Spatiotemporal transcriptional dynamics of the cycling mouse oviduct

Elle C. Roberson[1], Anna M. Battenhouse[1], Riddhiman K. Garge[1], Ngan Kim Tran[1], Edward M. Marcotte[1], John B. Wallingford[1,*]

[1]Department of Molecular Biosciences, University of Texas at Austin, TX, USA 78712

ORCID: ECR, orcid.org/0000-0001-7466-7447; AMB, orcid.org/0000-0002-7455-9064; RKG, orcid.org/0000-0002-6774-0172; EMM, orcid.org/0000-0001-8808-180X; JBW, orcid.org/0000-0002-6280-8625

[*]Corresponding author information:
wallingford@austin.utexas.edu
Patterson Labs
University of Texas at Austin
2401 Speedway
Austin, TX 78712

Abstract

Female fertility in mammals requires iterative remodeling of the entire adult female

reproductive tract across the menstrual/estrous cycle. However, while transcriptome dynamics

across the estrous cycle have been reported in human and bovine models, no global analysis of

gene expression across the estrous cycle has yet been reported for the mouse. Here, we examined

the cellular composition and global transcriptional dynamics of the mouse oviduct along the

anteroposterior axis and across the estrous cycle. We observed robust patterns of differential

gene expression along the anteroposterior axis, but we found surprisingly few changes in gene

expression across the estrous cycle. Notable gene expression differences along the

anteroposterior axis included a surprising enrichment for genes related to embryonic

development, such as Hox and Wnt genes. The relatively stable transcriptional dynamics across

the estrous cycle differ markedly from other mammals, leading us to speculate that this is an

evolutionarily derived state that may reflect the extremely rapid five-day mouse estrous cycle.

This dataset fills a critical gap by providing an important genomic resource for a highly tractable

genetic model of mammalian female reproduction.

Introduction

The adult mammalian female reproductive organs – ovary, oviduct, uterus, cervix, and

vagina – hold an interesting position in mammalian physiology because they constantly and

repeatedly engage in a complex remodeling process more commonly associated with

development. With every menstrual or estrous cycle, fluctuations in ovarian steroid hormone

secretion drive remodeling of these tissues, characterized by changes in proliferation, apoptosis,

epithelial morphology, and fluid secretion (Brenner and West, 1975). However, while decades of

research have revealed how these hormones fluctuate across the menstrual/estrous cycle in

multiple mammals, we understand far less about the mechanisms by which these hormones drive

cyclic tissue morphogenesis.

The oviducts are most well-known as the conduit between the ovary and uterus, but it is

critical to note they also function as the site of fertilization and pre-implantation embryonic

development (Coy et al., 2012; Coy and Yanagimachi, 2015; Stewart and Behringer, 2012).

Interestingly, the oviducts display robust patterning along the anteroposterior axis, as the two

major epithelial cell types – multiciliated cells (MCCs) and secretory cells – are differentially

enriched (Agduhr, 1927; Barton et al., 2020; Stewart and Behringer, 2012). At the anterior

oviduct close to the ovary, MCCs are highly enriched and responsible for capturing the ovulated

oocyte(s), while at the posterior close to the uterus, MCCs are very sparse and act as sperm

reservoirs (Suarez, 2016; Talbot et al., 1999). The reduced proportion of MCCs in the posterior

oviduct reflects the significant increase in the proportion of secretory cells, which are critical to

pre-implantation development (Coy and Yanagimachi, 2015). This anteroposterior pattern of the

mouse oviduct epithelium is known to be established early in postnatal life and requires signaling

from the underlying mesenchyme (Yamanouchi et al., 2010). How this pattern may change

across the estrous cycle is not known.

In many species, the cellular basis of morphogenesis of oviduct MCCs across the estrous

cycle was described *via* scanning electron microscopy studies decades ago (Brenner, 1969;

Shirley and Reeder, 1996; Verhage et al., 1973). In Rhesus monkeys, for example, anterior

oviduct epithelial cells are cuboidal at the beginning of the cycle, but then grow in height and

develop cilia at their apical surfaces as estrogen increases (Brenner, 1969). As estrogen decreases

and progesterone increases, the MCCs regress and de-ciliate (Brenner, 1969).

In mice, however, such cellular changes have not been thoroughly investigated, although

it was shown that the wet weight of oviducts, as well as RNA and protein concentration,

increases in the first half of the estrous cycle, and then subsequently decreases during the second

half (Bronson and Hamilton, 1971; Yamanouchi et al., 2010). More recently, there is evidence

that ciliary beat frequency changes in response to estrogen and progesterone (Bylander et al.,

2010; Shi et al., 2011). Perhaps surprisingly, while steroid hormone signaling in the oviduct is

crucial for female fertility (Herrera et al., 2020; Winuthayanon et al., 2015), we still lack a

comprehensive view of how cycling steroids impact cellular morphogenesis in the oviduct,

especially in the mouse.

A major hurdle to filling this knowledge gap is our very limited understanding of the

transcriptional dynamics that underlie cyclical morphogenesis in this tissue. Transcriptomic

approaches in human, swine, and bovine oviducts have investigated the impact of estrogen and

progesterone, and some studies have also explored anteroposterior patterning in humans and

bovine oviducts (Bauersachs et al., 2004; Cerny et al., 2015; Hess et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2018;

Sowamber et al., 2020). However, the majority of these studies have focused on either the

transcriptional response to fertilization and early embryo development or transcriptional

signatures associated with progression of high grade serous ovarian carcinoma in the oviduct.

The absence of a dynamic mouse oviduct transcriptome across the normal estrous cycle

represents a critical gap in our knowledge, especially given its potential utility as a model for

understanding mammalian fertility.

Here, we examined both the cellular changes and global transcriptional dynamics along

the length of the oviduct and across the estrous cycle. First, we provide quantitative data on the

density of MCCs along the anteroposterior axis of the oviduct and we show that MCCs do not

remodel across the estrous cycle in mice. In addition, we present 3' RNA-seq (Lohman et al.,

2016) data for the anterior (approximate infundibulum) and posterior (approximate isthmus)

oviduct at each stage of the estrous cycle. While transcript abundances vary strongly along the

anteroposterior axis, our analyses suggest the estrous cycle has a surprisingly limited impact on

transcription. Our data complement previous studies of transcriptional dynamics in the oviduct of

other mammals and provide an important new resource for genetic studies of oviduct function in

the mouse.

Materials and Methods

Mice. 6-8-week-old Swiss Webster female mice were obtained from Charles River and allowed

to acclimate from travel for 1 week. Mice were housed in individually ventilated cages in a

pathogen-free facility with continuous food and water, with a controlled light cycle (light from

7am-7pm). 7-9-week-old females were estrous cycle staged using standard vaginal cytology

(Ajayi and Akhigbe, 2020). Mice were humanely euthanized with extended CO_2 exposure

followed by cervical dislocation, and female reproductive tracts were dissected. All animal

experiments were approved by the University of Texas at Austin Institutional Animal Care and

Use Committee.

Tissue processing & immunofluorescence. Dissected oviducts were carefully linearized (Fig.

1A) by teasing apart the supercoils, gently affixing to a strip of index card to keep the tissue

straight, then fixing in 4% paraformaldehyde for either 4-6hr at room temperature (RT) or

overnight at 4°C. Linearized fixed oviducts were washed in PBS, and then incubated in 30%

sucrose at 4°C overnight. Following a brief incubation in NEG-50 Frozen Section Medium

(ThermoFisher), oviducts were embedded in NEG-50 using an ethanol/dry ice bath. 12μm frozen

longitudinal sections were cut on a cryostat (Leica) and dried overnight at RT. Frozen sections

were stored at -20°C.

Tissue sections were washed in PBS + 0.1% Tween20 (PBST) three times to remove

NEG-50. Tissues were blocked for at least 30min at RT with 5% normal donkey serum + PBS

(block buffer). Primary antibodies for cilia (mouse anti-acetylated tubulin, 1:1000 dilution,

Sigma, cat# 6-11B-1) were diluted in block buffer and incubated on slides for 2hr at RT or

overnight at 4°C. After washing three times with PBST, slides were incubated with Alexa-Fluor

coupled secondary antibodies (goat anti-mouse 647, 1:1000 dilution, ThermoFisher) and DAPI

(1:1000 dilution, ThermoFisher) for at least 30min at RT. After washing, slides were mounted

with Prolong Gold (ThermoFisher), and allowed to cure at RT in the dark overnight. Oviduct

sections were imaged on either a Zeiss LSM700 point scanning confocal microscope or a CSU-

W1 spinning disk Nikon confocal.

Tissue sectioning and quantitation. Two to four sections spaced at least 100μm apart were

analyzed for cilia at four locations along the anteroposterior axis of the oviduct. Images from the

the fimbria, anterior third (approximate infundibulum), middle third (approximate ampulla), and

posterior third (approximate isthmus) were acquired and quantified. We quantified ciliary area as

previously published (Roberson et al., 2020). Briefly, we used FIJI image processing to trace the

lumen based on DAPI staining to approximate the luminal length. Similarly, the ciliated surface

of the lumen was traced and measured based on acetylated tubulin staining. From these

measurements, the % ciliated surface area was calculated for each image, and we plotted using

GraphPad Prism 8.

RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis. For 3' TagSeq (see below), dissected oviduct samples were

collected in duplicate for each of the four stages across both anterior and posterior regions. For

qPCR, samples were collected in triplicate for each estrous cycle stage across anterior, middle,

and posterior thirds of the mouse oviduct. Following storage in RNA*Later* Storage Solution

(Sigma, cat#: R0901) at -20°C, oviduct tissue was manually disrupted and the lysate was spun

through a QIAshredder column (Qiagen, cat#: 79656) to fully homogenize. A Qiagen RNeasy

mini kit (Qiagen, cat#: 74106) was used to harvest RNA for RNAseq, and the Qiagen RNeasy

micro kit (Qiagen, cat#: 74004) was used to harvest RNA for qPCR. Total RNA was then either

provided to the Genomic Sequencing and Analysis Facility at the University of Texas at Austin

for 3' TagSeq, or cDNA was synthesized using the iScript Reverse Transcription SuperMix

(BioRad, cat#: 1708841) for qPCR.

qPCR. Most primers were designed from a database for mouse and human qPCR primers

incorporated into the UCSC genome browser (Zeisel et al., 2013). Primers for *Foxj1* and *Msx2*

were designed using Primer3Plus software. See Supplemental Table S-1 for primer details. We

confirmed specificity of primers by ensuring that they BLAST to no more than 1 site in the

genome. There were four outliers to this BLAST assessment: all four ribosome primer sets

blasted to more than 1 site in the genome, likely because there are numerous ribosomal

pseudogenes scattered throughout the genome (Sisu et al., 2020). In addition, we only used

primers whose melting curve displayed a single peak.

Primer sets were diluted from a stock (100μm in TE buffer) to 1μM in distilled deionized

H_2O. 2μL of each primer set (in technical duplicates) was allowed to dry in the bottom of a well

in a MicroAmp Fast Optical 96 Well Reaction Plate (Thermofisher, cat#: 43-469-06). 10μL of a

master mix of cDNA (250pg/well), Applied Biosystems SYBR Select Master Mix

(Thermofisher, cat#: 44-729-18), and distilled deionized water was added to each well, the plates

were sealed with MicroAmp Optical Adhesive Film (Thermofisher, cat#: 43-119-71) and

allowed to incubate at RT in the dark for at least 15min to rehydrate primer. Plates were run on a

ViiA-7 Real-Time PCR system (ThermoFisher), and CT values were auto-determined by the

ViiA-7 software. The standard $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method was then used to determine fold change based on

the geomean of three 'housekeeping' genes (*Hprt*, *Dolk*, and *Sra1*) (Schmittgen and Livak,

2008).

TagSeq. Tissue samples were collected in duplicate for each of the four estrous stages across

both anterior and posterior regions of the mice oviduct, accounting for 16 samples in total.

Library preparation and sequencing for TagSeq (Lohman et al., 2016; Meyer et al., 2011), a form

of 3' RNA sequencing, were performed by the Genomic Sequencing and Analysis Facility

(GSAF) at The University of Texas at Austin. Total RNA was isolated from each sample by

addition of Trizol (Thermo Fisher) and the sample was transferred to a Phasemaker tube

(Thermo Fisher). Total RNA was extracted following the protocol supplied by the manufacturer

and further cleaned up using a RNeasy MinElute Cleanup Kit (Qiagen). RNA integrity number

(RIN) was measured using an Agilent Bioanalyzer and 100 ng of RNA was used for the TagSeq

protocol. The fragmentation/RT mix was prepared and added to each RNA sample, then heated

to 95°C for 2.5 minutes on a Thermocycler and immediately put on ice for 2 minutes. After

cooling and addition of the template switching oligo and SmartScribe RT, the fragmented RNA

reaction was incubated at 42°C for 1hr, 65°C for 15 min. Next an AmPure bead clean-up was

completed for the cDNA before it was amplified to incorporate the Illumina sequencing primer

site, followed by another cleanup. The remaining portions of the Illumina adapter (the i5 and i7

indices) were then added through an additional 4 cycles of PCR. Final libraries were quantified

with PicoGreen then pooled equally for size selection using the Blue Pippin from 355-550 bp.

Resulting libraries were sequenced using an Illumina HiSeq 2500 instrument (50-nt single

reads). Full sample dataset details are provided in Supplemental Table S-2.

Sequence data pre-processing. Fastq datasets were initially processed to collapse duplicates

based on TagSeq molecular barcodes (Matz). Sequencing data quality, both before and after

TagSeq pre-processing, was evaluated using the FastQC tool (v0.11.9) (Andrews) and reports

were aggregated with the MultiQC program (v1.0) (Ewels et al., 2016).

TagSeq data analysis. Single-end pseudo-alignment was performed against the mouse

transcriptome (GENCODE M23 transcript sequences (Frankish et al., 2019)) using kallisto

(v0.45.0) (Bray et al., 2016) with options -l 200 -s 50 --single-overhang –bias. Downstream

analysis of transcript abundance data was performed in R (v3.4.4) following protocols outlined

in Bioconductor (Gentleman et al., 2004). The tximport package (v1.6.0) (Soneson et al., 2015)

was first used to roll up transcript-level counts into gene-level counts provided to

the DESeq2 package (v1.18.1) (Love et al., 2014). Before further analysis, count data matrices

were filtered to remove genes with fewer than 1 read across all included samples. A number of

models were analyzed to explore the oviduct location/estrous stage relationship: Posterior versus

Anterior locations, first providing Early and Late data separately (n=8 each), then again

providing all datasets (n=16); and Late versus Early, first providing Anterior and Posterior

location data separately (n=8 each), then again providing all datasets (n=16). Differentially

expressed gene results reported are those with maximum adjusted p-value 0.05 and log2 fold
change greater than 1.0 or less than -1.0.

Gene ontology (GO) analysis was performed using topGO R package (v2.34.0) (Alexa,
2020) with GO database org.Mm.eg.db (v3.7.0). The topGO classic algorithm and Fisher's exact
test were used in count data mode. Input genes had maximum adjusted p-values of 0.10. Separate
analyses were performed for up-regulated (log2 fold change 0.5 or higher) and down-regulated
(log2 fold change -0.5 or lower) genes. The background gene universe consisted of observed
genes used in the DESeq2 analysis.

Full DESeq2 and topGO results are provided in the supplementary zip file for GEO accession
number GSE164718.

Results and Discussion

Quantification of multiciliated cell density in the cycling mouse oviduct.

Oviducts in most mammals consist of two key epithelial cell types – secretory and
multiciliated cells (MCCs) – and these are unevenly distributed across the anteroposterior axis.
MCCs are enriched anteriorly and secretory cells, posteriorly (Agduhr, 1927; Yamanouchi et al.,
2010). While dynamic morphology changes across the estrous cycle have been described in the
oviducts of many mammals (Brenner, 1969; Ferenczy et al., 1972; Novak and Everett, 1928;
Shirley and Reeder, 1996; Verhage et al., 1973), the issue has not been investigated thoroughly
in the mouse. To stringently assess cellular remodeling of the anteroposterior axis of the mouse
oviduct across the estrous cycle, we linearized the normally supercoiled oviduct by carefully
teasing apart each coil along the length of the organ (Fig. 1A), and generated longitudinal
sections of linearized tissue. We then performed immunostaining for acetylated tubulin (Tub[Ac])
to label MCC cilia, and DAPI to label nuclei (Fig. 1B-E).

By examining fimbria, anterior (approximate infundibulum), middle (approximate
ampulla), and posterior (approximate isthmus) regions of the oviduct, we clearly observed the
anterior bias in MCC density (Fig. 1B-E). We quantified this pattern by calculating the
percentage of cilia that line the oviduct lumen, based on Tub[Ac] staining as described previously
(Roberson et al., 2020) (Fig. 1F).

By performing parallel analyses on linearized oviducts from each stage of the estrous
cycle, we found that this pattern displayed no temporal changes, with the anterior oviduct being

significantly enriched for MCCs at all stages (Fig. 1F). The absence of significant remodeling of

MCCs across the estrous cycle in the mouse oviduct presents a marked contrast to other

mammals (Brenner, 1969; Shirley and Reeder, 1996; Verhage et al., 1973). We hypothesize that

this discrepancy may relate to the very short (4 to 5 day) mouse estrous cycle (Ajayi and

Akhigbe, 2020) and time required to eliminate and re-establish ciliated cells.

Determining spatiotemporal transcriptome dynamics in the mouse oviduct.

Patterns of secretion, and bulk RNA and protein concentrations are known to change

during the mouse estrous cycle (Bronson and Hamilton, 1971). To ask if such changes have a

transcriptional basis, we performed 3' TagSeq, a high-throughput RNAseq profiling method that

captures and sequences the 3' ends of mRNA transcripts, enabling efficient estimation of relative

gene expression (Lohman et al., 2016; Meyer et al., 2011). We performed two biological

replicates of 3' TagSeq on isolated anterior (highly ciliated) and posterior (minimally ciliated)

oviducts at each stage of the estrous cycle (Fig. 2A). Principal component analysis (PCA)

revealed that the majority of expression differences occur along the AP axis (72% of variance),

while the estrous cycle accounts for much less variance (13%) (Fig. 2B).

The lack of strong variation across the estrous cycle was striking, so we considered that

our data might be underpowered to detect statistically significant trends across time (n=2 per

estrous cycle stage). We therefore repeated our analyses, collapsing the estrous cycle stages into

'Early' (proestrus and estrus) and 'Late' (metestrus and diestrus). This approach improved

differentiation between the major cycle phases; the majority of differentially expressed genes

(DEGs) were still found along the AP axis, not across the estrous cycle. For example, of the

1,758 DEGs enriched in the anterior oviduct, over 65% of them (1,158) were shared between

Early and Late phases (Fig. 2C). Similarly, of the 1,203 DEGs enriched in the posterior, 45%

(542) were shared between Early and Late (Fig 2D).

A more granular view of these data using volcano plots illustrates this finding. In the

anterior oviduct, we identified only 27 early and 14 late DEGs (Fig. 2E). Similarly, modest

enrichments were identified in the posterior, with 54 early and 40 late DEGs (Fig. 2F). The

magnitude of the expression changes was also modest, with the majority of DEG effect sizes

(absolute value of the log2 fold change) of two or less (59% of estrous cycle DEGs, vs. 44% of

AP DEGs). This result contrasts starkly to the transcriptional dynamics in the oviducts of other

organisms, including human, bovine, and swine, across the menstrual/estrous cycle (Bauersachs

et al., 2004; Cerny et al., 2015; Hess et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2018). For example, in bovine

anterior oviducts, 972 genes have been reported as enriched early and 597 enriched late (Cerny et

al., 2015), while in human anterior oviducts, 650 genes have been reported as enriched early and

683 enriched late (Hess et al., 2013).

In contrast to the muted transcriptional dynamics across the estrous cycle, we observed

highly robust and spatially-restricted patterns of gene expression along the AP axis of the mouse

oviduct. We identified 1337 genes enriched in the anterior, and 770 in the posterior early in the

estrous cycle (Fig. 2G). Similarly, late in the estrous cycle, 1579 genes were enriched in the

anterior and 975 in the posterior (Fig. 2H). Thus, our data suggest that the mouse oviduct

experiences relatively modest transcriptional changes as it progresses through the estrous cycle,

but displays robust transcriptional differences along the AP axis.

The mouse oviduct transcriptome is relatively stable across the estrous cycle.

While our finding of modest transcriptional variation across the estrous cycle in the

mouse oviduct was consistent with the general lack of robust tissue remodeling, it was still

surprising given the impact of cycling steroid hormones on oviduct function (Barton et al.,

2020). To ask if the small number of DEGs we observed across time were enriched in particular

classes, we performed Gene Ontology (GO) analysis (Alexa, 2020) on the early versus late

DEGs. In the late stages, DEGs were subtly enriched for xenobiotic metabolism: xenobiotic

metabolic process, cellular response to xenobiotic stimulus, and xenobiotic catabolic process

(Supp. Fig. 1B).

More interestingly, DEGs in the early stages were enriched for translation-related terms:

peptide metabolic process, peptide biosynthetic process, translation, gene expression, and

cellular amide metabolic process (Supp. Fig. 1A). This enrichment for translation-related terms

early in the estrous cycle is consistent with the known concomitant increase in protein

concentration (Bronson and Hamilton, 1971). In agreement with the GO analysis, manual

annotation revealed an enrichment of large and small ribosome subunit genes expressed in the

early estrous cycle compared to late (Fig. 3A), with these genes displaying a 2 to 4-fold change

in abundance (Fig. 3B).

Further curation identified potentially interesting candidates based on their known or

hypothesized function in female fertility. For example, *Mki67*, *Ddit4*, *Atp1a1*, *Slc7a11*, *Mmp11*,

Mmp7, *Spock1*, and *Wsb1* were each enriched at early stages, while *Mamdc4*, *Gstp2*, *Gsta3*,

Susd2, and *Ap1g2* were enriched late, either in the anterior, posterior, or both (Fig. 3C). Among

these, *Mmp7* and *Slc7a11* were especially interesting, because both were upregulated early and

down regulated late, a pattern that is consistent with that observed in the cycling human oviduct

(Hess et al., 2013). *Mmp7* is part of the matrix-degrading enzyme family, and its down-

regulation late in the human menstrual cycle is hypothesized to help maintain oviduct matrix

integrity as the pre-implantation embryo travels through the organ (Hess et al., 2013). *Slc7a11* is

a glutamate/cysteine antiporter that is similarly regulated in the bovine oviduct (Cerny et al.,

2015). The majority of these DEGs also displayed modest effect sizes, similar to the ribosomal

genes (Fig. 3D).

To independently verify the observed stability of the oviduct transcriptome across the

estrous cycle, we performed qPCR for several of the DEGs. Consistent with the transcriptome-

wide data, we did not observe statistically significant changes across the estrous cycle for most

genes assayed (Fig. 3E-K), but the trends in expression consistently reflected trends in our

TagSeq data. The robust differential expression observed by qPCR between anterior and

posterior (see next section) indicate that these negative findings across the cycle do not reflect a

lack of sensitivity in our assays. Rather, we conclude that the relatively modest remodeling

observed in the oviduct by histology (Fig. 1) is reflected by relatively muted transcriptional

dynamics across the estrous cycle. While future work will be required, it seems reasonable to

suggest that the stability of the mouse oviduct across the estrous cycle represents an

evolutionarily derived state associated with the extremely rapid mouse estrous cycle, which at

four to five days, is much faster than in other organisms (Brenner and West, 1975).

The mouse oviduct displays robust transcriptional patterning along the anteroposterior axis.

305   We next sought to understand the robust changes in gene expression we observe along

306   AP axis of the oviduct. We therefore turned again to GO Term analysis (Alexa, 2020).

307   Consistent with the strong anterior enrichment of MCCs observed by histology (Fig. 1), anterior-

308   enriched DEGs were highly enriched for cilia-related processes (Supp. Fig. 1C). In the anterior,

309   complexes that function in MCCs, including intraflagellar transport, transition zone, CPLANE,

and BBSome proteins (Garcia et al., 2018), as well as ciliary motility (Legendre et al., 2020) and MCC transcription factors (Lewis and Stracker, 2020) were enriched (Fig. 4A). To provide additional resolution to these patterns of expression for MCC-specific genes, we performed qPCR for several at each estrous cycle stage, using not just anterior and posterior regions but also the intervening middle region of the oviduct. We observed robust, statistically significant enrichment in the anterior region for a ciliary transcription factor (*Foxj1*), a transition zone complex gene (*Tmem231*), two ciliogenesis genes (*Ift140* and *Ift57*), and one gene encoding a component of the ciliary beating machinery (*Dnah9*) (Fig. 4F-I).

To investigate whether mouse anterior oviduct DEGs are similar to human multiciliated tissues, we compared our MCC-enriched dataset to the recently published human multiciliated tissue transcriptional signature (Patir et al., 2020). The analysis of four human multiciliated tissues, including ependymal, oviduct, trachea, and sperm, identified 248 genes expressed by all four tissues. Of those, we find 166 of them to be differentially expressed in the anterior of the mouse oviduct (data not shown), suggesting that, transcriptionally, multiciliated genes of the mouse oviduct are highly similar to those of human multiciliated tissues.

Non-ciliated epithelial cells in the posterior oviduct consist predominantly of secretory cells (Agduhr, 1927; Ghosh et al., 2017). Accordingly, our posterior-enriched gene set was strongly enriched for transport and secretion GO Terms (Supp. Fig. 4), including solute carriers, transport ATPases, Ca^{2+} and K^+ channels (Fig. 4A). These GO terms are similar to previously published datasets from other mammals, including cows and humans, where vesicle-mediated transport, endocytosis, and exocytosis were among the main processes enriched in the posterior (Gonella-Diaza et al., 2017; Maillo et al., 2016; Rose et al., 2020). We confirmed this trend using qPCR for a transport ATPase (*Atp1b1*) and a solute carrier (*Slc39a8*); both were significantly enriched in the posterior (Fig. 4K, L).

Interestingly, certain genes enriched in the mouse oviduct posterior are differentially expressed across the human menstrual cycle (Hess et al., 2013). For example, of the 33 solute carrier (Slc) genes that are enriched in the posterior of the mouse oviduct, eight of them are also differentially expressed across the human menstrual cycle, including *Slc2a3*, *Slc22a23*, *Slc27a3*, *Slc39a14*, *Slc39a2*, *Slc39a8*, *Slc4A7*, *Slc8a1* (Hess et al., 2013). These Slc genes are hypothesized to be important for secreting amino acids and other nutrients into the oviductal lumen to aid in preimplantation embryonic development. Further studies investigating SLC

expression dynamics across both humans and mice may offer insights into the evolution of

mammalian reproduction and divergence underlying these secretory cell types.

Patterned expression of known developmental signaling systems along the anteroposterior

axis of the adult oviduct.

Our analysis of anteroposterior gene expression patterns also revealed several previously

unreported trends. First, the genes up-regulated specifically in the posterior oviduct were

strongly enriched for GO Terms related to embryonic development (Supp. Fig. 1D). For

example, all three non-canonical Wnt ligands, *Wnt5a, Wnt7a,* and *Wnt11* were enriched in the

posterior region of the oviduct (Fig. 4B, N, O). Moreover, two antagonists of canonical Wnt

signaling were also differentially expressed, but in a curious fashion: *Dkk2* was enriched in the

posterior, while *Dkk3* was enriched in the anterior (Fig. 4B). Components of the FGF signaling

pathway were also differentially expressed: *Fgf1*, *Fgf16*, and *Fgf2* were enriched in the posterior,

while only the FGF receptor, *Fgfr3*, was enriched in the anterior (Fig. 4C). Finally, multiple

transcription factors were differentially expressed, including Msx2 (Fig. 4M) and several Hox

genes, including *Hoxa9* and *Hoxa10* (Fig. 4D), both of which are involved in female

reproductive tract development (Du and Taylor, 2015). Other differentially expressed Hox genes

include *Hoxb2*, *Hoxb7*, *Hoxb8*, and *Hoxc10* (Fig. 4D). We confirmed the differential expression

of many of the developmental regulators using qPCR (Fig. 4M-O)

Not all signaling pathways displayed patterned expression, and an excellent example is

the Planar Cell Polarity (PCP) pathway (Fig. 4E). The PCP system is essential for normal

polarized ciliary beating in the oviduct, so most PCP genes are expressed in the oviduct (Koyama

et al., 2019; Shi et al., 2014). Strikingly however, none displayed enrichment along the AP axis

(Fig. 4E).

In contrast to intracellular effectors of PCP signaling, expression of non-canonical Wnt

ligands was strongly polarized, which was of interest for multiple reasons. First, Wnt signaling is

necessary to maintain stemness in human oviduct organoid cultures (Kessler et al., 2015), and

secretory cells are thought to be the progenitors of MCCs in the mouse oviduct. Thus, it may be

that posteriorly enriched Wnt signaling maintains the progenitor capabilities of posteriorly

enriched secretory cells (Ghosh et al., 2017). Second, *Wnt5a/7a/11* are known to orient PCP

signaling, providing directional cues for the apical surface of cells and driving coordinated

ciliary beating in multiciliated tissues (Butler and Wallingford, 2017; Gao et al., 2011; Koyama

et al., 2019; Ossipova et al., 2015). While the oviduct is planar polarized – i.e. Vangl2 localizes

anteriorly in oviduct epithelial cells – it is unknown where the directional cue originates (Shi et

al., 2016). Our data raise the possibility that posteriorly enriched *Wnt5a/7a/11* orients Vangl2

localization to the anterior side of the oviduct epithelium, thereby regulating ciliary flow towards

the posterior oviduct. Our experiments also indicate that PCP signaling components are evenly

expressed across the AP axis of the oviduct, While the impact of planar polarization on MCCs is

fairly well studied, the corresponding impact on secretory cells remains unknown.

Conclusions

In summary, our transcriptome profiling data fills a major gap in mouse oviduct investigations.

While confirming reports made using other methods, our detailed anteroposterior axis and

estrous stage analyses reveal novel gene expression patterns as well as providing a foundation for

further studies. Hypotheses generated from these data can inform additional explorations using

orthogonal methods such as single-cell sequencing and proteomics. Such studies have the

potential to identify specific cell types associated with expression trends observed here, as well

as quantifying actual cellular protein abundances which may differ from RNA expression.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Genome Sequencing and Analysis facility at the University of Texas at Austin for

their support and assistance with sequencing. This research was supported by funding from the

National Institutes of Health (F32HD095618 to E.C.R., R01HL117164 to J.B.W.,

R01HD085901 to J.B.W. and E.M.M., and R35GM122480 to E.M.M.), the American Heart

Association predoctoral fellowship (#18PRE34060258) to R.K.G., and the Welch Foundation (F-

1515, to E.M.M.).

Figure 1. The mouse oviduct displays anteroposterior patterning that does not change across the estrous cycle. A) A linearized mouse oviduct, where the anterior is close to the ovary and the posterior is close to the uterus. The insert shows a non-linearized supercoiled mouse oviduct (insert, arrow). Oviducts were collected at B) proestrus, C) estrus, D) metestrus, and E) diestrus, linearized, and imaged for nuclei (DAPI, magenta) and cilia (Tub[Ac], green) along the AP axis (fimbria, anterior, middle, and posterior). Scale bar = 100µm. F) Quantitation of the percent ciliated surface area along the oviduct lumen in each of the four estrous stages. Some error bars are too small to be seen. * = p< 0.05.

Figure 2. RNAseq of the oviduct shows major differences along the anteroposterior axis

and minor change across the estrous cycle. A) Oviducts were dissected and linearized from

each stage of the estrous cycle (n=2 each stage). RNA from the anterior and posterior thirds of

each oviduct was collected and submitted for 3' TagSeq. B) Principal Component Analysis

(PCA) of the sixteen 3'TagSeq datasets. AP location (displayed as symbols) accounts for most of

the variance while estrous cycle stage (displayed as colors) accounts for a lesser part with good

separation between early (Proestrus, Estrus) and late (Metestrus, Diestrus) phases. C) Overlap

between the anterior DEGs at early and late estrous cycle phases. D) Overlap between the

posterior DEGs at early and late phases of the estrous cycle. Volcano plots of oviduct E) anterior

and F) posterior compare early and late DEGs. Volcano plots of estrous cycle G) early and H)

late phases cycle show anterior and posterior DEGs. Red points are genes significant at both

adjusted P-value (≤ 0.05) and effect size (log2 fold change ≤ -1 or ≥ 1).

Figure 3. The mouse oviduct transcriptome is remarkably stable across the estrous cycle.

A) Heatmap depicting expression of ribosomal genes across the estrous cycle where rows

indicate genes and columns indicate the estrous cycle stage (P- proestrus, E- estrus, M-

metestrus, D- diestrus). B) The effect size (absolute value of the log2 fold change) of the

ribosomal genes was generally modest (under 2). C) Heatmap of other DEGs. D) These genes

also generally have modest but consistent effect sizes. E-K) qPCR histograms of select genes

from B and D along the anteroposterior axis at each estrous cycle stage. All heatmap scales use

DESeq2 variance stabilized counts.

Figure 4. The mouse oviduct displays robust transcriptional patterning along the anteroposterior axis. A) Heatmap showing expression dynamics of DEGs across the anterior and posterior, including cilia-related genes and complexes, solute carriers, transport ATPases, and calcium and potassium channels. Additional heatmaps of genes in developmental signaling pathways, including B) Wnt signaling, C) FGF signaling, D) Hox genes, and E) PCP signaling. F-R) qPCR histograms of select genes from A-E along the anteroposterior axis at each estrous cycle stage: anterior-enriched genes *Foxj1*, *Tmem231*, *Ift140*, *Ift57*, *Dnah9;* posterior-enriched genes *Atp1b1*, *Slc29a8*, *Msx2*, *Wnt7a*, *Wnt5a*; and other qPCR assayed genes *Prickle1*, *Vangl2*, *Pax8*.

Supplementary Figure 1. Significant GO terms associated with oviduct transcriptome

analysis. Histograms depicting significant GO terms for A) early, B) late, C) anterior, and D)

posterior DEGs.

Supplemental spreadsheet tabs:

S-1. Primers used for qPCR.

S-2. Description of the sample datasets used.

Full DEG and GO term details are available in the Supplementary zip file for GEO accession

number GSE164718.

References

Agduhr, E., 1927. Studies on the structure and development of the bursa ovarica and the tuba

uterina in the Mouse. Acta Zoologica Stockholm 8, pp. 1-133.

Ajayi, A.F., Akhigbe, R.E., 2020. Staging of the estrous cycle and induction of estrus in

experimental rodents: an update. Fertil Res Pract 6, 5.

Alexa, A., Rahnenfuhrer, J, 2020. topGO: Enrichment Analysis for Gene Ontology, R package

version 2.40.0.

Andrews, S., FastQC. Babraham Bioinformatics, p.

https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/.

Barton, B.E., Herrera, G.G., Anamthathmakula, P., Rock, J.K., Willie, A., Harris, E.A.,

Takemaru, K.I., Winuthayanon, W., 2020. Roles of steroid hormones in oviductal function.

Reproduction 159, R125-r137.

Bauersachs, S., Rehfeld, S., Ulbrich, S.E., Mallok, S., Prelle, K., Wenigerkind, H., Einspanier,

R., Blum, H., Wolf, E., 2004. Monitoring gene expression changes in bovine oviduct epithelial

cells during the oestrous cycle. J Mol Endocrinol 32, 449-466.

Bray, N.L., Pimentel, H., Melsted, P., Pachter, L., 2016. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq

quantification. Nat Biotechnol 34, 525-527.

Brenner, R.M., 1969. Renewal of oviduct cilia during the menstrual cycle of the rhesus monkey.

Fertil Steril 20, 599-611.

Brenner, R.M., West, N.B., 1975. Hormonal regulation of the reproductive tract in female

mammals. Annu Rev Physiol 37, 273-302.

Bronson, F.H., Hamilton, T.H., 1971. Concentrations of nucleic acids and protein in the mouse

oviduct during the oestrous cycle and after steroid replacement. J Reprod Fertil 25, 283-286.

Butler, M.T., Wallingford, J.B., 2017. Planar cell polarity in development and disease. Nat Rev

Mol Cell Biol 18, 375-388.

Bylander, A., Nutu, M., Wellander, R., Goksör, M., Billig, H., Larsson, D.G., 2010. Rapid

effects of progesterone on ciliary beat frequency in the mouse fallopian tube. Reprod Biol

Endocrinol 8, 48.

Cerny, K.L., Garrett, E., Walton, A.J., Anderson, L.H., Bridges, P.J., 2015. A transcriptomal

analysis of bovine oviductal epithelial cells collected during the follicular phase versus the luteal

phase of the estrous cycle. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 13, 84.

Coy, P., Garcia-Vazquez, F.A., Visconti, P.E., Aviles, M., 2012. Roles of the oviduct in

mammalian fertilization. Reproduction 144, 649-660.

Coy, P., Yanagimachi, R., 2015. The Common and Species-Specific Roles of Oviductal Proteins

in Mammalian Fertilization and Embryo Development. BioScience 65, 973-984.

Du, H., Taylor, H.S., 2015. The Role of Hox Genes in Female Reproductive Tract Development,

Adult Function, and Fertility. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 6, a023002.

Ewels, P., Magnusson, M., Lundin, S., Kaller, M., 2016. MultiQC: summarize analysis results

for multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics 32, 3047-3048.

Ferenczy, A., Richart, R.M., Agate, F.J., Jr., Purkerson, M.L., Dempsey, E.W., 1972. Scanning

electron microscopy of the human fallopian tube. Science 175, 783-784.

Frankish, A., Diekhans, M., Ferreira, A.M., Johnson, R., Jungreis, I., Loveland, J., Mudge, J.M.,

Sisu, C., Wright, J., Armstrong, J., Barnes, I., Berry, A., Bignell, A., Carbonell Sala, S., Chrast,

J., Cunningham, F., Di Domenico, T., Donaldson, S., Fiddes, I.T., Garcia Giron, C., Gonzalez,

J.M., Grego, T., Hardy, M., Hourlier, T., Hunt, T., Izuogu, O.G., Lagarde, J., Martin, F.J.,

Martinez, L., Mohanan, S., Muir, P., Navarro, F.C.P., Parker, A., Pei, B., Pozo, F., Ruffier, M.,

Schmitt, B.M., Stapleton, E., Suner, M.M., Sycheva, I., Uszczynska-Ratajczak, B., Xu, J., Yates,

A., Zerbino, D., Zhang, Y., Aken, B., Choudhary, J.S., Gerstein, M., Guigo, R., Hubbard, T.J.P.,

Kellis, M., Paten, B., Reymond, A., Tress, M.L., Flicek, P., 2019. GENCODE reference

annotation for the human and mouse genomes. Nucleic Acids Res 47, D766-D773.

Gao, B., Song, H., Bishop, K., Elliot, G., Garrett, L., English, M.A., Andre, P., Robinson, J.,

Sood, R., Minami, Y., Economides, A.N., Yang, Y., 2011. Wnt signaling gradients establish

planar cell polarity by inducing Vangl2 phosphorylation through Ror2. Developmental cell 20,

163-176.

Garcia, G., 3rd, Raleigh, D.R., Reiter, J.F., 2018. How the Ciliary Membrane Is Organized

Inside-Out to Communicate Outside-In. Curr Biol 28, R421-R434.

Gentleman, R.C., Carey, V.J., Bates, D.M., Bolstad, B., Dettling, M., Dudoit, S., Ellis, B.,

Gautier, L., Ge, Y., Gentry, J., Hornik, K., Hothorn, T., Huber, W., Iacus, S., Irizarry, R., Leisch,

F., Li, C., Maechler, M., Rossini, A.J., Sawitzki, G., Smith, C., Smyth, G., Tierney, L., Yang,

J.Y., Zhang, J., 2004. Bioconductor: open software development for computational biology and

bioinformatics. Genome Biol 5, R80.

Ghosh, A., Syed, S.M., Tanwar, P.S., 2017. In vivo genetic cell lineage tracing reveals that

oviductal secretory cells self-renew and give rise to ciliated cells. Development (Cambridge,

England) 144, 3031-3041.

Gonella-Diaza, A.M., da Silva Andrade, S.C., Sponchiado, M., Pugliesi, G., Mesquita, F.S., Van

Hoeck, V., de Francisco Strefezzi, R., Gasparin, G.R., Coutinho, L.L., Binelli, M., 2017.

Oviductal transcriptional profiling of a bovine fertility model by next-generation sequencing.

Genom Data 13, 27-29.

Herrera, G.G.B., Lierz, S.L., Harris, E.A., Donoghue, L.J., Hewitt, S.C., Rodriguez, K.F.,

Jefferson, W.N., Lydon, J.P., DeMayo, F.J., Williams, C.J., Korach, K.S., Winuthayanon, W.,

2020. Oviductal Retention of Embryos in Female Mice Lacking Estrogen Receptor α in the

Isthmus and the Uterus. Endocrinology 161.

Hess, A.P., Talbi, S., Hamilton, A.E., Baston-Buest, D.M., Nyegaard, M., Irwin, J.C., Barragan,

F., Kruessel, J.S., Germeyer, A., Giudice, L.C., 2013. The human oviduct transcriptome reveals

an anti-inflammatory, anti-angiogenic, secretory and matrix-stable environment during embryo

transit. Reprod Biomed Online 27, 423-435.

Kessler, M., Hoffmann, K., Brinkmann, V., Thieck, O., Jackisch, S., Toelle, B., Berger, H.,

Mollenkopf, H.J., Mangler, M., Sehouli, J., Fotopoulou, C., Meyer, T.F., 2015. The Notch and

Wnt pathways regulate stemness and differentiation in human fallopian tube organoids. Nat

Commun 6, 8989.

Kim, J.M., Park, J.E., Yoo, I., Han, J., Kim, N., Lim, W.J., Cho, E.S., Choi, B., Choi, S., Kim,

T.H., Te Pas, M.F.W., Ka, H., Lee, K.T., 2018. Integrated transcriptomes throughout swine

oestrous cycle reveal dynamic changes in reproductive tissues interacting networks. Sci Rep 8,

5436.

Koyama, H., Shi, D., Fujimori, T., 2019. Biophysics in oviduct: Planar cell polarity, cilia,

epithelial fold and tube morphogenesis, egg dynamics. Biophys Physicobiol 16, 89-107.

Legendre, M., Zaragosi, L.E., Mitchison, H.M., 2020. Motile cilia and airway disease. Semin

Cell Dev Biol.

Lewis, M., Stracker, T.H., 2020. Transcriptional regulation of multiciliated cell differentiation.

Semin Cell Dev Biol.

Lohman, B.K., Weber, J.N., Bolnick, D.I., 2016. Evaluation of TagSeq, a reliable low-cost

alternative for RNAseq. Mol Ecol Resour 16, 1315-1321.

Love, M.I., Huber, W., Anders, S., 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for

RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15, 550.

Maillo, V., de Frutos, C., O'Gaora, P., Forde, N., Burns, G.W., Spencer, T.E., Gutierrez-Adan,

A., Lonergan, P., Rizos, D., 2016. Spatial differences in gene expression in the bovine oviduct.

Reproduction 152, 37-46.

Matz, M., Tag-based RNAseq, pp. https://github.com/z0on/tag-based_RNAseq.

Meyer, E., Aglyamova, G.V., Matz, M.V., 2011. Profiling gene expression responses of coral

larvae (Acropora millepora) to elevated temperature and settlement inducers using a novel RNA-

Seq procedure. Mol Ecol 20, 3599-3616.

Novak, E., Everett, H., 1928. Cyclical and other variations in the tubal epithelium. American

Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology 16, 499-530.

Ossipova, O., Kim, K., Sokol, S.Y., 2015. Planar polarization of Vangl2 in the vertebrate neural
plate is controlled by Wnt and Myosin II signaling. Biol Open 4, 722-730.

Patir, A., Fraser, A.M., Barnett, M.W., McTeir, L., Rainger, J., Davey, M.G., Freeman, T.C.,
2020. The transcriptional signature associated with human motile cilia. Scientific Reports 10,
10814.

Roberson, E.C., Tran, N.K., Konjikusic, M.J., Fitch, R.D., Gray, R.S., Wallingford, J.B., 2020. A
comparative study of the turnover of multiciliated cells in the mouse trachea, oviduct, and brain.
Dev Dyn 249, 898-905.

Rose, I.M., Bidarimath, M., Webster, A., Godwin, A.K., Flesken-Nikitin, A., Nikitin, A.Y.,
2020. WNT and inflammatory signaling distinguish human Fallopian tube epithelial cell
populations. Sci Rep 10, 9837.

Schmittgen, T.D., Livak, K.J., 2008. Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative C(T)
method. Nature protocols 3, 1101-1108.

Shi, D., Komatsu, K., Hirao, M., Toyooka, Y., Koyama, H., Tissir, F., Goffinet, A.M., Uemura,
T., Fujimori, T., 2014. Celsr1 is required for the generation of polarity at multiple levels of the
mouse oviduct. Development (Cambridge, England) 141, 4558-4568.

Shi, D., Komatsu, K., Uemura, T., Fujimori, T., 2011. Analysis of ciliary beat frequency and
ovum transport ability in the mouse oviduct. Genes Cells 16, 282-290.

Shi, D., Usami, F., Komatsu, K., Oka, S., Abe, T., Uemura, T., Fujimori, T., 2016. Dynamics of
planar cell polarity protein Vangl2 in the mouse oviduct epithelium. Mech Dev 141, 78-89.

Shirley, B., Reeder, R.L., 1996. Cyclic changes in the ampulla of the rat oviduct. J Exp Zool 276,
164-173.

Sisu, C., Muir, P., Frankish, A., Fiddes, I., Diekhans, M., Thybert, D., Odom, D.T., Flicek, P.,
Keane, T.M., Hubbard, T., Harrow, J., Gerstein, M., 2020. Transcriptional activity and strain-
specific history of mouse pseudogenes. Nat Commun 11, 3695.

Soneson, C., Love, M.I., Robinson, M.D., 2015. Differential analyses for RNA-seq: transcript-

level estimates improve gene-level inferences. F1000Res 4, 1521.

Sowamber, R., Nelson, O., Dodds, L., DeCastro, V., Paudel, I., Milea, A., Considine, M., Cope,

L., Pinto, A., Schlumbrecht, M., Slomovitz, B., Shaw, P.A., George, S.H.L., 2020. Integrative

Transcriptome Analyses of the Human Fallopian Tube: Fimbria and Ampulla-Site of Origin of

Serous Carcinoma of the Ovary. Cancers (Basel) 12.

Stewart, C.A., Behringer, R.R., 2012. Mouse oviduct development. Results Probl Cell Differ 55,

247-262.

Suarez, S.S., 2016. Mammalian sperm interactions with the female reproductive tract. Cell

Tissue Res 363, 185-194.

Talbot, P., Geiske, C., Knoll, M., 1999. Oocyte pickup by the mammalian oviduct. Mol Biol Cell

10, 5-8.

Verhage, H., Abel Jr, J., Tietz Jr, W., Barrau, M., 1973. Development and maintenance of the

oviductal epithelium during the estrous cycle in the bitch. Biology of reproduction 9, 460-474.

Winuthayanon, W., Bernhardt, M.L., Padilla-Banks, E., Myers, P.H., Edin, M.L., Lih, F.B.,

Hewitt, S.C., Korach, K.S., Williams, C.J., 2015. Oviductal estrogen receptor alpha signaling

prevents protease-mediated embryo death. Elife 4, e10453.

Yamanouchi, H., Umezu, T., Tomooka, Y., 2010. Reconstruction of oviduct and demonstration

of epithelial fate determination in mice. Biology of reproduction 82, 528-533.

Zeisel, A., Yitzhaky, A., Bossel Ben-Moshe, N., Domany, E., 2013. An accessible database for

mouse and human whole transcriptome qPCR primers. Bioinformatics 29, 1355-1356.

Table S-1

Gene	Sequence
Rpl23a	F: 5' - AGGCAGCCAAAATATCCTCG - 3'
	R: 5' - TTCTTCATGGCTGACTCCGT - 3'
Rps9	F: 5' - GCTAGACGAGAAGGATCCCC – 3'
	R: 5' - ATCCAGCTTCATCTTGCCCT – 3
Rpl13	F: 5' - TACTGAAGCCCCACTTCCAC - 3'
	R: 5' - CGGACCTTGGTGTGGTATCT - 3'
Rps20	F: 5' - GAACAAGTCGGTCAGGAAGC - 3'
	R: 5' - CTCTGATCAAGTCCGCACAA - 3'
Mmp7	F: 5' - CCTAGGCGGAGATGCTCACT -3'
	R: 5' - GAGAGTGGCCAAATTCATGG - 3'
Spock1	F: 5'- CTGAAGCCCAAAGCAGAGAA - 3'
	R: 5' - CTCATGAAGAGCCCCGAAC - 3'
Gsta3	F: 5' - GATTTAGACTCCAAGCGGCA - 3'
	R: 5' - TTCCCCTGCCATCAAAGTAA - 3'
Foxj1	F: 5'- TGTGGATCTTACAGTCCATGGC -3'
	R: 5'- TCTCATCAAAGTCCAGGCTGTC -3'
Tmem231	F: 5'- AACAGAAGCAGCCACTGAGC -3'
	R: 5'- AACAATGTGGGTGAGGTCGT -3'
Ift140	F: 5'- ATTCCTGGCAGTCGCATCTA -3'
	R: 5'- GAAGTGGCCTGGAAAGACCT -3'
Ift57	F: 5'- GCGGAATGGAGCCTAGAAGT -3'
	R: 5'- CTTTTGTGCTGGTGCATTTG -3'
Dnah9	F: 5'- TGTGAACGCATGAACATCCT -3'
	R: 5'- TTCCATCTCACTGGTCATGGT -3'
Msx2	F: 5'- AGCTGAAAATGGCTGCCAAG -3'
	R: 5'- TAGGATGCGCCGTATATGGATG -3'
Wnt7a	F: 5'- GCCTGGACGAGTGTCAGTTT -3'
	R: 5'- AATCGCATAGGTGAAGGCAG -3'
Wnt5a	F: 5'- CACGTTTTTCTCCTTCGCC -3'
	R: 5'- AGTTGGCTGCAGAGAGGCT -3'
Slc39a8	F: 5'- GGCCAGCTGCACTTCAAC -3'
	R: 5'- GCAGATGGCAGAGAAGTTCG -3'
Atp1b1	F: 5'- GAAGCCCTGCATCATTATCAA -3'
	R: 5'- GAACAGGCAGGACATTTGGA -3'
Prickle1	F: 5'- GTGGCTGCTTCGAGTCTCTC -3'
	R: 5'- TCGTAGGTCATCTGTGCGTG -3'
Vangl2	F: 5'- TACTACGAGGAAGCCGAGCA -3'
	R: 5'- CTTCCTGCAGCCGCTTAAT -3'
Pax8	F: 5' – ACAGGGCAGCTATGCCTCTT - 3'
	R: 5' – GCTGTAGGCATTGCCAGAAT - 3'
Hprt	F: 5' – CATAACCTGGTTCATCATCGC - 3'
	R: 5' – TCCTCCTCAGACCGCTTTT - 3'
Dolk	F: 5' – CAGTGTGGGACCGATACTCCT - 3'
	R: 5' – CCAAGCAAAGGCATGACCA - 3'
Sra1	F: 5' – ACGACCCGCCACAATTCTC - 3'
	R: 5' – CTGGAAGCCTTACTTGAAGGAG - 3'

Table S-2											
ID	Sample	Location	Stage	Phase	Location_Phase	# Raw reads	# Deduped	# Aligned	% Align	GEO Fastq file	GEO kallisto counts file
S1	Proes_Ant_B1	Anterior	Proestrus	Early	Anterior_Early	2,669,590	1,392,774	1,038,851	74.6%	Proes_Ant_B1_R1.fastq.gz	Proes_Ant_B1_kallisto_counts.tsv
S2	Proes_Ant_B2	Anterior	Proestrus	Early	Anterior_Early	2,903,086	1,583,735	1,184,664	74.8%	Proes_Ant_B2_R1.fastq.gz	Proes_Ant_B2_kallisto_counts.tsv
S3	Proes_Post_B1	Posterior	Proestrus	Early	Posterior_Early	3,820,771	1,809,899	1,358,747	75.1%	Proes_Post_B1_R1.fastq.gz	Proes_Post_B1_kallisto_counts.tsv
S4	Proes_Post_B2	Posterior	Proestrus	Early	Posterior_Early	2,542,319	1,258,262	936,809	74.5%	Proes_Post_B2_R1.fastq.gz	Proes_Post_B2_kallisto_counts.tsv
S5	Es_Ant_B1	Anterior	Estrus	Early	Anterior_Early	3,859,515	2,015,223	1,524,157	75.6%	Es_Ant_B1_R1.fastq.gz	Es_Ant_B1_kallisto_counts.tsv
S6	Es_Ant_B2	Anterior	Estrus	Early	Anterior_Early	4,976,661	2,393,539	1,803,496	75.3%	Es_Ant_B2_R1.fastq.gz	Es_Ant_B2_kallisto_counts.tsv
S7	Es_Post_B1	Posterior	Estrus	Early	Posterior_Early	3,051,253	1,508,916	1,166,422	77.3%	Es_Post_B1_R1.fastq.gz	Es_Post_B1_kallisto_counts.tsv
S8	Es_Post_B2	Posterior	Estrus	Early	Posterior_Early	1,486,318	792,559	589,353	74.4%	Es_Post_B2_R1.fastq.gz	Es_Post_B2_kallisto_counts.tsv
S9	Metes_Ant_B1	Anterior	Metestrus	Late	Anterior_Late	1,509,395	896,284	696,932	77.8%	Metes_Ant_B1_R1.fastq.gz	Metes_Ant_B1_kallisto_counts.tsv
S10	Metes_Ant_B2	Anterior	Metestrus	Late	Anterior_Late	1,742,088	1,000,503	771,609	77.1%	Metes_Ant_B2_R1.fastq.gz	Metes_Ant_B2_kallisto_counts.tsv
S11	Metes_Post_B1	Posterior	Metestrus	Late	Posterior_Late	3,034,943	1,266,394	989,728	78.2%	Metes_Post_B1_R1.fastq.gz	Metes_Post_B1_kallisto_counts.tsv
S12	Metes_Post_B2	Posterior	Metestrus	Late	Posterior_Late	4,033,746	1,918,652	1,484,995	77.4%	Metes_Post_B2_R1.fastq.gz	Metes_Post_B2_kallisto_counts.tsv
S13	Dies_Ant_B1	Anterior	Diestrus	Late	Anterior_Late	4,403,124	2,067,053	1,605,618	77.7%	Dies_Ant_B1_R1.fastq.gz	Dies_Ant_B1_kallisto_counts.tsv
S14	Dies_Ant_B2	Anterior	Diestrus	Late	Anterior_Late	4,161,010	1,439,043	1,088,609	75.6%	Dies_Ant_B2_R1.fastq.gz	Dies_Ant_B2_kallisto_counts.tsv
S15	Dies_Post_B1	Posterior	Diestrus	Late	Posterior_Late	2,131,914	946,725	726,724	76.8%	Dies_Post_B1_R1.fastq.gz	Dies_Post_B1_kallisto_counts.tsv
S16	Dies_Post_B2	Posterior	Diestrus	Late	Posterior_Late	1,522,405	721,007	572,923	79.5%	Dies_Post_B2_R1.fastq.gz	Dies_Post_B2_kallisto_counts.tsv