Title:

Lactate Accelerates Mouse ES Cell Differentiation Towards the XEN Lineage

Running Title:

Lactate Potentiates XEN induction *in vitro*

Authors/Affiliations:

Mohamed I. Gatie[1,2*], Tyler T. Cooper[3], Gilles A. Lajoie[3,4], Gregory M. Kelly[1,2,4,5,6]

Author List Footnotes:

[1] Department of Biology, Western University, London, ON, Canada

[2] Collaborative Specialization in Developmental Biology, Western University, London, ON,

Canada

[3] Department of Biochemistry, Don Rix Protein Identification Facility, Lawson Health

Research Institute, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada

[4] Lawson Health Research Institute, Western University, London, ON, Canada

[5] Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, Western University, London, ON, Canada

[6] Child Health Research Institute, London, ON, Canada

Contact information:

*Correspondence: mgatie@uwo.ca

Summary:

Metabolism plays a crucial role for cell survival and function; however, recent evidence has implicated it in regulating embryonic development. The inner cell mass undergoes orchestrated cellular divisions resulting in the formation of embryonic stem cells and extraembryonic endoderm (XEN) cells. Concomitantly, changes in the metabolic profile occurs during development and are well-documented in the embryonic lineages. However, a comprehensive multi-omics analysis of these features in XEN cells remains lacking. We observed that feeder-free XEN cells exhibited high sensitivity to glycolytic inhibition in addition to maintaining elevated intra- and extracellular lactate levels. XEN cells maintain high lactate levels by increased LDHA activity and re-routing pyruvate away from the mitochondria. Importantly, exogenous lactate supplementation or promoting intracellular lactate accumulation enhances XEN differentiation *in vitro*. Our results highlight how lactate contributes to XEN differentiation in the mammalian embryo and may serve to enhance reprogramming efficiency of cells used for regenerative medicine.

Highlights:

- Feeder-free XEN cells exhibit high sensitivity to glycolytic inhibition
- Distinct transcriptomic, proteomic and metabolomic profile exists between feeder-free ES and XEN cells
- Elevated intracellular and extracellular lactate is observed in feeder-free XEN cells
- Lactate enhances feeder-free XEN differentiation *in vitro*

Keywords:

Embryonic stem cells

Extraembryonic endoderm cells

Pluripotency

Differentiation

Glycolysis

Oxidative Phosphorylation

Metabolites

Lactate

Introduction

The early mammalian blastocyst is comprised of two distinct layers: the inner cell mass (ICM) and trophectoderm. The ICM houses embryonic stem (ES) cells and primitive endoderm cells, and the latter differentiate into parietal and visceral endoderm cells. Collectively primitive, parietal and visceral endoderm cells make up the extraembryonic endoderm (XEN) lineage. Various ES cells have been cultured at different developmental time-points (Evans and Kaufman, 1981), each requiring specific conditions. For instance, preimplantation ICM cells represent a "naïve" state and can be artificially maintained under LIF and serum/BMP (Nichols et al., 1990) or defined conditions of LIF-2i in N2B27 media where dual inhibition of glycogen synthase kinase 3 and mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways maintain pluripotency (Ying et al., 2008). However, the post-implantation or "primed" epiblast stem cells, which are poised to give rise to the embryo proper, require Activin A and FGF2 in culture (Brons et al., 2007). In addition to differences in culture conditions, both naïve and primed states differ in their expression profile of pluripotency core genes, DNA methylation, histone modification status, clonogenicity and chimera contribution (Davidson et al., 2015). XEN cells, which contribute to both embryonic and extraembryonic endoderm tissue (Nowotschin et al., 2019), have been derived by isolation from blastocyst (Niakan et al., 2013), differentiated using exogenous factors (Anderson et al., 2017; Cho et al., 2012; Soprano et al., 2007), reprogrammed from fibroblast cells (He et al., 2020; Parenti et al., 2016), or form after the overexpression of *Gata4/6* (Fujikura et al., 2002; Shimosato et al., 2007) or *Sox17* (McDonald et al., 2014). In addition, evidence indicates that the metabolic state between embryonic and

extraembryonic lineages differs, suggesting that metabolism may be sufficient to

influence lineage commitment.

Naïve ES cells exhibit a bivalent metabolic profile, relying on glycolysis and

oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) to generate energy, while epiblast stem cells are

exclusively glycolytic, despite displaying a mature mitochondrial ultrastructure (Zhou et

al., 2012). Although the majority of studies have focused on naïve and primed ES cells,

recent reports on extraembryonic cells show they are reliant on OXPHOS metabolism

(Choi et al., 2020), with a similar mitochondrial ultrastructure to epiblast stem cells (Seo

et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2012). However, details on the comprehensive intracellular profile

of metabolites and their role during differentiation remains unknown.

Small metabolites have garnered attention with their role in maintaining

pluripotency, promoting differentiation and enhancing reprogramming efficiency of

induced pluripotent stem cells (Mathieu and Ruohola-Baker, 2017; Tsogtbaatar et al.,

2020; Zhang et al., 2012). These small compounds play integral roles in energy

production and epigenetic modifications. For instance, short-chain and saturated fatty

acids can modulate histone deacetylases and the epigenetic landscape and thus are

implicated in enhancing the efficiency of stem cell differentiation (Mali et al., 2010; Yanes

et al., 2010). Also, the depletion of threonine or loss of threonine dehydrogenase (TDH)

impacts stemness (Wang et al., 2009), while supplementation of L-threonine maintains

pluripotency (Ryu and Han, 2011). Therefore, naturally occurring metabolites play an

important role in regulating pluripotency and differentiation of stem cells by modulating

the metabolic and epigenetic landscape.

In this report we used a multi-omics approach to identify metabolic changes in cells

of the ICM and have further characterized the metabolic pathways involved in regulating

XEN lineage commitment. Overall, we observed a significant reduction in the survival of

feeder-free XEN cells following glycolytic inhibition. Transcriptomic and proteomic

profiling implicate lactate metabolism in regulating the differentiation towards the XEN

lineage. Strikingly, lactate was enriched intra- and extracellularly in feeder-free XEN cells,

and promoting intracellular lactate accumulation or supplementing with exogenous L-

lactate enhanced XEN induction. Together our results elucidate an unacknowledged role

for lactate in cell differentiation and fate commitment in the early mammalian embryo.

Results

ES cells are less sensitive to glycolytic inhibition than XEN cells

A recent report characterized the metabolic profile of XEN cells as reliant on OXPHOS metabolism (Choi et al., 2020). Albeit informative, this study derived and maintained XEN cells on inactivated mouse embryonic fibroblasts, which are oxidative in nature (Folmes et al., 2011) and are known to reduce the reliance of pluripotent stem cells on glycolysis (Gu et al., 2016). To address if culturing methods influence the metabolic profiles, ES-E14TG2a embryonic stem cells (ES cells) and extraembryonic endoderm cells (XEN cells) (Kunath et al., 2005) were cultured under feeder-free conditions in media containing 50mM 2-Deoxy-D-glucose (2-DG; glycolysis inhibitor) or 2.5µM oligomycin (OXPHOS inhibitor), and results were compared with cells grown under control conditions (Figure 1). ES cells displayed domed-homogenous colonies while XEN cells were single-celled, with two distinct morphologies present in culture, spindle-like or round and refractile in nature (Figure 1A, Figure S1A).

ES colony shape appeared to be insensitive to 2-DG and oligomycin treatment; however, XEN cells lost their distinct morphologies, particularly in the 2-DG treatment and adopted a spindle-like morphology, indicative of cell stress (Figure 1A). In contrast to a previous report (Choi et al., 2020), XEN cells were more sensitive to glycolytic inhibition (5.87% ± 1.82) than OXPHOS inhibition with oligomycin (41.3% ± 7.30; $P < 0.01$; Figure 1B). Since ES cells are metabolically bivalent (Zhou et al., 2012), the significant reduction in cell viability under both treatments was expected ($P < 0.01$) and not significant between the two treatments (2-DG: 45.3% ± 8.84 *versus* oligomycin: 62.7% ± 5.24; Figure 1B). Despite this reduction in cell viability, no change in relative total ATP levels was observed

123   between the two populations and treatments (Figure 1C). Collectively, our findings

124   support the notion that feeder-free XEN cells are more sensitive to glycolytic inhibition

125   than feeder-free ES cells.

126   **Intracellular metabolomic profiling of ICM cells**

127   Since feeder-free ES and XEN cells responded differently to metabolic inhibitors,

we exploited the feeder-free system to identify changes in intracellular metabolites

between the two populations. For metabolite identification, untargeted metabolomics was

used to measure the relative levels of intracellular metabolites (Figure 2). We identified

142 intracellular metabolites of which 18 were significantly enriched in ES cells and 68 in

XEN cells (Table 1; Figure 2A). Next, targeted metabolomics was performed to quantify

the levels of amino acids (Figure 2B) and metabolites involved in glycolysis and the

tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle (Figure 2C). Several amino acids were significantly

enriched in XEN cells, including proline, serine and threonine, which are reported to play

a role in pluripotency and differentiation (Baksh et al., 2020; Comes et al., 2013; Ryu and

Han, 2011; Wang et al., 2009). While most metabolites involved in glycolysis and TCA

cycle were not statistically significant, intracellular lactate and 2-hydroxyglutarate were

significantly higher in XEN cells when compared with ES cells (P < 0.05; Figure 2C).

Together, our data indicate that XEN cells exhibit a unique metabolite profile that is

distinct from the ES population.

Transcriptomic and proteomic analysis showcases the importance of metabolic

pathways during embryonic development

144   Bulk transcriptomic and proteomic analyses were performed on ES and XEN cells

in order to compare global gene expression and protein abundance patterns and assign

molecular pathway(s) to the metabolite profiles. Results revealed 2742 genes (Figure 3A)

and 165 proteins (Figure 3B) significantly upregulated in the ES population. In contrast,

1716 genes (Figure 3A) and 298 proteins (Figure 3B) were significantly upregulated in

XEN cells. As expected, markers of pluripotency including *Nanog*, *Sox2*, OCT4 and

ESRRB were enriched in the ES population, while XEN markers such as *Gata4/6*,

Sox7/17, *Dab2*, and PDGFRA were significantly enriched in XEN cells (Figure 3A, B).

Differentially expressed genes and proteins enriched in either ES or XEN cells were

selected and analyzed by gene ontology to classify targets based on their biological

process (Figure S2A-D), cellular compartment (Figure S2E-H) and molecular process

(Figure S2I-L). As expected, targets from ES cells were enriched for biological processes

associated with multicellular organism development and regulation of transcription

(Figure S3A, B). Conversely, XEN cells terms were associated with various signaling

pathways, endodermal development and extracellular matrix organization (Figure S3C,

D).

Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) enrichment analysis was

also performed on genes and proteins to identify biological pathways specific to each

population (Figure 3C-G). XEN cells expressed targets primarily involved in proteoglycan

metabolism and endoplasmic reticulum processing (Figure 3F, G, S3G, H), as reported

previously (Choi et al., 2020). However, metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450

and glucose/pyruvate metabolism were enriched in the ES population and represent two

common KEGG pathways between the RNAseq and MS datasets (Figure 3C, D).

Interestingly, three targets that were highly enriched in both datasets and linked to

glucose metabolism were aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 family member B1 (ALDH1B1),

phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase 2 (PCK2) and lactate dehydrogenase B (LDHB,

Figure 3E). Together, this data would suggest that these targets and their metabolites

play a role in either maintaining pluripotency or in the differentiation towards the XEN

lineage.

Enzymes involved in lactate homeostasis are upregulated in feeder-free XEN cells

Pyruvate is converted into lactate by the activity of LDHA and converted back by

LDHB; since the latter was enriched in the ES population (Figure 3A-E), and lactate was

enriched in XEN cells intracellularly (Figure 2C), we sought to further investigate the

levels of enzymes involved in lactate metabolism. qRT-PCR results showed significantly

higher *Ldha*/*Ldhb* expression in XEN cells compared with ES cells ($P < 0.01$, Figure 4A),

and this was confirmed at the protein level using immunoblot analysis (Figure 4B).

Extracellular lactate levels were also measured, and results showed significantly higher

levels in XEN cells ($P < 0.05$, Figure 4C). Furthermore, the expression of the lactate

transporter *Mct1* (Figure 4D), but not *Mct4* (Figure 4E), was significantly upregulated in

XEN cells ($P < 0.0001$) and is a prime candidate linked to these high extracellular lactate

levels. Pyruvate can also be oxidized in the mitochondria by the pyruvate dehydrogenase

complex (PDC) to generate acetyl-CoA. This conversion is dependent on the activity of

the PDC, which is negatively regulated by phosphorylation of the E1α subunit by

members of the pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase (PDK) family. Expression analysis

shows that *Pdk1, 2* and *4,* but not *Pdk3,* were significantly downregulated in XEN cells

(Figure 4F-I). Similarly, immunoblot analysis revealed low levels of PDC-E1α$^{Ser232/Ser293}$ in

XEN cells (Figure 4J), indicating that the PDC is active and would suggest higher

OXPHOS activity. However, expression analysis of the mitochondrial pyruvate carriers

Mpc1 (Figure 4K), but not *Mpc2* (Figure 4L), showed significantly reduced expression in

XEN cells (P < 0.0001). Thus, despite having an active PDC, pyruvate in XEN cells fails

to enter the mitochondria, and instead is preferentially converted to lactate by the

enhanced LDHA activity (Figure 4A, B). These results would suggest that lactate

participates in specifying ES cells towards a XEN lineage.

Promoting intracellular lactate enhances XEN differentiation

Given the previous data highlighting a potential role for lactate in XEN cells (Figure

1-4), we sought to directly assess whether modulating lactate metabolism had an effect

on XEN induction *in vitro* (Figure 5). We adopted a previously defined chemical cocktail

(Anderson et al., 2017) to induce naïve mouse ES cells towards the XEN lineage.

Exogenous supplementation of LIF, Activin A and CHIR99021 (inhibitor of glycogen

synthase kinase 3) in the absence of insulin (Figure S3A) promotes differentiation towards

the XEN lineage as evident by elevated *Gata6* and *Dab2* transcript and low levels of

Nanog and *Oct4* (Figure S3B-E). To test whether lactate metabolism plays a role in XEN

differentiation, we used two approaches. In the first, three inhibitors were selected, two of

which would promote intracellular lactate accumulation (UK5099 and AZD3965), while

the other would promote pyruvate uptake into the mitochondria (Dichloroacetate, DCA).

In the second approach, media was supplemented with exogenous L-lactate and cells

were assessed for markers of pluripotency and XEN differentiation. UK5099 is a potent

pan MPC inhibitor that prevents pyruvate uptake into the mitochondria (Halestrap, 1975;

Vacanti et al., 2014), while AZD3965, a highly-specific MCT1 inhibitor, reduces lactate

secretion in human stem cells (Gu et al., 2016) and cancer cells (Polanski et al., 2014).

The latter was used since MCT1 is the predominant transporter responsible for exporting

lactate extracellularly in XEN cells (Figure 4D). Generally, neither UK5099, AZD3965 or

L-lactate affected *Oct* or *Nanog* expression (Figure 5C, F, G, J, K); however, *Oct4*

expression was slightly but significantly downregulated ($P < 0.05$) in ES cells cultured

under 2i-LIF and UK5099 conditions (Figure 5B). In contrast, DCA-treated ES cells

cultured with 2i-LIF had slightly elevated *Oct4* and *Nanog* expression when compared to

controls (Figure 5N, O).

Next, ES cells were induced towards the XEN lineage under control conditions or

treated with inhibitors or supplemented with L-lactate. ES cells treated with UK5099 in

XEN induction media were not viable after 48h post treatment (Figure 5D, E), while

surprisingly those cultured with either AZD3965 (Figure 5H, I) or supplemented with L-

lactate (Figure 5L, M) for 96h showed enhanced *Gata6* and *Dab2* expression when

compared to controls. Prolonged L-lactate supplementation induced cell death at 10 days

post treatment in cells differentiated towards the XEN lineage (data not shown).

Conversely, when ES cells were treated with DCA in XEN induction media, thereby

promoting pyruvate uptake into the mitochondria and reducing intracellular lactate, *Gata6*

and *Dab2* expression were significantly downregulated when compared to controls ($P <$

0.05, Figure 5P, Q). Therefore, promoting intracellular lactate accumulation enhanced

XEN induction *in vitro*, highlighting a novel role for lactate as a signaling molecule involved

in the differentiation of XEN cells.

Discussion

We have shown previously that F9 embryonal carcinoma cells mimic the transition to a XEN-like lineage when co-treated with retinoic acid and a cAMP analog, and more importantly, these cells exhibited a metabolic phenotype reliant exclusively on glycolysis (Gatie and Kelly, 2018). While recent reports have expanded on the metabolic profiles observed in the early embryonic lineages (Choi et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2012), a comprehensive analysis of the metabolites and their roles during development remains lacking. Our data indicates that feeder-free XEN cells are sensitive to glycolytic inhibition when compared with OXPHOS inhibition (Figure 1A, B). This phenomenon is also observed in mouse primed stem cells, human stem cells (Zhou et al., 2012) and canine epiblast stem cells (Tobias et al., 2018), suggesting that these characteristics are adaptive mechanisms linked to a developmental stage. Furthermore, XEN cells possess altered glycolytic enzyme levels involved in pyruvate and lactate homeostasis (Figure 4). Recent reports suggested that XEN cells rely on OXPHOS metabolism for energetic needs (Choi et al., 2020); however, the developmental stage and culture condition of XEN cells may influence their metabolic profile. For instance, primitive endoderm cells are present within the ICM at 3.5 days post fertilization and they further commit into parietal and visceral endoderm cells shortly after implantation. A similar phenomenon is observed between preimplantation naïve *versus* post-implantation primed ES cells, which display distinct metabolic profiles (Zhou et al., 2012), suggesting that XEN cells may exhibit a unique metabolic signature depending on their developmental stage. In addition, the localization of XEN cells varies depending on the stage of development, where primitive endoderm cells reside within the ICM, visceral endoderm cells surround the epiblast while

parietal endoderm cells interact with trophoblast stem cells and undergo an epithelial-to-

mesenchymal transition and migrate along the inner surface of the trophectoderm (Hogan

et al., 1980). Therefore, the precise identity of these XEN cells and their interaction with

the microenvironment may influence their metabolic needs. Lastly, culture conditions vary

between trophoblast, ES and XEN cells, and its known that the latter can be derived using

various culture conditions and supplements (Anderson et al., 2017; Cho et al., 2012;

Fujikura et al., 2002; He et al., 2020; Kunath et al., 2005; McDonald et al., 2014; Niakan

et al., 2013; Paca et al., 2012; Shimosato et al., 2007; Soprano et al., 2007; Wamaitha et

al., 2015). Together, the ability to derive XEN cells by various means would contribute to

their heterogeneity (Kunath et al., 2005; Paca et al., 2012) and potentially affect the

metabolic profile of these cells. For example, XEN cells can be generated by the

overexpression of *Gata4* in ES cells (Fujikura et al., 2002; Wamaitha et al., 2015) or

reprogramming fibroblasts using a chemical cocktail (He et al., 2020). While both methods

result in the establishment of XEN cells, *Gata4*-induced ES cells display gradual reduction

in hexokinase 2 (HK2) and glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1) levels (Mulvey et al., 2015),

while XEN cells reprogrammed from fibroblasts display elevated *Hk2* and *Glut1* levels

(He et al., 2020). Together, these differences highlight the metabolic complexity and

plasticity of all cells in the developing embryo, and in XEN cells specifically.

As the embryo develops its metabolic needs shift to meet energetic demands for

growth and development and this metabolic rewiring is dependent on extrinsic and

intrinsic cues. The blastocyst resides in a hypoxic environment, with oxygen tension

dropping significantly during implantation (Fischer and Bavister, 1993). This hypoxic

environment results in the stabilization of hypoxia-inducible factor one alpha (HIF1α),

resulting in the increase in enzymes shifting the metabolic profile towards glycolysis.

During implantation, however, angiogenesis promotes reoxygenation of the embryo

triggering a switch to OXPHOS. Despite residing in a similar environment, trophoblast

stem cells rely on OXPHOS metabolism, largely to fuel the sodium/potassium ion pumps

required for blastocoel expansion (Houghton et al., 2003). While extrinsic factors such as

the microenvironment are important, intrinsic factors play a key role in remodeling

metabolic needs to meet developmental programs. For example, naïve ES cells are

metabolically flexible and utilize both glycolysis and OXPHOS metabolism. However, the

transition to a primed state is marked by a switch to glycolytic metabolism, which is largely

due to HIF1α stabilization within the cell (Zhou et al., 2012). While changes in the levels

of metabolic enzymes play a role in remodelling cellular metabolism, the metabolites

resulting from the activity of these enzymes are instrumental in regulating pluripotency

and/or differentiation. Our study is the first to provide a comprehensive snapshot of the

intracellular metabolite profile of feeder-free XEN cells and reveals how elevated levels

of key metabolites, mainly lactate, influence differentiation.

Lactate, the primary source of carbon for the TCA cycle in both normal and cancer

tissues (Hui et al., 2017), is generated by the activity of LDHA, reportedly enriched in XEN

cells (Figure 4A, B). Since lactate can be converted to pyruvate by LDHB, the

stoichiometric ratio between the two isoforms dictates the fate of pyruvate and lactate. In

addition, the oxidation of pyruvate by the PDC is required for its conversion to acetyl CoA,

and the activity of the complex is regulated by the PDK family. Despite detecting elevated

intracellular (Figure 2C) and extracellular (Figure 4C) lactate levels in XEN cells, the PDC

was not phosphorylated and is therefore active in XEN cells (Figure 4J). While reduced

expression of *Mpc1* was found in XEN cells (Figure 4K), which may explain the rerouting

of pyruvate to lactate, PDC is known to have moonlighting abilities and can translocate to

the nucleus where it generates acetyl CoA for acetylation of H3K9 and H3K18 (Sutendra

et al., 2014). Thus, since total lysates were used to analyze the phosphorylation status of

the PDC in ES and XEN cells, it is possible that a higher proportion of the active complex

is nuclear and not mitochondrial. Nevertheless, since elevated intracellular lactate levels

were found in XEN cells (Figure 2C), it was hypothesized that lactate plays a crucial role

during XEN differentiation. Chemical inhibition to promote intracellular lactate

accumulation (Figure 5H, I) or supplementation of XEN induction media with L-lactate

(Figure 5L, M) showed enhanced XEN differentiation. Surprisingly, blocking pyruvate

uptake into the mitochondria using UK5099 led to cell mortality (Figure 5D, E).

Alternatively, promoting pyruvate uptake into the mitochondria by inhibiting PDKs resulted

in significant reduction in XEN differentiation (Figure 5P, Q). Therefore, our results

support the notion that maintaining intracellular lactate levels potentiates ES cells towards

a XEN lineage. Since lactate can stabilize HIF1α and promote a glycolytic phenotype (De

Saedeleer et al., 2012), the lactate-HIF1α axis may provide a mechanism for XEN

differentiation. In addition, lactate can also inhibit histone deacetylases (Latham et al.,

2012), and in conjunction with active PDC (Figure 4J), promote hyperacetylation, which

we observed in XEN cells (data not shown). Thus, it appears lactate is a key player linking

metabolism with epigenetic factors and transcription. These links provide insight into the

role lactate plays in directing the differentiation of XEN cells, and together they

underscore the importance of how the metabolic profile directs lineage commitment within

the mouse early embryo.

Experimental Procedures

ES, XEN and cXEN Cell Culture

The ES-E14TG2a embryonic stem (ES) cells were obtained from the University of California, Davis. ES cells were cultured feeder-free on 0.1% gelatin-coated tissue culture plates in ES media: 50/50 neurobasal/DMEM-F12 media (Thermo Fisher), 1X N2 supplement (Thermo Fisher), 0.5X B27 (without retinoic acid; Thermo Fisher), 2mM GlutaMAX™ (Thermo Fisher), 0.1mM β-mercaptoethanol (Thermo Fisher), 100U/ml units of LIF (Millipore-Sigma), 3µM CHIR99021 (ApexBio) and 1µM PD 0325901 (ApexBio). ES cells were passaged every 3–4 days using Accutase (Thermo Fisher) and used to passage 30. ES media was changed daily to maintain optimal growth and reduce spontaneous differentiation. E4 extraembryonic endoderm (XEN) cells, generously donated from Dr. Janet Rossant, University of Toronto (Kunath et al., 2005), were cultured in RPMI1640 media (Thermo Fisher) supplemented with 15% fetal bovine serum (Thermo Fisher), 2mM GlutaMAX™ and 0.1mM β-mercaptoethanol. XEN cells were passaged every 2–4 days using TrypLE Express (Thermo Fisher) up to passage 20.

Chemically induced XEN (cXEN) differentiation was performed following a previously established protocol (Anderson et al., 2017). Briefly, ES cells were seeded onto 0.1% gelatin-coated tissue culture plates in base XEN media (RPMI1640 media, 0.5X B27 without insulin, 2mM GlutaMAX™ and 0.1mM β-mercaptoethanol) for 2 days. To induce XEN differentiation, base XEN media was supplemented with 100U/ml units of LIF, 3µM CHIR99021 and 20ng/ml Activin A (R&D Systems), which was changed every 2 days. All lines were grown at 37°C and 5% CO_2 and checked for chromosomal abnormalities and mycoplasma (Dobrovolny and Bess, 2011) at the beginning of the project.

RNA Isolation, cDNA synthesis and qRT-PCR analysis

RNA was extracted from cells using QIAshredder/RNAeasy Mini kit (Qiagen) and reverse transcribed into cDNA using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription kit (Thermo Fisher). For quantitative RT-PCR, reactions contained 500nM of forward and reverse primers (Gatie and Kelly, 2018), SensiFAST SYBR Mix (FroggaBio), and cDNA. Reactions were run on a CFX Connect Real-Time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad), and results presented using the comparative cycle threshold ($2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$) method with *Rpl14* serving as the internal control.

RNA Sequencing Analysis

Total RNA was extracted as described previously and quantified using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher) and Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). Library construction and sequencing were performed using the BGISEQ-500 platform (Beijing Genome Institute). Clean reads were mapped to a reference genome using Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012) and gene expression levels were calculated with RSEM (Li and Dewey, 2011). Differentially expressed genes were detected with DEseq2 (Love et al., 2014) with fold change ≥ 2.0 and $P \leq 0.0001$, and GO-term enrichment and KEGG analyses were conducted using David v6.8 (Huang da et al., 2009a, b).

Protein extraction, quantification and immunoblot analysis

Total cell lysates were harvested using RIPA buffer supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Thermo Fisher). Protein concentrations were quantified using a DC™ protein assay (Bio-Rad). Approximately 5–20μg of protein was separated on 5–15% polyacrylamide gels at 100V, then transferred onto PVDF membranes (Bio-Rad)

overnight at 4°C at 20V. Membranes were washed in TBS-T with 0.1% Tween-20 and

blocked with 5% skim milk powder for 30 minutes at room temperature with gentle shaking.

Membranes were probed with primary antibodies (Gatie and Kelly, 2018) overnight at 4°C

followed by washes with TBS-T and secondary antibody incubation for 2 hours at room

temperature. Images were captured using a ChemiDoc™ Touch Imaging System (Bio-

Rad).

Proteomic Analysis

Sample preparation, handling and quantification were performed according to (Cooper et

al., 2018). Briefly, cells were pelleted and 50µg of protein was quantified by ionic

detergent compatible Pierce 660nm Protein Assay Reagent (Thermo Fisher) in 8M Urea,

50mM ammonium bicarbonate, 10mM dithiothreitol, and 2% SDS lysis buffer. Lysates

were sonicated, reduced and alkylated followed by precipitation in chloroform/methanol

(Wessel and Flugge, 1984), and digested samples were resuspended in 0.1% formic acid

in preparation for LC-MS/MS. One microgram of tryptic peptides was injected into a

Waters nano-Acquity HPLC system (Waters, Milford, MA) coupled to an ESI Orbitrap

mass spectrometer (Orbitrap Elite or QExactive, ThermoFisher Scientific). MS raw files

were examined using MaxQuant (1.6.5.0) and the Human Uniprot database. Bioinformatic

analyses were performed in Perseus (1.5.8.5), and statistical analyses performed using

multiple sample t-test with a permutation FDR set at 0.05. GO-term enrichment and

KEGG analyses were conducted using David v6.8 (Huang da et al., 2009a, b).

Detection of Total ATP levels

Total ATP levels were measured using the CellTiter-Glo® Luminescent Cell Viability

Assay (Promega). Cells were detached and re-suspended in media and aliquoted into

96-well plate. Cells were lysed in CellTiter-Glo® reagent, incubated in the dark for 10

minutes, and luminance recorded using a Modulus™ II microplate multimode system

(Promega).

Detection of Extracellular Lactate Levels

Cells were cultured under normal conditions until reaching 70% confluency. Media from

each cell population was collected, centrifuge at 14000g at 4°C for 10 minutes and

analyzed using a BioProfile®400 Chemical Analyzer (Nova Biochemical), at the GCRC

Metabolomics Core Facility, McGill University. Values were normalized to protein

concentrations.

Metabolomic Analysis

Three million cells, cultured for 4 days under normal conditions, per sample were used

for extraction and analysis. Samples were analyzed for metabolites involved in glycolysis,

and TCA cycle, as well as amino acids, fatty acid and lipids. Sample preparation, handling

and quantification were carried out as in (Yuan et al., 2012) by The Analytical Facility for

Bioactive Molecules, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada. Briefly, cells were

harvested in 80% methanol (vol/vol) solution, centrifuged at 14,000g for 5 minutes at 4°C.

Samples were aliquoted and lyophilized prior to injection. Approximately 20µl of LC/MS

grade water was used to resuspend samples and 5–10µl was injected into a LC-MS/MS

system which was a SCIEX 5500 QTRAP mass spectrometer (SCIEX) coupled with an

Agilent 1290 HPLC stack (Agilent Technologies). MultiQuant (v3.0; SCIEX) was used for

analysis,

Data and Code Availability

Data files can be obtained from accession number GEO: GSE159855.

Statistical Analysis

All values are presented as mean ± SEM from at least three biological experiments. In

some instances (TEM experiments), three technical replicates were also included.

Comparisons between two groups were performed using Student's t-test, while

comparisons between three or more groups were done using an ANOVA test followed by

Tukey's honest significant difference test. All graphs and statistics were generated using

Prism (v8.4.3). *P*-values were considered significant at $^{*}P<0.05$, $^{**}P<0.01$, $^{***}P<0.001$,

$^{****}P<0.0001$.

Acknowledgments:

This work was supported by discovery grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC R2615A02) to G.M.K. G.A.L. was supported by NSERC and Canadian Foundation for Innovation (CFI). M.I.G was supported by NSERC CGS-D scholarship and the Child Health Research Institute. The authors acknowledge the assistance from the GCRC Metabolomics core facilities, which is supported by grants from CFI, Canadian Institutes of Health Research, and Terry Fox Research Institute. The authors wish to thank Ashley St. Pierre of The Analytical Facility for Bioactive Molecules, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada for assistance with metabolite identification and analysis. The authors would like to thank Rached Alkallas (McGill) for assistance with RNA sequencing and data visualization.

Author Contributions:

MIG conceptualized, designed, performed the experiments and wrote the manuscript.

TTC performed and analyzed proteomics experiment.

GAL managed the proteomic component of the project.

GMK was involved with MIG and the experimental design and supervised the research.

Declaration of Interests:

The authors declare no interest.

References:

Anderson, K.G.V., Hamilton, W.B., Roske, F.V., Azad, A., Knudsen, T.E., Canham,
M.A., Forrester, L.M., and Brickman, J.M. (2017). Insulin fine-tunes self-renewal
pathways governing naive pluripotency and extra-embryonic endoderm. Nat Cell Biol
19, 1164-1177.

Baksh, S.C., Todorova, P.K., Gur-Cohen, S., Hurwitz, B., Ge, Y., Novak, J.S.S.,
Tierney, M.T., Dela Cruz-Racelis, J., Fuchs, E., and Finley, L.W.S. (2020). Extracellular
serine controls epidermal stem cell fate and tumour initiation. Nat Cell Biol *22*, 779-790.

Brons, I.G., Smithers, L.E., Trotter, M.W., Rugg-Gunn, P., Sun, B., Chuva de Sousa
Lopes, S.M., Howlett, S.K., Clarkson, A., Ahrlund-Richter, L., Pedersen, R.A.*, et al.*
(2007). Derivation of pluripotent epiblast stem cells from mammalian embryos. Nature
448, 191-195.

Cho, L.T., Wamaitha, S.E., Tsai, I.J., Artus, J., Sherwood, R.I., Pedersen, R.A.,
Hadjantonakis, A.K., and Niakan, K.K. (2012). Conversion from mouse embryonic to
extra-embryonic endoderm stem cells reveals distinct differentiation capacities of
pluripotent stem cell states. Development *139*, 2866-2877.

Choi, J., Seo, B.J., La, H., Yoon, S.H., Hong, Y.J., Lee, J.H., Chung, H.M., Hong, K.,
and Do, J.T. (2020). Comparative analysis of the mitochondrial morphology, energy
metabolism, and gene expression signatures in three types of blastocyst-derived stem
cells. Redox Biol *30*, 101437.

Comes, S., Gagliardi, M., Laprano, N., Fico, A., Cimmino, A., Palamidessi, A., De
Cesare, D., De Falco, S., Angelini, C., Scita, G.*, et al.* (2013). L-Proline induces a

mesenchymal-like invasive program in embryonic stem cells by remodeling H3K9 and

H3K36 methylation. Stem Cell Reports *1*, 307-321.

Cooper, T.T., Sherman, S.E., Kuljanin, M., Bell, G.I., Lajoie, G.A., and Hess, D.A.

(2018). Inhibition of Aldehyde Dehydrogenase-Activity Expands Multipotent Myeloid

Progenitor Cells with Vascular Regenerative Function. Stem Cells *36*, 723-736.

Davidson, K.C., Mason, E.A., and Pera, M.F. (2015). The pluripotent state in mouse and

human. Development *142*, 3090-3099.

De Saedeleer, C.J., Copetti, T., Porporato, P.E., Verrax, J., Feron, O., and Sonveaux,

P. (2012). Lactate activates HIF-1 in oxidative but not in Warburg-phenotype human

tumor cells. PLoS One *7*, e46571.

Dobrovolny, P.L., and Bess, D. (2011). Optimized PCR-based detection of mycoplasma.

J Vis Exp.

Evans, M.J., and Kaufman, M.H. (1981). Establishment in culture of pluripotential cells

from mouse embryos. Nature *292*, 154-156.

Fischer, B., and Bavister, B.D. (1993). Oxygen tension in the oviduct and uterus of

rhesus monkeys, hamsters and rabbits. J Reprod Fertil *99*, 673-679.

Folmes, C.D., Nelson, T.J., Martinez-Fernandez, A., Arrell, D.K., Lindor, J.Z., Dzeja,

P.P., Ikeda, Y., Perez-Terzic, C., and Terzic, A. (2011). Somatic oxidative bioenergetics

transitions into pluripotency-dependent glycolysis to facilitate nuclear reprogramming.

Cell Metab *14*, 264-271.

Fujikura, J., Yamato, E., Yonemura, S., Hosoda, K., Masui, S., Nakao, K., Miyazaki Ji,

J., and Niwa, H. (2002). Differentiation of embryonic stem cells is induced by GATA

factors. Genes Dev *16*, 784-789.

Gatie, M.I., and Kelly, G.M. (2018). Metabolic profile and differentiation potential of

extraembryonic endoderm-like cells. Cell Death Discov *4*, 42.

Gu, W., Gaeta, X., Sahakyan, A., Chan, A.B., Hong, C.S., Kim, R., Braas, D., Plath, K.,

Lowry, W.E., and Christofk, H.R. (2016). Glycolytic Metabolism Plays a Functional Role

in Regulating Human Pluripotent Stem Cell State. Cell Stem Cell *19*, 476-490.

Halestrap, A.P. (1975). The mitochondrial pyruvate carrier. Kinetics and specificity for

substrates and inhibitors. Biochem J *148*, 85-96.

He, X., Chi, G., Li, M., Xu, J., Zhang, L., Song, Y., Wang, L., and Li, Y. (2020).

Characterisation of extraembryonic endoderm-like cells from mouse embryonic

fibroblasts induced using chemicals alone. Stem Cell Res Ther *11*, 157.

Hogan, B.L., Cooper, A.R., and Kurkinen, M. (1980). Incorporation into Reichert's

membrane of laminin-like extracellular proteins synthesized by parietal endoderm cells

of the mouse embryo. Dev Biol *80*, 289-300.

Houghton, F.D., Humpherson, P.G., Hawkhead, J.A., Hall, C.J., and Leese, H.J. (2003).

Na+, K+, ATPase activity in the human and bovine preimplantation embryo. Dev Biol

263, 360-366.

Huang da, W., Sherman, B.T., and Lempicki, R.A. (2009a). Bioinformatics enrichment

tools: paths toward the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. Nucleic

Acids Res *37*, 1-13.

Huang da, W., Sherman, B.T., and Lempicki, R.A. (2009b). Systematic and integrative

analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc *4*, 44-57.

Hui, S., Ghergurovich, J.M., Morscher, R.J., Jang, C., Teng, X., Lu, W., Esparza, L.A.,

Reya, T., Le, Z., Yanxiang Guo, J., *et al.* (2017). Glucose feeds the TCA cycle via

circulating lactate. Nature *551*, 115-118.

Kunath, T., Arnaud, D., Uy, G.D., Okamoto, I., Chureau, C., Yamanaka, Y., Heard, E.,

Gardner, R.L., Avner, P., and Rossant, J. (2005). Imprinted X-inactivation in extra-

embryonic endoderm cell lines from mouse blastocysts. Development *132*, 1649-1661.

Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S.L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2.

Nat Methods *9*, 357-359.

Latham, T., Mackay, L., Sproul, D., Karim, M., Culley, J., Harrison, D.J., Hayward, L.,

Langridge-Smith, P., Gilbert, N., and Ramsahoye, B.H. (2012). Lactate, a product of

glycolytic metabolism, inhibits histone deacetylase activity and promotes changes in

gene expression. Nucleic Acids Res *40*, 4794-4803.

Li, B., and Dewey, C.N. (2011). RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq

data with or without a reference genome. BMC Bioinformatics *12*, 323.

Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and

dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol *15*, 550.

Mali, P., Chou, B.K., Yen, J., Ye, Z., Zou, J., Dowey, S., Brodsky, R.A., Ohm, J.E., Yu,

W., Baylin, S.B., *et al.* (2010). Butyrate greatly enhances derivation of human induced

pluripotent stem cells by promoting epigenetic remodeling and the expression of

pluripotency-associated genes. Stem Cells *28*, 713-720.

Mathieu, J., and Ruohola-Baker, H. (2017). Metabolic remodeling during the loss and

acquisition of pluripotency. Development *144*, 541-551.

McDonald, A.C., Biechele, S., Rossant, J., and Stanford, W.L. (2014). Sox17-mediated

XEN cell conversion identifies dynamic networks controlling cell-fate decisions in

embryo-derived stem cells. Cell Rep *9*, 780-793.

Mulvey, C.M., Schroter, C., Gatto, L., Dikicioglu, D., Fidaner, I.B., Christoforou, A.,

Deery, M.J., Cho, L.T., Niakan, K.K., Martinez-Arias, A*., et al.* (2015). Dynamic

Proteomic Profiling of Extra-Embryonic Endoderm Differentiation in Mouse Embryonic

Stem Cells. Stem Cells *33*, 2712-2725.

Niakan, K.K., Schrode, N., Cho, L.T., and Hadjantonakis, A.K. (2013). Derivation of

extraembryonic endoderm stem (XEN) cells from mouse embryos and embryonic stem

cells. Nat Protoc *8*, 1028-1041.

Nichols, J., Evans, E.P., and Smith, A.G. (1990). Establishment of germ-line-competent

embryonic stem (ES) cells using differentiation inhibiting activity. Development *110*,

1341-1348.

Nowotschin, S., Hadjantonakis, A.K., and Campbell, K. (2019). The endoderm: a

divergent cell lineage with many commonalities. Development *146*.

Paca, A., Seguin, C.A., Clements, M., Ryczko, M., Rossant, J., Rodriguez, T.A., and

Kunath, T. (2012). BMP signaling induces visceral endoderm differentiation of XEN cells

and parietal endoderm. Dev Biol *361*, 90-102.

Parenti, A., Halbisen, M.A., Wang, K., Latham, K., and Ralston, A. (2016). OSKM

Induce Extraembryonic Endoderm Stem Cells in Parallel to Induced Pluripotent Stem

Cells. Stem Cell Reports *6*, 447-455.

Polanski, R., Hodgkinson, C.L., Fusi, A., Nonaka, D., Priest, L., Kelly, P., Trapani, F.,

Bishop, P.W., White, A., Critchlow, S.E.*, et al.* (2014). Activity of the monocarboxylate

transporter 1 inhibitor AZD3965 in small cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res *20*, 926-937.

Ryu, J.M., and Han, H.J. (2011). L-threonine regulates G1/S phase transition of mouse

embryonic stem cells via PI3K/Akt, MAPKs, and mTORC pathways. J Biol Chem *286*,

23667-23678.

Seo, B.J., Choi, J., La, H., Habib, O., Choi, Y., Hong, K., and Do, J.T. (2020). Role of

mitochondrial fission-related genes in mitochondrial morphology and energy metabolism

in mouse embryonic stem cells. Redox Biol *36*, 101599.

Shimosato, D., Shiki, M., and Niwa, H. (2007). Extra-embryonic endoderm cells derived

from ES cells induced by GATA factors acquire the character of XEN cells. BMC Dev

Biol *7*, 80.

Soprano, D.R., Teets, B.W., and Soprano, K.J. (2007). Role of retinoic acid in the

differentiation of embryonal carcinoma and embryonic stem cells. Vitam Horm *75*, 69-

95.

Sutendra, G., Kinnaird, A., Dromparis, P., Paulin, R., Stenson, T.H., Haromy, A.,

Hashimoto, K., Zhang, N., Flaim, E., and Michelakis, E.D. (2014). A nuclear pyruvate

dehydrogenase complex is important for the generation of acetyl-CoA and histone

acetylation. Cell *158*, 84-97.

Tobias, I.C., Isaac, R.R., Dierolf, J.G., Khazaee, R., Cumming, R.C., and Betts, D.H.

(2018). Metabolic plasticity during transition to naive-like pluripotency in canine embryo-

derived stem cells. Stem Cell Res *30*, 22-33.

Tsogtbaatar, E., Landin, C., Minter-Dykhouse, K., and Folmes, C.D.L. (2020). Energy

Metabolism Regulates Stem Cell Pluripotency. Front Cell Dev Biol *8*, 87.

Vacanti, N.M., Divakaruni, A.S., Green, C.R., Parker, S.J., Henry, R.R., Ciaraldi, T.P.,

Murphy, A.N., and Metallo, C.M. (2014). Regulation of substrate utilization by the

mitochondrial pyruvate carrier. Mol Cell *56*, 425-435.

Wamaitha, S.E., del Valle, I., Cho, L.T., Wei, Y., Fogarty, N.M., Blakeley, P., Sherwood,

R.I., Ji, H., and Niakan, K.K. (2015). Gata6 potently initiates reprograming of pluripotent

and differentiated cells to extraembryonic endoderm stem cells. Genes Dev *29*, 1239-

1255.

Wang, J., Alexander, P., Wu, L., Hammer, R., Cleaver, O., and McKnight, S.L. (2009).

Dependence of mouse embryonic stem cells on threonine catabolism. Science *325*,

435-439.

Wessel, D., and Flugge, U.I. (1984). A method for the quantitative recovery of protein in

dilute solution in the presence of detergents and lipids. Anal Biochem *138*, 141-143.

Yanes, O., Clark, J., Wong, D.M., Patti, G.J., Sanchez-Ruiz, A., Benton, H.P., Trauger,

S.A., Desponts, C., Ding, S., and Siuzdak, G. (2010). Metabolic oxidation regulates

embryonic stem cell differentiation. Nat Chem Biol *6*, 411-417.

Ying, Q.L., Wray, J., Nichols, J., Batlle-Morera, L., Doble, B., Woodgett, J., Cohen, P.,

and Smith, A. (2008). The ground state of embryonic stem cell self-renewal. Nature *453*,

519-523.

Yuan, M., Breitkopf, S.B., Yang, X., and Asara, J.M. (2012). A positive/negative ion-

switching, targeted mass spectrometry-based metabolomics platform for bodily fluids,

cells, and fresh and fixed tissue. Nat Protoc *7*, 872-881.

Zhang, J., Nuebel, E., Daley, G.Q., Koehler, C.M., and Teitell, M.A. (2012). Metabolic

regulation in pluripotent stem cells during reprogramming and self-renewal. Cell Stem

Cell *11*, 589-595.

Zhou, W., Choi, M., Margineantu, D., Margaretha, L., Hesson, J., Cavanaugh, C., Blau,

C.A., Horwitz, M.S., Hockenbery, D., Ware, C*., et al.* (2012). HIF1alpha induced switch

from bivalent to exclusively glycolytic metabolism during ESC-to-EpiSC/hESC transition.

EMBO J *31*, 2103-2116.

Figure Legends:

Figure 1. XEN cells are more sensitive to glycolytic inhibition.

(A) Representative phase contrast images of ES and XEN cells cultured under control, 50mM 2-DG, and 2.5μM oligomycin. Solid arrows are indicative of round XEN morphology. Dashed arrows are indicative of stellate XEN morphology. Scale bars = 100μm.

(B) Relative cell viability of ES and XEN cells under control, 50mM 2-DG and 2.5μM oligomycin conditions.

(C) Total ATP levels in ES and XEN cells under control, 50mM 2-DG and 2.5μM oligomycin conditions. (n = three biological replicates, ns = not significant, $^{**}P < 0.01$, $^{***}P <0.001$).

Figure 2. Intracellular metabolomic analysis of ES and XEN cells.

(A) Volcano plots of differentially expressed metabolites from untargeted LC/GC-MS/MS metabolomics of ES and XEN cells cultured under normal conditions. (fold change > 1 and $P < 0.05$). Metabolites enriched in ES cells are highlighted in purple (38 metabolites) and metabolites enriched in XEN cells are highlighted in orange (105 metabolites).

(B, C) Levels of key intracellular metabolites generated by glycolysis, TCA cycle, and amino acid detected by targeted LC/GC-MS/MS analysis of ES and XEN cells cultured under normal conditions. Metabolites are expressed in ng and were normalized to cell number. (n = three biological replicates, ns = not significant, $^{*}P < 0.05$, $^{**}P <0.01$).

Figure 3. Bulk transcriptomic and proteomic analysis of ES and XEN cells.

(A) Volcano plots of differentially expressed genes from bulk RNA sequencing of ES and XEN cells cultured under normal conditions. (fold change > 2 and adjusted $P < 0.01$).

Genes enriched in ES cells are highlighted in purple (2742 genes) and genes enriched in

XEN cells are highlighted in orange (1716 genes).

(B) Volcano plots of differentially expressed proteins from bulk proteomics of ES and XEN

cells cultured under normal conditions. (fold change > 2 and adjusted P < 0.01). Proteins

abundant in ES cells are highlighted in purple (165 proteins) and proteins abundant in

XEN cells are highlighted in orange (298 proteins).

(C) Dot plot representing enriched KEGG pathways in ES cells from transcriptomic

dataset.

(D) Dot plot representing enriched KEGG pathways in ES cells from proteomic dataset.

(E) Venn diagram of common targets from both transcriptomic and proteomic datasets

for specific KEGG pathways.

(F) Dot plot representing enriched KEGG pathways in XEN cells from transcriptomic

dataset.

(G) Dot plot representing enriched KEGG pathways in XEN cells from proteomic dataset.

Figure 4. Levels of enzymes involved in pyruvate metabolism in ES and XEN cells.

(A) Bar graph of qRT-PCR analysis of *Ldha/Ldhb* ratio in ES and XEN cells. *Rpl14* was

used a constitutive gene for qRT-PCR. (n = three biological replicates, [**]P <0.01).

(B) Representative immunoblot analysis showing levels of LDHA and LDHB in ES and

XEN cells. β-ACTIN served as a loading control. (n = three biological replicates).

(C) Bar graph of extracellular quantification of lactate levels in ES and XEN cells by

BioProfile®400 Chemical Analyzer. (n = three biological replicates, [*]P < 0.05).

(D-E) Bar graph of expression level of *Mct1* and *Mct4* in ES and XEN cells. FPKM was

used for RNAseq data. (n = three biological replicates, [****]P <0.0001).

(F-I) Bar graph of FPKM of *Pdk1-4* in ES and XEN cells. (n = three biological replicates,

ns = not significant, $^{**}P$ <0.01, $^{****}P$ <0.0001).

(J) Representative immunoblot analysis showing levels of PDK1, PDK4, PDC-E1α^{pSer232},

PDC-E1α^{pSer293}, and PDC-E1α in ES and XEN cells. (n = three biological replicates).

(K-L) Bar graph of expression level of *Mpc1* and *Mpc2* in ES and XEN cells. FPKM was

used for RNAseq data. (n = three biological replicates, $^{***}P$ <0.001).

Figure 5. Intracellular lactate enhances XEN differentiation *in vitro*.

(A) Schematic diagram of glucose metabolism and the metabolic enzymes involved.

Green is indicative of treatments that increase intracellular lactate and red is indicative of

treatment that decrease intracellular lactate.

(B-C) Bar graph of expression level of *Oct4* and *Nanog* in ES cells in 2i-LIF with or without

50μM UK5099 for 48h. *Rpl14* was used a constitutive gene for qRT-PCR. (n = three

biological replicates, $^{*}P$ <0.05).

(D-E) Bar graph of expression level of *Gata6* and *Dab2* in ES cells induced towards a

XEN lineage with or without 50μM UK5099 for 96h. *Rpl14* was used a constitutive gene

for qRT-PCR. (n = three biological replicates, $^{*}P$ <0.05).

(F-G) Bar graph of expression level of *Oct4* and *Nanog* in ES cells in 2i-LIF with or without

250nM AZD3965 for 48h. *Rpl14* was used a constitutive gene for qRT-PCR. (n = three

biological replicates).

(H-I) Bar graph of expression level of *Gata6* and *Dab2* in ES cells induced towards a XEN

lineage with or without 250nM AZD3965 for 96h. *Rpl14* was used a constitutive gene for

qRT-PCR. (n = three biological replicates, $^{*}P$ <0.05).

(J-K) Bar graph of expression level of *Oct4* and *Nanog* in ES cells in 2i-LIF with or without

5mM L-lactate for 48h. *Rpl14* was used a constitutive gene for qRT-PCR. (n = three

biological replicates).

(L-M) Bar graph of expression level of *Gata6* and *Dab2* in ES cells induced towards a

XEN lineage with or without 5mM L-lactate for 96h. *Rpl14* was used a constitutive gene

for qRT-PCR. (n = three biological replicates, $^*P$ <0.05).

(N-O) Bar graph of expression level of *Oct4* and *Nanog* in ES cells in 2i-LIF with or without

5mM DCA for 48h. *Rpl14* was used a constitutive gene for qRT-PCR. (n = three biological

replicates, $^*P$ <0.05, $^{**}P$ <0.01).

(P-Q) Bar graph of expression level of *Gata6* and *Dab2* in ES cells induced towards a

XEN lineage with or without 5mM DCA for 96h. *Rpl14* was used a constitutive gene for

qRT-PCR. (n = three biological replicates, $^*P$ <0.05).

Figure S1. Morphological and molecular analysis of ES and XEN cells *in vitro*.

(A) A representative phase contrast micrograph of ES and XEN cells cultured under

maintenance conditions under free-feeder conditions.

(B) Immunoblot analysis of OCT4 (pluripotency marker) and DAB2, GATA6, and

KERATIN-8 (extraembryonic endoderm markers). β-ACTIN served as a loading control.

(C-D) Bar graph of expression level of *Oct4* and *Nanog* in ES and XEN cells under

maintenance conditions. *Rpl14* was used a constitutive gene for qRT-PCR. (n = three

biological replicates, $^{***}P$ <0.001).

(E-H) Bar graph of expression level of *Gata6*, *Sox7*, *Dab2*, and *Foxa2* in ES and XEN

cells under maintenance conditions. *Rpl14* was used a constitutive gene for qRT-PCR. (n

= three biological replicates, $^*P$ <0.05, $^{**}P$ <0.01).

Figure S2. GO term analysis of ES and XEN cells.

(A-B) Bar graph representing top 10 enriched GO biological processes terms in ES cells

from transcriptomic and proteomic dataset.

(C-D) Bar graph representing top 10 enriched GO biological processes terms in XEN cells

from transcriptomic and proteomic dataset.

(E-F) Bar graph representing top 10 enriched GO cellular compartments terms in ES cells

from transcriptomic and proteomic dataset.

(G-H) Bar graph representing top 10 enriched GO cellular compartments terms in XEN

cells from transcriptomic and proteomic dataset.

(I-J) Bar graph representing top 10 enriched GO molecular functions terms in ES cells

from transcriptomic and proteomic dataset.

(K-L) Bar graph representing top 10 enriched GO molecular functions terms in XEN cells

from transcriptomic and proteomic dataset.

Figure S3. *In vitro* induction of ES cells towards the XEN lineage.

(A) Schematic diagram of the methodology of cXEN induction *in* vitro.

(B-C) Bar graph of expression level of *Nanog* and *Oct4* in ES cells induced towards the

XEN lineage over 8 days. *Rpl14* was used a constitutive gene for qRT-PCR. (n = three

biological replicates).

(D-E) Bar graph of expression level of *Gata6* and *Dab2* in ES cells induced towards the

XEN lineage over 8 days. *Rpl14* was used a constitutive gene for qRT-PCR. (n = three

biological replicates).

A **RNAseq**

B **MS**

C **RNAseq**

D **MS**

E

Glycolysis/
Gluconeogenesis

Pyruvate
metabolism

RNAseq

MS

15   3   3

→ ALDH1B1, PCK2 and **LDHB**

F

RNAseq

KEGG Pathway

Pathway
Type I diabetes mellitus
Transcriptional misregulation in cancer
Systemic lupus erythematosus
Signaling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells
Proteoglycans in cancer
Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum
PI3K−Akt signaling pathway
Phagosome
Pathways in cancer
Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM)
HTLV−I infection
Hippo signaling pathway
Graft−versus−host disease
Focal adhesion
Fat digestion and absorption
ECM−receptor interaction
Dilated cardiomyopathy
Complement and coagulation cascades
Cell adhesion molecules (CAMs)
Autoimmune thyroid disease
Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ARVC)
Antigen processing and presentation
Allograft rejection
Alcoholism

Hits (%)

1   2   3   4

3.6 3.2 2.8 2.4

P adj

20 30 40 50 60

Count

G

MS

Pathway
Proteoglycans in cancer
Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum
Other types of O−glycan biosynthesis
Mineral secretion
Focal adhesion
Bile secretion

Hits (%)

2   3   4   5   6

3.6 3.2 2.8 2.4

P adj

5.0 7.5 10.0 12.5 15.0

Count

Figure 4

Figure S2

Figure S2

Figure S2

A

B

C

D

E

Tables:

Table 1. Metabolites enriched in either ES or XEN cells based on untargeted/targeted metabolomics

ES	XEN
Choline	Indole
Dimethylglycine	Betaine
N-acetylputrescine	Purine
Cytidine	Methylcysteine
Acadesine	2-Aminooctanoic acid
Adenosine	Methionine sulfoxide
Guanosine	N-carbamoyl-L-aspartate
Aminoimidazole carboxamide ribonucleotide	N-acetyl-glutamine
Glycerate	N-acetyl-glutamate
Carbamoyl phosphate	Ng,NG-dimethyl-L-arginine
Glucono-D-lactone	N-acetyl-glucosamine
2-dehydro-D-gluconate	Carnosine
Uridine	Glycerophosphocholine
Inosine	1-Methyladenosine
Sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphate	dCMP
Dihydroxy-acetone-phosphate	dAMP
Ethanolamine	GMP
Acylcarnitine C6:0	S-adenosyl-L-methionine
	Glutathione disulfide
	Methylmalonic acid
	N-acetyl-L-alanine
	Orotate
	Allantoin
	Phenylpyruvate
	Phenyllactic acid
	Uric acid
	sn-glycerol-3-phosphate
	Myo-inositol
	Xanthurenic acid
	Deoxyribose-phosphate
	2,3-diphosphoglyceric acid
	Glutathione
	UDP-D-glucose
	UDP-N-acetyl-glucosamine
	Taurine
	a-ketoglutarate
	Phosphoenolpyruvate
	AMP
	Hydroxyproline
	Asparagine

	Kynurenine
	Sarcosine
	Acylcarnitine C2:0
	Acylcarnitine C3:0
	Acylcarnitine C4:0
	Acylcarnitine C5:0
	Acylcarnitine C14:0
	Acylcarnitine C16:0
	Acylcarnitine C16-OH
	Acylcarnitine C18:0
	Lactate
	Succinate
	2-hydroxygluterate
	Glucose-6-phosphate
	Fructose-6-phophate
	Alanine
	4-aminobutyrate
	Serine
	Proline
	Threonine
	Leucine
	Glutamate
	Methionine
	Histidine
	Arginine
	Citrulline
	Tyrosine
	Tryptophan

Table 2. Products, manufacturer and catalogue number of items used in this report

Product	Source	Catalogue number
Neurobasal™ medium	Thermo Fisher Scientific	21103049
KnockOut™ DMEM/F-12	Thermo Fisher Scientific	12660012
N-2	Thermo Fisher Scientific	17502001
B-27™ (minus vitamin A)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	12587010
B-27™ (minus insulin)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	A1895601
GlutaMAX™	Thermo Fisher Scientific	35050061
2-mercaptoethanol	Thermo Fisher Scientific	31350010
StemPro™ Accutase™	Thermo Fisher Scientific	A1110501
RPMI 1640 medium	Thermo Fisher Scientific	42401018
Fetal bovine serum	Thermo Fisher Scientific	12484028
TrypLE™ Express	Thermo Fisher Scientific	12605010
Gelatin	BDH	B44045
ESGRO™ recombinant mouse LIF protein	Millipore-Sigma	ESG1106
CHIR-99021	APExBIO	A3011
PD0325901	APExBIO	A3013
Recombinant Human/Mouse/Rat Activin A protein	R&D Systems	338-AC-050
QIAshredder	Qiagen	79654
RNeasy mini kit	Qiagen	74104
High-capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit	Thermo Fisher Scientific	4368814
SensiFAST Sybr No-ROX	FroggaBio	BIO-98050
HALT™ protease inhibitor cocktail	Thermo Fisher Scientific	78425
DC protein assay	Bio-Rad	5000111
Immuno-Blot PVDF membrane	Bio-Rad	1620177
Pierce™ 600nm protein assay kit	Thermo Fisher Scientific	22662
CellTiter-Glo® luminescent cell viability assay	Promega	G7570
2-deoxy-D-glucose	BioShop Canada Inc.	DXG498
Oligomycin A	Millipore-Sigma	75351
UK5099	Cayman Chemicals	16980
AZD3965	Cayman Chemicals	19912
Sodium dichloroacetate	Millipore-Sigma	347795
Sodium L-lactate	Millipore-Sigma	L7002

Table 3. Primary and secondary antibodies used in this report

Antibody	Source	Catalogue number
Mouse monoclonal to PDK1	Santa Cruz	sc-293160
Mouse monoclonal to PDK4	Santa Cruz	sc-28783
Rabbit polyclonal to PDC-E1$\alpha^{pSer232}$	Millipore-Sigma	AP1063
Rabbit polyclonal to PDC-E1$\alpha^{pSer293}$	Novus Biologicals	NB110-93479
Mouse monoclonal to PDC-E1α	Abcam	Ab110334
Rabbit monoclonal to LDHA	Cell Signalling Technology	3582
Mouse monoclonal to LDHB	Abcam	Ab85319
Mouse monoclonal to β-ACTIN	Santa Cruz	sc-69879
Rabbit polyclonal to DAB2	Cell Signalling Technology	12906S
Goat polyclonal to GATA6	Novus Biologicals	AF1700
Rat monoclonal to KERATIN-8	DSHB	TROMA-I-f
Rabbit polyclonal to OCT4	Cell Signalling Technology	2750
Goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP	Millipore-Sigma	AQ132P
Goat anti-mouse IgG-HRP	Millipore-Sigma	A5278
Goat anti-rat IgG-HRP	Abcam	Ab97057
Rabbit anti-goat IgG-GRP	Millipore-Sigma	A8919

Table 4. Primer sequences used in this report

Gene name	Forward sequence	Reverse sequence
Ldha	TGG CGA CTC CAG TGT GCC TG	AGG CAC TGT CCA CCA CCT GCT
Ldhb	GGG AAA GTC TCT GGC TGA TGAA	CTG TCA CAG AGT AAT CTT TAT CGG C
Oct4	CCC AAT GCC GTG AAG TTG GA	GCT TTC ATG TCC TGG GAC TCC T
Nanog	TCT TCC TGG TCC CCA CAG TTT	GCA AGA ATA GTT CTC GGG ATG AA
Gata6	ATG GCG TAG AAA TGC TGA GG	TGA GGT GGT CGC TTG TGT AG
Dab2	GGA GCA TGT AGA CCA TGA TG	AAA GGA TTT CCG AAA GGG CT
Foxa2	CCC TAC GCC AAC ATG AAC TCG	GTT CTG CCG GTA GAA AGG GA
Rpl14	GGG TGG CCT ACA TTT CCT TCG	GAG TAC AGG GTC CAT CCA CTA AA