Phylogenetic and population structure analyses uncover pervasive misclassification and help assessing the biosafety of *Pseudomonas putida* for biotechnological applications

Hemanoel Passarelli-Araujo[1,2,*], Sarah H. Jacobs[2], Glória R. Franco[1], Thiago M. Venancio[2,*]

[1]Departamento de Bioquímica e Imunologia, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

[2]Laboratório de Química e Função de Proteínas e Peptídeos, Centro de Biociências e Biotecnologia, Universidade Estadual do Norte Fluminense Darcy Ribeiro, Campos dos Goytacazes, RJ, Brazil.

*Corresponding authors

Av. Alberto Lamego 2000, P5 sala 217; Parque Califórnia
Campos dos Goytacazes, RJ, Brazil
CEP: 28013-602
HPA: hemanuel.passarelli@gmail.com; TMV: thiago.venancio@gmail.com

Summary

Members of the *Pseudomonas* genus inhabit a wide variety of environments, which is correlated with their versatile genome and metabolic capacity. *Pseudomonas putida* is a saprophytic soil bacterium, known to bioremediate toxic compounds and to promote plant growth. Nevertheless, some clinical *P. putida* strains have been reported, raising concerns about the safety of this species for biotechnology applications. Despite the ability to occupy different niches, the population structure of *P. putida* remains unclear. Here, we report the population structure of *P. putida* for the first time, which is essential to better investigate its biology. We retrieved 11,025 *Pseudomonas* genomes and used *P. putida* KT2440 as a type strain to define *P. putida sensu stricto*, as well as to estimate its population structure and pangenome. By using network and average nucleotide identity analyses, we found that 90 of the 138 deposited *P. putida* genomes were misclassified, including some reference genomes. Further, we found 23 additional *P. putida* genomes that were not designated as such. *P. putida* has an open pangenome dominated by low-frequency genes. The population structure of *P. putida* is composed by at least 7 clonal complexes (CCs). Clinical isolates are not restricted to a specific CC, although CC4 has 6 out the 9 clinical isolates. Acquired resistance genes are present at low frequency, particularly in plasmids from clinical isolates. Virulence profiles support the higher potential of CC7 members to outcompete other plant or human pathogens through a type VI secretion system. *P. putida* lacks key genes for indole-3-acetic acid production, indicating an incomplete or non-functional pathway. Finally, we found that horizontal gene transfer had an important role in shaping the ability of *P. putida* to bioremediate aromatic compounds such as toluene. Our results provide the grounds to understand *P. putida* genetic diversity, which is key to assess its safety for environmental and biotechnology applications.

Keywords: *Pseudomonas alloputida*, *Pseudomonads*, cgMLST

INTRODUCTION

The genus *Pseudomonas* is diverse and composed by Gram-negative bacteria[1, 2]. Since the first description of *Pseudomonas*, in 1894, the taxonomy of the genus has evolved in parallel with new methodologies to discriminate species[1]. The taxonomy was inconsistent until the division of *Pseudomonas* into rRNA homology groups[2]. However, because of the low-resolution of 16S rRNA gene for intra-specific classification, other housekeeping genes were used to improve taxonomic resolution[3, 4].

The *Pseudomonas putida* group includes other species such as *P. monteilii*, *P. fulva*, *P. plecoglossicida*, and *P. putida sensu stricto* (*P. alloputida*). *P. putida* belongs to a wide group of fluorescent species from the *Pseudomonas* genus. It is ubiquitous in soil and water and several strains have been isolated from various environments, such as polluted soils and plant roots[5]. *P. putida* is well known to perform many functions such as plant growth promotion, bioremediation, and protection from plant pathogens[6].

Because of its high metabolic diversity, applications of *P. putida* have been proposed for environmental, industrial, and agricultural uses[5]. *P. putida* KT2440 was the first strain of this species to have its genome sequenced, and it is considered a model organism to study plant-microbe interactions[7, 8]. Therefore, KT2440 can be thought as a type strain for *P. putida*. KT2440 was isolated from garden soil in Japan and it is certified as a host-vector system safety level 1[9]. This strain has become a workhorse for industrial biotechnology because of its high metabolic versatility, genetic accessibility, and stress-resistance capability[10]. In addition to the biotechnological application of *P. putida*, some clinical isolates have been described as reservoirs of resistance genes [11, 12], raising concerns about its biosafety.

Although *P. putida* species exhibit enormous potential for bioremediation, biocontrol, and plant-growth promotion, its population structure remains poorly understood. In this work, we report the population structure of *P. putida sensu stricto* (or *P. alloputida*, as recently proposed[13]), which was used to estimate the diversity and distribution of bioremediation and plant-growth promotion genes. Further, we also used the inferred population structure to better understand the prevalence of antibiotic resistance and virulence genes and to assess, in detail, the potential biosafety concerns regarding this species.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phylogeny and classification of *Pseudomonas putida* (*P. alloputida*)

We obtained 11,025 *Pseudomonas* genomes available in RefSeq in June 2020, out
of which 10,457 had completeness greater than 90% according to BUSCO[14]. We
computed the pairwise distances between each isolate using mashtree[15] to reconstruct
the phylogeny of the genus, which is highly diverse (Figure 1). We mapped each
genome deposited in the NCBI as *P. putida* in the tree and found that the highest
density of genomes falls within a monophyletic group of 439 isolates with average
nucleotide identity (ANI) values between 84% and 100% (Figure S1a); this clade
corresponds to the *P. putida* group (Figure 1) and comprises other species such as *P.*
plecoglossicida, *P. monteilli,* and *P. fulva*.

ANI analysis provides a raw estimate of bacterial species[16]. A minimum threshold
of 95% ANI has been used to attain species membership, a value that has been
empirically defined based on correlations with DNA-DNA hybridization and 16S rRNA
thresholds[16, 17]. We used *P. putida* KT2440 as a type-strain to evaluate the ANI values
from other isolates in the *P. putida* group. The sorted distribution of ANI values from
KT2440 showed an abrupt break around 95%, supporting its effectiveness as a
threshold to delineate *P. putida* (Figure S1b). The isolate previously classified as *P.*
monteilli IOFA19 (GCA_000633915.1) had the lowest ANI value within the predicted
species (95.61%), followed by a drop to 91.19% (Figure S1b).

We conducted a network analysis to assess the species composition according to
ANI > 95% with members from the *P. putida* group. We observed a discrete number of
cohesive clusters that would correspond to the expected number of species within the
P. putida group (Figure 2). We retrieved other species such as *P. asiatica*, *P. soli*, and
P. monteilli, as well as some potentially novel species (Table S1). In this analysis, a
species was defined as a cluster with a type strain or with at least ten connected
isolates. We found clusters that most likely correspond to new species, as also noticed
elsewhere[13]. Further, these values are likely underestimated, as new genomes would
increase the number of connections in the network.

The poor classification of *P. putida* isolates is a subject of concern. Isolates that are
well known for their ability to promote plant growth (W618)[18], to oxidize manganese
(GB-1)[19], and to damage human tissues (HB3267)[11], are not *P. putida* strains, as they
belong to different groups in the network. For example, HB3267 was classified as *P.*
putida because of its close phylogenetic relationship with the nicotine degrader S16[20].
HB3267, along with DLL-E4, SF1, and S11, were proposed as members of a new
species, *Pseudomonas shirazica*[13]. However, we found that these strains, along with
S16, grouped with *Pseudomonas asiatica* type strains. Importantly, both *P. shirazica*
and *P. asiatica* were proposed around the same time[13, 21] as attempts to describe the
same species. Therefore, the nomenclature of the group containing HB3267 warrants
a more careful curation. Keshavarz-Tohid et al. suggested to rename *P. putida sensu*
stricto to *P. alloputida* based on the proposed type-strain Kh7[13]. Nevertheless, Kh7 is
also a member from *P. putida sensu stricto* group containing the type strain KT2440.

The precise taxonomy of the *P. putida* group still requires a deeper analysis.
Although the strain NBRC 14164 has been proposed as a *P. putida* type strain[22], it falls
in a group containing 16 other genomes, named in our network as *Pseudomonas spp1*.
Moreover, the phylogenetic analysis of the *P. putida* group also revealed the
phylogenetic isolation of NBRC 14164 from the other main *P. putida* strains[23]. We
considered the group *Pseudomonas spp1* as a potentially novel species and kept
KT2440 as the type strain because of its pioneering isolation, description, and genome
sequencing[7]. We excluded strains from potentially different species that were classified
as *P. putida* (Table S2) and focused on *P. putida sensu stricto* (*P. alloputida*),
represented as the red group in the network with 68 isolates (Figure 2).

Pangenome analysis

An effective way to investigate the evolution of a given population is through
pangenome analysis. A pangenome is defined as the total set of genes in a given
species[24], which is subdivided into core genes, when present in all isolates; accessory
genes, when present in at least two (but not in all) isolates or; unique genes, when
exclusive to an isolate. The pangenome of *P. putida* has been previously estimated
with only 9 isolates[25]. However, among those isolates, GB1, HB3267, S16, and W619
are likely from other species and were removed from our analysis (Table S2). Hence,
we re-assessed the pangenome of *P. putida* using 68 isolates with well-supported
taxonomic delineation.

The *P. putida* pangenome comprises 25,782 gene families, of which 3803 (14.75%)
constitute the core genome (i.e. genes present at least 95% of the isolates). The core-
genome is associated with intrinsic physiology and tends to decrease in size as new
isolates are added. Although with a much lower number of isolates, the core-genome
estimated earlier (3386 genes[25]) is smaller than that described here. This result
corroborates the misclassification of *P. putida* strains since the inclusion of genomes
from different species would reduce the number of core genes.

Regarding the pangenome openness, the slope of the curve (α= 0.417) supports
that *P. putida* has an open pangenome[24] (Figure S2). Our estimated α value is much
lower than the maximum threshold used to define an open pangenome ($\alpha < 1$),
corroborating the previous prediction[25]. Further, this low α value implies that, if more
isolates are included in the analysis, new gene families will be detected at a high rate.
The high number of gene families in the pangenome of *P. putida* is dominated by
unique and low-frequency genes. Only 6,373 genes (24.71%) are found between 5%
to 95% of the isolates, while 15,606 genes (60.53%) are found in less than 5% of the
isolates, including 10,917 unique genes. The high number of low-frequency genes
could be partially associated with fragmented genomes. However, reference genomes
such as DOT-T1E showed 267 unique genes, far more than the average of 160.5

unique genes found across the dataset. Although the number of low-frequency genes
may be overestimated, the high prevalence of unique genes among closely-related
genomes indicates a high turnover of unstable genes that might be adaptive under
transient selective pressures in their environments.

Once determining the exact number of pan and core genomes remains intractable,
we also estimated the genomic fluidity (φ) of *P. putida*. The φ estimator is a robust
metric that represents the ratio of unique gene families to the sum of gene families in
genomes pairs[26]. The smaller the φ, the greater the genes shared by a pair of randomly
selected genomes. Analyzing φ instead of the core genome proportion provides a more
realistic measure of cohesiveness within a species because low-frequency genes
directly affect the pangenome size. *P. putida* has φ = 0.20 $\pm$ 0.04, indicating that
random pairs of *P. putida* genomes have an average 20% and 80% of unique and
shared genes, respectively.

Population structure

Determining relationships between isolates can provide novel insights into the
metabolic diversity of a given species. The Multilocus Sequence Typing (MLST)
analysis is a technique based on single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) within a few
housekeeping genes. MLST schemes are available for several species[27]. In an MLST
analysis, each combination of SNPs defines a Sequence Type (ST) that can be linked
to form Clonal Complexes (CC)[27]. A variation of classical MLST is the core genome
MLST (cgMLST), which provides greater resolution by using SNPs from the entire core
genome[28]. Here, we used 225,009 SNPs obtained from the *P. putida* core genome to
reconstruct the phylogenetic tree and the cgMLST profile.

The cgMLST tree unveiled 7 CCs (Figure 3a), out of which CC1 is the most distant
from the rest of the population, a trend that is also supported by the ANI analysis
(Figure S3). Six out of the 9 clinical isolates were found in CC4 and three in CC7. We
also checked whether the same clustering pattern could be obtained by analyzing the
presence/absence patterns of accessory genes. We estimated the Jaccard distance
for genes present between 5% - 95% of the isolates to perform a Principal Coordinate
Analysis (PCoA), which allowed us to resolve all the main groups, particularly CC1,
CC4, and CC7 (Figure 3b).

We also performed a Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components (DAPC)[29] to
recover the genes that contribute most to separate the population based on
presence/absence profiles (Figure S4). The top 50 discriminating genes separate CC5
and CC7 from the rest of the population, but fail to resolve the relationships between
other CCs (Figure S5). Next, we used branch lengths from cgMLST tree as an indirect
estimator of diversity within the CCs (Figure 3c). CC7 is the most clonal group,

comprising 9 isolates including KT2440 and three clinical isolates (GTC_16482,
GTC_16473, and NBRC_111121).

The previously published MLST scheme for *P. putida* comprises eight housekeeping
genes (*argS, gyrB, ileS, nuoC, ppsA, recA, rpoB,* and *rpoD*)[30]. We assigned isolates
to STs and grouped those into CCs (Table S3). This analysis revealed some
incongruences with the previous reports[30]. For example, based on allele combinations
with perfect-match to predict the STs, KT2440 was detected as ST69 and not as
ST58[30], indicating that a revision in the public database is necessary. The predicted
number of CCs was also supported by the admixture model from STRUCTURE[31]. This
model assumes that each isolate has ancestry from one or more *K* genetically different
sources, which we referred to as CCs. The number of CCs corresponds to the
estimated number of clusters represented by parameter *K*. Instead of using the highest
raw marginal likelihood, we followed the protocol for estimating the best *K* value
suggested by Evanno et al[32]. The *ad hoc* statistics ΔK indicates that, according to our
dataset, the population structure of *P. putida* is composed by at least 7 CCs (Figure
3d).

Once data from eight *loci* may be insufficient to accurately describe the population
structure of *P. putida*, the populations identified by STRUCTURE were only considered
if they matched cgMLST results. When correlating the cgMLST tree topology with
ancestry proportion predicted for each isolate, we observed a clear delimitation of
genetic blocks for each CC (Figure 4). However, there are a few inconsistencies. For
example, isolate B4 has a greater ancestry proportion with CC2, although cgMLST
indicates its greater proximity to CC3. In addition, KCJK7916, FF4, and B6-2 were not
assigned to a CC because, although they have a higher proportion of ancestry with
CC3, they are paraphyletic to CC3 and CC4. Since CCs are assumed to be
monophyletic, these strains were designated as No Clonal Complex (NOCC). We
expect that a greater number of *P. putida* genomes from isolates from various sources
will improve the resolution of the *P. putida* population structure, including the CC
assignment to isolates described here as NOCC.

The cgMLST phylogenetic tree and the distance tree support CC1 as the basally
branching group of *P. putida* (Figure 4). CC1 comprises isolates from deep-sea
sediments from Indian Ocean, costal water from the Pacific Ocean, lotus field, and
arthropods (Table 1). The main difference of CC1 is the lack of 229 gene families in
the accessory genome, which are present in at least one isolate from all other CCs
(Table S4). Among these absent gene families, there is a genomic island with nearly
46 Kbp and harboring 38 genes. Some of those genes are involved in sugar transport,
as previously identified in KT2440 (CC7)[33], as well as hypothetical proteins
(coordinates 3,126,465-3,172,496 in KT2440).

Resistance profiles

We evaluated the composition of antibiotic resistance genes using the CARD database[34]. All 15 different genes in the core resistome encode MDR efflux pumps (Table 2, Table S5). We found MDR efflux complexes such as MexAB-OprM, MexEF-OprN, and MexJK, from the resistance-nodulation-cell division (RND) efflux pumps family. These efflux pumps are associated with intrinsic and acquired multidrug resistance in *P. aeruginosa*[35, 36]. However, these RND efflux pumps may play an alternative role in *P. putida* by pumping out toxic substrates such as toluene[37]. We also identified *cpxR*, which encodes a protein that promotes MexAB-OprM expression in *P. aeruginosa* in the absence of the MexR repressor[38], which is absent in *P. putida*. The presence of MexAB-OprM in the core genome, under CpxR regulation, supports its involvement with intrinsic physiology in addition to drug resistance, because this complex can be involved in both quorum-sensing and host interaction mediation in *P. aeruginosa*[39, 40].

Regarding the acquired resistome, we found 45 different genes that confer resistance by pumping out or inactivating antibiotics, as well as by interacting with the antibiotic targets (Table S5). These genes are distributed at low-frequency (Figure 5a), indicating that most of them are strain-specific or acquired through horizontal gene transfer. In general, there is no clear correlation between acquired resistome and population structure (Figure S6), although CC7 has more acquired resistance genes than other CCs (Figure 5c, Figure S6).

Along with efflux pumps, the acquired resistome includes a range of antibiotic inactivating enzymes genes that confer resistance to beta-lactams (e.g. bla_{CARB-3}, bla_{IMP-1}, bla_{OXA-2}, bla_{PDC-7}, bla_{TEM-1}, and bla_{VIM-2}); aminoglycosides (e.g. *aac(6')-IIa*, *aadA*, *aph(3'')-Ia*, and *aph(6')-Id*; chloramphenicol (*cat*) and; fosfomycin (*fosA*) (Table S3). These genes were distributed in few strains, mostly clinically relevant (Figure S6, Table S5); the top four strains with more acquired resistance genes were GTC_16473 (19 genes), GTC_16482 (16 genes), DZ-F23 (14 genes), and 15420352 (11 genes). Importantly, all of these strains (except DZ-F23) are clinical.

We evaluated the presence of acquired genes in plasmids predicted with the PLSDB database (version 2020_06_29)[41]. *P. putida* GTC_16473 contained the genes *aac(6')-IIa*, *aadA23*, and bla_{CARB-3} located in a scaffold with high identity with the pJR2 plasmid from *Pasteurella multocida* (NC_004772.1). We also identified bla_{OXA-2}, *aadA22*, *aac(6')-Ia*, *aac(6')-IIc*, *aph(3'')-Ib*, *aph(6')-Id*, bla_{IMP-1}, and *sul1* genes in plasmid-like sequences in *P. putida* GTC_16473, although they are in contigs that have not reached the coverage thresholds to be reliably classified as plasmids, supporting an underestimation of plasmids in *P. putida* isolates. *P. putida* XWY-1 (CC6) also contains a plasmid, pXWY-1 (NZ_CP026333.1), which harbors the resistance genes *sul1*, *aadA2*, and *qacH*. This strain was isolated from rice fields in China, and has

environmental importance. Finding non-clinical strains harboring plasmids with
resistance genes of this relevance warrants further investigation.

Our results also highlight clinical strains harboring a range of resistance genes[11, 42].
However, although these strains fall in the *P. putida* group (Figure 1), they do not meet
the ANI criteria to be classified as *P. putida sensu stricto (P. alloputida)*. The only
clinical strains that were isolated and properly classified as *P. putida* were
FDAARGOS_409 and 15420352. In addition, other seven clinical strains included in
our work were previously classified as *Pseudomonas sp.* and were hitherto
unrecognized as *P. putida sensu stricto* (Table 1). Therefore, while we found clinical
strains misclassified as *P. putida*, there are also genuine *P. putida* isolates (e.g.
GTC_16473) with multiple resistance genes that could be considered outbreak
candidates. In total, 9 out of 68 (13.2%) *P. putida* genomes analyzed here belong to
clinical strains.

Virulence profiles

We used the VFDB database[43] to assess the *P. putida* virulence profiles. Although
strains such as KT2440 have been approved as a host-vector safety strain[9], the
genetic proximity with animal (*P. aeruginosa*) and plant (*P. syringae*) pathogens
reinforces the need to evaluate the biosafety of *P. putida* species as a whole. The core
virulome of *P. putida* contains genes associated with twitching motility, siderophore
production (pyoverdine), and alginate biosynthesis (Table S6). Importantly, *P. putida*
lacks key virulence genes usually found in *P. aeruginosa*, such as those encoding
exotoxin A, alkaline protease, elastase, rhamnolipid biosynthesis components,
phospholipase C, plant cell wall-degrading enzymes, and type III secretion system.
Although we have detected genes associated with the type III secretion system in both
core (HopAJ2 and HopJ1) and acquired resistome (HopAN1), these genes were
experimentally ruled out[44].

Most of the alginate regulatory and biosynthesis systems are present in the core
virulome of *P. putida* (Table S7). The overproduction of alginate plays an important
role in inducing the mucoid morphotype in *P. aeruginosa* during chronic infection[45].
However, the transcriptional regulatory gene *algM* (*mucC*)*,* associated with genotypic
switching, is absent in *P. putida*. Since the lack of AlgM leads to the non-mucoid
phenotype in *P. aeruginosa*[7], it can account for the non-mucoid phenotype in *P. putida*
under no water stress conditions. Although the genotypic switching unlikely occurs in
P. putida, the alginate production is important under water stress conditions by
promoting biofilm development and protecting from desiccation[46].

The acquired virulome comprised genes for type II and VI secretions systems,
adherence, and iron uptake (Table S6). While most isolates had a low frequency of

resistance genes, the virulence factors displayed a bimodal distribution (Figure 5b), a
pattern that has been previously observed for *Klebsiella aerogenes*[47]. Genes from
acinetobactin gene cluster and HSI-I type VI secretion system were differentially
distributed across CCs (Figure S7a), although it remains unclear whether these
patterns emerged mainly from gene gain or loss. *Acinetobacter baumannii* has
acinetobactin as the major siderophore associated with iron uptake[48], which is
synthesized through the *bauABCDE* operon. We found this operon in *P. putida* strains
within CC5, CC6, and CC7 (Figure S7a). Further, this operon was surrounded by
genes coding for proteins from chemotaxis sensory transducer (PP_2599) and
aminotransferases (PP_2588) families (Figure S7b). Siderophore-mediated iron
acquisition has been explored in *P. putida* KT2440[49] (CC7), but the role played by
bauABCDE in this species warrants further experimental investigations.

Present in all other strains from other CCs, the type VI secretion system (T6SS)
HSI-I is absent in both CC5 and CC6 (except XWY-1) isolates (Figure S7a). In KT2440
(CC7), HSI-I is a potent weapon against other bacteria such as phytopathogens,
increasing the competitiveness of *P. putida*[50]. The absence of T6SS virulence genes
was observed in BIRD-1 (CC5)[50], and our work generalizes this observation for all CC5
members. In KT2440, T6SS is crucial to kill phytopathogens such as *Xanthomonas*
campestris[51]. These results indicate that BIRD-1 and other members from CC5 are
likely less efficient than KT2440 as biocontrol agents. Moreover, all clinical *P. putida*
strains harbor T6SS, indicating their potential ability to outcompete other bacteria
during infections.

Plant growth-promotion and bioremediation properties

The ability of *P. putida* to promote plant-growth and bioremediate toxic compounds
have been explored[7, 10, 52, 53]. We searched for genes involved in plant growth
promotion and bioremediation through a literature search of genes that have already
been described for Pseudomonas species (Table S7). We found genes in the core
genome such as the pyrroloquinoline quinone-encoding operon *pqqBCDEFG,*
associated with mineral phosphate solubilization in *Serratia marcescens*[54] and
Pseudomonas fluorescens[55] (Table S8). Mineral solubilization has already been
reported experimentally for BIRD-1[52] and KT2440[55], indicating that all *P. putida* isolates
are genetically equipped to solubilize inorganic phosphate.

Another key feature that can enhance plant growth is the colonization of seeds by
P. putida[56]. In KT2440, genes associated with surface adhesion (e.g. *lapA*, *lapBCD*),
flagellum biosynthesis (e.g. *flhB*, *fliF*, *fliD*, *fliC*), and virulence regulation (e.g. *rpoN* and
gacS) have been experimentally shown to be important for attachment to corn seeds[56].
All these genes, except *lapA* and *fliC*, belongs to the *P. putida* core genome (Table
S8). Although often described as virulence genes, flagellum genes also play roles in

the association of *P. putida* with plants. Other plant growth-promoting genes were also
found in the *P. putida* accessory genome (Table S8), except for CC1, which lacks all
putative plant growth-promoting genes.

Many plant growth-promoting bacteria can synthesize phytohormones such as
indole-3-acetic acid. *P. putida* lacks the main genes involved in this process (e.g. *ipdC*,
iaaM, and *iaaH*), indicating an incomplete or nonfunctional pathway. Although *P. putida*
W619 (GCA_000019445.1) is considered one of the most efficient producers of IAA in
comparison with other endophytic bacteria[18], this strain was excluded from our analysis
(Table S2) because it is likely misclassified as *P. putida*. In addition, *P. putida* also
lacks AcdS, an enzyme that counteract the plant's ethylene stress response, a result
that has been experimentally confirmed in KT2440[57].

Besides the ability to promote plant-growth, *P. putida* can tolerate or degrade an
array of compounds including heavy metals and hydrocarbons. We identified genes in
the core genome that allow *P. putida* to resist various heavy metals such as copper
(*cop* genes) and cobalt/zinc/cadmium (*czcABC*) (Table S9). The copper/silver
resistance operon *cusABC* was present in the accessory genome. Although the wide
range of genes associated with bioremediation, we did not find a clear correlation
between population structure and gene presence/absence profile.

P. putida is known for its capacity to metabolize aromatic hydrocarbons such as
toluene, benzene, and *p*-cymene[7, 33]. The toluene-degrading pathway includes the
todABCDE operon and the *todST* regulator. The *p*-cymene compound can be
degraded by means of the *cymAaAbBCDER* or the *cmtAaAbAcAdBCDEFGHI*
operon[58]. We found a genomic island of approximately 48kb long harboring all these
genes in F1, DOT-T1E, UV4, UV4/95, YKD221, and NBRC_111125 genomes (Figure
S9). All these isolates were experimentally confirmed to degrade toluene (except
NBRC_111125). *P. putida* F1 is best known to grow on toluene[33]; YKD221 was isolated
from contaminated industrial soil and degrades *cis*-dichloroethene[59]; DOT-T1E is an
isolate known to grow on different carbon sources[60] and; UV4 and UV4/95 conduct
important industrial biotransformation of arenes, alkenes, and phenols[61]. Interestingly,
genes in this genomic island presented a very similar genetic context (Figure S8), with
an upstream arm-type integrase associated with bacteriophages. We were unable to
precisely define the *att* viral sites, indicating a deterioration of the original structure of
the putative bacteriophage. The lack of correspondence between population structure
and the presence of an integrase upstream the genomic island indicates that this
region was likely acquired via independent horizontal gene transfers in distinct *P.*
putida CCs.

We also identified RND efflux pumps involved with solvent tolerance in both core
(TtgABC) and accessory genomes (TtgDEF and TtgGHI). TtgABC, TtgDEF, and
TtgGHI are required for DOT-T1E to efficiently tolerate toluene[37]. We observed that
TtgABC is the same protein-complex predicted as MexAB-OprM, associated with

antibiotic resistance in the core genome. This complex extrudes both antibiotics and
solvents such as toluene in *P. putida* DOT-T1E[37], corroborating the additional and
important function to extrude antibiotics and organic solvents in all *P. putida* isolates.
TtgDEF is located in the genomic island co-occurring with *tod* genes. This complex
can expel toluene, but not antibiotics[62], reinforcing the broad different molecules that
can be used as substrates for RND efflux pumps, and the need to explore what
determines this specificity in *P. putida* isolates and other bacteria.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Through a remarkable metabolic versatility, *P. putida* can thrive in a wide variety of
niches. In this work, we explored the genetic diversity of *P. putida sensu stricto* (*P.
alloputida*) and characterized its population structure for the first time. Through a large-
scale genomic analysis, we identified a major problem with *P. putida* classification,
including with several reference strains that likely belong to new species, as suggested
elsewhere[13]. *P. putida* has an open pangenome dominated by low-frequency genes.
The population structure of this species has at least 7 clonal complexes that were
verified by cgMLST and STRUCTURE ancestry simulations. We found no association
of clinical isolates with a specific CC. Together, our results indicate that *P. putida*
clinical isolates are mainly opportunistic and do not pose considerable health concerns.

We analyzed genes of clinical and biotechnological interest. We observed that *P.
putida* has several efflux pumps from the RND family to tolerate antibiotics and other
toxic compounds such as toluene. The low-frequency acquired resistance genes are
predominant in plasmids from a few clinical strains. The detected virulence genes allow
P. putida to synthesize pyoverdine, attach to seed surfaces, and kill other bacteria
(including pathogens) through a type VI secretion system. KT2440 is a member of CC7
that has the T6SS along with an operon for acinetobactin biosynthesis. *P. putida* lacks
key genes for the production of indole-3-acetic acid. We also observed that the genes
for the degradation of some aromatic compounds, including toluene, were likely
horizontally acquired. Our results provide an opportunity for the development of
biotechnological applications as well as insights into the genomic diversity of *P. putida*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Dataset and genomic features

We recovered 11,025 genomes from the *Pseudomonas* genus in June 2020. To
assess the quality of the genomes, we used BUSCO v4.0.6[14] with a threshold of 90%
completeness. The KT2440 (GCA_900167985.1) was used as a reference with mash
v.2.2.2[63] to obtain genomes with a distance lower than 0.05. We used mashtree[15] to

generate the distance tree. The ANI analysis was performed with pyani 0.2.10[64].
Network analysis was conducted in R with the igraph package (https://igraph.org). We
removed both S12 (GCA_000287915.1) and KT2440 (GCA_000007565.2) because
they were duplicated genomes. Gene prediction in all isolates was conducted with
prokka v1.12[65] to avoid bias in the identification of protein families. Plasmids were
analyzed PLSD v2020_06_29[41].

Pangenome characterization

We inferred the *P. putida* pangenome using Roary 3.13.0[66], with a minimum
threshold of 85% identity to cluster proteins. Core genes were defined as those present
in more than 95% of the isolates. Jaccard distances were computed by using
accessory genes with prevalence between 5% and 95%. Multivariate analysis was
performed to asses gene content variations between ecotypes from *P. putida* through
DAPC analysis using the *ade4* and *adegenet* packages[29], retaining the 30 principal
components and 3 discriminant functions. Pangenome openness and fluidity were
conducted with micropan[67] with 500 and 1000 permutations, respectively.

Population structure analysis

We used *in-house* scripts to extract the genes present in all isolates, which were
aligned with MAFFT v7.467[68]. SNPs were retrieved with snp-sites v2.3.3[69] and SNP
alignment was used as input to RAxML v8[70] to reconstruct the phylogenetic tree using
the general time-reversible model and gamma correction. Since we used only variable
sites as input, we used ASC_GTRGAMMA to correct ascertainment bias with the Paul
Lewis correction. One thousand bootstrap replicates were generated to assess the
significance of internal nodes. We inferred the cgMLST scheme using the core genome
SNP phylogenetic tree. The phylogenetic tree was visualized with iTOL v4[71].

We downloaded the *P. putida* MLST scheme (on June, 2020) containing 116
different STs (https://pubmlst.org/databases/). This scheme was designed for the
whole *P. putida* group, not only *P. putida* sensu stricto[30]. We used BLASTN[72] to
determine the best-matching MLST allele to access STs. The allelic profile associated
with each ST in our dataset was used to conduct population assignment with
STRUCTURE v2.3.4[31] with admixture model. The length of Markov chain Monte Carlo
(MCMC) was 50,000, discarding 20,000 iterations as burn-in. The simulations to
calculate the parameter K ranged from 2 to 20, with 20 replicates for each K to estimate
confidence intervals. Instead of using raw posterior probability to get the best K, we
followed the protocol suggested by Evanno et al[32]. Briefly, we calculated the first and
second derivatives to estimate a ΔK of 7. Therefore, we used K = 7 to analyze predicted
ancestry probabilities.

Detection of genes associated with resistance, virulence, plant-growth promotion, and bioremediation

We used the Comprehensive Antimicrobial Resistance Database (CARD) database v3.0.9[34] to predict antibiotic resistance genes. The virulence factor database (VFDB)[43] was used to determine virulence genes. This database was downloaded on July 30 2020 and comprises 28,639 proteins associated with virulence in several pathogens. We used virulence genes previously described for Pseudomonas genus. We clustered proteins based on 70% identity to retrieve a non-redundant database using uclust v1.2.22q[73]. We built the database with plant-growth promotion and bioremediation through literature searches (Table S7). All predicted proteins were globally aligned against these databases using usearch v11.0.667[73] with 50% coverage for both query and subject and minimum 60% identity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ; grants E-26/203.309/2016 and E-26/203.014/2018), Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES; Finance Code 001), and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico. The funding agencies had no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing.

REFERENCES

1.   Palleroni NJ. The Pseudomonas story. *Environ Microbiol* **12**, 1377-1383 (2010).

2.   Stanier RY, Palleroni NJ, Doudoroff M. The aerobic pseudomonads: a taxonomic study. *J Gen Microbiol* **43**, 159-271 (1966).

3.   Ait Tayeb L, Ageron E, Grimont F, Grimont PA. Molecular phylogeny of the genus Pseudomonas based on rpoB sequences and application for the identification of isolates. *Res Microbiol* **156**, 763-773 (2005).

4.   Gomila M, Pena A, Mulet M, Lalucat J, Garcia-Valdes E. Phylogenomics and systematics in Pseudomonas. *Front Microbiol* **6**, 214 (2015).

5.   Volke DC, Calero P, Nikel PI. Pseudomonas putida. *Trends Microbiol* **28**, 512-513 (2020).

6.   Timmis KN. Pseudomonas putida: a cosmopolitan opportunist par excellence. *Environ Microbiol* **4**, 779-781 (2002).

503   7.   Nelson KE*, et al.* Complete genome sequence and comparative analysis of the metabolically
504   versatile Pseudomonas putida KT2440. *Environ Microbiol* **4**, 799-808 (2002).
505

506   8.   Belda E*, et al.* The revisited genome of Pseudomonas putida KT2440 enlightens its value as a
507   robust metabolic chassis. *Environ Microbiol* **18**, 3403-3424 (2016).
508

509   9.   Kampers LFC, Volkers RJM, Martins Dos Santos VAP. Pseudomonas putida KT2440 is HV1
510   certified, not GRAS. *Microb Biotechnol* **12**, 845-848 (2019).
511

512   10.   Nikel PI, de Lorenzo V. Pseudomonas putida as a functional chassis for industrial biocatalysis:
513   From native biochemistry to trans-metabolism. *Metab Eng* **50**, 142-155 (2018).
514

515   11.   Fernandez M*, et al.* Analysis of the pathogenic potential of nosocomial Pseudomonas putida
516   strains. *Front Microbiol* **6**, 871 (2015).
517

518   12.   Molina L*, et al.* Specific Gene Loci of Clinical Pseudomonas putida Isolates. *PLoS One* **11**,
519   e0147478 (2016).
520

521   13.   Keshavarz-Tohid V*, et al.* Genomic, phylogenetic and catabolic re-assessment of the
522   Pseudomonas putida clade supports the delineation of Pseudomonas alloputida sp. nov.,
523   Pseudomonas inefficax sp. nov., Pseudomonas persica sp. nov., and Pseudomonas shirazica
524   sp. nov. *Syst Appl Microbiol* **42**, 468-480 (2019).
525

526   14.   Seppey M, Manni M, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO: Assessing Genome Assembly and Annotation
527   Completeness. *Methods Mol Biol* **1962**, 227-245 (2019).
528

529   15.   Katz LS*, et al.* Mashtree: a rapid comparison of whole genome sequence files. *Journal of Open*
530   *Source Software* **4**, (2019).
531

532   16.   Richter M, Rossello-Mora R. Shifting the genomic gold standard for the prokaryotic species
533   definition. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**, 19126-19131 (2009).
534

535   17.   Bobay LM. The Prokaryotic Species Concept and Challenges. In: *The Pangenome: Diversity,*
536   *Dynamics and Evolution of Genomes* (eds Tettelin H, Medini D) (2020).
537

538   18.   Taghavi S*, et al.* Genome survey and characterization of endophytic bacteria exhibiting a
539   beneficial effect on growth and development of poplar trees. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **75**, 748-
540   757 (2009).
541

542   19.   Rosson RA, Nealson KH. Manganese binding and oxidation by spores of a marine bacillus. *J*
543   *Bacteriol* **151**, 1027-1034 (1982).
544

545   20.   Molina L*, et al.* Antibiotic resistance determinants in a Pseudomonas putida strain isolated
546   from a hospital. *PLoS One* **9**, e81604 (2014).
547

548   21.   Tohya M*, et al.* Pseudomonas asiatica sp. nov., isolated from hospitalized patients in Japan and
549   Myanmar. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **69**, 1361-1368 (2019).
550

551   22.   Ohji S, Yamazoe A, Hosoyama A, Tsuchikane K, Ezaki T, Fujita N. The Complete Genome
552   Sequence of Pseudomonas putida NBRC 14164T Confirms High Intraspecies Variation. *Genome*
553   *Announc* **2**, (2014).
554

555　23.　Yonezuka K, *et al.* Phylogenetic analysis reveals the taxonomically diverse distribution of the
556　　　　Pseudomonas putida group. *J Gen Appl Microbiol* **63**, 1-10 (2017).
557

558　24.　Tettelin H, *et al.* Genome analysis of multiple pathogenic isolates of Streptococcus agalactiae:
559　　　　implications for the microbial "pan-genome". *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 13950-13955
560　　　　(2005).
561

562　25.　Udaondo Z, Molina L, Segura A, Duque E, Ramos JL. Analysis of the core genome and
563　　　　pangenome of Pseudomonas putida. *Environ Microbiol* **18**, 3268-3283 (2016).
564

565　26.　Kislyuk AO, Haegeman B, Bergman NH, Weitz JS. Genomic fluidity: an integrative view of gene
566　　　　diversity within microbial populations. *BMC Genomics* **12**, 32 (2011).
567

568　27.　Jolley KA, Bray JE, Maiden MCJ. Open-access bacterial population genomics: BIGSdb software,
569　　　　the PubMLST.org website and their applications. *Wellcome Open Res* **3**, 124 (2018).
570

571　28.　de Been M, *et al.* Core Genome Multilocus Sequence Typing Scheme for High- Resolution
572　　　　Typing of Enterococcus faecium. *J Clin Microbiol* **53**, 3788-3797 (2015).
573

574　29.　Jombart T, Devillard S, Balloux F. Discriminant analysis of principal components: a new method
575　　　　for the analysis of genetically structured populations. *BMC Genet* **11**, 94 (2010).
576

577　30.　Ogura K, Shimada K, Miyoshi-Akiyama T. A multilocus sequence typing scheme of
578　　　　Pseudomonas putida for clinical and environmental isolates. *Sci Rep* **9**, 13980 (2019).
579

580　31.　Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P. Inference of population structure using multilocus
581　　　　genotype data. *Genetics* **155**, 945-959 (2000).
582

583　32.　Evanno G, Regnaut S, Goudet J. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the
584　　　　software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Mol Ecol* **14**, 2611-2620 (2005).
585

586　33.　Wu X, Monchy S, Taghavi S, Zhu W, Ramos J, van der Lelie D. Comparative genomics and
587　　　　functional analysis of niche-specific adaptation in Pseudomonas putida. *FEMS Microbiol Rev*
588　　　　**35**, 299-323 (2011).
589

590　34.　Alcock BP, *et al.* CARD 2020: antibiotic resistome surveillance with the comprehensive
591　　　　antibiotic resistance database. *Nucleic Acids Res* **48**, D517-D525 (2020).
592

593　35.　Lister PD, Wolter DJ, Hanson ND. Antibacterial-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa: clinical
594　　　　impact and complex regulation of chromosomally encoded resistance mechanisms. *Clin*
595　　　　*Microbiol Rev* **22**, 582-610 (2009).
596

597　36.　Schweizer HP. Efflux as a mechanism of resistance to antimicrobials in Pseudomonas
598　　　　aeruginosa and related bacteria: unanswered questions. *Genet Mol Res* **2**, 48-62 (2003).
599

600　37.　Rojas A, *et al.* Three efflux pumps are required to provide efficient tolerance to toluene in
601　　　　Pseudomonas putida DOT-T1E. *J Bacteriol* **183**, 3967-3973 (2001).
602

603　38.　Tian ZX, Yi XX, Cho A, O'Gara F, Wang YP. CpxR Activates MexAB-OprM Efflux Pump Expression
604　　　　and Enhances Antibiotic Resistance in Both Laboratory and Clinical nalB-Type Isolates of
605　　　　Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *PLoS Pathog* **12**, e1005932 (2016).
606

607   39.   Evans K, Passador L, Srikumar R, Tsang E, Nezezon J, Poole K. Influence of the MexAB-OprM
608   multidrug efflux system on quorum sensing in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *J Bacteriol* **180**, 5443-
609   5447 (1998).
610
611   40.   Sanchez P*, et al.* Fitness of in vitro selected Pseudomonas aeruginosa nalB and nfxB multidrug
612   resistant mutants. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **50**, 657-664 (2002).
613
614   41.   Galata V, Fehlmann T, Backes C, Keller A. PLSDB: a resource of complete bacterial plasmids.
615   *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, D195-D202 (2019).
616
617   42.   Mustapha MM*, et al.* Draft Genome Sequences of Four Hospital-Associated Pseudomonas
618   putida Isolates. *Genome Announc* **4**, (2016).
619
620   43.   Liu B, Zheng D, Jin Q, Chen L, Yang J. VFDB 2019: a comparative pathogenomic platform with
621   an interactive web interface. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, D687-D692 (2019).
622
623   44.   Macho AP, Ruiz-Albert J, Tornero P, Beuzon CR. Identification of new type III effectors and
624   analysis of the plant response by competitive index. *Mol Plant Pathol* **10**, 69-80 (2009).
625
626   45.   Henry RL, Mellis CM, Petrovic L. Mucoid Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a marker of poor survival
627   in cystic fibrosis. *Pediatr Pulmonol* **12**, 158-161 (1992).
628
629   46.   Chang WS, van de Mortel M, Nielsen L, Nino de Guzman G, Li X, Halverson LJ. Alginate
630   production by Pseudomonas putida creates a hydrated microenvironment and contributes to
631   biofilm architecture and stress tolerance under water-limiting conditions. *J Bacteriol* **189**,
632   8290-8299 (2007).
633
634   47.   Passarelli-Araujo H, Palmeiro JK, Moharana KC, Pedrosa-Silva F, Dalla-Costa LM, Venancio TM.
635   Genomic analysis unveils important aspects of population structure, virulence, and
636   antimicrobial resistance in Klebsiella aerogenes. *FEBS J* **286**, 3797-3810 (2019).
637
638   48.   Song WY, Kim HJ. Current biochemical understanding regarding the metabolism of
639   acinetobactin, the major siderophore of the human pathogen Acinetobacter baumannii, and
640   outlook for discovery of novel anti-infectious agents based thereon. *Nat Prod Rep* **37**, 477-487
641   (2020).
642
643   49.   Matthijs S*, et al.* Siderophore-mediated iron acquisition in the entomopathogenic bacterium
644   Pseudomonas entomophila L48 and its close relative Pseudomonas putida KT2440. *Biometals*
645   **22**, 951-964 (2009).
646
647   50.   Bernal P, Allsopp LP, Filloux A, Llamas MA. The Pseudomonas putida T6SS is a plant warden
648   against phytopathogens. *ISME J* **11**, 972-987 (2017).
649
650   51.   Validov S*, et al.* Selection of bacteria able to control Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. radicis-
651   lycopersici in stonewool substrate. *J Appl Microbiol* **102**, 461-471 (2007).
652
653   52.   Roca A*, et al.* Analysis of the plant growth-promoting properties encoded by the genome of
654   the rhizobacterium Pseudomonas putida BIRD-1. *Environ Microbiol* **15**, 780-794 (2013).
655
656   53.   Gong T*, et al.* Engineering Pseudomonas putida KT2440 for simultaneous degradation of
657   carbofuran and chlorpyrifos. *Microb Biotechnol* **9**, 792-800 (2016).
658

659 54. Matteoli FP*, et al.* Genome sequencing and assessment of plant growth-promoting properties
660   of a Serratia marcescens strain isolated from vermicompost. *BMC Genomics* **19**, 750 (2018).
661

662 55. Miller SH, Browne P, Prigent-Combaret C, Combes-Meynet E, Morrissey JP, O'Gara F.
663   Biochemical and genomic comparison of inorganic phosphate solubilization in Pseudomonas
664   species. *Environ Microbiol Rep* **2**, 403-411 (2010).
665

666 56. Duque E*, et al.* Identification of reciprocal adhesion genes in pathogenic and non-pathogenic
667   Pseudomonas. *Environ Microbiol* **15**, 36-48 (2013).
668

669 57. Glick BR, Todorovic B, Czarny J, Cheng Z, Duan J, McConkey B. Promotion of Plant Growth by
670   Bacterial ACC Deaminase. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences* **26**, (2007).
671

672 58. Eaton RW. p-Cymene catabolic pathway in Pseudomonas putida F1: cloning and
673   characterization of DNA encoding conversion of p-cymene to p-cumate. *J Bacteriol* **179**, 3171-
674   3180 (1997).
675

676 59. Yonezuka K*, et al.* Isolation and characterization of a bacterial strain that degrades cis-
677   dichloroethenein the absence of aromatic inducers. *J Gen Appl Microbiol* **62**, 118-125 (2016).
678

679 60. Daniels C*, et al.* Global regulation of food supply by Pseudomonas putida DOT-T1E. *J Bacteriol*
680   **192**, 2169-2181 (2010).
681

682 61. Skvortsov T, Hoering P, Arkhipova K, Whitehead RC, Boyd DR, Allen CCR. Draft Genome
683   Sequences of Pseudomonas putida UV4 and UV4/95, Toluene Dioxygenase-Expressing
684   Producers of cis-1,2-Dihydrodiols. *Genome Announc* **6**, (2018).
685

686 62. Mosqueda G, Ramos JL. A set of genes encoding a second toluene efflux system in
687   Pseudomonas putida DOT-T1E is linked to the tod genes for toluene metabolism. *J Bacteriol*
688   **182**, 937-943 (2000).
689

690 63. Ondov BD*, et al.* Mash: fast genome and metagenome distance estimation using MinHash.
691   *Genome Biol* **17**, 132 (2016).
692

693 64. Pritchard L, Glover HR, Humphris S, Elphinstone JG, Toth IK. Genomics and taxonomy in
694   diagnostics for food security: soft-rotting enterobacterial plant pathogens. *Anal Methods* **8**,
695   10-24 (2016).
696

697 65. Seemann T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2068-2069
698   (2014).
699

700 66. Page AJ*, et al.* Roary: rapid large-scale prokaryote pan genome analysis. *Bioinformatics* **31**,
701   3691-3693 (2015).
702

703 67. Snipen L, Liland KH. micropan: an R-package for microbial pan-genomics. *BMC Bioinformatics*
704   **16**, 79 (2015).
705

706 68. Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements
707   in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol* **30**, 772-780 (2013).
708

709 69. Page AJ*, et al.* SNP-sites: rapid efficient extraction of SNPs from multi-FASTA alignments.
710   *Microb Genom* **2**, e000056 (2016).

712   70.   Stamatakis A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large

713   phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1312-1313 (2014).

715   71.   Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new developments.

716   *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, W256-W259 (2019).

718   72.   Altschul SF*, et al.* Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search

719   programs. *Nucleic Acids Res* **25**, 3389-3402 (1997).

721   73.   Edgar RC. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *Bioinformatics* **26**,

722   2460-2461 (2010).

Tables

Table 1. *Pseudomonas putida* isolates used in this study with average nucleotide identity (ANI) from KT2440.

Strain	Classified as	ANI (%)	CC	Location	Source	Accession
15420352	*P. putida*	97.12	CC4	China	urine	GCA_013305625.1
B2017	*P. putida*	97.25	CC3	Spain	root	GCA_007279645.1
B4	*P. putida*	97.26	CC3	China	soil	GCA_003671955.1
B6-2	*P. putida*	97.12	NOCC	-	soil	GCA_000226035.3
BIRD-1	*P. putida*	97.49	CC5	Spain	rhizosphere	GCA_000183645.1
CA-3	*P. putida*	97.22	CC4	Ireland	waste material	GCA_002810225.1
CY06	*P. monteilii*	95.72	CC1	China	shrimp	GCA_002835905.1
DOT-T1E	*P. putida*	97.28	CC3	Spain	wastewater	GCA_000281215.1
DPA1	*P. putida*	97.22	CC4	Greece	soil	GCA_002891885.1
DZ-F23	*P. putida*	95.68	CC1	China	fly	GCA_002094775.1
F1	*P. putida*	97.19	CC2	USA	soil	GCA_000016865.1
FDAARGOS_409	*P. putida*	97.11	CC4	USA	blood	GCA_002554535.1
FF4	*Pseudomonas sp.*	97.14	NOCC	Chile	wastewater	GCA_007049805.1
FW305-E2	*P. putida*	97.16	CC2	USA	groundwater	GCA_900095365.1
FW305-E2_1	*Pseudomonas sp.*	97.16	CC2	USA	groundwater	GCA_002901725.1
GTC_16473	*Pseudomonas sp.*	99.87	CC7	Japan	*Homo sapiens*	GCA_001753855.1
GTC_16482	*Pseudomonas sp.*	99.83	CC7	Japan	*Homo sapiens*	GCA_001319995.1
H	*P. putida*	99.99	CC7	Germany	soil	GCA_001077495.1
Idaho	*P. putida*	98.29	CC6	China	-	GCA_000226475.2
INSali382	*P. putida*	98.20	CC6	Portugal	vegetable	GCA_001653615.1
IOFA1	*P. putida*	95.73	CC1	Indian Ocean	deep-sea sediment	GCA_001293025.1
IOFA19	*P. monteilii*	95.62	CC1	Indian Ocean	deep sea sediment	GCA_000633915.1
JB	*P. putida*	97.44	CC5	Czech Republic	soil	GCA_001767335.1
JLR11	*P. putida*	99.97	CC7	Spain	wastewater	GCA_001183585.1
JR16	*P. putida*	97.46	CC5	India	soil	GCA_004519745.1
JY-Q	*Pseudomonas sp.*	97.20	CC4	China	tabaco extract	GCA_001655295.1
KB3	*P. putida*	95.73	CC1	Poland	soil	GCA_004614175.1

KBS0802	*Pseudomonas sp.*	99.98	CC7	USA	soil	GCA_005937845.2
KCJK7911	*P. putida*	97.25	CC3	USA	water	GCA_003053335.1
KCJK7916	*P. putida*	97.20	NOCC	USA	water	GCA_003053385.1
KH-18-2	*P. putida*	95.67	CC1	Pacific Ocean	water	GCA_002906815.1
KH-20-11	*P. putida*	95.69	CC1	Pacific Ocean	water	GCA_002906795.1
Kh14	*Pseudomonas sp.*	97.40	CC5	Iran	rhizosphere	GCA_900291005.1
Kh7	*Pseudomonas sp.*	97.39	CC5	Iran	rhizosphere	GCA_900291035.1
KT-90	*P. putida*	95.74	CC1	Pacific Ocean	coastal water	GCA_002906755.1
KT2440	*P. putida*	100.00	CC7	Japan	rhizosphere	GCA_900167985.1
LD6	*P. putida*	97.45	CC5	China	rhizosphere	GCA_003586135.1
LF54	*P. putida*	95.67	CC1	Japan	lotus field	GCA_000390005.2
LS46	*P. putida*	97.18	CC4	Canada	water	GCA_000294445.2
N1R	*P. putida*	97.39	CC5	EUA	soil	GCA_900156185.1
NBRC_111118	*Pseudomonas sp.*	97.23	CC4	Japan	*Homo sapiens*	GCA_001320085.1
NBRC_111121	*Pseudomonas sp.*	99.91	CC7	Japan	sputum	GCA_001320165.1
NBRC_111125	*Pseudomonas sp.*	97.20	CC4	Japan	urine	GCA_001320295.1
NBRC_111136	*Pseudomonas sp.*	97.17	CC4	Japan	urine	GCA_001320745.1
NBRC_111139	*Pseudomonas sp.*	97.15	CC4	Japan	eye discharge	GCA_001753955.1
NCTC13185	*P. putida*	99.99	CC7	-	-	GCA_901482375.1
NCTC13186	*P. putida*	99.99	CC7	-	-	GCA_900636645.1
ND6	*P. putida*	97.10	CC2	China	wastewater	GCA_000264665.2
ODNR4SY	*P. putida*	97.18	CC2	USA	water	GCA_009905395.1
OR45a	*P. putida*	97.44	CC5	Poland	activated sludge	GCA_004614155.1
P11	*P. hunanensis*	97.12	CC4	China	soil	GCA_002910975.1
PaW85-2019	*P. putida*	99.99	CC7	Estonia	-	GCA_011750655.1
PaW85-d13TA	*P. putida*	99.99	CC7	Estonia	-	GCA_011750675.1
PCL1760	*P. putida*	97.45	CC5	Spain	rhizosphere	GCA_001282125.1
PD1	*P. putida*	97.27	CC3	USA	root	GCA_000799625.1
RW4053	*Pseudomonas sp.*	97.44	CC5	Germany	river sediments	GCA_003184135.1
S12	*P. putida*	97.48	CC5	Netherlands	soil	GCA_000495455.2
SJTE-1	*P. putida*	97.17	CC2	China	soil	GCA_000271965.2
SMT-1	*Pseudomonas sp.*	99.99	CC7	China	soil	GCA_003204195.1
SWI36	*Pseudomonas sp.*	98.16	CC6	USA	soil	GCA_002948105.1
SWI36_1	*Pseudomonas sp.*	98.22	CC6	USA	soil	GCA_004153505.1
SWI36_2	*Pseudomonas sp.*	98.22	CC6	USA	soil	GCA_004153435.1
TRO1	*P. putida*	97.17	CC4	Denmark	activated sludge	GCA_000367825.1
UV4	*P. putida*	97.17	CC4	UK	laboratory strain	GCA_002165695.1
UV4/95	*P. putida*	97.17	CC4	UK	laboratory strain	GCA_002165665.1
XWY-1	*Pseudomonas sp.*	98.16	CC6	China	rice fields	GCA_002953115.1
YKD221	*P. putida*	97.23	CC2	Japan	soil	GCA_000787655.1
ZKA3	*P. plecoglossicida*	98.18	CC6	Greece	water	GCA_003633555.1

729 Table 2. Frequency of resistance mechanisms categories in both core and accessory
730 resistome.

Pangenome division	Resistance mechanism	Frequency
Core	Antibiotic efflux	15 genes* (100%)
Accessory	Antibiotic efflux	126 genes (67.74%)
	Antibiotic inactivation	47 genes (25.27%)
	Antibiotic target alteration	3 genes (1.61%)
	Antibiotic target protection	1 gene (0.54%)
	Antibiotic target replacement	9 genes (4.84%)

*Number of different genes.

Figures

Figure 1. Phylogenetic reconstruction of 10,457 *Pseudomonas* genomes from
Pseudomonas genus. Genomes classified as *P. putida* according to NCBI are marked
as red circle. *P. putida* group was highlighted to assess the distribution of misclassified
genomes. *P. putida* (*P. alloputida*) branches are colored in red.

Figure 2. Network analysis of isolates from the *P. putida* group. Nodes represent isolates and edges connect isolates with at least 95% of average nucleotide identity. Clusters with type strains or at least ten isolates were highlighted, as they represent either known or potentially novel species.

Figure 3. Population structure of *P. putida*. **a.** phylogenetic reconstruction using SNPs
extracted from core genome to assign the cgMLST scheme. Colors represent distinct Clonal
Complexes and NOCC stands for No Clonal Complex assigned with high confidence. **b.**
Principal Component Analysis based on the presence/absence profile of accessory genes
present in 5% to 95% of the isolates. **c.** Branch lengths for each Clonal Complex to estimate
heterozygosity. **d.** ΔK distribution to estimate the best value for K, which supports the presence
of 7 *P. putida* CCs.

Figure 4. Phylogenetic tree and coancestry barplots, correlating the phylogenetic tree using SNPs extracted from core genome and coancestry probabilities assigned with STRUCTURE. Red dots in branches represent bootstrap values lower than 70%. Each color represents one of the seven predicted Clonal Complexes (CC).

Figure 5. Population structure and distribution of virulence and resistance genes. **a, b.** distribution of acquired resistance and virulence genes. **c.** Maximum likelihood tree from SNPs present in the core genome inferred with 68 genomes used in this study. Branches from clinical isolates are marked with an orange circle. The inner ring represents the clonal complexes (NOCC: "No Clonal Complex"). The second and third rings indicate the number (n) of acquired resistance and virulence genes, respectively.