Role of hypothalamic MAPK/ERK signaling in diabetes remission induced by the central action of fibroblast growth factor 1

Jenny M. Brown[1,2], Marie A. Bentsen[1,2], Dylan M. Rausch[2], Bao Anh Phan[1], Danielle Wieck[1], Huzaifa Wasanwala[3], Miles E. Matsen[1], Nikhil Acharya[1], Nicole E. Richardson[1], Xin Zhao[4], Peng Zhai[4], Anna Secher[5], Gregory J. Morton[1], Tune H. Pers[2], Michael W. Schwartz[1], and Jarrad M. Scarlett[1,6]

[1] University of Washington Medicine Diabetes Institute, Department of Medicine, Seattle, WA, USA.

[2] University of Copenhagen, Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Basic Metabolic Research, Copenhagen, Denmark.

[3] University of Central Florida, Department of Medicine, Orlando, FL, USA.

[4] Global Drug Discovery, Novo Nordisk Research China, Beijing, China.

[5] Global Drug Discovery, Novo Nordisk A/S, Maaloev, Denmark.

[6] Department of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Seattle Children's Hospital, Seattle, WA, USA.

Address for Correspondence:

Jarrad M. Scarlett

Jarrad.Scarlett@seattlechildrens.org

University of Washington Medicine Diabetes Institute, Department of Medicine

750 Republican St, F770

Seattle, Washington, 98195, USA.

Phone: (206) 897 5282

Summary

The capacity of the brain to elicit sustained remission of hyperglycemia in rodent models of type 2 diabetes following intracerebroventricular (icv) injection of fibroblast growth factor 1 (FGF1) is well established. Here, we show that following icv FGF1 injection, hypothalamic signaling by extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1 and 2 (ERK1/2), members of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) family is induced for at least 24h. Further, we show that in diabetic Lep$^{ob/ob}$ mice, this prolonged response is required for the sustained antidiabetic action of FGF1, since it is abolished by sustained (but not acute) pharmacologic blockade of hypothalamic MAPK/ERK signaling. We also demonstrate that FGF1 R50E, a FGF1 mutant that activates FGF receptors but induces only transient hypothalamic MAPK/ERK signaling, fails to mimic the sustained glucose lowering induced by FGF1. These data identify sustained activation of hypothalamic MAPK/ERK signaling as playing an essential role in the mechanism underlying diabetes remission induced by icv FGF1 administration.

Keywords: Fibroblast growth factor 1, diabetes, brain, hypothalamus, mitogen-activated protein kinase, extracellular signal-regulated kinase

Introduction

Fibroblast growth factor 1 (FGF1) is a prototypical FGF that, in addition to its role in biologic functions ranging from brain development to angiogenesis and wound repair, is implicated the in regulation of feeding and glucose homeostasis[1,2]. Recently, FGF1 emerged as a potential antidiabetic agent that following a single intracerebroventricular (icv) injection, induces remission of diabetic hyperglycemia lasting weeks or months in both mouse (Lep$^{ob/ob}$ and LepR$^{db/db}$) and rat (Zucker Diabetic Fatty (ZDF)) models of type-2 diabetes (T2D)[3-6]. Since the effect of icv FGF1 administration to normalize diabetic hyperglycemia in a sustained manner is recapitulated by microinjection of a lower dose of FGF1 directly into mediobasal hypothalamus (MBH), this brain area is implicated as a key target for this sustained antidiabetic response[5]. While recent work points to a role for glia-neuron interactions leading to increased melanocortin signaling[7], as well as to FGF1-induced changes in MBH extracellular matrix[8], the signal transduction mechanisms by which FGF1 action in the MBH induces sustained remission of diabetic hyperglycemia is unknown.

Among various intracellular signaling cascades activated by binding of FGF1 to FGF receptors (FGFRs) is the extracellular-signal-regulated kinase (ERK) pathway, a major signaling cassette of the mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) signal transduction system that communicates signals arising from activation of cell surface receptors to changes of gene expression occurring in the cell nucleus[9]. Previous work demonstrates that MAPK/ERK signaling is rapidly induced in the hypothalamus of diabetic mice by FGF19, a member of the endocrine FGF family, and that this ERK

activation is required for its transient glucose-lowering action[10]. Activation of ERK

signaling in the MBH has also been documented following icv administration of FGF1

and is associated with robust transcriptional changes related to the ERK pathway in

both tanycytes and astrocytes[5,7]. However, neither the role played by ERK signaling

in these responses nor the extent to which they contribute to the sustained

antidiabetic effect of icv FGF1 is known. The current work was undertaken to

address these questions.

We report that in diabetic Lep*ob/ob* mice, the sustained antidiabetic effect of icv

FGF1 is abolished by pharmacologic blockade of hypothalamic MAPK/ERK

signaling (achieved by central administration of the MAPK inhibitor U0126), but only if

this blockade lasts for the 24h duration of FGF1-induced activation of MAPK/ERK

signaling in the hypothalamus. We further report that icv injection of FGF1 R50E, a

FGF1 mutant that activates FGFRs and induces transient, but not sustained MAPK/ERK

signaling[11,12], fails to mimic the sustained diabetes remission induced by icv FGF1

administration. We also report transcriptomic evidence pointing to a role for astrocytes

(and possibly tanycytes) as primary targets for the hypothalamic response to FGF1.

Collectively, these data indicate that durable induction of hypothalamic MAPK/ERK

signaling is required for sustained remission of diabetic hyperglycemia induced by

icv FGF1 administration and adds to growing evidence of a role for glia-neuron

interaction in this response.

Results

Central Administration of FGF1 Induces Sustained ERK1/2 Signaling in the Hypothalamus

Consistent with our previous observations in ZDF rats[5], we found using quantitative western blot that in overnight-fasted wild-type (WT) mice, phosphorylation of ERK1/2 (pERK1/2, a biomarker of ERK1/2 activation) was detectable in the hypothalamus within 20 min of icv injection of FGF1 (3 µg), but not following icv vehicle injection (Figure 1A). Since the duration of ERK1/2 activation is a determinant of gene expression and other cellular processes engaged by ERK signaling[13], we next measured the time course of ERK1/2 activation in the hypothalamus following a single icv injection of FGF1. We found that in WT mice, activation of ERK1/2 was readily detected at both the 5h (Figure 1B) and 24h (Figure 1C) time points following icv FGF1 injection, but this response was no longer evident at 48h (Figure 1D). In contrast, changes of hypothalamic pERK1/2 content were not observed at any time point after icv vehicle. Interestingly, the magnitude of FGF1-induction of ERK1/2 increased over time, being nearly 3-fold greater at 24h than at 20 min.

Next, we sought to compare the degree to which hypothalamic ERK1/2 signaling is induced following icv injection of FGF1 to that induced by icv FGF19, an endocrine member of the FGF family that transiently improves systemic glucose metabolism in diabetic rodents[10,14-17]. Prior work has shown that icv FGF19 induces ERK1/2 signaling in the hypothalamus and that improved glucose-tolerance in response to icv FGF19 requires functional ERK1/2 signaling[10]. Acutely, both FGF1 and FGF19 rapidly induce activation of ERK1/2 signaling in the MBH following icv

127   injection[5,10], however this effect persisted for 24h only after treatment with FGF1

128   (Figure 1E). Therefore, whereas both FGF1 and FGF19 rapidly activate ERK1/2

129   signaling in the hypothalamus following icv delivery, only FGF1 does so in a manner

130   that is sustained for at least 24h.

Figure 1- Central Administration of FGF1 Induces Sustained ERK1/2 Signaling in the Hypothalamus. Representative western blot (left panel) and quantitative comparison (right panel) of phosphorylated (red) and total ERK1/2 (green) and total protein (red) from hypothalamic punches from adult male C57Bl6J mice after a single icv injection of either vehicle or FGF1 (3 μg) at **A)** 20 minutes (n=5-7/group, t=3.2497 df=9.8554 p=0.00444) **B)** 5 hours (n=6-7/group, t=11.937 df=10.624 p=8.62e-08) **C)** 24 hours (n=4-6/group, t=10.528, df=5.4845, p=3.816e-05) and **D)** 48 hours (n=5/group, t=-0.0344, df=7.8827, p=0.5133) after injection. pERK1/2 ratio unpaired Welch two sample t-test (one sided). **P<0.01, ****P<0.0001 **E)** Quantitative western

blot of ERK1/2 phosphorylation 24h after a single icv injection of vehicle, FGF1, or FGF19 (n=4-5/group one-way ANOVA F(2,3.46)=54.83 p=0.00241).

Diabetes Remission Induced by Central FGF1 Delivery Requires Functional

Hypothalamic ERK1/2 Signaling.

To determine whether sustained diabetes remission induced by icv FGF1 in

diabetic Lep$^{ob/ob}$ mice requires intact hypothalamic MAPK/ERK signaling, we co-

administered the MAPK inhibitor U0126 with FGF1 and measured its impact on

both hypothalamic ERK1/2 induction and on the duration of glucose lowering. We

found that whereas activation of hypothalamic ERK1/2 signaling following icv

administration of FGF1 (3 µg) was acutely blocked by pre-treatment with a single

icv injection of U0126 (5 µg) (Figure 2A), this intervention did not prevent FGF1-

induced reductions of food intake or body weight, nor did it block sustained lowering

of the blood glucose level (Figures 2B–D). To explain this finding, we examined the

duration of the effect of icv injection of U0126 (5 µg) on induction of hypothalamic

ERK1/2 by icv administration of FGF1 (3 µg). We found that although this U0126

pre-treatment regimen was effective early on, it did not attenuate FGF1-induced

activation of hypothalamic ERK1/2 at the 24h time point (Figure S1A).

This observation prompted us to identify a route, dose, and duration of icv

U0126 treatment capable of blocking FGF1-induced ERK1/2 activation for a full 24h

period. Whereas neither repeated icv injection of U0126 (Figure S1B) nor

continuous infusion of U0126 into the lateral ventricle (Figure S1C) were effective,

we asked whether administration into the 3rd ventricle would be more effective,

owing to its close proximity to the MBH. Indeed, we found that continuous infusion

of U0126 into the 3rd ventricle for 24h (by osmotic mini-pump) following a single

intra-3rd ventricular U0126 injection was sufficient to block hypothalamic FGF1-

induced ERK1/2 activation for a full 24h (Figure S1C).

We therefore employed this 24h 3rd ventricular U0126 administration protocol

to investigate the role played by MBH MAPK/ERK1/2 signaling in metabolic

responses elicited by icv FGF1 injection (Figure 2E) in diabetic Lep$^{ob/ob}$ mice. We

found that whereas this intervention did not prevent initial reductions of food intake,

body weight, or blood glucose induced by icv injection of FGF1 (3 µg) (Figures 2F–

H), it did prevent sustained remission of diabetic hyperglycemia (Figure 2H).

Because our previous work has shown that the initial glucose-lowering effect of icv

FGF1 injection is driven primarily by transient anorexia[3,4], a group of vehicle-treated

Lep$^{ob/ob}$ mice that were pair-fed to the intake of the group receiving FGF1 was

included in this study. Data from this group demonstrates that in animals receiving

icv vehicle treatment, pair-feeding to match the reduced food intake induced by icv

FGF1 injection was sufficient to lower blood glucose levels initially. However, this

glucose-lowering effect was not sustained irrespective of whether pair-feeding was

accompanied by icv U0126 or vehicle administration (Figure 2E). Nevertheless, in

mice that received both icv FGF1 injection and the 24h U0126 infusion, blood

glucose levels returned to their elevated baseline value even before food intake and

body weight had done so, whereas glucose levels remained low throughout the

duration of the study in mice receiving FGF1 coupled with vehicle instead of U0126

(Figures 2E–H). Taken together, these results show that while hypothalamic

ERK1/2 activation is not required for the acute, transient effects of icv FGF1 on

levels of blood glucose, food intake, or body weight, it is required for sustained

remission of diabetic hyperglycemia induced by the central action of FGF1.

Figure 2- Prolonged MAPK signaling in the MBH is required for diabetes remission induced by central FGF1. A) Representative western blot (left panel) showing phosphorylated (red) and total ERK1/2 (green) and total protein (red), and data quantitation (right panel) from hypothalamic punches from adult male C57Bl6J mice at 20 min after a

injection into the lateral ventricle of the inhibitor of MAPK signaling U0126 (5 μg) or DMSO, followed by injection of either vehicle or FGF1 (n=5/group, one-way ANOVA F(2-5.27)= 20.1692 p=0.00339). Effects of the same treatments on levels of **B)** food intake, **C)** body weight (n=7-8/group; repeated measures nparLD ANOVA.test statistic treatment =4.61 df=1.91 p=0.01) and **D)** blood glucose (n=7-8/group repeated measures nparLD ANOVA.test statistic treatment =27.96 df=1.8 p=7.36e-12) in Lep*ob/ob* mice. **E)** Strategy for MAPK/ERK inhibition by continuous 3V infusion of U0126 or Vehicle DMSO for 24 hours followed by disconnection of osmotic pump and metabolic phenotyping image created with BioRender.com. Levels of **F)** food intake **G)** Body weight (n=5-8/group repeated measures nparLD ANOVA.test statistic treatment=0.80 df=2.70 p=0.47), and **H)** blood glucose (n=5-8/group repeated measures nparLD ANOVA.test statistic treatment =4.99 df=2.67 p=0.002) measured for 15 days post-treatment with icv injection of either saline vehicle or FGF1 (3ug) followed by a 24h continuous infusion of U0126 or DMSO vehicle into the third ventricle.

The FGF1 Mutant FGF1 R50E Mimics the Effect of Native FGF1 to Rapidly

Induce ERK1/2 Activation in the Hypothalamus.

As an alternative test of the hypothesis that diabetes remission induced by

icv FGF1 injection requires sustained ERK1/2 activation in the hypothalamus, we

repeated these studies in mice using FGF1 R50E, a FGF1 mutant in which arginine

at the amino acid position 50 is substituted with glutamate[11]. In cell culture studies,

FGF1 R50E retains the ability to bind to and activate FGFRs and induce transient

activation of ERK1/2, but the effect is not sustained[11,12,18]. Consistent with these

observations, we found that when given at the same dose (3 μg), activation of

hypothalamic ERK1/2 by icv injection of FGF1 R50E was comparable to that

induced by native FGF1 at the first 3 time points (20 min, 5h and 8h), but no effect

was observed at either 14h or 24h after icv injection (Figure 3A). Therefore, FGF1

R50E-induced ERK1/2 activation in the hypothalamus is short-lived in comparison

to the more durable response elicited by native FGF1 following icv injection.

Figure 3- FGF1 Mutant Induces Only Transient ERK1/2 Activation in Hypothalamus and Fails to Induce Sustained Remission of Diabetic Hyperglycaemia. A) Quantitative western blot showing a time course of hypothalamic ERK1/2 phosphorylation after a single icv injection of either vehicle, FGF1 (3µg), or FGF1 R50E (3µg) at the following time points after injection: 20 min (n=5-7/group one-way ANOVA $F_{(2,14)}$=6.1616 p=0.012); 5h ($F_{(2,15)}$=31.334 p=4.4e-06) 8h (kruskal-wallis chi-squared=10.361 df=2 p=0.0056); 14h (n=4-6/group $F_{(2,12)}$=101.94 p=2.95e-08) 24h ($F_{(2,12)}$=63.339 p=4.17e-07); or 5d (n=4-5/group $F_{(2,11)}$=0.51 p=0.6) with Tukey's post-

hoc test ns=not significant, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, ****P<0.0001. **B)** confocal images of the arcuate nucleus (ARC) and third ventricle (3V) showing pERK1/2 (grey) with merged pERK1/2 (green) and vimentin (red) and **C)** pERK1/2 (grey) with merged pERK1/2 (green) and glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) (red) 14h after a single icv injection of either vehicle, FGF1(3μg) or FGF1 R50E (3μg). Scale bar 100 um and inserts representative double-labeled cells. Time course of the effect of the same 3 icv treatments on **D)** food intake, **E)** body weight (n=8-9/group repeated measures nparLD ANOVA.test statistic treatment=0.05 df=1.91 p=0.94), and **F)** plasma glucose levels (n=8-9/group repeated measures nparLD ANOVA.test statistic treatment=5.49 df=1.79 p=0.005) in Lep$^{ob/ob}$ mice.

Based on previous work showing that tanycytes and astrocytes are especially

responsive to the effect of icv FGF1 injection to induce sustained ERK1/2

signaling[5,7], we next examined the response of these cell types following icv

injection of FGF1 R50E. As anticipated, we observed that compared to icv injection

of native FGF1, pERK1/2 induction in both tanycytes (Figure 3B) and astrocytes

(Figure 3C) was markedly reduced at the 14h time point after icv injection of FGF1

R50E. These findings confirm that although ERK1/2 signaling is induced in

tanycytes and astrocytes following icv administration of either FGF1 or FGF1 R50E,

the response to the latter is short-lived compared to the highly durable response to

native FGF1.

FGF1 R50E does not Induce Sustained Remission of Diabetic Hyperglycemia.

Having established that unlike native FGF1, the FGF1 R50E mutant does not

induce sustained activation of ERK1/2 signaling in the hypothalamus following icv

administration, we next sought to determine whether this blunted response

corresponds to a reduced ability to induce sustained glucose lowering in diabetic

Lep$^{ob/ob}$ mice. We report that in diabetic Lep$^{ob/ob}$ mice, icv injection of FGF1 R50E

effectively recapitulated the acute reductions of food intake, body weight, and blood

glucose induced by native FGF1 (Figures 3D–F). Whereas icv injection of native

FGF1 induced remission of diabetic hyperglycemia that was sustained for at least

50 d, consistent with previous reports[3], diabetic Lep$^{ob/ob}$ mice treated icv with FGF1

R50E exhibited a complete relapse of hyperglycemia within 14 d of icv injection,

coincident with recovery of baseline food intake and body weight (Figures 3D–F).

Thus, whereas centrally administered FGF1 R50E mimics the acute but transient

effects of native FGF1 on hypothalamic ERK1/2 activation, food intake, weight loss

and blood glucose, neither the ERK1/2 activation nor the diabetes remission are

sustained in the manner seen with icv FGF1 injection. This set of outcomes

effectively replicates what is observed when icv FGF1 is co-administered with the

MAPK inhibitor U0126 for 24h, and together these data offer direct evidence that

the highly durable antidiabetic action of FGF1 in the brain is dependent on

sustained hypothalamic ERK1/2 activation.

Transcriptional Analysis of the Hypothalamic Response to Centrally

administered FGF1 and FGF1 R50E at the Single-Cell Level

To characterize transcriptional differences elicited by sustained versus

transient ERK1/2 activation engaged by FGF1, we leveraged a previously

unpublished single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq) dataset generated as part of

a larger study investigating transcriptomic effects of icv injection of FGF1 in the

MBH. That unpublished data was generated based on hypothalami from Lep$^{ob/ob}$

mice icv injected with FGF1 R50E 5 days prior, and generated simultaneously with

the hypothalamic scRNA-seq data from the Lep$^{ob/ob}$ mice icv injected with either

FGF1 or vehicle also 5 days prior (Figure 4A)[7]. This analysis revealed that in

astrocytes, tanycytes, and oligodendrocyte lineage cells, the effect of icv FGF1

injection to induce differentially expressed genes (DEGs; False-discovery rate,

FDR<0.05 and |log$_2$ fold change|>0.25) was far greater than was observed following

icv injection of the same dose of FGF1 R50E (Figure 4B). Nevertheless, correlation

and ranking of differential gene expression by gene (see Methods) revealed that

most genes upregulated by FGF1 were also upregulated by FGF1 R50E, but at

much lower intensities (Figures 4C-D). From this, we infer that in the hypothalamus

of Lep$^{ob/ob}$ mice, transient and sustained ERK activation (induced by icv injection of

FGF1 R50E and FGF1, respectively) induce the same gene expression programs

but at differing magnitudes. This interpretation is further supported by geneset

variation analysis of REACTOME pathways. We found that the majority of

'ERK/MAPK' associated pathways showed a significant change in activity,

specifically in astrocytes, in response to treatment (Figure 4E). Using weighted

gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA)[19] we further found that gene co-

expression modules differed significantly (linear mixed-effects models; FDR<0.05;

Supplementary Table 1) between FGF1 and FGF1 R50E treatment in astrocytes,

but not other cell types. Specifically, modules ME11 and ME13 were significantly

increased in astrocytes from mice receiving FGF1, but not FGF1 R50E, compared

to icv vehicle treatment, whereas module ME5 was significantly reduced with FGF1

injection, but not with FGF1 R50E. Of interest is that module M13 is significantly

enriched (p=0.026) for genes previously reported to be induced upon astrocyte-

neuron interaction[20]. These observations support a model in which astrocyte

activation resulting sustained FGF1-induced ERK1/2 signaling favors increased

astrocyte-neuron interaction. Whether and how such an effect might induce

sustained remission of diabetes is an important unanswered question.

Figure 4- Hypothalamic Single-Cell Transcriptomics Reveal Transcriptional Differences between FGF1 and FGF1 R50E. A) Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) clustering of hypothalamic glia cells from diabetic Lep*ob/ob* mice 5 days after a single icv injection of FGF1 or vehicle (GSE153551) or R50E. **B)** Number of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) identified through repeated downsampling (400 cells/group/cell type/iteration: 100 iterations). **C)** Correlation plot of DEGs ranked by |log2 fold change| multiplied with FDR. **D)** Top 10 most upregulated genes by FGF1 compared to pair-fed and FGF1 R50E in selected cell types. **E)** Geneset enrichment analysis of REACTOME terms related to 'MAPK/ERK' targets. Insert: scaled enrichment of top 6 enriched terms by treatment in astrocytes.

Discussion

In the current work, we sought to determine the role played by increased

hypothalamic MAPK/ERK signal transduction (measured by pERK1/2 western blot and

immunostaining) in the sustained antidiabetic action elicited by icv injection of FGF1 in

the Lep*ob/ob* mouse model of T2D. Our findings demonstrate that *1)* activation of

hypothalamic MAPK/ERK signaling is sustained for at least 24h following central

administration of FGF1, and *2)* the ability of icv FGF1 injection to induce sustained

normalization of diabetic hyperglycemia is dependent on this MAPK/ERK signaling

response, but only if it is prolonged. In contrast, hypothalamic MAPK/ERK signaling

does not appear to be required for transient effects on food intake, body weight, and

blood glucose levels induced by icv FGF1 administration. These findings identify a key

role for prolonged hypothalamic MAPK/ERK signaling in the sustained antidiabetic

action of FGF1 action in the brain.

MAPK/ERK pathway activation is well documented following the binding of

FGFRs by FGF1[9], and a role for hypothalamic ERK signaling in the regulation of

glucose homeostasis (in response to administration of leptin, insulin and FGF19) has

been reported previously[10,21-23]. Based on these observations, along with our recent

work showing that *1)* following icv FGF1 injection, robust induction of ERK1/2 signaling

is observed in the hypothalamic arcuate nucleus-median eminence (ARC-ME)[5], and *2)*

FGF1 microinjection to this brain area recapitulates sustained glucose lowering

observed following icv FGF1 injection[5], we sought to investigate the role of

hypothalamic MAPK/ERK signaling in FGF1-induced sustained glucose-lowering. To

this end, we first characterized the time course of hypothalamic pERK1/2 induction

following icv injection of FGF1 at a dose known to elicit sustained glucose lowering.

Consistent with *in vitro* evidence that FGF1 induces both acute and sustained

activation of ERK1/2 signaling[13], we report that following a single icv FGF1 injection,

hypothalamic pERK1/2 induction is observed within 20 min and persists for a full

24h.

Our next goal was to determine if this pattern of ERK1/2 activation is required

for the sustained antidiabetic effect of icv FGF1, and two separate approaches

were employed. First, we administered U0126, a potent and selective inhibitor of

MAPK, as a single icv injection prior to icv administration of FGF1. Although this

approach proved effective in terms of blocking FGF1-induced ERK1/2 activation in

the short-term, MAPK blockade by icv infusion of U0126 over a full 24h period was

required to block reversal of diabetic hyperglycemia in response to icv FGF1

injection. In contrast, this intervention had no impact on FGF1-induced anorexia,

weight loss and associated transient glucose lowering, suggesting that unlike

sustained glucose lowering, these responses to icv FGF1 are not dependent on

ERK1/2 activation. Collectively, these data suggest that the sustained antidiabetic

action of icv FGF1 involves mechanisms distinct from those involved in control of

food intake, with the former, but not the latter, being dependent upon sustained

ERK1/2 signaling in the hypothalamus.

Our second approach to testing this hypothesis took advantage of the fact that

while the FGF1 R50E mutant activates FGFRs, it elicits on only transient activation of

ERK1/2 in cultured cells[18]. Thus, we predicted that the response to icv injection of the

FGF1 R50E mutant would mimic what is observed when the ability of icv FGF1 to

induce sustained MAPK/ERK signaling is blocked by central administration of U0126.

Indeed, we found that although FGF1 R50E induces hypothalamic ERK1/2 activation

following icv injection, the effect is short-lived compared to that induced by icv FGF1

injection. Moreover, icv injection of FGF1 R50E fully recapitulated the effects of native

FGF1 on food intake, body weight and associated transient amelioration of

hyperglycemia, but it failed to induce sustained glucose lowering. Using two

complementary approaches, therefore, our findings offer direct evidence that the

MAPK/ERK signal transduction mechanism plays a key role in the effect of FGF1 to

elicit sustained diabetes remission, while an unrelated signaling pathway mediates

FGF1's feeding effects.

Relevant to these observations is the mechanism engaged by FGF1-FGFR

interaction that leads to sustained MAPK/ERK signal transduction. We suspect a role

for integrins, a family of cell adhesion receptors that interact with FGFRs to modulate

both receptor activity and the associated intracellular response[11,18,24]. The more

durable and robust activation of intracellular signal transduction that results from

FGFR-integrin co-activation is implicated in DNA synthesis, cell proliferation, cell

migration, and other sustained cellular responses to growth factor signaling[11,25].

Integrins are also implicated in the control of insulin sensitivity in peripheral tissues in

skeletal muscle and liver[26,27], but not in the regulation of glucose homeostasis by the

brain. As the FGF1 R50E mutant was previously characterized (based on work in cell

culture) as being unable to activate FGFR1 without engaging integrin signaling, we

favor a model in which the latter is induced in the hypothalamus by native FGF1,

but not FGF1 R50E, and that this effect is required for sustained ERK1/2

activation. Investigation into the contribution made by brain integrin signaling to the

antidiabetic effect of FGF1 is a priority for future work.

The interpretation of findings reported herein is also informed by recent work that

has shed light on how the hypothalamus responds to FGF1. For example, intact

hypothalamic melanocortin signaling (determined by interactions between Agrp and

Pomc neurons in the ARC) appears to be required for sustained glucose lowering

induced by icv FGF1 injection, but is dispensable for acute, transient effects of FGF1

on food intake, body weight, and glycemia[7]. In light of our current findings, this work

suggests that diabetes remission induced by icv FGF1 involves a MAPK/ERK-

dependent mechanism for enhanced melanocortin signaling. Whether this mechanism

involves direct effects of FGF1 on Agrp and/or Pomc neurons or instead is mediated

via an action on glial cells (*e.g.,* tanycytes or astrocytes) warrants additional study, but

the associated finding that FGF1 promotes cellular contacts between astrocytes and

Agrp neurons supports the latter possibility[7].

Also reminiscent of the current findings is our recent report that hypothalamic

perineuronal nets (PNNs, defined as extracellular matrix specializations combined of

proteoglycans and hyaluronan), which were recently shown to enmesh neurons in the

ARC-ME[28], are also targets for the glucose-lowering action of FGF1. Indeed, PNN

formation increases rapidly in the ARC-ME following icv FGF1 injection and, in a rat

model of T2D, disruption of this PNN response blocks the sustained antidiabetic action

induced by icv FGF1 injection, while having no impact on the acute, transient

effects of FGF1 on food intake, body weight, and glycemia[8]. These observations once

again parallel those observed when hypothalamic MAPK/ERK signaling either is not

induced or is blocked in a sustained manner. As such, they raise the interesting

possibility that FGF1-induced stimulation of ARC-ME PNN formation – which likely

involves FGF1 action on glial cells – depends upon MAPK/ERK signaling.

Additional insight into these possibilities is provided by comparing transcriptional

changes occurring in the hypothalamus of Lep*ob/ob* mice 5 days after icv injection of

FGF1 *vs.* FGF1 R50E. While far more DEGs were induced by the former than the latter

peptide, a closer examination reveals that the pattern of differential gene expression is

in fact similar – what is different is the degree to which genes are induced. When the

level of gene expression against known ERK1/2-mediated pathways was interrogated

specifically in astrocytes, a similar pattern was detected. Namely, induction of genesets

in the ERK1/2 pathway was more robust following icv FGF1 than FGF1 R50E when

compared to pair-fed vehicle control mice, but the overall pattern of genesets was

similar between the two. Using a different analytic approach, we further found that a co-

expressed gene module detected in astrocytes following icv FGF1 injection, one that

was not induced by injection of FGF1 R50E, is enriched for genes that are induced

when astrocytes are co-cultured with neurons[20]. Although additional studies are needed

to establish the role of astrocyte-neuron interaction in the hypothalamic response to

FGF1, these data support a model in which sustained ERK1/2 activation initiates a gene

co-expression program in astrocytes that allow them to interact with MBH neurons in

ways that profoundly impact neurocircuitry involved in controlling glucose homeostasis.

We conclude that sustained diabetes remission induced by icv injection of FGF1

involves a robust and highly durable MAPK/ERK response in the hypothalamus,

whereas the associated transient anorexia and loss of body weight induced by FGF1

does not. Although additional work is needed, convergent findings point to a role for

prolonged MAPK/ERK signaling in hypothalamic glial cell types as primary targets for

the glycemic benefit stemming from FGF1 action in the hypothalamus. Ongoing studies

will continue to clarify the role of glia-neuron interactions in the ability of the brain to

ameliorate hyperglycemia in a sustained manner in response to FGF1.

394 **Methods**

395 **Resource Availability**

396 **Lead Contact**

397 Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to

398 and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact Jarrad M. Scarlett

399 (Jarrad.Scarlett@seattlechildrens.org)

400

401 **Materials Availability**

402 This study did not generate new unique reagents

403

404 **Data and Code Availability**

405 The datasets generated during this study are available at NCBI Gene Expression

406 Omnibus (GEO) under Super Series number GSE153551

407 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE153551). Names, references,

408 and version numbers of all software packages used are stated in the Methods section

409 and in the Key Resource Table. Codes are available upon request.

410

411 **Experimental Model and Subject Details**

412 **Animals**

413 Male, 8-week-old C57BL/6J (WT) and $Lep^{ob/ob}$ (B6.Cg-Lep^{ob}/J) mice were

414 purchased from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME) and male, 8-week-old $Lep^{ob/ob}$

415 (B6.V-Lep^{ob}/JRj) were purchased from Janvier Labs, France. All animals were housed

416 individually under specific pathogen-free conditions in a temperature control

environment with either a 12 h: 12h or 14 h:10 h light: dark cycle, with 75-80% humidity

and ad libitum access to water and standard laboratory chow (LabDiet, St Louis, MO) or

Altromin 1310 chow (Brogaarden, Denmark). All animal procedures were performed

according to the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory

Animals and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the

University of Washington or performed with approved protocols from The Danish Animal

Experiments Inspectorate permit number 2014-15-0201-00181 and the University of

Copenhagen project number P16-122.

Method Details

Surgery

Lateral ventricle (LV) and third ventricle (3V) cannulation (8IC315GAS5SC, 26-

ga, Plastics One, Roanoke, VA) were performed under isoflurane anesthesia using the

following stereotaxic coordinates for mice: LV: -0.7 mm posterior to bregma; 1.3 mm

lateral, and 1.3 mm below the skull surface and 3V: -1.8 mm posterior to bregma; mid-

line and -4.3 mm below the skull surface. Animals received buprenorphine

hydrochloride (Reckitt Benckiser Pharmaceuticals Inc., Richmond, VA) for pain relief

and were allowed to recover for one week prior to the study.

Icv injections

Mean blood glucose and body weight values were matched between groups

before the icv injections. Animals received a single icv injection via the LV of either

saline vehicle, recombinant mouse FGF1 (FGF1; Prospect-Tany TechnoGene Ltd., East

Brunswick, NJ) dissolved in sterile water at a concentration of 1.5 µg/µl, recombinant

human FGF1 (a generous gift from Novo Nordisk) dissolved in PBS pH 6.8, human

FGF1 R50E (a generous gift from Novo Nordisk) dissolved in 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 0.5 M

NaCl or human FGF19 (hFGF19; Phoenix Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Burlingame, CA)

dissolved in 0.9% normal saline at a concentration of 1.5 µg/µl. and injected using a 33-

gauge needle (8IC315IAS5SC, 33 ga, Plastics One, Roanoke, VA) extending 0.8 mm

beyond the tip of the icv cannula over 60 s for a final volume of 2 µl[3].

To determine if the effect of icv FGF1 administration to induce sustained

remission of hyperglycemia in Lep$^{ob/ob}$ mice depends on sustained MAPK/ERK

signaling, we studied four groups of diabetic *Lep$^{ob/ob}$* mice. Two groups received a single

icv injection of FGF1, while the other two received icv vehicle injected into the 3V using

a 33-gauge needle (8IC315IAS5SC, 33 ga, Plastics One, Roanoke, VA) extending 1mm

beyond the tip of the icv cannula. Each group also received a pre injection and an icv

infusion of either the selective MAPK inhibitor U0126 (662005; Millipore Sigma, St.

Louis, MO) dissolved in DMSO at a concentration of 30mM or DMSO vehicle 1 µl per

hour into the 3V via an micro-osmotic pump (model 1003D; Alzet, Durect Corporation,

Cupertino, CA) connected to the 3V cannula for 24 hours.

Quantitative western blotting

Hypothalamic punches (3 mm) were collected after indicated treatment.

Dissection of a single coronal section (1.5 mm) between the rostral and caudal Circle of

Willis using an ice-cold brain matrix followed by a 3 mm biopunch (Harris Uni-Core, Ted

Pella Inc, Redding, CA) of the MBH was flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80

°C until further processing. Hypothalamic punches were homogenized by sonication in

lysis buffer and centrifuged at 10,000 g for 15 minutes supernatant was collected and

assayed for protein concentration using a BAC assay. Lysates were mixed in the Licor

protein sample loading buffer and heated to 100 °C for 5 minutes. Samples were run on

a 10% Bis-Tris criterion XT gel (Bio-Rad Laboratory Inc., Hercules, CA) at 200 volts for

25 minutes electrophoretic transferred at 100 V for 45 minutes. Loaded protein

concentrations were determined based on primary antibodies 1:1000 rabbit anti-

pERK1/2 antibody (#4370; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA), mouse anti-

ERK1/2 (#9107; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA), secondary IRDye 680RD

(#926-68073 Li-cor, Lincoln, NE), IRDye 800CW (#92632212; Li-cor, Lincoln, NE) and

total protein Revert 700 Total Protein Stain Kit (#926-11011; Li-cor, Lincoln, NE)

combined linear range.

Immunofluorescence

Activation of the MAPK/ERK pathway at 14 hours post icv injection pERK1/2 was

detected by immunohistochemistry in overnight-fasted C57Bl6J mice. Fourteen hours

following icv injection of either vehicle, recombinant FGF1 (3 ug), or FGF1 R50E (3 ug),

mice were anesthetized with ketamine and xylazine and perfused with PBS followed by

4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 mol/L PBS, after which brains were removed. Brain were

placed in sucrose overnight. Cryostat sectioned (30-um thick) free-floating sections

were permeabilized with 0.4% PBS-T overnight Wash in 0.4% PBS-T incubate in

pERK1/2 antibody, Host: Rabbit, (#4370;Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA)

1:1000 in (3%BSA+0.4%TritonX-100+ 0.2%Normal Donkey Serum in PBS-azide) for

24h at 4C Wash sections 5× 10min with 0.4% PBS-T Incubate in Secondary Antibody

(DαR 594-A21207) 1:1000 in (3%BSA+0.4%TritonX-100+ 0.2%Normal Donkey Serum

in PBS azide) for overnight in 4 C Incubate in DAPI 1:10,000 in PBS for 10min at room

temperature and wash tissue 3× 10min with PBS followed by mount, dry and coverslip

with PVA mounting media. Immunofluorescence images were captured using a Leica

SP8X Scanning Confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems, Buffalo Grove, IL) with an

HC FLUOTAR L 25X/0.95 W objective.

Generation of single-cell suspensions

Diabetic Lep$^{ob/ob}$ mice received an icv injection of either FGF1 (n=6), FGF1 R50E

(n=4) or vehicle (n=6). Mice were euthanized 5 days later, and brains were extracted

between 9-12 AM, cooled in ice-cold DMEM/F12 media (Gibco, Thermo Fisher

Scientific) for 5 minutes. Brains were then placed ventral surface up into a chilled

stainless steel brain matrix and a single coronal section (1.5 mm thick) block was

obtained between the rostral and the caudal ends of the Circle of Willis, followed by a

triangular section of the MBH using a scalpel. Dissected tissue was digested using the

Neural Tissue Dissociation Kit from Miltenyi Biotec (Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) with

manual dissociation with the following modifications: sections were immediately placed

in preheated (37°C) enzyme mix 1 (1425 ml Buffer X + 37.5 ml Enzyme E) and

incubated in closed tubes for 15 minutes at 37 °C under slow, continuous rotation. Then

enzyme mix 2 (15 ml Buffer Y + 7.5 ml Enzyme A) was added followed by mechanically

dissociated using a wide-tipped, fire-polished Pasteur pipette by pipetting up and down

10 times slowly. After a 10-minutes incubation under slow, continuous rotation, using a

series of 2 fire-polished Pasteur pipettes with incrementally smaller openings the tissue

was gently dissociated until no visible pieces were observed. Cell suspensions were

centrifuged at 300xg for 10 minutes at room temperature (RT), and resuspended in 500

ml Hanks' Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 0.04%

(w/v) bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Sigma-Aldrich). Following an additional wash

(centrifugation at 300xg for 5 minutes and re-suspension in 100 µl HBSS+0.04% BSA)

cells were filtered through a 40 mm mesh and 50 ml HBSS+0.04% BSA was added

through the filter and transferred to clean tubes. The cell suspension was centrifuged at

300xg for 5 minutes and resuspended in 50-150 ml HBSS+0.04% BSA. Cell

concentrations were estimated on a NucleoCounter NC-3000 (Chemometec, Denmark)

and kept on ice until single-cell encapsulation.

Single-cell RNA sequencing

Single-cell cDNA libraries were generated using the 10x Genomics (USA)

Chromium single-cell controller and the 3' v2 Reagent Kit according to manufacturer's

protocol. Single-cell libraries were sequenced on a NextSeq 500 platform with 3

samples on one flow cell to obtain 100 and 32-bp paired end reads using the following

read length: read 1, 26 cycles, read 2, 98 cycles and i7 index, 8 cycles.

Single-cell RNA-sequencing data processing

Cell Ranger version 1.2 (10x Genomics, USA) was used to de-multiplex and

quantify unique molecular identifiers (UMI). A count matrix was generated for each

sample with default parameters and genes were mapped to the mouse reference

genome GRCm38 (mm10, part of the Cell Ranger software package; for the scRNA-seq

dataset) or an adapted reference from the mouse reference genome including introns

(for the snRNA-seq dataset), following the steps outlined on the 10x Genomics website

(https://support.10xgenomics.com/single-cell-gene

expression/software/pipelines/latest/advanced/references#premrna). Barcodes were

filtered to include those with a total UMI count >10% of the 99th percentile of the

expected recovered cells. Next, Scrublet[29] was run with default parameters to identify

likely doublets which were subsequently removed from the expression matrix. Further

processing was performed using the Seurat[30,31] R package (version 2.3). Cells in which

<400 or >4,000 expressed genes were detected, as well any cell with >20%

mitochondrial and/or ribosomal transcripts were discarded. Counts were normalized

using the SCtransform() function from Seurat. Variable genes were identified using the

FindVariableGenes() function with default settings. Unwanted sources of variation, e.g.

number of UMIs and ribosomal and mitochondrial percentage, were regressed out using

the ScaleData() function. Principal components were identified with variable genes

using the RunPCA() function. The PCs for clustering were identified using the

maxLikGlobalDimEst function from the intrinsicDimension[32] package which is described

as best practice[33]. These 10 PCs were used to identify clusters and with the

FindClusters() function at a resolution of 0.2. All neuronal lineage cells were removed

from this dataset, as the quality was poor due to mouse age (myelination/neuron

fragility).

This dataset was then merged with the previously published part of this dataset

comprising of hypothalamic cells from vehicle and FGF1 treated mice. As cells merged

into the expected clusters, no integration methods were required. Cluster labels from

the previous dataset were used to annotate the clusters found in the merged dataset.

The final step was to further remove any artifacts/doublets, which had been

missed in the initial round of processing. Each cell type underwent a round of iterative

clustering as described above. Within each cell type, any cluster in which 50% of cells

originated from a single sample was removed. The FindMarkers() function was used to

identify markers of each subcluster, which were removed if enriched for marker genes

of a different cell type.

Finally, for each cell, the silhouette index, a measure quantifying the similarity of

a given cell to its assigned cluster compared to other clusters, was computed, and

subsequently in order to remove cells that could not confidently be assigned to a single

cluster, cells with a negative silhouette index were discarded.

Single-cell RNA-sequencing analyses

Cell Type Abundance

Cell type abundance per individual sample was calculated by summing the total number

of cells within each defined cell cluster and then dividing by the total number of cells

collected per sample. Shifts in cell type abundance across conditions were tested using

a mixed effects linear model with a random effect for samples to account for the

hierarchical structure of the data.

Differential State analysis

Using the Muscat package() pre-defined clusters were split by sample requiring at least

10 cells per sample-cluster combination[34]. Raw counts were summed across each

gene-sample-cluster combination to generate a single column per sample-cluster. This

matrix was then fed directly into edgeR() for standard processing[35]. A gene was

considered differentially expressed if the adjusted local p-value (adjusted within a

cluster) was less than 0.05.

<u>Correlation Analysis</u>

Following DGE analysis, each gene was assigned a rank within each cluster treatment

combination based on its differential expression (-log10(p-value) * log2FoldChange).

The transcriptomic response to the multiple treatment paradigms were then compared

to one another by calculating the spearman's correlation of gene rankings.

<u>GSVA analysis</u>

Gene set variation analysis was performed using the R package `GSVA` function with

default parameter s(method="gsva")[36]. GSVA implements a non-parametric

unsupervised method for gene set enrichment that transforms the data from a gene by

sample matrix to a gene-set by sample matrix, allowing for individual sample gene-set

enrichment testing. As input to GSVA, we calculated the pseudobulk profiles from each

sample cluster combination which were then normalized with the variance stabilizing

transformation function from DESeq2. All gene sets from the REACTOME database

were tested for enrichment. The association between gene set enrichment and

treatment status was assessed using linear regression. A linear model with the

normalized enrichment score as dependent and treatment group as independent

variable was constructed for each gene set. P-values were adjusted for multiple testing

using the FDR correction.

<u>WGCNA and adjacency matrix calculation</u>

The WGCNA package (version 1.66) (cite number 17) implemented in R was used[37].

Pearson correlation coefficient was used for the single-cell data and signed network

parameter was used to compute the gene-gene adjacency matrix. Powers

corresponding to the top 95th percentile of network connectivity or above were

discarded and the lowest soft threshold power between 1 and 30 to achieve a scale-free

topology R-squared fit of 0.8 was selected.

<u>WGCNA hierarchical clustering</u>

The topological overlap matrices were converted to distance matrices, and the hclust()

function was used with the complete method to cluster genes hierarchically. The

cutreeDynamic() function was used with a deepSplit parameter of 2 and the pamStage

parameter set to FALSE to carve the dendrogram into modules with at least 15 genes.

The moduleEigengenes() function was used to compute module eigengenes, the vector

of cell embeddings on the first PC of each module's expression submatrix. The

mergeCloseModules() function was used to merge modules, using a cutHeight of ≤0.2,

corresponding to a Pearson correlation between module eigengenes of ≥0.8. The

module eigengenes and expression matrices were used with the signedKME() function

to compute gene–module Pearson correlations, or kMEs, a measure of how close each

gene is to each module. Module treatment associations were tested using a linear

mixed-effects model with the lme4 R package[38] (function lmer()), using a random effect

for each sample. Significance values are FDR corrected to account for multiple testing.

<u>Astrocyte Enrichment</u>

To characterize WGCNA modules found enriched in FGF1 treated astrocytes

when compared to FGF1-R50E and vehicle treated mice, a hypergeometric enrichment

test was performed using markers of astrocytes in middle cerebral artery occlusion

(MCAO)/lipopolysaccharide (LPS) mice or astrocytes co-cultured with neurons. Prior to

the analysis, the (GSE35338) [39] and (E-MTAB-5514) [20] datasets were downloaded and

DEG analysis was performed. Genesets were constructed by identifying any gene with

a $\log_2$ fold-change>2 and FDR<0.05 (omitted for the GSE35338[39] dataset) in any of the

conditions described. Any genes shared between LPS and MCAO at Day 1 were

assigned to the PAN-reactive geneset. Furthermore, any gene found to overlap

between LPS and MCAO was removed from the geneset. All p-values were FDR-

corrected using sBH correction.

Statistical Analysis

Data from individual experiment are shown as dot plots representing data from

individual animals and bar graphs representing average ±SEM. Statistical analyses

were performed using R [40]. Student's t-test was used to compare the means in two

groups and one-way ANOVA to compare three groups assumptions of test were

satisfied. For data that was collected repeatedly on each individual animal overtime we

employed robust non-parametric methodology statistical procedures to enable accurate

and reliable analysis of longitudinal measurements with minimal conditions and have

competitive performance for small sample size when (semi)parametric assumptions

were are not satisfied. Longitudinal data were analyzed using a linear mixed model

including fixed effect of treatment and day and random effects of animal. Linear mixed

models were conducted with the R statistical package "nlme"[41], or the equivalent

nonparametric test using the R statistical package "nparLD" [42] and followed up by post

hoc analysis using nparcomp[43]. Probability values less than 0.05 were considered

statistically significant.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for the technical assistance provided Helle Kinggaard Lilja-

Fischer, Cecilia Ratner, Birgitte Holst, and the Single-cell Omics Platform at the Novo

Nordisk Foundation Center for Basic Metabolic Research, University of Copenhagen.

The authors thank Nathanial Peters at the University of Washington Keck Imaging

Center for technical assistance and the National Institutes of Health (S10-OD-016240)

for support to the W.M. Keck Foundation Center for Advanced Studies in Neural

Signaling. JMB was supported by National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute T32 training

grant HL-007312 and the Diabetes Research Center Samuel and Althea Stroum

Endowed Graduate Fellowship and HW was supported by the NIDDK-funded Diabetes,

Obesity and Metabolism Training Grant (T32 DK007247) at the University of

Washington. This work was supported by NIH-NIDDK grants: K08DK114474 (JMS),

R01DK101997 (MWS), R01DK089056 (GJM), R01DK083042 (GJM and MWS) and an

American Diabetes Association Innovative Basic Science Award (ADA 1-19-IBS-192;

GJM). This work was also supported by the NIH-NIDDK–funded Nutrition Obesity

Research Center (NORC; P30DK035816) and the Diabetes Research Center (DRC;

P30DK017047) at the University of Washington. Additional funding to support these

studies was provided to JMS by the UW Royalty Research Fund (RRF; A139339) and

to THP by the Lundbeck Foundation (Grant number R190-2014-3904). Funding was

also provided to MWS by Novo Nordisk (CMS-431104) and to MAB by the Novo

Nordisk Foundation (NNF17OC0024328) and the Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for

Basic Metabolic Research, which is an independent research center at the University of

Copenhagen partially funded by an unrestricted donation from the Novo Nordisk

Foundation (NNF10CC1016515).

Author Contributions

JMB, MWS, and JMS conceived the project. JMB, MAB, THP, MWS, and JMS

designed the experiments. JMB, MAB, DMR, BAP, DW, HW, MEM, NER and JMS,

performed the experiments. JMB, MAB, DMR, BAP, XZ, PZ, AS, GJM, THP, MWS, and

JMS acquired, analyzed, and interpreted the data. JMB, MAB, DMR, MWS, and JMS

drafted and revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the

manuscript. JMS is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all of the

data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of

the data analysis.

Duality of Interest.

Funding in support of these studies was provided to MWS by Novo Nordisk A/S (CMS-431104). No other potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

References

Zakrzewska, M., Marcinkowska, E. & Wiedlocha, A. FGF-1: From Biology Through Engineering to Potential Medical Applications. *Critical Reviews in Clinical Laboratory Sciences* **45**, 91-135, doi:10.1080/10408360701713120 (2008).

Suh, J. M. *et al.* Endocrinization of FGF1 produces a neomorphic and potent insulin sensitizer. *Nature* **513**, 436-439, doi:10.1038/nature13540 (2014).

Scarlett, J. M. *et al.* Central injection of fibroblast growth factor 1 induces sustained remission of diabetic hyperglycemia in rodents. *Nature Medicine* **22**, 800-806, doi:10.1038/nm.4101 (2016).

Scarlett, J. M. *et al.* Peripheral Mechanisms Mediating the Sustained Antidiabetic Action of FGF1 in the Brain. *Diabetes* **68**, 654, doi:10.2337/db18-0498 (2019).

Brown, J. M. *et al.* The Hypothalamic Arcuate Nucleus–Median Eminence Is a Target for Sustained Diabetes Remission Induced by Fibroblast Growth Factor 1. *Diabetes* **68**, 1054-1061, doi:10.2337/db19-0025 (2019).

Tennant, K. G., Lindsley, S. R., Kirigiti, M. A., True, C. & Kievit, P. Central and Peripheral Administration of Fibroblast Growth Factor 1 Improves Pancreatic Islet Insulin Secretion in Diabetic Mouse Models. *Diabetes* **68**, 1462-1472, doi:10.2337/db18-1175 (2019).

Bentsen, M. A. *et al.* Transcriptomic analysis links diverse hypothalamic cell types to fibroblast growth factor 1-induced sustained diabetes remission. *Nature Communications* **11**, 4458, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-17720-5 (2020).

Alonge, K. M. *et al.* Hypothalamic perineuronal net assembly is required for sustained diabetes remission induced by fibroblast growth factor 1 in rats. *Nat Metab*, doi:10.1038/s42255-020-00275-6 (2020).

Raju, R. *et al.* A Network Map of FGF-1/FGFR Signaling System. *J Signal Transduct* **2014**, 962962, doi:10.1155/2014/962962 (2014).

Marcelin, G. *et al.* Central action of FGF19 reduces hypothalamic AGRP/NPY neuron activity and improves glucose metabolism. *Mol Metab* **3**, 19-28, doi:10.1016/j.molmet.2013.10.002 (2014).

Mori, S. *et al.* Direct binding of integrin alphavbeta3 to FGF1 plays a role in FGF1 signaling. *J Biol Chem* **283**, 18066-18075, doi:10.1074/jbc.M801213200 (2008).

Mori, S. *et al.* A dominant-negative FGF1 mutant (the R50E mutant) suppresses tumorigenesis and angiogenesis. *PLoS One* **8**, e57927, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057927 (2013).

Marshall, C. J. Specificity of receptor tyrosine kinase signaling: transient versus sustained extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation. *Cell* **80**, 179-185, doi:10.1016/0092-8674(95)90401-8 (1995).

Morton, G. J. *et al.* FGF19 action in the brain induces insulin-independent glucose lowering. *J Clin Invest* **123**, 4799-4808, doi:10.1172/JCI70710 (2013).

15 Perry, R. J. *et al.* FGF1 and FGF19 reverse diabetes by suppression of the
hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis. *Nat Commun* **6**, 6980, doi:10.1038/ncomms7980
(2015).
16 Lan, T. *et al.* FGF19, FGF21, and an FGFR1/beta-Klotho-Activating Antibody Act on the
Nervous System to Regulate Body Weight and Glycemia. *Cell Metab* **26**, 709-718 e703,
doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2017.09.005 (2017).
17 Fu, L. *et al.* Fibroblast growth factor 19 increases metabolic rate and reverses dietary
and leptin-deficient diabetes. *Endocrinology* **145**, 2594-2603, doi:10.1210/en.2003-1671
(2004).
18 Yamaji, S. *et al.* A novel fibroblast growth factor-1 (FGF1) mutant that acts as an FGF
antagonist. *PLoS One* **5**, e10273, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010273 (2010).
19 Langfelder, P. & Horvath, S. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network
analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics* **9**, 559, doi:10.1186/1471-2105-9-559 (2008).
20 Hasel, P. *et al.* Neurons and neuronal activity control gene expression in astrocytes to
regulate their development and metabolism. *Nat Commun* **8**, 15132,
doi:10.1038/ncomms15132 (2017).
21 Balland, E. *et al.* Hypothalamic tanycytes are an ERK-gated conduit for leptin into the
brain. *Cell Metab* **19**, 293-301, doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2013.12.015 (2014).
22 Mayer, C. M. & Belsham, D. D. Insulin directly regulates NPY and AgRP gene
expression via the MAPK MEK/ERK signal transduction pathway in mHypoE-46
hypothalamic neurons. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* **307**, 99-108, doi:10.1016/j.mce.2009.02.031
(2009).
23 Zhang, J. *et al.* ERK1/2 mediates glucose-regulated POMC gene expression in
hypothalamic neurons. *J Mol Endocrinol* **54**, 125-135, doi:10.1530/JME-14-0330 (2015).
24 Desgrosellier, J. S. & Cheresh, D. A. Integrins in cancer: biological implications and
therapeutic opportunities. *Nat Rev Cancer* **10**, 9-22, doi:10.1038/nrc2748 (2010).
25 Latko, M. *et al.* Cross-Talk between Fibroblast Growth Factor Receptors and Other Cell
Surface Proteins. *Cells* **8**, 455, doi:10.3390/cells8050455 (2019).
26 Kang, L. *et al.* Integrin-Linked Kinase in Muscle Is Necessary for the Development of
Insulin Resistance in Diet-Induced Obese Mice. *Diabetes* **65**, 1590-1600,
doi:10.2337/db15-1434 (2016).
27 Williams, A. S. *et al.* Integrin-Linked Kinase Is Necessary for the Development of Diet-
Induced Hepatic Insulin Resistance. *Diabetes* **66**, 325-334, doi:10.2337/db16-0484
(2017).
28 Mirzadeh, Z. *et al.* Perineuronal net formation during the critical period for neuronal
maturation in the hypothalamic arcuate nucleus. *Nature Metabolism* **1**, 212-221,
doi:10.1038/s42255-018-0029-0 (2019).
29 Wolock, S. L., Lopez, R. & Klein, A. M. Scrublet: Computational Identification of Cell
Doublets in Single-Cell Transcriptomic Data. *Cell Syst* **8**, 281-291.e289,
doi:10.1016/j.cels.2018.11.005 (2019).
30 Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Smibert, P., Papalexi, E. & Satija, R. Integrating single-cell
transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. *Nature
Biotechnology* **36**, 411-420, doi:10.1038/nbt.4096 (2018).
31 Stuart, T. *et al.* Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data. *Cell* **177**, 1888-
1902.e1821, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.031 (2019).
32 Johnsson, K., Soneson, C. & Fontes, M. Low Bias Local Intrinsic Dimension Estimation
from Expected Simplex Skewness. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine
Intelligence* **37**, 196-202, doi:10.1109/TPAMI.2014.2343220 (2015).
33 Germain, P.-L., Sonrel, A. & Robinson, M. D. pipeComp, a general framework for the
evaluation of computational pipelines, reveals performant single cell RNA-seq
preprocessing tools. *Genome Biology* **21**, 227, doi:10.1186/s13059-020-02136-7 (2020).

34   Crowell, H. L. *et al.* muscat detects subpopulation-specific state transitions from multi-
788   sample multi-condition single-cell transcriptomics data. *Nature Communications* **11**,
789   6077, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-19894-4 (2020).
790   35   Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J. & Smyth, G. K. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for
791   differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 139-
792   140, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616 (2009).
793   36   Hänzelmann, S., Castelo, R. & Guinney, J. GSVA: gene set variation analysis for
794   microarray and RNA-Seq data. *BMC Bioinformatics* **14**, 7, doi:10.1186/1471-2105-14-7
795   (2013).
796   37   Langfelder, P. & Horvath, S. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network
797   analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics* **9**, 559, doi:10.1186/1471-2105-9-559 (2008).
798   38   Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. & Walker, S. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models
799   Using lme4. *2015* **67**, 48, doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01 (2015).
800   39   Zamanian, J. L. *et al.* Genomic Analysis of Reactive Astrogliosis. *The Journal of*
801   *Neuroscience* **32**, 6391-6410, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.6221-11.2012 (2012).
802   40   R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (Vienna, Austria, 2013).
803   41   Jose Pinheiro, D. B., Saikat DebRoy, Deepayan Sarkar, and R Core Team. nlme: Linear
804   and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models. (2013).
805   42   Konietschke, K. N. a. Y. R. G. a. E. B. a. F. nparLD: An R Software Package for the
806   Nonparametric Analysis of Longitudinal Data in Factorial Experiments. *Journal of*
807   *Statistical Software* **50**, 1-23 (2012).
808   43   Konietschke, F., Placzek, M., Schaarschmidt, F. & Hothorn, L. A. nparcomp: An R
809   Software Package for Nonparametric Multiple Comparisons and Simultaneous
810   Confidence Intervals. *2015* **64**, 17, doi:10.18637/jss.v064.i09 (2015).