The steroid-inducible pOp6/LhGR gene expression system is fast, sensitive and does NOT cause plant growth defects in rice (*Oryza sativa*)

Marketa Samalova [1,*] and Ian Moore [2,†]

[1] Department of Experimental Biology, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

[2] Department of Plant Sciences, Oxford University, Oxford, United Kingdom

[*] For correspondence: **marketa.samalova@sci.muni.cz**

[†] Deceased

Key words: Dexamethasone, glucocorticoid, chemically inducible gene expression, GVG, XVE, promotor, monocots

Significance statement: The non-monocot codon-optimized version of the dexamethasone inducible pOp6/LhGR system does not cause severe developmental perturbations in rice plants.

Summary

Inducible systems for transgene expression activated by a chemical inducer or an inducer of non-
plant origin are desirable tools for both basic plant research and biotechnology. Although, the
technology has been widely exploited in model plants, it has not been optimised for use with the
major monocotyledonous crop species, namely rice. We have adapted the dexamethasone-inducible
pOp6/LhGR system for rice and shown that it is fast, sensitive and tightly regulated, with high levels
of induction that remain stable over several generations. Most importantly, we have shown that the
system does not cause negative growth defects *in vitro* or in soil grown plants. Interestingly in the
process of testing, we found that another steroid, triamcinolone acetonide, is a more potent inducer
in rice than dexamethasone. We present serious considerations for the construct design to avoid
undesirable effects caused by the system in plants, leakiness and possible silencing, as well as simple
steps how to maximize translation efficiency of a gene of interest. Finally, we compare the
performance of the pOp6/LhGR system with other chemically inducible systems tested in rice in
terms of the properties of an ideal inducible system.

INTRODUCTION

Chemically inducible systems that regulate gene expression are crucial tools for basic plant biology
research as well as biotechnology applications. They allow analysis of gene primary effects before
homeostatic mechanisms start to counteract and reveal a clear correlation between induction of a
transgene and occurrence of an altered phenotype. Their applications include expression of gene
products that interfere with regeneration, growth or reproduction; regulation and expression at
different stages of plant development; conditional genetic complementation, co-suppression and
overexpression studies. However, adaption of existing inducible systems developed in model plants
to other species including monocots and important crop plants particularly rice, has not been easily
achieved.

The systems typically contain two transcription units. The first unit employs a constitutive or tissue-
specific promoter to express a chemical-responsive transcription factor, the second unit consists of
multiple copies of the transcription factor binding site linked to a minimal plant promoter, which is
used to express the target gene. The most optimal systems developed to date include
dexamethasone-inducible GVG (Aoyama and Chua, 1997) and pOp6/LhGR (Craft *et al.*, 2005;
Samalova *et al.*, 2005), estrogen-inducible XVE (Zuo *et al.*, 2000), ecdysone agonist-inducible VGE
(Padidam *et al.*, 2003; Koo *et al.*, 2004) and ethanol-inducible *alc* systems (Caddick *et al.*, 1998;
Roslan *et al.*, 2001; Salter *et al.*, 1998). Their characteristics were compared in detail by Moore *et al.*,
(2006) and potential applications in plant biotechnology were reviewed by Corrado and Karali,
(2009).

Several attempts have been made to develop an inducible system for gene manipulation in rice. The
XVE system was tested by Sreekala *et al.*, (2005) and Okuzaki *et al.*, (2011) but the use remains very
limited due to the lack of systemic movement of the estradiol inducer (Hirose *et al.*, 2012, Chen *et
al.*, 2017). Two other steroid-inducible systems have been tested but the GVG and a modular gene
expression system (Vlad *et al.*, 2019) derived from the pOp6/LhGR system met with a mixed success
in rice, as they caused severe growth and developmental defects of the plants (Ouwerkerk *et al.*,
2001; Vlad *et al.*, 2019).

An easier and more common strategy exploited in rice is the use of conditional promotors that can
be activated by heat (Khattri *et al.*, 2011; Sun *et al.*, 2012; Rerksiri *et al.*, 2013), pathogens (Helliwell
et al., 2013; Quilis *et al.*, 2014) or wounding (Quilis *et al.*, 2014), oxidative (Woo *et al.*, 2015) and
other stresses (Nakashirma *et al.*, 2007). Other crops promoters include induction by heat in maize
(Du *et al.*, 2019) and potato (Kopertekh *et al.*, 2018), by light in tomato (Timerbaev and Dolgov,
2019) or, cold in barely (Eva *et al.*, 2018), wheat (Meszaros *et al.*, 2015) and sweet potato (Honma *et
al.*, 2019). Often the conditional expression is combined with the Cre-lox technology (Khattri *et a*l.,
2011; Meszaros *et al.*, 2015; Eva *et al.*, 2018; Kopertekh *et al.*, 2018; Du *et al.*, 2019) or an alternative
site-specific recombinase system (Woo *et al.*, 2015) to generate marker-free genetically modified
plants.

Adaptation of the breakthrough CRISPR/Cas9 technology to plants (Jiang *et al.*, 2013) including rice
(Zhang *et al.*, 2014) allows genome editing and creates a powerful tool for engineering knockdown,
knockout or chimeric plants. This genome editing system was combined with a heat-shock-inducible
promoter to generate heritable mutations in rice (Nandy *et al.*, 2019) and a virus-inducible system

was developed that confers resistance to Gemini viruses in model plants *Arabidopsis* and tobacco (Ji
et al., 2018). Recently, the technology was integrated with the estradiol-inducible XVE-based cell-
type-specific system (Siligato *et al.*, 2016; Zuo *et al.*, 2000) to create an inducible genome editing
(IGE) system in *Arabidopsis* that enables efficient generation of target gene knockouts in desired cell
types at different developmental stages (Wang *et al.*, 2020).

Over the years we and others have made considerable efforts to develop the dexamethasone-
inducible transcription activation system, pOp6/LhGR, as a tool for the growing demands on modern
gene technologies. It is a widely used system for which a comprehensive library of cell-type specific
activator lines was created in *Arabidopsis* (Schurholz *et al.*, 2018); the system was combined with
artificial micro-RNA (amiRNA) to knockdown multigene expression (Goth *et al.*, 2012; Samalova *et
al.*, 2020) and hairpin RNAi molecules to silence gene expression (Wielopolska *et al.*, 2005; Liu and
Yoder, 2016). Apart from *Arabidopis* (Craft *et al.*, 2005) and tobacco (Samalova *et al.*, 2005) the
system was tested in various other species including citrus plant (Rossignol *et al.*, 2014) and
Medicago truncatula (Liu and Yoder, 2016) and most recently in rice (Vlad *et al.*, 2019). However, the
specific modifications made to the original version of the pOp6/LhGR system caused undesirable
effects in plants.

This report describes the functionality of the pOp6/LhGR system in rice, its stability over several
generations, time course and dose response characteristics; optimization of induction by various
steroids as inducers and methods of systemic and localised applications that do not have any
detrimental effects in rice even after prolonged induction.

RESULTS

Evidence that the pOp6/LhGR system is functional in rice

The pOp6/LhGR system (Craft *et al.*, 2005; Samalova *et al.*, 2005) comprises of a transcription
activator LhGR which is a fusion between a high-affinity DNA-binding mutant of *Escherichia coli lac*
repressor, *lacI*[His17], transcription-activation-domain-II of Gal4 from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and the
ligand-binding domain (LBD) of the rat glucocorticoid receptor (GR). The pOp6 is a chimeric
promoter that consists of six copies of *lac* operators (*lacOp*) cloned upstream of a minimal
cauliflower mosaic virus (*CaMV*) 35S promoter (-50 to +8) and is apparently silent when introduced
into plants. The principle of the system is that in the absence of the steroid ligand, dexamethasone
(Dex), the transcription factor is trapped in an inactive complex via interaction of the GR LBD and
heat-shock protein HSP90. Upon induction with Dex, this complex is disrupted and the LhGR
activator binds to the pOp6 promotor and induces expression of the target gene of interest.

To adopt the pOp6/LhGR system for rice, first we chose a binary pVec8-overexpression vector (Kim
and Dolan, 2016) in which we placed the LhGR2 activator sequence that incorporates the
Arabidopsis codon-optimized *GAL4* sequence (Rutherford *et al.*, 2005) under the control of a maize
ubiquitin promoter that contains an intron (*pZmUbi*). The inclusion of an intron is well known to
greatly increase expression efficiency in monocots, but similar effects have been reported in dicots
and other eukaryotes (Rose, 2004). Secondly, we checked in the literature (Mitsuhara *et al.*, 1996;

Segal *et al.*, 2003) that none of the sequences of the pOpIn2 bidirectional reporter cassette
(Samalova *et al.*, 2019) including the *lacOp*, minimal promoters and tobacco mosaic virus (*TMV*)
omega (Ω) translation enhancers have been reported to be toxic or non-functional in monocots and
cloned it into the activator construct to create pVecLhGR2 as depicted in Fig. 1 and Supp. Fig. 1. For
simplicity of testing the regulated expression of the pOp6/LhGR in rice, we used the *uidA* (encoding
β-glucuronidase; GUS) and the yellow fluorescent protein (*YFP*) as the genes of interest.

To create stable transgenic rice lines, we used a protocol for *Agrobacterium*-mediated
transformation of calli induced from seeds of *Oryza sativa spp. japonica* cultivar Kitaake as described
by Vlad *et al.*, 2019. We generated several independent transgenic lines (T1) in which the induced
GUS staining was comparable to that from the constitutive promoter *pZmUbi* (Fig. 1B) and the *YFP*
expression (Fig. 1C) was inducible by Dex, proving that the pOp6/LhGR system is in principle
functional in rice. However, the transformation efficiency was relatively low and to induce higher
levels of expression various alternate methods were tested.

Transformation efficiency and reliability of induction in subsequent generations

We tested GUS activity by histochemical staining in 120 generated putative transformants that
included little plantlets with a piece of callus and some roots (Table 1). We induced them in liquid ½
MS with 30 μM Dex and stained for GUS activity 24h later. Approximately a half of them showed
visible (by eye) GUS staining in roots after 2h and shoots after 4h. The reaction was stopped and
scored at 24h with the following pattern: 30.8% root staining only, 9.2% shoots only, 12.5% stained
shoots, roots and callus (data not shown).

One hundred and sixty-six putative primary transformants (T0) were grown to maturity and tested.
The first generation of seedlings (T1) were tested for resistance to hygromycin by germinating them
on ½ MS medium supplemented with the antibiotics (Table 1). Only 33 lines germinated and grew,
indicating that only 20 % were real transformants, of these, 8 lines (25 %) showed positive GUS
staining after induction with Dex. Five of the most strongly inducible lines were grown to the next
generation (T2), these lines retained HYG-resistance and showed positive GUS staining upon Dex
induction. Two lines (65 and 121) were tested further and showed stable inducible GUS expression in
the subsequent (T3) generation (Fig. 2A).

We determined the GUS activity fluorometrically in segregating T1 and T2 progeny in roots (Fig. 2B)
and shoots (Fig. 2C) of 7-day-old rice seedlings germinated and grown on ½ MS plates containing 30
μM Dex or the same concentration of DMSO (-Dex control). Interestingly, the induced GUS activity
was up to 8-fold higher in roots compared to shoots and in some cases in roots it was comparable to
the activity from the constitutive *pZmUbi* promoter. Perhaps a low transpiration rate in Petri dishes
impaired the uptake and distribution of Dex into the shoots.

Time course and dose response characteristics of Dex-induced GUS activity

128 To characterise the induction property of the pOp6/LhGR system in rice we performed time course
129 and dose response experiments. To increase the efficiency of induction in shoots, we induced the
130 newly developed leaves of app. 2-week old seedlings, grown in the open air, by painting the leaves
131 with a Dex solution supplemented with 0.1% (v/v) Tween-20. Significant increase in fluorometrically,

determined GUS activity, was detected 12h after induction with 10 μM Dex in two independent transgenic lines (65B and 121C) and this activity reached app. a half of the *pZmUbi* constitutive promoter activity within 72h of induction (Fig. 3A). The GUS activity was induced in plants treated with 0.01 μM Dex and while one line (65B) reached maximum levels of induction with 0.1 μM Dex, the other line (121C) had increased activity with increasing Dex concentration and reached levels similar to the constitutive *pZmUbi* promoter with 10 μM Dex after 48h induction (Fig. 3B).

To confirm the similar characteristic of the pOp6/LhGR system in roots, we induced detached roots of 10-day old seedlings in liquid ½ MS media supplemented with increasing concentrations of Dex and performed histochemical GUS staining after specific time durations. Visible GUS staining was first detected in developing lateral roots and tips after 12h of induction and the intensity increased throughout the root system up to 72h tested (Fig. 3C). The maximal GUS staining intensity was detected with 1 μM Dex, the lowest concentration tested (Fig. 3D), predominantly in growing root tips after 24h induction.

We also tested the feasibility of inducing whole seedlings (10-day old) in a liquid ½ MS medium supplemented with 10 μM Dex. Histochemical GUS staining revealed the induction after 12h predominantly in roots and the staining pattern did not change significantly in the 48h time-span tested (data not shown).

Optimization of induction by testing different steroids as inducers

We tried to improve the levels of induction of the pOp6/LhGR system in rice by testing different glucocorticoid derivatives (steroids) as inducers. In an attempt to reduce the surface tension at the air–liquid interface that is high in rice leaves due to epicuticular waxes preventing water vapor loss, we tested different concentrations of Tween-20 as the wetting agent rather than Silwet L-77 used previously (Craft *et al*., 2005; Samalova *et al*., 2005). Fig. 4A (i-iv) shows clear differences in the intensity of GUS staining of the 10-day-old shoots (leaves and stems) induced with a 30 μM Dex solution supplemented with 0.1% Tween-20 compared to 0.01%. Almost no staining was visible without the addition of the surfactant apart from damaged cells.

It is known that other glucocorticoid derivatives such as triamcinolone acetonide (TA) or deoxycorticosterone (Doc) can be used as inducers to replace Dex (Aoyama and Chua, 1997). We tested both in a 30 μM water solution supplemented with 0.1% Tween-20 (Fig. 4A v and vi) and compared the GUS staining intensities. Doc induction was negligible; however, TA induction was comparable if not higher than with Dex. To confirm this observation, we repeated the experiment on cuttings of the same leaf of 6-week-old plants that were submerged in water supplemented with 0.1% Tween-20 and 10 μM Dex or 10 μM TA for 24h (Fig. 4B). Depending on the efficiency of the substrate penetration, the GUS staining pattern with both inducers was comparable, but more importantly, also comparable to the staining of pZmUbi::GUS line of the same age. No GUS staining was detected without the inducers (Fig. 4B, 0.1% Tween-20).

We expanded the range of possible steroid inducers readily available and tested them in a 30 μM water solution supplemented with 0.1% Tween-20. Leaves of 5-week-old plants (Fig. 4C, lines 65A top and 121C bottom) were painted with the following steroids: betamethasone (Bet), fludrocortisone acetate (Flu), prednisone (Pre) or prednisolone (Plo) and Dex and histochemical GUS

staining was carried out 24h later. None of the new glucocorticoids reached GUS activity levels comparable to Dex in both the transgenic lines tested and the GUS staining was considerably weaker than that of the constitutive *pZmUbi* promotor activity.

Systemic and localised induction of soil-grown plants

To test the feasibility of inducing gene expression at later stages of plant development, either in a systemic or in a localized manner, Dex or the glucocorticoid TA were applied to soil-grown plants by watering (subterranean irrigation) or painting. Local induction of expression was detected after 24 h when leaves were painted with a 10 μM steroid solution supplemented with 0.1 % Tween-20 (Fig. 5A). The treatment was repeated and GUS activity determined 24 h and 72 h following first application of the inducer. Interestingly, the TA treatment doubled the induced levels of GUS activity compared to Dex, and both treatments exceeded the levels of *pZmUbi* promoter activity at 72 h post induction (hpi).

Similarly, plants were watered with a 30 μM steroid solution and the treatment was repeated twice at 0h and 24h later. The induction triggered the reporter gene expression at the whole plant level, GUS activity was detectable in leaves 24 h after application of TA and 72h with both Dex and TA (Fig. 5B). The induced levels again exceeded the GUS activity detected in the pZmUbi::GUS line, however, but only with one of the lines tested (65B). The variability in the measurements could be due to segregating plant populations and a small sample size.

Both painting and watering experiments were repeated with a small modification of the treatment done at 2-day intervals and leaves were imaged using a confocal laser scanning microscope to observe induction of the second reporter gene, YFP (Fig. 5C-K). A bright YFP signal was detected 24h after painting the leaves (Fig. 5C) but not watering the plants (Fig. 5D) with Dex. The signal became stronger at 96 hpi with both methods of treatment (Fig. 5F and 5G) and more cells seemed to be expressing YFP with the TA treatment than Dex (Fig. 5I and 5J). No signal was detected without any induction (Fig. 5E and 5H) as in the WT (Fig. 5K).

To summarise, higher levels of GUS activity and *YFP* expression were obtained by painting the leaves rather than watering the plants and using TA at equivalent concentrations of Dex, suggesting that TA is a more potent inducer. Thus, whole plant and single leaf phenotypes can be assessed after induction using both methods.

Long-term induction of the pOp6/LhGR system has no negative effects on rice plants

Final experiments were to determine whether there are any undesirable effects due to long term induction of the pOp6/LhGR system in rice. Four-week old plants were watered with either 30 μM Dex or 30 μM TA or a control solution (DMSO) at 2-day intervals for a week (Fig. 6, left) or two weeks and let to recover for further 6 weeks (Fig. 6, right). The images suggest that the plants grew and developed normally compared to WT plants treated with the control solution.

DISCUSSION

A construct design: possible reasons for toxicity, leakiness and silencing

In the publication of Vlad and colleagues (2019) the activator LhGR was codon optimized for use in
rice (*rcoLhGR*) and further "domesticated" to remove all recognition sites for the Golden Gate
cloning. However, severe growth defects on 10 µM Dex media were observed with all their
constructs tested and in all transgenic lines. As addition of isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside
(IPTG) to the growth media reduced the severity of the growth arrest phenotype, the authors
concluded that it was a direct consequence of LhGR activity. However, in our work, we used the
activator LhGR2 version that was only partially codon optimized for *Arabidopsis* to eliminate
premature polyadenylation events in the Gal4 domain (Rutherford *et al*., 2005) and we did not
observe any undesirable growth defects. Therefore, it is possible that the rice codon optimization
rendered the system too efficient and prone to non-specific off-target binding due to high rcoLhGR
protein levels in the growth inhibited lines. In this regard, to optimize efficiency of an expression
system it is recommended to maximize the translational efficiency of a gene of interest (Moore *et*
al., 2006). This can be achieved by taking some simple steps such as ensuring that the initiation
codon conforms to the consensus for efficient initiation in plants (Koziel *et al*., 1996) and including
translation enhancers in the 5`UTR such as the *TMV* Ω in our study.

Similarly, the GVG synthetic transcription factor that incorporates the glucocorticoid receptor (GR)
ligand binding domain was found to be detrimental when activated in rice (Owerkverk *et al*., 2001)
and other species including *Arabidopsis* (Kang *et al*., 1999; tobacco, Amirsadeghi *et al.,* 2009; *Lotus*
japonicus, Andersen *et al*., 2003). The growth perturbations were caused probably by the GVG
activator that was binding to cis-regulatory elements in the plant genome with sequence homology
to *GAL4* upon Dex activation (Kang *et al*., 1999). Nevertheless, the system was used successfully in a
number of studies in rice (Chern *et al*., 2013; Nakayama *et al*., 2013; Onodera *et a*l., 2018, Park *et al*.,
2012; Qu *et al*., 2009) in which the negative effects were reduced by shortening the time of Dex
exposure or selecting lines with low GVG expression levels and mild phenotype that could be used as
activator lines.

Moreover, when designing a construct for an inducible system, care must be taken to ensure that
enhancers in the promoter that drives the activator do not activate the target promoter (*e.g.* pOp6;
Moore *et al*., 2006) as such arrangement might result in transgene activation in the absence of
inducer. In the pOp6/rcoLhGR constructs (Vlad *et al*., 2019), the arrangement was not ideal, as the
pOp6 promoter was positioned directly next to the *pZmUbi* promoter driving the *rcoLhGR*.
Furthermore, two other potent promoters were used on the same T-DNA; the constitutive rice actin
promoter (*pOsACT*) to drive dsRed for identification of transgenic seeds, and another copy of
pOsACT or the CaMV 35S promoter (for hygromycin-resistance selectable marker) that can also
affect the expression of a transgene (Yoo *et al*., 2005). Curiously, the authors also used an intron in
the reporter gene (GUS) placed again right next to the *pOp6* promoter and revealed that the
presence of the intron had an enhancing effect on GUS activity levels both in the absence and
presence of inducer.

Also, it must be recognized that any transgene may be susceptible to post-transcriptional gene
silencing (PTGS) if transcripts accumulate to sufficient levels whether it is expressed constitutively or

when induced (Moore *et al.*, 2006). Individual reporter genes have a gene-specific threshold of
mRNA abundance that will trigger PTGS (Schubert *et al.*, 2004) and the probability of silencing of an
inducible transgene locus in increased if the locus is induced (Abranches *et al.*, 2005). In an attempt
to minimize gene silencing, in our construct design we tried not to repeat the same sequence more
than once, for example we used different polyadenylation signals (namely the octopine synthase
terminator Tocs, nopaline synthase terminator Tnos, and T35S; Fig. 1A). However, we were not able
to avoid silencing as only 25 % of hygromycin-resistant transgenic lines (T1) displayed GUS activity
after Dex induction compared to 50 % of tested transgenic calli (T0). Others described a similar
decrease, for example a reporter gene (GUSPlus) was expressed in 84% of rice calli but only in 25-
68% of adult plants (Wu *et al.*, 2003); and with the XVE system only 50 % of calli derived from
inducible T1 seeds showed detectable GFP signal (Okuzaki *et al.*, 2011).

pOp6/LhGR compared with other systems: is there an ideal inducible system for rice?

The development of chemical-inducible systems for tight control of plant gene expression is a
challenging task. There are a number of properties that are required for an ideal system, such as low
basal expression level, high inducibility, specificity and dynamic range of response with respect to
the inducer. Also, fast response and induction by various methods is desirable. An ideal system
should work in several plant species and should not cause any adverse physiological effects in plants
by itself or its inducer. The inducer is further required to show high specificity for the transgene, high
efficiency at low concentrations and must not be found in target plants.

The pOp6/LhGR system described here **is fast**, first GUS activity was detected in painted leaves (Fig.
3A) and visible GUS staining in growing root tips (Fig. 3C) after 12h of induction and increased up to
72h tested. Similarly, with the rcoLhGR activator, 6h of induction was sufficient to induce high levels
of GUS activity in transgenic calli and reached maximum levels at 24-96h depending on the construct
(maximum levels of activity were reached after 24h in lines containing constructs with introns in the
reporter gene but required 4 days of induction in the intron-less versions) (Vlad *et al.*, 2019). On the
other hand, the GVG system tested in rice (Ouwerkerk *et al.*, 2001) required 4 days for the activity to
be detected and 2 weeks of induction for GUS activities to reach levels comparable to those
conferred by the strong *CaMV 35S* promoter. With the XVE system, a GFP signal was detected in rice
calli 48 h after induction (with 1 μM 17-β-estradiol) and reached maximum (with treatment at 25
μM) in 8 days. However, in calli and leaves of transgenic lines the GFP signals were weaker than
those in the leaves of p35S::GFP line, only in roots the signals were similar with 17-β-estradiol
treatment at > 10 μM (Okuzaki *et al.*, 2011).

The pOp6/LhGR system **is very sensitive**, 0.01 μM Dex was sufficient to induce GUS activity in
painted leaves (Fig. 3B) and in roots of *in vitro* grown seedlings of the pOp6/rcoLhGR (Vlad *et al.*,
2019). Interestingly, in some lines maximum levels of induction were reached with 0.1 μM Dex,
while others required 10 μM Dex to reach levels similar to the constitutive *pZmUbi* promoter (Fig.
3B). This makes the pOp6/LhGR system ten-times more sensitive than the GVG system that required
minimum of 0.1 μM Dex for induction and 1-10 μM for maximum.

The pOp6/LhGR system **is tightly regulated and strong**, the average fold induction was app. 500 in
shoots of plants induced *in vitro* (Fig. 2C) or in soil by Dex, however, reached 1000-fold induction

when plants were treated with TA (Fig. 5). In roots the magnitude of Dex-induction reached at least 1000- to 6000-fold (Fig. 2B), similar to the values reported for the GVG system (Ouwerkerk *et al.*, 2001). Both the pOp6/LhGR as well as the pOp6/rcoLhGR systems used the same line that constitutively expressed a synthetic GUS variant from *Staphylococcus* (GUSPlus) under the control of the *pZmUbi* promoter as a positive control. The GUSPlus was reported to be ten-fold more active than the enzyme encoded by *E. coli uidA* that was used in the inducible constructs (Broothaerts *et al.*, 2005; Jefferson *et al.*, 2003), suggesting that activation of the pOp6 promoter by the activators is very effective.

The pOp6/LhGR system **is inducible by various methods** *in vitro* and in soil-grown plants. Painting the leaves with an inducer solution supplemented with 0.1% Tween-20 (to help the inducer to penetrate through the protective wax layer) proved to be more effective than subterranean irrigation (watering). It is worth to note that using these methods of application, TA proved to be almost twice as more an efficient inducer than Dex (Fig. 5). This could be due to the nature of the inducer as Dex could be more rapidly metabolized or compartmentalized than TA (Aoyama and Chua, 1997). Ouwerkerk and colleagues (2001) reported that Dex was taken up efficiently by roots of mature plants in hydroponics and induced GUS activity throughout the whole plant body.

The major limitation and possible reasons for low levels of target gene induction in rice leaves using the XVE system is the inefficient uptake of estradiol from hydroponics. Interestingly, cut leaf segments placed in liquid MS media with 10 μM estradiol showed detectable GFP fluorescence in whole leaf segments within 48 h (Okuzaki *et al.*, 2011) and similar activation was confirmed by PCR in another study (Hirose *et al.*, 2012). Also, spraying of leaves with the inducer was another effective method of induction (Hirose *et al.*, 2012). The limiting factor therefore seems to be the estradiol uptake that is depending on the roots. The inducer might have been trapped by XVE expressed in roots or diffused and attenuated in leaves. Interestingly, activation by soaking transgenic seeds (Chen *et al.*, 2017) with estradiol solution induced highly efficient site-specific recombination in germinating embryos, resulting in high-level expression of target gene or RNAi cassette in intact rice plants.

The pOp6/LhGR system **is not toxic in rice** and does not cause any undesirable negative developmental growth effects even at higher concentration of Dex (up to 30 μM tested) and after prolonged exposure of the plants (Fig. 6). However, for the long-term induction through soil, DMSO should be avoided and ethanol should be used as a solvent for the inducers to prevent accumulation of DMSO in the soil over several weeks of watering (Moore *et al.*, 2006; Samalova *et al.*, 2019). Very unexpected were the findings of Vlad and colleagues (2019) that the pOp6/rcoLhGR transgenic plants manifested severe developmental perturbations when grown on concentrations > 0.1 μM Dex. The direct cause of these growth defects is not known, but the authors suggested that the rice genome contains sequences with high similarity to the *lacOp* sequence, suggesting non-specific activation of endogenous genes by Dex induction. Although, the pOp promoter was not activated by endogenous factors in maize (Moore *et al.*, 2006; Segal *et al.*, 2003) it is possible that the rice-codon optimization rendered the system too efficient. The off-target effects can be minimized by quenching with IPTG that acts as an effective antagonist for the LacI DNA binding domain in LhGR (Craft *et al.*, 2005).

The GVG system has been shown to generate growth defects in rice (Owerkverk *et al*., 2001) and other species (Kang *et al*., 1999; Andersen *et al*., 2003; Amirsadeghi *et al.,* 2009) but the reason for this is not clear as each domain of the molecule has been used successfully in other expression systems. However, one possibility is that GVG requires higher expression levels to achieve full promoter activation, resulting perhaps in squelching or non-specific binding to *CCG(N11)CGG* sequences in the genome (Moore *et al*., 2006). Apparently, the GVG level was not limiting for target-gene activation (Aoyama and Chua, 1997), therefore, selection of plants with a mild GVG phenotype in combination with optimized induction conditions was recommended for the system applications. Although, the XVE system had no effect on growth, all tested lines including controls grown in hydroponics presented a different root pattern with oestradiol treatment so the system does not appear to be suitable for root morphology studies (Okuzaki *et al*., 2011).

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Construct preparation

To prepare the pVecLhGR2 construct (Fig. 1A, Fig. S1), first, the LhGR2 and the octopine synthase terminator (Tocs) sequences were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using vector pOpIn2 (Samalova *et al*., 2019) as the template. The two PCR fragments, LhGR2 (forward primer P1: 5'-AAAAAGGTACCATGGCTAGTGAAGCTCGAAAAACAAAG-3'; reverse primer P2: 5'-GCATATCTCATTAAAGCAGGACTCTAGTTCACTCCTTCTTAGGGTTAGGTGGAGTATC-3') and Tocs (forward primer P3: 5'-TACTCCACCTAACCCTAAGAAGGAGTGAACTAGAGTCCTGCTTTAATGAGATATGC-3'; reverse primer P4: 5'- AAAAAGGTACCCTAAGGCGCGCCGTTGTCG CAAAATTCGCCCTGGACCC -3') were joined together by overlapping PCR with primers P1 and P4 and cloned into the unique *Kpn*I site of the binary rice overexpression vector pVec8-*Kpn*IOX (Kim and Dolan, 2016). Secondly, an *Asc*I fragment containing the bidirectional pOp6 operator array together with *TMV* Ω translation enhancer sequences driving expression of YFP and GUS (including 35S terminator sequence) reporters of the pOpIn2YFP vector (generated by M. Kalde, I. Moore lab) was cloned into a unique *Asc*I site created in the previous cloning step. The correct orientation of the fragment as well as the LhGR2 in the final pVecLhGR2 vector was confirmed by sequencing.

Plant material and growth conditions

To generate stable transgenic rice lines, we used *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of *Oryza sativa spp. japonica* cultivar Kitaake. Calli were induced from dehulled mature seeds before co-cultivation with *A. tumefaciens* strain EHA105 transformed with the pVecLhGR2 construct. Callus transformation and seedling regeneration were performed at 28 °C according to a protocol modified from Toki *et al*., (2006) as described by Vlad *et al*., 2019. Independent pVecLhGR2 lines were tested together with positive *pZmUbi*::*GUS* (Vlad *et al*., 2019) and negative wild-type (WT) controls. Soil-grown plants were cultivated in a greenhouse at 28-30 °C with a 16h/8h photoperiod.

Methods of steroid induction – *in vitro*, painting and watering

Dexamethasone (Dex) was prepared as a 30 mM stock solution in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and stored at -20 °C. Similarly, we prepared stock solutions of betamethasone (Bet), deoxycorticosterone

(Doc), fludrocortisone acetate (Flu), prednisone (Pre), prednisolone (Plo) and triamcinolone acetonide (TA), all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. For each treatment, either a glucocorticoid (induction) or the equivalent volume of DMSO (control) were added to obtain desired concentration.

For application *in vitro*, seedlings were grown on half strength Murashige and Skoog (1962) medium (½ MS medium) supplemented with 15g/l sucrose and with or without agar. Dex was added to the medium after autoclaving to obtain final working concentration, typically 30 μM Dex. Shoots and leaf cuttings were also induced in distilled water supplemented with 0.1% Tween-20 and a glucocorticoid inducer (10-30 μM).

For induction of soil grown plants, 4-week-old rice plants were either watered with 50 ml of 30 μM Dex or 30 μM TA or newly developed leaves (3rd leaf) were painted on both sides with 10 μM Dex or 10 μM TA solution supplemented with 0.1% Tween-20. The treatments were repeated at 2-day intervals for one or two weeks.

Analysis of ß- glucuronidase (GUS) reporter activity

Histochemical GUS staining and fluorometric GUS assay was performed according to Jefferson (1987) at 37 ^{o}C. Extracts were prepared from ground snap-frozen samples; the protein content was determined spectrophotometrically using Bio-Rad Protein Assay (Bio-Rad laboratories). The fluorogenic reaction was carried out in 96 well-plates using protein extraction buffer supplemented with 4-methylumbelliferyl ß-D-glucuronide (4-MUG) as a substrate. A standard curve of 4-MU was used to calculate the amount of 4-MU/unit of time. Activity was calculated from three technical replicates and expressed in pmoles 4-MU/min /μg protein.

Detection of YFP fluorescence

The YFP signal was detected using a Zeiss LSM 510 Meta confocal laser-scanning microscope with 514-nm excitation from an Argon laser and a BP535-590IR emission filter.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to dedicate this publication to my colleague and friend Ian Moore, and his beloved family, without whom science is much less fun. I want to thank to Peng Wang (Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China) for the control pZmUbi::GUS line and the rice transformation protocol; to Chul Min Kim (University of Oxford) for the pVec8-*Kpn*IOX vector and to Monika Kalde (University of Oxford) for the pOpIn2YFP vector. I am grateful to Molly Dewey for her suggested word changes.

REFERENCES

Abranches, R., Shultz, R.W., Thompson, W.F. and Allen, G.C. (2005) Matrix attachment regions and regulated transcription increase and stabilize transgene expression. *Plant Biotechnol. J*. **3**, 535–543.

Amirsadeghi, S., McDonald, A.E. and Vanlerberghe, C.C. (2009) A glucocorticoid-inducible gene expression system can cause growth defects in tobacco. *Planta*, **226**, 453-463.

Andersen, S.U., Cvitanich, C., Hougaard, B.K., Roussis, A., Gronlund, M., Jensen, D.B., Frokjaer, L.A. and Jensen, E.O. (2003) The glucocorticoid-inducible GVG system causes severe growth defects in both root and shoot of the model legume *Lotus japonicus. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact*. **16**, 1069–1076.

Aoyama, T. and Chua, N.-H. (1997) A glucocorticoid-mediated transcriptional induction system in transgenic plants. *Plant J*. **11**, 605-612.

Broothaerts, W., Mitchell, H.J., Weir, B., Kaines, S., Smith, L.M.A., Yang, W., Mayer, J.E., Roa-Rodríguez, C. and Jefferson, R.A. (2005) Gene transfer to plants by diverse species of bacteria. *Nature*, **433**, 629–33.

Caddick, M.X., Greenland, A.J., Jepson, I., Krause, K.-P., Qu, N., Riddell, K.V., Salter, M.G., Schuch, W., Sonnewald, U. and Tomsett, A.B. (1998) An ethanol inducible gene switch for plants used to manipulate carbon metabolism. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **16**, 177-180.

Chen, Z.J., Cheng, Q.Q., Hu, C.Q., Guo, X.R., Chen, Z.Q., Lin, Y., Hu, T.J., Bellizzi, M., Lu, G.D., Wang, G.L., Wang, Z.H., Chen S.B. and Wang, Z.H. (2017) A Chemical-induced, seed-soaking sctivation procedure for regulated gene expression in rice. *Front. Plant Sci.*, **8**, 1447.

Chern, M., Bai, W., Chen, X., Canlas, P.E. and Ronald, P.C. (2013) Reduced expression of glycolate oxidase leads to enhanced disease resistance in rice. *Peer J*. **1**, e28.

Craft, J., Samalova, M., Baroux, C., Townley, H., Martinez, A., Jepson, I., Tsiantis, M. and Moore, I. (2005) New pOp/LhG4 vectors for stringent glucocorticoid-dependent transgene expression in *Arabidopsis. Plant J.* **41**, 899-918.

Corrado, G. and Karali, M. (2009) Inducible gene expression systems and plant biotechnology. *Biotechnol. Adv.* **27**, 733-743.

Du, D.X., Jin, R.C., Guo, J.J. and Zhang, F.D. (2019) Construction of marker-free genetically modified maize using a heat-inducible auto-excision vector. *Genes*, **10**, 374.

Eva, C., Teglas, F., Zelenyanszki, H., Tamas, C., Juhasz, A., Meszaros, K. and Tamas, L. (2018) Cold inducible promoter driven Cre-lox system proved to be highly efficient for marker gene excision in transgenic barley. *J. Biotechnol*. **265**, 15-24.

Goh, H.-H., Sloan, J., Dorca-Fornell, C. and Fleming, A. (2012) Inducible repression of multiple expansin genes leads to growth suppression during leaf development. *Plant Physiol*. **159**, 1759-1770.

Helliwell, E.E., Wang, Q. and Yang, Y. (2013) Transgenic rice with inducible ethylene production
exhibits broad-spectrum disease resistance to the fungal pathogens *Magnaporthe oryzae* and
Rhizoctonia solani. *Plant Biotechnol. J*. **11**, 33-42.

Hirose, T., Mizutani, R., Mitsui, T. and Terao, T. (2012) A chemically inducible gene expression
system and its application to inducible gene suppression in rice. *Plant Prod. Sci.* **15**, 73-78.

Honma, Y. and Yamakawa, T. (2019) High expression of GUS activities in sweet potato storage roots
by sucrose-inducible minimal promoter. *Plant Cell Rep*. **38**, SI 1417-1426.

Jefferson, R.A. (1987) Assaying chimeric genes in plants: the GUS gene fusion system. *Plant Mol.*
Biol. Rep. **5**, 387–405.

Jefferson, R. A., Kilian, A., Wilson, K. J. and Keese, P. K. (2003) Microbial β-glucuronidase genes,
gene products and uses thereof. In: *US Patent*. vol. 6, 391, 547.

Ji, X., Si, X.M., Zhang, Y., Zhang, H.W., Zhang, F. and Gao, C.X. (2018) Conferring DNA virus
resistance with high specificity in plants using virus-inducible genome-editing system. *Genome Biol.*
19, 197.

Jiang, W.Z., Zhou, H.B., Bi, H.H., Fromm, M., Yang, B. and Weeks, D.P. (2013) Demonstration of
CRISPR/Cas9/sgRNA-mediated targeted gene modification in *Arabidopsis*, tobacco, sorghum and
rice. *Nucl. Acids Res*. **41**: e188.

Kang, H.G., Fang, Y. and Singh, K.B. (1999) A glucocorticoid-inducible transcription system causes
severe growth defects in *Arabidopsis* and induces defense-related genes. *Plant J*. **20**, 127–33.

Khattri, A., Nandy, S. and Srivastava, V. (2011) Heat-inducible Cre-lox system for marker excision in
transgenic rice. *J Biosci.* **36**, 37-42.

Kim, C.M. and Dolan, L. (2016) ROOT HAIR DEFECTIVE SIX-LIKE class I genes promote root hair
development in the grass *Brachypodium distachyon*. *PLoS Genet.* 12, e1006211.

Koo, J.C., Asurmendi, S., Bick, J., Woodford-Thomas, T. and Beachy, R.N. (2004) Ecdysone agonist-
inducible expression of a coat protein gene from tobacco mosaic virus confers viral resistance in
transgenic *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J*. **37**, 439–448.

Kopertekh, L., Krebs, E. and Guzmann, F. (2018) Improvement of conditional Cre-lox system through
application of the regulatory sequences from Cowpea mosaic virus. *Plant Biotechnol. Rep.* **12**, 127-
137.

Koziel, M.G., Carozzi, N.B. and Desai, N. (1996) Optimizing expression of transgenes with an
emphasis on post-transcriptional events. *Plant Mol Biol*. **32**, 392–405.

Liu, S.M. and Yoder, J.I. (2016) Chemical induction of hairpin RNAi molecules to silence vital genes in
plant roots. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 37711.

Meszaros, K., Eva, C., Kiss, T., Banyai, J., Kiss, E., Teglas, F., Lang, L., Karsai, I. and Tamas, L. (2015)
Generating marker-free transgenic wheat using minimal gene cassette and cold-inducible Cre/lox
system. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* **33**, 1221-1231.

Mitsuhara, I., Ugaki, M., Hirochika, H., Ohshima, M., Murakami, T., Gotoh, Y., Katayose, Y.,
Nakamura, S., Honkura, R., Nishimiya, S., Ueno, K., Mochizuki, A., Tanimoto, H., Tsugawa, H.,
Otsuki, Y. and Ohashi, Y. (1996) Efficient promoter cassettes for enhanced expression of foreign
genes in dicotyledonous and monocotyledonous plants. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **37**, 49–59.

Moore, I., Samalova, M. and Kurup, S. (2006) Transactivated and chemically inducible gene
expression in plants*. Plant J.* **45**, 651-683.

Murashige, T. and Skoog, F. (1962) A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco
tissue. *Physiol. Plant.* **15**, 493–497.

Nakashima, K., Tran, L.-S.P., Van Nguyen, D., Fujita, M., Maruyama, K., Todaka, D., Ito, Y., Hayashi,
N., Shinozaki, K. and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. (2007) Functional analysis of a NAC-type transcription
factor OsNAC6 involved in abiotic and biotic stress-responsive gene expression in rice. *Plant J.* **51**,
617-630.

Nakayama, A., Fukushima, S., Goto, S., Matsushita, A., Shimono, M., Sugano, S., Jiang, C.-J., Akagi,
A., Yamazaki, M., Inoue, H. and Takatsuji, H. (2013) Genome-wide identification of WRKY45-
regulated genes that mediate benzothiadiazole-induced defense responses in rice. *BMC Plant Biol.*
13, 150.

Nandy, S., Pathak, B., Zhao, S. and Srivastava, V. (2019) Heat-shock-inducible CRISPR/Cas9 system
generates heritable mutations in rice. *Plant Direct*, **3**, UNSP 145.

Okuzaki, A., Konagaya, K.-I., Nanasato, Y., Tsuda, M. and Tabei, Y. (2011) Estrogen-inducible GFP
expression patterns in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Plant Cell Rep.* **30**, 529–538.

Onodera, H., Shingu, S., Ohnuma, M., Horie, T., Kihira, M., Kusano, H., Teramura, H. and Shimada,
H. (2018) Establishment of a conditional TALEN system using the translational enhancer dMac3 and
an inducible promoter activated by glucocorticoid treatment to increase the frequency of targeted
mutagenesis in plants. *PLOS One*, **13**, e0208959.

Ouwerkerk, P.B.F., de Kam, R.J., Hodge, J.H.C. and Meijer, A.H. (2001) Glucocorticoid-inducible
gene expression in rice. *Planta*, **213**, 370-378.

Padidam, M., Gore, M., Lu, D.L. and Smirnova, O. (2003) Chemical-inducible, ecdysone receptor-
based gene expression system for plants. *Trans. Res.* **12**, 101–109.

Park, C.-J., Canlas, P.E. and Ronald, P.C. (2012) Establishment of glucocorticoid-mediated
transcriptional induction of the rice Xa21 pattern recognition receptor. *J. Plant Biol.* **55**, 43–49.

Qu, S., Jeon, J.-S., Ouwerkerk, P.B.F., Bellizzi, M., Leach, J., Ronald, P. and Wang, G.-L. (2009)
Construction and application of efficient Ac-Ds transposon tagging vectors in rice. *J. Integ. Plant Biol.*
51, 982-92.

Quilis, J., Lopez-Garcia, B., Meynard, D., Guiderdoni, E. and San Segundo, B. (2014) Inducible
expression of a fusion gene encoding two proteinase inhibitors leads to insect and pathogen
resistance in transgenic rice. *Plant Biotechnol. J*. **12**, 367-377.

Rerksiri, W., Zhang, X., Xiong, H. and Chen, X. (2013) Expression and promoter analysis of six heat
stress-inducible genes in rice. *Sci. World J*. 397401.

Rose, A.B. (2004) The effect of intron location on intron-mediated enhancement of gene expression
in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J*. **40**, 744– 751.

Roslan, H.A., Salter, M.G., Wood, C.D., White, M.R.H., Croft, K.P., Robson, F., Coupland, G.,
Doonan, J., Laufs, P., Tomsett, A.B. and Caddick, M.X. (2001) Characterization of the ethanol-
inducible *alc* gene-expression system in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* **28**, 225–235.

Rossignol, P., Orbovic, V. and Irish, V.F. (2014) A dexamethasone-inducible gene expression system
is active in citrus plants. *Sci. Hortic.* **172**, 47-53.

Rutherford, S., Brandizzi, F., Townley, H., Craft, J., Wang, Y., Jepson, I., Martinez, A. and Moore, I.
(2005). Improved transcriptional activators and their use in mis-expression traps in *Arabidopsis*.
Plant J. **43**, 769-788.

Salter, M.G., Paine, J.A., Riddell, K.V., Jepson, I., Greenland, A.J., Caddick, M. and Tomsett, A.B.
(1998) Characterisation of the ethanol-inducible alc gene expression system for transgenic plants.
Plant J. **16**, 127–132.

Samalova, M., Brzobohaty, B. and Moore, I. (2005) pOp6/LhGR: a stringently regulated and highly
responsive dexamethasone-inducible gene expression system for tobacco. *Plant J*. **41**, 919-935.

Samalova, M., Kirchhelle, C. and Moore, I. (2019) Universal methods for transgene induction using
the dexamethasone-inducible transcription activation system pOp6/LhGR in *Arabidopsis* and other
plant species. *Curr. Protoc. Plant Biol.*, e20089.

Samalova, M., Elsayad, K., Melnikava, A., Peaucelle, A., Gahurova, E., Gumulec, J., Spyroglou, I.,
Zemlyanskaya, E.V., Ubogoeva, E.V. and Hejatko, J. (2020) Expansin-controlled cell wall stiffness
regulates root growth in *Arabidopsis*. *bioRxiv* 2020.06.25.170969.

Schubert, D., Lechtenberg, B., Forsbach, A., Gils, M., Bahadur, S. and Schmidt, R. (2004) Silencing in
Arabidopsis T-DNA transformants: the predominant role of a gene specific RNA sensing mechanism
versus position effects. *Plant Cell*, **16**, 2561–2572.

Schurholz, A.K., Lopez-Salmeron, V., Li, Z.N., Forner, J., Wenzl, C., Gaillochet, C., Augustin, S.,
Barro, A.V., Fuchs, M., Gebert, M., Lohmann, J.U., Greb, T. and Wolf, S. (2018) A comprehensive
toolkit for inducible, cell type-specific gene expression in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol*. **178**, 40-53.

Segal, G., Song, R. and Messing, J. (2003) A new opaque variant of maize by a single dominant RNA-
interference-inducing transgene. *Genetics*, **165**, 387–97.

Siligato, R. Wang, X., Yadav, S.R., Lehesranta, S., Ma, G.J., Ursache, R., Sevilem, I., Zhang, J., Gorte,
M., Prasad, K., Wrzaczek, M., Heidstra, R., Murphy, A., Scheres, B. and Mahonen, A.P. (2016)

Multisite Gateway-compatible cell type-specific gene-inducible system for plants. *Plant Physiol.* **170**, 627-641.

Sreekala, C., Wu, L., Gu, K., Wang, D., Tian, D. and Yin, Z. (2005) Excision of a selectable marker in transgenic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) using a chemically regulated Cre/loxP system. *Plant Cell Rep*. **24**, 86–94.

Sun, F., Qi, W., Qian, X., Wang, Q., Yang, M., Dong, X. and Yang, J. (2012) Investigating the role of *OsPDCD5*, a homolog of the mammalian *PDCD5*, in programmed cell death by inducible expression in rice*. Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* **30**, 87–98.

Timerbaev, V. and Dolgov, S. (2019) Functional characterization of a strong promoter of the early light-inducible protein gene from tomato. *Planta,* **250**, 1307-1323.

Toki, S., Hara, N., Ono, K., Onodera, H., Tagiri, A., Oka, S. and Tanaka, H. (2006) Early infection of scutellum tissue with agrobacterium allows high-speed transformation of rice. *Plant J*. **47**, 969–976.

Vlad, D., Abu-Jamous, B., Wang, P. and Langdale, J. (2019) A modular steroid-inducible gene expression system for use in rice. *BMC Plant Biol*. **19**, 426.

Wang, X., Ye, L., Lyu, M., Ursache, R., Loytynoja, A. and Mahonen, A.P. (2020) Aa inducible genome editing system for plants. *Nat. Plants*, **6**, 766-772.

Wielopolska, A., Townley, H., Moore, I., Waterhouse, P. and Helliwell, C. (2005) A high-throughput inducible RNAi vector for plants. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **3**, 583-590.

Woo, H.J., Qin, Y., Park, S.Y., Park, S.K., Cho, Y.G., Shin, K.S., Lim, M.H. and Cho, H.S. (2015) Development of selectable marker-free transgenic rice plants with enhanced seed tocopherol content through FLP/FRT-mediated spontaneous auto-excision. *PLOS One*, **10**, e0132667.

Wu, C.Y., Li, X.J., Yuan, W.Y., Chen, G.X., Kilian, A., Li, J., Xu, C., Li, X.H., Zhou, D.X., Wang, S. and Zhang, Q. (2003) Development of enhancer trap lines for functional analysis of the rice genome. *Plant J*. 35, 418–427.

Yoo, S.Y., Bomblies, K., Yoo, S.K., Yang, J.W., Choi, M.S., Lee, J.S., Weigel, D. and Ahn, J.H. (2005). The 35S promoter used in a selectable marker gene of a plant transformation vector affects the expression of the transgene. *Planta*, **221**, 523–30.

Zhang, H., Zhang, J.S., Wei, P.L., Zhang, B.T., Gou, F. Feng, Z.Y., Mao, Y.F., Yang, L., Zhang, H., Xu, N.F. and Zhu, J.K. (2014) The CRISPR/Cas9 system produces specific and homozygous targeted gene editing in rice in one generation. *Plant Biotechnol. J.*, 12, 797-807.

Zuo, J., Niu, Q.-W. and Chua, N.-H. (2000) An estrogen receptor-based transactivator XVE mediates highly inducible gene expression in transgenic plants. *Plant J*. **24**, 265-273.

578   **TABLES**

580   **Table 1: Number of putative transformants and generated transgenic lines tested for hygromycin**
581   **(HYG) resistance and induction of GUS activity in subsequent generations.**

Generation	No. plants tested	HYG resistant	GUS staining
T0	120	n.d.	63
T1	166	33	8
T2	5	5	5

 FIGURES AND FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: The pOp6/LhGR system in rice: a proof of principle

(A) A schematic representation of the pVecLhGR2 construct that contains the *Arabidopsis* codon-
optimized *GAL4* sequence (Rutherford *et al.,* 2005) of the transcriptional activator LhGR2 driven by a
pZmUbi promoter (containing an intron), a bidirectional pOp6 promotor version with two *TMV* Ω
translation enhancers driving two reporter genes - *uidA* (encoding β-glucuronidase; GUS) and a
yellow fluorescence protein (*YFP*), and a pCaMV35S::HYG selectable marker cassette conferring
hygromycin resistance. The construct was assembled as detailed in Supplementary Figure S1. **(B)**
Twelve-day-old rice seedlings of three independent transgenic lines (number 65, 100 and 121)
histochemically stained for GUS activity that was induced by seedling transfer onto ½ MS plates
containing 30 µM Dex or control DMSO (-Dex) for 6 days. Seedlings of pZmUbi::GUS and wild-type

(WT) were included as controls. The arrows point to an example of damaged cells/ cuts where the
GUS staining substrate (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl glucuronide; X-Gluc) penetrated inside the cells.
(C) Leaves of 4-week-old plant (line 121) were painted with 30 μM Dex or control solution (-Dex) and
imaged using a confocal laser scanning microscope 96 h later to detect YFP fluorescence. WT was
included as a control for autofluorescence. The scale bar is 2 μm.

Figure 2: Reliability of inducible expression in subsequent generations and GUS activity in rice

(A) Ten-day-old rice seedlings (line 65) of generation T1, T2 (A and B) and T3 (A1, A2 and B1, B2)
histochemically stained for GUS activity induced by germinating and growing the seedlings on ½ MS
plates containing 30 μM Dex or control DMSO (-Dex) for 10 days. Seedlings of pZmUbi::GUS were
included as a control for the GUS staining. **(B, C)** GUS activity determined fluorometrically in roots (B)
and shoots (C) of 7-day-old rice seedlings (lines 65 and 121, T1 and T2) germinated and grown on ½

MS plates containing 30 μM Dex or control DMSO (-Dex) for 7 days. Seedlings of WT and pZmUbi::GUS were included as controls. The error bars represent SD.

Figure 3: Time course accumulation of induced GUS activity and dose-response of the pOp6/LhGR system in rice

GUS activity determined fluorometrically in 7-day-old rice seedlings (lines 65B and 121C) germinated on ½ MS plates (vertically placed in the incubator) and then stood up in 15 ml Falcon tubes with 2 ml water for further 5 days. The newly developed leaves were induced by painting with water supplemented with 0.1% Tween-20 and **(A)** 10 μM Dex for 0, 6, 12, 24, 48 and 72h or **(B)** with 0, 0.01, 0.1, 1 and 10 μM Dex for 48h. Seedlings of WT and pZmUbi::GUS were included as controls. The error bars represent SD. **(C)** Roots of 10-day-old seedlings (lines 65A, 121C) were induced in liquid ½ MS with 30 μM Dex for 0, 12, 24. 48 and 72h, then histochemically stained for GUS activity. Representative images are shown. **(D)** Roots of 10-day-old seedlings (lines 65A, 121C) were induced

639 in liquid ½ MS for 24h with 0, 1, 3, 10 and 30 µM Dex, then histochemically stained for GUS activity.
640 Representative images are shown.

A

(i) Water

(iii) 30µM Dex
+ 0.1% Tween

(v) 30µM Doc
+ 0.1% Tween

(ii) 30µM Dex

(iv) 30µM Dex
+ 0.01% Tween

(iv) 30µM TA
+ 0.1% Tween

B

Dex  TA  pZmUbi:: GUS 0.1% Tween

C

0.1% Tween Bet Flu Pre Plo Dex

pZmUbi::GUS Bet Flu Pre Plo Dex

Figure 4: Optimization of the pOp6/LhGR induction in rice by testing different steroid inducers

(A) Shoots of 10-day-old seedlings (lines 65A, 121C) were induced in water supplemented with
either 0.01% or 0.1% Tween-20 and 30 µM steroid inducer Dex, deoxycorticosterone (Doc) or

triamcinolone acetonide (TA) for 24 h, then histochemically stained for GUS activity. **(B)** Leaf cuttings
of the same leaf of 6-week-old plants (lines 65A, 121C) were induced in water supplemented with
0.1% Tween-20 and 10 μM Dex or 10 μM TA for 24h, then histochemically stained for GUS activity.
Leaves of pZmUbi::GUS were included as controls. Representative images are shown. **(C)** Leaves of 5-
week-old plants (lines 65A top, 121C bottom) were induced by painting with water supplemented
with 0.1% Tween-20 and 30 μM steroid inducer: Dex, betamethasone (Bet), fludrocortisone acetate
(Flu), prednisone (Pre) or prednisolone (Plo) for 24 h, then histochemically stained for GUS activity.

Figure 5: Expression characteristics of the pOp6/LhGR system induced in soil-grown plants by painting and watering

Four-week-old rice plants grown in soil were induced either with TA or Dex by **(A)** painting with 10 μM solution supplemented with 0.1 % Tween-20 or **(B)** watering with 30 μM solutions. The treatment was repeated twice at 0h and 24h later. Leaves were sampled and GUS activity

determined 24h and 72h following first application of the inducer. WT and pZmUbi::GUS plants were
used as controls. Three plants from segregating populations were used for each treatment. The error
bars represent SD. **(C-K)** Four-week-old plants (line 121C) were induced as above except the
treatment was repeated 48h after first induction. Leaves were imaged using a confocal laser
scanning microscope at 24h and 96h post-induction, YFP signal in green, chlorophyll
autofluorescence in red. Scale bar is 20μm.

Figure 6: Long-term effect of the pOp6/LhGR system induction on mature rice plants

Four-week-old plants (65B and 121A) were watered with 30 μM Dex or 30 μM TA or a control solution containing DMSO (600 ml per a tray of 8 plants) at 2-day intervals for a week (left) or two

670　weeks and let to recover for further 6 weeks (right). WT plants treated with the DMSO solution were
671　used as controls. Scale bar represents 10 cm. Representative images are shown.

673　**SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE**

676　**Supplementary Figure S1: Cloning strategy for preparation of the pVecLhGR2 construct**