Phylogenetic and population structure analyses uncover pervasive misclassification and help assessing the biosafety of *Pseudomonas putida* for biotechnological applications

Hemanoel Passarelli-Araujo[1,2,*], Sarah H. Jacobs[2], Glória R. Franco[1], Thiago M. Venancio[2,*]

[1]Departamento de Bioquímica e Imunologia, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

[2]Laboratório de Química e Função de Proteínas e Peptídeos, Centro de Biociências e Biotecnologia, Universidade Estadual do Norte Fluminense Darcy Ribeiro, Campos dos Goytacazes, RJ, Brazil.

*Corresponding authors

Short running title: Population structure of *Pseudomonas putida*

Av. Alberto Lamego 2000, P5 sala 217; Parque Califórnia

Campos dos Goytacazes, RJ, Brazil

CEP: 28013-602

HPA: hemanuel.passarelli@gmail.com; TMV: thiago.venancio@gmail.com

Summary

Pseudomonads inhabit a variety of environments. *Pseudomonas putida* is a saprophytic soil bacterium, known to bioremediate toxic compounds and to promote plant growth. Despite the ability to occupy different niches, the population structure of *P. putida* remains unclear. Here, we report its population structure for the first time. We retrieved 11,025 *Pseudomonas* genomes and used *P. putida* KT2440 as a type strain to define *P. putida*. We found that 90 of the 138 deposited *P. putida* genomes were misclassified, including some reference genomes. The population structure of *P. putida* is composed of at least 7 clonal complexes (CCs). Clinical isolates are not restricted to a specific CC and acquired resistance genes are present at low frequency in plasmids from clinical isolates. Virulence profiles support the potential of CC7 members to outcompete other plant or human pathogens through a type VI secretion system. *P. putida* lacks key genes for indole-3-acetic acid production, indicating an incomplete or non-functional pathway. Finally, we found that horizontal gene transfer had an important role in shaping the ability of *P. putida* to bioremediate aromatic compounds such as toluene. Our results provide the grounds to understand *P. putida* genetic diversity and its safety for environmental applications.

Keywords: *Pseudomonas alloputida*, *Pseudomonads*, cgMLST

INTRODUCTION

The genus *Pseudomonas* is diverse and composed of Gram-negative bacteria (Stanier et al., 1966; Palleroni, 2010). Since the first description of *Pseudomonas*, in 1894, the taxonomy of the genus has evolved in parallel with new methodologies to discriminate species (Palleroni, 2010). The taxonomy was inconsistent until the division of *Pseudomonas* into rRNA homology groups (Stanier et al., 1966). However, because of the low-resolution of 16S rRNA gene for intra-specific classification, other housekeeping genes were used to improve taxonomic resolution (Ait Tayeb et al., 2005; Gomila et al., 2015).

The *Pseudomonas putida* group includes other species such as *P. monteilii*, *P. fulva*, *P. plecoglossicida*, and *P. putida sensu stricto* (*P. alloputida*). *P. putida* belongs to a wide group of fluorescent species from the *Pseudomonas* genus. It is ubiquitous in soil and water and several strains have been isolated from various environments, such as polluted soils and plant roots (Volke et al., 2020). *P. putida* is well known to perform many functions such as plant growth promotion, bioremediation, and protection from plant pathogens (Timmis, 2002).

Because of its high metabolic diversity, applications of *P. putida* have been proposed for environmental, industrial, and agricultural uses (Volke et al., 2020). *P. putida* KT2440 was the first strain of this species to have its genome sequenced, and it is considered a model organism to study plant-microbe interactions (Nelson et al., 2002; Belda et al., 2016). Therefore, KT2440 can be thought as a type strain for *P. putida*. KT2440 was isolated from garden soil in Japan and it is certified as a host-vector system safety level 1 (Kampers et al., 2019). This strain has become a workhorse for industrial biotechnology because of its high metabolic versatility, genetic

accessibility, and stress-resistance (Nikel and de Lorenzo, 2018). In addition to the biotechnological application of *P. putida*, some clinical isolates have been described as reservoirs of resistance genes (Fernandez et al., 2015; Molina et al., 2016), raising concerns about its biosafety.

Although *P. putida* exhibit enormous potential for bioremediation, biocontrol, and plant growth promotion, its population structure remains poorly understood. In this work, we report the population structure of *P. putida sensu stricto* (or *P. alloputida*, as recently proposed by Keshavarz-Tohid et al. (2019)), which was used to estimate the diversity and distribution of bioremediation and plant growth promotion genes. Further, we also used the inferred population structure to better understand the prevalence of antibiotic resistance and virulence genes and to assess, in detail, the potential biosafety concerns regarding this species.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phylogeny and classification of *Pseudomonas putida* (*P. alloputida*)

We obtained 11,025 *Pseudomonas* genomes available in RefSeq in June 2020, out of which 10,457 had completeness greater than 90% according to BUSCO (Seppey et al., 2019). We computed the pairwise distances between each isolate using mashtree (Katz et al., 2019) to compute the distance tree of the genus, which is highly diverse (Figure 1). We mapped each genome deposited in the NCBI RefSeq as *P. putida* in the tree and found that the highest density of genomes falls within a monophyletic group of 439 isolates with average nucleotide identity (ANI) values between 84% and

100% (Figure S1a); this clade corresponds to the *P. putida* group (Figure 1) and

comprises other species such as *P. plecoglossicida*, *P. monteilli,* and *P. fulva*.

ANI analysis provides a raw estimate of bacterial species (Richter and Rossello-

Mora, 2009). A minimum threshold of 95% ANI has been used to attain species

membership, a value that has been empirically defined based on correlations with

DNA-DNA hybridization and 16S rRNA thresholds (Richter and Rossello-Mora, 2009;

Bobay, 2020). We used *P. putida* KT2440 as a type-strain to evaluate the ANI values

from other isolates in the *P. putida* group. The sorted distribution of ANI values from

KT2440 showed an abrupt break around 95%, supporting its effectiveness as a

threshold to delineate *P. putida* (Figure S1b). The isolate previously classified as *P.*

monteilli IOFA19 (GCA_000633915.1) had the lowest ANI value within the predicted

species (95.61%), followed by a drop to 91.19% (Figure S1b).

We conducted a network analysis to assess the species composition according to

ANI > 95% with members from the *P. putida* group. We observed a discrete number of

cohesive clusters that would correspond to the expected number of species within the

P. putida group (Figure 2). We retrieved other species such as *P. asiatica*, *P. soli*, and

P. monteilli, as well as some potentially novel species (Table S1). In this analysis, a

species was defined as a cluster with a type strain or with at least ten connected

isolates. We found clusters that most likely correspond to new species, as also noticed

elsewhere (Keshavarz-Tohid et al., 2019). Further, these values are probably

underestimated, as new genomes would increase the number of connections in the

network.

The poor classification of *P. putida* isolates is a subject of concern. Isolates that are

well known for their ability to promote plant growth (W618) (Taghavi et al., 2009), to

oxidize manganese (GB-1) (Rosson and Nealson, 1982), and to damage human

tissues (HB3267) (Fernandez et al., 2015), are actually not *P. putida* strains, as they

belong to different groups in the network. For example, HB3267 was classified as *P.*

putida because of its close phylogenetic relationship with the nicotine degrader S16

(Molina et al., 2014). HB3267, along with DLL-E4, SF1, and S11, were proposed as

members of a new species, *Pseudomonas shirazica* (Keshavarz-Tohid et al., 2019).

However, we found that these strains, along with S16, grouped with *Pseudomonas*

asiatica type strains. Importantly, both *P. shirazica* and *P. asiatica* were proposed

around the same time (Keshavarz-Tohid et al., 2019; Tohya et al., 2019) as attempts

to describe the same species. Therefore, the nomenclature of the group containing

HB3267 warrants a more careful reconciliation. Keshavarz-Tohid et al. proposed to

rename *P. putida sensu stricto* to *P. alloputida* based on the proposed type-strain Kh7

(Keshavarz-Tohid et al., 2019). Nevertheless, Kh7 is also a member from *P. putida*

sensu stricto group containing the type strain KT2440.

The precise taxonomy of the *P. putida* group still warrants a deeper analysis.

Although the strain NBRC 14164 has been proposed as a *P. putida* type strain (Ohji et

al., 2014), it belongs to a group containing 16 other genomes, named in our network

as *Pseudomonas spp1*. Moreover, the phylogenetic analysis of the *P. putida* group

also revealed the phylogenetic isolation of NBRC 14164 from the other main *P. putida*

strains (Yonezuka et al., 2017). We considered the group *Pseudomonas spp1* as a

potentially novel species and kept KT2440 as the type strain because of its pioneering

isolation, description, and genome sequencing (Nelson et al., 2002). We excluded

strains from potentially different species that were classified as *P. putida* (Table S2)

and focused on *P. putida sensu stricto* (*P. alloputida*), represented as the red group in

the network with 68 isolates (Figure 2).

Pangenome analysis

An effective way to investigate the evolution of a given population is through pangenome analysis. A pangenome is defined as the total set of genes in a given species (Tettelin et al., 2005), which is subdivided into core genes, when present in all isolates; accessory genes, when present in at least two (but not in all) isolates or; unique genes, when exclusive to an isolate. The pangenome of *P. putida* has been previously estimated with only 9 isolates (Udaondo et al., 2016). However, among those isolates, GB1, HB3267, S16, and W619 are likely from other species and were removed from our analysis (Table S2). Hence, we re-assessed the pangenome of *P. putida* using 68 isolates with well-supported taxonomic delineation.

The *P. putida* pangenome comprises 25,782 gene families, of which 3803 (14.75%) constitute the core genome (i.e. genes present at least 95% of the isolates). The core-genome is associated with intrinsic physiology and tends to decrease in size as new isolates are added. Although with a much lower number of isolates, the core-genome estimated earlier (3386 genes (Udaondo et al., 2016)) is smaller than that described here. This result corroborates the misclassification of *P. putida* strains, since the inclusion of genomes from different species would reduce the number of core genes.

Regarding the pangenome openness, the slope of the curve (α= 0.417) supports that *P. putida* has an open pangenome (Tettelin et al., 2005) (Figure S2). Our estimated α value is much lower than the maximum threshold used to define an open pangenome ($\alpha < 1$), corroborating the previous prediction (Udaondo et al., 2016). Further, this low α value implies that, if more isolates are included in the analysis, new

gene families will be detected at a high rate. The high number of gene families in the

pangenome of *P. putida* is dominated by unique and low-frequency genes. Only 6,373

genes (24.71%) are found between 5% to 95% of the isolates, while 15,606 genes

(60.53%) are found in less than 5% of the isolates, including 10,917 unique genes. The

high number of low-frequency genes could be partially attributed to fragmented

genomes. However, reference genomes such as DOT-T1E showed 267 unique genes,

far more than the average of 160.5 unique genes found across the dataset. Although

the number of low-frequency genes may be overestimated, the high prevalence of

unique genes among closely-related genomes indicates a high turnover of unstable

genes that might be adaptive under transient selective pressures in their environments.

Once determining the exact number of pan and core genomes remains intractable,

we also estimated the genomic fluidity (φ) of *P. putida*. The φ estimator is a robust

metric that represents the ratio of unique gene families to the sum of gene families in

genomes pairs (Kislyuk et al., 2011). The smaller the φ, the greater the genes shared

by a pair of randomly selected genomes. Analyzing φ instead of the core genome

proportion provides a more realistic measure of cohesiveness within a species

because low-frequency genes directly affect the pangenome size. *P. putida* has φ =

0.20 ± 0.04, indicating that random pairs of *P. putida* genomes have an average 20%

and 80% of unique and shared genes, respectively.

Population structure

Determining relationships between isolates can provide novel insights into the

metabolic diversity of a given species. The Multilocus Sequence Typing (MLST)

analysis is a technique based on single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) within a few

housekeeping genes. MLST schemes are available for several species (Jolley et al.,

2018). In an MLST analysis, each combination of SNPs defines a Sequence Type (ST)

that can be linked to form Clonal Complexes (CC) (Jolley et al., 2018). A variation of

classical MLST is the core genome MLST (cgMLST), which provides greater resolution

by using SNPs from the entire core genome (de Been et al., 2015). Here, we used

225,009 SNPs obtained from the *P. putida* core genome to reconstruct the

phylogenetic tree and the cgMLST profile.

The cgMLST tree unveiled 7 CCs (Figure 3a), out of which CC1 is the most distant

from the rest of the population, a trend that is also supported by the ANI analysis

(Figure S3). Six out of the 9 clinical isolates were found in CC4 and three in CC7. We

also checked whether the same clustering pattern could be obtained by analyzing the

presence/absence patterns of accessory genes. We estimated the Jaccard distance

for genes present between 5% - 95% of the isolates to perform a Principal Coordinate

Analysis (PCoA), which allowed us to resolve all the main groups, particularly CC1,

CC4, and CC7 (Figure 3b).

We also performed a Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components (DAPC)

(Jombart et al., 2010) to recover the genes that contribute most to separate the

population based on their presence/absence profiles (Figure S4). The top 50

discriminating genes separate CC5 and CC7 from the rest of the population, but fail to

resolve the relationships between other CCs (Figure S5). Next, we used branch

lengths from cgMLST tree as an indirect estimator of diversity within the CCs (Figure

3c). CC7 is the most clonal group, comprising 9 isolates including KT2440 and three

clinical isolates (GTC_16482, GTC_16473, and NBRC_111121).

The previously published MLST scheme for *P. putida* comprises eight housekeeping

genes (*argS, gyrB, ileS, nuoC, ppsA, recA, rpoB,* and *rpoD*) (Ogura et al., 2019). We

assigned isolates to STs and grouped those into CCs (Table S3). This analysis

revealed some incongruences with the previous reports (Ogura et al., 2019). For

example, by using allele combinations with perfect-match to predict the STs, KT2440

was detected as ST69 and not as ST58 (Ogura et al., 2019), indicating that a revision

in the public database is necessary. The predicted number of CCs was also supported

by the admixture model from STRUCTURE (Pritchard et al., 2000). This model

assumes that each isolate has ancestry from one or more *K* genetically different

sources, which we referred to as CCs. The number of CCs corresponds to the

estimated number of clusters represented by parameter *K*. Instead of using the highest

raw marginal likelihood, we followed the protocol for estimating the best *K* value

suggested by Evanno et al (2005). The *ad hoc* statistics *ΔK* indicates that, according

to our dataset, the population structure of *P. putida* is composed of at least 7 CCs

(Figure 3d).

Once data from eight *loci* may be insufficient to accurately describe the population

structure of *P. putida*, the populations identified by STRUCTURE were only considered

if they matched cgMLST results. When correlating the cgMLST tree topology with

ancestry proportion predicted for each isolate, we observed a clear delimitation of

genetic blocks for each CC (Figure 4). However, there are a few inconsistencies. For

example, isolate B4 has a greater ancestry proportion with CC2, although cgMLST

indicates its greater proximity to CC3. In addition, KCJK7916, FF4, and B6-2 were not

assigned to a CC because, although they have a higher proportion of ancestry with

CC3, they are paraphyletic to CC3 and CC4. Since CCs are assumed to be

monophyletic, these strains were designated as No Clonal Complex (NOCC). We

expect that a greater number of *P. putida* genomes from isolates from various sources

will improve the resolution of the *P. putida* population structure, including the CC

assignment to isolates described here as NOCC.

The cgMLST phylogenetic tree and the distance tree support CC1 as the basally

branching group of *P. putida* (Figure 4). CC1 comprises isolates from deep-sea

sediments from Indian Ocean, costal water from the Pacific Ocean, lotus field, and

arthropods (Table 1). The main difference of CC1 is the lack of 229 gene families in

the accessory genome, which are present in at least one isolate from all other CCs

(Table S4). Among these absent gene families, there is a genomic island with nearly

46 Kbp and harboring 38 genes. Some of those genes are involved in sugar transport,

as previously identified in KT2440 (CC7) (Wu et al., 2011), as well as genes encoding

hypothetical proteins (coordinates 3,126,465-3,172,496 in KT2440).

Resistance profiles

We evaluated the composition of antibiotic resistance genes using the CARD

database (Alcock et al., 2020). All 15 different genes in the core resistome encode

MDR efflux pumps (Table 2, Table S5). We found MDR efflux complexes such as

MexAB-OprM, MexEF-OprN, and MexJK, from the resistance-nodulation-cell division

(RND) efflux pumps family. These efflux pumps are associated with intrinsic and

acquired multidrug resistance in *P. aeruginosa* (Schweizer, 2003; Lister et al., 2009).

However, these RND efflux pumps may play an alternative role in *P. putida* by pumping

out toxic substrates such as toluene (Rojas et al., 2001). We also identified *cpxR*, which

encodes a protein that promotes MexAB-OprM expression in *P. aeruginosa* in the

absence of the MexR repressor (Tian et al., 2016), which is absent in *P. putida*. The

presence of MexAB-OprM in the core genome, under CpxR regulation, supports its

involvement with intrinsic physiology in addition to drug resistance, because this

complex can be involved in both quorum-sensing and host interaction mediation in *P.*

aeruginosa (Evans et al., 1998; Sanchez et al., 2002).

Regarding the acquired resistome, we found 45 different genes that confer

resistance by pumping out or inactivating antibiotics, as well as by interacting with the

antibiotic targets (Table S5). These genes are distributed at low-frequency (Figure 5a),

indicating that most of them are strain-specific or acquired through horizontal gene

transfer. In general, there is no clear correlation between acquired resistome and

population structure (Figure S6), although CC7 has more acquired resistance genes

than other CCs (Figure 5c, Figure S6).

Along with efflux pumps, the acquired resistome includes a range of antibiotic

inactivating enzymes genes that confer resistance to beta-lactams (e.g. *bla*$_{CARB-3}$,

bla$_{IMP-1}$, *bla*$_{OXA-2}$, *bla*$_{PDC-7}$, *bla*$_{TEM-1}$, and *bla*$_{VIM-2}$); aminoglycosides (e.g. *aac(6')-IIa*,

aadA, *aph(3'')-Ia*, and *aph(6')-Id*; chloramphenicol (*cat*) and; fosfomycin (*fosA*) (Table

S3). These genes were distributed in few strains, mostly clinically relevant (Figure S6,

Table S5); the top four strains with more acquired resistance genes were GTC_16473

(19 genes), GTC_16482 (16 genes), DZ-F23 (14 genes), and 15420352 (11 genes).

Importantly, all of these strains (except DZ-F23) are clinical.

We evaluated the presence of acquired genes in plasmids predicted with the PLSDB

database (version 2020_06_29) (Galata et al., 2019). *P. putida* GTC_16473 contained

the genes *aac(6')-IIa*, *aadA23*, and *bla*$_{CARB-3}$ located in a scaffold with high identity with

the pJR2 plasmid from *Pasteurella multocida* (NC_004772.1). We also identified

bla_{OXA-2}, *aadA22*, *aac(6')-Ia*, *aac(6')-IIc*, *aph(3'')-Ib*, *aph(6')-Id*, bla_{IMP-1}, and *sul1* genes

in plasmid-like sequences in *P. putida* GTC_16473, although they are in contigs that

have not reached the coverage thresholds to be reliably classified as plasmids,

supporting an underestimation of plasmids in *P. putida* isolates. *P. putida* XWY-1

(CC6) also contains a plasmid, pXWY-1 (NZ_CP026333.1), which harbors the

resistance genes *sul1*, *aadA2,* and *qacH*. This strain was isolated from rice fields in

China, and has environmental importance. Finding non-clinical strains harboring

plasmids with such relevant resistance genes warrants further investigation.

Our results also highlight clinical strains harboring a range of resistance genes

(Fernandez et al., 2015; Mustapha et al., 2016). However, although these strains fall

in the *P. putida* group (Figure 1), they do not meet the ANI criteria to be classified as

P. putida sensu stricto (P. alloputida). The only clinical strains that were isolated and

properly classified as *P. putida* were FDAARGOS_409 and 15420352. In addition,

other seven clinical strains included in our work were previously classified as

Pseudomonas sp. and were hitherto unrecognized as *P. putida sensu stricto* (Table 1).

Therefore, while we found clinical strains misclassified as *P. putida*, there are also

genuine *P. putida* isolates (e.g. GTC_16473) with multiple resistance genes that could

be considered outbreak candidates. In total, 9 out of 68 (13.2%) *P. putida* genomes

analyzed here belong to clinical strains.

Virulence profiles

We used the VFDB database (Liu et al., 2019) to assess the *P. putida* virulence

profiles. Although strains such as KT2440 have been approved as a host-vector safety

strain (Kampers et al., 2019), the genetic proximity with animal (*P. aeruginosa*) and

plant (*P. syringae*) pathogens reinforces the need to evaluate the biosafety of *P. putida*

species as a whole. The core virulome of *P. putida* contains genes associated with

twitching motility, siderophore production (pyoverdine), and alginate biosynthesis

(Table S6). Importantly, *P. putida* lacks key virulence genes usually found in *P.*

aeruginosa, such as those encoding exotoxin A, alkaline protease, elastase,

rhamnolipid biosynthesis components, phospholipase C, plant cell wall-degrading

enzymes, and type III secretion system. Although we have detected genes associated

with the type III secretion system in both core (HopAJ2 and HopJ1) and acquired

resistome (HopAN1), these genes were experimentally ruled out (Macho et al., 2009).

Most of the alginate regulatory and biosynthesis systems are present in the core

virulome of *P. putida* (Table S7). The overproduction of alginate plays an important

role in inducing the mucoid morphotype in *P. aeruginosa* during chronic infection

(Henry et al., 1992). However, the transcriptional regulatory gene *algM* (*mucC*)*,*

associated with genotypic switching, is absent in *P. putida*. Since the lack of AlgM

leads to the non-mucoid phenotype in *P. aeruginosa* (Nelson et al., 2002), it can

account for the non-mucoid phenotype in *P. putida* under no water stress conditions.

Although the genotypic switching is unlikely to occur in *P. putida*, alginate production

is important under water stress conditions by promoting biofilm development and

protecting from desiccation (Chang et al., 2007).

The acquired virulome comprised genes for type II and VI secretions systems,

adherence, and iron uptake (Table S6). While most isolates had a low frequency of

resistance genes, the virulence factors displayed a bimodal distribution (Figure 5b), a

pattern that has been previously observed for *Klebsiella aerogenes* (Passarelli-Araujo

et al., 2019). Genes from the acinetobactin gene cluster and HSI-I type VI secretion

system were differentially distributed across CCs (Figure 6a), although it remains

unclear whether these patterns emerged mainly from gene gain or loss. *Acinetobacter*

baumannii has acinetobactin as the major siderophore associated with iron uptake

(Song and Kim, 2020), which is synthesized through the *bauABCDE* operon. We found

this operon in *P. putida* strains within CC5, CC6, and CC7 (Figure 6a). Further, this

operon was surrounded by genes coding for proteins from chemotaxis sensory

transducer (PP_2599) and aminotransferases (PP_2588) families (Figure 6b).

Siderophore-mediated iron acquisition has been explored in *P. putida* KT2440 (Matthijs

et al., 2009) (CC7), but the role played by *bauABCDE* in this species warrants further

experimental investigation.

Present in all strains from other CCs, the type VI secretion system (T6SS) HSI-I is

absent in both CC5 and CC6 (except XWY-1) isolates (Figure 6a). In KT2440 (CC7),

HSI-I is a potent weapon against other bacteria such as phytopathogens, increasing

the competitiveness of *P. putida* (Bernal et al., 2017). The absence of T6SS virulence

genes was observed in BIRD-1 (CC5) (Bernal et al., 2017), and our work generalizes

this observation for all CC5 members. In KT2440, T6SS is crucial to kill

phytopathogens such as *Xanthomonas campestris* (Validov et al., 2007). These results

indicate that BIRD-1 and other members from CC5 are likely less efficient than KT2440

as biocontrol agents. Moreover, all clinical *P. putida* strains harbor T6SS, indicating

their potential ability to outcompete other bacteria during infections.

Plant growth-promotion and bioremediation properties

The ability of *P. putida* to promote plant growth and bioremediate toxic compounds

have been explored (Nelson et al., 2002; Roca et al., 2013; Gong et al., 2016; Nikel

and de Lorenzo, 2018). We searched for genes involved in plant growth promotion and

bioremediation through a literature search of genes that have already been described

for *Pseudomonas* species (Table S7). We found genes in the core genome such as

the pyrroloquinoline quinone-encoding operon *pqqBCDEFG,* associated with mineral

phosphate solubilization in *Serratia marcescens* (Matteoli et al., 2018) and

Pseudomonas fluorescens (Miller et al., 2010) (Table S8). Mineral solubilization has

already been reported experimentally for BIRD-1 (Roca et al., 2013) and KT2440

(Miller et al., 2010), indicating that all *P. putida* isolates are genetically equipped to

solubilize inorganic phosphate.

Another key feature that can enhance plant growth is the colonization of seeds by

P. putida (Duque et al., 2013). In KT2440, genes associated with surface adhesion

(e.g. *lapA*, *lapBCD*), flagellum biosynthesis (e.g. *flhB*, *fliF*, *fliD*, *fliC*), and virulence

regulation (e.g. *rpoN* and *gacS*) have been experimentally shown to be important for

attachment to corn seeds (Duque et al., 2013). All these genes, except *lapA* and *fliC*,

belongs to the *P. putida* core genome (Table S8). Although often described as

virulence genes, flagellum genes also play roles in the association of *P. putida* with

plants. Other plant growth-promoting genes were also found in the *P. putida* accessory

genome (Table S8), except for CC1, which lacks all putative plant growth-promoting

genes.

Many plant growth-promoting bacteria can synthesize phytohormones such as

indole-3-acetic acid. *P. putida* lacks the main genes involved in this process (e.g. *ipdC*,

iaaM, and *iaaH*), indicating an incomplete or nonfunctional pathway. Although *P. putida*

W619 (GCA_000019445.1) is considered one of the most efficient producers of IAA in

comparison with other endophytic bacteria (Taghavi et al., 2009), this strain was

excluded from our analysis (Table S2) because it was likely misclassified as *P. putida*.

In addition, *P. putida* also lacks AcdS, an enzyme that counteract ethylene stress

response, a result that has been experimentally confirmed in KT2440 (Glick et al.,

2007).

Besides the ability to promote plant growth, *P. putida* can tolerate or degrade an

array of compounds including heavy metals and hydrocarbons. We identified genes in

the core genome that allow *P. putida* to resist various heavy metals such as copper

(*cop* genes) and cobalt/zinc/cadmium (*czcABC*) (Table S9). The copper/silver

resistance operon, *cusABC*, was present in the accessory genome. Although we found

a wide range of genes associated with bioremediation, there is no clear correlation

between the population structure and presence/absence profiles of such genes.

P. putida is known for its capacity to metabolize aromatic hydrocarbons such as

toluene, benzene, and *p*-cymene (Nelson et al., 2002; Wu et al., 2011). The toluene-

degrading pathway includes the *todABCDE* operon and the *todST* regulator. The *p*-

cymene compound can be degraded by means of the *cymAaAbBCDER* or the

cmtAaAbAcAdBCDEFGHI operon (Eaton, 1997). We found a genomic island of

approximately 48kb long harboring all these genes in F1, DOT-T1E, UV4, UV4/95,

YKD221, and NBRC_111125 genomes (Figure S9). All these isolates, except

NBRC_111125, were experimentally confirmed to degrade toluene. *P. putida* F1 is

best known to grow on toluene (Wu et al., 2011); YKD221 was isolated from

contaminated industrial soil and degrades *cis*-dichloroethene (Yonezuka et al., 2016);

DOT-T1E is an isolate known to grow on different carbon sources (Daniels et al., 2010)

and; UV4 and UV4/95 conduct important industrial biotransformation of arenes,

alkenes, and phenols (Skvortsov et al., 2018). Interestingly, genes in this genomic

island presented a very similar genetic context (Figure S7), with an upstream arm-type

integrase associated with bacteriophages. We were unable to precisely define the *att*

viral sites, indicating a deterioration of the original structure of the putative

bacteriophage. Further, the lack of correspondence between population structure and

the presence of an integrase upstream the genomic island indicates that this region

was likely acquired via independent horizontal gene transfers in distinct *P. putida* CCs.

We also identified RND efflux pumps involved with solvent tolerance in both core

(TtgABC) and accessory genomes (TtgDEF and TtgGHI). TtgABC, TtgDEF, and

TtgGHI are required for DOT-T1E to efficiently tolerate toluene (Rojas et al., 2001). We

observed that TtgABC is the same protein-complex predicted as MexAB-OprM,

associated with antibiotic resistance in the core genome. This complex extrudes both

antibiotics and solvents such as toluene in *P. putida* DOT-T1E (Rojas et al., 2001),

corroborating the additional and important function to extrude antibiotics and organic

solvents in all *P. putida* isolates. TtgDEF is located in the genomic island co-occurring

with *tod* genes. This complex can expel toluene, but not antibiotics (Mosqueda and

Ramos, 2000), reinforcing the broad different molecules that can be used as substrates

for RND efflux pumps, and the need to explore what determines this specificity in *P.*

putida isolates and other bacteria.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Through a remarkable metabolic versatility, *P. putida* can thrive in a wide variety of

niches. In this work, we explored the genetic diversity of *P. putida sensu stricto* (*P.*

alloputida) and characterized its population structure for the first time. Through a large-

scale genomic analysis, we identified a major problem with *P. putida* classification,

including several reference strains that likely belong to new species, as suggested

elsewhere (Keshavarz-Tohid et al., 2019). *P. putida* has an open pangenome

dominated by low-frequency genes. The population structure of this species has at

least 7 clonal complexes that were verified by cgMLST and STRUCTURE ancestry

simulations. We found no association of clinical isolates with a specific CC. Together,

our results indicate that *P. putida* clinical isolates are mainly opportunistic and do not

pose considerable health concerns.

We analyzed genes of clinical and biotechnological interest. *P. putida* has several

RND-family efflux pumps to tolerate antibiotics and other toxic compounds such as

toluene. The low-frequency acquired resistance genes are predominant in plasmids

from a few clinical strains. The detected virulence genes allow *P. putida* to synthesize

pyoverdine, attach to seed surfaces, and kill other bacteria (including pathogens)

through a type VI secretion system. KT2440 is a member of CC7 that has the T6SS

along with an operon for acinetobactin biosynthesis. *P. putida* lacks key genes for the

production of indole-3-acetic acid. We also observed that the genes for the degradation

of some aromatic compounds, including toluene, were likely horizontally acquired. Our

results provide an opportunity for the development of biotechnological applications as

well as insights into the genomic diversity of *P. putida*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Dataset and genomic features

We recovered 11,025 genomes from the *Pseudomonas* genus in June 2020. To

assess the quality of the genomes, we used BUSCO v4.0.6 (Seppey et al., 2019) with

a threshold of 90% completeness. The KT2440 (GCA_900167985.1) was used as a

reference with mash v.2.2.2 (Ondov et al., 2016) to obtain genomes with a distance

lower than 0.05. We used mashtree (Katz et al., 2019) to generate the distance tree.

The ANI analysis was performed with pyani 0.2.10 (Pritchard et al., 2016). Network

analysis was conducted in R with the igraph package (https://igraph.org). We removed

both S12 (GCA_000287915.1) and KT2440 (GCA_000007565.2) because they were

duplicated genomes. Gene prediction in all isolates was conducted with prokka v1.12

(Seemann, 2014) to avoid bias in the identification of protein families. Plasmids were

analyzed PLSD v2020_06_29 (Galata et al., 2019).

Pangenome characterization

We inferred the *P. putida* pangenome using Roary 3.13.0 (Page et al., 2015), with

a minimum threshold of 85% identity to cluster proteins. Core genes were defined as

those present in more than 95% of the isolates. Jaccard distances were computed by

using accessory genes with prevalence between 5% and 95%. Multivariate analysis

was performed to assess gene content variations between ecotypes from *P. putida*

through DAPC analysis using the *ade4* and *adegenet* packages (Jombart et al., 2010),

retaining the 30 principal components and 3 discriminant functions. Pangenome

openness and fluidity were conducted with micropan (Snipen and Liland, 2015) with

500 and 1000 permutations, respectively.

Population structure analysis

We used *in-house* scripts to extract the genes present in all isolates, which were

aligned with MAFFT v7.467 (Katoh and Standley, 2013). SNPs were retrieved with

snp-sites v2.3.3 (Page et al., 2016) and SNP alignment was used as input to RAxML

v8 (Stamatakis, 2014) to reconstruct the phylogenetic tree using the general time-

reversible model and gamma correction. Since we used only variable sites as input,

we used ASC_GTRGAMMA to correct ascertainment bias with the Paul Lewis

correction. One thousand bootstrap replicates were generated to assess the

significance of internal nodes. We inferred the cgMLST scheme using the core genome

SNP phylogenetic tree. The phylogenetic tree was visualized with iTOL v4 (Letunic and

Bork, 2019).

We downloaded the *P. putida* MLST scheme (on June, 2020) containing 116

different STs (https://pubmlst.org/databases/). This scheme was designed for the

whole *P. putida* group, not only *P. putida* sensu stricto (Ogura et al., 2019). We used

BLASTN (Altschul et al., 1997) to determine the best-matching MLST allele to access

STs. The allelic profile associated with each ST in our dataset was used to conduct

population assignment with STRUCTURE v2.3.4 (Pritchard et al., 2000) with admixture

model. The length of Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) was 50,000, discarding

20,000 iterations as burn-in. The simulations to calculate the parameter K ranged from

2 to 20, with 20 replicates for each K to estimate confidence intervals. Instead of using

raw posterior probability to get the best K, we followed the protocol suggested by

Evanno et al. (2005). Briefly, we calculated the first and second derivatives to estimate

a ΔK of 7. Therefore, we used K = 7 to analyze predicted ancestry probabilities.

Detection of genes associated with resistance, virulence, plant growth promotion, and bioremediation

We used the Comprehensive Antimicrobial Resistance Database (CARD) database v3.0.9 (Alcock et al., 2020) to predict antibiotic resistance genes. The virulence factor database (VFDB) (Liu et al., 2019) was used to determine virulence genes. This database was downloaded on July 30 2020 and comprises 28,639 proteins associated with virulence in several pathogens. We used virulence genes previously described for the *Pseudomonas* genus. We clustered proteins based on 70% identity to retrieve a non-redundant database using uclust v1.2.22q (Edgar, 2010). We built the database with plant growth promotion and bioremediation through literature searches (Table S7). All predicted proteins were globally aligned against these databases using usearch v11.0.667 (Edgar, 2010) with 50% coverage for both query and subject and minimum 60% identity.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ; grants E-26/203.309/2016 and E-26/203.014/2018), Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES; Finance Code 001), and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico. The funding agencies had no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing.

REFERENCES

Ait Tayeb, L., Ageron, E., Grimont, F., and Grimont, P.A. (2005) Molecular phylogeny of the genus Pseudomonas based on rpoB sequences and application for the identification of isolates. *Res Microbiol* **156**: 763-773.

Alcock, B.P., Raphenya, A.R., Lau, T.T.Y., Tsang, K.K., Bouchard, M., Edalatmand, A. et al. (2020) CARD 2020: antibiotic resistome surveillance with the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. *Nucleic Acids Res* **48**: D517-D525.

Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schaffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., and Lipman, D.J. (1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res* **25**: 3389-3402.

Belda, E., van Heck, R.G., Jose Lopez-Sanchez, M., Cruveiller, S., Barbe, V., Fraser, C. et al. (2016) The revisited genome of Pseudomonas putida KT2440 enlightens its value as a robust metabolic chassis. *Environ Microbiol* **18**: 3403-3424.

Bernal, P., Allsopp, L.P., Filloux, A., and Llamas, M.A. (2017) The Pseudomonas putida T6SS is a plant warden against phytopathogens. *ISME J* **11**: 972-987.

Bobay, L.M. (2020) The Prokaryotic Species Concept and Challenges. In *The Pangenome: Diversity, Dynamics and Evolution of Genomes*. Tettelin, H., and Medini, D. (eds). Cham (CH), pp. 21-49.

Chang, W.S., van de Mortel, M., Nielsen, L., Nino de Guzman, G., Li, X., and Halverson, L.J. (2007) Alginate production by Pseudomonas putida creates a hydrated microenvironment and contributes to biofilm architecture and stress tolerance under water-limiting conditions. *J Bacteriol* **189**: 8290-8299.

Daniels, C., Godoy, P., Duque, E., Molina-Henares, M.A., de la Torre, J., Del Arco, J.M. et al. (2010) Global regulation of food supply by Pseudomonas putida DOT-T1E. *J Bacteriol* **192**: 2169-2181.

de Been, M., Pinholt, M., Top, J., Bletz, S., Mellmann, A., van Schaik, W. et al. (2015) Core Genome Multilocus Sequence Typing Scheme for High- Resolution Typing of Enterococcus faecium. *J Clin Microbiol* **53**: 3788-3797.

Duque, E., de la Torre, J., Bernal, P., Molina-Henares, M.A., Alaminos, M., Espinosa-Urgel, M. et al. (2013) Identification of reciprocal adhesion genes in pathogenic and non-pathogenic Pseudomonas. *Environ Microbiol* **15**: 36-48.

Eaton, R.W. (1997) p-Cymene catabolic pathway in Pseudomonas putida F1: cloning and characterization of DNA encoding conversion of p-cymene to p-cumate. *J Bacteriol* **179**: 3171-3180.

Edgar, R.C. (2010) Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *Bioinformatics* **26**: 2460-2461.

Evanno, G., Regnaut, S., and Goudet, J. (2005) Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Mol Ecol* **14**: 2611-2620.

Evans, K., Passador, L., Srikumar, R., Tsang, E., Nezezon, J., and Poole, K. (1998) Influence of the MexAB-OprM multidrug efflux system on quorum sensing in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *J Bacteriol* **180**: 5443-5447.

Fernandez, M., Porcel, M., de la Torre, J., Molina-Henares, M.A., Daddaoua, A., Llamas, M.A. et al. (2015) Analysis of the pathogenic potential of nosocomial Pseudomonas putida strains. *Front Microbiol* **6**: 871.

Galata, V., Fehlmann, T., Backes, C., and Keller, A. (2019) PLSDB: a resource of complete bacterial plasmids. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**: D195-D202.

Glick, B.R., Todorovic, B., Czarny, J., Cheng, Z., Duan, J., and McConkey, B. (2007) Promotion of Plant Growth by Bacterial ACC Deaminase. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences* **26**.

Gomila, M., Pena, A., Mulet, M., Lalucat, J., and Garcia-Valdes, E. (2015) Phylogenomics and systematics in Pseudomonas. *Front Microbiol* **6**: 214.

Gong, T., Liu, R., Che, Y., Xu, X., Zhao, F., Yu, H. et al. (2016) Engineering Pseudomonas putida KT2440 for simultaneous degradation of carbofuran and chlorpyrifos. *Microb Biotechnol* **9**: 792-800.

Henry, R.L., Mellis, C.M., and Petrovic, L. (1992) Mucoid Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a marker of poor survival in cystic fibrosis. *Pediatr Pulmonol* **12**: 158-161.

Jolley, K.A., Bray, J.E., and Maiden, M.C.J. (2018) Open-access bacterial population genomics:
BIGSdb software, the PubMLST.org website and their applications. *Wellcome Open Res* **3**: 124.
Jombart, T., Devillard, S., and Balloux, F. (2010) Discriminant analysis of principal components: a
new method for the analysis of genetically structured populations. *BMC Genet* **11**: 94.
Kampers, L.F.C., Volkers, R.J.M., and Martins Dos Santos, V.A.P. (2019) Pseudomonas putida
KT2440 is HV1 certified, not GRAS. *Microb Biotechnol* **12**: 845-848.
Katoh, K., and Standley, D.M. (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
improvements in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol* **30**: 772-780.
Katz, L.S., Griswold, T., Morrison, S.S., Caravas, J.A., Zhang, S., den Bakker, H.C. et al. (2019)
Mashtree: a rapid comparison of whole genome sequence files. *Journal of Open Source Software* **4**.
Keshavarz-Tohid, V., Vacheron, J., Dubost, A., Prigent-Combaret, C., Taheri, P., Tarighi, S. et al.
(2019) Genomic, phylogenetic and catabolic re-assessment of the Pseudomonas putida clade supports
the delineation of Pseudomonas alloputida sp. nov., Pseudomonas inefficax sp. nov., Pseudomonas
persica sp. nov., and Pseudomonas shirazica sp. nov. *Syst Appl Microbiol* **42**: 468-480.
Kislyuk, A.O., Haegeman, B., Bergman, N.H., and Weitz, J.S. (2011) Genomic fluidity: an integrative
view of gene diversity within microbial populations. *BMC Genomics* **12**: 32.
Letunic, I., and Bork, P. (2019) Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new
developments. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**: W256-W259.
Lister, P.D., Wolter, D.J., and Hanson, N.D. (2009) Antibacterial-resistant Pseudomonas
aeruginosa: clinical impact and complex regulation of chromosomally encoded resistance mechanisms.
Clin Microbiol Rev **22**: 582-610.
Liu, B., Zheng, D., Jin, Q., Chen, L., and Yang, J. (2019) VFDB 2019: a comparative pathogenomic
platform with an interactive web interface. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**: D687-D692.
Macho, A.P., Ruiz-Albert, J., Tornero, P., and Beuzon, C.R. (2009) Identification of new type III
effectors and analysis of the plant response by competitive index. *Mol Plant Pathol* **10**: 69-80.
Matteoli, F.P., Passarelli-Araujo, H., Reis, R.J.A., da Rocha, L.O., de Souza, E.M., Aravind, L. et al.
(2018) Genome sequencing and assessment of plant growth-promoting properties of a Serratia
marcescens strain isolated from vermicompost. *BMC Genomics* **19**: 750.
Matthijs, S., Laus, G., Meyer, J.M., Abbaspour-Tehrani, K., Schafer, M., Budzikiewicz, H., and
Cornelis, P. (2009) Siderophore-mediated iron acquisition in the entomopathogenic bacterium
Pseudomonas entomophila L48 and its close relative Pseudomonas putida KT2440. *Biometals* **22**: 951-
964.
Miller, S.H., Browne, P., Prigent-Combaret, C., Combes-Meynet, E., Morrissey, J.P., and O'Gara, F.
(2010) Biochemical and genomic comparison of inorganic phosphate solubilization in Pseudomonas
species. *Environ Microbiol Rep* **2**: 403-411.
Molina, L., Udaondo, Z., Duque, E., Fernandez, M., Bernal, P., Roca, A. et al. (2016) Specific Gene
Loci of Clinical Pseudomonas putida Isolates. *PLoS One* **11**: e0147478.
Molina, L., Udaondo, Z., Duque, E., Fernandez, M., Molina-Santiago, C., Roca, A. et al. (2014)
Antibiotic resistance determinants in a Pseudomonas putida strain isolated from a hospital. *PLoS One*
9: e81604.
Mosqueda, G., and Ramos, J.L. (2000) A set of genes encoding a second toluene efflux system in
Pseudomonas putida DOT-T1E is linked to the tod genes for toluene metabolism. *J Bacteriol* **182**: 937-
943.
Mustapha, M.M., Marsh, J.W., Ezeonwuka, C.D., Pasculle, A.W., Pacey, M.P., Querry, A.M. et al.
(2016) Draft Genome Sequences of Four Hospital-Associated Pseudomonas putida Isolates. *Genome*
Announc **4**.
Nelson, K.E., Weinel, C., Paulsen, I.T., Dodson, R.J., Hilbert, H., Martins dos Santos, V.A. et al.
(2002) Complete genome sequence and comparative analysis of the metabolically versatile
Pseudomonas putida KT2440. *Environ Microbiol* **4**: 799-808.
Nikel, P.I., and de Lorenzo, V. (2018) Pseudomonas putida as a functional chassis for industrial
biocatalysis: From native biochemistry to trans-metabolism. *Metab Eng* **50**: 142-155.

Ogura, K., Shimada, K., and Miyoshi-Akiyama, T. (2019) A multilocus sequence typing scheme of
Pseudomonas putida for clinical and environmental isolates. *Sci Rep* **9**: 13980.

Ohji, S., Yamazoe, A., Hosoyama, A., Tsuchikane, K., Ezaki, T., and Fujita, N. (2014) The Complete
Genome Sequence of Pseudomonas putida NBRC 14164T Confirms High Intraspecies Variation.
Genome Announc **2**.

Ondov, B.D., Treangen, T.J., Melsted, P., Mallonee, A.B., Bergman, N.H., Koren, S., and Phillippy,
A.M. (2016) Mash: fast genome and metagenome distance estimation using MinHash. *Genome Biol*
17: 132.

Page, A.J., Taylor, B., Delaney, A.J., Soares, J., Seemann, T., Keane, J.A., and Harris, S.R. (2016) SNP-
sites: rapid efficient extraction of SNPs from multi-FASTA alignments. *Microb Genom* **2**: e000056.

Page, A.J., Cummins, C.A., Hunt, M., Wong, V.K., Reuter, S., Holden, M.T. et al. (2015) Roary: rapid
large-scale prokaryote pan genome analysis. *Bioinformatics* **31**: 3691-3693.

Palleroni, N.J. (2010) The Pseudomonas story. *Environ Microbiol* **12**: 1377-1383.

Passarelli-Araujo, H., Palmeiro, J.K., Moharana, K.C., Pedrosa-Silva, F., Dalla-Costa, L.M., and
Venancio, T.M. (2019) Genomic analysis unveils important aspects of population structure, virulence,
and antimicrobial resistance in Klebsiella aerogenes. *FEBS J* **286**: 3797-3810.

Pritchard, J.K., Stephens, M., and Donnelly, P. (2000) Inference of population structure using
multilocus genotype data. *Genetics* **155**: 945-959.

Pritchard, L., Glover, H.R., Humphris, S., Elphinstone, J.G., and Toth, I.K. (2016) Genomics and
taxonomy in diagnostics for food security: soft-rotting enterobacterial plant pathogens. *Anal Methods*
8: 10-24.

Richter, M., and Rossello-Mora, R. (2009) Shifting the genomic gold standard for the prokaryotic
species definition. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**: 19126-19131.

Roca, A., Pizarro-Tobias, P., Udaondo, Z., Fernandez, M., Matilla, M.A., Molina-Henares, M.A. et
al. (2013) Analysis of the plant growth-promoting properties encoded by the genome of the
rhizobacterium Pseudomonas putida BIRD-1. *Environ Microbiol* **15**: 780-794.

Rojas, A., Duque, E., Mosqueda, G., Golden, G., Hurtado, A., Ramos, J.L., and Segura, A. (2001)
Three efflux pumps are required to provide efficient tolerance to toluene in Pseudomonas putida DOT-
T1E. *J Bacteriol* **183**: 3967-3973.

Rosson, R.A., and Nealson, K.H. (1982) Manganese binding and oxidation by spores of a marine
bacillus. *J Bacteriol* **151**: 1027-1034.

Sanchez, P., Linares, J.F., Ruiz-Diez, B., Campanario, E., Navas, A., Baquero, F., and Martinez, J.L.
(2002) Fitness of in vitro selected Pseudomonas aeruginosa nalB and nfxB multidrug resistant mutants.
J Antimicrob Chemother **50**: 657-664.

Schweizer, H.P. (2003) Efflux as a mechanism of resistance to antimicrobials in Pseudomonas
aeruginosa and related bacteria: unanswered questions. *Genet Mol Res* **2**: 48-62.

Seemann, T. (2014) Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 2068-2069.

Seppey, M., Manni, M., and Zdobnov, E.M. (2019) BUSCO: Assessing Genome Assembly and
Annotation Completeness. *Methods Mol Biol* **1962**: 227-245.

Skvortsov, T., Hoering, P., Arkhipova, K., Whitehead, R.C., Boyd, D.R., and Allen, C.C.R. (2018) Draft
Genome Sequences of Pseudomonas putida UV4 and UV4/95, Toluene Dioxygenase-Expressing
Producers of cis-1,2-Dihydrodiols. *Genome Announc* **6**.

Snipen, L., and Liland, K.H. (2015) micropan: an R-package for microbial pan-genomics. *BMC*
Bioinformatics **16**: 79.

Song, W.Y., and Kim, H.J. (2020) Current biochemical understanding regarding the metabolism of
acinetobactin, the major siderophore of the human pathogen Acinetobacter baumannii, and outlook
for discovery of novel anti-infectious agents based thereon. *Nat Prod Rep* **37**: 477-487.

Stamatakis, A. (2014) RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large
phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 1312-1313.

Stanier, R.Y., Palleroni, N.J., and Doudoroff, M. (1966) The aerobic pseudomonads: a taxonomic
study. *J Gen Microbiol* **43**: 159-271.

Taghavi, S., Garafola, C., Monchy, S., Newman, L., Hoffman, A., Weyens, N. et al. (2009) Genome
survey and characterization of endophytic bacteria exhibiting a beneficial effect on growth and
development of poplar trees. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **75**: 748-757.

Tettelin, H., Masignani, V., Cieslewicz, M.J., Donati, C., Medini, D., Ward, N.L. et al. (2005) Genome
analysis of multiple pathogenic isolates of Streptococcus agalactiae: implications for the microbial
"pan-genome". *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**: 13950-13955.

Tian, Z.X., Yi, X.X., Cho, A., O'Gara, F., and Wang, Y.P. (2016) CpxR Activates MexAB-OprM Efflux
Pump Expression and Enhances Antibiotic Resistance in Both Laboratory and Clinical nalB-Type Isolates
of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *PLoS Pathog* **12**: e1005932.

Timmis, K.N. (2002) Pseudomonas putida: a cosmopolitan opportunist par excellence. *Environ*
Microbiol **4**: 779-781.

Tohya, M., Watanabe, S., Teramoto, K., Uechi, K., Tada, T., Kuwahara-Arai, K. et al. (2019)
Pseudomonas asiatica sp. nov., isolated from hospitalized patients in Japan and Myanmar. *Int J Syst*
Evol Microbiol **69**: 1361-1368.

Udaondo, Z., Molina, L., Segura, A., Duque, E., and Ramos, J.L. (2016) Analysis of the core genome
and pangenome of Pseudomonas putida. *Environ Microbiol* **18**: 3268-3283.

Validov, S., Kamilova, F., Qi, S., Stephan, D., Wang, J.J., Makarova, N., and Lugtenberg, B. (2007)
Selection of bacteria able to control Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. radicis-lycopersici in stonewool
substrate. *J Appl Microbiol* **102**: 461-471.

Volke, D.C., Calero, P., and Nikel, P.I. (2020) Pseudomonas putida. *Trends Microbiol* **28**: 512-513.

Wu, X., Monchy, S., Taghavi, S., Zhu, W., Ramos, J., and van der Lelie, D. (2011) Comparative
genomics and functional analysis of niche-specific adaptation in Pseudomonas putida. *FEMS Microbiol*
Rev **35**: 299-323.

Yonezuka, K., Shimodaira, J., Tabata, M., Ohji, S., Hosoyama, A., Kasai, D. et al. (2017) Phylogenetic
analysis reveals the taxonomically diverse distribution of the Pseudomonas putida group. *J Gen Appl*
Microbiol **63**: 1-10.

Yonezuka, K., Araki, N., Shimodaira, J., Ohji, S., Hosoyama, A., Numata, M. et al. (2016) Isolation
and characterization of a bacterial strain that degrades cis-dichloroethenein the absence of aromatic
inducers. *J Gen Appl Microbiol* **62**: 118-125.

Tables

Table 1. *Pseudomonas putida* isolates used in this study with average nucleotide

identity (ANI) from KT2440.

Strain	Classified as	ANI (%)	CC	Location	Source	Accession
15420352	*P. putida*	97.12	CC4	China	urine	GCA_013305625.1
B2017	*P. putida*	97.25	CC3	Spain	root	GCA_007279645.1
B4	*P. putida*	97.26	CC3	China	soil	GCA_003671955.1
B6-2	*P. putida*	97.12	NOCC	-	soil	GCA_000226035.3
BIRD-1	*P. putida*	97.49	CC5	Spain	rhizosphere	GCA_000183645.1
CA-3	*P. putida*	97.22	CC4	Ireland	waste material	GCA_002810225.1
CY06	*P. monteilii*	95.72	CC1	China	shrimp	GCA_002835905.1
DOT-T1E	*P. putida*	97.28	CC3	Spain	wastewater	GCA_000281215.1
DPA1	*P. putida*	97.22	CC4	Greece	soil	GCA_002891885.1
DZ-F23	*P. putida*	95.68	CC1	China	fly	GCA_002094775.1

F1	*P. putida*	97.19	CC2	USA	soil	GCA_000016865.1
FDAARGOS_409	*P. putida*	97.11	CC4	USA	blood	GCA_002554535.1
FF4	*Pseudomonas sp.*	97.14	NOCC	Chile	wastewater	GCA_007049805.1
FW305-E2	*P. putida*	97.16	CC2	USA	groundwater	GCA_900095365.1
FW305-E2_1	*Pseudomonas sp.*	97.16	CC2	USA	groundwater	GCA_002901725.1
GTC_16473	*Pseudomonas sp.*	99.87	CC7	Japan	*Homo sapiens*	GCA_001753855.1
GTC_16482	*Pseudomonas sp.*	99.83	CC7	Japan	*Homo sapiens*	GCA_001319995.1
H	*P. putida*	99.99	CC7	Germany	soil	GCA_001077495.1
Idaho	*P. putida*	98.29	CC6	China	-	GCA_000226475.2
INSali382	*P. putida*	98.20	CC6	Portugal	vegetable	GCA_001653615.1
IOFA1	*P. putida*	95.73	CC1	Indian Ocean	deep-sea sediment	GCA_001293025.1
IOFA19	*P. monteilii*	95.62	CC1	Indian Ocean	deep sea sediment	GCA_000633915.1
JB	*P. putida*	97.44	CC5	Czech Republic	soil	GCA_001767335.1
JLR11	*P. putida*	99.97	CC7	Spain	wastewater	GCA_001183585.1
JR16	*P. putida*	97.46	CC5	India	soil	GCA_004519745.1
JY-Q	*Pseudomonas sp.*	97.20	CC4	China	tabaco extract	GCA_001655295.1
KB3	*P. putida*	95.73	CC1	Poland	soil	GCA_004614175.1
KBS0802	*Pseudomonas sp.*	99.98	CC7	USA	soil	GCA_005937845.2
KCJK7911	*P. putida*	97.25	CC3	USA	water	GCA_003053335.1
KCJK7916	*P. putida*	97.20	NOCC	USA	water	GCA_003053385.1
KH-18-2	*P. putida*	95.67	CC1	Pacific Ocean	water	GCA_002906815.1
KH-20-11	*P. putida*	95.69	CC1	Pacific Ocean	water	GCA_002906795.1
Kh14	*Pseudomonas sp.*	97.40	CC5	Iran	rhizosphere	GCA_900291005.1
Kh7	*Pseudomonas sp.*	97.39	CC5	Iran	rhizosphere	GCA_900291035.1
KT-90	*P. putida*	95.74	CC1	Pacific Ocean	coastal water	GCA_002906755.1
KT2440	*P. putida*	100.00	CC7	Japan	rhizosphere	GCA_900167985.1
LD6	*P. putida*	97.45	CC5	China	rhizosphere	GCA_003586135.1
LF54	*P. putida*	95.67	CC1	Japan	lotus field	GCA_000390005.2
LS46	*P. putida*	97.18	CC4	Canada	water	GCA_000294445.2
N1R	*P. putida*	97.39	CC5	EUA	soil	GCA_900156185.1
NBRC_111118	*Pseudomonas sp.*	97.23	CC4	Japan	*Homo sapiens*	GCA_001320085.1
NBRC_111121	*Pseudomonas sp.*	99.91	CC7	Japan	sputum	GCA_001320165.1
NBRC_111125	*Pseudomonas sp.*	97.20	CC4	Japan	urine	GCA_001320295.1
NBRC_111136	*Pseudomonas sp.*	97.17	CC4	Japan	urine	GCA_001320745.1
NBRC_111139	*Pseudomonas sp.*	97.15	CC4	Japan	eye discharge	GCA_001753955.1
NCTC13185	*P. putida*	99.99	CC7	-	-	GCA_901482375.1
NCTC13186	*P. putida*	99.99	CC7	-	-	GCA_900636645.1
ND6	*P. putida*	97.10	CC2	China	wastewater	GCA_000264665.2
ODNR4SY	*P. putida*	97.18	CC2	USA	water	GCA_009905395.1
OR45a	*P. putida*	97.44	CC5	Poland	activated sludge	GCA_004614155.1
P11	*P. hunanensis*	97.12	CC4	China	soil	GCA_002910975.1
PaW85-2019	*P. putida*	99.99	CC7	Estonia	-	GCA_011750655.1
PaW85-d13TA	*P. putida*	99.99	CC7	Estonia	-	GCA_011750675.1
PCL1760	*P. putida*	97.45	CC5	Spain	rhizosphere	GCA_001282125.1
PD1	*P. putida*	97.27	CC3	USA	root	GCA_000799625.1
RW4053	*Pseudomonas sp.*	97.44	CC5	Germany	river sediments	GCA_003184135.1

S12	*P. putida*	97.48	CC5	Netherlands	soil	GCA_000495455.2
SJTE-1	*P. putida*	97.17	CC2	China	soil	GCA_000271965.2
SMT-1	*Pseudomonas sp.*	99.99	CC7	China	soil	GCA_003204195.1
SWI36	*Pseudomonas sp.*	98.16	CC6	USA	soil	GCA_002948105.1
SWI36_1	*Pseudomonas sp.*	98.22	CC6	USA	soil	GCA_004153505.1
SWI36_2	*Pseudomonas sp.*	98.22	CC6	USA	soil	GCA_004153435.1
TRO1	*P. putida*	97.17	CC4	Denmark	activated sludge	GCA_000367825.1
UV4	*P. putida*	97.17	CC4	UK	laboratory strain	GCA_002165695.1
UV4/95	*P. putida*	97.17	CC4	UK	laboratory strain	GCA_002165665.1
XWY-1	*Pseudomonas sp.*	98.16	CC6	China	rice fields	GCA_002953115.1
YKD221	*P. putida*	97.23	CC2	Japan	soil	GCA_000787655.1
ZKA3	*P. plecoglossicida*	98.18	CC6	Greece	water	GCA_003633555.1

691   Table 2. Frequency of resistance mechanisms categories in both core and accessory

692   resistome.

Pangenome division	Resistance mechanism	Frequency
Core	Antibiotic efflux	15 genes* (100%)
Accessory	Antibiotic efflux	126 genes (67.74%)
	Antibiotic inactivation	47 genes (25.27%)
	Antibiotic target alteration	3 genes (1.61%)
	Antibiotic target protection	1 gene (0.54%)
	Antibiotic target replacement	9 genes (4.84%)

*Number of different genes.

Figures

Figure 1. Distance tree of 10,457 *Pseudomonas* genomes from *Pseudomonas* genus.
Genomes classified as *P. putida* according to NCBI are marked as red circle. *P. putida*
group was highlighted to assess the distribution of misclassified genomes. *P. putida*
(*P. alloputida*) branches are colored in red.

Figure 2. Network analysis of isolates from the *P. putida* group. Nodes represent isolates and edges connect isolates with at least 95% of average nucleotide identity. Clusters with type strains or at least ten isolates were highlighted, as they represent either known or potentially novel species.

Figure 3. Population structure of *P. putida*. **a.** phylogenetic reconstruction using SNPs
extracted from core genome to assign the cgMLST scheme. Colors represent distinct Clonal
Complexes and NOCC stands for No Clonal Complex assigned with high confidence. **b.**
Principal Component Analysis based on the presence/absence profile of accessory genes
present in 5% to 95% of the isolates. **c.** Branch lengths for each Clonal Complex to estimate
heterozygosity. **d.** ΔK distribution to estimate the best value for K, which supports the presence
of 7 *P. putida* CCs.

Figure 4. Phylogenetic tree and coancestry barplots, correlating the phylogenetic tree using SNPs extracted from core genome and coancestry probabilities assigned with STRUCTURE. Red dots in branches represent bootstrap values lower than 70%. Each color represents one of the seven predicted Clonal Complexes (CC).

Figure 5. Population structure and distribution of virulence and resistance genes. **a, b.** distribution of acquired resistance and virulence genes. **c.** Maximum likelihood tree from SNPs present in the core genome inferred with 68 genomes used in this study. Branches from clinical isolates are marked with an orange circle. The inner ring represents the clonal complexes (NOCC: "No Clonal Complex"). The second and third rings indicate the number (n) of acquired resistance and virulence genes, respectively.

a

b

Figure 6. Acquired virulome composition. **a.** Matrix with presence (dark squares) and absence (light squares) profiles of virulence genes. Rows represent strains colored based on Clonal Complex that they belong. Columns are virulence genes identified. **b.** *P. putida* KT2440 *bauABCDE* genetic context annotated according Pseudomonas Genome Database (www.pseudomonas.com) and Song and Kim (2020).