1 **Methylome dynamic upon proteasome inhibition by the *Pseudomonas***

2 ***syringae* virulence factor Syringolin A**

Bonnet DMV[1][*], Grob S[2][*], Tirot L[1] and Jullien PE[1][†]

[1] Institute of Plant Sciences, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland.

[2] Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of Zurich and Zurich-Basel

Plant Science Center, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

*co-first author

[†]*Corresponding author:* Pauline E. Jullien (pauline.jullien@ips.unibe.ch)

Abstract

DNA methylation is an important epigenetic mark required for proper gene expression

and silencing of transposable elements. DNA methylation patterns can be modified by

environmental factors such as pathogen infection, where modification of DNA

methylation can be associated with plant resistance. To counter the plant defense

pathways, pathogens produce effectors molecule, several of which act as proteasome

inhibitors. Here we investigated the effect of proteasome inhibition by the bacterial

virulence factor Syringolin A on genome-wide DNA methylation. We show that

Syringolin A treatment results in an increase of DNA methylation at centromeric and

pericentromeric regions of *Arabidopsis* chromosomes. We identify several CHH DMRs

that are enriched in the proximity of transcriptional start sites. Syringolin A treatment

does not result in significant changes in small RNA composition. However, significant

changes in genome transcriptional activity can be observed, including a strong

upregulation of resistance genes that are located on chromosomal arms. We

hypothesize that DNA methylation changes could be linked to the upregulation of

some atypical members of the *de novo* DNA methylation pathway: *AGO3*, *AGO9* and

DRM1. Our data suggests that modification of genome-wide DNA methylation

resulting from an inhibition of the proteasome by bacterial effectors could be part of a

epi-genomic arms race against pathogens.

Keywords: DNA methylation, *Arabidopsis*, Syringolin A, proteasome inhibition,

bacterial defense

Plants are sessile organism and must constantly adapt their gene expression to react

to constantly changing environments. Among other environmental stresses, the

infection of plants by pathogens, such as bacteria or fungi is a constant threat and

leads to significant losses in crop production. Plants have evolved several ways to

defend themselves against pathogens, starting from physical barriers, such as the

cuticula, to specific intra-cellular pathways that recognize pathogen effector

molecules. The effector-triggered immunity pathway (ETI) involves the specific

recognition of effector molecules that are secreted by the pathogen and counteract

the plant primary immune response (Jones and Dang, 2006).

Interestingly, several effector molecules produced by bacteria, viruses, and fungi were

found to inhibit the host proteasome machinery (Chiu et al., 2010; Dudler, 2013; Groll

et al., 2008; Jin et al., 2007; Marino et al., 2012; Sahana et al., 2012; Sorel et al., 2019;

Üstün and Börnke, 2014; Üstün et al., 2016; Verchot, 2016) and - more generally - to

regulate protein degradation (Langin et al., 2020). Thus, proteasome inhibition seems

to represent a common strategy used by plant pathogens. Additionally, host

proteasome inhibition has been shown to suppress systemic acquired resistance

(SAR) and to consequently increase plant susceptibility to bacterial pathogen (Üstün

et al., 2016). Beyond classical bacterial type III effector such as XopJ and HopM1

(Üstün et al., 2013; Üstün et al., 2016), which inhibit proteasome activity by interacting

with proteasome subunits, a virulence factor called Syringolin A (SylA) inhibits the

proteasome via an irreversible covalent binding to the proteasome catalytic subunits

(Groll et al., 2008). SylA is a small tri-peptide derivative, which is secreted by the

bacteria *Pseudomonas Syringae pv syringae* (*Pss*). Bacteria deficient for SylA

secretion are less virulent than their wild-type counterpart. SylA secretion is important

for the bacteria to overcome stomata closure and consequently gaining better leaf

penetration (Schellenberg et al., 2010). Similarly, SylA was also found to be important

for wound entry and colonization of the host via the vascular tissue (Misas-Villamil et

al., 2013). Interestingly, SylA was found to accumulate in the nucleus, which lead the

authors to suggest it could act preferentially on the nuclear proteasome (Kolodziejek

et al., 2011).

The proteasome is one of the main protein degradation machinery in eukaryotic cells.

Protein targeting to the proteasome relies in part to poly-ubiquitination by E3 ubiquitin

ligases (Sadanandom et al., 2012). Due to its central role in the cell, the proteasome

machinery is involved in several biological processes, such as hormonal signaling,

circadian clock as well as plant stress response (Sadanandom et al., 2012; Vierstra,

2009). Additionally, the proteasome has also been linked to the regulation of chromatin

and transcription (Geng et al., 2012). The proteasome's role in the regulation of

chromatin has not only been linked to the regulation of chromatin components, such

as histones and their assembly into nucleosomes, but also chromatin regulators, such

as histone chaperones and histone modifiers. (Bach and Hegde, 2016; H. Karimi

Kinyamu et al., 2008; Jeong et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2011). In addition, proteins

involved in DNA methylation were found to be regulated by the proteasome.

DNA methylation in *Arabidopsis* is characterized by the apposition of a methyl group

to cytosine residues. In plants, DNA methylation occurs on three distinct sequence

contexts: CG, CHG and CHH, where H stands for any nucleotide except cytosine.

Each methylation context is regulated by its own cognate pathway (Law and Jacobsen,

2010). CG methylation is maintained by the maintenance DNA methyltransferase

MET1 (METHYLTRANSFERASE 1). Despite the lack of evidence for a proteasome

regulation of the MET1 protein, other important proteins regulating CG methylation,

such as the VIM proteins are E3 ubiquitin ligases (Johnson et al., 2007; Kraft et al.,

2008; Woo et al., 2007), suggesting a link between ubiquitination and CG methylation.

The main enzyme linked to CHG methylation is the DNA methyltransferase CMT3

(CHROMOMETHYLASE 3) (Lindroth and Jacobsen, 2001). CMT3 itself was found to

be targeted for proteasomal degradation by an atypical JmjC domain protein, JMJ24,

which has E3 ubiquitin ligase activity (Deng et al., 2016). Recently, DRM2 (DOMAINS

REARRANGED METHYLASE 2), the main DNA methyltransferase involved in *de*

novo cytosine methylation at CHH sites was also found to be regulated by an E3

ubiquitin ligase named CFK1, leading to its targeting for degradation by the

proteasome (Chen et al., 2020). DNA methylation in all sequence contexts can,

therefore, be directly or indirectly linked to ubiquitin-dependent proteasome

degradation.

DNA methylation has previously been shown to be involved in the response against

bacterial and fungal pathogens (Deleris et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2016). Indeed, mutants

with lower DNA methylation levels, such as *met1* were shown to display an increased

resistance to the bacteria *Pseudomonas* (Dowen et al., 2012), while mutant displaying

global DNA hypermethylation such as *ros1* were shown to be more susceptible to

Pseudomonas, *Fusarium,* and *Hyaloperonospora* infection (Le et al., 2014; López

Sánchez et al., 2016; Schumann et al., 2019; Yu et al., 2013). DNA *de novo*

methylation is known to be targeted by small RNA molecules of principally 24

nucleotides (nt) in size. This pathway is referred as RNA-directed DNA methylation

(RdDM) (Matzke and Mosher, 2014). Several members of the RdDM pathway were

also found to be involved in *Botrytis*, *Plectosphaerella,* and *Pseudomonas* resistance

(Agorio and Vera, 2007; López et al., 2011).*Pseudomonas* bacterial infection was

shown to affect genome-wide DNA methylation pattern (Dowen et al., 2012).

Additionally, DNA methylation is involved in transgenerational memory of bacterial

infection, a phenomenon referred as Transgenerational Acquired Resistance (TAR)

(Luna and Ton, 2012; Luna et al., 2012).

Noting the converging evidence for a role of DNA methylation during bacterial infection

as well as proteasome inhibition during this process, we sought to investigate the

effect of proteasome inhibition on global DNA methylation levels. Here we show that

genome-wide DNA methylation is affected by treatment with the proteasome inhibitor

SylA. SylA treatment results in a moderate genome hypermethylation in all sequence

context. This hypermethylation is mainly affecting centromeric region of *Arabidopsis*

chromosomes. We identified 10101 100bp DMRs of which 6304 are CHH-

hypermethylated DMRs. We could not identify major changes in small RNA

composition upon SylA treatment suggesting that small RNAs might not have a direct

effect on the observed DNA methylation changes. Furthermore, the *Arabidopsis*

transcriptome is strongly affected by SylA-mediated proteasome inhibition. We

propose that the induction of atypical members of the RdDM pathway such as *DRM1*,

AGO9 and *AGO3* might explain the changes observed upon SylA treatment.

RESULTS

Syringolin A induces moderate centromeric hypermethylation

In order to investigate the effect of proteasome inhibition on the methylome of

Arabidopsis, we performed genome-wide bisulfite sequencing (GWBS) of *Arabidopsis*

plantlets treated with the bacterial virulence factor SylA, a known proteasome inhibitor

(Groll et al., 2008). Considering all three sequence contexts, we obtained DNA

methylation levels for 14269258 and 10867987 cytosines for mock- and sylA-treated

GWBS libraries, respectively, that reached more than 10-fold coverage. The obtained

data were plotted as a circular heat map using 50 kb genomic bins (Fig 1A). We

observed that the distribution of the genome-wide DNA methylation did not change

between treated and the control samples in all sequence context CG, CHG and CHH

(Fig 1A). As previously observed (Cokus et al., 2008; Lister et al., 2008; Stroud et al.,

2012), DNA methylation is higher in centromeric and pericentromeric regions of the

Arabidopsis genome, coinciding with increased transposon densities. As expected,

the percentage of DNA methylation is higher in CG context (28.36% and 29.25%),

then CHG context (12.05% and 13.62%) and finally at its lowest in CHH context

(3.16% and 3.54%) in both control and treated samples. In order to refine our

analyses, we focused on methylated windows (i.e., >40% methylation for CG, >20%

for CHG and >10% for CHH) (Fig 1B). We could observe a slight but consistent

increase in DNA methylation levels upon SylA treatment in all sequence contexts. In

order to investigate in which chromosomal environment this increase of DNA

methylation occurs, we plotted the percent of changes in DNA methylation across the

genome. We observed that, except for a few discreet loci on chromosome arms, most

of the increase in DNA methylation occurred in the centromeric and pericentromeric

regions of all *Arabidopsis* chromosomes (Fig 1C and Fig S1). We conclude that

proteasome inhibition by SylA treatment does not result in a global reprograming of

genome-wide DNA methylation but rather in a moderate hypermethylation of the

centromeric region.

Syringolin A treatment results mainly in CHH DMRs

To investigate the changes in DNA methylation on local scale, we identified

differentially methylated regions (DMRs) of 100 bp in size for each DNA methylation

context. To satisfy the criteria as a DMR, the respective 100 bp genomic bins had to

differ by at least 10 % between treatments and exhibiting a q-value < 0.05. We

identified a total of 10101 individual genomic bins satisfying DMR criteria (Fig 2A).

Most DMRs were in CHH context (9173) compared to CG (409) and CHG (672).

Additionally, they were mostly hyper DMRs (gain of DNA methylation) rather than hypo

DMRs (lost of DNA methylation). CHH DMRs were mostly corresponding to

transposable elements (TEs) (51%), UTRs (14%), promotors (14%), and intergenic

sequences (15%) and were rather depleted in genic sequences (2%) (Fig 2B).

Similarly, CG and CHG DMRs could also majorly be associated to TEs (Fig S2). The

overlap between the DMRs of different contexts is limited but the overlap is significant

(permutation-based p-value using 10^4 repetitions for all dual overlaps and triple

overlaps < 10^{-4}). Taking into account the frequent association of DMRs of all contexts

with TEs, we observed an especially pronounced overlap when regarding DMR -

associated TEs (Fig S3), suggesting that most significant changes in DNA methylation

occurs within or at proximity of TEs. In order to visualize in which genomic region

DMRs are located, we plotted the DMRs along the five *Arabidopsis* chromosomes (Fig

2C). Both hypo and hyper CHH DMRs are enriched in centromeric and pericentromeric

region mirroring the TE density distribution in the *Arabidopsis* genome. In order to

better characterize the DMRs location and their potential effect on gene expression,

we computed the distribution of their mean distance to transcriptional start sites (TSS)

and compared it to that of non-DMR methylated regions. For this purpose, we

separated our analysis between the DMRs occurring in the pericentromeric regions

and the DMRs occurring on chromosomal arms. Interestingly, SylA induced DMRs in

chromosomal arms are closer to TSS compared to randomly sampled methylated

regions for both DMRs associated with TEs (Fig 2D) and genes (Fig 2E). This

increased proximity to TSS is more pronounced for CHH DMRs (Fig 2D-E) than for

CG and CHG DMRs (Fig S4A-B). No significant differences were observed for DMRs

situated in pericentromeric regions (Fig S4 C-D). In summary, our results show that

SylA treatment results principally in CHH DMRs situated in centromeric and

pericentromeric region and that DMRs situated in chromosomal arms are in close

proximity to TSS.

As previously mentioned, DNA methylation changes were observed upon *Arabidopsis*

infection by the bacteria *Pst* (Dowen et al., 2012). In order to evaluate how similar SylA

DMRs are from *Pst* induced DMRs, we analyzed the overlap between *Pst* and SylA

DMR associated features (Fig S8A-C)). Although no significant overlap could be

observed for CG DMRs or CHG DMRs (Fig S8A-B), a significant overlap could be

observed concerning CHH DMRs with 413 overlapping loci (p-value $< 10^{-4}$) (Fig S8C).

The overlap between *Pst* and SylA DMRs suggests that these DMRs could be linked

to proteasome inhibition taking place during *Pst* infection. Further studies will be

required to confirm this hypothesis.

Syringolin A treatment does not influence small RNA population

DNA methylation in CHH context is closely link to the RNA-directed DNA methylation

pathway (RdDM). This pathway relies on small RNAs to induce DNA methylation at

the complementary DNA locus. In its canonical form, RdDM relies on the loading of

24nt small RNAs by AGO4 or AGO6 and the recruitment of the *de novo*

methyltransferase DRM2 to the DNA (Law and Jacobsen, 2010; Matzke and Mosher,

2014). In order to investigate potential changes in small RNA populations between

mock-treated and SylA-treated plantlets, we profiled the small RNA populations using

next-generation sequencing. As expected in both conditions, 24nt small RNAs

comprise the most abundant size fraction and are mostly starting with a 5'A and are

predominantly mapping to TEs (Fig3A-C). In both samples, the second most abundant

are 21nt small RNAs. They are mainly starting with a 5'T and likely correspond to

microRNAs. 22nt small RNA are the least abundant of the three sizes, predominantly

starting with a 5'G and mapping to TEs. We conclude that the overall small RNA

composition is very similar between the control and the treated samples and

comparable to previously published *Arabidopsis* small RNA compositions (Mi et al.,

2008). To investigate the small RNA population in more detail, we selected 100bp

genomic bins showing differential expression (exhibiting at least 10 reads across the

two treatments and showing at least a 4-fold change between the treatments). We

found that despite being very similar, several bins (n=1539) mostly comprising 24nt

small RNAs (n=1016) were enriched in the SylA-treated sample (Fig 3D). Bins

enriched in 21nt and 22nt small RNA were not as abundant (21 nt: 326, 22 nt: 197)

(Fig 3D). For all sRNA sizes we identified only a low number of sRNA depleted bins

(n=192). As expected, the enriched 24nt sRNAs were predominantly starting with a

5'A and mapping to TEs (Fig S9A-C). The enriched 21nt and 22nt small RNA had an

unusual 5'C and 5'T enrichment, respectively, and were predominantly mapping to

TEs (Fig S9A-C). In comparison to the total library populations, 21 nt sRNA,

presumably representing microRNAs, were underrepresented in the SylA enriched

sRNA population, indicating that micro RNAs are not activated upon SylA exposition.

Bins enriched in 24nt sRNA are located principally to pericentromeric regions (Fig S5).

However, only 49 of the 1055 24nt enriched bins overlapped with CHH DMR bins (Fig

3E, p=0.9437). The same observation was made for 21nt and 22nt enriched small

RNA bins (Fig S6D-F). Additionally, we could not find a significant correlation between

the increase in 24nt sRNAs and the CHH methylation levels (Fig 3F). The absence of

significant correlation was also observed for 21nt and 22nt enriched bins (Fig S6A-C).

We conclude that the small RNA composition is mostly unaffected by the SylA

treatment and that the moderate changes in sRNA composition or abundance do not

correlate with the changes in CHH DNA methylation and is likely not the cause of this

changes.

Syringolin A treatment predominantly affects transcription in chromosomal

arms

DNA methylation is a well-known regulator of gene and transposon expression. In

order to investigate a potential link between the observed changes in DNA methylation

upon SylA treatment and genome-wide transcriptional activity, we subjected our

samples to RNA sequencing. Upon SylA treatment, we could detect a major change

in transcriptional activity (Fig 4A-B), identifying a total of 3241 differentially expressed

transcripts (adjP < 0.01 and abs(logFC) > 2), among them 2945 differentially

expressed genes (DEG). We noted a bias toward upregulated DEGs (1675) compared

to downregulated DEGs (1270), showing that SylA treatment rather leads to

transcriptional up-regulation than down-regulation. Interestingly, gene ontology (GO)

term enrichment analysis for the upregulated DEGs revealed an enrichment in

"positive regulation of RNA polymerase II initiation" (GO:0045899, pVal= $9.4*10^{-6}$) (Fig

4D, Table S1). Our results suggest that an inhibition of the proteasome using SylA

results in an increased transcriptional activity. We can observe a similar GO term

enrichment in "positive regulation of RNA polymerase II initiation" (GO:0045899, pVal=

$2.7*10^{-9}$) using previously published transcriptomic data using MG132, a non-covalent

proteasome inhibitor (Table S1) (Gladman et al., 2016). This suggests that this feature

in not only linked to SylA itself but more generally to proteasome inhibition. Increased

transcriptional activity in response to proteasome inhibition seems to be widely

conserved, as it was also observed in human cells treated with MG132 (Kinyamu et al

2019).

As previously reported upon SylA treatment (Michel et al., 2006), we observed an

enrichment in "proteasome assembly" (GO:0043248, pVal= 10^{-9}). We hypothesize that

in order to compensate for the inhibition of the proteasome, proteasome related genes

are transcribed at higher levels. This phenomena was also observed using MG132

(Table S1) (Gladman et al., 2016). Similarly, an increase of proteasome-related gene

expression also occurs during *Pst* infection (Üstün et al., 2018), further supporting that

proteasome inhibition genuinely happen during *Pst* infection. In addition, up-regulated

DEGs are enriched in GO terms describing several abiotic and biotic stresses (Fig

4D). Down-regulated DEGs are clearly enriched in GO terms related to photosynthesis

as well as response to light (Fig 4C, Table S1). A link between ubiquitin-dependent

proteasome degradation and light response in Arabidopsis has been long established

with the discovery in plant of the COP9 signalosome (Chamovitz et al., 1996; Cope

and Deshaies, 2003; Wei and Deng, 2003).In addition to modifying gene expression,

SylA treatment also affects the expression of TEs, 217 of them exhibiting significantly

differential expression (Fig 4A-B), with a strong tendency towards being upregulated

rather than downregulated (up: 145, down:72). Surprisingly and similarly to DEGs, we

predominantly detected differentially expressed TEs located on chromosomal arms

rather than pericentromeric or centromeric region (Fig 4B), despite the majority of TEs

being located there. Upregulated TEs are enriched in LTR-copia TE families (Fig S7),

whereas downregulated TE tend to be enriched in DNA-MuDR transposons (Fig S7).

Our result shows that SylA treatment has an important effect on *Arabidopsis*

transcriptome, which is likely linked to role of SylA as a proteasome inhibitor.

Syringolin A treatment induces the expression of atypical DNA methylation

factors

In order to understand how SylA could influence DNA methylation beyond its role in

inhibiting the proteasome, we investigated if it could act by modulating the expression

of key actors of DNA methylation. We have focused our analyses on the key members

of the different DNA methylation pathways and homologous genes encoded in the

Arabidopsis genome (Fig 5A). Methylation on CG sites requires the principal CG DNA

methyltransferase *MET1* (Ennis et al., 1996; Kankel et al., 2003; Saze et al., 2003).

Additionally, on centromere and pericentromeric sequences, the chromatin

remodelers *DDM1* and *MOM1* are required for the maintenance of CG methylation

(Jeddeloh et al., 1998; Zemach et al., 2013). In addition, the *Arabidopsis* genome

encodes 3 other *MET* genes: *MET2a*, *MET2b* and *MET3* (Jullien et al., 2012). None

of these proteins affecting CG methylation showed a sufficient expression fold-change

to meet DEG criteria. Similarly, the CHG DNA methyltransferases (*CMT1, CMT2* and

CMT3) (Henikoff and Comai, 1998; Lindroth et al., 2001) and the DNA demethylase

(*ROS1, DME, DML2* and *DML3*) (Choi et al., 2002; Gong et al., 2002; Penterman et

al., 2007) were not among DEGs. However, we observed a significant upregulation for

some members of the *de novo* DNA methylation RdDM pathway, which elicits CHH

methylation. We analyzed the *de novo* DNA methyltransferases *DRM1*, *DRM2* and

DRM3. We could observe a significant induction of *DRM1* (log2(FC)=5.9). A significant

increase in transcript abundance could be observed from 8h post induction. In the

RdDM pathway, small RNA molecules, loaded in protein called Argonautes, are

targeting *de novo* methylation on the complementary DNA sequence. The Argonautes

associated we the RdDM pathway are *AGO4*, *AGO6*, *AGO9*, *AGO8* and *AGO3* (Duan

et al., 2015; Havecker et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2016; Stroud et al., 2012; Zilberman

et al., 2003). We found that *AGO3* (log2(FC)=3.4) and *AGO9* (log2(FC)=2.2) were

significantly upregulated in response to SylA treatment. Performing quantitative PCR

on *Arabidospis* cDNA, we could confirm that SylA treatment leads to an upregulation

of *AGO3*, *AGO9* and *DRM1* (Fig 5B).

DISCUSSION

Our study shows that Syringolin A, a virulence factor secreted by *Pseudomonas Pss*

strains, induces moderated change of the *Arabidopsis* methylome. Differentially

methylated regions are mostly located in the centromeric and pericentromeric regions

of the *Arabidopsis* chromosomes. Upon SylA treatment, substantial changes in the

Arabidopsis transcriptome are observed, which are most likely linked to SylA action

on the proteasome rather than on its effect on DNA methylation. We identified potential

candidate genes that could be involved in the observed increase in DNA methylation

levels. These candidates are atypical members of the RNA directed DNA methylation

pathway: *AGO3*, *AGO9* and *DRM1*.

Beyond SylA treatment, dynamic changes in centromeric DNA methylation seems to

also occur during *Arabidopsis* infection by *Pseudomonas synringae pv. tomato* (*Pst*),

which in contrast to *Pseudomonas synringae pv synringae* (*Pss*) does not secrete

SylA. Changes in DNA methylation levels during *Pseudomonas* infection were

previously reported (Dowen et al., 2012; Pavet et al., 2006). Pavet *et al* showed that

DNA methylation decreases in centromeric region during early infection (1 day post

infection) with *Pst* (Pavet et al., 2006), whereas Dowen *et al* report an increase of

centromeric DNA methylation at five days post infection. At 5 days post infection,

similar to SylA treated plantlets, the increase of DNA methylation was clear in the CHG

and less pronounced in CG and CHH context. Despite not secreting SylA, *Pst* also

uses type III effectors, such as HopM1, to inhibit the proteasome during infection

(Üstün et al., 2016). It is therefore likely that at least parts of the DNA methylation

changes observed during *Pst* infection, at 5 days after infection, could be linked to

proteasome inhibition by type III effectors as we have observed using SylA.

Considering the temporal effect of the infection on centromeric DNA methylation, one

may speculate that an early response of plants to infection triggers DNA

demethylation, as suggested by centromeric demethylation at 1dpi and the preferential

reactivation and, thus, upregulation of transposable elements (TEs) observed upon

Flagellin treatment (Yu et al., 2013). This DNA demethylation has been associated

with the expression of NBR/LRR genes which are often in close proximity to TEs. At

later stages of infection, however, the action of bacterial effectors, such as proteasome

inhibitors, might counter act this DNA demethylation and induce centromeric

hypermethylation.

Interestingly, in contrast to the changes in DNA methylation mainly occurring in

centromeric and pericentromeric regions, we observed the majority of differentially

expressed TEs and DEGs to be located on chromosomal arms. If linked, such

phenomena would imply a *trans* effect of the centromere DNA methylation and/or

organization on the expression of genes situated on the chromosomal arms. Such a

potential *trans* effect has been noted previously in response to *Pst* infection

(Cambiagno et al., 2018). Indeed, loss of centromeric and pericentromeric DNA

methylation in early infection has been hypothesized to be linked to the activation of

NBS/LRR disease resistance genes situated on chromosomal arms (Cambiagno et

al., 2018). It was hypothesized that centromeric hypomethylation would lead to a

recruitment of the RdDM RNA machinery to the centromere in order to remethylate

centromeric TE dense regions. As a consequence, the RdDM machinery would be

depleted from the chromosomal arm and would therefore facilitate PRR/NLR genes

expression. Another hint for a *trans* effect during infection came from epigenetic

quantitative trait loci (QTL) linked with increased resistance to the fungi

Hyaloperonospora. These epigenetic QTLs are located in pericentromeric regions but

are associated to increased priming of resistance genes situated on chromosomal

arms (Furci et al., 2019). It was hypothesized that this *trans*-effect might be due to

changes in the 3D organization of the genome that would affect genes transcriptional

activity. However, to date, it is still not fully clear how centromeric and pericentromeric

DNA methylation and/or organization would affect the expression of resistance genes

in trans. Further studies will be required to evaluate if this *trans* effect is causative or

just correlative and to investigate the potential molecular mechanisms of this *trans*-

effect.

Here we have shown that SylA treatment induces the expression of atypical RdDM

components: *DRM1*, *AGO9* and *AGO3*. *DRM1* was previously shown to be mainly

active during sexual reproduction (Jullien et al., 2012). Being preferentially involved in

CHH methylation, the significant upregulation of DRM1 may at least in part explain the

high abundance of CHH DMRs compared to the two other sequence contexts.

Interestingly, *AGO3* and *AGO9* were also characterized for their specificity to the

reproduction phase of the *Arabidopsis* life cycle (Jullien et al., 2020; Olmedo-Monfil et

al., 2010). So far, their role during bacterial infection remains to be investigated. The

variation in genome-wide DNA methylation pattern upon proteasome inhibition by SylA

could in part be linked to the up-regulation of these atypical RdDM components. Lastly,

it is tempting to speculate that a DNA methylation reprogramming akin to the one

happening during sexual reproduction might also happen during bacterial infection and

be part of the plant defense mechanism.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant materials and growth condition

Arabidopsis thaliana Wild-type Colombia-0 (*Col-0*) one week old seedlings were used

throughout this study. Seeds were obtained from the NASC stock center (number

N22681), stratified at 4°C for 2-3 days and germinated in sterile petri dish containing

half Murashige and Skoog Basal Medium pH 5.7 (Ms ½, 0.8% micro agar and 0.215%

MS in pH5.7 miliQ H_2O). Seedlings were grown in the growth chamber under long-

day conditions: 15 hours of light at 25°C and 60% of humidity and 10 hours of night at

21°C and 75% of humidity.

Treatment with Syringolin A

Three to five one week old plantlets were transferred in a 24 wells plate with 500µL of

liquid Ms ½. The plate was then return to the growth chamber and place under

agitation. Seedlings were allowed to recover for 24h. After recovery, the seedlings

were treated with Syringolin A (SylA) at a final concentration of 20µM during 24h.

Syringolin A stock solution (10mM in water) was stored at -20°C. After 24h hours,

seedlings were collected and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and use for

subsequent extraction.

Sample preparation, qPCR and sequencing

Total RNA was extracted from seedlings using QIAzol Lysis Reagent (Qiagen). All

samples were treated with DNase I (ThermoScientific) at 37°C for 30 minutes. DNAse

I was subsequently inactivated by addition of EDTA and heat treatment (65°C for 10

minutes). First-strand cDNA were synthesized using 1yg of DNase treated total RNA

and the Maxima First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (ThermoScientific), containing both

oligo-dT and random hexamer primers. qPCR tests were performed with a

QuantStudio 5 (ThermoScientific) using SYBR green (KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR

Master Mix). qPCR mix were prepared according to the manufacturer's protocol

(KAPA Biosystems). An RNA equivalent of 25 ng of cDNA templates was distributed

for each reaction. The qPCR program was as follow: 95 °C for 3 minutes followed by

45 cycles of 95 °C for 5 seconds and 60 °C for 30 seconds. ACTIN2 (AT3G18780)

expression was used to normalize the transcript level in each sample. For each

condition, RNA abundance of target genes was calculated from the average three

independent biological replicates with three qPCR technical replicates. Real-time PCR

primers used in this study are listed in Table S2.

For Genome wide bisulfite sequencing, seedlings genomic DNA was extracted using

DNeasy Plant Mini Kit and was then processed and sequenced by Novogene

(https://en.novogene.com/). Total sRNAs were trizol extracted and then processed

into sequencing libraries and sequenced by Fasteris (http://www.fasteris.com,

Switzerland). Total RNAs were extracted and DNAseI treated as previously

mentioned. mRNA libraries were prepared and sequenced by Novogene

(https://en.novogene.com/).

Bioinformatics

mRNA profiling

Paired-end raw mRNA sequencing reads from two biological replicates per treatment

regime were aligned to the *Arabidopsis thaliana* TAIR 10 genome assembly using

HISAT2 (Kim et al, 2015), using default settings but setting maximal intron length at

10 kb. Aligned reads have been sorted using samtools sort command (Li et al., 2009).

Aligned and sorted mRNA sequencing reads were mapped to genomic features using

featureCounts (Liao et al., 2014). Multi-mapping reads were counted by assigning

fractional counts to the respective features ("--fraction" option). Feature positional

information was provided by a custom-made SAF file containing gene (and

corresponding exon), transposable_element_gene, transposable_element,

pseudogene, miRNA, ncRNA, snoRNA, tRNA, rRNA features retrieved from TAIR 10

gff annotion (TAIR10_GFF3_genes_transposons.gff obtained from

www.arabidopsis.org). Differential expression has been analyzed using the R package

edgeR (Robinson et al., 2010) using the exactTest function. Features with less than

15 reads across all samples were omitted. Differentially expressed features were

defined by an absolute logFC > 2 and an adjusted *P*-value < 0.01. All plots have been

generated using R-base functions. Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis has been

performed using the R package topGO (Alexa et al., 2006) using GO term dataset

"athaliana_eg_gene" retrieved form www.plants.ensembl.org. GO enrichment has

been performed separately for up- and down-regulated DEGs.

small RNA profiling

Raw small RNA sequencing reads have been trimmed and subsequently filtered to

remove reads mapping two rDNA loci (Chr2:1-10000 and Chr3:14194000-14204000),

which have previously been repeatedly observed as a source of high number of

sRNAs in *Arabidopsis thaliana* seedlings and, thus, compromise later analysis.

Filtered sRNA reads were aligned to the Arabidopsis TAIR10 genome assembly using

bowtie (Langmead et al., 2009) with the following options: -a --best --strata -m 10000.

The aligned and sorted sRNA reads were split by size using a custom AWK script and

were subsequently mapped to 100 bp genomic bins using HiCdat (Schmid et al.,

2015). Only genomic bins, in which at least one of the samples had >= 10 reads were

kept for further analysis. Both Ctrl and SylA had near identical effective library sizes

(44810122 and 44827416 for sRNA between 17- 30 nt), thus no further normalization

has been performed. Genomic bins, which differed by more than 4-fold counts were

considered differential sRNA bins for further analysis. To assign specific genomic

features to differential sRNA bins, all non-ambiguous gff annotations have been

extended by 1 kb up- and downstream of the start and end site and sRNA bins falling

within these intervals were associated with the respective annotation unit. 5'

nucleotide identity has been assessed by a custom AWK script, taking into account all

aligned sRNA reads of a given length within the respective 100 bp sRNA bins, whereas

the sRNA read start had to lie within the bin. To associate differential sRNA bins with

specific feature types, we employed bedtools intersect using custom-made bed files

for different feature types, including promotor (defined as 1 kb upstream of TSSs of

gene annotations), intergenic (excluding promotors), tRNA, miRNA, pseudogenes, TE

(combination of transposable_element and transposable_element_gene, UTR

(excluding promotor), intron, CDS (gene coordinates – introns). The initial coordinates

for the features were retrieved from the publicly available TAIR10 gff file (see mRNA

profiling).

Cytosine methylation analysis

Illumina sequencing from bisulfite-treated genomic DNA was aligned using bismark

(Krueger and Andrews, 2011) with the following parameters: -q --score-min L,0,-0.2 -

-ignore-quals --no-mixed --no-discordant --dovetail --maxins 500. Sorted alignment

files were analyzed for their cytosine methylation levels employing the R package

methylKit (Akalin et al., 2012). Thereby, aligned reads were processed with the

processBismarkAln() function setting the minimal coverage at 10 and minimal quality

score at 20. Subsequently, methylation information per 100 bp genomic bin was

generated using the tileMethyCounts() function. Differentially methylated regions

(DMRs) were extracted using a q-value threshold of 0.05 and methylation difference

cutoff of 10%. For further statistical analyses, methylated regions were defined with a

cutoff of 40 % mC for CG context, 20 % for CHG context, and 10 % for CHH context.

To associate a specific genomic feature (e.g., gene identifier) with a DMR, the DMR

had to be positioned within a range of 1 kb 5' of the annotation start to 1kb 3' of the

annotation end. To associate DMRs with specific feature types, we employed bedtools

intersect using custom-made bed files for different feature types previously used to

analyze feature type distribution in differential sRNA bins.

Raw data are deposited on GEO under reference xxxx.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the following people for their help: Jasmine Sekulovski for

support concerning plant growth, Gregory Schott and Olivier Voinnet for technical and

financial support at the early stage of this study, Robert Dudler and Zsuzsanna

Hasenkamp for providing Syringolin A.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

PEJ conceived the study. DMVB, LT and PEJ performed the experiments. SG

performed the bioinformatic analysis. SG and PEJ analyzed the data. PEJ wrote the

manuscript with the help of SG.

LITERATURE CITED

Agorio, A. and Vera, P. (2007). ARGONAUTE4 is required for resistance to Pseudomonas syringae in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **19**, 3778–3790.

Akalin, A., Kormaksson, M., Li, S., Garrett-Bakelman, F. E., Figueroa, M. E., Melnick, A. and Mason, C. E. (2012). MethylKit: a comprehensive R package for the analysis of genome-wide DNA methylation profiles. *Genome Biol.* **13**,.

Alexa, A., Rahnenführer, J. and Lengauer, T. (2006). Improved scoring of functional groups from gene expression data by decorrelating GO graph structure. *Bioinformatics* **22**, 1600–1607.

Bach, S. V. and Hegde, A. N. (2016). The proteasome and epigenetics: Zooming in on histone modifications. *Biomol. Concepts* **7**, 215–227.

Cambiagno, D. A., Nota, F., Zavallo, D., Rius, S., Casati, P., Asurmendi, S. and Alvarez, M. E. (2018). Immune receptor genes and pericentromeric transposons as targets of common epigenetic regulatory elements. *Plant J.* **96**, 1178–1190.

Chamovitz, D. A., Wei, N., Osterlund, M. T., Von Arnim, A. G., Staub, J. M., Matsui, M. and Deng, X. W. (1996). The COP9 complex, a novel multisubunit nuclear regulator involved in light control of a plant developmental switch. *Cell* **86**, 115–121.

Chen, J., Liu, J., Jiang, J., Qian, S., Song, J., Kabara, R., Delo, I., Serino, G., Liu, F., Hua, Z., et al. (2020). F-box protein CFK1 interacts with and degrades de novo DNA methyltransferase in Arabidopsis . *New Phytol.* **4**,.

Chiu, M., Chen, I., Baulcombe, D. C. and Tsai, C. (2010). The silencing suppressor P25 of Potato virus X interacts with Argonaute1 and mediates its degradation through the proteasome pathway. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **11**, 641–649.

Choi, Y., Gehring, M., Johnson, L., Hannon, M., Harada, J. J., Goldberg, R. B.,

Jacobsen, S. E. and Fischer, R. L. (2002). DEMETER, a DNA glycosylase

domain protein, is required for endosperm gene imprinting and seed viability in

arabidopsis. *Cell* **110**, 33–42.

Cokus, S. J., Feng, S., Zhang, X., Chen, Z., Merriman, B., Haudenschild, C. D.,

Pradhan, S., Nelson, S. F., Pellegrini, M. and Jacobsen, S. E. (2008). Shotgun

bisulphite sequencing of the Arabidopsis genome reveals DNA methylation

patterning. *Nature* **452**, 215–219.

Cope, G. A. and Deshaies, R. J. (2003). COP9 signalosome: A multifunctional

regulator of SCF and other cullin-based ubiquitin ligases. *Cell* **114**, 663–671.

Deleris, A., Halter, T. and Navarro, L. (2016). DNA Methylation and Demethylation

in Plant Immunity. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **54**, 579–603.

Deng, S., Jang, I. C., Su, L., Xu, J. and Chua, N. H. (2016). JMJ24 targets

CHROMOMETHYLASE3 for proteasomal degradation in arabidopsis. *Genes*

Dev. **30**, 251–256.

Dowen, R. H., Pelizzola, M., Schmitz, R. J., Lister, R., Dowen, J. M., Nery, J. R.,

Dixon, J. E. and Ecker, J. R. (2012). Widespread dynamic DNA methylation in

response to biotic stress. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **109**, E2183–E2191.

Duan, C., Zhang, H., Tang, K., Zhu, X., Qian, W., Hou, Y., Wang, B., Lang, Z., Zhao,

Y., Wang, X., et al. (2015). Specific but interdependent functions for A rabidopsis

AGO 4 and AGO 6 in RNA -directed DNA methylation . *EMBO J.* **34**, 581–592.

Dudler, R. (2013). Manipulation of host proteasomes as a virulence mechanism of

plant pathogens. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **51**, 521–42.

Ennis, E. L. S. D., Finnegan, E. J., Peacock, W. J. and Dennis, E. S. (1996).

Reduced DNA methylation in Arabidopsis thaliana results in abnormal plant

development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **93**, 8449–54.

Furci, L., Jain, R., Stassen, J., Berkowitz, O., Whelan, J., Roquis, D., Baillet, V.,

Colot, V., Johannes, F. and Ton, J. (2019). Identification and characterisation

of hypomethylated DNA loci controlling quantitative resistance in Arabidopsis. 1–

23.

Geng, F., Wenzel, S. and Tansey, W. P. (2012). Ubiquitin and Proteasomes in

Transcription. *Annu Rev Biochem* **81**, 177–201.

Gladman, N. P., Marshall, R. S., Lee, K. H. and Vierstra, R. D. (2016). The

proteasome stress regulon is controlled by a pair of NAC transcription factors in

arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **28**, 1279–1296.

Gong, Z., Morales-Ruiz, T., Ariza, R. R., Roldán-Arjona, T., David, L. and Zhu, J.

K. (2002). ROS1, a repressor of transcriptional gene silencing in Arabidopsis,

encodes a DNA glycosylase/lyase. *Cell* **111**, 803–814.

Groll, M., Schellenberg, B., Bachmann, A. S., Archer, C. R., Huber, R., Powell, T.

K., Lindow, S., Kaiser, M. and Dudler, R. (2008). A plant pathogen virulence

factor inhibits the eukaryotic proteasome by a novel mechanism. *Nature* **452**,

755–758.

H. Karimi Kinyamu, Jefferson, W. N. and Archer, T. K. (2008). Intersection of

Nuclear Receptors and the Proteasome on the Epigenetic Landscape. *Env. Mol*

Mutagen **49**, 83–95.

Havecker, E. R., Wallbridge, L. M., Hardcastle, T. J., Bush, M. S., Kelly, K. A.,

Dunn, R. M., Schwach, F., Doonan, J. H. and Baulcombe, D. C. (2010). The

Arabidopsis RNA-directed DNA methylation argonautes functionally diverge

based on their expression and interaction with target loci. *Plant Cell* **22**, 321–34.

Henikoff, S. and Comai, L. (1998). A DNA Methyltransferase Homolog With a

Chromodomain Exists in Multiple Polymorphic Forms in Arabidopsis. *Genetics*

149, 307–318.

Huang, J., Yang, M., Lu, L. and Zhang, X. (2016). Diverse functions of small RNAs

in different plant-pathogen communications. *Front. Microbiol.* **7**, 1–17.

Jeddeloh, J. a., Bender, J. and Richards, E. J. (1998). The DNA methylation locus

DDM1 is required for maintenance of gene silencing in Arabidopsis. *Genes Dev.*

12, 1714–1725.

Jeong, C. W., Roh, H., Dang, T. V., Choi, Y. Do, Fischer, R. L., Lee, J. S. and Choi,

Y. (2011). An E3 ligase complex regulates SET-domain polycomb group protein

activity in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **108**, 8036–8041.

Jin, Y., Ma, D., Dong, J., Jin, J., Li, D., Deng, C. and Wang, T. (2007). HC-Pro

Protein of Potato Virus Y Can Interact with Three Arabidopsis 20S Proteasome

Subunits In Planta. *J. Virol.* **81**, 12881–12888.

Johnson, L. M., Bostick, M., Zhang, X., Kraft, E., Henderson, I., Callis, J. and

Jacobsen, S. E. (2007). The SRA Methyl-Cytosine-Binding Domain Links DNA

and Histone Methylation. *Curr. Biol.* **17**, 379–384.

Jones, J. D. G. and Dang, J. L. (2006). The plant immune system. *Nature* **444**, 323–

329.

Jullien, P. E., Susaki, D., Yelagandula, R., Higashiyama, T. and Berger, F. (2012).

DNA methylation dynamics during sexual reproduction in Arabidopsis thaliana.

Curr. Biol. **22**, 1825–1830.

Jullien, P. E., Grob, S., Marchais, A., Pumplin, N., Chevalier, C., Bonnet, D. M .,

Otto, C., Schott, G. and Voinnet, O. (2020). Functional characterization of

Arabidopsis ARGONAUTE 3 in reproductive tissue. *Plant J.* 1796–1809.

Kankel, M. W., Ramsey, D. E., Stokes, T. L., Flowers, S. K., Haag, J. R., Jeddeloh,

J. a, Riddle, N. C., Verbsky, M. L. and Richards, E. J. (2003). Arabidopsis MET1

cytosine methyltransferase mutants. *Genetics* **163**, 1109–22.

Kolodziejek, I., Misas-Villamil, J. C., Kaschani, F., Clerc, J., Gu, C., Krahn, D.,

Niessen, S., Verdoes, M., Willems, L. I., Overkleeft, H. S., et al. (2011).

Proteasome activity imaging and profiling characterizes bacterial effector

Syringolin A. *Plant Physiol.* **155**, 477–489.

Kraft, E., Bostick, M., Jacobsen, S. E. and Callis, J. (2008). ORTH / VIM proteins

that regulate DNA methylation are functional ubiquitin E3 ligases. *Plant J.* **2**, 1–

12.

Krueger, F. and Andrews, S. R. (2011). Bismark: A flexible aligner and methylation

caller for Bisulfite-Seq applications. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 1571–1572.

Langin, G., Gouguet, P. and Üstün, S. (2020). Microbial Effector Proteins – A

Journey through the Proteolytic Landscape. *Trends Microbiol.* **28**, 523–535.

Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M. and Salzberg, S. L. (2009). Ultrafast and

memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome.

Genome Biol. **10**,.

Law, J. A. and Jacobsen, S. E. (2010). Establishing , maintaining and modifying DNA

methylation patterns in plants and animals. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **11**, 204–220.

Le, T. N., Schumann, U., Smith, N. A., Tiwari, S., Khang Au, P. C., Zhu, Q. H.,

Taylor, J. M., Kazan, K., Llewellyn, D. J., Zhang, R., et al. (2014). DNA

demethylases target promoter transposable elements to positively regulate stress

responsive genes in Arabidopsis. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 1–18.

Lee, K. H., Minami, A., Marshall, R. S., Book, A. J., Farmer, L. M., Walker, J. M.

and Vierstra, R. D. (2011). The RPT2 subunit of the 26S proteasome directs

complex assembly, histone dynamics, and gametophyte and sporophyte

development in arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **23**, 4298–4317.

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G.,

Abecasis, G. and Durbin, R. (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and

SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 2078–2079.

Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. and Shi, W. (2014). FeatureCounts: An efficient general

purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features.

Bioinformatics **30**, 923–930.

Lindroth, A. M. and Jacobsen, S. E. (2001). Requirement of

CHROMOMETHYLASE3 for Maintenance of CpXpG Methylation. *Science (80-.*

). **292**, 2077.

Lindroth, a M., Cao, X., Jackson, J. P., Zilberman, D., McCallum, C. M., Henikoff,

S. and Jacobsen, S. E. (2001). Requirement of CHROMOMETHYLASE3 for

maintenance of CpXpG methylation. *Science* **292**, 2077–80.

Lister, R., O'Malley, R. C., Tonti-Filippini, J., Gregory, B. D., Berry, C. C., Millar,

A. H., Ecker, J. R., Malley, R. C. O. and Harvey, A. (2008). Highly integrated

single-base resolution maps of the epigenome in Arabidopsis. *Cell* **133**, 523–36.

López, A., Ramírez, V., García-Andrade, J., Flors, V. and Vera, P. (2011). The RNA

silencing enzyme RNA polymerase V is required for plant immunity. *PLoS Genet.*

7,.

López Sánchez, A., Stassen, J. H. M., Furci, L., Smith, L. M. and Ton, J. (2016).

The role of DNA (de)methylation in immune responsiveness of Arabidopsis. *Plant*

J. **88**, 361–374.

Luna, E. and Ton, J. (2012). The epigenetic machinery controlling transgenerational

systemic acquired resistance. *Plant Signal. Behav.* **7**, 615–618.

Luna, E., Bruce, T. J. A., Roberts, M. R., Flors, V. and Ton, J. (2012). Next-

generation systemic acquired resistance. *Plant Physiol.* **158**, 844–853.

Marino, D., Peeters, N. and Rivas, S. (2012). Ubiquitination during plant immune

signaling. *Plant Physiol.* **160**, 15–27.

Matzke, M. A. and Mosher, R. A. (2014). RNA-directed DNA methylation: An

epigenetic pathway of increasing complexity. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **15**, 394–408.

Mi, S., Cai, T., Hu, Y., Chen, Y., Hodges, E., Ni, F., Wu, L., Li, S., Zhou, H., Long,

C., et al. (2008). Sorting of small RNAs into Arabidopsis argonaute complexes is

directed by the 5' terminal nucleotide. *Cell* **133**, 116–27.

Michel, K., Abderhalden, O., Bruggmann, R. and Dudler, R. (2006). Transcriptional

changes in powdery mildew infected wheat and Arabidopsis leaves undergoing

syringolin-triggered hypersensitive cell death at infection sites. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **62**,

561–78.

Misas-Villamil, J. C., Kolodziejek, I., Crabill, E., Kaschani, F., Niessen, S., Shindo,

T., Kaiser, M., Alfano, J. R. and van der Hoorn, R. A. L. (2013). Pseudomonas

syringae pv. syringae Uses Proteasome Inhibitor Syringolin A to Colonize from

Wound Infection Sites. *PLoS Pathog.* **9**, 10–16.

Olmedo-Monfil, V., Durán-Figueroa, N., Arteaga-Vázquez, M., Demesa-Arévalo,

E., Autran, D., Grimanelli, D., Slotkin, R. K., Martienssen, R. A. and Vielle-

Calzada, J.-P. (2010). Control of female gamete formation by a small RNA

pathway in Arabidopsis. *Nature* **464**, 628–632.

Pavet, V., Quintero, C., Cecchini, N. M., Rosa, A. L. and Alvarez, M. E. (2006).

Arabidopsis displays centromeric DNA hypomethylation and cytological

alterations of heterochromatin upon attack by Pseudomonas syringae. *Mol. Plant-*

Microbe Interact. **19**, 577–587.

Penterman, J., Zilberman, D., Huh, J. H., Ballinger, T., Henikoff, S. and Fischer,

R. L. (2007). DNA demethylation in the Arabidopsis genome. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*

Sci. USA **104**, 6752–6757.

Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J. and Smyth, G. K. (2010). edgeR: a Bioconductor

package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data.

Bioinformatics **26**, 139–140.

Sadanandom, A., Bailey, M., Ewan, R., Lee, J. and Nelis, S. (2012). The ubiquitin-

proteasome system: Central modifier of plant signalling. *New Phytol.* **196**, 13–28.

Sahana, N., Kaur, H., Basavaraj, Tena, F., Jain, R. K., Palukaitis, P., Canto, T. and

Praveen, S. (2012). Inhibition of the Host Proteasome Facilitates Papaya

Ringspot Virus Accumulation and Proteosomal Catalytic Activity Is Modulated by

Viral Factor HcPro. *PLoS One* **7**,.

Saze, H., Mittelsten Scheid, O. and Paszkowski, J. (2003). Maintenance of CpG

methylation is essential for epigenetic inheritance during plant gametogenesis.

Nat. Genet. **34**, 65–69.

Schellenberg, B., Ramel, C. and Dudler, R. (2010). Pseudomonas syringae

virulence factor syringolin A counteracts stomatal immunity by proteasome

inhibition. *Mol. Plant. Microbe. Interact.* **23**, 1287–93.

Schmid, M. W., Grob, S. and Grossniklaus, U. (2015). HiCdat: A fast and easy-to-

690 use Hi-C data analysis tool. *BMC Bioinformatics* **16**, 1–6.

691 **Schumann, U., Lee, J. M., Smith, N. A., Zhong, C., Zhu, J. K., Dennis, E. S., Millar,**

692 **A. A. and Wang, M. B.** (2019). DEMETER plays a role in DNA demethylation and

693 disease response in somatic tissues of Arabidopsis. *Epigenetics* **14**, 1074–1087.

694 **Sorel, M., Mooney, B., Marchi, R. and Graciet, E.** (2019). *Ubiquitin/Proteasome*

695 *System in Plant Pathogen Responses.*

696 **Stroud, H., Greenberg, M. V. C., Feng, S., Bernatavichute, Y. V. and Jacobsen, S.**

697 **E.** (2012). Resource Comprehensive Analysis of Silencing Mutants Reveals

698 Complex Regulation of the Arabidopsis Methylome. *Cell* **152**, 352–364.

699 **Üstün, S. and Börnke, F.** (2014). Interactions of Xanthomonas type-III effector

700 proteins with the plant ubiquitin and ubiquitin-like pathways. *Front. Plant Sci.* **5**,

701 3389.

702 **Üstün, S., Bartetzko, V. and Börnke, F.** (2013). The Xanthomonas campestris Type

703 III Effector XopJ Targets the Host Cell Proteasome to Suppress Salicylic-Acid

704 Mediated Plant Defence. *PLoS Pathog.* **9**,.

705 **Üstün, S., Sheikh, A., Gimenez-Ibanez, S., Jones, A., Ntoukakis, V. and Börnke,**

706 **F.** (2016). The proteasome acts as a hub for plant immunity and is targeted by

707 pseudomonas type III effectors. *Plant Physiol.* **172**, 1941–1958.

708 **Üstün, S., Hafrén, A., Liu, Q., Marshall, R. S., Minina, E. A., Bozhkov, P. V.,**

709 **Vierstra, R. D. and Hofius, D.** (2018). Bacteria exploit autophagy for proteasome

710 degradation and enhanced virulence in plants. *Plant Cell* **30**, 668–685.

711 **Verchot, J.-M.** (2016). Plant Virus Infection and the Ubiquitin Proteasome Machinery:

712 Arms Race along the Endoplasmic Reticulum. *Viruses* **8**, 314.

713 **Vierstra, R. D.** (2009). The ubiquitin-26S proteasome system at the nexus of plant

biology. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **10**, 385–397.

Wei, N. and Deng, X. W. (2003). The COP9 signalosome. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.*

19, 261–86.

Woo, H. R., Pontes, O., Pikaard, C. S. and Richards, E. J. (2007). VIM1, a

methylcytosine-binding protein required for centromeric heterochromatinization.

Genes Dev. **21**, 267–277.

Yu, A., Lepère, G., Jay, F., Wang, J., Bapaume, L., Wang, Y., Abraham, A.-L.,

Penterman, J., Fischer, R. L., Voinnet, O., et al. (2013). Dynamics and

biological relevance of DNA demethylation in *Arabidopsis* antibacterial defense.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **110**, 2389–94.

Zemach, A., Kim, M. Y., Hsieh, P.-H., Coleman-Derr, D., Eshed-Williams, L., Thao,

K., Harmer, S. L. and Zilberman, D. (2013). The Arabidopsis Nucleosome

Remodeler DDM1 Allows DNA Methyltransferases to Access H1-Containing

Heterochromatin. *Cell* **153**, 193–205.

Zhu, Q. H., Shan, W. X., Ayliffe, M. A. and Wang, M. B. (2016). Epigenetic

mechanisms: An emerging player in plant-microbe interactions. *Mol. Plant-*

Microbe Interact. **29**, 187–196.

Zilberman, D., Cao, X. and Jacobsen, S. E. (2003). ARGONAUTE4 control of locus-

specific siRNA accumulation and DNA and histone methylation. *Science* **299**,

716–9.

Figure captions

Fig.1 Methylation changes upon Syringolin A treatment. A) Circos plot for cytosine

methylation levels in CG, CHG, and CHH contexts in mock treated (Ctrl) and Syringolin

A treated (SylA) plantlets. Red barplots depict transposable element densities within

50 kb genomic bins. B) Boxplot showing global cytosine methylation levels using 100

bp bins. Methylated bins were defined by a minimal methylation level of 40 % for CG

context (Red), 20 % for CHG context (Green), and 10 % for CHH context (Blue),

respectively. Lighter colour represent the SylA treated sample. C) Percent methylation

changes along chromosome 1 for CG (red), CHG (green), and CHH (blue) context,

respectively (See also Fig.S1). Bottom track depicts transposable element (black) and

gene (grey) density in 50 kb bins as a proxy for the occurrence of heterochromatin and

euchromatin. Grey rectangle: pericentromere.

Fig.2 DMRs induced by SylA treatment. A) Number of hyper and hypo DMRs per

context. B) Pie chart representing genomic features, associated with CHH DMRs

(taking 1 kb 5' of the annotation start and 3' of the end position, respectively). Left:

CHH hyper DMRs, right: CHH hypo DMRs. Genomic features not visible on the pie

chart due to low occurrence of DMRs have been omitted. C) Genomic localization of

DMRs. The colour code indicates the number of DMRs per 50 kb genomic bin.

Transposable element (TE) (black) and gene density per 100 kb bin are depicted as a

proxy for the occurrence of heterochromatin and euchromatin, respectively. D)

Metaplot showing the mean distance from CHH DMRs to the putative transcriptional

start site (TSS) of TEs located on chromosome arms in closest proximity to the DMR.

DMRs within 5 kb of TEs' annotation start sites were selected. Magenta: DMRs, green:

randomly selected non-differentially methylated regions occurring within 5 kb of TEs'

annotation start sites. E) Metaplot showing the mean distance from CHH DMRs to the

TSS of genes located on chromosome arms in closest proximity to the DMR. DMRs

within 5 kb of genes located on chromosome arms were selected. Magenta: DMRs,

green: randomly selected non-differentially methylated regions occurring within 5 kb

of TSSs.

Fig.3 small RNA population upon Syringolin A treatment. A) Pie charts

representing sRNA size distribution (21 nt, 22 nt, 24 nt) in total mock (Ctrl) treated

libraries and Syringolin A (SylA) treated libraries. B) Stacked bar charts showing the

percentage of the 5' nucleotide identity by sRNA sizes. C) Genomic features

associated with sRNAs per size (21 nt, 22 nt, 24 nt). small RNA bins lying within 1 kb

5' of the annotation start site and 1 kb 3' of the annotation end site were selected. D)

Barplot featuring the number of enriched and depleted 100 bp small RNA bins upon

SylA treatment. E) Venn diagram showing the overlap between CHH 100 bp DMRs

and enriched/depleted 24 nt 100 bp sRNA bins. F) correlation analysis between

methylation levels of DMRs with 24nt enriched Bins showing a lack of correlation.

Fig4. High number of up-regulated genes upon Syringolin A treatment. A)

Volcano plot depicting up- and down-regulated genes (blue), TEs (red), and

pseudogenes (grey). Brown horizontal and vertical lines mark the thresholds to call

significantly differential expression (logFC > 2, adjusted *P*-value < 0.01). B)

Chromosomal location of significantly up-regulated genes (blue triangles) and TEs

(green triangles) and down-regulated genes (red triangles) and TEs (orange triangles).

Gene and TE density in 50 kb bins are shown as a proxy for the occurrence of

euchromatin and heterochromatin. (C-D) GO term analysis for down-regulated (C) and

up-regulated genes (D). Most significant 15 GO terms are shown. Bubble size

indicates the percentage of all down-regulated genes within the respective GO term.

Colour code illustrates the percentage of significant genes of all genes of the given

term. Complete GO Term enrichment list can be found in Table S1.

Fig5. Syringolin A regulate the expression of AGO3, AGO9 and DRM1. A)

Histogram showing the log Fold change of *Arabidopsis* genes involved in the DNA

methylation pathway. The bars are colored according to the FDR value (Red:

FDR<0.0001, dark blue: FDR[0.001-0.0001], light blue: FDR[0.01-0.001] and white:

FDR>0.01). B) Quantitative PCR showing the upregulation of *AGO3*, *AGO9* and

DRM1 during a time course following a treatment of seedlings with SylA or H2O as

control. Individual points represent biological replicates, line represent the Mean RQ

value. ACT2 was used as normalizer.

Figure captions e-Xtras

Fig. S1 Percent methylation changes along chromosomes. Mean methylation

change (in %) in 50 kb genomic bins, discerned by methylation context and

Arabidopsis chromosomes 1-5. Red: hypermethylation, blue: hypomethylation. Gene

(grey) and TE (yellow) densities in 50 kb are shown to highlight the occurrence of

pericentromeres and chromosome arms, respectively.

Fig. S2 Genomic features associated to DMRs. Pie charts show the relative

abundance of annotation feature types associated to a given type of DMR. Left:

hypermethylated DMRs, right: hypomethylated DMRs, top: DMRs in CG context,

middle: DMRs in CHH context, bottom: DMRs in CHG context.

Fig. S3 Overlaps between DMRs of different sequence contexts. (A-C) Venn

diagrams showing overlap among the three sequence contexts (CHG, CHH, CG). A)

Overlap among 100 bp genomic bins. B) Overlap among DMR-associated genes

(associated with DMR, when DMR lies within – 1kb of the annotation start to +1 kb of

the annotation end site) C) Overlap among DMR-associated TEs (associated with

DMR, when DMR lies within – 1kb of the annotation start to +1 kb of the annotation

end site).

Fig. S4 DMRs distance to transcription start site. (A-D) Metaplots representing the

distribution of mean distance of DMRs to transcriptional start sites (TSSs). TSS closest

to DMRs that lie within +/-5 kb of a TSS were selected. Red line: density of mean

distance of DMRs to TSSs. Blue line: density of mean distance of randomly selected

methylated regions (not being DMRs) that lie within +/- 5 kb of a TSS. A) TSS of TEs

located on chromosome arms. B) TSS of genes located on chromosome arms. C) TSS

of TEs located in pericentromeric region. D) TSS of genes located in pericentromeric

region.

Fig. S5 Genomic location of the differentially expressed sRNA bins.

Chromosomal plot showing the number of differentially expressed sRNA bins along

the five *Arabidopsis* chromosomes. The color code refers to the number of

differentially expressed 100 bp sRNA bins within 50 kb genomic regions.

Fig. S6 Relationship between differentially expressed sRNA bins and DNA

methylation changes. (A-C) Scatterplots to visualize correlation analysis between

differentially expressed sRNA bins and CHH methylation changes within these bins.

A) 21nt sRNAs B) 22nt sRNAs C) 24nt sRNA. Test statistics: pearson correlation

analyses. (D-F) Venn diagrams showing the overlap of 100bp CHH DMRs and

differentially expressed 100bp sRNA bins. D) 21nt sRNA E) 22nt sRNA F) 24nt sRNA.

Fig. S7 Differentially expressed TE families. Pie charts showing the relative

abundance of TE families. A) Distribution of TE families in the *Arabidopsis thaliana*

genome (TAIR10). B) Composition of TE families in significantly up-regulated TEs

upon SylA treatment. C) Composition of TE families in significantly down-regulated

TEs upon SylA treatment.

Fig. S8 Overlap between SylA and *Pst* induced DMRs. Venn diagram representing

overlapping DMR associated loci in SylA (this study) and *Pst* from the study by (Dowen

et al. 2012) A) CG DMRs B) CHG DMRs C) CHH DMRs.

Fig. S9. Characteristic of small RNA enriched population upon Syringolin A

treatment. A) Pie charts representing sRNA size distribution (21 nt, 22 nt, 24 nt) in

total mock (Ctrl) treated libraries and Syringolin A (SylA) treated libraries, and SylA

enriched (logFC > 2) sRNA populations. B) Stacked bar charts showing the

percentage of the 5' nucleotide identity by sRNA sizes. C) Genomic features

associated with sRNAs per size (21 nt, 22 nt, 24 nt). small RNA bins lying within 1 kb

5' of the annotation start site and 1 kb 3' of the annotation end site were selected.

Figure 1
Methylome changes upon Syringolin A treatment

Figure 2
DMRs induced by SylA treatment

Figure 3
small RNA population upon SyringolinA treatment

Figure 4.
High number of up-regulated genes upon SylA treatment

Figure 5.
Syringolin A regulate the expression of AGO3, AGO9 and DRM1

Figure S1
Percent methylation changes along *Arabidopsis* chromosomes

hyper methylation   **hypo methylation**

CG context

CHHcontext

CHG context

Figure S2
Genomic features associated to DMRs

Figure S3
Overlap between DMRs of different sequence contexts

Figure S4
DMRs distance to Transcription start site

Figure S5
Genomic location of the differencially expressed sRNA bins

Figure S6
Relationship between differentially expressed sRNA bins and DNA methylation changes

Figure S7
Differentially expressed TE families

Figure S8
Overlap between SylA and Pst induced DMRs

Figure S9

Characteristic of small RNA enriched population upon Syringolin A treatment.