1  **Brief Research Report**

# Peptidome profiling for the immunological stratification in sepsis: a proof of concept study

**Martín Ledesma[1, 7], María Florencia Todero[2], Lautaro Maceira[2], Mónica Prieto[3], Carlos Vay[1],**
**Marcelo Galas[4], Beatriz López[5], Noemí Yokobori[6, 7], Bárbara Rearte[|]\***

[1] Laboratorio de Bacteriología, Departamento de Bioquímica Clínica, Hospital de Clínicas "José de
San Martín"; Facultad de Farmacia y Bioquímica, UBA, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

[2] Instituto de Medicina Experimental (IMEX) - CONICET - Academia Nacional de Medicina, Buenos
Aires, Argentina.

[3] Servicio de Bacteriología Especial. Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Infecciosas (INEI), ANLIS
"Dr. C. G. Malbrán", Buenos Aires, Argentina.

[4] Special Program of AMR, Communicable Diseases and Environmental Determinants of Health
Department, Pan-American Health Organization, Washington, D.C., USA.

[5] Departamento de Bacteriología. INEI, ANLIS "Dr. C. G. Malbrán", Buenos Aires, Argentina.

[6] Servicio de Micobacterias INEI, ANLIS "Dr. C. G. Malbrán" Buenos Aires, Argentina.

[7] Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Argentina.

**\* Correspondence:**
Rearte Bárbara PhD.

barbararearte@yahoo.com.ar; barbararearte@gmail.com

**Keywords: Lipopolysaccharide, plasma, sepsis, Mass Spectrometry, Matrix-Assisted Laser**
**Desorption-Ionization, peptidome.**

**Word count: 3657**

**Abstract**

**Background:** Sepsis has earned the epithet of "the graveyard of pharmaceutical companies" due to
the numerous failed clinical trials and the lack of tools to monitor the immunological status of
patients with sepsis constrains the evaluation of novel immunomodulatory therapeutic approaches.
Here, we evaluated a test based on whole plasma peptidome acquired in a MALDI-TOF-mass
spectrometer used for bacterial biotyping and machine learning algorithms to discriminate the
different immunological phases of sepsis. **Methods:** In this proof of concept study, two discrete
lipopolysaccharide-(LPS) induced murine models were developed to emulate the pro- and anti-
inflammatory phases that occur during sepsis. One group was inoculated with a single high dose of
LPS, recalling the proinflammatory phase (LPS group); a second group was subjected to a scheme of
increasing LPS doses to induce the anti-inflammatory/immunosuppression phase (IS group); both
groups were contrasted with a basal control group.  **Results:** Both experimental groups showed the
hallmarks of pro- and anti-inflammatory/IS stages respectively, with a marked leukopenia, high
levels of cytokines and tissue damage markers in plasma in the LPS group, and neutrophilia
accompanied by lymphopenia and significantly lower antibody titers upon immunization in the IS
group. Principal component analysis of the plasma peptidome spectra formed three discrete clusters
that mostly coincided with the three experimental groups. In addition, we developed predictive
models based on machine learning algorithms that discriminated the different experimental groups
with a sensitivity and specificity of up to 95.7% and 90.9% respectively. Finally, we identified
potential biomarkers associated with each phase by bioinformatic assignment. **Conclusions:** Our data
reveal the potential of plasma peptidome analysis by MALDI-TOF-mass spectrometry as a simple,
speedy and readily transferrable method for sepsis patient stratification that could contribute to
therapeutic decision-making based on their immunological status.

## 1    Introduction

Sepsis constitutes one the major causes of death in intensive care units (Vincent et al., 2009; Novosad
et al., 2016) with an incidence of 31.5 million cases and 7-9 million deaths annually (Fleischmann et
al., 2016). The impact of sepsis in developing regions such as Latin America is elusive due to the
limited number of prevalence studies, but the high incidence rate of infectious diseases and the
inequities in the access to health care indicates that it is an alarming problem in the region (Azevedo
et al., 2018; Estenssoro et al., 2018; Estenssoro et al., 2019).

The redefinition by the Sepsis-3 consensus highlights the need to integrate the information on the
etiological agent and the host response status and strongly emphasizes that the dysregulated host
response as a key component of sepsis (Singer et al., 2016).

From the immunological point of view, sepsis is characterized by the predominance of an
overwhelming pro-inflammatory response during the early stages with a concomitant anti-
inflammatory and immunosuppressive response that becomes predominant in the later stages (van der
Poll et al., 2017; Venet et al., 2018; Rubio et al., 2019). Sepsis has earned the epithet of "the
graveyard of pharmaceutical companies" due to the numerous failed clinical trials and this could be
related to an inappropriate timing for the application of immunomodulatory interventions (Rubio et
al., 2019). The lack of tools to monitor the host immune status constrains the evaluation of novel
immunomodulatory therapeutic approaches. Despite the efforts put in biomarker search in the past
decades, only a handful of molecules such as procalcitonin proved to be useful in the clinical setting
under specific conditions. Moreover, none of them determines the quickly changing immunological
status of the host (Pierrakos and Vincent, 2010; van der Poll et al., 2017; Parlato et al., 2018; van
Engelen et al., 2018b; Venet et al., 2018; Al Jalbout et al., 2019; Gunsolus et al., 2019; Rubio et al.,
2019; Schenz et al., 2019).

With the advent of artificial intelligence algorithms including machine learning (ML) (López
Fernández et al., 2016), top-down analysis of unlabeled biological samples is gaining ground. This is
the case of matrix-assisted light desorption ionization-time of flight-mass spectrometry (MALDI-
TOF-MS), a powerful tool that is currently spreading in the clinical setting for microbiological
biotyping and in biomedical research for the study of biological samples in several diseases including
sepsis (Ludwig and Hummon, 2017; Hou et al., 2019).

Taking into account that around 50% of all sepsis cases are caused by Gram-negative bacteria (van
Engelen et al., 2018a; Dolin et al., 2019), we (Rearte et al., 2010a; Rearte et al., 2010b; Martire-
Greco et al., 2014; Rearte et al., 2014; Córdoba-Moreno et al., 2019; Montagna et al., 2020) and other
authors (Opal et al., 1999; Genga et al., 2018) demonstrated that both inflammatory and anti-
inflammatory processes that occur during sepsis can be emulated by lipopolysaccharides (LPS) in
murine models.

Herein, we hypothesized that the pro/anti-inflammatory phases could be discriminated through the
analysis of plasma peptidome spectra generated by MALDI-TOF-MS. Thus, in this study, using LPS-
induced murine models emulating the different phases, we developed and evaluated predictive
models based on ML algorithm that would allow the discrimination of the different immunological
phases.

## 2    Materials and methods

**2.1    Animals**

Female and male BALB/c mice (8–12 weeks old) were provided by the IMEX-CONICET-Academia
Nacional de Medicina, Buenos Aires, Argentina. Animals were maintained under a 12 h light–dark
cycle at 22 ± 2 °C and were fed with standard diet and water *ad libitum*. Animals were bred and
housed in accordance with the NIH Guide and Use of Laboratory Animals (National Research
Council (U.S.), 2011). Experimental designs were approved by the Committee for the Care and Use
of Laboratory Animals (CICUAL) of IMEX-CONICET, Academia Nacional de Medicina de Buenos
Aires.

**2.2    Murine Models**

All the inoculation and sample collection schemes are depicted in Supplementary Figure 1.

Briefly, for the inflammatory phase model (LPS group), BALB/c mice were inoculated with a lethal
dose 50 (LD50) of LPS of *Escherichia coli* O111: B4 (100 µg/ mouse; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO,
USA) intraperitoneally (i. p.) (Córdoba-Moreno et al., 2019). Plasma samples were obtained at 1.5 h
and 6 h after the injection. In the anti-inflammatory/immunosuppression phase model (IS group),
BALB/c mice were inoculated daily with LPS for 10 consecutive days. The inoculation scheme
consisted of increasing doses starting from 5 µg/mouse i. p. for the first 4 days, followed by 50
µg/mouse i. p. for 3 days, and 100 µg/mouse i. p. for the last 3 days (Rearte et al., 2010b). Plasma
samples were obtained 24h after the last LPS dose. A third group of mice inoculated with vehicle
(saline solution) served as control (CTL group). The plasma in this group was collected at the same
time points indicated in the experimental groups. Peripheral blood was collected by submandibular
bleeding method in order to maximize the quality of the sample. Part of the heparinized samples were
used for blood cell count and the remaining volume was centrifuged twice (400xg, 10 min at 4ºC)
and plasma were stored at -20ºC until analysis.

**2.3    Immunological, hematological and biochemical parameters**

Peripheral blood leukocytes were counted in a Coulter hematology analyzer (Diatron Abacus Junior
Vet, Budapest, Hungary) at 1.5 h and 24 h post LPS for the LPS and the IS groups respectively.
Proinflammatory (TNF-α; IL-12p70; IFN-γ; IL-6) and anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-10, TGF-β)
were determined in plasma by ELISA at the indicated time points (OptEIA set; BD Biosciences, San
Diego, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The tissue damage markers creatinine
(Cre), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate transaminase (AST) were determined in plasma,
at 6 and 24 h in the LPS and IS groups respectively, using a kit from BioTecnica (Varginha, Minas
Gerais, Brazil) and the MINDRAY BS-200E auto-analyzer according to the manufacturer's
instructions.

For flow cytometry analysis, 24 h after the last LPS dose, a splenocyte suspension was obtained and
the cells were immunolabeled as described previously (Rearte et al., 2010b; Rearte et al., 2014). The
following cell types were evaluated: CD4 (FITC-anti-CD4, clone RM4-5) and CD8 (PECy5-anti-
CD8, clone 53-6.7) T-lymphocytes, B-lymphocytes (FITC-anti-CD45R-B220, clone RA3-6B2),
polymorphonuclear neutrophils (FITC-anti-CD11b, clone M1/70 and PE-anti-Ly6G, clone 1A8). The
expression levels of PDL-1 (PE-anti-PDL-1, clone MIH5) on the CD11b gate of myeloid lineage
cells were also evaluated. Labeled monoclonal antibodies were obtained from Invitrogen and BD
Pharmingen[TM]. Cells were acquired in a Becton Dickinson FACScan flow cytometer using Cell
Quest software (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA).

Primary antibody response to sheep red blood cells (SRBC) was evaluated by immunizing animals 24
h after the last dose of LPS ($5x10^8$ SRBC /mouse, 0.1ml i. p.). The anti-SRBC antibody titer was
evaluated in serum through an hemagglutination assay 7 days after immunization as described
previously (Rearte et al., 2010b; Rearte et al., 2014).

**2.4  Acquisition of MALI-TOF-MS spectra**

Plasma samples were analyzed with the MALDI Biotyper System (Bruker Daltonik GmbH, Bremen,
Germany). Total number of plasma samples analyzed per group were n = 29 for the LPS group, n =
22 for the IS group and n= 25 for the CTL group. Plasma samples were analyzed with the standard
method for microbial biotyping. Briefly, 1µl of plasma was loaded onto each spot in duplicate and 1
µl of the matrix (alpha-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid matrix in 50% acetonitrile and 2.5%
trifluoroacetic acid) was added to each dried spot.

Continuous mass spectra were obtained with a Microflex LT/SH MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer
using the flexControl software version 3.4.135.0. Acquisition conditions were ionization mode: LD+,
acquisition method: MBT_FC.par, acquisition mode: qsim, tof Mode: linear, acquisition Operator
Mode: linear, and digitizerType: Bruker BD0G5, within a mass range of 2,000-20,000 Da. Spectra
were obtained in the manual mode, using 60% of laser intensity with 40 laser repetition in each shot
and reaching between 400-500 spectra by acquisition. Internal calibration was performed every day
following manufacturer's instructions (bacterial test standard; Bruker Daltonik GmbH).

**2.5  MALDI-TOF-MS data pre-processing**

We generated a dataset containing 152 mass spectra from plasma samples corresponding to the three
mice groups in duplicate. Mass spectra were read as fid/aqus files with MALDIquantForeign (v0.10)
(Gibb, 2019) and they were processed using MALDIquant (v1.16.2) (Gibb and Strimmer, 2012) R
package. Briefly, spectra were square root-transformed, smoothed using the Savitzky-Golay
algorithm, and were baseline-corrected applying the SNIP process across 100 iterations.

The peaks were detected by a function that estimates the noise of mass spectrometry data by
calculating the median absolute deviation (MAD). The signal-to-noise-ratio (SNR) was set up in 4,
with a half Window Size of 40 and a tolerance of 0.2 for peak binning. Duplicates were averaged
except from one replicate corresponding to the CTL group that was removed due to low quality and
76 averaged spectra were subject to further analysis. Peaks that occurred in less than 33% of the
spectra were removed. MALDI-TOF-MS data were transformed by a categorization of the peak
intensity, performed with the Binda R package (Gibb, 2015) which compares the intensity value with
the peak group average, returning 1 when it was equal or higher and 0 when it was lower. The
analysis workflow is depicted in the Figure 1.

**2.6  Statistical analysis**

**2.6.1 Immunological, hematological and biochemical parameters**

Graph Pad Prism 6 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA) was used for statistical analysis
and plotting. The number of mice or biological replicates (n) analyzed in each experiment were the
replicates considered for statistical analysis. Values are expressed as the mean ± standard error of the
mean (SEM) of n samples. No outliers were removed. The assumption test to determine the Gaussian
distribution was performed by the Kolmogorov and Smirnov method. For parameters with a Gaussian
distribution, the differences between two experimental groups were assessed by unpaired Student's t-

test, and for multiple group comparisons, as the differences in peripheral blood leukocytes, were
analyzed using a one-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey's multiple comparison test. For parameters
with a non-Gaussian distribution, comparisons between two experimental groups were performed
using Mann–Whitney U test. All statistical tests were interpreted in a two-tailed fashion and a $P<0.05$
was considered statistically significant.

**2.6.2 MALDI-TOF-MS data**

Statistical analysis and plotting were performed using Rstudio. Programmed peaks selection was
performed in the entire dataset seeking for biomarkers of each class representing the different
experimental groups by the Binary Discriminant Analysis (BDA) algorithm, which outputs the
t.score (Class means vs. Pooled mean) of each peak. The sign of the t.score indicates the presence
(positive t.score) or absence (negative t.score) of that peak in each group. A significance level of 95%
was achieved if the modulus of the t.score was equal or higher than 2.5. The best-extracted features
were then used to perform a hierarchical k-means clustering-principal component analysis (PCA)
with the factoextra R package (Kassambara and Mundt, 2019). The binary distance was used to
measure dissimilarity between observations by the ward.D2 agglomeration method with a k of 4. In
addition, spectra were analyzed with the random forest (RF) classifier to test another classification
model based on a different underlying criterion.

Afterwards, two ML methods were applied in the dataset to train both BDA and RF classifiers in R
(Liaw and Wiener, 2002). Initially, the dataset was randomly partitioned into a training set (60% of
plasma samples) and a test set (40% of plasma samples). Programmed feature (peaks) selection was
performed with the respective algorithms in the training set seeking for discriminant peaks
corresponding to each experimental group. The extracted features were then used to train several ML
models. Accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity were used to evaluate the performances of all resulting
models in the test set by a cross-validation strategy.

**2.7     Bioinformatic peak assignment**

Uniprot database was used to assign the best 20 discriminant peaks identified with each classification
method. The search was performed on the *Mus musculus* database (ID) and within a 15 Da interval
around each peak.

**3       Results**

**3.1     Simulation of pro- and anti-inflammatory sepsis phases**

The proinflammatory phase was induced with a high dose of LPS (LPS group), whereas the anti-
inflammatory/immunosuppression phase (IS group) was reached with a scheme of increasing doses
of LPS (Suppl. Fig.1). In order to validate the two models, immunological, inflammatory and tissue
damage markers were studied in the two experimental groups as well as in the control group (CTL
group). In accordance with our previous results, the LPS group was characterized by a marked
leukopenia (Suppl. Fig 2a), high plasma levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α but also
of the anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-10 and TGF-β (Suppl. Fig 3a, b). Tissue enzymes indicative of
damage were also elevated (Suppl. Fig 4a-c).

On the other hand, the IS group showed a leucocytosis due to increased numbers of circulating
granulocytes, particularly of polymorphonuclear neutrophils (Suppl. Fig 2b) (Córdoba-Moreno et al.,
2019), along with high plasma levels of TGF- β and low levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines
(Suppl. Fig 3c). No signs of tissue damage were observed in this group (Suppl. Fig 4d-f). As
previously described (Rearte et al., 2010b; Rearte et al., 2014; Montagna et al., 2020), IS mice had a
profound immunological impairment, accompanied by a marked lymphopenia and an increased
number of neutrophils in the spleen, which expressed higher levels of the inhibitory receptor  PDL-1
(Suppl. Fig 5a, 5b). Moreover, the IS group showed significantly lower antibody titers upon
immunization with SRBCs (Suppl. Fig 5c). Collectively, these results indicate that the pro- and anti-
inflammatory/immunosuppression phases were emulated in our murine models.

**3.2    MALDI-TOF MS data analysis**

Plasma samples of the CTL, IS and LPS groups were analyzed by MALDI-TOF MS in the 2000 –
20,000 Da range. Representative spectra of each experimental group are shown in Suppl. Fig. 6 and
the analysis pipeline is detailed in Figure 1. A statistical analysis set was implemented to test if
MALDI-TOF MS data were useful to discriminate the three experimental groups. First, through a
supervised classification algorithm we looked for peaks that best differentiated the CTL, LPS, and IS
groups. The 20 best discriminant peaks identified by binary discriminant analysis (BDA) and random
forest (RF) algorithms are shown in the Suppl. Fig. 7a and b respectively, which partially overlapped.
Of the 20 best peaks selected by the BDA algorithm, the 10 most discriminant peaks were chosen for
an unsupervised statistical analysis to plot the hierarchical k-means clustering-PCA clusters (Figure
2a). Clusters appeared as three non-superimposed groups, and the first two principal components
explained 76.6% of the variation with good intra cluster homogeneity. Specifically, cluster 2
achieved 100% (16/16) of homogeneity for spectra corresponding to CTL plasma and 64% (16/25) of
CTL spectra fell in this cluster, while for cluster 1 an 85% (29/34) homogeneity was achieved for
spectra corresponding to the LPS group and 100% (29/29) of LPS spectra fell in this cluster (Figure
2b). Lastly, homogeneity of cluster 3 was 77% (20/26) mostly represented by spectra of the IS group,
with 91% of the IS spectra (20/22) included in this cluster. This result shows that the
immunosuppression/anti-inflammatory and pro-inflammatory phases have distinctive plasma
peptidome signatures that allow their discrimination.

**3.3    Machine learning analysis**

ML algorithms were used to develop a predictive model based on the plasma fingerprints, using a
training data set (14 CTL, 13 IS and 15 LPS samples) challenged with a test data set (11 CTL, 9 IS
and 14 LPS samples; Figure 1). To find the most refined model, a dimensionality reduction was
performed through the selection of different numbers of distinctive peaks in the training set to train
the algorithms, and the performances on the test set were computed for each condition. Specifically,
the top 5, 10, 15, and 20 discriminant peaks for BDA and RF algorithms were evaluated by cross-
validation (CV; 5 folds and 20 repetitions) and percentages of accuracy, sensitivity, specificity,
negative predictive value (NPV) and positive predictive value (PPV) in the test data set were
obtained (Table 1).

Between models, RF using the best 20 peaks showed the most consistent values, with sensitivity and
specificity values above 90%. The BDA model trained with the best five peaks showed the best
performance in terms of detection of positive cases, with a sensitivity above 95% (Table 1); this
strategy constitutes the simplest method in terms of both the number of required peaks and

computational demands. These results display the potential of plasma peptidome fingerprints to
develop predictive models.

**3.4    Bioinformatic peak assignment**

The 25 most discriminant peaks that were identified (15 by both methods, 5 unique to BDA and 5
unique to RF) were bioinformatically assigned to proteins/peptides matching entries in the Uniprot
database according to their mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) listed in the Suppl. Table 1. Most hits
corresponded to fragments of bigger proteins. The only peptide identified in its native form was the
antimicrobial peptide β-defensin-2, which was selected by both BDA and RF approaches as highly
discriminant. Other peptides identified in this analysis were β-enolase (7,643 Da peak), BRCA1
gene-1 protein, and lysosomal α-mannosidase (4,870 Da peak), among others.

**4    Discussion**

Sepsis constitutes a highly heterogeneous syndrome of complex pathophysiology. The underlying
immunological alterations that include pro-inflammatory, anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive
components (Rubio et al., 2019) are reflected in septic plasma protein composition and varies over
time according to the disease severity (Hayashi et al., 2019).

In the last decades, several circulating markers with recognized functions in sepsis were identified.
However, none of these markers showed the specificity and sensitivity required for its clinical use
(Parlato et al., 2018; van Engelen et al., 2018b). Furthermore, methods that rely on immunolabeling
such as ELISA or flow cytometry, are highly specific and sensitive for the detection of low
abundance markers, but requires costly equipment and consumables, as well as specific technical
knowhow. In addition, these techniques are time consuming, limiting their clinical usefulness for
early decision-making.

Regarding biomarker discovery, proteomics proved to be an important source of information.
However, in the classical approaches the plasma samples are subjected to depletion of abundant
proteins (Ludwig and Hummon, 2017) and fractionation to identify individual biomarkers (Hayashi
et al., 2019; Harberts et al., 2020) which requires more analysis time, specific equipment and higher
costs. These constraints led us to explore a new top-down strategy that could overcome these
difficulties. Thus, having in mind that we aimed to design a readily transferrable method, our
approach was meant to be as simple as possible and unlabeled whole plasma were directly read by
MALDI-TOF-MS.

Our results showed that the performance of the test with whole plasma and standard acquisition
settings used in routine microbiological biotyping were excellent in terms of discriminatory power.
First, an ensemble between supervised and unsupervised algorithms allowed the overall
discrimination of plasma samples corresponding to the three experimental groups. These results
showed that each phase comprise a distinctive MALDI-TOF-MS pattern, which turns this approach
into a promising tool to determine different phases in sepsis. A recent study reported a top-down
plasma analysis showing that differences between samples from healthy donors and cancer patients
could be appreciated without the depletion of large abundant proteins by setting a cut-off at 30KDa
(Cheon et al., 2016), supporting our results.

Furthermore, the performance of our ML algorithms challenged with the test dataset, was able to
identify basal, pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory/immunosuppressive phases in a very
satisfactory way, exceeding 90% of accuracy in some of the tested conditions. The cross validation
strategy used herein allows a fine-tuning of the models according to particular needs. Thus,
depending on the clinical and epidemiological settings, algorithms with higher sensitivity and lower
computational demand like our 5-peaks BDA model could be preferred over those with a good
overall accuracy.

The peaks found in the 2-20KDa range mostly correspond to small peptides. Plasma peptidome has
been proposed as an interesting source of information for diagnostic purposes (Cheon et al., 2016;
Dufresne et al., 2018). These peptides are presumably fragments of larger proteins derived from
digestion by proteases or non-enzymatic degradation (Greening and Simpson, 2017) but the lack of
comprehensive digestome/degradome databases is still a limitation to their study (Shen et al., 2010).
Nevertheless, recent reports demonstrate the importance of plasma peptidome as a useful tool for
clinical monitoring in septic shock (Aletti et al., 2016; Bauza-Martinez et al., 2018). Although the
identity of our discriminant peaks has to be confirmed by specific methods, some of the potential
peptides identified in our analysis (Suppl. Table 1) were also found by other authors in human plasma
(Dufresne et al., 2018) which endorses our results. The only peptide that we were able to assign in its
native form was the β-defensin-2, which was selected by both BDA and RF approaches and has been
proposed as a useful biomarker in sepsis (Hayashi et al., 2019).

A major limitation of this study is related to the animal models chosen. Although LPS-induced
inflammation/immunosuppression models are widely used, they do not accurately represent the
dynamic physiological changes that occur in sepsis (Lewis et al., 2016). Endotoxin challenge
promotes a faster and transient release of pro/anti-inflammatory mediators compared to the septic
processes. However, these models allowed us to define two discrete phases that actually occur in a
septic process. For this reason, we considered this was the most appropriate approach to test the
potential of MALDI-TOF-MS analysis in the proof-of concept phase. We are currently validating our
results in a dynamic model of sepsis, the cecal ligation and puncture model, with very encouraging
results.

Our approach has numerous advantages. MALDI-TOF-MS is a simple and speedy method, a critical
feature considering the dynamism of sepsis, which is spreading in the clinical setting even in
resource-constrained regions. In addition, we used an accessible sample as plasma, without complex
pre-processing steps. Finally, we performed our ML analysis in the open source software R that also
guarantees the transferability of the bioinformatics tool.

In conclusion, our results indicate that plasma peptidome analysis by MALDI-TOF-MS has the
potential to be a highly relevant strategy for sepsis patient stratification that could constitute a
powerful tool for therapeutic decision making in sepsis depending on the pro- or anti-inflammatory
phases the patient is undergoing.

**5     Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**6      Author Contributions**

ML designed and performed the bioinformatic analysis. BR and NY conceived and designed the
study. MFT, LM and BR performed the experiments with mice and analyzed data. MP and CV
provided materials and technical support. MG and BL provided materials and collaborated in study
design. ML and NY performed data curation. NY and BR analyzed and discussed the results. BR was
responsible for funding acquisition and study supervision. Manuscript was written by NY and BR,
and was corrected by ML and BL. All the authors revised and approved the final version of the
manuscript.

**7      Funding**

This study was supported by the Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica [grant
number PICT 2015-0412], Buenos Aires, Argentina.

**8      Acknowledgments**

We thank Dr. Martín Isturiz for his eternal accompaniment and support for the development of this
study. His outstanding contribution stems from his singular thoughts. Forever in our hearts, Dr.
Isturiz. We also thank Dr. Viviana Ritacco for her thoughtful comments on the manuscript. A
preliminary version of the manuscript was deposited in the preprint server BioRXiv (doi:
10.1101/2020.12.29.424724).

**9      Data Availability Statement**

The MS datasets generated for this study as well as the analysis pipelines can be found in GitHub
[https://github.com/MarManLed/SepsisData].

**References**

Al Jalbout, N., Troncoso, R., Jr., Evans, J.D., Rothman, R.E., and Hinson, J.S. (2019). Biomarkers
355             and Molecular Diagnostics for Early Detection and Targeted Management of Sepsis and
356             Septic Shock in the Emergency Department. *J Appl Lab Med* 3**,** 724-729.
357             doi:10.1373/jalm.2018.027425

358     Aletti, F., Maffioli, E., Negri, A., Santamaria, M.H., Delano, F.A., Kistler, E.B., Schmid-Schonbein,
359             G.W., and Tedeschi, G. (2016). Peptidomic Analysis of Rat Plasma: Proteolysis in
360             Hemorrhagic Shock. *Shock* 45**,** 540-554. doi:10.1097/SHK.0000000000000532

361     Azevedo, L.C.P., Cavalcanti, A.B., Lisboa, T., Pizzol, F.D., and Machado, F.R. (2018). Sepsis is an
362             important healthcare burden in Latin America: a call to action! *Rev Bras Ter Intensiva* 30**,**
363             402-404. doi:10.5935/0103-507X.20180061

364     Bauza-Martinez, J., Aletti, F., Pinto, B.B., Ribas, V., Odena, M.A., Diaz, R., Romay, E., Ferrer, R.,
365             Kistler, E.B., Tedeschi, G., Schmid-Schonbein, G.W., Herpain, A., Bendjelid, K., and De
366             Oliveira, E. (2018). Proteolysis in septic shock patients: plasma peptidomic patterns are
367             associated with mortality. *Br J Anaesth* 121**,** 1065-1074. doi:10.1016/j.bja.2018.05.072

368     Córdoba-Moreno, M.O., Todero, M.F., Fontanals, A., Pineda, G., Daniela, M., Yokobori, N., Ramos,
369             M.V., Barrientos, G., Toblli, J.E., Isturiz, M.A., and Rearte, B. (2019). Consequences of the
370             Lack of IL-10 in Different Endotoxin Effects and its Relationship With Glucocorticoids.
371             *Shock* 52**,** 264-273. doi:10.1097/SHK.0000000000001233

372     Cheon, D.H., Nam, E.J., Park, K.H., Woo, S.J., Lee, H.J., Kim, H.C., Yang, E.G., Lee, C., and Lee,
373             J.E. (2016). Comprehensive Analysis of Low-Molecular-Weight Human Plasma Proteome
374             Using Top-Down Mass Spectrometry. *J Proteome Res* 15**,** 229-244.
375             doi:10.1021/acs.jproteome.5b00773

376     Dolin, H.H., Papadimos, T.J., Chen, X., and Pan, Z.K. (2019). Characterization of Pathogenic Sepsis
377             Etiologies and Patient Profiles: A Novel Approach to Triage and Treatment. *Microbiol*
378             *Insights* 12**,** 1178636118825081. doi:10.1177/1178636118825081

379     Dufresne, J., Bowden, P., Thavarajah, T., Florentinus-Mefailoski, A., Chen, Z.Z., Tucholska, M.,
380             Norzin, T., Ho, M.T., Phan, M., Mohamed, N., Ravandi, A., Stanton, E., Slutsky, A.S., Dos
381             Santos, C.C., Romaschin, A., Marshall, J.C., Addison, C., Malone, S., Heyland, D., Scheltens,
382             P., Killestein, J., Teunissen, C., Diamandis, E.P., Siu, K.W.M., and Marshall, J.G. (2018).
383             The plasma peptidome. *Clin Proteomics* 15**,** 39. doi:10.1186/s12014-018-9211-3

384     Estenssoro, E., Kanoore Edul, V.S., Loudet, C.I., Osatnik, J., Rios, F.G., Vazquez, D.N., Pozo, M.O.,
385             Lattanzio, B., Palizas, F., Klein, F., Piezny, D., Rubatto Birri, P.N., Tuhay, G., Diaz, A.,
386             Santamaria, A., Zakalik, G., and Dubin, A. (2018). Predictive Validity of Sepsis-3 Definitions
387             and Sepsis Outcomes in Critically Ill Patients: A Cohort Study in 49 ICUs in Argentina. *Crit*
388             *Care Med* 46**,** 1276-1283. doi:10.1097/CCM.0000000000003208

389     Estenssoro, E., Loudet, C.I., Edul, V.S.K., Osatnik, J., Rios, F.G., Vasquez, D.N., Pozo, M.O.,
390             Lattanzio, B., Palizas, F., Klein, F., Piezny, D., Rubatto Birri, P.N., Tuhay, G., Diaz, A.,
391             Santamaria, A., Zakalik, G., and Dubin, A. (2019). Health inequities in the diagnosis and
392             outcome of sepsis in Argentina: a prospective cohort study. *Crit Care* 23**,** 250.
393             doi:10.1186/s13054-019-2522-6

394     Fleischmann, C., Scherag, A., Adhikari, N.K., Hartog, C.S., Tsaganos, T., Schlattmann, P., Angus,
395             D.C., and Reinhart, K. (2016). Assessment of Global Incidence and Mortality of Hospital-

treated Sepsis. Current Estimates and Limitations. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 193**,** 259-272.
doi:10.1164/rccm.201504-0781OC

Genga, K.R., Shimada, T., Boyd, J.H., Walley, K.R., and Russell, J.A. (2018). The Understanding
and Management of Organism Toxicity in Septic Shock. *J Innate Immun* 10**,** 502-514.
doi:10.1159/000487818

Gibb, S. (2019). "MALDIquantForeign: Import/Export Routines for MALDIquant. ". 0.12 ed.).

Gibb, S., and Strimmer, K. (2012). MALDIquant: a versatile R package for the analysis of mass
spectrometry data. *Bioinformatics* 28**,** 2270-2271. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts447

Gibb, S., Strimmer, K. (2015). binda: Multi-Class Discriminant Analysis using Binary Predictors. R
package version 1.0.3. .

Greening, D.W., and Simpson, R.J. (2017). Characterization of the Low-Molecular-Weight Human
Plasma Peptidome. *Methods Mol Biol* 1619**,** 63-79. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-7057-5_6

Gunsolus, I.L., Sweeney, T.E., Liesenfeld, O., and Ledeboer, N.A. (2019). Diagnosing and Managing
Sepsis by Probing the Host Response to Infection: Advances, Opportunities, and Challenges.
*J Clin Microbiol* 57. doi:10.1128/JCM.00425-19

Harberts, E., Liang, T., Yoon, S.H., Opene, B.N., Mcfarland, M.A., Goodlett, D.R., and Ernst, R.K.
(2020). Toll-like Receptor 4-Independent Effects of Lipopolysaccharide Identified Using
Longitudinal Serum Proteomics. *J Proteome Res* 19**,** 1258-1266.
doi:10.1021/acs.jproteome.9b00765

Hayashi, N., Yamaguchi, S., Rodenburg, F., Ying Wong, S., Ujimoto, K., Miki, T., and Iba, T.
(2019). Multiple biomarkers of sepsis identified by novel time-lapse proteomics of patient
serum. *PLoS One* 14**,** e0222403. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0222403

Hou, T.Y., Chiang-Ni, C., and Teng, S.H. (2019). Current status of MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry
in clinical microbiology. *J Food Drug Anal* 27**,** 404-414. doi:10.1016/j.jfda.2019.01.001

Kassambara, A., and Mundt, F. (2019). "factoextra: Extract and Visualize the Results of Multivariate
Data Analyses". R package version 1.0.6 ed.).

Landoni, V.I., Chiarella, P., Martire-Greco, D., Schierloh, P., Van-Rooijen, N., Rearte, B., Palermo,
M.S., Isturiz, M.A., and Fernandez, G.C. (2012). Tolerance to lipopolysaccharide promotes
an enhanced neutrophil extracellular traps formation leading to a more efficient bacterial
clearance in mice. *Clin Exp Immunol* 168**,** 153-163. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2249.2012.04560.x

Lewis, A.J., Seymour, C.W., and Rosengart, M.R. (2016). Current Murine Models of Sepsis. *Surg
Infect (Larchmt)* 17**,** 385-393. doi:10.1089/sur.2016.021

Liaw, A., and Wiener, M. (2002). Classification and Regression by randomForest. *R News* 2**,** 18-22.

López Fernández, H., Reboiro-Jato, M., Pérez Rodríguez, J.A., Fdez-Riverola, F., and Glez-Peña, D.
(2016). Implementing effective machine learning-based workflows for the analysis of mass
spectrometry data. *Journal of Integrated OMICS* 6. doi:10.5584/jiomics.v6i1.196

Ludwig, K.R., and Hummon, A.B. (2017). Mass spectrometry for the discovery of biomarkers of
sepsis. *Mol Biosyst* 13**,** 648-664. doi:10.1039/c6mb00656f

Martire-Greco, D., Landoni, V.I., Chiarella, P., Rodriguez-Rodrigues, N., Schierloh, P., Rearte, B.,
Isturiz, M.A., and Fernandez, G.C. (2014). all-trans-retinoic acid improves

immunocompetence in a murine model of lipopolysaccharide-induced immunosuppression. *Clin Sci (Lond)* 126**,** 355-365. doi:10.1042/CS20130236

Montagna, D.R., Duarte, A., Todero, M.F., Ruggiero, R.A., Isturiz, M., and Rearte, B. (2020). Meta-tyrosine modulates the immune response induced by bacterial endotoxins. *Immunobiology* 225**,** 151856. doi:10.1016/j.imbio.2019.10.005

National Research Council (U.S.) (2011). *Guide for the care and use of laboratory animals.* Washington, District of Columbia: National Academy Press.

Novosad, S.A., Sapiano, M.R., Grigg, C., Lake, J., Robyn, M., Dumyati, G., Felsen, C., Blog, D., Dufort, E., Zansky, S., Wiedeman, K., Avery, L., Dantes, R.B., Jernigan, J.A., Magill, S.S., Fiore, A., and Epstein, L. (2016). Vital Signs: Epidemiology of Sepsis: Prevalence of Health Care Factors and Opportunities for Prevention. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 65**,** 864-869. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6533e1

Opal, Steven m., Scannon, Patrick j., Vincent, J.L., White, M., Carroll, Stephen f., Palardy, John e., Parejo, Nicolas a., Pribble, John p., and Lemke, Jon h. (1999). Relationship between Plasma Levels of Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and LPS-Binding Protein in Patients with Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* 180**,** 1584-1589. doi:10.1086/315093

Parlato, M., Philippart, F., Rouquette, A., Moucadel, V., Puchois, V., Blein, S., Bedos, J.P., Diehl, J.L., Hamzaoui, O., Annane, D., Journois, D., Ben Boutieb, M., Esteve, L., Fitting, C., Treluyer, J.M., Pachot, A., Adib-Conquy, M., Cavaillon, J.M., Misset, B., and Captain Study, G. (2018). Circulating biomarkers may be unable to detect infection at the early phase of sepsis in ICU patients: the CAPTAIN prospective multicenter cohort study. *Intensive Care Med* 44**,** 1061-1070. doi:10.1007/s00134-018-5228-3

Pierrakos, C., and Vincent, J.L. (2010). Sepsis biomarkers: a review. *Crit Care* 14**,** R15. doi:10.1186/cc8872

Rearte, B., Landoni, V., Laborde, E., Fernandez, G., and Isturiz, M. (2010a). Differential effects of glucocorticoids in the establishment and maintenance of endotoxin tolerance. *Clin Exp Immunol* 159**,** 208-216. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2249.2009.04052.x

Rearte, B., Maglioco, A., Balboa, L., Bruzzo, J., Landoni, V.I., Laborde, E.A., Chiarella, P., Ruggiero, R.A., Fernandez, G.C., and Isturiz, M.A. (2010b). Mifepristone (RU486) restores humoral and T cell-mediated immune response in endotoxin immunosuppressed mice. *Clin Exp Immunol* 162**,** 568-577. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2249.2010.04262.x

Rearte, B., Maglioco, A., Machuca, D., Greco, D.M., Landoni, V.I., Rodriguez-Rodrigues, N., Meiss, R., Fernandez, G.C., and Isturiz, M.A. (2014). Dehydroepiandrosterone and metyrapone partially restore the adaptive humoral and cellular immune response in endotoxin immunosuppressed mice. *Innate Immun* 20**,** 585-597. doi:10.1177/1753425913502243

Rubio, I., Osuchowski, M.F., Shankar-Hari, M., Skirecki, T., Winkler, M.S., Lachmann, G., La Rosee, P., Monneret, G., Venet, F., Bauer, M., Brunkhorst, F.M., Kox, M., Cavaillon, J.M., Uhle, F., Weigand, M.A., Flohe, S.B., Wiersinga, W.J., Martin-Fernandez, M., Almansa, R., Martin-Loeches, I., Torres, A., Giamarellos-Bourboulis, E.J., Girardis, M., Cossarizza, A., Netea, M.G., Van Der Poll, T., Scherag, A., Meisel, C., Schefold, J.C., and Bermejo-Martin, J.F. (2019). Current gaps in sepsis immunology: new opportunities for translational research. *Lancet Infect Dis*. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(19)30567-5

Schenz, J., Weigand, M.A., and Uhle, F. (2019). Molecular and biomarker-based diagnostics in early
sepsis: current challenges and future perspectives. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn* 19**,** 1069-1078.
doi:10.1080/14737159.2020.1680285

Shen, Y., Liu, T., Tolić, N., Petritis, B.O., Zhao, R., Moore, R.J., Purvine, S.O., Camp, D.G., and
Smith, R.D. (2010). Strategy for Degradomic-Peptidomic Analysis of Human Blood Plasma.
*Journal of Proteome Research* 9**,** 2339-2346. doi:10.1021/pr901083m

Singer, M., Deutschman, C.S., Seymour, C.W., Shankar-Hari, M., Annane, D., Bauer, M., Bellomo,
R., Bernard, G.R., Chiche, J.D., Coopersmith, C.M., Hotchkiss, R.S., Levy, M.M., Marshall,
J.C., Martin, G.S., Opal, S.M., Rubenfeld, G.D., Van Der Poll, T., Vincent, J.L., and Angus,
D.C. (2016). The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock
(Sepsis-3). *JAMA* 315**,** 801-810. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.0287

Van Der Poll, T., Van De Veerdonk, F.L., Scicluna, B.P., and Netea, M.G. (2017). The
immunopathology of sepsis and potential therapeutic targets. *Nat Rev Immunol* 17**,** 407-420.
doi:10.1038/nri.2017.36

Van Engelen, T.S.R., Joost Wiersinga, W., and Van Der Poll, T. (2018a). "Pathogenesis of Sepsis,"
in *Handbook of Sepsis*.), 31-43.

Van Engelen, T.S.R., Wiersinga, W.J., Scicluna, B.P., and Van Der Poll, T. (2018b). Biomarkers in
Sepsis. *Crit Care Clin* 34**,** 139-152. doi:10.1016/j.ccc.2017.08.010

Venet, F., Rimmele, T., and Monneret, G. (2018). Management of Sepsis-Induced
Immunosuppression. *Crit Care Clin* 34**,** 97-106. doi:10.1016/j.ccc.2017.08.007

Vincent, J.L., Rello, J., Marshall, J., Silva, E., Anzueto, A., Martin, C.D., Moreno, R., Lipman, J.,
Gomersall, C., Sakr, Y., and Reinhart, K. (2009). International study of the prevalence and
outcomes of infection in intensive care units. *JAMA* 302**,** 2323-2329.
doi:10.1001/jama.2009.1754

**Tables**

**Table 1.** Performance of the classification models with the top 5, 10, 15, and 20 peaks.

| Peaks | Algorithm | A (%) | S (%) | E (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 5 | BDA | 90.6 | 95.7 | 80 | 90.9 | 89.8 |
| 10 | BDA | 85.6 | 92 | 72.3 | 87.4 | 81.1 |
| 15 | BDA | 87.6 | 93.9 | 74.5 | 88.5 | 85.4 |
| 20 | BDA | 90.1 | 94.3 | 81.4 | 91.4 | 87.3 |
| 5 | RF | 88.2 | 95.7 | 72.7 | 88 | 88 |
| 10 | RF | 91.2 | 91.3 | 90.9 | 95.5 | 83.3 |
| 15 | RF | 88.2 | 91.3 | 81.8 | 91.3 | 81.8 |
| 20 | RF | 94.1 | 95.7 | 90.9 | 95.7 | 90.9 |

A = accuracy, S = sensitivity, E = specificity, PPV = positive predictive value, and NPV = negative predictive value.

**Figure legends**

**Figure 1.** MALDI-TOF- MS data analysis pipeline. Abbreviations. CTL: control group; IS:
immunosuppression/anti-inflammatory group; LPS: pro-inflammatory group; BDA: binary
discriminant analysis; RF: random forest.

**Figure 2.** Unsupervised statistical analysis. (**a**) Hierarchical k-means clustering (Hkmc)-Principal
Component Analysis (PCA) cluster plot using the top ten peaks selected by the binary discriminant
analysis (BDA) algorithm. Labels contain the mice ID and the experimental groups. PC1 (Dim1, x-
axis) and 2 (Dim2, y-axis) are depicted. Spectra were clustered into three groups using the Hkmc
algorithm, which are represented with three different colors. 95% confidence ellipses were added
around cluster means, assuming a multivariate normal distribution. (**b**) Hkmc-PCA cluster
composition. The green color represents the CTL mice group, the blue represents the IS group, and
the red color represents the LPS group.

152 raw spectra.

2 replicates per sample.

Pre-processing steps

1. Transforming (square root)

2. Smoothing (Savitzky-Golay)

3. Baseline correction (SNIP: 100 iteration)

4. Intensity calibration (TIC)

5. Spectra aligning (quadratic, SNR=4, Tol=0.2, Half Window Size=40)

6. Peak detection (MAD, SNR=4, Half Window Size=40).

7. Peak binning (Tol=0.2)

8. Replicate spectra averaging (Sum)

9. Peak filtering (presence in at least 33% of the spectra)

25 Ctl samples (50 spectra)

22 IS samples (44 spectra)

29 LPS samples (58 spectra)

76 averaged mass spectra: 25 control, 22 IS and 29 LPS. (1 low quality replicate removed )

Feature matrix generation (intensity) and dichotomization (BDA)

Supervised analysis and feature selection (BDA and RF):

20 best peaks

Unsupervised analysis:

Hierarchical k-means clustering with 10 best features identified by BDA.

Machine learning analysis:

1. Spectra randomization (60% train and 40% test).
2. Algorithms: BDA and RF
3. Model selection strategy: cross-validation (k=5, b=20)

Bioinformatic peak assignment:

Uniprot database search

**a** Hierarchical k-means clustering-PCA cluster plot
Using the best 10 peaks (BDA)

**b** Hkmc-PCA Cluster composition