Pathogenic and Indigenous Denitrifying Bacteria are Transcriptionally Active and Key Multi-Antibiotic Resistant Players in Wastewater Treatment Plants

Ling Yuan [1, 2], Yubo Wang [1, 2], Lu Zhang [1, 2], Alejandro Palomo [3], Jizhong Zhou [4], Barth F. Smets [3], Helmut Bürgmann [5], Feng Ju [1, 2] *

[1] Key Laboratory of Coastal Environment and Resources Research of Zhejiang Province, School of Engineering, Westlake University, Hangzhou, China

[2] Institute of Advanced Technology, Westlake Institute for Advanced Study, 18 Shilongshan Road, Hangzhou 310024, China

[3] Department of Environmental Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Denmark

[4] Department of Microbiology and Plant Biology, Institute for Environmental Genomics, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, 73019, USA

[5] Department of Surface Waters - Research and Management, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology (Eawag), Switzerland

ABSTRACT

The global rise and spread of antibiotic resistance greatly challenge the treatment of bacterial infections. Wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) harbor and discharge antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) as environmental contaminants. However, the knowledge gap on the host identity, activity and functionality of ARGs limits transmission and health risk assessment of WWTPs resistome. Hereby, a genome-centric quantitative metatranscriptomic approach was exploited to realize high-resolution qualitative and quantitative analyses of bacterial hosts of ARGs (i.e., multi-resistance, pathogenicity, activity and niches) throughout 12 urban WWTPs. We found that ~45% of 248 recovered genomes expressed ARGs against multiple classes of antibiotics, among which bacitracin and aminoglycoside resistance genes in *Proteobacteria* was the most prevalent scenario. Both potential pathogens and indigenous denitrifying bacteria were transcriptionally active hosts of ARGs. The almost unchanged relative expression levels of ARGs in the most resistant populations (66.9%) and the surviving ARG hosts including globally emerging pathogens (e.g., *Aliarcobacter cryaerophilus*) in treated WWTP effluent prioritizes future examination on the health risks related with resistance propagation and human exposure in the receiving environment.

KEYWORDs: Antibiotic resistance; Wastewater treatment plants; Denitrifying and pathogenic bacteria; Genome-centric metatranscriptomics; Metagenome-assembled genomes

INTRODUCTION

The extensive use of antibiotics and the resulting accelerated bacterial resistance dissemination have largely promoted the rise of antibiotic resistance as one of the greatest global public health threats[1, 2]. Most of the antibiotic wastes together with antibiotic resistant bacteria and antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) emitted from anthropogenic sources in urban areas eventually enter wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) which are considered as hotspots for the release of ARGs and their hosts into the environment[3-5]. The prevalence and high diversity of ARGs in WWTPs have been widely noted[4, 6-8] through metagenomic approaches[9, 10]. However, the fragmented nature of reported metagenomic assemblies cannot solidly predict identity of ARG host. Previous study based on genome-centric metagenomics enables a better understanding of ARG hosts in activated sludge at the genome level[11], but the lack of activity-based resistome monitoring make it impossible to examine expression activity of ARG and identify active ARG hosts in WWTPs.

Theoretically, genome-centric metatranscriptomics can overcome the above technical bottlenecks by providing both high-resolution genome-level taxonomy and global gene expression activities of environmental microorganisms. The host identity and activity of ARGs in activated sludge has been preliminarily explored with a genome-centric metatranscriptomic method[12], but the absolute gene expression pattern of ARG hosts in the varying WWTP compartments (e.g., influent, activated sludge, effluent) remain unknown, restraining objective evaluation of environmental

transmission and health risks of antibiotic resistance in the receiving environment of

WWTPs effluent. Moreover, the important functional traits (e.g., nitrogen and

phosphorus removal, pathogenicity and niche breadth) of ARG hosts are still poorly

understood. The knowledge is, however, of particular interest as the locally-adapted

microbes dedicated to organic and nutrients removal in activated sludge are under

continuous and long-term exposure to subinhibitory levels of antimicrobial

contaminants (e.g., antibiotics, heavy metals and biocides[6, 13, 14]). Considering the fact

that enteric microbes including pathogens are being continuously introduced into

WWTPs with sewage inflow, their regular close contact with indigenous microbes

that are potentially under stress from exposure to antimicrobials may create conditions

where resistance exchange involving pathogens followed by multi-resistance selection

and potential local niche adaptation is favored (Fig. 1). This may represent expectable

but not yet evaluated ecological and health risks[15]. Although functional bacteria[16-18]

and ARGs[6, 19, 20] in WWTPs were extensively studied independently through

culture-independent approaches[21-23], the extent to which indigenous microbes and

especially the key functional bacteria in different compartments of WWTPs may

represent hitherto-unrecognized recipients or even disseminators of ARGs remains

unexplored. Efforts are needed to fill all these knowledge gaps on the antibiotic

resistance in WWTPs with improved methodology.

The metagenomes and metatranscriptomes generated by our preliminary study

have been used to gain an overview on the fate and expression patterns of known

antibiotic, biocide and metal resistance genes in the WWTPs[6]. However, the identity,

multi-resistance, pathogenicity, distribution, activity and other functional traits of

ARG hosts remained unknown, due to the fragmented nature of metagenome

assemblies obtained. In this study, we filled the knowledge gaps by re-analysis of the

datasets using an advanced genome-centric metatranscriptomic strategy to answer the

following questions about bacterial populations hosting ARGs in the 12 urban

WWTPs. First, who are the ARG hosts and what are their functional roles throughout

the WWTP compartments? Second, which ARGs are likely be mobilized and/or

hosted by bacterial pathogens? Third, who are the important ARG hosts that actively

express ARGs throughout and across WWTPs especially in the treated effluent? To

address these questions, we first resolved the genome phylogenies of active ARG

hosts in the WWTPs and found *Proteobacteria* and *Actinobacteriota* as the two most

common bacterial hosts. We then checked the multi-resistance, pathogenicity,

distribution, activity, survival, and other key functional traits (e.g., biological nitrogen

removal) of all the identified ARG hosts from the WWTPs, leading to the key finding

that potential pathogens and indigenous denitrifiers are transcriptionally active and

key players of wastewater (multi-)antibiotic resistance genes (Fig. 1). This study

simultaneously links ARGs to their host identity, activity and functionality in the

varying WWTP compartments, which offers a comprehensive, in-depth and new

understanding of the key functional traits and microbial ecology of antibiotic

resistance in WWTPs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Genome-centric Reanalysis of WWTPs Microbiome Data. Between March and April 2016, a total of 47 microbial biomass samples were taken from the primarily clarified influent, the denitrifying bioreactors, the nitrifying bioreactors and the secondarily clarified effluent of 12 urban WWTPs that mainly receives domestic sewage across Switzerland. Total DNA and RNA extractions, processing of the mRNA internal standards , data pretreatment, and metagenome assembly were performed as previously described in our earlier publication[6].

Genome Binning, Annotation and Phylogenetic Analysis. Metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) were recovered using MetaWRAP (v1.2.2)[24] pipeline. Briefly, with metaBAT2 in the binning module, MAGs were reconstructed from the 47 single-sample assemblies. Contamination and completeness of the recovered MAGs were evaluated by CheckM (v1.0.12)[25], and only those genomes with quality score (defined as completeness – 5×contamination) ≥ 50[26] were included in the succeeding analysis. The draft genomes were dereplicated using dRep (v1.4.3)[27] with default parameters, which resulted in a total of 248 unique and high-quality MAGs. The recovered MAGs were deposited in the China National Gene Bank Database (CNGBdb: https://db.cngb.org/) under the project accession number CNP0001328. The accession numbers of 248 MAGs were listed in Dataset S2.

Taxonomy affiliation of each MAG was determined by GTDB-Tk (v0.3.2)[28] classify_wf. Open reading frames (ORFs) were predicted from MAGs using Prodigal

$(v2.6.3)^{29}$. Phylogenetic analysis of MAGs was conducted with FastTree $(v2.1.10)^{30}$

based on a set of 120 bacterial domain-specific marker genes from GTDB, and the

phylogenetic tree was visualized in iTOL[31].

ARG Annotation and Mobility Assessment. The annotation of ARGs from the

recovered MAGs was accomplished using DeepARG $(v2)^{32}$ with options '--align

--genes --prob 80 --iden 50'. Predicted ARGs of antibiotic classes with less than 10

reference sequences in the database were removed to avoid mis-annotation due to

possible bias. In total, 496 ORFs annotated in 162 MAGs were identified as ARGs

with resistance functions to 14 specific antibiotic classes, while 312 ORFs annotated

in 117 MAGs were identified as ARGs of multidrug class and were listed in Dataset

S3 but not included in the downstream analysis. The 248 high-quality MAGs were

then categorized as "multi-resistant" (113), "single-resistant" (49) and "non-resistant"

(86), according to whether >1, =1, or =0 ARG classes were annotated in the genome,

respectively.

Considering the importance of the plasmid for spreading ARGs, the presence of

plasmid sequences in the metagenomic contigs was checked by PlasFlow $(v1.1)^{33}$

which utilizes neural network models trained on full genome and plasmid sequences

to predict plasmid sequences from metagenome-assembled contigs. A strict parameter

'--threshold 0.95' was employed to robustly compare the occurrence frequencies of

plasmid contigs in the binned (i.e., MAGs) and un-binned contigs. Moreover, mobile

genetic elements (MGEs) were identified by hmmscan[34] against Pfam[35], with options

'--cut-ga'. The mobility of ARGs was predicted based on either their location on the

plasmid contig or co-occurrence with an MGE in a nearby genomic region (<10 kb)[36].

Identification of Pathogenic Genomes. The candidate pathogenic genomes were

firstly taxonomically identified based on two published reference pathogen lists

containing 140 potentially human pathogenic genera[37] and 538 human pathogenic

species[38]. Then, 3642 experimentally verified virulence factors downloaded from

pathogenic bacteria virulence factor database (VFDB, last update: Jun 27 2020)[39]

were used to construct a searchable blast database. The ORFs of taxonomically

predicted candidate pathogenic genomes were searched against the constructed

virulence factor database by BLASTN, and those genomes with an ORF with global

nucleic acid identity > 70% to any virulence factor sequence were finalized as

belonging to potential human pathogens.

Nitrification-denitrification Genes Annotation. To explore certain functional

traits (i.e., biological nitrogen removal driven by nitrification and denitrification in

WWTPs) of ARG hosts in the WWTPs, nitrification-denitrification genes (NDGs)

were annotated. Briefly, all MAG-predicted ORFs were searched against a nitrogen

cycle database (NCycDB)[40] using DIAMOND[41]. Those ORFs annotated as

nitrification or denitrification genes with global nucleic acid identity > 85% to the

reference sequences in the NCycDB database were directly interpreted as functional

genes related to nitrogen removal in the WWTPs. Other ORFs were further checked

by BLASTN against the NCBI nt database, ORFs with global nucleic acid identity >

70% to the reference sequences were also identified as annotatable functional genes.

Together, 283 ORFs from 88 MAGs were annotated as NDGs. With the intention to

display the distribution patterns of NDGs in the MAGs, a network was constructed

and visualized in Gephi (v0.9.2)[42]. The network was divided into seven parts

according to nitrification (3) and denitrification (4) pathway steps.

Quantitative Analyses of Genome-centric Metatranscriptomics.

Quantification at the genome level. In order to calculate the relative abundance

and the expression level of each MAG, 47 metagenomic datasets of clean DNA reads

and 47 metatranscriptomic datasets of clean mRNA reads were mapped across the 47

individual assemblies and the 47 ORF libraries using bowtie2 (v2.3.4.1)[43],

respectively. The resulting .sam files contained mapping information of both MAGs

and un-binned contigs, and subsequent filtering extracted mapping results of each

MAG. Then, the relative abundance and expression level of each MAG was

calculated and normalized to RPKM (reads per kilobase per million) values as the

total number of bases (bp) that mapped to the genome, divided by the MAG size (bp)

and the sequencing depth (Gb).

Quantification at the gene transcript level. To overcome the limitation of

relative abundance in the metatranscriptomic analysis[44], absolute expression values

(AEV) were calculated for the 496 ARGs annotated in the 248 high-quality MAGs

based on spiked mRNA internal standards[6] and mapping results. Here, AEV was

calculated as 'transcripts/g-VSS' (TPG_{VSS}) using the following equation:

Absolute expression value (AEV) =

188           $\dfrac{N_{spiked\,RIS}}{m_{biomass}} \times \dfrac{N_{gene\,reads}/L_{gene}}{N_{RIS\,reads}/L_{RIS}}$ (1)

where $N_{spiked\,RIS}$ is the copy numbers of spiked mRNA internal standards (RIS),

$m_{biomass}$ is the mass of collected volatile suspended solids (VSS) which was

regarded as the proxy for biomass by environmental engineers, $N_{gene\,reads}$ is the

number of reads mapped to the gene in the metatranscriptomic dataset, L_{gene} is the

length of the gene, $N_{RIS\,reads}$ is the number of reads mapped to the RIS in the

metatranscriptomic dataset, L_{RIS} is the length of the RIS. This calculation is

optimized by weighing different lengths of reported genes, and only genes with >50%

of their lengths covered by mapped reads were considered. In this study, if the sample

range is not otherwise specified, AEV of a gene refers to the average AEV across all

47 samples.

While AEV is the absolute expression activity of a given gene, relative expression

ratio (RER) is a comparison between the given gene and the single-copy marker genes

(SCMG) in the genome, which calculated by relativizing the AEV of the given gene

by the median AEV of the SCMG in the genome as shown below:

203   Relative expression ratio (RER) $= \dfrac{AEV_{gene}}{median(AEV_{SCMG})}$ (2)

The single-copy marker genes in the recovered genomes were determined by

GTDB-tk[28] which searched 120 ubiquitous single-copy marker genes   of bacteria[45]

in the genome, and those unique marker genes in the genome were used to calculate

basic expression level of the genome. Ideally, if RER > 1, this gene would be regarded

as over expressed compared with the house-keeping marker genes, and if RER = 1, it

indicates that this gene expresses at a same level as the marker genes. Similarly, if

RER < 1, it indicates that this gene is under expressed compared with the marker

genes. Our proposal of these two metrics (i.e., AEV and RER) offer complementary

insights into a given gene of interest: AEV quantifies its absolute expression activity

in a sample, thus proportionally corresponds to the changing concentration of its host

cells within a given microbial community, while RER measures its relative expression

compared with basic expression level of its host genome. Thus, RER is a more

sensitive parameter to monitor microbial response to environmental changes. Finally,

the aggregate AEV and average RER of ARGs in the genome were used to represent

the absolute and relative expression activity of the antibiotic resistance function in this

genome, respectively.

Statistical Analysis. All statistical analyses were considered significant at $p <$

0.05. The similarity of microbial community structure between the nitrification and

denitrification bioreactors was examined by mantel test in R using the function

'mantel' in the vegan package[46]. The difference of relative expression ratio of

individual ARGs and ARGs in the recovered MAGs between the influent and effluent

wastewater was determined by Mann-Whitney U test using function 'wilcox.test' with

option 'paired=FALSE' in R. The difference of concentration of antibiotics between

the influent and effluent wastewater was determined by Mann-Whitney U test using

function 'wilcox.test' with option 'paired=FALSE' in R. The test of difference in

relative expression ratio of ARGs between the four compartments was performed with

Kruskal-Wallis test in python using function 'kruskal wallis' in scipy package. The

average RER of ARGs and denitrification genes in the MAGs was calculated after

removing outliers (based on the 3σ principle).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Metagenome-assembled Genomes Recovered from the WWTPs Microbiome.

The key functions of urban WWTPs such as removal of organic carbon and nutrients

are largely driven by uncultured microorganisms[18, 47, 48]. To explore the key microbial

functional groups including uncultured representatives, 1844 metagenome-assembled

genomes (MAGs) were reconstructed from 47 samples taken from varying

compartments in the 12 Swiss WWTPs. A total of 248 unique and high-quality MAGs

were retained for further analysis after dereplication and quality filtration. These

genomes accounted for 14-62% (average 38%) and 7-75% (average 28%) of paired

metagenomic and metatranscriptomic reads, respectively, and therefore represented an

important fraction of the microbial community in the WWTPs (Dataset S1). Basic

information on the MAGs recovered was listed in Dataset S2. Phylogenetic analysis

based on 120 single-copy marker genes of the 248 MAGs showed their grouping and

taxonomic classification into 15 phyla (Fig. 2). The MAGs recovered was most

taxonomically assigned to *Proteobacteria* (88), followed by *Patescibacteria* (68),

Bacteroidota (39), *Actinobacteriota* (22), *Firmicutes* (11) and *Myxococcota* (4). The

phylum-level microbial community composition in the 12 WWTPs was overall

similar to a recent study that recovered thousands of MAGs from activated sludge of

global WWTPs that were also mostly assigned to *Proteobacteria*, *Bacteroidota* and

Patescibacteria[49].

Further comparisons of abundance percentage and expression percentage of the

248 MAGs across all samples clearly showed distinct DNA- and mRNA-level

compositional profiles across phyla and genomes. Overall, 3, 22 and 88 MAGs

assigned to *Campylobacterota, Actinobacteriota* and *Proteobacteria* exhibited a high

average abundance percentage of 1.9%, 0.8% and 0.6%, corresponding to an average

expression percentage of 4.1%, 0.7%, and 0.7%, respectively. In contrast,

Patescibacteria showed low average abundance percentage (0.12%) and expression

percentage (0.01%). This newly defined superphylum, belonging to a recently

discovered candidate phylum radiation[50, 51], was found to be the second most frequent

populations in the 12 WWTPs of this study. These *Patescibacteria* populations,

however, might have been overlooked by previous large-scale 16S rRNA-based

surveys[17, 47, 52] due to the special features of their 16S rRNA gene (i.e., encoding

proteins and have self-splicing introns rarely found in the 16S rRNA genes of

bacteria)[53]. Our first discovery of their survival at extremely low gene expression

level (Fig. 2) calls for further investigation of the original sources and potential

functional niches of these ultra-small cells (< 0.2 μm) in WWTPs[54].

Host Identity, Expression Activities and Mobility of ARGs. To understand

taxonomic distribution and activity of ARGs in the MAGs recovered from the

WWTPs, a genome-centric metatranscriptomic approach was exploited to examine

ARGs in genomic and transcriptomic contexts of all 248 MAGs. Together, 496 ORFs

carried by 162 (65.3%) MAGs were identified as ARGs encoding resistance functions

of 14 antibiotic classes (Dataset S3). The predicted 162 ARG hosts were further

categorized as "multi-resistant" (113 MAGs, 45.6%) and "single-resistant" (49 MAGs,

19.8%) (Fig. 3a, Dataset S2). Among those multi-resistant MAGs, W60_bin3 and

W72_bin28 affiliated with *Aeromonas media* and *Streptococcus suis,* respectively,

were found to harbor the largest numbers of ARGs, i.e., they both carried 11 ARGs

conferring resistance to 9 and 4 antibiotic classes, respectively, followed by 3 MAGs

from *Aeromonas media* (2) and *Acinetobacter johnsonii* (1) that carried 10 ARGs (Fig.

3a and Dataset S2).

Taxonomically, ARG hosts were found in 11 out of 15 phyla (except for

*Verrucomicrobiota*_A, *Bdellovibrionota*, *Nitrospirota and Gemmatimonadota,* each

containing no more than 2 MAGs) (Fig. 3b). MAGs assigned to the phylum of

Proteobacteria were the most frequent hosts of ARGs. In 88 *Proteobacteria*-affiliated

MAGs, 84 MAGs were ARG hosts encoding resistance of 13 antibiotic classes in total,

and nearly all of them (83 MAGs) were transcriptionally active for resistance to at

least one antibiotic class (Fig. 3b). *Actinobacteriota* were also active hosts of ARGs of

10 antibiotic classes, especially for glycopeptide and tetracycline (Fig. 3b). In contrast,

Patescibacteria were transcriptionally inactive hosts of ARGs, i.e., 10 out of 68

MAGs encoded ARGs with only one population (W73_bin6) displaying transcription

of beta-lactam and aminoglycoside resistance (Fig. 3b). *Patescibacteria* were recently

revealed to harbor small but mighty populations with strong adaptability. They usually

have reduced genomes (~1 Mbp) and truncated metabolic pathways[55], and an under

representation of ARGs in their genomes may be a strategic outcome from their

process of reducing redundant and nonessential functions.

Among the 14 resistance types of ARGs identified (Fig. 3c), ARGs against

bacitracin (78, 31.5%) and aminoglycoside (68, 27.4%), being most prevalent in

Proteobacteria, were found to be the two most frequent resistance types, followed by

ARGs against beta-lactam (47, 19.0%) and fosmidomycin (45, 18.1%). In contrast,

sulfonamide- (2, 0.8%) and chloramphenicol-resistance genes (1, 0.4%) were both

hosted by few MAGs, all belonging to *Proteobacteria* (Fig. 3b). Absolute

quantification revealed that the sulfonamide resistance genes showed the highest

expression level with an average AEV of 2.53×10^{11} transcripts/g-VSS, followed by

those against tetracycline (1.51×10^{11} transcripts/g-VSS) and peptide (1.46×10^{11}

transcripts/g-VSS). In contrast, the fluoroquinolone resistance genes displayed the

lowest average AEV (1.42×10^9 transcripts/g-VSS, Dataset S4). Among all 496 ARGs,

460 ARGs were confirmed to have transcriptional activity in at least one sample

(Dataset S4). This indicated that most ARGs are expressed under the environmental

condition of the WWTPs. The expression of ARGs could be induced by specific

antibiotics or their co-selective or -expressive antimicrobial agents (e.g., other

antibiotics and heavy metals) in wastewater, but may also be constitutively expressed

or only globally regulated by the metabolic regulators[56]. These results reveal that

multiple ARGs were widely distributed and expressed in the WWTPs microbiome.

Plasmids are evolutionarily important reservoir and transfer media for ARGs.

From our study, 11 ARGs were found to locate on the plasmid contigs (Dataset S5),

three of which were carried by potential pathogens (see Fig. 4) i.e., *tet*39 and

ANT(3")-IIc carried by *Acinetobacter johnsonii* and *lnu*A carried by *Streptococcus*

suis as later discussed. It is notable that plasmid sequences, especially when present in

multi-copies or shared across bacteria, are largely excluded from (thus poorly

represented) in the reconstructed genomes which are supposed to mainly consist of

single-copy genomic regions with nearly the same coverage[57]. For example, our

first-hand data from one WWTP showed that only 2.3% contigs from MAGs were

predicted by PlasFlow as plasmid sequences, while 6.4%, 7.1%, 7.7% and 9.9%

contigs from un-binned contigs assembled from influent, denitrifying sludge,

nitrifying sludge and effluent metagenomes were predicted as plasmid-originated.

Besides, 35 ARGs identified from the MAGs were located near to a mobile genetic

element (MGE, <10kb) including six cases that ARG and MGE are directly adjacent

on the same contigs (Dataset S5). These results together reveal possible mobility and

thus dissemination potentials of wastewater ARGs mediated by plasmids or other

MGEs.

Pathogenicity, Distribution and Activities of ARG Hosts Across WWTP

Compartments. Whether environmental ARGs are hosted by clinically relevant

pathogens is central to assessing their health risks. Compared with reported

metagenomic contigs or gene fragments[58, 59], MAGs provide a more complete genome

context allowing for more robust host identification at higher resolution, down to the

genus or species level. In total, 20 potentially pathogenic MAGs were identified based

on the published reference pathogen lists[37, 38] and verified the presence of virulence

factors. Seventeen out of the 20 pathogenic MAGs were found to encode

multi-antibiotic resistance, and the aforementioned 5 MAGs that encode the largest

number of ARGs (10 or 11) all belonged to the pathogenic group. The potentially

pathogenic organisms overall accounted for 47.3% abundance and 65.4% expression

activity in the influent samples (Dataset S6). These potentially pathogenic bacteria

were abundant and active in the influent sewage and likely originated from the human

intestinal tract. It is noteworthy that members of pathogenic group were almost absent

in the downstream denitrifying and nitrifying bioreactors but were observed again in

the effluent where they were not completely eliminated (Fig. 4). We suspected that

these influent-abundant pathogens were mainly planktonic cells that generally failed

to invade or inhabit activated sludge flocs, but passively drifted into the final effluent

with wastewater flow. Among the 20 pathogenic MAGs, 3 were assigned to

Aliarcobacter cryaerophilus, a globally emerging foodborne and zoonotic pathogen

which may cause diarrhea, fever, and abdominal pain to human[60]. *A. cryaerophilus*

showed high abundance and expression activity in the influent samples (Fig. 4) and

they were confirmed to present in food of animal origin, drinking water, and sewage

before[61]. Although these three *A. cryaerophilus* species were classified as either

non-resistant or single-resistant, their considerable transcriptional activities in the

effluent (average RPKM in effluent > 1, Dataset S6) deserve further attention. The 9

MAGs classified as *Aeromonas media*, a well-known gram-negative, rod-shaped and

facultative anaerobic opportunistic human pathogen[62], were all identified as being

resistant to more than three classes of antibiotics and transcriptionally active in the

effluent (RPKM in effluent: 0.58~0.67, Dataset S6). In addition, other potential

pathogens survived wastewater treatment included *Acinetobacter johnsonii* (4 MAGs),

Streptococcus (3 MAGs) and *Pseudomonas fluvialis* (1 MAG) (Fig. 4 and Dataset S6).

Together, 18 antibiotic resistant pathogens from the wastewater influent may have

roles as persistent pathogenic agents and ARGs disseminators in the WWTP effluents,

as they could successfully enter into the receiving rivers where health risks associated

with their local propagation, resistance transfer and human exposure call for research

attention.

The comparative profiles in relative abundance and expression level of the 162

ARG hosts as well as the 86 non-resistant MAGs across 47 samples showed that both

the population distribution and the expression profiles dramatically shifted across

influent, denitrification, nitrification, and effluent compartments (Fig. S1), probably

driven by environmental heterogeneity and habitat filtering. Interestingly, although

the denitrification and nitrification compartments differed significantly (paired t-test

p< 0.001) in dissolved oxygen (0.02±0.004 vs. 2.04±0.17 mg/L), organic carbon

(14.32±1.48 vs. 11.23±1.42 mg/L), ammonia nitrogen (8.06±1.02 vs. 2.26±0.63

mg/L), nitrate nitrogen (3.94±1.24 vs. 8.82±1.33 mg/L) and hydrolytic retention time

(3.92±0.45 vs. 8.33±1.30 days)[6], the two compartments shared almost the same

genomic and transcriptomic composition (mantel statistic r = 0.900 and 0.957, *p*<

0.001; Fig. 4), suggesting that a set of core species can survive and thrive in the

classic anoxic-aerobic cycles of activated sludge process. Unlike the tightly clustered

profiles in the influent, the effluent had highly dispersive population distribution and

expression patterns that partially resembled those of activated sludge and influent,

revealing prominent impacts from wastewater treatment and diverse emission of

viable resistant bacteria.

Multi-antibiotic Resistance Associated with Biological Nitrogen Removal.

Biological nitrogen removal is one of the key goals of wastewater treatment processes.

It is driven by nitrifiers and denitrifiers which were found to be closely associated

with antibiotic resistance in this study. Together, 88 MAGs were found to be

potentially involved in wastewater nitrogen removal (Dataset S2). Compared with

nitrification, a much higher diversity of microbes (7 phyla vs. 3 phyla, 87 vs. 5 unique

MAGs) showed genetic potential for denitrification. There were 8 MAGs from

Proteobacteria expressed genes for full denitrification (i.e., NO_3^- - NO_2^- - NO - N_2O -

N_2) and other 79 MAGs expressed genes for partial denitrification. This finding from

WWTP systems echoed the widely accepted ecological concepts that nitrification is

often carried out by specialist taxa while denitrification can involve a wide range of

taxa[63]. It was noteworthy that 4 MAGs simultaneously expressed denitrification and

nitrification genes (2 MAGs from *Nitrospira*, 1 MAG from *Nitrosomonas* and 1 MAG

from *Caldilineales*, Dataset S2). Detailed description of nitrification-denitrification

genes (NDGs) distribution in the 88 MAGs is available in the Supplementary

Information S1, suggesting the presence of these functional bacteria and genes as the

basis for biological nitrogen removal from wastewater.

Among these MAGs, a portion of nitrifying populations (3/5 MAGs) and most of

denitrifying (without nitrifying) populations (75/83 MAGs) were multi-resistant

(71/88 MAGs) or single-resistant (7/88 MAGs), while the majority of non-resistant

populations (76/86 MAGs) were not involved in either nitrification or denitrification

(Fig. 5b), revealing antibiotic resistance maybe an important trait for successful

survival and routine functioning of nitrogen-removing bacteria under WWTP

conditions, i.e., in the presence of wastewater-borne antimicrobial stressors. The two

ammonia-oxidizing MAGs classified as *Nitrosomonas* (W68_bin8 and W79_bin32),

both expressed ARGs of bacitracin, and W68_bin8 additionally expressed ARGs of

fosmidomycin and tetracycline. The two nitrite-oxidizing MAGs classified as

Nitrospirota (W81_bin21 and W77_bin34) did not encode detectable ARGs. Besides,

306 out of 496 ARGs were in the MAGs of potential denitrifiers, revealing that

denitrifying bacteria are important hosts of diverse ARGs in the WWTPs (Dataset S2).

The high prevalence of ARGs in denitrifiers was reasonable because there was some

evidence showing the existence of antibiotics would cause a significant inhibition to

denitrification genes[64-66]. Considering the presence of various antibiotics in the

WWTPs (Dataset S8), denitrifiers carrying ARGs could better maintain their

denitrifying function and protect themselves from inhibition by the antibiotics. When

both taxonomic affiliation and nitrogen removal function of the 248 MAGs were

considered, we found that multi-antibiotic resistant *Proteobacteria* (58/88 MAGs,

65.9%) played a predominant role in the nitrification and denitrification, while

Patescibacteria (66/68 MAGs, 97.1%) and *Bacteroidota* (26/39 MAGs, 66.7%) were

dominated by non-resistant or single-resistant populations without a detectable NDG

(Dataset S2). Combined, the above results strongly indicate the high prevalence of

ARGs   in   nitrogen-removing   functional   organisms,   especially   denitrifying

Proteobacteria, a hotspot of multi-antibiotic resistance in WWTP systems. If ARGs

are widely distributed in microbes that performing a central function of the WWTP

process, they can thus likely not be easily removed from these systems.

Differential Antibiotic-Resistant Activities across WWTP Compartments. The

absolute expression and relative expression levels of ARGs were examined both in the

functional groups involved in nitrogen removal (Fig. 6a) and other resistant members

(Fig. 6b) across WWTP compartments. Notably, 14 out of 18 resistant pathogens were

also identified as denitrifiers, thus they may participate in biological nitrogen removal

from wastewater (Fig. 6a). The 18 resistant pathogenic populations (e.g., MAGs from

Acinetobacter johnsonii and *Aeromonas media*) were found actively expressing ARGs

in the WWTPs, and they overall contributed to ~38% of ARGs expression in the

recovered MAGs (Fig. 6a and Dataset S7). Although nitrifiers were overall not active

in the expression of ARGs (e.g., W68_bin8 from *Nitrosomonas*: 2.04×10^8

transcripts/g-VSS, W68_bin12 from *Caldilineales*: 4.03×10^8 transcripts/g-VSS),

some denitrifiers, especially those indigenous denitrifiers (shared >95% total

activities of denitrification genes in the nitrifying and denitrifying sludge, ≤5% total

activities in the influent and effluent) highly expressed ARGs in the WWTPs (e.g., 3

MAGs from *Phycicoccus* and 2 MAGs from *Tetrasphaera* > 6×10^{11}

transcripts/g-VSS). This contrasting pattern between nitrifying and denitrifying

bacteria suggests considerable differences in their resistance response and survival

strategy to tackle the stresses of antibiotics (Dataset S8) or co-selective antimicrobial

agents in the wastewater. Together, the resistant members from potential pathogenic

group (marked in red, Fig. 6) and indigenous denitrifying group (marked in green, Fig.

6a) contributed to ~60% of ARGs expression in the recovered MAGs (Dataset S7).

They were both key hosts of ARGs actively expressing ARGs in the WWTPs.

Of the 64 resistant MAGs without an identifiable NDG but expressed ARGs in the

WWTPs, 35 MAGs primarily expressed ARGs in the nitrifying and denitrifying

bioreactors (>95% total activities) rather than in the influent and effluent (≤5% total

activities, Fig. 6b, Dataset S7). These indigenous resistant bacteria of activated sludge

were dominated by populations of phylum *Bacteroidota* (15 MAGs), *Proteobacteria*

(11   MAGs)   and   *Actinobacteriota*   (8   MAGs,   Fig.   6b).   For   instance,

chemoorganotrophic *Microthrix* (3 MAGs) are associated with activated sludge flocs

formation and filamentous bulking[67], while chemolithoautotrophic *Gallionellaceae* (4

MAG assigned to UBA7399), a poorly characterized family in WWTPs microbiome,

are known to harbor aerobic nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (e.g., *Nitrotoga*[68]) and ferrous

iron-oxidizing bacteria[69]. Unsurprisingly, the absolute expression of ARGs decreased

dramatically (>99%) in most effluent populations, due to the efficient removal of

bacterial cells in the WWTPs (e.g., 88%-99%[6]). However, the effluent had witnessed

detectable expression of ARGs in the 121 resistant MAGs (Dataset S7). There were 6

multi-resistant MAGs maintained high absolute expression (AEV $> 1 \times 10^{10}$

transcripts/g-VSS) in the effluent, among which, two denitrifying *Malikia spinosa*

strains (Fig. 6a) and one *Beggiatoaceae* spp. (Fig. 6b) were identified as the three

most pronounced contributors of multi-antibiotic resistant activities in the effluent

microbiota (8.88×10^{10}, 2.56×10^{10} and 1.67×10^{10} transcripts/g-VSS, respectively).

Besides, according to the measurement data of antibiotics in the previous publication[6],

several kinds of antibiotics (e.g., macrolides, clindamycin, vancomycin) were not

eliminated significantly (Dataset S8). These residual pharmaceuticals and surviving

antibiotic resistant bacteria entering into the receiving water environment may

promote the emergence and transmission of ARGs.

While the comparative profiles of absolute expression (i.e., AEV dynamics)

enable us to sort out host bacteria actively expressing ARGs, RER provides an

additional insight into the relative expression and regulation of ARGs under varying

wastewater stresses and environmental changes throughout WWTPs. Overall, relative

expression of ARGs were only ~0.4-fold of the average expression level of the

single-copy genes in the host genomes, implying that antibiotic resistance was a

generally inactive function with below-average expression level in the WWTP

microbiome. Moreover, most ARGs exhibited relatively stable RER dynamics across

compartments (Fig. 6). Of 130 MAGs that expressed ARGs in the influent and/or

effluent, only 16 (e.g. 2 MAGs from *Zoogloea*) showed significant decrease

(Mann-Whitney FDR-*p*< 0.05) in the RER of ARGs from influent to effluent, and 27

(e.g. 5, 3, 3, 2 MAGs from *Aeromonas media*, *Acinetobacter johnsonii*, *Phycicoccus*

and *Nitrosomonas*, respectively) showed significant increase (Mann-Whitney FDR-*p*<

0.05) in the RER of ARGs. In contrast, no significant change was observed for the

remaining majority MAGs (87/130, 66.9%) (Dataset S9). This result was consistent

with the observation at the level of ARGs (Dataset S10, Supplementary information

S2), indicating that the transcription of ARGs was overall weakly affected by

changing environmental conditions within WWTPs. However, the expression pattern

of NDGs was quite different from that of ARGs. The relative expression of

denitrification genes and nitrification genes were 4.6-fold and 80.1-fold of average

level in the host genomes, respectively, indicating that biological nitrogen removal is

a functionally important and metabolically active bioprocess in the WWTPs. The

significant upregulation (Mann-Whitney FDR-*p*< 0.05) of denitrification genes from

influent to the downstream activated sludge bioreactors was noted in ~53% of the

denitrifiers (46/87 MAGs) (Dataset S9). Notably, two multi-resistant denitrifying

populations assigned to *Rhodocyclaceae* and *Flavobacterium* (Fig. 6a), together with

four functionally unassigned populations associated with *Streptococcus*,

GCA-2746885, *49-20* and *UBA9655* (Fig. 6b), actively expressed ARGs (RER >1)

across the four treatment compartments. Therefore, these persistently active resistant

populations were important reservoirs of wastewater-borne antibiotic resistance.

Research Significance and Methodological Remarks. To the best of our

knowledge, this is the first study to gain so far the most complete insights into the key

functional traits of ARG hosts in WWTPs based on both absolute expression activity

of ARGs and their relative expression activity in the host genomes. Our findings

demonstrated that potential pathogens and indigenous activated sludge denitrifiers in

the WWTPs were important living hosts and hotspots of ARGs in which

multi-antibiotic resistance genes were not only present but also expressed even in the

treated effluent. Further, the almost unchanged relative expression of ARGs in most

resistant populations and those resistant bacteria surviving wastewater treatment

indicate that these populations are robust under environmental conditions and leave

the WWTPs alive, raising environmental concerns regarding their role in

dissemination of multi-antibiotic resistance into downstream aquatic ecosystems.

Future studies are thus needed to examine the propagation and health risks of

wastewater-derived multi-antibiotic resistance determinants with regards to their

ability to successfully colonize the receiving environment of and/or regarding human

exposure to their pathogenic hosts via such environmental reservoirs.

Our study also demonstrates a new methodological framework that integrates

metagenome-centric genomic and quantitative metatranscriptomic analyses to

overcome the limitations of existing DNA read-based, gene-based and/or contig-based

metagenomic approaches commonly employed for host tracking and risk assessment

of environmental ARGs: (i) poor taxonomic resolution, (ii) lack of resistance activity

monitoring, and (iii) lack of absolute quantification of ARGs. This new meta-omics

framework is not only directly applicable for host tracking of ARGs in other

environmental samples or of functional genes other than ARGs, but also sets a

foundation for developing related bioinformatics pipelines and tools. Despite the

demonstrated power of the framework in resolving key host traits of ARGs, its

metagenome-assembled genome analysis necessarily focused on chromosomal ARGs

while underestimated plasmid ARGs, although we also recovered resistance contigs of

plasmid origin from the MAGs recovered (Dataset S5). Notably, it is hard to link

(mobile) multi-resistance plasmids with their host phylogeny with the same

confidence as for chromosomal MAGs, nor can it be completely excluded that the

bacteria from the studied MAGs do not harbor additional ARG on plasmids, whether

an ARG can be identified on their host chromosomes. As the importance of plasmids

for spreading antibiotic resistance is well known, the current approach cannot capture

the full picture of ARG-host relationships. This limitation of our study would, at least

in theory, be circumventable by a massive application of single-cell genomics

although at present this approach would still be limited in practice by cost and labor

considerations. On the other hand, this study focused on gene activity at the

transcriptional level, but lack of information about the actual translated protein.

Further metaproteomics study can help to overcome the loss of information about

protein, but the potential of the function (i.e., antibiotic resistance in the WWTPs) still

needs to be emphasized.

FIGURES

Fig. 1 Potential pathogens and indigenous denitrifiers as active and key players of

multi-antibiotic resistance in the urban wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs). Microbial

samples were taken from the influent, denitrification and nitrification bioreactors, and the effluent

of 12 urban WWTP systems. Metagenomic sequencing, assembly and binning together with

metatranscriptomic analysis enables a genome-level high-resolution and systematic view on the

identity, multi-resistance, pathogenicity, and activity of diverse antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs)

hosts throughout the WWTPs. Potential pathogens (marked by red border, defined as MAGs that

taxonomically predicted as human pathogens and harbored at least one experimentally verified

virulence factor) may derived from human intestinal tracts were abundant in the influent. Diverse

microorganisms lived in the denitrifying and nitrifying sludge, including the indigenous

denitrifiers (marked by green border, defined as MAGs that shared > 95% total expression

activities of denitrification genes in the nitrifying and denitrifying sludge while ≤ 5% total

expression activities in the influent and effluent). Most members of potential pathogens and

indigenous denitrifiers were identified to host multi-antibiotic resistance genes and were not

completely eliminated from the final effluent, thus they represented hitherto-unraveled

disseminators of WWTP-released ARGs. Overall, potential pathogens and indigenous denitrifiers

contributed ~60% of all antibiotic resistance activities detected in the recovered genomes and

were considered as active and key players of antibiotic resistance in the WWTPs.

Fig. 2 Phylogenetic tree of 248 high-quality MAGs recovered from 12 urban WWTPs. The tree was produced from 120 bacterial domain-specific marker genes from GTDB using FastTree and subsequently visualized in iTOL. Labels indicate phyla names and, to facilitate an easier differentiation, the color of the front stars beside the phyla label is the same as the color of the corresponding phyla; phyla in which only one MAG were recovered were taken as others. The relative abundance and expression level of each MAG were calculated based on RPKM values

across all samples. Abundance percentage and expression percentage were proportions of relative

abundance and expression level, respectively, and were shown by external bars (purple: abundance

percentage; blue: expression percentage). The dashed circles represent the scale for abundance and

expression percentage (inside: average 0.4%, outside: 2.0%). Bootstraps >75% are indicated by

the grey dots.

Fig. 3 The distribution and activity of ARGs in the recovered genomes. a. richness of ARGs

and ARG classes detected in 162 resistant MAGs. **b.** taxonomic distribution and absolute

expression value (AEV, transcripts/g-VSS) of ARG classes across MAGs. Yellow color intensity

represents average AEV of ARGs from each ARG class in the genome. Blue color represents the

corresponding MAG harbored but not expressed the corresponding ARG. Figure a and b share the

same horizontal axis. **c.** number of MAGs assigned to each class of ARGs.

Fig. 4 The cross-compartment distribution and expression pattern of potential pathogenic populations in the WWTPs. Heatmap for relative abundance and expression level of 20 potentially pathogenic populations MAGs in the influent, denitrification, nitrification and effluent compartments. Blue color intensity represents genome relative abundance and expression level normalized by RPKM values. Left annotation column shows antibiotic resistant patterns of potential pathogens. Heatmap clustering is computed by "euclidean" distance metric.

Fig. 5 The distribution of MAGs annotated with NDGs and their relationship with antibiotic

resistance. a. Network reveals distribution of NDGs in nitrifiers and denitrifiers. Each node

represented a NDG or MAG (colored by taxonomy and size scaled by expression percentage), and

each edge connected a MAG to a NDG which represented the MAG expressed the NDG in at least

one sample. Color of edge represents antibiotic resistant pattern of the linked MAG (purple:

multi-resistant, orange: single-resistant, grey: non-resistant) **b.** Relationship between antibiotic

resistance and nitrogen-removing metabolism in the related MAGs. The width of the string

represents the number of MAGs.

Fig. 6 The absolute expression value (AEV) and relative expression ratio (RER) for ARGs in the WWTP bacterial populations. a. the AEV and RER of ARGs in MAGs putatively involved in nitrogen removal. **b.** the AEV and RER of ARGs in other MAGs. The case of RER>1 is marked with an asterisk. Right annotation column illustrated antibiotic resistant pattern of MAGs. Column names of heatmap represent compartment ID in the WWTPs. MAGs marked in red were potentially pathogenic group and MAGs marked in green were indigenous denitrifying group.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Distribution and expression activity of NDGs in functional MAGs involved in

nitrogen removal in the WWTPs; Statistical analysis for individual ARGs; Figure

showing the cross-compartment distribution and gene expression pattern of all 248

bacterial populations in WWTPs (DOCX)

Datasets showing the percentage of metagenomic and metatranscriptomic reads

mapped to the 248 MAGs recovered; genome statistics and genes annotation of 248

MAGs recovered from 12 WWTPs; the annotation results of ARGs from recovered

MAGs based on DeepARG; absolute expression value (AEV, transcripts/g-VSS) and

relative expression rate (RER) of 496 ARGs in 162 resistant MAGs across 47 samples;

co-occurrence instances of ARGs and MGEs on the same resistance contigs from

recovered MAGs; relative abundance and expression level of 248 MAGs (as RPKM)

in the WWTPs metagenomes and metatranscriptomes; the average expression value

(AEV) and relative expression ratio (RER) of ARGs in the MAGs; mann-Whitney test

for relative expression ratio (RER) of ARGs and NDGs in the recovered MAGs;

Mann-Whitney and Kruskal-Wallis test for the relative expression ratio (RER) of

ARGs (XLSX)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Dr. Feng Ju (Assistant Professor)

Address: Westlake University, 18 Shilongshan Road, Hangzhou 310024, China

Tel.: 571-87963205 (lab), 571-87380995 (office)

Fax: 0571-85271986

E-mail: jufeng@westlake.edu.cn

Authors Contributions

F. J. designed the experiments and F. J. and L. Y. wrote the manuscript. L. Y., Y. W,

and A. P. performed the bioinformatics analysis. L. Y. and L. Z. performed the

statistical analysis. J. Z., B. S. and B. H. provided constructive suggestions to the

analyses and revised the manuscript. F. J. supervised the project.

Funding

This research was supported by Natural Science Foundation of China via Project

51908467 and by the The National Key Research and Development Program of China

viaProject 2018YFE0110500.

Notes

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. WeiZhi Song at the UNSW Sydney and Mr. Guoqing Zhang at the

Westlake University for helpful discussion on the part of the bioinformatics

procedures.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The sequence datasets are deposited in China National GeneBank (CNGB) with an accession number CNP0001328.

REFERENCES

1. Pruden, A.; Pei, R.; Storteboom, H.; Carlson, K. H., Antibiotic resistance genes as emerging contaminants: studies in northern Colorado. *Environ Sci Technol* **2006,** *40,* (23), 7445-7450.

2. Berendonk, T. U.; Manaia, C. M.; Merlin, C.; Fatta-Kassinos, D.; Cytryn, E.; Walsh, F.; Burgmann, H.; Sorum, H.; Norstrom, M.; Pons, M. N.; Kreuzinger, N.; Huovinen, P.; Stefani, S.; Schwartz, T.; Kisand, V.; Baquero, F.; Martinez, J. L., Tackling antibiotic resistance: the environmental framework. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **2015,** *13,* (5), 310-7.

3. Michael, I.; Rizzo, L.; McArdell, C. S.; Manaia, C. M.; Merlin, C.; Schwartz, T.; Dagot, C.; Fatta-Kassinos, D., Urban wastewater treatment plants as hotspots for the release of antibiotics in the environment: a review. *Water Res* **2013,** *47,* (3), 957-95.

4. Guo, J.; Li, J.; Chen, H.; Bond, P. L.; Yuan, Z., Metagenomic analysis reveals wastewater treatment plants as hotspots of antibiotic resistance genes and mobile genetic elements. *Water Res* **2017,** *123,* 468-478.

5. Karkman, A.; Do, T. T.; Walsh, F.; Virta, M. P. J., Antibiotic-Resistance Genes in Waste Water. *Trends Microbiol* **2018,** *26,* (3), 220-228.

6. Ju, F.; Beck, K.; Yin, X.; Maccagnan, A.; McArdell, C. S.; Singer, H. P.; Johnson, D. R.; Zhang, T.; Burgmann, H., Wastewater treatment plant resistomes are shaped by bacterial composition, genetic exchange, and upregulated expression in the effluent microbiomes. *ISME J* **2019,** *13,* (2), 346-360.

7. An, X. L.; Su, J. Q.; Li, B.; Ouyang, W. Y.; Zhao, Y.; Chen, Q. L.; Cui, L.; Chen, H.; Gillings, M. R.; Zhang, T.; Zhu, Y. G., Tracking antibiotic resistome during wastewater treatment using high throughput quantitative PCR. *Environ Int* **2018,** *117,* 146-153.

8. Mao, D.; Yu, S.; Rysz, M.; Luo, Y.; Yang, F.; Li, F.; Hou, J.; Mu, Q.; Alvarez, P. J., Prevalence and proliferation of antibiotic resistance genes in two municipal wastewater treatment plants. *Water Res* **2015,** *85,* 458-66.

9. Arango-Argoty, G.; Garner, E.; Pruden, A.; Heath, L. S.; Vikesland, P.; Zhang, L., DeepARG: a deep learning approach for predicting antibiotic resistance genes from metagenomic data. *Microbiome* **2018,** *6,* (1), 1-15.

10. Yang, Y.; Li, B.; Ju, F.; Zhang, T., Exploring variation of antibiotic resistance genes in activated sludge over a four-year period through a metagenomic approach. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013,** *47,* (18), 10197-10205.

11. Zhao, R.; Yu, K.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, G.; Huang, J.; Ma, L.; Deng, C.; Li, X.; Li, B., Deciphering the

mobility and bacterial hosts of antibiotic resistance genes under antibiotic selection pressure by
metagenomic assembly and binning approaches. *Water Res* **2020,** *186,* 116318.

12.   Liu, Z.; Klumper, U.; Liu, Y.; Yang, Y.; Wei, Q.; Lin, J. G.; Gu, J. D.; Li, M., Metagenomic and
metatranscriptomic analyses reveal activity and hosts of antibiotic resistance genes in activated sludge.
Environ Int **2019,** *129,* 208-220.

13.   Rodriguez-Mozaz, S.; Chamorro, S.; Marti, E.; Huerta, B.; Gros, M.; Sanchez-Melsio, A.; Borrego, C.
M.; Barcelo, D.; Balcazar, J. L., Occurrence of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes in hospital and
urban wastewaters and their impact on the receiving river. *Water Res* **2015,** *69,* 234-242.

14.   Wang, Y.; Luo, Q.; Xiao, T.; Zhu, Y.; Xiao, Y., Impact of Polymyxin Resistance on Virulence and
Fitness among Clinically Important Gram-Negative Bacteria. *Engineering* **2021.**

15.   Bouki, C.; Venieri, D.; Diamadopoulos, E., Detection and fate of antibiotic resistant bacteria in
wastewater treatment plants: a review. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* **2013,** *91,* 1-9.

16.   Ju, F.; Guo, F.; Ye, L.; Xia, Y.; Zhang, T., Metagenomic analysis on seasonal microbial variations of

activated sludge from a full-scale wastewater treatment plant over 4 years. *Environmental*

microbiology reports **2014,** *6,* (1), 80-89.

17.   Ju, F.; Xia, Y.; Guo, F.; Wang, Z.; Zhang, T., Taxonomic relatedness shapes bacterial assembly in
activated sludge of globally distributed wastewater treatment plants. *Environ. Microbiol.* **2014,** *16,* (8),
2421-2432.

18.   Ju, F.; Zhang, T., Bacterial assembly and temporal dynamics in activated sludge of a full-scale
municipal wastewater treatment plant. *The ISME journal* **2015,** *9,* (3), 683.

19.   Yang, Y.; Li, B.; Ju, F.; Zhang, T., Exploring variation of antibiotic resistance genes in activated
sludge over a four-year period through a metagenomic approach. *Environ Sci Technol* **2013,** *47,* (18),
10197-205.

20.   Ju, F.; Li, B.; Ma, L.; Wang, Y.; Huang, D.; Zhang, T., Antibiotic resistance genes and human
bacterial pathogens: Co-occurrence, removal, and enrichment in municipal sewage sludge digesters.
Water Res **2016,** *91,* 1-10.

21.   Boolchandani, M.; D'Souza, A. W.; Dantas, G., Sequencing-based methods and resources to study
antimicrobial resistance. *Nat Rev Genet* **2019,** *20,* (6), 356-370.

22.   Xia, Y.; Wen, X.; Zhang, B.; Yang, Y., Diversity and assembly patterns of activated sludge microbial
communities: A review. *Biotechnol Adv* **2018,** *36,* (4), 1038-1047.

23.   Wu, L.; Ning, D.; Zhang, B.; Li, Y.; Zhang, P.; Shan, X.; Zhang, Q.; Brown, M. R.; Li, Z.; Van Nostrand,
J. D.; Ling, F.; Xiao, N.; Zhang, Y.; Vierheilig, J.; Wells, G. F.; Yang, Y.; Deng, Y.; Tu, Q.; Wang, A.; Global
Water Microbiome, C.; Zhang, T.; He, Z.; Keller, J.; Nielsen, P. H.; Alvarez, P. J. J.; Criddle, C. S.; Wagner,
M.; Tiedje, J. M.; He, Q.; Curtis, T. P.; Stahl, D. A.; Alvarez-Cohen, L.; Rittmann, B. E.; Wen, X.; Zhou, J.,
Global diversity and biogeography of bacterial communities in wastewater treatment plants. *Nat*
Microbiol **2019,** *4,* (7), 1183-1195.

24.   Uritskiy, G. V.; DiRuggiero, J.; Taylor, J., MetaWRAP-a flexible pipeline for genome-resolved

metagenomic data analysis. *Microbiome* **2018,** *6,* (1), 158.

25. Parks, D. H.; Imelfort, M.; Skennerton, C. T.; Hugenholtz, P.; Tyson, G. W., CheckM: assessing the
quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. *Genome Res*
2015, *25,* (7), 1043-55.

26. Parks, D. H.; Rinke, C.; Chuvochina, M.; Chaumeil, P. A.; Woodcroft, B. J.; Evans, P. N.; Hugenholtz,
P.; Tyson, G. W., Recovery of nearly 8,000 metagenome-assembled genomes substantially expands the
tree of life. *Nat Microbiol* **2017,** *2,* (11), 1533-1542.

27. Olm, M. R.; Brown, C. T.; Brooks, B.; Banfield, J. F., dRep: a tool for fast and accurate genomic
comparisons that enables improved genome recovery from metagenomes through de-replication.
ISME J **2017,** *11,* (12), 2864-2868.

28. Chaumeil, P. A.; Mussig, A. J.; Hugenholtz, P.; Parks, D. H., GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify genomes
with the Genome Taxonomy Database. *Bioinformatics* **2019,** *36,* (6), 1925–1927.

29. Hyatt, D.; Chen, G.-L.; LoCascio, P. F.; Land, M. L.; Larimer, F. W.; Hauser, L. J., Prodigal: prokaryotic
gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. *BMC bioinformatics* **2010,** *11,* (1), 1-11.

30. Price, M. N.; Dehal, P. S.; Arkin, A. P., FastTree 2--approximately maximum-likelihood trees for
large alignments. *PLoS One* **2010,** *5,* (3), e9490.

31. Letunic, I.; Bork, P., Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL): an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and
annotation. *Bioinformatics* **2007,** *23,* (1), 127-8.

32. Arango-Argoty, G.; Garner, E.; Pruden, A.; Heath, L. S.; Vikesland, P.; Zhang, L., DeepARG: a deep
learning approach for predicting antibiotic resistance genes from metagenomic data. *Microbiome*
2018, *6,* (1), 23.

33. Krawczyk, P. S.; Lipinski, L.; Dziembowski, A., PlasFlow: predicting plasmid sequences in
metagenomic data using genome signatures. *Nucleic Acids Res* **2018,** *46,* (6), e35.

34. Finn, R. D.; Clements, J.; Eddy, S. R., HMMER web server: interactive sequence similarity
searching. *Nucleic Acids Res* **2011,** *39,* (Web Server issue), W29-37.

35. Finn, R. D.; Coggill, P.; Eberhardt, R. Y.; Eddy, S. R.; Mistry, J.; Mitchell, A. L.; Potter, S. C.; Punta, M.;
Qureshi, M.; Sangrador-Vegas, A.; Salazar, G. A.; Tate, J.; Bateman, A., The Pfam protein families
database: towards a more sustainable future. *Nucleic Acids Res* **2016,** *44,* (D1), D279-85.

36. Sun, J.; Liao, X. P.; D'Souza, A. W.; Boolchandani, M.; Li, S. H.; Cheng, K.; Luis Martinez, J.; Li, L.;
Feng, Y. J.; Fang, L. X.; Huang, T.; Xia, J.; Yu, Y.; Zhou, Y. F.; Sun, Y. X.; Deng, X. B.; Zeng, Z. L.; Jiang, H. X.;
Fang, B. H.; Tang, Y. Z.; Lian, X. L.; Zhang, R. M.; Fang, Z. W.; Yan, Q. L.; Dantas, G.; Liu, Y. H.,
Environmental remodeling of human gut microbiota and antibiotic resistome in livestock farms. *Nat*
Commun **2020,** *11,* (1), 1427.

37. Cai, L.; Ju, F.; Zhang, T., Tracking human sewage microbiome in a municipal wastewater treatment
plant. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **2014,** *98,* (7), 3317-26.

38. Woolhouse, M. E. J.; Gowtage-Sequeria, S.; Evans, B., Quantitative Analysis of the Characteristics
of Emerging and Re-Emerging Human Pathogens.
http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20121206154522/http://www.bis.gov.uk/assets/foresight/

docs/infectious-diseases/t16.pdf **2015**.

39.   Liu, B.; Zheng, D.; Jin, Q.; Chen, L.; Yang, J., VFDB 2019: a comparative pathogenomic platform
with an interactive web interface. *Nucleic Acids Res* **2019**, *47*, (D1), D687-D692.

40.   Tu, Q.; Lin, L.; Cheng, L.; Deng, Y.; He, Z., NCycDB: a curated integrative database for fast and
accurate metagenomic profiling of nitrogen cycling genes. *Bioinformatics* **2019**, *35*, (6), 1040-1048.

41.   Buchfink, B.; Xie, C.; Huson, D. H., Fast and sensitive protein alignment using diamond. *Nat
Methods* **2015**, *12*, (1), 59-60.

42.   Bastian, M.; Heymann, S.; Jacomy, M. In *Gephi: An open source software for exploring and
manipulating networks*, International AAAI conference on weblogs and social media, 2009; AAAI Press
Menlo Park, CA: 2009.

43.   Langmead, B.; Salzberg, S. L., Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods* **2012**, *9*,
(4), 357-9.

44.   Satinsky, B. M.; Gifford, S. M.; Crump, B. C.; Moran, M. A., Use of internal standards for
quantitative metatranscriptome and metagenome analysis. *Methods Enzymol* **2013**, *531*, 237-50.

45.   Parks, D. H.; Chuvochina, M.; Waite, D. W.; Rinke, C.; Skarshewski, A.; Chaumeil, P. A.; Hugenholtz,
P., A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome phylogeny substantially revises the tree of life.
Nat Biotechnol **2018**, *36*, (10), 996-1004.

46.   Dixon, P., VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. *J. Veg. Sci.* **2003**, *14*, (6),
927-930.

47.   Wu, L.; Ning, D.; Zhang, B.; Li, Y.; Zhang, P.; Shan, X.; Zhang, Q.; Brown, M.; Li, Z.; Van Nostrand, J.
D.; Ling, F.; Xiao, N.; Zhang, Y.; Vierheilig, J.; Wells, G. F.; Yang, Y.; Deng, Y.; Tu, Q.; Wang, A.; Acevedo, D.;
Agullo-Barcelo, M.; Alvarez, P. J. J.; Alvarez-Cohen, L.; Andersen, G. L.; de Araujo, J. C.; Boehnke, K.;
Bond, P.; Bott, C. B.; Bovio, P.; Brewster, R. K.; Bux, F.; Cabezas, A.; Cabrol, L.; Chen, S.; Criddle, C. S.;
Deng, Y.; Etchebehere, C.; Ford, A.; Frigon, D.; Gómez, J. S.; Griffin, J. S.; Gu, A. Z.; Habagil, M.; Hale, L.;
Hardeman, S. D.; Harmon, M.; Horn, H.; Hu, Z.; Jauffur, S.; Johnson, D. R.; Keller, J.; Keucken, A.; Kumari,
S.; Leal, C. D.; Lebrun, L. A.; Lee, J.; Lee, M.; Lee, Z. M. P.; Li, Y.; Li, Z.; Li, M.; Li, X.; Ling, F.; Liu, Y.; Luthy,
R. G.; Mendonça-Hagler, L. C.; de Menezes, F. G. R.; Meyers, A. J.; Mohebbi, A.; Nielsen, P. H.; Ning, D.;
Oehmen, A.; Palmer, A.; Parameswaran, P.; Park, J.; Patsch, D.; Reginatto, V.; de los Reyes, F. L.;
Rittmann, B. E.; Robles, A. N.; Rossetti, S.; Shan, X.; Sidhu, J.; Sloan, W. T.; Smith, K.; de Sousa, O. V.;
Stahl, D. A.; Stephens, K.; Tian, R.; Tiedje, J. M.; Tooker, N. B.; Tu, Q.; Van Nostrand, J. D.; De los Cobos
Vasconcelos, D.; Vierheilig, J.; Wagner, M.; Wakelin, S.; Wang, A.; Wang, B.; Weaver, J. E.; Wells, G. F.;
West, S.; Wilmes, P.; Woo, S.-G.; Wu, L.; Wu, J.-H.; Wu, L.; Xi, C.; Xiao, N.; Xu, M.; Yan, T.; Yang, Y.; Yang,
M.; Young, M.; Yue, H.; Zhang, B.; Zhang, P.; Zhang, Q.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, T.; Zhang, Q.; Zhang, W.;
Zhang, Y.; Zhou, H.; Zhou, J.; Wen, X.; Curtis, T. P.; He, Q.; He, Z.; Brown, M.; Zhang, T.; He, Z.; Keller, J.;
Nielsen, P. H.; Alvarez, P. J. J.; Criddle, C. S.; Wagner, M.; Tiedje, J. M.; He, Q.; Curtis, T. P.; Stahl, D. A.;
Alvarez-Cohen, L.; Rittmann, B. E.; Wen, X.; Zhou, J.; Global Water Microbiome, C., Global diversity and
biogeography of bacterial communities in wastewater treatment plants. *Nature Microbiology* **2019**.

48.   Soares, A., Wastewater treatment in 2050: Challenges ahead and future vision in a European
context. *Environmental Science and Ecotechnology* **2020**, *2*.

49.   Ye, L.; Mei, R.; Liu, W. T.; Ren, H.; Zhang, X. X., Machine learning-aided analyses of thousands of
draft genomes reveal specific features of activated sludge processes. *Microbiome* **2020,** *8,* (1), 16.

50.   Castelle, C. J.; Banfield, J. F., Major new microbial groups expand diversity and alter our
understanding of the tree of life. *Cell* **2018,** *172,* (6), 1181-1197.

51.   Brown, C. T.; Hug, L. A.; Thomas, B. C.; Sharon, I.; Castelle, C. J.; Singh, A.; Wilkins, M. J.; Wrighton,
K. C.; Williams, K. H.; Banfield, J. F., Unusual biology across a group comprising more than 15% of
domain Bacteria. *Nature* **2015,** *523,* (7559), 208-211.

52.   Zhang, T.; Shao, M.-F.; Ye, L., 454 Pyrosequencing reveals bacterial diversity of activated sludge
from 14 sewage treatment plants. *The ISME journal* **2012,** *6,* (6), 1137-1147.

53.   Brown, C. T.; Hug, L. A.; Thomas, B. C.; Sharon, I.; Castelle, C. J.; Singh, A.; Wilkins, M. J.; Wrighton,
K. C.; Williams, K. H.; Banfield, J. F., Unusual biology across a group comprising more than 15% of
domain Bacteria. *Nature* **2015,** *523,* (7559), 208-11.

54.   Proctor, C. R.; Besmer, M. D.; Langenegger, T.; Beck, K.; Walser, J. C.; Ackermann, M.; Burgmann,
H.; Hammes, F., Phylogenetic clustering of small low nucleic acid-content bacteria across diverse
freshwater ecosystems. *ISME J* **2018,** *12,* (5), 1344-1359.

55.   Tian, R.; Ning, D.; He, Z.; Zhang, P.; Spencer, S. J.; Gao, S.; Shi, W.; Wu, L.; Zhang, Y.; Yang, Y.;
Adams, B. G.; Rocha, A. M.; Detienne, B. L.; Lowe, K. A.; Joyner, D. C.; Klingeman, D. M.; Arkin, A. P.;
Fields, M. W.; Hazen, T. C.; Stahl, D. A.; Alm, E. J.; Zhou, J., Small and mighty: adaptation of
superphylum Patescibacteria to groundwater environment drives their genome simplicity. *Microbiome*
2020, *8,* (1), 51.

56.   Martinez, J. L.; Rojo, F., Metabolic regulation of antibiotic resistance. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **2011,**
35, (5), 768-89.

57.   New, F. N.; Brito, I. L., What Is Metagenomics Teaching Us, and What Is Missed? *Annu Rev*
Microbiol **2020,** *74,* 117-135.

58.   Ma, L.; Li, B.; Jiang, X.-T.; Wang, Y.-L.; Xia, Y.; Li, A.-D.; Zhang, T., Catalogue of antibiotic resistome
and host-tracking in drinking water deciphered by a large scale survey. *Microbiome* **2017,** *5,* (1), 1-12.

59.   Forsberg, K. J.; Patel, S.; Gibson, M. K.; Lauber, C. L.; Knight, R.; Fierer, N.; Dantas, G., Bacterial
phylogeny structures soil resistomes across habitats. *Nature* **2014,** *509,* (7502), 612-616.

60.   Barboza, K.; Cubillo, Z.; Castro, E.; Redondo-Solano, M.; Fernandez-Jaramillo, H.; Echandi, M. L. A.,
First isolation report of Arcobacter cryaerophilus from a human diarrhea sample in Costa Rica. *Rev Inst*
Med Trop Sao Paulo **2017,** *59,* e72.

61.   Muller, E.; Hotzel, H.; Ahlers, C.; Hanel, I.; Tomaso, H.; Abdel-Glil, M. Y., Genomic Analysis and
Antimicrobial Resistance of Aliarcobacter cryaerophilus Strains From German Water Poultry. *Front*
Microbiol **2020,** *11,* 1549.

62.   Batra, P.; Mathur, P.; Misra, M. C., Aeromonas spp.: An Emerging Nosocomial Pathogen. *J Lab*
Physicians **2016,** *8,* (1), 1-4.

63.   Kuypers, M. M. M.; Marchant, H. K.; Kartal, B., The microbial nitrogen-cycling network. *Nat Rev*
Microbiol **2018,** *16,* (5), 263-276.

64. Zhang, K.; Gu, J.; Wang, X.; Zhang, X.; Hu, T.; Zhao, W., Analysis for microbial denitrification and
antibiotic resistance during anaerobic digestion of cattle manure containing antibiotic. *Bioresour*
Technol **2019**, *291*, 121803.

65. Feng, L.; Yang, J.; Yu, H.; Lan, Z.; Ye, X.; Yang, G.; Yang, Q.; Zhou, J., Response of denitrifying
community, denitrification genes and antibiotic resistance genes to oxytetracycline stress in
polycaprolactone supported solid-phase denitrification reactor. *Bioresour Technol* **2020**, *308*, 123274.

66. Fan, N. S.; Bai, Y. H.; Chen, Q. Q.; Shen, Y. Y.; Huang, B. C.; Jin, R. C., Deciphering the toxic effects
of antibiotics on denitrification: Process performance, microbial community and antibiotic resistance
genes. *J Environ Manage* **2020**, *262*, 110375.

67. Rossetti, S.; Tomei, M. C.; Nielsen, P. H.; Tandoi, V., "Microthrix parvicella", a filamentous
bacterium causing bulking and foaming in activated sludge systems: a review of current knowledge.
FEMS Microbiol Rev **2005**, *29*, (1), 49-64.

68. Kinnunen, M.; Gülay, A.; Albrechtsen, H. J.; Dechesne, A.; Smets, B. F., Nitrotoga is selected over
Nitrospira in newly assembled biofilm communities from a tap water source community at increased
nitrite loading. *Environ. Microbiol.* **2017**, *19*, (7), 2785-2793.

69. Hallbeck, L.; Pedersen, K., The family gallionellaceae. *The prokaryotes* **2014**, 853-858.