The SLIM1 transcription factor regulates arsenic sensitivity in *Arabidopsis thaliana*

Timothy O. Jobe[1], Qi Yu[2,3], Felix Hauser[2], Qingqing Xie[2,4], Yuan Meng[2], Tim Maassen[1], Stanislav Kopriva[1], Julian I. Schroeder[2]

[1] *Institute for Plant Sciences and Cluster of Excellence on Plant Sciences (CEPLAS), University of Cologne, 50674 Cologne, Germany*

[2] *Division of Biological Sciences, Cell and Developmental Biology Section, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093-0116, USA*

[3] *School of Life Sciences, and Hubei Key Laboratory of Genetic Regulation and Integrative Biology, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, Hubei, P. R. China*

[4] *Plant Molecular and Cellular Biology Laboratory, Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA*

E-mail addresses: Timothy O. Jobe (tjobe@uni-koeln.de), Qi Yu (yuq084@ucsd.edu), Felix Hauser (felix.hauser@outlook.com), Qingqing Xie (qxie@salk.edu), Yuan Meng (mengyuan1991@outlook.com), Tim Maassen (tim.hps.maassen@gmail.com), Stanislav Kopriva (skopriva@uni-koeln.de), Julian I. Schroeder (jischroeder@ucsd.edu)

Corresponding authors :

Julian I. Schroeder, jischroeder@ucsd.edu, Phone 858 534 7759

and

Timothy O. Jobe, tjobe@uni-koeln.de, Phone +49 (0)221 470-8294

Date of submission: 11 December, 2020

Number of figures: 5

Total word count: 5,218

Supplementary Data: 14 Tables

Running Title: SLIM1 regulates arsenic sensitivity in *Arabidopsis thaliana*

Highlight: We identify a critical function of the SLIM1 transcription factor in regulating arsenic transcriptional responses and propose that SLIM1 acts as both a transcriptional activator and repressor.

Abstract

The transcriptional regulators of arsenic-induced gene expression remain largely unknown; however, arsenic exposure rapidly depletes cellular glutathione levels increasing demand for thiol compounds from the sulfur assimilation pathway. Thus, sulfur assimilation is tightly linked with arsenic detoxification. To explore the hypothesis that the key transcriptional regulator of sulfur assimilation, SLIM1, is involved in arsenic-induced gene expression, we evaluated the response of *slim1* mutants to arsenic treatments. We found that *slim1* mutants were sensitive to arsenic in root growth assays. Furthermore, arsenic treatment caused high levels of oxidative stress in the *slim1* mutants, and *slim1* mutants were impaired in both thiol and sulfate accumulation. We also found enhanced arsenic accumulation in the roots of *slim1* mutants. Furthermore, microarray analyses identified genes from a highly co-regulated gene cluster (the O-acetylserine gene cluster), as being significantly upregulated in the *slim1-1* mutant background in response to arsenic exposure. The present study identified the SLIM1 transcription factor as an important component in arsenic-induced gene expression and arsenic tolerance. Our results suggest that the severe arsenic sensitivity of the *slim1* mutants is a result of both altered redox status as well as mis-regulation of key genes.

Key words

arsenic, sulfur limitation, transcription factor, *Arabidopsis thaliana*

Introduction

Many advanced technologies used by our modern society rely on heavy metals and metalloids. Some of these elements are toxic and pose a significant risk to the environment and human health if consumed. However, unlike animals, plants are often partially tolerant to the toxic effects of these elements and can accumulate large amounts in diverse tissues (Clemens, 2006). Arsenic is a highly toxic substance commonly found in anthropogenic waste (electronics and fertilizers), and natural deposits can also be found at high levels in certain rocks, soils, and waters globally (Ogunseitan et al., 2009; Satarug et al., 2009; Han et al., 2002; Larison et al., 2000). While this toxic metalloid has no recognized role in plant or animal nutrition, plant-based products are the main entry point for arsenic into the food chain (Mendoza-Cózatl et al., 2014). Thus, understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying plant uptake, transport, detoxification, and accumulation of arsenic is vital for enhancing the nutritional value and safety of our food.

We previously described the development of a plant genetic reporter line that fused the promoter of a cadmium and arsenic-inducible high-affinity sulfate transporter to firefly luciferase (*pSULTR1;2::LUC*) to identify mutants in signaling (Jobe et al., 2012). A major goal of this work was to identify the transcriptional regulators mediating rapid arsenic-induced gene expression in Arabidopsis. This approach was successful in identifying new alleles of the glutathione biosynthesis genes gamma-glutamylcysteine synthetase (γ-ECS) and glutathione synthetase (GS), as being required for cadmium and arsenic-induced gene expression (Jobe et al., 2012). Glutathione is required for the

synthesis of phytochelatins, which detoxify many toxic compounds, including cadmium and arsenic, by chelation and sequestration in the vacuole (Clemens, 2006; Mendoza-Cózatl et al., 2010; Verbruggen et al., 2009; Rea, 2007; Mendoza-Cózatl and Moreno-Sánchez, 2005; Song et al., 2010). Phytochelatins are short polymers of glutathione synthesized in the cytosol in response to toxic metal(loid)s. Thus, arsenic exposure can rapidly deplete glutathione levels, creating an extremely high demand for glutathione in plant cells.

Because the tripeptide glutathione (Glu-Cys-Gly) contains the sulfur-containing amino acid cysteine, the sulfate assimilation pathway is inextricably linked to glutathione biosynthesis. Sulfate assimilation takes oxidized sulfur in the form of sulfate and, through a series of energy-dependent reducing steps, produces sulfide. Due to the toxicity of sulfide, this intermediate quickly reacts with O-acetylserine to produce the amino acid cysteine (Jobe et al., 2019). Thus, unlike animals, plants do not require exogenous sulfur-containing amino acids and proteins for survival (Jobe & Kopriva, 2018). More importantly, this creates a direct link between the sulfate assimilation pathway and the ability of plants to detoxify arsenic.

While our luciferase genetic reporter approach has not identified transcriptional regulators of arsenic-induced gene induction to date, a similar reporter gene approach was successfully used to identify a transcriptional regulator of the sulfur deficiency response in Arabidopsis. This screen used the same high-affinity sulfate transporter promoter element fused to the green fluorescent protein (*pSULTR1;2::GFP*) and

identified four allelic mutants in an ethylene insensitive-like transcription factor called Sulfur Limitation 1 (SLIM1) that failed to induce the reporter construct under sulfur limiting conditions (Maruyama-Nakashita et al., 2006). All of the allelic *slim1* mutants identified in this screen resulted in missense mutations altering single amino acid residues (Maruyama-Nakashita et al., 2006). In *slim1-1* and *slim1-2*, high-affinity sulfate uptake was decreased by ~60%, and sulfur-dependent microarray analyses on *slim1-1* and *slim1-2* showed a decrease in the induction of many sulfur limitation-induced transcripts compared to controls suggesting that SLIM1 is a positive regulator of sulfate uptake and assimilation (Maruyama-Nakashita et al., 2006).

While the transcription factor(s) that control arsenic-induced gene expression remain largely unknown, arsenic exposure is known to rapidly deplete cellular glutathione levels, increasing the demand for reduced sulfur compounds from the sulfur assimilation pathway (Jobe et al., 2012; Foyer and Noctor, 2011; Noctor et al., 2012). A similar situation occurs under sulfur deficiency. As sulfate supply decreases, cellular levels of cysteine and glutathione become depleted. Thus, because of the similarities in glutathione depletion and subsequent upregulation of the high-affinity sulfate transporter *SULTR1;2* under arsenic stress (Jobe et al., 2012) and sulfur limitation (Maruyama-Nakashita et al., 2006), we investigated the hypothesis that SLIM1 plays a role in arsenic-induced transcriptional responses. Interestingly, we found that *slim1-1* and *slim1-2* seedlings were highly sensitive to arsenic. Here, we show that under arsenic treatment, *slim1* mutants accumulate arsenic, experience high levels of oxidative stress, and fail to induce sulfate uptake and assimilation. Microarray analyses of arsenic-treated

slim1-1 seedlings identified a small number of transcripts differentially regulated by arsenic in the mutant. Our results suggest that SLIM1 appears to play an important role in arsenic sensitivity due primarily to its role in regulating sulfur metabolism and cellular redox.

Results

slim1 mutants are sensitive to arsenic in root growth assays

In a previous screen for regulators of cadmium and arsenic-induced gene expression using a *pSULTR1;2::LUC* reporter construct, we identified new alleles in well-characterized glutathione biosynthesis genes that play an important role in cadmium and arsenic detoxification (Jobe et al., 2012). Because glutathione is a major sink of reduced sulfur in plants, we hypothesized that the transcriptional regulator of sulfur deficiency, SLIM1, might also play a role in the regulation of cadmium and arsenic sensitivity in plants. To test this hypothesis, we performed root growth assays to evaluate the sensitivity of the *slim1-1* and *slim1-2* mutant alleles (Maruyama-Nakashita et al., 2006) to cadmium and arsenic (Figure 1A-1D).

The root lengths of wild-type (WT) (3.06 ± 0.09 cm, n=22), *slim1-1* (3.19 ± 0.07 cm, n=19), and *slim1-2* (3.10 ± 0.11 cm, n=21) were not different in the control nutrient media (see Methods) (Lee et al., 2003) without addition of cadmium or arsenic (Figure 1; p=0.99997 *slim1-1* & p=1.0 *slim1-2*, one-way ANOVA). When grown on plates containing 30 μM cadmium, WT root growth was inhibited growing only 1.88 ± 0.15 cm (n=10). This inhibition was similar to that observed for *slim1-1* with a final root

length of 1.86 ± 0.13 cm (n=12) and *slim1-2* having a root length of 1.82 ± 0.11 cm (p=1.0 for *slim1-1* & p=0.99998 for *slim1-2*, n=13) (Figure 1A-1D, Table S1). However, when grown on minimal media plates containing 10 μM arsenite (As (III)), the root length of WT (1.72 ± 0.12 cm, n=14) was longer than both *slim1-1* (0.68 ± 0.06 cm, p=7x10-9, n=14) and *slim1-2* (0.75 ± 0.06 cm, p=1.2x10-6, n=10). These observations suggested that SLIM1 is involved in arsenic signaling. Thus, we further investigated possible mechanisms underlying *slim1* sensitivity to arsenic.

Arsenic accumulation and antioxidant responses of *slim1* mutants

To determine if arsenic accumulates in the *slim1* mutants, we measured root and shoot arsenic levels using ICP-MS. In As(III) treated seedlings, we observed no significant increase in the accumulation of arsenic in the shoots of *slim1-1* (174.8 ± 1.97 mg/Kg DW, n=3) or *slim1-2* (189.7 ± 3.78 mg/Kg DW, n=3) compared to WT (173.9 ± 4.32 mg/Kg DW, n=3, p=1) (Figure 2A). However, in As(V) treated seedlings, both *slim1-1* (309.0 ± 47.5 mg/Kg DW, n=3, p=0.01) and *slim1-2* (253.9 ± 19.9 mg/Kg DW, n=3, p=0.6) accumulated more arsenic in shoots than WT (205.6 ± 11.6 mg/Kg DW, n=3), although the difference was only significant in *slim1-1* (Figure 2A). These results suggest an increased root to shoot translocation of As(V) in the *slim1* mutants.

In the roots, we found arsenic accumulation in *slim1-1* (1420.0 ± 281.3 mg/Kg DW, n=3, p=6.77E-3) and *slim1-2* (1473.0 ± 187.9 mg/Kg DW, n=3, p=3.69E-3) compared to WT (420.1 ± 17.1 mg/Kg DW, n=3) in As(III) treated seedlings (Figure 2B, Table S3).

In comparison, there was no difference in root arsenic accumulation in As(V) treated seedlings (Figure 2B, Table S3).

Because arsenic is known to cause oxidative stress and induce reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, we also tested the activity of the key antioxidant enzymes peroxidase (POD) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) in the *slim1-1* and *slim1-2* mutants. Basal superoxide dismutase activity in seedlings was similar between WT (70.9 ± 9.65 units/g FW, n=3), *slim1-1* (77.7 ± 9.44 units/g FW, p=1.0, n=3), and *slim1-2* (Figure 2C; 75.8 ± 4.20 units/g FW, p=1.0, n=3, p=1.0 *slim1-1* and p=1.0 *slim1*-2) grown under control conditions. When exposed to arsenite (As(III)), WT superoxide dismutase increased to 306.2 ± 7.78 units/g FW (n=3) while the superoxide dismutase activity in the *slim1* mutants increased dramatically to 709.5 ± 4.85 units/g FW (p=3.6x10-6, n=3) in *slim1-1* and 621.1 ± 17.7 units/g FW in *slim1-2* (p=2.0x10-8, n=3). Similarly, arsenate (As(V)) treatment increased the WT superoxide dismutase activity to 234.8 ± 27.2 units/g FW while the *slim1-1* superoxide dismutase activity increased to 543.2 ± 39.4 units/g FW (p=2.9x10-8, n=3) and the *slim1-2* superoxide dismutase activity increased to 492.1 ± 17.7 units/g FW (p=4.7x10-7, n=3) (Figure 2C, Table S4).

In seedlings, the peroxidase activity was higher under control conditions in *slim1-1* (94.8 ± 5.95 units/g FW, p=0.009, n=3) and *slim1-2* (120.6 ± 6.04 units/g FW, p=5.4x10-5, n=3) compared to WT (50.7 ± 1.51 units/g FW, n=3) (Figure 2D). As (III) exposure increased the peroxidase activity in WT to 107.5 ± 8.91 units/g FW (n=3) (Figure 2D), while the peroxidase activity in *slim1-1* seedlings increased to 173.6 ± 1.79

units/g FW (p=0.0001, n=3) (Figure 2D). Similar values were observed for As (III)-treated *slim1-2* seedlings (139.3 ± 4.49 units/g FW, p=0.10, n=3) (Figure 2D, Table S2). Peroxidase activities showed similar trends under As (V) treatment (Figure 2D, Table S5).

Decreased shoot glutathione in arsenic-treated *slim1-1* and *slim1-2*

To determine if thiol production might also be altered by arsenic treatment in the *slim1* mutants, we measured root and shoot cysteine and glutathione levels using fluorescence HPLC of seedlings exposed to arsenite (As(III)) or arsenate (As(V)) for 48 hours (Figure 3A-3D). Shoot cysteine levels were lower in *slim1-1* (10.2 ± 0.5 pmol/mg FW, p=0.04, n=3) and *slim1-2* (12.2 ± 1.0 pmol/mg FW, 0.19, n=3) than WT (23.7 ± 2.7 pmol/mg FW, n=3) in control conditions (Figure 3A, Table S6). No clear reduction in cysteine levels were observed in response to As(III) or As(V) treatment (Figure 3A, Table S6).

Root cysteine levels were statistically similar for WT, *slim1-1*, and *slim1-2* in control conditions and were not significantly changed by As(III) or As(V) treatments (Figure 3B, Table S7; One-way ANOVA, Tukey HSD).

Under control conditions, shoot glutathione levels were lower in *slim1-1* (163.6 ± 24.2 pmol/mg FW, n=8) and *slim1-2* (190.2 ± 34.4 pmol/mg FW, n=8) than in WT (382.4 ± 36.2 pmol/mg FW, n=8) (Figure 3C; p=4.99x10-5 for *slim1-1* and p=3.9x10-4 for *slim1-2*). Shoot glutathione levels decreased in WT from 382.4 ± 36.2 pmol/mg FW (n=8) in

control conditions to 278.1 ± 23.2 pmol/mg FW (n=3) in the As(III) treatment and 269.4 ± 12.2 pmol/mg FW (n=3) in the As(V) treatment (Figure 3C). Similarly, shoot glutathione decreased in the *slim1* mutants under As(III) and As(V) treatments with *slim1-1* having only 110.4 ± 17.5 pmol/mg FW of glutathione in As(III) and 31.4 ± 1.62 pmol/mg FW of glutathione in As(V). Furthermore, *slim1-2* had 83.8 ± 30.9 pmol/mg FW (n=3) shoot glutathione in As(III) treatment and 43.5 ± 14.7 pmol/mg FW (n=3) in As(V) treatment – an 80% decrease compared to control (Figure 3C, Table S8).

Root glutathione levels decreased under both As(III) and As(V) treatments for all genotypes. However, glutathione levels in roots showed no differences between genotypes within each treatment (Figure 3D, Table S9; One-way ANOVA, Tukey HSD). In summary, thiol measurements showed that while cysteine and glutathione levels were not dramatically decreased in roots of the *slim1* mutant alleles compared to WT (Figure 3B and D), glutathione levels were clearly decreased in shoots of *slim1-1* and *slim1-2* compared to WT plants (Figure 3C).

Shoot sulfate and phosphate accumulation in *slim1* mutants

Arsenic is thought to be actively taken up by phosphate transporters as As(V); however, once inside plant cells, it is reduced to As(III) and can move within plants through aquaporins (Catarecha et al., 2007; Bienert et al., 2008). Mutants in SLIM1 were previously shown to be impaired in root-to-shoot translocation of sulfate (Maruyama-Nakashita et al., 2006), but the translocation of other anions, including phosphate, was not reported. Thus, based on the slight arsenic accumulation in shoots of As(V) treated

plants noted by ICP-MS (Figure 2 A and B), we hypothesized that phosphate transport might also be impaired in the *slim1* mutants.

To determine if phosphate and sulfate translocation are impaired in the *slim1* mutants under arsenic treatment, we measured sulfate and phosphate accumulation in both roots and shoots of plants treated with As (V) for 48 hours. Interestingly, shoot phosphate accumulation was higher in *slim1-1* and *slim1-2* than WT in all treatments (Figure 4A, Table S10; p=5x10-6 for *slim1-1* and p=0.004 for *slim1-2*; One-way ANOVA, Tukey HSD).

Root phosphate accumulation was similar for WT (5.03 ± 0.27 nmol/mg FW, n=5), *slim1-1* (4.95 ± 1.07 nmol/mg FW, n=3), and *slim1-2* (6.33 ± 0.80 nmol/mg FW, n=4) in control conditions and was not different under As(V) treatment (Figure 4B). Thus, the enhanced root-vs.-shoot phosphate accumulation observed in *slim1-1* and *slim1-2* suggests an indirect role for SLIM1 in the regulation of phosphate and arsenate transport (Figure 4A, 4B, Table S11).

Furthermore, sulfate accumulation in shoots was impaired in *slim1-1* (0.73 ± 0.10 nmol/mg FW, p=1.7x10-6, n=5) and *slim1-2* (0.61 ± 0.20 nmol/mg FW, p=7.3x10-7, n=5) relative to WT (3.09 ± 0.18 nmol/mg FW, n=5) in control conditions (Figure 4A), consistent with previous findings (Maruyama-Nakashita et al., 2006). WT seedlings showed a decrease in shoot sulfate upon As(V) treatment decreasing to 1.86 ± 0.44 nmol/mg FW (n=5) (Figure 4C, Table S12, p=0.008, One-way ANOVA, Tukey HSD).

Root sulfate accumulation was similar between WT (2.11 ± 0.14 nmol/mg FW, n=5), *slim1-1* (1.95 ± 0.43 nmol/mg FW, n=3), and *slim1-2* (1.45 ± 0.21 nmol/mg FW, n=4) in control conditions. Furthermore, WT (2.04 ± 0.16 nmol/mg FW, n=4), *slim1-1* (1.72 ± 0.13 nmol/mg FW, n=5), and *slim1-2* (1.85 ± 0.15 nmol/mg FW, n=3) root sulfate were not different in the As(V) treatment (Figure 4D, Table S13).

Microarray analyses of *slim1* mutants under As treatment

The current model for arsenic uptake and tolerance in plants suggests that arsenic is taken up from the soil in the form of arsenate (As(V)). Once it has entered the plant, it is rapidly reduced to arsenite (As(III)) by the arsenate reductase HAC1(Shi et al., 2016). It has been proposed that As(III) can be removed from the root by an unidentified efflux transporter(Chen et al., 2017). In rice, the aquaporin LSI1 is known to mediate As(III) efflux; however, additional efflux transporters remain elusive(Chen et al., 2017). A recent RNA-seq experiment using a T-DNA mutant allele of SLIM1 (*eil3*) did not find misregulation of any aquaporin genes in the roots of the *slim1* mutant under control or sulfur deficiency conditions(Dietzen et al., 2020). Thus, due to the observed arsenic accumulation in the roots of *slim1* mutants, we hypothesized that the elusive As(III) efflux transporter, or alternatively an As(III) uptake transporter, might be disrupted in an arsenic-dependent manner in the *slim1* mutant background.

To test this hypothesis and uncover genes disrupted in an arsenic-dependent manner in the *slim1-1* mutant, we performed microarray analyses on WT and *slim1-1* seedlings exposed to arsenic for 48 hours. Raw expression values were normalized via the R 'affy' package using the Robust Multi-Array Average (RMA) Expression Measure. Differential gene expression was evaluated using the R package 'limma', including a multiple test correction. We then performed a significance analysis to identify genes disrupted under arsenic treatment and compared these to previously published putative targets of SLIM1 obtained by DNA affinity purification sequencing (DAP-seq) (O'Malley et al., 2016).

From the microarray analyses, we identified 11 genes significantly differentially upregulated by arsenic (WT +As vs. *slim1-1* + As) (Supplemental Table S14). Ten of the 11 genes (AT3G49580, AT1G04770, AT1G12030, AT4G04610, AT4G21990, AT5G24660, AT5G26220, AT5G48850, AT4G20820, AT1G36370) were identified as putative targets of SLIM1 by DNA affinity purification sequencing (DAP-Seq) (Supplemental Table S14). Many of the 11 upregulated genes in *slim1-1* are associated with sulfur metabolism. For example, GAMMA-GLUTAMYL CYCLOTRANSFERASE 2;1 (GGCT2;1, AT5G26220), an enzyme involved in the break down of glutathione, was previously reported to be induced under sulfur deficiency conditions in a SLIM1-dependent manner. However, under arsenic treatment (As vs. *slim1-1* + As), this gene is expressed at significantly higher levels in the *slim1-1* mutant compared to WT, suggesting SLIM1 might be a negative regulator of GGCT2;1 under arsenic treatment. Other genes that appear to be negatively regulated by SLIM1

include APR1 (AT4G04610) and APR3 (AT4G21990), which were upregulated in *slim1-1* compared to WT in the presence of arsenic (WT +As vs. *slim1-1* + As). APR1 and APR3 are involved in the reduction of sulfate into sulfide (Lee et al., 2011) and have been shown to be induced by toxic metal stress (Jobe et al., 2012). Similarly, the LOW SULFUR 1 (LSU1, AT3G49580) and LOW SULFUR 2 (LSU2, AT5G24660) genes were expressed at higher levels in *slim1-1* than WT under arsenic treatment (WT +As vs. *slim1-1* + As). Interestingly, Six of the 11 genes (GGCT2;1, APR3, LSU1, LSU2, SDI1, & SHM7) belong to a highly co-regulated cluster of genes that respond to O-acetylserine treatment(Hubberten et al., 2012).

Microarray analyses also identified 10 significantly down-regulated genes under arsenic treatment compared to WT (WT +As vs. *slim1-1* +As) (Figure 5) ($p<0.05$, Fold Change >2). Only one gene - SULTR1; 2 (At1G78000) - was identified as a putative target of SLIM1 by DAP-Seq (Supplemental Table S14). Thus, our analyses confirm the reported function of SLIM1 as a transcriptional activator of *SULTR1;2* and show that this role is conserved under arsenic treatment and sulfur deficiency. The remaining ten genes are involved in hormone signaling (AT1G63030, AT5G13220 & AT5G52050), redox regulation (AT3G06590 & AT1G03020), iron homeostasis (AT3G25190 & AT5G01600), glucosinolate biosynthesis (AT5G23020), ubiquitination (AT1G24330), and uncharacterized protein (AT2G17660). Based on their putative functions, these general stress response genes are responding in a SLIM1 independent manner, or they are previously unidentified conditional targets of SLIM1 regulation. More experiments

are needed to determine if SLIM1 is a direct transcriptional regulator of these genes under arsenic stress.

The present transcriptome data suggest that SLIM1 can function as both a transcriptional enhancer as well as a transcriptional repressor of certain genes in a condition-specific manner. Furthermore, the present study provides evidence that SLIM1 plays an essential role in the regulation of gene expression in response to arsenic.

Discussion

Arsenic exposure to plants causes rapid changes in gene expression (Jobe et al., 2012, Fu et al., 2014, Castrillo et al., 2013). However, the transcription factors that function in arsenic-induced gene expression remain largely unknown. The few transcriptional regulators that have been identified, such as WRKY6, WRKY45, and OsARM1 (Arsenite-Responsive Myb1) (Castrillo et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014, 2017), have been implicated in the regulation of arsenic transporters while regulators of arsenic detoxification remain unknown. To test the hypothesis that the SLIM1 transcription factor is involved in arsenic resistance and signaling, we evaluated the sensitivity of *slim1-1* and *slim1-2* to arsenic exposure. We found the *slim1* mutants were more sensitive to arsenic than control plants. Arsenic treatment caused high levels of oxidative stress in the *slim1* mutant alleles based on superoxide dismutase and peroxidase activities. Furthermore, thiol and sulfate measurements show that *slim1* mutants are impaired in both thiol and sulfate accumulation. Arsenic treatment did not further decrease sulfate levels in roots. In contrast, the concentration of the thiol GSH

was greatly decreased in *slim1* mutant alleles. Furthermore, the peroxidase and superoxide dismutase measurements show that arsenic treatments cause high levels of oxidative stress in the *slim1* mutants.

We also observed a slight increase in arsenic accumulation in the shoots of *slim1* mutants treated with arsenate (As(V)). This corresponded with a significant increase in shoot phosphate translocation in the *slim1* mutants. Because of the chemical similarity between phosphate and As(V), future research could investigate the hypothesis that the misregulation of phosphate transporters may contribute to this observed increase in shoot arsenic in the *slim1* mutants under As(V) treatment. We also found significant arsenic accumulation in the roots of *slim1* mutants treated with As(III). This accumulation could be caused by the disruption of an As(III) uptake transporter or an As(III) efflux transporter.

Thiol measurements confirmed the role of SLIM1 in sulfate metabolism and thiol production(Dietzen et al., 2020; Maruyama-Nakashita et al., 2006; Yamaguchi et al., 2020), as *slim1* mutants contained lower cysteine and glutathione levels in shoots than WT. As described previously, glutathione is essential for the production of phytochelatins –arsenic chelating compounds necessary for detoxification and storage. The heavy metal cadmium also binds to phytochelatins. Interestingly, recent research has shown a less dramatic effect of cadmium exposure in *slim1* mutants compared to wild-type controls (Yamaguchi et al., 2020), which we have also observed (Figure 1C,D). Thus, the present student and a recent study together show that the SLIM1

transcription factor plays a more central role in mediating arsenic resistance relative to cadmium resistance. A possible hypothesis that may contribute to this observation is that cadmium can be sequestered in vacuoles via two independent transport pathways, via phytochelatin transport(Song et al., 2010; Mendoza-Cózatl et al., 2010) and via HMA3-mediated cadmium transport(Takahashi et al., 2012).

Sulfate measurements confirmed that SLIM1 is a major transcriptional regulator of sulfate uptake and translocation(Maruyama-Nakashita et al., 2006). Our microarray analyses also identified 11 genes significantly differentially upregulated by arsenic (Supplemental Table S14), of which ten of the 11 genes were identified as putative targets of SLIM1 by DNA affinity purification sequencing (DAP-Seq). Interestingly, nine of these genes are involved in sulfur assimilation or redox signaling. Furthermore, six of these sulfur metabolism genes belong to a highly co-regulated cluster of genes that respond to O-acetylserine treatment(Hubberten et al., 2012). While previous studies show these genes can regulate sulfur assimilation in a SLIM1 independent manner (Aarabi et al., 2020; Hubberten et al., 2012), results from DAP-Seq and the microarray results from the current study suggest SLIM1 might also act as a negative regulator of these genes during arsenic stress. The disruption of these genes in the *slim1* mutants may also contribute to their increased sensitivity to arsenic.

Shoot sulfate accumulation was significantly lower in the *slim1* mutants in all conditions tested. Decreased shoot sulfate was accompanied by an increase in shoot phosphate in the *slim1* mutants. Similar anion compensation has been noted in the Arabidopsis *phr1*

mutant, which accumulates higher sulfate levels when grown under low phosphate conditions indicating crosstalk between phosphate and sulfate transport (Rouached et al., 2011). In fact, *PHR1* has been proposed to act both positively in the regulation of root-to-shoot sulfate translocation via the sulfate transporter *SULTR1;3*, and negatively to repress other sulfate transporters under phosphate deficiency (Rouached, 2011). Our observation that phosphate uptake and translocation are enhanced in the *slim1* mutants is consistent with the model that arsenic accumulation is a result of non-specific uptake of arsenic by phosphate transporters.

In summary, we show here that the SLIM1 transcription factor plays an important role in mediating arsenic resistance and in arsenic-induced gene expression. Our results suggest that the arsenic sensitivity of *slim1* mutants can be explained by decreased thiol production resulting in increased oxidative stress, enhanced arsenic uptake resulting in increased arsenic accumulation. Interestingly, we found that the *slim1* mutant alleles do not show a strong cadmium sensitivity, consistent with a recent study (Yamaguchi et al., 2020) indicating a difference in the rate-limiting functions of the thiol synthesis pathway in processing arsenic and cadmium. We also identify a number of genes regulated by SLIM1 in an arsenic-dependent manner. Taken together, our data support a model in which SLIM1 is both a positive and negative regulator of gene expression in response to arsenic.

Experimental Procedures

Arabidopsis accessions

The WT *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotype used in this study is Columbia (Col-0). The *slim1-1* and *slim1-2* mutants were generated in the Col-0 genetic background and were kindly provided by Dr. Akiko Maruyama (Maruyama-Nakashita et al., 2006).

Plant Growth Media & Conditions

Seeds were surface sterilized by briefly soaking in 70% ethanol before allowing them to dry in a sterile hood. For root growth experiments and enzymatic assay experiments, surface sterilized seeds were plated on minimal media containing 1/10-strength Hoagland solution, 1% phytoagar (Duchefa, http://www.duchefa.com), pH 5.6. For the microarray experiments, seeds were plated on1/2-strength MS standard medium (M5519; Sigma-Aldrich, http:// www.sigmaaldrich.com) buffered with 1 mM 2-(*N*-morpholine)-ethanesulphonic acid (MES), 1% phytoagar (Duchefa, http://www.duchefa.com) and the pH was adjusted to 5.6 with 1.0 M KOH. Seeds were then stratified with cold treatment at 4°C for 48 h, and grown under controlled conditions (150 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}, 70% humidity, 16-h light at 21°C/8-h dark at 18°C) for the specified time. For toxic metal(loid) treatments, the specified amounts of either cadmium or arsenic were added to the autoclaved base media in a sterile hood prior to pouring the plates. Concentrated stock solutions of cadmium and arsenic were filter-sterilized prior to use.

Statistical Analyses

The root growth, thiol, peroxidase, superoxide, and anion data were all analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey post-hoc test to determine significance. Significance groups are indicated in the figures and key p-values are stated in the text.

Root Length Measurements

For root growth experiments, surface sterilized seeds of WT, *slim1-1* and *slim1-2* were plated on minimal media (2.5 mM H_3PO_4, 5 mM KNO_3, 2 mM $MgSO_4$, 1 mM $(CaNO_3)_2$, 1 mM MES, 1% phytoagar pH 5.7) supplemented with 30 µM Cd or 10 µM As (III) (Lee et al., 2003). Plates were placed in the dark two days at 4°C for vernalization and then transferred to a growth chamber. After 7 days of growth, seedlings were photographed and root length was measured using ImageJ.

Antioxidant Enzyme Assays

Seedling samples were weighed and pulverized in liquid nitrogen after treatment. The powder was dissolved in pre-cooled 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.8) to extract the superoxide dismutase (SOD). The extract was then centrifuged at 12 000g for 10 min, resulting in a crude enzyme supernatant solution. In a separate 10 ml tube, 1.9 ml reaction buffer (50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.8, 9.9 mM L-methionine, 57 µM NBT solution, 1 M EDTA-Na_2 solution, 0.0044% (w/v) riboflavin) and 0.1 ml enzyme solution were mixed and placed into 250 µmol m-2s-1 light for 20 min. Additionally, another separate 10 ml tube was procured, where the enzyme solution was replaced with water as a control. The reagent was added according to the above steps, where one tube

was placed in the light together with the sample, and the other was placed in the dark where the reaction was allowed to complete. The control tube that was placed in the dark was blanked, and the absorbance of each tube was measured at 560 nm. Peroxidase (POD) was extracted in 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0). 30 µl of enzyme solution was mixed with reaction buffer containing 1.77 mL of 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 0.1 mL of 4% guaiacol and 0.1 mL of 1% (v/v) H_2O_2. Increased absorbance was recorded at 470 nm for 1 min. All reported enzyme activities are means of 3-5 biologically independent samples, and error bars indicate the standard error of the mean (SEM).

Arsenic Determination by ICP-MS

Plant material was harvested, dried at 70°C for at least 48 hours before being aliquoted and weighed. Approximately 10 mg of dried plant material was mixed with 1 ml of concentrated nitric acid and digested by heating at 100°C for approximately 30 minutes or until the solution became transparent and particle-free. These digests were diluted with deionized water and measured by ICP-MS for total arsenic concentrations at the University of Cologne Biocenter Mass Spectrometry Platform. All reported ion quantities are means of 3-5 biologically independent samples, and error bars indicate the standard error of the mean (SEM).

Anion Extraction and Measurement by Ion Chromatography

To quantify the water soluble anion concentrations (phosphate, and sulfate) in plant tissues, 10-30 mg of fresh tissue was harvested and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Frozen tissue was then pulverized using a bead mill (make & model) and anions were extracted by addition of 1000 μL of sterile milliQ-water and incubating for 60 minutes at $4^{o}C$ while shaking at 1500 rpm. The extraction process was stopped by incubating at $95^{o}C$ for 15 minutes. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at $4^{o}C$ for 15 minutes and 100-200μL of supernatant was used for anion exchange chromatography. An automatic ion analyzer (DX 120, Dionex Corporation, Sunnyvale, CA, United States) equipped with an IonPac™ column (AS9-SC, 4 × 250 mm; Dionex, Thermo Fisher Scientific GmbH; Waltham, MA, United States) was used to separate and quantify the anions. Anions were eluted with an elution buffer of 2.0 mM Na_2CO_3 and 0.75 mM $NaHCO_3$. Ion concentrations were detected using a conductivity detector module (CDM, Dionex Corporation, CA, United States). All reported anion quantities are means of 3-5 biologically independent samples, and error bars indicate the standard error of the mean (SEM).

Thiol Detection By Fluorescence HPLC

The thiol-containing compounds cysteine and GSH were analyzed using fluorescence detection HPLC as described by (Fahey and Newton, 1987). To analyze the levels of these thiol compounds, plants were grown on minimal growth media plates for 12 days then transferred to fresh media plates containing either 20 μM cadmium, 100 μM arsenate, or control minimal media. To minimize the oxidation of thiol compounds during the extraction, plant seedlings were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately after harvesting, and then pulverized using a bead mill and extracted as described by (Krueger et al., 2009). Thiols were extracted from homogenized plant material with 1

mL 0.1 M HCl for 40 min at 25°C. After centrifugation for 5 min at 14,000 g and 4°C, thiols in the supernatant were reduced by mixing 60 μL of the supernatant with 100 μL 2-(cyclohexylamino)ethanesulfonic acid (0.25 M, pH 9.4) and 35 μL DTT (10 mM, freshly prepared). The mixture was incubated at 25°C for 40 min. Thiols were derivatized by adding 5 μL (25 mM) monobromobimane (SigmaAldrich, Cat#B4380). Derivatization was stopped by adding 110 μL methane sulfonic acid (100 mM) and clarified by centrifugation for 15 min at 14,000 g and 4°C. Forty microliters of the derivatization mix were used for HPLC analysis using the Dionex Ultimate 3000 HPLC System. Derivatized thiols were separated in a Eurosphere 100-3 C18, 150×4 mm column (Knauer), and were detected by fluorescence detection with an excitation of 380 nm and emission detection at 480 nm. The peaks of thiol compounds were identified and quantified by comparison with cysteine and glutathione standards purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. All reported thiol quantities are means of 4-5 biologically independent samples, and error bars indicate the standard error of the mean (SEM).

Microarray Analyses

To evaluate transcriptional differences in the *slim1* mutants under cadmium and arsenic stress we performed microarray analyses. To obtain tissue for the microarray analysis, plants were grown on ¼ MS plates for 12 days then transferred to fresh media plates containing either 100 μM cadmium or 20 μM arsenite. Whole seedlings were then harvested in 2mL Eppendorf tubes, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C until further processing. The tissue was subsequently pulverized using a bead mill by adding three 2.5mm glass beads to each tube and grinding for 15 seconds. RNA was

extracted using the Qiagen RNEasy mini kit (Cat#74104) per the manufacturer's instructions (www.qiagen.com). RNA quality was assessed by spectrophotometer and gel electrophoresis before submission to the University of California, San Diego Gene Expression Core facility for processing. Results were analyzed using R and the Bioconductor suite of microarray analytical packages as indicated in the text.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Akiko Maruyama for kindly providing *slim1* mutant seeds. This research was funded by the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number P42ES010337 (JIS). The microarray data are available through the NCBI GEO database (series record GSE138943).

Conflicts of Interests

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare. All co-authors have seen and agree with the contents of the manuscript and there is no financial interest to report. We certify that the submission is original work and is not under review at any other publication. This manuscript has been submitted for review and is also posted on the Authorea pre-print server (DOI: 10.22541/au.160917937.72198173/v1).

References

Aarabi, F., Naake, T., Fernie, A.R., and Hoefgen, R. (2020). Coordinating sulfur pools under sulfate deprivation. Trends Plant Sci.

Bienert, G.P., Thorsen, M., Schüssler, M.D., Nilsson, H.R., Wagner, A., Tamás, M.J., and Jahn, T.P. (2008). A subgroup of plant aquaporins facilitate the bi-directional diffusion of As (OH) 3 and Sb (OH) 3 across membranes. BMC Biol. **6**: 26.

Castrillo, G. et al. (2013). WRKY6 Transcription Factor Restricts Arsenate Uptake and Transposon Activation in Arabidopsis Plant Cell **25**: 2944 LP – 2957.

Catarecha, P., Segura, M.D., Franco-Zorrilla, J.M., García-Ponce, B., Lanza, M., Solano, R., Paz-Ares, J., and Leyva, A. (2007). A mutant of the Arabidopsis phosphate transporter PHT1; 1 displays enhanced arsenic accumulation. Plant Cell **19**: 1123–1133.

Chen, Y., Han, Y.-H., Cao, Y., Zhu, Y.-G., Rathinasabapathi, B., and Ma, L.Q. (2017). Arsenic Transport in Rice and Biological Solutions to Reduce Arsenic Risk from Rice . Front. Plant Sci. **8**: 268.

Clemens, S. (2006). Toxic metal accumulation, responses to exposure and mechanisms of tolerance in plants. Biochimie **88**: 1707–1719.

Dietzen, C., Koprivova, A., Whitcomb, S.J., Langen, G., Jobe, T.O., Hoefgen, R., and Kopriva, S. (2020). The Transcription Factor EIL1 Participates in the Regulation of Sulfur-Deficiency Response. Plant Physiol. **184**: 2120 LP – 2136.

Fahey, R.C. and Newton, G.L. (1987). Determination of low-molecular-weight thiols

using monobromobimane fluorescent labeling and high-performance liquid chromatography. In Methods in enzymology (Elsevier), pp. 85–96.

Foyer, C.H. and Noctor, G. (2011). Ascorbate and Glutathione: The Heart of the Redox Hub. Plant Physiol. **155**: 2 LP – 18.

Fu, S.-F., Chen, P.-Y., Nguyen, Q.T.T., Huang, L.-Y., Zeng, G.-R., Huang, T.-L., Lin, C.-Y., and Huang, H.-J. (2014). Transcriptome profiling of genes and pathways associated with arsenic toxicity and tolerance in Arabidopsis. BMC Plant Biol. **14**: 94.

Han, F.X., Banin, A., Su, Y., Monts, D.L., Plodinec, J.M., Kingery, W.L., and Triplett, G.E. (2002). Industrial age anthropogenic inputs of heavy metals into the pedosphere. Naturwissenschaften **89**: 497–504.

Hubberten, H., Klie, S., Caldana, C., Degenkolbe, T., Willmitzer, L., and Hoefgen, R. (2012). Additional role of O-acetylserine as a sulfur status-independent regulator during plant growth. Plant J. **70**: 666–677.

Jobe, Timothy O., Kopriva, S. (2018). Sulfur Metabolism in Plants. Encycl. Life Sci.

Jobe, T.O., Sung, D., Akmakjian, G., Pham, A., Komives, E.A., Mendoza-Cózatl, D.G., and Schroeder, J.I. (2012). Feedback inhibition by thiols outranks glutathione depletion: a luciferase-based screen reveals glutathione-deficient γ-ECS and glutathione synthetase mutants impaired in cadmium-induced sulfate assimilation. Plant J. **70**: 783–795.

Jobe, T.O., Zenzen, I., Rahimzadeh Karvansara, P., and Kopriva, S. (2019). Integration of sulfate assimilation with carbon and nitrogen metabolism in transition from C3 to C4 photosynthesis. J. Exp. Bot.

Krueger, S., Niehl, A., Lopez Martin, M.C., Steinhauser, D., Donath, A., Hildebrandt, T., Romero, L.C., Hoefgen, R., Gotor, C., and Hesse, H. (2009). Analysis of cytosolic and plastidic serine acetyltransferase mutants and subcellular metabolite distributions suggests interplay of the cellular compartments for cysteine biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. Plant. Cell Environ. **32**: 349–367.

Larison, J.R., Likens, G.E., Fitzpatrick, J.W., and Crock, J.G. (2000). Cadmium toxicity among wildlife in the Colorado Rocky Mountains. Nature **406**: 181.

Lee, B., Koprivova, A., and Kopriva, S. (2011). The key enzyme of sulfate assimilation, adenosine 5′-phosphosulfate reductase, is regulated by HY5 in Arabidopsis. Plant J. **67**: 1042–1054.

Lee, D.A., Chen, A., and Schroeder, J.I. (2003). ars1, an Arabidopsis mutant exhibiting increased tolerance to arsenate and increased phosphate uptake. Plant J. **35**: 637–646.

Maruyama-Nakashita, A., Nakamura, Y., Tohge, T., Saito, K., and Takahashi, H. (2006). Arabidopsis SLIM1 is a central transcriptional regulator of plant sulfur response and metabolism. Plant Cell **18**: 3235–3251.

Mendoza-Cózatl, D.G. and Moreno-Sánchez, R. (2005). Cd2+ transport and storage in the chloroplast of Euglena gracilis. Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA)-Bioenergetics **1706**: 88–97.

Mendoza-Cózatl, D.G., Xie, Q., Akmakjian, G.Z., Jobe, T.O., Patel, A., Stacey, M.G., Song, L., Demoin, D.W., Jurisson, S.S., Stacey, G., and Schroeder, J.I. (2014). OPT3 is a component of the iron-signaling network between leaves and roots and misregulation of OPT3 leads to an over-accumulation of cadmium in

seeds. Mol. Plant **7**.

Mendoza-Cózatl, D.G., Zhai, Z., Jobe, T.O., Akmakjian, G.Z., Song, W.-Y., Limbo, O., Russell, M.R., Kozlovskyy, V.I., Martinoia, E., Vatamaniuk, O.K., Russell, P., and Schroeder, J.I. (2010). Tonoplast-localized Abc2 transporter mediates phytochelatin accumulation in vacuoles and confers cadmium tolerance. J. Biol. Chem. **285**.

NOCTOR, G., MHAMDI, A., CHAOUCH, S., HAN, Y.I., NEUKERMANS, J., MARQUEZ-GARCIA, B., QUEVAL, G., and FOYER, C.H. (2012). Glutathione in plants: an integrated overview. Plant. Cell Environ. **35**: 454–484.

O'Malley, R.C., Huang, S.C., Song, L., Lewsey, M.G., Bartlett, A., Nery, J.R., Galli, M., Gallavotti, A., and Ecker, J.R. (2016). Cistrome and epicistrome features shape the regulatory DNA landscape. Cell **165**: 1280–1292.

Ogunseitan, O.A., Schoenung, J.M., Saphores, J.-D.M., and Shapiro, A.A. (2009). The electronics revolution: from e-wonderland to e-wasteland. Science (80-.). **326**: 670–671.

Rea, P.A. (2007). Plant ATP-binding cassette transporters. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. **58**: 347–375.

Rouached, H. (2011). Multilevel coordination of phosphate and sulfate homeostasis in plants. Plant Signal. Behav. **6**: 952–955.

Rouached, H., Secco, D., Arpat, B., and Poirier, Y. (2011). The transcription factor PHR1 plays a key role in the regulation of sulfate shoot-to-root flux upon phosphate starvation in Arabidopsis. BMC Plant Biol. **11**: 19.

Satarug, S., Garrett, S.H., Sens, M.A., and Sens, D.A. (2009). Cadmium,

environmental exposure, and health outcomes. Environ. Health Perspect. **118**: 182–190.

Shi, S., Wang, T., Chen, Z., Tang, Z., Wu, Z., Salt, D.E., Chao, D.-Y., and Zhao, F.-J. (2016). OsHAC1;1 and OsHAC1;2 Function as Arsenate Reductases and Regulate Arsenic Accumulation. Plant Physiol. **172**: 1708 LP – 1719.

Song, W.-Y., Park, J., Mendoza-Cózatl, D.G., Suter-Grotemeyer, M., Shim, D., Hörtensteiner, S., Geisler, M., Weder, B., Rea, P.A., and Rentsch, D. (2010). Arsenic tolerance in Arabidopsis is mediated by two ABCC-type phytochelatin transporters. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **107**: 21187–21192.

Takahashi, R., Bashir, K., Ishimaru, Y., Nishizawa, N.K., and Nakanishi, H. (2012). The role of heavy-metal ATPases, HMAs, in zinc and cadmium transport in rice. Plant Signal. Behav. **7**: 1605–1607.

Verbruggen, N., Hermans, C., and Schat, H. (2009). Molecular mechanisms of metal hyperaccumulation in plants. New Phytol. **181**: 759–776.

Wang, F.-Z. et al. (2017). OsARM1, an R2R3 MYB Transcription Factor, Is Involved in Regulation of the Response to Arsenic Stress in Rice. Front. Plant Sci. **8**: 1868.

Wang, H., Xu, Q., Kong, Y.-H., Chen, Y., Duan, J.-Y., Wu, W.-H., and Chen, Y.-F. (2014). Arabidopsis WRKY45 Transcription Factor Activates PHOSPHATE TRANSPORTER1;1 Expression in Response to Phosphate Starvation. Plant Physiol. **164**: 2020 LP – 2029.

Yamaguchi, C., Khamsalath, S., Takimoto, Y., Suyama, A., Mori, Y., Ohkama-Ohtsu, N., and Maruyama-Nakashita, A. (2020). SLIM1 Transcription Factor Promotes Sulfate Uptake and Distribution to Shoot, Along with Phytochelatin

Accumulation, Under Cadmium Stress in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plants **9**: 163.

Figures & Figure Legends



Figure 1. Root growth inhibition of *slim1* mutants grown on cadmium or arsenic containing media. The *slim1-1* and *slim1-2* mutant alleles were compared to wildtype controls (WT) grown on control minimal media and media containing 30 µM Cd or 10 µM As(III) for 7 days (A - D). Root growth was quantified using ImageJ (one-way ANOVA, Tukey HSD).



Figure 2. *slim1* mutants accumulate arsenic in roots and have high antioxidant activity when exposed to arsenic. *slim1* mutants grown on arsenic-containing media accumulate arsenic in the shoots when grown on As(V) (A) but accumulate arsenic in the roots when grown on As(III) (B). Growth on arsenic-containing media caused an increase in superoxide dismutase (C) enzyme and peroxidase dismutase enzyme (D) activities in both the *slim1-1* and *slim1-2* mutants compared to WT controls.



Figure 3. Thiol accumulation of *slim1* mutants grown on arsenic. Total shoot cysteine levels in *slim1-1* and *slim1-2* compared to WT (A). Total root cysteine levels in *slim1-1* and *slim1-2* compared to WT (B). Total shoot glutathione levels for *slim1-1* and *slim1-2* compared to WT (C). Total root glutathione levels for WT, *slim1-1*, and *slim1-2* (D).



Figure 4. Anion accumulation in *slim1* mutants grown on arsenic. Total shoot phosphate levels in *slim1-1* and *slim1-2* compared to WT (A). Total root phosphate levels in *slim1-1* and *slim1-2* compared to WT (B). Total shoot sulfate levels for *slim1-1* and *slim1-2* compared to WT (C). Total root sulfate levels for WT, slim1-1, and *slim1-2* (D).



Figure 5. Number of genes regulated by arsenic treatment compared with SLIM1 transcription factor DAP-Seq target genes. Venn diagram showing the overlap of significantly upregulated genes in WT vs. *slim1-1* (pink) and down-regulated genes in WT vs. *slim1-1* (green) compared with previously published DAP-Seq targets of SLIM1 (blue). Gene lists can be found in Supplementary Table S14.