A diencephalic circuit for opioid analgesia but not positive reinforcement

Maggie W. Waung[1], Kayla A. Maanum[1], Thomas J. Cirino[1], Joseph R. Driscoll[1], Chris O'Brien[2],

Svetlana Bryant[2], Kasra A. Mansourian[1], Marisela Morales[3], David J. Barker[2,3], and Elyssa B. Margolis[1,4*]

[1]UCSF Weill Institute for Neurosciences, Department of Neurology, University of California,

San Francisco, CA, United States

[2]Department of Psychology, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ, United States

[3]National Institute on Drug Abuse, Neuronal Networks Section, National Institutes of Health, Baltimore,

MD, United States

[4]Neuroscience Graduate Program, University of California, San Francisco, CA, United States

*Corresponding Author

Email: Elyssa.Margolis@ucsf.edu

Title- 10; Abstract- 149, Introduction- 332, Results- 2844, Discussion- 1640; Figures- 6, Extended data

figures- 8; Tables- 1 Supplementary Table

Abstract

Mu opioid receptor (MOR) agonists are potent analgesics, but also cause sedation, respiratory depression, and addiction risk. The epithalamic lateral habenula (LHb) signals aversive states including pain and we found it is a potent site for MOR-agonist analgesia. Importantly, LHb MOR activation is not reinforcing in the absence of noxious input. The LHb receives excitatory inputs from multiple sites including the ventral tegmental area, lateral hypothalamus, entopeduncular nucleus, and the lateral preoptic area of the hypothalamus (LPO). Here we report that LHb-projecting glutamatergic LPO neurons are excited by noxious stimulation and are preferentially inhibited by MOR selective agonists. Critically, optogenetic stimulation of LHb-projecting LPO neurons produces an aversive state that is relieved by LHb MOR activation, and optogenetic inhibition of LHb-projecting LPO neurons relieves the aversiveness of ongoing pain. Therefore, targeting this MOR sensitive forebrain circuit can relieve pain yet lower the risk of misuse by pain free individuals.

Introduction

Opioids are the most effective pain medications, but the risk of overdose and opioid use disorder limits their clinical utility. Uncoupling the analgesic actions of opioids from those that underlie positive reinforcement is therefore a longstanding goal for pharmacotherapeutic development. Identifying circuits that can drive relief of ongoing pain but not reward in the absence of pain is a critical step towards this goal. The lateral habenula (LHb) may participate in such a circuit, as it is not only activated in a pain setting[1–5] but also by other aversive states including reward omission[6] and animal models of depression[7]. CNS sites involved in pain signaling with reported strong inputs to the LHb include the lateral hypothalamus (LH)[8,9] and anterior cingulate cortex (ACC)[10–12]. Furthermore, efferents from the LHb target pain-responsive regions including the lateral periaqueductal gray, dorsal raphe, parabrachial nucleus, and rostromedial tegmental nucleus[13–15]. While morphine injections that covered a combination

of the LHb, medial habenula, and posteromedial thalamus reduce pain-related behavior in an acute pain

model[16], whether these effects are due to MOR activation specifically in the LHb is an open question.

Since increased activity in LHb neurons encodes aversive states such as ongoing pain, inhibition of this

activity should relieve pain. Pain relief, or the mitigation of the aversive state, can produce negative

reinforcement[17]. We previously found that MOR activation can decrease neural activity in the LHb via

both postsynaptic hyperpolarization and presynaptic inhibition of glutamate release onto subsets of LHb

neurons in naïve animals[18]. Here we investigated the specific LHb input circuit and synaptic mechanism

by which MOR activation in the LHb produces pain relief. Among six potential inputs to the LHb, we

determined that the glutamatergic innervation from the lateral preoptic area of the hypothalamus (LPO) is

both pain-responsive and most strongly inhibited by MOR activation. Importantly, we show that

activating MORs in this circuit in the absence of pain does not produce reinforcement, suggesting that

targeting this circuit could be a significant advance in pain therapy.

Results

MOR activation in the LHb produces pain relief but not positive reinforcement

To examine the behavioral impact of selective MOR activation in the LHb during ongoing pain, we used

the spared nerve injury (SNI) model of persistent neuropathic pain and implanted bilateral cannulae above

the LHb in Sprague Dawley rats (Fig. 1a). Hypersensitivity induced by SNI consistently persists for well

over one month after induction[19]. We evaluated sensitivity to touch by measuring mechanical stimulation

thresholds with graded von Frey filaments. Bilateral microinjections of the MOR-selective agonist

DAMGO (10 µM; 300 nL/hemisphere) into the LHb increased the average hindpaw withdrawal threshold

compared to saline microinjections in the same animals, specifically in injured animals, indicating that

DAMGO reduced the mechanical hypersensitivity generated by SNI in male rats (Fig. 1b; Results of

statistical analyses including assumption testing reported in Supplemental Table 1). In contrast, intra-LHb

DAMGO microinjections in sham-injured male rats had no effect on mechanical withdrawal thresholds

compared to saline (Fig. 1b). Consistent with the rat literature[19], we did not observe a significant decrease

in withdrawal latency to heat in the Hargreaves test after SNI compared to sham-injured controls, and

DAMGO microinjections into the LHb did not alter heat withdrawal latency compared to saline in SNI or

sham animals (Extended Data Fig. 1a). Intra-LHb DAMGO reversed mechanical hypersensitivity induced

by inflammatory pain in the CFA model (Extended Data Fig. 1c-e).

Figure 1

Figure 1. *MOR activation in the LHb relieves allodynia and generates negative reinforcement in rats*
with neuropathic pain. **a,** Timeline of behavioral experiments. Right inset, example injection site centered
in LHb. Scale bar = 500 μm. **b,** (Left) Violin plots of 50% mechanical withdrawal thresholds following
saline or 10 μM DAMGO microinjections into the LHb in sham males (n = 8) and SNI males (n = 8).
Assumption testing for statistical analysis is described in Supplemental Table 1. Sham males: Wilcoxon
signed rank test, V = 1.5, p =1. SNI males: Wilcoxon signed rank test, V = 2, p = 0.050. (Right) 50%
mechanical withdrawal thresholds following saline or 10 μM DAMGO microinjections into the 3rd
ventricle (i.c.v.), in male rats with SNI (n = 12) or sham (n = 9) injuries. Sham: Wilcoxon signed rank
test, V = 7, p = 0.53. SNI: Wilcoxon signed rank test, V = 31, p = 0.080. **c,** (Left) 50% mechanical
withdrawal thresholds following saline or 10 μM DAMGO microinjections into the LHb of sham females
(n = 9) or SNI females (n = 9). Bonferroni corrected paired t test, p = 0.703. Wilcoxon signed rank test, V
= 7, p = 0.074. (Right) 50% mechanical withdrawal thresholds following saline or 100 μM DAMGO
microinjections into the LHb of sham females (n = 6) or SNI females (n = 8). Sham: Wilcoxon signed
rank test, V = 5, p = 1. SNI: Wilcoxon signed rank test, V = 6, p = 0.40. **d,** Difference scores in sham
males (n = 8) or SNI males (n = 6) before and after conditioning with intra-LHb microinjections of 10 μM
DAMGO vs saline. Two-way mixed ANOVA, significant interaction between SNI/sham and baseline/test
F(1,13) = 15.93, p = 0.002; post hoc effect group on test day adjusted p = 0.01; paired t-tests, sham

adjusted p = 1; SNI adjusted p = 0.016. (Right) Difference scores in sham males (n = 9) or SNI males (n =

12) before and after conditioning with intra-i.c.v. microinjections of 10 μM DAMGO vs saline: Two-way

mixed ANOVA, F(1,19) = 2.239, p = 0.15. **e,** (Left) Difference scores in sham females (n = 9) and SNI

females (n = 9) before and after conditioning with intra-LHb injections of 10 μM DAMGO vs saline.

Sham: Bonferroni corrected t-test p = 0.703. SNI: Wilcoxon signed rank test, V = 7, p = 0.07. (Right)

Difference scores in sham females (n = 6) and SNI females (n = 9) before and after conditioning with

intra-LHb injections of 100 μM DAMGO vs saline. Two-way mixed ANOVA, significant interaction

between SNI/sham and baseline/test F(1,13) = 6.234, p = 0.027; post hoc effect group on test day adjusted

p = 0.07; paired t-tests, sham adjusted p = 0.48; SNI adjusted p = 0.035. Means represented by horizontal

lines. *p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01

To evaluate whether MOR activation in the LHb influences the affective experience of pain, we used the

place conditioning paradigm in the same group of rats. In a three-chamber apparatus, we paired intra-LHb

DAMGO microinjections with one chamber and saline microinjections with the opposite side chamber;

the third small chamber served as a neutral area to connect these two. Rats with SNI developed a

significant conditioned place preference (CPP) for the LHb-DAMGO-paired chamber, while sham-

injured rats did not prefer either chamber following conditioning (Fig. 1d). This indicates LHb-DAMGO

is removing an ongoing aversive state producing negative reinforcement rather than producing positive

reinforcement via producing a better than expected experience in the absence of a relevant aversive

motivational state.

To rule out the potential confound of off-target effects due to DAMGO entering the CSF space via the

nearby third ventricle, we microinjected the same solutions intracerebroventricularly (i.c.v.) in male rats

with SNI or sham injury. This manipulation did not influence mechanical withdrawal thresholds

compared to saline microinjection in either group (Fig. 1b). Furthermore, similar i.c.v. DAMGO

microinjections did not generate a CPP in either SNI or sham animals (Fig. 1d). Therefore, we conclude

that the behavioral effects of our DAMGO microinjections were due to actions specifically in the LHb.

We also investigated whether LHb MOR activation had the same effects on allodynia and affective pain

in female rats. Using the same microinjection parameters as in male rats, female rats with SNI showed a

trend towards reduced mechanical allodynia following DAMGO microinjections into the LHb compared

to saline (Fig. 1c). Female rats with SNI also showed only a trend towards a preference for the DAMGO-

paired chamber (Fig. 1e). As in males, females with SNI displayed no difference in heat withdrawal

latency between DAMGO and saline microinjections (Extended Data Fig. 1b). Because female rats may

be less sensitive to the analgesic effect of opioids[20,21], we tested a 10-fold higher concentration of intra-

LHb DAMGO (100 μM) in a separate cohort of females. This dose was chosen based on studies

demonstrating a three-fold reduction in i.c.v. DAMGO antinociceptive efficacy for females in the tail

flick test[22]. The higher DAMGO dose induced a significant CPP in female rats with SNI, though still did

not reverse mechanical allodynia (Fig. 1c, e). Thus, we conclude that LHb MOR activation can reverse

the affective experience of pain in both male and female rats.

MOR synaptic function persists in LHb neurons in animals with chronic pain

In various CNS regions, chronic pain induces changes in MOR expression and function, including

receptor downregulation[23–25]. We previously reported that in naïve male rats MOR activation inhibits

glutamate release onto a subset of LHb neurons and also hyperpolarizes approximately 30% of LHb

neurons[18]. Here we tested whether these MOR effects are altered in a persistent pain state. To evaluate

postsynaptic MOR function we performed whole cell voltage clamp recordings of LHb neurons from

acute brain slices from male rats with SNI and measured DAMGO induced changes to holding current

(Fig. 2a, b). These responses did not differ from our observations in naïve rats in mean response

magnitude (Fig. 2b) or frequency of responsive neurons (two tailed Fisher exact test p = 1). We also

tested MOR inhibition of glutamatergic electrically-evoked excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) in

LHb neurons from animals with SNI. These responses were also consistent in magnitude and frequency

(two tailed Fisher exact test p = 1) with observations from naïve rats (Fig. 2c, d). We conclude that these

actions of MOR on LHb cell bodies and glutamatergic terminals do not change in animals with ongoing

pain.

Figure 2

Figure 2. *Ongoing pain does not alter MOR agonist synaptic effects or probability of glutamate release in the LHb.* Responses to the MOR agonist DAMGO were recorded in voltage clamp, V_m = -60 mV. **a**, In animals with SNI, a subset of neurons responded to bath application of the MOR agonist DAMGO (500 nM) with a time locked outward current that was reversed with the MOR selective antagonist CTAP (500 nM). Line indicates mean, shading indicates SEM. **b**, Postsynaptic holding current changes in response to bath application of DAMGO were not different between neurons from naïve and SNI rats: Unpaired t-test df = 29, t = 0.87, p = 0.39. Filled circles indicate cells statistically determined to be "responsive" to DAMGO with within cell unpaired t tests. Grey bars indicate 25th and 75th percentiles. **c**, In rats with SNI, DAMGO (500 nM) induced a time locked inhibition of electrically evoked glutamatergic EPSCs, and CTAP (500 nM) partially reversed the effect. Mean ± SEM. **d**, This DAMGO inhibition of electrically evoked EPSC amplitude was not different between LHb neurons from naïve and SNI rats: Unpaired t-test df = 23, t = 0.47, p = 0.64. Baseline probability of release at glutamatergic synapses was similar between LHb neurons in naïve and SNI rats as measured by (**e**) paired pulse ratio (P2/P1) of electrically stimulated EPSCs (50 ms interstimulus interval): Unpaired t-test, df = 24, t = 0.102, p = 0.92; (**f**) baseline spontaneous EPSC frequency: Unpaired t-test unequal variances, df = 37, t = -0.17, p = 0.87; and (**g**) spontaneous EPSC amplitude: Unpaired t-test unequal variances, df = 38, t = -0.09, p = 0.93. Data from naïve rats previously published in[18].

Increased activity in LHb neurons as well as increased glutamatergic synaptic strength onto LHb neurons

are associated with aversive behavioral states[7,26,27]. To evaluate this in LHb neurons from animals with

SNI, we evaluated the paired pulse ratio of the electrically evoked EPSCs, a measure of probability of

release. There was no difference in paired pulse ratio between groups (Fig. 2e). We next compared the

frequency and magnitude of spontaneous glutamatergic EPSCs (sEPSCs) in animals with SNI to those in

naïve animals. Mean sEPSC frequency and amplitude were also similar in LHb neurons from SNI and

naïve animals (Fig. 2f, g). Together, these observations suggest that painful injury does not induce

glutamatergic synaptic plasticity in the LHb.

The LHb receives functional synaptic input from the LPO, LH, VTA, VP, and EPN, but not the ACC.

LHb neuron firing activity increases with acute noxious stimulation, and an increase in ongoing firing

frequency is observed during aversive behavioral states[2,3,7]. In ongoing pain, since we did not observe

evidence for changes in glutamatergic synaptic strength, such increases in firing may be driven by greater

activity in the glutamatergic axons innervating LHb neurons. Therefore, we hypothesized that the intra-

LHb DAMGO-induced behavioral effects that we observed in injured animals were due to MOR

inhibition of glutamatergic axon terminals, thus decreasing the aversive excitatory drive onto the LHb

neurons. Since MOR activation only inhibits glutamatergic inputs onto a subset of LHb neurons[18], MORs

might be preferentially expressed on specific afferent inputs. Prior work characterizing direct functional

synaptic connections to the LHb is limited to mice[28], therefore we sought to confirm these functional

connections in the rat. We investigated inputs from the entopeduncular nucleus (EPN), lateral preoptic

area of the hypothalamus (LPO), and ventral tegmental area (VTA) because stimulating glutamatergic

LHb inputs from these sources has been shown to be aversive[26,29,30]. We also investigated inputs from the

LH and ACC because they are strongly implicated in pain processing[31], and the VP because stimulating

glutamatergic VP neurons increases the firing rate of LHb neurons[32]. We injected AAV2-hSyn-

hChR2(H134R)-mCherry into one of these six regions in order to express channelrhodopsin (ChR2) in

these different input populations (Fig. 3a). We then made whole cell recordings in LHb neurons and

measured light-evoked synaptic inputs while blind to injection site. ChR2 was activated by an LED (λ =

473 nm) coupled to an optic fiber placed approximately 100 μm from the recorded cell. Post synaptic

currents (PSCs) were measured in response to paired light pulses (1 or 5 ms, 50 ms inter stimulus

interval) at holding potentials of -60 mV and -40 mV to probe for EPSCs and $GABA_AR$ mediated

inhibitory PSCs (IPSCs) in each cell, respectively. Roughly similar proportions of LHb neurons received

synaptic input from each of these targets, with the exception of the ACC where we did not detect any fast

PSC connections (Fig. 3b, topographical distribution of connected neurons in Extended Data Fig. 2). The

absence of a functional synaptic input from the ACC to the LHb was surprising, as both anterograde[10,12]

and retrograde tracers[11] have previously indicated modest inputs. Moreover, the ACC is extensively

implicated in behavioral responses to pain and MOR-agonist induced pain relief[33,34]. As a secondary

measure of the strength of the innervation, we performed a systematic evaluation of the potential

connection using ChR2 as an anterograde tracer, making large injections of AAV2-hSyn-hChR2(H134R)-

mCherry throughout the anteroposterior range of the ACC (Extended Data Fig. 3a). This tracing revealed

extensive innervation of the nearby mediodorsal thalamus (MDL), but minimal stereologically-quantified

fiber labeling in the LHb (Extended Data Fig. 3b, c). Therefore, while we cannot completely rule out a

functional input from the ACC to the LHb, any innervation is extremely small compared to the other

sources of input to the LHb investigated here.

For each brain region from which fast synaptic PSCs were detected in the LHb, both glutamate and

GABA inputs were observed in varying proportions (Fig. 3c). Interestingly, while for each input there

were individual LHb neurons that received both glutamate and GABA synaptic connections, for each

input more than half of the connected LHb neurons received just one type of fast PSC. The VTA was the

only input where more LHb neurons received GABAergic synaptic connections than received

glutamatergic synaptic connections. Among observed synaptic connections, a wide range of EPSC and

IPSC amplitudes were observed for most of the inputs, except for the glutamatergic inputs from the VTA

that were consistently small (Extended Data Fig. 4a,c). The delay to light evoked EPSC onset also varied

across input source, with LH inputs having the shortest mean latency (Extended Data Fig. 4b).

Figure 3

Figure 3. *Glutamatergic inputs to the LHb from the LPO are inhibited by MOR activation.* **a,** Schematic diagram of AAV2-hSyn-hChR2(H134R)-mCherry injection targets which project to the LHb. **b**, Proportions of LHb neurons receiving functional synaptic connections from six input regions measured with optogenetic stimulation of terminals expressing ChR2. **c**, Among connected neurons, venn diagrams depict the numbers of LHb cells receiving optically stimulated glutamatergic and/or GABAergic synaptic input. **d,** (Left) Experiment design: DAMGO (500 nM) was applied during optical synaptic stimulation experiments to probe for functional MOR presynaptic control of glutamate release from specific inputs. (Right) Change from baseline amplitude of optically-evoked EPSCs during DAMGO application. EPSCs from LPO terminal stimulation were most strongly inhibited by MOR activation: One-way ANOVA, df = 4, F = 4.11, p = 0.0057 followed by Tukey HSD pairwise comparisons. **e,** (Left) Representative traces of optically-evoked EPSCs in an LHb neuron from a rat with ChR2 expressed in inputs from the LPO. DAMGO decreased the amplitude of light-evoked EPSC, which was partially reversed by the selective MOR antagonist CTAP (500 nM). Rise time was < 2 ms, indicative of a monosynaptic response. (Right) Summary time course of the DAMGO inhibition across all optically-evoked LPO-LHb EPSCs (n = 12). **f,** LPO inputs to the LHb in female rats (left) had a similar overall connectivity rate but (middle) more GABAergic connections than glutamate connections. (Right) DAMGO inhibited light-evoked glutamatergic synaptic inputs from the LPO to the LHb in female rats as well. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01

The nature of local LHb neural connections will also impact the circuit's response to MOR activation.

There are strong local glutamatergic connections within the LHb[18,35], but there is recent evidence both

for[36,37] and against[38,39] the existence of local GABA interneurons. As we only observed somatodendritic

MOR responses in a subset of LHb neurons, evidence for GABAergic interneurons in the LHb would

impact our model of how MOR activation modulates LHb neural activity, if MOR is preferentially

expressed in such interneurons. In the rat, a small number of GAD1 positive neurons are present in the

lateral LHb, though these neurons do not co-express vesicular GABA transporter[38], the protein required

for loading GABA into synaptic vesicles. In order to detect functional local GABAergic connections

within the rat LHb, we injected AAV2-hSyn-hChR2(H134R)-mCherry into the LHb and recorded from

LHb neurons. Because some recorded neurons expressed ChR2, we measured light responses before and

after application of receptor antagonists in order to isolate the synaptically driven response from the ChR2

mediated currents. Under these conditions we did not observe any light activated local IPSCs in LHb

neurons (Extended Data Fig. 5). As expected, many neurons received local glutamatergic inputs

(Extended Data Fig. 5). We conclude that there is very limited or no local GABAergic interneuron

connectivity in the LHb of adult rats.

MOR activation most strongly inhibits LPO inputs to the LHb

We tested for functional MOR modulation of the light evoked glutamatergic inputs to LHb neurons from

each of the regions characterized above. DAMGO induced the strongest and most consistent inhibitions in

the terminals arising from LPO neurons (Fig. 3d, e). On average the inhibition was greater in these LPO

inputs than the MOR impact on ESPCs observed from the LH, VTA, VP, or EPN (Fig. 3d). We also

tested whether MOR inhibits LPO glutamatergic inputs to the LHb independent of sex; the mean

inhibition of glutamate release from LPO terminals to LHb neurons in female rats was equivalent to that

observed in males (Fig. 3f). Because of the prevalence of local glutamatergic connections in the LHb[18,35]

(Extended Data Fig. 5) and postsynaptic MOR inhibition of a subset of LHb neurons[18], we sought to rule

out a polysynaptic connection. First, a polysynaptic contribution seems unlikely for the glutamatergic

inputs reported here because the delay from light pulse onset to EPSC onset was consistently less than 3

ms (Extended Data Fig. 4b). Second, to directly test isolated monosynaptic connections, we expressed

ChR2 in LPO neurons and recorded in the LHb; in neurons with light evoked EPSC responses, we

applied tetrodotoxin (TTX, 500 nM) and 4-aminopyridine (4 AP; 10 µM). In 8 of 8 tested neurons the

light evoked EPSCs persisted in this monosynaptic signal sparing preparation, and this monosynaptic

response was inhibited by DAMGO in all 5 tested neurons (Extended Data Fig. 6). Therefore, we

conclude that MORs are functionally expressed on LPO terminals that monosynaptically contact LHb

neurons, and when these glutamatergic inputs are activated *in vivo*, DAMGO application should inhibit

them.

MOR mRNA is enriched in LHb-projecting LPO neurons

Multiple basal forebrain structures express high levels of MOR including the VP, medial preoptic area

(MPO), horizontal diagonal band (HDB), ventral bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (vBNST), and other

regions of the extended amygdala complex (EAC)[40]. Some of these not only express MOR to a greater

extent than the LPO, but they also project to the LHb. To further evaluate the specificity of MOR

expression in LHb-projecting neurons in the LPO compared to nearby brain regions, we performed *in situ*

hybridization for MOR mRNA (OPRM1) in brain slices from Sprague Dawley rats where the retrograde

tracer Fluoro-Gold had been iontophoresed into the LHb (Fig. 4a - c). With this independent approach,

the LHb-projecting LPO neurons showed the strongest OPRM1 expression and contained the greatest

number of retrogradely labeled FG(+) neurons co-labeled for OPRM1 (112 ± 9 cells), corresponding to

$57.1\% \pm 4.3\%$ of all MOR(+)/FG(+) neurons in the basal forebrain (336/602 total cells). Inputs were also

observed from the HDB ($23.6\% \pm 5.9\%$ of MOR(+) cells; 153/602 total cells), VP ($9.5\% \pm 3.1\%$ of

MOR(+) cells; 52/602 total cells), MPO ($3.0\% \pm 1.1\%$ of MOR(+) cells; 19/602 total cells), vBNST

($3.7\% \pm 1.2\%$ of MOR(+) cells; 23/602 total cells) and EAC ($3.0\% \pm 2.1\%$ MOR(+) cells; 19/602 total

cells; Fig. 4d - f). Overall, these anatomical data are highly consistent with our electrophysiology results,

supporting the conclusion that the LPO projection to the LHb is strongly regulated by MORs.

Figure 4

Figure 4. *LPO inputs to the LHb highly express MOR mRNA.* **a,** Schematic of unilateral iontophoretic deposition of the retrograde tracer FG into the LHb. **b**, FG injection sites in LHb (n = 3 rats). **c,** (Left and middle) Representative brightfield images of FG-positive/MOR-positive somas (yellow arrowhead) and FG-positive/MOR-negative somas (blue arrowhead) in the LPO. Scale bar = 20 μm. (Right) Darkfield image of in situ hybridization localization for MOR mRNA (OPRM1). **d,** Number of MOR-positive/FG-positive (yellow) and MOR-negative/FG-positive (blue) cells in various basal forebrain regions. **e,** Donut plot depicting proportions of total MOR-positive inputs to the LHb from various basal forebrain regions. **f,** Locations of FG-positive/MOR-positive (red) and FG-positive/MOR-negative (blue) somata throughout basal forebrain. Co-labeled cells are separated from the singly labelled population for display purposes.

LHb MOR activation blocks the aversiveness of LPO-LHb stimulation

Stimulating LHb-projecting LPO glutamate neurons is aversive in mice[30]. Here we tested whether stimulating the LPO projection to the LHb in rats is aversive with a place conditioning assay. We used an intersectional viral approach injecting the retrograde CAV-Cre into the LHb and AAV2-EF1α-DIO-hChR2(H134R)-mCherry into the LPO bilaterally (Fig. 5a,b). Bilateral optic fibers were implanted above

the LPO for laser stimulation of these cell bodies. During conditioning sessions, rats received blue laser

stimulation (473 nm, 20 Hz, 5 ms, 10-12 mW) in one environment and no stimulation in the other (Fig.

5c). Rats developed a conditioned place aversion to the laser-paired chamber (Fig. 5c).

Because ~75% of LHb-projecting LPO neurons in the rat are glutamatergic[30], and because we found that

MOR activation inhibits the glutamatergic LPO terminals in the LHb, we hypothesized that the

aversiveness produced by stimulating the LHb-projecting LPO neurons should be reduced by MOR

agonist injection into the LHb. We tested this by combining the optogenetic intersectional virus

manipulation above with behavioral pharmacology through bilateral cannulae aimed at the LHb (Fig.

5a,d). During place conditioning sessions rats received either DAMGO or saline into the LHb while also

receiving blue light activation of the LHb-projecting LPO neurons in both pairing environments (Fig. 5d,

top). Rats with ChR2 expression developed a CPP for the chamber associated with DAMGO, while

control mCherry-expressing animals did not (Fig. 5d, bottom). Therefore, MOR activation in the LHb

blocked the aversiveness of stimulating the LPO input to the LHb without producing positive

reinforcement in the absence of input stimulation.

To evaluate the direct contribution of LHb-projecting LPO neural activity to the aversiveness of ongoing

pain, we selectively inhibited this circuit connection with the light activated chloride channel iC++ in rats

with SNI and a similar intersectional virus approach (Fig. 5f-h). Using *ex vivo* cell attached recordings in

LPO neurons expressing iC++ we confirmed that light delivery inhibits action potential firing in these

neurons (Fig. 5g). In the place conditioning assay, injured rats developed a preference for the laser-paired

chamber but sham rats did not (Fig. 5h). Therefore, decreasing activity specifically in LHb-projecting

LPO neurons when an animal is in pain is sufficient to relieve an aversive state and support a CPP.

Figure 5

a *Sprague Dawley*

Optic fiber / Drug cannula

AAV2-DIO-ChR2 / CAV-Cre

LHb / LPO

b *ChR2-mCherry*

MPO / VP / LPO / o.f.

c *Behavioral Conditioning: ChR2 vs no stim*

+ 473 nm / Off

○ - Baseline
● - Test

Difference Score (s) ***

d *Behavioral Conditioning: DAMGO + ChR2 vs ChR2*

DAMGO + 473 nm / Saline + 473 nm

mCherry + DAMGO / ChR2 + DAMGO

Difference Score (s) ** ***

e *Sprague Dawley*

Optic fiber

AAV2-DIO-iC++ / CAV-Cre

LHb / LPO

f *iC++-eYFP*

o.f.

g 473 nm

5 mV / 1 sec / I_{cmd}

h SNI

hindpaw

Behavioral Conditioning: iC++ vs no stim

+ 473 nm / Off

Sham / SNI

Difference Score (s) *

i *VGluT2::Cre mouse*

HSV-LS1L-GcAMP

Optic fiber

LHb / LPO

HSV Injection / SNI / Fiber photometry

two weeks

j Paw withdrawal **

2 z-score / 2 s

Figure 5. *LHb MOR activation relieves aversiveness of glutamatergic LPO input to the LHb.* **a,** Schematic diagram of dual virus injections to express ChR2-mCherry or mCherry selectively in LPO neurons that project to the LHb, and optic fiber implantation targeting the LPO cell bodies in male rats. **b**, Representative images of Cre-dependent ChR2-mCherry fluorescence in LPO cell bodies. (Left) Scale bar = 250 µm. (Right) Lesion from optic fiber ("o.f.") implant amidst ChR2-mCherry-expressing cell bodies. Scale bar = 50 µm. **c,** Bilateral activation of the LPO-LHb projection in rats (n = 8) produced a robust conditioned place aversion to the stimulation paired side of the place conditioning apparatus (paired t-test, df = 7, t = -4.64, p = 0.002**). d,** Rats received bilateral blue light stimulation in both conditioning chambers, concomitant with intra-LHb DAMGO in one conditioning chamber or intra-LHb saline in the other. Only animals with active ChR2 (n = 8), but not mCherry controls (n = 8), developed a preference for the intra-LHb DAMGO paired side of the place conditioning apparatus. Two-way mixed ANOVA, significant interaction between ChR2-mCherry/mCherry and baseline/test F(1,14) = 9.982, p = 0.007; post hoc effect group on test day adjusted p = 0.006; paired t-tests, mCherry adjusted p = 0.427; ChR2-mCherry adjusted p = 0.0027. **e**, Schematic diagram of dual virus injections to express iC++-eYFP selectively in LPO neurons that project to the LHb, and optic fiber implantation targeting the LPO cell bodies in male rats that underwent either sham or spared nerve injury. **f**, Representative image of cre-dependent iC++-eYFP fluorescence in LPO cell bodies. Scale bar = 50 µm. **g,** Representative cell-attached recording in current clamp mode of an LPO neurons expressing iC++-eYFP fluorescence, where blue light stimulation paused neuronal firing. **h,** (Left) Rats which had undergone either sham or spared nerve injury two

weeks before received bilateral blue light induced inhibition in one chamber or no light in another
chamber. (Right) Only animals with spared nerve injury (n = 7), but not sham injury (n = 5) developed a
preference for the blue light paired side of the place conditioning apparatus. Two-way mixed ANOVA,
trending interaction between sham/spared nerve injury (SNI) and baseline/test $F_{(1,10)}$ = 3.443, p = 0.093;
post hoc paired t-tests for sham adjusted p = 0.580; spared nerve injury (SNI) adjusted p = 0.048. **i,**
Schematic diagram of HSV-hEF1α-LS1L-GCaMP6m injection into the LHb of VGluT2::Cre mice, to
induce expression of GCaMP6m in glutamatergic LPO neurons innervating the LHb, and optic fiber
implant for fiber photometry imaging in the LHb-projecting LPO neurons. (Right) Experimental timeline.
j, Mice with SNI (green, n = 5) exhibited significantly larger changes in GCaMP6m fluorescence time-
locked to paw withdrawal in response to thermal stimulation in the Hargreaves apparatus compared to
sham controls (grey, n = 9): Two-way ANOVA, $F_{(1,12)}$ = 5.439, p = 0.038; Holm-Sidak post-hoc test, p
= 0.0074. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.005

Noxious stimulation activates glutamatergic LHb-projecting LPO neurons

Finally, we tested whether glutamatergic LHb-projecting LPO neurons are activated by noxious

stimulation and whether ongoing pain alters this response. We expressed the calcium indicator

GCaMP6m in LHb-projecting LPO neurons using a Cre-dependent, retrograde viral construct HSV-

hEF1α-LS1L-GCaMP6m injected to the LHb of VGluT2::Cre mice (Fig. 5i). We implanted optic fibers

above the LPO in control and SNI mice and performed fiber photometry prior to and during noxious heat

stimulation. On average, GCaMP6m signal increased as paw withdrawal commenced, and this response

was greatly potentiated in mice with SNI (Fig. 5j), who also displayed shorter withdrawal latencies to heat

(Extended Data Fig. 7). Thus, glutamatergic LPO neurons that project to the LHb are activated in

response to noxious peripheral stimulation, and the magnitude of activation is greater during ongoing

pain.

Discussion

Here we identified a circuit that can be targeted by MOR agonists to relieve the aversiveness of ongoing

pain but does not produce reward in pain free rodents (Fig. 6). MOR agonist action in the LHb was

sufficient to reverse injury-induced allodynia and to produce a CPP, decreasing both sensory and affective

pain responses, respectively. Importantly, sham-injured animals did not develop a CPP, indicating that

MOR activation in the LHb does not produce positive reinforcement in the absence of pain.

Unexpectedly, rather than inputs from brain regions previously established as mediating pain and opioid-

induced pain relief, it is the glutamatergic inputs from the LPO that we demonstrate are preferentially

controlled by LHb MOR activation. We found that the aversiveness produced by optogenetic activation of

LHb-projecting LPO neurons is blocked by MOR agonist microinjection into the LHb. Importantly,

optogenetic inhibition of this circuit in injured animals produced place preference, parallel to LHb MOR

activation and indicating that inhibiting LHb-projecting LPO neurons is sufficient to relieve the ongoing

aversive state. Together, these experiments show that MOR activation in the LHb can generate negative

reinforcement via pain relief, but not positive reinforcement in the absence of noxious stimuli.

Figure 6

Figure 6. Summary: LHb-projecting LPO glutamatergic neurons that fire more during pain provide an aversive signal. MOR activation in the LHb inhibits the glutamate release from the LPO, preventing propagation of the aversive signal further in the circuit.

The LHb in pain and relief

The LHb plays a role in the perception of noxious stimuli in injury and depression models[41,42], and most

LHb neurons have increased firing rates during aversive behavioral states and in response to noxious

stimuli[2,3]. Bilateral lesions of the LHb decrease hypersensitivity to touch generated by the chronic

constriction ischemia model of neuropathic pain in rats[43], supporting the notion that signaling through the

LHb contributes to injury-induced mechanical allodynia. In the aversive state of alcohol withdrawal,

chemogenetic inhibition of the LHb relieves thermal hyperalgesia[41]. We found that LHb MOR activation

reverses mechanical hypersensitivity in a model of neuropathic pain in males but not significantly in

females. In place conditioning measures, in a design used to evaluate the impact of a manipulation on the

affective component of pain, we observed that intra-LHb DAMGO induced a CPP in both males and

females with ongoing pain, but not in shams of either sex. Female rats required a higher concentration of

DAMGO to produce intra-LHb CPP, consistent with prior studies showing that across rodent strains

females show less opioid induced antinociception than males[44,45]. Together these observations support the

model that LHb neural activity increases in aversive behavioral states and manipulations that decrease

that firing provide a relief signal.

We previously discovered two potential mechanisms by which MOR activation inhibits LHb neural

activity: presynaptic inhibition of glutamate release or postsynaptic outward current generation in a subset

of LHb neurons[18]. Here we found that these two MOR effects are intact in animals with ongoing pain.

Because the behavioral effect of LHb MOR activation was specific to injured rats we hypothesized that in

control conditions the MOR sensitive LHb circuit elements have low activity levels and this activity

increases in ongoing pain. Since DAMGO induced outward currents in LHb neurons are modest and

DAMGO induced inhibition of glutamate release onto a subset of LHb neurons was quite strong, we

further hypothesized that there is increased glutamatergic drive to the LHb in the pain state, in an input

that is MOR sensitive.

The vast majority of LHb neurons are glutamatergic, and there is anatomical evidence for dense bouton-

like structures arising from local LHb neurons[46]. This local feed forward connectivity may distribute a

specific excitatory LHb input across the various LHb projections that include the dorsal raphe,

ventrolateral PAG, MDL, centromedian thalamus, LH, RMTg, and VTA[9,13,14,47]. Local connectivity has

also been observed with functional assays, including that TTX application decreases the frequency of

sEPSC and sEPSP events in a subset of LHb neurons[18,35] and that optogenetic activation of LHb neurons

induces glutamatergic EPSCs (Extended Data Fig. 5). This feed forward circuit enables the distribution of

an afferent excitatory signal across LHb neurons, and it raises the possibility that inhibition of such an

input by a MOR agonist will decrease activity in many LHb projection neurons, even those that are not

directly innervated by the excited pathway. Therefore, it is possible that MOR inhibition of one specific

glutamatergic input, such as the LPO, can decrease the distribution of an excitatory drive onto many

efferent LHb projections.

We characterized the functional glutamatergic connections from a variety of brain regions to the LHb in

rats; our findings are largely consistent with prior reports utilizing similar techniques in mice. Still, we

note that outcomes of these experiments are dependent on the types and number of neurons that express

ChR2 following the virus injections and are limited by the geometry of an injection site. We detected the

strongest glutamatergic inputs from the LH and EPN, while glutamatergic synaptic responses from the

VTA were quite small. We found no functional inputs from the ACC, and in a systematic anatomical

analysis we detected very few afferent fibers from the ACC present in the LHb. Of the detected

glutamatergic inputs, we expected brain regions associated with pain perception and pain relief, such as

the LH and VTA, to be more strongly modulated by MOR activation. However, we instead found MOR

mRNA and function were clearly enriched in LPO inputs to the LHb.

Because LHb neurons generally fire more in response to noxious stimuli, and optogenetic stimulation of

various glutamatergic inputs to the LHb is uniformly aversive[27,29,30,48,49], we hypothesized that a

glutamatergic input to the LHb transmits the pain signal. Further, aversive stressors lead to an increase in

the ratio of excitatory glutamatergic to inhibitory GABAergic in synaptic input to LHb neurons[48–50], and

restoration of this ratio is associated with relief of aversive states such as foot shock-induced learned

helplessness[49] and cocaine withdrawal[48]. Therefore, a pharmacological manipulation that decreases the

excitatory drive onto LHb neurons should also relieve aversive states. Because we found that DAMGO in

the LHb generates CPP only in animals with ongoing pain, our data suggest a MOR-sensitive

glutamatergic input to the LHb is active during pain and relatively inactive in the absence of pain.

The LPO: a brain region contributing to pain perception

The LPO projection to the LHb is composed of neurons releasing either glutamate or GABA; these

neurons do not co-release glutamate and GABA[30], unlike other LHb inputs[51]. Optogenetic activation of

LPO glutamate projections to the LHb is aversive in mice[30], and here we posited that this activation

mimics an ongoing pain signal. We found in rats that stimulating the LPO inputs to the LHb even without

isolating the glutamatergic component is also aversive. This is consistent with our electrophysiology

observations that the glutamate component of this projection appears stronger than the GABAergic

component in our preparations. Isolated activation of the VGaT LHb-projecting LPO neurons generates a

place preference[30], thus stimulating both populations of neurons in rats here may generate a weaker

aversion than selective activity in the glutamatergic component of the connection. However, GCaMP6s

recordings in transgenic mice show that activity increases in response to an aversive stimulus and its

predictive cue in both VGaT and VGluT2 LPO neurons that project to the LHb[30], therefore stimulating

both inputs may have some relation to *in vivo* activity patterns. We were able to relieve the aversiveness

of activation of this connection with a MOR agonist in the LHb. Further, inhibiting the LPO projection to

the LHb specifically in injured animals was sufficient to produce a CPP. While a causal role for the LPO

in pain perception is unexplored to date, anterograde[52] and retrograde[53] tracing has shown a direct input to

the LPO from the spinal cord. Also, injections of the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-1ß into the LPO

induces hyperalgesia, indicating LPO participation in a nociception circuit[54]. Painful stimuli such as

subcutaneous formalin injections, mild electric shock, and tail pinch also increase firing in some LPO

neurons[55,56]. Here we show that glutamatergic LPO projections to the LHb increase their activity in

response to noxious stimulation and that the magnitude of this response is greater in animals with ongoing

pain. This combined with our optogenetic manipulations indicate that a major output for LPO pain signals

is to the LHb.

The LPO to LHb circuit: A unique target for analgesia

Opioids remain the best available clinical analgesics, yet systemically administered opioids can result in

serious adverse consequences including opioid use disorder and respiratory depression[57]. Opioid-induced

positive reinforcement and euphoria, combined with the development of dependence, underlie opioid

abuse liability. To date, there is little clinical evidence that the analgesic and euphoric effects of opioids

can be decoupled in humans, yet this possibility is a potential pathway to improve therapies for pain. Here

we have identified a key circuit whose modulation relieves pain but does not generate reward in the

absence of pain. This dissociation between relief and reward provides a neural target for pain treatment

without promoting substance use disorder. Is it possible to activate MORs in the LHb but not in a reward

circuit? There is some preclinical evidence that this dissociation is possible by developing MOR ligands

with the appropriate opioid pharmacology. For example, a novel, cyclized, stabilized MOR selective

agonist based on endomorphin I produces pain relief but not reward[58]; a similar pattern has been observed

for analogs of mitragynine[59]. An alternative approach would be to target a different receptor with high

expression levels in this circuit in a way that would decrease LHb neural activity. Relevant to this

approach, mRNA expression for a selection of orphan G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) is enriched

in the habenula[39,60,61]. One such receptor is GPR151, and LHb neurons containing GPR151 receive input

from the LPO[62]. Such directed strategies present a range of new anatomic and molecular targets for pain

therapy. By identifying the LPO-LHb connection as a site able to provide relief from neuropathic pain

and injury-induced allodynia, we have discovered a unique circuit that may achieve effective opioid-

mediated pain relief independent of the drug's addictive properties.

Online Methods

Animals

All experiments were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees (IACUC) at the University of California San Francisco, the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA), and Rutgers University.

Male and female Sprague Dawley rats were obtained from Charles River Laboratories. Rats were allowed access to food and water *ad libitum* and maintained on a 12h:12h light/dark cycle. Rats used in behavioral and *in situ* hybridization studies were housed under reverse light/dark cycle conditions. Rats were group housed until they underwent surgery, after which they were singly housed.

 Male and female VGluT2::Cre mice were bred from mice obtained from the Jackson Laboratory (Jax # 016963). Mice were allowed access to food and water *ad libitum* and maintained on a 12h:12h light/dark cycle with lights on at 7 AM. Mice were always housed in groups of 2-5.

Viral constructs

AAV2-hSyn-hChR2(H134R)-mCherry (titer: 2.9e+12), AAV2-hSyn-mCherry (titer: 4.7e+12), and AAV2-EF1α-DIO-ChR2-mCherry (titer: 5.1e+12), and AAV2-Ef1α-DIO-iC++-eYFP (titer: 5.9e+12) were purchased from the University of North Carolina Vector Core with available stock constructs from the laboratory of K. Deisseroth at Stanford University. CAV-Cre (titer: 2.5e+12) was purchased from Montpelier University, France. HSV-hEF1α-GCaMP6m (titer: 5e+9) was purchased from the Gene Delivery Technology Core at Massachusetts General Hospital.

Stereotaxic injections

Rats weighing 275-300 g were anesthetized with 3-5% isoflurane (Henry Schein) via inhalation and secured in a stereotaxic frame. Bilateral craniotomies were created with a dental drill above the injection

site. For electrophysiology experiments, injections of AAV2-hSyn-hChR2(H134R)-mCherry were made

bilaterally into the LPO (-0.3 mm anteroposterior (AP), ±1.4 mm mediolateral (ML), -8.4 mm

dorsoventral (DV)), VP (-0.24 mm AP, ±2.6 mm ML, -7.8 mm DV), EPN (-2.4 mm AP, ±3.0 mm ML, -

7.0 mm DV), LH (-2.6 mm AP, ±1.7 mm ML, -8.2 mm DV), VTA (-5.8 mm AP, ±0.5 mm ML, -8.5 mm

DV), anterior ACC (+2.2 mm AP, ±0.6 mm ML, -2.6 mm DV), or posterior ACC (+1.7 AP, ±0.6 mm

ML, -2.6 mm DV) using a Nanoject II (Drummond Scientific, Broomall, PA). A volume of ~500-830 nL

was injected per hemisphere over a period of 4.5 min. The glass injector tip was left in place for at least 2

additional minutes before slow withdrawal to prevent backflow and infection of tissue dorsal to the

injection target.

For optogenetic behavior experiments, AAV2-EF1α-DIO-hChR2(H134R)-mCherry, AAV2-EF1α-DIO-

mCherry, or AAV2-EF1α-DIO-iC++-eYFP were injected into the LPO as above and CAV-Cre was

injected bilaterally into the LHb (-3.6 mm AP, ±0.65 mm ML, -5.4 mm DV) with a microinjector

connected via polypropylene tubing to Hamilton syringes controlled by a dual syringe pump (KD pump)

guided by a bilateral 33G stainless steel guide cannula (Plastics One).

Rats were treated with subcutaneous Carprofen (5 mg/kg, Zoetis) and topical 2% Lidocaine (Phoenix

Pharmaceutical, Inc.) during the surgery for pain control. After surgery, animals had access to liquid

Tylenol (~1:40) in their drinking water for 3-5 days or were administered Meloxicam (s.c. 2mg/kg,

Pivetal) once per day for two days.

Cannulation and optic fiber implantation

Two to four weeks after virus injection, rats slated for behavioral testing underwent a second cranial

surgery to implant custom-made 200 μm optic fibers at a 5° angle of rotation in the coronal plane into the

bilateral LPO (-0.6 mm AP, ± 3.05 mm ML, -7.5 mm DV). For microinjections into the LHb, bilateral

guide cannulae were implanted 1 mm above the LHb (-3.7 mm AP, ± 0.65 mm ML, -4.4 mm DV). A

dummy stylet was inserted to maintain patency of the cannulae. Optic fibers and cannulae were anchored

with flat point screws and dental cement. For i.c.v. microinjections, unilateral cannulae were implanted

into the right lateral ventricle (-1.0 mm AP, + 1.5 mm ML, -3.5 mm DV).

Analgesia during surgery and recovery was administered as described above. Animals were allowed to

recover for 1-2 weeks prior to behavioral testing. All virus injections, optic fiber placements, and

cannulae placements were histologically verified postmortem based on the standard rat brain atlas[63].

Spared nerve injury

Spared nerve injury (SNI) of the sciatic nerve branch was performed to model chronic neuropathic pain[19].

Under isoflurane anesthesia, a 2-cm skin incision was made over the left hindlimb. The biceps femoris

muscle was blunt dissected to expose the branches of the sciatic nerve. The common peroneal and tibial

nerves were ligated with 5.0 silk surgical suture and transected distally, with sparing of the sural nerve

branch. For sham procedures, a skin incision was made and biceps femoris muscle was exposed without

dissection. The overlying skin was closed with a monocryl suture. Animals were allowed seven days to

recover from surgery prior to behavioral testing. Behavioral indications of ongoing pain such as paw

guarding were observed in all animals used in the SNI groups.

Inflammatory pain model

Peripheral inflammation was induced using Complete Freund's Adjuvant (CFA; Sigma Life Science).

Under isofluorane anesthesia, a 1:1 emulsion of CFA and sterile saline (150 μL) was injected into the

footpad of the rat's left hindpaw with a 27 G needle. Sham-injured controls were injected with sterile

saline (150 μL).

Microinjections

Rats were lightly restrained in a cloth wrap for intracranial microinjections. A bilateral 33G microinjector

(PlasticsOne) that extended 1 mm ventrally beyond the guide cannula was inserted to target drug delivery

into the LHb or i.c.v. Hamilton syringes were driven by a dual syringe pump to infuse either vehicle

(phosphate buffered saline, PBS) or DAMGO (10 µM, 300 nL/hemisphere administered over 2 min). A

separate cohort of female rats was microinjected with 100 µM DAMGO.

von Frey sensory assessment

Standard von Frey sensory assessments were performed as described[64]. Briefly, rats were habituated to

sensory testing chambers (Plexiglass boxes with mesh-like flooring) for at least 2 days prior to testing.

Behavioral assessments did not begin until exploratory behavior subsided. Testing was completed with

eight Touch Test ® fibers (North Coast Medical & Rehabilitation Products, Gilroy, CA, USA) ranging

from 0.4 to 15 g. Fibers were pressed perpendicularly to the mid-plantar left hindpaw with sufficient force

to cause bending in the fiber and held for 3-4 s. A positive response was noted if the paw was sharply

withdrawn. Ambulation was not considered a positive response. When responding was ambiguous, testing

was repeated. 50% withdrawal thresholds were calculated using fiber application in ascending stiffness

order or using the up down method.

In behavioral pharmacology experiments rats underwent sensory testing 5 min after counterbalanced

saline or DAMGO microinjections on the same day, with at least 4 h between infusions. Experimenters

were blinded to the solution composition during administration and testing.

Hargreaves sensory assessment

Hargreaves tests were completed in sensory testing chambers with glass flooring. Assessment

commenced after rats acclimated to the chamber. Testing was completed using a plantar test analgesia

meter (Series 8 Model 390, IITC Life Science, Woodhills, CA, USA). Radiant light was directed toward

the mid-plantar left hindpaw until a sharp paw withdrawal response was observed with a 30 s maximum

cutoff. Ambulation was not considered a positive response. At baseline testing, measurements were

repeated at different intensity levels until the average withdrawal latency of eight trials was 15 ± 2 s.

Subsequent measurements were performed using this individualized intensity level.

Place conditioning

Conditioned place preference (CPP) pairings occurred twice daily for four consecutive days following the post-surgery sensory tests with DAMGO and saline. The conditioning boxes (Med. Associates, Georgia, VT, USA) have 3 divisions: two conditioning chambers (25 cm x 21 cm x 21 cm) with distinct visual (horizontal vs. vertical stripes) and textural (thick vs. thin mesh flooring) cues, separated by a third, smaller gray neutral chamber (12 cm x 21 cm x 21 cm). Before conditioning commenced, animals were allowed up to three opportunities to show neutrality across the chambers during 30-minute baseline sessions. Rats that displayed a consistent baseline preference (>65% of time spent in one chamber) were excluded from the study. Rats were pseudorandomly assigned to receive DAMGO in one of the conditioning chambers, and assignments were counterbalanced for each cohort.

During conditioning sessions, microinjections were performed as described above through the intra-LHb cannulae just before the rat was confined to the designated chamber for 30 min. Morning and afternoon pairing sessions were at least 4 h apart and the order of pairings was alternated on each conditioning day. On test day, rats were allowed to freely explore the chambers for 30 min and time spent (s) in each partition was recorded. Difference score was defined as (Time spent in DAMGO-paired chamber) - (Time spent in saline-paired chamber).

For ChR2 activation studies, rats were acclimated to handling and attachment of fiber cables to fiber implants in a neutral environment. On procedure days, fiber implants were connected to optic fiber cables attached to a 1x2 fiber optic rotary joint (Doric Lenses, Quebec, Canada). A laser light source (MBL 473, OEM Laser Systems, East Lansing, MI) was adjusted to deliver 80-120 mW/mm light intensity at the end of the fiber implant[2]. Light stimulation (5 ms pulses at 20 Hz) commenced upon placing rats into the designated chamber of a custom built three chamber box on conditioning days. During baseline and testing sessions, the time spent in each chamber was recorded using a webcam and analyzed using Viewer software (Biobserve, Bonn, Germany).

 Brain removal and immunohistochemistry

Rats were deeply anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of Euthasol (0.1 mg/kg, Virbac Animal

Health, Fort Worth, TX) after 0.3 µl of Chicago Sky Blue (in 2% PBS) was injected through the cannulae

to mark injection locations. After becoming unresponsive to noxious stimuli, the rats were transcardially

perfused with 400 mL of saline, followed by 400 mL of 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1 M phosphate

buffer. The brains were extracted and immersion-fixed in PFA for 2 h at room temperature (RT), washed

two times with PBS to remove excess PFA, and stored in 1X PBS at 4ºC until they were sectioned (50

µm) using a vibratome (Leica VT 1000 S). Sections were mounted on slides using VECTASHIELD ®

mounting medium (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA). Images were taken under a Zeiss Stemi

2000-C (Pleasanton, CA) using an Amscope MD800E running AmScope x64 3.0 Imaging Software.

To label biocytin filled cells after slice electrophysiology recordings, slices were washed three times for 5

min each with PBS (Gibco, Waltham, MA), then blocked with a solution containing: bovine serum

albumin (0.2%), normal goat serum (5%) and Tween20 (0.3%; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) for 2 h at

RT. Slices were incubated in DTAF-streptavidin (1:200; Jackson Immuno Research) diluted in PBS +

0.3% Tween20 for 48 h at 4° C. After five, 10-min rinses, brain slices were mounted onto glass slides as

above and imaged using a Zeiss Axioskop upright microscope (2.5X, NA = 0.075 or Plan Apochromat

20X, NA = 0.75).

Electrophysiology

Rats were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane, decapitated, and brains were quickly removed into ice-cold

artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) consisting of (in mM): 119 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.0 NaH_2PO_4, 26.2

$NaHCO_3$, 11 glucose, 1.3 $MgSO_4$, 2.5 $CaCl_2$, saturated with 95% O_2-5% CO_2, with a measured osmolarity

310–320 mOsm/L. Two hundred µm coronal sections through the LHb were cut with a Leica VT 1000 S

vibratome. Tissue containing the virus injection sites was drop fixed in 10% formalin. Slices were

incubated in oxygenated aCSF at 33 °C and allowed to recover for at least one hour. A single slice was

placed in the recording chamber and continuously superfused at a rate of 2 mL/min with oxygenated

aCSF. Neurons were visualized with an upright microscope (Zeiss AxioExaminer.D1) equipped with

infrared-differential interference contrast, Dodt optics, and fluorescent illumination. Whole cell

recordings were made at 34°C using borosilicate glass microelectrodes (3-5 MΩ) filled with K-gluconate

internal solution containing (in mM): 123 K-gluconate, 10 HEPES, 8 NaCl, 0.2 EGTA, 2 MgATP, 0.3

Na_3GTP, and 0.1% biocytin (pH 7.2 adjusted with KOH; 275 mOsm/L). Liquid junction potentials were

not corrected during recordings. Input and series resistance were monitored throughout voltage clamp

experiments with a −4 mV step every 30 seconds. Series resistance was required to be 5-30 MΩ and cells

with series resistance changes > 25% were excluded.

Signals were recorded using a patch clamp amplifier (Axopatch 1D, Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA or

IPA, Sutter Instruments, Novato, CA). Signals were filtered at 5 kHz and collected at 20 kHz using IGOR

Pro (Wavemetrics) or collected at 10 kHz using SutterPatch software (Sutter Instruments). Light evoked

EPSCs and IPSCs were evoked by two blue light pulses (473 nm, 1-10 ms) administered 50 ms apart,

once every 30 s. LHb recordings were generally made in LHb subregions enriched in ChR2-expressing

fibers. Recordings were made in voltage-clamp mode, with membrane potential clamped at $V_m = −60$ mV

and −40 mV, for EPSCs and IPSCs respectively. Light was delivered by an LED coupled to an optic fiber

aimed at the recorded cell (7-10 mW). To calculate connectivity rates, only the first neuron patched per

slice was included in order to avoid over sampling from slices or animals with lower infection rates. All

measurements of DAMGO effects on EPSCs were completed in the presence of gabazine. After

recordings, slices were drop fixed in 4% PFA for at least 2 h at 4°C and processed for biocytin labeling.

Data analysis for electrophysiology. Light pulses were considered to reveal synaptic connections when

three conditions were met: (1) the average of 8 traces showed a deviation from baseline $I_{holding}$ such that

the mean trace exceeded 4 SD of 10 ms baseline period within the 10 ms window after initiation of light

pulse, (2) the putative response was observed in at least 3 independent trials, and (3) the delays from the

light stimulation onset of putative responses were time locked (<1 ms jitter) across trials. Latency was

calculated as time from start of light pulse to when the rate of rise exceeded −40,000 V/s. In some cases

DNQX (10 µM) or gabazine (10 µM) was bath applied to confirm inward and outward currents as AMPA

receptor or GABA$_A$ receptor-mediated, respectively. The magnitude of DAMGO induced changes were

quantified by comparing the mean values over the last 4 min of DAMGO application to the 4 minutes of

baseline just prior to starting the DAMGO application. Where individual neurons were evaluated for

significant responses, DAMGO sensitive neurons were identified by comparing 30 sec binned samples

during these two time windows with an unpaired t-test.

Combined retrograde tracing and* in situ *hybridization

Tracer injections. Male Sprague Dawley rats (300-500 g) were anesthetized with 2-5% isoflurane. 1%

Fluoro-Gold (FG; FluoroChrome LLC) solution in a 0.1M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.5) was delivered

unilaterally into the LHb (−3.4 mm AP, ± 0.9 mm ML, and −5.4 mm DV) iontophoretically through a

stereotaxically positioned glass micropipette (18-25 µm inner diameter) by applying 1 µA, 7 s pulses at

14 s intervals for 20 min. The micropipette was then left in place for an additional 10 min to prevent

backflow. Following surgery, rats were singly housed and perfused 3 weeks later.

Tissue Preparation. Rats were anesthetized with chloral hydrate (0.5 ml/kg) and perfused transcardially

with 4% (w/v) PFA in 0.1M phosphate buffer treated with diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC), pH 7.3. Brains

were post-fixed in 4% PFA for 2 h before being transferred to an 18% sucrose solution (w/v in 0.1M

PBS) and stored overnight at 4°C. Coronal sections of the LHb (30 µm) and LPO (16 µm) were prepared.

Phenotyping of retrogradely labeled cells by immunocytochemistry and in situ hybridization. Sections in

the LPO were incubated for 2 h at 30°C with rabbit anti-FG antibody (1:500; AB153; Millipore)

supplemented with RNAsin. Sections were then incubated in biotinylated goat anti-rabbit antibody

(1:200; BA1000; Vector Laboratories) for 1 h at 30 °C. Sections were then rinsed and treated with 0.2N

HCl, rinsed, and then acetylated in 0.25% acetic anhydride in 0.1 M triethanolamine. Subsequently,

sections were rinsed and post-fixed with 4% PFA, rinsed, and then incubated in a hybridization buffer for

2 h at 55°C.

Hybridization was then performed for radioactive detection of MOR mRNA by hybridizing sections for

16 h at 55°C with [35S]- and [33P]-labeled (107 c.p.m./mL) single-stranded antisense probes. Following

hybridization, sections were treated with 4 µg/mL of RNAse A at 37°C for 1 h, washed with 1X saline-

sodium citrate and 50% formamide for 1 h at 55°C, and then with 0.1X saline-sodium citrate at 68°C for 1

h. To visualize FG(+) cells, sections were rinsed with PBS and incubated for 1 h at RT in avidin-

biotinylated horseradish peroxidase (1:100, ABC kit; Vector Laboratories). Sections were then rinsed, and

the peroxidase reaction was developed with 0.05% 3,3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB) and

0.003% H_2O_2. Sections were then photographed under bright field illumination and mounted on coated

slides. Finally, slides were dipped in Ilford K.5 nuclear tract emulsion (Polysciences; 1:1 dilution in

double-distilled water) and exposed in the dark at 4°C for 3-4 weeks before development and photographs

of silver-grain epiluminescence.

Data analysis of in situ hybridization studies. Methods for analysis of *in situ* hybridization material have

been described previously[51]. Briefly, pictures were adjusted to match contrast and brightness by using

Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems). Cell counting was completed independently by three scorers blind to

the hypothesis of the study. Radioactive *in situ* material was analyzed using epiluminescence to increase

the contrast of silver grains as described previously[65]. FG(+) cells (detected by fluorescence and brown

DAB-label) were evaluated for the presence of MOR mRNA: a cell was considered to express MOR

mRNA when its soma contained concentric aggregates of silver grains that exceeded background levels.

Fiber photometry

Surgery. Male and female VGluT2::Cre mice (20-30 g; 6-12 weeks) were anesthetized with 1-5%

isoflurane and secured to a stereotaxic frame. Using a Micro4 controller and UltraMicroPump, 0.2 µL of a

retrograde, Cre-dependent HSV encoding GCaMP6m (HSV-hEF1α-LS1L-GCaMP6m) was injected into

764 the LHb (-1.5 mm AP, +0.45 mm ML, -3.0 mm DV). Syringes were left in place for 7-10 min following

765 injections to minimize diffusion. For fiber photometry calcium imaging experiments, a 400 µm core optic

766 fiber (Doric Lenses) embedded in a 2.5 mm ferrule was implanted over the LPO (+0.5 mm AP, +0.8 mm

767 ML, -5.05 mm DV) and secured to the skull using #000 screws (Fasteners and Metal products Corp;

768 #000-120 X 1/16) and dental cement. Following surgery, mice recovered on a warm heating pad before

769 being transferred back to the vivarium home cage. Three weeks after the virus and fiber surgery, mice

770 were given either SNI or sham control surgery as described above.

771 *Recording.* Signals from GCaMP6 were recorded across 10 trials of stimulation in the Hargreaves test

772 using a Plantar Test Instrument. The onset and offset time for each trial was digitized and sent to an

773 RZ5D (Tucker Davis Technologies). For the acquisition of LPO→LHB activity, GCaMP6 was excited at

774 two wavelengths (490nm, calcium-dependent signal and 405 nm isosbestic control) by amplitude

775 modulated signals from two light-emitting diodes reflected off dichroic mirrors and coupled into a 400µm

776 0.48NA optic fiber. Signals emitted from GCaMP6m and its isosbestic control channel then returned

777 through the same optic fiber and were acquired using photoreceiver (Doric Lenses), digitized at 1kHz,

778 and then recorded by a real-time signal processor (RZ5D; Tucker Davis Technologies) running the

779 Synapse software suite. Analysis of the resulting signal was then performed using custom-written

780 MATLAB scripts available in a general release form at

781 https://github.com/djamesbarker/FiberPhotometry. Briefly, changes in fluorescence across the

782 experimental session (ΔF/F) were calculated by smoothing signals from the isosbestic control channel,

783 scaling the isosbestic control signal by regressing it on the smoothed GCaMP signal, and then generating

784 a predicted 405 nm signal using the linear model generated during the regression. Calcium independent

785 signals on the predicted 405 nm channel were then subtracted from the raw GCaMP signal to remove

786 movement, photo-bleaching, and fiber bending artifacts. Signals from the GCaMP channel were then

787 divided by the control signal to generate the ΔF/F. Peri-event histograms were then created by averaging

788 changes in fluorescence (ΔF/F) across repeated trials during windows encompassing behavioral events of

interest. The area under the curve (AUC) was calculated for a pre-stimulation 5 s baseline commencing -

10 s before paw withdrawal and for the 5 s period initiated with paw withdrawal.

Anterograde tracing from the ACC

In rats, unilateral injections of AAV2-hSyn-hChR2(H134R)-mCherry (759 nL) were made throughout

anteroposterior range of the ACC (+2.6 to -0.4 mm AP, -0.4 to -0.5 mm ML, -2.6 to -2.8 mm DV). Rats

were perfused and brains fixed five weeks later, as described above. Coronal sections (50 μm) containing

the ACC and the LHb were collected. After verification of the injection site, every sixth slice containing

the LHb was rinsed twice with PBS. Tissue was pre-permeabilized in 1:1 EtOH:PBS for 30 min at 4°C,

rinsed briefly in PBS before blocked in 3% H_2O_2 for 10 min. Following PBS washes (3x5 min), tissue

was blocked in solution containing normal goat serum (NGS, 10%) for 1 h at RT. Slices were incubated

in rabbit anti-mCherry antibody (1:5000 in PBS + 0.3% Triton X100 + NGS 10%; Abcam) overnight at

4°C. After PBS washes (4x10 min), slices were incubated in biotinylated goat anti-rabbit secondary

antibody (1:200 in PBS; Vector Laboratories) for 2 h at 4°C. Following PBS wash (4x10 min), slices

were incubated in VECTASTAIN® ABC Reagent (VECTASTAIN® ABC Kit, Vector Laboratories) for

30 min followed by peroxidase substrate (DAB Substrate Kit, Vector Laboratories) for 10 min. Once dark

brown DAB precipitate formed, slices were rinsed in PBS (5x5 min), mounted on glass slides, and cover

slipped with DEPEX (Electron Microscopy Sciences). DAB-stained fibers were visualized under

brightfield illumination and quantified in Stereo Investigator software (MBF Bioscience) using the virtual

isotropic space balls probe[66].

General experimental design

For behavioral experiments, subject numbers were determined by pilot studies and power analyses (power

= 0.80, significance level = 0.05, effect size = 15-30%). All behavioral experiments were performed

blinded to experimental condition. For immunohistological experiments, three animals with injections

targeted at the anterior, middle, and posterior ACC were used to obtain a comprehensive estimation of

fibers projecting to the LHb. Electrophysiology experiments were conducted blind to injection site.

Quantification and statistical analysis

Data are expressed as mean ± SEM or mean with 25th and 75th percentiles as indicated in figure legends

and text. Significance was set at $p < 0.05$. Datasets were evaluated to determine whether parametric or

non-parametric statistical approaches were most appropriate as indicated in Supplemental Table 1. All

tests were two tailed, and statistical analyses were performed in GraphPad Prism or R. The bandwidth for

violin plots was determined by Silverman's rule of thumb in Plotly for Python. Sample sizes are reported

in figure panels, legends, and Supplementary Table 1. Outliers, including extreme outliers, are reported in

Supplementary Table 1 and were not removed from datasets.

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to

this paper.

Data availability

All data described in the main text or extended data are available on Open Science Framework:

https://osf.io/mwyb3/?view_only=28fcc13c3cac41a7a55e27ffccd62bda.

Authors Contributions

EBM conceived and supervised research. EBM, MWW, and DJB designed the experiments. MWW,
KAM, JRD, KAM, and TJC conducted the rat behavioral experiments and LHb innervation mapping.
EBM, MWW, KAM, TJC, and KAM performed the rat behavior data analysis. EBM conducted the
electrophysiology experiments and data analysis. MM supervised the MOR in situ hybridization study
and analysis performed by DJB. CO, SB and DJB conducted the VGluT2::Cre mouse fiber photometry
experiments and subsequent data analysis. MWW, KAM, and EBM wrote manuscript with contributions
from TJC and DJB. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Ryan Carothers, Gabrielle Mintz, Lucy He, Venkateswaran Ganesh, and
Benjamin Snyder for their technical assistance with histology, stereotaxic surgeries, and behavioral
studies. We also thank Allan Basbaum for feedback on the manuscript. This work was supported by
National Institutes of Health grants R01DA042025 (to E.B.M.), K08 NS097632 (to M.W.W.), the
Intramural Research Program (IRP) of the National Institute on Drug Abuse (IRP/NIDA/NIH), and a
NIDA K99/R00 pathway to independence award (DA043572) to D.J.B.

Competing Interests Statement

The authors have no competing interests.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. *MOR activation in the LHb relieves allodynia and generates negative reinforcement in rats*
with neuropathic pain. **a,** Timeline of behavioral experiments. Right inset, example injection site centered

in LHb. Scale bar = 500 μm. **b,** (Left) Violin plots of 50% mechanical withdrawal thresholds following

saline or 10 μM DAMGO microinjections into the LHb in sham males (n = 8) and SNI males (n = 8).

Assumption testing for statistical analysis is described in Supplemental Table 1. Sham males: Wilcoxon

signed rank test, V = 1.5, p =1. SNI males: Wilcoxon signed rank test, V = 2, p = 0.050. (Right) 50%

mechanical withdrawal thresholds following saline or 10 μM DAMGO microinjections into the 3rd

ventricle (i.c.v.), in male rats with SNI (n = 12) or sham (n = 9) injuries. Sham: Wilcoxon signed rank

test, V = 7, p = 0.53. SNI: Wilcoxon signed rank test, V = 31, p = 0.080. **c,** (Left) 50% mechanical

withdrawal thresholds following saline or 10 μM DAMGO microinjections into the LHb of sham females

(n = 9) or SNI females (n = 9). Bonferroni corrected paired t test, p = 0.703. Wilcoxon signed rank test, V

= 7, p = 0.074. (Right) 50% mechanical withdrawal thresholds following saline or 100 μM DAMGO

microinjections into the LHb of sham females (n = 6) or SNI females (n = 8). Sham: Wilcoxon signed

rank test, V = 5, p = 1. SNI: Wilcoxon signed rank test, V = 6, p = 0.40. **d**, Difference scores in sham

males (n = 8) or SNI males (n = 6) before and after conditioning with intra-LHb microinjections of 10 μM

DAMGO vs saline. Two-way mixed ANOVA, significant interaction between SNI/sham and baseline/test

$F(1,13) = 15.93$, p = 0.002; post hoc effect group on test day adjusted p = 0.01; paired t-tests, sham

adjusted p = 1; SNI adjusted p = 0.016. (Right) Difference scores in sham males (n = 9) or SNI males (n =

12) before and after conditioning with intra-i.c.v. microinjections of 10 μM DAMGO vs saline: Two-way

mixed ANOVA, $F(1,19) = 2.239$, p = 0.15. **e,** (Left) Difference scores in sham females (n = 9) and SNI

females (n = 9) before and after conditioning with intra-LHb injections of 10 μM DAMGO vs saline.

Sham: Bonferroni corrected t-test p = 0.703. SNI: Wilcoxon signed rank test, V = 7, p = 0.07. (Right)

Difference scores in sham females (n = 6) and SNI females (n = 9) before and after conditioning with

intra-LHb injections of 100 μM DAMGO vs saline. Two-way mixed ANOVA, significant interaction

between SNI/sham and baseline/test $F(1,13) = 6.234$, p = 0.027; post hoc effect group on test day adjusted

p = 0.07; paired t-tests, sham adjusted p = 0.48; SNI adjusted p = 0.035. Means represented by horizontal

lines. *p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01

Figure 2. *Ongoing pain does not alter MOR agonist synaptic effects or probability of glutamate release in the LHb.* Responses to the MOR agonist DAMGO were recorded in voltage clamp, V_m = -60 mV. **a**, In animals with SNI, a subset of neurons responded to bath application of the MOR agonist DAMGO (500 nM) with a time locked outward current that was reversed with the MOR selective antagonist CTAP (500 nM). Line indicates mean, shading indicates SEM. **b**, Postsynaptic holding current changes in response to bath application of DAMGO were not different between neurons from naïve and SNI rats: Unpaired t-test df = 29, t = 0.87, p = 0.39. Filled circles indicate cells statistically determined to be "responsive" to DAMGO with within cell unpaired t tests. Grey bars indicate 25th and 75th percentiles. **c**, In rats with SNI, DAMGO (500 nM) induced a time locked inhibition of electrically evoked glutamatergic EPSCs, and CTAP (500 nM) partially reversed the effect. Mean ± SEM. **d**, This DAMGO inhibition of electrically evoked EPSC amplitude was not different between LHb neurons from naïve and SNI rats: Unpaired t-test df = 23, t = 0.47, p = 0.64. Baseline probability of release at glutamatergic synapses was similar between LHb neurons in naïve and SNI rats as measured by (**e**) paired pulse ratio (P2/P1) of electrically stimulated EPSCs (50 ms interstimulus interval): Unpaired t-test, df = 24, t = 0.102, p = 0.92; (**f**) baseline spontaneous EPSC frequency: Unpaired t-test unequal variances, df = 37, t = -0.17, p = 0.87; and (**g**) spontaneous EPSC amplitude: Unpaired t-test unequal variances, df = 38, t = -0.09, p = 0.93. Data from naïve rats previously published in[18].

Figure 3. *Glutamatergic inputs to the LHb from the LPO are inhibited by MOR activation.* **a,** Schematic diagram of AAV2-hSyn-hChR2(H134R)-mCherry injection targets which project to the LHb. **b**, Proportions of LHb neurons receiving functional synaptic connections from six input regions measured with optogenetic stimulation of terminals expressing ChR2. **c**, Among connected neurons, venn diagrams depict the numbers of LHb cells receiving optically stimulated glutamatergic and/or GABAergic synaptic

input. **d,** (Left) Experiment design: DAMGO (500 nM) was applied during optical synaptic stimulation

experiments to probe for functional MOR presynaptic control of glutamate release from specific inputs.

(Right) Change from baseline amplitude of optically-evoked EPSCs during DAMGO application. EPSCs

from LPO terminal stimulation were most strongly inhibited by MOR activation: One-way ANOVA, df =

4, F = 4.11, p = 0.0057 followed by Tukey HSD pairwise comparisons. **e,** (Left) Representative traces of

optically-evoked EPSCs in an LHb neuron from a rat with ChR2 expressed in inputs from the LPO.

DAMGO decreased the amplitude of light-evoked EPSC, which was partially reversed by the selective

MOR antagonist CTAP (500 nM). Rise time was < 2 ms, indicative of a monosynaptic response. (Right)

Summary time course of the DAMGO inhibition across all optically-evoked LPO-LHb EPSCs (n = 12).

f, LPO inputs to the LHb in female rats (left) had a similar overall connectivity rate but (middle) more

GABAergic connections than glutamate connections. (Right) DAMGO inhibited light-evoked

glutamatergic synaptic inputs from the LPO to the LHb in female rats as well. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01

Figure 4. *LPO inputs to the LHb highly express MOR mRNA.* **a,** Schematic of unilateral iontophoretic

deposition of the retrograde tracer FG into the LHb. **b**, FG injection sites in LHb (n = 3 rats). **c,** (Left and

middle) Representative brightfield images of FG-positive/MOR-positive somas (yellow arrowhead) and

FG-positive/MOR-negative somas (blue arrowhead) in the LPO. Scale bar = 20 µm. (Right) Darkfield

image of in situ hybridization localization for MOR mRNA (OPRM1). **d,** Number of MOR-positive/FG-

positive (yellow) and MOR-negative/FG-positive (blue) cells in various basal forebrain regions. **e,** Donut

plot depicting proportions of total MOR-positive inputs to the LHb from various basal forebrain regions.

f, Locations of FG-positive/MOR-positive (red) and FG-positive/MOR-negative (blue) somata throughout

basal forebrain. Co-labeled cells are separated from the singly labelled population for display purposes.

Figure 5. *LHb MOR activation relieves aversiveness of glutamatergic LPO input to the LHb*. **a,**

Schematic diagram of dual virus injections to express ChR2-mCherry or mCherry selectively in LPO

neurons that project to the LHb, and optic fiber implantation targeting the LPO cell bodies in male rats. **b**,

Representative images of Cre-dependent ChR2-mCherry fluorescence in LPO cell bodies. (Left) Scale bar

= 250 μm. (Right) Lesion from optic fiber ("o.f.") implant amidst ChR2-mCherry-expressing cell bodies.

Scale bar = 50 μm. **c,** Bilateral activation of the LPO-LHb projection in rats (n = 8) produced a robust

conditioned place aversion to the stimulation paired side of the place conditioning apparatus (paired t-test,

df = 7, t = -4.64, p = 0.002)**. d,** Rats received bilateral blue light stimulation in both conditioning

chambers, concomitant with intra-LHb DAMGO in one conditioning chamber or intra-LHb saline in the

other. Only animals with active ChR2 (n = 8), but not mCherry controls (n = 8), developed a preference

for the intra-LHb DAMGO paired side of the place conditioning apparatus. Two-way mixed ANOVA,

significant interaction between ChR2-mCherry/mCherry and baseline/test $F_{(1,14)}$ = 9.982, p = 0.007;

post hoc effect group on test day adjusted p = 0.006; paired t-tests, mCherry adjusted p = 0.427; ChR2-

mCherry adjusted p = 0.0027. **e**, Schematic diagram of dual virus injections to express iC++-eYFP

selectively in LPO neurons that project to the LHb, and optic fiber implantation targeting the LPO cell

bodies in male rats that underwent either sham or spared nerve injury. **f**, Representative image of cre-

dependent iC++-eYFP fluorescence in LPO cell bodies. Scale bar = 50 μm. **g,** Representative cell-

attached recording in current clamp mode of an LPO neurons expressing iC++-eYFP fluorescence, where

blue light stimulation paused neuronal firing. **h,** (Left) Rats which had undergone either sham or spared

nerve injury two weeks before received bilateral blue light induced inhibition in one chamber or no light

in another chamber. (Right) Only animals with spared nerve injury (n = 7), but not sham injury (n = 5)

developed a preference for the blue light paired side of the place conditioning apparatus. Two-way mixed

ANOVA, trending interaction between sham/spared nerve injury (SNI) and baseline/test $F_{(1,10)}$ = 3.443,

p = 0.093; post hoc paired t-tests for sham adjusted p = 0.580; spared nerve injury (SNI) adjusted p =

0.048. **i,** Schematic diagram of HSV-hEF1α-LS1L-GCaMP6m injection into the LHb of VGluT2::Cre

mice, to induce expression of GCaMP6m in glutamatergic LPO neurons innervating the LHb, and optic

fiber implant for fiber photometry imaging in the LHb-projecting LPO neurons. (Right) Experimental

timeline. **j**, Mice with SNI (green, n = 5) exhibited significantly larger changes in GCaMP6m

fluorescence time-locked to paw withdrawal in response to thermal stimulation in the Hargreaves

apparatus compared to sham controls (grey, n = 9): Two-way ANOVA, $F_{(1,12)}$ = 5.439, p = 0.038;

Holm-Sidak post-hoc test, p = 0.0074. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.005

Figure 6. Summary: LHb-projecting LPO glutamatergic neurons that fire more during pain provide an

aversive signal. MOR activation in the LHb inhibits the glutamate release from the LPO, preventing

propagation of the aversive signal further in the circuit.

Extended Data Figure Legends

Extended Data Figure 1. *Further characterization of neuropathic pain and inflammatory pain models*

and the impact of intra-LHb MOR activation in rat. **a,** (Left) Violin plots of withdrawal latency to heat in

male sham (n = 9) and SNI (n = 8) rats with SNI after saline vs DAMGO (10 µM) microinjections into

the LHb. Two-way mixed ANOVA revealed no significant interaction between injury and drug condition

$(F_{(1,14)} = 2.522, p = 0.135)$ in the intra-LHb male cohort (Left). Bonferroni corrected paired t test was

conducted between drug conditions for normally distributed Sham (Sham males: p = .861) and SNI (SNI

males: p = 0.096) animals. Two-way mixed ANOVA indicated no significant interaction between injury

and drug condition $(F_{(1,19)} = 1.549, p = 0.228)$ in male intra-i.c.v. cohort (Right). Sham animals were

non-parametrically distributed, the Wilcoxon signed rank test was conducted (V = 24, p = 0.9102) while

SNI animals were normally distributed, and a Bonferroni corrected paired t test was conducted (p =

0.0433). **b,** Two-way mixed ANOVA indicated no significant interaction between injury and drug

condition $(F_{(1,16)} = 0.008, p = 0.929)$. Both the Sham and SNI female groups were non-parametrically

distributed, the Wilcoxon signed rank test was conducted, both conditions yielding non-significant

differences (V = 13, p = 0.3008). **c,** To test if the effects of MOR activation in the LHb generalize to

other forms of pain, we repeated the manipulations above with an inflammatory model of pain. Male rats

received an intradermal injection of CFA or sterile saline into the plantar aspect of the hindpaw.

Schematic diagram of inflammatory injury preparations and cannulation targeting the LHb. **d,**

Mechanical withdrawal thresholds in sham and CFA-injured rats. In animals with CFA, we observed an

increase in the average withdrawal threshold following intra-LHb DAMGO (10 µM) compared to saline,

indicating a reduction in mechanical allodynia: Two-way mixed ANOVA revealed significant injury and

drug condition interaction ($F(1,13) = 5.772$, p = 0.032) with Bonferroni corrected paired t-test, SNI p =

0.0178; saline p = 0.796. **e,** Withdrawal latency to heat. DAMGO in the LHb did not reverse the CFA-

induced decrease in withdrawal latency to heat. Two-way mixed ANOVA revealed no significant injury

and drug condition interaction ($F(1,13) = 2.589$, p = 0.132). Bonferroni corrected pair t tests were

conducted; saline: p = 0.455; CFA: Wilcoxon signed rank test, V = 33, p = 0.25. **f,** Rats with CFA injury

also did not develop a preference to intra-LHb DAMGO in the place conditioning paradigm: Two-way

mixed ANOVA, no significant interaction between CFA/saline and baseline/test $F(1,11) = 0.104$, p =

0.753. Bonferroni corrected t tests were conducted between drug conditions for saline (saline: p = 0.901)

or CFA (CFA: p = 0.706) treated animals. The discrepancy between place conditioning results of our

neuropathic and inflammatory pain animals may be due to the natural history of the injury caused in the

CFA model: whereas SNI animals underwent permanent nerve ligation, animals injected with CFA

presented with transient swelling and erythema of the hindpaw. Within a few days of CFA injection, this

phenotype had resolved in our rats. **g,** Mechanical allodynia also reversed quickly: in a separate cohort of

rats, all subjects displayed a sharp decline in withdrawal threshold from baseline on day 1 following CFA

injection, but the injury-induced allodynia returned to control levels by day 5 in many animals. Individual

animals were tested daily; each line represents a male rat. **h,** Average across rats shows that our CFA-

induced reduction in mechanical allodynia reversed within a few days. Since our place conditioning

training exceeds this time window, resolution of the injury probably occurred before training was

completed, thus preventing the formation of a robust association between pain relief and place

conditioning apparatus context to produce a CPP. Circles or squares indicate individual animals, while

connecting lines indicate within-subject manipulations. *p ≤ 0.05

1004

Extended Data Figure 2. *Neurons receiving functional synaptic inputs from the five brain regions*

showing connectivity were distributed throughout the LHb. Locations of neurons with functional synaptic

connections detected using whole-cell recordings from optogenetic stimulation of terminals arising from

each input tested. Locations are based on a combination of biocytin immunohistochemistry localization

and low magnification visualization of the cell location during recording. Color indicates source of

innervation.

1011

Extended Data Figure 3. *ACC minimally innervates the LHb.* **a**, Diagram of the extent of unilateral

AAV2-hSyn-hChR2(H134R)-mCherry injection sites (n = 9 male rats) throughout anteroposterior range

of the ACC for anterograde tracing study. **b,** Example ipsilateral DAB-positive fibers (black) visualized

under brightfield illumination. Fibers heavily innervate the MDL, which abuts the lateral edge of the LHb,

while sparse to no fibers innervate the LHb. Contralateral innervation of LHb and MDL was negligible

compared to the ipsilateral side, and therefore were omitted from our analysis. (Left) Scale bar = 250 µm.

(Right) Scale bar = 50 µm. **c**, Average stereologically-quantified DAB-positive fiber lengths throughout

anteroposterior range of the LHb, compared to the MDL innervation in the same coronal slice. Mann-

Whitney test, two-tailed: U = 0, p = 0.0006.

1021

Extended Data Figure 4. *Sources of glutamatergic inputs to the rat LHb vary in strength and delay in*

synaptic transmission. **a**, We observed some variations between inputs in the mean light evoked EPSCs

(V_m = -60 mV). In particular, excitatory inputs from the VTA were consistently small: Kruskal-Wallis χ2

= 25.5, df = 4, p = 0.00004, followed by Dunn Test for pairwise comparisons. **b**, Differences were also

detected in the delay to the onset of light evoked EPSCs to LHb neurons from these different sources,

with inputs from LH showing the fastest response. Although these data deviate from a normal

distribution (Shapiro's test, p = 0.0000001), KDEs (violins) are consistent with continuous distributions,

suggesting reliable polysynaptic events were rarely detected. **c**, We observed some variations between

inputs in the mean light evoked IPSCs (V_m = -40 mV). While there were no statistically significant

differences in amplitudes detected, the mean inhibitory input from the VP was particularly small:

Kruskal-Wallis χ2 = 2.3, df = 4, p = 0.7. ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.005, **** p < 0.0005

1033

Extended Data Figure 5. *Optogenetic experiments detect local glutamate, but not GABA, functional*

connections in rat LHb. AAV2-hSyn-hChR2(H134R)-mCherry was stereotaxically injected into the LHb

at least 4 weeks prior to *ex vivo* whole cell recordings in the LHb to detect local synaptic connections.

Neurons were recorded blind to ChR2 expression, therefore in some cases the patched neuron expressed

ChR2. Therefore, in addition to connectivity criteria used for other afferent inputs, in these experiments

only light evoked inward currents that were blocked by 10 μM DNQX were considered glutamatergic

connections, and direct ChR2 induced inward currents were subtracted out for the quantification

illustrated here. Each cell was probed for both glutamate and GABA inputs in voltage clamp by holding

neurons at V_m = -60 mV and -40 mV, light pulse durations 1, 5, and 10 ms durations. **a**, Example

recording at V_m = -60 mV showing a light evoked response that was blocked by DNQX. **b**, Example

recording at V_m = -40 mV with minimal outward current response within 7 ms of light pulse. This

example is the largest outward deflection from baseline observed in these experiments. **c**, Summary of all

LHb recordings tested in this experiment. Filled circles represent cells where responses could be

classified as local ChR2 induced synaptic transmission. When no clear response was detected, the

measure indicated is the difference between the mean $I_{holding}$ of the baseline 100 ms period just prior to the

light pulse and the mean $I_{holding}$ 2 ms period starting 2 ms after initiation of the light pulse, consistent with

monosynaptic delay timing. None of the recordings made at $V_m = -40$ mV met the criteria for a response

to light stimulation with an outward current.

1052

Extended Data Figure 6. *Isolated monosynaptic glutamatergic inputs from LPO to LHb neurons are*

inhibited by MOR activation. **a,** Example light evoked EPSC responses in an LHb neuron from a rat with

ChR2 expression in LPO neurons. This response persisted in monosynaptic isolation by 500 nM TTX and

100 μM 4 AP (green), and this isolated response was inhibited by 500 nM DAMGO (magenta). **b,**

Summary of DAMGO effects on isolated monosynaptic EPSC inputs to LHb neurons expressed as % of

baseline monosynaptic response (left) and as raw EPSC magnitudes (right). Paired t-test, df = 4, t = -5.1,

p = 0.007. ** p < 0.01

1060

Extended Data Figure 7. *Mice with SNI show hypersensitivity to heat and increased activity in LHb-*

projecting LPO neurons during paw withdrawal from thermal stimulation. **a,** Mice with SNI show a

reduced latency to withdraw their paw following thermal stimulation in the Hargreaves task. Unpaired t-

test, t(12) = 3.007, p = 0.011. **b,** VGluT2-expressing LPO neurons that project to the LHb expressed

GCaMP6m and showed a greater calcium response during paw withdrawal to Hargreaves thermal

stimulation (area under the curve, deviation from baseline fluorescence) in SNI animals (n = 5) compared

to sham controls (n = 9): Two-way ANOVA, F(1,12) = 5.4, p = 0.038; Holm-Sidak post-hoc test, p =

0.0074. ** p < 0.01

1069

Extended Data Figure 8. Locations and spread of bilateral ChR2 (a) and iC++ (b) expression in the

LPO in rats used for *in vivo* optogenetic experiments in Fig 5.

References

1. Matsumoto, M. & Hikosaka, O. Representation of negative motivational value in the primate lateral

habenula. *Nat Neurosci* **12**, 77–84 (2009).

2. Benabid, A. L. & Jeaugey, L. Cells of the rat lateral habenula respond to high-threshold

somatosensory inputs. *Neurosci Lett* **96**, 289–94 (1989).

3. Dafny, N. & Qiao, J. T. Habenular neuron responses to noxious input are modified by dorsal raphe

stimulation. *Neurol. Res.* **12**, 117–121 (1990).

4. Nagao, M., Kamo, H., Akiguchi, I. & Kimura, J. Induction of c-Fos-like protein in the lateral habenular

nucleus by persistent noxious peripheral stimulation. *Neurosci. Lett.* **151**, 37–40 (1993).

5. Huang, T. *et al.* Identifying the pathways required for coping behaviours associated with sustained

pain. *Nature* **565**, 86–90 (2019).

6. Matsumoto, M. & Hikosaka, O. Lateral habenula as a source of negative reward signals in dopamine

neurons. *Nature* **447**, 1111–1115 (2007).

7. Li, B. *et al.* Synaptic potentiation onto habenula neurons in the learned helplessness model of

depression. *Nature* **470**, 535–539 (2011).

8. Poller, W. C., Madai, V. I., Bernard, R., Laube, G. & Veh, R. W. A glutamatergic projection from the

lateral hypothalamus targets VTA-projecting neurons in the lateral habenula of the rat. *Brain Res*

1507, 45–60 (2013).

9. Herkenham, M. & Nauta, W. J. Afferent connections of the habenular nuclei in the rat. A horseradish

peroxidase study, with a note on the fiber-of-passage problem. *J Comp Neurol* **173**, 123–46 (1977).

10. Vertes, R. P. Analysis of projections from the medial prefrontal cortex to the thalamus in the rat,

with emphasis on nucleus reuniens. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **442**, 163–187 (2002).

11. Yetnikoff, L., Cheng, A. Y., Lavezzi, H. N., Parsley, K. P. & Zahm, D. S. Sources of input to the

rostromedial tegmental nucleus, ventral tegmental area, and lateral habenula compared: A study in

rat. *J Comp Neurol* **523**, 2426–56 (2015).

12. Kim, U. & Lee, T. Topography of descending projections from anterior insular and medial prefrontal

regions to the lateral habenula of the epithalamus in the rat. *Eur J Neurosci* **35**, 1253–69 (2012).

13. Araki, M., McGeer, P. L. & Kimura, H. The efferent projections of the rat lateral habenular nucleus

revealed by the PHA-L anterograde tracing method. *Brain Res.* **441**, 319–330 (1988).

14. Quina, L. A. *et al.* Efferent pathways of the mouse lateral habenula. *J Comp Neurol* **523**, 32–60

(2015).

15. Taylor, N. E. *et al.* The rostromedial tegmental nucleus: a key modulator of pain and opioid

analgesia. *Pain* **160**, 2524–2534 (2019).

16. Cohen, S. R. & Melzack, R. Morphine injected into the habenula and dorsal posteromedial thalamus

produces analgesia in the formalin test. *Brain Res.* **359**, 131–139 (1985).

17. Navratilova, E. *et al.* Pain relief produces negative reinforcement through activation of mesolimbic

reward-valuation circuitry. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U A* **109**, 20709–13 (2012).

18. Margolis, E. B. & Fields, H. L. Mu Opioid Receptor Actions in the Lateral Habenula. *PLoS One* **11**,

e0159097 (2016).

19. Decosterd, I. & Woolf, C. J. Spared nerve injury: an animal model of persistent peripheral

neuropathic pain. *PAIN* **87**, 149–158 (2000).

20. Miaskowski, C. *et al.* Does opioid analgesia show a gender preference for females? *Pain Forum* **8**,

34–44 (1999).

21. Kest, B., Sarton, E. & Dahan, A. Gender differences in opioid-mediated analgesia: animal and human

studies. *Anesthesiology* **93**, 539–547 (2000).

22. Kepler, K. L. *et al.* Gender effects and central opioid analgesia. *Pain* **45**, 87–94 (1991).

23. Thompson, S. J. *et al.* Chronic neuropathic pain reduces opioid receptor availability with associated

anhedonia in rat. *Pain* **159**, 1856–1866 (2018).

24. Porreca, F. *et al.* Spinal opioid mu receptor expression in lumbar spinal cord of rats following nerve

injury. *Brain Res.* **795**, 197–203 (1998).

25. Zhang, X. *et al.* Down-regulation of mu-opioid receptors in rat and monkey dorsal root ganglion

neurons and spinal cord after peripheral axotomy. *Neuroscience* **82**, 223–240 (1998).

26. Shabel, S. J., Proulx, C. D., Trias, A., Murphy, R. T. & Malinow, R. Input to the lateral habenula from

the basal ganglia is excitatory, aversive, and suppressed by serotonin. *Neuron* **74**, 475–481 (2012).

27. Lecca, S. *et al.* Aversive stimuli drive hypothalamus-to-habenula excitation to promote escape

behavior. *eLife* **6**, (2017).

28. Hu, H., Cui, Y. & Yang, Y. Circuits and functions of the lateral habenula in health and in disease. *Nat.*

Rev. Neurosci. **21**, 277–295 (2020).

29. Root, D. H., Mejias-Aponte, C. A., Qi, J. & Morales, M. Role of glutamatergic projections from ventral

tegmental area to lateral habenula in aversive conditioning. *J Neurosci* **34**, 13906–10 (2014).

30. Barker, D. J. *et al.* Lateral Preoptic Control of the Lateral Habenula through Convergent Glutamate

and GABA Transmission. *Cell Rep.* **21**, 1757–1769 (2017).

31. Fields, H. State-dependent opioid control of pain. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **5**, 565–75 (2004).

32. Tooley, J. *et al.* Glutamatergic Ventral Pallidal Neurons Modulate Activity of the Habenula-

Tegmental Circuitry and Constrain Reward Seeking. *Biol. Psychiatry* **83**, 1012–1023 (2018).

33. Johansen, J. P., Fields, H. L. & Manning, B. H. The affective component of pain in rodents: direct

evidence for a contribution of the anterior cingulate cortex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **98**, 8077–

8082 (2001).

34. LaGraize, S. C., Borzan, J., Peng, Y. B. & Fuchs, P. N. Selective regulation of pain affect following

activation of the opioid anterior cingulate cortex system. *Exp. Neurol.* **197**, 22–30 (2006).

35. Kim, U. & Chang, S. Y. Dendritic morphology, local circuitry, and intrinsic electrophysiology of

neurons in the rat medial and lateral habenular nuclei of the epithalamus. *J Comp Neurol* **483**, 236–

50 (2005).

36. Flanigan, M. E. *et al.* Orexin signaling in GABAergic lateral habenula neurons modulates aggressive

behavior in male mice. *Nat. Neurosci.* **23**, 638–650 (2020).

37. Zhang, L. *et al.* A GABAergic cell type in the lateral habenula links hypothalamic homeostatic and

midbrain motivation circuits with sex steroid signaling. *Transl. Psychiatry* **8**, 50 (2018).

38. Quina, L. A., Walker, A., Morton, G., Han, V. & Turner, E. E. GAD2 Expression Defines a Class of

Excitatory Lateral Habenula Neurons in Mice that Project to the Raphe and Pontine Tegmentum.

eNeuro **7**, (2020).

39. Wallace, M. L. *et al.* Anatomical and single-cell transcriptional profiling of the murine habenular

complex. *eLife* **9**, (2020).

40. Mansour, A., Khachaturian, H., Lewis, M. E., Akil, H. & Watson, S. J. Autoradiographic differentiation

of mu, delta, and kappa opioid receptors in the rat forebrain and midbrain. *J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc.*

Neurosci. **7**, 2445–2464 (1987).

41. Kang, S. *et al.* Downregulation of M-channels in lateral habenula mediates hyperalgesia during

alcohol withdrawal in rats. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 2714 (2019).

42. Li, J., Li, Y., Zhang, B., Shen, X. & Zhao, H. Why depression and pain often coexist and mutually

reinforce: Role of the lateral habenula. *Exp. Neurol.* **284**, 106–113 (2016).

43. Li, Y. *et al.* Role of the Lateral Habenula in Pain-Associated Depression. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* **11**,

31 (2017).

44. Bernal, S. A., Morgan, M. M. & Craft, R. M. PAG mu opioid receptor activation underlies sex

differences in morphine antinociception. *Behav. Brain Res.* **177**, 126–133 (2007).

45. Mogil, J. S., Chesler, E. J., Wilson, S. G., Juraska, J. M. & Sternberg, W. F. Sex differences in thermal

nociception and morphine antinociception in rodents depend on genotype. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.*

24, 375–389 (2000).

46. Weiss, T. & Veh, R. W. Morphological and electrophysiological characteristics of neurons within

identified subnuclei of the lateral habenula in rat brain slices. *Neuroscience* **172**, 74–93 (2011).

47. Metzger, M. *et al.* Habenular connections with the dopaminergic and serotonergic system and their

role in stress-related psychiatric disorders. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* (2019) doi:10.1111/ejn.14647.

48. Meye, F. J. *et al.* Shifted pallidal co-release of GABA and glutamate in habenula drives cocaine

withdrawal and relapse. *Nat. Neurosci.* **19**, 1019–1024 (2016).

49. Shabel, S. J., Proulx, C. D., Piriz, J. & Malinow, R. Mood regulation. GABA/glutamate co-release

controls habenula output and is modified by antidepressant treatment. *Science* **345**, 1494–8 (2014).

50. Langlois, L. D. *et al.* Potentiation of glutamatergic synaptic transmission onto lateral habenula

neurons following early life stress and intravenous morphine self-administration in rats. *Addict. Biol.*

e13064 (2021) doi:10.1111/adb.13064.

51. Root, D. H. *et al.* Selective Brain Distribution and Distinctive Synaptic Architecture of Dual

Glutamatergic-GABAergic Neurons. *Cell Rep.* **23**, 3465–3479 (2018).

52. Newman, H. M., Stevens, R. T. & Apkarian, A. V. Direct spinal projections to limbic and striatal areas:

anterograde transport studies from the upper cervical spinal cord and the cervical enlargement in

squirrel monkey and rat. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **365**, 640–658 (1996).

53. Burstein, R., Cliffer, K. D. & Giesler, G. J. Direct somatosensory projections from the spinal cord to

the hypothalamus and telencephalon. *J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci.* **7**, 4159–4164 (1987).

54. Hori, T., Oka, T., Hosoi, M. & Aou, S. Pain modulatory actions of cytokines and prostaglandin E2 in

the brain. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **840**, 269–281 (1998).

55. Ono, T. & Nakamura, K. Learning and integration of rewarding and aversive stimuli in the rat lateral

hypothalamus. *Brain Res.* **346**, 368–373 (1985).

56. Almli, C. R. & McMullen, N. T. Ontogeny of lateral preoptic unit activity in rats. *Brain Res. Bull.* **4**,

773–781 (1979).

57. Vowles, K. E. *et al.* Rates of opioid misuse, abuse, and addiction in chronic pain: a systematic review

and data synthesis. *Pain* **156**, 569–576 (2015).

58. Zadina, J. E. *et al.* Endomorphin analog analgesics with reduced abuse liability, respiratory

depression, motor impairment, tolerance, and glial activation relative to morphine.

Neuropharmacology **105**, 215–227 (2016).

59. Chakraborty, S. *et al.* A Novel Mitragynine Analog with Low-Efficacy Mu Opioid Receptor Agonism

Displays Antinociception with Attenuated Adverse Effects. *J. Med. Chem.* **64**, 13873–13892 (2021).

60. Ehrlich, A. T. *et al.* Expression map of 78 brain-expressed mouse orphan GPCRs provides a

translational resource for neuropsychiatric research. *Commun. Biol.* **1**, 102 (2018).

61. Wagner, F., Bernard, R., Derst, C., French, L. & Veh, R. W. Microarray analysis of transcripts with

elevated expressions in the rat medial or lateral habenula suggest fast GABAergic excitation in the

medial habenula and habenular involvement in the regulation of feeding and energy balance. *Brain*

Struct. Funct. **221**, 4663–4689 (2016).

62. Broms, J. *et al.* Monosynaptic retrograde tracing of neurons expressing the G-protein coupled

receptor Gpr151 in the mouse brain. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **525**, 3227–3250 (2017).

63. Paxinos, G. & Watson, C. *The Rat Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates, Compact*. (Academic Press, 1997).

64. Chaplan, S. R., Bach, F. W., Pogrel, J. W., Chung, J. M. & Yaksh, T. L. Quantitative assessment of

tactile allodynia in the rat paw. *J. Neurosci. Methods* **53**, 55–63 (1994).

65. Yamaguchi, T., Wang, H.-L., Li, X., Ng, T. H. & Morales, M. Mesocorticolimbic glutamatergic pathway.

J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci. **31**, 8476–8490 (2011).

66. Mouton, P. R., Gokhale, A. M., Ward, N. L. & West, M. J. Stereological length estimation using

spherical probes. *J. Microsc.* **206**, 54–64 (2002).

1215

Figure 1

Figure 2

a

DAMGO   CTAP

$I_{holding}$ (pA)

n = 15

Time (min)

b

● - p <0.05
○ - n.s.

Δ $I_{holding}$ with DAMGO

Naïve   SNI

c

DAMGO CTAP

% of Baseline EPSC amplitude

n = 15

Time (min)

d

% of baseline evoked EPSC amplitude in DAMGO

Naïve   SNI

e

Baseline Paired Pulse Ratio

Naïve   SNI

f

Baseline sEPSC Frequency (Hz)

Naïve   SNI

g

Baseline sEPSC Amplitude (pA)

Naïve   SNI

Figure 3

a AAV2-hSyn-ChR2

ACC LHb
VP LPO EPN LH VTA

b
Proportion Connected
- connected
- unconnected
ACC VP LPO EPN LH VTA

c
- glutamate
- GABA

VP: 8, 7, 2
LPO: 31, 17, 10
EPN: 17, 7
LH: 18, 19, 3
VTA: 4, 14, 20

d
LED 473 nm
μOR?
ChR2 glut input
LHb neuron

% of baseline EPSC amplitude in DAMGO
VP LPO EPN LH VTA
* ** *

e
DAMGO
CTAP
Baseline LPO input
50 pA
10 ms

% of baseline opto LPO EPSC amplitude
DAMGO CTAP
n = 12
Time (min)

f ♀
Proportion Connected
- connected
- unconnected
LPO
glutamate GABA
3, 5, 5

LPO ♀
% of baseline EPSC amplitude in DAMGO

Figure 4

a Fluoro-Gold
LHb
LPO

b
-3.12 -3.60 -4.16
-3.30 -3.80

c
20 μm

d
Number of LHb-projecting cells
- MOR+/FG+
- MOR–/FG+
LPO MPO/AHA VP HDB BNST EAC

e Proportion of MOR+ Inputs to LHb
LPO
EAC
BNST
MPO/AHA
VP
HDB

f
- MOR+/FG+
- MOR–/FG+
+0.62
+0.38
+0.14
-0.10
-0.46
-0.82

Figure 5

a *Sprague Dawley*

Optic fiber
Drug cannula
CAV-Cre
AAV2-DIO-ChR2
LHb
LPO

b *ChR2-mCherry*

MPO VP
LPO
o.f.

c *Behavioral Conditioning: ChR2 vs no stim*

+ 473 nm   Off

○ - Baseline
● - Test

Difference Score (s)
***

d *Behavioral Conditioning: DAMGO + ChR2 vs ChR2*

DAMGO + 473 nm   Saline + 473 nm

mCherry + DAMGO   ChR2 + DAMGO

Difference Score (s)
**
***

e *Sprague Dawley*

Optic fiber
CAV-Cre
AAV2-DIO-iC++
LHb
LPO

f *iC++-eYFP*

o.f.

g

473 nm

5 mV
1 sec
I_{cmd}

h

SNI
hindpaw

Behavioral Conditioning: iC++ vs no stim

+ 473 nm   Off

Sham   SNI

Difference Score (s)
*

i *VGluT2::Cre mouse*

HSV-LS1L-GcAMP
Optic fiber
LHb
LPO

HSV Injection   SNI   Fiber photometry
two weeks

j

Paw withdrawal
**

2 z-score
2 s

Figure 6

LPO   LHb

glut — glut ▷ not aversive

glut → glut ◀ aversive

glut → glut ▷ not aversive

- μOR
- opioid

Extended Data Figure 1

Extended Data Figure 1. *Further characterization of neuropathic pain and inflammatory pain models and the impact of intra-LHb MOR activation in rat.* **a,** (Left) Violin plots of withdrawal latency to heat in male sham (n = 9) and SNI (n = 8) rats with SNI after saline vs DAMGO (10 μM) microinjections into the LHb. Two-way mixed ANOVA revealed no significant interaction between injury and drug condition (F(1,14) = 2.522, p = 0.135) in the intra-LHb male cohort (Left). Bonferroni corrected paired t test was conducted between drug conditions for normally distributed Sham (Sham males: p = .861) and SNI (SNI males: p = 0.096) animals. Two-way mixed ANOVA indicated no significant interaction between injury and drug condition (F(1,19) = 1.549, p = 0.228) in male intra-i.c.v. cohort (Right). Sham animals were non-parametrically distributed, the Wilcoxon signed rank test was conducted (V = 24, p = 0.9102) while SNI animals were normally distributed, and a Bonferroni corrected paired t test was conducted (p = 0.0433). **b,** Two-way mixed ANOVA indicated no significant interaction between injury and drug condition (F(1,16) = 0.008, p = 0.929). Both the Sham and SNI female groups were non-parametrically distributed, the Wilcoxon signed rank test was conducted, both conditions yielding non-significant differences (V = 13, p = 0.3008). **c,** To test if the effects of MOR activation in the LHb generalize to other forms of pain, we repeated the manipulations above with an inflammatory model of pain. Male rats received an intradermal injection of CFA or sterile saline into the plantar aspect of the hindpaw. Schematic diagram of inflammatory injury preparations and cannulation targeting the LHb. **d,** Mechanical withdrawal thresholds in sham and CFA-injured rats. In animals with CFA, we observed an increase in the average withdrawal threshold following intra-LHb DAMGO (10 μM) compared to saline, indicating a reduction in mechanical allodynia: Two-way mixed ANOVA revealed significant injury and drug condition interaction (F(1,13) = 5.772, p = 0.032) with Bonferroni corrected paired t-test, SNI p = 0.0178; saline p = 0.796. **e,** Withdrawal latency to heat. DAMGO in the LHb did not reverse the CFA-induced decrease in withdrawal latency to heat. Two-way mixed ANOVA revealed no significant injury and drug condition interaction (F(1,13) = 2.589, p = 0.132). Bonferroni corrected pair t tests were conducted; saline: p = 0.455; CFA: Wilcoxon signed rank test, V = 33, p = 0.25. **f,** Rats with CFA injury also did not develop a preference to intra-LHb DAMGO in the place conditioning paradigm: Two-way mixed ANOVA, no significant interaction between CFA/saline and baseline/test F (1,11) = 0.104, p = 0.753. Bonferroni corrected t tests were conducted between drug conditions for saline (saline: p = 0.901) or CFA (CFA: p = 0.706) treated animals. The discrepancy between place conditioning results of our neuropathic and inflammatory pain animals may be due to the natural history of the injury caused in the CFA model: whereas SNI animals underwent permanent nerve ligation, animals injected with CFA presented with transient swelling and erythema of the hindpaw. Within a few days of CFA injection, this phenotype had resolved in our rats. **g,** Mechanical allodynia also reversed quickly: in a separate cohort of rats, all subjects displayed a sharp decline in withdrawal threshold from baseline on day 1 following CFA injection, but the injury-induced allodynia returned to control levels by day 5 in many animals. Individual animals were tested daily; each line represents a male rat. **h,** Average across rats shows that our CFA-induced reduction in mechanical allodynia reversed within a few days. Since our place conditioning training exceeds this time window, resolution of the injury probably occurred before training was completed, thus preventing the formation of a robust association between pain relief and place conditioning apparatus context to produce a CPP. Circles or squares indicate individual animals, while connecting lines indicate within-subject manipulations. *p ≤ 0.05

Extended Data Figure 2

Extended Data Figure 2. *Neurons receiving functional synaptic inputs from the five brain regions showing connectivity were distributed throughout the LHb.* Locations of neurons with functional synaptic connections detected using whole-cell recordings from optogenetic stimulation of terminals arising from each input tested. Locations are based on a combination of biocytin immunohistochemistry localization and low magnification visualization of the cell location during recording. Color indicates source of innervation.

Extended Figure 3

Extended Data Figure 3. *ACC minimally innervates the LHb.* **a**, Diagram of the extent of unilateral AAV2-hSyn-hChR2(H134R)-mCherry injection sites (n = 9 male rats) throughout anteroposterior range of the ACC for anterograde tracing study. **b,** Example ipsilateral DAB-positive fibers (black) visualized under brightfield illumination. Fibers heavily innervate the MDL, which abuts the lateral edge of the LHb, while sparse to no fibers innervate the LHb. Contralateral innervation of LHb and MDL was negligible compared to the ipsilateral side, and therefore were omitted from our analysis. (Left) Scale bar = 250 μm. (Right) Scale bar = 50 μm. **c**, Average stereologically-quantified DAB-positive fiber lengths throughout anteroposterior range of the LHb, compared to the MDL innervation in the same coronal slice. Mann-Whitney test, two-tailed: U = 0, p = 0.0006.

Extended Data Figure 4

a

b

c

Extended Data Figure 4. *Sources of glutamatergic inputs to the rat LHb vary in strength and delay in synaptic transmission.* **a**, We observed some variations between inputs in the mean light evoked EPSCs (V_m = -60 mV). In particular, excitatory inputs from the VTA were consistently small: Kruskal-Wallis χ2 = 25.5, df = 4, p = 0.00004, followed by Dunn Test for pairwise comparisons. **b**, Differences were also detected in the delay to the onset of light evoked EPSCs to LHb neurons from these different sources, with inputs from LH showing the fastest response. Although these data deviate from a normal distribution (Shapiro's test, p = 0.0000001), KDEs (violins) are consistent with continuous distributions, suggesting reliable polysynaptic events were rarely detected. **c**, We observed some variations between inputs in the mean light evoked IPSCs (V_m = -40 mV). While there were no statistically significant differences in amplitudes detected, the mean inhibitory input from the VP was particularly small: Kruskal-Wallis χ2 = 2.3, df = 4, p = 0.7. ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.005, **** p < 0.0005

Extended Data Figure 5

Extended Data Figure 5. *Optogenetic experiments detect local glutamate, but not GABA, functional connections in rat LHb.* AAV2-hSyn-hChR2(H134R)-mCherry was stereotaxically injected into the LHb at least 4 weeks prior to *ex vivo* whole cell recordings in the LHb to detect local synaptic connections. Neurons were recorded blind to ChR2 expression, therefore in some cases the patched neuron expressed ChR2. Therefore, in addition to connectivity criteria used for other afferent inputs, in these experiments only light evoked inward currents that were blocked by 10 μM DNQX were considered glutamatergic connections, and direct ChR2 induced inward currents were subtracted out for the quantification illustrated here. Each cell was probed for both glutamate and GABA inputs in voltage clamp by holding neurons at V_m = -60 mV and -40 mV, light pulse durations 1, 5, and 10 ms durations. **a**, Example recording at V_m = -60 mV showing a light evoked response that was blocked by DNQX. **b**, Example recording at V_m = -40 mV with minimal outward current response within 7 ms of light pulse. This example is the largest outward deflection from baseline observed in these experiments. **c**, Summary of all LHb recordings tested in this experiment. Filled circles represent cells where responses could be classified as local ChR2 induced synaptic transmission. When no clear response was detected, the measure indicated is the difference between the mean $I_{holding}$ of the baseline 100 ms period just prior to the light pulse and the mean $I_{holding}$ 2 ms period starting 2 ms after initiation of the light pulse, consistent with monosynaptic delay timing. None of the recordings made at V_m = -40 mV met the criteria for a response to light stimulation with an outward current.

Extended Data Figure 6

Extended Data Figure 6. *Isolated monosynaptic glutamatergic inputs from LPO to LHb neurons are inhibited by MOR activation.* **a,** Example light evoked EPSC responses in an LHb neuron from a rat with ChR2 expression in LPO neurons. This response persisted in monosynaptic isolation by 500 nM TTX and 100 µM 4 AP (green), and this isolated response was inhibited by 500 nM DAMGO (magenta). **b,** Summary of DAMGO effects on isolated monosynaptic EPSC inputs to LHb neurons expressed as % of baseline monosynaptic response (left) and as raw EPSC magnitudes (right). Paired t-test, df = 4, t = -5.1, p = 0.007. ** p < 0.01

Extended Data Figure 7

Extended Data Figure 7. *Mice with SNI show hypersensitivity to heat and increased activity in LHb-projecting LPO neurons during paw withdrawal from thermal stimulation.* **a,** Mice with SNI show a reduced latency to withdraw their paw following thermal stimulation in the Hargreaves task. Unpaired t-test, t(12) = 3.007, p = 0.011. **b,** VGluT2-expressing LPO neurons that project to the LHb expressed GCaMP6m and showed a greater calcium response during paw withdrawal to Hargreaves thermal stimulation (area under the curve, deviation from baseline fluorescence) in SNI animals (n = 5) compared to sham controls (n = 9): Two-way ANOVA, F(1,12) = 5.4, p = 0.038; Holm-Sidak post-hoc test, p = 0.0074. ** p < 0.01

Extended Data Figure 8

a

- 0.26 mm

- 0.40 mm

- 0.92 mm

b

- 0.26 mm

- 0.40 mm

- 0.92 mm

Extended Data Figure 8. Locations and spread of bilateral ChR2 (a) and iC++ (b) expression in the LPO in rats used for *in vivo* optogenetic experiments in Fig 5.