Low adenovirus vaccine doses administered to skin using microneedle patches induce better functional antibody immunogenicity as compared to systemic injection.

Olivia Flynn[1], Kate Dillane[1], Juliane Sousa Lanza[1], Jennifer M. Marshall[2], Jing Jin[2], Sarah E. Silk[2], Simon J. Draper[2], Anne C. Moore[1,3*]

[1]School of Pharmacy, University College Cork, Ireland, [2]The Jenner Institute, University of Oxford, UK, [3]School of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, University College Cork, Ireland

Corresponding author: anne.moore@ucc.ie

Keywords: Adenovirus, virus vector vaccine, microneedle, skin, dose, stability, antibody, anti-vector immunity

ABSTRACT

Adenovirus-based vaccines are demonstrating promising clinical potential for multiple infectious diseases including COVID-19. However the immunogenicity of the vector itself decreases its effectiveness as a boosting vaccine due to the induction of strong anti-vector neutralising immunity. Here we determined how dissolvable microneedle patches (DMN) for skin immunization can overcome this issue, using a clinically-relevant adenovirus-based *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria vaccine, AdHu5-PfRH5, in mice. Incorporation of vaccine into patches significantly enhanced its thermostability compared to the liquid form. Conventional high dose repeated immunization by the intramuscular (IM) route induced low antigen-specific IgG titres and high anti-vector immunity. A low priming dose of vaccine, by the IM route but more so using DMN patches, induced the most efficacious immune responses, assessed by parasite growth inhibitory activity (GIA) assays. Administration of low dose AdHu5-PfRH5 using patches to the skin, boosted by high dose IM, induced the highest antigen-specific serum IgG response after boosting, the greatest skewing of the antibody response towards the antigen and away from the vector and the highest efficacy. This study therefore demonstrates that repeated use of the same adenovirus vaccine can be highly immunogenic towards the transgene if a low dose is used to prime the response. It

also provides a method of stabilising adenovirus vaccine, in easy-to-administer dissolvable microneedle patches, permitting storage and distribution out of cold chain.

INTRODUCTION

The high cost of maintaining vaccine cold chain has been identified as a key challenge that must be overcome to achieve global immunization goals. Live and whole inactivated viruses remain a sizeable proportion of clinically used vaccines. Recombinant virus vectors, and in particular, replication incompetent, recombinant adenoviruses are leading vaccine candidates for old, emerging and pandemic diseases, including several candidates to protect against SARS-CoV-2-induced COVID-19[1, 2, 3]. These vaccines are heat sensitive and must be stored and distributed at low temperatures. Stabilisation of live virus vaccines is challenging but overcoming this issue is a critical factor for successful and equitable deployment of vaccines in the field[4].

Induction of immunity to the adenovirus vector severely limits its repeated use due to immune-mediated neutralisation of the re-administered virus. Clinically, this is being addressed through the use of heterologous prime-boost immunization, where a different vaccine platform, such as the poxvirus vector MVA[5, 6, 7, 8] or subunit, adjuvanted protein[9] is used in the second and subsequent immunizations or through the use of serologically different adenoviruses[10, 11]. Although strong antigen-specific immunity can be induced in clinical trials, the costs and logistics of manufacturing and distributing two or more vaccines and administering them in the correct sequence in every individual, would need to be economically justified before widespread implementation or alternatively only utilised in epidemic outbreaks.

We previously demonstrated that adenovirus vaccine administration using silicon microneedles permitted repeated use of the same adenovirus vaccine due to the induction of significantly lower anti-vector antibody responses compared to injection with a needle and syringe in mice[12]. However, although silicon microneedles overcome some logistic issues, they do not address vaccine stability. Dissolvable microneedles are micron-scale protrusions that are sharp enough to insert into the skin. They are composed of suitable excipients that incorporate and should stabilize vaccines in the dry, solid state. On skin insertion the microneedle material dissolves and the vaccine is delivered to the body. Dissolvable microneedle (DMN) patches for skin-based immunization offer several logistic and economic benefits to healthcare systems and individual end-users including ease of use, lack of requirement for vaccine reconstitution, more efficient and cost-effective logistics by removal of cold chain, elimination of needles, syringes and hazardous waste and small package size. We previously developed a novel, drop-dispensing based DMN patch production method

that overcomes the waste associated with conventional methods of dissolvable microneedle production[13, 14, 15]. We also developed suitable formulations for stabilizing vaccines in DMN patches to preserve vaccine integrity during microneedle fabrication, upon drying and during subsequent storage[16].

Dose sparing has been suggested as a key benefit of microneedle-mediated vaccine administration, however whether this translates to humans or larger animals has yet to be determined. A small number of published clinical studies have assessed the impact of adenovirus-based vaccine dose on T cell responses[17, 18] and antibody titers[19, 20, 21] using conventional intramuscular delivery. We therefore wanted to determine how adenovirus vaccine dose impacts on the induction of antibody responses when the vaccine is administered by the intramuscular (IM) or patch-mediated skin route. Here, we chose human adenovirus serotype 5 (AdHu5) as a model adenovirus without intellectual property restrictions, which is also suitable for thermostability and administration route studies. The immunogenicity and efficacy of AdHu5 vaccines can be readily matched by clinically-applicable simian adenoviruses, as previously demonstrated[22, 23].

To date, administration of adenovirus vaccines by microneedle patches in mice has focussed on the induction of T cell responses to HIV antigens[24, 25] and there is no understanding of the effect of anti-vector immunity or the induction of functional/protective humoral immunity using DMN patches in any model. In this study, we address this problem and examine the induction of vector-specific and functional antigen-specific antibody responses using DMN patches fabricated using our simple process.

The development of highly effective and durable vaccines against the human malaria parasites *Plasmodium falciparum* and *P. vivax* remains challenging[5, 26]. One vaccine strategy is targeted at the blood-stage of the disease by blocking merozoite invasion of red blood cells. This approach will likely require the maintenance of high titres of neutralizing antibodies to merozoite proteins. *Plasmodium falciparum* reticulocyte-binding protein homolog 5 (RH5) has emerged as a strong blood-stage vaccine candidate that is being clinically tested. In parallel with this development, non-human primate studies have demonstrated that the *in vitro* assay of antibody-mediated growth inhibition activity (GIA) against *P. falciparum* serves as an *in vitro* correlate of vaccine-induced *in vivo* protection when using vaccines targeting PfRH5 and other blood-stage antigens[27, 28, 29].

The objective of this study was therefore to determine how the dose and method of delivery, either IM injection or skin administration using a DMN patch, of an adenovirus vectored blood-stage malaria vaccine impacts on the induction of neutralising anti-parasitic antibodies and anti-vector antibodies in mice.

RESULTS

Long-term vaccine stabilisation outside cold chain conditions.

We previously demonstrated short-term stability of an AdHu5 vector embedded in our DMN patches and kept at ambient temperature over 14 days[15]. Here, we stressed the vaccine at ambient and high temperature conditions for 6 months to better determine the vaccine's stability in dissolvable microneedle patches. AdHu5-PfRH5 vaccine-containing DMN patches were stored at 25°C and 60% relative humidity (RH) or 40°C and 75% RH for up to 6 months, according to ICH Q1A guidelines[30]. Adenovirus infectivity was assessed in patches or in liquid at 1 week or 1, 2, 3 and 6 months. Adenovirus stored in the liquid form at the higher temperature, quickly degraded within a week and viable virus was undetectable at one month (Fig 1A). In contrast, no degradation of the vaccine in patches was observed at 40°C for up to 2 months, however vaccine degradation was observed when patches were stored at 40°C for 3 months. No visible changes to the microneedles were observed in these patches stored at 40°C up to 6 months storage (Fig 1C). At the accelerated temperature of 25°C, adenovirus vaccine incorporated into patches was fully stabilised; no loss in viable vaccine (Fig 1A) or visible changes (Fig 1B) could be detected at 6 months. These results demonstrate the significant improvement to the stability of this adenovirus vaccine by incorporation into patches.

Low dose skin administration significantly enhances antigen-specific antibody responses induced by repeated adenovirus vaccination.

We previously demonstrated influenza vaccine dose sparing using dissolvable microneedles in mice[15]. As adenovirus is a live vaccine where one virus unit can produce an unknown antigen dose *in situ*, we aimed to determine if dose sparing would be relevant to adenovirus vaccines. To address this question, a range of doses of adenovirus expressing *P. falciparum* RH5 antigen, AdHu5-PfRH5[31], was administered by patches or in liquid format by the IM route to Balb/c mice. A 1 x 10^8 infectious units, ifu (1.6 x 10^9 virus particles, vp) vaccine dose by the IM route represented the dose and route of immunization conventionally administered to mice[32]. Only the lowest doses of vaccine by the IM or patch route induced a significantly lower IgG response to the PfRH5 antigen, as compared to the conventional vaccine dose (1 x 10^8 ifu), 8 weeks after the primary immunization (Fig 2A; light grey bars). With respect to the induction of anti-vector immunity, lower vaccine doses by either IM ($\leq$ 1 x 10^5 ifu) or skin ($\leq$ 5 x 10^5 ifu) route, also induced significantly lower anti-adenovirus IgG responses after the first immunization, compared to the standard 1 x 10^8 ifu IM immunization (Fig 2B).

All groups were boosted by the same IM route and dose, so that post-boost immunity only reflected differences induced in the primary immunization. All groups had a significantly higher IgG to PfRH5 post-boost, compared to the primary immunization ($p < 0.05$, paired t-test) (Fig 2A). Surprisingly, mice primed with lower doses of vaccine (5×10^5 ifu and 5×10^4 ifu) using DMN patches, but not by the IM route, induced consistent and significantly higher antigen-specific IgG responses as compared to the conventional IM immunization (Fig 2A). In contrast, a high level of variability was observed in animals primed with low doses of vaccine by the IM route. The IM high dose boost caused a significant increase in anti-vector IgG in all groups as compared to the post-prime anti-vector response (Fig 2B). A dose response effect was observed with respect to anti-vector responses post-boost (Fig 2B). Priming doses less than 1×10^7 ifu by either route resulted in significantly lower post-boost anti-vector responses compared to the 1×10^8 ifu IM group.

To determine how anti-AdHu5 IgG antibodies reflected functional, adenovirus neutralising capacity, titres of neutralising antibodies (NAb) were determined by hexon infectivity assay for high and low vaccine doses by the IM and skin routes after boosting (Fig 2D). A dose dependence was observed, irrespective of route, whereby low vaccine doses (1-5 x 10^4 ifu) induced significantly weaker anti-adenovirus NAb titres as compared to priming with 1-5 x 10^7 ifu or with 1×10^8 ifu IM.

Using the antibody titres to the antigen (Fig 2A) and to the vector (Fig 2B), we analysed the skewing of the antibody response to the encoded antigen and away from the virus vector. Conventional immunization, using 1×10^8 ifu AdHu5-PfRH5 by the IM route primed a humoral response whereby the anti-transgene response was 3.5 times higher than the anti-vector antibody response, as evidenced by an equivalent or higher relative level of vector-specific antibodies compared to antigen-specific antibodies (geometric mean; 3.5, 95% CI; 1.5 to 9.2) (Fig 2C). Lowering the priming vaccine dose resulted in increased ratios of anti-PfRH5 to anti-vector responses, irrespective of route, compared to a single, conventional IM immunization. Boosting mice that were primed with 1×10^8 ifu or 1×10^7 ifu of vaccine by the IM route pushed the ratio of antibodies significantly further towards an anti-vector response, as evidenced by significant decreases in the ratio of anti-PfRH5 antibodies to anti-vector post-boost compared to post-prime. For example, in the IM 1×10^7 ifu group, the post-prime geometric mean ratio was 154 (95% CI: 49 to 488) and post-boost this dropped to a geometric mean ratio of 6 (95% CI: 3 to 11). There was no significant change in the ratio of antigen- to vector-specific antibodies from prime to boost in the lower doses (1×10^5 or 1×10^4 ifu) IM groups. Therefore at lower doses given IM, the ratio of antigen to vector specific antibodies could not be modulated by the boost. In contrast, at the lowest vaccine dose administered by DMN patches, the ratio of antigen to vector specific responses was

significantly altered, and increased, from prime to boost; the geometric mean ratio post-prime was 198 (95% CI: 131 to 299) and post-boost this significantly increased to a geometric mean ratio of 1232 (95% CI: 266 to 5713). This regimen of the lowest dose administered with a patch (DMN 5 x 10^4 ifu) and boosted with a vaccine dose of 1 x 10^8 ifu by the IM route induced the highest ratio of antigen-specific to vector-specific antibodies; these were significantly higher than the IM 1 x 10^8 ifu approach and this was the only prime-boost approach that led to a significant increase in this ratio post-boost. Overall, these results demonstrate that repeated IM immunization favours the expansion of anti-vector immune responses, whereas priming with adenovirus vaccine in DMN patches favours the enrichment of antigen-specific IgG. Priming with low vaccine doses, particularly with a DMN patch thus favours a further skew towards antigen-specific immunity.

Homologous dose and route in the boosting immunization can enhance antigen-specific antibody responses

The simplest vaccination regime would be to re-administer the same vaccine dose using the same route in second and subsequent immunizations. However it must be balanced by the induction of strong immunity that provides protection against pathogen challenge. Mice were thus immunized twice with a low (5 x 10^4 ifu) or high dose (5 x 10^7 ifu) of AdHu5-PfRH5 by the IM route or with a patch (Fig 3). After the primary immunization, the antibody responses to PfRH5 and to the adenovirus vector demonstrated the same responses as described above. After boosting, mice primed with low dose patches and boosted with high or low doses patches (DMNlo/DMNlo and DMNlo/DMNhi) had equivalent post-boost anti-PfRH5 antibody responses to repeated high dose IM immunization (Fig 3A). Only high doses of vaccine, by either route, had anti-vector antibodies (Fig 3B). Both of the low dose DMN groups exhibited significantly higher ratios of anti-PfRH5 to anti-vector antibodies after the first immunization, compared to high dose IM immunization ($p < 0.05$) (Fig 3C). After the boost, all groups, including the group receiving two high doses in a patch, exhibited significantly higher ratios of anti-PfRH5 to anti-vector antibodies as compared to repeated high dose IM immunization. This highlights that mice primed with low vaccine doses had post-boost responses dominated by anti-PfRH5 antibodies as relative to anti-vector antibodies.

These results demonstrate that priming and boosting with a low dose (5 x 10^4 ifu) of vaccine, using patches or by the IM route, significantly skews the antibody response towards the antigen and away from the vector, as compared to high dose administration.

Low dose priming induces higher levels of functional antibodies

We tested the ability of IgG purified from serum to neutralize *P. falciparum* parasites, clone 3D7, in a GIA assay[33, 34]. In the first study, serum from mice boosted with high dose vaccine by the IM route (1 x 10^8 ifu; “IM 10^8”), were evaluated (Fig 4A). Repeated high dose IM immunization induced an antibody response that contained low levels of growth inhibitory antibodies (median 45%, 95% CI; 37% to 56%). A high dose of vaccine administered by patch in the prime and boosted with high dose IM (DMN hi / IM 10^8) did not significantly increase this response. In contrast, priming with a low dose of vaccine, by the IM route or DMN patches and boosting with 1 x 10^8 ifu IM induced high levels of antibodies in serum that inhibited parasite growth. GIA levels in the patch primed group (median, 83%, 95% CI: 80-85%) were significantly higher as compared to the control, IM, immunized group.

In a second study, we examined the functional efficacy induced by repeated low dose immunization and repeated use of DMN patches (Fig 4B). This study confirmed that administration of a low dose of vaccine by the IM or the patch route primed for a high level of growth inhibitory, neutralising antibodies. Boosting with a low or high vaccine dose with a patch resulted in GIA levels that were equivalent to repeated low vaccine doses by the IM route. In contrast, repeated administration of a high dose using a patch in both immunisations (DMN hi/ DMN hi) induced lower levels of GIA.

Overall, these studies demonstrate that repeated administration of a low vaccine dose by either IM or patch route induced substantially higher GIA compared to the conventional repeated high dose IM regime (IM, 1 x 10^8 ifu). Low dose priming with a patch and heterologous boosting, using a high dose by the IM route (Fig 4A), induced the most robust and highest level of parasite growth inhibitory antibodies. This DMN lo / IM 10^8 regimen also induced the highest ratio of antigen-specific to vector-specific antibodies (Fig. 2C). Therefore, there is a balance between inducing the highest antigen-specific immunity (and functional antibodies) and using the simplest, homologous immunization regime.

Modulation of IgG subtypes by altering the dose and route of AdHu5-PfRH5.

Finally, we examined the antigen-specific IgG1 and IgG2a titres to determine if there were significant differences in the ratio of these subtypes due to the vaccine dose and route of immunization. Only groups that had high levels of GIA were examined and compared to the conventional, high dose repeated IM immunization regimen. It was observed that IMlo/IM 10^8 and DMNlo/IM 10^8 significantly increased IgG1 titres compared to repeated high dose IM (Fig 5A). A repeated low dose of vaccine administered with patches (DMNlo/DMNlo) induced significantly reduced antigen-specific IgG2a compared to repeated high dose

vaccine by the IM route. Using a patch in the prime skewed the response away from IgG2a; the ratio of IgG1:IgG2a was approximately 100-fold higher when vaccine was administered using a patch in the prime and boost (Fig 5B).

DISCUSSION

Adenovirus vectored vaccines have become a leading platform technology that is demonstrating potential across multiple infectious disease indications. For COVID-19, clinical efficacy has been demonstrated[35] and a number of adenovirus-based COVID-19 vaccines are now being globally deployed. The ability to repeatedly administer the same adenovirus serotype to significantly increase the anti-transgene immunogenicity would greatly improve immunization programmes that utilise this technology. However, the issue of anti-vector immunity[1, 36] decreases the potency of adenovirus-based vaccines upon repeated systemic use and especially within a short timeframe. Several strategies have been developed to prevent or overcome this issue, including the use of a heterologous boost, with for example, an MVA-based vector or a protein subunit boost[5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 19, 37, 38]. Our study provides a complementary approach of using skin-based delivery with dissolvable microneedle patches to significantly skew the humoral response towards the antigen and away from the vector. We determined that administering lower doses of vaccine skewed the antibody profile towards a higher proportion of antigen-specific, as compared to anti-vector, antibodies in mice. Furthermore, stabilising and administering low doses of vaccine in dissolvable microneedle patches induced the most consistent and favourable skew in the magnitude of antigen-specific IgG, as compared to IM injection of liquid vaccine. Finally, immunisation with a low vaccine dose with skin patches and boosting with a high dose by the IM route consistently induced the highest level of functional GIA antibodies against the malaria parasite. In contrast, priming with high adenovirus vaccine doses, by either route, induced poor GIA responses.

Dissolvable microneedle technologies are increasingly demonstrating potential as successful vaccine stabilisation and delivery systems[39]. We previously outlined a novel process for producing vaccine-based dissolvable microneedle patches, which does not result in any waste of precious formulated vaccine[15]. We also demonstrated short-term stability of an adenovirus virus vector in DMN patches[15]. Here, we extended this finding to demonstrate the significant stability advantages of incorporating adenovirus vectored vaccine in these patches. Previous attempts to stabilise adenovirus vectors in a solid format have involved drying it onto filter membranes[40] or lyophilisation[41]. However, decreases in vaccine viability and potency were observed when adenovirus was dried onto filter paper after 4 weeks at

37°C and 45°C and secondly, vaccine on these filters or lyophilised still requires reconstitution and the presence of needles and syringes in order to be administered. In contrast, adenovirus vaccine in our DMN patches retained full potency at 40°C for somewhere between 8 to 12 weeks and remained fully viable in this easy-to-administer, needle-free delivery system, at 25°C for at least 6 months, when this study completed. A thin film format of adenovirus has recently been described that demonstrates similar stability to what we observed in DMN patches, however the immunogenicity of an adenovirus vaccine in this film is unknown[42]. This format has similar attributes to our patches with respect to ease of administration. To our knowledge, our study is the first demonstration that a live vaccine can be stabilised to this extent in a microneedle patch format.

We previously examined the induction of immunity by adenovirus-based vaccines delivered with a silicon microneedle technology[15, 43, 44, 45]. Other studies focussed on the induction of T cell responses using long (800 μm) dissolvable microneedles fabricated from carboxymethylcellulose (CMC)[24] and only after a single immunization. In a small number of macaques, two adenovirus-based HIV vaccines were coated onto dissolving PLGA microneedles and administered twice, 12 weeks apart. T cell responses were equivalent in microneedle (MN)-treated and IM injected animals[25]. Humoral responses induced after the primary immunization increased after the second MN immunization, however it is unclear how strong this boosting effect was in comparison to IM delivery. Therefore it is possible to boost weak antigen-specific serum antibody titres in macaques by repeated Ad-DMN immunization, however the comparative magnitude of this effect to injected vaccine is unknown[25]. Our present study suggests that repeated low doses or a low/high prime/boost, repeated adenovirus regime, could be a more suitable regimen to further increase the magnitude of these responses.

Clinical trials have assessed the induction of immune responses by repeated adenovirus vector based vaccine administration. For example, repeated IM use of an adenovirus type 35 by the IM route[18] demonstrated that repeated high dose immunization induced higher CD8+ T cells to the encoded antigens, however the phenotype of the boosted CD8+ T cell response was less polyfunctional compared to a single immunization. This suggests that repeated higher doses may not be as effective at inducing protective CD8+ T cells. In malaria vaccine trials, a second immunization with two AdHu5-based vaccines, each at 1 x 10^{10} virus particles, administered concurrently, did not boost antibody responses[46]. In a phase I clinical trial of heterologous prime-boost regime for an Ebola virus vaccine, administering the lowest dose of a chimpanzee adenovirus (ChAd3, 1 x 10^{10} vp) primed for a substantially stronger IgG and neutralising antibody response subsequent to an MVA boost, as compared to priming with 2.5 x 10^{10} vp or 5 x 10^{10} vp of ChAd3, although low numbers of

volunteers in each group prevents statistical analysis[6]. Repeated use of an adenovirus-based COVID-19 vaccine, namely ChAdOx1-nCoV-19[47] or malaria vaccine, namely ChAd3-METRAP[48] did not boot T cell responses in either study but was demonstrated to be capable of increasing antibody titre and modulating antibody effector function to the spike antigen of SARS-CoV2[47] but not to the malaria antigen[48]; however robust statistical analyses was not feasible in the former study. It is well recognised that T cell receptor (TCR) stimulation strength affects the phenotype of T cell responses; including the generation and function of follicular helper T cells, which are critical to modulating the induction of B cell responses[49]. High antigen dose stimulates T cells of both high and low avidity, thereby permitting expansion of T cells with lower functional quality[49]. Furthermore, increasing antigen dose results in higher expression on T cells of inhibitory receptors, such as PD-1 and CTLA-4[50]. Here, we add further to these data by demonstrating that a low, compared to high, adenovirus vaccine dose resulted in a significant skew in antibody responses towards the encoded transgene and away from the vector. The effect of the TCR stimulation strength on T cell responses is likely one component of why lower doses of vaccine were more immunogenic in our study and potentially lead to better priming in the clinic. Decreasing the dose of total adenovirus vector proteins at the time of administration also likely reduces the opportunity for B and T cells to be exposed to vector antigens, while transgene antigen production is maintained above a critical threshold. Finally, decreasing the dose likely also reduced the induction of innate immune response genes, which have been shown to inversely correlate with antigen expression from adenovirus vectored vaccines[51].

Our findings demonstrate that priming with a low dose of adenovirus vaccine using a skin patch and performing a high dose IM boost with the same vaccine induces the highest level of functional, parasite growth inhibitory antibodies and low anti-vector responses. Fast, cost-effective deployment of vaccines is a required attribute in a vaccine-based response to disease outbreaks. Distribution in regular, room temperature drug distribution networks would address the current cold chain constraints in vaccine deployment. We have developed a stabilised vaccine platform that addresses this issue. Secondly, our skin patch system also addresses the constraints surrounding training vaccinators to prepare and inject vaccines and safely dispose of biohazardous waste. In addition to these solutions to vaccine access issues, we demonstrate here that this patch system is also capable of significantly enhancing the induction of protective immune responses as compared to conventional injection-based immunization. We conclude that further translation of this technology is warranted based on these findings and on the clinical need to address these access and efficacy issues.

METHODS

Vaccine

Replication-deficient adenovirus human serotype 5 (AdHu5) expressing full-length *Plasmodium falciparum* RH5 (AdHu5-PfRH5) was previously described[27, 31]. Antigens were cloned into a replication-deficient AdHu5 genome downstream of a mammalian secretory signal (from human tissue plasminogen activator), and viruses prepared using previously described techniques for AdHu5. All doses of vaccine are expressed as live, infectious units (ifu) but where relevant in the text, the dose measured in viral particles (vp) is also reported.

Dissolvable microneedle fabrication

Pyramidal-shaped silicon microneedles consisting of 5 × 5 array (length: 500 μm, base diameter: 333 μm, Tyndall National Institute) were used as a moulding template for fabrication of microneedle cavities in polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) moulds, as previously described[15, 52, 53]. The vaccine was formulated with a 15% (v/v) trehalose-based solution for production of dissolvable microneedle patches. Approximately 7 μL of formulation was delivered directly into 25 microneedle pores of a 1cm^2 mould. Following delivery of the formulation onto moulds, microneedles were dried for 18 hours at room temperature (approximately 21ºC) in the presence of dessicant. After transfer onto medical grade adhesive tape (1525L Poly Med tape, 3M) arrays were kept in a sealed container in a dry ambient environment in the presence of dessicant until use.

Stability Study

DMN patches containing AdHu5-PfRH5 with a dose of 1 x 10^7 ifu per 1cm^2 patch were sealed into nitrogen-purged individual glass vials with dessicant. Packaged and sealed vaccine-loaded DMN were stored in a stability chamber at 25°C and 60% relative humidity (RH) or 40°C and 75% RH, for the indicated time, according to ICH Q1A guidelines[30] (n=6 per group per timepoint, except at 6 months when n=4 per group). Samples were dissolved in 32 μL complete RPMI media and viable AdHu5-PfRH5 was quantified using a hexon immunoassay (QuickTiter Immunoassay, Cambridge Bioscience).

Immunization

Female Balb/c mice, 4-6 weeks old (Harlan, UK) were used in all experiments which were conducted in accordance with the terms of licences from the Irish Department of Health and Children, under the Cruelty to Animals Act (licence number B100/4034) and according to the approval of the UCC AECC Committee. Mice were immunized with the dose of vaccine indicated in the text and figure legends. For each patch administration, mice were pre-anesthetized with ketamine hydrochloride and medetomidine hydrochloride and the patches

were applied to the dorsal surface of each ear, pressing the fingers against the animals' skin for 10 sec. The ear was then loosely wrapped with Elastoplast to permit the maintenance of the patches on the skin. Anaesthetic reversal agent, atipamezole (anti-sedan) was then administered to mice. Patches were in place overnight as previously outlined[54]. Alternatively, vaccine was administered with a conventional 28G needle and syringe intramuscularly (IM) into the anterior thigh of the hind leg (50μL per mouse divided across both legs). Mice were primed on day 0 and boosted by the IM route or using a microneedle patch at day 54 as outlined in the figures and text.

Immunogenicity Analysis

Antibody responses to the vector and to the antigen were determined by ELISA as previously described[12 55, 56], using 100ng/well of purified recombinant PfRH5 antigen (called "RH5.1") produced from a *Drosophila melanogaster* Schneider-2 (S2) stable cell line[57] for antigen-specific responses and AdHu5-mCherry (3 x 10^8 vp/well in PBS) for vector-specific responses[12]. Endpoint titres were taken as the x-axis intercept of the dilution curve at an absorbance value 3x standard deviations greater than the OD_{595} for naïve mouse serum (typical cut-off OD_{595} for positive sera = 0.1). A standard serum sample was included in all assays as a reference control.

Functional Antibody Efficacy Analysis: Growth Inhibitory Activity Assay

The ability of antibodies to inhibit growth of P. falciparum 3D7 clone parasites in vitro was assessed by a standardized GIA assay using purified IgG at 1 mg/mL as previously described in detail[33, 34]. Briefly, purified IgGs from serum samples were pre-adsorbed with uninfected human O+ erythrocytes to remove any anti-human erythrocyte immunoglobulins, sterile filtered and heat inactivated. The GIA assay was performed on these samples using human erythrocytes parasitized with late trophozoite and schizont stages of P. falciparum. Parasite growth after 40 h of culture was determined by a biochemical assay specific for parasite lactate dehydrogenase, and the results were determined by the OD650. Values obtained with test IgGs were compared with those obtained with parasites incubated with a pool of malaria-naïve human control serum and with uninfected red cells and are reported as % GIA in the test sample versus control.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Dr. Conor O'Mahony, Tyndall National Institute, UCC for supplying PDMS moulds. Geneviève Labbé and the Viral Vector Core Facility, Jenner Institute, University of Oxford for assistance. This work was supported by Health Research Board,

Ireland, Patient Oriented Research grant POR/2012/95. SJD is a Jenner Investigator, a Lister Institute Research Prize Fellow and a Wellcome Trust Senior Fellow [106917/Z/15/Z]; for the purpose of Open Access, the author has applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

AUTHORSHIP

OF, ACM and SJD designed the experiments; OF, ACM and SJD wrote the manuscript; OF, KD, prepared the microneedle patches; OF, KD and JSL conducted immunological assays; JMM conducted GIA assays; JJ prepared the RH5.1 protein for ELISAs; SES conducted pre-GIA ELISAs; OF, ACM and SJD analyzed the data.

COMPETING INTERESTS

OF and ACM are inventors of patents that have been or may be licensed to companies developing microneedle-based products. This potential competing interest has been disclosed and is being managed by University College Cork.

SJD is a named inventor on patent applications relating to RH5 and/or adenovirus-based vaccines and immunization regimens.

All other authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests. None of the authors have personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

REFERENCES

1. Zhu, F.C. *et al.* Immunogenicity and safety of a recombinant adenovirus type-5-vectored COVID-19 vaccine in healthy adults aged 18 years or older: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial. *Lancet* **396**, 479-488 (2020).

2. Mercado, N.B. *et al.* Single-shot Ad26 vaccine protects against SARS-CoV-2 in rhesus macaques. *Nature* **586**, 583-588 (2020).

3. Ramasamy, M.N. *et al.* Safety and immunogenicity of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine administered in a prime-boost regimen in young and old adults (COV002): a single-blind, randomised, controlled, phase 2/3 trial. *Lancet* (2020).

4. Wolfson, L.J. *et al.* Estimating the costs of achieving the WHO-UNICEF Global Immunization Vision and Strategy, 2006-2015. *Bull World Health Organ* **86**, 27-39 (2008).

5. Payne, R.O. *et al.* Human vaccination against RH5 induces neutralizing antimalarial antibodies that inhibit RH5 invasion complex interactions. *JCI Insight* **2** (2017).

6. Ewer, K. *et al.* A Monovalent Chimpanzee Adenovirus Ebola Vaccine Boosted with MVA. *N Engl J Med* **374**, 1635-1646 (2016).

7. Sheehy, S.H. *et al.* Phase Ia clinical evaluation of the Plasmodium falciparum blood-stage antigen MSP1 in ChAd63 and MVA vaccine vectors. *Mol Ther* **19**, 2269-2276 (2011).

8. Milligan, I.D. *et al.* Safety and Immunogenicity of Novel Adenovirus Type 26- and Modified Vaccinia Ankara-Vectored Ebola Vaccines: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* **315**, 1610-1623 (2016).

9. Hodgson, S.H. *et al.* Combining viral vectored and protein-in-adjuvant vaccines against the blood-stage malaria antigen AMA1: report on a phase 1a clinical trial. *Mol Ther* **22**, 2142-2154 (2014).

10. Barnes, E. *et al.* Novel adenovirus-based vaccines induce broad and sustained T cell responses to HCV in man. *Sci Transl Med* **4**, 115ra111 (2012).

11. Baden, L.R. *et al.* Assessment of the Safety and Immunogenicity of 2 Novel Vaccine Platforms for HIV-1 Prevention: A Randomized Trial. *Ann Intern Med* **164**, 313-322 (2016).

12. Carey, J.B. *et al.* Microneedle-mediated immunization of an adenovirus-based malaria vaccine enhances antigen-specific antibody immunity and reduces anti-vector responses compared to the intradermal route. *Sci Rep* **4**, 6154 (2014).

13. Allen, E.A. *et al.* Dissolvable microneedle fabrication using piezoelectric dispensing technology. *Int J Pharm* **500**, 1-10 (2016).

14. Moore, A. & Vrdoljak, A. Method for fabricating a microneedle, and produced microneedle. *WO2012153266 A3* (2012).

15. Vrdoljak, A. *et al.* Induction of broad immunity by thermostabilised vaccines incorporated in dissolvable microneedles using novel fabrication methods. *J Control Release* **225**, 192-204 (2016).

16. Donadei, A., Flynn, O. & Moore, A.C. Composition and method for stabilising vaccines in a solid dosage format. *WO/2019/238779* (2019).

17. Skeiky, Y.A. & Sadoff, J.C. Advances in tuberculosis vaccine strategies. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **4**, 469-476 (2006).

18. van Zyl-Smit, R.N. *et al.* Safety and Immunogenicity of Adenovirus 35 Tuberculosis Vaccine Candidate in Adults with Active or Previous Tuberculosis. A Randomized Trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* **195**, 1171-1180 (2017).

19. Zhu, F.C. *et al.* Safety and immunogenicity of a recombinant adenovirus type-5 vector-based Ebola vaccine in healthy adults in Sierra Leone: a single-centre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial. *Lancet* **389**, 621-628 (2017).

20. Dolzhikova, I.V. *et al.* Safety and immunogenicity of GamEvac-Combi, a heterologous VSV- and Ad5-vectored Ebola vaccine: An open phase I/II trial in healthy adults in Russia. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* **13**, 613-620 (2017).

21. Folegatti, P.M. *et al.* Safety and immunogenicity of a candidate Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus viral-vectored vaccine: a dose-escalation, open-label, non-randomised, uncontrolled, phase 1 trial. *Lancet Infect Dis* **20**, 816-826 (2020).

22. Sridhar, S. *et al.* Single-dose protection against Plasmodium berghei by a simian adenovirus vector using a human cytomegalovirus promoter containing intron A. *J Virol* **82**, 3822-3833 (2008).

23. Reyes-Sandoval, A. *et al.* Single-dose immunogenicity and protective efficacy of simian adenoviral vectors against Plasmodium berghei (vol 38, pg 732, 2008). *European Journal of Immunology* **41**, 1501-1501 (2011).

24. Bachy, V. *et al.* Langerin negative dendritic cells promote potent CD8+ T-cell priming by skin delivery of live adenovirus vaccine microneedle arrays. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 3041-3046 (2013).

25. DeMuth, P.C. *et al.* Vaccine delivery with microneedle skin patches in nonhuman primates. *Nat Biotechnol* **31**, 1082-1085 (2013).

26. Draper, S.J. *et al.* Malaria Vaccines: Recent Advances and New Horizons. *Cell Host Microbe* **24**, 43-56 (2018).

27. Douglas, A.D. *et al.* A PfRH5-based vaccine is efficacious against heterologous strain blood-stage Plasmodium falciparum infection in aotus monkeys. *Cell Host Microbe* **17**, 130-139 (2015).

28. Mahdi Abdel Hamid, M. *et al.* Vaccination with Plasmodium knowlesi AMA1 formulated in the novel adjuvant co-vaccine HT protects against blood-stage challenge in rhesus macaques. *PLoS One* **6**, e20547 (2011).

29. Singh, S. *et al.* Immunity to recombinant plasmodium falciparum merozoite surface protein 1 (MSP1): protection in Aotus nancymai monkeys strongly correlates with anti-MSP1 antibody titer and in vitro parasite-inhibitory activity. *Infect Immun* **74**, 4573-4580 (2006).

30. EMEA. ICH Topic Q 1 A (R2). Stability Testing of new Drug Substances and Products. *https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/scientific-guideline/ich-q-1-r2-stability-testing-new-drug-substances-products-step-5_en.pdf* (2006).

31. Douglas, A.D. *et al.* The blood-stage malaria antigen PfRH5 is susceptible to vaccine-inducible cross-strain neutralizing antibody. *Nat Commun* **2**, 601 (2011).

32. de Cassan, S.C. *et al.* Preclinical Assessment of Viral Vectored and Protein Vaccines Targeting the Duffy-Binding Protein Region II of Plasmodium Vivax. *Front Immunol* **6**, 348 (2015).

33. Alanine, D.G.W. *et al.* Human Antibodies that Slow Erythrocyte Invasion Potentiate Malaria-Neutralizing Antibodies. *Cell* **178**, 216-228 e221 (2019).

34. Malkin, E.M. *et al.* Phase 1 clinical trial of apical membrane antigen 1: an asexual blood-stage vaccine for Plasmodium falciparum malaria. *Infect Immun* **73**, 3677-3685 (2005).

35. Voysey, M. *et al.* Safety and efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (AZD1222) against SARS-CoV-2: an interim analysis of four randomised controlled trials in Brazil, South Africa, and the UK. *Lancet* **397**, 99-111 (2021).

36. Priddy, F.H. *et al.* Safety and immunogenicity of a replication-incompetent adenovirus type 5 HIV-1 clade B gag/pol/nef vaccine in healthy adults. *Clin Infect Dis* **46**, 1769-1781 (2008).

37. Callendret, B. *et al.* A prophylactic multivalent vaccine against different filovirus species is immunogenic and provides protection from lethal infections with Ebolavirus and Marburgvirus species in non-human primates. *PLoS One* **13**, e0192312 (2018).

38. Salisch, N.C. *et al.* Adenovectors encoding RSV-F protein induce durable and mucosal immunity in macaques after two intramuscular administrations. *npj Vaccines* **4**, 54 (2019).

39. Marshall, S., Sahm, L.J. & Moore, A.C. The success of microneedle-mediated vaccine delivery into skin. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* **12**, 2975-2983 (2016).

40. Alcock, R. *et al.* Long-term thermostabilization of live poxviral and adenoviral vaccine vectors at supraphysiological temperatures in carbohydrate glass. *Sci Transl Med* **2**, 19ra12 (2010).

41. Croyle, M.A., Roessler, B.J., Davidson, B.L., Hilfinger, J.M. & Amidon, G.L. Factors that influence stability of recombinant adenoviral preparations for human gene therapy. *Pharm Dev Technol* **3**, 373-383 (1998).

42. Bajrovic, I., Schafer, S.C., Romanovicz, D.K. & Croyle, M.A. Novel technology for storage and distribution of live vaccines and other biological medicines at ambient temperature. *Sci Adv* **6**, eaau4819 (2020).

43. Carey, J.B. *et al.* Microneedle Array Design Determines the Induction of Protective Memory CD8(+) T Cell Responses Induced by a Recombinant Live Malaria Vaccine in Mice. *Plos One* **6** (2011).

44. Vrdoljak, A. *et al.* Coated microneedle arrays for transcutaneous delivery of live virus vaccines. *Journal of Controlled Release* **159**, 34-42 (2012).

45. Pearson, F.E., O'Mahony, C., Moore, A.C. & Hill, A.V. Induction of CD8(+) T cell responses and protective efficacy following microneedle-mediated delivery of a live adenovirus-vectored malaria vaccine. *Vaccine* **33**, 3248-3255 (2015).

46. Tamminga, C. *et al.* Adenovirus-5-vectored P. falciparum vaccine expressing CSP and AMA1. Part B: safety, immunogenicity and protective efficacy of the CSP component. *PLoS One* **6**, e25868 (2011).

47. Barrett, J.R. *et al.* Phase 1/2 trial of SARS-CoV-2 vaccine ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 with a booster dose induces multifunctional antibody responses. *Nat Med* (2020).

48. Bliss, C.M. *et al.* Assessment of novel vaccination regimens using viral vectored liver stage malaria vaccines encoding ME-TRAP. *Sci Rep* **8**, 3390 (2018).

49. Fazilleau, N., McHeyzer-Williams, L.J., Rosen, H. & McHeyzer-Williams, M.G. The function of follicular helper T cells is regulated by the strength of T cell antigen receptor binding. *Nature Immunology* **10**, 375-384 (2009).

50. Billeskov, R. *et al.* Low Antigen Dose in Adjuvant-Based Vaccination Selectively Induces CD4 T Cells with Enhanced Functional Avidity and Protective Efficacy. *J Immunol* **198**, 3494-3506 (2017).

51. Quinn, K.M. *et al.* Antigen expression determines adenoviral vaccine potency independent of IFN and STING signaling. *J Clin Invest* **125**, 1129-1146 (2015).

52. Donadei, A. *et al.* Skin delivery of trivalent Sabin inactivated poliovirus vaccine using dissolvable microneedle patches induces neutralizing antibodies. *J Control Release* **311-312**, 96-103 (2019).

53. Lanza, J.S. *et al.* A TLR9-adjuvanted vaccine formulated into dissolvable microneedle patches or cationic liposomes protects against leishmaniasis after skin or subcutaneous immunization. *Int J Pharm* **586**, 119390 (2020).

54. Bernelin-Cottet, C. *et al.* Electroporation of a nanoparticle-associated DNA vaccine induces higher inflammation and immunity compared to its delivery with microneedle patches in pigs. *J Control Release* **308**, 14-28 (2019).

55. Vrdoljak, A. *et al.* Coated microneedle arrays for transcutaneous delivery of live virus vaccines. *J Control Release* **159**, 34-42 (2012).

56. Draper, S.J. *et al.* Effective induction of high-titer antibodies by viral vector vaccines. *Nat Med* **14**, 819-821 (2008).

57. Jin, J. *et al.* Production, quality control, stability, and potency of cGMP-produced Plasmodium falciparum RH5.1 protein vaccine expressed in Drosophila S2 cells. *NPJ Vaccines* **3**, 32 (2018).

FIGURES

A



B



C

Figure 1: Incorporation of adenovirus vaccine into dissolvable microneedle (DMN) patches significantly stabilises the vaccine up to 6 months. AdHu5-PfRH5 containing patches were stored in a stability chamber at 25°C or 40°C, with the surrounding chamber maintained at 60% or 75% relative humidity (RH), for the indicated time. **A.** The initial vaccine dose was 1 x 10^7 ifu per 1cm^2 patch. The amount of remaining viable adenovirus was measured at 1 week and then 1, 2, 3 and 6 months after incubation of samples (n=6 per group per timepoint, except at 6 months when n=4 per group). The % remaining in the

sample was determined compared to time zero. No physical changes were observed in DMN stored at accelerated stability conditions Points represent median, error bars represent inter-quartile range. **; p < 0.01, ***; p < 0.001, compared to time zero, Kruskal-Wallis, one-way ANOVA, with Dunn's multiple comparison test **B.** 25°C, 60% RH, or **C.** 40°C, 75% RH, for 6 months.



Figure 2: Effect of vaccine dose and route on the induction of IgG antibodies to PfRH5 antigen and to the adenovirus vector. Female Balb/c mice (n=5 per group) were immunized on day 0 with AdHu5-PfRH5 by the IM route or using DMN patches. The following doses were administered by IM (from left to right); 1 x 10^8 ifu, 1 x 10^7 ifu, 1 x 10^5 ifu or 1 x 10^4 ifu to each mouse. Alternatively, 5 x 10^7 ifu, 5 x 10^5 ifu or 5 x 10^4 ifu were administered in 2 patches to each mouse; one patch per ear. Serum IgG responses were assessed 8 weeks after the priming immunization (light grey bars). All mice were boosted on day 54 with 1 x 10^8 ifu AdHu5-PfRH5 by the IM route and post-boost IgG responses were assessed 2 weeks after this boost (dark grey bars). **A.** IgG responses to the encoded antigen PfRH5. *; p < 0.05, **; p < 0.01, ***; p < 0.001 by one-way ANOVA, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison. Light grey lines and stars indicate significant differences across post-prime samples, dark grey lines indicate significant differences across post-boost samples. **B.** IgG responses to the AdHu5 vector. *; p < 0.05, **; p < 0.01, ***; p < 0.001 compared to control, IM 1 x 10^8 ifu. **C.** The ratio of anti-PfRH5 IgG titre to anti-vector IgG in each animal. *; p < 0.05, **; p < 0.01, ***; p < 0.001 compared to control, IM 1 x 10^8 ifu. $; p < 0.05, $$; p < 0.01 of post-prime compared to post-boost within the same group. **D.** Neutralising antibody responses to AdHu5 vector 2 weeks after boosting. "IM lo" and "DMN lo" refers to priming mice with a low dose of 1-5 x 10^4 ifu by IM injection or DMN

patch, whereas “IM hi” and “DMN hi” refers to a high dose of 1-5 x 10^7 ifu by IM or DMN patch-based administration in the prime *; $p < 0.05$, compared to control, IM 1 x 10^8 ifu. Bars represent median, error bars represent interquartile range, dots represent individual samples.



Figure 3. Antibody responses induced using a homologous dose and route in the prime and the boost. Female Balb/c mice (n=5 per group) were immunized on day 0 with AdHu5-PfRh5 by the IM route or using DMN patches. The conventional priming and boosting by the IM route, using 1 x 10^8 ifu acting as a control. Alternatively, mice were administered a **low dose of 5 x 10^4 ifu** by IM injection or DMN patch (“IM lo” or “DMN lo” or a **high dose of 5 x 10^7 ifu by DMN patch (“DMN hi**”). Serum IgG responses were assessed 8 weeks after the priming immunization. All mice were boosted on day 54 with a low or high dose of vaccine by the indicated route and post-boost IgG responses were assessed 2 weeks after this boost. **A.** IgG responses to the encoded antigen PfRH5. **B.** IgG responses to the AdHu5 vector. **C.** The ratio of the IgG titre to PfRH5 to the vector in each animal. *; $p < 0.05$, ***; $p < 0.001$ by one-way ANOVA, Kruskal-Wallis test, with Dunn’s multiple comparison test compared to IM 10^8 in all graphs. Bars represent median, error bars represent interquartile range, circles represent individual samples.



Figure 4. The dose and route of immunization impacts on functional antibody activity against malaria parasites. Female Balb/c mice (n=10 per group) were immunized on day 0 with AdHu5-PfRH5 by the IM route or using DMN patches. The conventional priming and boosting by the IM route, used 1 x 10^8 ifu and acted as a control regime. Alternatively, mice were administered a **low dose of 5 x 10^4 ifu** by IM injection or DMN patch ("IM lo" or "DMN lo") or a **high dose of 5 x 10^7 ifu by DMN patch ("DMN hi**"). All mice were boosted on day 54 with **(A)** the control dose of 1 x 10^8 ifu by the IM route or **(B)** with a low or high dose of vaccine by the indicated route. The level of growth inhibitory antibodies to *P. falciparum* 3D7 clone blood-stage parasites was assessed in purified IgG from serum taken 4 weeks post-boost and pooled into 3 or 6 samples, depending on volumes of available serum. *; $p < 0.05$, by one-way ANOVA. Bars represent median, error bars represent interquartile range, circles represent individual samples.



Figure 5; IgG subtypes induced by repeated immunization with low or high dose of vaccine by IM or skin route. Female Balb/c mice (n=5 per group) were immunized on day 0 with AdHu5-PfRH5 by the IM route or using DMN patches. Mice were administered the standard 1 x 10^8 ifu by IM injection twice ("IM 10^8 / IM 10^8"). Alternatively, mice were administered a low dose of 5 x 10^4 ifu by IM injection or DMN patch ("IM lo" or "DMN lo") or a high dose of 5 x 10^7 ifu by DMN patch ("DMN hi"). All mice were boosted on day 54 with a low or high dose or 1 x 10^8 ifu of vaccine by the indicated route. **(A)** The endpoint titre of RH5-specific IgG1 (dark grey bars) and IgG2a (light grey bars) in serum taken at day 84 (4 weeks post-boost). **(B)** The ratio of IgG1 to IgG2a in each animal. Bars represent median, lines represent interquartile range, triangles and circles represent individual samples. **; $p < 0.01$; , ***; $p < 0.0005$ by Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA comparing all groups to IM hi/IM hi.