Dynamic persistence of intracellular bacterial communities of uropathogenic *Escherichia*

***coli* in a human bladder-chip model of urinary tract infections**

Authors: Kunal Sharma, Neeraj Dhar*[&], Vivek V. Thacker*[&], Thomas M. Simonet, François

Signorino-Gelo, Graham Knott, John D. McKinney*

Affiliations:

School of Life Sciences, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Lausanne (EPFL), 1015

Lausanne, Switzerland.

[&] These authors contributed equally

*Correspondence to: neeraj.dhar@epfl.ch, vivekvthacker@gmail.com , john.mckinney@epfl.ch

11
12
13

Abstract

Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) proliferate within superficial bladder umbrella cells to

form intracellular bacterial communities (IBCs) during early stages of urinary tract infections.

However, the dynamic responses of IBCs to host stresses and antibiotic therapy are difficult to

assess *in situ*. We develop a human bladder-chip model wherein umbrella cells and bladder

microvascular endothelial cells are co-cultured under flow in urine and nutritive media

respectively, and bladder filling and voiding mimicked mechanically by application and release

of linear strain. Using time-lapse microscopy, we show that rapid recruitment of neutrophils

from the vascular channel to sites of infection leads to swarm and neutrophil extracellular trap

formation but does not prevent IBC formation. Subsequently, we tracked bacterial growth

dynamics in individual IBCs through two cycles of antibiotic administration interspersed with

recovery periods which revealed that the elimination of bacteria within IBCs by the antibiotic

was delayed, and in some instances, did not occur at all. During the recovery period, rapid

proliferation in a significant fraction of IBCs reseeded new foci of infection through bacterial

shedding and host cell exfoliation. These insights reinforce a dynamic role for IBCs as harbours

of bacterial persistence, with significant consequences for non-compliance with antibiotic

regimens.

Keywords: bladder-chip, uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC), intracellular bacterial

communities (IBCs), bacterial persistence, antibiotic treatment, neutrophil swarms, neutrophil

extracellular traps, shear stress, stretching, confocal live-cell imaging

Introduction

Urinary tract infections (UTIs), the second most-common cause for the prescription of antibiotics (Foxman, 2010) are characterized by the high frequency of recurrence, defined as a reappearance of infection within 12 months despite the apparently successful completion of antibiotic therapy. Recurrence occurs in about 25% of all UTIs (Foxman et al., 2000) and strongly impacts the cost of healthcare and reduces the quality of life, particularly since more than 60% of women are diagnosed with a UTI at least once in their lifetime (Klein and Hultgren, 2020). Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC), the causative agent for the majority of UTIs, exhibits a complex lifestyle in the bladder, with planktonic sub-populations within the urine co-existing with intracellular bacteria. UPEC invasion of the urinary bladder generates substantial changes to bladder morphology and a robust immune response. Much of our current understanding of early stages of UTI and the intracellular lifestyle is derived from studies in the mouse model (Anderson et al., 2003; Duraiswamy et al., 2018; Hannan et al., 2012; Hung et al., 2009; Justice et al., 2004; Schwartz et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2019). Examinations of mouse bladder explants via microscopy have revealed the formation of intracellular bacterial communities (IBCs) composed of thousands of bacteria within individual superficial bladder cells (Justice et al., 2004). IBCs also play a prominent role in clinical infection and have also been harvested from the urine of cystitis patients (Robino et al., 2013; Rosen et al., 2007). These structures are considered to have a linear progression from an early stage of colonization by single bacteria to an intermediate stage of biofilm-like communities culminating in the release of bacteria at the late stage. However, long-term imaging of infected animals or of explant-tissue is technically challenging and therefore it has been difficult to capture the dynamic changes that underlie the formation of these structures and their impact on infection and clearance by subsequent antibiotic treatment.

In addition, the bladder is an extremely complex organ; it has a stratified architecture with well-

differentiated cell types; a lumen filled with urine whose composition and chemical properties

can vary depending on the physiological state of the individual and is subjected to periodic and

large changes in organ volume and surface area (Korkmaz and Rogg, 2007). These features may

play important roles in infection, but they have been hitherto hard to capture outside of a whole

animal model, where they are present in their entirety and cannot be dissected in a modular

manner.

Organotypic models are well-suited to address these outstanding questions. A key strength of

advanced organotypic models such as organs-on-chip (Benam et al., 2016; Huh et al., 2010a;

Jang et al., 2013, 2019; Kim et al., 2016; Novak et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2016) or organoids

(Cakir et al., 2019; Clevers, 2016; Rossi et al., 2018; Sato et al., 2009) is that they increasingly

recapitulate the complexity of host physiology. Organ-on-chip models have been developed for

several organs either in isolation or in combination (Novak et al., 2020; Ronaldson-Bouchard

and Vunjak-Novakovic, 2018). These systems are increasingly being used to model infectious

diseases including viral and bacterial infections of the respiratory tract (Nawroth et al., 2020; Si

et al., 2020; Thacker et al., 2020b, 2020a), gut (Jalili-Firoozinezhad et al., 2019; Kim et al.,

2016; Shah et al., 2016; Tovaglieri et al., 2019), kidney (Wang et al., 2019), and liver (Kang et

al., 2017). Recently, bladder organoids that mimic the stratified architecture of the urothelium

have been used to study infections (Horsley et al., 2018; Smith et al., 2006; Sharma et al., 2020).

However, the organoid model suffers from certain shortcomings inherent to the 3-D architecture

such as the lack of vasculature, the inability to manipulate the cells mechanically, and the

constrained volume of the lumen. In contrast, organ-on-chip models offer a complementary

approach that does not suffer from these limitations. However, to our knowledge, there have

been no reports of a bladder-on-chip model. Although there are studies that have developed *in*

vitro bladder models that recreate the stratified architecture of the bladder epithelium (Horsley et

al., 2018; Smith et al., 2006; Suzuki et al., 2019), they have not been used to recapitulate the

multiple stages of IBC formation. Similarly, while a few studies have visualized different stages

of IBC development by culturing human bladder cells under urine flow, these models are

restricted to monoculture experiments (Andersen et al., 2012; Iosifidis and Duggin, 2020).

Furthermore, the lack of vasculature in these models restricts the extent to which immune cell

components or drugs can be introduced in a physiologically relevant manner and none of the

systems reported to date offer the possibility of mimicking the mechanics of filling and voiding

in a functioning bladder (Andersen et al., 2012; Horsley et al., 2018; Iosifidis and Duggin, 2020;

Smith et al., 2006).

Here we report the development and characterization of a bladder-chip model that mimics the

bladder architecture by co-culture of a well-characterized human bladder epithelial cell line with

bladder microvascular endothelial cells in a device geometry that allows the two cell types to be

exposed to urine and nutritive cell culture media, respectively. The flow rates in the apical and

vascular channels can be controlled independently, and multiple rounds of micturition are

recreated via the application of a linear strain to the PDMS membrane that serves as the substrate

for co-culture. Using this model, we show that diapedesis of neutrophils to sites of infection on

the epithelial side can lead to the formation of neutrophil swarms and neutrophil extracellular

traps (NETs), and that IBCs offer substantial protection to bacteria from antibiotic clearance. Our

observations suggest that the role of IBCs in reseeding bladder infections upon cessation of

antibiotic treatment or failure to complete a course of antibiotics might be more important than

previously assumed and therefore strategies aimed towards eradication of IBCs are very crucial

for treatment efficacy.

Results
Reconstitution of bladder uroepithelium and bladder vasculature

We established a bladder-chip infection model by co-culturing HTB9 bladder epithelial cells

(epithelial cells) and HMVEC-Bd primary human bladder microvascular endothelial cells

(endothelial cells) in a novel bladder-chip approach (Fig. 1A). Immunostaining verified that the

epithelial and endothelial cells formed confluent monolayers (cell densities in Table 1) with high

expression of junction markers such as epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM) in the

epithelial cell layer, and platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1 (PECAM-1) and VE-

cadherin in the endothelial cell layer (Fig 1B, C, additional images in Fig. S1A-H). Expression of

these and other junction markers such as E-cadherin and zonula occludens-1 (ZO-1) was

consistent across monocultures (Fig. S2A-G).

Similarly, a majority of the epithelial cells expressed cytokeratin 7 (CK7), a general uroepithelial

marker and cytokeratin 8 (CK8), a differentiated uroepithelial marker both in monoculture (Fig.

S2I, J) and on-chip (Fig. 1B, S1B, S1F). Some endothelial cells were also CK7+ (Fig. 1C, S2H).

Co-culture of bladder epithelial and bladder endothelial cells in the human bladder-chip therefore

did not alter the cellular expression patterns of these markers as compared to monocultures. We

further characterized the HTB9 cell line in monoculture for markers for urothelial differentiation.

HTB9 cells showed high expression of uroplakin-3a (UP3a) which has been shown to be

essential for UPEC infection (Fig. S2K) (Martinez et al., 2000; Mulvey et al., 1998) but only a

small proportion of epithelial cells were positive for the basal cell marker cytokeratin1 (CK1), in

agreement with the umbrella cell nature of the HTB9 cell line (Fig S2L, M) (Duncan et al., 2004;

Smith et al., 2006). Overall, these observations confirmed that the bladder-chip is populated with

cells that mimic the physiology of the bladder vasculature and the superficial urothelial cell

layer. Further, the chip design enables the flow of media with different compositions through the

epithelial and vascular channels. We used this feature to mimic bladder physiology by perfusion

of diluted pooled human urine on the epithelial side and endothelial cell medium on the vascular

side.

Modelling bladder filling and bladder voiding in the bladder-chip

The human bladder experiences vast changes in volume and surface area on a periodic basis. The

first phase of this cycle, ('*filling bladder* state'), occurs through a slow and gradual increase in

bladder volume due to addition of urine from kidney via the ureters, resulting in a large increase

in bladder volume (Fig. 1D). At the level of the uroepithelium, these changes manifest as a 2-

dimensional biaxial stretch of the bladder tissue. *Ex vivo* studies in rat bladders report that a

maximum strain of 90% and 130% can be applied to bladder explants in the circumferential and

longitudinal directions (Gloeckner et al., 2002; Parekh et al., 2010), although micturition *in vivo*

is likely triggered before this maximum value is reached. Subsequently, volume growth slows,

and the bladder attains a relatively constant volume, with the bladder epithelium in a

corresponding state of maximal stretch, ('*filled bladder* state'). Voiding of the bladder through

urination rapidly reduces bladder volume, ('*voiding bladder* state') and removes the strain

experienced by the bladder cells. The bladder epithelium, as a viscoelastic material, responds to

the removal of strain and relaxes over the subsequent period (Pascalis et al., 2018) where the

bladder volume remains low ('*voided bladder* state') (Fig. 1D).

The architecture of the bladder-chip device allows for a linear strain to be applied to the porous

membrane via negative pressure in the channels adjacent to the main channel of the device ('the

vacuum channels') (Grassart et al., 2019; Huh et al., 2010b) (Fig. 1A). We characterized the

linear strain experienced by epithelial cells due to application of negative pressure (Fig. S3). A

plot of applied pressure vs. strain was linear, and we could achieve a dynamic range of linear

strain (0 to 19 %) by application of negative pressure (0 to -900 mbar). High levels of applied

strain were incompatible with long-term time-lapse microscopy as it generated a significant drift

in the axial position of the membrane. We therefore limited the linear strain applied to a

maximum of 10%, which is nevertheless a significant proportion of the typical strain experienced

by the bladder tissue *in vivo*. We then modelled the bladder filling and voiding over a 6-hour

duty cycle with different states: *filling bladder* (0 to 2 hours, 0 to 10% strain), *filled bladder* (2 to

4 hours, 10% strain), *voiding bladder* over a period of 2 minutes (4 hours to 4:02 hours, 10% to

0% strain) and *voided bladder* (4:02 hours to 6 hours, 0% strain) (Fig. 1D). This 6-hour duty

cycle was then repeated for the remaining duration of each experiment. Overall, the bladder-chip

model enables co-culture of two cell-types in nutritionally different microenvironments with a

physiologically relevant level of applied strain that mimics bladder filling and voiding cycles.

UPEC infection of the epithelial layer under flow in the bladder-chip model

UPEC attachment and invasion of bladder epithelial cells has been shown to be sensitive to

fluidic shear stress (Andersen et al., 2012; Zalewska-Piątek et al., 2020). Although UPEC

infection in the bladder can occur with or without the presence of shear stress, we infected the

epithelial layer on the bladder-chip under flow conditions. The small volumes of the microfluidic

chip motivated this approach, as rapid bacterial growth in the diluted human urine could lead to

acidification of the medium. We therefore maintained a flow rate of 1.2 ml/hour for a period of

1.5 - 2 hours during infection of the epithelial layer of the bladder-chips with UPEC. Under flow

conditions, an overwhelming majority of bacteria did not attach to the epithelial cells. Time-

lapse microscopy showed that bacterial attachment to the epithelial cells increased steadily over

this period, but that the typical infectious dose at the end of this period was low (<1 bacterium

per epithelial cell, Fig. S4).

Accordingly, we established a 28-hour live-cell imaging experimental protocol as shown in the

schematic in Fig. 1E and described in greater detail in the subsequent sections and in the

Materials and Methods. Briefly, this consisted of an initial period of acclimatization, followed by

UPEC infection, introduction of neutrophils, and two consecutive cycles of antibiotic treatment

and recovery to monitor IBC dynamics at the single-cell level. This experimental protocol

therefore mimicked key aspects of the host-pathogen interactions in early stages of UTIs as well

as the response to antibiotic treatment.

Diapedesis of neutrophils across the epithelial-endothelial barrier in response to UPEC

infection in the bladder-chip

The bladder-chip platform enables continuous imaging while maintaining flow in both epithelial

and vascular channels and mechanically stretching the membrane as part of the bladder voiding

cycle. At the start of each live-cell imaging experiment, bladder epithelial cells in an initial

relaxed state were perfused with sterile pooled human urine diluted in PBS on the epithelial side

and endothelial cell medium on the vascular side respectively (Fig. 2A1, B1, S5A1). The

epithelial side was inoculated with a low dose of UPEC in diluted urine and infection was

performed under flow (Fig. 2A2, B2, S5A2) for ca. 1.5 to 2 hours. The first bladder duty cycle

also initiated at this timepoint. We maintained the optical focus of the microscope on the

epithelial layer over the subsequent course of infection. UPEC attachment was evident during

this infection phase by visual inspection (Fig. 2B2, S5A2).

After this period of infection, unattached bacteria in the epithelial channel were removed by

perfusion of diluted urine. Our aim was to study the interaction of UPEC with host cells,

therefore this perfusion was maintained throughout the experiment. Continuous perfusion

reduced the accumulation of bacteria in the urine and enabled imaging of intracellular bacteria

without large background noise in the fluorescence channels from planktonic bacteria. We

subsequently performed a series of interventions that mimic the course of UTI infections and

used time-lapse imaging to monitor the simultaneous changes in host-pathogen interaction

dynamics. To mimic the host response, immune cells were introduced into the vascular channel.

Among innate immune cells, neutrophils are the first line of defense in UTIs (Haraoka et al.,

1999). We isolated neutrophils from human blood and verified that the isolation procedure

yielded neutrophils with a high degree of purity (Son et al., 2017) through immunostaining for

CD15, a neutrophil specific marker (Zahler et al., 1997) (Fig. S6). To enable identification and

tracking of neutrophils, they were pre-labelled with CellTracker™ Deep Red dye, which stains

the cytoplasm of these cells.

Neutrophils were subsequently introduced in the bladder-chip devices through the vascular

channel, mimicking the natural route of immune cell migration into the bladder (schematic in

Fig. 2A3-A5, snapshots in Fig. 2B3-B5, S5A3-A5). We used a cell concentration of ca. 2 million

cells/ml similar to the neutrophil concentration in human blood (Hsieh et al., 2007). During this

period, the flow rate through the vascular channel was increased to 3ml/hour to provide a shear

stress η= 1 dyne/cm^2, which aids neutrophil attachment to endothelial cells (Alon et al., 1995). In

uninfected control chips, neutrophil attachment to the endothelial layer was minimal (Fig. S7A,

B) and diapedesis of neutrophils to the epithelial layer was rare (Fig. 2C, S8A). In stark contrast,

infection of the epithelial layer with UPEC elicited a robust attachment of neutrophils to

endothelial cells (Fig. S7C, D), along with rapid diapedesis across to the epithelial side (Fig.

2B2-B4, S5A2-A4, S8C). We confirmed that neutrophil diapedesis was also stimulated in the

absence of infection, upon exposure to a gradient of pro-inflammatory cytokines that are

typically upregulated during UTIs (Agace et al., 1993; Hedges et al., 1992; Nagamatsu et al.,

2015; Song et al., 2007) (interleukin-1α, interleukin1-β, interleukin-6 and interleukin-8 each at

100 ng/ml) across the epithelial-endothelial barrier (Fig. S8B). However, neutrophil diapedesis

was more robust upon infection as compared to the cytokine gradient, with a higher number of

neutrophils observed across multiple fields of view on the epithelial side (Fig. S8B-D). These

results suggest that infection on-chip generates a strongly pro-inflammatory environment locally

and that neutrophil diapedesis is further stimulated by the presence of UPEC (Agace et al., 1995;

Oliveira et al., 2016).

Using time-lapse imaging, we were also able to quantify the kinetics of neutrophil migration

with a temporal resolution of up to 7.5 minutes. Neutrophil diapedesis to the epithelial sites of

infection was detected as early as ca. 15-17 minutes post-introduction into the vascular channel

(Fig 2B3, S5A3, supplementary movie SMov1, SMov2). Neutrophils that migrated to the

epithelial side aggregated on the epithelial cells (Fig 2B4-2B5, S5A4-A5, supplementary movie

SMov1, SMov2) In some cases, these neutrophils were able to control bacterial growth (Fig.

2B4-2B5, solid yellow boxes) whereas in others, bacterial growth was uncontrolled (Fig. 2B4-

2B5, dashed white boxes). Diapedesis was observed in every field of view examined across n=4

infected chips, whereas diapedesis occurred infrequently in uninfected controls (Fig. 2C).

Furthermore, the size of these aggregates was significantly larger in infected bladder-chips vs.

uninfected controls, with the aggregates in case of infected-chips exhibiting the characteristic

features of neutrophil swarms (Kienle and Lämmermann, 2016; Kreisel et al., 2010;

Lämmermann et al., 2013). We quantified the size of the swarms formed by either estimating the

maximum number of neutrophils observed in fields of view on the epithelial side (Fig 2D) or by

measuring the total volume occupied by neutrophils normalized to the volume of a 3D field of

view (Fig 2E). Using either metric, infection generated large swarms that did not occur in

uninfected bladder-chips. The dynamics of swarm formation across n=51 and n=40 fields of

view in two infected bladder-chips are shown in Fig. 2F. In infected bladder-chips, swarm

formation was rapid, and swarms reached their maximum size typically between 60- and 90-

minutes post-introduction of neutrophils (Fig. 2G).

Neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) formation in response to UPEC infection

Neutrophils have complex responses to infections (Oliveira et al., 2016), including the formation

of neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) that occur by the release of cytosolic azurophilic

granules around a scaffold of decondensed chromatin and potentially serves to trap and kill

extracellular bacteria (Brinkmann et al., 2004). NETs have been shown to consist of

antimicrobial granules such as myeloperoxidase (Metzler et al., 2011) and neutrophil elastase

(Papayannopoulos et al., 2010) and have been observed in urine harvested from UTI patients (Yu

et al., 2017). Interestingly, we observed NET formation by neutrophils in the infected bladder-

chip. We verified the formation of NETs on the epithelial layer through immunostaining of

infected bladder-chips at 3.5 hours post-infection and 2 hours post-introduction of neutrophils in

the vascular channel using antibodies against neutrophil myeloperoxidase (Fig 2H, S9A1-A3)

and neutrophil elastase (Fig 2I, S9B1-B3). In each case, areas on the epithelial layer with strong

immunostaining were observed (Fig. 2H3, 2I3). Neutrophils in these areas were typically in large

numbers, characteristic of swarms. In addition, by this stage of the experiment, neutrophils that

had high levels of myeloperoxidase or elastase typically did not retain strong expression of the

cytoplasmic CellTracker dye used for identification of neutrophils in live imaging (cf. Fig. 2H2

and 2H3, 2I2 and 2I3). For each example, a zoomed-in image clearly shows long filament-like

structures that extend between cells and are either myeloperoxidase positive (Fig. 2H5) or

neutrophil elastase positive (Fig. 2I5), strongly suggestive of NETs (Brinkmann et al., 2004;

Metzler et al., 2011; Papayannopoulos et al., 2010). NET formation was also observed in some

instances by neutrophils in some locations on the endothelial channel, although large swarms did

not form here (Fig. S9B1-B3).

To further characterize these structures, we imaged the epithelial layer of uninfected and infected

bladder-chips with or without the addition of neutrophils using scanning electron microscopy

(SEM). Representative images of the epithelial layers of uninfected bladder-chip controls (Fig.

S10A) contrast with those from infected bladder-chips after neutrophil diapedesis (Fig. 2J1-J4,

S10C) and clearly highlight the distinctive features of NET formation. In Fig. 2J1, neutrophils on

the surface of the epithelial layer are clearly distinguishable by their distinctive spherical

morphology and size (amber arrowheads). Thick bundles consisting of many thinner NETs

formed between these neutrophils are shown by white arrowheads and appear to extend between

adjacent neutrophils, as is also evident in Fig. S10C. A majority of the epithelial cells have a

characteristic flattened morphology with cell appendages that can extend between cells (cyan

arrowheads); an example of a highly infected epithelial cell that is spherical in shape and with

bacteria attached to its surface is indicated with a purple arrowhead (Fig. 2J1). A series of

higher-magnification images in Fig. 2J2-2J4 show the structure of NETs in greater detail. Many

individual UPEC bacteria (green arrowheads) are captured in these NETs (Fig. 2J2-2J4), which

are themselves composed of many individual thinner fibres (indicated by yellow arrowheads in

Fig. 2J2-2J4). These structures did not form in infected bladder-chips without the introduction of

neutrophils (Fig. S10B), confirming their identity as structures identified as NETs via

immunofluorescence (Fig. 2H, I). NET formation was a direct response to UPEC and not caused

by hyperactivation of neutrophils due to experimental handling. To verify this, we collected the

neutrophils that passed through an infected bladder-chip without attachment. Even upon

infection, an overwhelming majority of the cells perfused into the chip flow out without

adhering, because of the geometry of the channels in the device. NET formation in these samples

was not observed unless the neutrophils were themselves infected by UPEC shed from the

bladder-chip (Fig. S11). The bladder-chip model is therefore able to recapitulate key aspects of

the host response to the early stages of UPEC infection while demonstrating that intracellular

bacteria were substantially protected from neutrophil-mediated killing.

Heterogenous dynamics of intracellular bacterial communities within urothelial cells

Urinary tract infections that do not resolve upon intervention by the host immune system often

require treatment with antibiotics. Antibiotics have complex pharmacokinetic and

pharmacodynamic profiles *in vivo*, which we attempted to model through two successive rounds

of high dose administration of antibiotics (ampicillin at 40x MIC, Fig. S12A), on both the

epithelial and vascular side, interrupted by periods with no antibiotic (schematics in Fig. 3A, 1E).

Continuous time-lapse imaging over this entire period allowed us to capture the responses of

bacteria to this simplified model of antibiotic profiles and to study the persistence of UPEC upon

antibiotic treatment in the different physiological niches (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4).

A consequence of the first cycle of antibiotic administration under flow was the elimination of

planktonic bacterial growth in the media in the apical channel, as is observed within the lumen of

the bladder *in vivo*. Many bacteria that were still intact at the end of the antibiotic treatment

intact did not regrow after the antibiotic was removed (Fig. S12 B). Regrowth commenced in

only a small fraction of bacteria (Fig. S12C) after a variable lag phase (Fig. S12D) and rapid

regrowth was highly correlated with intracellular location of bacteria within epithelial cells.

These subsequently proceeded to form intracellular bacterial communities (IBCs), with a

variable lag period. An example of an early stage of the IBC formation is shown in the timeseries

in Fig. 3B1-B5, supplementary movie SMov3. This IBC was initially seeded with few bacteria

that are present in Fig. 3B1 and clearly visible in Fig. 3B2. At the end of the timeseries, the

entire host cell was packed with bacteria (Fig. 3B5). The exponential increase in bacterial

numbers frequently led to the saturation of the 8-bit images in the bacterial channel. We

therefore examined similar structures in separate bladder-chips via immunofluorescence (Fig.

3C, S13) staining. Zooms of two of the four IBCs highlighted in Fig. 3C show numerous tightly

packed bacteria within epithelial cells that are both CK7+ (Fig. 3C) and CK8+ (Fig. S13). These

bacterial morphologies are highly similar to early-stage IBCs observed in the bladder (Anderson

et al., 2003; Duraiswamy et al., 2018; Justice et al., 2004). Higher resolution images of the

biofilm-like structures within IBCs obtained via correlative light (Fig. 3D) and transmission

electron microscopy (Fig. 3E) showed that bacteria in IBCs can be either coccoid or rod shaped,

which is also evident in scanning electron micrographs of bacteria within IBCs (Fig. 3F). IBCs

within bladder-chip model therefore show many of the distinctive morphological features

reported from images of infected bladders in the mouse model (Anderson et al., 2003; Hunstad

and Justice, 2010).

Numerous reports from the mouse model of infection have shown IBCs to be dynamic structures;

growth in IBCs eventually culminates in bacterial shedding or the complete exfoliation of the

IBC. The underlying dynamics of these phenotypes is hard to capture in the mouse model, but

the bladder-chip model allowed us to track the dynamics of bacterial growth within individual

IBCs over extended periods of time, providing information on bacterial dynamics within so-

called early, middle, and late-stage IBCs. An example of the heterogeneity in growth rates is

evident from the timeseries for three IBCs in Fig. 3G1-G5, supplementary movie SMov4.

IBCs#1 and 2 subsequently began to shed individual bacteria (Fig. 3G4), a phenotype not

observed in IBC#3. At a later timepoint (Fig. 3G5) the fates of all three IBCs were dramatically

different – the cell containing IBC#1 had completely exfoliated and was removed from the field

of the view by flow in the epithelial channel, IBC#2 continued to shed bacteria, whereas growth

remained slow in IBC#3. We monitored the eventual fate of n=100 IBCs during the first and the

second growth cycles which confirmed that shedding and exfoliation were not mutually

exclusive. While some IBCs only shed bacteria (n=28) and others exfoliated without shedding

(n=27), a substantial fraction of IBCs showed shedding prior to exfoliation (n=31) and some

IBCs displayed neither phenotype (n=14). Furthermore, in addition to the coccoid and rod-

shaped morphologies highlighted in Fig. 3C-F, time-lapse imaging also enabled us to capture

morphotypes that occurred relatively rarely such as the intracellular growth of filamentous forms

of UPEC (Fig. 3H1-H5, S14, supplementary movie SMov5, SMov6). In this time series, a

filamentous bacterium can be seen and appears to encircle the inner boundary of the cell (Fig.

3H1-3H4) before filling the volume entirely (Fig. 3H5). Overall, time-lapse imaging confirms

that a significant fraction of bacteria within IBCs can survive the first round of antibiotic

treatment and are the source for reseeding of both the extracellular bacterial populations as well

as the subsequent growth of IBCs in newly infected cells.

Dynamic persistence of intracellular bacterial communities within uroepithelial cells

A subsequent round of antibiotic treatment provided an opportunity to study the dynamic

persistence of bacteria within IBCs in response to an antibiotic profile that was a closer mimic of

the periodic antibiotic exposures *in vivo*. Furthermore, at this stage of the experiment, we were

also able to study the responses of bacteria in intermediate and mature late-stage IBCs to

antibiotic treatment, which was not possible during the first round of antibiotic administration

early in the course of infection. In the examples highlighted in Fig. 4A1-A5 and supplementary

movie SMov7, bacterial growth after the first round of antibiotic treatment resulted in the

formation of many large IBCs with tightly packed bacteria (Fig. 4A1-A2). Many of the bacteria

within each of the four IBCs were eliminated by the antibiotic, but a substantial proportion of

bacteria in each IBC nevertheless survived the antibiotic treatment (Fig. 4A3). Each of these

IBCs subsequently regrows when the antibiotic is removed (Fig. 4A4-A5), and two additional

IBCs are seeded during this time (white arrowheads in Fig. 4A5). Overall, the second round of

antibiotic administration led to a sharp decline in instances of subsequent bacterial regrowth (Fig.

S12C). In all instances, regrowth either occurred directly within IBCs, or was caused by the

shedding of bacteria from IBCs to repopulate the extracellular niche and seed new IBCs,

highlighting the dynamic stability of this niche and its importance in establishing persistent

infection. We therefore performed a careful analysis to quantify bacterial growth rate within

IBCs. Growth within IBCs across different time periods was exponential (Fig. 4B). We were

able to track a subset of IBCs across two growth cycles (examples in Fig. 4C). In a majority of

instances these revealed exponential growth in the absence of antibiotic, delayed response to

antibiotic, and a lag phase after the antibiotic was removed. In general, bacterial growth in IBCs

in the second growth cycle was slower than in the first (Fig. 4D). However, this population level

statistic may be influenced by the fact that many of the IBCs monitored in the second growth

cycle had been exposed to two rounds of antibiotic treatment. For this sub-population, in n=16

out of n=18 IBCs, growth was slower after the second round of antibiotic administration (Fig.

4E).

Next, we examined the dynamics of bacterial growth within IBCs during the period of antibiotic

treatment in greater detail. In the IBC shown in the timeseries in (Fig. 4F1-4F5, S15A1-A5,

supplementary movie SMov8, SMov9), growth before antibiotic administration (Fig. 4F1, 4F2)

continued for a considerable period after the antibiotic was administered (Fig. 4F3, Fig. 4F4,

S15A1-S15A3). Eventually, a reduction in bacterial volume towards the end of the antibiotic

administration period was observed (Fig. 4F5, S15A4-S15A5). In contrast, the relatively smaller

IBC in the timeseries in Fig. 4G1-4G5, S15B2-S15B5, supplementary movie SMov10, SMov11

continued to grow throughout the period of antibiotic administration. These different dynamics

are also captured in the plot of bacterial volume for multiple IBCs before, during, and after the

antibiotic treatment (Fig. 4H). In all cases, bacterial volume continues to increase during a

significant period of antibiotic administration and in 2 out of 18 cases, there was no decrease in

bacterial volume throughout this period (Fig. 4H). In contrast, extracellular bacteria likely

adherent on the epithelial cells but not internalized within them were rapidly eliminated by

combination of antibiotic treatment and flow (Fig. 4I), in all cases the bacterial volume reduced

immediately upon antibiotic administration. This differential killing resulted in a significantly

higher proportion of extracellular population of bacteria being killed (Fig. 4J). Elimination of

bacteria within IBCs was highly heterogenous. Another consequence of this differential killing

was that after the second round of antibiotic treatment, regrowth was observed in only a very

small fraction of non-IBC UPEC (Fig. S12C). Protection of bacteria within IBCs therefore has a

direct outcome of enabling the reseeding of infection at other locations within the epithelial

monolayer.

Lastly, we sought to determine if the bladder duty cycle altered the dynamics of UPEC infection.

A comparison between infected bladder-chips with and without the duty cycle (Fig. 4K, L, S16)

revealed a significant increase in the bacterial burden when the duty cycle was implemented

(Fig. 4M). These results suggest that the bladder filling and voiding cycle influence the uptake

and proliferation of UPEC, possibly through physiological changes in the epithelial cells in

response to applied strains (Apodaca, 2004; Carattino et al., 2013; Truschel et al., 2002; Wang et

al., 2005). In turn, they suggest a deeper connection between the physiology of mechanically

active organs such as the bladder and the processes of infection, which can be characterized in

detail using this bladder-chip model.

Discussion

In our human bladder-chip model, we focused on recapitulating the key aspects of bladder physiology relevant to the study of the earliest stages of UPEC infection and IBC formation. Therefore, superficial bladder epithelial cells, the first cell-type usually infected by UPEC, were cultured both under flow in diluted pooled urine and in co-culture with bladder microvascular endothelial cells. The ability to apply a cyclic mechanical stretch to the PDMS membrane, originally designed to mimic the breathing motion in the lung (Huh et al., 2010b) or the peristaltic motion in the gut (Kim and Ingber, 2013), has been adapted here to mimic the slow expansion and rapid contraction of bladder volume. We demonstrate the ability to perform multiple duty cycles while simultaneously imaging the infected device via long-term time-lapse imaging, a technical advance that is difficult to achieve with bladder explants (Justice et al., 2004) or other *in vitro* studies of UPEC infection of bladder epithelial cells (Andersen et al., 2012; Horsley et al., 2018; Iosifidis and Duggin, 2020; Smith et al., 2006). Using this approach, we found that the total bacterial burden inside infected bladder-chips was significantly higher at a late stage of infection if a duty cycle was applied, unlike the non-significant influence of cyclic stretching on Shigella infection of intestinal-chips under flow conditions (Grassart et al., 2019). The exact mechanisms underlying this phenomenon remain to be explored, but there is an increasing understanding of the role of mechanical forces in regulating innate immune function (Solis et al., 2019). Our results showcase the ability of the bladder-chip model to capture these interactions between mechanical function, physiology, and infection, unlike other infection models reported thus far.

A primary focus of *in vitro* models developed so far has been to probe specific aspects of UPEC infection, such as the role of the stratified bladder architecture (Horsley et al., 2018) or the effects of micturition on IBC formation (Andersen et al., 2012; Iosifidis and Duggin, 2020).

However, the migration of immune cells into the bladder is difficult to reproduce in these

models, and in many of these systems, live-cell imaging remains technically challenging

(Horsley et al., 2018; Smith et al., 2006). Furthermore, the models do now allow mechanical

manipulations of the cellular co-culture. In that sense, the bladder-chip model complements these

existing approaches by providing these additional functionalities. Further development,

potentially through the combination of organoid and organ-on-chip approaches, could lead to the

development of a fully stratified uroepithelium on-chip.

Neutrophils are the first responders to UPEC infection (Abraham and Miao, 2015; Haraoka et al.,

1999), and neutrophil migration involves a series of steps that commences with attachment to the

endothelium under flow, migration on the endothelial surface, diapedesis across the epithelial-

endothelial cell barrier and movement towards the site of infection (Ley et al., 2007; Nourshargh

et al., 2010). Perfusion of the vascular channel with CD15+ neutrophils isolated from human

blood shows that the bladder-chip model recapitulates all these phenotypes, with rapid diapedesis

of neutrophils to sites of infection. There, neutrophils aggregate to form swarms (Hopke et al.,

2020; Kienle and Lämmermann, 2016; Lämmermann et al., 2013; Reátegui et al., 2017) and

subsequently, the formation of NETs (Branzk et al., 2014; Brinkmann et al., 2004; Metzler et al.,

2011; Papayannopoulos et al., 2010) around extracellular bacteria is observed. Characterization

of these structures via SEM shows that they can extend across many tens of microns and stain

positive for myeloperoxidase (Metzler et al., 2011) and neutrophil elastase (Papayannopoulos et

al., 2010), indicative of potent anti-microbial activity. However, neutrophil control of infection

on-chip is partial; this is possibly due to the unrestricted growth of large numbers of extracellular

bacteria and in some instances, exacerbated by the loss of some neutrophils due to flow in the

channel. Another contributing factor could potentially be the architecture of the PDMS

membrane, which permits neutrophil diapedesis only at fixed spatial locations on-chip.

Neutrophil migration may also be impacted by the relative stiffness of the PDMS membrane.

Nevertheless, the demonstration of NET formation is consistent with the occurrence of these

structures both in the mouse model (Ermert et al., 2009) as well as in the urine of infected

patients (Yu et al., 2017) and suggests that the model is able to recapitulate important aspects of

disease. It is also an important advance for the use of organ-on-chip approaches to recapitulate

NET formation in infectious diseases.

IBC formation begins immediately after infection and is already underway upon neutrophil

administration. However, clear demonstration of IBCs with a high degree of confidence was only

possible after an initial treatment with a high dose of antibiotic that eliminated extracellular

planktonic bacteria and improved the optical imaging of bacteria attached to or within epithelial

cells. It enabled us to capture the full cycle of IBC growth from few bacteria to a large biofilm

and subsequent release via shedding and filamentation. Importantly, the compact nature of the

device allowed us to image multiple IBCs concurrently on the same chip with a high temporal

resolution while simultaneously mimicking the bladder filling and voiding cycles, which is

difficult to achieve in bladder explants (Justice et al., 2004) or bladder monoculture *in vitro*

model systems (Andersen et al., 2012; Iosifidis and Duggin, 2020). Our observations reiterate the

highly dynamic nature of these structures; growth was asynchronous and heterogenous and

outcomes included bacterial shedding, exfoliation and filamentation (Hunstad and Justice, 2010;

Justice et al., 2004; Scott et al., 2015). Notably, shedding and exfoliation were not mutually

exclusive for the time-period of our observations – we report examples of IBCs that shed

bacteria and contract in volume before exfoliation.

While IBCs are clearly acknowledged as critical players in early infection, the contribution

towards promoting persistence is not completely understood. For example, Blango *et al.*,

(Blango and Mulvey, 2010) showed that incubation of bladder explants containing IBCs with a

high dose of antibiotics for a period of 18 hours resulted in a substantial sterilization of these

structures and concluded that other populations, notably quiescent reservoirs (Mysorekar and

Hultgren, 2006) might play a greater role in the establishment of persistent populations.

However, the pharmacokinetic profiles of most antibiotics in the host are not time invariant. In

case of ampicillin, a standard regimen of ampicillin treatment is typically a bolus of 250-500 mg

of antibiotic administered every 6 hours. Within the serum, ampicillin concentration peaks at a

$C_{max} \sim$ 3-40 μg/ml, which is between 1.5 to 20-fold the MIC as measured in human serum

(Bryskier, 2020; Putrinš et al., 2015). We therefore chose concentrations that captured these

antibiotic exposures (we used ampicillin at 40x MIC measured in the endothelial cell medium

perfused in the vascular channel). Ampicillin concentrations in the blood rapidly decay with a

half-life of between 60 and 90 minutes with a characteristic pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic

profile. This period is modelled well by our experimental protocol where phases with high

concentration of ampicillin are interspersed with periods with no antibiotic. We are therefore

able to model the delivery of two consecutive doses of antibiotic and find that IBCs offer

substantial protection against sterilization by a short duration of a high-dose antibiotic treatment

and in many instances, bacterial regrowth after two successive rounds of antibiotic

administration. Notably, in smaller 'early IBCs', bacterial growth continues throughout the

period of ampicillin administration, suggesting that the intact nature of the cell membrane likely

diminishes the effect of the drug. Our results suggest that, IBCs may continue to play a role in

reseeding sites of infection for a considerable period after the commencement of antibiotic

treatment. This has particularly important implications with regard to the compliance of

antibiotic use as proliferating IBCs could rapidly re-seed sites of infection throughout the bladder

if antibiotic doses are missed. These unique capabilities of the bladder-chip to realistically model

antibiotic treatment regimens for IBCs can be leveraged in the future to screen compounds

(Spaulding et al., 2017) and identify optimal antibiotic treatments regimens that can eliminate

persistent bacterial populations in IBCs or alter the host-pathogen interaction dynamic in UTIs.

In summary, the bladder-chip model incorporates aspects of bladder physiology highly relevant

to early UPEC infection in a platform amenable to long-term live-cell imaging as well as for the

administration of antibiotics and therapeutics in a physiologically relevant manner. Our results

establish the suitability of this model for immunological and drug-delivery studies and show that

IBCs are highly dynamic structures that offer substantial protection from antibiotic clearance for

an extended period of time.

Figure 1: Human Bladder-chip model of UTI recapitulates the physiology of bladder filling and voiding.

(A) Schematic of the human bladder-chip with co-culture of the HTB9 human bladder epithelial cell line (epithelium, top) and primary human bladder microvascular endothelial cells (endothelial, bottom) on either side of the stretchable and porous membrane. Pooled human urine diluted in PBS and endothelial cell medium were perfused in the apical and vascular channels respectively to mimic bladder physiology. A negative pressure in the 'vacuum' channels (magenta) on either side of the main channel was applied to stretch the porous membrane to mimic stretching of the bladder. **(B, C)** Immunofluorescence staining of confluent epithelial and endothelial cell monolayers (anti-EpCAM (magenta) and anti-CK7 (yellow) for the epithelial cells and anti-PECAM-1 (green) for the endothelial cells) in an uninfected control chip. Some endothelial cells also stained positive for CK7. Cell nuclei were labeled with DAPI (azure). **(D)** Schematic of the reconstitution of the bladder filling and voiding cycle via stretching of the membrane with a duty cycle of 6 hours. The cycle consisted of a linear increase in strain through

stretching of the membrane (*filling bladder*, 0 to 2 hours), maintenance of the membrane under

stretch (*filled bladder*, 2 to 4 hours), a quick relaxation of applied strain over 2 minutes (*voiding*

bladder, 4:02 hours) and maintenance without applied strain (*voided bladder*, 4:02 hours to

6hours). (**E**) An overview of the timeline of the experimental protocol including infection,

addition of neutrophils via the vascular channel, and two cycles of antibiotic treatment

interspersed by two bacterial growth cycles. The consecutive bladder duty cycles are indicated.

Figure 2: Neutrophil diapedesis and NET formation on-chip.

(**A1-A5**) Schematic of the UPEC infection, introduction of neutrophils and diapedesis of

neutrophils across the epithelial-endothelial barrier to sites of infection. Flow in the epithelial

and endothelial channels is indicated by arrows; the flow rate was increased upon introduction of

neutrophils in the vascular channel to increase attachment. (**B1-B5**) Snapshots from time-lapse

imaging highlighting each stage in the infection cycle shown in (**A1**-**A5**). Bladder epithelial cells

(magenta) and neutrophils (amber) were identified with membrane (Cell Mask Orange) and

cytoplasmic (Cell Tracker Deep Red) dyes, respectively. UPEC identified via constitutive

expression of YFP are shown in green. Neutrophil swarms could either control bacterial growth

(yellow dashed square, compare **B4** vs **B5**) or did not manage to restrict bacterial growth (white

dashed square, compare **B4** vs **B5**). (**C**) Bar charts for relative frequency of neutrophil diapedesis

(black) in n=3 uninfected control bladder-chips and n=4 infected bladder-chips. Data obtained

from n=95 and n=116 fields of view, each of which was 206 x 206 μm^2. (**D**) Quantification of

the number of neutrophils detected on the epithelial layer, in control and infected bladder-chips.

The red bar represents the median value, p<1E-15. In many instances in the uninfected control

bladder-chips, no neutrophil diapedesis is detected. Data obtained from n=95 and n=130 across

206 x 206 μm^2 fields of view. (**E**) A plot of the maximum neutrophil swarm volume on the

epithelial layer normalized to the total volume for n=67 and n=118 fields of view on n=3

uninfected control and n=3 infected bladder-chips. The red bar represents the median value.

p<1E-15. (**F**) A plot of neutrophil swarm volume on the epithelial layer over time for n=51 and

n=40 fields of view for n=2 technical replicates indicated by squares and circles. For each time

profile, the volume is normalized to the maximum volume attained over the timeseries and t=0

refers to the timepoint at which neutrophils are introduced into the vascular channel (**G**) Plot of

the time to reach the maximum swarm volume in n=154 fields of view across n=4 infected

bladder-chips. (**H1-H5, I1-I5**) NET formation by neutrophils on the epithelial layer. The

neutrophils are identified by a cytoplasmic dye (CellTracker Deep Red, false coloured in amber)

(**H2, I2**) and immunostaining with an anti-myeloperoxidase antibody (**H3,** zooms in **H5**) or an

anti-neutrophil elastase antibody (**I3,** zooms in **I5**). Merged images in each case are shown in **H4**

and **I4**. UPEC identified via YFP expression is shown in spring green. Nuclear labelling with

DAPI is shown in azure. (**H5**) NETs, identified via anti-myeloperoxidase staining or anti-elastase

staining are indicated with white arrows in (**H5**) and (**I5**) respectively. (**J1-J4**) Scanning electron

micrographs of the epithelial layer of an infected bladder-chip 2 hours after the introduction of

neutrophils in the endothelial channel. (**J1**) Neutrophils (amber arrowheads) are visible above a

layer of epithelial cells. Thick bundles consisting of many thinner NET structures between

neutrophils are indicated by white arrowheads, and examples of individual UPEC bacteria within

the NETs are indicated by green arrowheads. A heavily infected epithelial cell with UPEC

visible on the epithelial cell (purple arrowhead), and appendages between epithelial cells (cyan

arrowheads) are also visible. (**J2**) Micrographs showing thick bundles consisting of many thinner

NET structures (white arrowheads) that extend between cells and trap many individual bacteria.

Thinner NET fibers (yellow arrowheads) are also visible. (**J3**) Zooms of the regions in **J2**

identified by a yellow dashed square. Two bacteria held by a thick bundle composed of many

thinner NET fibers are shown. (**J4**) Micrograph highlighting multiple bacteria trapped between

NET bundles. p-values in **D** and **E** were calculated using a Mann-Whitney test. Scale bar = 50

μm in **B1-B5**, **H1-H5, I1-I5**.

Figure 3: Bladder-chip reveals dynamics of IBC growth, shedding and exfoliation.

(**A**) Schematics of the host-pathogen interactions within IBCs between successive rounds of antibiotic treatment with outcomes including shedding of bacteria and cell exfoliation shown. (**B1-B5**) Timeseries for the growth of an IBC from few bacteria (white arrowhead) after the first round of ampicillin treatment. Bacteria grow to completely fill the cell volume (**B5**). (**C**) Immunofluorescence characterization of two IBCs; the intracellular nature of growth is confirmed with staining with an anti-CK7 antibody. Correlative light (**D**) and transmission

electron micrographs (**E**) show two IBCs filled with both rod-shaped and coccoid-shaped

bacteria. (**F**) Coccoid-shaped bacteria (yellow arrowheads) and rod-shaped bacteria (magenta

arrowheads) are also visible in a scanning electron micrograph of an infected epithelial cell.

IBCs on infected bladder-chips shown in **C-F** were fixed 13 hours after UPEC infection and 6

hours into the first growth cycle. (**G1-G5**) Three examples of IBC growth, labelled 1 – 3 with

differing outcomes. Unrestricted bacterial growth is observed within all IBCs. In IBC#1 at

timepoint (**G4**) the IBC begins to shed bacteria into the surrounding medium. The cell exfoliates

in the time interval between (**G4** and **G5**). In IBC#2, bacterial shedding is visible at (**G4**) and

shedding continues until end of the timeseries with a reduction in bacterial volume. In contrast,

growth within IBC#3 is slower and neither shedding nor exfoliation occurs within the timeseries.

(**H1-H5**) Timeseries highlighting an example of filamentous UPEC growth within an IBC. Scale

bars, 10 μm in **B1-B5**, **G1-G5** and **H1-H5**.

Figure 4: IBCs offer a semi-protective niche that delays clearance of bacteria by antibiotics.

(**A1-A5**) Bacteria persist and grow in IBCs despite antibiotic treatment. Snapshots show the

growth of four IBCs with variable growth rates (**A1-A2**). Ampicillin treatment eliminates some

but not all of the bacteria within each IBC (**A3**). Growth resumes at all sites in (**A4, A5**),

formation of new IBCs in the second growth cycle is indicated by white arrowheads. (**B**) Plots of

logarithm of bacterial volume within five separate IBCs demonstrates exponential bacterial

growth. IBCs are seeded dynamically; growth can occur either in the first or second growth

cycle, or prior to the administration of antibiotic, or in few cases continues in the presence of the

antibiotic. (**C**) Plots of the logarithm of bacterial volume vs. time for n=8 IBCs tracked across

two growth phases with an intermediate period of ampicillin treatment. In the growth phases,

growth is exponential and bacterial volume continues to increase for up to ca. 120 minutes after

administration of antibiotic before declining due to loss of bacteria. In each case, growth resumes

after the antibiotic is removed. (**D**) Scatter plots of the doubling time of bacterial volume in IBCs

as measured in the first growth cycle (n=102) and the second growth cycle (n=59). Growth in the

second cycle is significantly slower (p=4.7E-7), red line represents the median value. (**E**)

Doubling time of bacterial volume in IBCs in the first and second growth cycle for some of the

IBCs in (**D**) that survived the antibiotic treatment. In n=16 out of 18 instances, growth is slower

in the second growth cycle. (**F1-F5**) High resolution time-series that highlights bacterial growth

within an IBC prior to (**F1**, **F2**) and after (**F3-F5**) administration of ampicillin. Some bacteria

within this IBC are subsequently eliminated (**F5**). (**G1-G5**) High resolution time-series that

highlights bacterial growth within an IBC prior to (**G1**, **G2**) and after (**G3-G5**) administration of

ampicillin. The bacterial volume within this IBC is not diminished by antibiotic treatment (**G5**).

(**H**) Plots of logarithm of bacterial volume within n=11 IBCs before, during, and after the second

round of antibiotic treatment. (**I**) Plot of the volume of extracellular bacteria upon antibiotic

administration from n=103 across 206 x 206 μm^2 field of view from n=3 bladder-chips. The

bacterial volumes are normalized to the volume immediately prior to the antibiotic

administration. (**J**) Scatter plot of the extracellular bacterial volume (n=105) and bacterial

volume within IBCs (n=22) after antibiotic treatment as a fraction of the maximum bacterial

volume prior to antibiotic treatment. Red line represents the median value, p=5.8E-6 as

calculated by Mann-Whitney test. (**K, L**) Representative images from the epithelial face of the

infected bladder-chips with or without duty cycle. (**M**) Scatter plots of the logarithm of the total

bacterial area across n=14 from infected bladder-chips with (n=2) and without (n=2) duty cycle,

p= 8.6E-6. Infected bladder-chips shown in **K, L** were fixed 13 hours after UPEC infection and 6

hours into the first growth cycle. p-values calculated using a Mann-Whitney test. Scale bars, 10

μm in panels **A1-A5**, **F1-F5**, and **G1-G5**.

Cell type	Cell density/$10^4\mu m^2$
Epithelial	31.7 ± 5.1
Endothelial	4.0 ± 0.6

657 **Table 1.**
658 Characterization of epithelial and endothelial cell densities from a total of n=18 fields of view in
659 both the epithelial and endothelial layers from n=2 bladder-chips.

Acknowledgements

V.V.T gratefully acknowledges support by a Human Frontier Science Program (HFSP) Long-Term Fellowship (LT000231/2016-L) and a European Molecular Biology Organization (EMBO) Long-Term Fellowship (921-2015). This research was supported by a grant awarded to J.D.M by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) (Project Funding, 310030B_176397) and the National Centre of Competence in Research AntiResist (51NF40_180541), funded by the SNSF. The authors thank the entire team of EPFL Bioimaging & Optics Core Facility for their assistance in confocal live cell imaging and post analysis in Bitplane Imaris. The authors also acknowledge Marie Croisier at the EPFL Biological Electron Microscopy Facility for help in optimizing the protocol for TEM of infected bladder-chip. The authors thank and credit BioRender.com for the illustrations and schematics used in this manuscript.

673 **Materials and Methods**

Reagent or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
strain, strain background	Uropathogenic Escherichia coli (UPEC) strain CFT073	PMID: 2182540	NCBI: txid199310	originally isolated from a pyelonephritis patient and provided by Prof. H.L.T. Mobley, University of Michigan, USA
plasmid	pZA32-YFP	PMID: 9092630		(Lutz and Bujard, 1997)
strain, strain background	CFT073-pZA32-YFP	this paper		this study
cell line (human)	HTB-9™ bladder epithelial cells	ATCC	Cat#:5637	
cell line (human)	HMVEC-Bd – Human Bladder Microvascular Endothelial Cells	Lonza	Cat#:7016	
other	RPMI-1640 medium	ATCC	Cat#:30-2001	
other	Gibco™ RPMI 1640 Medium, no phenol red	Thermofisher	Cat#:11835063	
other	Gibco™ Fetal Bovine Serum, Premium Plus	Thermofisher	Cat#: A4766801	
other	EGM™-2 MV Microvascular Endothelial Cell Growth Medium-2 BulletKit™	Lonza	Cat#:CC-3202	
other	EBM-PRF Endothelial Medium Phenol-red free, 500 ML	Lonza	Cat#:CC-3129	
other	EGM™-2 Endothelial SingleQuots™ Kit	Lonza	Cat#:CC-4176	
other	Gibco™ Antibiotic-Antimycotic (100X)	Thermofisher	Cat#:15240062	
other	Gibco™ Trypsin-EDTA (0.05%), phenol red	Thermofisher	Cat#:25300054	
drug	Chloramphenicol	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#:C1919-25G	34 mg/ml in ethanol (stored at -20 °C)
drug	Ampicillin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#: A9518-5G	50 mg/ml in ddH$_2$O (stored at -80 °C)
other	pooled human female urine	Golden West Diagnostics	Cat#: OH2010-pH	
other	Phosphate Buffered Saline	Thermofisher	Cat#: 10010056	
other	Gibco™ HEPES	Thermofisher	Cat#: F2006	
other	Invitrogen™ DAPI	Thermofisher	Cat#: D1306	5 mg/ml in DMSO
other	LB (Luria broth base, Miller's modified)	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#: L1900-1KG	

other	Organ-chips (Standard Research Kit - 24 per Pack)	Emulate	RE1000001024	Emulate, Inc. 27 Drydock Ave, 5th Floor Boston, MA 02210
other	CellMask™ Orange Plasma membrane Stain	Thermofisher	Cat#: C10045	used at 1µM in respective cell medium
other	HCS CellMask™ Deep Red Stain	Thermofisher	Cat#: H32721	used at 2µM in PBS
other	CellTracker™ Deep Red Dye	Thermofisher	Cat#: C34565	used at 1µM in respective cell medium
other	Elveflow® OB1 Pressure Controller OB1 Base MkIII+	Elveflow	Cat#: OB1MKIII+-MIX-	connected to 6bar compressed air channel
other	Elveflow® OB1 Pressure Controller OB1 MkIII Channel-900/1000	Elveflow	Cat#: OB1-Dual-	connected to Elveflow® OB1 Pressure Controller OB1 Base MkIII+
other	Diaphragm moist gas vacuum pump	KNF Neuberger	Cat#:LABOPORT ® UN 820.3 FT.40P	connected to Elveflow® OB1 Pressure Controller OB1 MkIII Channel-900/1000
antibody	Anti-EpCAM	abcam	Cat#: ab71916	used at 1:100 dilution in 1% BSA, conjugated with Donkey anti-Rabbit secondary antibody, Alexa Fluor 647 (2µg/ml) and Alexa Fluor 568 (2µg/ml) in 1%BSA
antibody	Anti-PECAM1 or Anti-CD31	abcam	Cat#: ab24590	used at 1:100 dilution in 1% BSA, conjugated with Donkey anti-Mouse secondary antibody, Alexa Fluor 647 (2µg/ml) and Goat anti-Mouse Alexa Fluor 488 (2µg/ml) in 1%BSA
antibody	Anti-CK7	abcam	Cat#: ab209599	used at 1:100 dilution in 1% BSA
antibody	Anti-CK8	abcam	Cat#: ab192468	used at 1:100 dilution in 1% BSA
other	Alexa Fluor™ 555 Phalloidin	Thermofisher	Cat#: A34055	used at 1µM concentration in PBS

antibody	Anti-VE-Cadherin	abcam	Cat#: ab33168	used at 1:100 dilution in 1% BSA, conjugated with Donkey anti-Rabbit secondary antibody, Alexa Fluor 647 (2µg/ml) and Alexa Fluor 488 (2µg/ml) in 1%BSA
antibody	Anti-Uroplakin3a	Santa Cruz	Cat#: sc-166808	used at 1:100 dilution in 1% BSA, conjugated with Donkey anti-Mouse secondary antibody, Alexa Fluor 647 (2µg/ml) in 1%BSA
antibody	Anti-CK1	Thermofisher	Cat#: MA1-06312	used at 1:100 dilution in 1% BSA, conjugated with Donkey anti-Mouse secondary antibody, Alexa Fluor 647 (2µg/ml) in 1%BSA
antibody	Anti-E-Cadherin	Thermofisher	Cat#: MA5-14408	used at 1:100 dilution in 1% BSA, conjugated with Donkey anti-Mouse secondary antibody, Alexa Fluor 647 (2µg/ml) in 1%BSA
antibody	Anti-ZO-1	abcam	Cat#: ab216880	used at 1:100 dilution in 1% BSA, conjugated with Donkey anti-Rabbit secondary antibody, Alexa Fluor 647 (2µg/ml) in 1%BSA
antibody	Anti-myeloperoxidase	abcam	Cat#: ab9535	used at 1:100 dilution in 1% BSA, conjugated with Donkey anti-Rabbit secondary antibody, Alexa Fluor 568 (2µg/ml) in 1%BSA
antibody	Anti-neutrophil elastase	abcam	Cat#: ab68672	used at 1:100 dilution in 1% BSA, conjugated with Donkey anti-Rabbit secondary antibody, Alexa

				Fluor 568 (2µg/ml) in 1%BSA
antibody	Anti-CD15	abcam	Cat#: ab665	used at 1:100 dilution in 1% BSA, conjugated with Donkey anti-Mouse secondary antibody, Alexa Fluor 568 (2µg/ml) in 1%BSA
antibody	Donkey anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 647	Thermofisher	Cat#: A-31571	2 mg/ml
antibody	Donkey anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 647	Thermofisher	Cat#: A-31573	2 mg/ml
antibody	Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488	Thermofisher	Cat#: A-11029	2 mg/ml
antibody	Donkey anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 568	Thermofisher	Cat#: A10042	2 mg/ml
antibody	Donkey anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 568	Thermofisher	Cat#: A10037	2 mg/ml
peptide, recombinant protein	Fibronectin from human plasma	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#: F1056	500 µg/ml in ddH$_2$O
peptide, recombinant protein	Native Collagen, Bovine dermis	AteloCell	Cat#: IAC-50	5 mg/ml
peptide, recombinant protein	Human IL-1α, research grade	Miltenyi Biotec	Cat#:130-093-894	1 µg/ml
peptide, recombinant protein	Human IL-1β, research grade	Miltenyi Biotec	Cat#:130-093-895	1 µg/ml
peptide, recombinant protein	Human IL-6, research grade	Miltenyi Biotec	Cat#:130-093-929	1 µg/ml
peptide, recombinant protein	Human IL-8, research grade	Miltenyi Biotec	Cat#:130-122-354	1 µg/ml
commercial assay or kit	MACSxpress® Whole Blood Neutrophil Isolation Kit, human	Miltenyi Biotec	Cat#:130-104-434	
software, algorithm	Imaris 9.5.1	Bitplane		

other	Gas chamber for stages with k-frame insert (160x110mm) - magnetic model with sliding lid.	okolab	Cat#: H201-K-FRAME	
other	Custom holder for 24mm x 60mm coverslip	okolab	Cat#:1x24by60-M	
other	μ-Slide 8 Well	ibidi	Cat#:80826	
other	Masterflex PharMed tubing, 0.89mm ID, 100 ft	Cole-Palmer	Cat#:GZ-95709-26	
other	Masterflex® Transfer Tubing, Tygon® 0.76mm ID	Cole-Palmer	Cat#:GZ-06419-03	
other	1.30 x 0.75 x 10 mm metallic tubes	Unimed	Cat#:9084 / 200.010-A	
other	1.00/0.75 x 20mm metallic tubes	Unimed	Cat#:200.010-A	
other	Aladdin programmable pump	WPI	Cat#: PUMP-NE-1000	

Cell culture of human bladder epithelial and bladder endothelial cells

The HTB9 human bladder epithelial carcinoma cell line (procured from ATCC, 5637) was

cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) as

recommended by the supplier. Human Bladder Microvascular Endothelial cells (HMVEC-Bd)

(procured from Lonza, CC-7016) were cultured in Lonza EGM-2 MV BulletKit medium. Both

the epithelial and endothelial cells were generally cultured in their respective medium

supplemented with 1X Antibiotic-Antimycotic. Epithelial cells were passaged by detachment

with 0.05% Trypsin at 37°C for 3-5 minutes followed by neutralization of trypsin with RPMI

1640 medium/10% FBS. Bladder endothelial cells were passaged and split as recommended by

the supplier. The cells used in all the experiments were at ten passages or fewer. The cell lines

were tested routinely for mycoplasma contamination during passaging.

UPEC culture for infection of bladder epithelial cells in the bladder-chip

Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) strain CFT073 was originally isolated from a

pyelonephritis patient (Mobley et al., 1990) and provided by Prof. H.L.T. Mobley, University of

Michigan, USA. A derivative strain expressing yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) was generated

by electroporation of CFT073 with the episomal plasmid pZA32-YFP (Lutz and Bujard, 1997),

as described earlier (Dhar et al., 2015). To induce expression of type 1 pili, UPEC was grown in

LB media containing 25 μg/ml chloramphenicol under non-shaking conditions at 37 ^{0}C for 2

days prior to the experiment, to achieve a stationary phase culture (OD$_{600}$=1.5 and corresponding

to a concentration of 1.5 x 10^9 bacteria/ml). The bacteria were diluted 10-fold to a final

concentration of 1.5 x 10^8 cells/ml) and resuspended in a solution of pooled human female urine

(procured from Golden West Diagnostics Catalogue OH2010-pH) diluted 10-fold in Phosphate

Buffered Saline.

Recapitulation of human bladder physiology in human bladder-on-a-chip device

Bladder-chip devices made of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) were purchased from Emulate

(Boston, USA). The dimensions of the microfluidic device were as follows: width of the

channels- 1000 μm, height of the upper channel- 1000 μm, lower channel – 250 μm). For

extracellular matrix (ECM) coating, a coating solution consisting of the ER-1 compound

(Emulate) dissolved in ER-2 solution at 0.5 mg/ml (Emulate) was introduced in both apical and

vascular channels and the chips were subsequently activated by exposing the bladder-chip for 20

minutes under UV light. The channels were then rinsed with fresh coating solution and the

protocol was repeated once. The channels of the bladder-chip were then washed thoroughly with

PBS before incubation with an ECM solution of 150 μg/ml bovine collagen type I and 30 μg/ml

fibronectin from human plasma in PBS buffered with 15 mM HEPES solution for 1-2 hours at

37°C as described previously (Thacker et al., 2020b). If not used directly, coated chips were

stored at 4°C and pre-activated before use by incubation for 30 minutes with the same ECM

solution at 37°C. Three days before the day of the experiment, HMVEC-Bd cells were seeded

into lower channel of the inverted bladder-chip device at 0.5 million cells/ml. Two days before

the experiment, HTB9 cells were seeded into upper channel at 5 million cells/ml. Prior to the

infection experiment, bladder endothelial and bladder epithelial cells were cultured in their

respective medium supplemented with the 1X Antibiotic-Antimycotic solution. The antibiotics

were removed prior to the experiment.

Characterization and immunostaining of human bladder epithelial and human bladder

epithelial cells in ibidi wells and human bladder-chip

Human bladder epithelial and bladder endothelial cells were cultured inside ibidi μ–Slide 8 wells

for one day. The cells were subsequently fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 1 hour at

room temperature. Fixed cells were then washed three times with 200 μl of PBS to remove

residues of PFA, permeabilized with 0.15 % Triton X-100 for 15 minutes; washed three times

with 200 μl of PBS to remove residues of detergent and then incubated in a blocking solution of

1% BSA in PBS for 1 hour. The cells were then incubated with primary antibodies (anti-

EpCAM, anti-PECAM-1, anti-CK7, anti-CK8, anti-VE-Cadherin, anti-Uroplakin3a, anti-CK1,

anti-E-Cadherin, anti-ZO-1, anti-myeloperoxidase, anti-neutrophil elastase, anti-CD15) at a

dilution of 1:100 in an antibody incubation buffer comprising 1% BSA and 0.01% Triton-100 in

PBS. The ibidi 8-wells were subsequently washed three times with PBS for 10 minutes each.

Incubation with secondary antibody (Donkey anti-Mouse Alexa Fluor 647, Donkey anti-Mouse

Alexa Fluor 568, Goat anti-Mouse Alexa Fluor 488, Donkey anti-Rabbit Alexa Fluor 647,

Donkey anti-Rabbit Alexa Fluor 568, Donkey anti-Rabbit Alexa Fluor 488) at a concentration of

2 μg/ml in antibody incubation buffer was subsequently performed for 1 hour at room

temperature. Excess antibody was removed by washing three times with PBS for 10 minutes

each. Cell nuclei were stained with DAPI (5 µg/ml) for 30 minutes. Ibidi 8-wells were washed

three times to remove unbound DAPI. Cells were covered with appropriate volume of PBS until

imaging. Cells were imaged with 63X oil objective on Leica SP8 confocal microscope. Images

were deconvolved using SVI Huygens (Quality, 0.05; Iterations, 40).

For characterization of the cell types on-chip, bladder-chips with co-culture of bladder epithelial

and bladder endothelial cells were kept under pooled diluted urine and EBM2 medium using

P200 pipette tips for 2 days prior to PFA fixation. The cells in the bladder-chip were then

immunostained following the protocol described above. Images were acquired with Leica HC

FLUOTAR 25X (NA 0.95) multi-immersion objective on Leica SP8 confocal microscope.

Characterization of strain-pressure curve in the bladder-chip model

Elveflow OB1 MK3 – Microfluidic flow control system was used to control the negative

pressure applied to the human bladder-chip. The control system was connected to the

compressed air line (6 bar) for the positive pressure and diaphragm vacuum pump for the

negative pressure. A negative pressure (0 to -900 mbar) with a step function of -100mbar was

subsequently applied to the vacuum channels in the bladder-chip using a Pressure Controller

(Elveflow OB1 pressure controller). For these experiments, both bladder epithelial and

endothelial cells were seeded on the respective sides in the devices. This experiment was

performed with the chip maintained on the stage of the microscope and a brightfield image was

acquired at each step increase of -100mbar, on Leica SP8 confocal microscope. The PDMS inter-

pore-to-pore distance was measured for 14 pore-to-pore combinations at each input of negative

pressure. Linear fitting was performed using GraphPad Prism (version 9).

Mimicking bladder filling and voiding cycle in human bladder-chip

The stretching of the human bladder-chip was done using the Elveflow OB1 MK3 – Microfluidic flow control system connected to the compressed air line (6 bar) for the positive pressure and diaphragm vacuum pump for the negative pressure. Human bladder-chip in the relaxed (*voided bladder*) state was slowly perturbed with a linear ramp function to reach a stretched (*filled bladder*) state over a period of 2 hours. This period corresponded to the *filling bladder* state in Figure 1D. Bladder-chip was kept under stretched (*filled bladder*) state over the subsequent 2 hours. Micturition or urination was recapitulated by rapidly reducing the applied strain on the bladder-chip from the stretched (*filled bladder*) state to relaxed (*voided bladder*) state over a period of 2 minutes. Subsequently, the bladder-chip was maintained with no negative pressure applied in the vacuum channel under relaxed (*voided bladder*) state from (4:02 hours to 6 hours). This 6-hour bladder filling and voiding cycle was repeated continuously for the rest of the experiment.

Experimental setup and imaging parameters for time lapse imaging for UPEC infection in the human bladder-chip devices

The medium perfusion inside the bladder-chip device was achieved with the Aladdin syringe pumps. The syringes with respective media were connected to the bladder-chip via gas impermeable PharMed® tubing (inner diameter =0.89 mm, Cole palmer GZ-95809-26) along with longer transparent Tygon tubings (internal diameter of 0.76mm, Masterflex transfer tubing, Cole palmer). The Harmed® tubing was connected to the inlet and outlet of the bladder-chip with 1.30 x 0.75 x 10 mm metallic tubes (Unimed) and transparent tubing with 1.00/0.75 x 20mm metallic tubes (Unimed). The bladder-chip connected to external sources of flow was then

mounted onto a 24 x 60 mm No. 1 glass coverslip for microscopy imaging. When required for

stretching experiments, tubing was also connected to the stretching channels on either side of the

main channel. The connected device was subsequently assembled inside a temperature-

controlled microscope environmental chamber at 37°C supplemented with 5% CO_2 (OKO labs).

Time-lapse imaging was conducted using a Leica HC FLUOTAR 25X (NA 0.95) multi-

immersion objective within custom made environmental chamber set at 37°C for infusion with

syringe pumps. Water was pumped to the ring around the water objective at 9 Hz with pumping

duration of 9 seconds and pumping interval 30 minutes, controlled by SRS software (HRZ=9,

VPP=95). The autofocus mode (best focus, steps =9, range = 30 µm) was used to maintain the

optical focus on the apical side of the PDMS membrane. The experiments were monitored

frequently to ensure that the optical focus was maintained, and the experiment was halted and

restarted if the focus was lost.

To enable rapid 3-D imaging across multiple spatial locations on-chip, we utilized the capability

of the white light laser on the Leica SP8 confocal microscope to image at multiple wavelengths

simultaneously. The excitation wavelengths were grouped into two sequences to minimize the

spectral overlap. In the first sequence, laser emission at 555 nm was used to excite the Calmest

Orange stain in the bladder epithelial cells. In the second laser excitation sequence, laser

emission at 500 nm and 630 nm were used to excite the YFP within the bacteria and the

cytoplasmic CellTracker™ Deep Red in the human neutrophils. Images were acquired with a

scan speed of 400-700 Hz and a zoom factor of 2.25 (206.67 µm x 206.67 µm) resulting in an

XY resolution of 450 nm depending on the number of pixels acquired per field of view. Z-stacks

were acquired with 1 µm step sizes. Time lapse images were acquired with interval duration of

ca. 15-17 minutes, in a subset of experiments this was further reduced to 7.5 minutes by imaging

with the second sequence only at a z-step size of 2 µm.

Time lapse imaging for UPEC infections in the human bladder-chip devices

<u>Pre-infection stage</u>

The time-course of the entire experimental protocol is shown in a schematic in Figure 1. The

bladder-chip device was perfused with diluted urine in the apical channel and EBM Endothelium

phenol-red free medium supplemented with EGM-2 endothelial SingleQuots kit in the vascular

channel. Prior to the commencement of infection, the chip was maintained at homeostasis and

the epithelial cells were imaged for a period of two hours.

<u>Infection stage</u>

Stationary phase UPEC in diluted pooled urine at a concentration of 150 million cells/ml were

flowed through the apical channel of the device at 1200 µl/hour for 1.5 hours. During this period,

EBM2 media was flowed through the endothelial channel at 600 µl/hour.

<u>Bacterial washout and neutrophil introduction stage</u>

Next, the syringe connected to the apical channel was replaced with a fresh syringe containing

pooled diluted urine, this solution was then flowed in the apical channel over the epithelial layer

of the chip at a flow rate of 600 µl/hour over the next 3 hours. This allowed for the continuous

removal of extracellular planktonic bacteria in the apical channel. At the same time, human

neutrophils were introduced into the endothelial channel of the bladder-chip via flow. A solution

of human neutrophils at a density of 2 million cells/ml isolated via negative selection was flowed

through the vascular channel for 2 hours in EBM2 medium with at higher shear stress of $\eta=1.0$

dyne/cm^2 to enhance neutrophil attachment to endothelial cells.

<u>Neutrophil diapedesis stage</u>

Diapedesis of human neutrophils to epithelial side and subsequent interactions of neutrophils

with UPEC was observed for the subsequent 3 hours since introduction of neutrophils into the

vasculature side of the chip. During this period, the flow rate in the apical and vascular channels

were maintained at 600 μl/hour and 3000 μl/hour, respectively. During this period, in a subset of

experiments, only the channels that were part of the second laser scanning sequence were

imaged. Images of the CellTracker Orange dye for epithelial cell identification were not acquired

(first laser scanning sequence). This enabled a number of fields of view to be captured with an

enhanced temporal resolution and a frame rate of up to 7.5 minutes. For experiments studying

the formation of NETs, the experiment was halted at this stage and the infected chips were fixed,

permeabilized, blocked, and immunostained with anti-myeloperoxidase (abcam) or anti-

neutrophil elastase (abcam) antibodies using the procedure described earlier. For all other

experiments, live imaging continued to the subsequent antibiotic treatment phase,

Immunofluorescence for anti-myeloperoxidase and anti-neutrophil elastase was done as the

procedure described previously.

<u>Antibiotic treatment and growth cycles</u>

Thereafter, syringes connected to both apical and vascular channels were changed and ampicillin

at 250 μg/ml (used at ~40-fold over the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of ampicillin

against UPEC grown in EBM2 medium) was introduced in the diluted urine and the EBM2

media perfused into the apical and vascular channels, respectively, for 3 hours at a flow rate of

600 μl/hour. This was the first ampicillin treatment cycle; whose purpose was to eliminate

extracellular bacteria in the apical channel and allow intracellular bacterial colonies (IBCs) to be

identified. The medium was subsequently switched with antibiotic-free medium for next 8 hours

to allow for IBC growth within epithelial cells. During this period, the flow within the apical

channel was maintained, to remove bacteria that grew extracellularly either because they

survived the antibiotic treatment or that were released from infected epithelial cells. The

ampicillin and growth cycle were then repeated, to allow the assessment of the response of

bacteria within IBCs to antibiotic treatment as well as characterize the subsequent regrowth.

Image analysis of confocal live-cell images

Image analysis was performed with Bitplane Imaris 9.5.1. The time-lapse imaging stack included

five channels: uroepithelial cells (epithelial channel), transmitted light (bright field channel),

UPEC (bacterial channel), neutrophils (neutrophil channel), and transmitted light (bright field

channel). Neutrophils were identified via the spot detection algorithm in Imaris used on the

images from the neutrophil channel with the following parameters (Size, 8 μm; Quality, 4 to 8).

Swarms of neutrophils generate dense aggregates that are ill-suited to quantification with the

spot detection algorithm. To quantify the size of the swarms, we therefore segmented images in

the neutrophil channel to generate surfaces via the automatic segmentation tool in Imaris with the

following parameters (Threshold, 10; Smooth Surfaces Detail, 0.5 or 1.0 μm). Unfortunately, the

time resolution was insufficient to track individual neutrophils over time particularly since

neutrophils formed small clumps and rapidly changed their cell shapes.

For UPEC volume inside IBCs, necessary 3D volume was cropped in Imaris to ease image

analysis. Total bacterial volume inside IBC was detected by creating the surface (Threshold, 15;

Smooth Surfaces Detail, 0.5 or 1 μm) on UPEC channel.

In cases of measuring extracellular UPEC growth, surface was generated (Threshold, 15; Smooth

Surfaces Detail, 0.5 or 1 μm) on UPEC channel to calculate total extracellular volume in the

field of view.

Isolation and Labelling of human neutrophils from fresh human blood

Primary human neutrophils were isolated via negative depletion method from human blood with

MACSxpress® Whole Blood Neutrophil Isolation Kit (Miltenyi Biotec), following the

manufacturer's instructions. Isolation was performed without the use of a density-based

centrifugation method. Isolated human neutrophils were then incubated with a 1μM solution

CellTracker^{TM} Deep Red in a serum free RPMI phenol red free medium for 30 minutes in a cell

culture incubator maintained at 37 C and 5% CO_2. Labelled human neutrophils were then

washed with 10ml of 20% FBS in RPMI phenol red free medium twice to remove the unbound

dye. The human neutrophils were suspended in Lonza EBM2 medium at a cell density of 1

million cells/ml. In some instances, labelled human neutrophils were passed through a filter with

40 μm pores to remove neutrophil clusters that may have formed during the isolation process.

Scanning electron microscopy of human bladder-chip

UPEC within infected human bladder-chips were allowed to proliferate for 6 hours (14 hours

from start of UPEC infection) until the end of the first IBC growth cycle. After 6 hours, human

bladder-chip was fixed at room temperature for 1 hour with a mix of 1% glutaraldehyde and 2%

paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The fixed bladder-chip was kept in the

fixative overnight (at 4°C). Post overnight fixation, human bladder-chip was cut open from the

apical channel side. A scalpel was used to cut approximately in the middle of the apical channel

side (height=1mm) to expose the bladder epithelial cells. The fixed chip was further fixed for 30

minutes in 1% osmium tetroxide in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer followed by washing with the

distilled water. Next, the bladder-chip was dehydrated in a graded alcohol series and dried by

passing them through the supercritical point of carbon dioxide (CPD300, Leica Microsystems).

Finally, the bladder-chip was attached to an adhesive conductive surface followed by coating

with a 3 – 4 nm thick layer of gold palladium metal (Quorum Q Plus, Quorum Technologies).

Images of the cells were captured using a field emission scanning electron microscope (Merlin,

Zeiss NTS).

Uninfected human bladder-chip controls were fixed at the same time point (14 hours from start

of the experiment). For the case of NETs formed on epithelial layer, an uninfected human

bladder-chip was fixed 2 hours after the introduction of neutrophils into the vascular channel of

the chip.

Preparation for transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

UPEC within infected human bladder-chips were allowed to proliferate for 6 hours (14 hours

from start of UPEC infection) during the first IBC growth cycle. After 6 hours, human bladder-

chip was fixed at room temperature for 1 hour with a mix of 1% glutaraldehyde and 2%

paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The fixed bladder-chip was kept in the

fixative overnight (at 4°C). Post overnight fixation, human bladder-chip was cut open from the

apical channel side. A scalpel was used to cut approximately in the middle of the apical channel

side (height-1mm) to expose the bladder epithelial cells. The bladder-chip was then washed in

cacodylate buffer (0.1M, pH 7.4), postfixed for 40 minutes in 1.0 % osmium tetroxide with 1.5%

potassium ferrocyanide, and then fixed again with 1.0% osmium tetroxide alone. The bladder-

chip was finally stained for 30 minutes in 1% uranyl acetate in water before being dehydrated

through increasing concentrations of alcohol and then embedded in Durcupan ACM (Fluka,

Switzerland) resin. The bladder-chip was then placed in Petri dishes so that approximately 1 mm

of resin remained above the cells, and the dish than left in an oven at 65°C for 24 hours. Regions

on interest, and corresponding to structures imaged with light microscopy were trimmed from the

rest of the device, once the resin had hardened, and thin, 50 nm-thick sections were cut with a

diamond knife, and collected onto single-slot copper grids with a pioloform support film. These

were contrasted with lead citrate and uranyl acetate, and images taken with a transmission

electron microscope at 80 kV (Tecnai Spirit, FEI Company with Eagle CCD camera).

Data availability statement
The datasets generated during and analyzed during the current study are available from the

corresponding authors on reasonable request and will be uploaded to Zenodo prior to publication.

935
936 **Bibliography**
937

Abraham, S.N., and Miao, Y. (2015). The nature of immune responses to urinary tract infections.
Nat Rev Immunol *15*, 655–663.

Agace, W.W., Hedges, S.R., Ceska, M., and Svanborg, C. (1993). Interleukin-8 and the
neutrophil response to mucosal gram-negative infection. J Clin Invest *92*, 780–785.

Agace, W.W., Patarroyo, M., Svensson, M., Carlemalm, E., and Svanborg, C. (1995).
Escherichia coli induces transuroepithelial neutrophil migration by an intercellular adhesion
molecule-1-dependent mechanism. Infect Immun *63*, 4054–4062.

Alon, R., Hammer, D.A., and Springer, T.A. (1995). Lifetime of the P-selectin-carbohydrate
bond and its response to tensile force in hydrodynamic flow. Nature *374*, 539–542.

Andersen, T.E., Khandige, S., Madelung, M., Brewer, J., Kolmos, H.J., and Møller-Jensen, J.
(2012). Escherichia coli uropathogenesis in vitro: invasion, cellular escape, and secondary
infection analyzed in a human bladder cell infection model. Infect Immun *80*, 1858–1867.

Anderson, G.G., Palermo, J.J., Schilling, J.D., Roth, R., Heuser, J., and Hultgren, S.J. (2003).
Intracellular Bacterial Biofilm-Like Pods in Urinary Tract Infections. Science *301*, 105–107.

Apodaca, G. (2004). The Uroepithelium: Not Just a Passive Barrier. Traffic *5*, 117–128.

Benam, K.H., Villenave, R., Lucchesi, C., Varone, A., Hubeau, C., Lee, H.-H., Alves, S.E.,
Salmon, M., Ferrante, T.C., Weaver, J.C., et al. (2016). Small airway-on-a-chip enables analysis
of human lung inflammation and drug responses in vitro. Nat Methods *13*, 151–157.

Blango, M.G., and Mulvey, M.A. (2010). Persistence of Uropathogenic Escherichia coli in the
Face of Multiple Antibiotics ▽. Antimicrob Agents Ch *54*, 1855–1863.

Branzk, N., Libode's, A., Hardison, S.E., Wang, Q., Gutierrez, M.G., Brown, G.D., and
Papayannopoulos, V. (2014). Neutrophils sense microbe size and selectively release neutrophil
extracellular traps in response to large pathogens. Nat Immunol *15*, 1017–1025.

Brinkmann, V., Reichard, U., Goosmann, C., Fauler, B., Uhlemann, Y., Weiss, D.S., Weinrauch,
Y., and Zychlinsky, A. (2004). Neutrophil Extracellular Traps Kill Bacteria. Science *303*, 1532–
1535.

Bryskier, A. (2020). Antimicrobial Agents: Antibacterials and Antifungals. pp. 113–162.

Cakir, B., Xiang, Y., Tanaka, Y., Kural, M.H., Parent, M., Kang, Y.-J., Chapeton, K., Patterson,
B., Yuan, Y., He, C.-S., et al. (2019). Engineering of human brain organoids with a functional
vascular-like system. Nat Methods *16*, 1169–1175.

Carattino, M.D., Prakasam, H.S., Ruiz, W.G., Clayton, D.R., McGuire, M., Gallo, L.I., and
Apodaca, G. (2013). Bladder filling and voiding affect umbrella cell tight junction organization
and function. Am J Physiol-Renal *305*, F1158–F1168.

Clevers, H. (2016). Modeling Development and Disease with Organoids. Cell *165*, 1586–1597.

Dhar, N., Dubée, V., Ballell, L., Cuinet, G., Hugonnet, J.-E., Signorino-Gelo, F., Barros, D.,
Arthur, M., and McKinney, J.D. (2015). Rapid Cytolysis of Mycobacterium tuberculosis by
Faropenem, an Orally Bioavailable β-Lactam Antibiotic. Antimicrob Agents Ch *59*, 1308–1319.

Duncan, M.J., Li, G., Shin, J.-S., Carson, J.L., and Abraham, S.N. (2004). Bacterial Penetration
of Bladder Epithelium through Lipid Rafts. J Biol Chem *279*, 18944–18951.

Duraiswamy, S., Chee, J.L.Y., Chen, S., Yang, E., Lees, K., and Chen, S.L. (2018). Purification
of Intracellular Bacterial Communities during Experimental Urinary Tract Infection Reveals an
Abundant and Viable Bacterial Reservoir. Infect Immun *86*, e00740-17.

Ermert, D., Urban, C.F., Laube, B., Goosmann, C., Zychlinsky, A., and Brinkmann, V. (2009).
Mouse Neutrophil Extracellular Traps in Microbial Infections. J Innate Immun *1*, 181–193.

Foxman, B. (2010). The epidemiology of urinary tract infection. Nat Rev Urol *7*, 653–660.

Gloeckner, D.C., SACKS, M.S., FRASER, M.O., SOMOGYI, G.T., GROAT, W.C. de, and
CHANCELLOR, M.B. (2002). Passive Biaxial Mechanical Properties of the Rat Bladder Wall
After Spinal Cord Injury. J Urology *167*, 2247–2252.

Grassart, A., Malardé, V., Gobba, S., Sartori-Rupp, A., Kerns, J., Karalis, K., Marteyn, B.,
Sansonetti, P., and Sauvonnet, N. (2019). Bioengineered Human Organ-on-Chip Reveals
Intestinal Microenvironment and Mechanical Forces Impacting Shigella Infection. Cell Host
Microbe *26*, 435-444.e4.

Hannan, T.J., Totsika, M., Mansfield, K.J., Moore, K.H., Schembri, M.A., and Hultgren, S.J.
(2012). Host–pathogen checkpoints and population bottlenecks in persistent and intracellular
uropathogenic Escherichia coli bladder infection. Fems Microbiol Rev *36*, 616–648.

Haraoka, M., Hang, L., Frendéus, B., Godaly, G., Burdick, M., Strieter, R., and Svanborg, C.
(1999). Neutrophil Recruitment and Resistance to Urinary Tract Infection. J Infect Dis *180*,
1220–1229.

Hedges, S., Svensson, M., and Svanborg, C. (1992). Interleukin-6 response of epithelial cell lines
to bacterial stimulation in vitro. Infect Immun *60*, 1295–1301.

Hopke, A., Scherer, A., Kreuzburg, S., Abers, M.S., Zerbe, C.S., Dinauer, M.C., Mansour, M.K.,
and Irimia, D. (2020). Neutrophil swarming delays the growth of clusters of pathogenic fungi.
Nat Commun *11*, 2031.

Horsley, H., Dharmasena, D., Malone-Lee, J., and Rohn, J.L. (2018). A urine-dependent human urothelial organoid offers a potential alternative to rodent models of infection. Sci Rep-Uk *8*, 1238.

Hsieh, M.M., Everhart, J.E., Byrd-Holt, D.D., Tisdale, J.F., and Rodgers, G.P. (2007). Prevalence of Neutropenia in the U.S. Population: Age, Sex, Smoking Status, and Ethnic Differences. Ann Intern Med *146*, 486.

Huh, D., Matthews, B.D., Mammoto, A., Montoya-Zavala, M., Hsin, H.Y., and Ingber, D.E. (2010a). Reconstituting Organ-Level Lung Functions on a Chip. Science *328*, 1662–1668.

Huh, D., Matthews, B.D., Mammoto, A., Montoya-Zavala, M., Hsin, H.Y., and Ingber, D.E. (2010b). Reconstituting Organ-Level Lung Functions on a Chip. Science *328*, 1662–1668.

Hung, C.-S., Dodson, K.W., and Hultgren, S.J. (2009). A murine model of urinary tract infection. Nat Protoc *4*, 1230–1243.

Hunstad, D.A., and Justice, S.S. (2010). Intracellular Lifestyles and Immune Evasion Strategies of Uropathogenic Escherichia coli. Annu Rev Microbiol *64*, 203–221.

Iosifidis, G., and Duggin, I.G. (2020). Distinct Morphological Fates of Uropathogenic Escherichia coli Intracellular Bacterial Communities: Dependency on Urine Composition and pH. Infect Immun *88*.

Jalili-Firoozinezhad, S., Gazzaniga, F.S., Calamari, E.L., Camacho, D.M., Fadel, C.W., Bein, A., Swenor, B., Nestor, B., Cronce, M.J., Tovaglieri, A., et al. (2019). A complex human gut microbiome cultured in an anaerobic intestine-on-a-chip. Nat Biomed Eng *3*, 520–531.

Jang, K.-J., Mehr, A.P., Hamilton, G.A., McPartlin, L.A., Chung, S., Suh, K.-Y., and Ingber, D.E. (2013). Human kidney proximal tubule-on-a-chip for drug transport and nephrotoxicity assessment. Integr Biol *5*, 1119–1129.

Jang, K.-J., Otieno, M.A., Ronxhi, J., Lim, H.-K., Ewart, L., Kodella, K.R., Petropolis, D.B., Kulkarni, G., Rubins, J.E., Conegliano, D., et al. (2019). Reproducing human and cross-species drug toxicities using a Liver-Chip. Sci Transl Med *11*, eaax5516.

Justice, S.S., Hung, C., Theriot, J.A., Fletcher, D.A., Anderson, G.G., Footer, M.J., and Hultgren, S.J. (2004). Differentiation and developmental pathways of uropathogenic Escherichia coli in urinary tract pathogenesis. Proc National Acad Sci *101*, 1333–1338.

Kang, Y.B. (Abraham), Rawat, S., Duchemin, N., Bouchard, M., and Noh, M. (2017). Human Liver Sinusoid on a Chip for Hepatitis B Virus Replication Study. Micromachines-Basel *8*, 27.

Kienle, K., and Lämmermann, T. (2016). Neutrophil swarming: an essential process of the neutrophil tissue response. Immunol Rev *273*, 76–93.

Kim, H.J., and Ingber, D.E. (2013). Gut-on-a-Chip microenvironment induces human intestinal
cells to undergo villus differentiation. Integr Biol *5*, 1130–1140.

Kim, H.J., Li, H., Collins, J.J., and Ingber, D.E. (2016). Contributions of microbiome and
mechanical deformation to intestinal bacterial overgrowth and inflammation in a human gut-on-
a-chip. Proc National Acad Sci *113*, E7–E15.

Klein, R.D., and Hultgren, S.J. (2020). Urinary tract infections: microbial pathogenesis, host-
pathogen interactions and new treatment strategies. Nat Rev Microbiol *18*, 211–226.

Korkmaz, I., and Rogg, B. (2007). A simple fluid-mechanical model for the prediction of the
stress–strain relation of the male urinary bladder. J Biomech *40*, 663–668.

Kreisel, D., Nava, R.G., Li, W., Zinselmeyer, B.H., Wang, B., Lai, J., Pless, R., Gelman, A.E.,
Krupnick, A.S., and Miller, M.J. (2010). In vivo two-photon imaging reveals monocyte-
dependent neutrophil extravasation during pulmonary inflammation. Proc National Acad Sci
107, 18073–18078.

Lämmermann, T., Afonso, P.V., Angermann, B.R., Wang, J.M., Kastenmüller, W., Parent, C.A.,
and Germain, R.N. (2013). Neutrophil swarms require LTB4 and integrins at sites of cell death
in vivo. Nature *498*, 371–375.

Ley, K., Laudanna, C., Cybulsky, M.I., and Nourshargh, S. (2007). Getting to the site of
inflammation: the leukocyte adhesion cascade updated. Nat Rev Immunol *7*, 678–689.

Lutz, R., and Bujard, H. (1997). Independent and Tight Regulation of Transcriptional Units in
Escherichia Coli Via the LacR/O, the TetR/O and AraC/I1-I2 Regulatory Elements. Nucleic
Acids Res *25*, 1203–1210.

Martinez, J.J., Mulvey, M.A., Schilling, J.D., Pinkner, J.S., and Hultgren, S.J. (2000). Type 1
pilus-mediated bacterial invasion of bladder epithelial cells. Embo J *19*, 2803–2812.

Metzler, K.D., Fuchs, T.A., Nauseef, W.M., Reumaux, D., Roesler, J., Schulze, I., Wahn, V.,
Papayannopoulos, V., and Zychlinsky, A. (2011). Myeloperoxidase is required for neutrophil
extracellular trap formation: implications for innate immunity. Blood *117*, 953–959.

Mobley, H.L., Green, D.M., Trifillis, A.L., Johnson, D.E., Chippendale, G.R., Lockatell, C.V.,
Jones, B.D., and Warren, J.W. (1990). Pyelonephritogenic Escherichia coli and killing of
cultured human renal proximal tubular epithelial cells: role of hemolysin in some strains. Infect
Immun *58*, 1281–1289.

Mulvey, M.A., Lopez-Boado, Y.S., Wilson, C.L., Roth, R., Parks, W.C., Heuser, J., and
Hultgren, S.J. (1998). Induction and Evasion of Host Defenses by Type 1-Piliated Uropathogenic
Escherichia coli. Science *282*, 1494–1497.

Mysorekar, I.U., and Hultgren, S.J. (2006). Mechanisms of uropathogenic Escherichia coli
persistence and eradication from the urinary tract. Proc National Acad Sci *103*, 14170–14175.

Nagamatsu, K., Hannan, T.J., Guest, R.L., Kostakioti, M., Hadjifrangiskou, M., Binkley, J.,
Dodson, K., Raivio, T.L., and Hultgren, S.J. (2015). Dysregulation of Escherichia coli α-
hemolysin expression alters the course of acute and persistent urinary tract infection. Proc
National Acad Sci *112*, E871–E880.

Nawroth, J.C., Lucchesi, C., Cheng, D., Shukla, A., Ngyuen, J., Shroff, T., Varone, A., Karalis,
K., Lee, H.-H., Alves, S., et al. (2020). A Microengineered Airway Lung Chip Models Key
Features of Viral-induced Exacerbation of Asthma. Am J Resp Cell Mol *63*, 591–600.

Nourshargh, S., Hordijk, P.L., and Sixt, M. (2010). Breaching multiple barriers: leukocyte
motility through venular walls and the interstitium. Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio *11*, 366–378.

Novak, R., Ingram, M., Marquez, S., Das, D., Delahanty, A., Herland, A., Maoz, B.M., Jeanty,
S.S.F., Somayaji, M.R., Burt, M., et al. (2020). Robotic fluidic coupling and interrogation of
multiple vascularized organ chips. Nat Biomed Eng *4*, 407–420.

Oliveira, S. de, Rosowski, E.E., and Huttenlocher, A. (2016). Neutrophil migration in infection
and wound repair: going forward in reverse. Nat Rev Immunol *16*, 378–391.

Papayannopoulos, V., Metzler, K.D., Hakkim, A., and Zychlinsky, A. (2010). Neutrophil
elastase and myeloperoxidase regulate the formation of neutrophil extracellular traps. J Cell
Biology *191*, 677–691.

Parekh, A., Cigan, A.D., Wognum, S., Heise, R.L., Chancellor, M.B., and Sacks, M.S. (2010).
Ex vivo deformations of the urinary bladder wall during whole bladder filling: Contributions of
extracellular matrix and smooth muscle. J Biomech *43*, 1708–1716.

Pascalis, R.D., Parnell, W.J., Abrahams, I.D., Shearer, T., Daly, D.M., and Grundy, D. (2018).
The inflation of viscoelastic balloons and hollow viscera. Proc Royal Soc *474*, 20180102.

Putrinš, M., Kogermann, K., Lukk, E., Lippus, M., Varik, V., and Tenson, T. (2015). Phenotypic
Heterogeneity Enables Uropathogenic Escherichia coli To Evade Killing by Antibiotics and
Serum Complement. Infect Immun *83*, 1056–1067.

Reátegui, E., Jalali, F., Khankhel, A.H., Wong, E., Cho, H., Lee, J., Serhan, C.N., Dalli, J.,
Elliott, H., and Irimia, D. (2017). Microscale arrays for the profiling of start and stop signals
coordinating human-neutrophil swarming. Nat Biomed Eng *1*, 0094.

Ronaldson-Bouchard, K., and Vunjak-Novakovic, G. (2018). Organs-on-a-Chip: A Fast Track
for Engineered Human Tissues in Drug Development. Cell Stem Cell *22*, 310–324.

Rossi, G., Manfrin, A., and Lutolf, M.P. (2018). Progress and potential in organoid research. Nat
Rev Genet *19*, 671–687.

Sato, T., Vries, R.G., Snippert, H.J., Wetering, M. van de, Barker, N., Stange, D.E., Es, J.H. van, Abo, A., Kujala, P., Peters, P.J., et al. (2009). Single Lgr5 stem cells build crypt-villus structures in vitro without a mesenchymal niche. Nature *459*, 262–265.

Schwartz, D.J., Chen, S.L., Hultgren, S.J., and Seed, P.C. (2011). Population Dynamics and Niche Distribution of Uropathogenic Escherichia coli during Acute and Chronic Urinary Tract Infection. Infect Immun *79*, 4250–4259.

Scott, V.C.S., Haake, D.A., Churchill, B.M., Justice, S.S., and Kim, J.-H. (2015). Intracellular Bacterial Communities: A Potential Etiology for Chronic Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms. Urology *86*, 425–431.

Shah, P., Fritz, J.V., Glaab, E., Desai, M.S., Greenhalgh, K., Frachet, A., Niegowska, M., Estes, M., Jäger, C., Seguin-Devaux, C., et al. (2016). A microfluidics-based in vitro model of the gastrointestinal human–microbe interface. Nat Commun *7*, 11535.

Si, L., Bai, H., Rodas, M., Cao, W., Oh, C.Y., Jiang, A., Nurani, A., Zhu, D.Y., Goyal, G., Gilpin, S.E., et al. (2020). Human organs-on-chips as tools for repurposing approved drugs as potential influenza and COVID19 therapeutics in viral pandemics. Biorxiv 2020.04.13.039917.

Smith, Y.C., Grande, K.K., Rasmussen, S.B., and O'Brien, A.D. (2006). Novel Three-Dimensional Organoid Model for Evaluation of the Interaction of Uropathogenic Escherichia coli with Terminally Differentiated Human Urothelial Cells. Infect Immun *74*, 750–757.

Solis, A.G., Bielecki, P., Steach, H.R., Sharma, L., Harman, C.C.D., Yun, S., Zoete, M.R. de, Warnock, J.N., To, S.D.F., York, A.G., et al. (2019). Mechanosensation of cyclical force by PIEZO1 is essential for innate immunity. Nature *573*, 69–74.

Song, J., Duncan, M.J., Li, G., Chan, C., Grady, R., Stapleton, A., and Abraham, S.N. (2007). A Novel TLR4-Mediated Signaling Pathway Leading to IL-6 Responses in Human Bladder Epithelial Cells. Plos Pathog *3*, e60.

Spaulding, C.N., Klein, R.D., Ruer, S., Kau, A.L., Schreiber, H.L., Cusumano, Z.T., Dodson, K.W., Pinkner, J.S., Fremont, D.H., Janetka, J.W., et al. (2017). Selective depletion of uropathogenic E. coli from the gut by a FimH antagonist. Nature *546*, 528–532.

Suzuki, K., Koyanagi-Aoi, M., Uehara, K., Hinata, N., Fujisawa, M., and Aoi, T. (2019). Directed differentiation of human induced pluripotent stem cells into mature stratified bladder urothelium. Sci Rep-Uk *9*, 10506.

Thacker, V.V., Sharma, K., Dhar, N., Mancini, G.-F., Sordet-Dessimoz, J., and McKinney, J.D. (2020a). Rapid endothelial infection, endothelialitis and vascular damage characterise SARS-CoV-2 infection in a human lung-on-chip model. Biorxiv 2020.08.10.243220.

Thacker, V.V., Dhar, N., Sharma, K., Barrile, R., Karalis, K., and McKinney, J.D. (2020b). A
lung-on-chip model of early M. tuberculosis infection reveals an essential role for alveolar
epithelial cells in controlling bacterial growth. Elife *9*, e59961.

Tovaglieri, A., Sontheimer-Phelps, A., Geirnaert, A., Prantil-Baun, R., Camacho, D.M., Chou,
D.B., Jalili-Firoozinezhad, S., Wouters, T. de, Kasendra, M., Super, M., et al. (2019). Species-
specific enhancement of enterohemorrhagic E. coli pathogenesis mediated by microbiome
metabolites. Microbiome *7*, 43.

Truschel, S.T., Wang, E., Ruiz, W.G., Leung, S.-M., Rojas, R., Lavelle, J., Zeidel, M., Stoffer,
D., and Apodaca, G. (2002). Stretch-regulated Exocytosis/Endocytosis in Bladder Umbrella
Cells. Mol Biol Cell *13*, 830–846.

Wang, E.C.Y., Lee, J.-M., Ruiz, W.G., Balestreire, E.M., Bodungen, M. von, Barrick, S.,
Cockayne, D.A., Birder, L.A., and Apodaca, G. (2005). ATP and purinergic receptor–dependent
membrane traffic in bladder umbrella cells. J Clin Invest *115*, 2412–2422.

Wang, J., Wang, C., Xu, N., Liu, Z.-F., Pang, D.-W., and Zhang, Z.-L. (2019). A virus-induced
kidney disease model based on organ-on-a-chip: Pathogenesis exploration of virus-related renal
dysfunctions. Biomaterials *219*, 119367.

Yang, E., Chee, J.L.Y., Duraiswamy, S., Chen, S., Lees, K., and Chen, S.L. (2019). Isolation of
Single Intracellular Bacterial Communities Generated from a Murine Model of Urinary Tract
Infection for Downstream Single-cell Analysis. J Vis Exp Jove.

Yu, Y., Kwon, K., Tsitrin, T., Bekele, S., Sikorski, P., Nelson, K.E., and Pieper, R. (2017).
Characterization of Early-Phase Neutrophil Extracellular Traps in Urinary Tract Infections. Plos
Pathog *13*, e1006151.

Zahler, S., Kowalski, C., Brosig, A., Kupatt, C., Becker, B.F., and Gerlach, E. (1997). The
function of neutrophils isolated by a magnetic antibody cell separation technique is not altered in
comparison to a density gradient centrifugation method. J Immunol Methods *200*, 173–179.

Zalewska-Piątek, B., Olszewski, M., Lipniacki, T., Błoński, S., Wieczór, M., Bruździak, P.,
Skwarska, A., Nowicki, B., Nowicki, S., and Piątek, R. (2020). A shear stress micromodel of
urinary tract infection by the Escherichia coli producing Dr adhesin. Plos Pathog *16*, e1008247.

Zhou, M., Zhang, X., Wen, X., Wu, T., Wang, W., Yang, M., Wang, J., Fang, M., Lin, B., and
Lin, H. (2016). Development of a Functional Glomerulus at the Organ Level on a Chip to Mimic
Hypertensive Nephropathy. Sci Rep-Uk *6*, 31771.

1165
1166