approach, we were able to analyze microbial responses to

multifarious environmental change (temperature and nutrient addition manipulated

factorially), including the responses of community composition and abiotic

environmental conditions. As well as showing how microbial community composition,

dissolved oxygen, pH, and hydrogen sulphide respond to temperature and nutrient

addition, we highlight the potential for global change microbiology research of this new

approach coupled with state-of-the-art sequencing technologies.

We hypothesize that higher temperature will increase the dominance of

anaerobic microbes due to an increase in the extent of the anoxic zone, due to the

lower solubility of oxygen in warmer water. We hypothesize that nutrient addition

(addition of ammonium phosphate, highly used fertilizer (Cao et al. 2018)) will alter

community composition, but we cannot *a priori* say how, as this would depend on

features of the organisms, such as competitive and facilitative interactions among

them, which we do not have sufficient information about. We did not have any *a priori*

expectation of whether the combined effect of temperature and nutrient addition would

be additive (no interaction), more than additive (positive interaction), or less than

additive (negative interaction). We anticipated the potential for micro-ecosystems to

become entirely oxic or entirely anoxic, and for changes in composition to be non-

linear and to potentially include discontinuous responses that are predicted by theory.

Materials and methods

Preparation of micro-ecosystems

The vessels used to house the micro-ecosystems were standard glass test-tubes

(diameter 13 mm, height 16 cm). Each was equipped with two oxygen sensors

(PreSens Precision Sensing GmbH, Germany), one at a height of 4 cm (bottom

sensor) and the other at 14 cm (top sensor), both stuck to the inside surface of the

test-tube wall. These sensors allow optical measurements of oxygen to be taken

through the wall of test tube.

Each test-tube (which we also refer to as a "column") had a butyl rubber stopper

on top, with two canulas. The longer canula (StericanR, length 120mm, diameter 0.8

mm) reached to the depth of the bottom O_2 sensor and was covered with an oxygen-

protecting plug (BD Plug). The shorter canula (length 40 mm, 0.9 mm diameter) was

always open and extended only into the headspace of the micro-ecosystem. This

shorter canula therefore allowed for gas exchange between the headspace and the

atmosphere (Fig. 1a).

Micro-ecosystems were established from sediment and water samples taken in

July from a small pond in Zürich, Switzerland (47°23'51.2"N 8°32'33.3"E, temperature

of 24.6 °C, pH of 6.7.) at a depth of 30 cm. In total, 200 g sediment and 2 L water were

collected. The sediment sample was supplemented with sterile 0.5 % crystalline

cellulose, 0.5 % methyl-cellulose, 1 % $CaSO_4$, 0.2 % $CaCO_3$ and 0.01 % $NH_4H_2PO_4$.

Sediment was homogenized by mixing for 30 min, before each test-tube was filled with

sediment up to a height of 1.5 cm (in 0.5 cm steps, with constant mixing of the source

sediment bottle between steps). Sediment that stuck to inner wall of the test-tube was

removed carefully in all cases. Columns were filled with well-homogenized 16 mL pond

water (supplemented with 0.01 % $NH_4H_2PO_4$), leaving approximately 1 cm of

headspace. In contrast to classical Winogradsky columns (Zavarzin 2006; Dworkin

2012; Rundell et al. 2014), our set-up is dominated by liquid and contains a small

amount of sediment. Columns were incubated at room temperature for two hours

without light to allow the sediment to settle fully, after which an initial oxygen

concentration of the top and bottom sensor was recorded and the columns were

placed in incubators.

Fig. 1 Preparation and incubation conditions of the micro-ecosystems

(**a**) Columns were filled with 1.5 cm sediment and pond water with supplementary resources (see main text for details). Columns were equipped with two oxygen sensors (top and bottom), and closed with a butyl rubber stopper. One canula allow gas exchange between the headspace and the atmosphere (pink). A second canula is used for taking samples at the bottom sensor (green, anoxic stopper). (**b**) Micro-ecosystems were incubated in light-gradient-producing holders. Sediment was covered inside the metal base (1.5 cm depth) for incubation without light. Columns were thereby incubated with a light gradient ranging from 6.2 kilo lux (klx) to 0 lux (lx).

Incubation and treatments

Each column was incubated at one of seven temperatures: 12, 16, 20, 24, 28, 32 or 36 °C. Incubators (Pol Eco Apparatura sp.j.) were equipped with two fluorescent light

sources (PHILIPS Master TL8W/840) with a dark-light cycle of 8:16 hours. Columns

were placed in a 1.5 cm hole in a metal base, so that the sediment layer was

continuously kept in darkness. Up to a height of 10 cm, the columns were surrounded

by a plastic holder that causes a gradient of light, ranging from 340 lx at the intersphere

of sediment:water at the bottom and up to 700 lx at the top of the light-protector (Fig.

1b). The top of the column experienced fluorescent light intensity of 6200 lx. Each

holder contained six columns, in two groups of three replicates, separated by a water-

filled column. This arrangement was placed in the middle of both light sources in the

incubator, allowing the same light-intensity at the back and front of each column.

A second treatment was the manipulation of nutrient concentration. For this, 0.1

% $NH_4H_2PO_4$ was added to half of the columns by taking 16 µL of a sterile 10 %

$NH_4H_2PO_4$ solution, while 16 µL sterile water was used for the controls. This treatment

was performed once per week (day 1, 8, 14, 20) and was factorially crossed with the

temperature treatment, resulting in 7 (temperature) x 2 (nutrient levels) and 3

replicated, giving a total of 42 columns. These were incubated for 22 days.

Sampling and measurements

Liquid samples were taken on day 8, 14 and 20 by collecting 200 ul of liquid from the

bottom of the columns by using the installed canula.This liquid was used to measure

H_2S (Microsensor, AMT Analysenmesstechnik GmbH, Germany) and was replaced

with anoxic sterile lake water. Non-invasive oxygen measurements were performed at

the top and bottom sensor of the columns (everyday except of day 5, 11, 12 and 17).

Oxygen concentration is presented in % saturation adjusted for the prevailing

temperature and pressure (e.g. 21% saturation occurs when water is in equilibrium

with the surrounding atmosphere). Thus the known effect of temperature on oxygen

solubility does not affect our analyses.

After incubation, all columns were destructively sampled. For each column, the

whole water column (16 mL) and the sediment layer were processed separately. One

replicate of each treatment combination had the water column separated at the height

of the bottom oxygen layer, giving a sample of the upper and lower liquid layer as well

as a sample for the sediment. Each sample was centrifuged (16,000 rpm, 3 min) and

pellets were frozen at -80 °C until DNA extraction. Supernatant was used for pH

measurements, as well as total nitrogen and total organic carbon analysis. While

sampling, the H_2S concentration at the sediment-water interphase was measured

under anoxic conditions.

210 DNA extraction, 16S rRNA amplification

DNA extractions were performed with ZymoBIOMICS DNA Microprep Kit

(ZymoResearch), following the manufacturer`s instructions. 16S rRNA gene

amplifications were performed with 27F forward primer (5`-

AGRGTTYGATYMTGGCTCAG-3`) and 1592R reverse primer (5`-

RGYTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3`), resulting in an amplification product of ~1500 bp.

Primers were equipped with 5`phosphate and a 5`buffer sequence of GCATC.

Barcodes, PCR chemicals and conditions (27 cycles) were performed as suggested

by Pacific Biosciences (PacBio, 2020). PCR products were visualized on an 1 % (w/v)

agarose gel and were pooled with equal concentrations. Afterwards, PCR products

were purified using AMPure®PB beads (PacBio), following manufacturer`s guidelines.

Besides 16S rRNA amplification for PacBio long read sequencing, also DGGE

analysis performed as described previously (Antranikian et al. 2017; Suleiman et al.

2019) in order to identify the white bacterial population.

Library Preparation and Sequencing

Sequencing was performed at the Functional Genomic Center Zürich, Switzerland.

The SMRT bell was produced using the SMRTbell Express Template Prep Kit 2.0

(PacBio). The input amplicon pool concentration was measured using a Qubit

Fluorometer dsDNA High Sensitivity assay (Life Technologies). A Bioanalyzer 12Kb

assay (Agilent) was used to assess the amplicon pool size distribution. 0.75μg of

amplicon pool was DNA damage repaired and end-repaired using polishing enzymes.

A ligation was performed to create the SMRT bell template, according to the

manufacturer's instructions. The SMRT bell library was quality inspected and

quantified using a Bioanalyzer 12Kb assay (Agilent) and on a Qubit Fluorimeter (Life

technologies) respectively. A ready to sequence SMRT bell-Polymerase Complex was

created using the Sequel II Binding Kit 2.1 and Internal Control 1.0 (PacBio) according

to the manufacturer instruction. The Pacific Biosciences Sequel II instrument was

programmed to sequence the library on 1 Sequel II SMRT Cell 8M, taking 1 movie of

15 hours per cell, using the Sequel II Sequencing Kit 2.0 (PacBio). Sequencing data

quality were checked, via the PacBio SMRT Link software, using the "run QC module".

Sequencing raw data are deposited at NCBI SRA under the BioSample accession

numbers SAMN16774060 to SAMN16774152.

Bioinformatics

Bioinformatics were performed using R and the package Dada2 (Callahan et al. 2016). In short, reads were filtered regarding primer sequences, length (1300-1600 bp), quality, error rates and chimeras. The outcome of the filter processes can be observed in Supplementary data for each sample. Finally, the constructed sequence table was aligned with the SILVA ribosomal RNA database (Quast et al. 2012), using version 138 (non-redundant dataset 99). Afterwards, a phyloseq formatted data object was constructed, containing the amplicon sequence variant (ASV) table, taxonomy table and sample metadata, using the package phyloseq (McMurdie and Holmes 2013), which was also used for microbial community analysis together with the vegan package (Oksanen et al. 2019). All sequences that were assigned to "chloroplast" were removed from the phyloseq file, since primers were chosen for bacterial 16S rRNA gene and bind incorrectly to chloroplast sequences.

Microbial community composition was analysed with non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS), using the metaMDS function from the vegan package. NMDS was used because a principal component analysis of the compositional variation exhibited a strong "arch-effect", which can hinder the use of ordination scores in further analyses that we wanted to make. The metaMDS function was instructed to use Bray-Curtis distance, to transform the data to have 2-dimensions. The metaMDS function was instructed to try 200 different starting positions in order to assure convergence. NDMS was performed on relative abundances of sequences with a relative abundance of at least 1% in at least one sample. The 2-dimensional NDMS solution converged with a stress of 0.15. A 3-D solution converged with stress of 0.11. This suggests the that 2-D solution is a good

representation of the compositional variation among the samples, and that the 3-D

solution is not much better. Linear models with appropriate error structures were used

to assess the weight of evidence supporting causal effects of treatments and treatment

interactions on response variables. All analyses involving NDMS and variables derived

from it were repeated with a different standardization (centred log ratios) and a

different distance measure (Aitchison distance) (Gloor et al. 2017). These different

methods did not affect the conclusion of strong and relatively linear effect of

temperature on composition (NMDS1), and of a nonlinear and interacting effect of

temperature and nutrient treatment on composition (NMDS2).

We intentionally avoid referring to effects as significant or not significant, and

rather use the terms: no evidence $p > 0.1$, weak evidence $0.1 > p > 0.05$, moderately

strong evidence $0.05 > p > 0.01$, strong evidence $0.01 > p > 0.001$, very strong

evidence $p < 0.001$.

Results

Macroscopic observations

All columns developed a stratified growth of colorful microorganisms within the

incubation time, regardless of the temperature and nutrient addition treatment (Fig. 2a

& b). Macro- and microscopical observation of morphology and color indicated that the

micro-ecosystems likely consisted of a relatively large upper layer of cyanobacteria

and algae and phototrophic sulfur bacteria in a smaller lower layer. Moreover, a white

layer occurred in all columns during the first 10 days (Fig. 2c) at different positions

depending on the incubation temperature (12 °C and 16 °C below the bottom-sensor,

and at higher temperatures above the bottom-sensor). DGGE analysis identified this

layer as a community of species of the microaerophilic genus *Magnetospirillum*,

indicating that this layer is the oxic-anoxic interphase of the micro-ecosystems. In

general, all micro-ecosystems developed an oxic and anoxic part (Fig. 5), and

triplicates of each treatment combination predominantly showed comparable growth

and development. Gas formation was observed in the sediment layers of the columns,

indicating an active microbial community (Fig. 2d).

Fig. 2 Macroscopical observation of the micro-ecosystems. **(a)** Control micro-ecosystems (triplicates) after incubation at 12-36°C for 22 days. **(b)** Nutrient addition micro-ecosystems (triplicates) after incubation at 12-36 °C for 22 days. **(c)** Observation of a white bacterial cloud within 10 days of incubation. Exemplary columns incubated at 20 °C of day 10 are shown. Red arrow highlights the position of cloud. **(d)** Gas formation in the sediments, exemplary picture taken at day 12 of columns incubated at 28 °C.

Relative abundances of microbial taxa of the water column

In order to study the microbial communities in detail, full-length 16S rRNA sequencing was performed. Removal of sequences that did not occur at more than 1 % in at least one sample resulted in 343 different amplicon sequence variants (from initial 5633 unique sequences in all samples).

All of the micro-ecosystems at 12 °C were dominated by members of the order *Burkholderiales* (54 – 69 %) (Fig. 3a, Fig. S1 for details). Relative abundance of *Burkholderiales* decreased to 15 – 37 % (control) and 29 – 53 % (nutrient addition) at 16 °C, and relative abundance of *Rhodospirillales* increased up to 23 %. At 20 °C, members of *Chlorobiales* and *Chromatiales* became more abundant. Members of *Chlorobiales* were dominant in all micro-ecosystems at 24 °C (33 – 64 % controls, 62 – 64 % nutrient addition-treatments), 28 °C (42 – 92 % controls, 35 – 70 % nutrient addition-treatments), and 32 °C (78 – 90 % controls, 18 – 50 % nutrient addition). At 36 °C, members of *Chlorobiales* disappeared, and in no-nutrient-addition treatments, members of the cyanobacterial order *Limnotrichales* were dominant (up to 25 %; but none occurred in nutrient addition treatment).

The full-length 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing resulted in a detailed account of the microbial community to genus level (Fig. 3b, Fig. S2-S8 for details). All micro-ecosystems at 12 °C (Fig. 3b, Fig. S2) were dominated by members of the aerobic genus *Giesbergeria* (controls 22 – 34 %, nutrient addition 57 – 67 %), followed by *Aquaspirillum* in the controls and *Flavobacterium* in the nutrient-addition micro-ecosystems. At 16 °C (Fig. 3b, Fig. S3), control micro-ecosystems were dominated by members of the aerobic genus *Uliginosibacterium* (12 – 27%), which had a very low relative abundance in the nutrient addition treatment (less than 2 %). In contrast,

Giesbergeria and *Aquaspirillum* (4 – 35 %) were very abundant in the nutrient addition

treatment.

Genus composition at 20 °C (Fig. 3b, Fig. S4) included anaerobic phototrophic

sulfur bacteria, in particular the green sulfur bacteria *Chlorobium* appeared highly

abundant in the nutrient addition treatments (up to 46 %) and the purple sulfur bacteria

Chromatium in all micro-ecosystems at this temperature (up to 28 %). With increasing

temperature, green sulfur bacteria *Chlorobium* and *Chlorobaculum* genera increased

in relative abundance. At 24 °C (Fig. 3b, Fig. S5), *Chlorobium* and *Chlorobaculum*

were detected in the controls, with 11 – 39 % and 6 – 25 % relative abundance,

respectively, and in the nutrient addition treatments with 39 – 65 % and 27 – 60 %.

Furthermore, the picocyanobacterial genus *Cyanobium* appeared (up to 7 %) in the

control micro-ecosystems.

At 28 °C (Fig. 3b, Fig. S6), green sulfur bacteria continued to increase their

dominance, especially *Chlorobium* (up to 92 %). Interestingly, the *Control_3* column

consisted of a comparable lower amount of green sulfur bacteria, but additionally of

the purple sulfur bacteria *Allochromatium* (41 %). This finding can be confirmed by the

macroscopical observation of the pink layer (Fig. 3a). Additionally, the *Nutrient*

addition_2 column consisted of *Chlorobaculum* instead of *Chlorobium* in contrast to

the *Nutrient addition_1* and *Nutrient addition_3* columns.

At 32 °C (Fig. 3b, Fig. S7), *Chlorobium* dominated the control-micro-

ecosystems, while this genus also had lower though still high relative abundance in

the nutrient addition treatments (up to 52 %).

At 36 °C (Fig. 3b, Fig. S8), the microbial community changed again: *Chlorobium*

and *Chlorobaculum* disappeared totally. Present instead were the facultatively

anaerobic, sulfur-oxidizing bacterium *Sulfuricurvum* (up to 49 %), the cyanobacterial

genera *Nostoc* (up to 36 %) and *Limnothrix* (up to 25 %), and the phototrophic sulfur

bacteria *Phaeospirillum* (up to 11 %).

Separate sequencing and analysis of the upper and lower layer of liquid

revealed dominance of phototrophic sulfur bacteria in the lower anoxic layer and of

cyanobacteria in the upper oxic layer of the columns (Fig. S9).

Fig. 3 Microbial community composition of the micro-ecosystems. (a) Relative

abundance on order level is shown for each micro-ecosystem. Orders with relative

abundances < 7 % in all samples were assigned to "other". **(b)** Relative abundance of

8 dominant and functional important genera depending on the temperature and

treatment conditions. Red bars represent control triplicates, blue bars nutrient addition

triplicates.

Community composition (NMDS) of the water column

The effects of temperature and nutrient addition on the relative abundance described in the previous sections were very evident in the analyses of community composition via NMDS (Fig. 4a). Compositional variation along the first NMDS axis (hereafter NMDS1) was strongly associated with temperature, but not with the nutrient addition treatment, nor the interaction of the temperature and nutrient addition treatment (Fig. 4b) (Table 1). In contrast, compositional variation along NMDS2 was affected by a strong interaction between temperature and the nutrient addition treatment (Fig. 4c) (Table 1). Effects of temperature on NMDS2 scores were non-linear in both the control and the nutrient addition treatments. In the control treatment, the NMDS2 score remained constant from 12 to 24 °C and then decreased up to 36 °C. In contrast, in the nutrient addition treatment NMDS2 values first decrease (12 to 16 °C), then increase (16 to 28/32 °C) and then decrease again (32 to 36 °C).

Fig. 4 Variation in microbial community composition. (a) NMDS scores based on
Bray-Curtis distance showing the variation in microbial community composition of the
water column **(b)** The effect of temperature and nutrient addition on NMDS1. **(c)** The
effect of temperature and nutrient addition on NMDS2. **(b & c)** Control micro-
ecosystems are shown as red circles, nutrient addition-treated micro-ecosystems as
blue triangles. Lines are local polynomial regressions; grey ribbons show the 95%
confidence intervals.

Microbial communities within the sediments

The community composition of taxonomic orders in the sediment was comparable to that in the water column (Fig. S10), though a high relative abundance of *Chlorobiales* and *Chromatiales* was detected between 20 and 32 °C. All ecosystems (except 12 °C) were rich in relative abundance of members of the anaerobic order *Spirochaetales* (up to 48 %). On genus level, however, the community consisted of a majority of sequences that could not be assigned to known taxonomic groups. With focus on sulfate-reducing microorganisms, the sediment harbored members of the order *Desulfutomaculales* up to 2 %. Besides that, genera including *Desulfobulbus*, *Desulfovibrio, Desulfovirga, Desulfomicrobium*, *Desulforhabdus,* were detected*,* but all in a low relative abundance of less than 1 %. Furthermore, members of the anaerobic biomass-degrading family *Clostridiaceae* made up to 5 % of the communities, depending on the temperature.

Table 1. Statistical analysis of the various response variables used in this study.

Estimates of the temperature treatment are in units of the response variable per degree celcius, and estimates of the nutrient addition treatment are the effect of nutrient addition on the response variable. The interaction estimate is the effect of the nutrient addition on the estimate of the temperature effect. Terms in the main text used for p-values: no evidence p > 0.1, weak evidence 0.1 > p > 0.05, moderately strong evidence 0.05 > p > 0.01, strong evidence 0.01 > p > 0.001, very strong evidence p < 0.001. All models were general linear model with F-tests, except for the bottom oxygen analysis, which was a generalised linear model with binary response variable with likelihood ratio tests (LR-test). The total nitrogen response variable was arcsine squareroot transformed prior to analysis

		Temperature	Nutrient addition	Interaction
Response variable				
Oxygen top	Estimate	-0.28	-4.32	0.08
	Standard error	0.10	3.66	0.14
	F-test p-value	1.9e-03	0.05	0.58
Oxygen bottom	Estimate	-0.23	-3.2	0.23
	Standard error	0.12	2.64	0.13
	F-test p-value	0.17	0.05	0.05
H_2S at sediment-water interphase	Estimate	-0.17	-12.56	0.43
	Standard error	8.59	0.25	0.35
	F-test p-value	0.43	0.71	0.21
pH top	Estimate	-4e-03	3.01	-6e-04
	Standard error	9.1e-03	0.33	0.01
	F-test p-value	0.49	<2e-16	0.97
pH bottom	Estimate	0.04	-0.78	-0.03
	Standard error	0.01	0.36	0.01
	LR-test p-value	2.6e-03	7.27e-15	0.07
Total nitrogen (TN)	Estimate	-7.8e-04	0.70	-2.7e-03
	Standard error	1.7e-03	0.06	2.3e-03
	F-test p-value	0.08	< 2e-16	0.26
Total organic carbon (TOC)	Estimate	-0.2	12.43	-0.02
	Standard error	0.45	15.63	0.63
	F-test p-value	0.52	0.02	0.98
NMDS1	Estimate	0.11	0.22	-0.00825
	Standard error	0.005749	0.21	0.008130
	F-test p-value	<2e-16	0.99	0.30
NMDS2	Estimate	0.04	1.77	-0.07
	Standard error	0.01	0.41	0.02
	F-test p-value	0.85	0.87	6.49e-05

Oxygen dynamics

Oxygen concentration in the top of the columns increased within the first 10 days in all incubations, and then remained oxic until the end of the experiment (Fig. 5a). Moreover, oxygen concentrations in the upper part of the columns were in general higher than 21% (concentration expected if in equilibrium with the atmosphere), and sometimes reached up to 60 %. We assume that oxygen production took place at the upper part of the columns by the algae and cyanobacteria, causing this high level of dissolved oxygen. The controls and the nutrient addition-treated columns showed only slight differences in their oxygen levels.

The long-term effect of temperature and nutrient addition on oxygen concentration in the upper part of the columns was calculated as the mean of the oxygen concentration during the last three days of the experiment (Fig. 5c). There was very strong evidence that temperature decreased the oxygen concentration from about 35 % at 12 °C to about 29 % at 36 °C (Table 1). There was moderately strong evidence that the nutrient addition treatment reduced oxygen concentration by about 4 % irrespective of temperature (i.e. there was no evidence of an interaction; Table 1).

Dynamics of the oxygen concentration of the bottom part of the micro-ecosystems were very different, and were strongly affected by temperature and nutrient addition (Fig. 5b). At 12 °C, a hump-shaped dynamic can be observed, going from anoxic to oxic and back to anoxic during the experiment. The same trend can be observed at 16°C and 20 °C, but took place earlier in the experiment. At 24-36°C there was no hump, and conditions were almost entirely anoxic, though with some rapid anoxic-oxic shifts at 16 °C, 20 °C, 24 °C, 28°C and 36 °C at the end of the experiment. These rapid shifts between oxic and anoxic states correspond with shifts in the position

of the white microbial population from above (anoxic) to below (oxic) the bottom

oxygen sensor (Fig. 2c).

The long-term effect of temperature and nutrient addition on the oxygen

concentration of the bottom part of the columns was assessed by transforming the

measured oxygen concentration into a binary variable: oxic (O_2 concentration > 2 %)

or anoxic (O_2 concentration < 2%). There was moderate evidence of an interaction

between temperature and nutrient addition in determining oxic or anoxic state (Table

1). The anoxic state became more likely as temperature increased in the control

treatment, but temperature did not have such an effect in the nutrient addition

treatment (Table 1).

Fig. 5 Oxygen-dynamics and long-term oxygen-states of the micro-ecosystems.
In all panels, control incubations are shown in red circles (●), nutrient addition treatments in blue triangles (▲). The oxygen concentration [%] of the micro-ecosystems incubated at different temperatures was measured at the top (**a**) and bottom (**b**) sensor over time. (**c**) The mean of the oxygen concentrations of the top sensor during the last three days of the experiment; lines represent the linear model fit and colored ribbons the 95 % confidence intervals. (**d**) The binary oxygen state at the bottom sensor; lines represent the regression line of the used model and colored ribbons the 95 % confidence intervals.

Chemical parameters

Highest H_2S concentrations at the height of the bottom oxygen sensor were measured

at 32 °C on day 8 (max 6 mg/L) and day 14 (max 5 mg/L), before the H_2S concentration

decreased strongly by day 20 (Fig. 6a). H_2S on day 22 at the sediment-water

interphase was detectable in most micro-ecosystems (Fig. 6b), with frequently high

concentrations with more than 15 mg/L. However, there was no evidence for an effect

of temperature, nutrient addition, or of their interaction on H_2S at the end of the

experiment (Table 1).

There was very strong evidence of that nutrient addition affected the top (Fig.

6c) and bottom pH (Fig. 6d, Table 1), and additionally strong evidence that

temperature affected the bottom pH value. Moreover, the pH of top and bottom part of

the micro-ecosystems showed clear differences (compare Fig. 6c & d). While the

control micro-ecosystems had a pH gradient from ~pH 7.5 at the bottom to ~ pH 9 at

the top, no such gradients occurred in the nutrient addition-treatment, which exhibited

a pH of ~ 6 in both the top and bottom parts of the columns.

In addition, total nitrogen (TN) and total organic carbon (TOC) were analyzed

for the water column of the micro-ecosystems (Fig. 6e & f), and data indicated a very

strong evidence that nutrient addition increased TN concentration and a strong

evidence for increasing the TOC concentration (Table 1).

Fig. 6 Chemical parameters of the micro-ecosystems.

(**a**) Measurement of the Net-H_2S concentration [mg/L] at the bottom oxygen sensor

per temperature at incubation day 8, 14 and 20. (**b**) Measurement of the Net-H_2S

concentration [mg/L] at the soil-water interphase per temperature at final incubation

day 22. (**c**) pH of the columns per temperature at the upper part. (**d**) pH of the columns

per temperature at the bottom part. (**e**) Total nitrogen [mg/L] concentration [mg/L] of

the micro-ecosystems depending on incubation temperature. Data were arcsine

521 transformed for statistical analysis. (**f**) Total organic carbon concentration [mg/L] of the

522 micro-ecosystems depending on incubation temperature. Controls are shown in red

523 dots (●), nutrient addition treatments with blue dots (●). Small black points indicate

524 mean values. Fitted models and confidence intervals (95 %) are shown as respectively

525 lines and shaded areas for plots for which there was evidence of their significance.

Discussion

Understanding and predicting the consequences of multifarious environmental change

is very important in a broad range of research disciplines, and investigations should

be made from global- to micro-scales (Balser et al. 2006). In contrast to existing work

on higher-developed organisms (Tylianakis et al. 2008; González-Varo et al. 2013;

Pennekamp et al. 2018) less is known about how bacterial ecosystems react to

multifarious global change scenarios (Coyle et al. 2017; Hutchins and Fu 2017;

Cavicchioli et al. 2019; Rillig et al. 2019). In addition, there is a need of experimental

studies (in addition to natural systems and mathematical models) of complex microbial

model ecosystems that can potentially display diverse responses to changing

environmental conditions for various microbial groups in one experimental unit. With

inspiration from Winogradsky columns (Dworkin and Gutnick 2012; Pagaling et al.

2017), we introduce a modified experimental micro-ecosystem, that includes the

development of aerobic and anerobic microbial communities in one experimental

ecosystem in a replicable manner. In this study we demonstrate that such an approach

represents an appropriate model system for analyzing responses of complex and

stratified microbial communities to global change scenarios.

Some of our results, for example the stratification and temperature effects, may

be considered unsurprising and "textbook knowledge". This is good evidence that

these small and liquid dominated microbial ecosystems are appropriate analogues of

naturally occurring communities. Furthermore, we used this model system to shed light

on the consequences of multifarious environmental change, namely simultaneous

warming and nutrient deposition—a relatively novel area of ecology, evolution, and

microbiology. We hypothesized a simultaneous effect on oxygen dynamics and

microbial community compositions. While temperature is considered to be a main

driver for shaping soil/sediment communities (Cole et al. 2013; Garcia-Pichel et al.

2013; Deng et al. 2018), we furthermore observed non-additive effects of warming and

nutrient addition on the aquatic microbial community (Fig. 4c, Table 1). This highlights

the importance of studying potential interactions of multiple environmental change

parameters simultaneously (Niiranen et al. 2013), given that they can differ in effect

compared to the sum of individual disturbances. Environmental change cannot be

reduced to the sums of individual factors. It is multifarious, providing the opportunity

for "risk multiplication" and for greater challenges when attempting to understand and

predict ecosystem responses to environmental change (Schulte to Bühne et al. 2020).

Besides the effect on overall microbial community composition, our results

additionally indicated that multiple drivers affect the oxic and anoxic layers differently.

The oxic layer of the system was affected by temperature and nutrient addition

additively (Fig. 5a & c, Table 1). In contrast, the anoxic layers were affected by non-

additive effects of temperature and nutrient addition (Fig. 5b & c). These observations

might be due to the different biogeochemistry processes in the two strata, such as the

interplay of specific microbial communities, and their interplay with available nutrients,

trace elements, as well as abiotic factors and the presence of specific oxygen-reducing

sulfur compounds (Jorgensen et al. 1979; Chapra and Canale 1991; Luther et al. 2003;

Garcia et al. 2013; Yu et al. 2014; Bush et al. 2017).

The microbial community composition and the oxygen dynamics are tightly

coupled in a feedback loop. Key players in this feedback loop are oxygen-producing

cyanobacteria, sulfate-reducing bacteria and phototrophic sulfur bacteria (Lee et al.

2014; Bush et al. 2017). While cyanobacteria and especially green and purple sulfur

bacteria had high relative abundance in the micro-ecosystems, the relative abundance

of sulfate-reducing microorganisms was comparably low. This observation could be

explained by the sampling schedule: detection of high H_2S concentration within the

micro-ecosystems indicates a nutrient rich habitat for phototrophic sulfur bacteria

(Guerrero et al. 1985; Hamilton et al. 2014), which suggests previous high activity of

sulfate-reducing microorganisms (Sass et al. 1997; Pimenov et al. 2014). Earlier or

later sampling may have revealed more oxidized sulfur-compounds and higher

abundance of sulfate-reducing microorganisms. Further explanation of this

unbalanced ratio between these two functional groups could probably be found in the

light-dark- and the sediment-water ratio of our model system. With increasing

temperature, microorganisms changed from aerobic respresentatives (*Giesbergeria*,

Uliginosibacterium) to anaerobic ones (*Chlorobaculum*, *Chlorobium*), which is also

confirmed by the oxygen measurements. Higher turnover rate of organic substrates of

the microbes in the sediment and higher H_2S production supports the dominant growth

of phototrophic sulfur bacteria at moderate and higher temperatures (Nedwell et al.

1994; Findlay and Kamyshny 2017; Velthuis et al. 2018). The dominant blooms of

Chlorobium with increasing temperature is an important finding and its ecological

consequences should be investigated for future global change scenarios.

Some results hint at the presence of alternate stable states (Beisner and

Cuddington 2010) in the phototrophic sulfur bacterial communities. There was

considerable variation among some of the replicates of the same treatment

combination, despite them having very similar initial conditions. For example, some

contained either the green phototrophs *Chlorobium* or *Chlorobaculum*, or the purple

phototroph *Allochromatium* (based on sequencing and macro/microscopical

observation of the respective columns). Beside these alternative compositions within

the same functional group, also the oxygen dynamics indicated interesting patterns,

particularly at 28 °C, where two of three replicates of the nutrient addition-treated

micro-ecosystems became oxic at the bottom sensor. Since no significant differences

in the microbial community can be observed in these incubations, vertical movements

of the oxic-anoxic interphase may have occurred, which was also visible by the

different height of the white microbial community, consisting of *Rhodospirillaceae*

representatives. Additionally, sinking of cyanobacteria into the anoxic layer could also

result in temporary changes of oxygen dynamics in our dynamic system.

An interesting aspect could be observed regarding the pH gradient of the water

column, which showed a slightly alkaline pH on the water surface in the controls,

probably due to photosynthesis reactions of cyanobacteria/algae and HCO_3^- in the

unbuffered system, and a slightly acidic pH at the bottom, probably due to organic

matter degradation. This gradient was removed by the addition of $NH_4H_2PO_4$, which

decreases the pH due to its acidic character and buffering activity on the surface to

pH ~6.

This study reveals that two environmental change factors (i.e. temperature and

nutrient availability) caused large and non-additive variation in the composition of

aquatic microbial communities and in the abiotic conditions of the ecosystem.The

advantages of this system include high parallelization and replication, easy and non-

destructive sampling, versatility of testable conditions and manipulations, and are of

additional interest besides *in situ* ecosystem studies. We believe that these insights

are only the tip of the iceberg of what can be learned from such model micro-

ecosystems with strong and even stratified spatial environmental gradients. Even in

the described study we could have included many more elements, such as

characterization of the conditions at the sampling site, measurements of organic

compounds composition in the water column, and a control without cellulose. Further

research with this new experimental system could take many paths, including:

studying the stability of the communities to press and pulse perturbations, and how

this stability may depend on aspects of community composition, such as functional

composition and intraspecific diversity; the extent and significance of evolutionary

processes such as mutation and selection for mediating effects of environmental

change; and observation of community composition via metagenomic methods, in

order to capture not just the bacterial component of the micro-ecosystems, but also to

research the functional significance of other likely inhabitants, such as viruses. Finally,

one could research why these micro-ecosystems did not become entirely oxic or

entirely anoxic, and why there was little evidence of the discontinuous responses to

environmental change that are predicted for systems with strong positive feedbacks,

such as this one.

Acknowledgements

MS was funded by Forschungskredit of the UZH (FK-20-125). OLP was supported by the University of Zurich Research Priority Programs in Global Change and Biodiversity. We thank Robin Hostettler for technical support and Marcel Freund for preparing the light-gradient chambers. We thank the Functional Genomic Center Zurich for sequencing efforts and support with sequencing analysis.

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Author contributions

OP and MS planned the experimental set-up. MS performed all experiments in the lab. MS performed the upstream bioinformatics. OP and MS performed the downstream analyses of microbial community compositions. UD supported statistical analysis and modelling of the oxygen data and general coding in RStudio. YC performed total nitrogen and organic carbon measurements and provided technical support. OP and MS drafted the manuscript. All authors confirmed the final version of the manuscript.

References

Allison SD, Lu Y, Weihe C, et al (2013) Microbial abundance and composition

influence litter decomposition response to environmental change. Ecology

94:714–725. https://doi.org/10.1890/12-1243.1

Antranikian G, Suleiman M, Schäfers C, et al (2017) Diversity of bacteria and archaea

from two shallow marine hydrothermal vents from Vulcano Island. Extremophiles

21:733–742. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00792-017-0938-y

Balser TC, McMahon KD, Bart D, et al (2006) Bridging the gap between micro - and

macro-scale perspectives on the role of microbial communities in global change

ecology. Plant Soil 289:59–70. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-006-9104-5

Beisner BE, Cuddington K (2010) Alternative stable states in ecology. Front Ecol

327:259–266. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10509-010-0328-8

Boetius A (2019) Global change microbiology — big questions about small life for our

future. Nat Rev Microbiol 17:331–332. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-019-0197-

2

Bush T, Diao M, Allen RJ, et al (2017) Oxic-Anoxic regime shifts mediated by

feedbacks between biogeochemical processes and microbial community

dynamics. Nat Commun 8:. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00912-x

Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, et al (2016) DADA2: High-resolution sample

inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods 13:581–583.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869

Cao P, Lu C, Yu Z (2018) Historical nitrogen fertilizer use in agricultural ecosystems

of the contiguous United States during 1850--2015: application rate, timing, and

fertilizer types. Earth Syst Sci Data 10:969–984. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-

969-2018

Cavicchioli R, Ripple WJ, Timmis KN, et al (2019) Scientists' warning to humanity:

microorganisms and climate change. Nat Rev Microbiol 17:569–586.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-019-0222-5

Chapra SC, Canale RP (1991) Long-term phenomenological model of phosphorus and

oxygen for stratified lakes. Water Res 25:707–715. https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-

1354(91)90046-S

Cole JK, Peacock JP, Dodsworth JA, et al (2013) Sediment microbial communities in

Great Boiling Spring are controlled by temperature and distinct from water

communities. ISME J 7:718–729. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.157

Coyle DR, Nagendra UJ, Taylor MK, et al (2017) Soil fauna responses to natural

disturbances, invasive species, and global climate change: Current state of the

science and a call to action. Soil Biol Biochem 110:116–133.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.03.008

De Vos MGJ, Zagorski M, McNally A, Bollenbach T (2017) Interaction networks,

ecological stability, and collective antibiotic tolerance in polymicrobial infections.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114:10666–10671.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1713372114

Deng Y, Ning D, Qin Y, et al (2018) Spatial scaling of forest soil microbial communities

across a temperature gradient. Environ Microbiol 20:3504–3513.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14303

Dworkin M (2012) Sergei Winogradsky: A founder of modern microbiology and the first

microbial ecologist. FEMS Microbiol Rev 36:364–379.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2011.00299.x

Dworkin M, Gutnick D (2012) Sergei Winogradsky: a founder of modern microbiology

and the first microbial ecologist. FEMS Microbiol Rev 36:364–379.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2011.00299.x

Evans SE, Wallenstein MD (2014) Climate change alters ecological strategies of soil

bacteria. Ecol Lett 17:155–164. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12206

Falkowski PG, Fenchel T, Delong EF (2008) The microbial engines that drive earth's

biogeochemical   cycles.   Science   (80-   )   320:1034–1039.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1153213

Findlay AJ, Kamyshny A (2017) Turnover Rates of Intermediate Sulfur Species (S_x2-

, S0, $S_2O_3$2-, $S_4O_6$2-, $SO_3$2-) in Anoxic Freshwater and Sediments. Front

Microbiol 8:2551. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02551

Garcia-Pichel F, Loza V, Marusenko Y, et al (2013) Temperature Drives the

Continental-Scale Distribution of Key Microbes in Topsoil Communities. Science

(80-) 340:1574–1577. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1236404

Garcia SL, Salka I, Grossart H-P, Warnecke F (2013) Depth-discrete profiles of

bacterial communities reveal pronounced spatio-temporal dynamics related to

lake   stratification.   Environ   Microbiol   Rep   5:549–555.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.12044

Gloor GB, Macklaim JM, Pawlowsky-Glahn V, Egozcue JJ (2017) Microbiome

Datasets Are Compositional: And This Is Not Optional. Front Microbiol 8:2224.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02224

González-Varo JP, Biesmeijer JC, Bommarco R, et al (2013) Combined effects of

global change pressures on animal-mediated pollination. Trends Ecol Evol

28:524–530. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2013.05.008

Guerrero R, Montesinos E, Pedrós-Alió C, et al (1985) Phototrophic sulfur bacteria in

two Spanish lakes: Vertical distribution and limiting factors1. Limnol Oceanogr

30:919–931. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1985.30.5.0919

Hamilton TL, Bovee RJ, Thiel V, et al (2014) Coupled reductive and oxidative sulfur

cycling in the phototrophic plate of a meromictic lake. Geobiology 12:451–468.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/gbi.12092

Hutchins DA, Fu F (2017) Microorganisms and ocean global change. Nat Microbiol 2:.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2017.58

Hutchins DA, Jansson JK, Remais J V, et al (2019) Climate change microbiology —

problems and perspectives. Nat Rev Microbiol 17:391–396.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-019-0178-5

Jorgensen BB, Kuenen JG, Cohen Y (1979) Microbial transformations of sulfur

compounds in a stratified lake (Solar Lake, Sinai)1. Limnol Oceanogr 24:799–

822. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1979.24.5.0799

Kertesz MA (2000) Riding the sulfur cycle - Metabolism of sulfonates and sulfate

esters in Gram-negative bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev 24:135–175.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-6445(99)00033-9

Lahti L, Salojärvi J, Salonen A, et al (2014) Tipping elements in the human intestinal

ecosystem. Nat Commun 5:4344. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5344

Lee JZ, Burow LC, Woebken D, et al (2014) Fermentation couples Chloroflexi and

sulfate-reducing bacteria to Cyanobacteria in hypersaline microbial mats. Front

Microbiol 5:1–17. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00061

Luek A, Rowan DJ, Rasmussen JB (2017) N-P Fertilization Stimulates Anaerobic

Selenium Reduction in an End-Pit Lake. Sci Rep 7:10502.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-11095-2

Luther GW, Glazer B, Ma S, et al (2003) Iron and sulfur chemistry in a stratified lake:

Evidence for iron-rich sulfide complexes. Aquat Geochemistry 9:87–110.

https://doi.org/10.1023/B:AQUA.0000019466.62564.94

McMurdie PJ, Holmes S (2013) Phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. PLoS One 8:. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061217

Morrison JM, Baker KD, Zamor RM, et al (2017) Spatiotemporal analysis of microbial community dynamics during seasonal stratification events in a freshwater lake (Grand Lake, OK, USA). PLoS One 12:1–29. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177488

Nedwell DB, Blackburn TH, Wiebe WJ (1994) Dynamic nature of the turnover of organic carbon, nitrogen and sulphur in the sediments of a Jamaican mangrove forest. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 110:223–231

Niiranen S, Yletyinen J, Tomczak MT, et al (2013) Combined effects of global climate change and regional ecosystem drivers on an exploited marine food web. Glob Chang Biol 19:3327–3342. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12309

Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, et al (2019) Package "vegan" Title Community Ecology Package. Community Ecol Packag 2:1–297

Overmann J, van Gemerden H (2000) Microbial interactions involving sulfur bacteria: implications for the ecology and evolution of bacterial communities. FEMS Microbiol Rev 24:591–599. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-6445(00)00047-4

Pagaling E, Vassileva K, Mills CG, et al (2017) Assembly of microbial communities in replicate nutrient-cycling model ecosystems follows divergent trajectories, leading to alternate stable states. Environ Microbiol 19:3374–3386. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13849

Pennekamp F, Pontarp M, Tabi A, et al (2018) Biodiversity increases and decreases

ecosystem stability. Nature 563:109–112. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-
0627-8

Pimenov N V, Zakharova EE, Bryukhanov AL, et al (2014) Activity and structure of the
sulfate-reducing bacterial community in the sediments of the southern part of Lake
Baikal. Microbiology 83:47–55. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0026261714020167

Posch T, Köster O, Salcher MM, Pernthaler J (2012) Harmful filamentous
cyanobacteria favoured by reduced water turnover with lake warming. Nat Clim
Chang 2:809–813. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1581

Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, et al (2012) The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database
project: improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Res
41:D590–D596. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1219

Rillig MC, Ryo M, Lehmann A, et al (2019) The role of multiple global change factors
in driving soil functions and microbial biodiversity. Science (80-) 366:886–890.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay2832

Rundell EA, Banta LM, Ward D V., et al (2014) 16S rRNA Gene Survey of Microbial
Communities in winogradsky columns. PLoS One 9:.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0104134

Sass H, Cypionka H, Babenzien H-D (1997) Vertical distribution of sulfate-reducing
bacteria at the oxic-anoxic interface in sediments of the oligotrophic Lake Stechlin.
FEMS Microbiol Ecol 22:245–255. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-
6941.1997.tb00377.x

Savvichev AS, Babenko V V, Lunina ON, et al (2018) Sharp water column stratification
with an extremely dense microbial population in a small meromictic lake,
Trekhtzvetnoe. Environ Microbiol 20:3784–3797.
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14384

Schulte to Bühne H, Tobias JA, Durant SM, Pettorelli N (2020) Improving Predictions

of Climate Change–Land Use Change Interactions. Trends Ecol Evol.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2020.08.019

Stein LY, Klotz MG (2016) The nitrogen cycle. Curr Biol 26:R94–R98.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.12.021

Suleiman M, Klippel B, Busch P, et al (2019) Enrichment of anaerobic heterotrophic

thermophiles from four Azorean hot springs revealed different community

composition and genera abundances using recalcitrant substrates. Extremophiles

23:277–281. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00792-019-01079-7

Tylianakis JM, Didham RK, Bascompte J, Wardle DA (2008) Global change and

species interactions in terrestrial ecosystems. Ecol Lett 11:1351–1363.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01250.x

Velthuis M, Kosten S, Aben R, et al (2018) Warming enhances sedimentation and

decomposition of organic carbon in shallow macrophyte-dominated systems with

zero net effect on carbon burial. Glob Chang Biol 24:5231–5242.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14387

Vigneron A, Cruaud P, Culley AI, et al (2021) Genomic evidence for sulfur

intermediates as new biogeochemical hubs in a model aquatic microbial

ecosystem. Microbiome 9:46. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-021-00999-x

Weiss J V, Emerson D, Backer SM, Megonigal JP (2003) Enumeration of Fe(II)-

oxidizing and Fe(III)-reducing bacteria in the root zone of wetland plants:

Implications for a rhizosphere iron cycle. Biogeochemistry 64:77–96.

https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024953027726

Widder S, Allen RJ, Pfeiffer T, et al (2016) Challenges in microbial ecology: Building

predictive understanding of community function and dynamics. ISME J 10:2557–

2568. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2016.45

Yu Z, Yang J, Amalfitano S, et al (2014) Effects of water stratification and mixing on

microbial community structure in a subtropical deep reservoir. Sci Rep 4:1–7.

https://doi.org/10.1038/srep05821

Zavarzin GA (2006) Winogradsky and modern microbiology. Microbiology 75:501–

511. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0026261706050018