Large-scale geographic survey provides insights into the colonization history of a major aphid pest on its cultivated apple host in Europe, North America and North Africa

Olvera-Vazquez S.G.[1], Remoué C.[1], Venon A.[1], Rousselet A.[1], Grandcolas O.[1], Azrine M.[1], Momont L.[1], Galan M.[2], Benoit L.[2], David G. M.[3], Alhmedi A.[4], Beliën T.[4], Alins G.[5], Franck P.[6], Haddioui A.[7], Jacobsen S.K.[8], Andreev R.[9], Simon S.[10], Sigsgaard L. [8], Guibert E.[11], Tournant L.[12], Gazel F.[13], Mody K.[14], Khachtib Y. [7], Roman A.[15], Ursu T.M.[15], Zakharov I.A. [16], Belcram H.[1], Harry M.[17], Roth M.[18], Simon J.C.[19], Oram S.[20], Ricard J.M.[11], Agnello A.[21], Beers E. H.[22], Engelman J.[23], Balti I.[24], Salhi-Hannachi A[24], Zhang H.[25], Tu H. [25], Mottet C.[26], Barrès B.[26], Degrave A.[27], Razmjou J. [28], Giraud T.[3], Falque M.[1], Dapena E.[29], Miñarro, M.[29], Jardillier L.[3], Deschamps P.[3], Jousselin E.[2], Cornille, A.[1]

1.   Université Paris Saclay, INRAE, CNRS, AgroParisTech, GQE - Le Moulon, 91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

2.   INRAE, UMR 1062, Centre de Biologie pour la Gestion des Populations CBGP (INRAE, IRD, CIRAD, Montpellier SupAgro), Montferrier-sur-Lez, 34980, France.

3. Ecologie Systematique et Evolution, CNRS, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, 91400, Orsay, France

4. Department of Zoology, Fruit Research Center (pcfruit *npo*), Sint-Truiden, Belgium.

5. Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentàries, IRTA-Fruit Production, PCiTAL, Parc de Gardeny, edifici Fruitcentre, 25003 Lleida, Spain

6. INRAE, UR1115, Plantes et Système de cultures Horticoles (PSH), Agroparc CS 40509, Avignon, F-84000, France.

7. Laboratory of Biotechnology and Valorisation of Plant Genetic Resources, Faculty of Sciences and Techniques, University of Sultan Moulay Slimane, Beni Mellal, Morocco

8. Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Denmark.

9. Department of Entomology, Agricultural University, Plovdiv, Bulgaria.

10. Unité Expérimentale UERI 695, INRAE, 460 Chemin de Gotheron, F-26320 Saint-Marcel-

lès-Valence, France

11. CTIFL, Centre opérationnel de Balandran

12. Fédération Régionale de Défense contre les Organismes Nuisibles Nord Pas-de-Calais,

Loos-en-Gohelle, France

13. BORDX ARBORI UE - Unité d'arboriculture

14. Department of Applied Ecology, Hochschule Geisenheim University, Geisenheim,

Germany

15. NIRDBS, Institute of Biological Research Cluj-Napoca, 400015, Cluj-Napoca, Romania.

16. Vavilov Institute of General Genetics RAS, Moscow, Russia

17.   Laboratoire   Évolution,   Génomes,   Comportement,   Écologie,   UMR9191

CNRS/IRD/Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

18. GAFL, INRAE, 84140, Montfavet, France

19. INRAE, UMR IGEPP, Domaine de la Motte, Le Rheu, France

20. People's Trust for Endangered Species, London, UK

21. Department of Entomology, Cornell AgriTech, Cornell University, Geneva, NY

22. Department of Entomology, Tree Fruit Research and Extension Center, Washington State

University, Wenatchee, WA

23.Virginia Tech, Alson H. Smith, Jr. Agricultural Research and Extension Center, 595 Laurel

Grove Road, Winchester, VA 22602, USA

24. Department of Biology, Faculty of Science of Tunis, University of Tunis El Manar, 2092,

Tunis, Tunisia, Salhi-Hannachi A: Department of Biology, Faculty of Science of Tunis,

University of Tunis, Tunisia.

25. Zhengzhou Fruit Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, 450009,

Zhengzhou, China.

26. Université de Lyon, Anses, INRAE, USC CASPER, 69007 Lyon, France

27. IRHS, INRAE, Agrocampus-Ouest, Université d'Angers, SFR 4207 QUASAV,

Beaucouzé, France

28. Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture and natural Resources, University

of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Iran

29. Servicio Regional de Investigación y Desarrollo Agroalimentario, Ctra. AS-267, PK 19, E-

33300, Villaviciosa, Asturias, Spain

Corresponding author: amandine.cornille@inrae.fr

Summary

With frequent host shifts involving the colonization of new hosts across large geographical ranges, crop pests are good models for examining the mechanisms of rapid colonization. The microbial partners of pest insects may also be involved in or affected by colonization processes, which has been little studied so far. We investigated the demographic history of the rosy apple aphid, *Dysaphis plantaginea*, a major pest of the cultivated apple (*Malus domestica*) in Europe, North Africa and North America, as well as the diversity of its microbiota. We genotyped a comprehensive sample of 714 colonies from Europe, Morocco and the US using mitochondrial (*CytB* and *CO1*), bacterial (16s rRNA and TrnpB), and 30 microsatellite markers. We detected five populations spread across the US, Morocco, Western and Eastern Europe and Spain. Populations showed weak genetic differentiation and high genetic diversity, except the Moroccan and the North American that are likely the result of recent colonization events. Coalescent-based inferences revealed high levels of gene flow among populations during the colonization but did not allow determining the sequence of colonization of Europe, America and Morroco by *D. plantaginea*, likely because of the weak genetic differentiation and the occurrence of gene flow among populations. We found that *D. plantaginea* rarely hosts other endosymbiotic bacteria than its obligate nutritional symbiont *Buchnera aphidicola*. This suggests that secondary endosymbionts did not play an important role in the rapid spread of the rosy apple aphid. These findings have fundamental importance for understanding pest colonization processes and implications for sustainable pest control programs.

Keywords: colonization, expansion, pest, apple, aphid, endosymbiotic bacteria, *Buchnera*, gene flow, ABC-RF.

Introduction

Understanding the evolutionary processes underlying the colonization of new environments

and the range expansion of species is a key goal in evolutionary biology (Angert, Bontrager, &

Ågren, 2020; Austerlitz, Jung-Muller, Godelle, & Gouyon, 1997; Excoffier, Foll, & Petit,

2009; Hoffmann & Courchamp, 2016; Rius & Darling, 2014). Crop pests in agro-ecosystems,

with their frequent colonization of new hosts across large geographic ranges, are good models

to study the mechanisms of rapid colonization and range expansion (Garnas et al., 2016;

Gladieux et al., 2014; Stukenbrock & McDonald, 2008). The key questions relating to the

evolutionary processes underlying the colonization, spread and success of crop pests pertain to

the geographic origin of the source population, the location of the colonization routes, the

extent to which genetic diversity is reduced via founder effects (Blakeslee et al., 2019) and the

extent of gene flow among populations during the spread of the plant parasite (Stukenbrock,

2016). Current and future threats to biodiversity and their consequences on ecosystem health

and services make these questions more relevant than ever. Understanding the routes of pest

colonization contributes greatly to the efforts to protect crops against future pest emergence

and therefore has direct implications for breeding and agronomic programs that develop

biological methods of parasite control (Estoup & Guillemaud, 2010; Fraimout et al., 2017;

Lawson Handley et al., 2011; Turcotte, Araki, Karp, Poveda, & Whitehead, 2017).

Historically, gene genealogies have been a rich source of information into a species'

evolutionary history that could be applied to the study of colonization (Bloomquist, Lemey, &

Suchard, 2010; Hickerson et al., 2010; Posada & Crandall, 2001). The characterization of

population structure, genetic diversity and demographic history (divergence time, migration

rates among populations and effective population size) are also essential to understand the

evolutionary processes underlying rapid colonization and range of geographic expansion

(Excoffier et al., 2009). Approximate Bayesian computation methods (referred to as "ABC"

hereafter) provide a robust framework for inferring a species' history by allowing the comparison of alternative demographic models and the estimation of their associated parameters (divergence time, migration rate, effective population size) (Bertorelle, Benazzo, & Mona, 2010; Csillery, Blum, & Francois, 2012; Csilléry, Blum, Gaggiotti, & François, 2010; Estoup, Raynal, Verdu, & Marin, 2018; Raynal et al., 2019; Roux & Pannell, 2015). The power of the ABC methods has made it possible to retrace the evolutionary history of notorious plant parasites (*e.g., Plasmopara viticola* (Berk & Curtis) Berl. & de Toni (Fontaine et al., 2020), *Microbotryum lychnidis-dioicae* (DC. Ex Liro) G. Deml & Oberw. (Gladieux et al., 2015)), insect crop pests (*e.g., Batrocera dorsalis* Hendel (Aketarawong et al., 2014), *Drosophila suzukii* Matsumura (Fraimout et al., 2017), *Daktulosphaira vitifoliae* Fitch (Rispe et al., 2020)) and invasive alien species posing a threat to the native fauna (*e.g., Harmonia axyridis* Pallas (Lawson Handley et al., 2011)). These studies have identified the source populations, reconstructed complex colonization routes, and determined the pace of geographic range expansion, often emphasizing the role of human transportation in the spread of these noxious species. Recently, the ABC approach, combined with machine learning (*i.e.*, random forest, referred to as "ABC-RF" hereafter, (Estoup et al., 2018; Raynal et al., 2019)), was used to demonstrate that the African arid-adapted locust pest species *Schistocerca gregaria* Forsskål colonized Africa through major migration events driven by the last glacial climatic episodes (Chapuis et al., 2020). Yet, ABC methods are underused for estimating the extent of gene flow during parasite colonization (but see (Fraimout et al., 2017)). Most studies assume punctual admixture events among populations, but rarely continuous gene flow per generation among populations. Only recently has the new ABC-RF approach been used to infer the invasion routes, evolutionary history and extent of gene flow in the spotted-wing *D. suzukii* Matsumura from microsatellite markers (Fraimout et al., 2017) (referred to as SSR for simple sequence repeat hereafter). Beyond population genomics approaches, and in the special case of insect

pests, the investigation of colonization history could also benefit from the characterization of

insect endosymbiotic bacterial communities. Indeed, many insect pests host a consortium of

endosymbiotic bacteria that mediate their adaptation to new environmental conditions (Frago,

Zytynska, & Fatouros, 2020). Variations in the endosymbiotic consortium along colonization

routes could facilitate the rapid adaptation of insect pests to different environments (Lenhart &

White, 2020) and, in consequence, its spread. Alternatively, if the colonization stems from only

a few populations, it might be accompanied by a loss of endosymbiont diversity along the

colonization routes.

Aphids are a good study system to investigate the evolutionary processes involved in

range expansion and the colonization of new environments. Aphids infest a wide range of host

species and can be major pests of many crop plants (Blackman & Eastop, 2000). Some aphid

species have now become cosmopolitan following the dissemination of crops around the globe

(Brady et al., 2014; Kirk, Dorn, & Mazzi, 2013; Zepeda-Paulo et al., 2010; Zhang, Edwards,

Kang, & Fuller, 2014). The clonal reproduction of aphids during spring and summer is one of

the reasons put forward to account for their remarkable success worldwide. Indeed, asexual

reproduction allows for a rapid increase in population size after the colonization of a favorable

new environment (Simon, Rispe, & Sunnucks, 2002; Simon, Stoeckel, & Tagu, 2010; Figueroa,

Fuentes-Contreras, Molina-Montenegro, & Ramírez, 2018). So far, only a handful of studies

have reconstructed the colonization history of aphid crop pests by combining population

genetics approaches using the information from SSR, sequence or single nucleotide

polymorphism (SNP) markers (Fang, Chen, Jiang, Qu, & Qiao, 2018; Giordano et al., 2020;

Kim, Hoelmer, & Lee, 2016; Leclair, Buchard, Mahéo, Simon, & Outreman, 2021; Morales-

Hojas, Sun, Iraizoz, Tan, & Chen, 2020; Peccoud et al., 2008; Piffaretti et al., 2013; Zepeda-

Paulo et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2015). These studies demonstrated that aphid

species can spread very quickly across the world, probably via plants transported by humans

and/or wind. Colonization was found to involve several populations with high genetic diversity,

possibly with gene flow (Wang, Hereward, & Zhang, 2016; Wei, Zuorui, Zhihong, &

Lingwang, 2005) and/or a few "super-clones", *i.e.*, predominant genotypes widespread in space

and time (Vorburger, Lancaster, & Sunnucks, 2003), which are highly differentiated from each

other and have high dispersal capacities (Piffaretti et al., 2013). Assessing the genetic diversity,

genetic structure and the extent of gene flow among populations in aphids are therefore central

to determining the evolutionary processes that have occurred during aphid colonization.

Associating the reconstruction of aphid colonization history with the characterization

of their endosymbiotic bacterial community can shed light on the processes of their dispersal.

Aphids harbor both obligate symbionts that supply them with the nutrients missing from their

diet (Buchner, 1965) and facultative symbionts that can provide various selective advantages

in specific environmental conditions (Haynes et al., 2003; Oliver, Degnan, Burke, & Moran,

2010). The obligate aphid endosymbiont bacterium *Buchnera aphidicola* has strictly

codiverged with most aphid species (Emmanuelle Jousselin, Desdevises, & Coeur d'acier,

2009). Bacterial markers (*e.g.*, *TrpB*), along with other typical markers (*e.g.*, *CO1* or *Cytb*),

can be used to infer the phylogenetic history of aphid species and to investigate signals of recent

range expansions (Popkin et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2014). Beyond the use of bacterial genomes

to help reconstruct aphid phylogeography, the composition of the bacterial populations in

aphids might also help to assess the importance of facultative bacteria for colonizing new

geographic regions. Many studies have investigated the variation in bacterial communities

associated with the pea aphid (*Acyrthosiphon pisum* Harris), revealing geographical variation,

host-specific differentiation and associations with environmental factors such as temperature,

host plants, and natural enemies (Russell et al., 2013; Tsuchida, Koga, Shibao, Matsumoto, &

Fukatsu, 2002; Zepeda-Paulo, Ortiz-Martínez, Silva, & Lavandero, 2018; Leclair et al., 2021).

The effect of endosymbionts on aphid fitness has been confirmed experimentally in certain

cases (Frago et al., 2017; Leclair et al., 2016). Nevertheless, studies on a global scale in non-

model aphid species are still scarce (Zytynska & Weisser, 2016), and there are as of yet no

studies simultaneously investigating the colonization routes of an aphid species and the

changes in symbiotic associations along this route.

Dysaphis plantaginea Passerini, the rosy apple aphid, is one of the most harmful aphid

pests attacking cultivated apple trees (*Malus domestica* Borkh), causing major economic losses

every year, especially in Europe, North Africa and North America (Guillemaud, Blin, Simon,

Morel, & Franck, 2011; Warneys et al., 2018; Wilkaniec, 1993). This aphid species occurs

across temperate regions (Central and Southwest Asia, North Africa, America, and Europe)

(Blackman & Eastop, 2000) in cultivated apple orchards. The rosy apple aphid completes its

life cycle on two successive host plants: the cultivated apple trees as its sole primary host plant,

from early autumn to late spring, and the plantain herb *Plantago* spp. as a secondary host plant

during summer (Bonnemaison, 1959). The rosy apple aphid reproduces through cyclical

parthenogenesis whereby clonal reproduction alternate with a sexual reproduction, the latter

taking place in autumn when females lay fertilized overwintering eggs on apple trees. Eggs

hatch in early spring (Blommers, Helsen, & Vaal, 2004). While its phylogenetic relationships

with other aphid species is quite well resolved, the evolutionary history of *D. plantaginea* has

been little explored to date (but see (Guillemaud et al., 2011)). The native geographical range

of *D. plantaginea* and its ancestral host range are not known. It might have been associated

with *Malus sieversii,* the primary ancestor of the cultivated apple (*M. domestica*) (Harris,

Robinson, & Juniper, 2002), and then colonized Europe during the journey of the cultivated

apple along the Silk Routes from Asia to Europe. Alternatively, the rosy apple aphid may have

colonized its cultivated apple host in Europe rapidly and recently, about 1,500 years ago when

the Greeks brought the cultivated apple to Europe from Central Asia (Cornille et al., 2019;

Cornille, Giraud, Smulders, Roldán-Ruiz, & Gladieux, 2014). These scenarios are derived from

our knowledge of the domestication history of apples; there are no data on the rosy apple aphid

that would support any of these scenarios. The colonization routes of the rosy apple aphid are

unknown, except that historical records document the introduction of the rosy apple aphid in

America was recent (*ca.* 1890s) (Foottit, Halbert, Miller, Maw, & Russell, 2006). More

generally, the population structure and the extent of gene flow among populations throughout

the geographic distribution of *D. plantaginea* are largely unknown, including the regions where

it causes the most damage in apple orchards, *i.e.*, North America, North Africa and Europe.

There are also no data so far regarding the diversity of endosymbionts across a large

geographical range in aphids.

Here, we investigate the colonization history of this major fruit tree pest using multiple

approaches and genetic datasets, drawn from comprehensive samples taken from the primary

host, the cultivated apple in Europe, North America and Morocco. Note that, despite repeated

attempts, we failed to collect *D. plantaginea* in its putative source region where apple trees

originated in Central Asia, preventing us from fully addressing its earliest colonization history.

Therefore, we aimed to answer the following questions focusing on regions most negatively

affected by the rosy apple aphid, *i.e.*, Europe, North America and North Africa: i) What is the

spatial genetic diversity and population structure of *D. plantaginea* across Europe, the US and

Morocco? Can we detect genetically differentiated populations, and/or recent bottlenecks in

colonizing populations? ii) Did gene flow occur among populations during colonization? iii)

Did *D. plantaginea* populations lose or gain symbionts during their colonization?

Materials and Methods

Samples and DNA extraction

Each sample described hereafter consisted of a single aphid colony of 10-15 females collected

on a host plant during the spring of 2017 and 2018. Sampling only one colony per tree ensured

that the sample did not contain different clones, which can occur on the same tree. Each colony

was kept in ethanol (96%) at -20°C until DNA extraction. For the three methods described

below (*i.e.*, SSR genotyping, Sanger sequencing of the aphid mitochondrial *CO1*, *Cytb*

markers, and *TrpB* bacterial marker, and metabarcoding of the 16S rRNA bacterial marker),

DNA was isolated from a single individual per colony using a new standardized protocol

(Supplementary material Text S1). We used different individuals to obtain DNA for the

amplification of the different markers. For the sake of simplicity, colonies are referred to as

'individuals' or 'samples' hereafter. Note that samples used for 16S rRNA sequencing

underwent two extra chemical washes before DNA extraction to remove the external bacteria

that could be present on the aphid's cuticle. The extra chemical washes consisted of a first wash

with dithiothreitol/DTT (50 mM) for 4 minutes, followed by a second wash with potassium

hydroxide/KOH (200 mM) for 4 minutes. The KOH wash was performed twice. Five negative

controls were also included ((Jousselin et al., 2016), Table S1).

Different sample sizes were used for each of the three methods (*i.e.*, SSR genotyping,

metabarcoding of the 16S rRNA bacterial marker, Sanger sequencing of the aphid *CO1*, *Cytb*

and *TrpB* markers). For each sample, the locality, sample collector identity, host plant species,

latitude, longitude and use in this study (genotyping, Sanger sequencing and/or metabarcoding)

are given in Table S1.

The largest sample was collected for SSR genotyping, comprising 667 *D. plantaginea*

samples (colonies) from Europe, Morocco and the US, from three hosts: *M. domestica* (50 sites,

i.e., orchards, $N = 654$), but also *M. sylvestris* (one site, Alta Ribagorça in Spain, $N = 7$), the

European wild apple, and *P. lanceolata*, the secondary host (one site, Loos-en-Gohelle in

France, $N=6$). The 667 samples originated from 52 different geographic sites (*i.e.*, 52 orchards)

spread over 13 countries; seven to 15 individuals were collected at each site (Table S1, Figure

S1). We tried to obtain samples from Eastern Asia and Central Asia during fieldwork in 2017

and 2018, and through our collaborative network. However, despite our attempts and although

D. plantaginea is referenced in the literature on various hosts in several Central Asian countries

(Aslan & Karaca, 2005; CAB International, 2020; Holman, 2009), it was not observed in these

areas.

For the investigation of the bacterial 16S rRNA region, we used 178 *D. plantaginea*

individuals out of the same 667 colonies used for SSR genotyping (Table S1). We selected two

to three samples (colonies) per site to cover a wide and even spatial distribution across Europe,

North America and Morocco. The selected 178 individuals were collected across 12 countries

on *M. domestica,* except eight on *M. sylvestris* (Table S1).

For Sanger sequencing of *CO1, TrpB* and *CytB,* we used a total of 84 samples belonging

to eight aphid species (*D. plantaginea*, *Dysaphis* sp., *Aphis citricola* van der Goot, *Aphis pomi*

de Geer, *Aphis spiraecola* Patch, *Melanaphis pyraria* Passerini*, Myzus persicae* Sulzer*,*

Rhopalosiphum insertum Walker) sampled on five host plant species (*M. domestica*, *M.*

sylvestris, Sorbus aucuparia, Prunus persica, Pyrus communis). The species were chosen to

represent the aphid genera known to be closely related to the rosy apple aphid (Choi, Shin,

Jung, Clarke, & Lee, 2018). One to three individuals from each of the geographic sites listed

above and in Table S1 were sampled, for a total of 67 samples for *D. plantaginea*. The 17

additional samples from seven other aphid species (one to two samples per aphid species) were

collected on the cultivated apple and other fruit tree species (Table S1).

PCR and Sanger sequencing

We amplified the coding regions from the aphid mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit

I (*CO1*) gene and the cytochrome B (*CytB*) gene, as well as the Tryptophan synthase subunit

B (*TrpB*). Fragments were amplified following the protocol reported in Popkin et *al.* (2017)

with some modifications (Table S2). The final PCR volume was 30 μL, containing Buffer (1X),

MgCl$_2$ (1.5 mM), dNTP (0.1 mM), Forward and Reverse primers (0.7 μM), 5 μL of Taq

polymerase (1 U), and 5 μL of DNA (1/10 dilution). Amplification products were visualized

on an agarose gel 1.5% stained with ethidium bromide under ultraviolet light. We prepared

four 96-well plates with 15 μL of PCR products and a negative control. Plates were sent to

Eurofins Genomics France SAS for sequencing.

Chromatograms were inspected and corrected manually with CodonCode Aligner

version 8.0.1 (www.codoncode.com), assigned as an 'N' when two peaks overlapped.

Alignment, evaluation of all coding genes for frameshifts and elimination of pseudogenes were

performed with MEGA version 7.0.26 (Kumar, Stecher, & Tamura, 2016). The neutral

evolution of each gene, and thus its suitability for phylogenetic and population genetic

analyses, was assessed with the McDonald and Kreitman test (Egea, Casillas, & Barbadilla,

2008; McDonald & Kreitman, 1991) (Table S3). Two samples of *Brachycaudus helichrysi*

Kaltenbach, a pest of *Prunus*, for which sequences of *CO1* (NCBI sequence identifiers:

KX381827.1, KX381828.1), *CytB* (KX381989.1, KX381990.1), and *TrpB* (KX382153.1,

KX38215.1) were available (Popkin et al., 2017), were added in the phylogenetic analyses.

Sequences were concatenated, resulting in a data matrix of 86 concatenated sequences.

Phylogenetic tree and taxonomic assignation

We checked the taxonomic assignation of the samples used in this study by running a

phylogenetic analyses including *D. plantaginea* and other aphid species found on fruit trees

with a Bayesian approach implemented in MrBayes v3.2.7 (Huelsenbeck & Ronquist, 2001)

and Randomized Axelerated Maximum Likelihood-RAxML (Stamatakis, 2014), using the

GTR "Generalized time-reversible" mutational model. The two *M. pyraria* individuals were

used as an external group. We chose the default parameters (unlink statefreq = (all) revmat =

(all) shape = (all); prset applyto = (all) ratepr = variable; mcmcp ngen = 1000000 nruns = 2

nchains = 4 samplefreq = 1000 printfreq = 1000). Inferred trees were visualized with FigTree

v1.4 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

SSR genotyping

We used 30 SSR markers, including one that was previously used for *D. plantaginea*

(Guillemaud et al., 2011), and 29 that were newly developed from the sequencing of a low

coverage genome (see details of the protocol in supplementary material Texts S2 and S3). We

tested the neutrality, and the absence of linkage disequilibrium of the 29 SSR markers using

the Ewens-Watterson neutrality test ((Watterson, 1978); Text S3 and Table S4). Each SSR was

amplified separately by PCR. PCR was performed in a final volume of 20 µL (0.2 µM of each

forward and reverse primer, with the forward primer labeled with a fluorescent dye, 0.2 µM of

dNTPs, between 1 and 1.5 mM of $MgCl_2$, 1X Buffer (5X), 2 µL of a homemade Taq, 5 µL of

DNA (1/30 dilution) and sterile H_2O to reach the final volume). We used the following PCR

program: 94°C for 5 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of 30s at 94°C, 30s at 60 to 65°C and 45s

at 72°C, then 5 minutes at 72°C and finally 10 minutes at 4°C. In the PCR program, the

annealing temperature varied between 55°C and 65°C. Annealing temperatures for each SSR

marker are detailed in Supplementary Material Table S5. PCR products were then pooled

according to the four multiplexes described in Table S5.

SSR genotyping was performed at the Gentyane platform (INRAE, Clermont-Ferrand,

France). Alleles of each SSR marker were identified, and their size scored with Genemapper

v.4.0 (Applied Biosystems TM, Foster City, USA) by two people independently. In case of

discrepancy, the electropherogram was triple checked for a final decision. Allele scoring

resulting from Genemapper was then processed with the Autobin Excel Macro

(https://www6.bordeaux-aquitaine.inra.fr/biogeco_eng/Scientific-Production/Computer-

software/Autobin). We retained only multilocus genotypes with less than 30% missing data

and containing less than 5% null alleles. Null alleles were detected with GENEPOP v4.7

(Rousset, 2008).

Clonal population structure

Each individual was classified according to its multilocus genotype (*MLG*) with GenoDive

2.0b23 ((Meirmans & Van Tienderen, 2004), Table S1). We used the stepwise mutation model

with a threshold of 0 and the corrected Nei's diversity index as a statistic to test clonal

population structure. To reduce the influence of clonal copies produced by asexual

reproduction on Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium, allele frequency and genetic differentiation

estimates, the dataset was pruned to include only one copy of each *MLG* for further analyses.

Population genetics descriptive statistics

Observed and expected heterozygosity (H_O and H_E) and inbreeding coefficient (F_{IS}) were

calculated with GENEPOP v4.7 (Rousset, 2008) from the SSR dataset for each SSR marker,

each site (*i.e.*, geographic location/orchard) and each population (*i.e.*, clusters inferred with the

STRUCTURE software, to comprise individuals with a membership coefficient of at least

62.5% to the given cluster, see results). The 62.5% cut-off was chosen based on the distribution

of individual membership coefficients across the clusters detected for the most likely *K* value

(see results). Pairwise genetic differentiation (F_{ST}) between sites and between populations was

also calculated with GENEPOP (Rousset, 2008). Only sites with at least five successfully

genotyped samples were included for the site-specific computations. Allelic richness and

private allelic richness for each site and each population were calculated with ADZE (Szpiech,

Jakobsson, & Rosenberg, 2008) using a sample size corresponding to the smallest number of

observations per site or population, multiplied by two chromosomes (*e.g.*, a sample size of 20

represents ten individuals x two chromosomes).

We also estimated Nei's nucleotide diversity index π (Nei, 1987), Watterson's index θ

(Watterson, 1975), haplotype diversity, Tajima's D (Tajima, 1989) and Fu's Fs (Fu, 1997) with

DNAsp (Rozas, Sànchez-DelBarrio, Messeguer, & Rozas, 2003) using the concatenated three-

marker dataset (*i.e.*, *CO1*, *TrpB* and *CytB*) for each population (*i.e.*, cluster inferred with

STRUCTURE including individuals with membership coefficient > 62.5% to this cluster, see

results).

Detecting recent bottlenecks during population range expansion

We tested whether a bottleneck occurred during the range expansion of each population with

the method implemented in BOTTLENECK (Cornuet & Luikart, 1996; Piry, Luikart, &

Cornuet, 1999). Inferences regarding historical changes in population size are based on the

principle that the expected heterozygosity estimated from allele frequencies decreases faster

than the expected heterozygosity estimated under a given mutation model at mutation-drift

equilibrium in populations that have experienced a recent reduction in size. The tests were

performed under the stepwise-mutation model (SMM) and a two-phase model (TPM) allowing

for 30% multi-step changes.

Spatial distribution of allelic richness and observed heterozygosity

Spatial patterns of allelic richness and observed heterozygosity were visualized by mapping

the variation in allelic richness and observed heterozygosity at 48 sites in total (*i.e.*, sites with

at least five individuals) with the geometry-based inverse distance weighted interpolation in

QGIS (Quantum GIS, GRASS, SAGA GIS). The correlation between genetic variability (H_O)

and latitude, and between H_O and longitude, was tested using a linear model.

Population subdivision

We inferred the finest population structure by comparing the results obtained with three

population genetic tools: STRUCTURE 2.3.2 (Pritchard, Stephens, & Donnelly, 2000), TESS

2.3.1 (Chen, Durand, Forbes, & François, 2007), and a discriminant analysis of principal

components (DAPC) (Jombart, Devillard, & Balloux, 2010). STRUCTURE is based on the use

of Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) simulations to infer the assignment of genotypes to K

distinct clusters. In addition to this, TESS also considers a spatial component, so that genotypes

from sites that are geographically closer to each other are considered more likely to be in the

same cluster. For both STRUCTURE and TESS, ten independent analyses were carried out for

each value of K ($1 \leq K \leq 10$ and $2 \leq K \leq 10$, respectively) with 500,000 MCMC iterations after

a burn-in of 50,000 steps. STRUCTURE and TESS outputs were processed with CLUMPP

1.1.2 (Jakobsson & Rosenberg, 2007) to identify potential distinct modes (*i.e.*, clustering

solutions) in replicated runs (10) for each K. We also assessed the population subdivision with

DAPC with the R package 'adegenet' (Jombart & Collins, 2015), which does not rely on any

assumption about the underlying population genetics model, in particular concerning Hardy-

Weinberg equilibrium or linkage equilibrium. The number of genetic clusters was investigated

with the *find.cluster* function (Jombart & Collins, 2015; Ripley & Ripley, 2001), which runs

successive K-means for clustering. The automatic cluster selection procedure '*diffNgroup*' was

used with *n.iter* set to 10^6 and *n.start* set to 10^3. The ordination analysis (DAPC) was performed

using the *dapc* function. The statistically optimal number of principal components was assessed

using the *optim.a.score* function. Assessment of the samples assigned to a genetic cluster was

performed using the *compoplot* function.

We used Pophelper (Francis, 2016) to run the Evanno method on the STRUCTURE

outputs. The Evanno method detects the strongest level of population subdivision (Evanno,

Regnaut, & Goudet, 2005). For TESS, we used the rate of change of the deviation index

criterion (*DIC*) to determine the amount of additional information explained by increasing *K*.

For DAPC, we looked at the Bayesian information criterion (*BIC*) obtained with the *adegenet*

package to estimate the optimal *K* value. However, the *K* identified with the *DIC, BIC* and *ΔK*

statistics does often not correspond to the finest biologically relevant population structure

(Cornille et al., 2015; Kalinowski, 2011; Puechmaille, 2016). We therefore visualized the bar

plots with Pophelper (Francis, 2016) and chose the *K* value for which all clusters had well

assigned individuals while no further well-delimited and biogeographically relevant clusters

could be identified for higher *K* values. For further analyses, we considered an individual to be

assigned to a cluster when its membership coefficient was ≥62.5% to this cluster (see results

below).

The spatial pattern of genetic structure was visualized by mapping the mean

membership coefficients for each site, as inferred from each of the three population genetics

structure analyses, with QGIS 3.12 'Las Palmas' (https://qgis.org). We further explored

relationships among populations with a principal component analysis performed on a table of

standardized alleles frequencies (PCA, dudi.pca, ade4 R package (Dray & Dufour, 2007)).

Isolation-by-distance

We tested whether there was a significant isolation-by-distance (IBD) pattern. A Mantel test

with 10,000 random permutations was performed between the individual coefficient of

relatedness F_{ij} (Loiselle, Sork, Nason, & Graham, 1995) and the matrix of the natural logarithm

of geographic distance. We also performed a correlation between $F_{ST}/(1-F_{ST})$ and the natural

logarithm of geographic distance. These analyses were performed using SPAGeDI 1.3 (Hardy

& Vekemans, 2002) separately for each *D. plantaginea* population (*i.e.*, cluster containing

individuals with a membership coefficient > 0.625) identified with TESS, STRUCTURE, and

DAPC.

Demographic and divergence history using ABC-RF

We used ABC to investigate whether the spatial patterns of genetic clustering, diversity and

differentiation observed in *D. plantaginea* resulted from the occurrence of gene flow among

populations during colonization. We also attempted to infer the sequence of colonization events

in each population. We used the recently developed ABC method based on a machine learning

tool named "random forest" (ABC-RF) to perform model selection and parameter estimations

(Estoup et al., 2018; Pudlo et al., 2016; Raynal et al., 2019). In brief, this method creates a

"forest" of bootstrapped decision trees to classify scenarios based on the summary statistics of

the datasets. Some simulations are not used to build the trees and can thus be used to cross-

validate the analysis by computing a "prior error rate". This approach allows the comparison

of complex demographic models (Pudlo et al., 2016) by comparing groups of scenarios with a

specific type of evolutionary event with other groups with different types of evolutionary

events (instead of considering all scenarios separately) (Estoup et al., 2018).

We used a nested ABC approach with two key steps. First, we inferred the divergence and

demographic history of the rosy apple aphid in Europe (step 1). Then, we tested the divergence

and demographic history of the rosy apple aphid outside of Europe (step 2). Each ABC step

compared different sequences of colonization events, with and without bidirectional gene flow

among populations (Figure S2). This two-step nested approach avoids the need to compare

models that are too complex, which would require the simulation of too many populations and

parameters, and is more powerful than testing all scenarios individually to determine the main

evolutionary events that characterize demographic history and divergence (Estoup et al., 2018).

Populations were defined as the clusters detected with STRUCTURE (see results), removing

putative hybrid individuals (*i.e.*, individuals with a membership coefficient < 62.5 to any given

cluster). The model parameters used were the divergence time between X and Y populations

(T_{X-Y}), the effective population size of population X (N_{E-X}), the migration rate per generation

between X and Y populations (m_{X-Y}). Prior values for divergence time were drawn for the log-

uniform distribution bounded between the distributions used in the ABC and are given in Table

S6.

For all models, identical SSR datasets were simulated for 29 out of the 30 markers that

had perfect repeats (we excluded the L4 marker because it did not have perfect repeats, Tables

S4 and S5), increasing confidence in the simulated model. We preliminarily checked that the

population structure inferred with 29 SSR markers did not differ significantly from the

inferences obtained with 30 SSR markers (data not shown). We assumed a generalized stepwise

model of SSR evolution. Mutation rates were allowed to vary across loci, with locus-specific

mutation rates drawn from a gamma distribution (α, α/μ) where μ is the mutation rate per

generation and α is a shape parameter. We assumed a log-uniform prior distribution for μ (1e-

4, 1e-3) and a uniform distribution for α (1.30).

We used ABCtoolbox (Wegmann, Leuenberger, Neuenschwander, & Excoffier, 2010)

with fastsimcoal 2.5 (Excoffier & Foll, 2011) to simulate datasets, using model parameters

drawn from prior distributions (Table S6). We performed 10,000 simulations per scenario how

has been suggested (Pudlo et al., 2016b). For each simulation, we calculated six summary

statistics per population with arlsumstats v 3.5 (Excoffier and Lischer 2010): H, the mean

heterozygosity across loci, $sd(H)$, the standard deviation of the heterozygosity across loci, GW,

the mean Garza-Williamson statistic across loci (Garza and Williamson, 2001), $sd(GW)$, the

standard deviation of the mean Garza-Williamson statistic over populations, NGW, the mean

modified Garza-Williamson statistic over loci, $sd(NGW)$, the standard deviation of the mean

modified Garza-Williamson statistic over populations. We also computed pairwise F_{ST} (Weir

and Cockerham, 1984) and genetic distances ($\delta\mu)^2$ (Goldstein et al., 1995) between pairs of

populations.

We used the abcrf v.1.7.0 R statistical package (Pudlo et al., 2016) to carry out the

ABC-RF analysis. This analysis provides a classification vote that represents the number of

times a scenario is selected as the best one among *n* trees in the constructed random forest. For

each ABC step, we selected the scenario, or the group of scenarios, with the highest number of

classification votes as the best scenario, or best group of scenarios, among a total of 500

classification trees (Breiman, 2001). We then computed the posterior probabilities and prior

error rates (*i.e.*, the probability of choosing a wrong group of scenarios when drawing model

index and parameter values from the priors of the best scenario) over 10 replicate analyses

(Estoup et al., 2018). We also checked visually that the simulated models were compatible with

the observed dataset by projecting the simulated and the observed datasets onto the two first

linear discriminant analysis (LDA) axes (Pudlo et al., 2016), and checking that the observed

dataset fell within the clouds of simulated datasets.

We calculated parameter inferences using the final selected model following the two-

step ABC procedure. Note that the ABC-RF approach includes the model checking step that

was performed *a posteriori* in previous ABC methods.

Characterization of the bacterial community associated with the rosy apple aphid using

the 16S rRNA bacterial gene

507
In order to investigate the bacterial diversity in *D. plantaginea* populations, we amplified a 251

bp portion of the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene (Mizrahi-Man, Davenport, & Gilad, 2013)

and used targeted sequencing of indexed bacterial fragments on a MiSeq (Illumina) platform

(Kozich, Westcott, Baxter, Highlander, & Schloss, 2013) following the protocol described in

(Jousselin et al., 2016). We used 178 aphid DNA extracts (Table S1), comprising 175 *D.*

plantaginea individuals and three *M. pyraria* individuals (Table S1). We wanted to represent a

range as large as possible from our samples. We also added eight randomly chosen samples

that did not undergo the two extra chemical washes (see Materials and methods, and Table S1).

Each sample was amplified twice along with negative controls (DNA extraction and PCR

controls). PCR replicates were conducted on distinct 96-well microplates. We obtained a total

of 390 PCR products (186 DNA extracts, by 2 for PCR duplicates, plus PCR controls), which

were pooled and then separated by gel electrophoresis. Bands based on the expected size of the

PCR products were excised from the gel, purified with a PCR clean-up and gel extraction kit

(Macherey-Nagel), and quantified with the Kapa Library Quantification Kit (Kapa

Biosystems). Paired-end sequencing of the DNA pool was carried out on a MISEQ (Illumina)

FLOWCELL with a 500-cycle Reagent Kit v2 (Illumina).

We first applied sequence filtering criteria following Illumina's quality control

procedure. We then used a pre-processing script from (Sow et al., 2019) to merge paired

sequences into contigs with FLASH V.1.2.11 (Magoč & Salzberg, 2011) and trim primers with

CUTADAPT v.1.9.1 (Martin, 2011). We then used the FROGS pipeline (Escudié et al., 2018)

to generate an abundance table of bacterial lineages across samples. In brief, we first filtered

out sequences > 261 bp and < 241 bp with FROGS, then we clustered variants into operational

taxonomic units (OTUs) with SWARM (Mahé, Rognes, Quince, Vargas, & Dunthorn, 2014)

using a maximum aggregation distance of three. We identified and removed chimeric variants

with VSEARCH (Rognes, Flouri, Nichols, Quince, & Mahé, 2016). We only kept OTUs that

were present in both PCR replicates of the same sample and then merged the number of reads

for each OTU for each aphid sample.

Taxonomic assignment of OTUs was carried out using RDPtools and Blastn (Altschul,

Gish, Miller, Myers, & Lipman, 1990) against the Silva138-16s database (https://www.arb-

silva.de) as implemented in FROGS. From the abundance table of OTUs across samples, we

transformed read numbers per aphid sample into frequencies (percentages); sequences

accounting for < 0.5 % of all the reads for a given sample were excluded following Jousselin

e*t al.* (2016). All filters resulted in excluding reads found in low abundance that could represent

sequencing errors and which were also often found in the negative controls.

Results

Taxonomic status of aphid samples

The Bayesian phylogenetic tree built with the 86 sequences representing nine aphid species

resulted in a polytomy for the 67 *D. plantaginea* samples from Europe, Morocco and the USA

(Figure S3), showing very little sequence variation at the intraspecific level (low bootstrap

values < 0.6, Figure S3a). We therefore kept only two representatives out of the 67 *D.*

plantaginea individuals in subsequent phylogenetic analyses. Bayesian analysis of this pruned

dataset comprising 19 individuals, representing nine aphid species, confirmed known

phylogenetic relationships in Aphidinae ((Choi et al., 2018), Figure S3b). The species *A. pomi,*

A. citricola and *A. spiraecola* were grouped in the same clade, the three species appearing

polyphyletic (Figure S3b). *Dysaphis plantaginea* appeared closely related to other

representatives of the Macrosiphini tribe (*B. helichrysi* and *M. persicae*). Interestingly, the

samples from Iran clustered apart from the *D. plantaginea* clade, the former belonging to the

Dysaphis sp. species. This result suggests that the two *D. sp.* Iranian samples belong to a yet

unidentified species or population exhibiting strong differentiation from European populations.

Those two samples were not included in the population genetics analyses using SSR, as we

only had two representatives from this Caucasian region (Table S1).

Clone detection

Overall, the proportion of unique genotypes was variable among sites. We found 582 unique

genotypes. Clones therefore represented 85 individuals grouped in 29 unique genotypes (12.7%

of the total dataset, Table S7), mainly coming from Belgium, (86% of the clones, eight sites;

mean proportion of unique genotypes (mean G/N) = 0.36 ± 0.2), Bulgaria (6%, one site, G/N

= 0.67), France (5%, three sites, mean G/N = 0.87 ± 0.05), the USA (1 %, one site; G/N = 0.93),

Spain, (1%, one site; G/N = 0.91) and from the lab-reared aphids (1%, G/N = 0.09). We kept

only the 582 unique genotypes for the analyses presented below.

Spatial distribution of allelic variation

The map of allelic richness (Figure 1a) showed that genetic diversity decreased along a north-

east to south-west gradient, with the highest allelic richness found in northeastern Europe and

the lowest in Morocco and the USA, except for Belgium that showed a lower level of genetic

diversity. We found a significant correlation between allelic richness and longitude (r = 0.2294,

P-value = 0.000573), as well as between allelic richness and latitude (r = 0.2684, *P-value* =

0.000162). The map of observed heterozygosity (Figure 1b) confirmed that genetic diversity

decreased along a north-east to south-west gradient, with the highest allelic richness in

Denmark and the lowest in Morocco. We found a significant correlation between observed

heterozygosity and longitude (r = 0.1731, *P-value* = 0.00327), and between observed

heterozygosity and latitude (r = 0.4998, *P-value* = 1.95e-08).

Population structure and subdivision

The spatial genetic structures inferred for *D. plantaginea* with TESS, DAPC and

STRUCTURE, and the respective *DIC*, *BIC* and *ΔK,* are shown in Figure 2 and supplementary

material Figures S4 to S9. For each K value, CLUMPP analyses produced highly similar

clustering patterns among the 10 repetitions (average G' > 95%). We therefore only presented

here the major modes.

With TESS, increasing K above 3 did not reveal any additional cluster (Figure S4). For

K = 3, TESS analyses revealed a clear partition between the Moroccan samples (blue), and

other samples (European and North American, orange). An additional cluster was identified

comprising only one Italian individual (yellow) (Figure 2a). With DAPC, increasing K above

4 did not reveal well-delimited new clusters, *i.e.*, only individuals with multiple admixtures

were assigned to the new clusters (Figure S5). For $K = 4$, DAPC identified three well-delimited

clusters (Figure 2b): one in Eastern Europe (*i.e.*, Bulgaria, Italy and Romania, in yellow), one

in Morocco (blue), one in the USA (orange), plus another cluster that comprised the rest of the

Western European (Spain, France, Belgium, the UK) and Danish samples (pink). With

STRUCTURE, increasing K above 5 did not reveal additional clusters. For $K = 5$ (Figure 2c),

samples were partitioned as follows: Morocco (blue), the USA (orange), Spain (pink), Eastern

Europe and Italy (green), and Western Europe and Denmark (yellow).

We used the inferences from STRUCTURE in subsequent analyses because it revealed

the finest population genetic structure. Genotypes were then assigned to a given population if

their membership coefficient for that population exceeded 0.625 (Tables 1 and S1). We chose

this threshold based on the bimodal distribution of cumulative coefficients inferred with

STRUCTURE for $K=5$ (Figure S10). A total of 175 individuals (30% of the dataset) could not

be assigned to any population and were not included in subsequent analyses.

We further visualized and quantified the genetic differentiation among populations with

a principal component analysis (PCA, Figure 2d) and F_{ST} estimates (Figure 2e), respectively.

Among all populations, F_{ST} values were low but all significant (Figure 2e). Pairwise genetic

differentiation was the lowest between the European populations ($F_{ST} = 0.02$, *P-value* < 0.0001,

Figure 2e); the Moroccan and US populations were the most differentiated (Figure 2e). The

PCA showed similar genetic relationships among the five populations (Figure 2d).

Genetic diversity, bottleneck and range expansion

The mean expected heterozygosity was relatively high (average = 0.74 with values ranging

from 0.55 to 0.75, Table S8). The mean F_{ST} across all loci was low (mean F_{ST} = 0.08, range: -

0.006 - 0.5) but significant for all pairs of sites (*P-value* < 0.001, Figure S11).

Allelic richness and private allelic richness were significantly different among the five

populations, except between the North American and Moroccan populations for allelic

richness, and among the Spanish, Moroccan and Eastern European populations for private

allelic richness (Table S9). The North American and Moroccan populations showed

significantly lower levels of allelic richness and private allelic diversity than the European

populations (*i.e.,* Spanish, Eastern and Western European populations; Tables 1 and S9). In

Europe, the Eastern European/Italian population had the highest level of allelic richness and

private allelic diversity, followed by the Western European/Danish population, and lastly the

Spanish population.

We also tested whether a strong and recent bottleneck occurred for each population.

BOTTLENECK analyses showed no significant deviation from the mutation-drift equilibrium

in any of the populations (Table S10). Tajima's D and Fu's F_S statistics did not reveal any

signature of demographic range expansion either (Table 1).

Isolation-by-Distance (IBD)

A significant but weak IBD pattern was observed (*r*=0.057, *P-value* ≤ 0.05) for the rosy apple

aphid (Figure S11). The *Sp* statistic can be used to quantify spatial structure and is useful for

comparing populations and/or species. Low *Sp* values are associated with greater dispersal

capacities and/or effective population sizes. Here, *Sp* values were extremely low (close to 0)

and were only significant for the Moroccan and the Western European/Danish populations

(Table 1). These results suggest that *D. plantaginea* has high dispersal capacities and/or large

effective population sizes.

Inference of the divergence and demographic history of the rosy apple aphid

First, we reconstructed the divergence and demographic history of the rosy apple aphid in Europe (*i.e.*, including only the Spanish, Eastern European/Italian and Western European/Danish populations). We defined 12 scenarios assuming different divergence histories of the Eastern European/Italian, Western European/Danish and Spanish populations (Figure S2). The 12 scenarios were tested with and without gene flow among populations. We therefore ended up comparing 24 scenarios. Classification votes from the first round were the highest ten times out of ten for the group of scenarios that assumed gene flow among the three populations (295 votes out of the 500 RF trees, posterior probability $P = 0.61$, prior error rate = 3.04%, Table S12, Figure S12). Projection of the reference table datasets and the observed dataset on a single axis showed that the observed data fell within the distribution of the simulated summary statistics of the group of scenarios that assumed gene flow among the three populations, suggesting this analysis had the power to discriminate between the two groups of scenarios and to identify the most likely scenario (Figure S12). The second round of ABC inferences testing the sequence of colonization of the European populations requires caution in interpretation as prior error rates were high and posterior probabilities low (Figure S12 and Table S13).

We then investigated the colonization history of the rosy apple aphid outside of Europe, *i.e.,* of the Moroccan and American populations. Given the lack of power to discriminate between different scenarios of colonization sequence of the rosy apple aphid in Europe, and the weak genetic differentiation among the three European populations (mean $F_{ST} = 0.02$, Figure 2e), we merged the three European populations into a single European population ($N = 316$) for this analysis. We then defined six scenarios of sequence of colonization starting either from Europe or Morocco (Figure S2). We excluded the hypothesis that the rosy apple aphid

originated in North America. Indeed, historical records show that the introduction of the rosy

apple aphid was very recent in America (*ca.* 1890s) (Foottit et al., 2006). Furthermore, the

American population had the lowest levels of private allelic diversity and allelic richness, and

the American samples clustered with the European samples in the DAPC and TESS analyses.

For each of the six scenarios, five scenarios of gene flow among populations were tested: no

gene flow, gene flow among all populations and gene flow between each population pair (*i.e.*,

Europe/Morocco, Europe/the USA, and Morocco/the USA). We simulated these specific

models of gene flow among specific pairs of populations for the ABC-RF as we observed

variable admixture levels among populations (Figure 2c). In total, 30 scenarios were compared

(six colonization sequences x five gene flow modes, Figure S2). ABC-RF analyses showed

relatively high support for scenarios assuming gene flow (ABC-RF round 1, 10 out of the 10

replicates for the groups of scenarios assuming gene flow, 337 votes out of the 500 RF trees,

posterior probability $P = 0.65$, prior error rate = 6.55%, Table S14, Figure S13). Projection of

the reference table datasets and the observed dataset on a single axis showed that the observed

data fell within the distribution of the simulated summary statistics of the group assuming gene

flow, suggesting the occurrence of gene flow (Figure S13). However, although the observed

dataset fell within the distribution of simulated summary statistics (Figure S13), we lacked the

power to infer the sequence of colonization of the rosy apple aphid outside of Europe (*i.e.*,

posterior probability $P = 0.65$, and high prior error rate = 73.82%, Table S15).

Altogether, ABC-RF inferences supported the occurrence of gene flow during the

colonization history of *D. plantaginea*. However, ABC-RF did not allow to determine the

sequence of colonization of Europe, America and Morroco by the rosy apple aphid.

16S rRNA amplicon sequencing

After sequence filtering using the FROGS pipeline, high-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA

bacterial genes from 186 aphids resulted in 5.7 M sequencing reads with an average of 30,800

reads per aphid sample. We found an extremely low bacterial diversity in *D. plantaginea*. The

5.7 M sequencing reads were clustered into 18 OTUs (Figure S14, Table S1). Seven OTUs

were assigned to *B. aphidicola* and made up 97.8% of the sequencing reads, 92 % of the reads

were assigned to a single *B. aphidicola* OTU, which was found associated with all *D.*

plantaginea individuals, the remaining *B. aphidicola* OTUs were found associated with

"outgroups". The remaining reads were mainly assigned to two known aphid endosymbionts,

Serratia symbiotica and *Regiella insecticola*, which were found in eight and three aphid

specimens, respectively. The three aphids hosting *Regiella* belonged to a population of *M.*

pyraria collected in Switzerland, while aphids hosting *Serratia* were found in distantly related

populations including *D. plantaginea* collected on *M. domestica* from various apple orchards

in France, and *P. communis* in Iran (Table S1). These results highlight the extremely limited

diversity of symbionts across a large geographical scale.

Discussion

We investigated the demographic history of a major fruit tree pest, the rosy apple aphid, in the

regions where it impacts the most cultivated apple orchards (*i.e.*, Europe, North Africa and

North America). Using multiple lines of evidence, we showed that the colonization of Europe

by the rosy apple aphid is likely recent, was not accompanied by strong bottlenecks and

involved gene flow between and within populations. The high level of gene flow among

populations and within populations was supported by the weak spatial genetic structure

observed across Europe, and coalescent-based simulations combined with ABC-RF. We also

found that *D. plantaginea* rarely hosts endosymbiotic bacteria other than their primary

symbiont, *B. aphidicola*. Our results provide further understanding of the evolutionary

processes at play during pest range expansion. We discussed the impacts of our results for pest

control programs.

Colonization of apple trees by the rosy apple aphid is recent, and did not involve drastic

bottlenecks

After removing clones from our analyses, we detected five main panmictic populations of the

rosy apple aphid: three in Europe, one in Morocco and one in the USA. The genetic diversity

for each population was within the same range as other aphid species such as *B. helichrysi*

(Popkin et al., 2017), *Eriosoma lanigerum* (Zhou et al., 2015) and *M. persicae nicotianae*

(Zepeda-Paulo et al., 2010). However, genetic diversity (private alleles and allelic richness) in

D. plantaginea was higher in Europe and lower in the USA and Morocco. More generally,

patterns of genetic structure and diversity suggest different demographic histories for the

European, Moroccan and American populations of *D. plantaginea*.

Individuals from the European populations had partial memberships to multiple

clusters, with similar membership coefficients for most individuals. This pattern of high

admixture along a spatial transect might reflect a continuous gradation in allele frequencies

(*i.e.*, a cline) across regions that cannot be detected by the methods used in this study. Indeed,

a major limitation of all clustering approaches is the risk of inferring artefactual discrete groups

in populations where genetic diversity is distributed continuously. DAPC and STRUCTURE

are not immune to this bias and may erroneously identify clusters within a cline (Jombart et al.,

2010). TESS is more sensitive to allelic gradient; this program includes a decay of the

correlation between membership coefficients and distance within clusters (Chen et al., 2007).

In the presence of clines and with evenly distributed sampling, TESS may detect fewer clusters

than STRUCTURE (Durand, Chen, & François, 2009). The larger admixed clusters found with

DAPC and STRUCTURE may therefore reflect a cline of allele frequency across Europe for

the rosy apple aphid. Allele frequency clines can result from admixture between genetically

distinct populations (Currat & Excoffier, 2005; Menozzi, Piazza, & Cavalli-Sforza, 1978)

and/or from subsequent founder events during range expansion (Barbujani, Sokal, and Oden

1995; Fix 1997; Currat and Excoffier 2005). Genetic diversity is also expected to decrease

along the expanding range (François, Blum, Jakobsson, & Rosenberg, 2008; Prugnolle,

Manica, & Balloux, 2005). Founder events associated with a recent range expansion may have

resulted in the decreasing east-west gradient of genetic diversity and the large number of

admixed individuals observed in Europe. It is therefore possible that the European populations

of the rosy apple aphid underwent a recent expansion. Note that we did not detect any signature

of range expansion with the three-markers dataset (*CO1*, *cytB* and *trpB*). This lack of signature

of range expansion may be due to limited number of samples used in our test (at least for the

Moroccan and American populations), but also that the range expansion is so recent that we

cannot catch its footprint with our sequence markers. Several preliminary ABC-RF tests of

range expansion of the rosy apple aphid in Europe that failed (*i.e.*, very low posterior

probabilities and prior error rates, data not shown) suggest that the second hypothesis is

possible.

The Moroccan and North American populations displayed a different pattern of

population differentiation and diversity compared to the European populations, suggesting an

even more recent colonization history. The two populations were well circumscribed and had

the highest level of genetic differentiation from the European populations, the lowest genetic

diversity and the lowest number of private alleles. In the TESS analysis, the American samples

did not cluster separately from the European samples, and in the DAPC analysis, samples from

America and Western Europe clustered together, suggesting that the American population

originated recently from Europe. This is in agreement with the earliest record of *D. plantaginea*

in the Eastern US dating back to 1890 (Foottit et al., 2006). Since then, there have probably

been multiple introductions into the USA that prevented genetic differentiation from Europe.

Similar scenarios have been described for the tobacco aphid, which was introduced into

America from different European pools (Zepeda-Paulo et al., 2010), and the leaf-curl plum

aphid *B. helichrysi* (Piffaretti et al., 2013), for which population genetic tools showed very little

differentiation between European and North American populations. As for the Moroccan

population, we lacked the power to infer its origin with the ABC-RF method. However, the

significantly lower genetic diversity and number of private alleles in this population compared

with that of Europe, the high level of admixture and the close genetic relationship with the

Spanish population, suggest that the Moroccan population resulted from a recent colonization

event from Southern Europe. Nevertheless, the history of the rosy apple aphid in North Africa

deserves further investigation requiring additional sampling in this region. Altogether, the

significantly lower genetic diversity observed in the Moroccan and American populations

suggest that these originated recently through founder events. Indeed, we did not find any

evidence that these two populations underwent a recent strong bottleneck despite having

significantly lower diversity in both SSR and sequence markers. Thus, the founder effect

underlying the colonization of North America and Morocco may involve genetic drift in small

populations rather than severe bottlenecks at the introduction event. Genetic diversity was also

found to be higher in native populations within their native range in the tobacco aphid (Zepeda-

Paulo et al., 2010) and the Russian wheat aphid (Zhou et al., 2015).

Altogether, a recent range expansion of the rosy apple aphid on its cultivated apple host

is a plausible explanation of the observed spatial genetic structure and diversity. Rapid range

expansion has also been described in the Russian wheat aphid (Zhang et al., 2014).

Unfortunately, the ABC-RF method was not powerful enough to disentangle the different

scenarios of colonization of the rosy apple aphid. However, the ABC-RF method was powerful

enough to identify the occurrence of gene flow within and outside Europe. Another recent study

on the main invasion routes of *D. suzukii* also reported a moderate level of confidence in model

choice (*i.e.*, low posterior probabilities between 0.50 to 0.63) and high prior error rates (ranging

from 0.30 to 0.40, using ABC-RF (Fraimout et al., 2017)). The absence of samples from key

places during the species colonization and of individuals of the species ancestral group have

been discussed to impact in the resolution of the colonization history analysis using ABC

approaches (Lombaert et al., 2014). This might be a source of the lack of support in our

proposed scenarios using ABC-RF. Additional information on the mutation rates of the newly

developed SSR in the present study improve support to some of our colonization scenarios.

The mutation rate of transcribed and untranscribed SSR was indeed successfully used to

reconstruct the main migration routes of *S. gregaria* to Africa using ABC-RF (Chapuis et al.,

2020).

Colonization with gene flow, likely driven by humans

We found that the expansion of the rosy apple aphid involved several populations with high

genetic diversity each, and inter- and intra- population gene flow across Europe, North America

and Morocco. ABC-RF analyses strongly support scenarios with bidirectional gene flow

among populations. The *Sp* parameter estimated within each population revealed large extent

of historical gene flow within population. We used the *Sp* parameter estimates to compare the

dispersal capacities of *D. plantaginea* with existing estimates in plants (Vekemans & Hardy,

2004). The rosy apple aphid showed dispersal capacities equivalent to or even higher than that

of wind-dispersed trees. The rosy apple aphid can therefore spread over kilometers, as

suggested previously (Guillemaud et al., 2011), and as frequently found in aphids (Loxdale et

al., 1993). However, despite its high dispersal capacities and the large amount of gene flow

among and within populations, we can still observe a significant (but weak) spatial genetic

structure across its distribution. The observed subtle spatial genetic structure may be associated

with human agricultural practices. The interchange of apple materials (*i.e.*, cultivars in the form

of scions and/or trees) is nowadays, and probably has been historically, frequent and a potential

way of moving the rosy apple aphid in the form of overwintering eggs among regions where

apple is cultivated. This hypothesis agrees with the differentiated population of *D. plantaginea*

observed in Asturias (Northwestern Spain). This region is known for the main utilization of the

apple for cider production and is based on local cider cultivars (Tardío, Arnal, & Lázaro, 2020).

Most of our samples from Spain are from Asturias, except the Catalan samples that were

clustering with the European ones. The exchange of apple material within Asturias, and within

other regions in Europe, may have occurred, implying higher risk of aphid movement within

regions than between regions. Of course, this is not the only explanation of the spatial genetic

structure observed, physical barriers (*i.e.*, Atlantic Ocean) could also explain the higher genetic

differentiation of the Moroccan and American populations.

Putative center(s) of origin of the rosy apple aphid

The geographical origin of *D. plantaginea* remains unresolved. Our phylogenetic analyses

confirmed previous relationships between the rosy apple aphid and other aphids (Bašilova &

Rakauskas, 2012; Rebijith et al., 2017). However, estimates of the divergence time of *D.*

plantaginea from closely related species is now required, with denser sampling of the *Dysaphis*

genus across several regions in Eurasia and molecular dating analyses.

Genetic diversity estimates from SSR markers suggest that the source population came

from Eastern Europe, but it may also have originated even further east in Central Asia where

its fruit tree host was originally domesticated (Cornille et al., 2014; Harris et al., 2002). Our

failure to collect *D. plantaginea* samples in this area, despite our attempts in China and

Kazakhstan, prevents us from testing this scenario. However note that, while *D. plantaginea*

has been recorded in Central Asia according to several faunistic surveys (Kadyrbekov, 2002),

it is hard to find in these regions. Furthermore, a lack of records of this species in the Global

Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF, 2020) suggests *D. plantaginea* is uncommon in this

area.

Alternatively, *D. plantaginea* may have originated in the Caucasus or Asia Minor,

maybe through a host jump from *Pyrus* to *Malus*. *Dysaphis plantaginea, Dysaphis radicola,*

Dysaphis devecta, Dysaphis brancoi, *Dysaphis anthrisci, Dysaphis chaerophylli* are *Dysaphis*

species reported to feed on the cultivated apple, *M. domestica,* as their primary host (Blommers

et al., 2004; Stekolshchikov, 2006), but many aphid species also feed on pears including,

Dysaphis reaumuri Mordvilko and *Dysaphis pyri* Boyer de Fonscolombe (Barbagallo,

Cocuzza, Cravedi, & Komazaki, 2007). The *Pyrus* genus is known to have diverged a long

time ago from the genus *Malus* probably in the Caucasus (Celton et al., 2009; Xiang et al.,

2017). Therefore, the ancestral group from which *D. plantaginea* diverged might have had a

Pyrus species as host. Analysis of samples from these regions is required to test this hypothesis.

Low endosymbiont bacterial diversity associated with *D. plantaginea*

Our results showed that bacterial diversity was strikingly low in *D. plantaginea* across Europe,

North America and Morocco. As expected, *B. aphidicola* was the predominant bacterial species

(97% of our reads). We also distinguished different *B. aphidicola* OTUs in the Iranian *D.*

plantaginea samples which fits with the genetic differentiation observed in the corresponding

aphid hosts. At least nine secondary endosymbionts have been reported among aphid species

(reviewed in Zytynska & Weisser, 2016), including *Arsenophonus* Gherma, *Hamiltonella*

defensa Moran, *Regiella insecticola*, *Rickettsia* Da Rocha-Lima, *Rickettsiela* Drobne, *Serratia*

symbiotica, *Spiroplasma* Saglio and *Wolbachia* Hertig & Wolbach, and Fukatsuia *symbiotica*.

These bacteria have been reported to be aphid facultative symbiont, potential pathogens, or

plant-associated microbiota (Gauthier, Outreman, Mieuzet, & Simon, 2015). Here, we detected

the presence of secondary symbionts only in a few samples. The *Serratia* bacteria was the only

secondary symbiont identified and it was found in only eight samples of *D. plantaginea,*

collected on *Pyrus communis* in Iran, and in France and Spain on *M. domestica*. A *Regiella*

was found in three samples from another aphid species, *M. pyraria* collected on *M. domestica*.

At least seven endosymbionts (*H. defensa*, *R. insecticola*, *Rickettsia* sp., *Rickettsiela* sp., *S.*

symbiotica, *Spiroplasma* sp. and *Wolbachia* sp.) have been reported across a narrower spatial

distribution in the model species *Acyrthosiphon pisum* and several other well-studied aphid

species (Zytynska & Weisser, 2016). By contrast, another study (Henry, Maiden, Ferrari, &

Godfray, 2015) found that neither *D. plantaginea* nor other *Dysaphis* species hosted any

secondary symbionts, although it relied on a small number of individuals. This low

endosymbiont bacterial diversity in *D. plantaginea* shows that its likely fast expansion is not

the result of an association with different mutualistic endosymbionts.

Concluding remarks

This study has demonstrated that the colonization of a major fruit tree aphid pest occurred

without a strong bottleneck, maintaining high genetic diversity, and generated differentiated

populations exchanging gene flow, with an isolation-by-distance pattern. The lack of

demographic changes in the populations of *D. plantaginea* in Europe, except for the Belgian

populations, indicates that seasonal selective pressures, such as insecticide application, have

little impact on the genetic diversity of the species. These results may have implications in

control and management of *D. plantaginea*, but further studies are needed to fully understand

how selective pressures have impacted *D. plantaginea* adaptation. In addition, the use of other

genetic markers, such as SNPs, promises to make great strides to elucidate the demographic

history of the rosy apple aphid. Finally, the origin of the rosy apple aphid is still unknown. Our

results suggest it may have originated in Eastern Europe, the Caucasus or Asia Minor.

However, the domestication of the rosy apple aphid primary host (the cultivated apple) in this

region remains unknown (Cornille et al., 2019, 2014; Spengler, 2019). Further investigations

on the history of apple domestication, additional sampling of *D. plantaginea* in the Caucasus

or Asia Minor, and sampling related aphid species, are required to better understand the origin

of this major fruit tree pest.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by the laboratoire d'Excellence Biodiversity Agrosystem Society and

Climate BASC (grant « Emergence POMPUCEDOM ») and Systematic Research funding,

ATIP-Avenir program and the *Institut Diversité Ecologie et Evolution du Vivant* (IDEEV). AR

and TU were supported by a grant of the Romanian Ministry of Education and Research,

CCCDI - UEFISCDI, project number 384 PED-PN-III-P2-2.1-PED-2019-4924. We thank the

Plateforme de Génotypage Gentyane INRAE UMR 1095 for assistance in genotyping, and

especially the platform leader Charles Poncet. We thank the informatics team at the GQE-Le

Moulon, in particular Adrien Falce, Benoit Johannet and Olivier Langella. We are grateful to

the INRAE MIGALE bioinformatics facility (MIGALE, INRAE, 2020. Migale bioinformatics

Facility, doi: 10.15454/1.5572390655343293E12) for providing help and/or computing and/or

storage resources.

Script and data availability: The data and script are available at

https://zenodo.org/record/4537710#.YCaeomMo95c.

Authors' contributions. AC, EJ, PD, LJ conceived and designed the experiments; AC, EJ, PD,

LJ, MH, TG obtained funding; AC, AR, AA, TB, GA, FP, AH, SKJ, RA, SS, LS, EG, LT, FG,

Figures and Tables

Figure 1. Spatial genetic diversity of *Dysaphis plantaginea* in Europe, Morocco and North America (*N* = 582, clones were excluded, 52 sites, 30 SSR markers). a. Map of allelic richness per site. **b.** Map of observed heterozygosity. Sites with a sample size below five individuals are not represented on this map. Each dot is a site (*i.e.*, orchard). Red means high allelic richness, blue means low.

Figure 2. Spatial population structure and differentiation of the rosy apple aphid
***Dysaphis plantaginea* in Europe, Morocco and North America (*N* = 582 individuals, 52**
sites, 30 SSR markers). Spatial population structure inferred with **a.** TESS (*K* = 3), **b.**
Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components (*K* = 4), **c.** with STRUCTURE (*K* = 5). Each
figure includes a map with each pie chart representing the mean membership coefficients for
each site. **d.** Principal component analysis including the 582 individuals used for running
STRUCTURE analyses; each individual is colored according to its membership > 0.625 to one
of the five *D. plantaginea* populations detected with STRUCTURE (individuals with a
membership coefficient < 62.5% to a given cluster is referred as "admixed"). **e.** Genetic
differentiation (F_{ST}) estimates among the five populations detected with STRUCTURE. The
higher F_{ST} values are highlighted by circles (Morocco and the US has the highest value). US:
United States of America; MOR: Morocco; SP: Spain; EasternEU_IT: Eastern Europe and
Italian population (Bulgaria, Italy, Romania); WestEUR_DA: Western Europe and Danish
population (France, Germany, Belgium, United Kingdom, and Denmark).

Table 1. Summary statistics obtained for the five *Dysaphis plantaginea* populations (*i.e.*, cluster including individuals with a membership coefficient > 62.5% to a given cluster) inferred with STRUCTURE (*K* = 5), based on 30 SSR markers and three concatenated genes (*CO1*, *Cytb* and *TrpB*, 67 *D. plantaginea* individuals), respectively (left and the right sections of the table).

Population	Statistics inferred from SSR							Statistics inferred from concatenated genes							
	N	*Ho*	*HE*	*FIS*	*AR* (N=28)	*AP* (N=28)	*Sp*	*Nseq*	*NH*	*HD*	*S*	*π*	*θ*	*Tajima's D*	*Fu's Fs*
Eastern European and Italian	114	0.68	0.77	0.12 ***	7.77	1.65	$0.0006^{n.s}$	34	6	0.59	6	0.0005	0.0008	$-0.960^{n.s}$	$0.550^{n.s}$
Western European and Danish	89	0.65	0.74	0.12 ***	7.26	1.17	0.0019	10	4	0.67	3	0.0006	0.0005	$0.120^{n.s}$	$0.880^{n.s}$
Spanish	113	0.61	0.71	0.14 ***	6.80	0.92	0.0003	19	5	0.71	4	0.0006	0.0006	$-0.001^{n.s}$	$0.842^{n.s}$
Moroccan	63	0.53	0.61	0.13 ***	5.42	0.60	-0.0038	2	2	0.67	1	0.0004	0.0003	$1.630^{n.s}$	$1.280^{n.s}$
American	28	0.57	0.64	0.10 ***	4.70	0.42	-	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0

N: number of individuals, *Ho* and *He*: observed and expected heterozygosity, *FIS*: inbreeding coefficient, *** *P-value* < 0.0001, *AR* and *AP*: allelic richness and private allelic richness, respectively, corrected by the rarefaction method, estimated for a sample size of 28; *Sp* = spatial parameter; the number of individuals from different geographic locations is too low in the American population to compute the *Sp* parameter. Distribution of haplotypes and molecular diversity based on the sequence markers *CO1*, *CytB* and *TrpB* from 67 individuals from different populations based on the genetic structure inferred with STRUCTURE. *Nseq* = Number of sequences; *NH*: number of haplotypes; *HD*: haplotype diversity; *S*= number of polymorphic sites; *π* = Nei's nucleotide diversity index; *θ* = Watterson's diversity index *θ*; n.s. = not significant.

Conflict of interest

The authors of this preprint declare that they have no financial conflict of interest with the content of this article. Amandine Cornille, Tatiana Giraud, Emmanuelle Jousselin, and Jean-Christophe Simon are PCI Evolutionary Ecology Biology recommenders. Tatiana Giraud is a member of the managing board of PCI Evolutionary Biology.

Script and data availability

https://zenodo.org/record/4537710#.YCaeomMo95c

References

Aketarawong, N., Guglielmino, C. R., Karam, N., Falchetto, M., Manni, M., Scolari, F., … Malacrida, A. R. (2014). The oriental fruitfly Bactrocera dorsalis ss in East Asia: Disentangling the different forces promoting the invasion and shaping the genetic make-up of populations. *Genetica*, *142*(3), 201–213.

Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., & Lipman, D. J. (1990). Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, *215*(3), 403–410.

Angert, A. L., Bontrager, M. G., & Ågren, J. (2020). What Do We Really Know About Adaptation at Range Edges? *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, *51*(1), 341–361. doi: 10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-012120-091002

Aslan, B., & Karaca, İ. (2005). Fruit tree Aphids and their natural enemies in Isparta region,Turkey. *Journal of Pest Science*, *78*(4), 227–229. doi: 10.1007/s10340-005-0097-2

Austerlitz, F., Jung-Muller, B., Godelle, B., & Gouyon, P.-H. (1997). Evolution of coalescence times, genetic diversity and structure during colonization. *Theoretical Population Biology*, *51*(2), 148–164.

Barbagallo, S., Cocuzza, G., Cravedi, P., & Komazaki, S. (2007). 29 IPM Case Studies: Deciduous Fruit Trees. *Aphids as Crop Pests*, 651.

Barbujani, G., Sokal, R. R., & Oden, N. L. (1995). Indo-European origins: A computer-simulation test of five hypotheses. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, *96*(2), 109–132.

Bašilova, J., & Rakauskas, R. (2012). Phylogenetic relationships of Dysaphis pyri (BOYER DE FONSCOLOMBE) and Dysaphis reaumuri (MORDVILKO)(Hemiptera, Sternorrhyncha: Aphididae): COI and EF-1α evidence. *Organisms Diversity & Evolution*, *12*(2), 197–204.

Bertorelle, G., Benazzo, A., & Mona, S. (2010). ABC as a flexible framework to estimate demography over space and time: Some cons, many pros. *Molecular Ecology*, *19*(13), 2609–2625.

Blackman, R. L., & Eastop, V. F. (2000). *Aphids on the world's crops: An identification and information guide.* John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Blakeslee, A. M. H., Haram, L. E., Altman, I., Kennedy, K., Ruiz, G. M., & Miller, A. W. (2019). Founder effects and species introductions: A host versus parasite perspective. *Evolutionary Applications*, *13*(3), 559–574. PubMed (32431736). doi: 10.1111/eva.12868

Blommers, L. H. M., Helsen, H. H. M., & Vaal, F. W. N. M. (2004). Life history data of the rosy apple aphid Dysaphis plantaginea (Pass.) (Homopt., Aphididae) on plantain and as migrant to apple. *Journal of Pest Science*, *77*(3), 155–163. doi: 10.1007/s10340-004-0046-5

Bloomquist, E. W., Lemey, P., & Suchard, M. A. (2010). Three roads diverged? Routes to phylogeographic inference. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *25*(11), 626–632. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2010.08.010

Bonnemaison, L. (1959). Le puceron cendré du pommier (Dysaphis plantaginea Pass.). Morphologie et biologie. Méthodes de lutte. *Annales Des Épiphyties*, (3), 257–320.

Brady, C. M., Asplen, M. K., Desneux, N., Heimpel, G. E., Hopper, K. R., Linnen, C. R., … White, J. A. (2014). Worldwide populations of the aphid Aphis craccivora are infected with diverse facultative bacterial symbionts. *Microbial Ecology*, *67*(1), 195–204.

Breiman, L. (2001). Random Forests. *Machine Learning*, *45*(1), 5–32. doi: 10.1023/A:1010933404324

Buchner, P. (1965). Endosymbiosis of Animals with Plant Microorganisms. In H. Malke (Ed.), *Zeitschrift für allgemeine Mikrobiologie* (Vol. 7, pp. 168–168). ohn Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Interscience Publ. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/jobm.19670070219

CAB International. (2020). *Dysaphis plantaginea. [Distribution map]*. Nosworthy Way, Wallingford, Oxfordshire, OX10 8DE, UK.: CAB International Wallingford UK. Retrieved from https://www.cabi.org/isc/abstract/20056600429

Celton, J. M., Chagne, D., Tustin, S. D., Terakami, S., Nishitani, C., Yamamoto, T., & Gardiner, S. E. (2009). Update on comparative genome mapping between Malus and Pyrus. *BMC Res Notes*, *2*. doi: 10.1186/1756-0500-2-182

Chapuis, M.-P., Raynal, L., Plantamp, C., Meynard, C. N., Blondin, L., Marin, J.-M., & Estoup, A. (2020). A young age of subspecific divergence in the desert locust inferred by ABC random forest. *Molecular Ecology*, 1–17. doi: 10.1111/mec.15663

Chen, C., Durand, E., Forbes, F., & François, O. (2007). Bayesian clustering algorithms ascertaining spatial population structure: A new computer program and a comparison study. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, *7*(5), 747–756.

Choi, H., Shin, S., Jung, S., Clarke, D. J., & Lee, S. (2018). Molecular phylogeny of

    Macrosiphini (Hemiptera: Aphididae): An evolutionary hypothesis for the

    Pterocomma-group habitat adaptation. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, *121*,

    12–22. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2017.12.021

Cornille, A., Antolín, F., Garcia, E., Vernesi, C., Fietta, A., Brinkkemper, O., … Roldán-

    Ruiz, I. (2019). A Multifaceted Overview of Apple Tree Domestication. *Trends in*

    Plant Science, *24*(8), 770–782. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2019.05.007

Cornille, A., Feurtey, A., Gélin, U., Ropars, J., Misvanderbrugge, K., Gladieux, P., & Giraud,

    T. (2015). Anthropogenic and natural drivers of gene flow in a temperate wild fruit

    tree: A basis for conservation and breeding programs in apples. *Evolutionary*

    Applications, n/a-n/a. doi: 10.1111/eva.12250

Cornille, A., Giraud, T., Smulders, M. J. M., Roldán-Ruiz, I., & Gladieux, P. (2014). The

    domestication and evolutionary ecology of apples. *Trends in Genetics*, *30*(2), 57–65.

    doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2013.10.002

Cornuet, J. M., & Luikart, G. (1996). Description and power analysis of two tests for

    detecting recent population bottlenecks from allele frequency data. *Genetics*, *144*(4),

    2001–2014.

Csillery, K., Blum, M., & Francois, O. (2012). *Tools for Approximate Bayesian Computation*

    (ABC) http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/abc/index.html.

Csilléry, K., Blum, M. G. B., Gaggiotti, O. E., & François, O. (2010). Approximate Bayesian

    computation (ABC) in practice. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *25*(7), 410–418.

Currat, M., & Excoffier, L. (2005). The effect of the Neolithic expansion on European

    molecular diversity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,

    272(1564), 679–688. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2004.2999

Dray, S., & Dufour, A.-B. (2007). The ade4 Package: Implementing the Duality Diagram for Ecologists. *Journal of Statistical Software; Vol 1, Issue 4 (2007)*. doi: 10.18637/jss.v022.i04

Durand, E., Chen, C., & François, O. (2009). *Tess version 2.3—Reference Manual August 2009*. 30.

Egea, R., Casillas, S., & Barbadilla, A. (2008). Standard and generalized McDonald-Kreitman test: A website to detect selection by comparing different classes of DNA sites. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *36*(Web Server), W157–W162. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn337

Escudié, F., Auer, L., Bernard, M., Mariadassou, M., Cauquil, L., Vidal, K., … Pascal, G. (2018). FROGS: Find, Rapidly, OTUs with Galaxy Solution. *Bioinformatics*, *34*(8), 1287–1294. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx791

Estoup, A. A., Raynal, L., Verdu, P., & Marin, J.-M. (2018). Model choice using Approximate Bayesian Computation and Random Forests: Analyses based on model grouping to make inferences about the genetic history of Pygmy human populations. *Journal de La Societe Française de Statistique*, *159*(3), 167–190.

Estoup, A., & Guillemaud, T. (2010). Reconstructing routes of invasion using genetic data: Why, how and so what? *Molecular Ecology*, *19*(19), 4113–4130. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04773.x

Evanno, G., Regnaut, S., & Goudet, J. (2005). Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Molecular Ecology*, *14*(8), 2611–2620.

Excoffier, L., & Foll, M. (2011). fastsimcoal: A continuous-time coalescent simulator of genomic diversity under arbitrarily complex evolutionary scenarios. *Bioinformatics*, *27*(9), 1332–1334. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr124

Excoffier, L., Foll, M., & Petit, R. J. (2009). Genetic consequences of range expansions. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, *40*(1), 481–501. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173414

Excoffier, L., & Lischer, H. E. L. (2010). Arlequin suite ver 3.5: A new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *10*(3), 564–567. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010.02847.x

Fang, F., Chen, J., Jiang, L., Qu, Y., & Qiao, G. (2018). Genetic origin and dispersal of the invasive soybean aphid inferred from population genetic analysis and approximate Bayesian computation. *Integrative Zoology*, *13*(5), 536–552. doi: 10.1111/1749-4877.12307

Figueroa, C. C., Fuentes-Contreras, E., Molina-Montenegro, M. A., & Ramírez, C. C. (2018). Biological and genetic features of introduced aphid populations in agroecosystems. *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, *26*, 63–68. doi: 10.1016/j.cois.2018.01.004

Fix, A. G. (1997). Gene Frequency Clines Produced by Kin-Structured Founder Effects. *Human Biology*, *69*(5), 663–673.

Fontaine, M., Labbé, F., Dussert, Y., Delière, L., Richart-Cervera, S., Giraud, T. E., & Delmotte, F. (2020). *Europe as a bridgehead in the worldwide invasion history of grapevine downy mildew, Plasmopara viticola*. doi: 10.1101/2020.09.22.307678

Foottit, R. G., Halbert, S. E., Miller, G. L., Maw, E., & Russell, L. M. (2006). *Adventive aphids (Hemiptera: Aphididae) of America north of Mexico*. Retrieved from https://pubag.nal.usda.gov/catalog/8716

Frago, E., Mala, M., Weldegergis, B. T., Yang, C., McLean, A., Godfray, H. C. J., … Dicke, M. (2017). Symbionts protect aphids from parasitic wasps by attenuating herbivore-induced plant volatiles. *Nature Communications*, *8*(1), 1860. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-01935-0

Frago, E., Zytynska, S. E., & Fatouros, N. E. (2020). Microbial symbionts of herbivorous species across the insect tree. *Mechanisms Underlying Microbial Symbiosis*, 111–159. doi: 10.1016/bs.aiip.2020.04.002

Fraimout, A., Debat, V., Fellous, S., Hufbauer, R. A., Foucaud, J., Pudlo, P., … Estoup, A. (2017). Deciphering the Routes of invasion of Drosophila suzukii by Means of ABC Random Forest. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *34*(4), 980–996. PMC (PMC5400373). doi: 10.1093/molbev/msx050

Francis, R. (2016). POPHELPER: An R package and web app to analyse and visualise population structure. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *17*, n/a-n/a. doi: 10.1111/1755-0998.12509

François, O., Blum, M. G. B., Jakobsson, M., & Rosenberg, N. A. (2008). Demographic History of European Populations of Arabidopsis thaliana. *PLOS Genetics*, *4*(5), e1000075. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000075

Fu, Y.-X. (1997). Statistical Tests of Neutrality of Mutations Against Population Growth, Hitchhiking and Background Selection. *Genetics*, *147*(2), 915.

Garnas, J., R., Auger-Rozenberg, M.-A., Roques, A., Bertelsmeier, C., Wingfield, M. J., Saccaggi, D. L., … Slippers, B. (2016). Complex patterns of global spread in invasive insects: Eco-evolutionary and management consequences. *Biological Invasions*, *18*(4), 935–952. doi: 10.1007/s10530-016-1082-9

Garza, J. C., & Williamson, E. G. (2001). Detection of reduction in population size using data from microsatellite loci. *Molecular Ecology*, *10*(2), 305–318. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-294X.2001.01190.x

Gauthier, J.-P., Outreman, Y., Mieuzet, L., & Simon, J.-C. (2015). Bacterial Communities Associated with Host-Adapted Populations of Pea Aphids Revealed by Deep

Sequencing of 16S Ribosomal DNA. *PLOS ONE*, *10*(3), e0120664. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0120664

GBIF. (2020). Dysaphis plantaginea (Passerini, 1860) in GBIF Secretariat. Retrieved October 26, 2020, from https://www.gbif.org/species/2075245

Giordano, R., Donthu, R. K., Zimin, A. V., Julca Chavez, I. C., Gabaldon, T., van Munster, M., … Zhan, S. (2020). Soybean aphid biotype 1 genome: Insights into the invasive biology and adaptive evolution of a major agricultural pest. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, *120*, 103334. doi: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2020.103334

Gladieux, P., Feurtey, A., Hood, M. E., Snirc, A., Clavel, J., Dutech, C., … Giraud, T. (2015). The population biology of fungal invasions. *Molecular Ecology*, *24*(9), 1969–1986. doi: 10.1111/mec.13028

Gladieux, Pierre, Ropars, J., Badouin, H., Branca, A., Aguileta, G., de Vienne, D. M., … Giraud, T. (2014). Fungal evolutionary genomics provides insight into the mechanisms of adaptive divergence in eukaryotes. *Molecular Ecology*, *23*(4), 753–773. doi: 10.1111/mec.12631

Goldstein, D. B., Ruiz Linares, A., Cavalli-Sforza, L. L., & Feldman, M. W. (1995). An evaluation of genetic distances for use with microsatellite loci. *Genetics*, *139*(1), 463–471. doi: 10.1093/genetics/139.1.463

Guillemaud, T., Blin, A., Simon, S., Morel, K., & Franck, P. (2011). Weak Spatial and Temporal Population Genetic Structure in the Rosy Apple Aphid, Dysaphis plantaginea, in French Apple Orchards. *PLoS ONE*, *6*(6), e21263. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0021263

Hardy, O. J., & Vekemans, X. (2002). SPAGeDI: a versatile computer program to analyse spatial genetic structure at the individual or population levels. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, *2*(4), 618–620.

Harris, S. A., Robinson, J. P., & Juniper, B. E. (2002). Genetic clues to the origin of the apple. *Trends Genet*, *18*(8), 426–430.

Haynes, S., Darby, A. C., Daniell, T. J., Webster, G., van Veen, F. J. F., Godfray, H. C. J., … Douglas, A. E. (2003). Diversity of Bacteria Associated with Natural AphidPopulations. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *69*(12), 7216–7223. doi: 10.1128/AEM.69.12.7216-7223.2003

Henry, L. M., Maiden, M. C. J., Ferrari, J., & Godfray, H. C. J. (2015). Insect life history and the evolution of bacterial mutualism. *Ecology Letters*, *18*(6), 516–525. doi: 10.1111/ele.12425

Hickerson, M. J., Carstens, B. C., Cavender-Bares, J., Crandall, K. A., Graham, C. H., Johnson, J. B., … Yoder, A. D. (2010). Phylogeography's past, present, and future: 10 years after. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, *54*(1), 291–301. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2009.09.016

Hoffmann, B. D., & Courchamp, F. (2016). Biological invasions and natural colonisations: Are they that different? *NeoBiota*, *29*, 1–14. doi: 10.3897/neobiota.29.6959

Holman, J. (2009). *Host Plant Catalog of Aphids: Palaearctic Region* (Springer Nature eReference).

Huelsenbeck, J. P., & Ronquist, F. (2001). MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics*, *17*(8), 754–755. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/17.8.754

Jakobsson, M., & Rosenberg, N. A. (2007). CLUMPP: a cluster matching and permutation program for dealing with label switching and multimodality in analysis of population structure. *Bioinformatics*, *23*(14), 1801–1806. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btm233

Jombart, T., & Collins, C. (2015). *A tutorial for Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components (DAPC) using adegenet 2.0.0*. 43.

Jombart, T., Devillard, S., & Balloux, F. (2010). Discriminant analysis of principal

    components: A new method for the analysis of genetically structured populations.

    BMC Genetics, *11*(1), 94. doi: 10.1186/1471-2156-11-94

Jousselin, E., Clamens, A.-L., Galan, M., Bernard, M., Maman, S., Gschloessl, B., … Coeur

    d'acier, A. (2016). Assessment of a 16S rRNA amplicon Illumina sequencing

    procedure for studying the microbiome of a symbiont-rich aphid genus. *Molecular*

    Ecology Resources, *16*(3), 628–640. doi: 10.1111/1755-0998.12478

Jousselin, Emmanuelle, Desdevises, Y., & Coeur d'acier, A. (2009). Fine-scale cospeciation

    between Brachycaudus and Buchnera aphidicola: Bacterial genome helps define

    species and evolutionary relationships in aphids. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B:*

    Biological Sciences, *276*(1654), 187–196. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2008.0679

Kadyrbekov, Rk. (2002). About the aphid fauna (Homoptera Aphididae) of West Tien-Shan

    (Kazakhstan part). *Tethys Entomological Research*, (4), 65–76.

Kalinowski, S. T. (2011). The computer program STRUCTURE does not reliably identify the

    main genetic clusters within species: Simulations and implications for human

    population structure. *Heredity*, *106*(4), 625–632.

Kim, H., Hoelmer, K., & Lee, S. (2016). Population genetics of the soybean aphid in North

    America and East Asia: Test for introduction between native and introduced

    populations. *Biological Invasions*, *19*. doi: 10.1007/s10530-016-1299-7

Kirk, H., Dorn, S., & Mazzi, D. (2013). Molecular genetics and genomics generate new

    insights into invertebrate pest invasions. *Evolutionary Applications*, *6*(5), 842–856.

    doi: 10.1111/eva.12071

Kozich, J. J., Westcott, S. L., Baxter, N. T., Highlander, S. K., & Schloss, P. D. (2013).

    Development of a Dual-Index Sequencing Strategy and Curation Pipeline for

    Analyzing Amplicon Sequence Data on the MiSeq Illumina Sequencing Platform.

Applied and Environmental Microbiology, *79*(17), 5112–5120. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01043-13

Kumar, S., Stecher, G., & Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *33*(7), 1870–1874. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msw054

Lawson Handley, L.-J., Estoup, A., Evans, D. M., Thomas, C. E., Lombaert, E., Facon, B., … Roy, H. E. (2011). Ecological genetics of invasive alien species. *BioControl*, *56*(4), 409–428. doi: 10.1007/s10526-011-9386-2

Leclair, M., Buchard, C., Mahéo, F., Simon, J.-C., & Outreman, Y. (2021). A Link Between Communities of Protective Endosymbionts and Parasitoids of the Pea Aphid Revealed in Unmanipulated Agricultural Systems. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, *9*. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2021.618331

Leclair, M., Pons, I., Mahéo, F., Morlière, S., Simon, J.-C., & Outreman, Y. (2016). Diversity in symbiont consortia in the pea aphid complex is associated with large phenotypic variation in the insect host. *Evolutionary Ecology*, *30*(5), 925–941. doi: 10.1007/s10682-016-9856-1

Lenhart, P. A., & White, J. A. (2020). Endosymbionts facilitate rapid evolution in a polyphagous herbivore. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, *33*(10), 1507–1511. doi: 10.1111/jeb.13697

Loiselle, B. A., Sork, V. L., Nason, J., & Graham, C. (1995). Spatial genetic structure of a tropical understory shrub, Psychotria officinalis (Rubiaceae). *American Journal of Botany*, *82*(11), 1420–1425.

Lombaert, E., Guillemaud, T., Lundgren, J., Koch, R., Facon, B., Grez, A., … Rhule, E. (2014). Complementarity of statistical treatments to reconstruct worldwide routes of

invasion: The case of the Asian ladybird Harmonia axyridis. *Molecular Ecology*, *23*(24), 5979–5997.

Loxdale, H. D., Hardie, J., Halbert, S., Foottit, R., Kidd, N. A. C., & Carter, C. I. (1993). The relative importance of short- and long-range movement of flying aphids. *Biological Reviews*, *68*(2), 291–311. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-185X.1993.tb00998.x

Magoč, T., & Salzberg, S. L. (2011). FLASH: Fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics*, *27*(21), 2957–2963. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507

Mahé, F., Rognes, T., Quince, C., Vargas, C. de, & Dunthorn, M. (2014). Swarm: Robust and fast clustering method for amplicon-based studies. *PeerJ*, *2*, e593. doi: 10.7717/peerj.593

Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet.Journal*, *17*(1), 10–12. doi: 10.14806/ej.17.1.200

McDonald, J. H., & Kreitman, M. (1991). Adaptive protein evolution at the Adh locus in Drosophila. *Nature*, *351*(6328), 652–654. doi: 10.1038/351652a0

Meirmans, P. G., & Van Tienderen, P. H. (2004). Genotype and genodive: Two programs for the analysis of genetic diversity of asexual organisms. *Mol Ecol Notes*, *4*(4), 792–794.

Menozzi, P., Piazza, A., & Cavalli-Sforza, L. (1978). Synthetic maps of human gene frequencies in Europeans. *Science*, *201*(4358), 786–792. doi: 10.1126/science.356262

Mizrahi-Man, O., Davenport, E. R., & Gilad, Y. (2013). Taxonomic Classification of Bacterial 16S rRNA Genes Using Short Sequencing Reads: Evaluation of Effective Study Designs. *PLOS ONE*, *8*(1), e53608. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0053608

Morales-Hojas, R., Sun, J., Iraizoz, F. A., Tan, X., & Chen, J. (2020). Contrasting population structure and demographic history of cereal aphids in different environmental and

agricultural landscapes. *Ecology and Evolution*, *10*(18), 9647–9662. doi: 10.1002/ece3.6565

Nei, M. (1987). *Molecular Evolutionary Genetics*. Columbia University Press. Retrieved from https://books.google.es/books?id=UhRSsLkjxDgC

Oliver, K. M., Degnan, P. H., Burke, G. R., & Moran, N. A. (2010). Facultative symbionts in aphids and the horizontal transfer of ecologically important traits. *Annu Rev Entomol*, *55*. doi: 10.1146/annurev-ento-112408-085305

Peccoud, J., Figueroa, C. C., Silva, A. X., Ramirez, C. C., Mieuzet, L., Bonhomme, J., … Simon, J.-C. (2008). Host range expansion of an introduced insect pest through multiple colonizations of specialized clones. *Molecular Ecology*, *17*(21), 4608–4618. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03949.x

Piffaretti, J., Clamens, A.-L., Vanlerberghe-masutti, F., Gupta, R. K., Call, E., Halbert, S., & Jousselin, E. (2013). Regular or covert sex defines two lineages and worldwide superclones within the leaf-curl plum aphid (Brachycaudus helichrysi, Kaltenbach). *Molecular Ecology*, *22*(15), 3916–3932. doi: 10.1111/mec.12371

Piry, S., Luikart, G., & Cornuet, J. M. (1999). Computer note. BOTTLENECK: a computer program for detecting recent reductions in the effective size using allele frequency data. *Journal of Heredity*, *90*(4), 502–503. doi: 10.1093/jhered/90.4.502

Popkin, M., Piffaretti, J., Clamens, A.-L., Qiao, G.-X., Chen, J., Vitalis, R., … Jousselin, E. (2017). Large-scale phylogeographic study of the cosmopolitan aphid pest Brachycaudus helichrysi reveals host plant associated lineages that evolved in allopatry. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, *120*(1), 102–114. doi: 10.1111/bij.12869

Posada, D., & Crandall, K. A. (2001). Intraspecific gene genealogies: Trees grafting into networks. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *16*(1), 37–45. doi: 10.1016/S0169-5347(00)02026-7

Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M., & Donnelly, P. (2000). Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics*, *155*(2), 945–959.

Prugnolle, F., Manica, A., & Balloux, F. (2005). Geography predicts neutral genetic diversity of human populations. *Current Biology*, *15*(5), R159–R160. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2005.02.038

Pudlo, P., Marin, J.-M., Estoup, A., Cornuet, J.-M., Gautier, M., & Robert, C. P. (2016a). Reliable ABC model choice via random forests. *Bioinformatics*, *32*(6), 859–866. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv684

Puechmaille, S. J. (2016). The program structure does not reliably recover the correct population structure when sampling is uneven: Subsampling and new estimators alleviate the problem. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *16*(3), 608–627. doi: 10.1111/1755-0998.12512

Raynal, L., Marin, J.-M., Pudlo, P., Ribatet, M., Robert, C. P., & Estoup, A. (2019). ABC random forests for Bayesian parameter inference. *Bioinformatics*, *35*(10), 1720–1728. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty867

Rebijith, K. B., Hande, R., Joshi, S., Surveswaran, S., Ramamurthy, V., & Kumar, N. (2017). Reconstructing the macroevolutionary patterns of aphids (Hemiptera: Aphididae) using nuclear and mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*. doi: 10.1093/biolinnean/blx020

Ripley, B. D., & Ripley, B. D. (2001). The R project in statistical computing. *MSOR Connections*.

Rispe, C., Legeai, F., Nabity, P. D., Fernández, R., Arora, A. K., Baa-Puyoulet, P., … Tagu,

    D. (2020). The genome sequence of the grape phylloxera provides insights into the

    evolution, adaptation, and invasion routes of an iconic pest. *BMC Biology*, *18*(1), 90.

    doi: 10.1186/s12915-020-00820-5

Rius, M., & Darling, J. (2014). How important is intraspecific genetic admixture to the

    success of colonising populations? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *29*. doi:

    10.1016/j.tree.2014.02.003

Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C., & Mahé, F. (2016). VSEARCH: A versatile

    open source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ*, *4*, e2584. doi: 10.7717/peerj.2584

Rousset, F. (2008). Genepop'007: A complete re-implementation of the genepop software for

    Windows and Linux. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *8*(1), 103–106.

Roux, C., & Pannell, J. R. (2015). Inferring the mode of origin of polyploid species from

    next-generation sequence data. *Molecular Ecology*, (24), 1047–1059. doi:

    10.1111/mec.13078

Rozas, J., Sànchez-DelBarrio, J. C., Messeguer, X., & Rozas, R. (2003). DnaSP, DNA

    polymorphism analyses by the coalescent and other methods. *Bioinformatics*, *19*(18),

    2496–2497. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btg359

Russell, J. A., Weldon, S., Smith, A. H., Kim, K. L., Hu, Y., Łukasik, P., … Oliver, K. M.

    (2013). Uncovering symbiont-driven genetic diversity across North American pea

    aphids. *Molecular Ecology*, *22*(7), 2045–2059. doi: 10.1111/mec.12211

Simon, J.-C., Rispe, C., & Sunnucks, P. (2002). Ecology and evolution of sex in aphids.

    Trends in Ecology & Evolution, *17*(1), 34–39. doi: 10.1016/S0169-5347(01)02331-X

Simon, J.-C., Stoeckel, S., & Tagu, D. (2010). Evolutionary and functional insights into

    reproductive strategies of aphids. *Comptes Rendus Biologies*, *333*(6), 488–496. doi:

    10.1016/j.crvi.2010.03.003

Sow, A., Brévault, T., Benoit, L., Chapuis, M.-P., Galan, M., Coeur D'acier, A., … Haran, J. (2019). Deciphering host-parasitoid interactions and parasitism rates of crop pests using DNA metabarcoding. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1), 3646. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-40243-z

Spengler, R. N. (2019). Origins of the Apple: The Role of Megafaunal Mutualism in the Domestication of Malus and Rosaceous Trees. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *10*. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00617

Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics*, *30*(9), 1312–1313. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033

Stekolshchikov, A. V. (2006). Aphids of the genus Dysaphis Börner (Homoptera, Aphididae) living on plants of the family polygonaceae. *Entomological Review*, *86*(7), 787–805. doi: 10.1134/S0013873806070049

Stukenbrock, E. H. (2016). The Role of Hybridization in the Evolution and Emergence of New Fungal Plant Pathogens. *Phytopathology*, *106*(2), 104–112. doi: 10.1094/PHYTO-08-15-0184-RVW

Stukenbrock, E. H., & McDonald, B. A. (2008). The Origins of Plant Pathogens in Agro-Ecosystems. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, *46*(1), 75–100. doi: 10.1146/annurev.phyto.010708.154114

Szpiech, Z. A., Jakobsson, M., & Rosenberg, N. A. (2008). ADZE: a rarefaction approach for counting alleles private to combinations of populations. *Bioinformatics*, *24*(21), 2498–2504. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btn478

Tajima, F. (1989). Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. *Genetics*, *123*(3), 585–595.

Tardío, J., Arnal, A., & Lázaro, A. (2020). Ethnobotany of the crab apple tree (Malus sylvestris (L.) Mill., Rosaceae) in Spain. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*. doi: 10.1007/s10722-020-01026-y

Tsuchida, T., Koga, R., Shibao, H., Matsumoto, T., & Fukatsu, T. (2002). Diversity and geographic distribution of secondary endosymbiotic bacteria in natural populations of the pea aphid, Acyrthosiphon pisum. *Molecular Ecology*, *11*(10), 2123–2135. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-294X.2002.01606.x

Turcotte, M. M., Araki, H., Karp, D. S., Poveda, K., & Whitehead, S. R. (2017). The eco-evolutionary impacts of domestication and agricultural practices on wild species. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, *372*(1712). doi: 10.1098/rstb.2016.0033

Vekemans, X., & Hardy, O. J. (2004). New insights from fine-scale spatial genetic structure analyses in plant populations. *Molecular Ecology*, *13*(4), 921–935.

Vorburger, C., Lancaster, M., & Sunnucks, P. (2003). Environmentally related patterns of reproductive modes in the aphid Myzus persicae and the predominance of two 'superclones' in Victoria, Australia. *Molecular Ecology*, *12*(12), 3493–3504. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-294X.2003.01998.x

Wang, Y., Hereward, J. P., & Zhang, G. (2016). High Spatial Genetic Structure and Genetic Diversity in Chinese Populations of Sitobion miscanthi (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*, *109*(1), 375–384. doi: 10.1093/jee/tov294

Warneys, R., Gaucher, M., Robert, P., Aligon, S., Anton, S., Aubourg, S., … Degrave, A. (2018). Acibenzolar-S-Methyl Reprograms Apple Transcriptome Toward Resistance to Rosy Apple Aphid. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *9*, 1795. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.01795

Watterson, G. A. (1975). On the number of segregating sites in genetical models without recombination. *Theoretical Population Biology*, *7*(2), 256–276.

Watterson, G. A. (1978). The homozygosity test of neutrality. *Genetics*, *88*(2), 405.

Wegmann, D., Leuenberger, C., Neuenschwander, S., & Excoffier, L. (2010). ABCtoolbox: A versatile toolkit for approximate Bayesian computations. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *11*(1), 116. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-11-116

Wei, G., Zuorui, S., Zhihong, L., & Lingwang, G. (2005). Migration and population genetics of the grain aphid Macrosiphum miscanti (Takahashi) in relation to the geographic distance and gene flow. *Progress in Natural Science*, *15*(11), 1000–1004. doi: 10.1080/10020070512331343176

Weir, B. S., & Cockerham, C. C. (1984). Estimating F-Statistics for the Analysis of Population Structure. *Evolution*, *38*(6), 1358–1370. doi: 10.2307/2408641

Wilkaniec, B. (1993). The influence of feeding of the rosy apple aphid, Dysaphis plantaginea (Pass.) (Homoptera: Aphididae) on the growth of apple fruits. *Roczniki Nauk Rolniczych. Seria E, Ochrona Roślin*, *23*(1/2), 75–78.

Xiang, Y., Huang, C.-H., Hu, Y., Wen, J., Li, S., Yi, T., … Ma, H. (2017). Evolution of Rosaceae Fruit Types Based on Nuclear Phylogeny in the Context of Geological Times and Genome Duplication. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *34*(2), 262–281. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msw242

Zepeda-Paulo, F. A., Simon, J. -C., Ramírez, C. C., Fuentes-Contreras, E., Margaritopoulos, J. T., Wilson, A. C. C., … Figueroa, C. C. (2010). The invasion route for an insect pest species: The tobacco aphid in the New World. *Molecular Ecology*, *19*(21), 4738–4752. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04857.x

Zepeda-Paulo, F., Ortiz-Martínez, S., Silva, A. X., & Lavandero, B. (2018). Low bacterial
community diversity in two introduced aphid pests revealed with 16S rRNA amplicon
sequencing. *PeerJ*, *6*, e4725. doi: 10.7717/peerj.4725

Zhang, B., Edwards, O., Kang, L., & Fuller, S. (2014). A multi-genome analysis approach
enables tracking of the invasion of a single Russian wheat aphid (Diuraphis noxia)
clone throughout the New World. *Mol Ecol*, *23*. doi: 10.1111/mec.12714

Zhou, H.-X., Zhang, R.-M., Tan, X.-M., Tao, Y.-L., Wan, F., Wu, Q., & Chu, D. (2015).
Invasion Genetics of Woolly Apple Aphid (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in China. *Journal
of Economic Entomology*, *108*. doi: 10.1093/jee/tov074

Zytynska, S. E., & Weisser, W. W. (2016). The natural occurrence of secondary bacterial
symbionts in aphids. *Ecological Entomology*, *41*(1), 13–26. doi: 10.1111/een.12281