Distinct Protofilament-scale Dynamics Define the Differential Remodeling of Microtubule Arrays

Authors: S. S. Wijeratne[1,2], M. Marchan[1†], J. S. Tresback[3†], R. Subramanian[1,2*]

Affiliations:

[1]Department of Molecular Biology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA 02114, USA.

[2]Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA.

[3]Center for Nanoscale Systems, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA.

*Correspondence: radhika@molbio.mgh.harvard.edu.

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

SUMMARY

The intrinsic dynamic instability of microtubules and its control by associated enzymes, such as depolymerases, is essential for the organization of complex multi-microtubule arrays like spindle and axoneme. However, existing optical or electron-microscopy methods lack the spatial-temporal resolution to observe the dynamics of individual microtubules within arrays. We use Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) to image individual microtubules and protofilaments within depolymerizing arrays. We discover previously unseen modes of microtubule destabilization by conserved depolymerases. The kinesin-13 MCAK mediates asynchronous protofilament depolymerization and lattice-defect propagation, whereas the kinesin-8 Kip3p promotes synchronous protofilament depolymerization. Unexpectedly, MCAK can depolymerize axonemal doublets, but Kip3p cannot. We propose that distinct protofilament-level activities underlie the functional dichotomy of depolymerases, resulting in either large-scale destabilization or length regulation of microtubule arrays. Our work establishes AFM as a powerful strategy to visualize microtubule dynamics and reveals how distinct nanometer-scale action of enzymes mediates differential remodeling of micron-sized cytoskeletal structures.

KEYWORDS: Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM), Protofilament, Cytoskeleton Remodeling, Microtubule Arrays, Axoneme, Depolymerization Dynamics

Main Text:

The dynamic formation and dismantling of protein arrays underlie a broad range of cellular functions in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes. A prototypical example of dynamic polymeric protein structures are micron-sized arrays of microtubules, which assemble into essential cellular machines and tracks such as the mitotic spindle in dividing cells, axonal arrays in neurons and axonemes in cilia and flagella. Microtubules themselves are complex cylindrical macromolecular assembly of, most commonly, 13-15 protofilaments that are composed of repeating α,β-tubulin heterodimers. The intrinsic dynamic instability of microtubules and its regulation by a host of different Microtubule Associated Proteins (MAPs) are critical for the assembly and disassembly of microtubule arrays. How nanometer-scale dynamics of protofilaments (~4 nm) and microtubules (~25 nm) result in the organization and remodeling of micron-sized multi-microtubule arrays remains poorly understood.

In vitro reconstitution and visualization by optical microscopy have provided tremendous insights into microtubule dynamic instability and its regulation by MAPs. However, these studies have been limited to single or pairs of microtubules as light microscopy does not have the resolution to identify individual microtubules within a complex array of multiple microtubules. In addition, it is challenging to image individual protofilaments within each microtubule by this method. Structural intermediates of microtubule-remodeling reactions have been inferred from electron microscopy studies, but the single snapshot nature of the technique lacks temporal resolution to follow reaction dynamics in real time. To address these technical limitations and offer insights into microtubule array remodeling at single microtubule and protofilament resolution in real time, we employed Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) imaging (Ando et al., 2001; Dufrene et al., 2017; Hamon et al., 2010; Kodera et al., 2010; Schaap et al., 2011; Vinckier et al., 1995). This technique allowed the direct visualization of microtubule depolymerization in two different arrays, antiparallel microtubule bundles that are found in the mitotic spindle and doublet microtubule

45   arrays that form axonemes in cilia and flagella (Duellberg et al., 2013; Goetz and Anderson, 2010; Subramanian and Kapoor, 2012).

        A critical reaction that governs the size and stability of microtubule arrays is microtubule depolymerization, which is catalyzed by a class of enzymes known as microtubule depolymerases. This reaction is required for rapid large-scale reorganization of the cytoplasm. For example, the

50   mitotic spindle is built and disassembled every time a cell divides and the cilium is constructed and deconstructed each cell cycle (McHedlishvili et al., 2018; Sanchez and Dynlacht, 2016; Woodruff et al., 2012). In addition to large scale reorganization of microtubule networks and arrays, microtubule dynamics and its regulation are important for fine tuning the size of microtubule arrays (Goshima and Scholey, 2010; Hu et al., 2015; Ishikawa and Marshall, 2011; Shrestha et al., 2018).

55   A fundamental conundrum is how the same reaction, the removal of tubulin from microtubules, results in different outcomes ranging from large-scale remodeling or fine-length regulation of microtubule arrays.

        Prototypical depolymerases are members of the two major family of kinesins, the vertebrate kinesin-13 family, such as MCAK, and the budding yeast kinesin-8 family, such as

60   Kip3p (Howard and Hyman, 2007; Reilly and Benmerah, 2019; Walczak et al., 2013). While the non-motile MCAK and processive Kip3p proteins have different mechanisms for arriving at the microtubule ends, enzymatically MCAK and Kip3p are both catastrophe factors and catalyze the removal of tubulin from microtubule ends (Gupta et al., 2006; Varga et al., 2006) (Desai et al., 1999; Helenius et al., 2006). Structural studies suggests that at the microtubule end, both

65   enzymes recognize the curved conformation of tubulin in a similar manner (Arellano-Santoyo et al., 2017; Asenjo et al., 2013; Ogawa et al., 2004). Despite these similarities, these proteins differently regulate dynamic instability such that Kip3p limits the distribution of maximum microtubule lengths whereas MCAK promotes rapid filament shortening (Gardner et al., 2011; Mulder et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2016). These differences are reflected in their distinct functions;

70 kinesin-8 proteins are largely involved in length control of structures such as the spindle and the cilium, while kinesin-13s are additionally implicated in large-scale cytoskeleton remodeling such as the depolymerization of interphase microtubules during entry into mitosis and suppression of cilium biogenesis (Kobayashi et al., 2011; Maney et al., 1998; Niwa et al., 2012; Piao et al., 2009; Walczak et al., 1996). However, what underlies the differences in activity of these prototypical

75 kinesin-family depolymerases and how differences in depolymerase activity at the single microtubule level translate to distinct remodeling of complex arrays remain unknown.

The AFM imaging reported here reveals the structural dynamics that underlie microtubule array destabilization and provide a framework for linking the action of enzymes on the nanometer-scale protofilaments to the remodeling of micron-sized arrays. The study sheds light on the long-

80 standing question of how different depolymerases are tuned for distinct cellular activities, such as rapid remodeling or length control of microtubule arrays. Our findings highlight differences in enzyme activity on the protofilament scale as a critical parameter that governs the fate of microtubules within complex structures, thereby dictating how such arrays are remodeled.

85 **Imaging the depolymerization of PRC1-crosslinked microtubule arrays by Atomic Force Microscopy**

As a first step towards imaging the depolymerization of microtubule arrays by MCAK, we reconstituted microtubule bundles using the antiparallel crosslinking protein PRC1 (Protein Regulator of Cytokinesis-1) on a mica surface (Fig. 1A) (see Methods and Extended

90 Supplemental Methods). AFM images of the bundles reveal that PRC1 crosslinking results in 2D microtubule arrays (Fig. 1B-C). This is advantageous as every microtubule in the bundle can be clearly distinguished visually (see Methods and Extended Supplemental Methods). This is reflected in a height plot as a series of peaks with an average height of ~30 nm (Fig. 1D). The 3D rendition of the images, obtained from the surface topography data of Fig. 1B, shows dense

95   linkages connecting the overlapping microtubules in an array, consistent with the cooperative

binding of PRC1 to overlapping microtubules (Fig. 1C) (Bieling et al., 2010; Subramanian et al.,

2010).

We used two main criteria to set the imaging conditions for investigating microtubule

dynamics within PRC1-crosslinked bundles (3-4 mins/frame with 256 x 256 pixels): (1) the spatial

100 and temporal resolution is suitable for imaging the entire array as well as individual microtubules

within the bundle, and (2) no sample damage is visible in the time frame of the experiment (15-

30 mins). Experiments were performed with both GMPCPP- and GMPCPP + taxol-stabilized

microtubules. After locating a microtubule bundle by AFM, MCAK was added into the sample

chamber at the indicated concentrations and time lapse image series was acquired (*note:* solution

105 concentrations are reported throughout the manuscript; local concentrations on the mica surface

may be different). The rate of microtubule depolymerization by MCAK in the absence of PRC1 is

similar to the rates observed by TIRF (Supplemental Fig. 1A-C), which shows that the activity of

the enzyme is intact in this assay (Supplemental Fig. 1D-E) (Cooper et al., 2010; Gardner et al.,

2011; Helenius et al., 2006). The time-lapse AFM imaging of PRC1-crosslinked bundles reveals

110 that depolymerization of individual microtubules within an array by MCAK can be visualized in

real time (Fig. 1E, 2A, Supplemental Fig. 2A, Supplemental Videos 1-2). Depolymerization begins

shortly after MCAK addition, as we observed depolymerization of microtubules within the arrays

in the first image taken after MCAK addition. This is visualized in sections of microtubule that lack

complete tubules (Fig. 1E, time=0 min). In control experiments without MCAK, no significant

115 depolymerization of PRC1-crosslinked microtubules is observed (Supplemental Fig. 2B).

These observations reveal AFM imaging as a powerful method to examine dynamic

changes in a multi-microtubule array with nanometer-scale spatial resolution, thus offering a view

of structural changes that are extremely difficult to detect by other imaging methods (Coombes et

al., 2013).

MCAK depolymerizes microtubule protofilaments asynchronously and propagates defects within crosslinked bundles.

Close examination of the time lapse AFM images revealed two striking features of the reaction intermediates. First, the loss of microtubules was observed to be associated with the

125 appearance of stripe-like features in the AFM images, which correspond to protofilaments. For example, in the magnified time-lapse montages, partial and bidirectional depolymerization is observed at the microtubule ends (Fig. 1F-K, Supplemental Fig. 2A). The stripes arise due to protofilaments at different heights from the surface, which are resolved because the high resolution of AFM in the axis perpendicular to the mica surface (see Supplemental Experimental

130 Procedures). These observations show that in the presence of MCAK, the depolymerization of protofilaments within a microtubule is asynchronous (Fig. 1F, 1I, Supplemental Fig. 2A). The stripiness is observed on non-crosslinked as well as crosslinked microtubules with one or two neighbors. These observations suggest that microtubule protofilaments depolymerize at different rates in the presence of MCAK, resulting in an asynchronous loss of protofilaments from the ends

135 (Fig. 1L). EM studies have described curved ram horn shape of protofilaments during depolymerization. In the AFM experiments, these transient structures can only be captured if they lie in the plane of the mica surface as shown in Supplemental Fig. 2C. Similar results were observed with microtubules stabilized by either GMPCPP or GMPCPP + taxol (Supplemental Fig. 2A).

140 Second, in addition to depolymerization from the ends, we observed that breaks can also appear in the middle of microtubule arrays. These are likely to be defects formed by the loss of tubulin from the microtubule lattice. We find that defects can be propagated by MCAK, again accompanied by the protofilament-associated stripiness (Fig. 2A-C). Defects propagate both along the diameter and length of microtubules, with different rates (Fig. 2D-E), suggesting that

145   destabilization of inter-protofilament interactions is slower than depolymerization along the length

of an individual protofilaments in the presence of MCAK. The observed depolymerization rates in

the two opposite directions along the microtubule length are consistent with the unequal rates of

plus and minus end microtubule depolymerization by MCAK (Fig. 2D) (Varga et al., 2006). More

defects were observed in our taxol stabilized samples compared to GMPCPP, suggesting that

150   polymerization conditions contribute to defects (Rai et al., 2020). Defect propagation was also

observed in Total Internal Reflection Fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy-based assays with

GMPCPP microtubules in the presence of MCAK (300-500 nM) (Supplemental Fig. 1A-C). Thus,

while the number of defects observed in AFM may be a combination of pre-existing lattice defects

and any additional ones induced by the AFM tip, the TIRF and AFM data show that MCAK can

155   propagate these defects to induce destabilization of arrays.

How does PRC1-mediated bundling of microtubules affect array destabilization by MCAK?

To address this, we quantitatively examined the effect of neighboring microtubules in bundles on

the depolymerization reaction. Quantitative measurement of the depolymerization rates of

microtubules that have zero, one or two neighbors (see methods) showed that bundling has a

160   protective effect on microtubules against MCAK-mediated depolymerization (Supplemental Fig.

1E). We find that the depolymerization rates of microtubules with two neighbors are 3-fold lower

than microtubules with zero or one neighbor. Second, microtubule depolymerization rates also

depend on PRC1 concentration (Supplemental Fig. 1E). For instance, the depolymerization rate

decreased when the solution concentration of PRC1 was increased by 10-fold from 10 nM to 100

165   nM. This reduction could be alleviated by increasing the MCAK concentration by ~10-fold. Under

all conditions, the presence of two neighboring microtubules has a significant effect on the

protection of bundles. This is likely to arise from the highly dense pattern of PRC1 occupancy in

overlap regions. Consequently, microtubules with two neighbors, one on either side, are likely to

have the least number of exposed microtubules protofilaments.

170   The features observed during AFM imaging of microtubule array depolymerization by MCAK suggest that a single or few exposed protofilaments can be an effective substrate for MCAK, and that these protofilaments are asynchronously removed by the enzyme. Altogether, we demonstrate that microtubule depolymerization can be visualized by AFM in real time at the single microtubule and protofilament resolution within arrays. By using this imaging modality, we

175   provide the first view of protofilament level depolymerization by a microtubule depolymerase, nearly two decades after such possibility was hypothesized (Moores et al., 2002; Niederstrasser et al., 2002). Therefore, we formally show that protofilament-level depolymerization occurs on microtubules within a larger array in the presence of MCAK. The observed asynchronous loss of protofilaments and defect propagation suggests that MCAK can use an exposed protofilament as

180   a substrate as would be advantageous for large-scale destabilization of the microtubule arrays.

Structural dynamics of microtubule depolymerization by Kip3p are distinct from MCAK

        To investigate whether different depolymerases exhibit distinct structural dynamics, we examined the depolymerization of PRC1-crosslinked microtubule bundles by Kip3p. We mainly

185   focused on GMPCPP stabilized microtubules since depolymerization of doubly-stabilized GMPCPP-taxol microtubules by Kip3p is extremely slow (Helenius et al., 2006; Varga et al., 2006). We first examined Kip3p activity on single microtubules in the absence of PRC1 (Supplemental Fig. 3A). The depolymerization rate increases with Kip3p concentration (Supplemental Fig. 3B) and are similar to rates observed by TIRF microscopy (Fig. 3C-D).

190   Depolymerization is primarily seen at one end of the microtubules although we also observe some slow minus-end depolymerization (Supplemental Fig. 3B inset; 0.34±0.07 nm/s on the plus end compared to 0.08±0.02 nm/s on the minus end at 1 nM solution concentration of Kip3p). Low levels of minus depolymerization are also observed in TIRF assays at concentrations > 50 nM (Supplemental Fig. 3C-D). Enhanced local concentration of Kip3p (on the mica substrate or on

195   the cantilever tip) may contribute to Kip3p-induced depolymerization activity from both ends of

the microtubules as has also been observed with a non-motile Kip3p mutant (Arellano-Santoyo

et al., 2017). We did not observe any significant depolymerization of the microtubule without

Kip3p (Supplemental Fig. 3E). We next examined Kip3p activity on PRC1-crosslinked

microtubules. We selected a field where the ends of several microtubules within the PRC1 bundle

200   were in view, added Kip3p and collected time-lapse AFM images (Fig. 3A, Supplemental Fig. 4A-

B, Supplemental Video 3). We observed that the structural features of depolymerization of PRC1-

bound crosslinked and individual microtubules by Kip3p were distinct from MCAK. First, in

contrast to MCAK, no stripes were observed at the ends of depolymerizing microtubules and the

protofilaments were lost in unison, leaving only one or few protofilament remnants (< 5 nm in

205   height) that were adhered on the mica surface (Fig. 3B-D). This is reflected in the shifting of the

entire edge of the corresponding height profiles with depolymerization without features of

intermediate heights. Second, unlike with MCAK, we rarely observed defect propagation events

using the same batch of microtubules. In the rare instances where we saw depolymerization from

the middle of a doubly stabilized GMPCPP-taxol microtubule, we again observed no stripes

210   suggesting that defects are propagated by Kip3p in unison, i.e. synchronously (Supplemental Fig.

4B). Third, in contrast to MCAK, we did not see a significant effect of PRC1 concentration or

neighbors on depolymerization rate (Supplemental Fig. 4C). In control experiments in the

presence of ATP alone, we found no significant depolymerization activity of the PRC1-

crossslinked bundle, indicating that the observed depolymerization is specific to the presence of

215   depolymerases in this assay (Supplemental Fig. 2B, 4D).

In addition, we observed that Kip3p destabilizes highly curved microtubules, consistent

with the reported accumulation of Kip3 at these sites (Arellano-Santoyo et al., 2017) (Fig. 3E-F,

Supplemental Fig. 5A). Destabilization of the curved region was neither observed in the presence

of the motile conventional kinesin (K401) or ATP alone (Supplemental Fig. 5B). We used time-

220   resolved AFM to follow the structural intermediates of the process by which the curved segment

disintegrates in real time in the presence of Kip3p (Fig. 3E-F, Supplemental Video 4) (Arellano-

Santoyo et al., 2017). As seen in the zoomed 3D view, the curved section destabilized and

depolymerized faster in one direction (Fig. 3F). Again, we observed that depolymerization of the

entire microtubule occurs when most of the protofilaments in the curved region are lost. Overall,

225   the features of microtubule depolymerization, such as synchronous depolymerization of

protofilaments, lack of defect propagation, and accelerated breaking at curved microtubule

segments by Kip3p in the absence of PRC1 (Supplemental Fig. 3A, 5A), are similar to that

observed in experiments with PRC1 (Fig. 3A-F, Supplemental Fig. 4A-B). Together these data

suggest that unlike MCAK, Kip3p depolymerizes protofilament ends synchronously. This is likely

230   due to the accumulation of Kip3p at microtubule ends and a preference to stall at ends, rather

than at sections of partially exposed protofilaments such as those in defects.

Altogether, this suggests that the two depolymerases, Kip3p and MCAK, exhibit distinct

preferences in terms of substrate specificity at microtubule ends and defects at the protofilament

level, and in the context of an array of bundled microtubules. These findings shed light on how

235   the two depolymerases may be tuned for distinct functions. While the properties of MCAK make

it well suited for remodeling of arrays and depolymerization at defects, Kip3p activity seems better

aligned with a role of a length regulator at microtubule ends.

Visualizing the depolymerization of doublet-microtubules using AFM.

240   As a step towards examining how other microtubule arrays are remodeled by depolymerases, we

focused on the axoneme, an array of nine outer doublet and two central singlet microtubules that

forms the backbone of the cilia and flagella (Fig. 4A). The doublets are composed of the A-tubule,

which contains 13 protofilaments, and the B-tubule, which is an incomplete microtubule containing

ten protofilaments (Nicastro et al., 2011; Satir, 1968). At the distal cilium tip, the doublets transition

245 into an array composed of singlets (Satir, 1968). Axoneme are one of the most stable arrays of microtubules in cells and dissociating them into soluble tubulin requires fairly harsh treatments like sonication and detergent, or specific ionic conditions (Binder and Rosenbaum, 1978; Orbach and Howard, 2019). Depolymerases of the kinesin-13 and kinesin-8 families are proposed to act on axonemes to control cilium length and stability (Kobayashi et al., 2011; Niwa et al., 2012; Piao

250 et al., 2009). However, the activity of depolymerases on doublet microtubules has not been visualized, and it is unknown if and how these enzymes depolymerize doublets and impact axoneme stability.

We purified axonemes from *Lytechinus pictus* sea urchin sperm. We first focused on individual doublets present in this sample. In high spatial resolution mode, the AFM images clearly

255 show two joined tubules, with heights of 25 and 35 nm respectively (Fig. 4B-C). Another feature of these microtubule doublets were the periodic repeats separated by ~30 nm, which are likely to be the outer dynein arms on the A tubule (Fig. 4B-C). Both of these structural features of microtubules are consistent with cryo-EM structures of the axoneme and previous AFM images of doublet microtubules (Nicastro et al., 2006; Owa et al., 2019). First, we examined whether

260 depolymerases act on doublets microtubules by performing AFM experiments with 2D doublet sheets from partially dissociated axonemes, composed of two or more doublets linked together (Supplemental Fig. 6A-D). In the presence of MCAK, we observed that one of the tubules depolymerizes from both ends first, followed by the depolymerization of the second tubule (Fig. 4D, Supplemental Fig. 6E-F and Supplemental Video 5). This can be visualized in the height plots

265 as a deepening of the minima between adjacent doublets (dotted lines) and the conversion of an asymmetric broad peak to a single sharp peak (indicated by black arrow) (Fig. 4D). The AFM time-lapse data of a microtubule doublet and a singlet in the same field of view in the presence of Kip3p revealed that the doublet depolymerizes very slowly (rate= 0.07 nm/s), but the single microtubule is depolymerized on the same time scale (rate= 0.43 nm/s) (Fig. 4E and

270   Supplemental Video 6). This is consistent with previous fluorescent studies with the kinesin-8

protein Kif19A, where single microtubules nucleated from an axoneme were depolymerized, but

the axoneme itself appears intact (Niwa et al., 2012). Within the doublet, the average

depolymerization rate measured for the A- and B-tubule in the presence of Kip3p was close to

zero (0.02 ± 0.02 nm/s) (Fig. 4F), while we estimated that MCAK leads to faster B-tubule

275   depolymerization than the A-tubule (Fig. 4F; A rate= 0.09 ± 0.06 nm/s; B rate= 0.38 ± 0.12 nm/s).

Control experiments with the doublets without enzyme showed no significant depolymerization

over the same time scale (Supplemental Fig. 6G). Taken together, these data suggest clear

differences in how MCAK and Kip3p process microtubule doublets.

    Axonemes are heavily decorated with several proteins. Prior reports have shown that

280   treatment with a solution of Tris-EDTA-DTT (TED) can dissociate a subset of axonemal proteins,

particularly the dyneins (Linck, 1976). Our AFM imaging revealed that these TED-treated doublets

have a smoother surface in comparison to untreated doublets (Supplemental Fig. 7A-B). We

examined if TED treatment alters the depolymerization of doublets. Similar to the doublet samples

without TED treatment (Fig. 4), we observed bidirectional depolymerization of one tubule of an

285   isolated doublet with MCAK (Supplemental Fig. 7C). TED treatment resulted in faster

depolymerization of both tubules (compared to non-TED with MCAK) with the B-tubule being lost

at a higher rate than the A-tubule (Supplemental Fig. 7D; A rate= 0.26 ± 0.10 nm/s; B rate=

0.63 ± 0.11 nm/s). In the presence of Kip3p, TED treatment also permitted slow depolymerization

of the B-tubule, but the A tubule remained intact (Supplemental Fig. 7E-F; A rate= 0.04 ± 0.02

290   nm/s; B rate= 0.17 ± 0.05 nm/s). In control experiments, without the enzyme, TED-treated doublets

showed no significant depolymerization (Supplemental Fig. 7G).

    Taken together, our results show for the first time that doublet microtubules can be

enzymatically depolymerized with MCAK, and the rate of depolymerization of the B-tubule in a

doublet is faster than the A-tubule. In contrast, doublet microtubules are poor substrates for Kip3p

295   even when the doublet is stripped of associated proteins. Thus, proteins that have Kip3p-like

properties may be selectively functional at the distal cilium tip, where the axoneme is composed

mostly of singlet microtubule, to fine-tune cilium length. In contrast, the properties of

depolymerases like MCAK make them better suited to depolymerize both singlets and doublets

for processes such as cilia disassembly or inhibition of ciliogenesis.

Destabilization of axonemal structures by MCAK

Our observations with the doublet microtubule depolymerization raise the question of how

preferential depolymerization of B-tubule by MCAK impacts stability of the entire axoneme. We

first imaged intact axonemes adsorbed onto the mica surface. Axonemes were ~200 nm in height,

305   which is consistent with the diameter of the axoneme from cryo-EM measurements (Fig. 5A-D

and Supplemental Fig. 8A-F) (Nicastro et al., 2006). The AFM amplitude image showed

longitudinal striations, which likely arise from the nine outer doublets that are around ~20-30 nm

apart (Fig. 5B and Supplemental Fig. 8B). In AFM imaging experiments with ATP alone, we

observed no substantial change in the overall size and integrity of the axoneme over time (Fig.

310   5E).

Next, we selected an axoneme, added depolymerase (t = 0), and monitored the changes

in the axoneme structure with time. With the addition of MCAK, we observed a rapid loss of

microtubules from the axoneme. For example, we documented that an intact axoneme (~200 nm

in max height) loses most of its tubules upon MCAK addition (Supplemental Fig. 8G).

315   Occasionally, we were able to capture intermediates in this reaction due to adsorption of the

dissociated microtubules on mica (Fig. 5F, Supplemental Fig. 8H, Supplemental Video 7). In the

example shown in Fig. 5F-G, MCAK addition resulted in the rapid unfurling of the axoneme and

the scattering of microtubules on the surface, while no furling was observed with Kip3p.

These data suggest that the preferential and rapid depolymerization of one tubule in a doublet by enzymes such as MCAK may result in disintegration of the structure by breaking the links between doublets in an axoneme.

Discussion

Collectively, this study demonstrates the power of AFM imaging in visualizing dynamic processes within dense microtubule arrays in real time and at spatial resolution that, for the first time, allowed observations of individual protofilaments. AFM imaging of depolymerizing microtubule arrays revealed previously unseen structural dynamics and provided new mechanistic insights into how differences in the activities of microtubule remodeling factors at the level of protofilaments and microtubules can lead to differential fate of complex multi-microtubule arrays.

Our data show that the prototypical members of two major depolymerases of the kinesin superfamily, the kinesin-13 MCAK and the kinesin-8 Kip3p, depolymerize complex microtubule arrays in distinct ways. How is this achieved at the mechanistic level? The answer to this question has not been clear despite greater than two decades of enzymatic and structural studies. From the perspectives of enzymology and substrate preference, Kip3 and MCAK are similar. First, both enzymes use family specific loops in the motor domains to target curved microtubules ends (Arellano-Santoyo et al., 2017; Mulder et al., 2009). Second, depolymerization catalyzed by both motors is not coupled to ATP hydrolysis. Instead, ATPase is inhibited at the microtubule end, and the role of hydrolysis is primarily to dissociate the enzymes from tubulin dimers after depolymerization, recycling for the next depolymerization reaction (Arellano-Santoyo et al., 2017; Wagenbach et al., 2008). While an obvious difference lies in the diffusive scanning of MCAK and the processive motility of Kip3 on microtubules, these differences reflect the mechanism by which the enzymes arrive at microtubule ends and not their depolymerase activity (Desai et al., 1999;

Gupta et al., 2006; Helenius et al., 2006; Varga et al., 2006). Indeed, it is observed that a non-

345 motile Kip3 can depolymerize microtubules (Arellano-Santoyo et al., 2017). Our data suggest that

the observed differences stem from distinct substrate specificity of the depolymerases at the

protofilament level (Fig. 6). We propose that MCAK molecules can effectively depolymerize an

exposed protofilament segment that it encounters at the middle or end of the lattice. This is

reflected in the observation that MCAK can propagate defects and protofilaments can be

350 asynchronously depolymerized from the ends. In contrast, a short exposed protofilament like in a

defect, is not sufficient for Kip3-mediated depolymerization from that site. Instead, Kip3 molecules

synchronously depolymerize from the multi-protofilament ends of microtubules, where they

accumulate. This is consistent with the previously observed co-operativity in Kip3 activity (Bugiel

et al., 2020; Varga et al., 2009). This difference in protofilament-level substrate preference of the

355 two enzymes lead to distinct action of crosslinked microtubules and doublets despite similarities

in tubulin binding and ATPase activity at microtubule ends.

Our results also provide insights into the structural mechanism by which these

depolymerases differentially regulate "microtubule aging". Aging refers to the observation that

catastrophe results from a multi-step process, and its probability increases with microtubule

360 lifetime (Gardner et al., 2011). MCAK reduces this process to a first order reaction while Kip3

simply accelerates the rate of steps leading to catastrophe, and consequently MCAK is able to

disassemble arrays faster than Kip3 (Gardner et al., 2011). Current models propose that the

catastrophe vulnerable state arises from the accumulation of defects and flayed protofilament

ends that have fewer laterally stabilized protofilaments (Coombes et al., 2013; Duellberg et al.,

365 2016; Zakharov et al., 2015). How might MCAK and Kip3p differently affect lateral stabilization?

The protofilament-level substrate specificity and efficient depolymerization of partially exposed

protofilaments by MCAK would prevent or greatly reduce the inherent lateral stabilization of

protofilaments, thus effectively eliminating the need for accumulation of laterally un-stabilized

protofilaments over time or aging. On the other hand, the stabilization of curved tubulin at

370   microtubule ends by Kip3 will serve to increase the rate of aging but not the multi-step nature of

the process. Together these observations provide a structural mechanism that can serve as a

framework for understanding the molecular underpinnings of aging process, and it's regulation by

depolymerizing enzymes.

The difference in substrate preference at the protofilament level has multiple implications

375   for structural dynamics and regulation of microtubules and the arrays, and the role depolymerases

play in these processes. First, lattice defects, which are sites with only a few exposed

protofilament ends, are propagated by MCAK but not by Kip3p, suggesting that microtubule arrays

with higher level of lattice defects may be especially sensitive to MCAK activity. This may be

advantageous for disassembly of microtubule arrays by MCAK in conjunction with proteins such

380   as microtubule severing enzymes (McNally and Roll-Mecak, 2018; Sharp and Ross, 2012). On

the other hand, the inability to act on defects would be advantageous for depolymerases like Kip3

and other homologs of Kip3, such as Kif18A, that suppress microtubule dynamics for length

control (Du et al., 2010). Second, the restriction of Kip3p activity to microtubule ends makes it

less sensitive to the crosslinking of microtubules by PRC1 compared to MCAK. This may arise

385   from lower density of PRC1 crosslinks at microtubule ends, the primary site of Kip3p action, either

because of crowding of Kip3p at ends or due to disruption of microtubule geometry at ends. This

feature of Kip3p would allow it to act as an effective length-regulator even in the context densely

crosslinked microtubule bundles. It is noteworthy that despite protection by PRC1 crosslinks,

MCAK remains an overall faster remodeler due to rapid kinetics of tubulin removal and ability to

390   access exposed protofilaments at both ends of microtubules and at defects. The properties of

MCAK also allow it to enzymatically depolymerize one of the most stable microtubule structures

in the cell, the doublet microtubules (Orbach and Howard, 2019; Owa et al., 2019; Witman et al.,

1972). We find that axonemal doublet microtubules can be depolymerized by MCAK, with B-

tubule preferentially depolymerized over the A-tubule. The lower stability of the B-tubule due to fewer MIPs and incomplete tubule structure (Ichikawa et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2019; Nicastro et al., 2006), likely exposes protofilaments that are effectively depolymerized by MCAK. As a consequence, MCAK mediates the rapid destabilization of axonemal arrays. We think that the fast depolymerization of one tubule compromises the stability of the axonemal array by breaking the links between doublets. In contrast, proteins like Kip3p, which preferentially depolymerize singlets over doublets can act as length regulators at the distal cilium tip, which is predominantly comprised of singlet microtubules (Kiesel et al., 2020).

As demonstrated here, previously unknown structural dynamics of individual microtubules and protofilaments within complex arrays can be clearly visualized in the AFM time lapse images. In the case of the two major family of depolymerases, we find that functional dichotomy in the action of depolymerases, which determines how micron-scale arrays are remodeled, can arise from differences in enzyme activity on the nanometer-sized protofilaments. These differences in the observed structural dynamics enable a greater diversity of microtubule array remodeling outcomes as would be beneficial in different cellular contexts when either large scale reorganization or fine-tuning of the architecture of cellular structures in required.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank S. Jiang for purifying MCAK and R. Ohi and D. Pellman for generously sharing Kip3p plasmids and proteins. R.S. was supported by the Pew Biomedical Foundation, the Smith Foundation and the NIH Director's New Innovator Award. This work was performed in part at the Center for Nanoscale Systems (CNS), a member of the National Nanotechnology Coordinated Infrastructure Network (NNCI), which is supported by the National Science Foundation under NSF award no. 1541959. CNS is part of Harvard University.

420 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

S.S.W. designed and performed the experiments, analyzed data and cowrote paper; M.M. performed TIRF experiments and analyzed data; J.S.T. assisted with AFM experiments; R.S. conceived of and designed the experiments, cowrote the paper and supervised the project. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure 1

Figure 2

a 100 nM PRC1 + 4 nM Kip3p

9 min

N=0

N=1

N=2

12 min

15 min

18 min

0   40 nm

b   *N*=0

c   *N*=1

d   *N*=2

Distance (nm)

Distance (nm)

Distance (nm)

e 10 nM PRC1 + 1 nM Kip3p

0 min   6 min   12 min   18 min   24 min   30 min

0   30 nm

f

0   30 nm

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

FIGURE TITLES AND LEGENDS

435 **Fig. 1. MCAK depolymerizes microtubule protofilaments asynchronously.**

(A). Schematic of an antiparallel microtubule array crosslinked by PRC1 (dotted lines).

(B). AFM image of a microtubule bundle crosslinked by 100 nM PRC1. Each microtubule within the flat 2D array can be clearly distinguished. The x-y scale bar is 70 nm. The z-scale is 0 to 40 nm (dark to light brown). The AFM image is colored according to height from the

440 surface.

(C). The 3D rendition of a zoomed in region from B.

(D). The corresponding height profile from dotted line in B.

(E). Successive AFM images show depolymerization of individual microtubules within a PRC1-crosslinked bundle by MCAK from 0-39 min. The image at 0 min represents the first frame

445 taken after adding MCAK (GMPCPP + taxol microtubules, PRC1:100 nM; MCAK: 70 nM). The x-y scale bar is 100 nm. The z-scale is 0 to 30 nm (dark to light brown).

(F)&(I). Two examples of depolymerization from the experiment in E showing stripe-like appearance of depolymerizing protofilaments (boxes 1-2).

(G)&(J). The 3D rendition of the AFM time-lapse images from F and I.

450 (H)&(K). Corresponding height profiles from the white dotted line in panels F and I show that the stripiness results from protofilaments at different heights relative to the surface.

(L). Schematic of a microtubule undergoing asynchronous protofilament-level depolymerization.

The scanning rate is 4 min/frame in B and ~3 min/frame in E with 256 x 256 pixels. See also

455 Supplemental Fig. 1-2.

Fig. 2. MCAK propagates defects within crosslinked bundles.

460 (A). Successive AFM images showing depolymerization of individual microtubules within a microtubule bundle by MCAK at 0-12 min. The image at 0 min represents the first frame taken after adding MCAK (GMPCPP + taxol microtubules, PRC1:100 nM; MCAK: 70 nM). The x-y scale bar is 50 nm.

(B). Successive time-lapse montages of a zoomed-in region of a section of microtubule from
465 the experiment in A (box 1) showing defect propagation on three microtubules within the array at the indicated times (arrows). 'S' indicates the edge with slow depolymerization, and 'F' indicates the edge with fast depolymerization. The x-y scale bar is 30 nm.

(C). The corresponding average height profiles along the length of the microtubule from the dotted line in B.

470 (D). Depolymerization rates of 'slow (S)' and 'fast (F)' events from defect propagation with MCAK (PRC1: 100 nM; MCAK: 70 nM; GMPCPP + taxol microtubules: slow= 0.02 ± 0.003 nm/s, n=19, fast=0.06 ± 0.01 nm/s, n=17; GMPCPP microtubules: slow= 0.05 ± 0.007 nm/s, n=18, fast=0.11 ± 0.02 nm/s, n=18).

(E). Depolymerization rates of 'diameter (D)' and 'length (L)' events from defect propagation
475 with MCAK (PRC1: 100 nM; MCAK: 70 nM; GMPCPP + taxol microtubules: diameter= 0.01 ± 0.002 nm/s, n=20, length=0.06 ± 0.01 nm/s, n=20; GMPCPP microtubules: diameter= 0.03 ± 0.004 nm/s, n=22, length=0.17 ± 0.03 nm/s, n=22).

The scanning rate is ~3 min/frame with 256 x 256 pixels.

480 **Fig. 3. Structural dynamics of microtubule depolymerization by Kip3p are distinct from MCAK.**

(A). Successive AFM time lapse images of a PRC1-crosslinked microtubule bundle in the presence of Kip3p at the indicated times (GMPCPP microtubules, PRC1:100 nM; Kip3p: 4 nM). The blue circles are fiduciary marks which show that the microtubules are

485 depolymerizing and not sliding. The x-y scale bar is 100 nm.

(B)-(D). Zoomed-in regions from the experiment in A (boxes) showing bluntness at the depolymerizing microtubule end for N=0 (B), N=1 (C), N=2 (D) events. The height profiles corresponding to the dotted lines show that protofilaments at the ends of the microtubules are lost synchronously (white arrows). The x-y scale bar is 40 nm. For A-D, the z-scale is 0

490 to 40 nm (dark to light brown).

(E). Successive AFM time-lapse images show the destabilization of two highly curved regions (white arrows at 0 min) at the indicated times. Over time, the microtubule starts to depolymerize faster from one end with synchronous loss of protofilaments (blue arrows) (GMPCPP microtubules, PRC1:10 nM; Kip3p: 1 nM). The x-y scale bar is 100 nm. The z-

495 scale is 0 to 30 nm (dark to light brown).

(F). The 3D rendition shows a magnified view of this depolymerization activity (dotted box at 0 min in E).

The scanning rate is ~3 min/frame with 256 x 256 pixels. See also Supplemental Fig. 3, 4 and 5.

Fig. 4. Visualizing the activity of MCAK and Kip3p on doublet-microtubules using AFM.

500   (A).   Schematic of the axoneme structure. Axonemes consists of 9 outer microtubule doublets (MTD). Each doublet contains an A and B tubule. Outer dynein arms (ODA) present on the A tubule form a repeating pattern.

(B).   AFM height image of an MTD. The A and the B tubule in an MTD were distinguished by the height of the tubules in the joined doublet (25 and 35 nm) and the periodic striations, which

505   are separated by 30 nm (arrows). The x-y scale bar is 50 nm.

(C).   The 3D representation of B and the corresponding height profile of dotted line in B.

(D).   Successive AFM images show a 2D microtubule doublet sheet with MCAK at the indicated times. The zoomed in region (boxed region) shows the depolymerization activity of alternate tubule in an array (arrows) and its corresponding height profiles over time (dotted line). The

510   height profiles show the deepening of the minima and changing of the asymmetric peak into a single sharp peak (dotted line, arrow) (-TED sample, MCAK: 7 nM). The x-y scale bar of the zoomed-out and zoomed-in image is 80 nm and 40 nm, respectively. The z-scale is 0 to 40 nm (dark to light brown).

(E).   Successive AFM height and amplitude images show a microtubule doublet (D) and a

515   singlet (S) in the presence Kip3p at the indicated times. The corresponding height profiles from dotted line show that the height of the doublet doesn't change over time, but the height of the singlet reduces with time (dotted lines) (-TED sample, Kip3p: 5 nM). The x-y scale bar is 100 nm. The z-scale is 0 to 50 nm (dark to light brown).

(F).   Depolymerization rates of A and B tubules in the -TED sample with MCAK and Kip3p.

520   MCAK: A rate=0.03±0.08 nm/s, n=12; B rate=0.38±0.12 nm/s, n=10; Kip3p: A

rate=0.003±0.015 nm/s, n=22; B rate=0.05±0.09 nm/s, n=22. Data were pooled from

experiments with protein concentrations less than 10 nM. The line on the boxes indicate

the mean of the sample. Statistical calculations used an unpaired t-test with

Kolmogorov-Smirnov correction for non-Gaussian distribution. * indicates a P-value of

525   <0.05.

The scanning rate is ~4 min/frame in B and ~3 min/frame in E-F with 256 x 256 pixels. See also

Supplemental Fig. 6 and 7.

Fig. 5. Destabilization of axonemal structures by depolymerases.

530 (A)-(C). AFM height (A), amplitude (B) and 3D (C) images of an intact axoneme from Lytechinus

pictus sea urchin sperm. The AFM amplitude (B) and the 3D (C) images show longitudinal

striations, likely from the nine outer doublets, which are around ~20-30 nm apart. The x-y

scale bar is 300 nm. The z-scale is 0–200 nm (dark to light brown).

(D).   The height profile of the selected dotted line from height image in A is ~200 nm.

535 (E).   Successive AFM images of an intact axoneme with 1 mM ATP at the indicated times. With

ATP alone, no significant change was observed in the axoneme structure. The x-y scale

bar is 300 nm. The z-scale is 0–300 nm (dark to light brown).

(F).   Successive AFM images of an axoneme in the presence of MCAK (1 nM) at the indicated

times. At t=0, the axoneme has been partially frayed. The x-y scale bar is 500 nm. The z-

540   scale is 0–90 nm (dark to light brown).

(G).   Schematic of proposed intermediate that results in unfurling of the axoneme with MCAK.

The scanning rate in A-C is ~4 min/frame in B and ~3 min/frame in E-F with 256 x 256 pixels. See

also Supplemental Fig. 8.

Fig. 6. Summary of AFM findings

545   Schematic of AFM imaging illustrates the distinct structural dynamics and intermediates during microtubule depolymerization with different enzymes and its impact on microtubule arrays. In the context of an individual microtubule: (1) the depolymerization of protofilaments is asynchronous with MCAK and synchronous with Kip3p, and (2) MCAK propagates lattice defects whereas Kip3p does not. In the context of microtubule arrays:

550   (1) Crosslinking by PRC1 protects microtubules against depolymerization by MCAK and does not significantly influence the depolymerization by Kip3p, and (2) MCAK depolymerizes doublet microtubules and results in the destabilization of axonemal arrays. This arises from fast depolymerization of one tubule which compromises the stability of the cylindrical doublet array.

REFERENCES

Ando, T., Kodera, N., Takai, E., Maruyama, D., Saito, K., and Toda, A. (2001). A high-speed atomic force microscope for studying biological macromolecules. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *98*, 560   12468-12472.

Arellano-Santoyo, H., Geyer, E.A., Stokasimov, E., Chen, G.Y., Su, X., Hancock, W., Rice, L.M., and Pellman, D. (2017). A tubulin binding switch underlies kip3/kinesin-8 depolymerase activity. Developmental cell *42*, 37-51 e38.

Asenjo, A.B., Chatterjee, C., Tan, D., DePaoli, V., Rice, W.J., Diaz-Avalos, R., Silvestry, M., and 565   Sosa, H. (2013). Structural model for tubulin recognition and deformation by kinesin-13 microtubule depolymerases. Cell Rep *3*, 759-768.

Bieling, P., Telley, I.A., and Surrey, T. (2010). A minimal midzone protein module controls formation and length of antiparallel microtubule overlaps. Cell *142*, 420-432.

Binder, L.I., and Rosenbaum, J.L. (1978). The in vitro assembly of flagellar outer doublet tubulin. 570   J Cell Biol *79*, 500-515.

Bonifacino, J.S. (1998). Current protocols in cell biology (New York: John Wiley), pp. volumes (loose-leaf).

Bugiel, M., Chugh, M., Jachowski, T.J., Schaffer, E., and Jannasch, A. (2020). The kinesin-8 kip3 depolymerizes microtubules with a collective force-dependent mechanism. Biophys J *118*, 1958-1967.

Coombes, C.E., Yamamoto, A., Kenzie, M.R., Odde, D.J., and Gardner, M.K. (2013). Evolving tip structures can explain age-dependent microtubule catastrophe. Curr Biol *23*, 1342-1348.

Cooper, J.R., Wagenbach, M., Asbury, C.L., and Wordeman, L. (2010). Catalysis of the microtubule on-rate is the major parameter regulating the depolymerase activity of mcak. Nat Struct Mol Biol *17*, 77-82.

Desai, A., Verma, S., Mitchison, T.J., and Walczak, C.E. (1999). Kin i kinesins are microtubule-destabilizing enzymes. Cell *96*, 69-78.

Du, Y., English, C.A., and Ohi, R. (2010). The kinesin-8 kif18a dampens microtubule plus-end dynamics. Curr Biol *20*, 374-380.

Duellberg, C., Cade, N.I., and Surrey, T. (2016). Microtubule aging probed by microfluidics-assisted tubulin washout. Mol Biol Cell *27*, 3563-3573.

Duellberg, C., Fourniol, F.J., Maurer, S.P., Roostalu, J., and Surrey, T. (2013). End-binding proteins and ase1/prc1 define local functionality of structurally distinct parts of the microtubule cytoskeleton. Trends Cell Biol *23*, 54-63.

Dufrene, Y.F., Ando, T., Garcia, R., Alsteens, D., Martinez-Martin, D., Engel, A., Gerber, C., and Muller, D.J. (2017). Imaging modes of atomic force microscopy for application in molecular and cell biology. Nat Nanotechnol *12*, 295-307.

Gardner, M.K., Zanic, M., Gell, C., Bormuth, V., and Howard, J. (2011). Depolymerizing kinesins kip3 and mcak shape cellular microtubule architecture by differential control of catastrophe. Cell *147*, 1092-1103.

Goetz, S.C., and Anderson, K.V. (2010). The primary cilium: A signalling centre during vertebrate development. Nature reviews Genetics *11*, 331-344.

Goshima, G., and Scholey, J.M. (2010). Control of mitotic spindle length. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol *26*, 21-57.

Gupta, M.L., Jr., Carvalho, P., Roof, D.M., and Pellman, D. (2006). Plus end-specific depolymerase activity of kip3, a kinesin-8 protein, explains its role in positioning the yeast mitotic spindle. Nat Cell Biol *8*, 913-923.

Hamon, L., Curmi, P.A., and Pastre, D. (2010). High-resolution imaging of microtubules and cytoskeleton structures by atomic force microscopy. Methods Cell Biol *95*, 157-174.

Helenius, J., Brouhard, G., Kalaidzidis, Y., Diez, S., and Howard, J. (2006). The depolymerizing kinesin mcak uses lattice diffusion to rapidly target microtubule ends. Nature *441*, 115-119.

Howard, J., and Hyman, A.A. (2007). Microtubule polymerases and depolymerases. Curr Opin Cell Biol *19*, 31-35.

Hu, Z., Liang, Y., Meng, D., Wang, L., and Pan, J. (2015). Microtubule-depolymerizing kinesins in the regulation of assembly, disassembly, and length of cilia and flagella. Int Rev Cell Mol Biol *317*, 241-265.

Ichikawa, M., Khalifa, A.A.Z., Kubo, S., Dai, D., Basu, K., Maghrebi, M.A.F., Vargas, J., and Bui, K.H. (2019). Tubulin lattice in cilia is in a stressed form regulated by microtubule inner proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *116*, 19930-19938.

Ishikawa, H., and Marshall, W.F. (2011). Ciliogenesis: Building the cell's antenna. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol *12*, 222-234.

Kiesel, P., Alvarez Viar, G., Tsoy, N., Maraspini, R., Gorilak, P., Varga, V., Honigmann, A., and Pigino, G. (2020). The molecular structure of mammalian primary cilia revealed by cryo-electron tomography. Nat Struct Mol Biol *27*, 1115-1124.

Kobayashi, T., Tsang, W.Y., Li, J., Lane, W., and Dynlacht, B.D. (2011). Centriolar kinesin kif24 interacts with cp110 to remodel microtubules and regulate ciliogenesis. Cell *145*, 914-925.

Kodera, N., Yamamoto, D., Ishikawa, R., and Ando, T. (2010). Video imaging of walking myosin v by high-speed atomic force microscopy. Nature *468*, 72-76.

Linck, R.W. (1976). Flagellar doublet microtubules: Fractionation of minor components and alpha-tubulin from specific regions of the a-tubule. J Cell Sci *20*, 405-439.

Ma, M., Stoyanova, M., Rademacher, G., Dutcher, S.K., Brown, A., and Zhang, R. (2019). Structure of the decorated ciliary doublet microtubule. Cell *179*, 909-922 e912.

Maney, T., Hunter, A.W., Wagenbach, M., and Wordeman, L. (1998). Mitotic centromere-associated kinesin is important for anaphase chromosome segregation. J Cell Biol *142*, 787-801.

McHedlishvili, N., Matthews, H.K., Corrigan, A., and Baum, B. (2018). Two-step interphase microtubule disassembly aids spindle morphogenesis. BMC Biol *16*, 14.

McNally, F.J., and Roll-Mecak, A. (2018). Microtubule-severing enzymes: From cellular functions to molecular mechanism. J Cell Biol *217*, 4057-4069.

Moores, C.A., Yu, M., Guo, J., Beraud, C., Sakowicz, R., and Milligan, R.A. (2002). A mechanism for microtubule depolymerization by kini kinesins. Mol Cell *9*, 903-909.

Mulder, A.M., Glavis-Bloom, A., Moores, C.A., Wagenbach, M., Carragher, B., Wordeman, L., and Milligan, R.A. (2009). A new model for binding of kinesin 13 to curved microtubule protofilaments. J Cell Biol *185*, 51-57.

Nicastro, D., Fu, X., Heuser, T., Tso, A., Porter, M.E., and Linck, R.W. (2011). Cryo-electron tomography reveals conserved features of doublet microtubules in flagella. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *108*, E845-853.

Nicastro, D., Schwartz, C., Pierson, J., Gaudette, R., Porter, M.E., and McIntosh, J.R. (2006). The molecular architecture of axonemes revealed by cryoelectron tomography. Science *313*, 944-948.

Niederstrasser, H., Salehi-Had, H., Gan, E.C., Walczak, C., and Nogales, E. (2002). Xkcm1 acts on a single protofilament and requires the c terminus of tubulin. J Mol Biol *316*, 817-828.

Niwa, S., Nakajima, K., Miki, H., Minato, Y., Wang, D., and Hirokawa, N. (2012). Kif19a is a microtubule-depolymerizing kinesin for ciliary length control. Dev Cell *23*, 1167-1175.

Ogawa, T., Nitta, R., Okada, Y., and Hirokawa, N. (2004). A common mechanism for microtubule destabilizers-m type kinesins stabilize curling of the protofilament using the class-specific neck and loops. Cell *116*, 591-602.

Orbach, R., and Howard, J. (2019). The dynamic and structural properties of axonemal tubulins support the high length stability of cilia. Nat Commun *10*, 1838.

Owa, M., Uchihashi, T., Yanagisawa, H.A., Yamano, T., Iguchi, H., Fukuzawa, H., Wakabayashi, K.I., Ando, T., and Kikkawa, M. (2019). Inner lumen proteins stabilize doublet microtubules in cilia and flagella. Nat Commun *10*, 1143.

Piao, T., Luo, M., Wang, L., Guo, Y., Li, D., Li, P., Snell, W.J., and Pan, J. (2009). A microtubule depolymerizing kinesin functions during both flagellar disassembly and flagellar assembly in chlamydomonas. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *106*, 4713-4718.

Rai, A., Liu, T., Glauser, S., Katrukha, E.A., Estevez-Gallego, J., Rodriguez-Garcia, R., Fang, W.S., Diaz, J.F., Steinmetz, M.O., Altmann, K.H.*, et al.* (2020). Taxanes convert regions of perturbed microtubule growth into rescue sites. Nat Mater *19*, 355-365.

Reilly, M.L., and Benmerah, A. (2019). Ciliary kinesins beyond ift: Cilium length, disassembly, cargo transport and signalling. Biol Cell *111*, 79-94.

Sanchez, I., and Dynlacht, B.D. (2016). Cilium assembly and disassembly. Nat Cell Biol *18*, 711-717.

Satir, P. (1968). Studies on cilia. 3. Further studies on the cilium tip and a "sliding filament" model of ciliary motility. J Cell Biol *39*, 77-94.

Schaap, I.A., Carrasco, C., de Pablo, P.J., and Schmidt, C.F. (2011). Kinesin walks the line: Single motors observed by atomic force microscopy. Biophys J *100*, 2450-2456.

Sharp, D.J., and Ross, J.L. (2012). Microtubule-severing enzymes at the cutting edge. J Cell Sci *125*, 2561-2569.

Shrestha, S., Hazelbaker, M., Yount, A.L., and Walczak, C.E. (2018). Emerging insights into the function of kinesin-8 proteins in microtubule length regulation. Biomolecules *9*.

Subramanian, R., and Kapoor, T.M. (2012). Building complexity: Insights into self-organized assembly of microtubule-based architectures. Developmental cell *23*, 874-885.

Subramanian, R., Wilson-Kubalek, E.M., Arthur, C.P., Bick, M.J., Campbell, E.A., Darst, S.A., Milligan, R.A., and Kapoor, T.M. (2010). Insights into antiparallel microtubule crosslinking by prc1, a conserved nonmotor microtubule binding protein. Cell *142*, 433-443.

680 Varga, V., Helenius, J., Tanaka, K., Hyman, A.A., Tanaka, T.U., and Howard, J. (2006). Yeast kinesin-8 depolymerizes microtubules in a length-dependent manner. Nat Cell Biol *8*, 957-962.

Varga, V., Leduc, C., Bormuth, V., Diez, S., and Howard, J. (2009). Kinesin-8 motors act cooperatively to mediate length-dependent microtubule depolymerization. Cell *138*, 1174-1183.

Vinckier, A., Heyvaert, I., D'Hoore, A., McKittrick, T., Van Haesendonck, C., Engelborghs, Y., and Hellemans, L. (1995). Immobilizing and imaging microtubules by atomic force microscopy.
685 Ultramicroscopy *57*, 337-343.

Wagenbach, M., Domnitz, S., Wordeman, L., and Cooper, J. (2008). A kinesin-13 mutant catalytically depolymerizes microtubules in adp. J Cell Biol *183*, 617-623.

Walczak, C.E., Gayek, S., and Ohi, R. (2013). Microtubule-depolymerizing kinesins. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol *29*, 417-441.

690 Walczak, C.E., Mitchison, T.J., and Desai, A. (1996). Xkcm1: A xenopus kinesin-related protein that regulates microtubule dynamics during mitotic spindle assembly. Cell *84*, 37-47.

Wang, D., Nitta, R., Morikawa, M., Yajima, H., Inoue, S., Shigematsu, H., Kikkawa, M., and Hirokawa, N. (2016). Motility and microtubule depolymerization mechanisms of the kinesin-8 motor, kif19a. Elife *5*.

695 Witman, G.B., Carlson, K., and Rosenbaum, J.L. (1972). Chlamydomonas flagella. Ii. The distribution of tubulins 1 and 2 in the outer doublet microtubules. J Cell Biol *54*, 540-555.

Woodruff, J.B., Drubin, D.G., and Barnes, G. (2012). Spindle assembly requires complete disassembly of spindle remnants from the previous cell cycle. Mol Biol Cell *23*, 258-267.

Zakharov, P., Gudimchuk, N., Voevodin, V., Tikhonravov, A., Ataullakhanov, F.I., and Grishchuk,
700 E.L. (2015). Molecular and mechanical causes of microtubule catastrophe and aging. Biophys J *109*, 2574-2591.

METHODS

Protein purification

PRC1 was expressed and purified as described previously (Subramanian et al., 2010).

Fluorescent MCAK plasmid was synthesized and the protein expressed and purified as described

710 (Helenius et al., 2006). Kip3p plasmid and protein were a gift from R. Ohi (University of Michigan).

Both proteins were purified using standard Ni-NTA purification protocol followed by Superose-6 size exclusion chromatography.

Microtubule polymerization

715   GMPCPP polymerized and taxol-stabilized microtubules were prepared as described previously (Subramanian et al., 2010). Briefly, GMPCPP seeds were prepared from a mixture of unlabeled bovine tubulin, X-rhodamine-tubulin, and biotin tubulin, which were diluted in BRB80 buffer (80 mM PIPES pH 6.8, 1.5 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.5 mM EGTA, pH 6.8) and mixed by tapping lightly. The diluted seeds were transferred to a 37°C heating block and covered with foil to minimize light

720   exposure. After approximately 1 hour, 100 µL of warm BRB80 buffer was added to the microtubules and spun at 75,000 rpm, 10 min and 37°C to remove free unpolymerized tubulin. Following the centrifugation step, the supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was washed by round of centrifugation with 100 µL BRB80. The pellet was resuspended in 16 µL of BRB80 and stored at room temperature covered in foil. 20 µM taxol was added for the preparation of doubly

725   stabilized microtubules. Rhodamine-labeled microtubules were used for both TIRF and AFM experiments.

Isolation of axoneme

    Sperm flagellar axonemes were isolated and purified from sea urchin Lytechinus pictus

730   (Marinus Scientific, LLC, Newport Beach, CA), according to the procedures of Salmon et al. (Bonifacino, 1998). Briefly, sea urchin sperm were collected by inducing them to spawn by injecting the animal with 0.5 M KCl. The sperm were diluted with artificial sea water and put on ice for 20 mins. The sperm suspensions were then centrifuged at 500 rpm. Afterwards, the supernatant was collected, and the sperm were pelleted by centrifuging at 5000 rpm. The sperm

735   pellets were resuspended by trituration in 20% sucrose to osmotically remove the plasma membranes, and the demembranated sperm were homogenized to break the sperm heads from

the tails. The suspensions were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm to pellet whole sperm and sperm heads, and the supernatants recentrifuged at 13,000 rpm to pellet the detached sperm tails. The pellet was stratified into a top white layer that contains the demembranated tails and a bottom yellow layer that contains heads and debris. The white layer was collected and resuspended by trituration in isolation buffer. The resuspended tails break the tails into fragments and were further centrifuged at 10,000 rpm. The top white layer was resuspended by trituration in isolation buffer centrifuged to completely separate the tail fragments from heads and debris until the pellet is a single layer of pure white. The white pellet was resuspended in extraction buffer and homogenized and incubated on ice for 45 min to extract dyneins and central pair MTs from the tail fragments. The extracted axonemes were separated from soluble proteins by centrifuging them at 13,000 rpm. The axoneme pellet was resuspended by trituration in extraction buffer, and the axoneme fragments were re-extracted by incubation. The extracted axonemes were pelleted by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm. The extracted axoneme pellet was resuspended by trituration in isolation buffer containing 50% glycerol. Unless specified, all steps were performed at 4°C, and all centrifugation times were 5-10 min.

Doublet fractionation

Axoneme pellets were taken up in Tris-EDTA-DTT solution (TED, 2 mM Tris, 0.2 mM EDTA and 0.5 mM DTT, pH 7.8). As described in the literature, the TED treatment has shown to remove 40-50% of the protein in the sea urchin doublets, which remain associated in sheets of 9 or fewer (Linck, 1976). The treatment time is empirically determined to obtain a mixture of sheets and isolated doublets.

Atomic Force Microscope experiments

Sample preparation

Microtubule adsorption on mica is achieved by increasing the multivalent cation concentration of the buffer as described previously (Hamon et al., 2010). To prepare microtubule bundles, taxol-stabilized or GMPCPP microtubules, PRC1 and BRB80 buffer with an additional 5 mM $MgCl_2$ were combined in a tube. The microtubule and protein mixture was quickly spun down for a few minutes and ~20 µL of this mixture was deposited on a mica substrate freshly cleaved by scotch tape. Single microtubule, doublet, and axoneme samples were diluted with BRB80 and 5 mM $MgCl_2$ and deposited on mica as described above. After a few minutes of incubation, ~10 µL of BRB80 buffer was added to the mica and to the AFM tip before imaging the sample by AFM.

AFM imaging

To acquire static AFM images of the sample, clean regions showing flat microtubule arrays were chosen. To get a high-resolution image of the sample, the scan size was decreased to ~ <1 µm. For time-lapse experiments, after finding a flat microtubule bundle on mica, we zoomed into a 1-2 µm region preferably with a few microtubule ends in the scan area. After acquiring this t=0 image, the scanning was briefly paused, the distance between tip and sample was increased by 100-200 nm and 10-20 µL of the depolymerase was injected on to the surface with a pipette without touching the mica disc to prevent losing the scanned area. The amount of liquid on the mica was monitored to ensure that the sample did not dehydrate, and additional buffer was added to the mica to maintain solution volume during the experiments. A range of enzyme concentrations was tested, and final conditions were empirically determined because some protein is lost to the surface and cantilever in these experiments.

All AFM experiments were carried out by tapping mode in liquid with the Asylum Cypher S with a silicon nitride tip (BL-AC40TS, radius: 8 nm; spring constant: 0.09 N/m; Oxford Instruments). After adding the depolymerase, imaging was started at a frame rate of ~3 mins/frame (256 × 256 pixels at ~1.5 Hz). In liquid, the drive frequency of the tip was ~ 25 kHz. We kept the scan rate at or below 1.5 Hz and imaged perpendicular to the sample (not along the shear axis) to minimize sample damage. Image acquisition took place over ~30 mins while

constantly maintaining the drive amplitude of the tip throughout the experiment. The drive amplitude was maintained slightly above a point where the tip starts to make contact with the

790   surface. Because of sample contamination and tip damage, using the same tip for all the experiments is not possible. For each new experiment, a new tip was used, and we ensured that we obtained the same quality of AFM images as determined by the spatial resolution or the width of the sample.

795   *Data Analysis*

Raw AFM data were processed with the Asylum Research (version 16.14.216) and Gwiddyon software (http://gwyddion.net/). All AFM images (height, phase and amplitude) were flattened and any horizontal scars from scanning artifacts were removed prior to analysis. Only the clearest AFM images were used for analysis. After data processing, the height profiles of the

800   microtubules were obtained from the AFM height image.

The number of neighbors was determined by the number of microtubules which were physically contacting an individual microtubule in parallel in a large bundle. For example, a microtubule with no neighbors nearby has N=0, a microtubule in contact with another microtubule has N=1, and a microtubule in contact with two microtubules has N=2.

805   The depolymerization rates from AFM images were determined by calculating the average length change along the microtubule between the first frame and the last frame from the height time-lapse images (Fig. 2D-E & 4F, Supplemental Fig. 1D-E, 3B, 4C & 7D).

For the rate of defect propagation, after the appearance of a defect, the average length change over time from both edges of a defect was measured. In Fig. 2F, 'slow' refers the edge

810   with the smallest change in length relative to the 'fast' edge. In Fig. 2G, 'diameter' refers to change in length over time around the diameter of the microtubule and 'length' refers to the change in length over time along the length of the microtubule.

In vitro fluorescence microscopy assay

815   The microscope slides (Gold Seal Cover Glass, 24 × 60 mm, thickness No.1.5) and coverslips (Gold Seal Cover Glass, 18 × 18 mm, thickness No.1.5) were cleaned and functionalized with biotinylated PEG and non-biotinylated PEG, respectively, to prevent nonspecific surface sticking, according to standard protocols (Subramanian et al., 2010). Flow chambers were built by applying three strips of double-sided tape to a slide and attaching the

820   coverslip. Sample chamber volumes were approximately 6–8 μL.

Experiments were performed as described previously (Subramanian et al., 2010). Biotinylated GMPCPP microtubules, labeled with rhodamine, were immobilized in a flow chamber by first coating the surface with neutravidin (0.2 mg/ml). To visualize microtubule depolymerization, MCAK or Kip3p and 1 mM ATP were flowed into the chamber in assay buffer (BRB80 buffer

825   supplemented with 5 mM TCEP, 2 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.2 mg/ml k-casein, 4 mg/ml glucose oxidase, 0.35 mg/ml glucose catalase, 1% b-mercaptoethanol, and 5% sucrose), and a time-lapse sequence of images was immediately acquired at a rate of 6-12 frames/min. Data were collected for 5–25 min.

All experiments were performed on Nikon Ti-E inverted microscope with a Ti-ND6-PFS perfect focus system equipped with an APO TIRF 100x oil/1.49 DIC objective (Nikon). The

830   microscope was outfitted with a Nikon-encoded x-y motorized stage and a piezo z-stage, an sCMOS camera (Andor Zyla 4.2), and two-color TIRF imaging optics (Lasers: 488 nm and 561 nm; Filters: Dual Band 488/561 TIRF exciter).

ImageJ (NIH) was used to process the image files. Briefly, raw time-lapse images were converted to tiff files. From these images, individual microtubule depolymerization events were

835   identified and converted to kymographs by the MultipleOverlay and MultipleKymograph plug-ins (J. Reitdorf and A. Seitz; https://www.embl.de/eamnet/html/body_kymograph.html).

The following criteria were used to select microtubules for quantitative analysis: (1) firmly attached to the surface throughout depolymerization; (2) both ends visible in the initial frame; (3) not overlapping with another microtubule or tubulin aggregate. A threshold was applied to each

840   kymograph to distinguish microtubule from background. To calculate depolymerization rates, the

derivative of the position versus time coordinates of each external edge of the microtubule in the

rhodamine channel was measured using Velocity_Measurement_Tool macro and then converted

from pixels/frame to nm/s. Breaks within microtubules were visually identified from kymographs

with a triangular area of low (background) intensity within the bright microtubule region, which

845   indicates a break in the microtubule that continues to be depolymerized from both sides. Total

microtubule length for each condition was calculated as a sum of the widths of all quantified

kymographs, converted from pixels to microns.