Detecting *de novo* mitochondrial mutations in angiosperms with highly divergent evolutionary rates

Amanda K. Broz*[,1], Gus Waneka*[,1], Zhiqiang Wu[†,*,1], Daniel B. Sloan*[,2]

*Department of Biology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523

[†]Shenzhen Branch, Guangdong Laboratory for Lingnan Modern Agriculture, Genome Analysis Laboratory of the Ministry of Agriculture, Agricultural Genomics Institute at Shenzhen, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, 518120 Shenzhen, China

[1]These authors contributed equally to this work.

[2]Author for Correspondence:
Daniel B. Sloan: dan.sloan@colostate.edu
Colorado State University
1878 Campus Delivery
Fort Collins, CO 80523
970.491.2256

ABSTRACT

Although plant mitochondrial genomes typically show low rates of sequence evolution, the levels of

sequence divergence in certain angiosperm lineages suggest anomalously high mitochondrial

mutation rates. However, *de novo* mutations have never been directly analyzed in such lineages.

Recent advances in high-fidelity DNA sequencing technologies have enabled detection of

mitochondrial mutations when still present at low heteroplasmic frequencies. To date, these

approaches have only been performed on a single plant species (*Arabidopsis thaliana*). Here, we

apply a high-fidelity technique (Duplex Sequencing) to *Silene*, an angiosperm genus that exhibits

extreme heterogeneity in rates of mitochondrial sequence evolution among close relatives.

Consistent with phylogenetic evidence, we found that *S. latifolia* maintains low mitochondrial variant

frequencies that are comparable to previous measurements in *Arabidopsis*. *Silene noctiflora* also

exhibited low variant frequencies despite high levels of historical sequence divergence, which

supports other lines of evidence that this species has reverted to lower mitochondrial mutation rates

after a past episode of acceleration. In contrast, *S. conica* shows much higher variant frequencies,

indicating an ongoing bout of elevated mutation rates. Moreover, we found an altered mutational

spectrum in *S. conica* with a heavy bias towards AT→GC transitions (and to a lesser extent AT→CG

transversions). We also observed an unusually low number of mitochondrial genome copies per cell

in *S. conica*, potentially pointing to reduced opportunities for homologous recombination to

accurately repair mismatches in this species. Overall, these results indicate that historical

fluctuations in mutation rates are driving extreme variation in rates of plant mitochondrial sequence

evolution.

INTRODUCTION

Plant mitochondrial genomes exhibit dramatic variation in rates of nucleotide substitution. Early

molecular evolution studies (Wolfe, et al. 1987; Palmer and Herbon 1988) established that

mitochondrial rates in most angiosperms are about an order of magnitude lower than in the nucleus

(Drouin, et al. 2008), which contrasts with the rapid evolution of mtDNA in many other eukaryotic

lineages (Brown, et al. 1979; Smith and Keeling 2015; Lavrov and Pett 2016). However, subsequent

phylogenetic surveys have identified a number of angiosperms with exceptionally high levels of

mtDNA sequence divergence (Palmer, et al. 2000; Cho, et al. 2004; Parkinson, et al. 2005; Mower,

et al. 2007; Sloan, et al. 2009; Skippington, et al. 2015; Zervas, et al. 2019). As such, despite the

relatively recent origin and diversification of angiosperms (Barba-Montoya, et al. 2018),

mitochondrial substitution rates are estimated to span a remarkable 5000-fold range across this

group (Richardson, et al. 2013). At one extreme, *Magnolia stellata* has a rate of only ~0.01

synonymous substitutions per site per billion years (SSB), while certain *Plantago* and *Silene* species

have estimated rates of >50 SSB (Mower, et al. 2007; Sloan, Alverson, Chuckalovcak, et al. 2012;

Richardson, et al. 2013). In some cases, rate accelerations appear to be short-lived with bursts of

sequence divergence inferred for internal branches on phylogenetic trees followed by reversions to

slower rates of sequence evolution (Cho, et al. 2004; Parkinson, et al. 2005; Sloan, et al. 2009;

Skippington, et al. 2017).

The angiosperm genus *Silene* (Caryophyllaceae) is a particularly interesting model for the

study of mitochondrial genome evolution and substitution rate variation. This large genus comprises

approximately 850 species (Jafari, et al. 2020) and exhibits rate accelerations that rival the

magnitude of other extreme cases in genera such as *Plantago*, *Pelargonium*, and *Viscum* (Mower, et

al. 2007; Skippington, et al. 2015). Moreover, *Silene* is distinctive because the observed rate

accelerations appear to have occurred very recently (<10 Mya), such that close relatives within the

genus exhibit radically different substitution rates (Figure 1) (Mower, et al. 2007; Sloan, et al. 2009;

Rautenberg, et al. 2012). For example, *S. latifolia* (section *Melandrium*) has retained a substitution

rate that is roughly in line with the low levels of sequence divergence found in most angiosperms. In

contrast, species such as *S. noctiflora* and *S. conica* represent lineages (sections *Elisanthe* and

Conoimorpha, respectively) that are within the same subgenus as section *Melandrium* but have

highly divergent mtDNA sequence. These large differences among close relatives have enabled

comparative approaches to investigate the evolutionary consequences of accelerated substitution

rates for mitochondrial genome architecture (Sloan, Alverson, Chuckalovcak, et al. 2012), RNA

editing (Sloan, et al. 2010), mitonuclear coevolution (Sloan, et al. 2014; Havird, et al. 2015; Havird,

et al. 2017), and mitochondrial physiology (Havird, et al. 2019; Weaver, et al. 2020). Notably,

accelerated species such as *S. noctiflora* and *S. conica* also exhibit massively expanded

mitochondrial genomes that have been fragmented into dozens of circularly mapping chromosomes

(Sloan, Alverson, Chuckalovcak, et al. 2012). However, the mechanisms that cause increased

mitochondrial substitution rates in some *Silene* species remain unclear (Havird, et al. 2017).

Previous studies on *Silene* and other angiosperms have generally concluded that elevated

rates of mitochondrial sequence evolution reflect increased mutation rates rather than changes in

selection pressure (Cho, et al. 2004; Parkinson, et al. 2005; Mower, et al. 2007; Sloan, et al. 2009;

Sloan, Alverson, Chuckalovcak, et al. 2012; Skippington, et al. 2015). Increases are especially

pronounced at synonymous sites, which are thought to experience very limited effects of selection in

plant mtDNA (Sloan and Taylor 2010; Wynn and Christensen 2015). Likewise, the ratio of

nonsynonymous to synonymous substitutions (d_N/d_S) is still low in accelerated species, indicating

that purifying selection on mitochondrial genes remains strong. A number of mechanisms have been

hypothesized to explain cases of increased mitochondrial mutation rates. However, thus far, all

inferences about variation in plant mitochondrial divergence are based on long-term patterns of

sequence divergence across species rather than direct detection and analysis of *de novo* mutations,

making it difficult to investigate the actual role of mutation.

Recent advances in high-fidelity DNA sequencing have improved our ability to distinguish

signal from noise and detect *de novo* mutations (Salk, et al. 2018; Sloan, et al. 2018). In particular,

Duplex Sequencing (Schmitt, et al. 2012; Kennedy, et al. 2014) has been used to obtain error rates

as low as ~2×10^{-8} per bp, allowing for accurate identification of mitochondrial variants that are still

present at low heteroplasmic frequencies within tissue samples (Kennedy, et al. 2013; Ahn, et al.

2016; Hoekstra, et al. 2016; Arbeithuber, et al. 2020; Wu, et al. 2020). Duplex Sequencing works by

attaching random barcodes to each original DNA fragment and independently sequencing the two

complementary DNA strands from that fragment multiple times each to produce a highly accurate

double-stranded consensus. To date, *Arabidopsis thaliana* is the only plant system to have been

analyzed with Duplex Sequencing (Wu, et al. 2020). Here, we apply Duplex Sequencing to analyze

de novo mitochondrial mutations in three *Silene* species previously inferred to have dramatically

different histories of mitochondrial mutation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant lines and growth conditions

A single family of full siblings was grown for each of three *Silene* species. Families were taken from

lines with previously sequenced mitochondrial and plastid genomes: *S. latifolia* UK2600, *S. noctiflora*

OSR, and *S. conica* ABR (Sloan, Alverson, Chuckalovcak, et al. 2012; Sloan, Alverson, Wu, et al.

2012). The latter two species are hermaphroditic and readily self-fertilize, so families were derived

from selfed parents. In contrast, *S. latifolia* is dioecious and exhibits substantial inbreeding

depression from full-sib crosses (Teixeira, et al. 2009). Therefore, we crossed a female from the

UK2600 line with a male from a different line (Kew 32982, obtained from the Kew Gardens Millenium

Seed Bank) to produce the full-sib family used in this study. Seeds were germinated on ProMix BX

soil mix and grown in a growth room under short-day conditions (10-hr/14-hr light/dark cycle). All

three species were grown for approximately seven weeks to produce sufficiently large rosettes for

organelle DNA extractions.

Organelle DNA extractions and Duplex Sequencing

Each full-sib family was divided into three biological replicates, consisting of approximately 30-40

individual plants per replicate. A total of 35 g of rosette tissue was harvested from each replicate and

used for simultaneous extraction of enriched mtDNA (by differential centrifugation) and cpDNA (by

sucrose gradient centrifugation) followed by construction of Duplex sequencing as described

previously (Wu, et al. 2020). These libraries were multiplexed and sequenced with 2×150 bp reads

on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 S4 Lane (NovoGene).

Shotgun Illumina sequencing of total-cellular DNA samples for *k*-mer database construction

Detection of low-frequency mitochondrial heteroplasmies presents a number of technical challenges

that can lead to false positives. In particular, plant nuclear genomes harbor numerous insertions of

mtDNA fragments (numts) that can differ in sequence from the mitochondrial genome because they

accumulate mutations over time (Huang, et al. 2005; Noutsos, et al. 2005; Lough, et al. 2008;

Hazkani-Covo, et al. 2010; Michalovová, et al. 2013). Therefore, contaminating nuclear DNA in

mtDNA samples can mimic low-frequency *de novo* mitochondrial mutations. Because numts often

closely resemble the mitochondrial sequence, they can be problematic to accurately assemble in

nuclear genome sequencing projects even in high quality reference assemblies (Stupar, et al. 2001).

As such, it can be difficult to identify and filter out numt-derived variants based only on reference

genome assemblies. We have found that an effective alternative is to use raw reads from total-

cellular sequencing datasets to generate a *k*-mer database (Wu, et al. 2020). The premise of this

approach is that variants associated with numts should be abundant in total-cellular sequencing

datasets (as quantified by counting corresponding *k*-mers in the raw reads) and match the level

expected for other nuclear sequences.

To generate a *k*-mer database for each species we extracted total-cellular DNA from mature

leaf tissue using the Qiagen DNeasy kit. For *S. noctiflora* and *S. conica*, the sampled individuals

were from the same inbred line as the full-sib family used for Duplex Sequencing but separated by at

least three generations. In contrast to the inbred history of the *S. noctiflora* and *S. conica* lines, *S.*

latifolia is expected to be highly heterozygous, including for numt alleles. Therefore, in order to

capture all numt alleles that might be segregating in the *S. latifolia* full-sib family, we generated total-
cellular samples for both parents that were crossed to generate the family. Sampling the male parent
in this *S. latifolia* cross also provides reads from its actual mitochondrial genome sequence, which
can identify potential false positives resulting from low-frequency paternal transmission of mtDNA.
Such "paternal leakage" has been identified in outcrossing *Silene* species (McCauley, et al. 2005;
Bentley, et al. 2010).
Illumina libraries were generated from each total-cellular DNA sample using the New
England Biolabs NEB FS II Ultra kit with approximately 100 ng of input DNA, a 20 min fragmentation
time, and eight cycles of PCR. The *S. latifolia* and *S. noctiflora* libraries were multiplexed and
sequenced on the same NovaSeq 6000 lane as the above Duplex Sequencing libraries*. The *S.
conica* library was sequenced separately with 2×150 bp reads on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 lane. The
resulting raw reads were used to generate databases of *k*-mer counts (*k* = 39 bp) with KMC v3.0.0
(Kokot, et al. 2017).
144
Duplex Sequencing data analysis and variant calling
Duplex Sequencing datasets were processed with a previously published pipeline
(https://github.com/dbsloan/duplexseq) (Wu, et al. 2020). This pipeline uses Duplex Sequencing
barcodes (i.e., unique molecular identifiers) to group raw reads into families corresponding to the two
complementary strands of an original DNA fragment, requiring a minimum of three reads for each
strand. After trimming barcodes and linker sequences, the consensus sequences for each double-
stranded family were mapped to the reference mitochondrial and plastid genomes for the
corresponding species. Because of known sequencing artefacts associated with end repair and
adapter ligation (Kennedy, et al. 2014), the 10 bp at the end of each read were excluded when
identifying variants and calculating sequencing coverage.
Reads that contained a single mismatch relative to the reference mitochondrial sequences were
used to identify single nucleotide variants (SNVs). Variants with a *k-mer* count of 10 or greater in the
corresponding *k*-mer database were excluded as likely numts. This *k*-mer filtering also ensured
elimination of false positives due to paternal leakage from the *S. latifolia* male parent or errors in the
published reference sequence. The latter is an important concern because the published *S. conica*
mitochondrial genome sequence is a draft assembly due to its extreme repetitiveness (Sloan,
Alverson, Chuckalovcak, et al. 2012). Accordingly, we also excluded variants if the corresponding
reference sequence was not detected in the *k*-mer database to account for sites with ambiguities
(Ns) or possible errors in the reference. Variants were also filtered using the pipeline's
--recomb_check option, which identifies SNVs that can be explained by recombination between non-
identical repeat sequences within the mitochondrial genome rather than *de novo* point mutations
(Davila, et al. 2011). To calculate SNV frequencies for each library, the number of reads containing

an SNV (after filtering) was divided by the total bp of duplex consensus sequence mapped to the
genome. Differences in SNV frequency among species were tested with a one-way ANOVA
implemented, using the aov function in R v3.6.3 followed by *post hoc* pairwise comparisons with the
TukeyHSD function.
We are restricting our analysis in this study to the detection of mitochondrial SNVs because
the extremely high copy number of plastid DNA in total-cellular libraries makes applying a *k*-mer
filtering strategy to exclude variants associated with nuclear insertions of plastid DNA (nupts) less
reliable. The average coverage of the plastid genome in our total-cellular libraries was over 10,000×.
As such, even rare sequencing errors had the potential to occur repeatedly and exhibit sizeable
counts in our *k*-mer database. However, we were able to use the cpDNA Duplex Sequencing
libraries to increase our mitochondrial genome coverage because they contained a substantial
amount of contaminating mtDNA reads resulting from incomplete enrichment of cpDNA. Importantly,
being able to use mitochondrial reads from the cpDNA library for *S. conica* biological replicate 2 was
key because sequencing of the mtDNA library for that replicate failed (see Results).
181
Analysis of organelle genome copy number
To estimate the copy number of mitochondrial and plastid genomes based on the total-cellular
sequencing datasets, raw reads were trimmed with cutadapt v1.16 (Martin 2011) to remove adapter
sequences with an error tolerance of 0.15 and to trim low-quality ends with a q20 threshold. Read
pairs with a minimum length of 50 bp each after trimming were retained. Trimmed reads were then
mapped to reference mitochondrial and plastid genomes for the corresponding species using bowtie
v2.2.23 (Langmead and Salzberg 2012), and position-specific coverage data were extracted from
the resulting alignment (BAM/SAM) files. Average coverage was summarized for 2-kb windows tiled
across each organelle genome. To estimate the number of mitochondrial and plastid genome per
nuclear genome copy, we assumed that the remaining unmapped reads were all nuclear. We used
the total length of these unmapped reads divided by the nuclear genome size of the corresponding
species to estimate the average nuclear genome coverage and obtain ratios of organelle to nuclear
coverage. Nuclear genome size estimates of 2.67, 2.78, and 0.93 Gb were used for *S. latifolia*, *S.*
noctiflora, and *S. conica,* respectively (Williams, et al. 2020).
196
197   **Data Availability**
198   All duplex sequencing and shotgun Illumina sequencing reads were deposited to the NCBI
199   Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under BioProject PRJNA682809 (Table S1).
200
201
202   **RESULTS**

Duplex Sequencing yield and read mapping

Each of the Duplex Sequencing libraries produced between 88 M and 142 M read pairs (Table S1), with the exception of the *S. conica* mtDNA library for biological replicate 2, which was not sequenced due to an apparent loading error. Nevertheless, we were still able to calculate mitochondrial SNV frequencies for *S. conica* replicate 2 by taking advantage of contaminating mitochondrial reads in the enriched cpDNA library for that replicate. The three species differed in their degree of enrichment in the mtDNA libraries. For both *S. noctiflora* and *S. conica*, an average of 79% of the sequences in the mtDNA libraries could be mapped to the reference mitochondrial genome, whereas only 30% of the sequences in the *S. latifolia* mitochondrial libraries mapped, indicating a much lower level of mtDNA enrichment (Table S1). For all three species, between 64% and 67% of sequences from the cpDNA libraries mapped to the plastid genome, with a substantial amount of contaminating sequences mapping to the mitochondrial genome (8%, 27%, and 31% for *S. latifolia*, *S. noctiflora*, and *S. conica*, respectively). To make use of as much mitochondrial data as possible, we combined all mitochondrial-derived sequences from both sample types. After collapsing raw reads into duplex consensus sequences and mapping them to the reference genome, we obtained between 84.6 and 382.0 Mb of mapped mitochondrial sequence data for each replicate (Table S1).

Three *Silene* species differ in their frequencies of mitochondrial SNVs

Using the variant calls from the duplex consensus sequences (File S1), we calculated the frequency of mitochondrial SNVs per mapped bp for each *Silene* replicate and compared those values to previously published estimates from *A. thaliana* (Wu, et al. 2020). After applying filtering criteria to exclude false positives associated with numts, low-frequency paternal transmission, chimeric recombination products, or errors in the reference sequence (see Materials and Methods), we found significant variation in (log-transformed) SNV frequency among species (one-way ANOVA, $p = 5.3 \times 10^{-6}$; Figure 2, Table S2).

The three biological replicates of *S. latifolia* had a mean mitochondrial SNV frequency of 1.7×10^{-7} per bp. *Silene latifolia* was selected for this study because it exhibited very little mitochondrial rate acceleration in previous phylogenetic analyses, largely retaining the slow rate of sequence evolution that is characteristic of most plant mitochondrial genomes (Mower, et al. 2007; Sloan, et al. 2009). Accordingly, the *S. latifolia* estimate was very similar to our previous estimate of 1.8×10^{-7} per bp for the mitochondrial SNV frequency in wild type *A. thaliana* Col-0 (Wu, et al. 2020), another plant species with a typically low rate of mitochondrial sequence evolution (Mower, et al. 2007). In contrast to the low historical substitution rates in *S. latifolia* and *Arabidopsis*, *S. noctiflora* exhibits highly accelerated mitochondrial sequence evolution since diverging from other major lineages within the

genus *Silene* (Figure 1). However, we did not find an elevated frequency of mitochondrial SNVs in

our Duplex Sequencing analysis of *S. noctiflora*, suggesting that this species may have experienced

a reversion to lower mutation rates. In fact, the mean SNV frequency in *S. noctiflora* was 6.1×10^{-8}

per bp, which was approximately 3-fold lower than in *S. latifolia* or *A. thaliana* (Tukey's HSD *post hoc*

test, $p = 0.01$ for both comparisons). The most noteworthy variation among species was based on

observed SNV frequencies in *S. conica*, representing another *Silene* lineage with a history of rapid

mitochondrial sequence divergence (Figure 1). The mean mitochondrial SNV frequency in *S. conica*

was 1.7×10^{-6} per bp, which was 9-fold to 27-fold higher than in *A. thaliana* and the other two *Silene*

species (Tukey's HSD post hoc test, $p < 0.0001$ for all three comparisons).

Silene conica was also distinct in that a large proportion of the identified SNVs (31.7%) were

found in two or more biological replicates. Because our biological replicates represented sets of

individuals from the same full-sib family, variants that are shared among replicates likely indicate

SNVs that were already heteroplasmic in the parent and then inherited by the offspring. In contrast,

none of the identified SNVs in either *S. latifolia* or *S. noctiflora* were present in multiple biological

replicates. There is reason to expect that our *k*-mer filtering may have introduced bias against

detection of inherited heteroplasmies in *S. latifolia* (see Discussion). Nevertheless, even without this

filtering, only 3.7% of *S. latifolia* SNVs (and only 1.8% of *S. noctiflora* SNVs) would be present in two

or more libraries. Therefore, the filtering does not appear to explain this observed difference

between *S. conica* and the other *Silene* species.

Variation in mitochondrial mutation spectra among *Silene* species and extreme GC bias in *S.*

conica

Previous analysis of low-frequency mitochondrial SNVs in rosette tissue from wild type *A. thaliana*

Col-0 (Wu, et al. 2020) identified a mutation spectrum dominated by GC→AT transitions (Figure 2).

The slowly evolving *S. latifolia* mitochondrial genome exhibited a bias in this same direction with

57% of all observed SNVs being GC→AT transitions (Table S2). In contrast, *S. noctiflora* did not

show a similar bias. The low overall SNV frequency in *S. noctiflora* makes it difficult to precisely

estimate the mutation spectrum, but no single substitution type dominated, as GC→AT transitions,

AT→GC transitions, and GC→CG transversions all had similar frequencies in the observed set of

SNVs (Figure S1, Table S2). Once again, *S. conica* exhibited the most extreme departure from the

other species. Notably, the high rate in *S. conica* was not driven by an increased frequency of the

GC→AT transitions that dominate the spectrum of *A. thaliana* and *S. latifolia*. In fact, the frequency

of GC→AT transitions in *S. conica* was lower than in either of those species. Instead, the high

overall SNV frequency was largely the result of a massive increase in the frequency AT→GC

transitions, which account for 77% of the observed SNVs in *S. conica* (Figure 2; Table S2). This

species also exhibited a substantial increase in the frequency of AT→CG transversions (11% of

observed SNVs). As such, both of the dominant types of substitutions in the *S. conica* mitochondrial

mutation spectrum increase GC content, which is unusual because mutation spectra are generally

AT-biased (Hershberg and Petrov 2010; Hildebrand, et al. 2010; Sloan and Wu 2014).

Unusually low mitochondrial genome copy number in *Silene conica* rosette tissue

By performing deep sequencing of total-cellular DNA to generate a *k*-mer database for variant

filtering, we were also able to estimate the relative copy number of mitochondrial, plastid, and

nuclear genomes in each of the three *Silene* species (Figures 3 and S2-4, Table S3). We found

similar plastid genome copy numbers across species, with mean estimates of 378, 263, and 275

plastid genome copies per nuclear genome copy for *S. latifolia*, *S. noctiflora*, and *S. conica*,

respectively. If we assume that each cell is diploid and has not yet undergone DNA replication, the

plastid genome copy numbers per cell would be double those values, but that may be conservative

because many plants experience endoreduplication and produce cells with higher nuclear ploidies

(Joubes and Chevalier 2000). The number of mitochondrial genome copies was surprisingly low in

S. conica, with an average of only 0.4 copies per nuclear genome copy. In contrast, *S. latifolia* and

S. noctiflora had an average of 47.7 and 9.7 mitochondrial genome copies per nuclear genome

copy, which is more consistent with estimates from other plants (Preuten, et al. 2010; Oldenburg, et

al. 2013; Shen, et al. 2019).

DISCUSSION

The challenges of detecting *de novo* mutations in plant mitochondrial genomes

High-fidelity techniques such as Duplex Sequencing (Schmitt, et al. 2012) have been key

innovations to address the challenge of detecting and quantifying rare mutations (Salk, et al. 2018;

Sloan, et al. 2018), but some sources of false positives remain. The potential misidentification of

numts as *de novo* mitochondrial mutations was a particular concern in this study. High quality

nuclear genome assemblies are not available for *Silene*, so it is not possible to use a reference

genome to identify and filter numt-associated variants. Moreover, our mitochondrial and plastid DNA

preparations for this study only reached moderate levels of enrichment, leaving substantial amounts

of contaminating nuclear DNA (Table S1). Our approach to avoid erroneous numt variant calls was

based on filtering using a *k*-mer database derived from total-cellular sequencing (see Materials and

Methods), but there are some concerns about balancing false positives and false negatives that

should be considered.

In particular, there is a risk that *k*-mer filtering may exclude true heteroplasmies if they are
shared between the total-cellular sample used to generate the *k*-mer database and the family used
for mtDNA Duplex Sequencing. We reduced the risk of this in *S. conica* and *S. noctiflora* by using
individuals separated by at least three generations for constructing the *k*-mer database. Therefore,
low-frequency heteroplasmies would have to have been maintained across multiple generations to
lead to improper exclusion of true mitochondrial variants. Although transmission of heteroplasmies
across generations certainly occurs (McCauley 2013; Zhang, et al. 2018; Mandel, et al. 2020), the
segregational loss of low-frequency variants should greatly reduce the magnitude of this problem. In
contrast, for the outcrossing species *S. latifolia*, we used both parents of the full-sib family to
construct total-cellular *k*-mer databases in order to screen for possible numts in all contributing
nuclear haplotypes. As such, variants that were heteroplasmic in the *S. latifolia* mother and
transmitted to her offspring might have been improperly filtered because of their presence in the
total-cellular *k*-mer database, resulting in a potential downward bias on our estimate of the overall
frequency of SNVs in *S. latifolia*.
Despite the uncertainty that this introduces into SNV frequency estimates, we feel that the
major qualitative conclusions of this study are robust to the challenges of numt artefacts. For
example, the finding that *S. noctiflora* appears to have "reverted" to a low SNV frequency is not
sensitive to *k*-mer filtering. Even if no *k*-mer filtering whatsoever were performed for *S. noctiflora*, it
would still exhibit an SNV frequency lower than the filtered values from the other three species
(Table S2). Likewise, even if we did not perform any numt filtering on the *S. latifolia* SNVs (which
would almost certainly lead to a substantial overestimation of true mitochondrial mutations), the SNV
frequency for *S. latifolia* would still not reach the highly elevated levels in *S. conica*. Therefore, the
key distinctions among the three species appear to hold even if some caution is warranted in
interpreting the specific frequency estimate in *S. latifolia*.
332
Heteroplasmic SNV frequencies support a history of mitochondrial mutation rate acceleration
and reversion in *Silene*
The high frequency of mitochondrial SNVs captured by Duplex Sequencing in *S. conica* tissue
(Figure 2) is consistent with previous interpretations that increased mutation rates are driving
accelerated mitochondrial genome evolution in this and other atypical plant species (Cho, et al.
2004; Parkinson, et al. 2005; Mower, et al. 2007; Sloan, et al. 2009; Sloan, Alverson, Chuckalovcak,
et al. 2012; Skippington, et al. 2015). This view has become the consensus because accelerations
are evident at relatively neutral positions like synonymous sites over phylogenetic timescales, but *de*
novo mitochondrial mutations have never been directly investigated in these high-rate plant lineages
until now. The huge increase in AT→GC transitions that dominated the *S. conica* mutation spectrum
(Figure 2) coincides with the most common type of misincorporation observed in steady-state kinetic

analysis of the *Arabidopsis* mitochondrial DNA polymerases, which appear to be prone to

misincorporating Gs opposite Ts (Ayala-García, et al. 2018). Therefore, it is possible that the

increased mitochondrial substitution rate and biased mutation spectrum in *S. conica* reflects a

reduced ability to repair mismatches created by polymerase misincorporations. A disproportionate

increase in AT→GC transitions was also observed in *Arabidopsis* mutants lacking a functional copy

of *MSH1* (Wu, et al. 2020), a gene that may be involved in repair of mismatches via homologous

recombination (Christensen 2014; Wynn, et al. 2020). An intact and transcribed copy of *MSH1* is

retained in *S. conica* (Havird, et al. 2017), but its function and expression patterns have not been

investigated. Alternatively, GC-biased gene conversion has also been hypothesized as a mechanism

to explain skewed substitution patterns in some plant mitochondrial genomes (Liu, et al. 2020).

The extreme bias towards AT→GC transitions in *S. conica* SNVs (Figure 2) is not entirely

consistent with longer-term patterns of mitochondrial sequence divergence in this species. The

genome-wide GC content in *S. conica* (43.1%) is only slightly higher than in congeners like *S.*

latifolia (42.6%), *S. noctiflora* (40.8%), and *S. vulgaris* (41.8%) (Sloan, Alverson, Chuckalovcak, et

al. 2012). An analysis that used plastid DNA insertions in mitochondrial genomes as neutral markers

did find that *S. conica* had unusually high transition:transversion ratio compared to other

angiosperms (Sloan and Wu 2014), which is consistent with the Duplex Sequencing results.

However, it did not detect the strong GC-bias that we observed in the current study. These

discrepancies raise two obvious possibilities. First, the observed SNVs in this study may not reflect

the spectrum of heritable mutations because they are measured from rosette tissue and include *de*

novo mutations in vegetative tissues that are not transmitted to future generations. However, we

suspect that this is unlikely to be the primary explanation. A large proportion of the *S. conica* SNVs

were shared across more than one biological replicate, implying that they were inherited from a

heteroplasmic mother and thus transmitted across generations. Furthermore, these shared variants

were even more skewed towards AT→GC transitions than variants that were only detected in a

single replicate (Table S4). Second, it is possible that the mutation spectrum is unstable and has

changed over time such that the "snapshot" from Duplex Sequencing of heteroplasmic SNVs does

not match the average spectrum over the past millions of years in this lineage. A recent analysis of

another genus with accelerated mitochondrial sequence divergence (*Ajuga*) found large increases in

GC content (Liu, et al. 2020), suggesting that bouts of accelerated and GC-biased evolution can

occur in angiosperm mitochondrial genomes.

In contrast to the findings in *S. conica*, we did not observe elevated SNV frequencies in *S.*

noctiflora (Figure 2) despite a comparable history of accelerated sequence evolution (Figure 1). The

low SNV frequencies in *S. noctiflora* suggest that it has reverted to lower mutation rates after a past

episode of acceleration. This type of reversion has been inferred based on phylogenetic evidence in

other accelerated lineages such as *Plantago* and *Pelargonium* (Cho, et al. 2004; Parkinson, et al.

2005). We also have previously speculated that *S. noctiflora* no longer has a high mitochondrial

mutation rate based on its reduced rate of sequence divergence from close relatives *S. turkestanica*

and *S. undulata* and its extremely low amount of intraspecific sequence polymorphism (Sloan, et al.

2009; Sloan, Alverson, Chuckalovcak, et al. 2012; Wu, et al. 2015; Wu and Sloan 2019). However, if

a mutation rate reversion has occurred in this lineage, it may not have simply reversed the process

that led to the initial rate increase. Notably, *S. noctiflora* had a different mutation spectrum than the

two species that have maintained low mitochondrial substitution rates throughout their histories (*A.*

thaliana and *S. latifolia*). It also retains a mitochondrial genome that is radically altered in size,

structure, and apparent recombinational activity (Sloan, Alverson, Chuckalovcak, et al. 2012).

Therefore, the mechanisms responsible for mitochondrial DNA replication and maintenance in *S.*

noctiflora may still be quite different than in typical angiosperms despite the apparent reversion to

ancestral-like rates in this species.

The above interpretations are largely based on the premise that the abundance of

heteroplasmic SNVs is correlated with the mutation rate. Although, this is probably a reasonable

assumption, the amount of heteroplasmic genetic variation that is maintained will also depend on the

(effective) population size of mitochondrial genome copies. Therefore, we cannot rule out the

possibility that some of the observed differences in SNV frequency among species could be related

to variation in traits such as the extent of the mtDNA transmission bottleneck during reproduction

(Stewart and Chinnery 2015; Zhang, et al. 2018; Johnston 2019). Likewise, analysis of mitochondrial

SNVs in *Arabidopsis* leaf tissue has indicated that variant frequencies may be affected by features

such as plant age and development (Wynn, et al. 2020). Therefore, future studies to separate effects

of mutation and population size will be useful.

Mitochondrial genome copy number and recombinational repair

One unexpected finding from total-cellular shotgun sequencing was the remarkably low copy number

of the mitochondrial genome is *S. conica* (Figure 3). The ratio of mitochondrial to nuclear genome

copies implies that there is only about one mitochondrial genome copy per cell, under the

assumption that most cells are diploid. However, this would depend on the extent of

endoreduplication in *S. conica*. Species with smaller nuclear genomes have been found to undergo

a greater amount of endoreduplication on average (Barow and Meister 2003), so it is possible that

the ratio of organellar to nuclear genome copies is skewed downward in *S. conica* for this reason.

Although there is evidence that plant cells can have far more mitochondria than mitochondrial

genome copies (Preuten, et al. 2010; Shen, et al. 2019), it is difficult to imagine how mitochondrial

function could be maintained throughout development with only around a single mitochondrial

genome copy per cell. The sequencing dataset used to generate copy-number estimates was

derived from mature rosette leaf tissue. Therefore, it is possible that this is a case of mitochondrial

genome "abandonment" in tissue that is not destined for further growth or reproduction (Bendich

2013; Oldenburg, et al. 2013; Wynn, et al. 2020). Previous studies have suggested that some plants

undergo a major decline in plastid genome copy number in mature leaves (Shaver, et al. 2006;

Rowan, et al. 2009), although this conclusion has been the subject to substantial criticism and

debate (Golczyk, et al. 2014; Greiner, et al. 2020). We found that all three *Silene* species retained

hundreds of plastid genome copies per cell, but the dramatic differences in mitochondrial genome

copy number across species have intriguing implications. An important future direction will be to

characterize variation in *S. conica* mitochondrial genome throughout development, especially in

meristematic and reproductive tissues.

Even under the likely scenario that other *S. conica* tissues harbor higher mitochondrial

genome copy numbers than observed in our total-cellular sequencing dataset, it is possible that such

values will still be unusually low compared to most plants and other eukaryotes. *Silene conica* is

notable for having one of the largest and most fragmented mitochondrial genomes ever identified

(Sloan, Alverson, Chuckalovcak, et al. 2012). Such genome size and architecture might pose a

challenge for mtDNA maintenance in this species. Notably, mtDNA accounted for a similar

proportion of total-cellular DNA in *S. conica* and *S. latifolia* despite the ~100-fold difference in

mitochondrial genome copy number between these samples because the *S. conica* mitochondrial

genome is ~45-fold larger than in *S. latifolia*, and the *S. conica* nuclear genome is ~3-fold smaller

than in *S. latifolia*. Nevertheless, the low mitochondrial genome copy number in *S. conica* means

that any given region of the mitochondrial genome, including key functional content such as protein-

coding sequence, has an unusually low stoichiometry relative to the nucleus.

We hypothesize that low mitochondrial genome copy number may be a cause of the high

mutation rates in *S. conica*. It is thought that the typically low mutation rates in plant organelle

genomes can be attributed to accurate DNA repair via homologous recombination (Khakhlova and

Bock 2006; Christensen 2014; Ayala-García, et al. 2018; Chevigny, et al. 2020; Wu, et al. 2020). As

such, the ability to maintain low rates would be sensitive to the availability of templates for

recombinational repair and, thus, the number of genome copies. This interpretation is consistent with

the observation that nucleotide substitution rates are lower in large repeats than in single-copy

regions within plant organelle genomes (Wolfe, et al. 1987; Davila, et al. 2011; Zhu, et al. 2016).

Therefore, if the population of mitochondrial genome copies is too sparsely distributed in the cells of

S. conica, it may be unable to make full use of recombinational repair and instead rely on less

accurate forms of repair or leave some DNA damage and mismatches entirely unrepaired. Testing

this hypothesized relationship between mitochondrial genome copy number and mutation rate

should provide insight into the causes of extreme variation in rates of mitochondrial sequence

evolution observed across angiosperms.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

455   We thank Justin Havird for helpful discussion and providing *S. conica* full-sib seeds and Jessica

456   Warren for assistance with figure preparation. This work was supported by a grant from the NIH

457   (R01 GM118046) and an NSF graduate fellowship (DGE-1450032).

REFERENCES

Ahn EH, Lee SH, Kim JY, Chang C-C, Loeb LA. 2016. Decreased mitochondrial mutagenesis during transformation of human breast stem cells into tumorigenic cells. Cancer Research 76:4569-4578.

Arbeithuber B, Hester J, Cremona MA, Stoler N, Zaidi A, Higgins B, Anthony K, Chiaromonte F, Diaz FJ, Makova KD. 2020. Age-related accumulation of de novo mitochondrial mutations in mammalian oocytes and somatic tissues. PLoS biology 18:e3000745.

Ayala-García VM, Baruch-Torres N, García-Medel PL, Brieba LG. 2018. Plant organellar DNA polymerases paralogs exhibit dissimilar nucleotide incorporation fidelity. The FEBS journal 285:4005-4018.

Barba-Montoya J, dos Reis M, Schneider H, Donoghue PC, Yang Z. 2018. Constraining uncertainty in the timescale of angiosperm evolution and the veracity of a Cretaceous Terrestrial Revolution. New Phytologist 218:819-834.

Barow M, Meister A. 2003. Endopolyploidy in seed plants is differently correlated to systematics, organ, life strategy and genome size. Plant, Cell & Environment 26:571-584.

Bendich AJ. 2013. DNA abandonment and the mechanisms of uniparental inheritance of mitochondria and chloroplasts. Chromosome research 21:287-296.

Bentley KE, Mandel JR, McCauley DE. 2010. Paternal leakage and heteroplasmy of mitochondrial genomes in Silene vulgaris: evidence from experimental crosses. Genetics 185:961-968.

Brown WM, George M, Wilson AC. 1979. Rapid evolution of animal mitochondrial DNA. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 76:1967-1971.

Chevigny N, Schatz-Daas D, Lotfi F, Gualberto JM. 2020. DNA repair and the stability of the plant mitochondrial genome. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 21:328.

Cho Y, Mower JP, Qiu YL, Palmer JD. 2004. Mitochondrial substitution rates are extraordinarily elevated and variable in a genus of flowering plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 101:17741-17746.

Christensen AC. 2014. Genes and junk in plant mitochondria-repair mechanisms and selection. Genome Biology and Evolution 6:1448-1453.

Davila JI, Arrieta-Montiel MP, Wamboldt Y, Cao J, Hagmann J, Shedge V, Xu YZ, Weigel D, Mackenzie SA. 2011. Double-strand break repair processes drive evolution of the mitochondrial genome in Arabidopsis. BMC biology 9:64.

Drouin G, Daoud H, Xia J. 2008. Relative rates of synonymous substitutions in the mitochondrial, chloroplast and nuclear genomes of seed plants. Molecular phylogenetics and evolution 49:827-831.

Golczyk H, Greiner S, Wanner G, Weihe A, Bock R, Börner T, Herrmann RG. 2014. Chloroplast DNA in mature and senescing leaves: a reappraisal. Plant Cell 26:847-854.

Greiner S, Golczyk H, Malinova I, Pellizzer T, Bock R, Börner T, Herrmann RG. 2020. Chloroplast nucleoids are highly dynamic in ploidy, number, and structure during angiosperm leaf development. The Plant Journal 102:730-746.

Havird JC, Noe GR, Link L, Torres A, Logan DC, Sloan DB, Chicco AJ. 2019. Do angiosperms with highly divergent mitochondrial genomes have altered mitochondrial function? Mitochondrion 49:1-11.

Havird JC, Trapp P, Miller C, Bazos I, Sloan DB. 2017. Causes and consequences of rapidly evolving mtDNA in a plant lineage. Genome Biology and Evolution 9:323-336.

Havird JC, Whitehill NS, Snow CD, Sloan DB. 2015. Conservative and compensatory evolution in oxidative phosphorylation complexes of angiosperms with highly divergent rates of mitochondrial genome evolution. Evolution 69:3069-3081.

Hazkani-Covo E, Zeller RM, Martin W. 2010. Molecular poltergeists: mitochondrial DNA copies (numts) in sequenced nuclear genomes. PLoS Genetics 6:e1000834.

Hershberg R, Petrov DA. 2010. Evidence that mutation is universally biased towards AT in bacteria. PLoS Genetics 6:e1001115.

Hildebrand F, Meyer A, Eyre-Walker A. 2010. Evidence of selection upon genomic GC-content in bacteria. PLoS Genetics 6:e1001107.

Hoekstra JG, Hipp MJ, Montine TJ, Kennedy SR. 2016. Mitochondrial DNA mutations increase in early stage Alzheimer disease and are inconsistent with oxidative damage. Annals of neurology 80:301-306.

Huang CY, Grunheit N, Ahmadinejad N, Timmis JN, Martin W. 2005. Mutational decay and age of chloroplast and mitochondrial genomes transferred recently to angiosperm nuclear chromosomes. Plant Physiology 138:1723-1733.

Jafari F, Zarre S, Gholipour A, Eggens F, Rabeler RK, Oxelman B. 2020. A new taxonomic backbone for the infrageneric classification of the species-rich genus Silene (Caryophyllaceae). Taxon 69:337-368.

Johnston IG. 2019. Varied mechanisms and models for the varying mitochondrial bottleneck. Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology 7:294.

Joubes J, Chevalier C. 2000. Endoreduplication in higher plants. In. The Plant Cell Cycle: Springer. p. 191-201.

Kennedy SR, Salk JJ, Schmitt MW, Loeb LA. 2013. Ultra-sensitive sequencing reveals an age-related increase in somatic mitochondrial mutations that are inconsistent with oxidative damage. PLoS Genetics 9:e1003794.

Kennedy SR, Schmitt MW, Fox EJ, Kohrn BF, Salk JJ, Ahn EH, Prindle MJ, Kuong KJ, Shen JC, Risques RA, et al. 2014. Detecting ultralow-frequency mutations by Duplex Sequencing. Nature Protocols 9:2586-2606.

Khakhlova O, Bock R. 2006. Elimination of deleterious mutations in plastid genomes by gene conversion. Plant Journal 46:85-94.

Kokot M, Długosz M, Deorowicz S. 2017. KMC 3: counting and manipulating k-mer statistics. Bioinformatics 33:2759-2761.

Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nature Methods 9:357-359.

Lavrov DV, Pett W. 2016. Animal mitochondrial DNA as we don't know it: mt-genome organization and evolution in non-bilaterian lineages. Genome Biology and Evolution 8:2896-2913.

Liu F, Fan W, Yang JB, Xiang CL, Mower JP, Li DZ, Zhu A. 2020. Episodic and guanine–cytosine-biased bursts of intragenomic and interspecific synonymous divergence in Ajugoideae (Lamiaceae) mitogenomes. New Phytologist 228:1107-1114.

Lough AN, Roark LM, Kato A, Ream TS, Lamb JC, Birchler JA, Newton KJ. 2008. Mitochondrial DNA transfer to the nucleus generates extensive insertion site variation in maize. Genetics 178:47-55.

Mandel JR, Ramsey AJ, Holley JM, Scott VA, Mody D, Abbot P. 2020. Disentangling complex inheritance patterns of plant organellar genomes: an example from carrot. JOURNAL OF HEREDITY In Press.

Martin M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet.journal 17:10-12.

McCauley DE. 2013. Paternal leakage, heteroplasmy, and the evolution of plant mitochondrial genomes. New Phytologist 200:966-977.

McCauley DE, Bailey MF, Sherman NA, Darnell MZ. 2005. Evidence for paternal transmission and heteroplasmy in the mitochondrial genome of Silene vulgaris, a gynodioecious plant. Heredity 95:50-58.

Michalovová M, Vyskot B, Kejnovsky E. 2013. Analysis of plastid and mitochondrial DNA insertions in the nucleus (NUPTs and NUMTs) of six plant species: size, relative age and chromosomal localization. Heredity 111:314-320.

Mower JP, Touzet P, Gummow JS, Delph LF, Palmer JD. 2007. Extensive variation in synonymous substitution rates in mitochondrial genes of seed plants. BMC Evolutionary Biology 7:135.

Noutsos C, Richly E, Leister D. 2005. Generation and evolutionary fate of insertions of organelle DNA in the nuclear genomes of flowering plants. Genome Research 15:616-628.

Oldenburg DJ, Kumar RA, Bendich AJ. 2013. The amount and integrity of mtDNA in maize decline with development. Planta 237:603-617.

Palmer JD, Adams KL, Cho Y, Parkinson CL, Qiu Y-L, Song K. 2000. Dynamic evolution of plant mitochondrial genomes: mobile genes and introns and highly variable mutation rates. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 97:6960-6966.

Palmer JD, Herbon LA. 1988. Plant mitochondrial DNA evolves rapidly in structure, but slowly in sequence. Journal of Molecular Evolution 28:87-97.

Parkinson CL, Mower JP, Qiu YL, Shirk AJ, Song K, Young ND, DePamphilis CW, Palmer JD. 2005. Multiple major increases and decreases in mitochondrial substitution rates in the plant family Geraniaceae. BMC Evolutionary Biology 5:73.

Preuten T, Cincu E, Fuchs J, Zoschke R, Liere K, Börner T. 2010. Fewer genes than organelles: extremely low and variable gene copy numbers in mitochondria of somatic plant cells. Plant Journal 64:948-959.

Rautenberg A, Sloan DB, Aldén V, Oxelman B. 2012. Phylogenetic relationships of Silene multinervia and Silene section Conoimorpha (Caryophyllaceae). Systematic Botany 37:226-237.

Richardson AO, Rice DW, Young GJ, Alverson AJ, Palmer JD. 2013. The "fossilized" mitochondrial genome of Liriodendron tulipifera: ancestral gene content and order, ancestral editing sites, and extraordinarily low mutation rate. BMC biology 11:29.

Rowan BA, Oldenburg DJ, Bendich AJ. 2009. A multiple-method approach reveals a declining amount of chloroplast DNA during development in Arabidopsis. BMC Plant Biology 9:3.

Salk JJ, Schmitt MW, Loeb LA. 2018. Enhancing the accuracy of next-generation sequencing for detecting rare and subclonal mutations. Nature Reviews Genetics 19:269-285.

Schmitt MW, Kennedy SR, Salk JJ, Fox EJ, Hiatt JB, Loeb LA. 2012. Detection of ultra-rare mutations by next-generation sequencing. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 109:14508-14513.

Shaver JM, Oldenburg DJ, Bendich AJ. 2006. Changes in chloroplast DNA during development in tobacco, Medicago truncatula, pea, and maize. Planta 224:72-82.

Shen J, Zhang Y, Havey MJ, Shou W. 2019. Copy numbers of mitochondrial genes change during melon leaf development and are lower than the numbers of mitochondria. Horticulture Research 6:95.

Skippington E, Barkman TJ, Rice DW, Palmer JD. 2017. Comparative mitogenomics indicates respiratory competence in parasitic Viscum despite loss of complex I and extreme sequence divergence, and reveals horizontal gene transfer and remarkable variation in genome size. BMC Plant Biology 17:49.

Skippington E, Barkman TJ, Rice DW, Palmer JD. 2015. Miniaturized mitogenome of the parasitic plant Viscum scurruloideum is extremely divergent and dynamic and has lost all nad genes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences In Press.

Sloan DB, Alverson AJ, Chuckalovcak JP, Wu M, McCauley DE, Palmer JD, Taylor DR. 2012. Rapid evolution of enormous, multichromosomal genomes in flowering plant mitochondria with exceptionally high mutation rates. PLoS biology 10:e1001241.

Sloan DB, Alverson AJ, Wu M, Palmer JD, Taylor DR. 2012. Recent acceleration of plastid sequence and structural evolution coincides with extreme mitochondrial divergence in the angiosperm genus Silene. Genome Biology and Evolution 4:294-306.

Sloan DB, Broz AK, Sharbrough J, Wu Z. 2018. Detecting rare mutations and DNA damage with sequencing-based methods. Trends in Biotechnology 36:729-740.

Sloan DB, MacQueen AH, Alverson AJ, Palmer JD, Taylor DR. 2010. Extensive loss of RNA editing sites in rapidly evolving Silene mitochondrial genomes: Selection vs. retroprocessing as the driving force. Genetics 185:1369-1380.

Sloan DB, Oxelman B, Rautenberg A, Taylor DR. 2009. Phylogenetic analysis of mitochondrial substitution rate variation in the angiosperm tribe *Sileneae* (Caryophyllaceae). BMC Evolutionary Biology 9:260.

Sloan DB, Taylor DR. 2010. Testing for selection on synonymous sites in plant mitochondrial DNA: the role of codon bias and RNA editing. Journal of Molecular Evolution 70:479-491.

Sloan DB, Triant DA, Wu M, Taylor DR. 2014. Cytonuclear interactions and relaxed selection accelerate sequence evolution in organelle ribosomes. Molecular Biology and Evolution 31:673-682.

Sloan DB, Wu Z. 2014. History of plastid DNA insertions reveals weak deletion and AT mutation biases in angiosperm mitochondrial genomes. Genome Biology and Evolution 6:3210-3221.

Smith DR, Keeling PJ. 2015. Mitochondrial and plastid genome architecture: Reoccurring themes, but significant differences at the extremes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 112:10177-10184.

Stewart JB, Chinnery PF. 2015. The dynamics of mitochondrial DNA heteroplasmy: implications for human health and disease. Nature Reviews Genetics 16:530-542.

Stupar RM, Lilly JW, Town CD, Cheng Z, Kaul S, Buell CR, Jiang J. 2001. Complex mtDNA constitutes an approximate 620-kb insertion on Arabidopsis thaliana chromosome 2: implication of potential sequencing errors caused by large-unit repeats. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 98:5099-5103.

Teixeira S, Foerster K, Bernasconi G. 2009. Evidence for inbreeding depression and post-pollination selection against inbreeding in the dioecious plant Silene latifolia. Heredity 102:101-112.

Weaver RJ, Carrion G, Nix R, Maeda GP, Rabinowitz S, Iverson EN, Thueson K, Havird JC. 2020. High mitochondrial mutation rates in Silene are associated with nuclear-mediated changes in mitochondrial physiology. Biology Letters 16:20200450.

Williams AM, Itgen MW, Lambert A, Broz AK, Mueller RL, Sloan DB. 2020. Long-read transcriptome and other genomic resources for the angiosperm Silene noctiflora. bioRxiv.

Wolfe KH, Li WH, Sharp PM. 1987. Rates of nucleotide substitution vary greatly among plant mitochondrial, chloroplast, and nuclear DNAs. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 84:9054-9058.

Wu Z, Cuthbert JM, Taylor DR, Sloan DB. 2015. The massive mitochondrial genome of the angiosperm Silene noctiflora is evolving by gain or loss of entire chromosomes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 112:10185-10191.

Wu Z, Sloan DB. 2019. Recombination and intraspecific polymorphism for the presence and absence of entire chromosomes in mitochondrial genomes. Heredity 122:647-659.

Wu Z, Waneka G, Broz AK, King CR, Sloan DB. 2020. MSH1 is required for maintenance of the low mutation rates in plant mitochondrial and plastid genomes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences In Press.

Wynn E, Purfeerst E, Christensen A. 2020. Mitochondrial DNA repair in an *Arabidopsis thaliana* uracil N-glycosylase mutant. Plants 9:261.

Wynn EL, Christensen AC. 2015. Are synonymous substitutions in flowering plant mitochondria neutral? Journal of Molecular Evolution 81:131-135.

Yang Z. 2007. PAML 4: Phylogenetic Analysis by Maximum Likelihood. Molecular Biology and Evolution 24:1586-1591.

Zervas A, Petersen G, Seberg O. 2019. Mitochondrial genome evolution in parasitic plants. BMC Evolutionary Biology 19:87.

Zhang H, Burr SP, Chinnery PF. 2018. The mitochondrial DNA genetic bottleneck: inheritance and beyond. Essays in Biochemistry 62:225-234.

Zhu A, Guo W, Gupta S, Fan W, Mower JP. 2016. Evolutionary dynamics of the plastid inverted repeat: the effects of expansion, contraction, and loss on substitution rates. New Phytologist 209:1747-1756.

Figure 1. Mitochondrial substitution rate variation among *Silene* species. Branch lengths are scaled based on synonymous substitutions per site (d_S) in a concatenation of three mitochondrial protein-coding genes (*atp1*, *cox3*, and *nad9*) used in previous analyses (Sloan, et al. 2009; Rautenberg, et al. 2012). Branch lengths were estimated with PAML v4.9j (Yang 2007), using a constrained topology. Note that the two accelerated clades (section Conoimorpha [represented by *S. conica*] and section Elisanthe [represented by *S. noctiflora*]) were set as sister groups for this analysis, but there is only weak and inconsistent evidence for that relationship (Rautenberg, et al. 2012; Havird, et al. 2017; Jafari, et al. 2020). Images are shown for each of the three focal species in this study (*S. latifolia*, *S. conica*, and *S. noctiflora*).

Figure 2. Variation in mitochondrial SNV frequency and spectrum across *Arabidopsis* and *Silene* species. SNV frequencies are calculated as the total number of observed single-nucleotide mismatches in duplex consensus sequence datasets dividided by the total base-pairs of mitochondrial genome coverage in those datasets. Three biological replicates (circles) are shown for each species, with the mean of those replicates indicated with a horizontal line. The *Arabidopsis* data points are taken from Wu et al. (2020). The same data are plotted on a log scale in Figure S1.

Figure 3. Variation in mitochondrial genome copy number among *Silene* species. Average mitochondrial, plastid, and nuclear genome copy number were estimated from total-cellular Illuimina shotgun sequencing of leaf tissue from each species. Boxplots show median and interquartile ranges for the ratio of organelle genome copy number to nuclear genome copy number based on scanning the organelle genomes in 2-kb windows.

Figure S1. Variation in mitochondrial SNV frequency and spectrum across *Arabidopsis* and *Silene* species. The same data shown in Figure 2 are plotted on a log scale here.

Figure S2. Summary of mitochondrial genome coverage in the *S. latifolia* UK2600 total-cellular sequencing library. Coverage estimated based on reads mapping with a maximum of one mismatch and no indels in 2-kb windows.

Figure S3. Summary of mitochondrial genome coverage in the *S. noctiflora* OSR total-cellular sequencing library. Coverage estimated based on reads mapping with a maximum of one mismatch and no indels in 2-kb windows. Each panel represents a different chromosome within the multichromosomal genome.

Figure S4a. Summary of coverage for mitochondrial chromosomes 1 through 64 in the *S. conica* ABR total-cellular sequencing library. Coverage estimated based on reads mapping with a maximum of one mismatch and no indels in 2-kb windows. Note that four of the data points on chromosome 20 and two of the data points on chromosome 32 exceeded a coverage of 250× and are not shown to improve readability of the plots.

Figure S4b. Summary of coverage for mitochondrial chromosomes 65 through 128 in the *S. conica* ABR total-cellular sequencing library. Coverage estimated based on reads mapping with a maximum of one mismatch and no indels in 2-kb windows. Note that four of the data points on chromosome 121 exceeded a coverage of 250× and are not shown to improve readability of the plots.

Table S1. Summary of library sequencing and mapping

Library	Library Type	SRA Accession	Raw Read Pairs	Mito Coverage (bp)	Plastid Coverage (bp)	Mito Mapping (%)	Plastid Mapping (%)	Unmapped (%)
Silene conica chloroplast 1	Chloroplast duplex	SAMN17011097	1.15E+08	9.25E+07	2.03E+08	29.49%	64.88%	5.63%
Silene conica chloroplast 2	Chloroplast duplex	SAMN17011098	1.15E+08	1.33E+08	2.72E+08	31.48%	64.13%	4.39%
Silene conica chloroplast 3	Chloroplast duplex	SAMN17011099	1.42E+08	1.37E+08	2.70E+08	31.72%	62.55%	5.73%
Silene conica mito 1	Mitochondrial duplex	SAMN17011100	1.01E+08	2.18E+08	1.77E+07	80.87%	6.58%	12.55%
Silene conica mito 3	Mitochondrial duplex	SAMN17011101	1.14E+08	2.16E+08	2.01E+07	77.21%	7.16%	15.63%
Silene latifolia chloroplast 1	Chloroplast duplex	SAMN17011085	1.33E+08	2.89E+07	2.83E+08	7.39%	72.35%	20.26%
Silene latifolia chloroplast 2	Chloroplast duplex	SAMN17011086	1.28E+08	3.54E+07	2.41E+08	8.82%	60.10%	31.08%
Silene latifolia chloroplast 3	Chloroplast duplex	SAMN17011087	1.01E+08	3.68E+07	2.73E+08	9.13%	67.73%	23.14%
Silene latifolia mito 1	Mitochondrial duplex	SAMN17011088	1.13E+08	1.16E+08	2.67E+07	38.26%	8.83%	52.91%
Silene latifolia mito 2	Mitochondrial duplex	SAMN17011089	9.79E+07	4.93E+07	1.63E+07	24.87%	8.21%	66.92%
Silene latifolia mito 3	Mitochondrial duplex	SAMN17011090	8.83E+07	6.56E+07	2.23E+07	27.94%	9.48%	62.58%
Silene noctiflora chloroplast 1	Chloroplast duplex	SAMN17011091	1.37E+08	1.06E+08	2.55E+08	27.63%	66.35%	6.02%
Silene noctiflora chloroplast 2	Chloroplast duplex	SAMN17011092	1.25E+08	9.39E+07	2.20E+08	28.18%	66.12%	5.70%
Silene noctiflora chloroplast 3	Chloroplast duplex	SAMN17011093	1.37E+08	1.25E+08	3.19E+08	25.69%	65.36%	8.95%
Silene noctiflora mito 1	Mitochondrial duplex	SAMN17011094	1.32E+08	2.76E+08	1.56E+07	83.03%	4.68%	12.29%
Silene noctiflora mito 2	Mitochondrial duplex	SAMN17011095	1.06E+08	1.88E+08	1.22E+07	81.54%	5.28%	13.18%
Silene noctiflora mito 3	Mitochondrial duplex	SAMN17011096	1.03E+08	1.93E+08	2.39E+07	71.53%	8.84%	19.63%
Silene conica ABR	Total cellular shotgun	SAMN17011105	4.98E+08	3.53E+08	1.68E+09	0.49%	2.31%	97.20%
Silene noctiflora OSR	Total cellular shotgun	SAMN17011104	5.76E+08	3.45E+09	2.10E+09	2.51%	1.53%	95.96%
Silene latifolia UK2600	Total cellular shotgun	SAMN17011102	2.81E+08	5.95E+08	5.51E+09	0.50%	4.64%	94.86%
Silene latifolia Kew 32982	Total cellular shotgun	SAMN17011103	3.04E+08					

Table S2. *Silene* mitochondrial SNV count and frequency data with and without *k*-mer filtering

Species	Rep	k-mer Filt	SNV Counts							SNV Frequencies						
			A>C	A>G	A>T	C>A	C>G	C>T	Total	A>C	A>G	A>T	C>A	C>G	C>T	Total
Silene conica	1	10	53	356	26	13	6	18	472	3.0E-07	2.0E-06	1.5E-07	9.7E-08	4.5E-08	1.3E-07	1.5E-06
Silene conica	2	10	27	176	15	4	9	6	237	3.6E-07	2.3E-06	2.0E-07	6.9E-08	1.6E-07	1.0E-07	1.8E-06
Silene conica	3	10	67	462	17	13	6	13	578	3.3E-07	2.3E-06	8.5E-08	8.5E-08	3.9E-08	8.5E-08	1.6E-06
Silene conica	1	None	150	483	58	49	12	217	969	8.5E-07	2.7E-06	3.3E-07	3.6E-07	8.9E-08	1.6E-06	3.1E-06
Silene conica	2	None	64	238	24	20	12	98	456	8.5E-07	3.2E-06	3.2E-07	3.5E-07	2.1E-07	1.7E-06	3.4E-06
Silene conica	3	None	158	610	50	59	17	231	1125	7.9E-07	3.0E-06	2.5E-07	3.9E-07	1.1E-07	1.5E-06	3.2E-06
Silene latifolia	1	10	1	1	0	4	3	18	27	5.7E-09	5.7E-09	0.0E+00	3.0E-08	2.2E-08	1.3E-07	8.7E-08
Silene latifolia	2	10	1	0	1	2	2	5	11	1.3E-08	0.0E+00	1.3E-08	3.5E-08	3.5E-08	8.6E-08	8.2E-08
Silene latifolia	3	10	1	2	6	1	0	10	20	5.0E-09	1.0E-08	3.0E-08	6.5E-09	0.0E+00	6.5E-08	5.7E-08
Silene latifolia	1	None	9	8	6	17	5	42	87	1.1E-07	9.7E-08	7.3E-08	2.7E-07	8.1E-08	6.8E-07	6.0E-07
Silene latifolia	2	None	5	8	14	17	6	58	108	1.0E-07	1.7E-07	2.9E-07	4.7E-07	1.7E-07	1.6E-06	1.3E-06
Silene latifolia	3	None	9	16	18	19	10	52	124	1.5E-07	2.7E-07	3.1E-07	4.3E-07	2.3E-07	1.2E-06	1.2E-06
Silene noctiflora	1	10	3	5	1	0	4	3	16	1.3E-08	2.2E-08	4.4E-09	0.0E+00	2.6E-08	1.9E-08	4.2E-08
Silene noctiflora	2	10	1	8	0	0	7	5	21	6.0E-09	4.8E-08	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	6.1E-08	4.3E-08	7.4E-08
Silene noctiflora	3	10	2	7	0	2	4	6	21	1.1E-08	3.7E-08	0.0E+00	1.5E-08	3.1E-08	4.6E-08	6.6E-08
Silene noctiflora	1	None	3	8	3	4	6	12	36	1.3E-08	3.5E-08	1.3E-08	2.6E-08	3.8E-08	7.7E-08	9.4E-08
Silene noctiflora	2	None	2	11	1	2	13	9	38	1.2E-08	6.6E-08	6.0E-09	1.7E-08	1.1E-07	7.8E-08	1.3E-07
Silene noctiflora	3	None	5	13	2	11	9	11	51	2.7E-08	6.9E-08	1.1E-08	8.4E-08	6.9E-08	8.4E-08	1.6E-07

Table S3. Genome copy number estimates

Species	Nuclear (=Unmapped) Coverage (bp)	Mito Coverage (bp)	Plastid Coverage (bp)	Nuclear Genome Size (bp)	Mito Genome Size (bp)	Plastid Genome Size (bp)	Nuclear Cov.	Mito Cov.	Plastid Cov.	Mito per Nuclear	Plastid per Nuclear
Silene latifolia	7.58E+10	3.53E+08	1.68E+09	2.67E+09	2.53E+05	1.52E+05	29.22	1394.70	11047.66	47.72	378.02
Silene noctiflora	1.41E+11	3.45E+09	2.10E+09	2.78E+09	6.73E+06	1.52E+05	52.70	512.25	13838.01	9.72	262.59
Silene conica	1.20E+11	5.95E+08	5.51E+09	9.30E+08	1.13E+07	1.47E+05	136.49	52.55	37486.69	0.38	274.64

Table S4. Detection of SNVs in multiple biological replicates

Species	SNV Detection	A>C	A>G	A>T	C>A	C>G	C>T	Total
Silene conica	Shared among replicates	17	100	5	3	1	2	128
Silene conica	Unique to one replicate	32	157	28	19	19	21	276
Silene latifolia	Shared among replicates**	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Silene latifolia	Unique to one replicate	3	3	7	7	5	33	58
Silene noctiflora	Shared among replicates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Silene noctiflora	Unique to one replicate	6	20	1	2	15	14	58

**The use of parentals for *k*-mer filtering in may have biased against detection of shared SNVs in *S. latifolia* (see main text).