Myogenin controls via AKAP6 non-centrosomal microtubule organizing center formation at the nuclear envelope

Robert Becker[1], Silvia Vergarajauregui[1], Florian Billing[1], Maria Sharkova[1], Eleonora Lippolis[2], Kamel Mamchaoui[3], Fulvia Ferrazzi[2,4,5,6], Felix B. Engel[1,6,*]

[1]Experimental Renal and Cardiovascular Research, Department of Nephropathology, Institute of Pathology, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg (FAU), 91054 Erlangen, Germany

[2]Institute of Human Genetics, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, 91054 Erlangen, Germany

[3]Sorbonne Universités UPMC Université Paris 06, INSERM U974, CNRS FRE3617, Center for Research in Myology, GH Pitié Salpêtrière, 47 Boulevard de l'Hôpital, 75013 Paris, France

[4]Department of Nephropathology, Institute of Pathology, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg (FAU), 91054 Erlangen, Germany

[5]Institute of Pathology, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg (FAU), 91054 Erlangen, Germany

[6]Muscle Research Center Erlangen (MURCE), 91054 Erlangen, Germany

Short title: Myogenin controls ncMTOC formation

*Corresponding author:

Felix B. Engel, Universitätsklinikum Erlangen, Schwabachanlage 12 (TRC), 91054 Erlangen, Germany, felix.engel@uk-erlangen.de

Abstract

Non-centrosomal microtubule organizing centers (MTOC) are pivotal for the function of multiple cell types, but the processes initiating their formation are unknown. Here, we find that the transcription factor myogenin is required in myoblasts for the localization of MTOC proteins to the nuclear envelope. Moreover, myogenin is sufficient in fibroblasts for nuclear envelope MTOC (NE-MTOC) formation and centrosome attenuation. Bioinformatics combined with loss- and gain-of-function experiments identified induction of AKAP6 expression as one central mechanism for myogenin-mediated NE-MTOC formation. Promoter studies indicate that myogenin preferentially induces the transcription of muscle- and NE-MTOC-specific isoforms of *Akap6* and *Syne1*, which encodes nesprin-1α, the NE-MTOC anchor protein in muscle cells. Overexpression of AKAP6β and nesprin-1α was sufficient to recruit endogenous MTOC proteins to the nuclear envelope of myoblasts in the absence of myogenin. Taken together, our results illuminate how mammals transcriptionally control the switch from a centrosomal MTOC to an NE-MTOC and identify AKAP6 as a novel NE-MTOC component in muscle cells.

Keywords: myogenin; muscle differentiation; MTOC; non-centrosomal MTOC; AKAP6; centrosome; microtubules; terminal differentiation; nuclear envelope; transcription

Introduction

Correct organization of the microtubule cytoskeleton is essential for many cellular processes such as the establishment of cell shape, organelle positioning or intracellular transport (Akhmanova & Steinmetz, 2015; Conduit, Wainman, & Raff, 2015). In proliferating vertebrate cells, proteins that control microtubule nucleation and anchoring accumulate as pericentriolar material (PCM) at the centrosome, which in turn functions as the dominant microtubule-organizing center (MTOC) (Prosser & Pelletier, 2015). The centrosomal MTOC is pivotal for cell cycle progression and correct chromosome segregation during mitosis (Hinchcliffe, Miller, Cham, Khodjakov, & Sluder, 2001; Khodjakov & Rieder, 2001; Sir et al., 2013). In contrast, MTOC function is assigned to non-centrosomal sites (ncMTOCs) during differentiation of various cell types (Sanchez & Feldman, 2017). In epithelial cells, apically localized ncMTOCs participate in organelle positioning and help to establish apical-basal cell polarity (Brodu, Baffet, Le Droguen, Casanova, & Guichet, 2010; C. Lee, Scherr, & Wallingford, 2007; Meads & Schroer, 1995; Toya et al., 2016). In neurons, dendritic branch points, Golgi outposts, and pre-existing microtubules have been suggested to act as ncMTOC sites and precise control of microtubule array polarity helps to define the axonal and dendritic compartments (Luders, 2020; Nguyen et al., 2014; Ori-McKenney, Jan, & Jan, 2012; Sanchez-Huertas et al., 2016). In striated (i. e. heart and skeletal) muscle cells, ncMTOCs form at the nuclear envelope and contribute to correct nuclei positioning in skeletal myotubes (Elhanany-Tamir et al., 2012; Espigat-Georger, Dyachuk, Chemin, Emorine, & Merdes, 2016; Gimpel et al., 2017; Kronebusch & Singer, 1987; Tassin, Maro, & Bornens, 1985). Notably, human myopathies, such as centronuclear myopathy (CNM) or Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy (EDMD), often feature mislocalized nuclei (Jungbluth and Gautel, 2014; Madej-Pilarczyk and Kochanski, 2016). While the nuclear envelope MTOC (NE-MTOC) has been implicated

in early steps of myonuclear positioning *in vitro*, a direct link between NE-MTOC

defects and human myopathies has not been established, possibly due to the fact that

many aspects of NE-MTOC formation and function remain unclear. Similarly, while

microtubules are important regulators of contractility and nuclear architecture in

cardiomyocytes (C. Y. Chen et al., 2018; Heffler et al., 2020), the specific role of NE-

MTOC-generated microtubules remains unclear.

Despite progress in illuminating identity, composition, and function of ncMTOCs, it

remains elusive which mechanisms initiate the switch from centrosomal to non-

centrosomal MTOCs during differentiation of vertebrate cells. The only mechanistic

insight into ncMTOC induction has been gained by studying *Drosophila* tracheal cells.

It was shown that the transcription factor tracheless, which specifies tracheal fate, is

required for the spastin-mediated release of centrosomal components from the

centrosome and their subsequent Piopio-mediated anchoring to the apical membrane

(Brodu et al., 2010).

Here, we aimed to identify mechanisms that control NE-MTOC formation in

mammals utilizing skeletal muscle differentiation as an experimental system.

Mammalian skeletal muscle differentiation is controlled by a family of transcription

factors termed myogenic regulatory factors (MRFs) (Braun & Gautel, 2011;

Buckingham & Rigby, 2014). Among those, myoblast determination protein (MyoD)

regulates commitment to a myogenic fate and is thought to promote early differentiation

of myoblasts (Comai & Tajbakhsh, 2014; Ishibashi, Perry, Asakura, & Rudnicki, 2005).

The MRF myogenin acts as a unique regulator of terminal differentiation during

myogenesis. In the absence of myogenin *in vivo*, embryonic myofiber formation is

disturbed and the second wave of fetal myogenesis is largely abolished (Hasty et al.,

1993; Nabeshima et al., 1993; Venuti, Morris, Vivian, Olson, & Klein, 1995). Notably,

MRFs are able to induce phenotypical markers of skeletal muscle in permissive non-

muscle cells (Braun, Bober, Winter, Rosenthal, & Arnold, 1990; Braun, Buschhausen-Denker, Bober, Tannich, & Arnold, 1989; Comai & Tajbakhsh, 2014; Davis, Weintraub, & Lassar, 1987; Edmondson & Olson, 1989; Weintraub et al., 1989). Therefore, we examined whether MRFs regulate NE-MTOC formation during skeletal muscle differentiation and whether they are sufficient for NE-MTOC initiation in non-muscle cells.

NE-MTOC formation involves the localization of different MTOC proteins to the nuclear envelope. These include the PCM components pericentrin (PCNT), CDK5RAP2, and AKAP9 (also known as AKAP450) as well as γ-tubulin, key component of γ-tubulin ring complexes (γTuRCs) (Bugnard, Zaal, & Ralston, 2005; Espigat-Georger et al., 2016; Gimpel et al., 2017; Srsen, Fant, Heald, Rabouille, & Merdes, 2009). At the centrosomal MTOC, PCNT, CDK5RAP2, and AKAP9 can interact with and recruit γTuRCs that in turn promote microtubule nucleation (Teixido-Travesa, Roig, & Luders, 2012). At the nuclear envelope of myotubes, microtubule nucleation appears to specifically depend on AKAP9 (Gimpel et al., 2017) and γ-tubulin (Bugnard et al., 2005). Another protein localized to the nuclear envelope is PCM-1, an integral component of centriolar satellites, which contribute to recruiting proteins to the centrosome and proper organization of the centrosomal MTOC (Prosser & Pelletier, 2020). In myotubes, PCM-1 is required to recruit microtubule-associated motors to the nuclear envelope (Espigat-Georger et al., 2016).

Based on loss and gain of function experiments, quantitative analysis utilizing different cell types, and promoter studies, we show here that myogenin is required and sufficient for the formation of an NE-MTOC by controlling the expression of muscle- and NE-MTOC-specific isoforms of *Akap6* and *Syne1* which encodes nesprin-1α.

Results

Myogenin is required for MTOC protein localization to the nuclear envelope

To gain insight into the regulation of NE-MTOC establishment in skeletal muscle cells, we correlated the expression of MyoD and myogenin during mouse C2C12 myoblast differentiation with two key steps of NE-MTOC formation: 1) The expression of nesprin-1α, the nuclear envelope anchor for MTOC proteins (Espigat-Georger et al., 2016; Gimpel et al., 2017) and 2) the recruitment of PCM-1, the first MTOC protein localizing to the nuclear membrane (Srsen et al., 2009; Zebrowski et al., 2015). Immunofluorescence analyses of C2C12 cells one day after induction of differentiation revealed that $49.1 \pm 7\%$ of nuclei were MyoD$^+$, $12 \pm 0.9\%$ were myogenin$^+$, $7.8 \pm 0.3\%$ were nesprin-1α$^+$, and $1.9 \pm 0.3\%$ were PCM-1$^+$ (Figure 1A-C). Note, that intermediate stages of PCM-1 nuclear envelope recruitment can be observed (Figure 1 – figure supplement 1A), suggesting that PCM-1 recruitment occurs in a gradual manner. In our analysis, intermediate stages were rare (<2% of total PCM-1$^+$ nuclei) and have therefore been included in the total percentage of PCM-1$^+$ nuclei.

Prominent MyoD expression was detected in all cells that had upregulated nesprin-1α and recruited PCM1 to the nuclear envelope (Figure 1A-B) suggesting that MyoD-driven early differentiation is required for both steps of NE-MTOC formation. In contrast, the late differentiation factor myogenin was detected in all PCM1$^+$ nuclei (Figure 1B) but only in 42% of nesprin-1$^+$ nuclei (Figure 1A,D). Considering that 1) myogenin is a downstream target of MyoD (Berkes & Tapscott, 2005) and 2) nesprin-1α is anchoring MTOC proteins at the nuclear envelope, this suggested that, during NE-MTOC formation, myogenin might be either dispensable or required for a second step downstream of nesprin-1α.

To determine the role of myogenin in MTOC protein localization to the nuclear envelope, we depleted myogenin in C2C12 cells via siRNA and analyzed nesprin-1α expression as well as nuclear envelope localization of the MTOC proteins PCM-1, PCNT, and AKAP9 after two days of differentiation. The number of nesprin-1α[+] nuclei was not significantly affected by myogenin depletion (Figure 1E,F). By contrast, knockdown of myogenin reduced the number of PCM-1^{+} nuclei from 11.6 ± 1.3% in control siRNA-treated cells to 2.3 ± 0.8% (Figure 1E,F) whereby PCM-1 retained a centrosomal localization pattern at highly nesprin-1α[+] nuclei in myogenin-depleted cultures (Figure 1 – figure supplement 2), although the pattern was less focused than in undifferentiated myoblasts. Similarly, PCNT[+] nuclei were reduced from 6.9 ± 0.1% to 1.4 ± 0.1% and AKAP9^{+} nuclei showed a reduction from 6.8 ± 0.5% to 1.4 ± 0.1% (Figure 1F and Figure 1 – figure supplement 1B). These data indicate that myogenin is required for MTOC protein localization to the nuclear envelope during skeletal muscle differentiation.

Considering that PCM-1 is 1) important for recruitment of other proteins to the centrosome (Dammermann & Merdes, 2002; Prosser & Pelletier, 2020) and 2) the first MTOC protein localizing to the nuclear envelope (Srsen et al., 2009; Zebrowski et al., 2015), we aimed to assess whether the loss of PCM-1 affects the recruitment of other MTOC proteins to the nuclear envelope. Depletion of PCM-1 reduced the percentage of C2C12 nuclei fully or partially positive for PCNT after two days of differentiation from 5.8 ± 1% to 0.8 ± 0.2% and 2.7 ± 0.9% to 0.5 ± 0.1%, respectively (Figure 1G,H). In contrast, PCM-1 depletion did not affect recruitment of AKAP9 (Figure 1 – figure supplement 1C). Similar qualitative results have been described in myotubes (Espigat-Georger et al., 2016; Gimpel et al., 2017).

Collectively, our data suggest that the myogenin-independent early myogenic

differentiation is sufficient to induce nesprin-1α expression, whereas myogenin

regulates the nuclear envelope targeting of AKAP9 and PCM-1, which in turn recruits

PCNT.

Ectopic myogenin expression is sufficient to induce a NE-MTOC

All MRFs are able to "transdifferentiate" permissive non-muscle cells with varying

efficiency. They induce skeletal muscle markers such as the expression of contractile

proteins or cell fusion into myotubes (Braun et al., 1990; Braun et al., 1989; Davis et

al., 1987; Edmondson & Olson, 1989; Weintraub et al., 1989). However, NE-MTOC

formation has never been analyzed in "transdifferentiated" cells. To determine whether

MRFs are sufficient to induce NE-MTOC formation in non-muscle cells, we ectopically

expressed MyoD-GFP, myogenin-GFP or GFP alone in mouse NIH3T3 fibroblasts and

analyzed the localization of PCM-1. NIH3T3 cells transfected with GFP exhibited

centrosomal PCM-1 localization, typical for proliferating cells (Figure 2A). By contrast,

expression of MyoD-GFP and, surprisingly, myogenin-GFP induced nuclear envelope

localization of PCM-1 in a subset of GFP^{+} cells (Figure 2A,B), suggesting that both

MRFs are sufficient individually to induce localization of MTOC proteins to the nuclear

envelope.

The results obtained upon MyoD-GFP expression are potentially explained by the

fact that MyoD is known to activate myogenin transcription (Berkes & Tapscott, 2005).

Analyzing endogenous myogenin expression in MyoD-GFP expressing cells, we

observed high myogenin levels in cells that had recruited PCM-1 to the nuclear

envelope compared to MyoD-GFP expressing cells where PCM-1 was absent from the

nuclear envelope (Figure 2 – figure supplement 1A). In addition, depletion of myogenin

in NIH3T3 cells abrogated the MyoD-GFP-induced localization of PCM-1 to the nuclear

envelope (Figure 2 – figure supplement 1B). To confirm that MyoD regulates the

expression of myogenin but not *vice versa*, we analyzed samples of differentiated

C2C12 cultures depleted of MyoD or myogenin via RT-PCR (Figure 2 – supplemental

figure 1C). While MyoD depletion also reduced myogenin levels, MyoD levels were not

detectably affected in myogenin-depleted cultures. Taken together, these results

further argue that myogenin is required for the localization of MTOC proteins to the

nuclear envelope.

In order to elucidate how myogenin – whose expression did not correlate with

nesprin-1α in C2C12 cells (Figure 1D) – induces nuclear envelope MTOC formation,

we generated stable NIH3T3 cell lines that express either mScarlet or myogenin-2A-

mScarlet (MYOG-mScarlet) under control of a tetracycline-responsive promoter (Tet-

ON). To induce myogenin expression and, potentially, MTOC protein recruitment to

the nuclear envelope, we treated MYOG-mScarlet cells with doxycycline (Dox) for

three days. Immunofluorescence analysis revealed that Dox-treatment induced

nuclear envelope localization of PCM-1, PCNT, and AKAP9 (Figure 2C, 2E, and 2G).

To better account for the dynamic of the recruitment process, we quantified the area

of the nuclear envelope positive for each MTOC protein in mScarlet and MYOG-

mScarlet cells after Dox treatment (Figure 2D, 2F, and 2H). For this, we set an intensity

threshold for the MTOC protein signal and quantified the percentage of pixels above

this threshold inside a 1 µm-wide band around the nucleus (identified by DAPI signal).

The signal at the centrosome, which localizes in close proximity to the nucleus in most

cells, accounts for the nuclear envelope coverage in mScarlet cells. Quantification

revealed that median PCM-1 nuclear envelope coverage increases from 12.5% in

mScarlet cells to 30.3% in MYOG-mScarlet cells (Figure 2D). PCNT was recruited with

an increase of the median coverage from 8.2% in mScarlet cells to 23.1% in MYOG-

mScarlet cells (Figure 2F). AKAP9, which is essential for microtubule nucleation at the

nuclear envelope (Gimpel et al., 2017), coverage increased only moderately from 3.6%

to 6.2%, suggesting that AKAP9 recruitment is less efficient in MYOG-mScarlet cells

compared to PCM-1 and PCNT (Figure 2H). However, ~13% of analyzed MYOG-

mScarlet nuclei showed a higher coverage than the maximum observed in mScarlet-

cells. Together, these data indicate that myogenin is sufficient to induce nuclear

envelope localization of MTOC proteins in non-muscle cells.

As nuclear envelope localization of MTOC proteins requires nesprin-1α in C2C12

cells (Espigat-Georger et al., 2016; Gimpel et al., 2017), we examined whether

myogenin is able to induce nesprin-1α expression in Dox-treated MYOG-mScarlet

cells. Dox-treatment resulted in nesprin-1[+] nuclei (Figure 2I) and RT-PCR analysis

confirmed that the α-isoform of nesprin-1 is upregulated upon myogenin induction

(Figure 2J). This indicate that myogenin can induce nesprin-1α expression. Notably,

chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) sequencing data available through the

ENCODE consortium (Consortium et al., 2020; Yue et al., 2014) predict myogenin as

well as MyoD to bind a candidate regulatory element in the *Syne1* gene near the

transcription start site of the nesprin-1α isoform. To examine whether myogenin directly

induces nesprin-1α transcription in MYOG-mScarlet cells by binding to the α-isoform-

specific promoter in *Syne1*, we performed ChIP, using an anti-myogenin antibody and

an isotype control, followed by PCR for the ENCODE-predicted site. PCR amplification

was successful from myogenin-precipitated DNA but not from IgG1 control (Figure 2K),

indicating that myogenin binds the nesprin-1α promoter. To further substantiate these

results, we probed lysates of Dox-treated mScarlet cells and MYOG-mScarlet cells

maintained in Dox-free medium. Under both conditions, myogenin expression is not

detectable. Additionally, we included an intronic region of *Syne1* as negative control

as well as a promoter region of the known myogenin target *Des* (desmin) (Londhe &

Davie, 2011) as positive control (Figure 2 – supplemental figure 2). Analysis revealed

that the nesprin-1α promoter as well as the desmin promoter were precipitated using

the myogenin antibody only in Dox-treated MYOG-mScarlet cells. Therefore, we

conclude that myogenin binds the nesprin-1α promoter in *Syne1* and can induce

expression of nesprin-1α in permissive cells.

Finally, we examined if the myogenin-induced recruitment of MTOC proteins

converts the nuclear envelope to a functional MTOC. For this we analyzed microtubule

regrowth after cold-induced depolymerization in Dox-treated mScarlet and MYOG-

mScarlet cells (Figure 2L and Figure 2 – Figure supplement 3). In mScarlet-expressing

cells, microtubule regrowth was observed from the centrosome. In contrast, MYOG-

mScarlet cells exhibited microtubule regrowth from the nuclear envelope to varying

degrees. Quantification revealed that median nuclear envelope coverage increased

from 10.1% in mScarlet cells to 18.4% in MYOG-mScarlet cells.

Collectively, these data demonstrate that myogenin is sufficient to induce NE-

MTOC formation in NIH3T3 fibroblasts.

Myogenin expression attenuates the centrosomal MTOC

In different cell types, it has been observed that ncMTOC formation is associated with

attenuation of the centrosomal MTOC (Leask, Obrietan, & Stearns, 1997; Muroyama,

Seldin, & Lechler, 2016; Nguyen, Stone, & Rolls, 2011; Yang & Feldman, 2015;

Zebrowski et al., 2015). Therefore, we examined if myogenin expression induces

centrosome attenuation in MYOG-mScarlet fibroblasts. Dox stimulation resulted in a

significant reduction of PCNT levels at centrioles in MYOG-mScarlet cells when

compared to Dox-treated mScarlet cells (Figure 3A,B). In contrast, levels of Cep135,

a centriole-associated protein, which does not relocalize to the nuclear envelope in

muscle cells, did not change significantly upon myogenin induction (Figure 3C,D)

indicating that myogenin affects centrosomal localization of PCM proteins but not of

centriole-associated proteins. To test if myogenin attenuates MTOC activity at the

centrosome, we analyzed centrosomal levels of the microtubule nucleating factor γ-

tubulin. Induced MYOG-mScarlet cells displayed a significant reduction in centrosomal

γ-tubulin levels compared to mScarlet control cells (Figure 3E). Analyzing microtubule

regrowth, we observed that centrosomes still nucleated microtubules in MYOG-

mScarlet cells that exhibited microtubule nucleation at the nuclear envelope (Figure

3F). However, α-tubulin signal at centrioles was less intense in MYOG-mScarlet cells

compared to mScarlet cells, indicating a reduced MTOC activity (Figure 3F). Taken

together, these data indicate that myogenin expression – in parallel to inducing

ncMTOC formation – attenuates the centrosomal MTOC and that centrosomal and NE-

MTOC can be active at the same time.

AKAP6 is a potential mediator of myogenin-induced NE-MTOC formation

The myogenin depletion experiments suggested that the sole presence of nesprin-1α

at the nuclear envelope does not allow efficient recruitment of MTOC components

during muscle differentiation (Figure 1F). Thus, myogenin potentially contributes to the

recruitment process by controlling the expression of proteins that are necessary for

1) inhibiting the localization of MTOC proteins to the centrosome, 2) targeting MTOC

proteins to the nucleus, and/or 3) anchoring MTOC proteins to the nuclear envelope

via nesprin-1α.

In order to identify candidates that act downstream of myogenin and mediate NE-

MTOC formation, we integrated published myogenin ChIP-Seq data (Yue et al., 2014)

with RNA-Seq data of C2C12 differentiation (Doynova, Markworth, Cameron-Smith,

Vickers, & O'Sullivan, 2017) (Figure 4A). Myogenin ChIP-Seq data was obtained at

four different time points (myoblasts, 24 h differentiation, 60 h differentiation, and 7

days differentiation; see Methods section for details) whereas the RNA-Seq data-set

contained three time points (myoblasts as well as differentiating C2C12 cells at 3 days

and 7 days of differentiation). PCM-1 nuclear envelope localization can already be

observed 24 h after induction of differentiation (Figure 1A), but the number of cells

which differentiate and form an NE-MTOC significantly increases over time.

Additionally, we assumed genes required for the maintenance of the NE-MTOC in

differentiated cells have to be actively transcribed. Therefore, we considered only

those myogenin binding sites in our analysis which were detected in the ChIP-Seq

data-set at 24 h, 60 h, and 7 days of differentiation. The promoters of 2462 genes were

bound by myogenin at these three time points (Figure 4B). We then intersected these

2462 genes with a list of 3800 genes, which were upregulated in the RNA-Seq data-

set at both 3 days and 7 days of differentiation when compared to proliferating

myoblasts (Figure 4B). This intersection yielded a list of 748 potential direct myogenin

target genes (Figure 4B). Considering that skeletal muscle cells and cardiomyocytes

(myogenin-negative) both express nesprin-1α and exhibit an NE-MTOC, we

hypothesized that NE-MTOC formation in both cell types is controlled by similar

mechanisms. Thus, we assessed whether any of the 748 target genes are upregulated

during rat heart development from embryonic day 15 to postnatal day 3, the

developmental window in which NE-MTOCs form in cardiomyocytes (Zebrowski et al.,

2015). For this purpose, we utilized a microarray-derived temporal expression data-set

spanning rat heart development (Patra et al., 2011). This strategy helped to further

reduce the number of candidate genes to 107 myogenin targets that potentially

mediate NE-MTOC formation (Supplementary file 1). As our previous data suggested

that nesprin-1α alone does not allow efficient recruitment of MTOC proteins to the

nuclear envelope, we first focused on candidates that potentially cooperate with

nesprin-1α in anchoring MTOC proteins to the nuclear envelope. To this end, we

utilized Gene Ontology analysis to identify candidates that are annotated to localize at

the nuclear envelope (Figure 4A). Four genes matched the Gene Ontology cellular

component search terms "nuclear membrane" and "nuclear envelope": *Akap6*, *Dmpk*,

Rb1cc1, and *Tmem38a*. Previous studies indicated that myogenin directly binds and

activates the promoter of *Akap6* (S. W. Lee et al., 2015), which encodes the large

scaffold A-kinase anchoring protein (AKAP) 6 (also known as mAKAP). AKAP6 has

been described to localize to the nuclear envelope of cardiomyocytes through

interaction with the N-terminal spectrin domains of nesprin-1α and to act as a signaling

hub by assembling signaling proteins such as protein kinase A, ryanodine receptor,

phosphodiesterase 4D3 and phospholipase C (Kapiloff, Schillace, Westphal, & Scott,

1999; Pare, Easlick, Mislow, McNally, & Kapiloff, 2005; Passariello, Li, Dodge-Kafka,

& Kapiloff, 2015; Ruehr et al., 2003). Furthermore, proximity labeling indicated that

AKAP6 is an interactor of nesprin-1α in C2C12 myotubes (Gimpel et al., 2017). Taken

together, these data identify AKAP6 as a potential mediator of myogenin-induced NE-

MTOC formation.

AKAP6 occurs in two isoforms: The brain-specific α-isoform and the β-isoform,

which is predominantly expressed in heart and skeletal muscle (Michel et al., 2005).

We first determined if myogenin binds the β-isoform promoter of *Akap6* in MYOG-

mScarlet fibroblasts and if AKAP6β expression is induced in these cells upon Dox

treatment. We could amplify an E-Box containing region of the β-isoform promoter after

ChIP using an anti-myogenin antibody (Figure 4C). This result was confirmed by qPCR

analysis of the ChIP samples revealing that the Akap6β promoter region is specifically

enriched after precipitation with the myogenin antibody in Dox-treated MYOG-mScarlet

samples but not in Dox-treated mScarlet or untreated MYOG-mScarlet samples

(Figure 4 – supplemental figure 1). Consistently, RT-PCR analysis showed that

AKAP6β is upregulated after Dox stimulation (Figure 4D). Collectively, these data

indicate that, in fibroblasts, myogenin can bind the *Akap6*β-isoform promoter and

induce AKAP6 expression.

To determine whether nesprin-1α is – similar to the situation in cardiomyocytes –

involved in AKAP6β localization to the nuclear envelope of skeletal muscle cells (Pare

et al., 2005), we analyzed the expression pattern of AKAP6 (refers to the β isoform if

not specified) and nesprin-1α in C2C12 cells. Immunofluorescence analysis at two

days differentiation showed that all AKAP6$^+$ nuclei were nesprin-1α$^+$ (Figure 4E). In

addition, high-resolution microscopy suggested that AKAP6 mainly localizes at the

cytoplasmic side of nesprin-1α (Figure 4F and Figure 4 – figure supplement 2). It has

been reported that the C-terminus of nesprin-1α is inserted into the outer nuclear

membrane, whereas the N-terminus extends into the cytoplasm (Apel, Lewis, Grady,

& Sanes, 2000; Q. Zhang et al., 2001).

To test if nesprin-1α is required to anchor AKAP6 to the nuclear envelope, patient-

derived myoblasts carrying a mutation in the *SYNE1* gene (23560 G>T causing a

premature stop and loss nesprin-1α expression) and myoblasts of healthy donors were

differentiated into myotubes and AKAP6 localization was compared (Figure 4G).

Whereas nesprin-1α and AKAP6 localized to the nuclear envelope of myotubes from

healthy donors, expression of nesprin-1α and nuclear membrane localization of AKAP6

were abolished in myotubes carrying the *SYNE1* mutation. Taken together, these data

indicate that AKAP6 localization to the nuclear envelope in differentiated skeletal

muscle cells depends on nesprin-1α.

AKAP6 is required for NE-MTOC formation and maintenance

To examine the role of AKAP6 in NE-MTOC formation we performed siRNA-mediated

depletion experiments in differentiating C2C12 cells and MYOG-mScarlet fibroblasts.

In C2C12 cultures differentiated for two days, 12.3 ± 0.6% of nuclei were AKAP6$^+$ and

10.4 ± 1.2% of nuclei were PCM-1^{+} (Figure 5A,B). Importantly, AKAP6 was found at

all nuclei that had recruited PCM-1. Transfection of differentiating C2C12 cultures with

AKAP6 siRNA significantly reduced the number of AKAP6^{+} nuclei from 12.3 ± 0.6% to

4.8 ± 0.1% and the number of PCM-1^{+} nuclei from 10.4 ± 1.2% to 3.4 ± 0.3% (Figure

5B) but had no effect on nesprin-1α localization (Figure 5 - figure supplement 1).

Correspondingly, treatment of Dox-induced MYOG-mScarlet with AKAP6 siRNA

decreased median nuclear envelope coverage by PCM-1 and PCNT from 22.6% to

9% and 18.7% to 5.5%, respectively (Figure 5C-E). Median coverage of AKAP9 was

only moderately affected (7.2% to 6.5%) but nuclei showing more than ~18% AKAP9

coverage were completely lost after AKAP6 depletion (Figure 5F). To examine if

AKAP6 promotes nuclear envelope recruitment by forming a complex with MTOC

proteins, we performed co-immunoprecipitation experiments using an anti-AKAP6

antibody. We could co-precipitate PCM-1 from MYOG-mScarlet lysates but not from

mScarlet lysate (Figure 5G). These data indicate that AKAP6 is required for the

localization of MTOC proteins to the nuclear envelope, in part by forming a protein

complex including PCM-1.

To examine whether the recruitment of MTOC proteins to the nuclear envelope is

the reason for the attenuation of the centrosomal MTOC in MYOG-mScarlet

fibroblasts, we analyzed centrosomal levels of PCNT and γ-tubulin in AKAP6-depleted

or nesprin-1α-depleted cells (Figure 5 – figure supplement 2). We did not observe an

increase of centrosomal PCNT or γ-tubulin levels in AKAP6- or nesprin-1-depleted

cultures, indicating that the main mechanism for centrosome attenuation is not the

competition with the NE-MTOC.

To determine if AKAP6 is required for maintaining MTOC protein localization at the

nuclear envelope, we transfected C2C12 cultures enriched for myotubes with AKAP6

siRNA (Figure 5H, I). Depletion of AKAP6 resulted in the loss of PCM-1, AKAP9, and

PCNT from the nuclear envelope in myotubes.

Taken together, our data demonstrate that AKAP6 is required for recruiting MTOC

proteins to the nuclear envelope as well as maintaining nuclear envelope localization

of MTOC proteins in myotubes, most likely by acting as an adaptor between MTOC

proteins and the nuclear membrane anchor nesprin-1α.

MyoD can induce AKAP6 expression via myogenin

Similar to myogenin, ectopic expression of MyoD was sufficient to induce PCM-1

localization to the nuclear envelope (Figure 2A, B). Consistently, a more detailed

analysis of MyoD-GFP-transfected NIH3T3 cells revealed that nesprin-1 and AKAP6

expression is induced in these cells as well (Figure 5 – supplemental figure 3). As

previous depletion experiments indicated that MyoD induces PCM-1 localization to the

nuclear envelope via myogenin (Figure 2 – supplemental figure 1), we analyzed

nesprin-1 and AKAP6 in MyoD-GFP-transfected cells treated with myogenin siRNA.

Analysis revealed that the percentage of nesprin-1$^+$ nuclei in GFP$^+$ cells was not

affected by myogenin depletion (Figure 5 – supplemental figure 3), which is consistent

with our findings in C2C12 cells (Figure 1F). However, the percentage of AKAP6$^+$ as

well as PCM-1$^+$ nuclei was reduced upon myogenin depletion. This further shows that

MyoD-induced MTOC protein localization to the nuclear envelope depends on the

induction of myogenin.

AKAP6 is required for NE-MTOC function

The NE-MTOC has been described to be required for correct positioning and

distribution of nuclei in multinucleated myotubes via two different mechanisms: 1)

PCM-1 enables the recruitment of the dynein regulator p150glued and other motor

proteins to the nuclear envelope and promotes alignment of nuclei (Espigat-Georger

et al., 2016), and 2) Akap9-dependent nucleation of microtubules from the nuclear

envelope contributes to the spreading of nuclei throughout the cell body (Gimpel et al.,

2017) (Figure 6A). Our results indicate a potential role for AKAP6 in both aspects of

nucleus positioning as it is required for PCM-1 and AKAP9 to localize to the nuclear

envelope. Analyzing the positioning and distribution of nuclei in enriched C2C12

myotubes two days after siRNA-mediated depletion of AKAP6, we found that AKAP6

depletion reduced the number of myotubes with aligned nuclei (66.0 ± 7.5% to 34.7 ±

7.6%) and increased the number of myotubes with overlapping nuclei (26.0 ± 6.5% to

58.3 ± 9.6%), compared to control myotubes (Figure 6B,C). This indicates that AKAP6

is required for proper alignment and spreading of nuclei in myotubes.

Next, we aimed to confirm that the observed nuclei mispositioning in AKAP6-

depleted cells is due to aberrant microtubule nucleation and motor protein recruitment

at the nuclear envelope. Immunofluorescence analysis showed that two days post

siRNA transfection the microtubule network organization was similar in AKAP6-

depleted and control myotubes showing the typical organization of microtubules in

longitudinal arrays (Figure 6 – figure supplement 1A). Similar results have been

obtained previously when depleting nesprin-1α (Espigat-Georger et al., 2016). Yet, we

observed that AKAP6 depletion resulted in a reduced intensity of detyrosinated (i.e.

stable) microtubules compared to control myotubes (Figure 6 – figure supplement 1B).

To test if AKAP6 depletion affects the nucleation of new microtubules, we assessed

microtubule regrowth after nocodazole-induced depolymerization in C2C12 myotubes.

In myotubes treated with control siRNA, microtubules regrew from the nuclear

envelope and to a lesser extent from cytoplasmic loci (Figure 6D). In AKAP6-depleted

myotubes, microtubule regrowth from the nuclear envelope was abolished (Figure 6D).

This suggests that AKA6 depletion impairs spreading of myonuclei by preventing

microtubule growth from the nuclear envelope. Next, we analyzed the localization of

p150glued (also known as DCTN1). In control siRNA-treated myotubes, p150glued

localized at the nuclear envelope (Figure 6E). AKAP6 depletion resulted in a reduction

of p150glued at the nuclear envelope of C2C12 myotubes (Figure 6E) suggesting that

the reduced number of myotubes with aligned nuclei is due to impaired dynein

activation. Collectively, these data demonstrate that AKAP6 is required for the function

of the NE-MTOC in skeletal muscle cells.

462   Myogenin-induced isoforms of nesprin-1 and AKAP6 are sufficient for MTOC

463   protein recruitment

To examine if myogenin specifically induces expression of isoforms that are associated

with the NE-MTOC in skeletal muscle, we performed ChIP on MYOG-mScarlet cell

lysate using an anti-myogenin antibody and assessed the abundance of isoform-

specific promoter regions of *Syne1* and *Akap6* in the precipitated DNA. For this, we

performed semi-quantitative PCR (qPCR) using primer pairs targeting myogenin

consensus binding sites (i.e. E-boxes) in regions predicted by ENCODE data

(Consortium et al., 2020) to be associated with myogenin binding (Figure 7A,C). We

found that the amount of template corresponding to the promoter region upstream of

the nesprin-1α transcript (nesprin-1α2) was 4.5-fold higher than the promoter region of

the long nesprin-1 isoform (nesprin-1-giant) (Figure 7B). Similarly, the promoter region

upstream of the AKAP6β transcript was 3-fold enriched compared to the promoter

region of the AKAP6α transcript (Figure 7D). This indicates that myogenin

preferentially binds promoter regions of *Syne1* and *Akap6* isoforms that are involved

in NE-MTOC formation.

To test if the preferential binding is associated with an increased activation of

transcription of specific isoforms, we constructed vectors with putative promoter

regions of AKAP6α, AKAP6β, nesprin-1-giant, or nesprin-1α located directly upstream

of a luciferase coding sequence and transfected them into human HEK293T cells

together with GFP or myogenin-GFP. Co-transfection of myogenin with the AKAP6β

promoter construct increased luciferase activity 10.9-fold, while co-transfection with

the AKAP6α promoter construct did not show a significant increase compared to GFP-

transfected control (Figure 7E). Similarly, we observed a 21.7-fold increase in activity

when myogenin was co-transfected with the nesprin-1α promoter construct but only a

mild 2.7-fold increase after co-transfection with the promoter construct of nesprin-1-

giant (Figure 7F). These results indicate that myogenin preferentially induces

transcription of nesprin-1α and AKAP6β.

Finally, we examined whether the myogenin-induced isoforms of nesprin-1 and

AKAP6 are sufficient to recruit MTOC proteins in the absence of myogenin. For this,

we expressed nesprin-1α-mCherry alone or together with AKAP6β-GFP in

undifferentiated, myogenin-negative myoblasts. In nesprin-1α-mCherry-transfected

cells, PCM-1 did not localize to the nuclear envelope (Figure 7G). In contrast, co-

transfection of nesprin-1α-mCherry and AKAP6β-GFP was sufficient to recruit PCM-1

to the nuclear envelope (Figure 7G). To test whether co-expression of nesprin-1α and

AKAP6β is sufficient to convert the nuclear envelope to a functional MTOC, we

performed microtubule regrowth experiments (Figure 7 – supplemental figure 1).

Microtubules regrew from the centrosome and in the cytoplasm, but significant

regrowth from the nuclear envelope was not observed.

Taken together, our results demonstrate that myogenin specifically induces

transcription of isoforms which are 1) required for the NE-MTOC in differentiated

skeletal muscle cells and 2) sufficient to recruit MTOC proteins to the nuclear envelope

in cells with a centrosomal MTOC.

Discussion

We conclude that the myogenic transcription factor myogenin controls NE-MTOC formation and that myogenin-induced AKAP6β expression is one of the central molecular components required for NE-MTOC formation (Figure 8). This conclusion is supported by our findings that 1) myogenin is required for the localization of the MTOC proteins PCM-1, PCNT and AKAP9 to the nuclear envelope in differentiating muscle cells, 2) ectopic myogenin expression is sufficient to promote the formation of an NE-MTOC in fibroblasts, and 3) the myogenin-induced isoforms AKAP6β and nesprin-1α are required and sufficient for the recruitment of MTOC proteins to the nuclear envelope.

Formation of ncMTOCs has been associated with cellular differentiation (Sanchez & Feldman, 2017), but a direct regulation of ncMTOC formation by particular differentiation pathways in vertebrate cells has remained elusive. Our results demonstrate that myogenin, which is an essential regulator of terminal differentiation, drives NE-MTOC formation in mammalian cells. This shows that terminal differentiation factors can control in vertebrates the switch of dominant MTOC localization from the centrosome to non-centrosomal sites. Notably, MTOC formation at the nuclear envelope occurs also in cells that lack myogenin or cell-type specific transcriptional master regulators of terminal differentiation, such as cardiomyocytes or osteoclasts (Kronebusch & Singer, 1987; Mulari et al., 2003; Zebrowski et al., 2015). A recent study from our lab demonstrated that AKAP6β orchestrates the assembly of the NE-MTOC in cardiomyocytes and osteoclasts (Vergarajauregui et al., 2020), validating our findings in skeletal muscle. Therefore, it would be important in future studies to identify transcription factors that bind to AKAP6β and nesprin-1α promoters and regulate the switch from centrosomal to NE-MTOC in these cell types. For this purpose, promising cardiomyocyte and osteoclast "transdifferentiation" tools using multiple transcription

factors are available (Chang, Cho, Kim, & Kim, 2019; Ieda et al., 2010; Klose, Gossen,

& Stamm, 2019; Yamamoto et al., 2015). Additionally, it appears important to

determine in future experiments the mechanisms underlying the preferential binding of

myogenin to the isoform-specific promoters, considering the abundance of myogenin

binding sites (E-boxes) throughout the genome. Notably, isoform upregulation or

switching might be a general mechanism that contributes to MTOC regulation during

differentiation. This assumption is supported by the recent identification of a spermatid-

specific isoform of centrosomin, the *Drosophila* orthologue of CDK5RAP2, which can

induce ncMTOC formation at mitochondria (J. V. Chen, Buchwalter, Kao, & Megraw,

2017). Moreover, a non-centrosomal isoform of ninein contributes to neuronal

differentiation (X. Zhang et al., 2016) and a shorter isoform of PCNT is upregulated in

differentiating cardiomyocytes (Zebrowski et al., 2015).

Both MyoD and myogenin induced expression of nesprin-1α and AKAP6 as well

as the nuclear envelope localization of PCM-1. The depletion experiments in

differentiating C2C12 cells and in MyoD-transfected fibroblasts indicate that myogenin

is required for the localization of MTOC proteins to the nuclear envelope via AKAP6

expression. While myogenin can induce nesprin-1α expression in fibroblasts, it is

dispensable for nesprin-1α upregulation during C2C12 differentiation. Together, these

findings suggest a model of NE-MTOC formation during C2C12 differentiation in which

MyoD induces nesprin-1α as well as myogenin, which is then required to induce

AKAP6 expression allowing recruitment of MTOC proteins to the nuclear envelope.

The centrosomal MTOC is attenuated in differentiated muscle cells (Becker,

Leone, & Engel, 2020). Consistently, we found that ectopic myogenin expression in

fibroblasts resulted in reduced MTOC protein levels at centrosomes as well as

attenuated centrosomal microtubule regrowth. Depletion of nesprin-1α or AKAP6 in

this system – abolishing the localization of MTOC proteins to the nuclear envelope –

did not result in obvious reactivation of the centrosomal MTOC, indicating that

centrosome attenuation is not due to competition with the NE-MTOC. Furthermore,

differentiating C2C12 cells in which myogenin was depleted maintained PCM-1 in a

centriolar satellite-like pattern, albeit this pattern was less focused. Taken together,

these results suggest that myogenin attenuates the centrosome during muscle

differentiation independently of inducing NE-MTOC formation.

While site-specific anchor proteins for ncMTOCs, such as nesprin-1α, have been

identified (Espigat-Georger et al., 2016; Gimpel et al., 2017; Lechler & Fuchs, 2007;

Meng, Mushika, Ichii, & Takeichi, 2008), it remained unclear how MTOC proteins are

connected to these site-specific anchors. Previously, it has been reported that

overexpression of nesprin-1α in undifferentiated myoblasts is sufficient to recruit an

ectopically expressed centrosomal targeting domain of PCNT and AKAP9 (i.e. the

PACT domain) as well as minor amounts of endogenous PCM-1 to the nuclear

envelope in a subset of transfected cells (Espigat-Georger et al., 2016; Gimpel et al.,

2017). Here, we show that myogenin induced the expression of the large scaffold

protein AKAP6, which we prove to be essential for NE-MTOC formation and

maintenance, most likely by connecting MTOC proteins to nesprin-1α. Myogenin

preferentially binds and activates the putative promoters of AKAP6β and nesprin-1α

isoforms, which are known to be upregulated in differentiated muscle cells (Kapiloff et

al., 1999; Michel et al., 2005; Randles et al., 2010). Importantly, ectopic co-expression

of AKAP6β and nesprin-1α, but not nesprin-1α alone, was sufficient to recruit

endogenous MTOC proteins in the absence of myogenin.

Our results indicate an important role of AKAP6-dependent NE-MTOC function in

nucleus positioning in skeletal myotubes *in vitro*. While nucleus positioning is a

frequent feature of human myopathies (Jungbluth and Gautel, 2014; Madej-Pilarczyk

and Kochanski, 2016), the specific role of the NE-MTOC in these pathologies remains

largely elusive. Yet, mutations in the nesprin-1 gene *SYNE1* have been described in

EDMD patients, pointing towards a potential role of NE-MTOC defects in this pathology

(Zhang et al., 2007). Fully elucidating the mechanisms of NE-MTOC formation *in vivo*

and the specific contributions of nuclear envelope-originated microtubules to the

different aspects of myonuclear positioning will clarify if and how NE-MTOC defects

contribute to human myopathies. In addition to nucleus positioning, microtubules help

to maintain nuclear architecture in myotubes (Wang, Reuveny, & Volk, 2015) and

cardiomyocytes (Heffler et al., 2020) and also regulate contractility (C. Y. Chen et al.,

2018). While perinuclear microtubules have been identified to be specifically important

for nuclear architecture, the significance of the NE-MTOC in this context remains

unclear. Precise targeting of the NE-MTOC via AKAP6 appears a promising strategy

to elucidate the role of nuclear envelope-generated microtubules in maintaining

nuclear architecture as well as regulating contractility. Finally, amplified and/or

hyperactive centrosomes act as oncogene-like factors (Arnandis et al., 2018; Godinho

& Pellman, 2014; Godinho et al., 2014; Levine et al., 2017; LoMastro & Holland, 2019).

Therefore, it is important to better understand mechanisms that control MTOC activity.

Notably, ectopic expression of myogenin in fibroblasts did not only induce NE-MTOC

formation but also attenuated the centrosomal MTOC. In addition, myogenin

expression in fibroblast was only inducing in a subset of cells an NE-MTOC. Thus, our

cellular systems combined with our bioinformatics approach provides new

opportunities to tackle future key questions of MTOC formation such as: What factors

increase or decrease efficiency of NE-MTOC induction? What post-transcriptional

processes contribute to NE-MTOC establishment? How is centrosome attenuation

achieved?

In summary, our findings suggest that key differentiation factors can control the

switch from centrosomal MTOC to ncMTOC and cell-type specific adaptor proteins are

required to connect MTOC proteins to anchor proteins at non-centrosomal sites.

Conclusively, our study 1) contributes to a better understanding of the striated muscle

NE-MTOC, 2) presents a mechanistic framework that may be applicable to ncMTOC

formation in other cell types and tissues, and 3) provides a cellular system to elucidate

further molecular mechanisms inducing the switch from centrosomal to ncMTOCs.

Material and Methods

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Felix Engel (felix.engel@uk-erlangen.de).

Cell lines, differentiation and doxycycline stimulation

The following cell lines have been used in the present study:

Cell Lines	Source	Catalogue number/ Identifier
Mouse: C2C12 myoblast cell line	ATCC	Cat# CRL-1772, RRID: CVCL_0188
Mouse: NIH3T3 fibroblast cell line	ATCC	Cat# CRL-1658, RRID:CVCL_0594
Human: HEK293T cell line	ATCC	Cat# PTA-4488, RRID:CVCL_0045
Human: myoblasts healthy donor	(Holt et al., 2016; Mamchaoui et al., 2011)	N/A
Human: myoblasts carrying SYNE1 mutation	(Holt et al., 2016; Mamchaoui et al., 2011)	N/A

Cell types were authenticated as follows: human myoblasts and C2C12, myotube formation; NIH3T3, morphology; HEK293, efficiency in protein production. Note, identity of NIH3T3 and HEK293T cells is not essential for this study. All cell lines were mycoplasma-free (tested every 12 months).

Reagents used for cell culture are listed in the table below. All cells used in this study were cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO_2. Growth medium for C2C12, NIH3T3, Hela and Hct116 consisted of high glucose DMEM supplemented with GlutaMAX containing 10% FBS, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. C2C12 cells were maintained at 50% confluence to preserve differentiation capacity. For differentiation, cells were cultured to 90%

confluence and subsequently changed to differentiation medium (high glucose DMEM with GlutaMAX containing 0.5% FBS and Insulin, Transferrin, Selenium, Sodium Pyruvate Solution (1:1000 of 100x ITS-A).

Human myoblasts from a healthy control or from a congenital muscular dystrophy patient carrying a homozygous nonsense mutation within the *SYNE1* gene (nucleotide 23560 G>T) were immortalized by Kamel Mamchaoui and Vincent Mouly (Center for Research in Myology, Paris, France) as previously described via transduction with retrovirus vectors expressing hTERT and Cdk4 (Holt et al., 2016; Mamchaoui et al., 2011). Growth medium consisted of DMEM supplemented with GlutaMAX and DMEM 199 in a 4:1 ratio containing 20% FBS, 25 µg/ml bovine fetuin, 5 ng/ml recombinant human EGF, 0.5 mg/ml recombinant human FGF-basic, 5 µg/ml recombinant insulin, 0.2 µg/ml dexamethasone, and 50 µg/ml gentamicin (Gimpel et al., 2017). To induce differentiation, immortalized myoblasts were grown to ~90% confluence and then changed to Skeletal Muscle Differentiation Medium (PromoCell) containing 50 µg/ml gentamicin. For immunofluorescence analysis of immortalized myoblasts, glass coverslips were coated with Matrigel diluted 1:100 in DMEM.

Cell culture reagent	Source	Catalogue number/ Identifier
0.25% Trypsin/EDTA solution	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# T4049
Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM), high glucose, GlutaMAX	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# 61965059
DMEM 199 medium	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# 41150
Skeletal Muscle Differentiation Medium	PromoCell	Cat# C-23061
Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS)	biowest	Cat# S1810
Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS), tetracycline free	PAN-Biotech	Cat# P30-3602
Doxycycline hydrochloride	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# D3447
Horse Serum	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# 16050122
Sodium pyruvate solution	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# S8636

Penicillin-Streptomycin	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# 15140122
Gentamicin	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# 15750060
Insulin-Transferrin-Selenium-Sodium Pyruvate (ITS-A) (100X)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# 51300044
Bovine fetuin	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# 10344026
EGF Recombinant Human Protein	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# PHG0311
FGF-Basic (AA 10-155) Recombinant Human Protein	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# PHG0026
Insulin, Human Recombinant	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# 91077C
Dexamethasone	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# D4902
Matrigel® hESC-Qualified Matrix, LDEV-free	Corning	Cat# 356277
Fibronectin bovine plasma	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# F1141

Myotube enrichment

C2C12 cells were differentiated in 6-well plates or 10 cm dishes for 4-5 days as

described above. To preferentially detach myotubes, cells were washed two times with

PBS and treated with pre-warmed 0.0125% Trypsin/EDTA solution (0.25%

Trypsin/EDTA stock diluted in PBS) for ~2 min at room temperature. Detachment of

myotubes was constantly monitored by phase contrast microscopy. After sufficient

myotube detachment was observed, Trypsin/EDTA solution was carefully aspirated

and a myotube-enriched suspension was collected by rinsing the plates five times with

normal growth medium. Enriched myotubes were then plated on glass coverslips

coated with 25 µg/ml fibronectin in PBS for >45 min at 37°C. After 24 h incubation at

37°C myotube cultures were subjected to siRNA treatment and/or microtubule

regrowth assays.

MRF plasmids construction

Primers used to generate fragments are listed in the table below. *Myod* and *Myog* cDNA were obtained by reverse transcription PCR using RNA from C2C12 cells differentiated for 2 days. The cDNAs were then cloned into the peGFP-N1 backbone by Cold Fusion Cloning (System Biosciences, Cat# MC010B-1) following the manufacturer's instruction. Positive clones were identified by restriction digest and Sanger sequencing.

Oligonucleotides	Sequence
Primer: mouse MyoD cold fusion cloning forward	gggatccaccggtcgccaccatggagcttctatcgccgcc
Primer: mouse MyoD cold fusion cloning reverse	tcctcgcccttgctcaccataagcacctgataaatcgcat
Primer: mouse myogenin cold fusion cloning forward	gggatccaccggtcgccaccatggagctgtatgagacatc
Primer: mouse myogenin cold fusion cloning reverse	tcctcgcccttgctcaccatgttgggcatggtttcgtctg

Luciferase plasmids construction

Candidate regulatory elements associated with myogenin binding in *Syne1* and *Akap6* genes were identified from ENCODE data accessed through the SCREEN web interface (https://screen.wenglab.org/). Potential promoter regions were amplified from genomic DNA obtained from NIH3T3 cells using the primers listed in the table below. After amplification, promoter fragments were cloned in front of the *Renilla* luciferase ORF (*hRluc*) into the psiCHECK-2 vector (Promega, Cat# C8021; GenBank Accession Number AY535007) using NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix (New England Biolabs, Cat# E2621L) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Oligonucleotides	Sequence
Primer: psiCHECK™-2 assembly cloning forward	gctagccaccatggcttc
Primer: psiCHECK™-2 assembly cloning reverse	gtcgagccatgtgagcaaaag
Primer: AKAP6α promoter fragment assembly cloning forward	ttttgctcacatggctcgacgcaaaccatgattattatctaagtc

Primer: AKAP6α promoter fragment assembly cloning forward	tggaagccatggtggctagcaggagatgccttgtttgac
Primer: AKAP6β promoter fragment assembly cloning forward	ttttgctcacatggctcgactacagagaattaatgcttagaaac
Primer: AKAP6β promoter fragment assembly cloning reverse	tggaagccatggtggctagcgcttgcagtgaactgtaatg
Primer: Syne1-giant promoter fragment assembly cloning forward	ttttgctcacatggctcgacactctgtctctttcaacccaaacatc atgaacc
Primer: Syne1-giant promoter fragment assembly cloning reverse	tggaagccatggtggctagcggctgtgccctccctccc
Primer: Syne1-α promoter fragment assembly cloning forward	ttttgctcacatggctcgacgtgtcagattctgactcattg
Primer: Syne1-α promoter fragment assembly cloning reverse	tggaagccatggtggctagctggtcatgcttctaaataaaac

Plasmid transfections

Plasmid transfection into NIH3T3 cells was carried out with 500 ng DNA per well of a

24-well plate using 1 µl Lipofectamine LTX (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# 15338100)

according to the manufacturer's instructions. Transfection complexes were formed by

incubating DNA with Lipofectamine LTX in Opti-MEM for 20 min at room temperature.

For transfection of luciferase constructs in HEK293T cells, 250 ng luciferase vector

and 250 ng myogenin-eGFP or eGFP control plasmid were used per well of a 24-well

plate. Transfection complexes were assembled by incubating DNA with PEI MAX

(Polysciences, Cat# 24765-1) in a 1:3 ratio in Opti-MEM for 20 min at room

temperature.

siRNA transfections

Cells were transfected using 2 µl Lipofectamine RNAiMAX reagent (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, Cat# 13778150) and 40 nM final siRNA concentration per well of a 24-well

plate. Transfection complexes were formed by incubating siRNA with RNAiMAX in

Opti-MEM for 20 min at room temperature. C2C12 cells were transfected 24 h prior to

induction of differentiation (~50% confluence) and enriched C2C12 myotubes were

transfected 24 h after re-plating. NIH3T3 were transfected with siRNA 48 h after

plasmid transfection. The following siRNAs have been used in this study:

Oligonucleotides and sequences	Source	Catalogue number
siRNA targeting sequence: mouse Myogenin AAUAAAGACUGGUUGCUAUCAAAAA	Integrated DNA technologies	Cat# mm.Ri.Myog.13.1
siRNA targeting sequence: mouse Akap6 GGACUACAUCAAGAACGAATT	Thermo Fischer Scientific	Cat# 4390771 s108732
Negative control (si-ctrl) siRNA	Integrated DNA technologies	Cat# 51-01-14-04

Luciferase assay

Luciferase activity was measured in Centro XS^3 LB 960 96-well plate reader

luminometer (BertholdTech, #50-6860) using the Dual-Luciferase® Reporter Assay

System (Promega, #E1910) according to the manufacturer's instructions. In brief,

HEK293T cells were harvested 48h after transfection in passive lysis buffer and stored

at -80°C until measurement. Activities of firefly luciferase (*hluc+*, internal control) and

Renilla luciferase (promoter activation) were measured sequentially for each sample.

Values of *Renilla* luciferase activity were normalized to those of firefly luciferase for

each measurement.

Production of lentiviral vectors

712 The lentiviral packaging plasmid psPAX2 and the VSV-G envelope plasmid pMD2.G

713 were gifts from Didier Trono (psPAX2: Addgene plasmid #12260;

714 http://n2t.net/addgene:12260; RRID: Addgene_12260; pMD2.G: Addgene plasmid

715 #12259; http://n2t.net/addgene:12259; RRID: Addgene_12259). The transfer plasmids

716 pLenti CMVtight Blast DEST (w762-1) and pLenti CMV rtTA3 Hygro (w785-1) used for

creating tetracycline-inducible cell lines were gifts from Eric Campeau (w762-1: Addgene plasmid #26434; http://n2t.net/addgene:26434; RRID: Addgene_26434; w785-1: Addgene plasmid #26730; http://n2t.net/addgene:26730; RRID: Addgene_26730). The coding sequences of human myogenin (gift from Matthew Alexander & Louis Kunkel (Addgene plasmid #78341 ; http://n2t.net/addgene:78341; RRID: Addgene_78341) and mScarlet (Bindels et al., 2017) (gift from Dorus Gadella (Addgene plasmid #85042; http://n2t.net/addgene:85042; RRID: Addgene_85042) were cloned into w762-1 by NEBuilder® HiFi DNA Assembly according to the manufacturer's instructions using the primers indicated in the table below.

To produce lentiviral vectors, psPAX2, pMD2.G, and the desired transfer plasmid were transfected in a 1:1:2 ratio into HEK293T cells using PEI MAX. Supernatant containing lentiviral vectors was harvested 72h after transfection, filtered through a 0.45 µm filter and aliquots were snap frozen.

Oligonucleotides	Sequence
Primer: human myogenin cloning into pLenti CMVtight Blast DEST forward	agccgccaccATGGAGCTGTATGAGACATC
Primer: human myogenin cloning into pLenti CMVtight Blast DEST reverse	ctgccctctccactgcccatGTTGGGCATGGTTTCATC
Primer: mScarlet cloning into pLenti CMVtight Blast DEST forward	tcctggcccaATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAG
Primer: mScarlet cloning into pLenti CMVtight Blast DEST reverse	ggccgccactgtgctggataTCACTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATGC

Generation of stable cell lines

Lentiviral vector aliquots were rapidly thawed at 37°C and diluted in tetracycline-free growth medium containing 10 µg/ml Polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# 107689). Cells were transduced overnight in 6-well plates using 1 ml of diluted lentiviral vector. The following morning, medium was refreshed and cells were selected for transgene

integration 72h after transduction. We first generated cells expressing a reverse tetracycline activator (rtTA3), which were subsequently transduced with lentiviral vectors carrying the desired transgene to express mScarlet or MYOG-2A-mScarlet under control of a tetracycline responsive element (TRE). After selection, Tet-ON cell lines were used for 20 passages.

RT-PCR

RNA was isolated using a column-based RNA purification kit. For production of cDNA, 1 µg of RNA was reverse transcribed using Oligo (dT) 12-18mer primers and M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase (Sigma-Aldrich #M1302) according to the manufacturer's instructions. For PCR, ~20 ng of cDNA were used with Redtaq master mix (Genaxxon #M3029) and products were analyzed using agarose gel electrophoresis.

Primers	Sequence
mAkap6β forward	TCTAAAGCAGTTAGGCCCACAG
mAkap6β reverse	CTTCGGATGAGCTCGGGAAAT
nesprin-1α forward	GAAGGACTGAGCCTTTCGCTC
nesprin-1α reverse	GGGCTTGGCCAACTCTGAC
Gapdh forward	CAGAAGACTGTGGATGGCCC
Gapdh reverse	AGTGTAGCCCAGGATGCCCT

Immunoprecipitation

Cells were harvested in lysis buffer containing 1% NP-40, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 5 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7) and EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (cOmplete™, Roche # 11873580001). After 30 min incubation on ice, samples were sonicated and lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 16000xg for 16 min at 4°C. For immunoprecipitation, 0.5 µg anti-AKAP6 antibody/mg of total protein were added to the lysate and incubated overnight at 4°C on a rotor. Subsequently, antibody complexes

were purified by incubation of lysate with Protein A Sepharose® beads (Merck, GE17-5138-01) for 3h rotating at 4°C. Beads were washed three times with cold lysis buffer for 5 min and proteins were eluted from beads by incubation in 2x NuPAGE LDS sample buffer () at 95°C for 5 min. Lysates and immunoprecipitated samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE (4-12% NuPAGE Novex Bis-Tris gels) under reducing conditions and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane by wet transfer at 350 mA and <60 V for 1.5 h in 1x transfer buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 192 mM glycine, 0.1% SDS, 10% methanol). The membrane was then blocked with 5% BSA in TBS-T (1×TBS, 0.05% Tween-20) and incubated with the following antibodies:

Antibodies and dilutions	Source	Catalogue number/ Identifier
rabbit polyclonal anti-PCM1 (H-262) dilution: 1:500	Santa Cruz	Cat# sc-67204, RRID:AB_2139591
rabbit polyclonal anti-Akap6 dilution: 1:2000	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# HPA048741, RRID:AB_2680506
sheep polyclonal anti-rabbit IgG HRP-linked	GE Healthcare	NA934-1ML, RRID:AB_2722659

ChIP-qPCR

Protein and DNA were cross-linked by fixing cells for 10 min at 37°C using 1% formaldehyde in culture medium. Cross-linking was quenched by adding 125 mM glycine and gently agitating the cells for 5 min at room temperature. Then, cells were harvested in ice-cold PBS, centrifuged at 1000xG for 5 min at 4°C, and the resulting pellet was lysed in ChIP lysis buffer (1% SDS, 10 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.1) for 30 min on ice. After lysis, samples were sonicated for 30 cycles consisting of 20s sonication and 30s pause inside an ice bath. Sonicated samples were centrifuged at 4°C for 30 min at 10000xG. A small aliquot of the supernatant was saved as input control and the remaining supernatant was subjected to immunoprecipitation. Samples were diluted 1:5 in RIPA buffer and incubated overnight at 4°C with 1 µg/ml myogenin

antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, #sc-12732 X) or IgG1 isotype control (Thermo Fisher, # 16471482). Protein G agarose beads (Roche #11719416001) pre-blocked with salmon sperm (Thermo Fisher, # 15632011) were used to precipitate antibody complexes from diluted samples. Beads were sequentially washed at 4°C with low salt buffer (0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl), high salt buffer (0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl), LiCl buffer (0.25 M LiCl, 1% NP-40, 1% Sodium Deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0), and TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA). Antibody complexes were eluted by incubating beads for 15 min at 30°C in elution buffer (1% SDS, 100 mM NaHCO$_3$). Eluates were digested with proteinase K and RNAse A and DNA fragments were purified using a PCR purification kit (Macherey-Nagel, #740609).

ChIP-primers	Sequence
Akap6 β E box forward	GTTTCCTTGTGCAGCAGAGC
Akap6 β E box reverse	TGGTCTGTTGGTGTGGTGTT
Akap6 α E box forward	CCAGATTTTAACCCCAGAAG
Akap6 α E box reverse	AGTGCACAGACTAATAATCG
Syne1 α E box forward	TGCTCTTGGTGGACAAATGGT
Syne1 α E box reverse	TCTTTTGAGCCGTAACCTGCT
Syne1 giant E box forward	CGCTAGCGAACGCCTCTTC
Syne1 giant E box reverse	GGAGGGACAGATCCTTTAGGC
Syne 1 intron forward	TACATTTGCCTCGTGGCTGT
Syne 1 intron reverse	TGTGCTTTAGCCTGGAGTGG
Akap6 intron forward	CCTGTCCTTTCTGCGTCGAT
Akap6 intron reverse	GTAACCCGCCAGAGAAACG
Desmin promoter forward	GTCTTCTGTCCTCTTGGGGCTGTCCA
Desmin promoter reverse	TGGAGTGGATGTGAAGATGGGTGAC

Immunofluorescence and microscopy

Antibodies used in this study are listed in the table below. Note that the MANNES1E

antibody detects different nesprin-1 isoforms (Holt et al., 2016; Randles et al., 2010).

However, previous studies have shown that only the nesprin-1α isoform is up-regulated

during muscle differentiation (Espigat-Georger et al., 2016; Gimpel et al., 2017; Holt et

al., 2016). Prior to fixation, cells were rinsed once with PBS. Cells were fixed either

with pre-chilled methanol at -20°C for 3 min or with 4% formaldehyde/PBS for 10 min

at room temperature. Formaldehyde-fixed cells were permeabilized with 0.5% TritonX-

100/PBS. Prior to antibody staining, samples were blocked for at least 20 min using

5% BSA in 0.2% Tween-20 in PBS. Primary antibodies were diluted in blocking reagent

and incubated with the sample for 90 min at room temperature or overnight at 4°C.

After removal of primary antibody solution and three 5 min washes with 0.1%

NP40/PBS, samples were incubated for 60 min with fluorophore-coupled secondary

antibodies. DNA was visualized with 0.5 µg/ml DAPI (4′,6′-diamidino-2-phenylindole)

in 0.1% NP40/PBS. After DAPI staining cover slips were rinsed once with Millipore-

filtered water and then mounted using Fluoromount-G mounting medium. Analysis,

image acquisition and high-resolution microscopy were done using a LSM800 confocal

laser scanning microscope equipped with an Airyscan detector and the ZEISS Blue

software (Carl Zeiss AG, RRID: SCR_013672) with Airyscan image processing.

Antibodies and dilutions	Source	Catalogue number/ Identifier
rabbit polyclonal anti-PCM1 (H-262) dilution: 1:200	Santa Cruz	Cat# sc-67204, RRID:AB_2139591
mouse monoclonal anti-PCM1 (G-6) dilution: 1:200	Santa Cruz	Cat# sc-398365
rabbit polyclonal anti-Akap6 dilution: 1:1000	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# HPA048741, RRID:AB_2680506
mouse monoclonal anti-nesprin-1 (MANNES1E) dilution: 1:50	G. Morris (Randles et al., 2010)	N/A

mouse monoclonal anti-Myogenin (F5D) dilution 1:500	Santa Cruz	Cat# sc-12732, RRID:AB_627980
mouse monoclonal anti-MyoD1 dilution: 1:500	Millipore	Cat# MAB3878, RRID:AB_2251119
rat anti-α-tubulin dilution: 1:500	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# T9026, RRID:AB_477593
goat polyclonal anti-Troponin I dilution: 1:500	Abcam	Cat# ab56357, RRID:AB_880622
mouse monoclonal anti-γ-tubulin dilution: 1:100	Santa Cruz	Cat# sc-51715, RRID:AB_630410
rabbit polyclonal anti-Akap9 dilution: 1:200	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# HPA026109, RRID:AB_1844688
rabbit polyclonal anti-Pericentrin dilution: 1:1000	BioLegend	Cat# PRB-432C, RRID:AB_291635
Donkey anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488 dilution: 1:500	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# A21206
Donkey anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 594 dilution: 1:500	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# A21207
Donkey anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 647 dilution: 1:500	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# A31573
Donkey anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488 dilution: 1:500	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# A21202
Donkey anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 594 dilution: 1:500	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# A21203
Donkey anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 647 dilution: 1:500	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# A31571

Donkey anti-Goat IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 647 dilution: 1:500	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# A21447
Donkey anti-Rat IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 594 dilution: 1:500	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# A21209

Image analysis

All image analyses were carried out using Fiji (http://fiji.sc, RRID: SCR_002285). For

quantification of nuclear envelope coverage, confocal images were transformed into

binary images by setting a manual intensity threshold. Regions of interests (ROI) were

obtained by detecting nuclei outline via DAPI staining and subsequent transformation

of these outlines into 1 µm-wide bands. Coverage was quantified as the percentage of

positive pixels inside bands in the binary images. ROIs for measuring intensities at

centrosomes were generated by detecting local signal maxima in γ-tubulin channels

and subsequent generation of circular ROIs with 1 µm diameter using the maxima as

centers.

To measure nuclear envelope intensity profiles for nesprin-1α and AKAP6, we first

created ROIs by manually detected nuclear outlines using DAPI staining. We then

decreased the diameter of these ROIs by 1 µm and used the newly created ROIS as

starting points for linear intensity profiles perpendicular to the nuclear outlines.

Microtubule regrowth assay

C2C12 cells were treated with 5 µM nocodazole (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# M1404) in

culture media for 3 h at 37°C to depolymerize microtubules. To observe microtubule

regrowth, nocodazole-containing medium was removed, cells were rinsed three times

with cold medium and either fixed (0 min time point) with 4% formaldehyde in PBS for

10 min or immediately transferred to 37°C pre-warmed culture media for the desired

length of time followed by formaldehyde fixation. Myotubes were extracted with 1%

Triton X-100 in PHEM buffer (60 mM PIPES, 25 mM HEPES, 10 mM EGTA, 2 mM

MgCl2, pH 6.9) for 30 s at room temperature prior to fixation.

Bioinformatics analysis

Data was analyzed with R (http://www.r-project.org/; RRID:SCR_001905) and

Bioconductor (http://www.bioconductor.org/, RRID: SCR_006442). Myogenin ChIP-

Seq data (GEO accession number: GSE36024) produced within the ENCODE project

(Consortium, 2012; Consortium et al., 2020; Yue et al., 2014) were obtained via the

UCSC Genome Browser at https://genome.ucsc.edu/index.html (Kent et al., 2002;

Rosenbloom et al., 2013). NarrowPeak tracks relative to ChIP-Seq data from four

different time points were considered: undifferentiated C2C12 myoblasts as well as

C2C12 cultures differentiated for 24 h, 60 h, or 7 days. To identify myogenin promoter

binding, peaks were annotated to the Ensembl release 67 mouse genome relying on

Bioconductor packages biomaRt v. 2.30.0 (Durinck, Spellman, Birney, & Huber, 2009)

and ChIPpeakAnno v. 3.8.9 (Zhu et al., 2010). Genes were considered as myogenin

targets if a peak (p-value $< 10^{-5}$) was localized at a maximum distance of 1 kb from the

annotated transcriptional start site.

Results of differential expression analysis for RNA-Seq data from C2C12

differentiation (GEO accession number: GSE84158) were obtained from the Gene

Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository (Doynova et al., 2017). Three sample types

were analyzed: C2C12 myoblasts (C1), C2C12 cultures differentiated for 3 days

containing myoblasts as well as myotubes (C2), and C2C12 cultures differentiated for

7 days and treated with AraC resulting in depletion of proliferating myoblasts (C3).

Genes were considered as up-regulated if they exhibited a positive fold change

(p-value < 0.05) from C1 to C2 as well as from C1 to C3.

Gene expression microarray data for rat heart development were obtained as

described previously using the Affymetrix GeneChip RAT 230 Expression Set (Patra

et al., 2011). Genes were considered up-regulated if: 1) the corresponding probe set

was identified as differentially expressed on the basis of a procedure that accounts for

the total area under the profile compared to a constant profile (Di Camillo, Toffolo, Nair,

Greenlund, & Cobelli, 2007), and 2) the difference between the maximum expression

value over time and the initial one was greater than the difference between the initial

value and the minimum value.

For Gene Ontology analysis, annotated cellular component terms for each of the

potential myogenin targets were retrieved using the search tool at

http://geneontology.org/ (Ashburner et al., 2000; The Gene Ontology, 2017). Potential

targets annotated with the terms "nuclear membrane" and "nuclear envelope" were

considered.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Quantification of nesprin-1α$^+$ nuclei

Low levels of nesprin-1 expression can be detected at nuclei of non-differentiated

muscle cells using MANNES1E antibody. Prior to scoring of nesprin-1α$^+$ nuclei, we

therefore set a threshold for nesprin-1 signal in images of differentiated C2C12 cells

by measuring and subtracting maximal nesprin-1 signal intensity in undifferentiated

C2C12 cultures.

Statistical analysis

As preliminary experiments indicated a large effect size of siRNA treatments and

ectopic MRF expression, three biological replicates were performed per experiment

(i.e. n = 3). Biological replicate means that cells were freshly plated, treated, fixed and

stained, and then analyzed. For each biological replicate, two technical replicates were

performed in the sense that two individual wells were processed at the same time.

When analyzed, the two technical replicates were scored as one sample.

For quantification in C2C12 cells, >500 nuclei were analyzed per condition and

biological replicate. For MRF-GFP experiments, >50 GFP$^+$ cells were analyzed per

condition and biological replicate. For nuclear coverage and intensity quantifications of

mScarlet and MYOG-mScarlet cells, >100 nuclei or centrosomes were analyzed per

condition and pooled from three biological replicates to display distribution in violin

plots.

Statistical analysis was carried out using GraphPad Prism 5.02 or Prism 8.2.1

(La Jolla, USA). Differences between groups were considered statistically significant

when the p-value ≤ 0.05. The 95% confidence interval (CI) for the differences between

compared groups are reported in the figure legends. Statistical significance of

differences between groups was tested using the following:

Figures 1F, 1H, 1S1B-C, 2S2A-C, 4S1A-B, 5B, 5S3A-B, 7E: One-way ANOVA

followed by Bonferroni's Post-Hoc test to compare selected pairs of groups.

Figures 2B, 6C, 7B, 7D, 7F: Student's t-test together with an F-test to assess equality

of variances.

Figures 2D, 2F, 2H, 2M, 3B, 3D-E, 5D-F, 5S2A-B: Kolmogorov-Smirnov test to

compare the cumulative distribution of groups.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the Platform for Immortalization of Human Cells at the Institute de Myologie, Paris for the generation and distribution of immortalized human myoblasts. We thank Glenn E. Morris for providing us with nesprin-1 antibody (MANNES1E). We thank the ENCODE consortium, the laboratory of Barbara Wold and the Millard and Muriel Jacobs Genetics and Genomics Laboratory at the California Institute of Technology for providing myogenin ChIP-Seq data. We thank Christina Warnecke for support with ChIP experiments, Marc Stemmler, Eva Bauer, and Thomas Brabletz for their help with luciferase assays and Anna K. Großkopf and Alexander S. Hahn for experimental support. We acknowledge Les Laboratoires Servier for providing illustrations in the Servier Medical Art collection under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/3.0/). We thank Anna K. Großkopf, Manfred Frasch, Hanh Nguyen, Thomas U. Mayer, Rosa M. Puertollano, Payel Das, Marina Leone, Gentian Musa, and Salvador Cazorla-Vazquez for critical reading of the manuscript and all members of the Engel lab for critical discussions.

This work was supported by the Interdisciplinary Centre for Clinical Research Erlangen (IZKF project J42 to F.F.), the Emerging Fields Initiative Cell "Cycle in Disease and Regeneration" (CYDER to F.B.E.) and an ELAN Program Grant (ELAN-16-01-04-1-Vergarajauregui to S.V.) from the Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, by the German Research Foundation (DFG, INST 410/91-1 FUGG and EN 453/12-1 to F.B.E.), and by the Research Foundation Medicine at the University Clinic Erlangen, Germany.

Declaration of Interests

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Figures

Figure 1. Myogenin is required for MTOC protein localization to the nuclear envelope.

Figure 2. Myogenin expression is sufficient to induce NE-MTOC formation in non-muscle cells.

Figure 3. Myogenin expression attenuates the centrosomal MTOC.

Figure 4. The nesprin-1α interaction partner AKAP6 is a potential mediator of myogenin-induced NE-MTOC formation.

Figure 5. AKAP6 is required for the nuclear envelope localization of MTOC proteins.

Figure 6. AKAP6 is required for correct nuclear positioning in myotubes.

Figure 7. Myogenin preferentially induces MTOC-associated isoforms of *Syne1* and *Akap6.*

Figure 8. Schematic overview of the role of myogenin and AKAP6 in NE-MTOC formation.

Figure supplements

Figure 1 – figure supplement 1. Intermediate stages of MTOC protein localization to the nuclear envelope.

Figure 1 – figure supplement 2. PCM-1 exhibits a centrosomal localization pattern at nesprin-1[+] nuclei in myogenin-depleted C2C12 cells.

Figure 2 – figure supplement 1. Myogenin is required for MyoD-induced nuclear envelope recruitment of PCM-1.

Figure 2 – figure supplement 2. Myogenin targets are specifically precipitated in induced MYOG-mScarlet cells.

Figure 2 – figure supplement 3. Microtubule depolymerization in mScarlet and MYOG-mScarlet cells.

Figure 4 – figure supplement 1. The myogenin antibody specifically precipitates the Akap6β promoter region in induced MYOG-mScarlet cells.

Figure 4 – figure supplement 2. Quantification of nesprin-1α and AKAP6 signal at the nuclear envelope.

Figure 5 – figure supplement 1. AKAP6 depletion does not affect nesprin-1α.

Figure 5 – figure supplement 2. AKAP6 or nesprin-1α depletion does not prevent centrosome attenuation in MYOG-expressing cells.

Figure 5 – figure supplement 3. MyoD induces nesprin-1α and AKAP6.

Figure 6 – figure supplement 1. AKAP6 depletion does not affect steady state microtubule organization but reduces detyrosinated microtubules.

Figure 7 – figure supplement 1. Ectopic co-expression of nesprin-1α and AKAP6β is not sufficient for MTOC function at the nuclear envelope.

References

Akhmanova, A., & Steinmetz, M. O. (2015). Control of microtubule organization and dynamics: two ends in the limelight. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 16*(12), 711-726. doi:10.1038/nrm4084

Apel, E. D., Lewis, R. M., Grady, R. M., & Sanes, J. R. (2000). Syne-1, a dystrophin- and Klarsicht-related protein associated with synaptic nuclei at the neuromuscular junction. *J Biol Chem, 275*(41), 31986-31995. doi:10.1074/jbc.M004775200

Arnandis, T., Monteiro, P., Adams, S. D., Bridgeman, V. L., Rajeeve, V., Gadaleta, E., . . . Godinho, S. A. (2018). Oxidative Stress in Cells with Extra Centrosomes Drives Non-Cell-Autonomous Invasion. *Dev Cell, 47*(4), 409-424 e409. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2018.10.026

Ashburner, M., Ball, C. A., Blake, J. A., Botstein, D., Butler, H., Cherry, J. M., . . . Sherlock, G. (2000). Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. *Nat Genet, 25*(1), 25-29. doi:10.1038/75556

Becker, R., Leone, M., & Engel, F. B. (2020). Microtubule Organization in Striated Muscle Cells. *Cells, 9*(6). doi:10.3390/cells9061395

Berkes, C. A., & Tapscott, S. J. (2005). MyoD and the transcriptional control of myogenesis. *Semin Cell Dev Biol, 16*(4-5), 585-595. doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2005.07.006

Bindels, D. S., Haarbosch, L., van Weeren, L., Postma, M., Wiese, K. E., Mastop, M., . . . Gadella, T. W., Jr. (2017). mScarlet: a bright monomeric red fluorescent protein for cellular imaging. *Nat Methods, 14*(1), 53-56. doi:10.1038/nmeth.4074

Braun, T., Bober, E., Winter, B., Rosenthal, N., & Arnold, H. H. (1990). Myf-6, a new member of the human gene family of myogenic determination factors: evidence for a gene cluster on chromosome 12. *EMBO J, 9*(3), 821-831. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2311584

Braun, T., Buschhausen-Denker, G., Bober, E., Tannich, E., & Arnold, H. H. (1989). A novel human muscle factor related to but distinct from MyoD1 induces myogenic conversion in 10T1/2 fibroblasts. *EMBO J, 8*(3), 701-709. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2721498

Braun, T., & Gautel, M. (2011). Transcriptional mechanisms regulating skeletal muscle differentiation, growth and homeostasis. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 12*(6), 349-361. doi:10.1038/nrm3118

Brodu, V., Baffet, A. D., Le Droguen, P. M., Casanova, J., & Guichet, A. (2010). A developmentally regulated two-step process generates a noncentrosomal microtubule network in Drosophila tracheal cells. *Dev Cell, 18*(5), 790-801. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2010.03.015

Buckingham, M., & Rigby, P. W. (2014). Gene regulatory networks and transcriptional mechanisms that control myogenesis. *Dev Cell, 28*(3), 225-238. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2013.12.020

Bugnard, E., Zaal, K. J., & Ralston, E. (2005). Reorganization of microtubule nucleation during muscle differentiation. *Cell Motil Cytoskeleton, 60*(1), 1-13. doi:10.1002/cm.20042

Chang, Y., Cho, B., Kim, S., & Kim, J. (2019). Direct conversion of fibroblasts to osteoblasts
as a novel strategy for bone regeneration in elderly individuals. *Exp Mol Med, 51*(5), 1-
8. doi:10.1038/s12276-019-0251-1

Chen, C. Y., Caporizzo, M. A., Bedi, K., Vite, A., Bogush, A. I., Robison, P., . . . Prosser, B. L.
(2018). Suppression of detyrosinated microtubules improves cardiomyocyte function in
human heart failure. *Nat Med, 24*(8), 1225-1233. doi:10.1038/s41591-018-0046-2

Chen, J. V., Buchwalter, R. A., Kao, L. R., & Megraw, T. L. (2017). A Splice Variant of
Centrosomin Converts Mitochondria to Microtubule-Organizing Centers. *Curr Biol,*
27(13), 1928-1940 e1926. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2017.05.090

Comai, G., & Tajbakhsh, S. (2014). Molecular and cellular regulation of skeletal myogenesis.
Curr Top Dev Biol, 110, 1-73. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-405943-6.00001-4

Conduit, P. T., Wainman, A., & Raff, J. W. (2015). Centrosome function and assembly in animal
cells. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 16*(10), 611-624. doi:10.1038/nrm4062

Consortium, E. P. (2012). An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome.
Nature, 489(7414), 57-74. doi:10.1038/nature11247

Consortium, E. P., Moore, J. E., Purcaro, M. J., Pratt, H. E., Epstein, C. B., Shoresh, N., . . .
Weng, Z. (2020). Expanded encyclopaedias of DNA elements in the human and mouse
genomes. *Nature, 583*(7818), 699-710. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2493-4

Dammermann, A., & Merdes, A. (2002). Assembly of centrosomal proteins and microtubule
organization depends on PCM-1. *J Cell Biol, 159*(2), 255-266.
doi:10.1083/jcb.200204023

Davis, R. L., Weintraub, H., & Lassar, A. B. (1987). Expression of a single transfected cDNA
converts fibroblasts to myoblasts. *Cell, 51*(6), 987-1000. doi:10.1016/0092-
8674(87)90585-x

Di Camillo, B., Toffolo, G., Nair, S. K., Greenlund, L. J., & Cobelli, C. (2007). Significance
analysis of microarray transcript levels in time series experiments. *BMC Bioinformatics,*
8 Suppl 1, S10. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-8-S1-S10

Doynova, M. D., Markworth, J. F., Cameron-Smith, D., Vickers, M. H., & O'Sullivan, J. M.
(2017). Linkages between changes in the 3D organization of the genome and
transcription during myotube differentiation in vitro. *Skelet Muscle, 7*(1), 5.
doi:10.1186/s13395-017-0122-1

Durinck, S., Spellman, P. T., Birney, E., & Huber, W. (2009). Mapping identifiers for the
integration of genomic datasets with the R/Bioconductor package biomaRt. *Nat Protoc,*
4(8), 1184-1191. doi:10.1038/nprot.2009.97

Edmondson, D. G., & Olson, E. N. (1989). A gene with homology to the myc similarity region
of MyoD1 is expressed during myogenesis and is sufficient to activate the muscle
differentiation program. *Genes Dev, 3*(5), 628-640. doi:10.1101/gad.3.5.628

Elhanany-Tamir, H., Yu, Y. V., Shnayder, M., Jain, A., Welte, M., & Volk, T. (2012). Organelle
positioning in muscles requires cooperation between two KASH proteins and
microtubules. *J Cell Biol, 198*(5), 833-846. doi:10.1083/jcb.201204102

Espigat-Georger, A., Dyachuk, V., Chemin, C., Emorine, L., & Merdes, A. (2016). Nuclear
alignment in myotubes requires centrosome proteins recruited by nesprin-1. *J Cell Sci,*
129(22), 4227-4237. doi:10.1242/jcs.191767

Gimpel, P., Lee, Y. L., Sobota, R. M., Calvi, A., Koullourou, V., Patel, R., . . . Gomes, E. R.
(2017). Nesprin-1alpha-Dependent Microtubule Nucleation from the Nuclear Envelope
via Akap450 Is Necessary for Nuclear Positioning in Muscle Cells. *Curr Biol, 27*(19),
2999-3009 e2999. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2017.08.031

Godinho, S. A., & Pellman, D. (2014). Causes and consequences of centrosome abnormalities
in cancer. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci, 369*(1650). doi:10.1098/rstb.2013.0467

Godinho, S. A., Picone, R., Burute, M., Dagher, R., Su, Y., Leung, C. T., . . . Pellman, D. (2014).
Oncogene-like induction of cellular invasion from centrosome amplification. *Nature,*
510(7503), 167-171. doi:10.1038/nature13277

Hasty, P., Bradley, A., Morris, J. H., Edmondson, D. G., Venuti, J. M., Olson, E. N., & Klein,
W. H. (1993). Muscle deficiency and neonatal death in mice with a targeted mutation
in the myogenin gene. *Nature, 364*(6437), 501-506. doi:10.1038/364501a0

Heffler, J., Shah, P. P., Robison, P., Phyo, S., Veliz, K., Uchida, K., . . . Prosser, B. L. (2020).
A Balance Between Intermediate Filaments and Microtubules Maintains Nuclear
Architecture in the Cardiomyocyte. *Circ Res, 126*(3), e10-e26.
doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.119.315582

Hinchcliffe, E. H., Miller, F. J., Cham, M., Khodjakov, A., & Sluder, G. (2001). Requirement of
a centrosomal activity for cell cycle progression through G1 into S phase. *Science,*
291(5508), 1547-1550. doi:10.1126/science.1056866

Holt, I., Duong, N. T., Zhang, Q., Lam le, T., Sewry, C. A., Mamchaoui, K., . . . Morris, G. E.
(2016). Specific localization of nesprin-1-alpha2, the short isoform of nesprin-1 with a
KASH domain, in developing, fetal and regenerating muscle, using a new monoclonal
antibody. *BMC Cell Biol, 17*(1), 26. doi:10.1186/s12860-016-0105-9

Ieda, M., Fu, J. D., Delgado-Olguin, P., Vedantham, V., Hayashi, Y., Bruneau, B. G., &
Srivastava, D. (2010). Direct reprogramming of fibroblasts into functional
cardiomyocytes by defined factors. *Cell, 142*(3), 375-386.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.07.002

Ishibashi, J., Perry, R. L., Asakura, A., & Rudnicki, M. A. (2005). MyoD induces myogenic
differentiation through cooperation of its NH2- and COOH-terminal regions. *J Cell Biol,*
171(3), 471-482. doi:10.1083/jcb.200502101

Kapiloff, M. S., Schillace, R. V., Westphal, A. M., & Scott, J. D. (1999). mAKAP: an A-kinase
anchoring protein targeted to the nuclear membrane of differentiated myocytes. *J Cell*
Sci, 112 (Pt 16), 2725-2736. Retrieved from
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10413680

Kent, W. J., Sugnet, C. W., Furey, T. S., Roskin, K. M., Pringle, T. H., Zahler, A. M., & Haussler,
D. (2002). The human genome browser at UCSC. *Genome Res, 12*(6), 996-1006.
doi:10.1101/gr.229102

Khodjakov, A., & Rieder, C. L. (2001). Centrosomes enhance the fidelity of cytokinesis in
vertebrates and are required for cell cycle progression. *J Cell Biol, 153*(1), 237-242.
Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11285289

Klose, K., Gossen, M., & Stamm, C. (2019). Turning fibroblasts into cardiomyocytes:
technological review of cardiac transdifferentiation strategies. *FASEB J, 33*(1), 49-70.
doi:10.1096/fj.201800712R

Kronebusch, P. J., & Singer, S. J. (1987). The microtubule-organizing complex and the Golgi
apparatus are co-localized around the entire nuclear envelope of interphase cardiac
myocytes. *J Cell Sci, 88 (Pt 1)*, 25-34. Retrieved from
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3327863

Leask, A., Obrietan, K., & Stearns, T. (1997). Synaptically coupled central nervous system
neurons lack centrosomal gamma-tubulin. *Neurosci Lett, 229*(1), 17-20.
doi:10.1016/s0304-3940(97)00412-6

Lechler, T., & Fuchs, E. (2007). Desmoplakin: an unexpected regulator of microtubule
organization in the epidermis. *J Cell Biol, 176*(2), 147-154. doi:10.1083/jcb.200609109

Lee, C., Scherr, H. M., & Wallingford, J. B. (2007). Shroom family proteins regulate gamma-
tubulin distribution and microtubule architecture during epithelial cell shape change.
Development, 134(7), 1431-1441. doi:10.1242/dev.02828

Lee, S. W., Won, J. Y., Yang, J., Lee, J., Kim, S. Y., Lee, E. J., & Kim, H. S. (2015). AKAP6
inhibition impairs myoblast differentiation and muscle regeneration: Positive loop
between AKAP6 and myogenin. *Sci Rep, 5*, 16523. doi:10.1038/srep16523

Levine, M. S., Bakker, B., Boeckx, B., Moyett, J., Lu, J., Vitre, B., . . . Holland, A. J. (2017).
Centrosome Amplification Is Sufficient to Promote Spontaneous Tumorigenesis in
Mammals. *Dev Cell, 40*(3), 313-322 e315. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2016.12.022

LoMastro, G. M., & Holland, A. J. (2019). The Emerging Link between Centrosome Aberrations
and Metastasis. *Dev Cell, 49*(3), 325-331. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2019.04.002

Londhe, P., & Davie, J. K. (2011). Sequential association of myogenic regulatory factors and
E proteins at muscle-specific genes. *Skelet Muscle, 1*(1), 14. doi:10.1186/2044-5040-
1-14

Luders, J. (2020). Nucleating microtubules in neurons: Challenges and solutions. *Dev
Neurobiol*. doi:10.1002/dneu.22751

Mamchaoui, K., Trollet, C., Bigot, A., Negroni, E., Chaouch, S., Wolff, A., . . . Mouly, V. (2011).
Immortalized pathological human myoblasts: towards a universal tool for the study of
neuromuscular disorders. *Skelet Muscle, 1*, 34. doi:10.1186/2044-5040-1-34

Meads, T., & Schroer, T. A. (1995). Polarity and nucleation of microtubules in polarized
epithelial cells. *Cell Motil Cytoskeleton, 32*(4), 273-288. doi:10.1002/cm.970320404

Meng, W., Mushika, Y., Ichii, T., & Takeichi, M. (2008). Anchorage of microtubule minus ends
to adherens junctions regulates epithelial cell-cell contacts. *Cell, 135*(5), 948-959.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.09.040

Michel, J. J., Townley, I. K., Dodge-Kafka, K. L., Zhang, F., Kapiloff, M. S., & Scott, J. D. (2005).
Spatial restriction of PDK1 activation cascades by anchoring to mAKAPalpha. *Mol Cell,
20*(5), 661-672. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2005.10.013

Mulari, M. T., Patrikainen, L., Kaisto, T., Metsikko, K., Salo, J. J., & Vaananen, H. K. (2003).
The architecture of microtubular network and Golgi orientation in osteoclasts--major

differences between avian and mammalian species. *Exp Cell Res, 285*(2), 221-235. doi:10.1016/s0014-4827(03)00033-8

Muroyama, A., Seldin, L., & Lechler, T. (2016). Divergent regulation of functionally distinct gamma-tubulin complexes during differentiation. *J Cell Biol, 213*(6), 679-692. doi:10.1083/jcb.201601099

Nabeshima, Y., Hanaoka, K., Hayasaka, M., Esumi, E., Li, S., Nonaka, I., & Nabeshima, Y. (1993). Myogenin gene disruption results in perinatal lethality because of severe muscle defect. *Nature, 364*(6437), 532-535. doi:10.1038/364532a0

Nguyen, M. M., McCracken, C. J., Milner, E. S., Goetschius, D. J., Weiner, A. T., Long, M. K., . . . Rolls, M. M. (2014). Gamma-tubulin controls neuronal microtubule polarity independently of Golgi outposts. *Mol Biol Cell, 25*(13), 2039-2050. doi:10.1091/mbc.E13-09-0515

Nguyen, M. M., Stone, M. C., & Rolls, M. M. (2011). Microtubules are organized independently of the centrosome in Drosophila neurons. *Neural Dev, 6*, 38. doi:10.1186/1749-8104-6-38

Ori-McKenney, K. M., Jan, L. Y., & Jan, Y. N. (2012). Golgi outposts shape dendrite morphology by functioning as sites of acentrosomal microtubule nucleation in neurons. *Neuron, 76*(5), 921-930. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2012.10.008

Pare, G. C., Easlick, J. L., Mislow, J. M., McNally, E. M., & Kapiloff, M. S. (2005). Nesprin-1alpha contributes to the targeting of mAKAP to the cardiac myocyte nuclear envelope. *Exp Cell Res, 303*(2), 388-399. doi:10.1016/j.yexcr.2004.10.009

Passariello, C. L., Li, J., Dodge-Kafka, K., & Kapiloff, M. S. (2015). mAKAP-a master scaffold for cardiac remodeling. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol, 65*(3), 218-225. doi:10.1097/FJC.0000000000000206

Patra, C., Diehl, F., Ferrazzi, F., van Amerongen, M. J., Novoyatleva, T., Schaefer, L., . . . Engel, F. B. (2011). Nephronectin regulates atrioventricular canal differentiation via Bmp4-Has2 signaling in zebrafish. *Development, 138*(20), 4499-4509. doi:10.1242/dev.067454

Prosser, S. L., & Pelletier, L. (2015). Centrosome biology: the ins and outs of centrosome assembly. *Curr Biol, 25*(15), R656-659. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.06.038

Prosser, S. L., & Pelletier, L. (2020). Centriolar satellite biogenesis and function in vertebrate cells. *J Cell Sci, 133*(1). doi:10.1242/jcs.239566

Randles, K. N., Lam le, T., Sewry, C. A., Puckelwartz, M., Furling, D., Wehnert, M., . . . Morris, G. E. (2010). Nesprins, but not sun proteins, switch isoforms at the nuclear envelope during muscle development. *Dev Dyn, 239*(3), 998-1009. doi:10.1002/dvdy.22229

Rosenbloom, K. R., Sloan, C. A., Malladi, V. S., Dreszer, T. R., Learned, K., Kirkup, V. M., . . . Kent, W. J. (2013). ENCODE data in the UCSC Genome Browser: year 5 update. *Nucleic Acids Res, 41*(Database issue), D56-63. doi:10.1093/nar/gks1172

Ruehr, M. L., Russell, M. A., Ferguson, D. G., Bhat, M., Ma, J., Damron, D. S., . . . Bond, M. (2003). Targeting of protein kinase A by muscle A kinase-anchoring protein (mAKAP) regulates phosphorylation and function of the skeletal muscle ryanodine receptor. *J Biol Chem, 278*(27), 24831-24836. doi:10.1074/jbc.M213279200

Sanchez-Huertas, C., Freixo, F., Viais, R., Lacasa, C., Soriano, E., & Luders, J. (2016). Non-
centrosomal nucleation mediated by augmin organizes microtubules in post-mitotic
neurons and controls axonal microtubule polarity. *Nat Commun, 7*, 12187.
doi:10.1038/ncomms12187

Sanchez, A. D., & Feldman, J. L. (2017). Microtubule-organizing centers: from the centrosome
to non-centrosomal sites. *Curr Opin Cell Biol, 44*, 93-101.
doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2016.09.003

Sir, J. H., Putz, M., Daly, O., Morrison, C. G., Dunning, M., Kilmartin, J. V., & Gergely, F. (2013).
Loss of centrioles causes chromosomal instability in vertebrate somatic cells. *J Cell
Biol, 203*(5), 747-756. doi:10.1083/jcb.201309038

Srsen, V., Fant, X., Heald, R., Rabouille, C., & Merdes, A. (2009). Centrosome proteins form
an insoluble perinuclear matrix during muscle cell differentiation. *BMC Cell Biol, 10*, 28.
doi:10.1186/1471-2121-10-28

Tassin, A. M., Maro, B., & Bornens, M. (1985). Fate of microtubule-organizing centers during
myogenesis in vitro. *J Cell Biol, 100*(1), 35-46. Retrieved from
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3880758

Teixido-Travesa, N., Roig, J., & Luders, J. (2012). The where, when and how of microtubule
nucleation - one ring to rule them all. *J Cell Sci, 125*(Pt 19), 4445-4456.
doi:10.1242/jcs.106971

The Gene Ontology, C. (2017). Expansion of the Gene Ontology knowledgebase and
resources. *Nucleic Acids Res, 45*(D1), D331-D338. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw1108

Toya, M., Kobayashi, S., Kawasaki, M., Shioi, G., Kaneko, M., Ishiuchi, T., . . . Takeichi, M.
(2016). CAMSAP3 orients the apical-to-basal polarity of microtubule arrays in epithelial
cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 113*(2), 332-337. doi:10.1073/pnas.1520638113

Venuti, J. M., Morris, J. H., Vivian, J. L., Olson, E. N., & Klein, W. H. (1995). Myogenin is
required for late but not early aspects of myogenesis during mouse development. *J Cell
Biol, 128*(4), 563-576. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7532173

Vergarajauregui, S., Becker, R., Steffen, U., Sharkova, M., Esser, T., Petzold, J., . . . Engel, F.
B. (2020). AKAP6 orchestrates the nuclear envelope microtubule-organizing center by
linking golgi and nucleus via AKAP9. *Elife, 9*. doi:10.7554/eLife.61669

Wang, S., Reuveny, A., & Volk, T. (2015). Nesprin provides elastic properties to muscle nuclei
by cooperating with spectraplakin and EB1. *J Cell Biol, 209*(4), 529-538.
doi:10.1083/jcb.201408098

Weintraub, H., Tapscott, S. J., Davis, R. L., Thayer, M. J., Adam, M. A., Lassar, A. B., & Miller,
A. D. (1989). Activation of muscle-specific genes in pigment, nerve, fat, liver, and
fibroblast cell lines by forced expression of MyoD. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 86*(14),
5434-5438. doi:10.1073/pnas.86.14.5434

Yamamoto, K., Kishida, T., Sato, Y., Nishioka, K., Ejima, A., Fujiwara, H., . . . Mazda, O. (2015).
Direct conversion of human fibroblasts into functional osteoblasts by defined factors.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 112(19), 6152-6157. doi:10.1073/pnas.1420713112

Yang, R., & Feldman, J. L. (2015). SPD-2/CEP192 and CDK Are Limiting for Microtubule-
Organizing Center Function at the Centrosome. *Curr Biol, 25*(14), 1924-1931.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.06.001

Yue, F., Cheng, Y., Breschi, A., Vierstra, J., Wu, W., Ryba, T., . . . Mouse, E. C. (2014). A
comparative encyclopedia of DNA elements in the mouse genome. *Nature, 515*(7527),
355-364. doi:10.1038/nature13992

Zebrowski, D. C., Vergarajauregui, S., Wu, C. C., Piatkowski, T., Becker, R., Leone, M., . . .
Engel, F. B. (2015). Developmental alterations in centrosome integrity contribute to the
post-mitotic state of mammalian cardiomyocytes. *Elife, 4*. doi:10.7554/eLife.05563

Zhang, Q., Skepper, J. N., Yang, F., Davies, J. D., Hegyi, L., Roberts, R. G., . . . Shanahan,
C. M. (2001). Nesprins: a novel family of spectrin-repeat-containing proteins that
localize to the nuclear membrane in multiple tissues. *J Cell Sci, 114*(Pt 24), 4485-4498.
Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11792814

Zhang, X., Chen, M. H., Wu, X., Kodani, A., Fan, J., Doan, R., . . . Walsh, C. A. (2016). Cell-
Type-Specific Alternative Splicing Governs Cell Fate in the Developing Cerebral
Cortex. *Cell, 166*(5), 1147-1162 e1115. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.07.025

Zhu, L. J., Gazin, C., Lawson, N. D., Pages, H., Lin, S. M., Lapointe, D. S., & Green, M. R.
(2010). ChIPpeakAnno: a Bioconductor package to annotate ChIP-seq and ChIP-chip
data. *BMC Bioinformatics, 11*, 237. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-11-237

1231

1232

Becker R *et al.*, Figure 1

Myogenin is required for MTOC protein localization to the nuclear envelope.

Figure 1. Myogenin is required for MTOC protein localization to the nuclear envelope.

(A-B) C2C12 cells were differentiated for one day and immunostained for the myogenic regulatory factors (MRFs) MyoD or myogenin (Myog) and nesprin-1α (A) or PCM-1 (B). Orange asterisks: MRF$^+$/PCM-1$^+$ nuclei; yellow asterisks: MRF$^+$/nesprin-1α$^+$ nuclei; arrowheads: MRF$^+$/nesprin-1α$^-$ nuclei; arrows: MRF$^-$/nesprin-1α$^+$ nuclei. **(C)** Quantification of (A) and (B). **(D)** Quantification of MyoD and Myog in relation to nesprin-1α showing that not all nesprin-1α$^+$ nuclei are myogenin$^+$. **(E-F)** C2C12 myoblasts were transfected with negative control (si-ctrl) or myogenin siRNA (si-Myog) and differentiated for two days. Immunostaining (E) and subsequent quantification (F) shows that myogenin depletion affects nuclear envelope localization of PCM-1, PCNT, and AKAP9 but not of nesprin-1α. 95% CI of differences si-Myog vs. si-ctrl = -3.11% to 0.98% (nesprin-1α$^+$), -11.31% to -7.22% (PCM-1$^+$), -7.53% to 3.43% (PCNT$^+$), -7.52% to -3.42% (AKAP9$^+$). **(G-H)** C2C12 myoblasts were transfected with si-ctrl or PCM-1 siRNA (si-PCM1) and differentiated for two days. PCNT was detected by immunostaining (G) and subsequent quantification (H) showed that PCM-1 depletion reduces PCNT$^+$ nuclei. 95% CI of differences si-PCM1 vs. si-ctrl = -6.6% to -3.4% (full), -3.78% to -0.59% (partial). Scale bars (A, B, E, G): 20 µm. Data (C, D, F, H) are represented as individual biological replicates (n = 3), together with mean ± SD. ns: $p > 0.05$. *: $p < 0.05$ ***: $p < 0.001$.

Myogenin expression is sufficient to induce NE-MTOC formation in non-muscle cells.

Figure 2. Myogenin expression is sufficient to induce NE-MTOC formation in non-muscle cells.

(A) NIH3T3 fibroblasts were transfected with constructs encoding GFP, MyoD-GFP or myogenin-GFP (Myog-GFP). After three days, PCM-1 localization was assessed by immunostaining. Arrows indicate nuclei of transfected cells which have recruited PCM-1. Scale bars: 10 µm. **(B)** Quantification of (A) demonstrating that myogenin induces nuclear envelope localization of PCM-1 more efficiently than MyoD. Data are represented as individual biological replicates (n = 3), together with mean ± SD. ***: p < 0.001; 95% CI of difference Myog-GFP vs. MyoD-GFP = 30.99% to 49.22%. n = 3. **(C-H)** NIH3T3 Tet-ON mScarlet or MYOG-2A-mScarlet (MYOG-mScarlet) cells were treated with doxycycline (Dox) for three days. After immunostaining, nuclear envelope localization of PCM-1 (C-D), PCNT (E-F), and AKAP9 (G-H) was analyzed and quantified. Data are depicted as violin plots. Red line indicates the median, dotted lines indicate the 25% and 75% percentile. ***: p < 0.001. Scale bars: 20 µm **(I)** Immunostaining of MYOG-mScarlet cells treated with Dox for three days showing the presence of nesprin-1α$^+$ nuclei. Scale bars: 20 µm **(J)** RT-PCR analysis of MYOG-mScarlet cells in the absence of Dox (-Dox) or treated with Dox for the indicated time points demonstrating that *nesprin-1α* is upregulated upon myogenin expression. *Gapdh* was used as equal input control. **(K)** ChIP-PCR analysis of Dox-treated MYOG-mScarlet cells using an anti-myogenin antibody or an IgG1 control showing that myogenin binds an E-box in the nesprin-1α promoter region. **(L-M)** Immunostaining of α-tubulin and subsequent quantification of nuclear envelope coverage after 30s of microtubule regrowth following cold-induced microtubule depolymerization in mScarlet or MYOG-mScarlet cells treated with Dox for three days. Data are depicted as violin plots. Red line indicates the median, dotted lines indicate the 25% and 75% percentile. ***: p < 0.001. Scale bars: 20 µm. N numbers indicate total number of analyzed nuclei pooled from three biological replicates.

Becker R *et al.*, Figure 3

Myogenin expression attenuates the centrosomal MTOC.

1285

1286

 Figure 3. Myogenin expression attenuates the centrosomal MTOC.

(A-E) mScarlet or MYOG-mScarlet cells were stimulated with Dox for three days and PCNT (A), Cep135 (C), and γ-tubulin (A, C) were detected by immunostaining. Quantification shows that PCNT (B) and γ-tubulin (E) intensities at the centrosome are reduced upon myogenin induction while Cep135 intensity (D) is not significantly affected. Single channel images of Pcnt, γ-tubulin, and Cep135 are false-colored to visualize different intensities. Data are shown as violin plots. The red line indicates the median and dotted lines indicate the 25% and 75% percentile. ns: $p > 0.05$. ***: $p < 0.001$. Scale bars = 5 µm. N numbers indicate the total number of analyzed centrioles (γ-tubulin foci) pooled from four biological replicates. **(F)** Immunostaining of α-tubulin and γ-tubulin in Dox-stimulated mScarlet or MYOG-mScarlet cells after 30s of microtubule regrowth. Intensity-based color-coding of α-tubulin shows that microtubule growth from centrioles is reduced after myogenin induction. Scale bars: 5 µm.

Becker R *et al.*, Figure 4

The nesprin-1α interaction partner AKAP6 is a potential mediator of myogenin-induced NE-MTOC formation.

1302

1303

Figure 4. The nesprin-1α interaction partner AKAP6 is a potential mediator of myogenin-induced NE-MTOC formation.

(A) Scheme illustrating the bioinformatics workflow used to identify potential myogenin downstream candidates. **(B)** Venn diagram depicting the numbers of genes matching criteria for the individual data sets and for intersection of data sets. Criteria for Myogenin ChIP-Seq data (red): Genes where myogenin binding was detected at the promoter region; criteria for C2C12 RNA-Seq data (green) and for microarray data of rat heart development (blue): up-regulated genes. **(C)** ChIP-PCR analysis of Dox-treated MYOG-mScarlet cells using an anti-myogenin antibody or an IgG1 control showing that myogenin binds an E-box in the *Akap6*β-promoter region. **(D)** RT-PCR analysis of MYOG-mScarlet cells in the absence of Dox (-Dox) or treated with Dox for the indicated time points demonstrating that *Akap6β* is upregulated upon myogenin expression. The two bands for *Akap6β* derive from alternative splicing of the first exon of *Akap6β* which results in a ~200 bp insertion in the 5' untranslated region. *Gapdh* was used as equal input control. Please note that the same samples and *Gapdh* control were used as in Figure 2J. **(E)** C2C12 cells were differentiated for two days and immunostaining shows that all AKAP6+ nuclei are also nesprin-1α+. Scale bar: 20 μm. **(F)** High resolution Airyscan image of (E). Arrowhead indicates AKAP6 localized at the cytoplasmic side of nesprin-1α signal. Arrow marks nesprin-1α that is localized at the nuclear side of AKAP6 signal. Scale bar: 0.5 μm. **(G)** Myoblasts from healthy donors (wt) and from patients carrying a mutation in the *SYNE1* gene (SYNE1-/-) were differentiated for four days. Immunostaining analysis showed that loss of nesprin-1α is associated with loss of AKAP6 from the nuclear envelope in differentiated myotubes (troponin I). Scale bars: 10 μm.

Becker R *et al.*, Figure 5

AKAP6 is required for the nuclear envelope localization of MTOC proteins.

Figure 5. AKAP6 is required for the nuclear envelope localization of MTOC proteins.

(A) C2C12 cells were differentiated for two days. Immunostaining shows that all PCM-1$^+$ nuclei are also AKAP6$^+$. **(B)** Quantification of AKAP6$^+$ and PCM-1$^+$ nuclei in C2C12 cells treated with negative control (si-ctrl) or Akap6 (si-Akap6) siRNA after two days of differentiation indicate that AKAP6 is required for nuclear envelope localization of PCM-1. Data are represented as individual biological replicates (n = 3), together with mean ± SD. 95% CI = 6.21% to 8.74%; 95% CI = 4.63% to 9.43%. **(C)** MYOG-mScarlet cells were treated with si-ctrl or si-Akap6 and subsequently treated with Dox for three days. Image analysis revealed that myogenin-induced localization of PCM-1 to the nuclear envelope is AKAP6-dependent. **(D)** Quantification of (C). **(E-F)** Quantification of PCNT (E) and AKAP9 (F) nuclear coverage in Dox-stimulated MYOG-mScarlet cells treated with si-ctrl or si-Akap6. **(G)** Co-Immunoprecipitation (IP) of PCM 1 from MYOG-mScarlet but not from mScarlet lysate (L) using an anti-AKAP6 antibody. **(H-I)** Enriched C2C12 myotubes (troponin I) were transfected with negative control (si-ctrl) or Akap6 (siAkap6) siRNA and immunostaining demonstrates that AKAP6 is required for maintaining nuclear envelope localization of PCM-1 (H) as well as PCNT and AKAP9 (I). Scale bars (A, H): 20 μm, (C, I): 10 μm. Data (D, E, F) are shown as violin plots. The red line indicates the median and dotted lines indicate the 25% and 75% percentile. N numbers indicate the total number of analyzed nuclei pooled from three biological replicates. *: p < 0.05. **: p < 0.01. ***: p < 0.001.

Becker R *et al.*, Figure 6
AKAP6 is required for correct nuclear positioning in myotubes.

Figure 6. AKAP6 is required for correct nuclear positioning in myotubes.

(A) Scheme illustrating the role of the NE-MTOC in myonuclear positioning and the potential impact of AKAP6 depletion. **(B)** Enriched C2C12 myotubes (troponin I) were transfected with negative control (si-ctrl) or Akap6 (si-Akap6) siRNA. The upper si-Akap6 panel shows a representative image of a myotube with misaligned nuclei, the lower si-Akap6 panel shows nuclei overlapping inside a myotube. **(C)** Quantification of (B). Data are represented as individual biological replicates (n = 3), together with mean ± SD. *: $p < 0.05$, 95% CI of difference si-Akap6 vs. si-ctrl = 10.42% to 52.25% (left graph); 95% CI = 9.65% to 55.02% (right graph). **(D)** Enriched C2C12 myotubes (troponin I) were transfected with si-ctrl or si-Akap6 and subsequently subjected to a nocodazole-based microtubule (α-tubulin) regrowth assay. Image analysis showed that AKAP6 depletion abrogated microtubule nucleation at the nuclear envelope. **(E)** Enriched C2C12 myotubes (troponin I) transfected with si-ctrl or si-Akap6 were immunostained for the dynein regulator p150glued. Image analysis showed that AKAP6 depletion reduces p150glued signal at the nuclear envelope. Scale bars (B): 20 μm. (D): 10 μm. (E): 5 μm.

Becker R *et al.*, Figure 7
Myogenin preferentially induces MTOC-associated isoforms of Syne1 and Akap6.

Figure 7. Myogenin preferentially induces MTOC-associated isoforms of *Syne1* and *Akap6*.

(A, C) Schematic representation of the murine *Syne1* (A) and *Akap6* (C) gene and derived transcripts. Exons are indicated by grey rectangles and the first exon of each transcript is marked by color. E-boxes (myogenin consensus sites) inside putative promoters are indicated as yellow boxes and small black arrows mark the primers used for qPCR. **(B, D)** Myogenin ChIP from Dox-stimulated MYOG-mScarlet cells followed by qPCR for the indicated E-boxes shows that myogenin preferentially binds the promoter regions upstream of *nesprin-1α* and *Akap6β* transcripts. **(E-F)** Luciferase assay testing the activity of the indicated *Akap6* (E) or *Syne1* (F) promoters in the presence of GFP or myogenin-GFP (MYOG-GFP). **(G)** Overexpression of nesprin-1α-mCherry alone or together with AKAP6β-GFP in undifferentiated (myogenin-negative) C2C12 myoblasts. Co-expression of nesprin-1α and AKAP6β is sufficient for nuclear envelope recruitment of endogenous PCM-1. Scale bars: 20 µm. Data (B, D, E, F) are represented as individual biological replicates (n = 3), together with mean ± SD. ns: $p > 0.05$. **: $p < 0.01$. ***: $p < 0.001$.

Becker R *et al.*, Figure 8
Schematic overview of the role of myogenin and AKAP6 in NE-MTOC formation.

1389

Figure 8. Schematic overview of the role of myogenin and AKAP6 in NE-MTOC formation.

Myogenin induces expression of AKAP6β which connects MTOC proteins like PCNT, AKAP9, and PCM-1 to the nuclear membrane protein nesprin-1α, whose expression can be induced by myogenin as well as MyoD. Depletion, overexpression, and co-immunoprecipitation experiments suggest that AKAP6β acts as an adapter between MTOC proteins and nesprin-1α. Yet, other proteins might be involved and the here presented protein complex at the nuclear envelope is hypothetical. At the same time, myogenin is sufficient to attenuate centrosomal MTOC function. AKAP6-dependent anchoring of MTOC proteins as well as microtubule nucleation from the nuclear envelope are required for correct positioning of nuclei inside differentiating myotubes.

Supplemental Figures

Becker R *et al.*, Figure 1 - figure supplement 1
Intermediate stages of MTOC protein localization to the nuclear envelope.

 Figure 1 – figure supplement 1. Intermediate stages of MTOC protein localization

 to the nuclear envelope.

 (A) C2C12 cells were differentiated for 24h and PCM-1 was detected by

 immunostaining. Representative images of different intermediate stages of PCM-1

 recruitment are shown. Scale bar: 10 µm. **(B)** A more detailed quantification of PCNT[+]

 and AKAP9^{+} nuclei in differentiating C2C12 (treated as described in Figure 1F)

 showing that myogenin depletion affects also partial recruitment of PCNT and AKAP9.

 (C) C2C12 myoblasts were transfected with si-ctrl or PCM-1 siRNA (si-PCM1) and

 differentiated for two days. AKAP9 was detected by immunostaining and subsequent

 quantification showed that PCM-1 depletion does not affect AKAP9^{+} nuclei. Data (B,

 C) are represented as individual biological replicates, together with mean ± SD. ns:

 $p > 0.05$. ***: $p < 0.001$. n = 3.

PCM-1 exhibits a centrosomal localization pattern at nesprin 1+ nuclei in myogenin-depleted C2C12 cells.

Figure 1 – figure supplement 2. PCM-1 exhibits a centrosomal localization pattern at nesprin-1+ nuclei in myogenin-depleted C2C12 cells.

C2C12 myoblasts were transfected with negative control (si-ctrl) or myogenin siRNA (si-Myog) and differentiated for two days. In si-ctrl cultures, PCM-1 frequently localizes to the envelope of highly nesprin-1+ nuclei (yellow arrow). In si-Myog cultures, PCM-1 can be found in a centrosomal pattern near nesprin-1+ nuclei (yellow arrowhead). Scale bar: 10 µm.

Myogenin is required for MyoD-induced nuclear envelope recruitment of PCM-1.

Figure 2 – figure supplement 1. Myogenin is required for MyoD-induced nuclear envelope recruitment of PCM-1.

(A) Three days after ectopic expression of MyoD-GFP in NIH3T3 fibroblasts, immunostaining revealed that nuclear PCM-1 localization is associated with high myogenin (Myog) expression (yellow arrowhead). **(B)** NIH3T3 cells were treated with negative control (si-ctrl) or myogenin (si-Myog) siRNA and 24 h later transfected with a construct encoding MyoD-GFP. Immunofluorescence staining indicates that myogenin is required for MyoD-induced PCM-1 nuclear recruitment. **(C)** C2C12 cells were transfected with the indicated siRNAs and differentiated for two days. RT-PCR analysis reveals that depletion of MyoD reduces myogenin levels in differentiating myoblasts but not *vice versa*. Scale bars (A, B): 10 μm.

Myogenin targets are specifically precipitated in induced MYOG-mScarlet cells.

Figure 2 – figure supplement 2. Myogenin targets are specifically precipitated in induced MYOG-mScarlet cells.

ChIP was performed on the indicated lysates using the anti-myogenin antibody or an isotype control (IgG). The relative abundance of **(A)** the nesprin-1α promoter region, **(B)** an intronic region of nesprin-1 (negative control) or **(C)** the desmin promoter region (positive control) were determined by qPCR. Data are represented as individual biological replicates (n = 3), together with mean ± SD. ns: p > 0.05. ***: p < 0.01. ****: p < 0.001.

Microtubule depolymerization in mScarlet and MYOG-mScarlet cells.

Figure 2 – figure supplement 3. Microtubule depolymerization in mScarlet and MYOG-mScarlet cells.

Immunostaining of α-tubulin in mScarlet or MYOG-mScarlet cells treated with Dox for three days following cold-induced microtubule depolymerization without regrowth. Scale bar = 20 μm.

Becker R *et al.*, Figure 4 - figure supplement 1

The myogenin antibody specifically precipitates the Akap6β promoter region in induced MYOG-mScarlet cells.

1455

Figure 4 – figure supplement 1. The myogenin antibody specifically precipitates the Akap6β promoter region in induced MYOG-mScarlet cells.

Indicated lysates were probed using the anti-myogenin antibody or an isotype control (IgG). The relative abundance of **(A)** the Akap6β promoter region or **(B)** an intronic region of Akap6 was determined by qPCR. Data are represented as individual biological replicates (n = 3), together with mean ± SD. ns: $p > 0.05$. ****: $p < 0.001$.

1462

Becker R *et al.*, Figure 4 - figure supplement 2
Quantification of nesprin-1α and AKAP6 signal at the nuclear envelope.

1463

Figure 4 – figure supplement 2. Quantification of nesprin-1α and AKAP6 signal

at the nuclear envelope.

Differentiated C2C12 cells were immunostained for nesprin-1α and AKAP6. Signal

intensity was quantified as line scan profiles across the nuclear envelope. Ten line

scan profiles were obtained in regular intervals along the nuclear periphery and pooled

from ten nuclei. Data are shown as mean ± SD.

1470

AKAP6 depletion does not affect nesprin-1α.

1471

1472 **Figure 5 – figure supplement 1. AKAP6 depletion does not affect nesprin-1α.**

1473 Enriched C2C12 myotubes were transfected with scrambled or Akap6-siRNA and

1474 immunostained for Akap6 and nesprin-1α. Scale bars: 10 µm.

1475

AKAP6 or nesprin 1α depletion does not prevent centrosome attenuation in MYOG-expressing cells.

1476

Figure 5 – figure supplement 2. AKAP6 or nesprin-1α depletion does not prevent centrosome attenuation in MYOG-expressing cells.

(A-B) mScarlet or MYOG-mScarlet transfected with the indicated siRNAs were stimulated with Dox for three days. PCNT (A) and γ-tubulin (B) immunostaining intensities were quantified. Data are shown as violin plots. The red line indicates the median and dotted lines indicate the 25% and 75% percentile. N numbers are the total number of analyzed centrioles pooled from three biological replicates. Note that here PCNT and γ-tubulin intensities were measured simultaneously.

1485

1486

Figure 5 – figure supplement 3. MyoD induces nesprin-1α and AKAP6.

(A) NIH3T3 cells were transfected with MyoD-GFP. Two days after transfection, nesprin-1α and AKAP6 were detected via immunostaining. Scale bar: 10 μm. **(B)** NIH3T3 cells were transfected with non-targeting siRNA (si-ctrl) or myogenin siRNA (si-Myog) and one day later transfected with MyoD-GFP. Indicated MTOC components were detected using immunostaining and positive nuclei were quantified. Data are represented as mean ± SD. n = 3. ns: $p > 0.05$. **: $p < 0.01$. ***: $p < 0.001$.

1494

AKAP6 depletion does not affect steady state microtubule organization but reduces detyrosinated microtubules.

Figure 6 – figure supplement 1. AKAP6 depletion does not affect steady state microtubule organization but reduces detyrosinated microtubules.

(A-B) Enriched C2C12 myotubes (troponin I) were transfected with negative control (si-ctrl) or Akap6 (si-Akap6) siRNA and subsequently analyzed for overall microtubule (α-tubulin) structure (A) or detyrosinated microtubules (detyr α-tubulin). Scale bars: 10 μm.

Ectopic co-expression of nesprin-1α and AKAP6β is not sufficient for MTOC function at the nuclear envelope.

1503

Figure 7 – figure supplement 1. Ectopic co-expression of nesprin-1α and AKAP6β is not sufficient for MTOC function at the nuclear envelope.

Proliferating (myogenin-negative) C2C12 myoblasts were transfected with nesprin-1α-mCherry and AKAP6β-GFP. Two days after transfection, microtubule regrowth assays were performed. Scale bar: 10 μm.