Title:

Length–length and length–weight relationships for four small pelagic fishes in the Kuroshio–Oyashio current system

Running title:

Morphometric relationships of small pelagic fishes

Authors:

Sho Furuichi[1]*, Yasuhiro Kamimura[1], Ryuji Yukami[1]

Affiliations:

[1]Fisheries Resources Institute, Japan Fisheries Research and Education Agency, Yokohama, Japan

***Correspondence:**

Sho Furuichi, Fisheries Resources Institute, Japan Fisheries Research and Education Agency, Yokohama, Japan

Email: sfuru@affrc.go.jp

Summary

Length–length relationships and length–weight relationships were estimated for four small pelagic fishes (Japanese sardine *Sardinops melanostictus*, Japanese anchovy *Engraulis japonicus*, chub mackerel *Scomber japonicus*, and spotted mackerel *Scomber australasicus*) in the Kuroshio–Oyashio current system. Fish samples were collected from surface–midwater trawl surveys and commercial purse-seine fisheries between September and October of 2020 in the western North Pacific. Total length (TL), fork length (FL), and standard length (SL) were measured to 0.01 cm, and whole body weight (W) was measured to the nearest 0.01 g for each individual. All length–length relationships (TL–FL, TL–SL, and FL–SL) were highly significant ($p < 0.0001$), with $r^2 > 0.98$ in all species. Length–weight relationships (W–TL, W–FL, and W–SL) were also highly significant ($p < 0.0001$), with $r^2 > 0.98$ in all species. This study provides a useful reference for biological studies and stock assessments of these small pelagic fishes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Length–length relationships (LLRs) and length–weight relationships (LWRs) of fishes are key tools in fish biology and ecology, fisheries science, and fisheries management (Froese, 2006; Froese et al., 2011). Length–length relationships are needed to convert length measurements from one length type into another and are also important in comparative growth studies. Length–weight relationships are used to predict weight from length and to calculate production and biomass of a fish population in stock assessment.

In the Kuroshio–Oyashio current system (around the Japanese Archipelago), the small pelagic fish community is dominated by Japanese sardine *Sardinops melanostictus* Temminck & Schlegel, 1844, Japanese anchovy *Engraulis japonicus* Temminck & Schlegel, 1846, chub mackerel *Scomber japonicus* Houttuyn, 1782, and spotted mackerel *Scomber australasicus* Cuvier, 1832. The ecological and commercial importance and remarkable fluctuations in the abundances of these small pelagic fishes have inspired studies ranging in scope from the dynamics of single stocks to the dynamics of small pelagic fish communities at ecosystem and global scales (Chavez, 2003; Oozeki et al., 2019). Although numerous researchers from different institutions and disciplines have studied the same ecosystem, and even the same fish stocks, these researchers have often used different standards for fish length measurement (i.e., standard length [SL], fork length [FL], or total length [TL]) (e.g., Jung et al., 2008; Kang et al., 2009; Yukami et al., 2008). Therefore, conversion equations (that is, LLRs) for these various length measurement standards are required for comparative or integrative research among different geographic regions and species. However, there is currently little information on LLRs for the four small pelagic fishes in the Kuroshio–Oyashio current system. In addition, although LWRs for these fishes have been reported, studies have been limited to specific geographic regions and length-measurement standards.

In this study, we provide LLRs and LWRs among TL, FL, and SL for the four small

pelagic fish species (*S. melanostictus*, *E. japonicus*, *S. japonicus*, and *S. australasicus*) that dominate the Kuroshio–Oyashio current system.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Specimens were obtained from a trawl survey and from commercial purse-seine catches. In the trawl survey, 35 surface–midwater trawls were conducted in the western North Pacific off northern Japan and the Kuril Islands (38°20′N–46°58′N, 142°8′E–174°50′E) during September–October 2020. A surface–midwater trawl net (opening dimensions: 25 m × 25 m; cod-end mesh size: 17.5 mm) was towed once at each station (15–60 min duration at 3.5–4.0 kn [1.8–2.1 m/s], depths 0–30 m). At each station, fish species were identified on board, and up to 380 individuals of each species were randomly selected and stored at –25 °C. Samples from purse-seine catches were collected from commercial landings at the Hachinohe Port (an important fishing port in northern Japan) in October 2020. All sampled fish were measured to the nearest 0.01 cm in standard length (SL), fork length (FL), and total length (TL) in the laboratory. Total weight was measured to the nearest 0.01 g.

To estimate LLRs, linear relationships between the various measurement types (TL, FL, and SL in cm) were derived by using major-axis (MA) regression. MA regression is used to find the axis that minimizes errors in both measured variables, and is particularly useful for comparative and integrative study as it allows bi-directional conversions.

LWRs were estimated by using the equation: $W = a \times L^b$, where W is total body weight (g), L is length (TL, FL, or SL in cm), a is the coefficient of proportionality, and b is the coefficient of allometry. Parameters a and b were estimated by non-linear least-squares regression. Additionally, 95% confidence intervals of a and b, and the coefficient of determination (r^2) were calculated. All statistical analyses were performed in R version 3.6.3

(R Development Core Team, 2020).

3. RESULTS

Sample sizes, body-length and body-weight ranges, and estimated LWR and LLR parameters for each fish species are presented in Tables 1, 2, and 3. All LLRs were highly significant ($p < 0.0001$), with r^2 values >0.98. All LWRs were also highly significant ($p < 0.0001$), with r^2 values >0.94.

4. DISCUSSION

In the present study, we estimated LLRs for *S. melanostictus*, *E. japonicus*, *S. japonicus*, and *S. australasicus*. This is the first comprehensive report of LLRs for these four small pelagic fish species in the Kuroshio–Oyashio current system. Although these species have been studied in various parts of the world, previous studies employed a variety of standards for fish length measurements, making comparisons between and among studies difficult. Our results will be helpful for comparative or integrative research among different geographic regions and species.

In addition to LLRs, we also estimated LWRs for the four species. The allometric coefficients (b) of the LWRs were within the expected range of 2.5–3.5 (Froese, 2006), although there was some variability among species. This indicates that our estimates are plausible. However, we were not able to sample specimens from across a broad temporal and spatial range. LWRs can be affected by various factors such as season and location (Jellyman et al., 2013; Valle et al., 2020). Therefore, a broader sampling scheme in future studies could provide more robust LWRs.

In conclusion, our results provide new information on LLRs and LWRs for four small pelagic fish species in the Kuroshio–Oyashio current system. These data will be useful for further biological study and management of the four species.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are very grateful to Michiyo Ichikawa, Aki Narisawa, Akiko Sugawara, Yuko Saiki, and Tomiko Nagai, who greatly contributed to the data collection. We thank all those who helped in the collection of samples. We also thank English-speaking professional editors from ELSS, Inc., for English proofreading. Funding for this study was provided by the Japan Fisheries Research and Education Agency and the Fisheries Agency of Japan.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

DATA AVALABILITY STATEMENT

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

REFERENCES

Chavez, F. P. (2003). From Anchovies to Sardines and Back: Multidecadal Change in the Pacific Ocean. *Science*, *299*(5604), 217–221. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1075880

Froese, R. (2006). Cube law, condition factor and weight-length relationships: History, meta-analysis and recommendations. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, *22*(4), 241–253. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2006.00805.x

Froese, R., Tsikliras, A. C., & Stergiou, K. I. (2011). Editorial note on weight-length relations of fishes. *Acta Ichthyologica et Piscatoria*, *41*(4), 261–263. https://doi.org/10.3750/AIP2011.41.4.01

Jellyman, P. G., Booker, D. J., Crow, S. K., Bonnett, M. L., & Jellyman, D. J. (2013). Does one size fit all? An evaluation of length-weight relationships for New Zealand's freshwater fish species. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research*, *47*(4), 450–468. https://doi.org/10.1080/00288330.2013.781510

Jung, S., Hwang, S. Do, & Kim, J. (2008). Fecundity and growth-dependent mortality of Pacific anchovy (*Engraulis japonicus*) in Korean coastal waters. *Fisheries Research*, *93*(1–2), 39–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2008.02.004

Kang, D., Cho, S., Lee, C., Myoung, J. G., & Na, J. (2009). Ex situ target-strength measurements of Japanese anchovy (*Engraulis japonicus*) in the coastal Northwest Pacific. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, *66*(6), 1219–1224. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsp042

Oozeki, Y., Ñiquen Carranza, M., Takasuka, A., Ayón Dejo, P., Kuroda, H., Tam Malagas, J., Okunishi, T., Vásquez Espinoza, L., Gutiérrez Aguilar, D., Okamura, H., & Guevara Carrasco, R. (2019). Synchronous multi-species alternations between the northern Humboldt and Kuroshio Current systems. *Deep-Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in*

Oceanography, *159*, 11–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2018.11.018

R Development Core Team. (2020). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.r-project.org/

Valle, M., Rosas-Puchuri, U., & Velez-Zuazo, X. (2020). Improving data deficiencies in length-weight relationships for fish species around an artificial breakwater and adjacent soft-bottom at the central coast of Peru. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, Early View. https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.14089

Yukami, R., Aoki, I., & Mitani, I. (2008). Daily age of adult Japanese anchovy *Engraulis japonicus* off eastern Honshu, Japan by otolith daily increment. *Fisheries Science*, *74*(6), 1348–1350. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1444-2906.2008.01665.x

Table 1. Sample sizes and ranges of body length and weight of four small pelagic fish species caught in the western North Pacific.

		TL (cm)		FL (cm)		SL (cm)		W (g)	
Species	*n*	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Sardinops melanostictus (Temminck & Schlegel, 1844)	1809	12.5	25.2	11.4	22.6	10.9	21.5	12.9	142.4
Engraulis japonicus (Temminck & Schlegel, 1846)	664	4.7	16.2	4.3	15.4	4.0	14.4	0.43	28.6
Scomber japonicus (Houttuyn, 1782)	3682	6.3	46.1	5.9	43.0	5.3	39.8	1.6	874.9
Scomber australasicus (Cuvier, 1832)	312	15.7	34.4	14.6	32.2	13.6	29.4	31.2	369.5

Abbreviations: *n*, sample size; TL, total length; FL, fork length; SL, standard length; W, total body weight.

174 Table 2. Estimated length–length relationship parameters for four small pelagic fish species in

175 the western North Pacific.

Species	Equation	*a* (95% CI)	*b* (95% CI)	r^2
Sardinops melanostictus (Temminck & Schlegel, 1844)	TL = *a* + *b* (FL)	–0.241 (–0.327 to –0.156)	1.09 (1.09 to 1.10)	0.99
	TL = *a* + *b* (SL)	0.371 (0.295 to 0.447)	1.13 (1.12 to 1.13)	0.99
	FL = *a* + *b* (SL)	0.559 (0.485 to 0.633)	1.03 (1.03 to 1.04)	0.99
Engraulis japonicus (Temminck & Schlegel, 1846)	TL = *a* + *b* (FL)	0.121 (0.064 to 0.178)	1.07 (1.06 to 1.08)	0.99
	TL = *a* + *b* (SL)	0.358 (0.307 to 0.409)	1.12 (1.11 to 1.13)	0.99
	FL = *a* + *b* (SL)	0.221 (0.167 to 0.276)	1.05 (1.04 to 1.05)	0.99
Scomber japonicus (Houttuyn, 1782)	TL = *a* + *b* (FL)	–0.169 (–0.218 to –0.121)	1.08 (1.07 to 1.08)	0.99
	TL = *a* + *b* (SL)	–0.072 (–0.123 to –0.021)	1.16 (1.16 to 1.17)	0.99
	FL = *a* + *b* (SL)	0.092 (0.054 to 0.131)	1.08 (1.08 to 1.08)	0.99
Scomber australasicus (Cuvier, 1832)	TL = *a* + *b* (FL)	0.009 (–0.300 to 0.312)	1.07 (1.05 to 1.08)	0.98
	TL = *a* + *b* (SL)	0.320 (0.026 to 0.609)	1.13 (1.12 to 1.15)	0.98
	FL = *a* + *b* (SL)	0.294 (0.079 to 0.507)	1.06 (1.05 to 1.07)	0.99

176 Abbreviations: TL, total length; FL, fork length; SL, standard length; CI, confidence interval;

177 r^2, coefficient of determination.

178

179

180

Table 3. Estimated length–weight relationship parameters for four small pelagic fish species in the western North Pacific.

Species	Equation	*a* (95% CI)	*b* (95% CI)	r^2
Sardinops melanostictus (Temminck & Schlegel, 1844)	$W = a \times TL^b$	0.002 (0.002 to 0.003)	3.45 (3.41 to 3.48)	0.94
	$W = a \times FL^b$	0.002 (0.002 to 0.003)	3.54 (3.50 to 3.58)	0.94
	$W = a \times SL^b$	0.004 (0.004 to 0.005)	3.4 (3.37 to 3.44)	0.95
Engraulis japonicus (Temminck & Schlegel, 1846)	$W = a \times TL^b$	0.004 (0.003 to 0.004)	3.2 (3.16 to 3.24)	0.98
	$W = a \times FL^b$	0.006 (0.006 to 0.007)	3.08 (3.04 to 3.12)	0.97
	$W = a \times SL^b$	0.008 (0.007 to 0.008)	3.10 (3.06 to 3.13)	0.98
Scomber japonicus (Houttuyn, 1782)	$W = a \times TL^b$	0.006 (0.006 to 0.006)	3.11 (3.10 to 3.12)	0.99
	$W = a \times FL^b$	0.007 (0.007 to 0.007)	3.13 (3.12 to 3.14)	0.99
	$W = a \times SL^b$	0.009 (0.009 to 0.010)	3.12 (3.12 to 3.13)	0.99
Scomber australasicus (Cuvier, 1832)	$W = a \times TL^b$	0.005 (0.004 to 0.006)	3.20 (3.14 to 3.27)	0.96
	$W = a \times FL^b$	0.006 (0.005 to 0.007)	3.19 (3.13 to 3.25)	0.96
	$W = a \times SL^b$	0.006 (0.005 to 0.007)	3.27 (3.22 to 3.32)	0.97

Abbreviations: W, total body weight; TL, total length; FL, fork length; SL, standard length; CI, confidence interval; r^2, coefficient of determination.