Rab11a mediates cell-cell spread and reassortment of influenza A virus genomes

via tunneling nanotubes.

Ketaki Ganti[1], Julianna Han[2#], Balaji Manicassamy[3], Anice C. Lowen[1,4*]*

1 Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA

2 Department of Microbiology, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

3 Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Iowa School of Medicine, Iowa City, IA,

USA

4 Emory-UGA Centers of Excellence for Influenza Research and Surveillance (CEIRS)

Current address: Department of Integrative Structural and Computational Biology, The Scripps

Research Institute, La Jolla, CA, USA

*Corresponding Authors

Email: ketaki.ganti@emory.edu; anice.lowen@emory.edu

Abstract:

Influenza A virus (IAV) genomes comprise eight negative strand RNAs packaged into virions in the form of viral ribonucleoproteins (vRNPs). Rab11a plays a crucial role in the transport of vRNPs from the nucleus to the plasma membrane via microtubules, allowing assembly and virus production. Here, we identify a novel function for Rab11a in the inter-cellular transport of IAV vRNPs using tunneling nanotubes (TNTs) as molecular highways. TNTs are F-Actin rich tubules that link the cytoplasm of nearby cells. In IAV-infected cells, Rab11a was visualized together with vRNPs in these actin-rich intercellular connections. To better examine viral spread via TNTs, we devised an infection system in which conventional, virion-mediated, spread was not possible. Namely, we generated HA-deficient reporter viruses which are unable to produce progeny virions but whose genomes can be replicated and trafficked. In this system, vRNP transfer to neighboring cells was observed and this transfer was found to be dependent on both actin and Rab11a. Generation of infectious virus via TNT transfer was confirmed using donor cells infected with HA-deficient virus and recipient cells stably expressing HA protein. Mixing donor cells infected with genetically distinct IAVs furthermore revealed the potential for Rab11a and TNTs to serve as a conduit for genome mixing and reassortment in IAV infections. These data therefore reveal a novel role for Rab11a in the IAV life cycle, which could have significant implications for within-host spread, genome reassortment and immune evasion.

Author Summary:

Influenza A viruses infect epithelial cells of the upper and lower respiratory tract in humans. Infection is propagated by the generation of viral particles from infected cells, which disseminate within the tissue. Disseminating particles can encounter obstacles in the extracellular environment, including mucus, ciliary movement, antibody neutralization and uptake by phagocytic immune cells. An alternative mode of spread, which avoids these hazards, involves direct transport of viral components between cells. This cell-cell spread of infection is not a well understood process. In this study we demonstrate that the host factor Rab11a mediates the transport of viral genomes in the cell-cell spread of infection. Rab11a is already known to play a pro-viral role in the transport of viral genomes to the plasma membrane for assembly into virus particles. Here, we see that this same transport mechanism is co-opted for direct cell-cell spread through cellular connections called tunneling nanotubes. We show that complexes of Rab11a and viral components can be trafficked across tunneling nanotubes, transmitting infection without the formation of virus particles. Importantly, this route of spread often seeds viral genomes from multiple donor cells into recipient cells, which in turn increases viral genetic diversity.

Introduction:

Influenza A virus (IAV) genomes are composed of eight RNA segments that are packaged into the virion in the form of viral ribonucleoproteins (vRNPs), which contain viral nucleoprotein (NP) as well as the polymerase complex (PB2, PB1 and PA) (1). Influenza genome packaging

mechanisms have been studied extensively and, although there are a lot of unknowns, it has

been demonstratively shown that the host cell protein Rab11a is crucial for the trafficking of

newly synthesized vRNPs after they exit the nucleus to the site of assembly at the plasma

membrane (2,3). Rab11a is a small GTPase that has multiple roles in the host cell, including a

pivotal role in retrograde transport of cargo on recycling endosomes (4,5). The intracellular

transport of vRNP-Rab11a complexes is thought to occur via the microtubule network with the

help of dynein motors (6–11), and disruption of this network by nocodazole has been shown to

attenuate the generation of viral progeny (8). Similarly, our prior work revealed that loss of

Rab11a reduces infectious viral titers, most likely due to a defect in the packaging of vRNPs,

leading to the formation of incomplete viral particles (12). Taken together, these data

demonstrate the importance of an intact microtubule network as well as Rab11a in the IAV life

cycle.

Tunneling nanotubes (TNTs) are F-Actin rich cellular connections that are formed between two

or more cells (13). These connections can be formed over long distances and provide

cytoplasmic connectivity between the cells, allowing for exchange of materials including

organelles, nutrients, and membrane vesicles (14–16). Many viruses including HIV (17–19),

herpesviruses (20) and IAVs (21,22) have been shown to utilize these TNTs for cell-cell spread.

Previous work has shown that IAV spread via TNTs proceeds in the presence of neutralizing

antibodies or antivirals such as oseltamivir (21,22). This mode of infection does not depend on

the formation of viral particles, thus allowing for the assembly stage of the lifecycle to be

bypassed. Although the use of TNTs by IAVs has been demonstrated, the exact mechanism is

unclear.

In this study, we show that Rab11a mediates the transport of IAV vRNPs and proteins through

TNTs, as evidenced by Rab11a co-localization with viral components in TNTs and the disruption

of this transport with Rab11a knock out. This system was observed to be functional in multiple

host cell backgrounds and virus strains. Using HA deficient viruses, we confirm that transport of

viral components through TNTs can seed productive infection in recipient cells. In the context

of viral co-infection, we find direct cell-cell spread often seeds viral genomes from multiple

donor cells into recipient cells, thus promoting genome mixing and reassortment. Finally, our

data suggest that, at least in the case of IAV infection, TNTs access the cytosol of both

connected cells and allow bi-directional movement of cargo. Taken together, these findings

demonstrate a novel and crucial role for Rab11a in the trafficking of IAV genomes via tunneling

nanotubes and extend mechanistic understanding of this unconventional mode of viral

dissemination.

Results:

IAV vRNPs associate with Rab11a within F-Actin rich TNTs.

Upon nuclear exit, IAV vRNPs bind to Rab11a via PB2, allowing their transport to the plasma

membrane for assembly (8,23,24). We hypothesized that vRNP-Rab11a complexes could also be

routed to F-Actin rich intercellular connections called tunneling nanotubes (TNTs) and could

seed new infections by direct transport through TNTs. To test this hypothesis, we visualized

Rab11a, F-Actin and viral nucleoprotein (NP) - as a marker for vRNPs - in MDCK cells infected

with either influenza A/Netherlands/602/2009 (NL09; pH1N1) or A/Panama/2007/99 (P99;

H3N2) virus. NP and Rab11a were seen to co-localize in a perinuclear compartment, as has

been shown previously (2,9). In addition, co-localization of these components was observed

within the F-Actin rich TNTs connecting infected and uninfected cells (Fig 1A and Supp Fig 1).

This observation suggests that there are at least two functional pathways for the trafficking of

vRNP-Rab11a complexes post nuclear exit: the canonical assembly pathway and the TNT-

mediated genome transfer pathway.

To further corroborate the role of Rab11a in the transport of vRNPs across TNTs, we used

Rab11a knockout (KO) A549 cells generated by CRISPR/Cas9 (12) and wild type (WT) A549 cells

as a control. As before, cells were infected with either NL09 or P99 viruses and then stained for

NP, Rab11a and F-Actin. WT cells showed co-localization of NP and Rab11a in the perinuclear

region and within TNTs (Fig 1B and Supp Fig 1). Conversely, Rab11a KO cells did not show NP

staining within the TNTs, indicating that Rab11a drives the transfer of vRNPs through TNTs (Fig

1C and Supp Fig 1).

We used super resolution Stimulated Emission Depletion (STED) microscopy to analyze the

association of Rab11a and vRNPs within TNTs in more detail. STED microscopy overcomes the

diffraction resolution limit of confocal microscopy and allows for imaging with up to 30 nm

resolution (25,26). A549 WT or Rab11a KO cells were infected with NL09 viruses and stained

for NP, Rab11a and F-Actin. Using 3 color STED imaging of TNTs, we observed punctate Rab11a

and NP staining in close proximity within the TNTs in WT cells. In the case of the Rab11a KO

cells, the NP staining was relatively diffuse in the cytoplasm and could not be observed within

the TNTs (Figure 2A). Co-localization of Rab11a and NP within TNTs was analyzed quantitatively

for both WT and KO cells. The observed colocalization co-efficient of 0.9 in the WT cells

indicates that the majority of the NP puncta within TNTs coincide with Rab11a puncta (Figure

2B). These data indicate that Rab11a and NP are indeed interacting with each other within TNTs

and are likely trafficked as a complex.

To evaluate the frequency with which Rab11a accesses TNTs, we quantified the percentage of

TNTs that were NP+Rab11a+, NP+Rab11a- and NP-Rab11a+ in uninfected and NL09 infected

A549 WT cells. Rab11a was routinely detected within the TNTs of uninfected cells, indicating

that Rab11a+ recycling endosomes are trafficked within TNTs under normal conditions (Figure

2C). In infected cells, a majority of TNTs carried NP+Rab11a+ puncta, indicating that transport

of vRNPs into TNTs is a common feature of the viral life cycle (Figure 2C).

Rab11a does not modulate TNT formation.

IAV infection increases the number of TNTs formed between cells (21,22). To test whether the

loss of Rab11a had an impact on TNT formation, we counted the number of TNTs formed

between cells in WT and Rab11a KO cells in the presence and absence of NL09 infection.

Consistent with prior work, a significant increase in the number of TNTs formed upon IAV

infection was observed in both WT and KO cells (Figure 3). Conversely, we saw no significant

difference between WT and KO cells in the number of TNTs formed, either in the context of

infection or mock infection (Figure 3). The observation that loss of Rab11a does not significantly

impact TNT formation suggests that the reliance of vRNP trafficking through TNTs on Rab11a

arises through the observed interaction between these components.

Disruption of actin or loss of Rab11a significantly attenuates direct cell-cell transmission of

infection.

Since we observed the presence of vRNP-Rab11a complexes within TNTs, we next tested

whether the loss of either the TNTs or Rab11a influences the cell-cell spread of IAV infection.

Previously, neutralizing antibodies or neuraminidase inhibitors have been used to block

conventional viral infection and allow examination of IAV protein and RNA transport via TNTs

(21,22). Although these methods are effective in abrogating conventional spread, we wanted to

fully eliminate the generation of viral progeny to define the role of Rab11a and TNTs in IAV

genome transfer more clearly. To this end, we rescued recombinant viruses in the NL09 and

P99 strain backgrounds that lack the HA gene but instead contain either mVenus (NL09 ΔHA

Venus; P99 ΔHA Venus) or mScarlet (NL09 ΔHA Scarlet) fluorescent reporter ORFs flanked by HA

packaging signals. These HA deficient reporter viruses are infection competent but are unable

to produce progeny in the absence of a HA complementing cell line. Therefore, these viruses

are excellent tools to study the cell-cell spread of IAV infection via TNTs.

To analyze the role of F-actin in the cell-cell spread of viral genomes, MDCK cells were infected

with either NL09 ΔHA Venus or P99 ΔHA Venus viruses in the presence or absence of

Cytochalasin D, which is a potent inhibitor of actin polymerization and disrupts TNTs (21,22).

Cytochalasin D was added 2 h post internalization. HA positive cells were counted at 16, 24, and

48 h post-infection (p.i.) and binned into one of two categories: single cells or foci comprising

>=2 contiguous, positive cells. We hypothesized that the disruption of TNTs by Cytochalasin D

would severely limit the spread of IAV genomes from infected cells, preventing the formation of

infected foci. As shown in Figure 4A and B, there was a significant reduction in the number of

infected foci in the Cytochalasin D treated cells compared to the untreated controls in both the

NL09 ΔHA Venus and P99 ΔHA Venus infected cells. These data confirm that intact TNTs are

required for direct cell-cell spread of IAV genetic material.

Next, we analyzed the role of Rab11a in direct cell-cell spread of IAV. To do this, A549 WT and

Rab11a KO cells were infected with either NL09 ΔHA Venus or P99 ΔHA Venus viruses. Venus

positive cells were counted at 16, 24, and 48 h p.i. and categorized based on their presence as

single cells or within foci at each time point. If Rab11a directs transport of vRNPs across TNTs,

the loss of Rab11a would be expected to reduce the cell-cell spread of IAV genetic material. As

shown in Figure 4C and D, this was indeed the case. In contrast to WT controls, the number of

infected foci did not increase over time in the Rab11 KO cells. These data provide further

evidence for the role of Rab11a in this alternate infection pathway.

Virion-independent genome transfer leads to productive infection by an actin-dependent

mechanism.

To assess whether all eight genome segments can be transported via TNTs leading to the

production of infectious progeny, we performed a co-culture experiment using MDCK cells and

a MDCK-derived cell line which expresses the HA of influenza A/WSN/33 (H1N1) virus on the

cell surface (MDCK WSN HA cells). When infected with an HA deficient reporter virus, these

cells provide the HA protein required for the generation of infectious virus particles. For

subsequent analysis of co-infection via TNT/Rab11a mediated genome transfer, these

experiments were set up using two IAV strains, NL09 ΔHA Venus WT and NL09 ΔHA Scarlet VAR

viruses. In addition to carrying differing reporter genes, these viruses differ in the presence of a

silent mutation in each segment of the VAR virus, which acts as a genetic tag (27). Neither of

these differences is important for the purposes of the present analysis.

As outlined in Figure 5A, separate dishes of MDCK cells were singly infected with either NL09

ΔHA Venus WT or NL09 ΔHA Scarlet VAR virus. After infection for 2 hours, the cells were acid

washed to remove residual inoculum and then trypsinized to make a cell slurry. MDCK cells

infected with NL09 ΔHA Venus WT and NL09 ΔHA Scarlet VAR were mixed with naïve MDCK

WSN HA cells in the ratio 1:1:2. The cell mixture was plated in medium containing trypsin (to

allow activation of HA) and ammonium chloride (to prevent secondary infections mediated by

virus particles). If all eight segments of the viral genome can be transported across TNTs to a

conducive cell, which in this case must be a MDCK WSN HA cell, then the recipient cell will

produce virus particles. To detect any such progeny viruses produced, supernatant was

collected at 0, 24, 48 and 72 h post mixing and plaque assays were performed on MDCK WSN

HA cells.

To evaluate the role of TNTs in cell-cell spread of infection, cells were treated with either

vehicle or 30 µM Cytochalasin D, which disrupts F-Actin. As can be seen from Figure 5B,

infectious virus was detected in the vehicle treated control cells, but not in the Cytochalasin D

treated cells. Virus production in vehicle treated cells demonstrates the transfer of the full

complement of IAV genome segments from infected cells, which lack HA protein and cannot

produce virions, to cells which express complementing HA protein. A lack of virus production in

Cytochalasin D treated cells indicates that this transfer was F-Actin-dependent, strongly

implicating TNTs. Comparing the two MOIs tested (2.5 and 25 PFU/cell), a dose dependence

was observed at 24 h, which is most likely due to the increased probability of an infected cell

making a connection with a naïve MDCK WSN HA cell at higher MOI.

To assess if actin depolymerization was impairing vRNP transport upstream of TNT transfer, we

tested the effect of Cytochalasin D on conventional virus production in the context of multicycle

replication. No difference in viral yield from vehicle treated or Cytochalasin D treated cells was

detected (Figure 5C). Taken together, these data indicate that actin depolymerization

specifically abrogates TNT mediated transfer of viral genomes but has no impact on

conventional viral assembly.

To test if microtubules play a role in TNT mediated transport of IAV genomes, we performed

the co-culture assay as described above with vehicle treated and 30 µM Nocodazole, which

depolymerizes microtubules. We detected infectious virus in both control and nocodazole

treated cells (Figure 5D), indicating that microtubules most likely did not play a role in the

trafficking of vRNPs through TNTs.

Finally, to analyze the effect of the loss of Rab11a on the production of infectious progeny, we

co-cultured either A549 WT or A549 Rab11a KO cells infected with the NL09 ΔHA Venus WT or

NL09 ΔHA Scarlet VAR viruses with the MDCK WSN HA complementing line as described above.

Supernatant was collected at 24, 48 and 72 h post mixing and plaque assays were performed on

MDCK WSN HA cells. As can be seen from Figure 5E, infectious virus was detected from both

A549 WT and Rab11a KO cells, with a marginal difference in titers. Since this observation was

incongruent with our previous data demonstrating the importance of Rab11a in the transport

of vRNPs, we hypothesized the transfer of viral genomes from the Rab11a KO cells was

occurring via Rab11a that originates in the MDCK WSN HA cells. If correct, this observation

would indicate that TNTs are open ended and allow for bi-directional movement of cargo.

TNTs allow bidirectional shuttling of Rab11a between cells

To analyze if Rab11a could shuttle form one cell to another in a bi-directional manner, we used

A549 WT and Rab11a KO cells in combination. Briefly, A549 Rab11a KO cells were infected with

NL09 WT virus. The infected cells were then mixed in a 1:1 ratio with uninfected A549 WT cells

which were pre-stained with CellTracker Blue dye. The mixed cells were stained for NP, Rab11a

and F-Actin at 24h post-mixing and imaged using STED microscopy. We observed NP and

Rab11a within the TNTs connecting the infected KO cells and the uninfected WT cells (Figure

6A). Co-localization analysis again showed Rab11a-NP colocalization within TNTs in this KO-WT

co-culture system (Figure 6B), although the coefficient was lower than that seen in a fully

Rab11a competent system (Figure 2B). Since the WT cell is the only source of Rab11a in the KO-

WT co-culture, our data show that Rab11a traveling from an uninfected cell to an infected cell

can pick up vRNPs. The production of viral progeny observed in this system further indicates

that this Rab11a from the originally uninfected cell can then transport vRNPs back through the

TNTs, mediating infection. Our data suggest that TNTs formed in the context of IAV infection

are open ended and likely bi-directional.

TNTs serve as conduits for genome mixing and reassortment.

Since we observed that infectious progeny could be generated via Rab11a-mediated genome

transfer through TNTs, we hypothesized that this process could also mediate co-infection and

therefore reassortment. In particular, reassortment would be expected if differentially infected

donor cells connect to the same recipient cell. To test this hypothesis, the genotypes of virus

produced from the co-cultures described in Figure 5E were evaluated. In these experiments,

cells infected with NL09 ΔHA Venus WT virus were mixed with cells infected with NL09 ΔHA

Scarlet VAR virus and these infected cells were in turn mixed with MDCK WSN HA cells; thus,

co-infections could occur if WT infected and VAR infected cells each formed connections with

the same HA-expressing recipient cell and a full complement of IAV segments was reconstituted

therein. The silent mutations differentiating each of the non-HA gene segments of the WT and

VAR viruses allow the parental origin of segments to be identified. Thus, to evaluate

reassortment, plaque clones were isolated from co-culture supernatants and the genotype of

each was determined. The results show that viruses generated from MDCK WSN HA cells mixed

with infected A549 WT cells were predominantly reassortant under all conditions evaluated

(Figure 7A). In contrast, when MDCK WSN HA cells were mixed with infected A549 Rab11 KO

cells, parental viruses typically dominated (Figure 7B). Thus, in a Rab11a-sufficient system,

intercellular transfer of IAV vRNPs through TNTs readily yielded reassortants, indicating that

TNTs are forming a network rather than pairwise connections between cells. When Rab11a was

absent from infected donor cells, however, reassortants were rarely observed. Since Rab11a

knock out does not impact the number of TNTs formed (Figure 3), this relative lack of

reassortants suggests that vRNP transport through TNTs is less efficient when Rab11a is absent

from donor cells.

We note that, in both data sets shown in Figure 7, richness of viral genotypes was low, with at

most four distinct gene constellations detected in each sample of 21 plaque isolates. This

observation suggests that very few cells are producing most of the progeny virus in this

experimental system, and that each producer cell is releasing virus with only one or a small

number of genotypes. In turn, this suggests that MDCK WSN HA cells that receive a full

complement of IAV vRNPs do not tend to receive multiple copies of a given segment. Although

low in both culture systems, richness was significantly higher in the samples derived from A549

WT cells compared to those from A549 Rab11a KO cells, with 2.8 and 1.9 unique genotypes

detected on average, respectively (p=0.019, t-test). This difference is consistent with less

efficient vRNP transfer when donor cells lack Rab11a.

Discussion:

Our data reveal a novel role for the host GTPase Rab11a in the trafficking of IAV genomes via

tunneling nanotubes. We decisively show that productive infection can be mediated through

this direct cell-to-cell route and find evidence that Rab11a can move through TNTs in a

bidirectional manner to mediate IAV genome transfer. In the context of mixed infections, we

furthermore find that TNT/Rab11a-mediated transfer readily leads to cellular coinfection and

reassortment.

The trafficking of IAV genomes is a complex and poorly understood process. Although it is

known that newly synthesized vRNPs form transient complexes with active Rab11a post nuclear

exit and are trafficked to the plasma membrane for assembly on microtubule structures (6–9),

the fate of these complexes is not completely elucidated. Here we examined the potential for

Rab11a-vRNP complexes to be trafficked through TNTs to neighboring cells. Tunneling

nanotubes (TNTs) are F-Actin based cytoplasmic connections that are utilized for long distance

communication and have been shown to have a role in the IAV life cycle (21,22). TNTs can be

used to transport vesicular cargo (14,28–30), so we posed the question of whether the Rab11a-

vRNP vesicular complexes could be re-routed to these structures. We show that Rab11a and

vRNPs co-localize within TNTs in multiple cell types, with near complete concordance of NP

with Rab11a in high resolution STED images of TNTs. Loss of Rab11a leads to severely reduced

detection of NP within the TNTs and more dispersed NP localization within the cytoplasm.

These observations strongly suggest that Rab11a-vRNP complexes are transported within TNTs.

TNTs are mainly composed of F-Actin and the transport of organelles through TNTs requires

myosin motor activity on actin filaments (11,23–25). Since Rab11a can utilize both dynein

motors, which drive microtubule movement (6,26,27), and myosin motors, which drive actin

dynamics (28–30), the observation that Rab11a mediates transport through TNTs raises the

question of which motor proteins are involved. Studies to date on IAV infection have mainly

focused on the role of Rab11a and microtubules. Further studies are needed to determine

whether the same transport mechanism is active within TNTs and, conversely, whether Rab11a-

actin dynamics may function in vRNP transport both within and between cells. Although Rab11a

has been shown to be important for trafficking and efficient assembly of IAV genomes (2,8,12),

we have shown that the loss of Rab11a does not completely abrogate genome assembly and

viral particle release, indicating that there may exist an alternative pathway for canonical

assembly of virions (12).

We observed that infectious progeny could be recovered from co-cultures of infected Rab11a

KO A549 cells with MDCK WSN HA cells, which was incongruous with our previous observation

that Rab11a KO abrogated the cell-cell transmission of infection. We were able to resolve this

paradox by utilizing high-resolution STED imaging to visualize the transport of Rab11a from

uninfected A549 WT cells to infected A549 Rab11a KO cells, where vRNPs could be picked up

and trafficked through TNTs. TNTs can be formed in multiple ways - single ended, open ended

or closed - and therefore support varying modes of transport (13,16,31). The generation of

progeny virions in the Rab11a KO co-culture is likely due to the formation of open ended, bi-

directional TNTs that allow Rab11a from the HA-expressing producer cell to shuttle to and from

infected KO cells where it could pick up vRNPs. This process seems to be inefficient, however,

as evidenced by the low rate of reassortment observed when infected cells do not encode

Rab11a. Bi-directional transfer of organelles such as mitochondria through TNTs have been

observed in various cell types, including lung mesothelioma cells (32). It is also possible that

there exists another host factor that can mediate vRNP transport through TNTs in the absence

of Rab11a, albeit inefficiently. The possibility of bidirectional trafficking of vRNPs between cells,

or potential novel host factors involved in vRNP transport, opens hitherto unexplored avenues

of viral infection.

Our data revealing that coinfection and reassortment can occur through TNT transfer of vRNPs

between cells raise new questions about the processes driving IAV genetic exchange. The

prevalence of reassortants produced via TNT transfer from Rab11a+ cells indicates that vRNPs

may be trafficked individually or as subgroups and not as a constellation of 8 segments. This

process would then seed incomplete viral genomes into recipient cells, which require

complementation to allow the production of progeny viruses. Owing to this reliance on

complementation, incomplete viral genomes are known to augment reassortment (33–35). Our

data suggest that both seeding and complementation of incomplete viral genomes can occur

via TNT transfer of vRNPs. In the presence of a conventional viral infection system, co-infection

with multiple virions is thought to be the *modus operandi* of IAV reassortment, where

reassortment efficiency is a function of the dose and relative timing of two infections, as well as

levels of incomplete viral genomes (27,33). It will be interesting to determine whether TNT-

mediated co-infection is also sensitive to dose and timing. More broadly, further work is

needed to tease out the extent to which TNT mediated reassortment occurs alongside

conventional modes of reassortment.

The human airway is composed of multiple cell types, including polarized epithelial cells in a

tightly packed environment (36). It is yet unclear what role TNTs may play in the normal

homeostasis of the airway, but TNTs have been demonstrated to mediate the transfer of cargo

and organelles in various solid tumors of the lung, including adenocarcinomas and

mesotheliomas (32). TNT mediated transfer of organelles and other components including

viruses has been observed between heterotypic cells, mostly involving immune cells such as

macrophages (37–40). It is therefore likely that IAV infection of the airway epithelium or

potentially immune cells can spread via TNTs *in vivo*. The extent to which TNT mediated spread

acts in conjunction with particle-based transmission of infection within the host is as yet

unexplored. IAV spread through TNTs may be particularly important in the evasion of

antibodies, and other antiviral factors that act directly on extracellular virions, in a manner that

does not depend on the generation of escape mutants. It has been recently shown that cell-cell

spread of H5N1 influenza viruses can occur via trogocytosis in which there is an exchange of the

plasma membrane and its associated molecules between conjugated cells (41). This mechanism

is also actin dependent and is potentially another route of HA-independent direct cell-cell

spread of infection. Direct cell-cell spread may also make an important contribution to spatial

structure within the infected host, leading to more localized spread and limiting mixing among

de novo variants (42). To further investigate these potential implications, an exciting prospect

for future work is the development of *ex vivo* and *in vivo* models for the study and visualization

of TNT mediated cell-cell spread.

In summary, our data show a novel role for Rab11a in the IAV life cycle, where it can mediate

vesicular transport of vRNPs across TNTs and seed new infections (Figure 8). Future work to

elucidate the exact mechanism of transport of the Rab11a-vRNP complexes, including the

motors utilized and the fate of the incoming Rab11a-vRNP complexes in the recipient cytosol,

are exciting avenues to be studied and will further our understanding of IAV-host interactions.

Materials and Methods:

Cells and cell culture media

MDCK cells (obtained from Dr. Daniel Perez) and MDCK WSN HA cells (obtained from Dr. Ryan

Langlois) were maintained Minimal Essential Medium (Sigma) supplemented with 10% fetal

bovine serum (FBS; Atlanta Biologicals), penicillin (100 IU ml^{-1}), and streptomycin

(100 µg ml^{-1}; PS; Corning). A549 WT, A549 Rab11a KO were maintained in Dulbecco's

Modified Essential Medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS (Atlanta Biologicals), and PS.

All cells were cultured at 37 °C and 5% CO_2 in a humidified incubator. All cell lines were tested

monthly for mycoplasma contamination while in use. The medium for culture of IAV in each cell

line (termed virus medium) was prepared by eliminating FBS and supplementing the

appropriate medium with 4.3% BSA and PS. Ammonium chloride-containing virus medium was

prepared by the addition of HEPES buffer and NH₄Cl at final concentrations of 50 mM and 20

mM, respectively. OPTi-MEM (Gibco) was used as a serum free medium where indicated.

Generation of Rab11a KO Cells

Generation and characterization of Rab11a KO A549 cells was reported in (12,43). Briefly, two

guide RNAs (gRNA) targeting the promoter and exon 1 of the Rab11a gene were used.

Oligonucleotides for the CRISPR target sites T1 (forward CACCGCATTTCGAGTAAATCGAGAC and

reverse AAACGTCTCGATTTACTCGAAATGC) and T2 (forward CACCGTAACATCAGCGTAAGTCTCA

and reverse AAACTGAGACTTACGCTGATGTTAC) were annealed and cloned into lentiCRISPRv2

(Addgene #52961) and LRG (Addgene #65656) expression vectors, respectively. A549 cells

transduced with lentivirus vectors expressing gRNAs were selected in the presence of 2 µg/mL

puromycin for 10 days and clonal Rab11a KO cells were generated by limiting dilution of the

polyclonal population. Rab11a KO cells were identified by PCR analysis of the targeted genomic

region using the following primers (forward TGTTCAACCCCCTACCCCCATTC and reverse

TGGAAGCAAACACCAGGAAGAACTC) and further confirmed by western blot analysis of Rab11a

expression (43).

Viruses

All viruses used in this study were generated by reverse genetics (44). For influenza

A/Panama/2007/99 virus (P99; H3N2), 293T cells transfected with reverse-genetics plasmids

16–24 h previously were injected into the allantoic cavity of 9- to 11-d-old embryonated

chicken eggs and incubated at 37 °C for 40–48 h. The resultant egg passage 1 stocks were used

in experiments. For influenza A/Netherlands/602/2009 virus (NL09; pH1N1), 293T cells

transfected with reverse-genetics plasmids 16–24 h previously were co-cultured with MDCK

cells at 37 °C for 40–48 h. The supernatants were then propagated in MDCK cells at a low MOI

to generate NL09 working stocks. The titers for these viruses were obtained by plaque assays

on MDCK cells.

The NL09 ΔHA Venus WT, P99 ΔHA Venus WT and NL09 ΔHA Scarlet VAR viruses were

generated by reverse genetics by co-culture with MDCK WSN HA cells rather than MDCK cells.

The ΔHA Venus and ΔHA Scarlet rescue plasmids were prepared by inserting either the mVenus

(45) or mScarlet (46) ORF within the HA sequence, retaining only the 3' terminal 136

nucleotides of the HA segment upstream of the reporter gene start codon and the 5' terminal

136 nucleotides of the HA segment downstream of the reporter gene stop codon. ATG

sequences within the upstream portion were mutated to ATT to prevent premature translation

start (47). As previously described (48), one silent mutation was introduced into each NL09

cDNA to generate the NL09 VAR reverse genetics system, which was used to generate the NL09

ΔHA Scarlet VAR virus. These silent mutations enable differentiation of VAR virus segments

from those of the WT virus using high-resolution melt analysis (27,49).

Immunofluorescence and Confocal Imaging

For fixed cell imaging, MDCK, A549 WT or A549 Rab11a KO cells were seeded onto glass

coverslips. Infection with either NL09 or P99 viruses was performed the next day by adding 250

µl of inoculum to the coverslips and incubating at 37°C for 1 h with intermittent rocking.

Inoculum was removed, cells washed twice with 1X PBS and Opti-MEM added to the dish. After

incubation at 37°C for 24 h, cells were washed with 1X PBS (Corning) thrice and fixed with 4%

paraformaldehyde (AlfaAesar) for 15 minutes at room temperature. Cells were washed with 1X

PBS and permeabilized using 1% Triton X-100 (Sigma) in PBS for 5 minutes at room temperature

and washed with 1X PBS. Cells were stained with mouse anti NP antibody (Abcam ab43821)

(1:100), rabbit anti Rab11a antibody (Sigma HPA051697) (1:100), and Phalloidin Alexa Fluor 647

(Invitrogen A22287) (1:40) overnight at 4°C. Cells were washed thrice with 1X PBS and

incubated with donkey anti mouse Alexa Fluor 555 (Invitrogen A32773) (1:1000) and Anti rabbit

Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen A32731) (1:1000) for 1 h at 37°C. Coverslips were washed thrice

with 1X PBS and mounted on glass slides using ProLong Diamond Anti-Fade Mountant with

DAPI (Invitrogen P36962) prior to imaging.

Confocal images were collected using the Olympus FV1000 Inverted Microscope at 60X 1.49 NA

Oil magnification on a Prior motorized stage. Images were acquired with a Hamamatsu Flash

4.0 sCMOS camera controlled with Olympus Fluoview v4.2 software. All images were processed

using Fiji image analysis software (50).

Immunofluorescence and High-Resolution STED Imaging

A549 WT or A549 Rab11a KO cells were seeded onto glass coverslips. Infection with NL09 WT

viruses was performed the next day by adding 250 µl of inoculum to the coverslips and

incubating at 37°C for 1 h with intermittent rocking. Inoculum was removed, cells washed twice

with 1X PBS and Opti-MEM added to the dish. After incubation at 37°C for 24 h, cells were

washed with 1X PBS (Corning) thrice and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (AlfaAesar) for 15

minutes at room temperature. Cells were washed with 1X PBS and permeabilized using 1%

Triton X-100 (Sigma) in PBS for 5 minutes at room temperature and washed with 1X PBS. Cells

were stained with mouse anti NP antibody (Abcam ab43821) (1:50), rabbit anti Rab11a

antibody (Proteintech 20229-1-AP) (1:50), and CellMask Deep Red Actin Tracking Stain

(Invitrogen A57248) (1:1000) overnight at 4°C. Cells were washed thrice with 1X PBS and

incubated with goat anti- mouse STAR ORANGE (Abberior STORANGE-1001) and goat anti-

rabbit STAR GREEN (Abberior STGREEN-1002) for 1 h at 37°C. Coverslips were washed thrice

with 1X PBS and mounted on glass slides using ProLong Diamond Anti-Fade Mountant with

DAPI (Invitrogen P36962) prior to imaging.

For the A549 KO-WT co-culture experiment, WT cells were pre-stained with CellTracker Blue

CMAC dye (Invitrogen C2110) (1:500) overnight at 37°C in OPTIMEM. This dye stains thiols in

the cytoplasm and fluoresces blue under the DAPI filter. Cells were washed with 1X PBS,

trypsinized and then mixed with NL09 infected Rab11a KO cells and seeded onto glass

coverslips. After incubation at 37°C for 24 h, cells were processed and stained as described

above. Coverslips were washed thrice with 1X PBS and mounted on glass slides using ProLong

Glass Anti-Fade Mountant (Invitrogen P36980) prior to imaging.

Images were taken using an Abberior Facility Line STED microscope, controlled via Lightbox.

Single planes were acquired at 900 x 900 px (150 μm × 150 μm) with a 4 μs dwell time and a

line accumulation of 4. A 60X NA 1.4 (oil immersion; UPLXAPO60XO) objective lens was used

with a confocal pinhole of 0.5 AU. The Alexa 647 STED channel (F-Actin) was excited with a 1

mW pulsed 640 nm laser line at 1% and spectral detection at 650–735 nm. 2D STED was

induced with a 2750 mW 775nm pulsed laser at 25%. The STAR ORANGE channel (NP) was

excited with a 200μW pulsed 561 nm laser line at 10% and spectral detection at 574-637 nm.

2D STED was induced with a 2750 mW 775 nm pulsed laser at 25%. The STAR GREEN channel

(Rab11a) was excited with a 1mW pulsed 485 nm laser line at 5% and spectral detection at 498-

574 nm. 2D STED was induced with a 400mW 595 nm pulsed laser at 30%. The DAPI/CellTracker

Blue channel was excited with a 20 mW 405 nm laser line at 3% and spectral detection at 415-

497 nm. All 2D STED images were acquired at a 2 µs dwell time.

Quantification of co-localization

Co-localization efficiency of NP and Rab11a was calculated using the Manders coefficient (51)

with the Costes threshold (52) on both A549 WT and Rab11a KO cells using the JaCoP plugin

(53) in FiJi (50).

Quantification of cell-cell transmission

MDCK, A549 WT and A549 KO cells were inoculated with NL09 ΔHA Venus WT or P99 ΔHA

Venus WT virus at a MOI of 0.5 PFU/cell and incubated for 1 h at 37°C. Cells were washed with

1X PBS (Corning) to remove residual inoculum and supplemented with OPTI-MEM (Gibco)

without trypsin and in the presence of 30 µM Cytochalasin D (Sigma) where indicated and

incubated at 37°C. Infected cells were counted manually by the presence of green fluorescence

using an epifluorescence microscope (Zeiss) at the time points indicated and binned into single

infected or foci of infected cells. Foci were defined as clusters of at least two contiguous,

positive, cells. The cell counts were graphed using the GraphPad Prism software (54).

Co-culture for production of infectious virus

MDCK, A549 WT or A549 Rab11a KO cells were inoculated with either NL09 ΔHA Venus WT or

NL09 ΔHA Venus VAR at a MOI of 25 PFU/cell or 2.5 PFU/cell and were incubated in virus

medium without trypsin for 2 h at 37°C. Cells were washed twice with 1X PBS (Corning) and

then treated with PBS-HCl, pH 3.0 for 5 min at room temperature to remove residual inoculum.

Cells were washed once with 1X PBS and then trypsinized using 0.5 M trypsin-EDTA (Corning).

Cell slurry was collected in growth medium containing FBS and centrifuged at 1000 rpm or 5

minutes in a tabletop centrifuge (Thermo Sorvall ST16) to pellet cells. Supernatant was

aspirated and cells resuspended in virus medium containing TPCK-trypsin (Sigma), 20 mM

HEPES (Corning) and 50 mM NH_4Cl (Sigma) with or without 30 µM Cytochalasin D (Sigma) or 30

µM Nocodazole (Sigma) as indicated. Infected cell slurry was mixed with naïve MDCK WSN HA

cells in a ratio of 1:1:2 of NL09 ΔHA Venus WT: NL09 ΔHA Scarlet VAR: Naïve MDCK WSN HA

cells respectively and plated onto 6-well plates. Cells were allowed to attach at 37 °C and

supernatant was collected at indicated time points for analysis.

Conventional production of infectious virus with Cytochalasin D treatment

MDCK cells were infected with NL09 WT virus at a MOI of 25 PFU/cell, acid washed as described

above to remove residual inoculum and incubated with or without 30 µM Cytochalasin D in

virus medium containing TPCK-trypsin. Supernatant was collected at indicated time points for

analysis.

Quantification of reassortment

Reassortment was quantified for coinfection supernatants as described previously (27). Briefly,

plaque assays were performed on MDCK WSN HA cells in 10 cm dishes to isolate virus clones.

Serological pipettes (1 ml) were used to collect agar plugs into 160 µl PBS. Using a ZR-96 viral

RNA kit (Zymo), RNA was extracted from the agar plugs and eluted in 40 µl nuclease-free water

(Invitrogen). Reverse transcription was performed using Maxima reverse transcriptase (RT;

ThermoFisher) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The resulting cDNA was diluted 1:4 in

nuclease-free water, each cDNA was combined with segment-specific primers (Supplementary

Table 1) and Precision melt supermix (Bio-Rad) and analysed by qPCR using a CFX384 Touch

real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad) designed to amplify a region of approximately 100 bp

from each gene segment that contains a single nucleotide change in the VAR virus. The qPCR

was followed by high-resolution melt analysis to differentiate the WT and VAR amplicons (27).

Precision Melt Analysis software (Bio-Rad) was used to determine the parental virus origin of

each gene segment based on the melting properties of the cDNA fragments and comparison to

WT and VAR controls. Each plaque was assigned a genotype based on the combination of WT

and VAR genome segments, with two variants on each of seven segments allowing for 128

potential genotypes.

Acknowledgements:

We thank Drs. Daniel Perez and Ryan Langlois for generous sharing of cell lines. This research

project was supported in part by the Emory University Integrated Cellular Imaging Microscopy

Core, supported by the Office of the Director, NIH (S10OD028673). The project was funded by

NIH/NIAID Centers of Excellence in Influenza Research and Surveillance (CEIRS), contract

number HHSN272201400004C and NIH R01AI127799. Julianna Han was partly supported by the

NIH Molecular and Cellular Biology training program at The University of Chicago

(T32GM007183); Julianna Han was partly supported by the NIH Diversity Supplement

(R01AI123359- 02S1). Dr. Balaji Manicassamy is supported by NIAID grants (R01AI123359 and

R01AI127775). The content of this article is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not

necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

References:

1. Noda T. Selective Genome Packaging Mechanisms of Influenza A Viruses. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2020;

2. Eisfeld AJ, Kawakami E, Watanabe T, Neumann G, Kawaoka Y. RAB11A Is Essential for Transport of the Influenza Virus Genome to the Plasma Membrane. J Virol. 2011;

3. Amorim MJ. A comprehensive review on the interaction between the host GTPase Rab11 and influenza A virus [Internet]. Vol. 6, Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology. Frontiers Media S.A.; 2019 [cited 2021 Jun 9]. p. 176. Available from: www.frontiersin.org

4. Weisz OA, Rodriguez-Boulan E. Apical trafficking in epithelial cells: Signals, clusters and motors. Journal of Cell Science. 2009.

5. Chen W, Feng Y, Chen D, Wandinger-Ness A. Rab11 is required for trans-Golgi network-to-plasma membrane transport and a preferential target for GDP dissociation inhibitor. Mol Biol Cell. 1998;

6. Nturibi E, Bhagwat AR, Coburn S, Myerburg MM, Lakdawala SS. Intracellular Colocalization of Influenza Viral RNA and Rab11A Is Dependent upon Microtubule Filaments. J Virol. 2017;

7. Bhagwat AR, Le Sage V, Nturibi E, Kulej K, Jones J, Guo M, et al. Quantitative live cell imaging reveals influenza virus manipulation of Rab11A transport through reduced dynein association. Nat Commun. 2020;

8.   Amorim MJ, Bruce EA, Read EKC, Foeglein A, Mahen R, Stuart AD, et al. A Rab11- and

Microtubule-Dependent Mechanism for Cytoplasmic Transport of Influenza A Virus Viral

RNA. J Virol. 2011;

9.   Bruce EA, Digard P, Stuart AD. The Rab11 Pathway Is Required for Influenza A Virus

Budding and Filament Formation. J Virol. 2010;

10.   De Castro Martin IF, Fournier G, Sachse M, Pizarro-Cerda J, Risco C, Naffakh N. Influenza

virus genome reaches the plasma membrane via a modified endoplasmic reticulum and

Rab11-dependent vesicles. Nat Commun [Internet]. 2017 Dec 1 [cited 2021 Jun 9];8(1):1–

12. Available from: www.nature.com/naturecommunications

11.   Alenquer M, Vale-Costa S, Etibor TA, Ferreira F, Sousa AL, Amorim MJ. Influenza A virus

ribonucleoproteins form liquid organelles at endoplasmic reticulum exit sites. Nat

Commun [Internet]. 2019 Dec 1 [cited 2021 Jun 9];10(1):1–19. Available from:

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09549-4

12.   Han J, Ganti K, Sali VK, Twigg C, Zhang Y, Manivasagam S, et al. Host factor Rab11a is

critical for efficient assembly of influenza A virus genomic segments. PLOS Pathog

[Internet]. 2021 May 10 [cited 2021 May 26];17(5):e1009517. Available from:

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33970958/

13.   Austefjord MW, Gerdes HH, Wang X. Tunneling nanotubes: Diversity in morphology and

structure. Commun Integr Biol. 2014;

14.   Gerdes HH, Carvalho RN. Intercellular transfer mediated by tunneling nanotubes. Current

Opinion in Cell Biology. 2008.

15.   Abounit S, Zurzolo C. Wiring through tunneling nanotubes - from electrical signals to

organelle transfer. J Cell Sci. 2012;

16.   Marzo L, Gousset K, Zurzolo C. Multifaceted roles of tunneling nanotubes in intercellular

communication. Frontiers in Physiology. 2012.

17.   Hashimoto M, Bhuyan F, Hiyoshi M, Noyori O, Nasser H, Miyazaki M, et al. Potential Role

of the Formation of Tunneling Nanotubes in HIV-1 Spread in Macrophages. J Immunol.

2016;

18.   Bracq L, Xie M, Benichou S, Bouchet J. Mechanisms for cell-to-cell transmission of HIV-1.

Frontiers in Immunology. 2018.

19.   Eugenin E a, Gaskill PJ, Berman JW. A potential mechanism for intercellular HIV

trafficking. Commun Integr Biol. 2009;

20.   Panasiuk M, Rychłowski M, Derewońko N, Bieńkowska-Szewczyk K. Tunneling Nanotubes

as a Novel Route of Cell-to-Cell Spread of Herpesviruses. J Virol. 2018;

21.   Kumar A, Kim JH, Ranjan P, Metcalfe MG, Cao W, Mishina M, et al. Influenza virus

exploits tunneling nanotubes for cell-to-cell spread. Sci Rep. 2017;

22.   Roberts KL, Manicassamy B, Lamb RA. Influenza A Virus Uses Intercellular Connections To

Spread to Neighboring Cells. J Virol. 2015;

23.   Momose F, Sekimoto T, Ohkura T, Jo S, Kawaguchi A, Nagata K, et al. Apical transport of

influenza A virus ribonucleoprotein requires Rab11-positive recycling endosome. PLoS

One. 2011;

24.   Avilov S V., Moisy D, Naffakh N, Cusack S. Influenza A virus progeny vRNP trafficking in

591   live infected cells studied with the virus-encoded fluorescently tagged PB2 protein.

592   Vaccine [Internet]. 2012 Dec 7 [cited 2021 Jun 25];30(51):7411–7. Available from:

593   https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23063830/

594   25.   Hell SW, Wichmann J. Breaking the diffraction resolution limit by stimulated emission:

595   stimulated-emission-depletion fluorescence microscopy. Opt Lett [Internet]. 1994 Jun 1

596   [cited 2021 May 26];19(11):780. Available from:

597   https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19844443/

598   26.   Klar TA, Jakobs S, Dyba M, Egner A, Hell SW. Fluorescence microscopy with diffraction

599   resolution barrier broken by stimulated emission. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A [Internet].

600   2000 Jul 18 [cited 2021 May 26];97(15):8206–10. Available from:

601   https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10899992/

602   27.   Marshall N, Priyamvada L, Ende Z, Steel J, Lowen AC. Influenza Virus Reassortment

603   Occurs with High Frequency in the Absence of Segment Mismatch. PLoS Pathog. 2013;

604   28.   Jansens RJJ, Tishchenko A, Favoreel HW. Bridging the Gap: Virus Long-Distance Spread

605   via Tunneling Nanotubes. J Virol. 2020;

606   29.   Kimura S, Hase K, Ohno H. Tunneling nanotubes: Emerging view of their molecular

607   components and formation mechanisms. Exp Cell Res. 2012;

30.   Zhu S, Bhat S, Syan S, Kuchitsu Y, Fukuda M, Zurzolo C. Rab11a-Rab8a cascade regulates

the formation of tunneling nanotubes through vesicle recycling. J Cell Sci. 2018;

31.   Kimura S, Hase K, Ohno H. The molecular basis of induction and formation of tunneling

nanotubes. Cell and Tissue Research. 2013.

32.   Lou E, Fujisawa S, Morozov A, Barlas A, Romin Y, Dogan Y, et al. Tunneling nanotubes

provide a unique conduit for intercellular transfer of cellular contents in human

malignant pleural mesothelioma. PLoS One [Internet]. 2012 Mar 9 [cited 2021 Jun

16];7(3):33093. Available from: www.plosone.org

33.   Fonville JM, Marshall N, Tao H, Steel J, Lowen AC. Influenza Virus Reassortment Is

Enhanced by Semi-infectious Particles but Can Be Suppressed by Defective Interfering

Particles. PLoS Pathog. 2015;

34.   Jacobs NT, Onuoha NO, Antia A, Steel J, Antia R, Lowen AC. Incomplete influenza A virus

genomes occur frequently but are readily complemented during localized viral spread.

Nat Commun. 2019;

35.   Phipps KL, Ganti K, Jacobs NT, Lee CY, Carnaccini S, White MC, et al. Collective

interactions augment influenza A virus replication in a host-dependent manner. Nat

Microbiol. 2020;

36.   Crystal RG, Randell SH, Engelhardt JF, Voynow J, Sunday ME. Airway epithelial cells:

Current concepts and challenges. In: Proceedings of the American Thoracic Society

[Internet]. American Thoracic Society; 2008 [cited 2021 Jun 17]. p. 772–7. Available from:

/pmc/articles/PMC5820806/

37.   Naphade S, Sharma J, Gaide Chevronnay HP, Shook MA, Yeagy BA, Rocca CJ, et al. Brief

630   reports: Lysosomal cross-correction by hematopoietic stem cell-derived macrophages via

631   tunneling nanotubes. Stem Cells [Internet]. 2015 Jan 1 [cited 2021 Jun 17];33(1):301–9.

632   Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25186209/

633   38.   Jackson M V., Morrison TJ, Doherty DF, McAuley DF, Matthay MA, Kissenpfennig A, et al.

634   Mitochondrial Transfer via Tunneling Nanotubes is an Important Mechanism by Which

635   Mesenchymal Stem Cells Enhance Macrophage Phagocytosis in the In Vitro and In Vivo

636   Models of ARDS. Stem Cells [Internet]. 2016 Aug 1 [cited 2021 Jun 17];34(8):2210–23.

637   Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27059413/

638   39.   Campana S, De Pasquale C, Carrega P, Ferlazzo G, Bonaccorsi I. Cross-dressing: An

639   alternative mechanism for antigen presentation [Internet]. Vol. 168, Immunology Letters.

640   Elsevier B.V.; 2015 [cited 2021 Jun 17]. p. 349–54. Available from:

641   https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26551033/

642   40.   Xu W, Santini PA, Sullivan JS, He B, Shan M, Ball SC, et al. HIV-1 evades virus-specific IgG2

643   and IgA responses by targeting systemic and intestinal B cells via long-range intercellular

644   conduits. Nat Immunol [Internet]. 2009 [cited 2021 Jun 17];10(9):1008–17. Available

645   from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19648924/

646   41.   Kongsomros S, Manopwisedjaroen S, Chaopreecha J, Wang SF, Borwornpinyo S,

647   Thitithanyanont A. Rapid and efficient cell-to-cell transmission of avian influenza h5n1

648   virus in mdck cells is achieved by trogocytosis. Pathogens [Internet]. 2021 Apr 16 [cited

649   2021 Jun 28];10(4):483. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens10040483

650   42.   Gallagher ME, Brooke CB, Ke R, Koelle K. Causes and consequences of spatial within-host

651   viral spread. Viruses. 2018.

652   43.   Han J, Perez JT, Chen C, Andrade J, Manicassamy B, Han J, et al. Genome-wide CRISPR /

653   Cas9 Screen Identifies Host Factors Essential for Influenza Virus Replication. Cell Rep.

654   2018;23(2):596–607.

655   44.   Neumann G, Watanabe T, Ito H, Watanabe S, Goto H, Gao P, et al. Generation of

656   influenza A viruses entirely from cloned cDNAs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1999;

657   45.   Cox RS, Dunlop MJ, Elowitz MB. A synthetic three-color scaffold for monitoring genetic

658   regulation and noise. J Biol Eng. 2010;

659   46.   Bindels DS, Haarbosch L, Van Weeren L, Postma M, Wiese KE, Mastop M, et al. MScarlet:

660   A bright monomeric red fluorescent protein for cellular imaging. Nat Methods. 2016;

661   47.   White MC, Steel J, Lowen AC. Heterologous Packaging Signals on Segment 4, but Not

662   Segment 6 or Segment 8, Limit Influenza A Virus Reassortment. J Virol. 2017;

663   48.   Phipps KL, Marshall N, Tao H, Danzy S, Onuoha N, Steel J, et al. Seasonal H3N2 and 2009

664   Pandemic H1N1 Influenza A Viruses Reassort Efficiently but Produce Attenuated Progeny.

665   J Virol. 2017;

666   49.   Wittwer CT, Reed GH, Gundry CN, Vandersteen JG, Pryor RJ. High-resolution genotyping

667   by amplicon melting analysis using LCGreen. Clin Chem. 2003;

668   50.   Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et al. Fiji: An

669   open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nature Methods. 2012.

51.   Manders EMM, Visser AE, Koppen A, De Leeuw WC, Van Liere R, Brakenhoff GJ, et al.

Four-dimensional imaging of chromatin dynamics during the assembly of the interphase

nucleus. Chromosom Res [Internet]. 2003 [cited 2021 Jun 11];11(5):537–47. Available

from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12971728/

52.   Costes S V., Daelemans D, Cho EH, Dobbin Z, Pavlakis G, Lockett S. Automatic and

quantitative measurement of protein-protein colocalization in live cells. Biophys J

[Internet]. 2004 [cited 2021 Jun 11];86(6):3993–4003. Available from:

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15189895/

53.   Bolte S, Cordelières FP. A guided tour into subcellular colocalization analysis in light

microscopy [Internet]. Vol. 224, Journal of Microscopy. Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2006

[cited 2021 Jun 11]. p. 213–32. Available from:

https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/j.1365-2818.2006.01706.x

54.   Motulsky H. Analyzing Data with GraphPad Prism. PRism. 1999.

Figure Legends:

Figure 1. IAV vRNPs associate with Rab11a within F-Actin rich TNTs.

(A) MDCK cells, (B) A549 WT and (C) A549 Rab11a KO cells were mock-infected or infected with

NL09 or P99 viruses. Cells were stained for DAPI (blue), NP (red), Rab11a (green) and F-Actin

(pink). Representative images are shown with additional images in Supplementary Figure 1 (S1

A, B and C). Scale bar is 20µm for all images.

Figure 2. IAV vRNPs co-localize with Rab11a within TNTs.

(A) A549 WT and Rab11a KO cells were infected with NL09 viruses. Cells were stained for DAPI

(blue), NP (red), Rab11a (green) and F-Actin (grey). Representative confocal images are shown

with the high-resolution STED images depicted in the insets. The arrows depict the co-localized

puncta of NP and Rab11a. (B) Co-localization coefficient of NP and Rab11a within TNTs from

A549 WT and Rab11a KO cells (n=10 TNTs). Significance of differences in co-localization

between the WT and KO cells was tested using a two tailed unpaired t-test (**** P-value

<0.0001). (C) A549 WT cells were mock infected or infected with NL09 viruses and the

percentage of TNTs formed between 50 cell pairs were counted manually as NP+Rab11a+

(blue), NP-Rab11a+ (magenta) and NP+Rab11a- (teal). Error bars represent the SEM of two

biological replicates.

Figure 3. Rab11a does not modulate TNT formation.

A549 WT and Rab11a KO cells were mock infected or infected with NL09 viruses and the total

number of TNTs formed between 50 cell pairs were counted manually. Significance of

differences in the number of TNTs between mock and NL09 infected groups was tested using 1-

way ANOVA (** P-value <0.01). Error bars represent the SEM of two biological replicates.

Figure 4. Disruption of actin or loss of Rab11a abrogates direct cell-cell transmission of

infection.

MDCK cells infected with NL09 ΔHA Venus WT (A) or P99 ΔHA Venus WT (B) at a MOI of 0.5

were counted as single infected cells or foci of infected cells. Significance of differences in the

number of infected foci between the control and Cytochalasin D treated groups was tested

using 2-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's correction for multiple comparisons (**** P-value

<0.0001). Error bars represent the standard error of three biological replicates. A549 WT or

A549 Rab11a KO cells infected with NL09 ΔHA Venus WT (C) or P99 ΔHA Venus WT (D) at a MOI

of 0.5 were counted as single infected cells or foci of infected cells. Significance of differences in

the number of infected foci between cell types was tested using 2-way ANOVA with

Bonferroni's correction for multiple comparisons (**** P-value <0.0001). Error bars represent

the standard error of three biological replicates.

Figure 5. Virion-independent genome transfer leads to productive infection by an actin-

dependent mechanism.

(A) Experimental workflow for MDCK, A549 WT or A549 Rab11a KO infection and co-culture

with MDCK WSN HA cells (generated via BioRender.com). Plotted is the infectious virus yield

from co-culture of MDCK WSN HA cells with MDCK cells infected with NL09 ΔHA Venus WT and

NL09 ΔHA Scarlet VAR viruses either treated with Cytochalasin D (B) or Nocodazole (D). (C)

Infectious virus yield from MDCK cells infected with NL09 viruses and treated with Cytochalasin

D. (E) Infectious virus yield from co-culture of MDCK WSN HA cells with A549 WT or Rab11a KO

cells infected with either NL09 ΔHA Venus WT or NL09 ΔHA Scarlet VAR viruses. Significance of

differences between the control and Cytochalasin D or Nocodazole treated cells or between WT

and KO cells was tested using 2-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's correction for multiple

comparisons (* P-value <0.1; ** P-value <0.01; *** P-value <0.001; **** P-value <0.0001;

ns=not significant). Error bars represent the SEM of two biological replicates, each comprising

three replicate infections (black circles). The dotted line represents the limit of detection of the

plaque assay.

Figure 6. TNTs allow for bidirectional shuttling of Rab11a between cells.

(A) A549 Rab11a KO cells were infected with NL09 viruses and mixed with A549 WT cells pre-

stained with CellTracker Blue CMAC dye (blue). Cells were stained for NP (red), Rab11a (green)

and F-Actin (grey). Representative confocal image is shown with the high-resolution STED

images depicted in the inset. (B) Co-localization coefficient of NP and Rab11a within TNTs

formed between A549 WT and Rab11a KO cells (n=10 TNTs). Error bars represent the SEM.

Figure 7. TNTs serve as conduits for genome mixing and reassortment in a Rab11a dependent

manner.

Genotypes of clonal viral isolates collected from the culture medium of NL09 ΔHA Venus WT

and NL09 ΔHA Scarlet VAR virus infected A549 WT cells (A) or A549 Rab11a KO cells (B) co-

cultured with MDCK WSN HA cells. Three technical replicate co-cultures per condition were

sampled serially at the time points indicated and 21 plaque isolates were analyzed per sample.

The origin of the gene segments, represented by the columns in each table, is denoted by the

colored boxes (blue = WT and red = VAR). The segments are in order PB2, PB1, PA, NP, NA, M

and NS moving from left to right. The white panels indicate samples where no plaques were

detected (ND = not detected).

Figure 8. Working model for Rab11a mediated vRNP transport across TNTs.

vRNP complexes synthesized within the nucleus are exported out and form Rab11a-vRNP

complexes. Two potential fates of these complexes are shown - the classical assembly and

egress pathway for production of progeny virions and transport of these complexes via TNTs to

an uninfected cell. A new infection is initiated in the recipient cell, resulting in progeny virion

production. Generated via BioRender.com.

Figure 1

A

A549 WT   A549 Rab11a

Confocal

NP
Rab11a
F-Actin
DAPI

20 μm   20 μm

STED

5 μm   5 μm

B

Colocalization Coefficient

Colocalization of NP with Rab11a

• A549 WT ■ A549 Rab11a KO

****

C

% of TNTs

A549 WT Mock   A549 WT NL09

■ NP+Rab11a+ ■ NP-Rab11a+ ■ NP+Rab11a-

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

A A549 WT-A549 Rab11a KO

Confocal

NP
Rab11a
F-Actin
CellTracker

20 µm

STED

5 µm

B

Colocalization Coefficient

1.0

0.5

0.0

Colocalization of NP with Rab11a

● A549 Rab11a KO-A549 WT

Figure 7

Figure 8