1 Experimental evolution to identify undescribed mechanisms of resistance to a novel cationic
2 peptide antibiotic
3

4 Santos-Lopez, A[1,2,†,*,a], Fritz MJ[1,†]., Lombardo J[1]., Burr AHP[1], Heinrich VA[1], Marshall CW[1,2,b],
5 Cooper VS[1,2*].
6
[1]*Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, and Center for Evolutionary Biology and*
Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA.
[2]*Center for Evolutionary Biology and Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh,*
Pennsylvania, USA.
[a] *Current Address: Department of Microbiology, Hospital Universitario Ramon y Cajal, Instituto*
Ramón y Cajal de Investigación Sanitaria (IRYCIS), Madrid, Spain
[b] *Current Address: Department of Biological Sciences, Marquette University, Milwaukee,*
Wisconsin, USA
15
16 † Both authors have contributed equally
17 *Corresponding authors: Alfonso Santos-Lopez, and Vaughn Cooper
18

Abstract

Introduction: A key strategy for resolving the antibiotic resistance crisis is the development of new

drugs with antimicrobial properties. The engineered cationic antimicrobial peptide WLBU2 (also

known as PLG206) is a promising compound that can clear Gram-negative and Gram-positive

populations and infections even when bacteria are embedded in biofilms. Despite several attempts,

no definitive mechanisms of resistance to WLBU2 had been found. Here, we used experimental

evolution followed by whole genome sequencing to detect novel genetic pathways and probable

mechanisms of resistance to this peptide.

Methods and Results: We propagated populations of wild-type and mutator *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa in the presence of WLBU2 and performed whole genome sequencing (WGS) of

evolved populations. Populations that survived WLBU2 treatment acquired a minimum of two

mutations, making the acquisition of resistance more difficult than for most antibiotics, which can

be tolerated by mutation of a single target. Major targets included the *orfN* and *pmrB* genes,

previously described to confer resistance to other cationic peptides. More surprisingly, mutations

that increase aggregation such as the *wsp* pathway were also selected despite the ability of WLBU2

to kill cells growing in a biofilm.

Conclusion: Experimental evolution and WGS identifies genetic targets and actions of new

antimicrobial compounds and can predict pathways to resistance to new antibiotics in clinical

practice.

Introduction

The ability of a bacterial population to evolve resistance to an antibiotic depends on several factors including the availability of mutations that increase resistance and the strength of selection imposed by the compound. Experimental evolution (EE) coupled with whole genome sequencing (WGS) is a powerful strategy to characterize the genetic mechanisms of resistance. Propagation of a bacterial population in the presence of an antibiotic will eventually select those clones that are capable of surviving the antibiotic exposure, and WGS of these populations or clones will reveal genetic causes of the resistance phenotype (Barbosa et al., 2019; Gullberg et al., 2011; Maltas and Wood, 2019; Santos-Lopez et al., 2019). This method is especially powerful when studying cationic peptides, as multiple mutations may be needed to evolve resistance to them (Mehta et al., 2018; Perron et al., 2006; Spohn et al., 2019).

WLBU2 (also called PLG206) is an engineered amphipathic alpha helix derived from the LL-37 peptide that inserts into the bacterial membrane and leads to cell death. WLBU2 is shown to be highly effective against ESKAPE (*Enterococcus faecium, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Acinetobacter baumannii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Enterobacter spp.*) pathogens *in vitro* and *in vivo* even when the bacterial populations are protected by biofilms (Chen et al., 2018; Deslouches et al., 2015; Di et al., 2020; Kumagai et al., 2019; Lashua et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2018; Mandell et al., 2017, 2020; Melvin et al., 2016; Paranjape et al., 2013; Swedan et al., 2019). Despite challenging several Gram-negative and Gram-positive pathogens with WLBU2 *in vitro* and in animal models (see previous references), only one study has shown that *P. aeruginosa* was able to increase resistance following long exposure to WLBU2 (Deslouches et al., 2015). However, no mechanisms of resistance have been described yet. Identifying the genes and the subsequent mutations that confer resistance to novel antibiotics is crucial as it enables more comprehensive understanding of this new antimicrobial compound's actions and how resistance evolves to them. Further, these discoveries can increase our ability to predict the emergence of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) in clinical scenarios (Brockhurst et al., 2019; MacLean, 2020; MacLean and San Millan, 2019).

Results

Failure to evolve resistance using laboratory strains

To identify mechanisms of resistance to WLBU2, we propagated the laboratory strains of

A. baumannii ATCC 17978 and *P. aeruginosa* PA14 that had no prior exposure to WLBU2. We

followed a protocol successfully used previously with several pathogens and different antibiotics,

in which large populations of bacteria are treated with increasing concentrations of antibiotic over

12 days (Hernando-Amado et al., 2019; Santos-Lopez et al., 2019, 2020; Scribner et al., 2020;

Trampari et al., 2019). We serially passaged both strains (bottleneck of ~10^7 cfu) in WBLU2

concentrations initially at half of the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and increasing

two-fold every three days, up to four times the MIC. We propagated the same number of

populations in the absence of WLBU2 to distinguish between adaptation to the environment and

WLBU2-related mutations. Knowing that biofilm formation can influence the evolution of

resistance (Ahmed et al., 2018; Santos-Lopez et al., 2019; Scribner et al., 2020), we implemented

this regimen in both planktonic and biofilm cultures (see http://evolvingstem.org and (Santos-

Lopez et al., 2019; Scribner et al., 2020) for details of the biofilm propagation). To test the general

capability of the experimental design for selecting populations resistant to cationic peptides, we

also propagated populations of *A. baumannii* in each lifestyle with increasing concentrations of

polymyxin B. While all planktonic populations and four out of five biofilm populations survived

in 4-times the MIC of polymyxin B, mainly through mutations in the *pmrABC* operon and in genes

modifying lipid A (Table S1), no populations of *A. baumannii* nor *P. aeruginosa* survived exposure

to the MIC of WLBU2. This suggested that there may be a narrow genetic pathway to gain WBLU2

resistance that could require more than one mutation.

To increase the likelihood of developing resistance we analyzed the two factors mainly

evolution of antibiotic depends on (Hughes and Andersson, 2017): i) the mutation supply

determined by population size and mutation rate and ii) the strength of selection imposed by the

antibiotic. If we assume a conservative mutation rate of 0.001 mutations per genome per

generation, and a Poisson distribution of the mutations in the genome (Dillon et al., 2017), this

experiment only had a cumulative probability of ~0.35 for *A. baumannii* and 0.29 for *P. aeruginosa*

that any given nucleotide had been mutated at least once following three days of growth (~36

generations) in subinhibitory concentrations, *i.e.* before being exposed to lethal concentrations

(Table S2 for detailed calculations). It is therefore possible that the correct mutation or

combination of mutations needed to survive the antibiotic treatment had not occurred before facing

inhibitory concentrations. We therefore pursued a focused approach with *P. aeruginosa* to increase

the number of sampled mutations to determine how resistance could emerge to WLBU2.

Increasing mutation supply by using a hypermutator strain promotes evolution of resistance

One way to increase the mutation supply during evolution experiments is to use an

ancestral strain with a higher mutation rate (Gifford et al., 2019; MacLean, 2020; de Visser et al.,

1999). These mutator genotypes also commonly evolve during chronic infections of *P. aeruginosa*

and are therefore relevant to AMR evolution (Mena et al., 2008; Oliver et al., 2000). We selected

a strain of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 with a defect in mismatch repair (*mutS* T112P), producing a

mutation rate ~100 times higher than the ancestor (Flynn et al., 2016). Under the same

experimental conditions described above, each nucleotide experiences >40 mutations over the first

three days of growth under subinhibitory conditions (Table S2). Five mutator populations were

propagated in each lifestyle combination following the previously described protocol. Two

planktonic and three biofilm populations survived this treatment, resulting in 3 - 7.5-fold increases

in resistance level relative to the ancestor (Figure 1A).

We sequenced genomes from the five surviving populations to a depth of 148.01 ± 42.80

and detected a total of 98 mutations at frequencies > 0.1, including 31 fixed mutations (Table S3).

As the ancestor was a mutator strain, it can be difficult to infer what mutations were the drivers of

the resistance phenotype and which were hitchhikers (Shaver et al., 2002). We focused on

instances of gene-level parallel (repeated) evolution as strong candidates, because mutations in the

same gene found in independently derived lineages provide strong evidence of selection on this

trait. Further, the large population size and high mutation supply empowers selection to enrich the

most beneficial genotypes (Bailey et al., 2015; Ibacache-Quiroga et al., 2018; Lieberman et al.,

2011; Papkou et al., 2020; Scribner et al., 2020; Toprak et al., 2011; Vogwill et al., 2014).

Figure 1. A. Resistance levels of populations evolved in the presence of WLBU2, as minimum
inhibitory concentration (MIC) (mg/L). Boxplots show the median and quartiles for 3 replicates.
Populations evolved from the *mutS* mutator genotype are in dark grey and resistant clones
isolated from the WT ancestor are in light grey. All populations significantly exceed WT resistance
(p < 0.05, one-way ANOVA following Benjamini et al.) B. Aggregation of PA14 and resistant
clones. The resistant Wrinkly (Wr) and Small (Sc) clones (associated with *wsp* mutations)
aggregated more than WT regardless of WLBU2 treatement compared, while the Smooth (Sm)
clones aggregated more in the presence of WBLU2 only. Aggregation was measured by letting
populations of each clone settle for 24 hours at 4ºC, n=5. Means sharing a letter are not
significantly different (Sidak-adjusted least-square means for multiple comparisons, p <0.05).
Bars show 95% confidence intervals of least-square means.

Only three genes, *pmrB*, *wspF*, and *morA,* were mutated in more than one population

exposed to WLBU2 and not in the populations evolving in the absence of antibiotics, indicating

roles for these mutations in evading the antibiotic treatment (Table S3). The two-component

regulator *pmrB* governs several modifications of lipopolysaccharides (LPS) and has previously

been demonstrated to confer resistance to other cationic peptides (Fernández et al., 2013;

Moskowitz et al., 2012). Four of the five WLBU2-resistant populations also show cross-

resistance to polymyxin B (Figure S1). The *wspF* gene encodes a methylesterase that regulates

activity of the surface-sensing Wsp cluster that in turn activates the diguanylate cyclase WspR

and biofilm production (Hickman et al., 2005; Huangyutitham et al., 2013). The *morA* gene

encodes multiple sensor domains that control diguanylate cyclase and phosphodiesterase

domains acting on the second messenger cyclic di-GMP. This molecule promotes biofilm

production at high levels and motility at low levels (Ha and O'Toole, 2015; Hickman et al.,

2005). These biofilm-associated mutations strongly indicate that production of aggregates or

biofilm plays a role in resisting WLBU2.

Figure S1. Resistance levels of populations evolved in the presence of polymyxin B, as minimum
inhibitory concentration (MIC) (mg/L). Boxplots show the median and quartiles of 3 replicates.
Populations evolved from the *mutS* mutator genotype are in dark grey and resistant clones isolated
from the WT ancestor are in light grey. All populations, except biofilm population 3 evolved from
the *mutS* ancestor, significantly exceed WT resistance (p < 0.05, one-way ANOVA)

Extending the exposure to subinhibitory concentrations

The mutator genotype of *P. aeruginosa* facilitated the evolution of resistance to WLBU2

(Figure 1A), but isolating clones without other background mutations was challenging because of

their increased mutation rate. Nonetheless, the parallelism in *morA*, *wspF* and *pmrB* is a strong

indicator of the fitness benefits of these mutations (Cooper, 2018; Lieberman et al., 2011; Vogwill

et al., 2014). As we found that resistance could be acquired by increasing the mutation supply, we

tested whether increasing the number of generations in subinhibitory concentrations of WLBU2,

thus increasing the mutations supplied before exposure to lethal concentrations, would increase

the chance for the wild-type (non-mutator) ancestor of acquiring the mutations needed to survive

the treatment.

We propagated five planktonic populations of wild-type *P. aeruginosa* PA14 for 10 days
under subinhibitory concentrations of WLBU2, followed by 2 days at inhibitory concentrations.
We estimate that the probability that any given nucleotide would be mutated during this regime is
0.74, with mean mutations per site of 1.52 (Table S2). Again, as a control, three populations were
propagated in the absence of WLBU2 to distinguish between broth and WLBU2 adaptive
mutations. Two populations survived the antibiotic treatment and showed higher levels of
resistance than the ancestor both to WLBU2 and to polymyxin B (Figures 1 and S1). Further, in
this study we detected different colony morphologies within each population at the end of the
experiment. Population 1 included small, rugose or wrinkly (Wr) colonies in addition to the smooth
(Sm) morphology of the ancestor, and population 2 contained both Sm and small (Sc) colonies. It
is interesting to note that small colony variants are associated with aggregation, increased biofilm
formation, and worse outcomes in chronic infections (Fux et al., 2005; Gloag et al., 2019). We
tested whether these clones produced more biofilm by measuring aggregation in the presence or
absence of WLBU2. We found that the Sc and Wr clones settled in clumps more than WT both in
absence and presence of WLBU2, while Sm colonies only clustered more in the presence of the
peptide (Figure 1B).

WGS of the two surviving populations and representative clones of each colony type
revealed that all acquired mutations in *pmrB* as well as mutations known to increase biofilm
production (Figure 2, Figure S2). The two small colony variants Wr and Sc acquired mutations in
wspA or *wspF* in the Wsp pathway seen previously in the mutator experiment, while one resistant
Sm clone acquired *orfN* and *pmrB* mutations as well as *yfiR*, another gene known to activate c-di-
GMP synthesis (Li et al., 2015). It is notable that these biofilm-activating mutations were selected
during planktonic propagation of the populations, suggesting that forming aggregates is a key step
for resistance to WLBU2. In addition, both resistant populations and the two clones with smooth
morphology had mutations in the *orfN* gene, which is involved in the synthesis of the O-antigen
(Rocchetta et al., 1999). Further, the Wr isolate had a mutation in *wbpM,* which also contributes
to O-antigen biosynthesis (Rocchetta et al., 1999). In the end, the only mutations common to both
the prolonged subinhibitory selection and the mutator lineages exposed to WLBU2 occurred in
pmrB, orfN and *wsp*, providing clear evidence of fitness benefits of these mutations affecting both
LPS composition and biofilm production in the presence of WLBU2 (Table 1).

Annotation	Position	Mutation		Population 1			Population 2		Freq(%)
				WP	Sm	Wr	WP	Sm	Sc
pmrB	5,637,189	C→T	P169L(CCG→CTG)						
pmrB	5,637,234	G→A	G184D(GGC→GAC)						
orfN	2,040,286	ΔG	coding(138/1017)						
wbpM	2,043,187	Δ1bp	coding(1578/1998)						
wspA	1,406,497	Δ42bp	coding(853-894)						
wspF	1,412,518	C→T	S159L(TCG→TTG)						
PA14_05600/sahH	497,428	A→C	intergenic(-12/+29)						
trbL	2,681,721	G→A	V354V(GTC→GTT)						
PA14_37360	3,325,906	A→C	L41V(TTG→GTG)						
yfiR	4,434,917	T→A	L20Q(CTG→CAG)						
PA14_67780	6,052,866	G→A	G237R(GGG→AGG)						

Figure 2. Mutations selected in the presence of subinhibitory WLBU2 and their frequencies. Each column shows the mutations present in one population or clone: WP = whole population; Sm and Wr are the smooth and wrinkly clones isolated from population 1; Sm and Sc are smooth and small colonies isolated from population 2. Only mutated genes that evolved in WLBU2-treated populations above 10% frequency found in the clones and not present in the non-WLBU2 controls are shown. A complete list of mutations is shown in Table S4.

		mutS strain				WT strain, subinhibitory treatment					
		Biofilm			Planktonic		Population 1			Population 2		
Gene	Pop1	Pop2	Pop3	Pop1	Pop2	Pop1	S	W	Pop2	S	Sc
orfN	-	-	-	(10)G -> (9)G (138/1017 nt)	-	(10)G -> (9)G (138/1017 nt)	(10)G -> (9)G (138/1017 nt)	-	(10)G -> (9)G (138/1017 nt)	(10)G -> (9)G (138/1017 nt)	-
pmrB	V28L (59.7)	L180P (100)	L180P (49.3)	L18P (100)	L18P (31.0)	P169L (100)	P169L	P169L	G184D (100)	G184D	G184D
	L18P (17.3)		V28A (18.8)		V185A (20.5)						
	A248T (11.1)		D47G (15.8)		L296P (15.6)						
			F44L (8.5)		F408P (13.5)						
			L318P (7.1)								
wspF	Q282* (87.7)	L20P (24.2)	L20P (47.0)	L222P (31.2)	L51P (11.9)	-	-	S159L	-	-	-
				T274I (29.7)	L199P (7.8)						
				Q282* (14.3)	Q319 (6.6)						
					G283D (6.4)						
					G280D (5.3)						
					S159L (5.3)						
					Q299* (5.2)						

Table 1. Shared mutations selected across experiments. Each column shows the frequency (in parentheses) of the mutations present in each population or clone. Only mutations evolved in the populations exposed to WLBU2 above 5% frequency and not present in untreated controls are shown.

Discussion

The effectiveness of the novel cationic peptide WLBU2 against a range of bacterial species in multiple conditions and the absence of clear genetic mechanisms for resistance makes it a promising candidate as a new antibiotic. However, one exception is its relative ineffectiveness against the *Burkholderia cepacia* complex (Deslouches et al., 2015), which is generally resistant to the cationic peptide polymyxin B. This unique result for these species suggests that multiple modifications to LPS or outer envelope (e.g. associated with major species differences rather than variation at a single locus) may be required for WLBU2 resistance. If multiple mutations are indeed necessary for WLBU2 resistance, this should substantially lower the probability of evolved resistance during treatment. This study provides strong support for this multiple mutation model, but also shows that the pathway to resistance is plausible for mutator strains or ones already containing one or more contributing mutations. Either possibility has been demonstrated in *P. aeruginosa* infections: mutator isolates are frequently reported (Mena et al., 2008; Oliver et al., 2000), mutations in the primary driver gene *pmrB,* mutations in the Wsp pathway, and mutations affecting O-lipid biosynthesis are also common adaptations in clinical isolates that can be selected for their advantages in biofilms even in the absence of antibiotics (Cannatelli et al., 2017; Gloag et al., 2019; Moskowitz et al., 2012; Starkey et al., 2009).

It has been well demonstrated that resistance to cationic peptides in *P. aeruginosa* involves modification of LPS (Fernández et al., 2013). Consistent with these findings, here we detected parallel evolution in the *pmrB* and *orfN* genes across four independent lineages. PmrB forms part of a two-component regulatory system that modifies the lipid-A composition, a mechanism that is commonly associated with cationic peptide resistance in several species (Cannatelli et al., 2017; Moskowitz et al., 2012). In combination with *pmrB* variants, mutations in *orfN* and *wbpM* were found in WBLU2-resistant populations and clones. OrfN and WbpM form part of the operon that synthetizes the LPS O-antigen, and mutations in *orfN* are predicted to increase antibiotic resistance by reducing membrane permeability (Rocchetta et al., 1999;

Scribner et al., 2020). Furthermore, we observed mutations in *wspA*, *wspF*, and *yfiR* that increase

aggregation and/or biofilm production, likely through increased cyclic-di-GMP that in turn

increases production of the cationic Pel polysaccharide. Mutations in the phosphodiesterase

domain of *morA* also were repeatedly selected in mutator populations with a likely similar effect

on cyclic-di-GMP and biofilm (Table S3). Aggregation by secretion of a biofilm polymer is

therefore another likely mechanism of WLBU2 resistance or tolerance (Gloag et al., 2019;

Jennings et al., 2015; Starkey et al., 2009).

As AMR spreads around the globe, it is crucial to develop new antibiotics so that we still

have treatments available for otherwise routine infections. When considering the development of

new antibiotics, it is necessary to anticipate the possible mechanisms of resistance so that

treatments can be designed more effectively to counteract the emergence of resistance (Brockhurst

et al., 2019; MacLean, 2020). We often read claims of new 'evolution-proof' antibiotics (Ling et

al., 2015; Zasloff, 2002), but the absence of resistance mechanisms can be due to low mutation

supply as observed in our experimental approach or because of the lack of genetic diversity among

sampled strains (Bell and MacLean, 2018). Here, we described the genetic causes of evolved

resistance to the promising new cationic peptide WLBU2 by tackling both of the issues.

Combining experimental evolution and whole population genome sequencing, it appears likely

that WLBU2 has the advantageous property of requiring two or more mutations that affect the

outer membrane as well as aggregation for resistance to evolve. Further biochemical assays are

needed to determine the actual role of each mutation in the resistance phenotype. This additional

research notwithstanding, we believe that effort should be spent elucidating the evolutionary

pathways driving antibiotic resistance when developing antibiotics, because knowing likely

adaptations could help in designing more accurate treatments and limit the spread of AMR,

preserving the longevity of the antibiotic in clinical use.

Methods

Experimental evolution

We performed three independent evolution experiments. In all of them, we propagated the

ancestor strains in a modified M9 medium (referred to as M9$^+$) containing 0.37 mM CaCl$_2$, 8.7

mM MgSO$_4$, 42.2 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 22 mM KH2PO$_4$, 21.7mM NaCl, 18.7 mM NH$_4$Cl and 0.2 g/L

glucose and supplemented with 20 mL/L MEM essential amino acids (Gibco 11130051), 10 mL/L

MEM nonessential amino acids (Gibco 11140050), and 10 mL each of trace mineral solutions A,

B, and C (Corning 25021-3Cl).

In the first experiment, we selected a single clone of *A. baumannii* ATCC17978 and *P.*

aeruginosa PA14 and propagated them independently for 24 hours in M9$^+$ in the absence of

antibiotic. We then sub-cultured each population into 10 replicate populations. Ten of the

populations (5 planktonic and 5 biofilm) were propagated every 24 hours in increasing

concentrations of WLBU2 starting at 0.5X the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC). We

doubled the WLBU2 concentrations after 72 hours until no population survived the treatment. As

a control, we propagated the same number of strains both planktonically and in biofilm in the

absence of antibiotic. We also propagated 10 populations (5 biofilm and 5 planktonic) in increasing

concentration of the cationic peptide polymyxin B.

In the second experiment, we propagated 5 planktonic populations and 5 biofilm

populations under the same conditions described above, a mutator strain of *P. aeruginosa* obtained

from (Flynn et al., 2016). We also propagated 3 planktonic populations and 3 biofilm populations

of the hypermutant strain in the absence of antibiotic pressure.

In the third experiment, we propagated 5 planktonic populations of the non-hypermutant

laboratorial strain *P. aeruginosa* PA14 under subinhibitory concentrations of WLBU2 for 10 days.

Then, we propagated the populations that survived the treatment with 1X MIC of WLBU2 2 days.

In all three experiments, we froze 1mL of the surviving populations at days 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9,

10, and 12 in 9% of DMSO (before and after increases in antibiotics.)

Phenotypic characterization: antimicrobial susceptibility and aggregation assay

We determined the MIC to WLBU2 and polymyxin B of the whole populations and 4

clones by broth microdilution according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

guidelines (CLSI, 2019), in which each bacterial sample was tested in 2-fold-increasing

concentrations of each antibiotic. WLBU2 was provided by Peptilogics (Peptilogics, Pittsburgh,

PA) and polymyxin B was provided by Alfa Aesar (Alfa Aesar, Wardhill, MA).

To determine the aggregation ability of the 4 clones selected in the subinhibitory

experiment, we grew two replicates (R1 and R2) of the 4 clones and the ancestral strain in 5ml of

M9+ for 24 hours at 37ºC in a roller drum at 200 RPM. After 24 hours we transferred the whole

culture of each clone to a 13 mm glass tube, and let the tubes settle over 24 hours at 4ºC without

shaking. We vortexed replicate 1 (R1) of each clone for 30s and measured the OD_{600}. From R2,

we carefully took 200 μl of the top part of the tube without vortexing and measured the OD_{600}. The

aggregation percentage was estimated as $100(1 - OD_{600}$ R2 $/ 1 – OD_{600}$ R1). We performed this

experiment with five replicates both in the absence and presence (4 μg/ml) of WLBU2.

Genome sequencing

We performed whole population genome sequencing of population that survived the

treatment in each experiment as well as control lines. This includes 3 biofilm and 2 planktonic

populations of mutator populations surviving WLBU2 treatment and 4 biofilm and 3 planktonic

populations evolved in the absence of the antibiotic (12 total populations). In addition, we

sequenced the 2 populations and 4 clones that survived the subinhibitory WLBU2 treatment, as

well as one untreated control population (2 populations, 4 clones). Finally, we sequenced 3 biofilm

and 3 planktonic populations of *A. baumannii* that were propagated in presence of polymyxin B

as well 3 three planktonic and 3 biofilm populations (12 total populations).

We revived each population or clone from a freezer stock in the growth conditions under

which they were isolated and grew for 24 hours. DNA was extracted using the Qiagen DNAeasy

Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen, Hiden, Germany). The sequencing library was prepared as described

by Turner and colleagues (Turner et al., 2018) according to the protocol of Baym *et al.* (Baym et

al., 2015), using the Illumina Nextera kit (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA) and sequenced using an

Illumina NextSeq500 at the Microbial Genome Sequencing center (www.migscenter.com).

Data processing

The variants were called using the breseq software package v0.31.0 (Barrick et al., 2014)

using the default parameters and the -p flag when required for identifying polymorphisms in

populations after all sequences were first quality filtered and trimmed with the Trimmomatic

software v0.36 (Bolger et al., 2014) using the criteria: LEADING:20 TRAILING:20

SLIDINGWINDOW:4:20 MINLEN:70. The version of *A. baumannii* ATCC 17978-mff

(GCF_001077675.1 downloaded from the NCBI RefSeq database,17-Mar-2017) was used as the

reference genome for variant calling. We added the two additional plasmid sequences present in

the *A. baumannii* strain (NC009083, NC_009084) to the chromosome NZ_CP012004 and plasmid

NZ_CP012005. The version of *P. aeruginosa* UCBPP-PA14 was downloaded from RefSeq on 25-

Aug-2020. To remove false positives using the following strategy: mutations were removed if they

never reached a cumulative frequency across time points of 25%, or if mutations were also found

in the ancestor's genome. The *mutS* clone used in this study is a merodiploid bearing both the WT

and the mutated *mutS* gene (Flynn et al., 2016). As breseq can fail to detect mutations when

analyzing repeated sequences (Barrick et al., 2014), we manually visualized the *mutS* locus with

the bam files generated by breseq using the Integrative genomics viewer software, confirming that

the mutation was present in the ancestral clone and in all evolved populations sequenced at *ca.*

50% frequency (Robinson et al., 2011).

Data Availability

Acknowledgments

We thank Jonathan Steckbeck, Ken Urish and Peptidologics for kindly providing WLBU2

and for their technical assistance. We thank Jeronimo Rodriguez-Beltran and Cristina Herencias

for proofreading of the paper. We also thank Dan Snyder and MiGS (www.migscenter.com) for

whole genome sequencing. This research was supported by NIH U01AI124302-01 and, in part,

under PA CURES Grant #4100085725 with the Pennsylvania Department of Health. The

Department specifically disclaims responsibility for any analyses, interpretations or conclusions.

References

Ahmed, M.N., Porse, A., Sommer, M.O.A., Hoiby, N., and Ciofu, O. (2018). Evolution of
antibiotic resistance in biofilm and planktonic P. aeruginosa populations exposed to sub-
inhibitory levels of ciprofloxacin. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy.

Bailey, S.F., Rodrigue, N., and Kassen, R. (2015). The effect of selection environment on the
probability of parallel evolution. Molecular Biology and Evolution *32*, 1436–1448.

Barbosa, C., Römhild, R., Rosenstiel, P., and Schulenburg, H. (2019). Evolutionary stability of
collateral sensitivity to antibiotics in the model pathogen Pseudomonas aeruginosa. ELife *8*,
e51481.

Barrick, J.E., Colburn, G., Deatherage, D.E., Traverse, C.C., Strand, M.D., Borges, J.J.,
Knoester, D.B., Reba, A., and Meyer, A.G. (2014). Identifying structural variation in haploid
microbial genomes from short-read resequencing data using breseq. BMC Genomics *15*, 1039.

Baym, M., Kryazhimskiy, S., Lieberman, T.D., Chung, H., Desai, M.M., and Kishony, R.
(2015). Inexpensive multiplexed library preparation for megabase-sized genomes. PLoS One *10*,
e0128036.

Bell, G., and MacLean, C. (2018). The Search for 'Evolution-Proof' Antibiotics. Trends in
Microbiology *26*, 471–483.

Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. Bioinformatics *30*, 2114–2120.

Brockhurst, M.A., Harrison, F., Veening, J.W., Harrison, E., Blackwell, G., Iqbal, Z., and
Maclean, C. (2019). Assessing evolutionary risks of resistance for new antimicrobial therapies.
Nature Ecology & Evolution *3*, 515–517.

Cannatelli, A., Giani, T., Aiezza, N., Di Pilato, V., Principe, L., Luzzaro, F., Galeotti, C.L., and
Rossolini, G.M. (2017). An allelic variant of the PmrB sensor kinase responsible for colistin
resistance in an Escherichia coli strain of clinical origin. Scientific Reports *7*, 1–6.

Chen, C., Deslouches, B., Montelaro, R.C., and Di, Y.P. (2018). Enhanced efficacy of the
engineered antimicrobial peptide WLBU2 via direct airway delivery in a murine model of P.
aeruginosa pneumonia. Clin Microbiol Infect *24*, 547.e1-547.e8.

CLSI (2019). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (Wayne, PA:
Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute).

Cooper, V.S. (2018). Experimental Evolution as a High-Throughput Screen for Genetic
Adaptations. MSphere *3*.

Deslouches, B., Steckbeck, J.D., Craigo, J.K., Doi, Y., Burns, J.L., and Montelaro, R.C. (2015).
Engineered Cationic Antimicrobial Peptides To Overcome Multidrug Resistance by ESKAPE
Pathogens. Antimicrob Agents Chemother *59*, 1329–1333.

Di, Y.P., Lin, Q., Chen, C., Montelaro, R.C., Doi, Y., and Deslouches, B. (2020). Enhanced
therapeutic index of an antimicrobial peptide in mice by increasing safety and activity against
multidrug-resistant bacteria. Sci Adv *6*, eaay6817.

Dillon, M.M., Sung, W., Sebra, R., Lynch, M., and Cooper, V.S. (2017). Genome-Wide Biases
in the Rate and Molecular Spectrum of Spontaneous Mutations in Vibrio cholerae and Vibrio
fischeri. Molecular Biology and Evolution *34*, 93–109.

Fernández, L., Álvarez-Ortega, C., Wiegand, I., Olivares, J., Kocíncová, D., Lam, J.S., Martínez,
J.L., and Hancock, R.E.W. (2013). Characterization of the Polymyxin B Resistome of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy *57*, 110–119.

Flynn, K.M., Dowell, G., Johnson, T.M., Koestler, B.J., Waters, C.M., and Cooper, V.S. (2016).
Evolution of Ecological Diversity in Biofilms of Pseudomonas aeruginosa by Altered Cyclic
Diguanylate Signaling. J. Bacteriol. *198*, 2608–2618.

Fux, C.A., Costerton, J.W., Stewart, P.S., and Stoodley, P. (2005). Survival strategies of
infectious biofilms. Trends in Microbiology *13*, 34–40.

Gifford, D.R., Berríos-Caro, E., Joerres, C., Galla, T., and Knight, C.G. (2019). Mutators drive
evolution of multi-resistance to antibiotics. BioRxiv 643585.

Gloag, E.S., Marshall, C.W., Snyder, D., Lewin, G.R., Harris, J.S., Santos-Lopez, A., Chaney,
S.B., Whiteley, M., Cooper, V.S., and Wozniak, D.J. (2019). Pseudomonas aeruginosa
Interstrain Dynamics and Selection of Hyperbiofilm Mutants during a Chronic Infection. MBio
10, e01698-19.

Gullberg, E., Cao, S., Berg, O.G., Ilbäck, C., Sandegren, L., Hughes, D., and Andersson, D.I.
(2011). Selection of Resistant Bacteria at Very Low Antibiotic Concentrations. PLoS Pathogens
7, e1002158.

Ha, D.-G., and O'Toole, G.A. (2015). c-di-GMP and its Effects on Biofilm Formation and
Dispersion: a Pseudomonas Aeruginosa Review. Microbiology Spectrum *3*.

Hernando-Amado, S., Sanz-Garcia, F., and Martinez, J.L. (2019). Antibiotic resistance evolution
is contingent on the quorum sensing response in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Molecular Biology
and Evolution.

Hickman, J.W., Tifrea, D.F., and Harwood, C.S. (2005). A chemosensory system that regulates
biofilm formation through modulation of cyclic diguanylate levels. PNAS *102*, 14422–14427.

Huangyutitham, V., Güvener, Z.T., and Harwood, C.S. (2013). Subcellular clustering of the
phosphorylated WspR response regulator protein stimulates its diguanylate cyclase activity.
MBio *4*, e00242-00213.

Hughes, D., and Andersson, D.I. (2017). Evolutionary Trajectories to Antibiotic Resistance.
Annual Review of Microbiology *71*, 579–596.

Ibacache-Quiroga, C., Oliveros, J.C., Couce, A., and Blázquez, J. (2018). Parallel Evolution of
High-Level Aminoglycoside Resistance in Escherichia coli Under Low and High Mutation
Supply Rates. Front. Microbiol. *9*.

Jennings, L.K., Storek, K.M., Ledvina, H.E., Coulon, C., Marmont, L.S., Sadovskaya, I., Secor,
P.R., Tseng, B.S., Scian, M., Filloux, A., et al. (2015). Pel is a cationic exopolysaccharide that
cross-links extracellular DNA in the Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm matrix. PNAS *112*,
11353–11358.

Kumagai, A., Dupuy, F.G., Arsov, Z., Elhady, Y., Moody, D., Ernst, R.K., Deslouches, B.,
Montelaro, R.C., Di, Y.P., and Tristram-Nagle, S. (2019). Elastic behavior of model membranes
with antimicrobial peptides depends on lipid specificity and D-enantiomers. Soft Matter *15*,
1860–1868.

Lashua, L.P., Melvin, J.A., Deslouches, B., Pilewski, J.M., Montelaro, R.C., and Bomberger,
J.M. (2016). Engineered cationic antimicrobial peptide (eCAP) prevents Pseudomonas
aeruginosa biofilm growth on airway epithelial cells. J Antimicrob Chemother *71*, 2200–2207.

Li, S., Li, T., Xu, Y., Zhang, Q., Zhang, W., Che, S., Liu, R., Wang, Y., and Bartlam, M. (2015).
Structural insights into YfiR sequestering by YfiB in Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1. Scientific
Reports *5*, 16915.

Lieberman, T.D., Michel, J.B., Aingaran, M., Potter-Bynoe, G., Roux, D., Davis, M.R., Skurnik,
D., Leiby, N., LiPuma, J.J., Goldberg, J.B., et al. (2011). Parallel bacterial evolution within
multiple patients identifies candidate pathogenicity genes. Nat Genet *43*, 1275–1280.

Lin, Q., Deslouches, B., Montelaro, R.C., and Di, Y.P. (2018). Prevention of ESKAPE pathogen
biofilm formation by antimicrobial peptides WLBU2 and LL37. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents *52*,
667–672.

Ling, L.L., Schneider, T., Peoples, A.J., Spoering, A.L., Engels, I., Conlon, B.P., Mueller, A.,
Schäberle, T.F., Hughes, D.E., Epstein, S., et al. (2015). A new antibiotic kills pathogens without
detectable resistance. Nature *517*, 455–459.

MacLean, R.C. (2020). Assessing the Potential for Staphylococcus aureus to Evolve Resistance
to XF-73. Trends in Microbiology *0*.

MacLean, R.C., and San Millan, A. (2019). The evolution of antibiotic resistance. Science *365*,
1082–1083.

Maltas, J., and Wood, K.B. (2019). Pervasive and diverse collateral sensitivity profiles inform
optimal strategies to limit antibiotic resistance. PLOS Biology *17*, e3000515.

Mandell, J.B., Deslouches, B., Montelaro, R.C., Shanks, R.M.Q., Doi, Y., and Urish, K.L.
(2017). Elimination of Antibiotic Resistant Surgical Implant Biofilms Using an Engineered
Cationic Amphipathic Peptide WLBU2. Sci Rep *7*.

Mandell, J.B., Koch, J.A., Deslouches, B., and Urish, K.L. (2020). Direct antimicrobial activity
of cationic amphipathic peptide WLBU2 against Staphylococcus aureus biofilms is enhanced in
physiologic buffered saline. Journal of Orthopaedic Research.

Mehta, H.H., Prater, A.G., and Shamoo, Y. (2018). Using experimental evolution to identify
druggable targets that could inhibit the evolution of antimicrobial resistance. The Journal of
Antibiotics *71*, 279–286.

Melvin, J.A., Lashua, L.P., Kiedrowski, M.R., Yang, G., Deslouches, B., Montelaro, R.C., and
Bomberger, J.M. (2016). Simultaneous Antibiofilm and Antiviral Activities of an Engineered
Antimicrobial Peptide during Virus-Bacterium Coinfection. MSphere *1*.

Mena, A., Smith, E.E., Burns, J.L., Speert, D.P., Moskowitz, S.M., Perez, J.L., and Oliver, A.
(2008). Genetic Adaptation of Pseudomonas aeruginosa to the Airways of Cystic Fibrosis
Patients Is Catalyzed by Hypermutation. Journal of Bacteriology *190*, 7910–7917.

Moskowitz, S.M., Brannon, M.K., Dasgupta, N., Pier, M., Sgambati, N., Miller, A.K., Selgrade,
S.E., Miller, S.I., Denton, M., Conway, S.P., et al. (2012). PmrB Mutations Promote Polymyxin
Resistance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Isolated from Colistin-Treated Cystic Fibrosis Patients.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother *56*, 1019–1030.

Oliver, A., Cantón, R., Campo, P., Baquero, F., and Blázquez, J. (2000). High Frequency of
Hypermutable Pseudomonas aeruginosa in Cystic Fibrosis Lung Infection. Science *288*, 1251–
1253.

Papkou, A., Hedge, J., Kapel, N., Young, B., and MacLean, R.C. (2020). Efflux pump activity
potentiates the evolution of antibiotic resistance across S. aureus isolates. Nature
Communications *11*, 3970.

Paranjape, S.M., Lauer, T.W., Montelaro, R.C., Mietzner, T.A., and Vij, N. (2013). Modulation
of proinflammatory activity by the engineered cationic antimicrobial peptide WLBU-2.
F1000Res *2*, 36.

Perron, G.G., Zasloff, M., and Bell, G. (2006). Experimental evolution of resistance to an
antimicrobial peptide. Proc Biol Sci *273*, 251–256.

Robinson, J.T., Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Winckler, W., Guttman, M., Lander, E.S., Getz, G., and
Mesirov, J.P. (2011). Integrative genomics viewer. Nature Biotechnology *29*, 24–26.

Rocchetta, H.L., Burrows, L.L., and Lam, J.S. (1999). Genetics of O-Antigen Biosynthesis in
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev *63*, 523–553.

Santos-Lopez, A., Marshall, C.W., Scribner, M.R., Snyder, D.J., and Cooper, V.S. (2019).
Evolutionary pathways to antibiotic resistance are dependent upon environmental structure and
bacterial lifestyle. ELife *8*, e47612.

Santos-Lopez, A., Marshall, C.W., Welp, A.L., Turner, C.B., Rasero, J., and Cooper, V.S.
(2020). The roles of history, chance, and natural selection in the evolution of antibiotic
resistance. BioRxiv 2020.07.22.216465.

Scribner, M.R., Santos-Lopez, A., Marshall, C.W., Deitrick, C., and Cooper, V.S. (2020).
Parallel Evolution of Tobramycin Resistance across Species and Environments. MBio *11*.

Shaver, A.C., Dombrowski, P.G., Sweeney, J.Y., Treis, T., Zappala, R.M., and Sniegowski, P.D.
(2002). Fitness Evolution and the Rise of Mutator Alleles in Experimental Escherichia coli
Populations. Genetics *162*, 557–566.

Spohn, R., Daruka, L., Lázár, V., Martins, A., Vidovics, F., Grézal, G., Méhi, O., Kintses, B.,
Számel, M., Jangir, P.K., et al. (2019). Integrated evolutionary analysis reveals antimicrobial
peptides with limited resistance. Nature Communications *10*, 1–13.

Starkey, M., Hickman, J.H., Ma, L., Zhang, N., Long, S.D., Hinz, A., Palacios, S., Manoil, C.,
Kirisits, M.J., Starner, T.D., et al. (2009). Pseudomonas aeruginosa Rugose Small-Colony
Variants Have Adaptations That Likely Promote Persistence in the Cystic Fibrosis Lung. Journal
of Bacteriology *191*, 3492–3503.

Swedan, S., Shubair, Z., and Almaaytah, A. (2019). Synergism of cationic antimicrobial peptide
WLBU2 with antibacterial agents against biofilms of multi-drug resistant Acinetobacter
baumannii and Klebsiella pneumoniae. Infect Drug Resist *12*, 2019–2030.

Toprak, E., Veres, A., Michel, J.B., Chait, R., Hartl, D.L., and Kishony, R. (2011). Evolutionary
paths to antibiotic resistance under dynamically sustained drug selection. Nat Genet *44*, 101–
105.

Trampari, E., Holden, E.R., Wickham, G.J., Ravi, A., Prischi, F., Martins, L. de O., Savva, G.M.,
Bavro, V.N., and Webber, M.A. (2019). Antibiotics select for novel pathways of resistance in
biofilms. BioRxiv 605212.

Turner, C.B., Marshall, C.W., and Cooper, V.S. (2018). Parallel genetic adaptation across
environments differing in mode of growth or resource availability. Evolution Letters *2*, 355–367.

de Visser, A.J.G.M., Zeyl, C.W., Gerrish, P.J., Blanchard, J.L., and Lenski, R.E. (1999).
Diminishing Returns from Mutation Supply Rate in Asexual Populations. Science *283*, 404–406.

Vogwill, T., Kojadinovic, M., Furio, V., and MacLean, R.C. (2014). Testing the role of genetic
background in parallel evolution using the comparative experimental evolution of antibiotic
resistance. Molecular Biology and Evolution *31*, 3314–3323.

Zasloff, M. (2002). Antimicrobial peptides of multicellular organisms. Nature *415*, 389–395.

Table S1. Mutations selected in the presence of increasing concentrations of polymyxin B. Two
sheets can be found in the Table S1: "PolymyxinB_raw" which includes all mutations called by
breseq and "PolymyxinB_relevant" which include the mutations selected for in presence of
polymyxin B but not selected in the absence of the antibiotic.
533
534   **Table S2.** Estimated mutation probabilities during experimental evolution.
535
Table S3. Mutations selected using the *mutS* deficient strain. Three sheets can be found in the
document: "raw_mutations" include all mutations called by breseq, "no_controls_>0.1" include
the cured list of mutations subtracting from the raw list all mutations happening in the populations
evolving without WLBU2 and with lower frequency than 0.1, and "parallel_genes" which include
the three genes that are targeted in more than one resistant population and are not present in any
control.
542
543   **Table S4.** Mutations selected in the subinhibitory experiment. Two sheets can be found in the
544   document: "populations" and "clones" include all mutations called by breseq in the evolving
545   populations and clones respectively. Note that the curated list of mutations, subtracting those found
546   in controls without antibiotic and the ancestral strain, is listed in Figure 2.
547