O-antigen structure and surface hydrophobicity determines aggregate assembly type in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

Sheyda Azimi[1], Jacob Thomas[1], Sara E. Cleland[1], Jennifer E. Curtis[2], Joanna B. Goldberg[3] and Stephen P. Diggle[1]*

[1]*Center for Microbial Dynamics & Infection, School of Biological Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, U.S.A; [2]School of Physics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, U.S.A.; [3]Division of Pulmonology, Allergy/Immunology, Cystic Fibrosis and Sleep, Department of Pediatrics, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, U.S.A.*

*Correspondence: stephen.diggle@biosci.gatech.edu

Keywords: Lipopolysaccharide, O-antigen, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, cystic fibrosis, hydrophobicity, depletion aggregation

Abstract

Bacteria live in spatially organized aggregates during chronic infections, where they adapt to the host environment, evade immune responses and resist therapeutic interventions. Although it is known that environmental factors such as polymers influence bacterial aggregation, it is not clear how bacterial adaptation during chronic infection impacts the formation and spatial organization of aggregates in the presence of polymers. Here we show that in an *in vitro* model of cystic fibrosis (CF) containing the polymers eDNA and mucin, O-antigen is a major factor in determining the formation of two distinct aggregate assembly types of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* due to alterations in cell surface hydrophobicity. Our findings suggest that during chronic infection, interplay between cell surface properties and polymers in the environment may influence the formation and structure of bacterial aggregates, which would shed new light on the fitness costs and benefits of O-antigen production in environments such as CF lungs.

Importance

During chronic infection, several factors contribute to the biogeography of microbial communities. Heterogeneous populations of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* form aggregates in cystic fibrosis airways, however, the impact of this population heterogeneity on spatial organization and aggregate assembly is not well understood. In this study we found that changes in O-antigen structure determine the spatial organization of *P. aeruginosa* cells by altering the relative cell surface hydrophobicity. This finding

suggests a role for O-antigen in determining *P. aeruginosa* aggregate size and shape in cystic fibrosis

airways.

Introduction

During chronic infection, biofilm-forming cells are often more tolerant to antibiotics and the host immune

response than planktonic cells (1-3). Biofilms also allow individual cells the physical proximity to engage

in and benefit from social behaviors such as quorum sensing (QS) and the production of secreted common

goods (4-9). Biofilms formed during infection often take the form of cellular aggregates (8, 10-12). In the

fluids of wounds and airways of cystic fibrosis (CF) patients, the opportunistic pathogen *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa frequently grows as freely suspended aggregates of ~10-10,000 cells (6, 8, 10, 11). The

mechanisms that govern the shape and size of bacterial aggregates during infection are not well defined.

In polymer rich environments, aggregates have been shown to form either by (i) an increase in entropic

force (depletion aggregation) (13, 14); or (ii) electrostatic interactions between bacterial cell surfaces and

polymers   in   the   environment   (bridging   aggregation)   (15-18).

P. aeruginosa populations become phenotypically and genetically diverse over time in the complex micro-

environments found in CF airways (19-22), and the importance of this heterogeneity on the formation and

organization of aggregates has not been assessed. To resolve this, we evaluated aggregate formation in

seven genetically diverse isolates sourced from heterogeneous populations of the *P. aeruginosa* strain

PAO1 previously evolved in biofilms for 50 days (23). We assessed how each isolate formed aggregates

in a polymer-enriched, spatially-structured CF growth medium (SCFM2: containing mucin and eDNA

polymers), which has previously been used as an *in vitro* CF model to study the biogeography and

physiology of *P. aeruginosa* (8, 24-26).

We found that the PAO1 ancestor and five isolates formed a stacked pattern (stacked aggregates) where

cells closely packed lengthwise, similar to those identified in previous polymer driven depletion-

aggregation studies (27). Two isolates formed distinct disorganized aggregates of varying sizes (clumped

aggregates), similar to aggregates previously observed in CF sputum samples (10, 28). Whole genome

sequencing showed that the two clumping isolates had alterations in the *ssg* gene (PA5001), which has

previously been shown to be involved in lipopolysaccharide (LPS) core and O-antigen biosynthesis (29-

32). In *P. aeruginosa*, LPS contains three major components: the lipid A layer of the outer membrane, a

core oligosaccharide and O-antigen components. O-antigens are further subdivided into a D-rhamnose

homopolymer found in most strains called the common polysaccharide antigen (CPA, formally A-band)

and a variable heteropolymer of 3 to 5 sugars called the O-specific antigen (OSA, formally B-band) that
confers serotype specificity (29, 32).
73
We hypothesized that changes in O-antigen glycoforms capping LPS would lead to different aggregate
assembly types by altering the physiochemical properties of the bacterial cell surface. This could result in
new interactions (e.g. between the bacteria or the bacteria and polymers) that compete with the entropic
force that otherwise leads to stacked aggregation in this polymer-rich environment. To elucidate the
contribution of LPS-capping glycoforms to aggregate assembly, we assessed the aggregate formation of
clean deletion mutants of OSA and CPA. We found that loss of OSA and changes in the capped LPS core
glycoforms led to increased hydrophobicity of the cell surface that overcame the entropic force imposed
by host polymers, resulting in disorganized, irreversible clumping. Most importantly, we demonstrate that
aggregate shape and structure is dependent on the interplay between the physical properties of the
environment and the biological mediation of bacterial cell surface properties governed by the LPS core
and O-antigen.
85
Results
Distinct aggregate assembly types in genetically diverse *P. aeruginosa* isolates. Genetic and
morphologically heterogeneous isolates of *P. aeruginosa* are commonly collected from expectorated CF
sputum samples (20, 21, 33, 34). Since it is known that several lineages of *P. aeruginosa* can stably coexist
in CF airways, we tested whether population heterogeneity impacted aggregate formation. We chose seven
distinct morphotypes isolated from a previous study where we evolved biofilms of PAO1 in a synthetic
polymer-free sputum media (SCFM) for 50 days (23, 35, 36) (Fig. S1A). We assessed aggregate formation
in a spatially structured iteration of SCFM termed SCFM2 which contains mucin and eDNA polymers
(24). We identified two distinct types of aggregate assembly, where PAO1 and five of the evolved isolates
(A2, B8, B13, C25 and D4) were assembled into stacked aggregates, where cells were closely aligned side
by side by entropic force, similar to previous reports (27) (Fig. 1A; Fig. S1B & C). In contrast, two of the
evolved isolates (A9, B9) formed clumped aggregates that appeared as disorganized small and large
groups of cells, similar to bridging aggregation (15) (Fig. 1A). We also investigated growth of A9 and B9
in SCFM (no addition of eDNA or mucin) and observed that both formed clumps even in a polymer free
environment, while the other isolates did not form any aggregates (Fig. S2A).
101
102 To identify genetic determinants of clumping assembly in the evolved isolates, we performed whole-
103 genome sequencing on each isolate using the Illumina Miseq platform. We used breseq (0.34.0) for variant

calling between the evolved isolates and the PAO1 ancestor (37). Whilst we found differential levels of genetic variation in each isolate when compared to PAO1, we observed that A9 and B9 each contained a 1 bp deletion in the *ssg* gene (PA5001) (Dataset 1). To confirm that mutation of *ssg* results in a clumped aggregate assembly, we complemented A9 and B9 with an intact *ssg* gene *in trans*. We found that in both isolates, *ssg* complementation restored the stacked aggregate assembly seen in PAO1 (Fig. 1B; Fig. S2B) suggesting that Ssg plays a role in aggregate assembly type.

A distinct feature of the stacked versus clumped aggregates was the average volume. We found that stacked aggregate volumes were 2-4 times larger (median of $\approx 63\ \mu m^3$ and $89\ \mu m^3$ aggregate size for PAO1 and A2 respectively) and (median of $\approx 200\ \mu m^3$ in *ssg* complemented A9 and B9) compared to clumped aggregates (median aggregate size of ≈ 23 and $30\ \mu m^3$ for A9 and B9 respectively) (Fig. 1B). To quantify these observed differences in the distribution of aggregate biomass in cells with stacked and clumped aggregate assembly types, we compared the distribution of biovolume (ratio of aggregate volume to surface area) for each type of aggregate assembly in SCFM2. We found that regardless of the size, the median biovolume in stacked aggregates was significantly higher than in clumped aggregates (Table S1).

O-antigen structure and not other biofilm traits determines aggregate assembly type. The proposed function of Ssg is a glycosyltransferase, involved in LPS and exopolysaccharide biosynthesis (30, 38). *P. aeruginosa* with mutations in *ssg* have previously been shown to display decreased motility, enhanced phage resistance and a lack of O-antigen (30, 31, 39). We next determined whether the different aggregate assemblies (due to the loss of *ssg* in our evolved PAO1 isolates) was because of differences in O-antigen production. We constructed a clean *ssg* gene deletion in PAO1 (PAO1Δ*ssg*) and a range of isogenic LPS synthesis or O-antigen assembly mutants. These were (i) mannose reductase (PAO1Δ*rmd* OSA$^+$, CPA$^-$); (ii) epimerase (PAO1Δ*wbpM* OSA$^-$, CPA$^+$); (iii) OSA polymerase (PAO1Δ*wyz*, OSA$^-$, CPA$^+$); (iv) common initiating glycosyltransferase (PAO1Δ*wbpL*, OSA$^-$, CPA$^-$) and (v) O-antigen ligase (PAO1Δ*waaL*, OSA and CPA still made but not attached to LPS in the periplasm). In addition, we made mutants in the high (PAO1Δ*wzz*1 OSA$^+$, CPA$^+$) and very high (PAO1Δ*wzz*2, OSA$^+$, CPA$^+$) OSA chain-length regulators (40, 41) (Fig. S3). We then determined the aggregate assembly type of the O-antigen mutants in SCFM2. We found that *ssg, wbpL* and *wbpM* mutants with no OSA, formed clumped aggregates, but lack of CPA alone (PAO1Δ*rmd*) did not change the aggregate assembly type (Fig. 2A & B).

Biofilm formation by *P. aeruginosa* is regulated by several well-described mechanisms such as exopolysaccharide production, adhesins and quorum sensing (QS) (6, 9, 42, 43). To determine whether any of these factors interfered with stacked aggregation in SCFM2, we examined the aggregate assembly of defined mutants in exopolysaccharide production (PAO1Δ*pel/psl*), lectins (PAO1Δ*lecA* & PAO1Δ*lecB*) and a mutant lacking a major QS regulator (PAO1Δ*lasR*). Although the role of QS and biofilm formation remains controversial, we assessed this mutant because LasR regulates several pathways that could impact on aggregation type (7, 44). We found that all these mutants displayed stacked aggregate assembly like PAO1 (Fig. 3). This indicated that changes in aggregate assembly type was not due to alterations in common phenotypes associated with biofilm formation, only the loss of OSA was important.

Loss of OSA leads to clumped aggregates, independent of polymer and cell density. To determine differences in stacked and clumped aggregate assembly in SCFM2, despite the presence of polymers, we monitored aggregate assembly of PAO1 and PAO1Δ*wbpL* over time. We found that an increase in initial cell density resulted in the rapid formation of stacked aggregates, while there were no changes in clumped aggregate assembly (Movie S1 and S2).

When we monitored the aggregate formation of PAO1 and PAO1Δ*wbpL* over 6 h, we found that there was a significant change in PAO1 aggregate biovolume after 180 min of cells growing in SCFM2 and when the stacks were assembled (Fig. 4A) whereas, regardless of cell density, the biovolume of PAO1Δ*wbpL* aggregates remained constant over time (Fig. 4B). We also found that reducing the concentration of both polymers in SCFM2, led to the dissolution of stacked aggregates as expected, while it did not affect the formation of PAO1Δ*wbpL* clumped aggregates (Fig. S4). These findings suggest that loss of OSA prevents entropically-derived stacked aggregate assembly, and the associated mechanism is independent of the polymer concentration and/or cell density. This is a well-studied manifestation of aggregation of hydrophobic particles in colloidal environments (45, 46).

Aggregate assembly of *P. aeruginosa* is not serotype specific but dependent on cell surface relative hydrophobicity. There are 20 serotypes of *P. aeruginosa* based on the glycosyl groups of OSA (38). As our findings were limited to PAO1 (serotype O5), we examined aggregate formation of PA14 (serotype O10), PAK (serotype O6) and STO1 (serotype O1) which all differ in the oligosaccharide units of OSA (38). In all serotypes we observed a stacked assembly similar to PAO1, but in an STO1 strain lacking OSA (Δ*wbpM*), we identified small clumped aggregates, with restoration of stacks when *wbpM* was

complemented *in trans* (Fig. 5A & B). This data confirms that aggregate assembly type is not serotype

specific.

Previously it has been shown that lack of OSA increases the hydrophobicity of the *P. aeruginosa* cell

surface (30). To determine whether hydrophobicity correlated with aggregate type, we assessed the

relative surface hydrophobicity of OSA+ and OSA- strains. We found a significant increase in surface

hydrophobicity in OSA mutants (Fig. 6A), which corresponded with a clumping aggregate phenotype

(Fig. 2). Loss of OSA is a common adaptive trait of *P. aeruginosa* in CF airways, possibly leading to

increase in cell surface hydrophobicity that could alter spatial organization of *P. aeruginosa* cells in CF

airways. We therefore evaluated the relative hydrophobicity of 11 *P. aeruginosa* isolates collected from

the expectorated sputa of 2 individuals with CF. We observed heterogeneity in cell surface relative

hydrophobicity of the CF isolates across the two patients (Fig. 6B).

Discussion

Despite a large body of work showing how *P. aeruginosa* adapts to the CF lung environment (19-21, 33,

47, 48), and population heterogeneity during chronic infection (20, 21, 23, 49, 50), little is known about

the impact of this intra-specific heterogeneity on the formation of *P. aeruginosa* aggregates. To test

whether genetic heterogeneity impacts aggregation, we investigated the aggregate formation of selected

evolved isolates from a previous 50-day biofilm evolution experiment of PAO1 (23) in SCFM2 (24). We

found that (i) there are two distinct types of aggregate assemblies formed by *P. aeruginosa* in SCFM2;

(ii) the OSA impacts aggregate assembly type and (iii) the loss of OSA and LPS core+1 increases the

hydrophobicity of the bacterial surface which prevents depletion aggregation.

Previously it was shown that aggregation of *P. aeruginosa* cells in a polymer rich environment can be due

to depletion forces, where reduction of the free energy by increased entropy of the whole system leads to

a stacked aggregation of bacterial cells (27). Change of the polymer electrostatic properties in the same

study, altered the aggregate assembly to bridging assembly, suggesting that aggregate assembly type is

driven by physical properties of the environment, and that the biological properties of the cells assumes

little or no role on aggregate assembly type (27). However, we observed two distinct types of aggregate

assembly by genetically diverse PAO1 isolates in SCFM2. Stacked aggregation is dependent on cell

density and polymer concentration, and that increase in entropy is the driving force behind these

assemblies. In contrast, clumping assembly is driven by changes in surface properties of *P. aeruginosa*

cells. This indicates that although aggregate formation is influenced by physical forces, O-antigen

structure strongly impacts upon the spatial organization enforced by physical properties of the
environment.

Other studies have suggested that during CF infection, polymers like mucin can disperse cells in
established biofilms (51), although our work suggests that polymers are more likely to influence the spatial
arrangement of the cells. The clumping aggregate assembly was not influenced by factors that have
previously been shown to be involved in biofilm and aggregate formation including lectins (42, 52), QS
(6, 9, 53) and EPS (54-57). While we found that stacked aggregates had a larger biovolume than clumped
aggregates, and this can be explained by depletion aggregation, other explanations also exist. First, stacked
aggregates might be more likely to support growth within the aggregate leading to larger aggregates.
Second, as clumped aggregates get larger, they may be more prone to disaggregation, leading to them
never achieving a larger size even if there were cellular division occurring in the aggregate.

It remains to be determined whether stacked aggregates are found during human CF infection, although a
recent study demonstrated that in CF airways, eDNA surrounds aggregates, suggesting that in CF lungs,
eDNA could increase entropic force and form aggregates via depletion aggregation (58). It is noteworthy
that stacked aggregates form in other species of bacteria such as in *Rhizobium leguminosarum* which was
dependent on LPS core and O-antigen (59). Further, honeycomb structures of *P. aeruginosa* (multi-layers
of stacks) were shown to form in the crops of *Drosophila melanogaster,* highlighting that stacked
aggregates are found in some types of infection (60).

By assessing the relative hydrophobicity of cells forming different aggregate types, we found that *P.*
aeruginosa cells that form clumped aggregates have higher relative hydrophobic surfaces. Regulating
aggregate assembly type by directly altering OSA expression levels in environments containing
differential levels of cells and polymers (such as CF sputum), may allow cells to better resist
environmental stressors such as the host immune response, antibiotics or phage. The loss of OSA has been
reported in *P. aeruginosa* strains isolated from CF sputum, suggesting that finding small, clumped
aggregates in CF sputum and CF airways (61), might be directly due to the loss of OSA. As our work was
performed *in vitro,* further studies are required to determine how OSA and surface hydrophobicity impacts
on aggregate formation in *P. aeruginosa* isolates growing in CF lungs.

Overall, our findings highlight that the surface properties of *P. aeruginosa* cells determines how they form
aggregates in environments with different physicochemical properties, providing potential benefits from

social interactions with highly-related cells. Our findings also highlight, that changes in cell surface
properties may influence how aggregates form in other species of bacteria and provides explanations as
to how different *P. aeruginosa* strains and species can stably co-exist in microbiomes.
238

Materials & Methods
Bacterial strains and culture condition. We selected 7 evolved isolates of PAO1 from 50 day evolved
populations in SCFM (23). We transformed all *P. aeruginosa* strains used in this study with pME6032:*gfp*
plasmid (62) using electroporation (63). Briefly, to prepare electrocompetent *P. aeruginosa* cells; we grew
the bacterial cells in LB broth overnight, we then washed the overnight cultures with 300 mM sucrose
solution at room temperature, and then resuspended the bacterial pellets in 1ml of 300 mM sucrose. We
then electroporated 50 μl of electrocompetent cells with 2 μl of purified plasmid and recovered the cells
by addition of 950 μl of LB broth and incubation at 37 ºC/ 200 rpm for 30 mins. We selected the
transformed cells by plating out the electroporated bacteria onto LB agar plates supplemented with 200
mg/ml of tetracycline. We obtained the clinical isolates from Emory CF@LANTA Research Center.
Patients in this study were aged between 21-29 at the time of collection of the sputum samples. This study
was approved by Institutional Review Board (IRB) at Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory
University Hospital. A list of all bacterial strains used in this study is available in Table S2.
252

Determining diversity in colony morphologies. To determine diversity in colony morphology in evolved
populations (23), we used a Congo Red based agar media (1% agar, 1×M63 salts (3g monobasic KHPO4,
7g K2PO4, 2g NH4.2SO4, pH adjusted to 7.4), 2.5 mM magnesium chloride, 0.4 mM calcium chloride,
0.1% casamino acids, 0.1% yeast extracts, 40 mg/l Congo red solution, 100 μM ferrous ammonium
sulphate and 0.4% glycerol) (64). We inoculated each evolved isolate in LB broth and incubated for 6 h
at 37ºC/200 *rpm,* then we spotted a 10 μl of the culture onto Congo Red agar plates. We incubated the
plated at 37ºC for 24 h and a further 4 days at room temperature.
260

Genomic DNA extraction and whole genome sequencing. We plated each of the selected evolved
isolates on LB agar plates, picked single colonies of each isolate and then inoculated in 5 ml of SCFM
(35) and incubated overnight at 37 ºC/ 200 rpm. We extracted the genomic DNA using the QIAGEN
Blood and Tissue DNAeasy kit. We prepared sequencing libraries using the NexteraXT protocol
(Illumina), and sequenced in 24-plex on the Illumina MiSeq platform to obtain an approximate calculated
level of coverage of 50× for each evolved isolate. For SNP calling, we used breseq analysis (consensus

mode) (37, 65, 66) and compared the genetic variation in each evolved isolate to the PAO1 ancestral

strain. The sequences are available at the NCBI SRA database (PRJNA702741).

Image acquisition and analysis. For imaging aggregates in SCFM2 (24), we inoculated each bacterial

isolate into TSB-broth supplemented with 200 μg/ml of tetracycline and incubated at 37 ºC/200 rpm

overnight. We inoculated 50 μl of the overnight culture into 5 ml of SCFM and incubated at 37 ºC/ 200

rpm for 5-6 h, until cultures reached mid-log phase (OD_{600}= 0.5). We then adjusted the OD_{600} to 0.05 in

400 μl of freshly made SCFM2 containing 0.6 mg/ml of DNA and 5 mg/ml of mucin (8, 24). We incubated

the cultures at 37 ºC for 16 h in chamber slides (Lab-Tek®) before image acquisition. We used a confocal

LSM880 microscope equipped with a 63× oil immersion lens for image acquisition and scanned the

aggregates using diode laser 488 nm, and collected fluorescent emission between 480-530 nm for image

acquisition. For imaging the cells grown in SCFM, we adjusted the OD_{600} of cells from mid-log phase

growth to 0.05 in 400 μl of freshly made SCFM. We incubated the cultures at 37 ºC for 16 h in chamber

slides before image acquisition. For image analysis, we used Imaris 9.0.1 image analysis software to

analyze the morphological properties of the aggregates and measured the surface area and volume of each

aggregate using a surface model algorithm. We used the same parameters for particle and voxel size. We

measured the aggregate volume and surface area in 10 images acquired for each strain in three independent

experiments (over 1000 aggregates were measured in total for each condition). For time course

experiments, we used the same image acquisition parameters, using the time series option and imaged as

Z stacks every 20 min for up to 10 h. To assess the role of bacterial cell density on aggregation, we adjusted

the OD_{600} to 0.1 in 400 μl of SCFM2 and imaged the cells every 20 min for 6 h. We prepared time series

videos using the 3D plugin in Fiji (67) and Adobe Lightroom.

Gene deletion and complementation. We used standard genetic techniques for the construction of *P.*

aeruginosa mutants. To delete *ssg*, *rmd*, *wbpL*, *wbpM*, *waaL*, *wzy*, *wzz1* and *wzz2,* we PCR amplified 600

bp DNA sequences flanking the open reading frame of each gene using Q5 DNA polymerase (New

England Biolabs). We then cloned these sequences into EcoRI-XbaI digested pEXG2 by Gibson assembly

using NEBuilder HiFi Assembly master mix (New England Biolabs) and transformed into *E.coli* S17 λpir.

We verified cloned inserts by colony PCR and Sanger sequencing (Eurofins Genomics). We introduced

the deletion constructs into PAO1 by electroporation and selected strains carrying single crossover

insertions of the deletion constructs on LB agar plates supplemented with 100 μg/ml gentamycin. We

cultured gentamycin resistant colonies in LB without antibiotic and plated on LB agar plates with 0.25%

NaCl and 5% sucrose. We then selected sucrose resistant colonies and screened them for gentamycin

sensitivity to ensure loss of the pEXG2 construct and assessed them for the desired gene deletion by colony
PCR and Sanger sequencing of the PCR product. For *ssg* complementation we PCR amplified the *ssg*
coding sequence and 100 bp upstream sequence (including the *ssg* native promoter) using Q5 DNA
polymerase (New England Biolabs). We cloned this 1057 bp product into KpnI-BamHI digested pUC18T-
miniTn7-Gent by Gibson assembly using NEBuilder HiFi Assembly master mix (New England Biolabs)
and transformed into *E.coli* S17 λpir. We verified the cloned insert by colony PCR and Sanger sequencing
(Eurofins Genomics). We co-transformed the complementation construct with the Tn7 helper plasmid
pTNS3 into PAO1Δ*ssg* and evolved isolates by electroporation and selected on LB agar plates
supplemented with 100 µg/ml gentamycin. We verified the strains for *ssg*+ complementation by colony
PCR and for loss of pUC18-miniTn7-Gent vector and pTNS3 by screening for carbenicillin sensitivity.

LPS extraction. We isolated bacterial lipopolysaccharide by the hot phenol extraction method (68).
Briefly, we pelleted 5 ml overnight cultures of PAO1 and PAO1-derived strains in LB broth by
centrifugation for 10 min at 4200×g. We resuspended the pellets in 200 µl 1X SDS buffer (2% β-
mercaptoethanol (BME), 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8) and incubated at 99°C for 15
min. Then we added 5 µl of 20 mg/ml proteinase K (Sigma) to each tube and incubated cell lysates at
59°C for 3 hours. Then we added 200 µl of ice-cold Tris-saturated phenol to each sample, vortexed for 10
min, then added 1 ml diethyl-ether and vortexed for a further 10 seconds. We centrifuged the samples for
10 min at 16000×g and extracted the bottom layer. We performed a second extraction with phenol and
diethyl-ether as above. We mixed an equal volume of the extracted LPS samples with an equal volume of
2× SDS buffer and electrophoresed 10 µl of each sample on Novex 4-20% plyacrylamide gradient gels
(ThermoFisher) in Tris-Glycine-SDS buffer. Following electrophoresis, we visualized LPS with a ProQ
Emerald Lipopolysaccharide staining kit (ThermoFisher).

Assessing cell surface hydrophobicity. To assess the levels of cell surface hydrophobicity, we used
hydrophobic interaction chromatography (29). Briefly, we grew bacterial cells for 6-8 h at 37 ºC/ 200 *rpm,*
to reach mid-log phase. We harvested the cells and washed the cells 3× with ice cold 3M NaCl pH=7 and
resuspended in 3M NaCl. We used octyl-Sepharose CL-4C beads (SIGMA) to assess the interaction of
hydrophobic cells to these beads compared to control Sepharose CL-4C beads (SIGMA). We prepared
bead columns by a 3× wash of the beads with Mili-Q water, and then 3× washes with 3M NaCl (pH=7)
(at 4ºC). We then prepared 1 ml columns of both beads by using 3 ml diameter filter paper. We added 100
µl of bacterial suspension and incubated at room temperature for 15 min. We measured the OD$_{450}$ of flow

through from each column. We calculated the relative hydrophobicity based on the ratio of OD_{450} octyl-

Sepharose CL-C4 column flow through and control column.

Statistical analysis. For statistical analysis of the aggregate volume and biovolume distribution, we used

GraphPad Prism 8.0.

Figure legends

Fig. 1. The two types of aggregate assembly formed by *P. aeruginosa* isolates in SCFM2 is due to *ssg*

gene mutation. (A) In PAO1 and evolved isolates, aggregates assembled into either organized stacked

structures (labeled as S) or disorganized clumps (labeled as C). (B) Stacked aggregates of PAO1 and A2

were significantly larger than aggregates formed by A9 and B9, and complementation with an intact *ssg*

gene significantly increased the aggregate volume (Kruskal-Wallis, Dunn's multiple comparison test,

p<0.0001; error bars are median with interquartile range of aggregate volume, each data point is

representative of an aggregate).

Fig. 2. Loss of OSA leads to clumped aggregate assembly. (A) Loss of CPA (Δ*rmd*) did not alter the

type of aggregate assembly, and loss of OSA (Δ*wbpM*) lead to dispersed small aggregates. The loss of

both CPA and OSA(Δ*wbpL*) changed aggregate assembly type similar to the *ssg* mutant. (B) There was a

significant reduction in aggregate volume in *ssg, wbpL* and *wbpM* mutants, however only the loss of *ssg*

and *wbpL* displayed large, clumped aggregates (Kruskal-Wallis, Dunn's multiple comparison test,

p<0.0001; error bars are median with interquartile range of aggregate volume, each point is representative

of an aggregate).

Fig. 3. The aggregate assembly type is independent of exoploysaccharide production, lectins and

quorum sensing. (A) Loss of lectins (Δ*lecA* and Δ*lecB*), quorum sensing (Δ*lasR*) and exopolysaccharide

components (Δ*pel/psl*) did not change the aggregate assembly type and aggregates were assembled in

stacked form similar to those seen in PAO1. (B) Stacked aggregates formed by cells lacking lectins (Δ*lecA*

and Δ*lecB*), quorum sensing (Δ*lasR*) and exopolysaccharide components (Δ*pel/psl*) were the same size as

PAO1 aggregates (Kruskal-Wallis, Dunn's multiple comparison test, *p*=0.1, *p*=0.6, *p*>0.999 and *p*>0.999

when aggregate volumes of Δ*lecA,* Δ*lecB,* Δ*lasR* and Δ*pel/psl* were compared to PAO1; error bars are

median with interquartile range of aggregate volume).

Fig. 4. Clumped aggregate assembly is not dependent on cell density. (A) The aggregate biovolume
of PAO1 significantly increased after 180 min of growth (median biovolume = 0.34-0.75 over time). (B)
In PAO1Δ*wbpL* (lacking OSA), biovolume remained the same over time (median biovolume = 0.33-0.27
over time).
369
Fig. 5. Clumped Aggregate assembly of *P. aeruginosa* is not serotype specific. (A) *P. aeruginosa* PA14
and PAK and STO1 formed stacked aggregates in SCFM2 and OSA⁻ mutant STO1 (STO1Δ*wbpM*) lead
to clumped assembly of aggregates. (B) Loss of OSA altered aggregate assembly from stacked to clumped
in STO1 and significantly decreased aggregate volume (Kruskal-Wallis, Dunn's multiple comparison test,
$p = 0.0048$; error bars are median with interquartile range of aggregate volume, each data point is
representative of an aggregate).
376
377 **Fig. 6. Cell surface hydrophobicity determines the aggregate assembly type.** (A) The relative cell
378 surface hydrophobicity was dependent on OSA, and mutation in *ssg, wbpL* and *wbpM* lead to an increase
379 in relative hydrophobicity in PAO1 and STO1 (Green bars).
380
381 **Supplemental figure legends**
382
383 **Fig. S1. Aggregate assembly of PAO1 evolved isolates in SCFM2.** (A) Evolved isolates of PAO1
384 displayed differential colony morphologies on Congo red agar plates. (B) Evolved isolates B8, B13, C25
385 and D4 displayed stacked aggregate assembly (labeled as S). (C) There were no significant differences in
386 the volume of stacked aggregates in these isolates compared to PAO1 ($p < 0.0001$, Kruskal-Wallis, Dunn's
387 multiple comparison test, error bars are median with interquartile range of aggregate volume).
388
389 **Fig. S2. Mutation in PA5001 (*ssg*) in PAO1 switches the stacked aggregate assembly to clumped**
390 **assembly.** (A) PAO1 and A2 cells formed a dispersed layer of cells on cover slips when grown in SCFM
391 (without any polymer), while A9 and B9 formed small clumps in SCFM. (B) Complementing A9 and B9
392 isolates with *ssg* restored the aggregate assembly to stacked (Kruskal-Wallis, Dunn's multiple comparison
393 test, $p < 0.0001$, error bars are median with interquartile range of aggregate volume).
394
395 **Fig. S3. Loss of *ssg* function changes the LPS OSA profile in *P. aeruginosa*.** (A) Evolved isolates of
396 PAO1 with 1 bp deletion in *ssg* had the same OSA profile as PAO1Δ*ssg*, and complementation of *ssg* in

trans restored the OSA pattern. (B) Loss of *ssg* resulted in the loss of OSA and a change in capped core pattern.

Fig. S4. Stacked aggregate assembly is dependent on the concentration of both eDNA and mucin. (A) Stacked aggregate formation was disrupted by diluting polymers in SCFM2. (B) Clumped aggregate assembly was independent of the polymer concentration in the environment.

Movie S1. Increased cell density reduced the time of stacked aggregation. To determine whether stacked aggregate assembly was dependent on cell density, we monitored the growth of PAO1 over time (initial density adjusted OD_{600} to 0.1 in 400 μl of SCFM2). Stacked aggregates were assembled after 180 min of growth.

Movie S2. Increased cell density does not impact the timing of formation of clumped aggregation. We monitored the growth of PAO1Δ*wbpL* (initial density adjusted OD_{600} to 0.1 in 400 μl of SCFM2). There was no change in aggregate assembly over time.

Dataset 1. List of SNPs in 7 evolved isolates of PAO1.

Table S1. Stacked aggregates have higher biovolume than clumped aggregates. To determine the differences in biomass of each aggregate type, we calculated the total biovolume ($μm^3/μm^2$) of all aggregates in each acquired image, using Imaris. There was a significant difference between distribution of biovolume of stacked and clumped (green) aggregates (Kruskal-Wallis, Dunn's multiple comparison test, $p<0.0001$; error bars are median with interquartile range of aggregate biovolume).

Table S2. List of the strains used in this study.

Acknowledgments. For funding, we thank the Georgia Institute of Technology; The Cystic Fibrosis Foundation for grants (DIGGLE18I0; DIGGLE20G0) to SPD and a Fellowship to SA (AZIMI18F0); CF@LANTA for a Fellowship to SA (3206AXB); The National Institute for Health (R01AI153116) to SPD; the National Science Foundation (1806606) to JEC. We thank Marvin Whiteley for useful discussion and use of his Confocal Ziess LSM880 and Imaris image analysis platform. Access to the CF Biospecimen Registry (CFBR) at Emory Children's Center for Cystic Fibrosis and Airways Disease Research was

provided through Children's Healthcare of Atlanta and the Emory University Pediatric CF Discovery

Core. We thank Arlene Stecenko and Katy Clemmer for assistance acquiring bacterial isolates.

Conflict of interest statement. The authors declare no conflict of interest with any of the work presented

in this manuscript.

References

1.   Kragh KN, Alhede M, Jensen PO, Moser C, Scheike T, Jacobsen CS, Seier Poulsen S, Eickhardt-
Sorensen SR, Trostrup H, Christoffersen L, Hougen HP, Rickelt LF, Kuhl M, Hoiby N, Bjarnsholt T.
2014. Polymorphonuclear leukocytes restrict growth of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in the lungs of cystic
fibrosis patients. Infect Immun 82:4477-86.
2.   Hall CW, Mah TF. 2017. Molecular mechanisms of biofilm-based antibiotic resistance and
tolerance in pathogenic bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev 41:276-301.
3.   Pang Z, Raudonis R, Glick BR, Lin TJ, Cheng Z. 2019. Antibiotic resistance in *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa: mechanisms and alternative therapeutic strategies. Biotechnol Adv 37:177-192.
4.   West SA, Griffin AS, Gardner A, Diggle SP. 2006. Social evolution theory for microorganisms.
Nat Rev Microbiol 4:597-607.
5.   Xavier JB, Foster KR. 2007. Cooperation and conflict in microbial biofilms. Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A 104:876-81.
6.   Whiteley M, Diggle SP, Greenberg EP. 2017. Progress in and promise of bacterial quorum
sensing research. Nature 551:313-320.
7.   Popat R, Crusz SA, Messina M, Williams P, West SA, Diggle SP. 2012. Quorum-sensing and
cheating in bacterial biofilms. Proc Biol Sci 279:4765-71.
8.   Darch SE, Simoska O, Fitzpatrick M, Barraza JP, Stevenson KJ, Bonnecaze RT, Shear JB,
Whiteley M. 2018. Spatial determinants of quorum signaling in a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection
model. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115:4779-4784.
9.   Azimi S, Klementiev AD, Whiteley M, Diggle SP. 2020. Bacterial Quorum Sensing During
Infection. Annu Rev Microbiol 74:201-219.
10.   Bjarnsholt T, Alhede M, Alhede M, Eickhardt-Sorensen SR, Moser C, Kuhl M, Jensen PO,
Hoiby N. 2013. The *in vivo* biofilm. Trends Microbiol 21:466-74.
11.   Roberts AE, Kragh KN, Bjarnsholt T, Diggle SP. 2015. The Limitations of *In Vitro*
Experimentation in Understanding Biofilms and Chronic Infection. J Mol Biol 427:3646-61.
12.   Kragh KN, Hutchison JB, Melaugh G, Rodesney C, Roberts AE, Irie Y, Jensen PO, Diggle SP,
Allen RJ, Gordon V, Bjarnsholt T. 2016. Role of Multicellular Aggregates in Biofilm Formation. mBio
7:e00237.
13.   Liu HH, Yang YR, Shen XC, Zhang ZL, Shen P, Xie ZX. 2008. Role of DNA in bacterial
aggregation. Curr Microbiol 57:139-44.
14.   Dorken G, Ferguson GP, French CE, Poon WC. 2012. Aggregation by depletion attraction in
cultures of bacteria producing exopolysaccharide. J R Soc Interface 9:3490-502.
15.   Harris RH, Mitchell R. 1973. The role of polymers in microbial aggregation. Annu Rev
Microbiol 27:27-50.
16.   Strand SP, Nordengen T, Ostgaard K. 2002. Efficiency of chitosans applied for flocculation of
different bacteria. Water Res 36:4745-52.
17.   Schweizer JBHaKS. 2005. Contact Aggregation, Bridging, and Steric Stabilization in Dense
Polymer−Particle Mixtures. Macromolecules 38:8858-8869.

475 18. Das T, Sharma PK, Busscher HJ, van der Mei HC, Krom BP. 2010. Role of extracellular DNA in
476 initial bacterial adhesion and surface aggregation. Appl Environ Microbiol 76:3405-8.
477 19. Marvig RL, Sommer LM, Molin S, Johansen HK. 2015. Convergent evolution and adaptation of
478 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* within patients with cystic fibrosis. Nat Genet 47:57-64.
479 20. Darch SE, McNally A, Harrison F, Corander J, Barr HL, Paszkiewicz K, Holden S, Fogarty A,
480 Crusz SA, Diggle SP. 2015. Recombination is a key driver of genomic and phenotypic diversity in a
481 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* population during cystic fibrosis infection. Sci Rep 5:7649.
482 21. Williams D, Evans B, Haldenby S, Walshaw MJ, Brockhurst MA, Winstanley C, Paterson S.
483 2015. Divergent, coexisting *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* lineages in chronic cystic fibrosis lung infections.
484 Am J Respir Crit Care Med 191:775-85.
485 22. Rossi E, La Rosa R, Bartell JA, Marvig RL, Haagensen JAJ, Sommer LM, Molin S, Johansen
486 HK. 2020. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* adaptation and evolution in patients with cystic fibrosis. Nat Rev
487 Microbiol doi:10.1038/s41579-020-00477-5.
488 23. Azimi S, Roberts AEL, Peng S, Weitz JS, McNally A, Brown SP, Diggle SP. 2020. Allelic
489 polymorphism shapes community function in evolving *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* populations. ISME J
490 doi:10.1038/s41396-020-0652-0.
491 24. Turner KH, Wessel AK, Palmer GC, Murray JL, Whiteley M. 2015. Essential genome of
492 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis sputum. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:4110-5.
493 25. Cornforth DM, Dees JL, Ibberson CB, Huse HK, Mathiesen IH, Kirketerp-Moller K, Wolcott
494 RD, Rumbaugh KP, Bjarnsholt T, Whiteley M. 2018. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* transcriptome during
495 human infection. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
496 115:E5125-E5134.
497 26. Cornforth DM, Diggle FL, Melvin JA, Bomberger JM, Whiteley M. 2020. Quantitative
498 Framework for Model Evaluation in Microbiology Research Using *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and Cystic
499 Fibrosis Infection as a Test Case. mBio 11.
500 27. Secor PR, Michaels LA, Ratjen A, Jennings LK, Singh PK. 2018. Entropically driven
501 aggregation of bacteria by host polymers promotes antibiotic tolerance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.
502 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115:10780-10785.
503 28. DePas WH, Starwalt-Lee R, Van Sambeek L, Ravindra Kumar S, Gradinaru V, Newman DK.
504 2016. Exposing the Three-Dimensional Biogeography and Metabolic States of Pathogens in Cystic
505 Fibrosis Sputum via Hydrogel Embedding, Clearing, and rRNA Labeling. mBio 7.
506 29. Makin SA, Beveridge TJ. 1996. The influence of A-band and B-band lipopolysaccharide on the
507 surface characteristics and adhesin of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to surfaces. Microbiology 142:299-307.
508 30. Veeranagouda Y, Lee K, Cho AR, Cho K, Anderson EM, Lam JS. 2011. Ssg, a putative
509 glycosyltransferase, functions in lipo- and exopolysaccharide biosynthesis and cell surface-related
510 properties in *Pseudomonas alkylphenolia*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 315:38-45.
511 31. Pan X, Cui X, Zhang F, He Y, Li L, Yang H. 2016. Genetic Evidence for O-Specific Antigen as
512 Receptor of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Phage K8 and Its Genomic Analysis. Front Microbiol 7:252.
513 32. Rocchetta HL, Burrows LL, Lam JS. 1999. Genetics of O-antigen biosynthesis in *Pseudomonas*
514 *aeruginosa*. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 63:523-53.
515 33. Marvig RL, Dolce D, Sommer LM, Petersen B, Ciofu O, Campana S, Molin S, Taccetti G,
516 Johansen HK. 2015. Within-host microevolution of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in Italian cystic fibrosis
517 patients. BMC Microbiol 15:218.
518 34. Jorth P, Staudinger BJ, Wu X, Hisert KB, Hayden H, Garudathri J, Harding CL, Radey MC,
519 Rezayat A, Bautista G, Berrington WR, Goddard AF, Zheng C, Angermeyer A, Brittnacher MJ,
520 Kitzman J, Shendure J, Fligner CL, Mittler J, Aitken ML, Manoil C, Bruce JE, Yahr TL, Singh PK.
521 2015. Regional Isolation Drives Bacterial Diversification within Cystic Fibrosis Lungs. Cell Host
522 Microbe 18:307-19.

35.   Palmer KL, Mashburn LM, Singh PK, Whiteley M. 2005. Cystic fibrosis sputum supports
growth and cues key aspects of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* physiology. J Bacteriol 187:5267-77.
36.   Palmer KL, Aye LM, Whiteley M. 2007. Nutritional cues control *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
multicellular behavior in cystic fibrosis sputum. J Bacteriol 189:8079-87.
37.   Barrick JE, Colburn G, Deatherage DE, Traverse CC, Strand MD, Borges JJ, Knoester DB, Reba
A, Meyer AG. 2014. Identifying structural variation in haploid microbial genomes from short-read
resequencing data using breseq. BMC Genomics 15:1039.
38.   Huszczynski SM, Lam JS, Khursigara CM. 2019. The Role of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
Lipopolysaccharide in Bacterial Pathogenesis and Physiology. Pathogens 9.
39.   Li Y, Xia H, Bai F, Song X, Zhuang L, Xu H, Zhang X, Zhang X, Qiao M. 2020. PA5001 gene
involves in swimming motility and biofilm formation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Microb Pathog
144:103982.
40.   Kintz E, Goldberg JB. 2008. Regulation of lipopolysaccharide O antigen expression in
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Future Microbiol 3:191-203.
41.   Cross AR, Goldberg JB. 2019. Remodeling of O Antigen in Mucoid *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
via Transcriptional Repression of *wzz2*. mBio 10.
42.   Diggle SP, Stacey RE, Dodd C, Camara M, Williams P, Winzer K. 2006. The galactophilic
lectin, LecA, contributes to biofilm development in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Environ Microbiol
8:1095-104.
43.   Colvin KM, Gordon VD, Murakami K, Borlee BR, Wozniak DJ, Wong GC, Parsek MR. 2011.
The pel polysaccharide can serve a structural and protective role in the biofilm matrix of *Pseudomonas
aeruginosa*. PLoS Pathog 7:e1001264.
44.   Rumbaugh KP, Griswold JA, Hamood AN. 2000. The role of quorum sensing in the in vivo
virulence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Microbes Infect 2:1721-31.
45.   Lopez-Leon T, Ortega-Vinuesa JL, Bastos-Gonzalez D. 2012. Ion-specific aggregation of
hydrophobic particles. Chemphyschem 13:2382-91.
46.   Sanchez-Iglesias A, Grzelczak M, Altantzis T, Goris B, Perez-Juste J, Bals S, Van Tendeloo G,
Donaldson SH, Jr., Chmelka BF, Israelachvili JN, Liz-Marzan LM. 2012. Hydrophobic interactions
modulate self-assembly of nanoparticles. ACS Nano 6:11059-65.
47.   Marvig RL, Damkiaer S, Khademi SM, Markussen TM, Molin S, Jelsbak L. 2014. Within-host
evolution of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* reveals adaptation toward iron acquisition from hemoglobin.
mBio 5:e00966-14.
48.   Smith EE, Buckley DG, Wu Z, Saenphimmachak C, Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, Miller SI,
Ramsey BW, Speert DP, Moskowitz SM, Burns JL, Kaul R, Olson MV. 2006. Genetic adaptation by
Pseudomonas aeruginosa to the airways of cystic fibrosis patients. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:8487-
92.
49.   Workentine ML, Sibley CD, Glezerson B, Purighalla S, Norgaard-Gron JC, Parkins MD, Rabin
HR, Surette MG. 2013. Phenotypic heterogeneity of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* populations in a cystic
fibrosis patient. PLoS One 8:e60225.
50.   Mei M, Thomas J, Diggle SP. 2021. Heterogenous Susceptibility to R-Pyocins in Populations of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa Sourced from Cystic Fibrosis Lungs. mBio 12.
51.   Co JY, Carcamo-Oyarce G, Billings N, Wheeler KM, Grindy SC, Holten-Andersen N, Ribbeck
K. 2018. Mucins trigger dispersal of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms. NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes
4:23.
52.   Passos da Silva D, Matwichuk ML, Townsend DO, Reichhardt C, Lamba D, Wozniak DJ, Parsek
MR. 2019. The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* lectin LecB binds to the exopolysaccharide Psl and stabilizes
the biofilm matrix. Nat Commun 10:2183.
53.   Davies DG, Parsek MR, Pearson JP, Iglewski BH, Costerton JW, Greenberg EP. 1998. The
involvement of cell-to-cell signals in the development of a bacterial biofilm. Science 280:295-8.

54.   Ma L, Wang S, Wang D, Parsek MR, Wozniak DJ. 2012. The roles of biofilm matrix
polysaccharide Psl in mucoid Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol
65:377-80.
55.   Jennings LK, Storek KM, Ledvina HE, Coulon C, Marmont LS, Sadovskaya I, Secor PR, Tseng
BS, Scian M, Filloux A, Wozniak DJ, Howell PL, Parsek MR. 2015. Pel is a cationic exopolysaccharide
that cross-links extracellular DNA in the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm matrix. Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A 112:11353-8.
56.   Irie Y, Roberts AEL, Kragh KN, Gordon VD, Hutchison J, Allen RJ, Melaugh G, Bjarnsholt T,
West SA, Diggle SP. 2017. The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PSL Polysaccharide Is a Social but
Noncheatable Trait in Biofilms. mBio 8.
57.   Jennings LK, Dreifus JE, Reichhardt C, Storek KM, Secor PR, Wozniak DJ, Hisert KB, Parsek
MR. 2021. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* aggregates in cystic fibrosis sputum produce exopolysaccharides
that likely impede current therapies. Cell Rep 34:108782.
58.   Alhede M, Alhede M, Qvortrup K, Kragh KN, Jensen PO, Stewart PS, Bjarnsholt T. 2020. The
origin of extracellular DNA in bacterial biofilm infections in vivo. Pathog Dis 78.
59.   Russo DM, Abdian PL, Posadas DM, Williams A, Vozza N, Giordano W, Kannenberg E,
Downie JA, Zorreguieta A. 2015. Lipopolysaccharide O-chain core region required for cellular cohesion
and compaction of *in vitro* and root biofilms developed by *Rhizobium leguminosarum*. Appl Environ
Microbiol 81:1013-23.
60.   Mulcahy H, Sibley CD, Surette MG, Lewenza S. 2011. *Drosophila melanogaster* as an animal
model for the study of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm infections *in vivo*. PLoS Pathog 7:e1002299.
61.   Bjarnsholt T, Jensen PO, Fiandaca MJ, Pedersen J, Hansen CR, Andersen CB, Pressler T,
Givskov M, Hoiby N. 2009. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms in the respiratory tract of cystic fibrosis
patients. Pediatr Pulmonol 44:547-58.
62.   Heeb S, Itoh Y, Nishijyo T, Schnider U, Keel C, Wade J, Walsh U, O'Gara F, Haas D. 2000.
Small, stable shuttle vectors based on the minimal pVS1 replicon for use in gram-negative, plant-
associated bacteria. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 13:232-7.
63.   Smith AW, Iglewski BH. 1989. Transformation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by electroporation.
Nucleic Acids Res 17:10509.
64.   Mayer-Hamblett N, Rosenfeld M, Gibson RL, Ramsey BW, Kulasekara HD, Retsch-Bogart GZ,
Morgan W, Wolter DJ, Pope CE, Houston LS, Kulasekara BR, Khan U, Burns JL, Miller SI, Hoffman
LR. 2014. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa in vitro* phenotypes distinguish cystic fibrosis infection stages and
outcomes. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 190:289-97.
65.   Barrick JE, Lenski RE. 2013. Genome dynamics during experimental evolution. Nat Rev Genet
14:827-39.
66.   Deatherage DE, Traverse CC, Wolf LN, Barrick JE. 2014. Detecting rare structural variation in
evolving microbial populations from new sequence junctions using breseq. Front Genet 5:468.
67.   Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S,
Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez JY, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P,
Cardona A. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods 9:676-82.
68.   Davis MR, Jr., Muszynski A, Lollett IV, Pritchett CL, Carlson RW, Goldberg JB. 2013.
Identification of the mutation responsible for the temperature-sensitive lipopolysaccharide O-antigen
defect in the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cystic fibrosis isolate 2192. J Bacteriol 195:1504-14.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

A

Figure 6

Figure S1

Figure S2

Figure S3

Figure S4

	PAO1	A2	A9	B9
Minimum	0.110031	0.12282713	0.100064	0.10049691
25% Percentile	0.248399	0.267627	0.222612	0.15592075
Median	0.35255	0.312206	0.277916	0.2315546
75% Percentile	0.41007625	0.393158	0.33127	0.33155867
Maximum	0.559253	0.600513	0.371328	0.469442558

P. aeruginosa strain	Description		Source
PAO1	Wild type PAO1 (Nottingham strain)		Holloway collection
A2	Evolved PAO1 isolate	Evolved isolate	This study
A9	Evolved PAO1 isolate	Evolved isolate	This study
A9:*ssg*	Evolved PAO1 isolate complemented with *ssg* gene	Evolved isolate	This study
B8	Evolved PAO1 isolate	Evolved isolate	(1)
B9	Evolved PAO1 isolate	Evolved isolate	This study
B9:*ssg*	Evolved PAO1 isolate complemented with *ssg* gene	Evolved isolate	This study
B13	Evolved PAO1 isolate	Evolved isolate	This study
C25	Evolved PAO1 isolate	Evolved isolate	This study
D4	Evolved PAO1 isolate	Evolved isolate	This study
PAO1Δ*ssg*	PAO1 with *ssg* deletion	Isogenic mutant	This study
PAO1Δ*rmd*	PAO1 with *rmd* deletion/CPA⁻		This study
PAO1Δ*wbpM*	PAO1 with *wbpM* deletion/OSA⁻		This study
PAO1Δ*wbpL*	PAO1 with *wbpL* deletion/ CPA⁻ OSA⁻		This study
PAO1Δ*waal*	PAO1 with *waal* deletion/ OSA⁻		This study
PAO1Δ*wzy*	PAO1 with *wzy* deletion/ OSA⁻		This study
PAO1Δ*wzz1*	PAO1 with *wzz1* deletion/No high molecular weight B-band		This study
PAO1Δ*wzz2*	PAO1 with *wzz2* deletion/No very high molecular weight B-band		This study
STO1	Clinical isolate of *P. aeruginosa* serotype O-1		[2]
PA14	PA14 wildtype		
PAK	PAK wildtype		
STO1Δ*wbpM*	Clinical isolate of *P. aeruginosa* serotype O-1/ OSA⁻		[2]
STO1Δ*wbpM:wbpM*	Clinical isolate of *P. aeruginosa* serotype O-1; OSA⁻ / complemented with serotype O-1 *wbpM*		[2]
PAO1Δ*lecA*	PAO1 with *lecA* deletion		[3]
PAO1Δ*lecB*	PAO1 with *lecB* deletion		This study
PAO1Δ*pel/psl*	PAO1 with *pel/psl* deletions		[4]

PAO1Δ*lasR*	PAO1 with *lasR* deletion		This study
CFP1-1	CF isolate of *P. aeruginosa, isolated from* CFP1 sputum sample	Clinical isolate	This study
CFP1-3	CF isolate of *P. aeruginosa, isolated from* CFP1 sputum sample	Clinical isolate	This study
CFP1-10	CF isolate of *P. aeruginosa, isolated from* CFP1 sputum sample	Clinical isolate	This study
CFP1-15	CF isolate of *P. aeruginosa, isolated from* CFP1 sputum sample	Clinical isolate	This study
CFP1-20	CF isolate of *P. aeruginosa, isolated from* CFP1 sputum sample	Clinical isolate	This study
CFP2-1	CF isolate of *P. aeruginosa, isolated from* CFP2 sputum sample	Clinical isolate	This study
CFP2-2	CF isolate of *P. aeruginosa, isolated from* CFP2 sputum sample	Clinical isolate	This study
CFP2-3	CF isolate of *P. aeruginosa, isolated from* CFP2 sputum sample	Clinical isolate	This study
CFP2-11	CF isolate of *P. aeruginosa, isolated from* CFP2 sputum sample	Clinical isolate	This study
CFP2-13	CF isolate of *P. aeruginosa, isolated from* CFP2 sputum sample	Clinical isolate	This study
CFP2-15	CF isolate of *P. aeruginosa, isolated from* CFP2 sputum sample	Clinical isolate	This study

1. Azimi, S., et al., *Allelic polymorphism shapes community function in evolving Pseudomonas aeruginosa populations.* ISME J, 2020.
2. Davis, M.R., Jr., et al., *Identification of the mutation responsible for the temperature-sensitive lipopolysaccharide O-antigen defect in the Pseudomonas aeruginosa cystic fibrosis isolate 2192.* J Bacteriol, 2013. **195**(7): p. 1504-14.
3. Diggle, S. P., et al., *The galactophilic lectin, LecA, contributes to biofilm development in Pseudomonas aeruginosa.* Environ Microbiol, 2006. **8**(6): p. 1095-1104.
4. Irie, Y., et al., *The Pseudomonas aeruginosa PSL Polysaccharide Is a Social but Noncheatable Trait in Biofilms.* mBio, 2017. **8**(3).