Proton receptors regulate synapse-specific reconsolidation in the amygdala

Erin E Koffman[1,2 #], Charles M Kruse[1,2 #], Kritika Singh [2], FarzanehSadat Naghavi [2],

Jennifer Egbo [2], Sandra Boateng [2], Mark Houdi BA [2], Boren Lin [2], Jacek Debiec [3],

Jianyang Du [1, 4 *]

[1] Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology, University of Tennessee Health Science

Center, Memphis, TN 38163, USA.

[2] Department of Biological Sciences, University of Toledo, Toledo, OH 43606, USA.

[3] Molecular & Behavioral Neuroscience Institute and Department of Psychiatry,

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA.

[4] Neuroscience Institute, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN,
United States.
12

[#] Equal contribution

* Correspondence:

Jianyang Du

Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology,

University of Tennessee Health Science Center,

Memphis, TN 38163, USA

TEL: 901-448-3463

E-MAIL: jdu15@uthsc.edu

Abstract

During retrieval, aversive memories become labile during a period known as the reconsolidation window. When an extinction procedure is performed within the reconsolidation window, the original aversive memory can be replaced by one that is less traumatic. Our recent studies revealed that acidosis via inhalation of carbon dioxide (CO_2) during retrieval enhances memory lability. However, the effects of CO_2 inhalation on the central nervous system can be extensive, and there is a lack of prior evidence suggesting that the effects of CO_2 are selective to a reactivated memory. The specific effects of CO_2 depend on acid-sensing ion channels (ASICs), proton receptors that are involved in synaptic transmission and plasticity in the amygdala. Our previous patch-clamping data suggests that CO_2 inhalation during retrieval increases activities of neurons in the amygdala that involve in the memory trace. In addition, CO_2 inhalation during retrieval increases exchanges from Ca^{2+}-impermeable to Ca^{2+}-permeable AMPA receptors. Thus, we hypothesize that CO_2 selectively potentiates memory lability in mice when inhaled during retrieval of aversive memory. In addition, CO_2 inhalation alters memory lability via synaptic plasticity at selectively targeted synapses. Alterations in spine morphology after CO_2 and retrieval with a specific stimulus indicates that CO_2 selectively enhances synaptic plasticity. Overall, our results suggest that inhaling CO_2 during the retrieval event increases the lability of an aversive memory through a synapse-specific reconsolidation process.

Keywords: acid-sensing ion channels; carbon dioxide (CO_2); aversive memory; memory retrieval; AMPA receptors; reconsolidation

Introduction

Recently, aversive memory research has focused on a window of time after aversive memory retrieval, in which the memory is labile and subject to intervention (Lee, 2009; Liu et al., 2012; Schiller et al., 2012). This window of time is known as a reconsolidation window, and is thought to last up to six hours after initiation (Duvarci and Nader, 2004; Sandrini et al., 2015; Schiller et al., 2010). Several studies have demonstrated that interrupting the updating process aroused by retrieval prevents memory restorage, generating selective amnesia (Lee, 2009; Nader et al., 2000). Studies using rodent models have indicated that pharmacological intervention within the reconsolidation window successfully erases reactivated specific aversive memory (Nader et al., 2000; Sara and Hars, 2006; Schiller et al., 2010). Despite the efficacy, ethical and practical concerns may prevent similar pharmacological interventions from being used in humans (Schiller et al., 2010). Recently, drug-free paradigms have been proposed that effectively prevent the return of aversive memories in both rodents and humans (Huang et al., 2020; Monfils et al., 2009). Monfils *et al.* developed a novel protocol in which an isolated retrieval trial was followed by an extinction event within a specific time frame. This resulted in the weakening of the original memory trace, thereby preventing reversion of the original aversive memory by spontaneous recovery, renewal, or reinstatement (Monfils et al., 2009). Among potential mechanisms, this isolated retrieval trial was contributed to aversive memory lability (Clem and Huganir, 2010; Jarome et al., 2015; Monfils et al., 2009; Quirk et al., 2010). These studies suggest elucidating the mechanism by which retrieval changes the lability of memory is requisite for the development of new clinical treatments for fear-related anxiety disorders.

Currently, the combination of retrieval and extinction paradigms is controversial due to

conflicting findings (Auber et al., 2013; Chan et al., 2010; Costanzi et al., 2011).

Because it is difficult to completely erase the original memory (Ishii et al., 2012), a more

reliable strategy for triggering memory erasure is necessary. In search of this strategy,

we studied the effects that CO_2 inhalation may have on the erasure of a specific

memory. As we showed previously, when mice receive a retrieval tone supplemented

by CO_2 inhalation, the memory becomes more labile than the presentation of a retrieval

tone alone (Du et al., 2017). Within the reconsolidation window, the labile memory

becomes more convertible, either weakened by extinction or strengthened by

reconditioning (Du et al., 2017). Moreover, the effects of CO_2 inhalation on the memory

lability were dependent on acid-sensing ion channels (ASICs), since disrupting ASICs in

the amygdala eliminated these effects of CO_2 (Du et al., 2017).

Recent studies have revealed that protons are neurotransmitters and ASICs serve as

postsynaptic proton receptors that play key roles in neurotransmission and synaptic

plasticity in the amygdala, a brain region that is critical for the formation of aversive

memories (Du et al., 2014). ASICs are members of the Degenerin/ Epithelial sodium

channel (DEG/ ENaC) family (Ben-Shahar, 2011). To date, six family members have

been identified (ASIC1a, ASIC1b, ASIC2a, ASIC2b, ASIC3, and ASIC4) (Waldmann et

al., 1997; Waldmann and Lazdunski, 1998). These proteins assemble as homo- or

heterotrimers to form channels that are proton-gated, voltage-insensitive, permeable to

both Na^+ and Ca^{2+} and activated by extracellular protons (Rook et al., 2020). ASIC1a is

expressed in many areas of the brain, where it contributes to numerous brain functions

and disorders, including hippocampal learning and memory, anxiety, depression, stroke,

neurodegeneration, seizure, inflammation, and nerve injury (Chu and Xiong, 2012; Gao

et al., 2005; Ortega-Ramírez et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018; Wemmie et al., 2002;

Wemmie et al., 2006). ASIC1a is expressed widely in the amygdala and its ion channel

activity is evoked by a reduction in extracellular pH (Taugher et al., 2017; Wemmie et

al., 2003). Presynaptic stimulation induces a transient synaptic drop in pH and activates

ASIC-like excitable postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) in pyramidal neurons; these EPSC

currents are lacking in ASIC1a$^{-/-}$ mice (Mango and Nisticò, 2019; Soto et al., 2018;

Wemmie et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2004). Loss of ASIC1a function also leads to impaired

high-frequency electrical stimulation-induced long-term potentiation (LTP) (Chiang et al.,

2015; Du et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2016; Wemmie et al., 2002). In mice, the disruption of

ASIC1a activity reduces conditioned aversive memory (Coryell et al., 2007; Wemmie et

al., 2004), whereas its overexpression has the opposite effect (Wemmie et al., 2004).

Also, reducing pH by CO_2 inhalation or the injection of acid into the amygdala induced

fear-like behavior that was dependent on ASIC1a (Ziemann et al., 2009).

Evidence suggests that CO_2 inhalation affects the memory trace associated with the

retrieval cue(Du et al., 2017). Additionally, inhalation of CO_2 may reduce pH throughout

the brain (Dulla et al., 2005; Zandbergen et al., 1989) and can have a profound effect on

neural tissue(Ziemann et al., 2009). Despite these discoveries, the mechanism and

level of specificity by which CO_2 and ASICs may specifically regulate memory trace in

the retrieval period is still unknown. In this study, we found that inhalation of CO_2 during

memory retrieval selectively potentiated memory lability in mice. Furthermore,

electrorheological and imaging studies in brain slices support the conclusion that the

effects of CO_2 on memory retrieval are specific to a given memory. Our study proposes

that inhaling CO_2 within the reconsolidation window regulates aversive memory with

specificity, providing a unique angle to further study the mechanism by which memory is

modulated.

Materials and Methods

Mice

For our experiment, we used both male and female mice between 10-14 months of age.

Mice were derived from a congenic C57BL/6 background including wild-type, ASIC1a$^{-/-}$

and TetTag-c-fos-tTA mice. TetTag-cFos-tTA mice were obtained from Jackson

Laboratory and crossed with C57BL/6J mice. Mice carrying the Fos-tTA transgene were

selected; Fos-tTA mice have a Fos promoter driving expression of nuclear-localized,

two-hour half-life EGFP (shEGFP) (Du et al., 2017; Koffman and Du, 2017; Ramirez et

al., 2013). The Fos promoter also drives the expression of tetracycline transactivator

(tTA), which bind to the tetracycline-responsive element (TRE) site on an injected

recombinant adeno-associated virus, AAV$_9$-TRE-mCherry virus, resulting in the

expression of mCherry (Du et al., 2017; Koffman and Du, 2017). Binding of the tTA to

the TRE site is inhibited by doxycycline (DOX). Inhibition of tTA binding prevents target

gene expression (Das et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2012; Ramirez et al., 2013).

Two transgenic lines were crossed to generate Fos-tTA; ASIC1a$^{-/-}$ double knockout

transgenic mice. Both male and female mice age10-14 weeks were randomly selected

for the experiment groups. Experimental mice were maintained on a standard 12-hour

light-dark cycle and received standard chow and water ad libitum. Animal care and

procedures met the National Institutes of Health standards. The University of

Tennessee Health Science Center Laboratory Animal Care Unit (Protocol #19-0112)

and University of Toledo Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol

#108791) approved all procedures.

Aversive conditioning, retrieval, extinction, and memory test

The protocols for each experiment are detailed in the schematics of each figure. All

mice were handled by experimenters for 30 minutes on each of 3 days before aversive

conditioning. On day 1, mice were habituated to the aversive conditioning chamber

(Med Associates Inc.) for 7 minutes. Mice were then exposed to varying conditioning

protocols, as described below.

Experiment 1: Standard one-conditioned stimulus (CS) auditory aversive

conditioning, retrieval, extinction, and memory tests.

On day 1 in a curated environment (context A), the experimental mice were presented

with six pure tones (80 dB, 2 kHz, 20 seconds each) paired with 6 foot shocks - one

shock at the end of each tone (0.7 mA, 2 seconds). The interval between each tone was

100 seconds. On day 2, the mice were placed into a new environment (context B) and

habituated for 4 minutes. Mice then inhaled either unaltered air or air containing 10%

CO_2 for 7 minutes. Five minutes after inhalation of CO_2 or air began, mice were

presented with one 20 second pure tone to retrieve the memory. The mice were then

returned to their home cages. 30 minutes later, the mice returned to the retrieval

chamber (context B) and underwent two rounds of extinctions. In the first round of

extinction, mice were exposed to 20 pure tones with an interval between tones of 100

seconds. Mice were then returned to their home cage. 30 minutes later, the mice went

through the extinction protocol again with 20 pure tones. On day 7, the mice were tested

to see if their aversive response would recur via spontaneous recovery in context B with

4 pure tones. Thirty minutes after spontaneous recovery, the mice were returned to the

original context of the aversive memory, context A, in a recovery protocol with 4 pure

tones.

Experiment 2: Two distinct CSs aversive conditioning, retrieval, extinction, and

memory tests.

This procedure was used to test the specificity of the effects of CO_2 on memory

retrieval. The context settings and parameters are similar to the above standard one CS

auditory aversive conditioning. In contrast to experiment 1, the mice were presented

with three pure tones (80 dB, 2 kHz, 20 seconds each) that alternated with three white

noises (60 dB, 2 kHz, 20 seconds each); all six stimuli were paired with foot shocks. On

day 2, the mice inhaled either unaltered air or air containing 10% CO_2 for 7 minutes.

Five minutes after inhalation of CO_2 or air began, the mice underwent retrieval with one

single pure tone followed by one white noise with or without CO_2. This was followed

thirty minutes later by two sections of extinctions with either pure tones or white noises.

On day 7, the mice were tested via spontaneous recovery and renewal protocols with 4

pure tones and 4 white noises respectively.

Experiment 3: Two distinct CSs aversive conditioning, retrieval, anisomycin, and

memory tests.

In a series of experiments, the standard extinction procedure was replaced with

amygdala infusion of anisomycin (detailed in the surgery procedure below). In brief, the

cannula was implanted on the amygdala 4-7 days before the behavioral experiments.

Starting on day 1, the mice were subjected to the aversive conditioning described in

experiment 2. On day 2, 30 minutes after retrieval, the mice were infused with 62.5

µg/µl anisomycin via the cannula in the lateral nuclei of the amygdala (LA) bilaterally

and returned to their home cage (Debiec et al., 2010). On day 7, the mice were tested

via spontaneous recovery and renewal as described in experiment 2.

Freezing behavior in mice (the absence of movement beyond respiration) is used as a

measure of fear. To evaluate the outcomes of freezing behavior in mice, the percentage

of time during CS presentation spent in freezing was scored automatically using

VideoFreeze software (Med Associates Inc.). In the spontaneous recovery and renewal

tests, outcomes of the percentage of time freezing were averaged from each of the 4

CSs.

Surgery and chemical infusion

For the cannula placement procedure, mice were anesthetized with isoflurane through

an anesthetic vaporizer, secured to the stereotaxic instrument and a cannula made from

a 25-gauge needle was inserted bilaterally into LA (relative to bregma: -1.2 mm

anterioposterior; ±3.5 mm mediolateral; -4.3 mm dorsoventral) (Du et al., 2017; Koffman

and Du, 2017). Dental cement secured the cannula and bone anchor screw in place.

Mice recovered for 4-5 days before any subsequent testing was carried out. A 10 µL

Hamilton syringe connected to a 30-gauge injector was inserted 1 mm past the cannula

tip to inject anisomycin (diluted in 1 µl artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF), pH 7.3) over

5 sec. The injection sites were mapped post-mortem by sectioning the brain (10 µm

coronal) and performing cresyl violet staining.

Brain slice preparation and patch-clamp recording of amygdala neurons

Ten minutes after the memory retrieval experiment ended, mice were euthanized with

overdosed isoflurane and whole brains were dissected into pre-oxygenated (5% CO_2

and 95% O_2) ice-cold high sucrose dissection solution containing (in mM): 205 sucrose,

5 KCl, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 5 $MgSO_4$, 26 $NaHCO_3$, 1 $CaCl_2$, and 25 glucose (Du et al., 2017).

A vibratome sliced brains coronally into 300 µm sections that were maintained in normal

ACSF containing (in mM): 115 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 2 $CaCl_2$, 1 $MgCl_2$, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 11

glucose, 25 $NaHCO_3$ bubbled with 95% O_2/5% CO_2, pH 7.35 at 20°C-22°C. Slices were

incubated in the ACSF at least 1 hour before recording. For experiments, individual

slices were transferred to a submersion-recording chamber and were continuously

perfused with the 5% CO_2/95% O_2 solution (~3.0 ml/min) at room temperature (20°C -

22°C).

As we described previously (5), pyramidal neurons in the lateral amygdala were studied

using whole-cell patch-clamp recordings. The pipette solution containing (in mM): 135

KSO3CH3, 5 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 4 MgATP, 0.3 Na3GTP, 0.5 K-EGTA (mOsm=290,

adjusted to pH 7.25 with KOH). The pipette resistance (measured in the bath solution)

was 3-5 MΩ. High-resistance (>1 GΩ) seals were formed in voltage-clamp mode.

Picrotoxin (100 µM) was added to the ACSF throughout the recordings to yield

excitatory responses. In AMPAR current rectification experiments, we applied D-APV

(100 µM) to block NMDAR-conducted EPSCs. The peak amplitude of ESPCs was

measured to determine current rectification. The amplitude was measured ranging from

-80 mV to +60 mV in 20 mV steps. The peak amplitude of EPSCs at -80 mV and +60

mV was measured for the rectification index. In EPSC ratio experiments, neurons were

measured at -80 mV to record AMPAR-EPSCs and were measured at +60 mV to record

NMDAR-EPSCs. To determine the AMPAR-to-NMDAR ratio, we measured the peak

amplitude of ESPCs at -80 mV as AMPAR-currents, and peak amplitude of EPSCs at

+60 mV at 70 ms as NMDAR-currents after onset. Data were acquired at 10 kHz using

Multiclamp 700B and pClamp 10.1 (RRID:SCR_011323). The mEPSCs events (>5pA)

were analyzed in Clampfit 10.1. The decay time (τ) of mEPSCs was fitted to an

exponential using Clampfit 10.1.

Immunohistochemistry and cell counting

The pAAV-TRE-mCherry plasmid was obtained from the laboratory of Dr. Susumu

Tonegawa (Liu et al., 2012; Ramirez et al., 2013), and was used to produce $AAV_{2/9}$ by

the University of Iowa Gene Transfer Vector Core. For one week leading up to virus

microinjection, TetTag Fos-tTA mice were fed with food containing 40 mg/kg DOX. We

used a 10 µl Hamilton microsyringe and a WPI microsyringe pump to inject virus (0.5 µl

of 1.45E+12 viral genomes/ml of $AAV_{2/9}$-TRE-mCherry) bilaterally into the amygdala

(relative to bregma: -1.2 mm anterioposterior; ±3.5 mm mediolateral; -4.3 mm

dorsoventral), as described previously (Du et al., 2017; Koffman and Du, 2017). For

a two-week window between surgery and behavior training, mice were housed and fed

with a DOX-containing diet. The DOX-containing diet was ceased twenty-four hours

before aversive conditioning began on day one (replaced by a regular diet), then

immediately restarted afterward. Thirty minutes after retrieval on day two, the mice were

euthanized according to protocol. We used transcardial perfusion with 4%

paraformaldehyde (PFA) to fix whole brains, followed by continued fixation in 4% PFA at

4°C for 24 hours (Wright et al., 2020). Following perfusion, we used a vibratome (Leica

VT-1000S) to dissect 50 µm amygdala coronal slices, which were collected in ice-cold

PBS. In order to complete immunostaining, slices were placed in Superblock solution

(ThermoFisher Scientific) plus 0.2% Triton X-100 for 1 hour and incubated with primary

antibodies (1:1000 dilution) at 4°C for 24 hours (Du et al., 2017). Primary antibodies we

used include: rabbit polyclonal IgG anti-RFP (Rockland Cat# 600-401-379 RRID:AB_

2209751); chicken IgY anti-GFP (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# A10262 RRID:AB_

2534023) and mouse anti-NeuN (Millipore Cat# MAB377X RRID:AB_2149209) (Liu et

al., 2012; Ramirez et al., 2013). We then washed and incubated slices for one hour with

secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-chicken IgG (H+L) (Molecular Probes

Cat# A-11039 also A11039 RRID:AB_142924); Alexa Fluor 568 goat anti-rabbit IgG

(H+L) (Molecular Probes Cat# A-21429 also A21429 RRID:AB_141761); Alexa Fluor

647 goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# A-21235 RRID:AB_

2535804), 1:200 dilution). VectaShield H-1500 (Vector Laboratories Cat# H-1500 RRID:

AB_2336788) was used to mount slices, while confocal microscopy was used to view

the slices. We used ImageJ software (RRID:SCR_003070) to analyze dendritic spine

morphology. Thin, mushroom and stubby spines were categorized based on the

following parameters: 1) mushroom spines: head-to-neck diameter ratio >1.1:1 and

spine head diameter >0.35 µm; 2); thin spines: head-to-neck diameter ratio >1.1:1 and

spine head diameter >0.35 µm or spine head-to-neck diameter ratios <1.1:1 and spine

length-to-neck diameter > 2.5 µm; 3); stubby spines: spine head-to-neck diameter ratios

<1.1:1 and spine length-to-neck diameter ≤ 2.5 µm (Kreple et al., 2014; Wright et al.,

2020).

Statistical analysis

One-way ANOVA and Tukey's post-hoc multiple comparison tests were used for

statistical comparison of groups. An unpaired Student's t-test was used to compare

results between two groups. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant, and we did

not exclude potential outliers from our data. The graphing and statistical analysis

software Graphpad Prism 8 (RRID:SCR_002798) was used to analyze statistical data,

which was presented as means ± SEM. Sample sizes (n) are indicated in the figure

legends, and data are reported as biological replicates (data from different mice,

different brain slices). Each group contained tissues pooled from 4-5 mice. Due

to variable behavior within groups, we used sample sizes of 10-16 mice per

experimental group as we previously described in earlier experiments (Du et al., 2017).

In behavioral studies, we typically studied groups with four randomly assigned animals

per group, as our recording equipment allowed us to record four separate animal cages

simultaneously. The experiments were repeated with another set of four animals until

we reached the target number of experimental mice per group. Experimentation groups

were repeated in this manner so that each animal had the same controlled environment-

the same time of day and with similar handling, habituation, and processes.

Results

Our recent studies suggested that CO_2 inhalation throughout memory retrieval

enhances the lability of the memory and boosts the efficiency of the memory erasure

(Du et al., 2017). To further test whether the effects of CO_2 on the memory retrieval are

synapse-specific, we designed a series of unique experiments that were able to

generate two distinct auditory aversive memories and identify the specificity of CO_2

effects on each of them.

CO_2 selectively potentiates the lability of auditory aversive memory in the

amygdala

Previous studies have described an aversive conditioning paradigm in which memory

can be selectively reactivated and reconsolidated, suggesting synapse-specific

reconsolidation of distinct aversive memories in the amygdala (Debiec et al., 2010;

Doyere et al., 2007). We followed this paradigm albeit with modifications (Fig.1A). On

day 1, we trained the animals with two distinct conditioned stimuli: three pure tones and

three white noises paired with one foot-shock per stimuli as aversive unconditioned

stimuli (US) (see the detailed description in Materials and Methods). We evaluated the

outputs of the aversive conditioning through the percentage of the freezing time within

the time of CSs. The freezing was significantly increased after each of the three

conditioned stimuli, indicating the mice were trained sufficiently under the designed

condition (Fig.1B, F).

On day 2, the animals were placed into a new context (context B) and presented with

one pure tone followed by a single noise (or vice versa) to retrieve the memory (Fig. 1C,

Fig. s1C). The animals were then returned to their home cages. 30 minutes later, all

mice underwent two blocks of extinctions in context B (extinction 1: 20 pure tones;

extinction 2: 20 pure tones) (Fig.1D, Fig. s1D). After the extinction, the freezing drops

down to a low level (Fig. 1D, Fig. s1D). Five days later, the mice underwent

spontaneous recovery (context B) and renewal (context A) respectively. Four tones and

four noises were presented throughout the memory test (Fig.1E, Fig. s1E). The group

that underwent extinction with a specific CS showed specificity in which freezing

response was lowered after extinction (Fig.1E, Fig. s1E). For example, when the pure

tone was presented during extinction, freezing in the pure tone group was lower than

freezing in the noise group (Fig.1E), and vice versa (Fig. s1E).

When retrieval was paired with 10% CO_2 inhalation, memory erasure effects were

enhanced (Fig.1 F-I, Fig. s1F-I). To further evaluate the specificity of the effects of

reconsolidation on memory modifications, we designed another retrieval protocol in

which we present pure tone and noise, either of them paired with 10% CO_2 inhalation,

followed by an unrelated extinction of CS, white noise, or pure tone (Fig. s2). Consistent

with our expectation, CO_2 did not boost the effects on the retrieved memory in absence

of extinction (Fig. s2B-I). The application of 10% CO_2 to the retrieval event failed to

enhance the outcome after extinction, indicating a specificity of the CO_2 effects. In all,

our data suggest that memory encoded in the amygdala can be distinct, and the effects

of CO_2 on memory are specific.

To focus on testing the specific effects of CO_2 on retrieval, we performed a series of

experiments replacing extinction with an injection of a protein synthesis inhibitor,

anisomycin, to extinguish the aversive memory. Anisomycin, when injected bilaterally

into the amygdala after retrieval, causes memory erasure compared to the saline

injection group (Debiec et al., 2010). Our experiments found anisomycin to sufficiently

disrupt memory during reconsolidation (Fig. 2B-E), and that memory retrieval is required

for memory erasure with anisomycin injection (Fig. s3 B-E). Consistent with the above

extinction results, when retrieval was paired with 10% CO_2 inhalation, we found

anisomycin groups to show an increased reduction in aversive response - further

confirming that CO_2 enhances memory lability (Fig. 2F-I). We then conditioned the mice

with pure tone and white noise and carried out memory retrieval with both CSs and

found anisomycin distinguished the memory in both tone and noise groups (Fig. s3 F-

M). When 10% CO_2 was applied while the CSs were presented, the retrieval group

paired with CO_2 showed less freezing, regardless of the type of CSs (pure tone or white

noise) (Fig.s4 B-I). As a control, we applied CO_2 for both retrieval events together and

anisomycin decreased the freezing level in both groups, suggesting that CO_2 had equal

effects on both tone and noise (Fig. s4 J-Q). As another control, the saline injection

does not cause a memory erasure, indicating the effect of anisomycin on the memory

(Fig. s5). In all, our data has confirmed the effects of CO_2 are specific on a distinct

memory that is activated by a specific CS. We also found that CO_2 does not affect

another CS activated aversive memory, suggesting specificity of CO_2 effects on the

memory trace.

The specific effects of CO_2 on memory lability is ASIC-dependent.

We have previously found the effects of CO_2 on memory retrieval to be ASIC dependent

(Du et al., 2017). However, it is still unknown if CO_2 application applied to a specific

memory trace affects an ASIC-dependent mechanism. To answer this question, we first

performed distinct aversive conditioning in ASIC1a^{-/-} mice with three pure tones and

white noises on day 1 (Fig. 3A), followed by a pure tone and white noise for retrieval

on day 2. 30 minutes post-retrieval, we performed extinctions with pure tones. Five days

later, we tested spontaneous recovery and renewals with 4 pure tones and white

noises. Similar to the response we saw in WT mice, the freezing level in the pure tone

group of ASIC1a^{-/-} mice was less than that in the white noise group (spontaneous

recovery, 46% decrease; renewal, 47.5% decrease). When 10% CO_2 inhalation was

paired with pure tone in retrieval, we found that CO_2 did not have additional effects

on the memory with the specific CS in ASIC1a^{-/-} mice (spontaneous recovery, 43.4%

decrease; renewal, 45.6% decrease) (Fig. 3F-I). We had predicted that the effects of

CO_2 on memory retrieval to be ASIC dependent and our data support this expectation.

We also applied the two distinct CSs (pure tone and white noise) in retrieval, then

followed retrieval with anisomycin injection. Anisomycin dramatically reduced the

freezing in memory tests that followed, whereas pairing with CO_2 in either CSs did not

cause an additional reduction in the ASIC1a^{-/-} mice, suggesting an ASIC dependency

(Fig. 4).

To provide evidence that an acute ASIC1a blockage was able to eliminate the effects of

CO_2, we injected 100nM PcTX1 into the lateral amygdala bilaterally 1 hour before the

application of CO_2 to the retrieval (Fig. 5A). Our data suggest that compared to the

saline injection group (Fig. 5 B-E), inhibiting ASIC1a by PcTX1 reduced the CO_2 effects

on the memory retrieval (Fig. 5 F-I), similar to the data in the ASIC1a^{-/-} mice (Fig. 3).

This evidence leads us to conclude the effects of CO_2 on specific memory traces to be

ASIC dependent.

Activation of ASICs through CO_2 inhalation alters reconsolidation of distinct

memory through alteration of AMPARs.

AMPARs are glutamatergic receptors that have crucial roles in modulating memory

retrieval and destabilization (Auber et al., 2013; Chan et al., 2010; Clem and Huganir,

2010; Monfils et al., 2009; Quirk et al., 2010). Previous studies have suggested that an

exchange of Ca^{2+}- impermeable AMPARs (CI-AMPARs) for Ca^{2+}- permeable AMPARs

(CP-AMPARs) occurs after retrieval (Clem and Huganir, 2010; Hong et al., 2013). 10%

CO_2 inhalation during retrieval induced a stronger current rectification of AMPARs (the

signature of CP-AMPARs) than in the retrieval alone group, indicating a greater

exchange of AMPARs (Du et al., 2017). Interestingly, no further enhancement was

observed in the ASIC1a^{-/-} brain slices, indicating that the effect of CO_2 inhalation on

AMPAR exchange is ASIC dependent (Du et al., 2017). To further study whether

416 CO_2 specifically alters the AMPARs exchange in retrieval, we designed a unique

417 experiment to separate the aversive conditioning and retrieval and measure the

418 rectification of AMPARs. To study this, we conditioned the mice with 6 pure tones on

419 day 1 (Fig. 6B, left). On day 2, the mice were divided into 4 groups for the retrieval-pure

420 tone only; pure tone plus 10% CO_2 inhalation; white noise only; white noise+10% CO_2

421 inhalation (Fig. 6B, right). Ten minutes after retrieval, we dissected brain slices and

422 AMPAR current was recorded in the pyramidal neurons in the lateral amygdala through

423 stimulation of thalamic inputs (Fig. 6A). Rectification, a signature of CP-AMPARs, was

424 compared among all groups. Consistent with earlier reports, pure tone retrieval

425 increased current rectification (Clem and Huganir, 2010; Hong et al., 2013) and

426 CO_2 paired with pure tone retrieval caused stronger rectification (Fig. 6C). However,

427 when white noise presented as the retrieval event, both white noise and white noise

428 plus CO_2 failed to cause a significant rectification compared to the pure tone group

429 (Fig. 6C). This data supports our prediction that CO_2 associates with a specific memory

430 trace that was reactivated. To avoid artificial effects by conditioned stimuli, we switched

431 over the pure tone and white noise in the aversive conditioning and retrieval. Similar

432 results were observed, confirming the effects of CO_2 were not artificial (Fig. 6D, E).

434 In the duration of these experiments, we were interested in determining whether

435 synaptic strength had been changed with the application of an unrelated retrieval CS

436 and CO_2. The ratio of AMPAR-EPSCs to NMDAR-EPSCs might represent the strength

437 of the synapse (Rao and Finkbeiner, 2007). Previous studies reported that the AMPAR/

438 NMDAR-EPSC ratio increased after aversive conditioning whereas retrieval does not

potentiate further increase, suggesting that memory retrieval did not alter the synaptic

strength (Clem and Huganir, 2010; Hong et al., 2013). Our previous studies also

indicated that CO_2 inhalation during memory retrieval did not strengthen the synapse in

the amygdala (Du et al., 2017). Here, we further tested whether the pairing of CO_2

inhalation with the specific retrieval CS influences the strength of a synapse. Currents

were recorded at -80mV for AMPAR-EPSCs and +60mV for NMDAR-EPSCs. Our data

suggest that retrieval plus CO_2 inhalation did not change the AMPAR/ NMDAR-EPSCs

ratio (Fig. 6F). Moreover, the characteristic of miniature EPSCs (mEPSCs) was not

altered (Fig. 6G). In addition, the pairing of CO_2 with another unrelated CS in retrieval

did not change the strength of the synapse (Fig. 6F, G). This data suggests that

memory retrieval and CO_2 inhalation enhance the destabilization of the synapse without

changing synaptic strength.

The effects of CO_2 inhalation on distinct memory trace.

Our previous studies indicate that CO_2 enhances memory trace that is associated with

aversive conditioning [5]. In this experiment, we examined the mechanism behind the

specificity of CO_2 effects on memory traces. Using the TetTag-c-fos driven-GFP mouse

model, neurons in the amygdala involved in memory trace after aversive conditioning

can be labeled with a long-lasting mCherry fluorescent protein and the neuron in the

retrieval trace can be labeled with a short-half life (2 hours) nuclear-localized EGFP

(shEGFP) (Fig. 7A, B) (see the details in Materials and Methods) (Du et al., 2017;

Koffman and Du, 2017). In this experiment, the mice were first conditioned with pure

tone sounds, activating the associated neurons that were labeled with mCherry (Fig.

7C). Right after aversive conditioning, the mice were immediately fed with a DOX diet

thereby preventing further mCherry labeling. On day 2, the mice were divided into two

groups - one group of mice were presented with a single pure tone to retrieve the

memory, another was presented with a white noise. A temporary, 2-hour half-life,

shEGFP was labeled after the retrieval. Thirty minutes after the retrieval event, we

sliced the amygdala and imaged shEGFP- and mCherry-positive cells (Fig. 7B, D).

Compared to pure tone aversive conditioning/pure tone retrieval group, inhalation of

CO_2 in the pure tone aversive conditioning/white noise retrieval group did not result in an

increase of neurons positive for expression of both mCherry-positive and shEGFP-

positive neurons (overlapped labeling, yellow) (Fig. 7E). Control experiments to identify

the efficiency of the aversive conditioning on the expression of mCherry on the cells

were performed (Fig. 7F). These findings indicate that CO_2 only enhances the memory

trace that has been reactivated; CO_2 paired with unrelated triggers does not affect the

original memory trace. These findings suggest a specific effect of CO_2 on the memory

trace.

Dendritic spine morphology after retrieval was also a topic of interest for us to study;

while not absolute, spine morphology has been widely indicated in the mechanism of

synaptic plasticity (Woolfrey and Srivastava, 2016; Wright et al., 2020; Yang et al.,

2009). Dendritic spines are the primary target of neurotransmission input in the central

nervous system (Bourne and Harris, 2008), and their density and structure provide the

basis for physiological changes in synaptic efficacy that underlie learning and memory

(Bailey et al., 2015). Spine formation and plasticity are regulated by many conditions,

including exterior stimulation and behavior (Gipson and Olive, 2017). We hypothesized

that CO_2 inhalation during retrieval alters both structure and plasticity of dendritic spines.

The molecular mechanism by which CO_2 regulates spine plasticity may explain how CO_2

converts memory into the labile stage.

Using the TetTag-c-fos driven-GFP mouse model, we imaged spine structure in

overlapping neurons in each group (pure tone aversive conditioning, pure tone retrieval

and pure tone aversive conditioning, white noise retrieval) (Fig. 7A, C). We assessed

spine density and morphology in the amygdala of the brain slices. Mature spines-most

of which display "mushroom-like" morphology - have more stable postsynaptic

structures enriched in AMPARs. In contrast, immature spines with a "thin-like"

morphology, are unstable postsynaptic structures that have the transitional ability.

Immature dendritic spines are thought to be responsible for synaptic plasticity, as they

have the potential for strengthening (Berry and Nedivi, 2017). The categories of spines

were identified based on the parameters in the previous studies (Fig. 7G) (see the

details in the Material and Methods) (Kreple et al., 2014; Wright et al., 2020). The

behavior procedure was described in Fig. 7C, the animal was trained by aversive

conditioning with a tone as a CS and followed by a retrieval on day 2 with tone or

noise. We found increased spine numbers after aversive conditioning (Fig. 7H),

indicating aversive conditioning increases synaptic strength. There was no additional

increase in the density of spines in all groups, suggesting that retrieval and CO_2

inhalation did not change the synaptic strength (Fig. 7H, lower-right).

We further analyzed spine subtypes as described in the experimental procedures. Thin

spines are deemed to represent the immature structure of the synapses (Berry and

Nedivi, 2017), thus we examined the percentage of thin spines to total spines.

Interestingly, we found there to be more thin spines in the retrieval group; this suggests

a greater amount of synaptic plasticity in this group (Fig. 7H, lower-middle). More

excitingly, when the retrieval group (tone) was paired with CO_2, we found an additional

increase of thin spines compared to the retrieval group alone (Fig. 7H, lower-middle).

This finding suggests that CO_2 indeed boosts synaptic plasticity compared to memory

retrieval alone. Consistently, the mushroom spine numbers decreased in the tone and

CO_2 paired retrieval groups, suggesting a higher turnover rate when the animal

underwent memory retrieval. However, in experiments where the mice were trained with

pure tone but in the retrieval were presented with white noise (a generated unrelated

CS), we found that in the noise-retrieval group with or without CO_2 inhalation, the thin

spine number did not increase compared to the pure tone - retrieval group (Fig. 7H,

lower-middle). This finding supports the specific effect of CO_2 on the memory trace.

Discussion

When a newly formed aversive memory turns into a labile stage before being stored in a

long-term stable stage, it can be easily disrupted (Alberini, 2011). The time window in

which a memory is labile is known as reconsolidation (Schiller et al., 2012). In previous

studies, it has been found that a retrieval event utilizing a single tone can retrieve the

memory and turn into the labile stage during the reconsolidation window (Monfils et al.,

2009). Within this reconsideration window, memory is sensitive to the updating process

that may either enhance or weaken the original memory (Du et al., 2017). As a result,

the reconsolidation window is the key to determining the lability of a memory. A recent

study of ours found that when mice inhale 10% CO_2 during retrieval, memory lability

increases, and later update processes within the reconsolidation window can replace

the original memory (Du et al., 2017). Although the effects of CO_2 on memory retrieval is

significant, whether CO_2 has direct and specific effects on memory retrieval is still

unknown. Also, it is known that two associative memories can be independently

reconsolidated even though they share the same aversive outcome (Debiec et al., 2010;

Doyere et al., 2007). We thus designed unique behavioral approaches with distinct CS

and US to address the specificity of the effects of CO_2. These behavioral protocols

provide approaches to study the specific effects of retrieval and reconsolidation.

Applications of CO_2 to the one of two (aversely conditioned) reactivated memories

enhances its lability, but not the other one.

How does CO_2 enhance the lability of aversive memory specifically? We have shown

that CO_2 activates ASIC1a by decreasing pH in the brain during retrieval. Activation

of ASIC1a increases post-synaptic intracellular calcium and increases AMPAR

exchange (Du et al., 2017). Previous studies regarding the mechanism of retrieval have

revealed the exchange from CI-AMPARs to CP-AMPARs in synapses in the amygdala

(Clem and Huganir, 2010; Hong et al., 2013) after presenting the CS. The emphasis of

this study is to detect the specificity of CO_2 effects on the exchange of the AMPARs in

synapses of the lateral amygdala. We conditioned the mice with a pure tone CS and

reactivated the memory with the pure tone with or without CO_2 inhalation. Consistent

with our previous data, CO_2 increases AMPAR exchange when it is paired with retrieval.

However, when mice were presented with an unrelated CS during the retrieval stage,

CO_2 did not change the AMPAR exchange - suggesting the effects of CO_2 on memory

trace are specific. In addition, synaptic strength (ratio of AMPAR/NMDAR and amplitude

of the mEPSCs) was not altered while applying CO_2 during retrieval, with or without

combining with the memory trace. The exchange of CI-AMPARs to CP-AMPARs

indicates a synaptic plasticity change. Interestingly, CO_2 did not increase the total

number of spines compared to the retrieval alone group, which suggests the strength of

the synapse in a memory trace does not change. In addition, thin spine density

significantly increased when retrieval was combined with CO_2 inhalation, suggesting that

CO_2 application changes plasticity. Using this model, we found when an unrelated CS

was presented in retrieval, no additional increase of immature spine density was found.

This finding indicates no plasticity change in the memory trace. Thus, we can conclude

from our findings - the effects of CO_2 on memory trace are specific.

Identifying the specificity of how CO_2 affects brain function is not a simple finding.

Although we have provided evidence that CO_2 indeed acts with specificity on a memory

trace, this study did not completely uncover *why* CO_2 directly regulates a memory; we

cannot exclude the possibility that CO_2 might trigger specific effects on memory through

other targets. For instance, CO_2 inhalation increases cerebral blood flow and arterial

blood pressure and might affect brain functions, such as cognition. Although no direct

evidence supports the possibility that increased cerebral blood flow and arterial blood

pressure affect learning and memory, further studies still need to be performed in the

future to address why CO_2 affects learning and memory with specificity. Moreover, we

cannot exclude the probability that synapses in other brain regions and that other

behavior (*e.g.* appetitive behaviors and drug additive behaviors) might show similar

responses to CO_2. This opens the door for future research to further explore the

specificity of CO_2 effects on other behaviors.

In conclusion, the effects of CO_2 on the lability of aversive memory are found to be

specific under certain conditions. Our research tests the novel hypothesis that protons

are neurotransmitters that activate the postsynaptic proton receptors, ASICs, to

manipulate memory updates. This non-invasive, drug-free methodology is innovative,

efficacious, and safe for translation to clinical use. As a result, this research may lead to

an effective complementary treatment for many mental health-related disorders for

which efficient treatments are lacking. We hope this research will lead to new areas of

inquiry via CO_2-related mechanisms that underlie memory modification and lead to the

development of novel therapies for fear-related anxiety disorders such as PTSD.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Acknowledgments

We thank Olivia Miller, Melissa Curtis, Nora Abdul-Aziz, Rida Naqvi, Caitlin Kilmurry,

Becca Sturges, Jen Page, Chase Carr, Jordan Jones for their assistance. We thank

Drs. Susumu Tonegawa for providing the TRE-mCherry plasmid. J.Du. is supported by

the National Institutes of Mental Health (1R01MH113986), the University of Toledo

start-up fund, and the University of Tennessee Health Science Center start-up fund.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: CO_2 inhalation during a selective memory retrieval potentiate the effect of the extinction. **(A)** Representative schematic of protocol for the aversive conditioning, memory retrieval, extinction, and memory test (spontaneous recovery and renewal). On day1, the mice were subjected to 3 pure tones and 3 white noises, paired with 6-foot shocks in context A. One day after, the mice were placed in context B and were subjected to both tone and noise as retrieval events. 30 mins after retrieval, the mice were treated with 2 blocks of extinctions with a pure tone as the CS. On day 7, spontaneous recovery and renewal were tested individually in context B and then context A. 4 pure tones and 4 white noises were presented as CSs during each memory testing. **(B-E)** Data are presented by the percentage of freezing time during the CSs (tone and noise) in aversive conditioning **(B)**, retrievals (tone and noise) **(C)**, two sections of extinction with tone **(D)**, memory test of spontaneous recovery (Spon Rec) and renewal with tone and noise **(E)**. **(F-I)** Data are presented by the percentage of freezing time during the CSs (tone and noise) in aversive conditioning **(F)**, retrievals (tone plus CO_2 inhalation and noise) **(G)**, two sections of extinction with tone **(H)**, memory test of spontaneous recovery (Spon Rec) and renewal with tone and noise **(I)**. Data are mean ± SEM. n = 10-12 mice in each group. * indicates $p < 0.05$ by unpaired Student's t-test.

Figure 2: CO_2 inhalation during memory retrieval potentiate the effect of the anisomycin. **(A)** Representative schematic of the protocol for aversive conditioning, memory retrieval, anisomycin injection, and memory test (spontaneous recovery and

renewal). On day1, the mice were subjected to 6 pure tones, paired with 6 foot shocks

in context A. One day after CS, the mice were placed in context B and were subjected

to one single tone as a retrieval event with or without CO_2 inhalation. 30 mins after

retrieval, the mice were then infused with 62.5µg/µl anisomycin or saline and then

returned to their home cage. On day 7, spontaneous recovery and renewal were tested

individually in context B and then context A. 4 pure tones were presented as CSs during

each memory testing. **(B-E)** Data are presented by the percentage of freezing time

during the tone presentation in aversive conditioning **(B)**, retrieval (tone) **(C)**,

anisomycin or saline infusion in the amygdala **(D)**, spontaneous recovery (Spon Rec),

and renewal test with tones **(E)**. **(F-I)** Data are presented by the percentage of freezing

time during the tone presentation in aversive conditioning **(F)**, retrieval (tone) with or

without CO_2 **(G)**, anisomycin infusion **(H)**, spontaneous recovery (Spon Rec), and

renewal test with tones **(I)**. Data are mean ± SEM. n = 8-10 mice in each group. *

indicates $p<0.05$ by unpaired Student's t-test.

Figure 3: The effect of CO_2 inhalation on selective memory retrieval is ASIC-

dependent. (A) Representative schematic of protocol for the aversive conditioning,

memory retrieval, extinction, and memory test (spontaneous recovery and renewal) in

ASIC1a^{-/-} mice. On day1, the mice were subjected to 3 pure tones and 3 white noises,

paired with 6 foot shocks in context A. One day later, the mice were placed in context B

and subjected to both tone and noise as retrieval events. 30 mins after retrieval, the

mice were treated with 2 blocks of extinctions with a pure tone as the CS. On day 7,

spontaneous recovery and renewal were tested individually in context B and then

context A. 4 pure tones and 4 white noises were presented as CSs during each memory

testing. **(B-E)** Data in ASIC1a$^{-/-}$ mice are presented by the percentage of freezing time

during the CSs (tone and noise) in aversive conditioning **(B)**, retrievals (tone and noise)

(C), two sections of extinction with tone **(D)**, memory test of spontaneous recovery

(Spon Rec) and renewal with tone and noise **(E)**. **(F-I)** Data in ASIC1a$^{-/-}$ mice in

aversive conditioning **(B)**, retrievals (pure tone plus 10% CO_2 inhalation and white

noise) **(C)**, two sections of extinction with tone **(D)**, memory test of spontaneous

recovery (Spon Rec) and renewal with tone and noise **(E)**. Data are mean ± SEM. n =

12-16 mice in each group. * indicates p<0.05 by unpaired Student's t-test.

Figure 4: The CO_2 potentiated effect of anisomycin is ASIC1a-dependent.

(A) Representative schematic of protocol for the aversive conditioning, memory

retrieval, anisomycin injection, and memory test (spontaneous recovery and renewal).

On day 1, the mice were subjected to 6 pure tones, paired with 6 foot shocks in context

A. One day after, the mice were placed in context B and subjected to one single tone as

a retrieval event with or without CO_2 inhalation. 30 mins after retrieval, the mice were

infused with 62.5 μg/μl anisomycin or saline and then returned to their home cage. On

day 7, spontaneous recovery and renewal were tested individually in context B and then

context A. 4 pure tones were presented as CSs during each memory testing. **(B-E)** Data

in ASIC1a$^{-/-}$ mice are presented by the percentage of freezing time during the tone

presentation in aversive conditioning **(B)**, retrieval (tone) with or without CO_2 **(C)**,

anisomycin infusion in the amygdala **(D)**, spontaneous recovery (Spon Rec) and

renewal test with tones **(E)**. **(F)** Representative schematic of protocol for the aversive

conditioning, memory retrieval, extinction, and memory test (spontaneous recovery and

renewal). On day 1, ASIC1a$^{-/-}$ mice were subjected to 3 pure tones and 3 white noises,

paired with 6 foot shocks in context A. One day later, the mice were placed in context B

and subjected to both tone and noise as retrieval events. 30 mins after retrieval, the

mice were infused with anisomycin or saline and returned to their home cage. On day 7,

spontaneous recovery and renewal were tested individually in context B and then

context A. 4 pure tones and 4 white noises were presented as CSs during each memory

testing. **(G-J)** Data are presented by the percentage of freezing time during the tone

presentation in aversive conditioning **(G)**, retrieval (noise plus CO_2 inhalation, then tone)

(H), anisomycin infusion in the amygdala **(I)**, spontaneous recovery (Spon Rec), and

renewal test with tones **(J)**. **(K-N)** Data are presented by the percentage of freezing time

during the tone presentation in aversive conditioning **(K)**, retrieval (tone) with or without

CO_2 **(L)**, anisomycin infusion in the amygdala **(M)**, spontaneous recovery (Spon Rec),

and renewal test with tones **(NI)**. Data are mean ± SEM. n = 12-16 mice in each group.

$P > 0.05$ by unpaired Student's t-test between groups.

Figure 5: Blockage of ASIC1a in the amygdala reduces the CO_2 effects on

selective memory retrieval. (A) Representative schematic of protocol for the aversive

conditioning, PcTX-1 injection, memory retrieval, extinction, and memory test

(spontaneous recovery and renewal). On day1, the mice were subjected to 3 pure tones

and 3 white noises, paired with 6 foot shocks in context A. One day later, the mice were

injected with 100nM PcTX-1 or saline, then the mice were placed in context B and

subjected to both tone and noise as retrieval events with or without CO_2. 30 mins after

retrieval, the mice were treated with 2 blocks of extinctions with a pure tone as the CS.

On day 7, spontaneous recovery and renewal were tested individually in context B and

then context A. 4 pure tones and 4 white noises were presented as CSs during each

memory testing. **(B-E)** Data are presented by the percentage of freezing time during the

CSs (tone and noise) in aversive conditioning **(B)**, retrievals (tone plus CO_2 inhalation

and noise) after saline injection in the amygdala **(C)**, two sections of extinction with

tone **(D)**, memory test of spontaneous recovery (Spon Rec) and renewal with tone and

noise **(E)**. **(F-I)** Data are presented by the percentage of freezing time during the CSs

(tone and noise) in aversive conditioning **(F)**, retrievals (tone plus CO_2 inhalation and

noise) after PcTx-1 injection in the amygdala **(G)**, two sections of extinction with tone

(H), memory test of spontaneous recovery (Spon Rec) and renewal with tone and

noise **(I)**. Data are mean ± SEM. n = 12 mice in each group. * indicates $p < 0.05$

by unpaired Student's t-test.

Figure 6: CO_2 inhalation during a selective memory retrieval enhances the

retrieval dependent AMPAR current rectification. (A) Representative schematic of

the protocol. On day 1, the animal underwent 6 CSs (tones or noses), paired with 6-foot

shocks in context A. On day 2, the mice were divided into 4 groups for the retrieval-pure

tone only; pure tone plus 10% CO_2 inhalation; white noise only; white noise+ 10% CO_2

inhalation. Ten minutes after retrieval, the brain slices were dissected and AMPAR

current was recorded in the pyramidal neurons in the lateral amygdala through

stimulation of thalamic input. **(B-E)** Mice underwent 6 pure tones **(B)** or 6 noises **(D)** in

aversive conditioning, Data are presented by the percentage of freezing time during the

tone presentation in aversive conditioning, retrieval (noise plus CO_2 inhalation, then

tone). **(C, E)** Left, AMPARs current-voltage relationships in the recorded neurons. Insets

show an example of the AMPAR-EPSCs in -80mV and + 60mV. Right, AMPAR

rectification index (I-80 mV / I+60 mV). Data are mean±SEM. n = 20-26 for each group.

* indicates $p<0.05$ by ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc multiple comparisons. 'ns' indicates

not statistically significant. **(F)** Left, examples of EPSC recordings of AMPAR-EPSCs (-

80mV) and NMDAR-EPSCs (+60mV). Right, AMPAR/NMDAR EPSC ratios. Current

amplitudes were measured 70 ms after onset. n = 20-26 for each group. **(G)** Miniature

EPSCs recordings from the neurons after retrieval. Upper, representative mEPSC

traces from different groups. Lower, cumulative distributions of mEPSC amplitudes,

inter-event intervals, and decay-times. n = 25-40 for each group. Data are mean ± SEM.

There were no statistically significant differences among groups by ANOVA with

Tukey's post hoc multiple comparisons. 'ns' indicates not statistically significant.

Figure 7: CO_2 inhalation during a selective memory retrieval enhances the

retrieval-related memory trace. (A) Schematic showing the c-Fos-tTA-GFP mouse

system combined with an AAV_9-mCherry to label a specific memory trace. The Fos

promoter in transgenic mice was activated by activities, followed by a transient, 2-hour

half-life, GFP expression in the cells. When the $AAV_{2/9}$-mCherry virus was injected into

the brain, the activation of c-FOS also induces the expression of mCherry. When the

mice were fed with DOX, the mCherry expression was interrupted. **(B)** an example

image showing the efficiency of the expression of GFP and mCherry in the amygdala.

(C) The procedure of aversive conditioning, memory retrieval, and memory trace

labeling using the system in A. Mice was fed with DOX for at least one week, followed

by an injection of $AAV_{2/9}$-mCherry in the amygdala. Two weeks later, DOX was removed

and mice were subjected to aversive conditioning with a pure tone as the CS. DOX has

added back again immediately after aversive conditioning. 24 hours later, the mice

underwent retrieval with pure tone or noise. The brain slices were collected 30 mins

after retrieval and then were stained for microscopy. **(D)** Left, representative images of

the neurons labeled by mCherry, GFP, and DAPI; Right, the enlarged area from the

"merge" image showing the overlapping expression of mCherry and GFP neurons. The

overlapping neurons indicate their "consanguinity" in the same memory trace. **(E)**

Summarized data are the percentage of the overlapping expression of mCherry and

GFP neurons in different behavior groups. All mice underwent aversive conditioning

with a tone as the CS. 24 hours later, the mice were separated into 4 groups. Tone

group: retrieval CS by tone; Tone+CO_2 group: retrieval CS by tone along with CO_2

inhalation; Noise group: retrieval CS by noise; Noise +CO_2 group: retrieval CS by noise

along with CO_2 inhalation. **(F)** Control experiment showing the expression of mCherry

with or without DOX as well as with or without aversive conditioning. **(G)** Left, a

representative image showing the spine morphology in the mCherry and GFP

colocalized neurons. The mature spines were categorified as "mushroom" spines and

the immature spines were categorified as "thin" spines; Right, an enlarged image

showing the details of mushroom and thin spines. **(H)** Upper, representative images of

the spine structures in different animal groups showed in E; Lower, summarized data of

the spine densities of mushroom spines, thin spines, and total spines in the different

groups. Data are mean ± SEM. n =4- 5 mice for each group. * indicates $p < 0.05$ by

ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc multiple comparisons.

Figure s1: White noise was an appropriate successful CS to involve use in studying the effects of CO2 effects on the fear conditioning and retrieval. (A) Representative schematic of protocol for the aversive conditioning (pure tone and white noise), memory retrieval (pure tone and white noise), extinction (white noise), and memory test (spontaneous recovery and renewal). (B-E) Data are presented by the percentage of freezing time during the CSs (tone and noise) in aversive conditioning (B), retrievals (tone and noise) (C), two sections of extinction with white noise (D), memory test of spontaneous recovery (Spon Rec) and renewal with tone and noise (E). (F-I) Data are presented by the percentage of freezing time during the CSs (tone and noise) in aversive conditioning (F), retrievals (pure tone and white noise plus CO_2 inhalation) (G), two sections of extinction with white noise (H), memory test of spontaneous recovery (Spon Rec) and renewal with tone and noise (I). Data are mean ± SEM. n = 12 mice in each group. * indicates $p < 0.05$ by unpaired Student's t-test.

Figure s2: CO_2 inhalation does not boost extinction when pairs with an unrelated CS during memory retrieval. (A) Representative schematic of protocol for the aversive conditioning (pure tone and white noise), memory retrieval (pure tone and white noise), extinction (white noise), and memory test (spontaneous recovery and renewal). (B-E) Data are presented by the percentage of freezing time during the CSs (tone and noise) in aversive conditioning (B), retrievals (pure tone and white noise plus CO_2 inhalation) (C), two sections of extinction with pure tone (D), memory test of spontaneous recovery (Spon Rec) and renewal with tone and noise, Blue arrow and % indicated the difference (decreases) between the tone and noise groups (E). (F-I) Data

are presented by the percentage of freezing time during the CSs (tone and noise) in

aversive conditioning **(F)**, retrievals (pure tone plus CO_2 inhalation and white noise) **(G)**,

two sections of extinction with white noise **(H)**, memory test of spontaneous recovery

(Spon Rec) and renewal with tone and noise, Blue arrow and % indicated the difference

(decreases) between the tone and noise groups. **(I)**. Data are mean ± SEM. n = 12 mice

in each group. * indicates $p<0.05$ by unpaired Student's t-test.

Figure s3: Anisomycin disrupts the reconsolidation. (A) Representative schematic

of protocol for the aversive conditioning (pure tone), with or without memory retrieval

(pure tone), anisomycin infusion, and memory test (spontaneous recovery and renewal).

(B-E) Data are presented by the percentage of freezing time during the tone

presentation in aversive conditioning **(B)**, with or without retrieval (tone) **(C)**, anisomycin

infusion in the amygdala **(D)**, spontaneous recovery (Spon Rec), and renewal test with

tones **(E)**. **(F-I)** Data are presented by the percentage of freezing time during the CSs

(tone and noise) in aversive conditioning **(F)**, retrievals (pure tone and white noise) **(G)**,

saline injection in the amygdala **(H)**, memory test of spontaneous recovery (Spon Rec)

and renewal with tone and noise **(I)**. **(J-M)** Data are presented by the percentage of

freezing time during the CSs (tone and noise) in aversive conditioning **(J)**, retrievals

(pure tone plus CO_2 inhalation and white noise) **(K)**, anisomycin infusion in the

amygdala **(L)**, memory test of spontaneous recovery (Spon Rec) and renewal with tone

and noise, **(M)**. Data are mean ± SEM. n = 11-12 mice in each group. * indicates $p<0.05$

by unpaired Student's t-test.

Figure s4: CO_2 potentiates the effects of anisomycin on the reconsolidation. (A)

Representative schematic of protocol for the aversive conditioning (pure tone and white

noise), memory retrieval (pure tone and white noise) with or without CO_2 inhalation,

anisomycin infusion, and memory test (spontaneous recovery and renewal). **(B-E)** Data

are presented by the percentage of freezing time during the CSs (tone and noise)

presentation in aversive conditioning **(B)**, retrieval (tone plus CO_2 and noise) **(C)**,

anisomycin infusion in the amygdala **(D)**, spontaneous recovery (Spon Rec) and

renewal test with tone and noise **(E)**. **(F-I)** Data are presented by the percentage of

freezing time during the CSs (tone and noise) in aversive conditioning **(F)**, retrieval

(noise plus CO_2 and tone) **(G)**, anisomycin infusion in the amygdala **(H)**, memory test of

spontaneous recovery (Spon Rec) and renewal with tone and noise **(I)**. **(J-M)** Data are

presented by the percentage of freezing time during the CSs (tone and noise) in

aversive conditioning **(J)**, retrievals (tone and noise) plus CO_2 **(K)**, saline infusion in the

amygdala **(L)**, memory test of spontaneous recovery (Spon Rec) and renewal with tone

and noise **(M)**. **(N-Q)** Data are presented by the percentage of freezing time during the

CSs (tone and noise) in aversive conditioning **(N)**, retrievals (tone and noise) plus

CO_2 **(O)**, anisomycin infusion in the amygdala **(P)**, memory test of spontaneous

recovery (Spon Rec) and renewal with tone and noise **(Q)**. Data are mean ± SEM. n

= 12 mice in each group. * indicate $p<0.05$ by unpaired Student's t-test.

Figure s5: CO_2 does not affect memory reconsolidation without anisomycin.

(A) Representative schematic of protocol for the aversive conditioning (pure tone and

white noise), memory retrieval (pure tone and white noise) with or without CO_2

inhalation, saline infusion, and memory test (spontaneous recovery and renewal). **(B-**

E) Data are presented by the percentage of freezing time during the CSs (tone and

noise) presentation in aversive conditioning **(B)**, retrieval (tone plus CO_2 and noise)

(C), saline infusion in the amygdala **(D)**, spontaneous recovery (Spon Rec) and renewal

test with tone and noise **(E)**. **(F-I)** Data are presented by the percentage of freezing time

during the CSs (tone and noise) in aversive conditioning **(F)**, retrieval (noise plus CO_2

and tone) **(G)**, saline infusion in the amygdala **(H)**, memory test of spontaneous

recovery (Spon Rec) and renewal with tone and noise **(I)**. Data are mean ± SEM. n = 12

mice in each group. * indicate $p < 0.05$ by unpaired Student's t-test.

References

Alberini, C.M. (2011). The role of reconsolidation and the dynamic process of long-term

memory formation and storage. Front Behav Neurosci *5*, 12.

Auber, A., Tedesco, V., Jones, C.E., Monfils, M.H., and Chiamulera, C. (2013). Post-

retrieval extinction as reconsolidation interference: methodological issues or boundary

conditions? Psychopharmacology (Berl) *226*, 631-647.

Bailey, C.H., Kandel, E.R., and Harris, K.M. (2015). Structural Components of Synaptic

Plasticity and Memory Consolidation. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol *7*, a021758.

Ben-Shahar, Y. (2011). Sensory functions for degenerin/epithelial sodium channels

(DEG/ENaC). Adv Genet *76*, 1-26.

Berry, K.P., and Nedivi, E. (2017). Spine Dynamics: Are They All the Same? Neuron *96*,

43-55.

Bourne, J.N., and Harris, K.M. (2008). Balancing structure and function at hippocampal

dendritic spines. Annu Rev Neurosci *31*, 47-67.

Chan, W.Y., Leung, H.T., Westbrook, R.F., and McNally, G.P. (2010). Effects of recent

exposure to a conditioned stimulus on extinction of Pavlovian fear conditioning. Learn

Mem *17*, 512-521.

Chiang, P.H., Chien, T.C., Chen, C.C., Yanagawa, Y., and Lien, C.C. (2015). ASIC-

dependent LTP at multiple glutamatergic synapses in amygdala network is required for

fear memory. Sci Rep *5*, 10143.

Chu, X.P., and Xiong, Z.G. (2012). Physiological and pathological functions of acid-

sensing ion channels in the central nervous system. Curr Drug Targets *13*, 263-271.

Clem, R.L., and Huganir, R.L. (2010). Calcium-permeable AMPA receptor dynamics

mediate fear memory erasure. Science *330*, 1108-1112.

Coryell, M.W., Ziemann, A.E., Westmoreland, P.J., Haenfler, J.M., Kurjakovic, Z., Zha,

X.M., Price, M., Schnizler, M.K., and Wemmie, J.A. (2007). Targeting ASIC1a reduces

innate fear and alters neuronal activity in the fear circuit. Biol Psychiatry *62*, 1140-1148.

Costanzi, M., Cannas, S., Saraulli, D., Rossi-Arnaud, C., and Cestari, V. (2011).

Extinction after retrieval: effects on the associative and nonassociative components of

remote contextual fear memory. Learn Mem *18*, 508-518.

Das, A.T., Tenenbaum, L., and Berkhout, B. (2016). Tet-On Systems For Doxycycline-

inducible Gene Expression. Curr Gene Ther *16*, 156-167.

Debiec, J., Diaz-Mataix, L., Bush, D.E., Doyere, V., and Ledoux, J.E. (2010). The

amygdala encodes specific sensory features of an aversive reinforcer. Nat Neurosci *13*,

536-537.

Doyere, V., Debiec, J., Monfils, M.H., Schafe, G.E., and LeDoux, J.E. (2007). Synapse-

specific reconsolidation of distinct fear memories in the lateral amygdala. Nat Neurosci

10, 414-416.

Du, J., Price, M.P., Taugher, R.J., Grigsby, D., Ash, J.J., Stark, A.C., Hossain Saad,

M.Z., Singh, K., Mandal, J., Wemmie, J.A.*, et al.* (2017). Transient acidosis while

retrieving a fear-related memory enhances its lability. Elife *6*.

Du, J., Reznikov, L.R., Price, M.P., Zha, X.M., Lu, Y., Moninger, T.O., Wemmie, J.A.,

and Welsh, M.J. (2014). Protons are a neurotransmitter that regulates synaptic plasticity

in the lateral amygdala. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *111*, 8961-8966.

Dulla, C.G., Dobelis, P., Pearson, T., Frenguelli, B.G., Staley, K.J., and Masino, S.A.

(2005). Adenosine and ATP link PCO2 to cortical excitability via pH. Neuron *48*, 1011-

1023.

Duvarci, S., and Nader, K. (2004). Characterization of fear memory reconsolidation. J

Neurosci *24*, 9269-9275.

Gao, J., Duan, B., Wang, D.G., Deng, X.H., Zhang, G.Y., Xu, L., and Xu, T.L. (2005).

Coupling between NMDA receptor and acid-sensing ion channel contributes to ischemic

neuronal death. Neuron *48*, 635-646.

Gipson, C.D., and Olive, M.F. (2017). Structural and functional plasticity of dendritic

spines - root or result of behavior? Genes Brain Behav *16*, 101-117.

Hong, I., Kim, J., Kim, J., Lee, S., Ko, H.G., Nader, K., Kaang, B.K., Tsien, R.W., and

Choi, S. (2013). AMPA receptor exchange underlies transient memory destabilization

on retrieval. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *110*, 8218-8223.

Huang, F., Zou, G., Li, C., Meng, H., Liu, X., and Yang, Z. (2020). A novelty-retrieval-

extinction paradigm leads to persistent attenuation of remote fear memories. Sci Rep

10, 3319.

Ishii, D., Matsuzawa, D., Matsuda, S., Tomizawa, H., Sutoh, C., and Shimizu, E. (2012).

No erasure effect of retrieval-extinction trial on fear memory in the hippocampus-

independent and dependent paradigms. Neurosci Lett *523*, 76-81.

Jarome, T.J., Ferrara, N.C., Kwapis, J.L., and Helmstetter, F.J. (2015). Contextual

Information Drives the Reconsolidation-Dependent Updating of Retrieved Fear

Memories. Neuropsychopharmacology *40*, 3044-3052.

Koffman, E.E., and Du, J. (2017). Labeling Aversive Memory Trace in Mouse Using a

Doxycycline-inducible Expression System. Bio-protocol *7*, e2578.

Kreple, C.J., Lu, Y., Taugher, R.J., Schwager-Gutman, A.L., Du, J., Stump, M., Wang,

Y., Ghobbeh, A., Fan, R., Cosme, C.V.*, et al.* (2014). Acid-sensing ion channels

contribute to synaptic transmission and inhibit cocaine-evoked plasticity. Nat Neurosci

17, 1083-1091.

Lee, J.L. (2009). Reconsolidation: maintaining memory relevance. Trends Neurosci *32*,

413-420.

Liu, M.G., Li, H.S., Li, W.G., Wu, Y.J., Deng, S.N., Huang, C., Maximyuk, O., Sukach,

V., Krishtal, O., Zhu, M.X.*, et al.* (2016). Acid-sensing ion channel 1a contributes to

hippocampal LTP inducibility through multiple mechanisms. Sci Rep *6*, 23350.

Liu, X., Ramirez, S., Pang, P.T., Puryear, C.B., Govindarajan, A., Deisseroth, K., and

Tonegawa, S. (2012). Optogenetic stimulation of a hippocampal engram activates fear

memory recall. Nature *484*, 381-385.

Mango, D., and Nisticò, R. (2019). Acid-Sensing Ion Channel 1a Is Involved in N-Methyl

D-Aspartate Receptor-Dependent Long-Term Depression in the Hippocampus. Front

Pharmacol *10*, 555.

Monfils, M.H., Cowansage, K.K., Klann, E., and LeDoux, J.E. (2009). Extinction-

reconsolidation boundaries: key to persistent attenuation of fear memories. Science

324, 951-955.

Nader, K., Schafe, G.E., and Le Doux, J.E. (2000). Fear memories require protein

synthesis in the amygdala for reconsolidation after retrieval. Nature *406*, 722-726.

Ortega-Ramírez, A., Vega, R., and Soto, E. (2017). Acid-Sensing Ion Channels as

Potential Therapeutic Targets in Neurodegeneration and Neuroinflammation. Mediators

Inflamm *2017*, 3728096.

Quirk, G.J., Paré, D., Richardson, R., Herry, C., Monfils, M.H., Schiller, D., and Vicentic,

A. (2010). Erasing fear memories with extinction training. J Neurosci *30*, 14993-14997.

Ramirez, S., Liu, X., Lin, P.A., Suh, J., Pignatelli, M., Redondo, R.L., Ryan, T.J., and

Tonegawa, S. (2013). Creating a false memory in the hippocampus. Science *341*, 387-

391.

Rao, V.R., and Finkbeiner, S. (2007). NMDA and AMPA receptors: old channels, new

tricks. Trends Neurosci *30*, 284-291.

Rook, M.L., Williamson, A., Lueck, J.D., Musgaard, M., and Maclean, D.M. (2020). β11-

12 linker isomerization governs acid-sensing ion channel desensitization and recovery.

Elife *9*.

Sandrini, M., Cohen, L.G., and Censor, N. (2015). Modulating reconsolidation: a link to

causal systems-level dynamics of human memories. Trends Cogn Sci *19*, 475-482.

Sara, S.J., and Hars, B. (2006). In memory of consolidation. Learn Mem *13*, 515-521.

Schiller, D., Monfils, M.H., Raio, C.M., Johnson, D.C., Ledoux, J.E., and Phelps, E.A.

(2010). Preventing the return of fear in humans using reconsolidation update

mechanisms. Nature *463*, 49-53.

Schiller, D., Raio, C.M., and Phelps, E.A. (2012). Extinction training during the

reconsolidation window prevents recovery of fear. J Vis Exp, e3893.

Soto, E., Ortega-Ramírez, A., and Vega, R. (2018). Protons as Messengers of

Intercellular Communication in the Nervous System. Front Cell Neurosci *12*, 342.

Taugher, R.J., Lu, Y., Fan, R., Ghobbeh, A., Kreple, C.J., Faraci, F.M., and Wemmie,

J.A. (2017). ASIC1A in neurons is critical for fear-related behaviors. Genes Brain Behav

16, 745-755.

Waldmann, R., Champigny, G., Bassilana, F., Heurteaux, C., and Lazdunski, M. (1997).

A proton-gated cation channel involved in acid-sensing. Nature *386*, 173-177.

Waldmann, R., and Lazdunski, M. (1998). H(+)-gated cation channels: neuronal acid

sensors in the NaC/DEG family of ion channels. Curr Opin Neurobiol *8*, 418-424.

Wang, Q., Wang, Q., Song, X.L., Jiang, Q., Wu, Y.J., Li, Y., Yuan, T.F., Zhang, S., Xu,

N.J., Zhu, M.X*., et al.* (2018). Fear extinction requires ASIC1a-dependent regulation of

hippocampal-prefrontal correlates. Sci Adv *4*, eaau3075.

Wemmie, J.A., Askwith, C.C., Lamani, E., Cassell, M.D., Freeman, J.H., Jr., and Welsh,

M.J. (2003). Acid-sensing ion channel 1 is localized in brain regions with high synaptic

density and contributes to fear conditioning. J Neurosci *23*, 5496-5502.

Wemmie, J.A., Chen, J., Askwith, C.C., Hruska-Hageman, A.M., Price, M.P., Nolan,

B.C., Yoder, P.G., Lamani, E., Hoshi, T., Freeman, J.H., Jr*., et al.* (2002). The acid-

activated ion channel ASIC contributes to synaptic plasticity, learning, and memory.

Neuron *34*, 463-477.

Wemmie, J.A., Coryell, M.W., Askwith, C.C., Lamani, E., Leonard, A.S., Sigmund, C.D.,

and Welsh, M.J. (2004). Overexpression of acid-sensing ion channel 1a in transgenic

mice increases acquired fear-related behavior. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *101*, 3621-

3626.

Wemmie, J.A., Price, M.P., and Welsh, M.J. (2006). Acid-sensing ion channels:

advances, questions and therapeutic opportunities. Trends Neurosci *29*, 578-586.

Woolfrey, K.M., and Srivastava, D.P. (2016). Control of Dendritic Spine Morphological

and Functional Plasticity by Small GTPases. Neural Plast *2016*, 3025948.

Wright, W.J., Graziane, N.M., Neumann, P.A., Hamilton, P.J., Cates, H.M., Fuerst, L.,

Spenceley, A., MacKinnon-Booth, N., Iyer, K., Huang, Y.H*., et al.* (2020). Silent

synapses dictate cocaine memory destabilization and reconsolidation. Nat Neurosci *23*,

32-46.

Wu, L.J., Duan, B., Mei, Y.D., Gao, J., Chen, J.G., Zhuo, M., Xu, L., Wu, M., and Xu,

T.L. (2004). Characterization of acid-sensing ion channels in dorsal horn neurons of rat

spinal cord. J Biol Chem *279*, 43716-43724.

Yang, G., Pan, F., and Gan, W.B. (2009). Stably maintained dendritic spines are

associated with lifelong memories. Nature *462*, 920-924.

Zandbergen, J., Pols, H., De Loof, C., Lousberg, H., and Griez, E. (1989). Effect of

hypercapnia and other disturbances in the acid-base-balance on panic disorder. Hillside

J Clin Psychiatry *11*, 185-197.

Ziemann, A.E., Allen, J.E., Dahdaleh, N.S., Drebot, II, Coryell, M.W., Wunsch, A.M.,

Lynch, C.M., Faraci, F.M., Howard, M.A., 3rd, Welsh, M.J.*, et al.* (2009). The amygdala

is a chemosensor that detects carbon dioxide and acidosis to elicit fear behavior. Cell

139, 1012-1021.

1009

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

Fig. 7

Fig. s2

Fig. s4

Fig. s5