

1 Genetics for maternal reactivity

2

3 **Maternal reactivity of ewes at lambing is genetically linked to their behavioral**
4 **reactivity in an arena test**

5

6 Dominique Hazard¹, Amandine Kempeneers¹, Eric Delval², Jacques Bouix¹,

7 Didier Foulquié³, and Alain Boissy²

8

9 ¹ GenPhySE (Génétique, Physiologie et Systèmes d'Élevage), Université de Toulouse,

10 INRAE, ENVT, 31326 Castanet-Tolosan, France

11 ² UMR Herbivores, Université de Clermont, INRAE, VetAgro Sup, 63122 Saint-

12 Genès Champanelle, France

13 ³ UE Domaine de la Fage, INRAE, 12250 Roquefort-sur-Soulzon, France

14

15 Correspondance

16 Dominique Hazard, GenPhySE,

17 INRAE, INPT, INP-ENVT, Université de

18 Toulouse, Castanet Tolosan, France.

19 Email: dominique.hazard@inrae.fr

20

21

22

23

24 **ABSTRACT**

25 In sheep, the bond between the dam and her lambs is established during the first hours
26 of a lamb's life. Genetic variability for behavioral reactivity of ewes assessed in an
27 arena test performed 24 h after lambing has already been reported. However, there is
28 no evidence that this reactivity represents the ewe's maternal reactivity at lambing in
29 outdoor conditions. The objective of this study was to investigate whether or not the
30 behavioral reactivity of ewes in the arena test is genetically related to their maternal
31 reactivity measured at lambing. A total of 935 Romane ewes were studied. The
32 maternal reactivity of ewes at the outdoor lambing site was recorded in response to a
33 human approach and to the handling of the lambs. Their behavioral reactivity was also
34 recorded 24 h post-lambing in the arena test that involved a separation from the litter
35 and a human presence. Flight distance, aggressive reaction, time to restore contact
36 with the litter at the lambing site and maternal behavior scores were moderately
37 heritable (0.18 to 0.34), and vocalizations were slightly heritable (0.16). All of these
38 behaviors were genetically correlated with the behavioral reactivity in the arena test.
39 The highest genetic correlations (from 0.60 to 0.90) were found for maternal
40 behavioral scores, flight distance and high-pitched bleats. In conclusion, behavioral
41 reactivity in the arena test can be used to assess early maternal reactivity in
42 standardized conditions. Such phenotyping could be used for genetic improvement of
43 maternal behavior in sheep.

44

45 Keywords: genetic correlations, behavioral reactivity, lambing, arena test

46

47

INTRODUCTION

48 The behavior of both ewes and lambs at lambing and their interaction are
49 important for the survival of their offspring, especially in extensive farming
50 conditions (Dwyer, 2014; Nowak, Porter, Levy, Orgeur, & Schaal, 2000). Moreover,
51 genetic improvement of lamb survival at birth is not efficient due to its very low
52 heritability (Brien et al., 2014). Inappropriate behavior and low offspring survival
53 could hinder the development of extensive farming systems, whereas agroecology
54 promotes grazing systems for ruminants in order to limit competition between animal
55 and human food (Phocas et al., 2016). Developing genetic selection of maternal
56 behaviors could therefore be advantageous to improve offspring survival and growth,
57 and to reduce labor and stress, as suggested by Mignon-Grasteau et al. (2005).

58 The development and the strength of the bond between ewes and their lambs
59 are affected by several factors, including maternal experience, temperament, nutrition
60 during pregnancy, breed and, to some extent, by the behavior of lambs (Dwyer,
61 2008a, 2008b). Individual differences in the maternal behavior of ewes result in the
62 formation of bonds of varying degrees of strength with their lambs. In extensive
63 conditions, perturbations can occur at the onset of maternal behavior and increase
64 variations in maternal bonding with lambs. Early measurement of maternal behavior
65 is therefore relevant. A scoring system was developed for use at lambing sites by
66 O'Connor et al. (1985) to assess the ability of ewes to care for lambs. Nevertheless,
67 the heritability of such a maternal score in sheep is generally low (Brown et al., 2015;
68 Everett-Hincks & Cullen, 2009; Lambe, Conington, Bishop, Waterhouse, & Simm,
69 2001; Plush, Hebart, Brien, & Hynd, 2011). Maternal behavior in beef cows assessed
70 through a scoring method was also lowly heritable (Michenet, Saintilan, Venot, &
71 Phocas, 2016). For ewes that lamb outdoors in extensive farming systems, low

72 heritability scores for maternal behavior could be partly due to the large number of
73 variation factors such as the social and grazing environments. In addition, assessment
74 of maternal behavior at lambing in extensive conditions is limited by the high number
75 of ewes that lamb during the night (i.e., almost 40% in our study) and also requests to
76 the shepherd to spent more time waiting for lambing. To avoid such biases and
77 limitations, investigation of the genetic component of maternal behavior has been
78 recently done by exposing ewes reared in extensive conditions to a challenging
79 standardized indoor situation. Moderate to high heritabilities for the behavioral
80 reactivity of ewes in an arena test 24 h post-lambing have been reported (Hazard et
81 al., 2020). Since selective bonding between ewes and lambs is constructed during the
82 first six to 24 h after lambing (Keller et al., 2003), maternal reactivity has to be
83 assessed after such a period of consolidation. However, the genetic relationships
84 between maternal reactivity at lambing and behavioral reactivity post-lambing in the
85 arena test remain unknown.

86 We addressed whether the measurement of behavioral reactivity in an arena
87 test 24 h post-lambing can be used to estimate maternal reactivity at the lambing site 2
88 h post-lambing. We hypothesized that such a behavioral reactivity is genetically
89 linked to early maternal reactivity.

90

91 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

92 ***Ethical compliances***

93 The experiments described here fully comply with applicable legislation on
94 research involving animal subjects in accordance with the European Union Council
95 directive (2010/63/UE). The investigators who carried out the experiments were
96 certified by the relevant French governmental authority. All experimental procedures

97 were performed according to the guidelines for the care and use of experimental
98 animals and approved by the local ethics committee (approval number
99 SSA_2018_011).

100

101 *Animals and management*

102 A total of 570 primiparous Romane ewes (single lambing: 126; twin lambing:
103 295; triple and more lambing: 149) and 736 multiparous Romane ewes (single
104 lambing: 85; twin lambing: 303; triple and more lambing: 348) were phenotyped. A
105 total of 305 ewes were phenotyped twice or more. The ewes originated from 82 sires.
106 The experiment was conducted at INRAE's La Fage Experimental Farm (Roquefort
107 sur Souzou, France) over a period of 11 years, and an average of 120 ewes were
108 tested each year.

109 Ewes were reared exclusively outdoors under the harsh conditions of the Causses du
110 Larzac plateau. Reproductive ewes were maintained in a single flock (250
111 reproductive females) reared on 280 ha of rangelands divided into paddocks (average
112 surface per paddock: 15 ha; 150 m² per ewe at lambing, and up to 450 m² per ewe
113 after weaning). The farming system, management and environmental characteristics
114 were previously described by Gonzalez et al. (2014). Lambing takes place in the
115 spring and outdoors. All lambs were identified at birth using electronic ear tags and
116 weaned at 85 ± 4 days of age.

117

118 *General experimental design and behavioral measurements*

119 Maternal reactivity was assessed at the lambing site approximately 2 h after lambing,
120 only on ewes that lambled during daylight when the shepherd approached the lambing
121 ewes to catch lambs for weighing and identification. For more clarity in the text, the

122 term, “maternal reactivity”, is used to describe all behaviors performed by ewes
123 toward their litter right after lambing in response to the shepherd’s approach and the
124 catching of lambs. Thus, in this study, maternal reactivity was characterized for ewes
125 kept outside at their lambing site.

126 Measurement of maternal reactivity at the lambing site (LS) consisted of two
127 successive phases: (1) when the shepherd approached the lambs; and (2) the capture
128 and displacement of the lambs by the shepherd. In the first phase (LS1), the shepherd
129 stood approximately 15 m away from the lambing spot and approached the ewes and
130 the lambs at a regular speed (1 m/s). In the second phase (LS2), the shepherd caught
131 all the lambs at the same time and moved away from the lambing spot in the same
132 direction as that of the approach, stopping at the starting point where he placed the
133 lambs back on the ground and then moved 15 m away to allow the ewe to restore
134 contact with her lambs. This second phase of the test was not applied to ewes that flee
135 at the approach of the shepherd and do not return within 60 s after the end of LS1.

136 A scoring system, close to those defined by O’Connor et al. (1985), was
137 developed for each of the two phases to evaluate maternal reactivity. In LS1, a
138 maternal behavior score (LS1-MBS) was recorded on a 5-point scale as follows: 1 -
139 ewe flees and doesn’t return to the lambs within 60 s; 2 - ewe retreats (i.e., at least 2-3
140 m) but comes back to her lambs within 60 s; 3 - ewe retreats with at least one lamb
141 and comes back; 4 - ewe retreats and returns repeatedly; 5 - ewe stays close to the
142 lambing spot. In LS2, a second maternal behavior score (LS2-MBS) was recorded on
143 a 4-point scale as follows: 1 - ewe flees; 2 - ewe stays close to the lambing spot, 3 -
144 ewe follows but from a distance (i.e., 1 to 2 m), 4 - ewe follows, staying close to the
145 shepherd (i.e., less than 1 m). The ewe’s flight distance from the lambing spot (LS1-
146 FLIGHT) was recorded in LS1 as follows: more than 6 m, 2 to 6 m, and 0 to 2 m,

147 respectively, for classes 1, 2 and 3. Maternal aggressiveness (LS1-THREAT) towards
148 the shepherd, expressed as striking its leg on the soil, was recorded in LS1 as a binary
149 trait: class 1 = no and class 2 = yes. The time needed by the ewe to restore contact
150 with her litter (LS2-CONTACT) was recorded in LS2 as follows: no contact within
151 maximum duration of the test (i.e., 90 s), after human leaves; within 30 s; within 3 s,
152 respectively, for class 1, 2, 3 and 4. The number of high- and low-pitched bleats
153 (LS1/2-HBLEAT, LS1/2-LBLEAT) was recorded in LS1 and LS2 as categorical
154 variables as follows: 0, 1 to 3, 4 to 6, and more than 6 bleats, respectively, for classes
155 1, 2, 3 and 4.

156 The ewes with their lambs were then introduced into the lactating female group while
157 waiting for the next behavioral test. Indeed, the same experimental ewes were also
158 individually exposed to an arena test (AT) 24 h post-lambing, as previously described
159 by Hazard et al. (2020). Behaviors recorded in the three phases of the test (AT1/2/3)
160 included high-pitched bleats (HBLEAT), low-pitched bleats (LBLEAT), locomotor
161 activity (i.e., number of virtual zones crossed, LOCOM), time spent in vigilance
162 postures (VIGIL), and the ewe's proximity to the litter and/or the human during
163 phases 1 and 3 (PROX). For more clarity in the text, the term, "behavioral reactivity",
164 was used to describe behaviors performed by ewes toward their litter in the AT. This
165 behavioral reactivity in the AT corresponded successively to the ewe's (1) attraction
166 to her litter, (2) reactivity to social separation from her litter, and (3) reactivity to a
167 conflict between social attraction to her litter and avoidance of a motionless human.
168 Thus, in the present study, behavioral reactivity characterized ewes moved indoors
169 and submitted to a standardized behavioral test.

170

171 *Statistical analysis*

172 *Descriptive statistics*

173 Analyses of variance taking the repeated measures into account were
174 performed to assess differences between ewes at different ages at the time of their first
175 lambing, parity, number of lambs born and reared, and the year of measurement. The
176 age at first lambing effect took ewes lambing for the first time at 1 or 2 years of age
177 (classes 1 and 2, respectively) into account. The parity effect took first, second and
178 third or more lambing (classes 1, 2, and 3, respectively) into account. The litter size
179 effect was classified according to the number of lambs born and suckled (i.e., class 1:
180 ewes lambing and suckling singletons; class 2: ewes lambing twins and suckling one;
181 class 3: ewes lambing and suckling twins; and class 4: ewes lambing and suckling
182 more than two lambs). Finally, the year effect took the 11 years of data collection into
183 account. The GENMOD procedure of SAS® software was applied to the categorical
184 variables to test the fixed effects and first-order interactions and to determine factors
185 of variations for behaviors to be included in subsequent genetic analyses.

186 *Genetic analysis*

187 The (co)variance components for categorical behaviors were estimated by
188 MCMC and Gibbs sampling methods using a threshold model in TM software
189 (Legarra, Varona, & Lopez de Maturana, 2008). All analyses assumed a repeatability
190 linear model with behavior measured across productive cycles considered to be the
191 same trait with a constant variance. Random effects included a direct additive genetic
192 effect of the animal (i.e., ewe) and a permanent environmental effect of the animal.
193 The following animal mixed model was fitted:

194
$$y = Xb + Za + Wc + e [I]$$

195 where y is the vector of observations corresponding to the trait(s) in the analysis; b is
196 the vector of appropriate fixed effects (age at first lambing, parity of the ewe, litter

197 size and year of measurement); a is the vector of random genetic effects and c is the
198 vector of permanent environmental effects; e is the vector of residual effects; X , Z and
199 W are incidence matrices linking fixed effects, random animal genetic effects and
200 random permanent environmental effects to the trait, respectively; a , c and e were
201 assumed to be normally distributed with means equal to zero and (co)variances $A\sigma_a^2$,
202 $I_c\sigma_c^2$, $I_e\sigma_e^2$ for a , c and e , respectively; A is the additive relationship matrix based on
203 the pedigree; I are identity matrices of appropriate size, where σ_a^2 is the additive
204 genetic variance, σ_c^2 is the variance due to the permanent environmental effect, and
205 σ_e^2 is the residual variance.

206 Univariate analyses were performed to estimate variances for each trait. Multivariate
207 analyses were performed to estimate genetic and phenotypic correlations between
208 traits using the same model as the one used in univariate analyses. Variance estimates
209 in the multi-trait analyses were very similar to those from single-trait analyses.
210 Genetic and phenotypic correlations between quantitative traits and categorical traits
211 were performed using TM software.

212 Three parameters were defined on the basis of the variance components: (1)
213 heritability or proportion of total phenotypic variance attributed to the additive genetic
214 effect, $h^2 = \sigma_a^2 / (\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_c^2 + \sigma_e^2)$; (2) proportion of total phenotypic variance attributed
215 to the permanent environmental effect, $c^2 = \sigma_c^2 / (\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_c^2 + \sigma_e^2)$; and (3) proportion of
216 total phenotypic variance attributed to the residual effect, $e^2 = \sigma_e^2 / (\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_c^2 + \sigma_e^2)$. In
217 addition, repeatability (R) was defined as the sum of h^2 and c^2 .

218

219

RESULTS

220 *Behavioral responses of the ewe at the lambing site*

221 Descriptive statistics of behaviors are summarized in Table 1. The maternal behavior
222 score in LS1 indicated that 59.3% of ewes stayed close to the lambing spot, and
223 38.1% of ewes retreated in different ways and came back. Only 2.6% of ewes fled and
224 did not return. Eighty-five percent of ewes stayed between 0 and 2 m from the
225 lambing spot in LS1. Ninety percent of ewes did not threaten the shepherd when he
226 approached. The maternal behavior score in LS2 indicated that 77.2% of ewes
227 followed their lambs, either staying close to the shepherd (62.5%) or keeping a
228 distance from the shepherd (14.7%), while 10.1% stayed close to the lambing spot and
229 12.7% fled. In LS2, 71.2% of ewes restored contact with their litter within 3 s after
230 moving their lambs, and 20% of ewes within 30 s. Nearly 95% of ewes did not make
231 high-pitched bleats in LS1, while 42.1% of ewes made at least one high-pitched bleat
232 in LS2. Fifty-nine percent of ewes made at least one low-pitched bleat in LS1, while
233 84.4% made at least one low-pitched bleat in LS2, with 49.9% of ewes making more
234 than three low-pitched bleats.

235

236 *Biological sources of variations in ewe behavior at the lambing site*

237 The number of HBLEATs in LS2 significantly decreased with parity and age at the
238 first lambing and increased with litter size (Table 2). The number of LBLEATs
239 significantly increased in LS2 as parity increased. However, no significant parity, age
240 at first lambing or litter size effects were observed on the number of high and
241 LBLEATs in the LS1 test. Flight distance of ewes in LS1 and time to restore contact
242 with their litter in LS2 increased as parity increased. Flight distance of ewes also
243 decreased as litter size increased. Few variations of aggressive reactions were
244 observed with parity, age at first lambing or litter size. The maternal behavior score in

245 LS1 increased as parity or litter size increased. The maternal behavior score in LS2
246 increased with the increase in parity.

247

248 *Genetic parameters of ewe behavior at the lambing site*

249 Heritabilities were moderate for maternal behavior scores, flight distance, aggressive
250 behavior, and time to restore contact with litter (0.18 ± 0.05 to 0.34 ± 0.11) (Table 3).

251 Low heritabilities were measured for vocalization behaviors in LS1 and LS2 ($0.12 \pm$
252 0.02 to 0.16 ± 0.04). Permanent environmental effects were low to moderate for
253 almost all of the traits except aggressive behavior, which had a strong effect.

254 Repeatabilities ranged from 0.27 to 0.57, and reached 0.84 for aggressive behavior.

255 Genetic and phenotypic correlations for maternal reactivity traits measured on LS are
256 presented in Table 4. Genetic correlations between LS2-HBLEAT and LS1-

257 HBLEAT, LS2-HBLEAT and LS1-LBLEAT, LS2-HBLEAT and LS1-MBS were
258 moderate and positive, whereas they were high and negative between LS2-HBLEAT

259 and LS2-LBLEAT. High positive genetic correlations were found between LS1-
260 FLIGHT and LS1-HBLEAT, LS1-FLIGHT and LS2-LBLEAT, LS1-FLIGHT and

261 LS2-CONTACT, LS1-FLIGHT and LS1-MBS, and LS1-FLIGHT and LS2-MBS. A
262 high negative genetic correlation was observed between LS1-THREAT and LS1-

263 LBLEAT. Genetic correlations between LS2-LBLEAT and LS2-CONTACT, and
264 LS2-LBLEAT and LS2-MBS were positive and moderate. High positive genetic

265 correlations were found between LS2-CONTACT and LS1-MBS, and LS2-
266 CONTACT and LS2-MBS, while a moderate negative genetic correlation was found

267 between LS2-CONTACT and LS1-HBLEAT. A high and positive genetic correlation
268 was found between LS1-MBS and LS2-MBS. In general, for all maternal reactivity

269 traits at the LS, phenotypic correlations were of similar signs and lower than genetic
270 correlations.

271

272 ***Genetic correlations between ewe behaviors at the lambing site and their reactions***
273 ***in the arena test***

274 Genetic correlations between maternal reactivity traits measured at the LS and
275 behavioral reactivity traits measured in the AT are presented in Table 5. High and
276 positive genetic correlations were found between AT1-HBLEAT and HBLEAT at the
277 LS. No significant or low correlations were found between HBLEAT in the AT and
278 LBLEAT at the LS. No significant correlations were found between LBLEAT in the
279 AT and vocalization traits (i.e., HBLEAT or LBLEAT) at the LS. High positive
280 genetic correlations were found between AT2-LOCOM and LS1-HBLEAT, and AT3-
281 LOCOM and LS1-HBLEAT, whereas a high negative genetic correlation was found
282 between AT1-LOCOM and LS2-HBLEAT. A moderate negative genetic correlation
283 was found between AT1-PROX and LS1-HBLEAT. Vigilance behavior in AT1 and
284 AT2 were positively correlated (moderate to high genetic correlations) with HBLEAT
285 and LBLEAT at LS1. Flight distance in LS1 was positively correlated (moderate to
286 high genetic correlations) with AT1-HBLEAT, AT2-HBLEAT, AT3-LBLEAT, AT1-
287 LOCOM, AT3-PROX and AT2-VIGIL, whereas a high negative genetic correlation
288 was found between LS1-FLIGHT and AT1-LBLEAT. Aggressive behavior in LS1
289 was positively correlated (low to moderate genetic correlations) with AT2-HBLEAT,
290 AT1-LOCOM and AT3-VIGIL, and negatively correlated (moderate to high genetic
291 correlations) with AT1-LBLEAT, AT3-LOCOM and AT1-PROX. A low positive
292 genetic correlation was found between LS2-CONTACT and AT3-PROX, and
293 moderate to high negative genetic correlations were found between LS2-CONTACT

294 and AT1-PROX, and LS2-CONTACT and AT3-VIGIL. Both maternal behavior
295 scores at the LS were positively correlated with HBLEAT in the AT, and genetic
296 correlations were higher in LS1 than in LS2. A low positive genetic correlation was
297 found between LS2-MBS and AT2-LBLEAT. Moderate positive genetic correlations
298 were found between AT1-LOCOM and both maternal behavior scores at the LS.
299 Proximity scores in the AT were positively correlated with both maternal behavior
300 scores at the LS (moderate to high genetic correlations). The maternal behavior score
301 in LS2 was highly and negatively correlated with vigilance behavior in AT1 and AT3,
302 while a low positive genetic correlation was found between LS1-MBS and AT2-
303 VIGIL.

304

305

DISCUSSION

306

Phenotypic variability of maternal reactivity and sources of variation

308 Phenotypic variability was observed for various behavioral reactions of the ewes
309 recorded in this study at lambing. An increase in the variability was observed for
310 maternal reactivity at the lambing spot in response to the handling of lambs by a
311 shepherd compared to the approach by a shepherd, as seen by the variability in
312 vocalizations and the maternal behavior score. The ewe's perception of the
313 approaching shepherd may be different from the perception of the handling of lambs
314 by the shepherd. The present results also highlighted the fact that low-pitched bleats
315 were more frequent than high-pitched bleats at the lambing spot several hours after
316 lambing. These results were consistent with a previous study showing that sheep
317 preferentially use the low-pitched bleat as a specific lambing vocalization made
318 almost exclusively to strengthen bonding with the lamb (Dwyer et al., 1998).

319 Various biological and physiological factors have been widely reported to influence
320 maternal behavior toward the litter at lambing in sheep (Dwyer, 2008a; Kendrick,
321 Lévy, & Keverne, 1991; Poindron, Lévy, & Krehbiel, 1988; Simitzis, Galani,
322 Vasiliou, Koutsouli, & Bizelis, 2016). For instance, an increase in parity and/or litter
323 size was reported in the literature to increase specific maternal behaviors (i.e.,
324 grooming, licking, etc.). As expected, maternal reactivity traits measured at lambing
325 spots in our conditions increased with increases in parity and litter size, supporting the
326 hypothesis that maternal attachment to the litter was greater in ewes with maternal
327 experience (i.e., greater bond between ewe and lamb), as reported in the literature
328 (Everett-Hincks, Lopez-Villalobos, Blair, & Stafford, 2005; Hernandez et al., 2009;
329 Lambe et al., 2001; O'Connor et al., 1985).

330

331 *Genetic determinism of maternal reactivity*

332 Genetic variability within breeds is poorly documented for maternal reactivity in
333 sheep, whereas genetic variations between breeds are well documented (for a review,
334 see (Dwyer, 2008a);(von Borstel, Moors, Schichowski, & Gauly, 2011). Heritabilities
335 for vocalizations were lower at the lambing spot than those previously reported in the
336 arena test (Boissy et al., 2005; Hazard et al., 2016; Hazard et al., 2020; Wolf,
337 McBride, Lewis, Davies, & Haresign, 2008). This could be explained by the more
338 simplified and controlled environment of the arena test compared to the open
339 environment at the lambing spot. Heritabilities for maternal behavior scores in the
340 approaching phase and the handling phase at the lambing spot were equivalent or
341 slightly higher than the heritability values previously reported in sheep for a single
342 maternal behavioral score (Brown et al., 2015; Everett-Hincks & Cullen, 2009;
343 Lambe et al., 2001; Plush et al., 2011). Heritabilities for flight and threat behaviors of

344 ewes in response to an approaching shepherd at the lambing spot, as well as the delay
345 needed by the ewe to restore contact with her litter after the handling of lambs, have
346 not yet been described.

347

348 *Genetic relationships*

349 Each behavior measured at the lambing site 2 h post-lambing was genetically linked
350 with at least one or several behavioral reactions measured in the arena test 24 h post-
351 lambing. These results suggested that bonding between ewes and lambs, previously
352 described to be complete at 6 to 24 h post-lambing (Keller et al., 2003), was expressed
353 early in our extensive conditions (i.e., 2 h after lambing). This was consistent with
354 delays previously reported for the achievement of the construction of the social link
355 between ewes and their lambs that occurred soon after lambing, up to a maximum of
356 24 h for primiparous ewes (Keller et al., 2003). Interestingly, high-pitched bleats and
357 proximity scores with the litter in arena tests were highly genetically linked with
358 maternal reactivity at the lambing spot (i.e., maternal behavior scores and/or flight
359 distance). The only genetic link found for vocalizations between both conditions
360 concerned high bleats expressed by ewes that still saw their litter in the arena test, and
361 high bleats expressed at the lambing spot. We hypothesized that the vocal reactions of
362 ewes to maintain contact with their litter may differ between the open field of the
363 lambing spot and the restricted area of the arena test, probably due to the more
364 stressful perception of the visual separation from the litter or the presence of a
365 motionless human in the arena test. Genetic correlations also indicated that maternal
366 reactivity observed at the lambing spot were strongly and favorably genetically linked
367 with locomotion of ewes that can see their litter without a human presence and time
368 spent close to their litter in the presence of a shepherd in the arena test. However,

369 locomotion of ewes visually separated from their litter or in the presence of a
370 motionless human, as well as vigilance behavior in the arena test, were mainly
371 genetically linked with a high-pitched bleat response to the shepherd's approach at the
372 lambing spot. This suggested that these behaviors might be more representative of a
373 response to a perturbation than to the expression of the maternal attachment to the
374 litter. In addition, negative genetic relationships between the maternal behavior score
375 after the handling of lambs at the lambing spot and vigilance in the arena test
376 suggested that ewes that exhibited a high capacity to follow caught lambs are
377 expected to express low levels of vigilance in the arena test. Finally, aggressiveness
378 toward the approaching shepherd was favorably genetically linked to several traits of
379 behavioral reactivity in the arena test, and ewes that show high levels of proximity
380 and low bleats as well as a low level of locomotion, in particular, are expected to be
381 less aggressive towards the shepherd.

382 At the lambing spot, both maternal behavior scores were highly genetically linked,
383 suggesting that both criteria were rather similar traits and that bonding between ewes
384 and lambs was expected to be similarly expressed during the approach of the shepherd
385 and during the handling of lambs. Flight distance of the ewe from the lambing spot
386 during the approach of the shepherd and time spent by the ewe to restore contact with
387 the litter were also strong indicators of such ewe-lamb bonding, as suggested by high
388 genetic correlations with maternal behavior scores. On the other hand, the ewe-lamb
389 bond evaluated through maternal behavior scores was not clearly genetically related
390 to vocalizations. Similarly, aggressive reactions toward an approaching human
391 appeared to be genetically independent of most of the ewes' behaviors expressed
392 toward the litter, except for low-pitched bleats. Aggressiveness at lambing could be
393 explained by a greater ewe-lamb bonding to protect the neonate, as described in cow

394 (Boissy, Nowak, Orgeur, & Veissier, 2001; Phocas et al., 2006). Thus, we
395 hypothesized that the greater ewe-lamb bond in aggressive ewes did not require a
396 higher number of low-pitched bleats to strengthen the bond between the ewe and her
397 lambs, as mentioned above. Reciprocally, ewes that expressed a greater bond through
398 high levels of low-pitched bleats were not expected to exhibit higher levels of
399 aggressiveness. Aggressiveness is undesirable for facilitating labor and improving
400 welfare. Here, the absence of a genetic relationship with other maternal reactivity
401 traits was favorable for genetic improvement of the ewe-lamb bond without
402 increasing aggressiveness.

403

404 In conclusion, maternal reactivity assessed at the lambing site was heritable. Moderate
405 to high genetic correlations found between maternal reactivity at the lambing site and
406 behavioral reactivity in arena tests 24 h post-lambing suggested that ewe-lamb
407 bonding was expressed very early in our extensive conditions. Thus, maternal
408 reactivity of ewes, which is difficult to study at lambing, can be assessed through their
409 behavioral reactivity towards their litter measured under standardized conditions, the
410 arena test, performed 24 h later. Genetic improvement of maternal behavior could
411 involve phenotyping of the ewe-lamb bond through a quick and simplified
412 standardized behavioral test performed 1 day post-lambing, which provides higher
413 heritable traits.

414

415 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

416 The authors would like to thank the INRAE Divisions of Animal Genetics and
417 Animal Physiology and Livestock Systems for their financial support over the years in
418 providing salaries, experimental costs and computational facilities. The authors are

419 indebted to Paul Autran and Sara Parisot for the management of the experimental
420 farm, and Fabien Carrière and Sébastien Douls for managing the experimental flock,
421 for animal care and for their active role in collecting experimental data. The authors
422 are also indebted to Dominique François for his involvement in the management of
423 animal breeding. Part of this study was carried out with the financial support of the
424 European project SMARTER (“Small Ruminant Breeding for Efficiency and
425 Resilience“) under the grant agreement n°772787 (Horizon 2020 research and
426 innovation program).

427

428 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

429 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

430

431 **LITERATURE CITED**

432

- 433 Boissy, A., Bouix, J., Orgeur, P., Poindron, P., Bibe, B., & Le Neindre, P. (2005).
434 Genetic analysis of emotional reactivity in sheep: effects of the genotypes of
435 the lambs and of their dams. *Genetics Selection Evolution*, *37*, 381-401.
436 doi:10.1051/gse:2005007
- 437 Boissy, A., Nowak, R., Orgeur, P., & Veissier, I. (2001). Social relationships in
438 domestic ruminants: constraints and means for the integration of the animal
439 into its environment. *Inra Productions Animales*, *14*, 79-90.
- 440 Brown, D. J., Fogarty, N. M., Iker, C. L., Ferguson, D. M., Blache, D., & Gaunt, G.
441 M. (2015). Genetic evaluation of maternal behaviour and temperament in
442 Australian sheep. *Animal Production Science*, *56*, 767-774.
443 doi:10.1071/an14945
- 444 Dwyer, C. M. (2008a). Genetic and physiological determinants of maternal behavior
445 and lamb survival: Implications for low-input sheep management. *Journal of*
446 *Animal Science*, *86*, E246-E258. doi:10.2527/jas.2007-0404
- 447 Dwyer, C. M. (2008b). Individual Variation in the Expression of Maternal Behaviour:
448 A Review of the Neuroendocrine Mechanisms in the Sheep. *Journal of*
449 *Neuroendocrinology*, *20*, 526-534. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2826.2008.01657.x
- 450 Dwyer, C. M. (2014). Maternal behaviour and lamb survival: from
451 neuroendocrinology to practical application. *animal*, *8*, 102-112.
452 doi:doi:10.1017/S1751731113001614
- 453 Dwyer, C. M., McLean, K. A., Deans, L. A., Chirnside, J., Calvert, S. K., &
454 Lawrence, A. B. (1998). Vocalisations between mother and young in sheep:
455 effects of breed and maternal experience. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*,
456 *58*, 105-119. doi:10.1016/s0168-1591(97)00113-5

- 457 Everett-Hincks, J. M., & Cullen, N. G. (2009). Genetic parameters for ewe rearing
458 performance. *J. Anim Sci.*, *87*, 2753-2758. doi:10.2527/jas.2008-0858
- 459 Everett-Hincks, J. M., Lopez-Villalobos, N., Blair, H. T., & Stafford, K. J. (2005).
460 The effect of ewe maternal behaviour score on lamb and litter survival.
461 *Livestock Production Science*, *93*, 51-61.
462 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livprodsci.2004.11.006>
- 463 Gonzalez-Garcia, E., de Figueredo, V. G., Foulquie, D., Jousserand, E., Autran, P.,
464 Camous, S., . . . Jouven, M. (2014). Circannual body reserve dynamics and
465 metabolic profile changes in Romane ewes grazing on rangelands. *Domestic*
466 *Animal Endocrinology*, *46*, 37-48. doi:10.1016/j.domaniend.2013.10.002
- 467 Hazard, D., Bouix, J., Chassier, M., Delval, E., Foulquie, D., Fossier, T., . . . Boissy,
468 A. (2016). Genotype by environment interactions for behavioral reactivity in
469 sheep. *Journal of Animal Science*, *94*, 1459-1471. doi:10.2527/jas2015-0277
- 470 Hazard, D., Macé, T., Kempeneers, A., Delval, E., Foulquie, D., Bouix, J., & Boissy,
471 A. (2020). Genetic parameters estimates for ewes' behavioural reactivity
472 towards their litter after lambing. *Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics*,
473 *n/a*. doi:10.1111/jbg.12474
- 474 Hernandez, C. E., Harding, J. E., Oliver, M. H., Bloomfield, F. H., Held, S. D. E., &
475 Matthews, L. R. (2009). Effects of litter size, sex and periconceptional ewe
476 nutrition on side preference and cognitive flexibility in the offspring.
477 *Behavioural Brain Research*, *204*, 82-87.
478 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2009.05.019>
- 479 Keller, M., Meurisse, M., Poindron, P., Nowak, R., Ferreira, G., Shayit, M., & Levy,
480 F. (2003). Maternal experience influences the establishment of visual/auditory,
481 but not olfactory recognition of the newborn lamb by ewes at parturition.
482 *Developmental Psychobiology*, *43*, 167-176. doi:10.1002/dev.10130
- 483 Kendrick, K. M., Lévy, F., & Keverne, E. B. (1991). Importance of vaginocervical
484 stimulation for the formation of maternal bonding in primiparous and
485 multiparous parturient ewes. *Physiology & Behavior*, *50*, 595-600.
486 doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9384\(91\)90551-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9384(91)90551-X)
- 487 Lambe, N. R., Conington, J., Bishop, S. C., Waterhouse, A., & Simm, G. (2001). A
488 genetic analysis of maternal behaviour score in Scottish Blackface sheep.
489 *Animal Science*, *72*, 415-425.
- 490 Legarra, A., Varona, L., & Lopez de Maturana, E. (2008). TM Threshold model.
491 GenoToul Bioinformatics, Toulouse, France.
- 492 Michenet, A., Saintilan, R., Venot, E., & Phocas, F. (2016). Insights into the genetic
493 variation of maternal behavior and suckling performance of continental beef
494 cows. *Genetics Selection Evolution*, *48*, 45. doi:10.1186/s12711-016-0223-z
- 495 Mignon-Grasteau, S., Boissy, A., Bouix, J., Faure, J.-M., Fisher, A. D., Hinch, G. N.,
496 . . . Beaumont, C. (2005). Genetics of adaptation and domestication in
497 livestock. *Livestock Production Science*, *93*, 3-14.
498 doi:10.1016/j.livprodsci.2004.11.001
- 499 Nowak, R., Porter, R. H., Levy, F., Orgeur, P., & Schaal, B. (2000). Role of mother-
500 young interactions in the survival of offspring in domestic mammals. *Reviews*
501 *of Reproduction*, *5*, 153-163. doi:10.1530/revreprod/5.3.153
- 502 O'Connor, C. E., Jay, N. P., Nicol, A. M., & Beatson, P. R. (1985). Ewe maternal
503 behaviour score and lamb survival. *Proceedings of the New Zealand Society of*
504 *Animal Production*, *45* 159-162.
- 505 Phocas, F., Belloc, C., Bidanel, J., Delaby, L., Dourmad, J. Y., Dumont, B., . . .
506 Brochard, M. (2016). Review: Towards the agroecological management of

- 507 ruminants, pigs and poultry through the development of sustainable breeding
508 programmes: I-selection goals and criteria. *animal*, *10*, 1749-1759.
509 doi:10.1017/s1751731116000926
- 510 Phocas, F., Boivin, X., Sapa, J., Trillat, G., Boissy, A., & Neindre, P. (2006). Genetic
511 correlations between temperament and breeding traits in Limousin heifers.
512 *Animal Science*, *82*. doi:10.1017/asc200696
- 513 Plush, K. J., Hebart, M. L., Brien, F. D., & Hynd, P. I. (2011). The genetics of
514 temperament in Merino sheep and relationships with lamb survival. *Applied*
515 *Animal Behaviour Science*, *134*, 130-135. doi:10.1016/j.applanim.2011.07.009
- 516 Poindron, P., Lévy, F., & Krehbiel, D. (1988). Genital, olfactory, and endocrine
517 interactions in the development of maternal behaviour in the parturient ewe.
518 *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, *13*, 99-125. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4530(88)90009-1)
519 [4530\(88\)90009-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4530(88)90009-1)
- 520 Simitzis, P., Galani, K., Vasiliou, P., Koutsouli, P., & Bizelis, I. (2016). Effect of
521 breed and litter size on the display of maternal perinatal and offspring
522 postnatal behavior in dairy sheep. *Journal of Veterinary Behavior: Clinical*
523 *Applications and Research*, *13*, 10-18.
524 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2016.02.008>
- 525 von Borstel, U. K., Moors, E., Schichowski, C., & Gauly, M. (2011). Breed
526 differences in maternal behaviour in relation to lamb (*Ovis orientalis aries*)
527 productivity. *Livestock Science*, *137*, 42-48. doi:10.1016/j.livsci.2010.09.028
- 528 Wolf, B. T., McBride, S. D., Lewis, R. M., Davies, M. H., & Haresign, W. (2008).
529 Estimates of the genetic parameters and repeatability of behavioural traits of
530 sheep in an arena test. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, *112*, 68-80.
531 doi:10.1016/j.applanim.2007.07.011
532
- 533

534 **Table 1** – Distribution of maternal reactivity traits of ewes at the lambing site in response to
 535 an approaching shepherd (LS1) and then to moving lambs by the shepherd (LS2).

Variables ¹	Number of records	Class ³				
		1	2	3	4	5
LS1-HBLEAT	960	94.4 ²	4.9	0.6	0.1	
LS2-HBLEAT	940	57.9	26.5	11.0	4.6	
LS1-LBLEAT	960	40.8	48.2	9.3	1.7	
LS2-LBLEAT	940	15.6	34.5	27.0	22.9	
LS1-FLIGHT	1306	2.8	12.2	85.0		
LS1-THREAT	1306	90.0	10.0			
LS2-CONTACT	1274	2.8	5.8	20.2	71.2	
LS1-MBS	1306	2.6	5.9	11.0	21.2	59.3
LS2-MBS	1274	12.7	10.1	14.7	62.5	

536

537 ¹ LS1: approach of the shepherd to the lambing site; LS2: handling and moving of
 538 lamb(s) by the shepherd from the lambing site; HBLEAT: high bleats; LBLEAT: low
 539 bleats; FLIGHT: flight distance; THREAT: aggressive reaction; MBS: maternal
 540 behavior score; CONTACT: time to restore contact with litter.

541 ² For each behavior, results are expressed as the percentage of records in each class.

542 ³ HBLEAT/LBLEAT: class 1 = 0, class 2 = 1 to 3, class 3 = 4 to 6, class 4 = more
 543 than 6 high-pitched bleats; FLIGHT: class 1 = more than 6 m, class 2 = 2 to 6 m, class
 544 3 = 0 to 2 m; THREAT: class 1 = no, class 2 = yes; CONTACT: class 1 = no contact
 545 within the duration of the test (i.e., 90 s), class 2 = after human leaves, class 3 =
 546 within 30 s, class 4 = within 3 s; LS1-MBS: class 1 = ewe flees and doesn't return,
 547 class 2 = ewe retreats and comes back, class 3 = ewe retreats with lamb and comes
 548 back, class 4 = ewe retreats and returns repeatedly, class 5 = ewe stays close to the
 549 lambing spot; LS2-MBS: class 1 = ewe flees, class 2 = ewe stays close to the lambing
 550 spot, class 3 = ewe follows but remains at a distance, class 4 = ewe follows, staying
 551 close to the shepherd.

552

553 **Table 2** – Least squares means for maternal reactivity traits of ewes recorded at the
 554 lambing site for each level of parity, litter size and age at first lambing.

Variables ¹	Parity			Litter size			First Lambing				
	p-val	1	2	3	p-val	1	2	3	p-val	1	2
<i>n records (%)</i>		44	37	19		17	45	38		49	51
LS1-HBLEAT	NS	1.09	1.04	1.06	NS	1.06	1.06	1.07	NS	1.07	1.05
LS2-HBLEAT	***	1.84	1.44	1.35	**	1.44	1.52	1.67	*	1.60	1.49
LS1-LBLEAT	NS	1.72	1.79	1.57	NS	1.68	1.64	1.76	NS	1.7	1.7
LS2-LBLEAT	***	2.33	2.84	2.73	NS	2.68	2.61	2.60	NS	2.61	2.65
LS1-FLIGHT	***	2.74	2.87	2.87	**	2.78	2.82	2.89	NS	2.83	2.82
LS1-THREAT	NS	1.90	1.90	1.92	*	1.90	1.88	1.93	NS	1.89	1.92
LS2-CONTACT	***	3.17	3.87	3.94	NS	3.66	3.67	3.64	NS	3.64	3.68
LS1-MBS	***	4.04	4.29	4.55	*	4.16	4.31	4.40	NS	4.30	4.28
LS2-MBS	***	2.75	3.67	3.77	NS	3.42	3.39	3.38	NS	3.41	3.39

555

556 ¹LS1: approach of the shepherd to the lambing site; LS2: handling and moving of
 557 lamb(s) by the shepherd from the lambing site; HBLEAT: high bleats; LBLEAT: low
 558 bleats; LOCOM: Locomotion; PROX: Proximity; VIGIL: vigilance; FLIGHT: flight
 559 distance; THREAT: aggressive reaction; MBS: maternal behavior score; CONTACT:
 560 time to restore contact with litter; P-val: p-value; *, p-value < 0.05; **, p-value <
 561 0.01; ***, p-value < 0.001; NS: non-significant.

562 **Table 3** – Estimates of heritability, repeatability and permanent and residual effects
 563 (\pm S.E.) for maternal reactivity traits of ewes recorded at the lambing site.

Variables	n	Component			Total σ_p^2	Repeatability (R)
		Animal (h^2)	Perm (c^2)	Residual (e^2)		
LS1-HBLEAT	696	0.16 \pm 0.10	0.18 \pm 0.12	0.66 \pm 0.14	0.95 \pm 0.07	0.34 \pm 0.10
LS2-HBLEAT	686	0.14 \pm 0.06	0.13 \pm 0.08	0.73 \pm 0.07	1.45 \pm 0.12	0.27 \pm 0.08
LS1-LBLEAT	696	0.12 \pm 0.06	0.20 \pm 0.08	0.67 \pm 0.07	0.45 \pm 0.03	0.32 \pm 0.08
LS2-LBLEAT	686	0.16 \pm 0.07	0.15 \pm 0.08	0.69 \pm 0.06	0.95 \pm 0.06	0.31 \pm 0.08
LS1-FLIGHT	934	0.18 \pm 0.05	0.18 \pm 0.04	0.63 \pm 0.06	2.78 \pm 0.40	0.36 \pm 0.06
LS1-THREAT	934	0.34 \pm 0.11	0.49 \pm 0.11	0.17 \pm 0.05	0.08 \pm 0.01	0.84 \pm 0.11
LS2-CONTACT	918	0.31 \pm 0.09	0.26 \pm 0.04	0.43 \pm 0.08	4.32 \pm 0.60	0.57 \pm 0.10
LS1-MBS	934	0.23 \pm 0.06	0.14 \pm 0.07	0.63 \pm 0.07	4.98 \pm 0.33	0.38 \pm 0.07
LS2-MBS	918	0.19 \pm 0.05	0.10 \pm 0.05	0.70 \pm 0.06	6.49 \pm 1.08	0.30 \pm 0.05

564

565 LS1: approach of the shepherd to the lambing site; LS2: handling and moving of
 566 lamb(s) by the shepherd from the lambing site; HBLEAT: high bleats; LBLEAT: low
 567 bleats; LOCOM: Locomotion; PROX: Proximity; VIGIL: vigilance; FLIGHT: flight
 568 distance; THREAT: aggressive reaction; MBS: maternal behavior score; CONTACT:
 569 time to restore contact with litter; h^2 , c^2 , e^2 = proportion of total phenotypic variance attributed to
 570 additive genetic, permanent and residual effects, respectively; Total σ_p^2 = total phenotypic variance; R
 571 = $h^2 + c^2$; n: number of animals.

572

573 **Table 4** – Genetic (above the diagonal) and phenotypic (below the diagonal)
 574 correlations (\pm S.E.) for maternal reactivity traits of ewes recorded at the lambing site.

	LS1- HBLEAT	LS2- HBLEAT	LS1- LBLEAT	LS2- LBLEAT	LS1- FLIGHT	LS1- THREAT	LS2- CONTACT	LS1- MBS	LS2- MBS
LS1-HBLEAT		0.58 (0.29)	NS	NS	0.63 (0.30)	NS	-0.58 (0.15)	NS	NS
LS2-HBLEAT	0.21 (0.07)		0.43 (0.19)	-0.64 (0.14)	NS	NS	NS	0.51 (0.13)	NS
LS1-LBLEAT	NS	NS		NS	NS	-0.65 (0.13)	NS	NS	NS
LS2-LBLEAT	-0.31 (0.09)	-0.42 (0.05)	NS		0.63 (0.19)	NS	0.40 (0.13)	NS	0.57 (0.29)
LS1-FLIGHT	NS	-0.36 (0.08)	-0.20 (0.08)	NS		NS	0.86 (0.15)	0.85 (0.13)	0.89 (0.12)
LS1-THREAT	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS	NS
LS2-CONTACT	-0.57 (0.13)	-0.27 (0.09)	NS	0.35 (0.10)	0.29 (0.09)	NS		0.78 (0.21)	0.95 (0.06)
LS1-MBS	NS	-0.21 (0.06)	-0.14 (0.08)	-0.19 (0.06)	0.70 (0.33)	NS	0.20 (0.10)		0.82 (0.13)
LS2-MBS	NS	-0.36 (0.07)	-0.12 (0.07)	0.21 (0.07)	0.32 (0.08)	-0.14 (0.12)	0.81 (0.04)	0.14 (0.07)	

575

576 LS1: approach of the shepherd to the lambing site; LS2: handling and moving of
 577 lamb(s) by the shepherd from the lambing site; HBLEAT: high bleats; LBLEAT: low
 578 bleats; FLIGHT: flight distance; THREAT: aggressive reaction; MBS: maternal
 579 behavior score; CONTACT: time to restore contact with litter. NS: non-significant;

580

581 **Table 5** - Genetic correlations (\pm S.E.) between maternal reactivity traits of ewes
 582 recorded at the lambing site (LS) and behavioral reactivity traits of ewes individually
 583 exposed to the arena test (AT) 24 h after lambing.

	LS1- HBLEAT	LS2- HBLEAT	LS1- LBLEAT	LS2- LBLEAT	LS1- FLIGHT	LS1- THREAT	LS2- CONTACT	LS1- MBS	LS2- MBS
AT1-HBLEAT	0.60 (0.20)	0.60 (0.19)	NS	NS	0.90 (0.13)	NS	NS	0.60 (0.13)	NS
AT2-HBLEAT	NS	0.28 (0.13)	NS	0.33 (0.12)	0.56 (0.14)	0.34 (0.11)	NS	0.63 (0.14)	0.32 (0.14)
AT3-HBLEAT	NS	NS	NS	NS	<i>-0.40</i> ¹ (0.21)	NS	NS	0.70 (0.13)	0.41 (0.20)
AT1-LBLEAT	NS	NS	NS	NS	-0.63 (0.17)	-0.40 (0.15)	NS	NS	NS
AT2-LBLEAT	NS	NS	NS	NS	<i>0.43</i> (0.26)	NS	NS	NS	0.32 (0.10)
AT3-LBLEAT	NS	NS	<i>-0.43</i> (0.25)	NS	0.75 (0.13)	NS	NS	<i>0.23</i> (0.12)	NS
AT1-LOCOM	NS	-0.56 (0.15)	NS	NS	0.68 (0.05)	0.51 (0.24)	NS	0.41 (0.16)	0.50 (0.16)
AT2-LOCOM	0.68 (0.16)	NS	<i>0.24</i> (0.14)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
AT3-LOCOM	0.55 (0.09)	0.32 (0.10)	0.23 (0.09)	<i>0.24</i> (0.14)	NS	-0.31 (0.08)	NS	NS	NS
AT1-PROX	-0.39 (0.12)	<i>0.28</i> (0.18)	NS	<i>-0.32</i> (0.17)	NS	-0.85 (0.06)	-0.34 (0.17)	0.64 (0.10)	NS
AT3-PROX	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.57 (0.23)	NS	0.32 (0.13)	0.70 (0.12)	0.52 (0.18)
AT1-VIGIL	0.40 (0.17)	NS	0.46 (0.21)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	-0.58 (0.06)
AT2-VIGIL	0.79 (0.16)	NS	NS	NS	0.44 (0.14)	NS	NS	0.25 (0.09)	-0.15 (0.08)
AT3-VIGIL	NS	NS	NS	NS	<i>0.40</i> (0.24)	0.36 (0.17)	-0.57 (0.15)	NS	-0.59 (0.12)

584

585 LS1: approach of the shepherd to the lambing site; LS2: handling and moving of
 586 lamb(s) by the shepherd from the lambing site; AT1/2/3: arena test phase 1/2/3;
 587 HBLEAT: high bleats; LBLEAT: low bleats; FLIGHT: flight distance; THREAT:
 588 aggressive reaction; MBS: maternal behavior score; CONTACT: time for contact with
 589 litter; LOCOM: Locomotion; PROX: Proximity; VIGIL: vigilance. NS: non-
 590 significant. ¹Genetic correlations in italics are non-significant but indicate a strong
 591 tendency.

592