How to align arthropod legs

Authors: Heather S. Bruce

Affiliations: Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, MA.
*Correspondence to: hbruce@mbl.edu

Abstract

How to align leg segments between the four groups of arthropods (insects, crustaceans, myriapods, and chelicerates) has tantalized generations of researchers, as this would answer over a century of speculation about the origins and homologies of the fascinating diversity of arthropod appendages and outgrowths. Here we compare the expression and loss-of-function phenotypes of leg patterning genes in crustaceans, insects, and arachnids using our own and previously published data. We find that all arthropod leg segments correspond to each other in a one-to-one fashion. This alignment suggests that chelicerates with seven leg segments incorporated a proximal leg segment into the body wall. In addition, this alignment suggests that insect and myriapod tracheae are convergent and homologous structures: each evolved via the independent internalization of an ancestral gill (respiratory exite) on the proximal-most leg segment of their shared ancestor. A framework for understanding the homologies of arthropod appendages opens up a powerful system for studying the origins of novel structures, the plasticity of morphogenetic fields across vast phylogenetic distances, and the convergent evolution of shared ancestral developmental fields.

Introduction

Arthropods are the most successful animals on the planet, in part due to the diversity of their appendages. How to align the legs of all arthropods has tantalized researchers for over a

century[1-8], as the solution would answer centuries of observation and speculation about arthropod structures. There are four groups of arthropods: chelicerates (spiders, etc.), myriapods (millipedes, etc.), crustaceans (shrimps, etc.), and insects (beetles, etc.). The difficulty in aligning or homologizing arthropod leg segments is due to the different numbers, shapes, and names of leg segments. Chelicerates can have either 7 or 8 leg segments, myriapods have either 6 or 7, insects have 6, and crustacean have 7 or 8 leg segments (Fig. 1)[4,8-11]. Since at least 1927, researchers have proposed many different theories to account for this variation, invoking leg segment deletions, duplications, and fusions to account for the different numbers of leg segments between arthropod taxa (see for example [3,4,7,8]). The incredible diversity of arthropod legs even contributed to some author's conclusions that the four arthropod groups arose independently, and therefore it is not possible to homologize and align their legs[12]. However, as molecular studies confirmed arthropod monophyly and mapped the topology of arthropod relationships with ever greater precision[13,14], and as loss-of-function studies of leg patterning genes have been conducted on more branches of the arthropod tree of life, this long sought model can now be brought to light.

Aligning the leg segments of crustaceans and insects

To align the leg segments of two arthropod groups, crustaceans and insects, Bruce and Patel 2020[15] compared the function of five leg patterning genes, *Distalless (Dll)*, *dachshund (dac)*, *Sp6-9*, *extradenticle (exd)*, and *homothorax (hth)*, in the amphipod crustacean *Parhyale hawaiensis* to previously published results in insects. By aligning the leg segment deletion phenotypes for these five genes, they found that the six distal leg segments of *Parhyale* and insects (leg segments 1 – 6, counting from the distal claw) aligned in a one-to-one fashion (Fig. 2). To align the proximal leg segments, they compared the expression of *pannier (pnr)* and the

Iroquois complex gene *araucan* (*ara*) in *Parhyale* and insects[15]. They found that, in both

Parhyale and insects, the expression of *ara* distinguishes two proximal leg segments (leg

segments 7 and 8; Fig. 2), while expression of *pnr* marks the true body wall (tergum). These data

suggested that insects had incorporated two ancestral proximal leg segments, 7 and 8, into the

55   body wall[16]. This work demonstrated that crustacean and insect legs had 8 leg segments could be

homologized in a straightforward, one-to-one relationship. If insect and crustacean legs can be

homologized, this model may extend to myriapods and chelicerates as well, in a generalizable

model of appendages across all four groups of arthropods.

60   **Aligning the leg segments of crustaceans, insects, and chelicerates**

        To align *Parhyale*, insect, and chelicerate legs, the leg segment deletion phenotypes in

Parhyale and insects were compared to previously published results in chelicerates. Functional

experiments in chelicerates have been performed for *Dll*, *Sp6-9*, *dac*, and *hth*. Based on the leg

segment deletion phenotypes of these genes, the six distal leg segments of *Parhyale*, insects, and

65   chelicerates (leg segments 1 – 6, counting from the distal claw) can be aligned in a one-to-one

fashion, as follows.

        In spiders, *Parhyale*, and insects, *Dll* is required for the development of leg segments 1 -

5, counting from the distal end of the leg (Fig. 3A-F)[15,17–22]. In spiders, *Parhyale,* and insects,

Sp6-9 is required for the development of leg segments 1 – 6 (Fig. 3G – L)[15,23–27]. In spiders,

70   harvestman, *Parhyale*, and insects, *dac* is required to pattern leg segments 3 – 5 (in insects, *dac*

function extends partway into leg segment 2)[15,28–31]. In spiders, harvestman, and *Parhyale*, a

weak *dac* phenotype causes leg segment 4 to be truncated and fused onto leg segment 3 (Fig. 4A

- F). In harvestman, *Parhyale*, and insects, a strong *dac* phenotype affects leg segments 3 – 5

(Fig. 4G - L). In harvestman, *Parhyale*, and insects, loss of hth affects the proximal leg segments (Fig. 4M-R). In *Parhyale* and insects, loss of *hth* deletes the proximal leg segments, leaving only the distal 2 leg segments intact. In harvestman, reduction of *hth* shortens and fuses the proximal leg segments, leaving the distal segments unaffected[32]. It is not clear from the figures or text how many distal leg segments are unaffected - the most severely affected embryos did not survive to hatching and their cuticle was shriveled, thus obscuring what deformities are due to loss of *hth* and what are due to the embryo not developing fully before hatching. However, leg segment 1 and at least the distal half of leg segment 2 appear unaffected. Thus, in harvestman, insects, and *Parhyale*, *hth* appears to function in all but the distal 2 leg segments. For the above comparisons, it is known that RNAi gives a range of partial knockdowns, but the above data focuses on what appear to be the null phenotypes.

To elucidate the composition of proximal leg segments in chelicerates, the expression of *pnr*, *ara*, and *Dll* was compared between *Parhyale*, *Tribolium*, and the tarantula *Acanthoscurria geniculata* (Fig. 5) [15]. Three orthologs of *pnr* were identified in *Acanthoscurria* that had closest homology to *Drosophila*, *Tribolium*, and *Parhyale pnr* (Fig. S1). However, only one of these was expressed at the stages examined and was therefore presumed to be *pnr*. An *Acanthoscurria Iroquois* gene was identified which was the reciprocal best BLAST hit to *Drosophila*, *Tribolium*, and *Parhyale ara*. An *Acanthoscurria Dll* gene was identified which was the reciprocal best BLAST hit to *Drosophila*, *Tribolium*, and *Parhyale Dll*.

As in *Parhyale* and *Tribolium, Acanthoscurria Dll* was found to be expressed in leg segment 1 – 5, and *pnr* expressed in the most dorsal tissue (Fig. 5). Thus, it appears that *pnr* marks the "true" body wall in all arthropods. In *Parhyale* and *Tribolium, ara* is expressed in three domains: a dorsal armband on proximal leg segment 8 that is adjacent to the *pnr* domain; a

second armband on proximal leg segment 7; and a dot of expression on the medial side of leg segment 6. *Parhyale* also expresses *ara* in the tip of the claw . In *Acanthoscurria*, at the embryonic stages examined, *ara* is expressed in three of these domains: a dorsal armband

100   adjacent to the *pnr* domain; a second armband on proximal leg segment 7; and some expression in the tip of the claw. The dot of *ara* expression in leg segment 6 was not observed. Perhaps this domain is expressed at embryonic stages that were not examined, or it is not expressed in the *Acanthoscurria* lineage. However, as predicted by the leg segment alignment model, the two armbands of *ara* expression in *Acanthoscurria* bracket a region proximal to leg segment 7

105   (spider coxa) and adjacent to *pnr*. This suggests that *Acanthoscurria*, like *Parhyale* and *Tribolium*, also retains an ancestral, proximal 8th leg segment.

To test this hypothesis, the expression of *odd-skipped* was examined in *Acanthoscurria*. In *Drosophila*, the *odd-skipped* family of genes is expressed in the distal edge of each leg segment, where it induces cells to buckle and form the flexible joint[33]. An *odd-skipped* gene was

110   identified in *Acanthoscurria* which was the reciprocal best BLAST hit to *Cupiennius* (spider) *odd-related* 3 (*odd-r3*)[34]. This *Acanthoscurria odd-r3* is expressed in the distal region of leg segments 1 – 7 but also in an additional ring proximal to leg segment 7 (Fig. 6). This additional ring of *odd-r3* notably occurs in the distal side of a leg-segment-like bulge. Given that *odd-skipped* is expressed in the distal side of leg segments[33], the ring of *odd-r3* expression on the

115   distal side of the leg-segment-like bulge suggests that it is a bona fide leg segment. Together, the expression of *pnr*, *ara* and *odd-r3* and the presence of a leg-segment-like bulge suggest that *Acanthoscurria* has an additional proximal 8th leg segment.

Aligning the leg segments of myriapods

120   No functional data for leg patterning genes is available for myriapods. However, morphological and embryological evidence suggests that myriapods, like insects, have incorporated proximal leg segment(s) into the body wall[4,35,36]. In the embryos of both myriapods and insects, the proximal part of the developing leg ("subcoxa" in insects or "limb base" in myriapods) broadens and flattens to form the adult lateral body wall [36–45]. In insects, this subcoxa

125 was shown to correspond to the two proximal-most leg segments of crustaceans[15], and the same may be true for myriapods[35]. Incorporation of proximal leg segments into the myriapod body wall would bring their leg segment count to 8, in agreement with other arthropods.

Discussion

130   The expression and embryological data shown here, in conjunction with the expression and functional data from previous publications, demonstrates that all arthropod legs can be aligned in a one-to-one fashion (Fig. 7). For example, the coxa of spiders, millipedes, and crustaceans are leg segment 7; the insect coxa, crustacean basis, and spider trochanter are leg segment 6; the insect trochanter, crustacean ischium, and spider femur are leg segment 5. In this

135 model, arthropods ancestrally possessed a total of 8 leg segments. This fits well with the observation that arthropods in general have a maximum of 8 leg segments[4,8,9], as well as with the fossil data, where the ancestors of living arthropods also possessed 8 leg segments[51]. The canonical leg segment numbers in the four groups of arthropods are proposed to be due to the incorporation of proximal leg segments into the body wall, likely to support more of the body

140 weight on the legs. Insects, which have 6 leg segments, have incorporated two proximal leg segments into the body wall (leg segments 7 and 8). Crustaceans with 7 leg segments, like *Parhyale*, have partially incorporated one leg segment into the body wall (leg segment 8).

Myriapods with 6 or 7 leg segments incorporated 2 or 1 leg segments into the body wall, respectively.

145   **Chelicerate legs**

Chelicerates with 8 free leg segments, such as sea spiders and solfugids, have not incorporated any leg segments into the body wall. However, in chelicerates with 7 leg segments, such as *Acanthoscurria*, a proximal leg segment is missing and must be accounted for. One hypothesis is that it was simply deleted. However, the expression of *Acanthoscurria pnr*, *ara*,

150   and *odd-r3* presented here suggests that the proximal-most 8th leg segment of these chelicerates was incorporated into the body wall, similar to how insects incorporated proximal leg segments into their body wall[3,15,39,45]. Embryological evidence also supports this conclusion: a leg-like proximal 8th leg segment can be observed in embryos of the tarantula spider *Acanthoscurria* (Fig. 6b; also observable in [22,54]), as well as in *Cupiennius* embryos[55,56] and *Parasteatoda*

155   embryos in [57,58]. Similarly, whip spiders (amblypygids) have a disconnected sliver of exoskeleton dorsal to the coxa, which appear to articulate to the coxa with condyle joints (Fig. S2), that may be the remnant of the proximal 8th leg segment.

Myriapod legs

When the morphological and embryological evidence in myriapods is incorporated into

160   the above leg segment alignment model, two fascinating hypotheses become apparent: insect and myriapod respiratory systems may in fact be homologous; and insect wings may be homologous to myriapod "wings" (polydesmid paranota).

Myriapod and insect respiratory systems are astonishingly similar - a small circular spiracle associated with each leg leads to internally branching trachea[46] (Fig. S3). This

165   contributed to entrenched support for their sister relationship[46]. Notably, both respiratory systems

appear to occur on the proximal-most leg segment (leg segment 8)[4,15,36,38]. Thus, while these two

systems are not homologous as tracheae[13], the evidence suggests that insect and myriapod

tracheae each evolved via the independent internalizing an ancestral gill (respiratory exite) on the

proximal-most leg segment of their shared ancestor[47,48].

170   In support of this, the genes *trachealess (trh)* and *ventral veins lacking (vvl)*, which are

expressed in and required for insect tracheal formation, are also expressed in the crustacean

gill[49,50], an exite on the leg. This is expected if insect tracheae are an invaginated exite on the

incorporated 8th leg segment. If insect tracheae are an invaginated exite on the 8th leg segment,

then perhaps the morphologically and functionally similar myriapod tracheae are as well.

175   Some myriapods (polydesmid and platydesmid millepedes) have many wing-like

"paranota" or "paratergites" (Fig. S3) along the side of the body. If these emerge from the

proximal-most leg segment, then they would be positionally homologous to the *Parhyale* tergal

plate and insect wing. Thus, millipedes and insects may have convergently evolved tracheae and

"wings" from the same exites on the same leg segment. These structures would therefore be

180   bizarrely both convergent and homologous.

        To test these two hypotheses, the expression of *pnr*, *Iroquois* genes like *ara,* joint-

markers like *serrate* or *odd-skipped*, flat exite-patterning genes like *vestigial*, and trachea-

patterning genes like *ventral veins lacking*, and *trachealess* should be examined in millipede

embryos. If the tracheae and paratergites are carried on leg segment 8, the following expression

185   patterns are expected in millipede embryos: *pnr* will be expressed dorsal to the spiracle and

paratergite; *Iroquois* genes like *ara* will bracket the spiracle and paratergite dorsally and

ventrally; and joint-markers like *odd-skipped* and *serrate* will be expressed around the perimeter

of the subcoxa that carries the spiracle and paratergite. If millipede trachea and paratergites are

patterned like their proposed corresponding structures in crustacean and insect, then paratergites

190   should express *vestigial* and *scalloped*, while the the tracheae should express *ventral veins*

lacking and *trachealess.*

Materials and Methods

<u>In situ HCR version 3.0</u>
Acanthoscurria cDNA sequences were submitted to Molecular Instruments[59], and the probe sets are available from the company. In situs were performed as in Bruce et. al. 2021[60]

200 <u>Imaging</u>

Embryos imaged with Zeiss LSM880 confocal. Image processing done with Fiji-ImageJ. Fiji "Image Calculator > Subtract" method was used to remove high background from yolk autofluorescence. Figures processed using Adobe Photoshop 2020.

Data and materials availability: All data is available in the main text or the supplementary materials.

Competing interests: Authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgments: Fixed *Acanthoscurria* embryos in methanol and sequences were kindly provided by Mathias Pechmann (Institute for Zoology/Developmental Biology, Biocenter, University of Cologne, Germany). Images of amblypygid molt were generously provided by PunchyPariah Photography @punchypariah

215 **Author contributions**

HSB conceived, designed, and performed experiments and wrote manuscript. NHP edited manuscript.

220 **References**

1. Crampton, G. The phylogenetic origin and the nature of the wings of insects according to the paranotal theory. *Journal of the New York Entomological Society* **24**, 1–39 (1916).

2. Brusca, R. C. & Brusca, G. J. *Invertebrates*. (Sinauer Associates Incorporated, 2003).

3. Snodgrass, R. E. *Morphology and mechanism of the insect thorax*. vol. 80 (City of

225 Washington, Smithsonian institution, 1927).

4. Boxshall, G. A. The evolution of arthropod limbs. *Biol. Rev.* **79**, 253–300 (2004).

5. Sharov, A. G. *Basic Arthropodan Stock: With Special Reference to Insects*. (Pergamon Press, 1966).

6. Hansen, H. J. *Studies on Arthropoda II.* (Copenhagen: Gyldendalske Boghandel, 1925).

230 7. Størmer, L. *On the relationships and phylogeny of fossil and recent Arachnomorpha*. (1944).

8. Shultz, J. W. Morphology of locomotor appendages in Arachnida: evolutionary trends and phylogenetic implications. *Zool J Linn Soc* **97:1–56**, (1989).

9. Snodgrass, R. E. *A textbook of arthropod anatomy.* (Ithaca, N.Y., Comstock Pub. Associates, 1952).

235 10. Schram, F. R. *Crustacea*. (Oxford University Press, USA, 1986).

11. Grimaldi, D. & Engel, M. S. *Evolution of the Insects*. (Cambridge University Press, 2005).

12. Manton, S. M. Habits, functional morphology and the evolution of pycnogonids. *Zool J Linn Soc* **63: 1–21**, (1978).

13. Lozano-Fernandez, J. *et al.* Pancrustacean Evolution Illuminated by Taxon-Rich Genomic-

240 Scale Data Sets with an Expanded Remipede Sampling. *Genome Biol Evol* **11**, 2055–2070 (2019).

14. Lozano-Fernandez, J. *et al.* Increasing species sampling in chelicerate genomic-scale datasets provides support for monophyly of Acari and Arachnida. *Nat Commun* 1–8 (2019) doi:10.1038/s41467-019-10244-7.

245   15. Bruce, H. S. & Patel, N. H. Knockout of crustacean leg patterning genes suggests that insect wings and body walls evolved from ancient leg segments. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* **4**, 1703–1712 (2020).

16. Kukalová-Peck, J. Origin of the insect wing and wing articulation from the arthropodan leg. *Can. J. Zool.* **61**, 1618–1669 (1983).

250   17. Cohen, S. M. & Jürgens, G. Proximal-distal pattern formation in Drosophila: cell autonomous requirement for Distal-less gene activity in limb development. *EMBO J.* **8**, 2045–2055 (1989).

18. Cohen, B., Simcox, A. A. & Cohen, S. M. Allocation of the thoracic imaginal primordia in the Drosophila embryo. *Development* **117**, 597–608 (1993).

255   19. Campbell, G. & Tomlinson, A. The roles of the homeobox genes aristaless and Distal-less in patterning the legs and wings of Drosophila. *Development* **125**, 4483–4493 (1998).

20. Beermann, A. *et al.* The Short antennae gene of Tribolium is required for limb development and encodes the orthologue of the Drosophila Distal-less protein. *Development* **128**, 287–297 (2001).

260   21. Angelini, D. R. & Kaufman, T. C. Functional analyses in the hemipteran Oncopeltus fasciatus reveal conserved and derived aspects of appendage patterning in insects. *Developmental Biology* **271**, 306–321 (2004).

22. Pechmann, M. *et al.* Novel Function of Distal-less as a Gap Gene during Spider Segmentation. *PLoS Genet* **7**, e1002342 (2011).

265   23. Beermann, A., Aranda, M. & Schröder, R. The Sp8 zinc-finger transcription factor is involved in allometric growth of the limbs in the beetle Tribolium castaneum. *Development* **131**, 733–742 (2004).

24. Schaeper, N. D., Prpic, N.-M. & Wimmer, E. A. A conserved function of the zinc finger transcription factor Sp8/9 in allometric appendage growth in the milkweed bug Oncopeltus

270   fasciatus. *Dev Genes Evol* **219**, 427–435 (2009).

25. Estella, C. & Mann, R. S. Non-Redundant Selector and Growth-Promoting Functions of Two Sister Genes, buttonhead and Sp1, in Drosophila Leg Development. *PLoS Genet* **6**, e1001001 (2010).

26. Königsmann, T., Turetzek, N., Pechmann, M. & Prpic, N.-M. Expression and function of the

275   zinc finger transcription factor Sp6–9 in the spider Parasteatoda tepidariorum. *Dev Genes Evol* **130**, 1–12 (2017).

27. Setton, E. V. W. & Sharma, P. P. Cooption of an appendage-patterning gene cassette in the head segmentation of arachnids. **128**, 201720193–10 (2018).

28. Mardon, G., Solomon, N. M. & Rubin, G. M. dachshund encodes a nuclear protein required

280   for normal eye and leg development in Drosophila. *Development* **120**, 3473–3486 (1994).

29. Tavsanli, B. C. *et al.* Structure–function analysis of the Drosophila retinal determination protein Dachshund. *Developmental Biology* **272**, 231–247 (2004).

30. Turetzek, N., Pechmann, M., Schomburg, C., Schneider, J. & Prpic, N.-M. Neofunctionalization of a Duplicate dachshundGene Underlies the Evolution of a Novel Leg

285   Segment in Arachnids. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **33**, 109–121 (2015).

31. Sharma, P. P., Schwager, E. E., Giribet, G., Jockusch, E. L. & Extavour, C. G. Distal-less and dachshund pattern both plesiomorphic and apomorphic structures in chelicerates: RNA

interference in the harvestman Phalangium opilio (Opiliones). *Evol. Dev.* **15**, 228–242 (2013).

32. Sharma, P. P. *et al.* A conserved genetic mechanism specifies deutocerebral appendage identity in insects and arachnids. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **282**, 20150698–20150698 (2015).

33. Mirth, C. & Akam, M. Joint development in the Drosophila leg: cell movements and cell populations. *Developmental Biology* **246**, 391–406 (2002).

34. Prpic, N.-M. & Damen, W. G. M. Notch-mediated segmentation of the appendages is a molecular phylotypic trait of the arthropods. *Developmental Biology* **326**, 262–271 (2009).

35. Wesener, T. Sternites and spiracles - The unclear homology of ventral sclerites in the basal millipede order Glomeridesmida (Myriapoda, Diplopoda). *Arthropod Structure* 9 (2014).

36. Tiegs, O. W. The embryology and affinities of the symphyla based on a study of Hanseniella agilis. *Journal of Cell Science* (1940).

37. Coulcher, J. F., Edgecombe, G. D. & Telford, M. J. Molecular developmental evidence for a subcoxal origin of pleurites in insects and identity of the subcoxa in the gnathal appendages. *Scientific reports* **5**, 1–8 (2015).

38. Kobayashi, Y., Niikura, K., Oosawa, Y. & Takami, Y. Embryonic development of Carabus insulicola (Insecta, Coleoptera, Carabidae) with special reference to external morphology and tangible evidence for the subcoxal theory. *Journal of Morphology* **274**, 1323–1352 (2013).

39. Kobayashi, Y. Formation of Subcoxae-1 and 2 in Insect Embryos: The Subcoxal Theory Revisited. *Proc Arthropod Embryol Soc Jpn* **48**, 33–38 (2017).

310   40. Roonwal, M. L. Studies on the embryology of the African migratory locust, Locusta

migratoria migratorioides Reiche and Frm.(Orthoptera, Acrididae). II. Organogeny.

Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of \ldots **CCXXVII-B543**, (1937).

41. Heymons, R. *Beiträge zur Morphologie und Entwicklungsgeschichte der Rhynchoten*.

(1899).

315   42. Uchifune, T. & Machida, R. Embryonic development of Galloisiana yuasai Asahina, with

special reference to external morphology (insecta: Grylloblattodea). *Journal of Morphology*

266, 182–207 (2005).

43. Bitsch, J. The morphological groundplan of hexapoda: critical review of recent concepts.

Annales de la Société entomologique de France **30**, 103–129 (1994).

320   44. Verhoeff, K. W. Über die Entwicklungsstufen der Steinläufer, Lithobiiden, und Beiträge zur

Kenntnis der Chilopoden. *Zoologische Jahrbücher* **8**, (1905).

45. Matsuda, R. Morphology and evolution of the insect thorax. *Memoirs of the Entomological*

Society of Canada **Volume 102**, 5–431 (1970).

46. Dohle, W. Myriapod-insect relationships as opposed to an insect-crustacean sister group

325   relationship. in *Arthropod Relationships* (eds. Fortey, R. A. & Thomas, R. H.) 305–315

(Springer Netherlands, 1998). doi:10.1007/978-94-011-4904-4_23.

47. Bruce, H. S. How to align arthropod leg segments. *BioRxiv* (2021)

doi:10.1101/2021.01.20.427514.

48. Wesener, T., Sierwald, P. & Wägele, J. W. Sternites and spiracles - The unclear homology of

330   ventral sclerites in the basal millipede order Glomeridesmida (Myriapoda, Diplopoda).

Arthropod Structure and Development **43**, 87–95 (2014).

49. Franch-Marro, X., Martín, N., Averof, M. & Casanova, J. Association of tracheal placodes with leg primordia in Drosophila and implications for the origin of insect tracheal systems. *Development* **133**, 785–790 (2006).

335   50. Wilk, R., Weizman, I. & Shilo, B. Z. trachealess encodes a bHLH-PAS protein that is an inducer of tracheal cell fates in Drosophila. *Genes & Development* **10**, 93–102 (1996).

51. Yang, J. *et al.* Early Cambrian fuxianhuiids from China reveal origin of the gnathobasic protopodite in euarthropods. *Nat Commun* **9**, 1–9 (2018).

52. Manton, S. M. & Harding, J. P. Mandibular mechanisms and the evolution of arthropods.

340   *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B* **247**, (1964).

53. Størmer, L. *Studies on trilobite morphology. Part III. The ventral cephalic structures with remarks on the zoological position of the trilobites*. (Norsk Geol. Tidssk, 1951).

54. Pechmann, M. Embryonic development and secondary axis induction in the Brazilian white knee tarantula Acanthoscurria geniculata, C. L. Koch, 1841 (Araneae; Mygalomorphae;

345   Theraphosidae). *Dev Genes Evol* **130**, 1735–20 (2020).

55. Pechmann, M., Khadjeh, S., Sprenger, F. & Prpic, N.-M. Patterning mechanisms and morphological diversity of spider appendages and their importance for spider evolution. *Arthropod Structure and Development* **39**, 453–467 (2010).

56. Wolff, C. & Hilbrant, M. The embryonic development of the central American wandering

350   spider Cupiennius salei. *Front. Zool.* **8**, 15–35 (2011).

57. Pechmann, M., Schwager, E. E., Turetzek, N. & Prpic, N.-M. Regressive evolution of the arthropod tritocerebral segment linked to functional divergence of the Hox gene labial. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **282**, 20151162 (2015).

58. Mittmann, B. & Wolff, C. Embryonic development and staging of the cobweb spider

355   Parasteatoda tepidariorum C. L. Koch, 1841 (syn.: Achaearanea tepidariorum;

Araneomorphae; Theridiidae). *Dev Genes Evol* **222**, 189–216 (2012).

59. Choi, H. M. T. *et al.* Third-generation in situhybridization chain reaction: multiplexed,

quantitative, sensitive, versatile, robust. *Development* **145**, dev165753-122 (2018).

60. Bruce, H. S. *et al.* Hybridization Chain Reaction (HCR) In Situ Protocol v1. *protocols.io*

360   (2021) doi:10.17504/protocols.io.bunznvf6.

61. Pechmann, M. & Prpic, N.-M. Appendage patterning in the South American bird spider

Acanthoscurria geniculata (Araneae: Mygalomorphae). *Dev Genes Evol* **219**, 189–198

(2009).

Fig. 1. Arthropod legs. Chelicerates, myriapods, crustaceans, and insects have different numbers, shapes, and names for their leg segments. Phylogeny based on Lozano 2019[13]. *Acanthoscurria* and *Oxidus* images from Wikipedia. Leg drawings adapted from Snodgrass 1952[9], except *Parhyale* leg, original image.

Fig. 2. Leg segment homologies (colors) between insects and crustaceans (from Bruce & Patel 2020 [15]). (a) The leg segments or structures in which each gene functions. (b) Leg segment morphologies in *Parhyale* and insect.

Fig. 3. *Dll* and *Sp6-9* function in arthropods. In spiders, *Parhyale*, and insects, *Dll* is required for the development of leg segments 1 - 5, counting from the distal end of the leg. A, D from Pechmann 2011. B, E, H, K from Bruce&Patel (?) 2020. C, F From Beerman 2001. G, J from Konigsman 2017. I, L from Estella 2010.

Fig. 4. *dac* and *hth* function in arthropods. In *Parhyale* and insects, loss of *hth* deletes the proximal leg segments, leaving only the distal 2 leg segments intact. In harvestman, reduction of *hth* shortens and fuses the proximal leg segments, leaving the distal segments unaffected[32]. It is not clear from the figures or text how many distal leg segments are unaffected - the most severely affected embryos did not survive to hatching and their cuticle was shriveled, thus obscuring what deformities are due to loss of *hth* and what are due to the embryo not developing fully before hatching. However, leg segment 1 and at least the distal half of leg segment 2 are clearly unaffected. Thus, in harvestman, insects, and *Parhyale*, *hth* appears to function in all but the distal 2 leg segments. In spiders, harvestman, and *Parhyale*, a weak *dac2* phenotype causes green leg segment 4 to be truncated and fused onto cyan leg segment 3. In harvestman, *Parhyale*, and *Drosophila*, a strong *dac2* phenotype affects leg segments 3 – 5.

Fig. 5 Elucidating proximal leg segments in arthropods. (a, a', d, d') dissected right half of *Tribolium* embryo. a' and d' are zoomed in images of a and d respectively. (b, b', e, e'), dissected right half of *Parhyale* embryo. b' and e' are zoomed in images of b and e respectively. (c, c', f, f') dissected right half of *Acanthoscurria* embryo. c' and f' are zoomed in images of c and f respectively. (g) dissected leg of *Tribolium* embryo. (h) dissected T3 leg of *Parhyale* embryo. Large cells dorsal to *pnr* expression in b and f are extra-embryonic yolk (y) that exist prior to dorsal closure. (i) dissected leg of *Acanthoscurria* embryo. In all three arthropods, leg segments 1 through 5 are identified by *Dll* expression (magenta)[61]. In all three arthropods, the two *ara* (green) armband domains bracket a region proximal to leg segment 7. In all three arthropods, *pnr* (red) marks the most dorsal domain and is adjacent and partially overlapping the dorsal *ara* domain. In g, h, i, *ara* is expressed in a smattering of ventral non-leg cells. *Tribolium* larvae have a fused tibia and tarsus, the tibiotarsus, here labelled 2-3[37]. In *Acanthoscurria*, leg segment 7 is easily identified by the coxal endite (ce) that bulges medially. In both *Tribolium* (g) and *Parhyale* (h) legs, a muscle expressing *pnr* and *ara* that extends the length of leg segments 7 and 8 was masked to clearly show the ectodermal domains. Tp, tergal plate. Cp, coxal plate. G, gill. In *Acanthoscurria*, auto-fluorescent yolk cells (yc); ventral expression domain of ara (*). X in c indicates distal leg that was accidentally removed.

Fig. 6. Expression of *odd-r3* in *Acanthoscurria*. *odd-r3* is expressed in the distal region of each leg segment where the joint will later form. a, b Stage 12.5 *Acanthoscurria* embryos dissected away from yolk mass. Leg segment 7 is readily identified by the presence of a medial bulge, the coxal endite (ce). Proximal to leg segment 7, there is a leg-segment-like bulge (white curly brace), which expresses *odd-r3* in the distal region. *pnr* expression in this late stage embryo is reduced and obscured by other colors. DAPI in b is shown in cyan to better observe morphology. c, d dissected walking leg 1 from slightly earlier embryo, Stage 11.5, where leg segment divisions haven't yet bulged out. *odd-r3* encircles the distal region of each leg segment, including the hypothesized proximal 8th leg segment.

Fig. 7. Model of how to align all arthropod legs. A. Schematic of which genes function is related to (specific) leg segments. B. Morphology and homologies of arthropod leg segments based on leg gene function in insects, *Parhyale*, and chelicerates. Colors and patterns indicate proposed homologies. Exites (checker pattern); endites (stripe pattern). Drawings in B modified from

435   Snodgrass 1952.

Fig. S1. Three orthologs of *pnr* were identified in *Acanthoscurria* with closest homology to *Drosophila*, *Tribolium*, and *Parhyale pnr* (Fig. S1-2). However, only one of these was expressed at the stages examined, Acanth_DN78099, and was presumed to be *pnr*. Consensus tree generated using Mafft, which gave similar topology to Clustal consensus tree.

Fig. S2. Proposed precoxa in whip scorpions. Day-old molted exoskeleton. Condyles (points of articulation between adjacent leg segments) of proposed precoxa indicated by >. **a,** dorsal view of molt. **a',** zoomed out view of a. **b.** posterior view.

Fig. S3. Respiratory system of myriapod. Modified from Dohle 1998.