**Retroviral Infection of Human Neurospheres and Use of Stem Cell EVs to Repair Cellular Damage**

**Heather Branscome[1, 2], Dezhong Yin[3], Sheela Jacob[3], Maria Cowen[1], Yuriy Kim[1], Pooja Khatkar[1], James Erickson[1], Nazira El-Hage[4], Lance A. Liotta[5] and Fatah Kashanchi[1*]**

[1] Laboratory of Molecular Virology, School of Systems Biology, George Mason University, Manassas, VA.

[2] American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), Manassas, VA.

[3] ATCC Cell Systems, Gaithersburg, MD.

[4] Department of Immunology and Nano-Medicine, Herbert Wertheim College of Medicine, Florida International University, Miami, FL.

[5] Center for Applied Proteomics and Molecular Medicine, George Mason University, Manassas, VA.

**\* Correspondence:** Fatah Kashanchi, Ph.D., Laboratory of Molecular Virology, George Mason University, Discovery Hall Room 182, 10900 University Blvd., Manassas, VA 20110. Tel.: 703-993-9160, Fax: 703-993-7022. Email: fkashanc@gmu.edu

**Abstract**

30   HIV-1 remains an incurable infection that is associated with substantial economic and epidemiologic

31 impacts.  HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND) are commonly linked with HIV-1 infection; despite the

32 development of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART), HAND is still reported to affect at least 50% of HIV-1

33 infected individuals.  It is believed that the over-amplification of inflammatory pathways, along with  release of

34 toxic viral proteins from infected cells, are primarily responsible for the neurological damage that is observed in

35 HAND; however, the underlying mechanisms are not well-defined.  Therefore, there is an unmet need to develop

36 more physiologically relevant and reliable platforms for studying these pathologies.  In recent years, neurospheres

37 derived from induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) have been utilized to model the effects of different neurotropic

38 viruses.  Here, we report the generation of neurospheres from iPSC-derived neural progenitor cells (NPCs).  We

39 show, for what we believed to be the first time, that these cultures are susceptible to retroviral (e.g. HIV-1, HTLV-1)

40 infection.  In addition, we also examine the functional effects of stem cell derived extracellular vesicles (EVs) on

41 HIV-1 damaged cells as there is abundant literature supporting the reparative and regenerative properties of stem

42 cell EVs in the context of various CNS pathologies.  Consistent with the literature, our data suggests that stem cell

43 EVs may modulate neuroprotective and anti-inflammatory properties in damaged cells.  Collectively, this study

44 demonstrates the feasibility of NPC-derived neurospheres for modeling HIV-1 infection and, subsequently,

45 highlights the potential of stem cell EVs for rescuing cellular damage induced by HIV-1 infection.

50 **Keywords**: Neurospheres, neural progenitor cells (NPCs), HIV-1, stem cells, extracellular vesicles (EVs)

## Introduction

HIV-1 remains an incurable infection and as of 2018 approximately 37.9 million people were estimated to be living with HIV-1, with an average of 1.7 million new infections occurring annually [1]. In addition to its deleterious impact on the immune system, HIV-1 also contributes to neurocognitive impairments. HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND) are commonly associated with HIV-1 infection and comprise a range of neurological dysfunctions, including asymptomatic neurocognitive impairment, mild neurocognitive disorder, and HIV-associated dementia [2]. Despite the development of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART), which effectively suppresses viral replication and has vastly improved the quality of life for those treated, HAND is still reported to affect up to 50% of infected individuals [3,4]. Therefore, HAND represents a considerable cause of morbidity in these populations.

Although the exact mechanisms that contribute to its persistence are poorly understood, it is believed that the over-amplification of inflammatory pathways, along with the release of toxic viral proteins from infected cells, are primarily responsible for the neurological damage that is observed in HAND [5,6,7,8]. While neurons are not directly infected by HIV-1, they remain highly susceptible to the cellular damage and injury mediated by viral proteins such as gp120 and Tat. Additionally, the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-6, from infected macrophages and microglia can also promote neuronal damage and neuronal apoptosis and it has also been suggested that exposure of astrocytes to these factors can further exacerbate neurotoxic effects [5,6]. Consequently, there is a gap of knowledge surrounding the neuropathology of HAND and the mechanisms that contribute to its persistence.

The complexity of the Central Nervous System (CNS) relative to other systems in the body has largely limited the progress of CNS disease modeling and drug development. Moreover, the global incidence of CNS diseases, including neurocognitive and neurodegenerative disorders as well as neurological infections, has substantially risen throughout the last several decades. This, in turn, imposes a substantial socioeconomic burden. For example, a recent report found that approximately 16.5% of global deaths are caused by neurological diseases and the estimated costs associated with these diseases are expected to range from $600 to $700 million [9, 10]. This underscores the outstanding need for the development of novel research platforms which can allow for improved modeling of CNS pathologies, including those related to HIV-1 infection.

Improvements in biotechnology, coupled with progress in stem cell research, have revolutionized the

biomedical field during the last decade.  Specifically, induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) represent a relatively

novel platform that has allowed for advanced disease modeling and drug discovery [11].  Using this technology,

adult somatic cells can be reprogrammed into an embryonic-like state via the overexpression of transcription factors

(e.g. Oct3/4, SOX2, c-Myc, Klf4) responsible for maintaining pluripotency.  Takahashi and Yamanaka first

demonstrated this in 2006 with the successful reprogramming of mouse somatic cells into iPSCs and again, in 2007,

when they generated iPSCs from human fibroblasts [12, 13].  In the context of the CNS, iPSCs have the potential to

differentiate into a wide variety of neuronal and glial cell types including dopaminergic, cortical, and motor neurons

as well as astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, microglia, and neural precursors [14, 15, 16, 17].  Along these lines, iPSCs

have been employed to model a number of different CNS pathologies ranging from Alzheimer's, Parkinson's, and

Huntington's disease, to amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, multiple system atrophy, and Zika, West Nile virus, and HIV-

1 [18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25].

In recent years, human iPSCs have been used to derive neural stem cells (NSCs) and/or neural progenitor

cells (NPCs); these neuronal cultures have subsequently been used to efficiently generate three-dimensional (3D)

neurospheres *in vitro*.  In general, 3D models are expected to be superior to traditional 2D culture models of NPCs

due to their resemblance to the *in vivo* cellular environment which permits a more accurate representation of tissue-

specific architecture and cell to cell communication [26,27,28].  Along these lines, it has been demonstrated that

NPC-derived neurospheres are similar to those derived from primary fetal human NPCs with respect to migration,

proliferation, and differentiation [29].  Additionally, it has previously been shown that neurospheres partially

maintain regionally specific expression of developmental control genes *in vitro* and, moreover, that cells derived

from these spheres retain the ability to differentiate into cellular subtypes representative of their regional origin  [30,

31, 32].  Therefore, the use of 3D neurospheres is expected to serve as a complementary approach to *in vivo* studies

relating to neurogenesis and neural development.

More recently, others have employed the use of 3D neurospheres to model the effects of different

neurotropic viruses.  For example, Garcez et al. investigated the consequences of Zika virus (ZIKV) infection and

found that human iPSC-derived neurospheres exposed to ZIKV exhibited morphological abnormalities and had a

higher induction of apoptosis relative to mock infection [33].  In a follow-up study which included proteomic and

mRNA transcriptional profiling of infected neurospheres, these authors generated further data suggesting that ZIKV

impaired pathways related to cell cycle and neuronal differentiation [34].  Additionally, D'Aiuto et al. utilized

human iPSCs to generate 3D neurospheres to evaluate herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) infection [35].  The

results from these studies demonstrated that neurospheres remained viable after four weeks in culture, were capable

of differentiating into not only mature neurons (glutamatergic, GABAergic, dopaminergic) but also glial cells, and

could be applied in a high throughput fashion for drug screening.  Taken together, this research strongly suggests

that neurospheres are physiologically relevant models that can be used to study not only the cellular and molecular

interactions in both healthy and diseased states but can also serve as a reliable platform for drug testing.

Furthermore, they set an essential precedent for the modeling of other neurotropic viruses.

As described earlier, the development of CNS therapeutics has met relatively limited success.  Factors

relating to overall disease complexity, lengthy developmental periods and stringent regulatory review, coupled with

the challenge of drug delivery across the blood-brain-barrier (BBB) have hindered progress in this field [36, 37].

While stem cell therapy has been studied in the context of CNS pathologies, there is now an emerging interest in the

potential therapeutic application of stem cell-derived extracellular vesicles (EVs) as these vesicles have been shown

to mediate many of the reparative and regenerative properties associated with their donor stem cells [38].  Briefly,

EVs are nano-sized vesicles that are generally classified by their size and biogenesis.  Two of the most widely

studied subtypes of EVs are exosomes, which range approximately 50 to 150 nm in size and originate from the

endosome, and microvesicles (MVs), which range approximately 50 to 1000 nm in size and are released directly

from the plasma membrane [39].  EVs are enriched with a diverse array of biological cargo which includes RNAs,

proteins, cytokines, and lipids and this cargo is believed to drive EV potency [40, 41].  Accordingly, EVs from both

mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) and iPSCs have been broadly studied for their functional effects and there is rich

literature to support their potential therapeutic application in various pathologies as reviewed elsewhere [42, 43].

With respect to the CNS, there is accumulating evidence which strongly indicates that stem cell EVs exert

both immunomodulatory and neuroprotective effects to promote functional recovery in damaged tissue [44, 45, 46,

47].  However, it is important to note that this field is still in its infancy.  Moreover, whether stem cell EVs may

confer beneficial effects in the context of CNS infection, including HIV-1 and HAND, remains to be addressed.

Thus, studies of this nature are imperative to better characterize the potential neuroprotective properties of stem cell

EVs and to determine the mechanisms by which these EVs may repair cellular damage that is induced by different

viral infections.

Here, we report the generation of neurospheres from NPCs derived from normal human iPSCs.  These

cultures not only exhibit reproducibility with respect to morphology and size but also demonstrate the ability to be

stably maintained in culture to permit differentiation into different CNS cell types.  Importantly, we show, for what

we believe to be the first time, that these cultures are permissive to HIV-1 infection using three different viral

isolates.  We also include data to show that neurospheres co-cultured with HTLV-1 infected cells may also be

susceptible to HTLV-1 infection.  Lastly, we evaluate the potential reparative effects of both MSC and iPSC EVs on

HIV-1 damaged cells in both 2D cultures and 3D neurospheres.  Collectively, this data highlights NPC-derived

neurospheres as relevant and robust models for future studies relating to HIV-1 infection and HAND and,

subsequently, demonstrates the potential application of stem cell EVs for rescuing cellular damage induced by HIV-

1 infection.

**Results**

**Generation and Characterization of NPC-derived Neurospheres**

The ability of NPCs to aggregate and assembly into physiologically relevant 3D structures that are also

permissive to viral infection, namely Zika and HSV-1 infection, has recently been published by others [27, 33, 34,

35,48].  To further expand upon these studies, we were interested in evaluating the susceptibility of NPC-derived

neurospheres to retroviral infection.  For these studies we utilized commercially available NPCs that had been

established from normal human iPSCs.  The diagram in Fig. 1a summarizes the overall workflow and timeline

associated with the generation of NPCs and neurospheres.  Briefly, adult somatic cells were directly reprogrammed

into iPSCs using a non-integrating Sendai-based reprogramming kit following established protocols [49].  To

authenticate the quality of iPSCs, their germ line differentiation potential was confirmed by pluripotency testing

(PluriTest); furthermore, flow cytometry confirmed positive expression (>85%) of stem cell markers SSEA4 and

TRA-1-60 and negative expression (<15%) of SSEA-1.  iPSCs then underwent embryoid body (EB) formation to

assemble into spherical colonies that were subsequently differentiated into NPCs.  NPCs were briefly scaled up in

2D culture prior to the induction of neurosphere formation.

In an effort to promote the controlled and consistent formation of neurospheres, NPCs were seeded at a

high density in individual U-shaped wells of an ultra-low attachment 96-well plate.  After 24 to 48 hours of

incubation a single, well-defined neurosphere was visible in each well.  Representative phase contrast images in Fig.

1b show that this methodology resulted in uniformly shaped spheres that maintained their morphology and structure

throughout the differentiation process (weeks 12 through 14).  Using calibrated imaging software, the average

diameter of each sphere in Fig. 1b was measured and graphed.  As shown in Fig. 1c, the average diameter of the

neurospheres remained between 700 to 800 μm.  This quantitative analysis indicates that NPC-derived neurospheres

maintain a relatively consistent size over time and, moreover, demonstrates the reproducibility of our protocols.

The NPCs used in these experiments were previously authenticated via immunocytochemistry (ICC) for

expression of the neural stem cell (NSC) markers Nestin and Pax6 in 2D cultures.  Additionally, these NPCs have

displayed robust expression of the early neuronal marker Tuj1, the dopaminergic marker tyrosine hydroxylase (TH),

and astrocytic marker GFAP when cultured in the appropriate differentiation medium (Supplementary Fig. 1).  This

data is consistent with the reports of others who have shown that NPCs are capable of differentiating into not only

mature neurons but also glial cells [50, 51, 52, 53, 54].  Given these results, we rationalized that NPC-derived

neurospheres would retain their inherent differentiation capacity in 3D culture. ICC staining of neurospheres that had been cultured in differentiation medium for two weeks indicated a mixed population of cells which included dopaminergic neurons (FOXA2$^+$), astrocytes (GFAP$^+$), and microglia-like cells (CD163$^+$). Data in Fig. 1d shows that FOXA2 expression appeared relatively diffuse and uniformly distributed, GFAP expression appeared more localized towards the periphery, and CD163 expression appeared relatively sparse throughout the sphere. Staining for Iba-1 also revealed weak expression, suggesting the absence of activated microglia. Collectively, this data highlights the ability of iPSC-derived NPCs to self-assemble into neurospheres that maintain their ability to differentiate into both neurons and glial-like cells.

**Infection of Neurospheres**

We next sought to assess the susceptibility of NPC-derived neurospheres to HIV-1 infection. For these experiments, neurospheres were cultured in the presence of HIV-1 dual tropic 89.6 (MOI:10). In addition, since HIV-1 infected individuals utilize combined antiretroviral therapy (cART), neurospheres were also treated with a cocktail consisting of equal parts lamivudine, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, emtricitabine, and indinavir to model the effects of cART [1]. After seven days in culture, supernatants were collected and neurospheres were harvested for downstream assays. To determine whether exposure of neurospheres to HIV-1 resulted in effective viral replication, total cellular protein was isolated and western blot was performed to assess the expression of different viral proteins. As shown in Fig. 2a, p24 capsid protein, uncleaved Gag polyprotein Pr55, and accessory protein Nef were all detected in neurospheres exposed to HIV-1 89.6. The cART cocktail used for these experiments consisted of a mixture of nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) and a protease inhibitor; as expected, culturing of neurospheres with cART reduced the expression of p24, Pr55, and Nef to almost undetectable levels. RT-qPCR for HIV-1 TAR and *env* confirmed the presence of these RNAs in HIV-1 89.6-exposed neurospheres with approximately $10^5$ and $10^4$ copies being detected, respectively, relative to the control (uninfected) neurospheres (Fig. 2b). Here, treatment with cART also resulted in decreased expression of both TAR and *env* RNA.

To validate these results, we also exposed neurospheres to two other HIV-1 lab adapted strains, JR-CSF (MOI:10) and CHO40 (MOI:10) with or without cART. After seven days in culture, supernatants were collected and neurospheres were harvested for downstream assays. Total cellular proteins were isolated and, again, western blot showed the presence of p24 capsid protein in neurospheres exposed to each viral isolate (Fig. 2c). Moreover, the level of p24 expression appeared relatively consistent among 89.6, JR-CSF, and CHO40-exposed neurospheres.

Collectively, this data shows that HIV-1 viral replication occurs in NPC-derived neurospheres in a reproducible

manner.

We were also interested in examining the potential tropism of HIV-1 in neurospheres. While CD4+T cells

are the most abundantly HIV-1 infected cells in the body there is ample evidence to suggest that microglia and

astrocytes are not only capable of being infected by HIV-1, but may also serve as viral reservoirs, as reported

elsewhere [55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61]. NPCs were gently disaggregated with trypsin and immunoprecipitation (IP)

was performed using antibodies for either astrocytes (GFAP$^+$), microglia-like cells (Integrin αm/CD11b$^+$), mature

neurons (GAD65$^+$), or NSCs (SOX2$^+$). We next performed direct PCR of the IP material without purification of

DNA as RNA isolation from a relatively small number of infected cells would not yield a sufficient amount for RT-

qPCR. Results from qPCR confirmed the presence of TAR DNA in microglia-like cells in the 89.6, JR-CSF, and

CHO40 infected neurospheres (Fig. 2d). While the highest numbers of TAR DNA were associated with astrocytes

(~480 copies) and microglia-like cells (~780 copies) in the JR-CSF samples, lower amounts were also detected in

microglia-like cells from the 89.6 (~ 114 copies) and CHO40 (~ 125 copies) samples. In addition, astrocytes from

the JR-CSF-infected neurospheres (~480 copies) had substantially higher levels of TAR DNA than astrocytes from

the 89.6 and CHO40-infected neurospheres (< 20 copies each). However, within the JR-CSF samples, TAR DNA

was still the most abundant in microglia-like cells. Consistent with the literature, this data suggests that HIV-1

tropism in neurospheres is directed towards glial cells [55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61].

Based on these findings, we next sought to assess the potential effects of HIV-1 (89.6) on the expression

levels of multiple proteins or enzymes associated with different CNS cell types. To this end, western blot was

performed to evaluate the relative expression microglia-like cells (CD11b$^+$, CD163$^+$), astrocytes (GFAP$^+$, glutamine

synthetase$^+$), dopaminergic neurons (TH$^+$, FOXA2$^+$), GABAergic neurons (GAD65$^+$, GAD67$^+$), glutamatergic

neurons (BNPI$^+$) and NSCs (SOX2$^+$). As shown in Fig. 3a, HIV-1 appeared to increase the expression of

differentiated neurons, particularly TH$^+$, GAD65$^+$/GAD67$^+$, and BNPI$^+$ neurons, whereas treatment with cART

reduced the expression of these markers to levels that were comparable to the uninfected sample. A similar pattern

of expression was also observed for GFAP, while the expression of CD11b appeared relatively consistent between

the uninfected, HIV-1 infected, and cART samples.

As an additional control, we also evaluated the effects of co-culturing neurospheres with the HTLV-1

infected cell line HUT 102. HTLV-1 is the etiologic agent of HTLV-1-associated myelopathy/tropical spastic

paraparesis (HAM/TSP), a neurological disorder that is characterized by chronic neuroinflammation and

neurodegeneration [62, 63, 64, 65].  Prior to initiating the co-culture, HUT 102 cells were exposed to ionizing

radiation (IR) to inhibit chromosomal replication and to activate viral transcription, as previously described [66, 67,

68].  Treatment with a combination of IFN/AZT was also included to model the current standard for HTLV-1 anti-

viral therapy [69, 70, 71].  The irradiated HUT 102 cells served as the background control.  After seven days of co-

culture, neurospheres were harvested for downstream assays.  Data in Fig. 3a shows that HTLV-1 co-culture

resulted in a slight increase in the expression of FOXA2 and BNPI neurons and that treatment with IFN/AZT

reversed this increase.  On the other hand, HTLV-1 appeared to reduce the expression of both CD11b and glutamine

synthetase relative to the uninfected sample, whereas IFN/AZT did not appear to have any impact.  While the

expression of NSCs appeared relatively faint in the uninfected and HIV-1 samples, its expression was undetectable

in the HTLV-1 samples.  These results are not entirely surprising as neurospheres were cultured in medium that was

enriched to induce differentiation into more mature cell types.

To confirm whether HTLV-1 was capable of replicating in neurospheres, western blot was performed to

assess the expression of HTLV-1 Tax and matrix (p19) proteins.  As shown in Fig. 3b, the expression of both Tax

and p19 was higher after co-culturing of neurospheres with irradiated HUT 102 cells (relative to the background

control), thereby indicative of viral replication.  As expected, treatment with IFN/AZT reduced the expression of

Tax and p19 to levels that were similar to the background control.  Furthermore, RT-qPCR for HTLV-1 *tax*, *env,*

and *hbz* RNA showed that the expression of *tax* and *env* increased after co-culture and that treatment with IFN/AZT

resulted in a considerable reduction of *tax* and *env* transcripts.  This expression pattern was also observed among

*hbz*, although to a much lower effect (Fig. 3c).

Overall, these results demonstrate the ability of HIV-1 to replicate in NPC-derived neurospheres, therefore

indicating that these models may be permissive to infection.  While the primary focus of this manuscript remains on

HIV-1, the data generated from the HTLV-1 experiments confirms the susceptibility of neurospheres to retroviral

infection and lays the foundation for future experiments focused on HTLV-1 or other retroviral infections.  Lastly,

this data also points towards the differential effects of viral infection on the cellular composition of neurospheres.

Since neurospheres are composed of a heterogeneous population of cell types, including those that are infected and

uninfected, this area of research deserves further attention.

**The Effect of Stem Cell EVs on HIV-1 Infected Neurospheres**

Our experiments have shown receptor mediated alterations post-infection, indicating cellular damage. Therefore, there is a need for a "holistic" reparative approach to potentially control cellular damage and regulate inflammation. There is mounting evidence that EVs from different sources of stem cells, namely MSCs and iPSCs, possess broad reparative and regenerative properties across various pathologies, including those related to the CNS [42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47]. Whether or not these vesicles confer reparative effects in the context of infection remains relatively unexplored, especially with respect to the CNS. Our previous research pointed towards the potential reparative effects of both MSC and iPSC EVs in 2D cultures of neurons, astrocytes, and monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs) damaged by IR [72]. Therefore, we sought to expand upon these studies by investigating the potential functional effects of MSC and iPSC EVs in HIV-1 infected neurospheres.

The EVs used for these experiments were isolated using a scalable platform which incorporated the use of tangential flow filtration (TFF) [72]. This advanced technology has the ability to produce large batches of highly concentrated material in an efficient and reproducible manner and in recent years it's application for EV isolation has been well documented by others [73, 74, 75, 76]. Here, we include data from the analysis of MSC and iPSC EVs; we also include data from A549 (cancer) EVs which served as a control for our EV isolation method and EV uptake assay. NTA analysis of each EV preparation showed that the majority of vesicles fall within 50 to 150 nm in diameter, which is consistent with the size of exosomes (Fig. 4a). Specifically, iPSC and MSC EVs displayed mode (peak) diameters of 97 nm and 76 nm, respectively, while A549 EVs displayed a slightly higher mode diameter of 138 nm. Moreover, both iPSC and MSC EVs also exhibited prominent secondary peaks at 144 nm and 128 nm, respectively, while A549 EVs showed a relatively heterogeneous size distribution.

To assess the efficiency of their uptake by neurospheres, EVs were fluorescently labeled with the nonpolar dye BODIPY which stains neutral and nonpolar lipids. Labeled EVs were then added to cultures of differentiated neurospheres. As shown in Fig. 4b, fluorescent microscopy initially revealed a relatively weak signal that persisted throughout the first 24 hours (day 1). However; over time, the fluorescent intensity increased and representative photographs (day 8) show a strong fluorescent signal that is predominantly localized in the center of each EV-treated neurosphere. We also obtained similar results when using the SYTO® RNASelect™ nucleic acid stain to label EVs. Here, a strong fluorescent signal was observed around the periphery of each neurosphere 8 days after EV treatment (Supplementary Fig. 2a).

Interestingly, we also observed that neurospheres treated with iPSC and A549 EVs displayed a change in

morphology that was characterized by a reduction in their well-defined spherical structure relative to the MSC EV-

treated neurosphere (day 8). This prompted us to question whether iPSC and A549 EVs may contain enzymes

capable of degrading the extracellular matrix within neurospheres. To address this, we performed western blot for

matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP9), as it is one of the most well-studied members of the MMP family and,

interestingly, has also been associated with reparative and regenerative functions in the CNS [77, 78]. Results from

this experiment revealed MMP9 expression in both iPSC and A549 EVs, while its expression was undetected in

MSC EVs (Supplementary Fig. 2b). As a follow-up, we performed gelatin zymography, as this is a reliable assay to

assess the relative proteolytic activity of experimental samples [79, 80]. Imaging of the stained gel indicated the

presence of active MMP9 as well as active MMP2 in each EV prep. Among the samples A549 EVs displayed the

highest intensity, followed by iPSC and MSC EVs (Supplementary Fig. 2c). This data suggests that EVs are capable

of not only penetrating, but also persisting in, three-dimensional cultures and, moreover, that EV-associated

proteolytic enzymes may partially contribute to this effect.

After confirming EV uptake by neurospheres we shifted our focus to next examine their potential

functional effects in HIV-1 infected neurospheres. To date, the anti-apoptotic effects of stem cell EVs in the context

of the CNS have been well documented both *in vitro* and *in vivo* [81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86]. To this end, we performed

western blot to examine the expression levels of different proteins involved in the regulation of apoptosis (Fig. 4c).

Relative to the uninfected control sample, HIV-1 was associated with a slight increase in the expression of cleaved

PARP-1. The expression of p53 was lower in HIV-1 neurospheres and the expression of phosphorylated p53 at

serine 15 (p-p53) was increased, indicative of increased DNA binding to regulate G1/S and G2/M checkpoints.

Additionally, the expression of phosphorylated p21 at serine 146 (p-p21) was decreased, potentially indicating a

reversal of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibition. The addition of cART alone did not appear to have an effect on the

expression of cleaved PARP-1, p53, or p-p53; however, cART slightly increased the expression of p-p21 relative to

the HIV-1 infected sample. On the other hand, the addition of cART combined with either iPSC or MSC EVs

appeared to restore expression of cleaved PARP-1, p53, p-p53, and p-p21 to levels comparable to the control.

Interestingly, the expression level of cleaved caspase-3 remained low and relatively undetectable among each

sample (Fig. 4c).

In addition to their anti-apoptotic effects, stem cell EVs are also associated with potent anti-inflammatory

properties and several recent studies have highlighted their ability to reduce neuroinflammation in various models of CNS damage [87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92]. To assess the potential anti-inflammatory effects of stem cell EVs on HIV-1 infected neurospheres, we performed western blot for well-known pro-inflammatory mediators (Fig. 4d). Here, substantial increases in TNFα, IL-8, and IL-1β were observed after HIV-1 infection. Treatment with cART decreased expression of soluble IL-1β to an undetectable level while only slightly decreasing the expression of TNFα and IL-8. Notably, similar results were also observed in JR-CSF and CHO40-infected neurospheres (Supplementary Fig. 3). Again, in HIV-1 89.6-infected neurospheres, the combination of cART with either iPSC or MSC EVs restored the expression of TNFα, IL-8 and IL-1β to levels that were comparable to the uninfected control. Taken together, this data indicates that both iPSC and MSC EVs are capable of exerting both neuroprotective and anti-inflammatory effects in HIV-1 infected neurospheres.

**RNA Analysis of Stem Cell EVs**

The reparative aspects of stem cell EVs have been shown to be associated with various non-coding RNAs and/or proteins that confer protective and/or immunomodulatory functions in damaged recipient cells [93, 94, 95, 96, 97]. While several recent studies have extensively characterized the miRNA profiles of stem cell EVs, the characterization of EV-associated long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) remains a relatively unexplored topic [98, 99, 100, 101]. Our previous studies included the sequencing of lncRNAs ($\geq$ 250 base pairs) from iPSC, MSC, and A549 EVs [72]. Again, the purpose of including A549 EVs was to serve as a non-stem cell control. Here, we share an expanded set of data to highlight the differences that exist among these different EV populations.

Overall, approximately 6.5 x$10^7$, 1.9 x $10^7$, and 2.6 x $10^7$ valid reads were obtained from iPSC, MSC, and A549 EVs, respectively, with each preparation having a Q30 score greater than 85% (Supplementary Table 1). Of these reads, approximately 80.88% (iPSC), 71.21% (MSC), and 70.66 (A549) were mapped to the genome. As shown in Fig. 5a, the majority of lncRNAs among each EV preparation mapped to introns. iPSC EVs had the highest number of intronic transcripts (93%) followed by MSC EVs (79%) and A549 EVs (75%). The percentage of transcripts mapping to either exons or intergenic regions ranged from approximately 10 to 15% among MSC and A549 EVs; however only ~3% of transcripts from iPSC EVs were mapped to these regions. The chromosome maps of EV-associated lncRNA transcripts revealed striking differences in the density distribution when comparing stem cell EVs and A549 EVs. Specifically, transcripts from A549 EVs appeared more evenly distributed and spanned all chromosomes while transcripts from iPSC and MSC EVs only mapped to certain chromosomal regions (Fig. 5b).

Transcripts that were not annotated in genome annotation databases were subsequently designated as novel

transcripts according to the following class codes: i = transfrag falling within a reference intron; j = potentially novel

isoform with at least one splice junction shared with a reference transcript; o = generic exonic overlap with a

reference transcript; u = unknown intergenic transcript; x = exonic overlap with reference on the opposite strand.

Data in Fig. 5c shows that within each EV prep, the majority of transcripts either fell within a reference intron (i)

(> 50%) or were classified as unknown intergenic transcripts (u) (< 40%) and, moreover, that ≤ 0.1% of transcripts

among each sample were classified as either "j", "o", or "x".

Heat maps generated from all EV-associated lncRNA transcripts show the comparisons between iPSC and

A549 EVs (Fig. 5d, left panel) and between MSC and A549 EVs (Fig. 5d, right panel). Additionally, volcano plots

in Fig. 5e provide a visual representation of the differentially expressed transcripts between iPSC and A549 EVs

(Fig. 5e, left panel) and between MSC and A549 EVs (Fig. 5e, right panel). Transcripts displaying statistically

significant regulation (either up or down) are annotated with their associated gene ID/transcript name. Overall,

these plots reveal that a majority of transcripts associated with stem cell EVs are downregulated relative to A549

EVs. Additionally, iPSC EVs displayed a greater amount of significantly up-regulated transcripts relative to MSC

EVs when compared to A549 EVs. Notably, transcript MSTRG.106597 was among the most significantly

upregulated transcript associated with both iPSC EVs and MSC EVs and its approximate fold change relative to

A549 EVs was 3392 for iPSC EVs and 229 for MSC EVs. For reference, this is designated as a novel transcript

which corresponds to gene FP671120.1. Supplementary Fig. 4 includes a comparison of the lncRNA transcripts

between iPSC and MSC EVs. While the corresponding volcano plot shows a relatively even distribution of up- and

down-regulated transcripts, iPSC EVs are associated with a few significantly regulated transcripts.

Annotated transcripts were further analyzed by performing Gene ontology (GO) (Supplementary Fig. 5).

Relative to A549 EVs, iPSC EVs had significantly enriched GO terms which included RNA and protein binding,

positive regulation of GTPase activity and cell adhesion. Relative to A549 EVs, MSC EVs had significantly

enriched GO terms which included RNA binding, protein binding, and T cell receptor signaling pathway.

Collectively, this data reveals distinct differences in the expression of lncRNA transcripts associated with EVs

derived from stem cells versus cancer (A549) cells. Future studies will include a more thorough assessment of the

differentially expressed transcripts among iPSC and MSC EVs and will focus on their potential functional

implications.

**Detection of RNA Binding Proteins Using RNA Pull-down Assay**

In an effort to define the role of EV-associated lncRNAs and to better understand their potential functional significance in recipient cells, we recently identified at least one lncRNA transcript associated with iPSC EVs (AC120498.9) which possessed a unique secondary structure [72]. Specifically, this transcript contained two continuous stretches of double-stranded RNA that were greater than 30 base pairs (bp) in length, a property that has previously been associated with the activation of PKR [102, 103]. This prompted us to further examine the secondary structures of other EV-associated lncRNAs, first by focusing on those that were more highly associated with iPSC EVs. We subsequently generated secondary structures from over 240 iPSC EV-associated lncRNAs that had FPKM values of $\geq 2$ relative to MSC and A549 EVs. From this analysis we identified another transcript (ADIRF-AS1) which also contained a continuous stretch of double-stranded RNA greater than 30 bp. For reference, the secondary structures of ADIRF-AS1 and AC120498.9 are shown in Fig. 6a.

To assess whether the identified sequences were capable of binding to PKR in neurons, the biotin-conjugated synthetic RNA sequences were incubated with whole cell lysate and then purified using Streptavidin-Sepharose beads. Western blot was performed to assess the expression of PKR, as well as other critical RNA binding proteins. The results in Fig. 6b confirm that the relevant sequences from both ADIRF-AS1 and AC120498.9 were capable of binding not only to PKR, but also to other double stranded RNA (dsRNA) binding proteins including DICER and ADAR1. We additionally performed similar experiments using whole cell lysate from either astrocytes or MDMs (Supplementary Fig. 6). Again, western blot analysis confirmed expression of PKR, DICER, and RIG-I, thereby highlighting the potential of these RNAs to bind to multiple different RNA binding proteins in CNS relevant cell types. As a negative control for these experiments, we did not detect expression of p65 (data not shown). Collectively, we suspect that these innate immune molecules may bind to EV-associated lncRNAs to either activate their enzymatic activities or, alternatively, to suppress their functions in recipient cells. Future studies will better focus on the potential significance of this binding.

**Stem Cell EVs Rescue Cellular Viability in HIV-1 Damaged Neurons**

Based on the above data showing that NPC-derived neurospheres are susceptible to HIV-1 infection and that stem cell EVs may exert neuroprotective effects, we next assessed the potential functional effects of stem cell EVs on damaged neurons *in vitro*. Here, we utilized two-dimensional cultures of neuronal cells; to induce neuronal damage cells were exposed to EVs derived from U1 cART-treated cells ("damaging EVs"). Our rationale was based

on results from previous studies showing that EVs from HIV-1 infected cells contain viral by-products (e.g. TAR, Tat, Nef, *env*) that can be transferred to recipient cells [104, 105, 106, 107]. Thus, we hypothesized that exposure of neurons to these damaging EVs would be characterized by a loss in cellular viability and, moreover, that stem cell EVs may have the potential to reverse this effect.

For this assay neurons were treated with EVs from either U1 cART-treated cells or a combination of U1 cART EVs with stem cell EVs. Representative images in Fig. 6c show that after approximately eight days in culture the untreated cells appeared healthy, well-attached, and exhibited a spindle-like morphology. Conversely, neurons exposed to U1 cART EVs displayed an altered appearance that was characterized by a reduction in attachment and clumping/ aggregation of the remaining attached cells. However, treatment with either iPSC or MSC EVs at an approximate ratio of 1:1000 (recipient cell: EV) promoted the re-attachment and spreading of cells that more closely resembled the untreated control culture. To ascertain whether these effects may be mediated by EV-associated RNA, stem cell EVs were exposed to UV(C) irradiation to deactivate RNA. Treatment of neurons with irradiated EVs appeared to abrogate the morphological effects associated with non-irradiated stem cell EVs. Cellular viability was next assessed using a luminescence-based assay. As shown in Fig. 6d, treatment of neurons with U1 cART EVs resulted in a slight decrease in viability relative to the control. While the treatment with stem cell EVs did improve cellular viability, only iPSC EVs were associated with a significant ($p < 0.05$) increase. Furthermore, there were no significant effects of UV(C) irradiated EVs on cellular viability.

In a separate experiment, we have also utilized EVs isolated from cells infected with the human coronavirus OC43 to induce neuronal damage (Supplementary Fig. 7). Similar to what was observed with U1 cART EVs, neurons exposed to OC43 EVs detached from the substrate and appeared round in morphology. Again, treatment with both iPSC and MSC EVs appeared to permit the re-attachment and spreading of cells. Exposure to OC43 EVs also resulted in a strong reduction of neuronal viability and treatment with both iPSC and MSC EVs significantly abrogated this effect ($p < 0.0001$). Moreover, exposure of stem cell EVs to UV(C) irradiation substantially inhibited their ability to restore cellular viability. Collectively, these results suggest that stem cell EVs have the potential to reverse the damaged phenotypes associated with infection and that EV-associated lncRNA may be partially responsible for mediating this effect. Future studies will focus on optimizing these experiments to better characterize the mechanism(s) associated with virally induced damage and stem cell EV-mediated repair.

**Discussion**

Throughout the last decade, iPSCs and their derivatives have revolutionized the fields of regenerative

medicine, drug development, and disease modeling [11]. With respect to the CNS, iPSC-derived NPCs and NSCs

have been used to successfully generate 3D neuronal cultures, or neurospheres. Relative to traditional 2D cultures,

3D models are expected to serve as a more relevant platform for the study of biological processes. For example,

neurospheres have the potential to provide enhanced molecular insight into key cellular processes relating to

migration, replication, apoptosis [27]. Additionally, their ability to be cultured in serum-free and chemically defined

conditions renders them particularly important for studies pertaining to neural development and neurogenesis [32].

Thereby, neurospheres may represent an ideal platform for preliminary *in vitro* studies surrounding the pathogenesis

of various neurological diseases and disorders. Previous works by others have utilized 3D neuronal cultures to

successfully model Zika and HSV-1 infections [33, 34, 35, 48]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study

utilizing NPC-derived neurospheres for retroviral infection.

The use of well-characterized and qualified material, as well as the establishment of robust and

reproducible protocols is essential for ensuring the integrity of scientific data. The protocols employed here

permitted the efficient formation of neurospheres, resulting in well-defined cultures that displayed uniformity in size

and appearance throughout the duration of the study; thereby overcoming some of the challenges that have

historically been associated with use of 3D neuronal cultures [51]. The NPCs used for these experiments also

underwent extensive characterization to authenticate not only their identity, but also their differentiation potential.

Our data suggests that NPC-derived neurospheres maintain their ability to differentiate into both mature neurons

(e.g. dopaminergic, GABAergic, glutamatergic) and glial cells (i.e. astrocytes and potentially microglia) in 3D

cultures. It is worth noting that properly distinguishing between microglia and macrophages has historically been

challenging as these cell types are often associated with many of the same surface markers. Due to the difficulties

associated with their phenotypic identification, here we use the term "microglia-like cells" to designate cells that

express either CD163 or CD11b, as these markers have previously been detected in either resting or activated

microglia and macrophages [108, 109, 110, 111, 112]. Another point worth highlighting is that the expression of

cell-specific markers may vary in response to different biological and biochemical parameters such as cell

maturation, cell culture conditions, and the inherent phenotypic heterogeneity within a given cell type [113]. Along

these lines, although we did not detect expression of the microglia marker Iba-1 in our neurospheres, D'Aiuto et al.

recently utilized human iPSC-derived NPCs to generate scaffold-free adherent 3D neuronal cultures which

expressed not only neuronal (glutamatergic, GABAergic, dopaminergic) markers but also GFAP and Iba-1 [35].

These authors also noted that 3D cultures were differentiated for a period of six weeks. When considering that the

neurospheres used for our experiments were cultured in the presence of differentiation medium for only a period of

two weeks, we suspect that extending this timeframe by several weeks could further improve the expression levels

of differentiated cells and allow for better characterization of cellular sub-populations. In addition, we suspect that

exposure of neurospheres to a stimulating agent (e.g. LPS) could further promote the expression of a microglial-like

phenotype.

Despite being regarded as "immune privileged," the CNS contains at least three types of immune cells,

namely CD4+ T cells, macrophages, and microglia, that can be infected by HIV-1 and subsequently serve as viral

reservoirs [58]. In the CNS, HIV-1 can activate numerous inflammatory mediators and enzymes that disrupt

downstream signaling pathways in both neuronal and glial cells [114]. HIV-1 infection is also associated with a

range of neurological symptoms collectively known as HAND, and the release of toxic viral proteins by infected

cells is expected to contribute to HAND pathogenesis [5,6,7,8]. However, the underlying mechanisms remain

poorly understood; consequently, there is a need to develop advanced assays to better characterize the molecular

mechanisms that contribute to HAND and other HIV-1 associated disease phenotypes. In these studies we sought to

assess the feasibility of using NPC-derived neurospheres for future studies modeling HIV-1 infection.

Dual-tropic HIV-1 89.6 utilizes the CXCR4 and CCR5 coreceptors; both of which are expressed on

resident CNS cells including macrophages, microglia, and astrocytes [114]. Whether or not astrocytes can harbor

productive infection remains a matter of debate; although integrated HIV-1 has been detected in astrocytes isolated

from infected patients and it has been reported that infected astrocytes can produce viral proteins (e.g. Tat, Rev, Nef)

that contribute to neuroinflammation and neuronal damage [2, 58, 61, 115, 116, 117, 118]. Results from our

experiments confirmed viral replication in neurospheres as evidenced by the expression of p24 capsid protein,

uncleaved Gag polyprotein Pr55, and accessory protein Nef, all of which were detected after seven days in culture.

As a measure of validation, we also detected p24 in neurospheres exposed to two other lab-adapted strains (JR-CSF

and CHO40). IP assays further revealed that the highest copies of HIV-1 TAR DNA were associated with

microglia-like cells. The JR-CSF infected sample also displayed relatively high copy numbers of TAR DNA

associated with astrocytes; a finding that is not entirely surprising given that this viral isolate originated from the

cerebrospinal fluid of an infected patient. Overall, this data is in agreement with HIV-1 tropism towards glial-like

cells. However, the current study does not directly address HIV-1 integration as only the total levels of TAR DNA

are reported. Thus, these transcripts may represent a mixture of both non-integrated DNA as well as integrated

proviral DNA. To quantify the level of HIV-1 integration in neurospheres, follow up studies employing an *Alu* PCR

assay can be performed to assess integration sites and levels of gene expression, as previously described [119, 120,

121, 122]. This information will provide more thorough insight into the efficiency of infection and the efficacy of

cART in 3D cultures.

Interestingly, we observed unique expression profiles of cell-specific markers among uninfected and

infected neurospheres (Fig. 3a). First, these results are in general agreement with our previous assays (ICC and IP)

which relied on the use of antibodies associated with astrocytes (GFAP), microglia-like cells (CD11b, CD163),

neurons (FOXA2, GAD65), and NSCs (SOX2) as varying levels of expression for each of these markers was

detected. GFAP is an intermediate filament protein that is most commonly associated with astrocytes and increased

GFAP expression is a hallmark of astrocyte activation (e.g. astrogliosis or astrocytosis) [123, 124]. Our observation

of increased GFAP expression in HIV-1 infected neurospheres is consistent with previous literature that has

characterized HIV-1 infection by astrocytosis [125, 126, 127]. As indicated previously, CD11b is an integrin

protein that can be used to identify either resting or activated microglia-like cells. Our observation of relatively

consistent CD11b expression may be related to the specificity of the antibody used. While the apparent increase in

the expression levels of neuronal markers ($TH^+$, $GAD65^+/67^+$, $BNPI^+$) in HIV-1 infected neurospheres were

unexpected, further studies are warranted to see if this phenotype reproduces. Without having an accurate

quantification of the cellular composition of neurospheres, it cannot be ruled out that some samples had inherently

higher levels of certain cell types to begin with. In addition, the spatial location of certain cell types within each

sphere needs to be considered. For example, it may be possible that more mature cell types are located towards the

center of the sphere while cells around the periphery may represent a population of progenitor/proliferating cells.

Without knowing the kinetics of HIV-1 infection in this 3D platform, it is also possible that the virus was not able to

efficiently penetrate the neurospheres; along these lines, an incubation period longer than seven days may be

required to better assess the long-term effects of HIV-1 infection on certain cell types. Nonetheless, we believe that

these novel results provide a justifiable proof-of-concept for continued studies that can further fine tune these

experimental details.

The primary focus of this manuscript surrounds retroviral infections, namely HIV-1 and HTLV-

1 is the etiological agent of both adult T cell leukemia (ATL) and the progressive neurological disorder known as

HTLV-1-associated myelopathy/tropical spastic paraparesis (HAM/TSP) [62, 63, 64, 65]. As in the case of HIV-1,

the underlying mechanisms contributing to HTLV-1 associated pathologies remain poorly understood. Thus, there

is an imminent need for the identification of suitable *in vitro* platforms to drive the field of HTLV-1 research.

Exposure to IR is known to initiate cell cycle arrest; moreover, we have recently published data using IR to activate

transcription of HTLV-1 in HUT 102 cells [66, 67, 68, 128]. Therefore, we rationalized that co-culturing of

irradiated HUT 102 cells with neurospheres would provide a reliable platform to assay for infection. To this end, we

performed western blot to assess the relative expression of Tax and p19, two indicators of HTLV-1 viral replication.

When accounting for background levels in the non-irradiated HUT 102 control sample, there were clear increases in

the expression of both Tax and p19. RT-qPCR also confirmed increased gene expression of *tax, env*, and to a much

lesser extent, *hbz*, in the co-cultures. Treatment with IFN/AZT was also associated with reductions in the

corresponding protein/gene expression levels. Therefore, this preliminary data suggests that neurospheres may be

reliable models for studying the mechanisms surrounding HTLV-1 associated neuropathogenesis. Future

experiments will utilize other HTLV-1 and HIV-1 infected cells (e.g. U1) that have been irradiated to potentially

increase viral spread.

In recent years our laboratory has also focused extensively on EVs and considerable efforts have been

made in the characterization of EVs from both infected and non-infected cells [68, 72, 105, 106, 107, 129, 130, 131].

Here specifically, we were interested in examining the functional effects of stem cell EVs on HIV-1 infected

neurospheres. Our rationale was based on the results from numerous studies which have highlighted the protective

and reparative properties of stem cell EVs among various CNS pathologies, as reviewed elsewhere [42, 46, 47, 132].

For these studies we utilized well-characterized preparations of iPSC and MSC EVs that have previously

demonstrated reparative effects in various *in vitro* assays (e.g. cellular migration, cellular viability, angiogenesis)

[72].

The results from our uptake assays first confirmed that EVs were capable of penetrating neurospheres.

Moreover, fluorescently labeled EVs remained detectable for at least eight days post-treatment. These results were

consistent among two independent experiments that used different fluorescent probes to stain either EV lipid

membranes or EV-associated RNA. Interestingly, gelatin zymography indicated that iPSC and A549 EVs had

relatively high levels of the active forms of the gelatinases MMP9 and MMP2 as compared to MSC EVs.  While we

observed distinct differences in the morphological appearance of iPSC EV and A549 EV-treated neurospheres, it is

imprudent to attribute this effect to any specific EV cargo at this time.  More importantly, these results demonstrated

feasibility and provided the justification for subsequent experiments focused on the functional effects of stem cell

EVs in neurosphere cultures.

As previously mentioned, over amplification of inflammatory pathways, coupled with the release of toxic

viral proteins from infected cells, is believed to contribute to the neuronal damage associated with HIV-1 [5,6,7,8].

Additionally, activated microglia release neurotoxic factors including cytokines and chemokines (e.g. IL6, IL8,

IL1β, TNFα, IFNα) that can further exacerbate the inflammatory response [60, 114].  In recent years, the

neuroprotective and anti-inflammatory properties of stem cell EVs have been well-documented; in the context of the

CNS, there is accumulating evidence that stem cell EVs may be able to reverse neuronal apoptosis and modulate the

polarization of both astrocytes and microglia from pro-inflammatory to anti-inflammatory phenotypes [81, 82, 83,

84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92].  To the best of our knowledge, the functional effects of stem cell EVs on 3D

cultures has not previously been reported.

As expected, we observed increased expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines TNFα, IL-8, and IL-1β in

HIV-1 infected neurospheres.  Additionally, we also observed increased expression of both cleaved PARP-1 and p-

p53 (ser-15) in response to HIV-1 infection.  These findings are consistent with the activation of cellular stress

response pathways as cleavage of PARP is commonly regarded as a hallmark of apoptosis [133, 134] and serine 15

phosphorylation is associated with p53 activation and the subsequent initiation of cell cycle checkpoints and DNA

repair mechanisms [135, 136, 137].  The addition of stem cell EVs to HIV-1 cART-treated neurospheres abrogated

each of these effects; thereby pointing towards a potential EV-mediated reversal of inflammatory and apoptotic

signaling.  There were no apparent increases in the expression of cleaved caspase-3 among any samples.  Since

caspase-3 is classically regarded as an "executioner" caspase [138, 139] this data suggests that damaged cells may

have been in the early stages of apoptosis, thus making them more receptive to reparative intervention.  Future

experiments will focus on examining the expression levels of p53 kinases (e.g. ATM, ATR), as well as the

expression of different apoptotic regulators (e.g. Bcl-2, Bax, Bid), to better define the reparative mechanisms of

stem cell EVs.

EVs are  associated with a rich diversity of cargo which includes various non-coding RNAs (i.e. small non-

coding and long non-coding RNAs), as described elsewhere [140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145]. Mechanistically

speaking, this cargo is expected to drive the functional effects that are attributed to EVs. Although many studies

have evaluated the reparative potential of various EV-associated miRNAs, the characterization of EV-associated

lncRNAs remains largely unexplored. While the data from our lncRNA analysis highlighted distinct differences

among A549 (cancer) EVs and stem cell EVs, particularly with respect to chromosome localization and patterns of

differentially expressed transcripts, a more comprehensive assessment of this data is outside of the scope of the

current manuscript. However, the identification of at least two iPSC EV-associated lncRNAs (i.e. AC120498.9,

ADIRF-AS1) whose secondary structures contained sequences of double-stranded RNA ≥ 30 bp in length led us to

examine their interactions with different RNA binding proteins *in vitro*, as this property has previously been linked

to the activation of PKR [102, 103]. Indeed, we found that the relevant sequences of these lncRNAs were capable of

binding not only to PKR, but also to other dsRNA binding proteins, namely DICER, RIG-I, and ADAR1 in neurons,

astrocytes, and MDMs. Although we are unable to conclude the significance of this binding at the present time, we

speculate that it may represent a novel form of RNA interference by which certain EV-associated lncRNAs bind to

host RNA binding proteins, including innate immune molecules, to alter their function. This area of research

requires further attention to better characterize the downstream functional effects of this binding. Lastly, when

mapping the secondary structures of iPSC EV-associated lncRNAs, we also noticed that many of these structures

each contained multiple circular loops that resemble the structure of circular RNAs (circRNAs). For reference,

these structures are shown in Supplementary Fig. 8. While circRNAs represent a relatively novel class of non-

coding RNAs, there is emerging evidence to suggest that they may predominantly function as miRNA sponges to

prevent binding of miRNAs to their target genes [146, 147]. Future experiments will explore this possibility in the

context of stem cell EV-mediated repair.

It has been shown that EVs released from HIV-1 infected cells contain viral by products including Nef, Tat

*env*, and TAR [104, 105, 107, 148]. The effects of these damaging EVs include rendering recipient cells more

susceptible to infection, enhancing the spread of infection, and induction of cellular death, as recently reviewed

[149]. Since we were unable to directly study their effects in HIV-1 infected neurospheres, we utilized 2D cultures

of neurons to determine if exposure to EVs from HIV-1 infected cells could induce neuronal death and,

subsequently, to assess whether stem cell EVs could exert any functional effects on damaged cells. Our data

confirmed a decline in cell healthy and slight reduction in viability upon treatment with damaging EVs, while stem

cell EVs appeared to reverse these processes.  Even though UV(C) treatment did not have a significant impact on

cellular viability, the observed morphological differences in neurons treated with irradiated stem cell EVs relative to

non-irradiated EVs suggests that UV(C) may have partially impaired the functional effects of both iPSC and MSC

EVs.  On the other hand, performance of the same assay using OC43 EVs to induce neuronal damage produced

considerable outcomes.  Here, treatment of damaged cells with stem cell EVs yielded a significant increase in

viability whereas UV(C) irradiation abrogated their effects.  Thus, these experiments highlight the existence of

different mechanisms surrounding both EV-induced damage and EV-mediated repair in recipient cells.

Overall, the results from this study have demonstrated that NPC-derived neurospheres are susceptible to

retroviral infection and, therefore, may serve as an alternative platform for studying the molecular mechanisms

associated with HIV-1.  Moreover, we also demonstrated the functional relevance of stem cell EVs in the context of

mediating cellular repair induced by viral damage.  Importantly, this data is in consensus with numerous other

studies which have described the neuroprotective and anti-inflammatory properties of stem cell EVs.  We

acknowledge that further experiments are necessary to more carefully define and characterize the mechanisms

contributing to both viral-induced damage and EV-mediated repair.  However, based upon the current data, we

hypothesize that the functional effects of stem cell EVs in both uninfected and virally infected cells may be partially

mediated through the interactions between EV-associated lncRNAs and nucleic acid sensors (e.g. PKR, ADAR1,

RIG-I) in recipient cells.  For example, in uninfected cells this binding could trigger the activation of intracellular

signal transduction pathways, thereby resulting in increased production of interferon and a potent antiviral response

that may ultimately protect the cell from viral infection.  Conversely, infected cells would have already mounted an

immune response to undergo cell cycle arrest.  In this scenario, EV-associated lncRNAs could prolong this effect by

binding to, and thus inactivating, the host innate immune molecules to prevent further viral replication.  In turn, this

would also dampen the production of damaging EVs from infected cells.  Over time, the EV-associated cytokines

and growth factors will promote cellular replication of both infected and uninfected cells.  However, we believe that

the net outcome will confer an overall protective effect, since we suspect that uninfected cells represent a majority

($\geq$ 90%) of the total cell population.  In support of this, we have data showing that treatment of HIV-1 infected

neurospheres with stem cell EVs results in increased expression of different cell populations including NPCs,

astrocytes, and microglia-like cells (data not shown).  Thus, we believe that this research sets an important precedent

for the continued use of NPC-derived neurospheres for modeling biological processes relating to the CNS.  We look

forward to utilizing these cultures to further expand our knowledge in this area.

**Methods**

**Cell Culture**

**NPCs and Neurospheres**

Normal Human Neural Progenitor Cells (ATCC® ACS-5003™) were expanded per the manufacturer's

recommendations.  Cells were cultured with STEMdiff™ Neural Progenitor Medium (STEMCELL technologies™)

in cell matrix-coated flasks.  Media was changed every other day.  Cells were subcultured using Accutase diluted

1:1 with PBS.  To generate neurospheres, NPCs were seeded at approximately $1.0 \times 10^5$ cells per well in ultra-low

attachment, U-shaped bottom 96-well plates in Neural Progenitor Medium.  After approximately 48 hours, the

medium was removed from the wells and replaced with DMEM:F12 (ATCC® 30-2006™) + Dopaminergic Neuron

Differentiation Kit (ATCC® ACS-3004™) to induce differentiation.  Neurospheres received a media replacement

every 2 to 3 days for a period of 2 weeks.  Phase contrast images were acquired using an inverted microscope and

calibrated measurements were performed using Zen imaging software (Zeiss).

**Cell Lines**

The human neuroblastoma cell line SHSY5Y (CRL-2266™), the human astrocytoma cell line CCF-STTG1

(CRL-1718™), human monocytic leukemia cell line THP-1 (TIB-202™), and the human lung carcinoma cell line

A549 (CCL-185™) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC).  SHSY5Y cells were

cultured in a 1:1 mixture of EMEM (ATCC® 30–2003™) and F-12K (ATCC® 30–2004™) supplemented with

10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (ATCC® 30–2020™).  CCF-STTG1 and THP-1 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640

(ATCC® 30–2001™) supplemented with 10% FBS.  A549 cells were cultured in F-12K (ATCC® 30–2004™)

supplemented with 10% FBS.  Cells were maintained in culture following the manufacturer's recommendations.

HIV-1 infected U937 Cells (U1; NIH AIDS Reagent Program) were cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10%

exosome-depleted FBS.

To generate MDMs, THP-1 cells and U1 cells were treated with phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA;

100 nM) and incubated for 4 to 5 days.  U1-MDMs then received 2 treatments of cART  (emtricitabine, tenofovir

disoproxil fumarate, ritonavir, darunavir; 2.5 μM each) over a 5 day incubation period.

**Immunocytochemistry (ICC)**

Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde.  ICC was performed following standard protocols.  After

permeabilization (0.2% Triton X-100+ 0.01% Tween® 20) and blocking (5% goat serum), cells were incubated

overnight with the following primary antibodies: α-FOXA2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-101060), α-GFAP (Santa

Cruz Biotechnology sc-166481), α-CD163 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-20066), α-Iba-1 (Santa Cruz

Biotechnology sc-32725), α-Tubulin β 3 (Tuj1; Biolegend MMS-435P), α- Tyrosine Hydroxylase (TH; Millipore

AB152).  The appropriate secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit or Alexa Fluor 594 goat anti-rabbit)

was then added for 1 hour.  Nuclei were counter-stained with DAPI and fluorescent imaging was performed using a

Zeiss Axio Observer.Z1 microscope fitted with the appropriate filters.

**Infection of Neurospheres**

**HIV-1 Infection**

Differentiated neurospheres were infected with either dual-tropic HIV-1 89.6 (MOI:10; ~250 ng of p24),

JR-CSF (MOI:10), or CHO40 (MOI:10) and InfectinTM (Virongy, LLC).  The total final volume of spheres + virus

+ Infectin was approximately 200 μL.  After 48 hours of incubation (day 2), neurospheres were gently washed with

PBS and received fresh differentiation media with or without cART cocktail (lamivudine (NRTI), tenofovir

disoproxil fumarate (NRTI), emtricitabine (NRTI), indinavir (protease inhibitor); 2.5 μM each).  HIV-1 89.6 +

cART neurospheres also received treatment with stem cell EVs at an approximate ratio of 1:250 (uninfected

recipient cell to EV).  Neurospheres received additional cART and EV treatments on day 5.  After 7 days the

neurospheres were harvested for downstream analysis.

**HTLV-1 co-culture**

HUT 102 cells (log phase) were cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated,

exosome-depleted FBS for 5 days.  Cells were then seeded at $1.0 \times 10^6$ viable cells/mL and exposed to IR using a

RS-2000 X-Ray Irradiator (Rad Source Technologies) at a dose of 10 Gy.  Irradiated cells were co-cultured with

differentiated neurospheres (day 0) at an approximate ratio of 1:100 (infected cells: uninfected cells in neurospheres)

with or without combination treatment of interferon-α (10 K unit) and zidovudine (20 μM), hereafter referred to as

IFN/AZT. Treatments were added on days 3 and 5.  After 7 days the neurosphere co-cultures were harvested for

downstream analysis.

**Western Blot**

Protein lysates from neurospheres were analyzed via Bradford assay following the manufacturer's

recommendations (Bio-Rad). For western blot, equal amounts of protein were mixed with Laemmli buffer, heated at

95°C, and separated on a 4-20% Tris-glycine SDS gel (Invitrogen). Proteins were transferred to an Immobilon

PVDF membrane (Millipore) overnight at 50 milliamps. After blocking the membrane with 5% milk in PBS with

0.1% Tween®-20, the appropriate primary antibody was added for overnight incubation at 4 °C. Antibodies used

for these experiments included α-p24 (NIH AIDS Reagent Program), α-Nef (NIH AIDS Reagent Program), α-Tax

(kindly provided by Dr. Scott Gitlin, University of Michigan), α-p19 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-1665), α-

Integrin αM (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-515923), α-CD163 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-20066), α- glutamine

synthetase (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-74430), α-GFAP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-166481), α-Tyrosine

Hydroxylase (Millipore Sigma AB152), α-FOXA2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-101060), α-GAD-65 (Santa Cruz

Biotechnology sc-377145), α-GAD-67 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-28376), α-BNPI (Santa Cruz Biotechnology

sc-377425), α-SOX2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-365823), α-PARP-1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-7150), α-p-

53 (Cell Signaling Technology 2524S), α-p-53 (ser-15) (Cell Signaling Technology 9286S), α-p-p21 (ser-146)

(Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-12902), α-Caspase 3 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-7148), α-TNFα (Santa Cruz

Biotechnology sc-52749), α-IL-8 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-376750), α-IL-1β (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-

32294) , α-PKR (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-136352), α-DICER (Cell Signaling Technology 3363S), α-ADAR1

(Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-271854) , α-RIG-I (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-376845), α-MMP9 (Cell Signaling

Technology 2270S), and α-Actin (Abcam ab-49900). Membranes were subsequently washed, incubated with the

appropriate secondary antibody at 4 °C, and then immediately developed using either Clarity™ or Clarity Max™

Western ECL Substrate (Bio-Rad). Chemiluminescence imaging was performed with the ChemiDoc™ Touch

Imaging System (Bio-Rad).

**Reverse Transcription and Quantitative PCR**

Total RNA from neurosphere cultures was extracted using TRIzol™ reagent (Invitrogen) following the

manufacturer's recommendations. Reverse transcription was carried out with the GoScript™ Reverse Transcription

System (Promega) following the manufacturer's recommended protocols. The following specific primers were

used: HIV-1 trans-activation response (TAR) reverse primer (5′-CAA CAG ACG GGC ACA CAC TAC-3′,

Tm = 58 °C), HIV-1 envelope reverse primer: (5′-TGG GAT AAG GGT CTG AAA CG-3′, Tm = 58 °C), HTLV-1

Tax reverse primer (5′- AAC ACG TAG ACT GGG TAT CC-3′, Tm = 53.6°C), HTLV-1 bZIP factor (HBZ) reverse

primer (5′-TGA CAC AGG CAA GCA TCG-3′, Tm = 55.7°C), HTLV-1 envelope reverse primer (5′-CCA TCG

TTA GCG CTT CCA GCC CC-3′, Tm = 64.4°C) [68, 129].

qPCR for HIV-1 TAR and envelope was carried out with IQ Supermix (Bio-Rad).  The following

primers/probes were used: TAR forward primer (5′-GGT CTC TCT GGT TAG ACC AGA TCT G-3′, Tm = 60 °C),

TAR reverse primer (5′-CAA CAG ACG GGC ACA CAC TAC-3′, Tm = 58 °C), TAR Probe ( 5'-56-FAM-AGC

CTC AAT AAA GCT TGC CTT GAG TGC TTC-36-TAMSp-3', Tm = 63.2°C).  Serially diluted DNA from HIV-1

8E5 cells (NIH AIDS Reagent Program) were included as standards [129].  The reaction conditions were 50°C for 2

minutes (1 cycle), 95 °C for 3 minutes (1 cycle), 95 °C for 15 seconds and 60°C for 40 seconds (41 cycles).

Quantification was determined from the average cycle threshold (Ct) values of each sample relative to the standard

curve.  All reactions were run triplicate on the CFX96™ Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad).

qPCR of HTLV-1 Tax, HBZ, and envelope was carried out with SYBR® Green Master Mix (Bio-Rad)

using the following primers: Tax forward primer (5′- ATC CCG TGG AGA CTC CTC AA-3′, Tm = 57.6°C), Tax

reverse primer (5′- AAC ACG TAG ACT GGG TAT CC-3′, Tm = 53.6°C), HBZ forward primer (5′-AGA ACG

CGA CTC AAC CGG-3′, Tm = 57.8°C), HBZ reverse primer (5′-TGA CAC AGG CAA GCA TCG-3′, Tm =

55.7°C), envelope forward primer (5′-CGG GAT CCT AGC GTG GGA ACA GGT-3′, Tm = 64.5°C), envelope

reverse primer (5′-CCA TCG TTA GCG CTT CCA GCC CC-3′, Tm = 64.4°C).  Serially diluted DNA from HTLV-

1 infected cells (HUT 102) served as standards [68].  The reaction conditions were as follows: Tax: 50°C for 2

minutes (1 cycle), 95°C for 2 minutes (1 cycle), 95°C for 15 seconds, 51°C for 20 seconds, and 72°C for 40 seconds

(40 cycles), 65°C for 5 seconds (1 cycle), 95°C for 5 seconds (1 cycle); HBZ: 50°C for 2 minutes (1 cycle), 95°C for

2 minutes (1 cycle), 95°C for 15 seconds, 65.7°C for 20 seconds, and 72°C for 40 seconds (40 cycles), 65°C for 5

seconds (1 cycle) and 95°C for 5 seconds (1 cycle); envelope: 50°C for 2 minutes (1 cycle), 95°C for 2.5 minutes (1

cycle), 95°C for 15 seconds and 64°C for 40 seconds (40 cycles), 65°C for 5 seconds (1 cycle), and 95°C for 5

seconds (1 cycle) [68].  Sample quantification was determined from the average cycle threshold (Ct) values of each

sample relative to the standard curve.  All reactions were run in triplicate on the CFX96™ Real-Time PCR

Detection System (Bio-Rad).

**Isolation and Characterization of EVs**

**Stem Cell EVs and A549 EVs**

EV isolation was performed as previously described [72].  Briefly, human bone marrow MSCs (BM-

MSCs) (ATCC® PCS-500-012™) and human lung carcinoma cell line A549 (ATCC® CCL-185™) were cultured

in the presence of exosome-depleted FBS (Thermo Fisher Scientific) prior to collection of conditioned medium.

Human iPSCs (ATCC® ACS-1019™), which were cultured in serum-free/xeno-free medium, received full media

changes every day and the conditioned medium was collected and stored at 4°C throughout expansion. Bulk

conditioned medium was first spun at 2,000 x g and then filtered with a 0.22 μm filter to remove cellular debris and

larger vesicles. Filtered medium then underwent concentration and buffer exchange via tangential flow filtration

(TFF) (Spectrum®) to isolate EVs. Samples were subsequently aliquoted and frozen at -20°C for downstream

analysis. The size distribution and concentration of each EV sample was assessed via nanoparticle tracking analysis

(NTA) using the NanoSight®NS300 (Malvern Panalytical). Prior to analysis the equipment was calibrated per the

manufacturer's protocols. EV samples were diluted in sterile PBS immediately before measurement. The acquired

data was analyzed by the instrument's built-in software.

**HIV-1 and Human Coronavirus OC43 EVs**

U1 cART EVs were isolated via ultracentrifugation. First, supernatants were centrifuged at 1,200 rpm for 5

minutes to pellet any cellular debris. The resulting supernatant was then centrifuged at 100,000 x g for 90 minutes

using the 70Ti rotor (Beckman). The pellet was washed with PBS followed by another centrifugation at $100,000 \times g$

for 90 minutes. EV pellets were resuspended in PBS and then frozen at -20°C for downstream analysis.

A549 cells were infected with $5.0 \times 10^5$ TCID50 of human coronavirus OC43 for 96 hours. Supernatants

were collected and centrifuged at 2,000 rpm for 5 minutes, filtered through a 0.22 μm sterile filter, and then

centrifuged at 100,000 x g for 90 minutes using the 70Ti rotor (Beckman). The pellet was resuspended in sterile

PBS and treated with 45 mJ/cm$^3$ of UV(C) LED irradiation. Samples of UV(C)-treated EVs (1, 5, 10 uL) were

added to Vero cells for two passages and no viral outgrowth was detected (data not shown). Remaining EVs were

frozen at -20°C for downstream analysis.

U1 cART and OC43 EVs were analyzed via NTA using the ZetaView® Z-NTA (Particle Metrix). Prior to

analysis the equipment was calibrated per the manufacturer's recommendation and each EV sample was diluted in

deionized water prior to analysis. The acquired data was analyzed by the instrument's built-in software.

**EV Uptake**

EVs were labeled with either SYTO® RNASelect Green Fluorescent Stain (Thermo Fisher) or BODIPY™

493/503 (Thermo Fisher) following the manufacturer's recommended protocol. Excess dye was removed via

centrifugation using a Sephadex® G-10 (Sigma-Aldrich) column with a glass wool bottom packed with 0.75 mL

beads. Labeled EVs were added to differentiated neurospheres and incubated at 37°C. Fluorescent imaging was

performed using a Zeiss Axio Observer.Z1 microscope fitted with the appropriate filter.

**RNA Sequencing and Analysis**

Total RNA was extracted from EVs using TRIzol™ reagent (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's

recommendations. RNA sequencing and sequence analysis was performed by LC Sciences, LLC. Briefly, the 2100

Bioanalyzer System (Agilent) was used to analyze total RNA and ribosomal RNA was removed per the Ribo-Zero

Gold Kit (Illumina). The remaining RNA fragments were used to construct a strand-specific cDNA library using the

dUTP method (average library insert size was $300 \pm 50$ bp) and pair-end sequencing was performed using the Hiseq

4000 (Illumina) following the manufacturer's recommended protocol. Reads containing adaptor contamination and

low quality bases were removed with Cutadapt and in-house perl scripts [150]. FastQC was used to verify transcript

quality, Tophat2 [151] and Bowtie 2 [152] were used for mapping reads to the genome, and in-house Perl scripts

were used for GO and KEGG enrichment analysis. StringTie [153] was used for transcript assembly and Ballgown

[154] was used to perform differential expression analysis. Significant terms for GO analysis were determined by a

hypergeometric equation and GO terms with a p value <0.05 were considered significant.

The secondary structures of selected long non-coding RNAs were predicted using the RNAfold Server of

the ViennaRNA Websuite [155]. RNA sequences were first retrieved from NCBI and the FASTA sequence was

submitted to the server. This server predicted the minimum free energy (mfe) secondary structure of single

sequences using a programming algorithm originally developed by Zuker and Stiegler [156]. The secondary

structures were predicted using a temperature setting of 37 °C and keeping all other parameters to default [157].

**Immunoprecipitation for direct PCR**

Neurospheres were washed with PBS and treated with 0.25% Trypsin/EDTA (diluted 1:1 with PBS) for 3

to 5 minutes at 37°C. Trypsin was neutralized by the addition of RPMI-1640 with 10% FBS. The appropriate

antibodies (α-Integrin αM (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-515923); α-GFAP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-166481),

α-GAD-65 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-377145), α-SOX2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-365823)) were added (5

μg per 10E5 cells) and incubated at 4°C for 4 hours on a rotator. Protein A+G washed beads (30 μL; 30% slurry in

PBS with 0.01% BSA) were added to the cell/antibody mixture and incubated for 1.5 hours at 4°C. Samples were

spun at 1,000g for 5 minutes, washed once with PBS/BSA buffer, and pellets (protein A/G beads + cells + antibody

in ~25µl packed beads) were resuspended in PBS (20 µL). Approximately 1/5 of the solution was used for direct

PCR. The first cycle of PCR (heat for strand separation) is sufficient to crack open viruses and eukaryotic cells. An

initial titration of an astrocyte cell line with antibody and beads was used to titrate the amount of beads/cell/antibody

needed for direct PCR using GAPDH primers (data not shown).

**RNA Pull-down**

The following RNA sequences were synthesized with 5' Biotin conjugates: UAGCUGGGAUUACAG

GCGUGCACCACCACACCCGGCUAAUUUUUGUAUUUUU (AC120498.9) and CUCACUGCAACCUCU

GCCUUCCAGGUUCAA (ADIRF-AS1). Whole cell lysate (SHSY5Y, CCF-STTG-1, or MDM) (approximately 75

µg) was incubated with approximately 10 µg of each biotinylated RNA overnight at 4°C. The next day,

Streptavidin-Sepharose beads (BioVision) were added and again incubated at 4°C for an additional 2 hours. The

samples were spun at 14000 x g and resuspended in TNE50 + 0.1% NP40. Samples were mixed with Laemmli

buffer for subsequent SDS/PAGE and western blot was performed for PKR, DICER, ADAR1, and RIG-I (as

described above).

**Cell Viability Assay**

SHYSY5Y cells were seeded in a 96-well plate (day 0). On day 1, cells were treated with either U1 cART

EVs (1:50,000 approximate cell to EV ratio) or OC43 EVs (1:6,500 approximate cell to EV ratio) to induce damage.

Stem cell EVs were treated with 45 mJ/cm3 of UV(C) LED irradiation to inactivate EV-associated RNA. Stem cell

EVs were subsequently added at an approximate ratio of 1:1000 (recipient cell to EV ratio). Untreated cells

received PBS. Each sample was performed in triplicate. Cells were observed daily. On day 8 representative

photographs were taken using an EVOS XL microscope and viability was assayed using the CellTiter-Glo®

Luminescent Cell Viability kit (Promega) per the manufacturer's protocol. Luminescence was measured with the

GloMax®-Multi Detection System (Promega).

**Zymography**

EVs were added to a 30% slurry of NT80/82 Nanotrap® particles (Ceres Biosciences) and PBS was added

to bring the final volume to 500 µL. Samples were rotated at 4°C for two hours, spun, and washed with PBS.

Laemmli buffer was added to each sample, heated for 10 minutes at 37°C, and then spun to pellet the Nanotraps.

The resulting supernatants were loaded on a Novex™ 10% Zymogram Plus (Gelatin) Protein Gel (ThermoFisher

Scientific).  The gel was run at 120V for approximately 90 minutes, renatured for 30 minutes at room temperature

on a rocker, and incubated at 37°C in developing buffer for a period of 24 to 36 hours.  After development, the gel

was gently stained for an additional 24 to 48 hours with Coomassie blue R-250.  Imaging was performed with the

ChemiDoc™ Touch Imaging System (Bio-Rad).

**Statistical Analysis**

Analysis of quantitative data was performed by using Graph Pad Prism.  The $P$ values were determined via

analysis of variance (ANOVA) using multiple comparisons.  To justify the use of ANOVA, experimental data was

assumed to approximate a normal distribution.  $P$ values were defined as follows: of greatest statistical significance

($< 0.0001$), of greater significance ($< 0.005$), and significant ($< 0.05$).

**Acknowledgements**

We would like to thank all members of the Kashanchi lab for their contributions, especially Gwen Cox and interns Gabrielle Heller and Sahan Raghavan. We also would like express thanks to ATCC management, especially Drs. Mindy Goldsborough and James Kramer for supporting this work. This work was further supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) Grants (AI078859, AI074410, AI127351-01, AI043894, and NS099029 to FK) and (R33 CA206937 and R01AR068436 to LAL). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

**Author Contributions**

H.B. wrote and edited the manuscript. D.Y. and S.J. contributed to development, characterization, and production of iPSCs and NPCs. H.B. and D.Y. contributed to the production of EVs. H.B., M.C., Y.K., P.K., J.E. carried out experiments and contributed to data analysis. L.A.L. and N.E. contributed to the experimental designs. F.K. contributed to the overall direction and coordination of the study as well as contributions to experimental design and data analysis.

**Competing Interests**

H.B., D.Y., and S.J. are employed by ATCC and L.A.L. is affiliated with Ceres Nanosciences, Inc. All other authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

**References**

1. UNAIDS. Global HIV & AIDS statistics — 2019 fact sheet. PDF at
https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/UNAIDS_FactSheet_en.pdf.

2. Saylor, D, *et al*. HIV-associated Neurocognitive Disorder--Pathogenesis and Prospects for Treatment. *Nat Rev*
*Neurol*. **12**, 234-48 (2016).

3. Chen, M.F., Gill, A.J., Kolson, D.L. Neuropathogenesis of HIV-associated Neurocognitive Disorders: Roles for
Immune Activation, HIV Blipping and Viral Tropism. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS*. **9**, 559-64 (2014).

4. Eggers, C, *et al*. HIV-1-associated Neurocognitive Disorder: Epidemiology, Pathogenesis, Diagnosis, and
Treatment. *J Neurol*. **264**, 1715-1727 (2017).

5. Ferrell, D. & Giunta, B. The Impact of HIV-1 on Neurogenesis: Implications for HAND. *Cell Mol Life Sci*. **71**,
4387-92 (2014).

6. Rao, V.R., Ruiz, A.P., Prasad, V.R. Viral and Cellular Factors Underlying Neuropathogenesis in HIV Associated
Neurocognitive Disorders (HAND). *AIDS Res Ther*. **19**;11:13 (2014).

7. Ru, W. & Tang, S.J. HIV-associated Synaptic Degeneration. *Mol Brain*. **10**, 40 (2017).

8. Teodorof-Diedrich, C. & Spector, S.A. Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 gp120 and Tat Induce
Mitochondrial Fragmentation and Incomplete Mitophagy in Human Neurons. *J Virol*. **92**, e00993-18 (2018).

9. Kaushik, A., Jayant, R.D., Bhardwaj, V., Nair, M. Personalized Nanomedicine for CNS Diseases. *Drug Discov*
*Today*. **23**, 1007-1015 (2018).

10. GBD 2016 Neurology Collaborators. Global, Regional, and National Burden of Neurological Disorders, 1990-
2016: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet Neurol*. **18**, 459-480 (2019).

11. Shi, Y., Inoue, H., Wu, J.C., Yamanaka, S. Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell Technology: A Decade of Progress.
*Nat Rev Drug Discov*. **16**, 115-130 (2017).

12. Takahashi, K. & Yamanaka, S. Induction of Pluripotent Stem Cells From Mouse Embryonic and Adult
Fibroblast Cultures by Defined Factors. *Cell*. **126**, 663-76 (2006).

13. Takahashi, K, *et al*. Induction of Pluripotent Stem Cells From Adult Human Fibroblasts by Defined Factors.
*Cell*. **131**, 861-72 (2007).

14. Hu, B.Y., Du, Z.W., Zhang, S.C. Differentiation of Human Oligodendrocytes From Pluripotent Stem Cells.
*Nat Protoc*. **4**, 1614-22 (2009).

15. Haston, K.M., Finkbeiner, S. Clinical Trials in a Dish: The Potential of Pluripotent Stem Cells to Develop
Therapies for Neurodegenerative Diseases. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol*. **56**, 489-510 (2016).

16. Abud, E.M, *et al*. iPSC-Derived Human Microglia-like Cells to Study Neurological Diseases. *Neuron*. **94**,
278-293 (2017).

17. de Rus Jacquet, A. Preparation and Co-Culture of iPSC-Derived Dopaminergic Neurons and Astrocytes. *Curr*
*Protoc Cell Biol*. **85**, e98 (2019).

18. Lanko, K, *et al*. Replication of the Zika Virus in Different iPSC-derived Neuronal Cells and Implications to
Assess Efficacy of Antivirals. *Antiviral Res*. **145**, 82-86 (2017).

19. Fujimori, K, *et al*. Modeling Sporadic ALS in iPSC-derived Motor Neurons Identifies a Potential Therapeutic
Agent. *Nat Med*. **24**, 1579-1589 (2018).

20. Lebedeva, O.S. & Lagarkova, M.A. Pluripotent Stem Cells for Modelling and Cell Therapy of Parkinson's
Disease. *Biochemistry (Mosc)*. **83**, 1046-1056 (2018).

21. Monzio Compagnoni, G, *et al*. Mitochondrial Dysregulation and Impaired Autophagy in iPSC-Derived
Dopaminergic Neurons of Multiple System Atrophy. *Stem Cell Reports*. **11**, 1185-1198 (2018).

22. Huang, B, *et al*. Inflammatory Responses to a Pathogenic West Nile Virus Strain. *BMC Infect Dis*. **19**, 912
(2019).

23. Penney, J., Ralvenius, W.T., Tsai, L.H. Modeling Alzheimer's Disease With iPSC-derived Brain Cells. *Mol
Psychiatry*. **25**, 148-167 (2020).

24. Csobonyeiova, M., Polak, S., Danisovic, L. Recent Overview of the Use of iPSCs Huntington's Disease
Modeling and Therapy. *Int J Mol Sci*. **21**, 2239 (2020).

25. Teque, F, *et al*. Genetically-edited Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells Derived From HIV-1-infected Patients on
Therapy Can Give Rise to Immune Cells Resistant to HIV-1 Infection. *AIDS*. **34**, 1141-114 (2020).

26. Pampaloni, F., Reynaud, E.G., Stelzer, E.H. The Third Dimension Bridges the Gap Between Cell Culture and
Live Tissue. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. **8**, 839-45 (2007).

27. Topol, A., Tran, N.N., Brennand, K.J. A Guide to Generating and Using hiPSC Derived NPCs for the Study of
Neurological Diseases. *J Vis Exp*. **96**, e52495 (2015).

28. Zhou, S, *et al*. Neurosphere Based Differentiation of Human iPSC Improves Astrocyte Differentiation. *Stem
Cells Int*. **2016**, 4937689 (2016).

29. Hofrichter, M, *et al*. Comparative Performance Analysis of Human iPSC-derived and Primary Neural
Progenitor Cells (NPC) Grown as Neurospheres in Vitro. *Stem Cell Res*. **25**, 72-82 (2017).

30. Ostenfeld, T, *et al*. Regional Specification of Rodent and Human Neurospheres. *Brain Res Dev Brain Res*.
**134**, 43-55 (2002).

31. Parmar, M., Skogh, C., Björklund, A., Campbell, K. Regional Specification of Neurosphere Cultures Derived
From Subregions of the Embryonic Telencephalon. *Mol Cell Neurosci*. **21**, 645-56 (2002).

32. Jensen, J.B. & Parmar, M. Strengths and limitations of the neurosphere culture system. *Mol Neurobiol*. **34**,
153-161 (2006).

33. Garcez, P.P, *et al*. Zika virus impairs growth in human neurospheres and brain organoids. *Science*. **352**, 816-
818 (2016).

34. Garcez, P.P, *et al*. Zika virus disrupts molecular fingerprinting of human neurospheres. *Sci Rep*. **7**, 40780
(2017).

35. D'Aiuto, L, *et al*. Generation of three-dimensional human neuronal cultures: application to modeling CNS viral
infections. *Stem Cell Res Ther*. **9**, 134 (2018).

36. Gribkoff, V.K. & Kaczmarek, L.K. The need for new approaches in CNS drug discovery: Why drugs have
failed, and what can be done to improve outcomes. *Neuropharmacology*. **120**, 11-19 (2017).

37. Danon, J.J., Reekie, T.A., Kassiou, M. Challenges and Opportunities in Central Nervous System Drug
Discovery. *Trends in Chemistry*. **1**, P612-624 (2019).

38. Phinney, D.G. & Pittenger, M.F. Concise Review: MSC-Derived Exosomes for Cell-Free Therapy. *Stem Cells*.
**35**, 851-858 (2017).

39. van Niel, G., D'Angelo, G., Raposo, G. Shedding light on the cell biology of extracellular vesicles. *Nat Rev
Mol Cell Biol*. **19**, 213-228 (2018).

40. Zaborowski, M.P., Balaj, L., Breakefield, X.O., Lai, C.P.  Extracellular Vesicles: Composition, Biological
Relevance, and Methods of Study.  *Bioscience*.  **65**, 783-797 (2015).

41. Deng, H, *et al*.  Lipid, Protein, and MicroRNA Composition Within Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived
Exosomes.  *Cell Reprogram*.  **20**, 178-186 (2018).

42. Luarte, A., Bátiz, L.F., Wyneken, U., Lafourcade, C.  Potential Therapies by Stem Cell-Derived Exosomes in
CNS Diseases: Focusing on the Neurogenic Niche.  *Stem Cells Int*.  **2016**, 5736059 (2016).

43. Taheri, B, *et al*.  Induced pluripotent stem cell-derived extracellular vesicles: A novel approach for cell-free
regenerative medicine.  *J Cell Physiol*.  **234**, 8455-8464 (2019).

44. Koniusz, S, *et al*.  Extracellular Vesicles in Physiology, Pathology, and Therapy of the Immune and Central
Nervous System, with Focus on Extracellular Vesicles Derived from Mesenchymal Stem Cells as Therapeutic
Tools.  *Front Cell Neurosci*.  **10**, 109 (2016).

45. Keshtkar, S., Azarpira, N., Ghahremani, M.H.  Mesenchymal stem cell-derived extracellular vesicles: novel
frontiers in regenerative medicine.  *Stem Cell Res Ther*.  **9**, 63 (2018).

46. Branscome, H, *et al*.  Use of Stem Cell Extracellular Vesicles as a "Holistic" Approach to CNS Repair.  *Front
Cell Dev Biol*.  **8**, 455 (2020).

47. Galieva, L.R., James, V., Mukhamedshina, Y.O., Rizvanov, A.A.  Therapeutic Potential of Extracellular
Vesicles for the Treatment of Nerve Disorders.  *Front Neurosci*.  **13**, 163 (2019).

48. Mesci, P, *et al*.  Blocking Zika virus vertical transmission.  *Sci Rep*.  **8**,1218 (2018).

49. Ye, L, *et al*.  Blood cell-derived induced pluripotent stem cells free of reprogramming factors generated by
Sendai viral vectors.  *Stem Cells Transl Med*.  **2**, 558-566 (2013).

50. D'Aiuto, L, *et al*.  Large-scale generation of human iPSC-derived neural stem cells/early neural progenitor cells
and their neuronal differentiation.  *Organogenesis*.  **10**, 365-377 (2014).

51. Chandrasekaran, A, *et al*.  Comparison of 2D and 3D neural induction methods for the generation of neural
progenitor cells from human induced pluripotent stem cells.  *Stem Cell Res*.  **25**, 139-151 (2017).

52. Kang, S, *et al*.  Characteristic analyses of a neural differentiation model from iPSC-derived neuron according to
morphology, physiology, and global gene expression pattern.  *Sci Rep*.  **7**, 12233 (2017).

53. Bianchi, F, *et al*.  Rapid and efficient differentiation of functional motor neurons from human iPSC for neural
injury modelling.  *Stem Cell Res*.  **32**, 126-134 (2018).

54. Simão, D, *et al*.  Recapitulation of Human Neural Microenvironment Signatures in iPSC-Derived NPC 3D
Differentiation.  *Stem Cell Reports*.  **11**, 552-564 (2018).

55. Blumberg, B.M., Gelbard, H.A., Epstein, L.G.  HIV-1 infection of the developing nervous system: central role
of astrocytes in pathogenesis.  *Virus Res*.  **32**, 253-267 (1994).

56. Gray, L.R, *et al*.  Is the central nervous system a reservoir of HIV-1?.  *Curr Opin HIV AIDS*.  **9**, 552-558 (2014).

57. Li, G.H., Henderson L., Nath, A.  Astrocytes as an HIV Reservoir: Mechanism of HIV Infection.  *Curr HIV
Res*.  **14**, 373-381 (2016).

58. Joseph, S.B., Arrildt, K.T., Sturdevant, C.B., Swanstrom, R.  HIV-1 target cells in the CNS.  *J Neurovirol*.  **21**,
276-289 (2015).

59. Rojas-Celis, V., Valiente-Echeverría, F., Soto-Rifo, R., Toro-Ascuy, D.  New Challenges of HIV-1 Infection:
How HIV-1 Attacks and Resides in the Central Nervous System.  *Cells*.  **8**, 1245 (2019).

60. Wallet, C, *et al*.  Microglial Cells: The Main HIV-1 Reservoir in the Brain. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol*. **9**, 362
(2019).

61. Lutgen, V, *et al*.  HIV infects astrocytes in vivo and egresses from the brain to the periphery. *PLoS Pathog*. **16**,
e1008381 (2020).

62. Levin, M.C. & Jacobson, S.  HTLV-I associated myelopathy/tropical spastic paraparesis (HAM/TSP): a chronic
progressive neurologic disease associated with immunologically mediated damage to the central nervous system. *J
Neurovirol*. **3**,126-140 (1997).

63. Grant, C *et al*.  Human T cell leukemia virus type I and neurologic disease: events in bone marrow, peripheral
blood, and central nervous system during normal immune surveillance and neuroinflammation. *J Cell Physiol*. **190**,
133-59 (2002).

64. Lepoutre, V., Jain, P., Quann, K., Wigdahl, B., Khan, Z.K.  Role of resident CNS cell populations in HTLV-1-
associated neuroinflammatory disease. *Front Biosci (Landmark Ed)*. **14**, 1152-1168 (2009).

65. Nozuma, S. & Jacobson, S.  Neuroimmunology of Human T-Lymphotropic Virus Type 1-Associated
Myelopathy/Tropical Spastic Paraparesis. *Front Microbiol*. **10**, 885 (2019).

66. Iordanskiy, S, *et al*.  Therapeutic doses of irradiation activate viral transcription and induce apoptosis in HIV-1
infected cells. *Virology*. **485**, 1-15 (2015).

67. Iordanskiy, S. & Kashanchi, F.  Potential of Radiation-Induced Cellular Stress for Reactivation of Latent HIV-1
and Killing of Infected Cells. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. **32**,120-124 (2016).

68. Pinto, D.O, *et al*.  HTLV-1 Extracellular Vesicles Promote Cell-to-Cell Contact. *Front Microbiol*. **10**, 2147
(2019).

69. Gill, P.S, *et al*.  Treatment of adult T-cell leukemia-lymphoma with a combination of interferon alfa and
zidovudine. *N Engl J Med*. **332**, 1744-1748 (1995).

70. Hermine, O, *et al*.  Brief report: treatment of adult T-cell leukemia-lymphoma with zidovudine and interferon
alfa. *N Engl J Med*. **332**, 1749-1751 (1995).

71. Pasquier, A, *et al*.  How to Control HTLV-1-Associated Diseases: Preventing de Novo Cellular Infection Using
Antiviral Therapy. *Front Microbiol*. **9**, 278 (2018).

72. Branscome, H, *et al*.  Stem Cell Extracellular Vesicles and their Potential to Contribute to the Repair of
Damaged CNS Cells. *J Neuroimmune Pharmacol*. **2019**, 10.1007/s11481-019-09865-y (2019).

73. Heinemann, M.L. & Vykoukal, J.  Sequential Filtration: A Gentle Method for the Isolation of Functional
Extracellular Vesicles. *Methods Mol Biol*. **1660**, 33-41 (2017).

74. Busatto, S, *et al*.  Tangential Flow Filtration for Highly Efficient Concentration of Extracellular Vesicles from
Large Volumes of Fluid. *Cells*. **7**, 273 (2018).

75. Haraszti, R.A, *et al*.  Exosomes Produced from 3D Cultures of MSCs by Tangential Flow Filtration Show
Higher Yield and Improved Activity. *Mol Ther*. **26**, 2838-2847 (2018).

76. El Baradie, K.B.Y, *et al*.  Freeze-Dried Extracellular Vesicles From Adipose-Derived Stem Cells Prevent
Hypoxia-Induced Muscle Cell Injury. *Front Cell Dev Biol*. **8**, 181 (2020).

77. Larsen, P.H., Wells, J.E., Stallcup, W.B., Opdenakker, G., Yong, V.W.  Matrix metalloproteinase-9 facilitates
remyelination in part by processing the inhibitory NG2 proteoglycan. *J Neurosci*. **23**, 11127-11135 (2003).

1072

78.  Reinhard, S.M., Razak, K., Ethell, I.M.  A delicate balance: role of MMP-9 in brain development and
pathophysiology of neurodevelopmental disorders.  *Front Cell Neurosci*.  **9**, 280 (2015).

79.  Toth, M. & Fridman, R.  Assessment of Gelatinases (MMP-2 and MMP-9 by Gelatin Zymography.  *Methods*
*Mol Med*.  **57**, 163-174 (2001).

80.  Tajhya, R.B., Patel, R.S., Beeton, C.  Detection of Matrix Metalloproteinases by Zymography.  *Methods Mol*
*Biol*.  **1579**, 231-244 (2017).

81.  Chang, C.L, *et al*.  Adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes markedly protected the brain
against sepsis syndrome induced injury in rat.  *Am J Transl Res*.  **11**, 3955-3971 (2019).

82.  Kumar, P, *et al*.  Neuroprotective effect of placenta-derived mesenchymal stromal cells: role of exosomes.
*FASEB J*.  **33**, 5836-5849 (2019).

83.  Liu, W, *et al*.  Exosomes Derived from Bone Mesenchymal Stem Cells Repair Traumatic Spinal Cord Injury by
Suppressing the Activation of A1 Neurotoxic Reactive Astrocytes.  *J Neurotrauma*.  **36**, 469-484 (2019).

84.  Guo, H, *et al*.  Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells-derived exosomes improve injury of hippocampal neurons
in rats with depression by upregulating microRNA-26a expression.  *Int Immunopharmacol*.  **82**, 106285 (2020).

85.  Chen, H.X, *et al*.  Exosomes derived from mesenchymal stem cells repair a Parkinson's disease model by
inducing autophagy.  *Cell Death Dis*.  **11**, 288 (2020).

86.  Liu, C.Y, *et al*.  Effect of exosomes from adipose-derived stem cells on the apoptosis of Schwann cells in
peripheral nerve injury.  *CNS Neurosci Ther*.  **26**, 189-196 (2020).

87.  Long, Q, *et al*.  Intranasal MSC-derived A1-exosomes ease inflammation, and prevent abnormal neurogenesis
and memory dysfunction after status epilepticus.  *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*.  **114**, E3536-E3545 (2017).

88.  Ding, M, *et al*.  Exosomes Isolated From Human Umbilical Cord Mesenchymal Stem Cells Alleviate
Neuroinflammation and Reduce Amyloid-Beta Deposition by Modulating Microglial Activation in Alzheimer's
Disease.  *Neurochem Res*.  **43**, 2165-2177 (2018).

89.  Feng, N., Jia, Y., Huang, X.  Exosomes from adipose-derived stem cells alleviate neural injury caused by
microglia activation via suppressing NF-kB and MAPK pathway.  *J Neuroimmunol*.  **334**, 576996 (2019).

90.  Ni, H, *et al*.  Exosomes Derived From Bone Mesenchymal Stem Cells Ameliorate Early Inflammatory
Responses Following Traumatic Brain Injury.  *Front Neurosci*.  **13**,14 (2019).

91.  Sun, G, *et al*.  hucMSC derived exosomes promote functional recovery in spinal cord injury mice via
attenuating inflammation.  *Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl*.  **89**,194-204 (2018).

92.  Thomi, G., Surbek, D., Haesler, V., Joerger-Messerli, M., Schoeberlein, A.  Exosomes derived from umbilical
cord mesenchymal stem cells reduce microglia-mediated neuroinflammation in perinatal brain injury.  *Stem Cell Res*
*Ther*.  **10**, 105 (2019).

93.  Ching, R.C., Wiberg, M., Kingham, P.J.  Schwann cell-like differentiated adipose stem cells promote neurite
outgrowth via secreted exosomes and RNA transfer.  *Stem Cell Res Ther*.  **9**, 266 (2018).

94.  Qiu, G, *et al*.  Mesenchymal stem cell-derived extracellular vesicles affect disease outcomes via transfer of
microRNAs.  *Stem Cell Res Ther*.  **9**, 320 (2018).

95.  Bodart-Santos, V, *et al*.  Extracellular vesicles derived from human Wharton's jelly mesenchymal stem cells
protect hippocampal neurons from oxidative stress and synapse damage induced by amyloid-β oligomers.  *Stem Cell*
*Res Ther*.  **10**, 332 (2019).

96. Yin, K., Wang, S. Zhao, R.C. Exosomes from mesenchymal stem/stromal cells: a new therapeutic paradigm.
*Biomark Res*. **7**, 8 (2019).

97. Shojaati, G, *et al*. Mesenchymal Stem Cells Reduce Corneal Fibrosis and Inflammation via Extracellular
Vesicle-Mediated Delivery of miRNA. *Stem Cells Transl Med*. **8**, 1192-1201 (2019).

98. Baglio, S.R, *et al*. Human bone marrow- and adipose-mesenchymal stem cells secrete exosomes enriched in
distinctive miRNA and tRNA species. *Stem Cell Res Ther*. **6**, 127 (2015).

99. Deng, H, *et al*. Lipid, Protein, and MicroRNA Composition Within Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived
Exosomes. *Cell Reprogram*. **20**, 178-186 (2018).

100. Ferguson, S.W, *et al*. The microRNA regulatory landscape of MSC-derived exosomes: a systems view. *Sci
Rep*. **8**, 1419 (2018).

101. Kaur, S, *et al*. Small non-coding RNA landscape of extracellular vesicles from human stem cells. *Sci Rep*. **8**,
15503 (2018).

102. Cole, J.L. Activation of PKR: an open and shut case? *Trends Biochem Sci*. **32**, 57-62 (2007).

103. Husain, B., Mukerji, I., Cole, J.L. Analysis of high-affinity binding of protein kinase R to double-stranded
RNA. *Biochemistry*. **51**, 8764-8770 (2012).

104. Narayanan, A, *et al*. Exosomes derived from HIV-1-infected cells contain trans-activation response element
RNA. *J Biol Chem*. **288**, 20014-33 (2013).

105. Sampey, G.C, *et al*. Exosomes from HIV-1-infected Cells Stimulate Production of Pro-inflammatory
Cytokines through Trans-activating Response (TAR) RNA. *J Biol Chem*. **291**, 1251-1266 (2016).

106. Barclay, R.A, *et al*. Exosomes from uninfected cells activate transcription of latent HIV-1. *J Biol Chem*. **292**,
14764 (2017).

107. Barclay, R.A, *et al*. An Omics Approach to Extracellular Vesicles from HIV-1 Infected Cells. *Cells*. **8**, 787
(2019).

108. Roberts, E.S., Masliah, E., Fox, H.S. CD163 identifies a unique population of ramified microglia in HIV
encephalitis (HIVE). *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol*. **63**, 1255-1264 (2004).

109. Korzhevskii, D.E. & Kirik, O.V. Brain Microglia and Microglial Markers. *Neurosci Behav Physi*. **46**, 284-
290 (2016).

110. Hopperton, K.E., Mohammad, D., Trépanier, M.O., Giuliano, V., Bazinet, R.P. Markers of microglia in post-
mortem brain samples from patients with Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review. *Mol Psychiatry*. **23**, 177-198
(2018).

111. Zrzavy, T, *et al*. Pro-inflammatory activation of microglia in the brain of patients with sepsis. *Neuropathol
Appl Neurobiol*. **45**, 278-290 (2019).

112. DePaula-Silva, A.B, *et al*. Differential transcriptional profiles identify microglial- and macrophage-specific
gene markers expressed during virus-induced neuroinflammation. *J Neuroinflammation*. **16**, 152 (2019).

113. Guillemin, G.J. & Brew, B.J. Microglia, macrophages, perivascular macrophages, and pericytes: a review of
function and identification. *J Leukoc Biol*. **75**, 388-397 (2004).

114. Kaul, M., Zheng, J., Okamoto, S., Gendelman, H.E., Lipton, S.A. HIV-1 infection and AIDS: consequences
for the central nervous system. *Cell Death Differ*. **12 Suppl 1**, 878-892 (2005).

115. Nuovo, G.J. & Alfieri, M.L. AIDS dementia is associated with massive, activated HIV-1 infection and
concomitant expression of several cytokines. *Mol Med*. **2**, 358-366 (1996).

116.  Bagasra, O, *et al*.  Cellular reservoirs of HIV-1 in the central nervous system of infected individuals:
identification by the combination of in situ polymerase chain reaction and immunohistochemistry.  *AIDS*.  **10**, 573-
585 (1996).

117.  Takahashi, K, *et al*.  Localization of HIV-1 in human brain using polymerase chain reaction/in situ
hybridization and immunocytochemistry.  *Ann Neurol*.  **39**, 705-711 (1996).

118.  Chompre, G, *et al*.  Astrocytic expression of HIV-1 Nef impairs spatial and recognition memory.  *Neurobiol*
*Dis*.  **49**, 128-136 (2013).

119.  O'Doherty, U., Swiggard, W.J., Jeyakumar, D., McGain, D., Malim, M.H.  A sensitive, quantitative assay for
human immunodeficiency virus type 1 integration.  *J Virol*.  **76**, 10942-10950 (2002).

120.  Yu, J.J, *et al*.  A more precise HIV integration assay designed to detect small differences finds lower levels of
integrated DNA in HAART treated patients.  *Virology*.  **379**, 78-86 (2008).

121.  Liszewski, M.K., Yu, J.J., O'Doherty, U.  Detecting HIV-1 integration by repetitive-sampling Alu-gag PCR.
*Methods*.  **47**, 254-260 (2009).

122.  Pinzone, M.R. & O'Doherty, U.  Measuring integrated HIV DNA ex vivo and in vitro provides insights about
how reservoirs are formed and maintained.  *Retrovirology*.  **15**, 22 (2018).

123.  Eng, L.F., Ghirnikar, R.S., Lee, Y.L.  Glial fibrillary acidic protein: GFAP-thirty-one years (1969-2000).
*Neurochem Res*.  **25**, 1439-1451 (2000).

124.  Sofroniew, M.V. & Vinters, H.V.  Astrocytes: biology and pathology.  *Acta Neuropathol*.  **119**, 7-35 (2010).

125.  Zhou, B.Y., Liu, Y., Kim, Bo, Xiao, Y., He, J.J.  Astrocyte activation and dysfunction and neuron death by
HIV-1 Tat expression in astrocytes.  *Mol Cell Neurosci*.  **27**, 296-305 (2004).

126.  Bell, J.E., Anthony, I.C., Simmonds, P.  Impact of HIV on regional & cellular organisation of the brain.  *Curr*
*HIV Res*.  **4**, 249-257 (2006).

127.  Zou, W, *et al*.  Involvement of p300 in constitutive and HIV-1 Tat-activated expression of glial fibrillary acidic
protein in astrocytes.  *Glia*.  **58**, 1640-1648 (2010).

128.  Teyssier, F., Bay, J.O., Dionet, C., Verrelle, P.  Régulation du cycle cellulaire des cellules exposées aux
radiations ionisantes [Cell cycle regulation after exposure to ionizing radiation].  *Bull Cancer*.  **86**, 345-357 (1999).

129.  DeMarino, C, *et al*.  Antiretroviral Drugs Alter the Content of Extracellular Vesicles from HIV-1-Infected
Cells.  *Sci Rep.*.  **8**, 7653 (2018).

130.  Pleet, M.L, *et al*.  Ebola Virus VP40 Modulates Cell Cycle and Biogenesis of Extracellular Vesicles.  *J Infect*
*Dis*.  **218 (suppl_5)**, S365-S387 (2018).

131.  DeMarino, C, *et al*.  Purification of High Yield Extracellular Vesicle Preparations Away from Virus.  *J Vis*
*Exp*.  **151**, 10.3791/59876 (2019).

132.  Gorabi, A.M, *et al*.  The Therapeutic Potential of Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived Exosomes in Treatment of
Neurodegenerative Diseases.  *Mol Neurobiol*.  **56**, 8157-8167 (2019).

133.  Kaufmann, S.H., Desnoyers, S., Ottaviano, Y., Davidson, N.E., Poirier, G.G.  Specific proteolytic cleavage of
poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase: an early marker of chemotherapy-induced apoptosis.  *Cancer Res*.  **53**, 3976-3985
(1993).

134.  Soldani, C. & Scovassi, A.I. Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 cleavage during apoptosis: an update.  *Apoptosis*.
**7**, 321-328 (2002).

135. Lambert, P.F., Kashanchi, F., Radonovich, M.F., Shiekhattar, R., Brady, J.N. Phosphorylation of p53 serine
15 increases interaction with CBP. *J Biol Chem*. **273**, 33048-33053 (1998).

136. Loughery, J., Cox, M., Smith, L.M., Meek, D.W. Critical role for p53-serine 15 phosphorylation in
stimulating transactivation at p53-responsive promoters. *Nucleic Acids Res*. **42**, 7666-7680 (2014).

137. Yogosawa, S. & Yoshida, K. Tumor suppressive role for kinases phosphorylating p53 in DNA damage-
induced apoptosis. *Cancer Sci*. **109**, 3376-3382 (2018).

138. Cohen, G.M. Caspases: the executioners of apoptosis. *Biochem J*. **326 ( Pt 1)(Pt 1)**, 1-16 (1997).

139. Porter, A.G. & Jänicke, R.U. Emerging roles of caspase-3 in apoptosis. *Cell Death Differ*. **6**, 99-104 (1999).

140. Zhang, J, *et al*. Exosome and exosomal microRNA: trafficking, sorting, and function. *Genomics Proteomics*
*Bioinformatics*. **13**, 17-24 (2015).

141. Hewson, C., Capraro, D., Burdach, J., Whitaker, N., Morris, K.V. Extracellular vesicle associated long non-
coding RNAs functionally enhance cell viability. *Noncoding RNA Res*. **1**, 3-11 (2016).

142. Yu, X., Odenthal, M., Fries, J.W. Exosomes as miRNA Carriers: Formation-Function-Future. *Int J Mol Sci*.
**17**, 2028 (2016).

143. Kelemen, E., Danis, J., Göblös, A., Bata-Csörgő, Z., Széll, M. Exosomal long non-coding RNAs as
biomarkers in human diseases. *EJIFCC*. **30**, 224-236 (2019).

144. Abramowicz, A. & Story, M.D. The Long and Short of It: The Emerging Roles of Non-Coding RNA in Small
Extracellular Vesicles. *Cancers (Basel)*. **12**, 1445 (2020).

145. Groot, M. & Lee, H. Sorting Mechanisms for MicroRNAs into Extracellular Vesicles and Their Associated
Diseases. *Cells*. **9**, 1044 (2020).

146. Santer, L., Bär, C., Thum, T. Circular RNAs: A Novel Class of Functional RNA Molecules with a Therapeutic
Perspective. *Mol Ther*. **27**, 1350-1363 (2019).

147. Zhong, Y, *et al*. Circular RNAs function as ceRNAs to regulate and control human cancer progression. *Mol*
*Cancer*. **17**, 79 (2018).

148. Ali, S.A, *et al*. Genetic characterization of HIV type 1 Nef-induced vesicle secretion. *AIDS Res Hum*
*Retroviruses*. **26**, 173-192 (2010).

149. Urbanelli, L, *et al*. The Role of Extracellular Vesicles in Viral Infection and Transmission. *Vaccines (Basel)*.
**7**, 102 (2019).

150. Martin, M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet.journal*.
**17.1** (2011).

151. Kim, D, *et al*. TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene
fusions. *Genome Biol*. **14**, R36 (2013).

152. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S.L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods*. **9**, 357-359 (2012).

153. Pertea, M, *et al*. StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. *Nat*
*Biotechnol*. **33**, 290-295 (2015).

154. Frazee, A.C, *et al*. Ballgown bridges the gap between transcriptome assembly and expression analysis. *Nat*
*Biotechnol*. **33**, 243-246 (2015).

155. Gruber, A.R., Lorenz, R., Bernhart, S.H., Neuböck, R., Hofacker, I.L. The Vienna RNA websuite. *Nucleic*
*Acids Res*. **36(Web Server issue)**, W70-W74 (2008).

156.  Zuker, M. & Stiegler, P.  Optimal computer folding of large RNA sequences using thermodynamics and
auxiliary information. *Nucleic Acids Res*. **9**, 133-148 (1981).

157.  Mathews, D.H., Sabina, J., Zuker, M., Turner, D.H.  Expanded sequence dependence of thermodynamic
parameters improves prediction of RNA secondary structure. *J Mol Biol*. **288**, 911-940 (1999).

1234

1235

1236

1237

1238

1239

1240

1241

1242

1243

1244

1245

1246

1247

1248

1249

1250

1251

1252

1253

1254

1255

1256

1257

1258

1259

1260

1261

 **Figure Legends**

**Figure 1. Generation and characterization of NPC-derived neurospheres. (a)** General workflow and

approximate timeline associated with the development of neurospheres using human somatic cells as the starting

material. **(b)** Representative phase contrast images show the appearance and morphology of NPC-derived

neurospheres during the course of the differentiation period (weeks 12 through 14). Scale bar = 100 μm. **(c)**

Average diameter (μm) of NPC-derived neurospheres during the course of the differentiation period. n=3. **(d)** ICC

staining of differentiated neurospheres shows the relative expression of neurons (FOXA2), astrocytes (GFAP),

and microglia-like cells (CD163, Iba-1). Nuclei were counter-stained with DAPI. Scale bar = 100 μm.

**Figure 2. HIV-1 infection of NPC-derived neurospheres.** Differentiated neurospheres were exposed to dual-

tropic HIV-1 89.6, JR-CSF, and CHO40 (MOI:10) for a period of seven days. **(a)** Western blot was performed to

examine the relative expression of Pr55, p24, and Nef in HIV-1 89.6 neurospheres cultured with or without cART

(lamivudine, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, emtricitabine, indinavir). **(b)** RT-qPCR was performed to examine the

relative copy numbers of TAR and *env* RNA in HIV-1 89.6 neurospheres with or without cART. n=3. $*p < 0.05$

relative to HIV-1 alone. **(c)** Western blot was performed to compare the relative expression of p24 in HIV-1 89.6,

JR-CSF, and CHO40 infected neurospheres. **(d)** Neurospheres were gently disaggregated and IP was performed

using antibodies specific for astrocytes (GFAP), microglia-like cells (Integrin αm/CD11b), neurons (GAD65), and

NSCs( SOX2) followed by direct PCR to quantify copies of TAR DNA in different subpopulations of HIV-1 89.6,

JR-CSF, and CHO40-infected neurospheres. n=3. $* p < 0.05$.

**Figure 3. Expression of differentiated cells in HIV-1 and HTLV-1 infected neurospheres**. **(a)**

Differentiated neurospheres were exposed to dual-tropic HIV-1 89.6 with or without cART (lamivudine, tenofovir

disoproxil fumarate, emtricitabine, indinavir), or co-cultured with HTLV-1 infected cells with or without IFN/AZT

treatment for a period of seven days. Western blot was performed to examine the relative expression of CNS-

specific cell types including microglia-like cells, astrocytes, neurons (dopaminergic, GABAergic, glutamatergic),

and NSCs. **(b)** Western blot was performed to examine the relative expression of Tax and p19 in neurospheres co-

cultured with HTLV-1 infected cells with or without IFN/AZT treatment. **(c)** RT-qPCR was performed to quantify

the copy numbers of *tax*, *env*, and *hbz* RNA in neurospheres co-cultured with HTLV-1 infected cells with or without

IFN/AZT treatment. n=3. $*** p < 0.0001$.

**Figure 4. Functional effects of stem cell EVs on HIV-1 infected neurospheres.** EVs from stem cells as well as

A549 cells were concentrated using TFF. Data from A549 EVs is included to serve as a control for NTA analysis

and EV uptake. **(a)** NTA was performed and representative histograms from three independent measurements show

the relative size distribution of each EV prep. **(b)** EVs were fluorescently labeled with BODIPY™ 493/503. After

removal of excess dye, EVs were added to differentiated neurospheres and allowed to incubate. Representative

phase contrast and fluorescent images show the relative uptake of EVs on Days 1 and 8. Scale bar = 100 μm. **(c)**

Differentiated neurospheres were exposed to dual-tropic HIV-1 89.6 with or without cART. cART treated cells

were also treated with either iPSC or MSC EVs. Cultures were maintained for seven days. Western blot was

performed to evaluate the relative expression of PARP-1, p53, p-p53 (ser-15), p-p21 (ser-146), and Caspase-3) or
**(d)** TNFα, IL-8, and IL-1β.

**Figure 5. RNA analysis of EVs**. RNA sequencing of EV-associated lncRNAs was performed. **(a)** Percentages of

transcripts mapping to either exons, introns, or intergenic regions are shown. **(b)** Chromosome maps show the

relative density distribution of EV-associated transcripts. **(c)** Percentages of non-annotated transcripts. Transcripts

that were not annotated in genome databases were designated as novel transcripts according to the following class

codes: i = transfrag falling within a reference intron; j = potentially novel isoform with at least one splice junction

shared with a reference transcript; o = generic exonic overlap with a reference transcript; u = unknown intergenic

transcript; x = exonic overlap with reference on the opposite strand. **(d)** Heat maps comparing lncRNA transcripts

between iPSC and A549 EVs (left panel) and MSC and A549 EVs (right panel). **(e)** Volcano plots displaying the

differentially expressed transcripts between iPSC and A549 EVs (left panel) and MSC and A549 EVs (right panel).

Significantly regulated transcripts are annotated with their corresponding gene ID.

**Figure 6. RNA pulldown assay. (a)** The secondary structures of lncRNAs ADIRF-AS1 and AC120498.9

contained continuous stretches of dsRNA ≥ 30 base pairs in length. For reference, the corresponding regions are

enclosed in black boxes. **(b)** Biotin-conjugated synthetic RNA sequences corresponding to the sequences of interest

were incubated with SHSY5Yextract. Western blot was performed to assess the expression of different RNA

binding proteins (PKR, Dicer, ADAR1). **(c)** SHSY5Y cells were exposed to EVs from HIV-1 infected cells with or

without treatment of stem cell EVs. Stem cell EVs were exposed to UV(C) to inactivate EV-associated RNAs.

Representative images show the appearance and morphology of cells after 8 days in culture. Scale bar = 200 μm.

**(d)** Cell viability was quantified via CellTiter-Glo. n=3. *$p < 0.05$ relative to U1 cART EVs.

**Supplemental Figure 1.** ICC staining of 2D cultures of differentiated NPCs. NPCs were incubated with

antibodies against immature neurons (Tuj1), dopaminergic neurons (TH), and astrocytes (GFAP). Phase contrast

images show the morphology of differentiated NPCs. Fluorescent imaging shows the relative expression of Tuj1,

TH, and GFAP. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar = 400 μm.

**Supplementary Figure 2. (a)** EVs were fluorescently labeled with SYTO® RNASelect Green Fluorescent Stain.

After removal of excess dye, EVs were added to differentiated neurospheres and allowed to incubate.

Representative phase contrast and fluorescent images show the relative uptake of EVs on Days 1 and 8. Scale bar =

100 μm. **(b)** Western blot was performed to evaluate the relative expression of MMP9 in each EV preparation. **(c)**

Gelatin zymography was performed to examine the proteolytic activity of EVs. Imaging of the stained gel shows

areas of gelatin degradation corresponding to both MMP9 and MMP2 activity.

**Supplementary Figure 3.**  Differentiated neurospheres were exposed to HIV-1 (JR-CSF and CHO40; MOI:10) with

or without cART (lamivudine, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, emtricitabine, indinavir) for a period of seven days.

Western blot was performed to assess the relative expression of TNFα, IL-8, and IL-1β.

**Supplementary Figure 4**.  **(a)**  Heat maps comparing lncRNA transcripts between iPSC and MSC EVs.  **(b)**

Volcano plots displaying the differentially expressed transcripts between iPSC and MSC EVs.

**Supplementary Figure 5**.  Statistics of lncRNA GO enrichment analysis for **(a)** iPSC vs. A549 EVs and **(b)** MSC

vs. A549 EVs.  Plots depict the p value/gene number for the corresponding GO term enrichment.

**Supplementary Figure 6.**  RNA pulldown assay.  Biotin-conjugated synthetic RNA sequences corresponding to the

sequences of interest from ADIRF-AS1 and AC120498.9 were incubated with either CCF-STTG1 or MDM extract.

Western blot was performed to assess the expression of different RNA binding proteins (PKR, Dicer, RIG-I).

**Supplementary Figure 7.**  Cellular viability assay. SHSY5Y cells were exposed to EVs from OC43 infected cells

with or without treatment of stem cell EVs.  Stem cell EVs were exposed to UV(C) to inactivate EV-associated

RNAs.  **(a)**  Representative images show the appearance and morphology of cells after 8 days in culture.  Scale bar =

200 μm.  **(b)**  Cell viability was quantified via CellTiter-Glo.  n=3.  *p < 0.05 ; ***p < 0.0001.

**Supplementary Figure 8.**  iPSC EV-associated lncRNAs were sorted based on their corresponding FPKM values

(FPKM ≥ 2 relative to MSC and A549 EV-associated lncRNAs).  The secondary structures of these lncRNAs were

predicted by the RNAfold Server of the ViennaRNA Websuite.  Out of approximately 244 lncRNAs, 42 were found

to contain multiple circular loops and rings.  The secondary structures of those 42 lncRNAs are shown.

1345

**Branscome et al. Fig. 1a-d**

**Branscome et al. Fig. 2a-c**

**d)**

**Branscome et al. Fig. 2d**

**a)**

Branscome et al. Fig. 3a

**Branscome et al. Fig. 3b-c**

**Branscome et al. Fig. 4a-b**

**c)**

| Uninfected: | + | - | - | - | - |
| HIV-1 (89.6): | - | + | + | + | + |
| cART: | - | - | + | + | + |
| iPSC EVs: | - | - | - | + | - |
| MSC EVs: | - | - | - | - | + |

116 kDa → ← PARP-1
← PARP-1 (cleaved)

53 kDa → ← p53

53 kDa → ← p-p53 (ser-15)

21 kDa → ← p-p21 (ser-146)

34 kDa → ← Caspase-3

17 kDa → ← Caspase-3 (cleaved)

42 kDa → ← Actin

1    2    3    4    5

**d)**

| Uninfected: | + | - | - | - | - |
| HIV-1 (89.6): | - | + | + | + | + |
| cART: | - | - | + | + | + |
| iPSC EVs: | - | - | - | + | - |
| MSC EVs: | - | - | - | - | + |

17 kDa → ← TNFα

8 kDa → ← IL-8

31 kDa → ← IL-1β (precursor)

17 kDa → ← IL-1β (soluble)

1    2    3    4    5

**Branscome et al. Fig. 4c-d**

**Branscome et al. Fig. 5a-b**

**Branscome et al. Fig. 5c-d**

**e)**

**Branscome et al. Fig. 5e**

**Branscome et al. Fig. 6a-b**

**c)**

Control

U1 cART EVs

200 µm

U1 cART EVs + iPSC EVs

U1 cART EVs + MSC EVs

U1 cART EVs + iPSC EVs (UV)

U1 cART EVs + MSC EVs (UV)

**d)**

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SHSY5Y : | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| U1 cART EVs: | - | + | + | + | + | + |
| iPSC EVs: | - | - | + | - | + | - |
| MSC EVs: | - | - | - | + | - | + |
| UV irradiation: | - | - | - | - | + | + |

**Branscome et al. Fig. 6c-d**