Design of novel CV-N variants: Modulation of binding dynamics through distal mutations

I. Can Kazan[1,2] ¶, Prerna Sharma[1] ¶, Andrey Bobkov[3], Raimund Fromme[1], Giovanna Ghirlanda[1],

S. Banu Ozkan[2] *

[1]School of Molecular Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ

[2]Center for Biological Physics and Department of Physics, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ

[3]Sanford Burnham Prebys Medical Discovery Institute, La Jolla, CA

*Corresponding authors

Email: Giovanna.Ghirlanda@asu.edu

Banu.Ozkan@asu.edu

¶These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

We develop a computational approach to identify distal residues that allosterically

modulate the dynamics of binding sites by combining dynamic coupling with statistical analysis

of co-evolution. Putative mutants of these predicted allosteric sites are subjected to Adaptive BP-

Dock docking tool for binding analysis. Here, we apply this method to a small lectin, Cyanovirin-

N (CV-N), that selectively binds to dimannose. Our computational method points out mutations

on I34, that is 16Å away from binding site can modulate binding. Experimental characterization

of I34 mutants confirms that I34Y increases affinity towards dimannose, while I34K completely

abolish binding. The increased affinity is not due to changes in the binding region, which are

conserved in the crystal structure. However, ITC analysis reveals an opposite contribution of $T\Delta S$

(negative in WT, and positive in I34Y) and suggests that modulation of dynamics (i.e., dynamic

allostery) is responsible for the change in binding affinity. Our results point to a novel approach to

identify and substitute distal sites, guiding the mutational landscape in glycan-binding proteins to

improve binding affinity.

Introduction

Naturally occurring proteins exhibit a fine balance between protein stability and biological function. Protein sequence underpins any possible protein function, yet deciphering this encoded information is still a challenge (Salinas and Ranganathan, 2018). Evolutionarily related proteins display co-variation at specific sites, pointing to the importance of interactions among these residues in governing 3-D structure and function. Sequence-based prediction methods involving multiple sequence alignment (MSA) to map co-evolved positions through information theory based approaches usually identify the residue pairs that have direct interactions (i.e. in contact in 3-D structure), yet mask allosteric coupling between residues far from each other (long range interactions) or phylogenetic effects (Anishchenko et al., 2017; Jones et al., 2012; Kamisetty et al., 2013). As a result, it is hard to identify important sites distal from functional sites (binding or active sites that modulate function). However, directed evolution studies have shown that these long range interactions between distal residues and binding/active site play a role in function, and substitutions on these distal sites are crucial to optimize leads in computational protein design (Acar et al., 2020; Barrientos et al., 2006; Campbell et al., 2016, 2018; Campitelli et al., 2020a; Keeffe et al., 2011; Otten et al., 2018; Risso et al., 2018; Romero and Arnold, 2009; Tokuriki et al., 2012; Yuan et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2006).

To overcome this challenge in protein design, here we combine co-evolution analyses through MSA with protein dynamics using Dynamic Coupling Index (DCI) analysis to identify distal positions potentially involved in modulating binding interactions (Campitelli et al., 2018, 2020a; Larrimore et al., 2017). We hypothesize that mutations at these distal sites can modulate binding affinity by altering the conformational dynamics of the binding pocket. Thus, after identifying an allosteric site, we first computationally screened how mutations modulate binding affinity using our in-house flexible docking method Adaptive BP-dock and then validated our predictions experimentally (Bolia and Ozkan, 2016).

The model protein chosen for characterizing allosteric modulation of binding mediated by long range interactions with co-evolved sites is Cyanovirin-N (CV-N). CV-N is a small (11 kDa) natural lectin isolated from cyanobacterium *Nostoc ellipsosporum* and has been studied

extensively as a model to investigate protein interactions with glycans (Barrientos et al., 2003;

Botos and Wlodawer, 2005, 2003; Mori and Boyd, 2001; O'Keefe et al., 2003). Most members of

the CV-N homology family contain two sequential repeats of about 50 residues. While residues in

the core region are highly conserved, residues involved in dimannose binding are not highly

conserved resulting in differences in specificity and selectivity of the binding pockets (Koharudin

et al., 2008; Koharudin and Gronenborn, 2013; Patsalo et al., 2011; Percudani et al., 2005; Qi et

al., 2009). Most homologs characterized so far show high conservation of the structural binding

units, but they lose the specificity for dimannose that is a hallmark of CV-N recognition, and bind

to oligomannose and other glycans at much higher micromolar concentrations (Koharudin et al.,

2009, 2008; Matei et al., 2016; Woodrum et al., 2013).

CV-N comprises two quasi-symmetric domains, A and B, spanning residues 1-38/90-101

and residues 39-89 respectively. The two domains are connected to each other by a short helical

linker. Despite almost having identical structures, the domains show relatively low sequence

homology (28% sequence identity, and 52% similarity). Functionally, they both bind dimannose,

yet the affinity is quite different, with domain B having tighter binding affinity (K_d= 15.3 µM),

and domain A showing weak affinity (K_d = 400 µM) (Balzarini, 2007; Bolmstedt et al., 2001; Li

et al., 2015). To simplify our analysis we used a designed CV-N variant, P51G-m4, that contains

a single high-affinity dimannose-binding site (domain B), folds exclusively as a monomer in

physiological conditions, and is more stable to thermal denaturation than wild type (Fromme et

al., 2008, 2007).

Application of our approach on P51G-m4 identified position I34, which is 16 Å away from

the binding pocket, as highly coupled and co-evolved with the binding residues of domain B. Based

on the co-variation with binding pocket residues, three variants of I34 (K, L, Y) were selected for

further analyses. The mutants were modeled by GROMACS Molecular Dynamics (MD)

simulations and subjected to docking using Adaptive BP dock. The docking results uncovered that

each variant acts differently to binding of dimannose. Experimental biophysical characterization

of the mutants confirmed that (i) I34Y increases the binding affinity, (ii) I34L is neutral and

consistent with the template, and (iii) I34K abolishes binding affinity towards dimannose. The

crystal structure of I34Y with and without dimannose shows high conservation of the overall fold

and particularly its binding motif compared to P51G-m4, despite the enhancement in binding

affinity. Conformational dynamics analysis by MD revealed that substitution on I34 modulates

equilibrium distribution of the conformations of the binding pocket towards open pocket. In

addition, dynamic flexibility index (DFI) analysis shows that I34Y mutant results in ridification

of binding residues T57, E41 and N42, in turns decreasing the entropy cost of binding and leading

to the favorable binding affinity. A favorable entropy term in binding by I34Y variant is supported

by ITC studies. Our findings indicate that it is possible to modulate ligand binding through

mutation at a distal location, based on long distance dynamic coupling with binding sites, and

present a novel computational approach to identify those sites.

Results and Discussion

Combining long-range dynamic coupling analysis with co-evolution allows to identify distal sites that contribute to binding affinity.

The binding pocket of domain B of CV-N has been subjected to intense scrutiny to glean

the origin of its binding specificity for dimannose (Bewley, 2001; Bolia et al., 2014b; Botos and

Wlodawer, 2003; Li et al., 2015; Vorontsov and Miyashita, 2009). Previous mutational studies on

the binding pocket residues have shown their importance in modulating interaction with

dimannose along with a role in modulating water-mediated interactions (Barrientos et al., 2006;

Bolia et al., 2014b; Chang and Bewley, 2002; Matei et al., 2008). So far all substitutions of the

binding residues led to decreased binding affinity for dimannose on domain B (Bolia et al., 2014b;

Fujimoto and Green, 2012; Kelley et al., 2002; Matei et al., 2011; Ramadugu et al., 2014).

Furthermore, evolutionary analyses reassures the majority of the binding site residues are

conserved in CV-N glycan interactions, suggesting that it is harder to enhance affinity through

mutations of these conserved binding sites. (Koharudin et al., 2008; Percudani et al., 2005). Here,

we take a completely different approach and aim to identify positions that are not directly

interacting with glycans but can potentially impact glycan binding through modulating the protein

ensemble in equilibrium. These positions could be far away yet can modulate the binding by

altering the conformational dynamics of the binding pocket due to long-distance communication

through fluctuations arising anisotropic interaction within the 3-D network of interactions (i.e., dynamic allosteric communications) (Acar et al., 2020; Campbell et al., 2016; Gunasekaran et al., 2004; Lu and Liang, 2009; Wodak et al., 2019). We call positions that exhibit dynamic coupling with the binding sites and mediate long-distance communication dynamic allosteric residue coupling (DARC) spots. Our protein dynamics analyses on different protein families, particularly on ancestral resurrected proteins have revealed that many proteins harbor DARC spots and that substitutions on the DARC spots play a critical role in modulating the function for adaptation to new environments (Kumar et al., 2015b; Modi and Ozkan, 2018; Ose et al., 2020).

To seek DARC spots in the CV-N scaffold we first applied our Dynamic Flexibility Index (DFI) and Dynamic coupling Index (DCI) analyses. DFI score measures the resilience of a position by computing the total fluctuation response of a position when each position in the protein is perturbed with a random unit force and is related to the flexibility/rigidity of a given position (see methods). Our earlier work has shown that rigid positions contribute most to the function (Gerek and Ozkan, 2011; Kumar et al., 2015b; Larrimore et al., 2017). Thus, we searched for distal rigid positions as putative DARC spots. The DFI profile for CV-N (Fig 1A) shows that the region around residue I34 is rigid (low score) implicating this distal location in transferring allosteric communication and controlling the dynamics of the binding site, over a 16Å distance.

Fig 1 A) The DFI profile mapped onto CV-N structure within a color spectrum of red white blue where red presents positions with high DFI, (very flexibile sites) , and blue presents positions with low DFI values (rigid sites). Residue I34 shows low DFI score, suggesting its critical role in mediating the collective motion and its importance in function. B) DCI profile projected on CV-N structure in a color-coded spectrum sites exhibiting high DCI are green. Residue I34 is found as highly dynamically coupled to binding site residues. The color-code maps from dynamics metrics suggest I34 can potentially be an allosteric modulator for binding where substitutions can alter glycan interactions.

To further analyze potential impact of position I34 on binding, we applied the DCI metric
to capture its long-distance dynamic coupling with the binding residues. DCI metric shows the
fluctuation response of a position of interest when a functional group (i.e., binding sites) is
perturbed by unit forces relative to its fluctuation response to a perturbation to any other positions
in the protein. In other words, it measures how much a residue of interest is dynamically coupled
to another position, particularly functionally important positions (Campitelli et al., 2018;
Larrimore et al., 2017). We found that residue I34 is highly coupled to binding site residues of
domain B of CV-N (Fig 1B), suggesting that substitutions at this position could modulate binding

dynamics as observed in other systems (Campitelli et al., 2020a; Risso et al., 2018). To further test
this hypothesis, we applied co-evolutionary analyses to estimate evolutionary coupling between
residue I34 and binding site residues (Hopf et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2015; Simonetti et al., 2013)
(See methods). We found that I34 is co-evolved with five binding site positions (Fig. S1).

Substitutions at a distal site yield to change in binding affinity from enhancing to abolishing
glycan binding

Next, we selected amino acid variants on this position based on the amino-acid frequencies
observed using MSA of CV-N homolog sequences. These new mutants were then modeled on the
coordinates of P51G-m4 CV-N and subjected to Molecular Dynamics simulations (Abraham et
al., 2015; Spoel et al., 2005). At the end of the simulations, the conformations are clustered by
their root-mean-square deviation (RMSD), and the most frequently sampled conformation is
selected for docking analysis using our in house algorithm Adaptive BP-Dock (Bolia and Ozkan,
2016), which incorporates both ligand and receptor flexibility into computational methods to
accurately sample binding induced conformations during docking. These features are particularly
important when docking highly flexible ligands such as glycans, and we previously used Adaptive
BP-Dock to analyze and predict binding to dimannose in a series of CV-N binding site mutants
(Bolia et al., 2014b; Li et al., 2015; Woodrum et al., 2013). Here, we apply Adaptive BP-Dock to
experimentally characterized wild-type CV-N, and its variants: P51G-m4, mutDB (a mutant in
which binding by domain B has been obliterated) for benchmarking, and then compare their
binding score energies to those of I34 variants. Adaptive BP-Dock correctly assessed binding of
benchmark proteins and predicted that substitutions on I34 modulate binding affinity in a wide
range: I34Y variant leads to an increase in binding affinity (beneficial), I34K decreases the binding
affinity (deleterious), and I34L yields no change (neutral). It is interesting to observe that a distal
site can modulate the binding with a wide range of outcome based on amino-acid substitutions.
This finding has also been observed for allosterically regulated enzymes such as LacI where
different amino-acid substitutions on non-conserved sites lead to gradual changes in function,
acting like a rheostatic switch to modulate function through conformational dynamics (Campitelli
et al., 2020b).

Table 1. The predicted binding affinities of domain B and comparison with experimental ITC data for P51G-m4 and its I34 variants. Docking results shows I34Y mutant has high binding towards dimannose improving on its P51G-m4 template binding affinity.

Protein	Predicted Binding Score (kcal/mol)	ITC dimannose K_d (µM)	ITC dimannose ΔH (kcal/mol)	ITC dimannose $T\Delta S$ (kcal/mol) (T=298K)	ITC dimannose ΔG (kcal/mol
Wild Type	-7.08	16 ± 1	-12.5 ± 0.3	-6.0 ± 0.1	
mutDB	-5.97	No-binding			
P51G-m4	-6.62	117 ± 3	-12.3 ± 0.3	-7.0 ± 0.3	-5.3 ± 0.3
P51G-m4-I34K	-5.85	No-binding	No-binding	No-binding	No-binding
P51G-m4-I34L	-6.19	148 ± 2	-9.6 ± 0.1	-4.4 ± 0.1	-5.2 ± 0.1
P51G-m4-I34Y	-6.75	64 ± 5	-4.35 ± 0.1	1.32 ± 0.2	-5.67 ± 0.2

The computational predictions were validated by experimental characterization of the mutant proteins, prepared as described in Methods.

We assessed the folding and thermal stability of the mutants by circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy. The Far-UV CD spectra of the mutants showed that all the mutants are well folded and adopt a fold similar to the parent protein, characterized by a single negative band centered at 216 nm. Thermal denaturation was used to measure apparent melting temperature (T_m) values. We found that P51G-m4 and I34L are equally stable, with apparent T_m of 58 and 57.8 respectively. In contrast, the I34Y and I34K mutants were less thermostable than P51G-m4 as shown by apparent T_m values of 54.7 and 47 °C respectively. Substituting a hydrophobic residue with a basic aliphatic amino acid (lysine) has a large destabilizing effect, while aromatic and polar tyrosine is better tolerated. The trend for thermostability is P51G-m4 ~ I34L > I34Y > I34K (Fig S2).

Chemical denaturation experiments were used to extract thermodynamic values, after ensuring complete equilibration at each concentration of guanidinium hydrochloride by incubating the samples for 72-hour (Patsalo et al., 2011). The results align with the thermal denaturation results: P51G-m4 is the most stable to denaturant, followed by I34L, I34Y and I34K (Fig. S3). The

data were analyzed as described in methods to extract ΔG_{H2O} values and C_m values of for P51G-m4, I34L, I34Y and I34K of 3.0, 2.94, 2.91 and 2.38 kcal/mol and of 1.45, 1.39, 1.13 and 0.68 M respectively (Table 2).

Table 2. The ΔG_{H2O} and C_m values for CV-N mutants obtained from chemical denaturation experiments

Mutant	ΔG_{H2O} (Kcal/mol)	C_m (M)
P51G-m4	3.01 $\pm$ 0.047	1.46 $\pm$ 0.019
I34L	2.95 $\pm$ 0.077	1.39 $\pm$ 0.009
I34Y	2.91 $\pm$ 0.157	1.13 $\pm$ 0.017
I34K	2.40 $\pm$ 0.124	0.68 $\pm$ 0.015

Next, we evaluated the impact of the mutations on the function. The binding affinities of the mutants for dimannose were assessed by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) (Fig S4), obtaining K_d values listed in Table 1. We found that I34Y binds dimannose with tightest affinity of all the mutants tested and showed a two-fold improvement over P51G-m4, with K_d of 64 µM as compared to 117 µM. I34L showed a slight decrease in binding with a K_d of 148 µM. No binding was observed for I34K. Thermodynamic values extracted from ITC experiments (Table 1) also show that the change in binding is related to changes in entropy, particularly for I34Y. These data support the hypothesis that I34 modulates the conformational dynamics of the pocket.

To glean more information on the effect of the mutations, we determined the X-ray structure of the I34Y mutant with and without dimannose and compared it to the template protein P51G-m4 by superimposing the structures. The fold is highly conserved (Fig 2) as shown by root mean squared distance (RMSD) of 0.16 and 0.20 Å with bound and unbound I34Y, respectively, and tyrosine is well tolerated at position I34. The binding pocket region is also structurally conserved compared to P51G-m4. Analysis of the polar contacts with dimannose compared between P51G-m4 and I34Y (Fig 2B) shows that both proteins make an identical number of hydrogen bonds (11) with the ligand, indicating a conserved binding pose for dimannose. These observations suggest that the increase in binding affinity of I34Y towards dimannose might be mediated by equilibrium dynamics, which are not captured by the crystal structure. This hypothesis

225 is supported by the changes in entropy compensation measured experimentally (ITC) in dimannose

226 binding by P51G-m4 (negative T∆S) and I34Y (positive T∆S).

Fig 2 A) The crystal structures of I34Y (bound in magenta and unbound in cyan) and its template protein P51G-m4 (green) are superimposed. B) Overlay of bound structures of I34Y (magenta) and P51G-m4 (grey) (RMSD 0.15 Å); dashed lines depict polar interactions with dimannose.

To gather atomic level detail on how the substitutions on I34 dynamically modulate the

binding affinity, we performed two microsecond molecular dynamics simulations for each variant

and P51G-m4 to obtain equilibrium dynamics (See Methods for details of the simulations). Our

earlier work showed that residues N53 and N42 play a key role in regulating the binding pocket

accessibility to the glycans: A hydrogen bond between the amide hydrogen of N42 and carbonyl

oxygen of N53 forms a closed pocket, hindering glycan accessibility, whereas the loss of this

hydrogen bond leads to an open pocket (Li et al., 2015). Comparison of open and closed

conformations based on this hydrogen bond shows that I34Y variant samples the open binding

pocket more often than P51G-m4 (Fig S5).

Another compelling evidence differentiating I34 variants from P51G-m4 is the change in
their binding pocket volumes. The MD simulations were analyzed by POVME pocket volume
calculation tool (Wagner et al., 2017). The calculated pocket volumes for I34Y, I34K and P51G-
m4 were converted into frequencies to obtain probability distribution of the pocket volumes (Fig
3A), revealing that I34Y variant samples a more compact pocket volume compared to P51G-m4.
If the pocket is too small or too large dimannose cannot maximize its interaction with the protein,
and a compact conformation enables dimannose to easily make the necessary hydrogen bond
interactions with the protein. This optimum pocket volume sampled by I34Y may also explain the
different binding energetics observed by ITC, in which a positive entropy change upon binding
compensates for the loss in enthalpy compared to P51G-m4. Pocket volume analysis reveals a
larger value for I34K compared to P51G-m4, suggesting that this mutant cannot accommodate the
necessary interactions with the dimannose resulting in loss of binding. We applied the same pocket
volume calculation to the X-ray structures of P51G-m4 and I34Y variant, and we found volumes
of 141Å^3 and 114Å^3 for P51G-m4 and I34Y, respectively in the unbound forms (Fig 3B). These
volumes from structures correlates well with the mean volumes from MD simulation trajectories,
hinting that the variants modulate the conformational dynamics of binding pocket. Overall, in
agreement with ITC analysis, the results show a shift in native state ensemble upon allosteric
mutations, modifying the glycan-CV-N interactions. While our conformational dynamics analysis
suggest that the shift of the native ensemble towards a smaller pocket volume upon I34Y mutation
can explain the decrease in the entropic cost of binding, observed as positive entropy change in
ITC, the drastic decrease in enthalpic component in ITC fit is further investigated by analyzing
binding energetics of the docked poses obtained through performing dimannose docking on 2000
different conformations sampled accordingly from the binding pocket volume distributions. This
analysis shows that the small volume restrict accessibility to the side-chain conformations of
binding residue R76 in I34Y variant yielding different hydrogen bond patterns with the dimannose
(Fig S6), suggesting a loss in enthalpic contribution.

Fig 3 A) Probability distribution of the pocket volume analyses obtained from MD simulation trajectories. Comparison between different variants presents that I34Y stays in an optimum volume more than others favoring a suitable pocket for binding. P51G-m4 and I34L variant sample similar pocket volumes. but I34K variant has a larger pocket volume compared to others. B) Pocket volume comparison of the domain B of solved structures for P51G-m4 (purple colored structure on right) and I34Y variant (green colored structure on left).

Substitutions of I34 modulates conformational ensemble leading to change in glycan binding affinity

270   We and others have shown that nature adapts to a new environment or changes the function 271 of a protein by modulating the native state ensemble through mutations of different positions while 272 keeping the 3-D structure conserved (Campitelli et al., 2020a; Kuriyan and Eisenberg, 2007; Li et 273 al., 2015; Liu and Nussinov, 2017; Modi and Ozkan, 2018; Risso et al., 2018; Tripathi et al., 2015; 274 Woodrum et al., 2013). Furthermore, the shift in native state ensemble is reflected in equilibrium 275 dynamics and the flexibility profiles obtained by DFI capture this shift. DFI profiles that show the 276 flexibility/rigidity of each position withing the 3-D structure correlate well with the changes in 277 function throughout evolution. We observed a similar pattern of conservation of structure yet

change in function in our designed CV-N I34 variants, thus we further analyzed the DFI profiles

of I34 variants. We clustered the DFI profiles of I34 mutants and P51G-m4 using principal

component analyses (PCA) and compared with a 2-D map of these mutants in which melting

temperature and K_d are used as reaction coordinates (Fig 4). The 2-D map reveals I34L, P51G-m4,

and I34Y clustered together, particularly I34L, P51G-m4, is the closest in this 2-D map while I34K

is on the other corner of the map based on stability and binding affinity (Fig 4A). Interestingly, the

dendrogram constructed based on the DFI similarities of these proteins using PCA analysis

captures biophysical properties (Fig 4B). The clustered DFI profiles put P51G-m4 and I34L variant

under the same branch, suggesting their dynamics are very similar; thus, function associated with

these dynamics should also be similar. I34Y is also under the same main cluster with the other two

proteins that also exhibit dimannose affinity, yet it is in a different branch. This presents that I34Y

exhibits slightly different DFI profile suggesting that slight modification in its DFI profile may be

associated with enhanced binding affinity. On the other hand, I34K is under a separate branch,

showing that its dynamics is completely different than others. Therefore, the DFI clustering mirrors

the clusters observed in the functional 2-D map, implying a correlation between changes in

dynamics and changes in fitness. This is in agreement with our previous studies, where

substitutions on DARC spots modulates flexibility profiles to adapt to a new environment

(Campitelli et al., 2020b; Kumar et al., 2015b).

Fig 4 A) K_d and Melting temperature of P51G-m4 and its variants plotted together B) PCA clustering on the first two principal components of the DFI profiles of P51G-m4 and its variants as a dendrogram.

As clustering of mutants using their DFI profiles aligns with their biophysical characterization, we further investigated the change in DFI profiles of the binding sites with respect to the P51G-m4 for each mutant (Fig 5A). This analysis reveals that change in DFI values of the binding site position T57 exhibits distinct variation among I34 mutants. Position T57 in the case of deleterious mutant (abolishing binding) I34K, exhibits higher flexibility, suggesting a higher entropic penalty for binding interactions. The flexibility profile of I34L variant in position T57 is similar to that of P51G-m4. Accordingly, they show similar binding affinities. In contrast, T57 becomes much more rigid for I34Y mutant, suggesting that a decrease in the entropic cost can contribute to the binding affinity enhancement of this mutant. In short, the change in flexibility of the position T57 correlates well with the change in binding affinities of these different mutants. This is indeed not a surprising observation, since our previous study of single point mutations of the binding pocket residues have shown that T57 provides one of the key side chain interactions with dimannose.

We also analyzed the crystal structures of I34Y and P51G-m4 and compared the hydrogen bond interactions of T57 and its neighboring residues. The closest neighbors of T57; positions I55, E56, and C58 conserved their hydrogen bond interactions with their surrounding residues between P51G-m4 and I34Y. On the other hand, T57 is observed to make an extra hydrogen bond

319 interaction in I34Y compared to P51G-m4 (Fig 5B), suggesting that enhancement in hydrogen

320 bond networking of T57 in I34Y leads to rigidification of this position in equilibrium dynamics.

Fig 5 A) Change in DFI of I34K, I34L, and I34Y relative to P51G-m4 are projected on structure. Residues E41, N42, and T57 rigidifies on I34Y compared to P51G-m4. B) Hydrogen bonding interactions of residues I55, E56, T57, and C58 are shown for P51G-m4 and I34Y variant. The rigidification on location T57 can be understood by its neighboring interactions. Compared to P51G-m4, the I34Y variant makes an extra hydrogen bond making it a more rigid residue. This rigidity is reflected on the binding as T57 makes direct interaction with dimannose.

323   Taken together, these analyses are in agreement with experimental observation of

324 modulation of entropy of binding to alter affinity where mutation on I34 distally regulates the

325 binding pocket dynamics particularly the position T57, leading to a change in binding energies.

Conclusion

Multiple evidence point to the importance of mutations on sites distal from functional sites in modulating function during evolution, (Acar et al., 2020; Campbell et al., 2016, 2016; Campitelli et al., 2020a; Gunasekaran et al., 2004; Lu and Liang, 2009; Otten et al., 2018; Wodak et al., 2019) yet predicting which sites are involved through computational analysis is challenging. Indeed, many of these sites are identified only after rounds of directed evolution in protein design (Barrientos et al., 2006; Keeffe et al., 2011; Romero and Arnold, 2009; Yuan et al., 2005). Here, we showed that it is possible to identify these distal sites and fine-tune the binding interactions by integrating long range dynamic coupling with coevolution principles. Coupling DCI approach which selectively identify distal positions that are much more sensitive to the fluctuations of functional sites with evolutionary inference of mutational landscape allowed us to identify mutants that may modify function. We first computationally screened impact of these mutants via Adaptive -BP dock and distinguished beneficial variants from those deleterious. Specifically, we observed that substitutions on these distal sites altered equilibrium dynamics, while conserving the 3-D fold and the binding motif, as also highlighted as change in change in entropic contribution in ITC binding analysis.

Our new approach that couples evolutionary inferences with long-range dynamic communications within the 3-D network of interactions paves the way to incorporating distal mutants into protein design.

Methods

Adaptive BP-Dock

Adaptive backbone perturbation docking, Adaptive BP-Dock in short, allows us to model the interaction between CV-N and glycans *in silico* (Bolia and Ozkan, 2016). Adaptive BP-dock combines the complex simulation of backbone flexibility of a protein into Rosetta's ligand docking application (Davis and Baker, 2009). The common restriction in docking is the implementation of

flexibility of receptor and ligand (Davis et al., 2009; Davis and Baker, 2009; DeLuca et al., 2015;
Meiler and Baker, 2006). Rosetta included the flexibility of ligand in their monte-carlo sampling
approach but lacking full receptor flexibility. This high order challenge is overcome by utilizing
Perturbation Response Scanning (PRS) to compute backbone changes during docking (Atilgan and
Atilgan, 2009; Bolia et al., 2014b, 2014a; Ikeguchi et al., 2005). This procedure also allows the
modeling of transition from an unbound state to a bound state (Bolia and Ozkan, 2016). The
computational cost of sampling is reduced by using a coarse-grained approach employing Elastic
Network Model (ENM) leading to an efficient way of computing backbone perturbations,
mimicking the ligand interacting with receptor (Atilgan et al., 2001, 2010; Atilgan and Atilgan,
2009).

We employed Adaptive BP-Dock in modeling glycan CV-N interactions starting from an
unbound conformation of CV-N. The perturbed pose of the protein is calculated using PRS. The
structure is then minimized, and the side chains are added at this step. The glycan is docked to the
minimized structure using RosettaLigand algorithm. Rosetta samples bound conformations using
a knowledge based potential function and calculates bound pose energies. The lowest energy
docked pose is selected and feed back to perturbation step, and the same procedure is followed
iteratively until a convergence is reached. At the end of each iteration the lowest energy docked
pose is taken and binding score is calculated using an empirical scoring function X-score. X-score
has shown to provide higher correlations with experimental results (Wang et al., 2002). The flow
of the algorithm is shown in Fig 6.

Adaptive BP-Dock Docking Scheme

Fig 6 The flow of Adaptive BP-Dock. Starting from an unbound structure the backbone atoms are perturbed using PRS and perturbed pose is fed into minimization. The minimized conformation is docked with the glycan using RosettaLigand docking approach. The lowest scored docked pose is selected and used for next iteration step until convergence is established. For every lowest energy docked pose from an iteration a new binding score is reevaluated using X-score empirical scoring function (Wang et al., 2002).

Adaptive BP-Docks iterative algorithm ensures the sampling does not stuck in a local
minimum and reaches a global minimum. The challenge of unbound/bound modeling is solved
using the iterative approach as the conformations are led towards a bound pose with the help of
PRS.

Molecular Dynamics (MD)

Gromacs simulation is conducted for the mutants (I34K, I34L, I34Y) and P51G-m4 CV-
N. For each simulation the all-atom system is parametrized with CHARMM36 force field and

explicit water model TIP3P. The solvation box is set to be minimum 16Å from protein edge. The
system is then neutralized by potassium ions to sustain electroneutrality and minimized with
steepest descent for 10000 steps. A short-restrained equilibrium is conducted in the constant
number of particles, pressure, and temperature ensemble (NPT) for 5 ns using the Berendsen
method at 300K temperature and 1 bar pressure. NPT production trajectories were performed with
Nose-Hoover and Parrinello-Rahman temperature and pressure coupling methods for 2μs at 300K
and 1 bar. For all cases periodic boundary conditions and particle-mesh Ewald (PME) with
interaction cutoff of 12Å is employed with Gromacs version 2018.1.

Dynamic Flexibility Index (DFI)

DFI is residue position specific metric that can measures the resilience of a given positions to the
force perturbations. It is the fluctuation response of a residue relative to the gross fluctuation
response of the protein (Kumar et al., 2015b; Larrimore et al., 2017). DFI calculates residue
response due to a perturbation by utilizing covariance matrices.

407
$$[\Delta \mathbf{R}]_{3Nx1} = [\mathbf{H}]^{-1}_{3Nx3N}[\mathbf{F}]_{3Nx1}$$

$$DFI_i = \frac{\sum_{j=N}^{N} |\Delta R^j|_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} |\Delta R^j|_i}$$

408
409

410   Residue response, $\Delta \boldsymbol{R}$, is calculated using Linear Response Theory (LRT) by applying
411 force, $\boldsymbol{F}$, in multiple directions to mimic isotropic fluctuations. Hessian matrix, $\boldsymbol{H}$, contains second
412 derivatives of potentials. The inverse of Hessian matrix, $\boldsymbol{H^{-1}}$, contains residue covariances, and
413 interpreted as a covariance matrix. The covariance matrices can be gathered from MD simulations,
414 and also by using Elastic Network Model (ENM) of a protein. In this study, MD covariance
415 matrices have been utilized to incorporate residue interactions accurately.

417   Residues with low DFI score (below 0.2) are considered as hinge points. These points are
communication hubs in this 3-D interaction network. Due to high coordination number, the

residues exhibiting low DFI values are crucial as information gateways. While they do not exhibit
high residue fluctuation to the perturbations, they quickly transfer the perturbation information to
other parts, thus they are in control of collective motion of the protein. A change in low DFI
positions (i.e., a mutation) will lead to a transformation in the communication grid and majority of
disease-associated (i.e. function altering mutations) are often observed in hinge, low DFI sites
(Butler et al., 2015; Gerek et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2015a). The substitution on these site usually
alters catalytic activity or binding interaction (i.e., glycans) by modulating equilibrium dynamics
(Campitelli et al., 2020a).

Dynamic Coupling Index (DCI)

Dynamic Coupling Index (DCI) exploits the same framework of DFI. DCI utilizes the
residue response fluctuation upon random force perturbation at a specific residue positions to
investigate residues that exhibit long-range coupling to each other. In DCI approach, a unit force
is applied on functional residues (i.e., binding site residues) one by one and responses of all other
residues are calculated.

$$DCI_i = \frac{\sum_{j=N_{Functional}}^{N_{Functional}} |\Delta R^j|_i / N_{Functional}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} |\Delta R^j|_i / N}$$

With DCI scheme the residues with high response (high DCI score) indicates high long
range dynamic coupling. Residues with high DCI values with binding sites play a critical role in
intercommunication of a protein with the binding residues. These coupled residues are of utmost
importance in how forces propagate through amino acid chain network on a binding event. Some
of the coupled residues are far from the binding site but still encompass modulation capabilities
over binding pocket.

Informing dynamics from coevolution

Coevolutionary data paves the way to assessing 3-D structural contacts by utilizing
available sequence information (Hopf et al., 2018; Marks et al., 2012; Morcos et al., 2014).

Sequence information is more abundant compared to resolved protein structures. Exploiting the

sequence information, primary contacts comparable to realistic structural contacts can be

calculated and a contact matrix is formed. The accuracy of these contact maps is proved to be

valuable in protein folding studies (Kryshtafovych et al., 2019; Morcos et al., 2011; Wang et al.,

2016). Evolutionary coupling (EC) analysis is used to collect information on how much two

residues in a protein sequence is in close proximity in 3-D structure. EC scores could be calculated

by many different statistical approaches. In this study EC information is gathered by using

RaptorX, EVcouplings, and MISTIC webservers (Hopf et al., 2019; Simonetti et al., 2013; Wang

et al., 2017). While the limitation of these methods emerges from sequence homolog availability

of a protein in multiple sequence alignment (MSA), RaptorX uses a deep neural network

leveraging joint family approach, combining multiple ortholog protein families sharing similar

function and phylogeny, to infer possible contacts. This method is proven to produce high accuracy

in contact prediction compared to others (Wang et al., 2017). However, for a given MSA

containing enough homolog sequences other methods are also strong in predicting spatial contacts.

EVcouplings approach uses Direct Information (DI) to calculate coevolutionary couplings. DI

metric is a modified mutual information (MI) score considering consistency between pairwise

probabilities and single amino acid frequencies (de Juan et al., 2013; Morcos et al., 2011).

Nonetheless, MI, a global approach compared to local DI metric, is accurate in capturing true

contacts, while entangling indirect contacts from direct contacts. MISTIC web server has taken

advantage of MI to calculate coevolutionary couplings (Dunn et al., 2008; Gouveia-Oliveira and

Pedersen, 2007; Simonetti et al., 2013). In their MI method they introduced a correction term to

MI to surpass the low statistics gathered with an MSA containing limited number of sequences.

This approach is very useful in cases where certain homologs are rare and MSA of these homologs

have multiple gaps in their alignments. All of these methods are employed in this study to achieve

high accuracy predictions in finding residue couplings.

Mutant proteins cloning, expression and purification

The genes for mutants (I34Y, I34K, and I34L) were generated by applying mutagenic

primers to P51G-m4-gene sequence and amplifying by PCR. The constructs were subsequently

cloned in pET26B vector between NdeI and XhoI sites and transformed in BL21(DE3) for

expression and purification. The proteins were expressed from a 10 ml starter culture in LB broth

overnight at 37 °C, inoculated into 1 L LB medium. The culture was induced with 1 mM isopropyl

thiogalactoside (IPTG) when OD reached 0.6 and grown for another 6-8 hours. The cells were

harvested by centrifugation, lysed in 6M Guanidine hydrochloride at pH 8.0, and sonicated for 10

minutes. The supernatant recovered after centrifugation was used to purify proteins with GE

HisTrap HP column (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences, Piscataway, NJ) and a Bio-Rad EconoPump

(Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA) under denaturing conditions. In brief, the proteins were loaded on the

column in Gu-HCl buffer, which was buffer exchanged by 8M Urea buffer. The nonspecific

proteins were washed out by 4M urea and 20mM imidazole buffer, pH 8.0 and eluted with 2M

Urea and 200mM imidazole, pH 8.0 buffer before putting it for overnight dialysis against 10 mM

Tris pH 8.0 and 100 mM NaCl buffer. The buffer was changed once during the night. The refolded

protein was concentrated and re-purified to isolate the monomeric species by size exclusion

chromatography using Sephadex 75 10/300 column on Agilent's Infinity 1260 system. The gel

filtered protein was finally used for all the experiments.

CD spectroscopy and T-melts

In CV-N family proteins, thermodynamic parameters like free energy of unfolding,

enthalpy and entropy cannot be extracted by thermal denaturation because the transition from

folded to unfolded state is non-reversible (Patsalo et al., 2011), therefore melting temperatures are

used. Far-UV CD spectra were recorded on a Jasco J-815 spectropolarimeter equipped with a

thermostatic cell holder, PTC 424S. Spectra were measured from 250 to 200 nm, using a scanning

speed of 50 nm/min and a data pitch of 1.0 nm at 25 °C. Samples concentration was approximately

15 μM in 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0 and 100 mM NaCl. For thermal denaturation experiments, the

melting profile was monitored at 202 nm from 25 °C to 90 °C. The data points were plotted and

fitted in Origin8.5 software to get apparent Tm.

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)

ITC was performed at the Sanford-Burnham Medical Research Institute Protein Analysis

Facility using ITC200 calorimeter from Microcal (Northampton, MA) at 23 ºC. 2.0 μl aliquots of

solution containing between 3mM and 10 mM Man2 were injected into the cell containing between

0.057, and 0.11 mM protein. 19 of 2.0 μl injections were made. The experiments were performed

in 10mM Tris, 100mM NaCl, pH 8.0 buffer. ITC data were analyzed using Origin software

provided by Microcal.

Chemical denaturation experiments

Chemical denaturation experiments were done by monitoring the shift in the intrinsic

tryptophan fluorescence on Cary Eclipse instrument (Varian). 10 μM of protein samples were

incubated with increasing concentrations of Guanidine hydrochloride in the range of 0-6 M in 50

mM Tris pH8.0 buffer for 72 hours at 25 °C. The emission spectra for the same were recorded by

keeping the excitation wavelength at 295nm and bandwidth of 1 nm. A ratio of fluorescence at

330 and 360 nm ($I_{330/360}$) was plotted at respective Gu-HCl concentrations and the data points were

fit to following sigmoidal equation to obtain C_m.

524
$$y = A2 + \frac{A1 - A2}{1 + e^{(x-x0)/dx}}$$

Where, A1and A2 are the initial and final 330/360 ratios and x0 is the concentration of Gu-HCl,

where y= (A1 +A2) /2, or the point where 50 % of the population is unfolded. It is also denoted as

C_m.

The denaturation curve was used to calculate the free energy of the protein in the absence of

denaturant (ΔG_{H2O}).

Fraction unfolded (f_U) was calculated using the following formula:

531
$$f_U = (y_F - y_{obs})/(y_F - y_U)$$

532   where f_U, is the fraction unfolded, y_F is the value when there is no denaturant, y_{obs} is the value at

533   each position and y_U is the value for unfolded protein.

534   Since $f_{U+}\, f_F =1$, The equilibrium constant, K, the free energy change can be calculated using

535
$$K = f_U / f_F$$

536
$$K = f_U / 1 - f_F$$

537
$$\Delta G = -RTlnK$$

Where R is the gas constant whose value is 1.987 cal/mol.K and T is the temperature of incubation,

which was 25 °C.

The value of ΔG is linear over a limited range of Gu-HCl. The linear fit over that range was

extrapolated to obtain ΔG_{H2O}.

Crystallization and structure determination

I34Y was purified as discussed above and the monomeric gel filtered protein was

concentrated to 8mg/ml. We got the crystals in 2M ammonium sulphate and 5 % (v/v) 2-propanol

after screening it in Index HT screen from Hampton Research. The protein crystals were

reproduced using same condition in hanging drop method. For protein crystals with dimannose,

the crystals were incubated in 1.2 fold molar excess of dimannose. Single needle-like crystals were

picked up and cryo-preserved in 25 % glycerol before freezing them for data collection at

Synchrotron ALS, beamline 8.2.1. Single crystal diffraction was measured at wavelength of 0.999

A with ADSC quantum 315 r detector. The data were evaluated to resolution of 1.25 A. The data

acquired was indexed using XDS and scaled by the aimless package from CCP4i program suite.

The structural coordinates and phase were determined by molecular replacement using 2RDK PDB

code. The structure of I34Y of CV-N is deposited under PDB accession code 6X7H. The structure

was further refined in Coot.

Acknowledgements

S.B.O. acknowledges support from the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundations and National

Science Foundation (Award: 1715591 and 1901709). G.G. and S.B.O. is grateful for the grant

1R21CA207832-01.

Competing interests

No competing interests declared.

References

Abraham MJ, Murtola T, Schulz R, Páll S, Smith JC, Hess B, Lindahl E. 2015. GROMACS: High
performance molecular simulations through multi-level parallelism from laptops to
supercomputers. *SoftwareX* **1–2**:19–25. doi:10.1016/j.softx.2015.06.001

Acar B, Rose J, Aykac Fas B, Ben-Tal N, Lewinson O, Haliloglu T. 2020. Distinct Allosteric
Networks Underlie Mechanistic Speciation of ABC Transporters. *Structure* **28**:651-
663.e5. doi:10.1016/j.str.2020.03.014

Anishchenko I, Ovchinnikov S, Kamisetty H, Baker D. 2017. Origins of coevolution between
residues distant in protein 3D structures. *PNAS* **114**:9122–9127.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1702664114

Atilgan AR, Durell SR, Jernigan RL, Demirel MC, Keskin O, Bahar I. 2001. Anisotropy of
Fluctuation Dynamics of Proteins with an Elastic Network Model. *Biophysical Journal*
80:505–515. doi:10.1016/S0006-3495(01)76033-X

Atilgan C, Atilgan AR. 2009. Perturbation-Response Scanning Reveals Ligand Entry-Exit
Mechanisms of Ferric Binding Protein. *PLoS Comput Biol* **5**.
doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000544

Atilgan C, Gerek ZN, Ozkan SB, Atilgan AR. 2010. Manipulation of Conformational Change in
Proteins by Single-Residue Perturbations. *Biophysical Journal* **99**:933–943.
doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2010.05.020

Balzarini J. 2007. Targeting the glycans of glycoproteins: a novel paradigm for antiviral therapy.
Nature Reviews Microbiology **5**:583–597. doi:10.1038/nrmicro1707

Barrientos LG, Matei E, Lasala F, Delgado R, Gronenborn AM. 2006. Dissecting carbohydrate–
Cyanovirin-N binding by structure-guided mutagenesis: functional implications for viral
entry inhibition. *Protein Eng Des Sel* **19**:525–535. doi:10.1093/protein/gzl040

Barrientos LG, O'Keefe BR, Bray M, Sanchez A, Gronenborn AM, Boyd MR. 2003. Cyanovirin-N
binds to the viral surface glycoprotein, GP1,2 and inhibits infectivity of Ebola virus.
Antiviral Research **58**:47–56. doi:10.1016/S0166-3542(02)00183-3

Bewley CA. 2001. Solution Structure of a Cyanovirin-N:Manα1-2Manα Complex: Structural Basis
for High-Affinity Carbohydrate-Mediated Binding to gp120. *Structure* **9**:931–940.
doi:10.1016/S0969-2126(01)00653-0

Bolia A, Gerek ZN, Ozkan SB. 2014a. BP-Dock: A Flexible Docking Scheme for Exploring Protein–
Ligand Interactions Based on Unbound Structures. *J Chem Inf Model* **54**:913–925.
doi:10.1021/ci4004927

Bolia A, Ozkan SB. 2016. Adaptive BP-Dock: An Induced Fit Docking Approach for Full Receptor
Flexibility. *J Chem Inf Model* **56**:734–746. doi:10.1021/acs.jcim.5b00587

Bolia A, Woodrum BW, Cereda A, Ruben MA, Wang X, Ozkan SB, Ghirlanda G. 2014b. A Flexible
Docking Scheme Efficiently Captures the Energetics of Glycan-Cyanovirin Binding.
Biophysical Journal **106**:1142–1151. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2014.01.040

Bolmstedt AJ, O'Keefe BR, Shenoy SR, McMahon JB, Boyd MR. 2001. Cyanovirin-N Defines a
New Class of Antiviral Agent Targeting N-Linked, High-Mannose Glycans in an
Oligosaccharide-Specific Manner. *Mol Pharmacol* **59**:949–954.
doi:10.1124/mol.59.5.949

Botos I, Wlodawer A. 2005. Proteins that bind high-mannose sugars of the HIV envelope.
Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology, Structure-guided design of AIDs Antivirals
88:233–282. doi:10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2004.05.001

Botos I, Wlodawer A. 2003. Cyanovirin-N: a sugar-binding antiviral protein with a new twist.
CMLS, Cell Mol Life Sci **60**:277–287. doi:10.1007/s000180300023

Butler BM, Gerek ZN, Kumar S, Ozkan SB. 2015. Conformational dynamics of nonsynonymous
variants at protein interfaces reveals disease association. *Proteins: Structure, Function,*
and Bioinformatics **83**:428–435. doi:10.1002/prot.24748

Campbell E, Kaltenbach M, Correy GJ, Carr PD, Porebski BT, Livingstone EK, Afriat-Jurnou L,
Buckle AM, Weik M, Hollfelder F, Tokuriki N, Jackson CJ. 2016. The role of protein
dynamics in the evolution of new enzyme function. *Nature Chemical Biology* **12**:944–
950. doi:10.1038/nchembio.2175

Campbell EC, Correy GJ, Mabbitt PD, Buckle AM, Tokuriki N, Jackson CJ. 2018. Laboratory
evolution of protein conformational dynamics. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*,
Carbohydrates • Sequences and topology **50**:49–57. doi:10.1016/j.sbi.2017.09.005

Campitelli P, Guo J, Zhou H-X, Ozkan SB. 2018. Hinge-Shift Mechanism Modulates Allosteric
Regulations in Human Pin1. *J Phys Chem B* **122**:5623–5629.
doi:10.1021/acs.jpcb.7b11971

Campitelli P, Modi T, Kumar S, Ozkan SB. 2020a. The Role of Conformational Dynamics and
Allostery in Modulating Protein Evolution. *Annual Review of Biophysics* **49**:267–288.
doi:10.1146/annurev-biophys-052118-115517

Campitelli P, Ozkan SB, Swint-Kruse L. 2020b. Asymmetry in Dynamic Allosteric Residue
Coupling (DARC) Interactions Captures Evolutionary Landscape. *Biophysical Journal*
118:52a. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2019.11.464

Chang LC, Bewley CA. 2002. Potent Inhibition of HIV-1 Fusion by Cyanovirin-N Requires Only a
Single High Affinity Carbohydrate Binding Site: Characterization of Low Affinity
Carbohydrate Binding Site Knockout Mutants. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **318**:1–8.
doi:10.1016/S0022-2836(02)00045-1

Davis IW, Baker D. 2009. RosettaLigand Docking with Full Ligand and Receptor Flexibility.
Journal of Molecular Biology **385**:381–392. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2008.11.010

Davis IW, Raha K, Head MS, Baker D. 2009. Blind docking of pharmaceutically relevant
compounds using RosettaLigand. *Protein Science* **18**:1998–2002. doi:10.1002/pro.192

de Juan D, Pazos F, Valencia A. 2013. Emerging methods in protein co-evolution. *Nature*
Reviews Genetics **14**:249–261. doi:10.1038/nrg3414

DeLuca S, Khar K, Meiler J. 2015. Fully Flexible Docking of Medium Sized Ligand Libraries with
RosettaLigand. *PLoS One* **10**. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0132508

Dunn SD, Wahl LM, Gloor GB. 2008. Mutual information without the influence of phylogeny or
entropy dramatically improves residue contact prediction. *Bioinformatics* **24**:333–340.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btm604

Fromme R, Katiliene Z, Fromme P, Ghirlanda G. 2008. Conformational gating of dimannose
binding to the antiviral protein cyanovirin revealed from the crystal structure at 1.35 Å
resolution. *Protein Science* **17**:939–944. doi:10.1110/ps.083472808

Fromme R, Katiliene Z, Giomarelli B, Bogani F, Mc Mahon J, Mori T, Fromme P, Ghirlanda G.
2007. A Monovalent Mutant of Cyanovirin-N Provides Insight into the Role of Multiple
Interactions with gp120 for Antiviral Activity,. *Biochemistry* **46**:9199–9207.
doi:10.1021/bi700666m

Fujimoto YK, Green DF. 2012. Carbohydrate Recognition by the Antiviral Lectin Cyanovirin-N. *J*
Am Chem Soc **134**:19639–19651. doi:10.1021/ja305755b

Gerek ZN, Kumar S, Ozkan SB. 2013. Structural dynamics flexibility informs function and evolution at a proteome scale. *Evolutionary Applications* **6**:423–433. doi:10.1111/eva.12052

Gerek ZN, Ozkan SB. 2011. Change in Allosteric Network Affects Binding Affinities of PDZ Domains: Analysis through Perturbation Response Scanning. *PLOS Computational Biology* **7**:e1002154. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002154

Gouveia-Oliveira R, Pedersen AG. 2007. Finding coevolving amino acid residues using row and column weighting of mutual information and multi-dimensional amino acid representation. *Algorithms for Molecular Biology* **2**:12. doi:10.1186/1748-7188-2-12

Gunasekaran K, Ma B, Nussinov R. 2004. Is allostery an intrinsic property of all dynamic proteins? *Proteins: Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics* **57**:433–443.

Hopf TA, Green AG, Schubert B, Mersmann S, Schärfe CPI, Ingraham JB, Toth-Petroczy A, Brock K, Riesselman AJ, Palmedo P, Kang C, Sheridan R, Draizen EJ, Dallago C, Sander C, Marks DS. 2019. The EVcouplings Python framework for coevolutionary sequence analysis. *Bioinformatics* **35**:1582–1584. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty862

Hopf TA, Schärfe CPI, Rodrigues JPGLM, Green AG, Kohlbacher O, Sander C, Bonvin AMJJ, Marks DS. 2018. Sequence co-evolution gives 3D contacts and structures of protein complexes. *eLife* **3**. doi:10.7554/eLife.03430

Ikeguchi M, Ueno J, Sato M, Kidera A. 2005. Protein Structural Change Upon Ligand Binding: Linear Response Theory. *Phys Rev Lett* **94**:078102. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.078102

Jones DT, Buchan DWA, Cozzetto D, Pontil M. 2012. PSICOV: precise structural contact prediction using sparse inverse covariance estimation on large multiple sequence alignments. *Bioinformatics* **28**:184–190. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr638

Kamisetty H, Ovchinnikov S, Baker D. 2013. Assessing the utility of coevolution-based residue–residue contact predictions in a sequence- and structure-rich era. *PNAS* **110**:15674–15679. doi:10.1073/pnas.1314045110

Keeffe JR, Gnanapragasam PNP, Gillespie SK, Yong J, Bjorkman PJ, Mayo SL. 2011. Designed oligomers of cyanovirin-N show enhanced HIV neutralization. *PNAS* **108**:14079–14084. doi:10.1073/pnas.1108777108

Kelley BS, Chang LC, Bewley CA. 2002. Engineering an Obligate Domain-Swapped Dimer of Cyanovirin-N with Enhanced Anti-HIV Activity. *J Am Chem Soc* **124**:3210–3211. doi:10.1021/ja025537m

Koharudin LMI, Furey W, Gronenborn AM. 2009. A designed chimeric cyanovirin-N homolog lectin: Structure and molecular basis of sucrose binding. *Proteins: Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics* **77**:904–915. doi:10.1002/prot.22514

Koharudin LMI, Gronenborn AM. 2013. Sweet entanglements—protein: Glycan interactions in two HIV-inactivating lectin families. *Biopolymers* **99**:196–202. doi:10.1002/bip.22106

Koharudin LMI, Viscomi AR, Jee J-G, Ottonello S, Gronenborn AM. 2008. The Evolutionarily Conserved Family of Cyanovirin-N Homologs: Structures and Carbohydrate Specificity. *Structure* **16**:570–584. doi:10.1016/j.str.2008.01.015

Kryshtafovych A, Schwede T, Topf M, Fidelis K, Moult J. 2019. Critical assessment of methods of protein structure prediction (CASP)—Round XIII. *Proteins: Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics* **87**:1011–1020. doi:10.1002/prot.25823

Kumar A, Butler BM, Kumar S, Ozkan SB. 2015a. Integration of structural dynamics and
molecular evolution via protein interaction networks: a new era in genomic medicine.
Current Opinion in Structural Biology, Catalysis and regulation • Protein-protein
interactions **35**:135–142. doi:10.1016/j.sbi.2015.11.002
Kumar A, Glembo TJ, Ozkan SB. 2015b. The Role of Conformational Dynamics and Allostery in
the Disease Development of Human Ferritin. *Biophysical Journal* **109**:1273–1281.
doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2015.06.060
Kuriyan J, Eisenberg D. 2007. The origin of protein interactions and allostery in colocalization.
Nature **450**:983–990. doi:10.1038/nature06524
Larrimore KE, Kazan IC, Kannan L, Kendle RP, Jamal T, Barcus M, Bolia A, Brimijoin S, Zhan C-G,
Ozkan SB. 2017. Plant-expressed cocaine hydrolase variants of butyrylcholinesterase
exhibit altered allosteric effects of cholinesterase activity and increased inhibitor
sensitivity. *Scientific reports* **7**:10419.
Li Z, Bolia A, Maxwell JD, Bobkov AA, Ghirlanda G, Ozkan SB, Margulis CJ. 2015. A Rigid Hinge
Region Is Necessary for High-Affinity Binding of Dimannose to Cyanovirin and Associated
Constructs. *Biochemistry* **54**:6951–6960. doi:10.1021/acs.biochem.5b00635
Liu J, Nussinov R. 2017. Energetic redistribution in allostery to execute protein function. *Proc*
Natl Acad Sci U S A **114**:7480–7482. doi:10.1073/pnas.1709071114
Lu H-M, Liang J. 2009. Perturbation-based Markovian transmission model for probing allosteric
dynamics of large macromolecular assembling: a study of GroEL-GroES. *PLoS Comput*
Biol **5**:e1000526. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000526
Ma J, Wang S, Wang Z, Xu J. 2015. Protein contact prediction by integrating joint evolutionary
coupling analysis and supervised learning. *Bioinformatics* **31**:3506–3513.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv472
Marks DS, Hopf TA, Sander C. 2012. Protein structure prediction from sequence variation.
Nature Biotechnology **30**:1072–1080. doi:10.1038/nbt.2419
Matei E, Basu R, Furey W, Shi J, Calnan C, Aiken C, Gronenborn AM. 2016. Structure and Glycan
Binding of a New Cyanovirin-N Homolog. *J Biol Chem* **291**:18967–18976.
doi:10.1074/jbc.M116.740415
Matei E, Furey W, Gronenborn AM. 2008. Solution and Crystal Structures of a Sugar Binding Site
Mutant of Cyanovirin-N: No Evidence of Domain Swapping. *Structure* **16**:1183–1194.
doi:10.1016/j.str.2008.05.011
Matei E, Louis JM, Jee J, Gronenborn AM. 2011. NMR solution structure of a cyanovirin
homolog from wheat head blight fungus. *Proteins: Structure, Function, and*
Bioinformatics **79**:1538–1549. doi:10.1002/prot.22981
Meiler J, Baker D. 2006. ROSETTALIGAND: Protein–small molecule docking with full side-chain
flexibility. *Proteins: Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics* **65**:538–548.
doi:10.1002/prot.21086
Modi T, Ozkan SB. 2018. Mutations Utilize Dynamic Allostery to Confer Resistance in TEM-1 β-
lactamase. *Int J Mol Sci* **19**. doi:10.3390/ijms19123808
Morcos F, Pagnani A, Lunt B, Bertolino A, Marks DS, Sander C, Zecchina R, Onuchic JN, Hwa T,
Weigt M. 2011. Direct-coupling analysis of residue coevolution captures native contacts
across many protein families. *PNAS* **108**:E1293–E1301. doi:10.1073/pnas.1111471108

Morcos F, Schafer NP, Cheng RR, Onuchic JN, Wolynes PG. 2014. Coevolutionary information,
protein folding landscapes, and the thermodynamics of natural selection. *PNAS*
111:12408–12413. doi:10.1073/pnas.1413575111

Mori T, Boyd MR. 2001. Cyanovirin-N, a Potent Human Immunodeficiency Virus-Inactivating
Protein, Blocks both CD4-Dependent and CD4-Independent Binding of Soluble gp120
(sgp120) to Target Cells, Inhibits sCD4-Induced Binding of sgp120 to Cell-Associated
CXCR4, and Dissociates Bound sgp120 from Target Cells. *Antimicrobial Agents and*
Chemotherapy **45**:664–672. doi:10.1128/AAC.45.3.664-672.2001

O'Keefe BR, Smee DF, Turpin JA, Saucedo CJ, Gustafson KR, Mori T, Blakeslee D, Buckheit R,
Boyd MR. 2003. Potent Anti-Influenza Activity of Cyanovirin-N and Interactions with
Viral Hemagglutinin. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **47**:2518–2525.
doi:10.1128/AAC.47.8.2518-2525.2003

Ose N, Butler BM, Kumar A, Ozkan SB, Kumar S. 2020. Dynamic Allosteric Residue Coupling
Reveals Disease Mechanism for Gaucher Disease and NSNVS Across the Proteome.
Biophysical Journal **118**:53a. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2019.11.472

Otten R, Liu L, Kenner LR, Clarkson MW, Mavor D, Tawfik DS, Kern D, Fraser JS. 2018. Rescue of
conformational dynamics in enzyme catalysis by directed evolution. *Nature*
Communications **9**:1314. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03562-9

Patsalo V, Raleigh DP, Green DF. 2011. Rational and Computational Design of Stabilized Variants
of Cyanovirin-N That Retain Affinity and Specificity for Glycan Ligands. *Biochemistry*
50:10698–10712. doi:10.1021/bi201411c

Percudani R, Montanini B, Ottonello S. 2005. The anti-HIV cyanovirin-N domain is evolutionarily
conserved and occurs as a protein module in eukaryotes. *Proteins: Structure, Function,*
and Bioinformatics **60**:670–678. doi:10.1002/prot.20543

Qi X, Yang Y, Su Y, Wang T. 2009. Molecular Cloning and Sequence Analysis of Cyanovirin-N
Homology Gene in Ceratopteris thalictroides. *amfj* **99**:78–92. doi:10.1640/0002-8444-
99.2.78

Ramadugu SK, Li Z, Kashyap HK, Margulis CJ. 2014. The Role of Glu41 in the Binding of
Dimannose to P51G-m4-CVN. *Biochemistry* **53**:1477–1484. doi:10.1021/bi4014159

Risso VA, Sanchez-Ruiz JM, Ozkan SB. 2018. Biotechnological and protein-engineering
implications of ancestral protein resurrection. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*,
Engineering and design: New applications • Membranes **51**:106–115.
doi:10.1016/j.sbi.2018.02.007

Romero PA, Arnold FH. 2009. Exploring protein fitness landscapes by directed evolution. *Nature*
Reviews Molecular Cell Biology **10**:866–876. doi:10.1038/nrm2805

Salinas VH, Ranganathan R. 2018. Coevolution-based inference of amino acid interactions
underlying protein function. *eLife* **7**:e34300. doi:10.7554/eLife.34300

Simonetti FL, Teppa E, Chernomoretz A, Nielsen M, Marino Buslje C. 2013. MISTIC: mutual
information server to infer coevolution. *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**:W8–W14.
doi:10.1093/nar/gkt427

Spoel DVD, Lindahl E, Hess B, Groenhof G, Mark AE, Berendsen HJC. 2005. GROMACS: Fast,
flexible, and free. *Journal of Computational Chemistry* **26**:1701–1718.
doi:10.1002/jcc.20291

Tokuriki N, Jackson CJ, Afriat-Jurnou L, Wyganowski KT, Tang R, Tawfik DS. 2012. Diminishing
787   returns and tradeoffs constrain the laboratory optimization of an enzyme. *Nature*
788   *Communications* **3**:1257. doi:10.1038/ncomms2246
789   Tripathi S, Waxham MN, Cheung MS, Liu Y. 2015. Lessons in Protein Design from Combined
790   Evolution and Conformational Dynamics. *Scientific Reports* **5**:14259.
791   doi:10.1038/srep14259
792   Vorontsov II, Miyashita O. 2009. Solution and Crystal Molecular Dynamics Simulation Study of
793   m4-Cyanovirin-N Mutants Complexed with Di-Mannose. *Biophysical Journal* **97**:2532–
794   2540. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2009.08.011
795   Wagner JR, Sørensen J, Hensley N, Wong C, Zhu C, Perison T, Amaro RE. 2017. POVME 3.0:
796   Software for Mapping Binding Pocket Flexibility. *J Chem Theory Comput* **13**:4584–4592.
797   doi:10.1021/acs.jctc.7b00500
798   Wang R, Lai L, Wang S. 2002. Further development and validation of empirical scoring functions
799   for structure-based binding affinity prediction. *J Comput Aided Mol Des* **16**:11–26.
800   doi:10.1023/A:1016357811882
801   Wang S, Li W, Zhang R, Liu S, Xu J. 2016. CoinFold: a web server for protein contact prediction
802   and contact-assisted protein folding. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**:W361–W366.
803   doi:10.1093/nar/gkw307
804   Wang S, Sun S, Li Z, Zhang R, Xu J. 2017. Accurate De Novo Prediction of Protein Contact Map by
805   Ultra-Deep Learning Model. *PLOS Computational Biology* **13**:e1005324.
806   doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005324
807   Wodak SJ, Paci E, Dokholyan NV, Berezovsky IN, Horovitz A, Li J, Hilser VJ, Bahar I, Karanicolas J,
808   Stock G, Hamm P, Stote RH, Eberhardt J, Chebaro Y, Dejaegere A, Cecchini M, Changeux
809   J-P, Bolhuis PG, Vreede J, Faccioli P, Orioli S, Ravasio R, Yan L, Brito C, Wyart M, Gkeka P,
810   Rivalta I, Palermo G, McCammon JA, Panecka-Hofman J, Wade RC, Di Pizio A, Niv MY,
811   Nussinov R, Tsai C-J, Jang H, Padhorny D, Kozakov D, McLeish T. 2019. Allostery in Its
812   Many Disguises: From Theory to Applications. *Structure* **27**:566–578.
813   doi:10.1016/j.str.2019.01.003
814   Woodrum BW, Maxwell JD, Bolia A, Ozkan SB, Ghirlanda G. 2013. The antiviral lectin cyanovirin-
815   N: probing multivalency and glycan recognition through experimental and
816   computational approaches. *Biochem Soc Trans* **41**:1170–1176.
817   doi:10.1042/BST20130154
818   Yuan L, Kurek I, English J, Keenan R. 2005. Laboratory-Directed Protein Evolution. *Microbiol Mol*
819   *Biol Rev* **69**:373–392. doi:10.1128/MMBR.69.3.373-392.2005
820   Zhang X, Gureasko J, Shen K, Cole PA, Kuriyan J. 2006. An allosteric mechanism for activation of
821   the kinase domain of epidermal growth factor receptor. *Cell* **125**:1137–1149.
822   doi:10.1016/j.cell.2006.05.013

Supplementary Figures

Fig S1 Box plot of RaptorX, Evcoupling, and MISTIC metrics for binding site residues.

Box plot show moderate to high coupling of binding site residues to residue position I34.

Fig S2 Fits for thermal melts of the CV-N mutants

Fig S3 A) Chemical denaturation curve showing I_{330}/I_{360} ratio as a function of Gu-HCl concentration, b) ΔG_{H2O} versus Gu-HCl concentration plot for CV-N mutants.

Fig S4 Binding isotherms of CV-N mutants upon titration with dimannose: A) I34Y and B) P51G-m4

Fig S5A) Structural difference of open vs closed conformation based on the hydrogen bond distance between residue N42 and N53 B) Hydrogen bond distance between residue N42 and N53 from crystal structures of P51G-m4, and P51G-m4-I34Y C) Frequencies of hydrogen bond distance between residue N42 and N53 from GROMACS production runs showing I34Y variant sampling more open conformation compared to P51G-m4 confirming the hypothesis I34Y binding is higher.

Fig S6 We sampled 2000 different conformations from MD simulations for P51G-m4 CV-N and I34Y mutant and performed dimannose docking to obtained docked poses and then analyzed hydrogen bond patterns of each docked pose for the mutant and P51G-m4. A) Hydrogen bonds (representing the peak of the distribution on panel B) and their distances are shown between dimannose and residue R76 for P51G-m4 (blue) and I34Y (orange) B) H-bond distance distribution between dimannose and residue R76.