A structural basis for inhibition of the complement initiator protease C1r by Lyme disease spirochetes

Ryan J. Garrigues[a], Alexandra D. Powell[b], Michal Hammel[c],

Jon T. Skare[b,*], and Brandon L. Garcia[a,*]

[a]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Brody School of Medicine, East Carolina University, Greenville, North Carolina, United States of America

[b]Department of Microbial Pathogenesis and Immunology, College of Medicine, Texas A&M University, Bryan/College Station, Texas, United States of America

[c]Molecular Biophysics and Integrated Bioimaging, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA

Classification

BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES: Microbiology

Keywords

Complement system, *Borreliella burgdorferi*, complement evasion, small angle x-ray scattering, BBK32

Author Contributions

*These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence to Brandon L. Garcia (garciabr18@ecu.edu) and Jon T. Skare (jskare@tamu.edu).

J.T.S. and B.L.G. designed research; R.J.G., A.D.P., and M.H. performed research; R.J.G., A.D.P., M.H., J.T.S., and B.L.G. analyzed data; R.J.G., A.D.P., M.H., J.T.S, and B.L.G wrote the paper.

This PDF file includes:

Main Text
Figures 1 to 6
Table 1

Abstract

The complement system is a proteolytic cascade involving dozens of soluble and membrane-associated proteins that function in recognition, opsonization, and elimination of foreign or damaged cells of host origin. Complement evasion is a hallmark of certain human microbial pathogens such as *Borreliella burgdorferi*, the causative agent of Lyme disease, as well as related spirochetes. Lyme disease spirochetes express nearly a dozen outer surface lipoproteins that bind complement components and interfere with their native activities. Among these, BBK32 is unique in its selective inhibition of the classical pathway of complement. Previously we have shown that BBK32 blocks activation of this pathway by selectively binding and inhibiting the C1r serine protease subcomponent of the first component of complement, C1. To understand the structural basis for BBK32-mediated C1r inhibition, we performed size exclusion chromatography-coupled small angle x-ray scattering experiments, which revealed a molecular model of BBK32-C in complex with a truncated form of activated human C1r. Structure-guided site-directed mutagenesis was combined with surface plasmon resonance binding experiments and assays of complement function to validate the predicted molecular interface. Analysis of the structure shows that BBK32 inhibits C1r by occluding important substrate interaction subsites (i.e. S1 and S1') within the active site of C1r and reveals a surprising role for the C1r B loop in the BBK32 inhibitory model and overall C1r enzyme function. The studies reported here provide a structural basis for classical pathway-specific inhibition by a human pathogen and provide new insight into means for therapeutic inhibition of C1r in complement-related diseases.

Significance Statement

Complement is a major arm of the innate immune system, which functions in the recognition and destruction of pathogenic microbes. Bloodborne pathogens like the Lyme disease spirochete,

Borreliella burgdorferi, have evolved sophisticated mechanisms to evade complement-mediated

killing. In this study we provide a structural basis for how a complement inhibitor from *B.*

burgdorferi, designated BBK32, inhibits the initiating protease of the classical pathway of

complement, C1r. A molecular understanding for the unique complement inhibitory properties of

BBK32 improves our knowledge of how human pathogens combat one arm of the innate immune

response and reveals new ways to target C1r in complement-related autoimmune and inflammatory

diseases.

63
64

Introduction

The complement system consists of dozens of interacting soluble and surface-associated proteins that together form a primary arm of the innate immune system (1, 2). Activation of complement on a microbial surface leads to opsonization, recruitment of professional phagocytes, and in many cases, direct cellular lysis. Complement activation involves a cascading series of proteolytic reactions catalyzed by specialized serine proteases that cleave circulating inert complement components into activated fragments. The cascade can be initiated in multiple ways, leading to a canonical grouping of complement activation into three pathways known as the classical, lectin, and alternative pathways. The classical pathway is initiated by a multiprotein complex known as the first component of complement, C1. C1 is composed of C1q, two molecules of C1r, and two molecules of C1s (C1qr$_2$s$_2$). C1q serves as the pattern recognition molecule of the classical pathway, binding to immune complexes and non-antibody ligands resulting in zymogen activation of the attached C1r and C1s proteases. The lectin pathway is similarly initiated by pattern recognition, whereby mannan-binding lectin (MBL), ficolins, collectin-10 or collectin-11 bind foreign carbohydrate structures and cause activation of the MBL-associated proteases (MASPs). In contrast, the alternative pathway does not rely on pattern recognition but is instead continuously activated at a low level by a process known as 'tick-over'. All three initiating pathways converge at the cleavage of the central molecule of the cascade, complement component C3. C3 activation leads to formation of surface-bound enzyme complexes known as convertases that drive complement amplification and terminal pathway activation, ultimately resulting in the formation of the terminal pathway complete complex, C5b-9, also known as the membrane attack complex (MAC) (1, 2).

Complement activation is a finely tuned process controlled by endogenous regulators of

complement activity (RCAs) (3). RCAs are critical in preventing inappropriate complement

activation on the surface of healthy host cells. Indeed, aberrant activation or overactivation of

complement causes or exacerbates a wide range of human autoimmune, inflammatory, and

neurodegenerative diseases (4–6). Microbes lack RCAs and thus many human pathogens have

evolved sophisticated complement evasion systems to prevent complement-mediated attack (7). A

prototypical example of a bacterial complement evasion system is found in *Borreliella* spirochetes

(8, 9). *Borreliella* gen. nov. encompasses the etiological agents of Lyme disease including the

major genospecies *Borreliella burgdorferi, B. afzelii,* and *B. garinii* (10).   The *Borreliella*

complement evasion repertoire is functionally overlapping and is categorized by two general

mechanisms (8, 9). The first mechanism involves functional recruitment of host RCAs to the

spirochete surface such as factor H (FH) (11–20). Among this group are the complement regulator

acquiring surface proteins (CRASPs) which include three distinct classes of FH-binding proteins

called CspA (CRASP-1), CspZ (CRASP-2), and three OspEF-related family paralogs known as

ErpP (CRASP-3), ErpC (CRASP-4), and ErpA (CRASP-5) (11–20). The second general

mechanism of complement evasion by outer surface *Borreliella* proteins involves a direct mode of

inhibition. Known inhibitors from this group include *B. bavariensis* BGA66 and BGA71 (21), a

FH-binding independent activity for CspA (22), and a protein of unknown molecular identity with

CD59-like activity that each interact with components of C5b-9 and prevent MAC formation (23).

In addition, two *Borreliella* outer surface lipoproteins are known to directly interfere with

upstream initiation steps of complement: OspC which binds to C4b and prevents classical/lectin

pathway proconvertase formation (24), and BBK32 which selectively blocks classical pathway

activation (25).

B. burgdorferi BBK32 is an outer surface-localized lipoprotein upregulated during the

vertebrate infection phase of the *B. burgdorferi* lifecycle (26). BBK32 is a multifunctional 47 kDa

protein with non-overlapping N-terminal binding sites for host glycosaminoglycans and

fibronectin (27, 28). Interactions by BBK32 with these molecules at the host endothelium are

cooperative and function to permit *B. burgdorferi* extravasation during hematogenous

dissemination (29, 30). More recently, we identified a potent complement inhibitory activity for

the C-terminal domain of BBK32 (BBK32-C, hereafter) (25). BBK32-C binds directly to the C1

complex and blocks the earliest proteolytic events of the classical pathway of complement (25).

The classical pathway-selective inhibitory activity of BBK32 – which arises due to its interaction

with the classical pathway-specific complex, C1 – is unique among known microbial complement

evasion proteins.

We have previously shown that BBK32-C binds the human C1 complex by specifically

recognizing the C1r subcomponent with high-affinity (25). Recently we obtained a high-resolution

crystal structure of BBK32-C and mapped its binding site on human C1r to the serine protease

(SP) domain (31). Collectively, these studies showed that BBK32-C is a noncovalent inhibitor of

C1r that is capable of blocking cleavage of both of its physiological substrates, notably, C1r itself

via autoactivation, as well as its partner protease in the C1 complex, C1s (25). However, the

structural basis and mechanism of action for BBK32-mediated C1r inhibition remains unknown.

To gain further insight into the nature of the BBK32/C1r protein-protein interaction, we carried

out a series of size exclusion chromatography coupled small angle x-ray scattering (SEC-SAXS)

experiments followed by a structure-guided mutagenesis strategy involving biophysical,

biochemical, and microbiological approaches. These investigations reveal the structural basis for

C1r inhibition by Lyme disease spirochetes, provide insight into BBK32's C1r selectivity, reveal

a convergent inhibitory mechanism between a spirochete and a hematophagous eukaryotic

organism, and uncover a previously unrecognized role for the B loop in C1r enzyme function.

Results

The Solution Structure of the BBK32-C/C1r-CCP2-SP Complex.

SAXS curves were obtained for recombinant BBK32-C (**Fig. S1, A, D**), a recombinant

autoactivated C1r domain truncation construct (hereafter, C1r-CCP2-SP) (**Fig. S1, B, E**), and an

equimolar mixture of BBK32-C and C1r-CCP2-SP (**Figs. 1A and S1C**). Radius of gyration (R_g)

values of 21.3, 24.0, and 27.8 Å were calculated from the Guinier region of the scattering curves

for BBK32-C, C1r-CCP2-SP, and BBK32-C/C1r-CCP2-SP samples, respectively (**Table 1**, **Figs.**

1A, S1 D, E insets). SAXS and multi-angle light scattering (MALS) determined molecular weights

(i.e., MW_{SAXS} and MW_{MALS}) are consistent with BBK32-C and C1r-CCP2-SP behaving as

monomeric species in solution (**Table 1**). For the BBK32-C/C1r-CCP2-SP sample $MW_{SAXS} = 55.6$

kDa (32) and $MW_{MALS} = {\sim}55\text{-}65$ kDa were determined, which are close to the expected molecular

weight of a 1:1 complex ($MW_{sequence} = 54.6$ kDa). Analysis of each scattering curve using a pair-

wise distribution function, P(r), is also consistent with complex formation as evidenced by the

shape of the overlaid P(r) profiles and increased maximum particle size (D_{max}) of the BBK32-

C/C1r-CCP2-SP samples relative to BBK32-C or C1r-CCP2-SP alone (**Fig. 1B, Table 1**).

Next, we compared the solution state of each unbound protein to available high-resolution

crystal structures of BBK32-C (PDB: 6N1L (31)) and the activated form of C1r-CCP2-SP (PDB:

1MD8, (33)). First, MODELLER (34) as implemented in UCSF Chimera (35), was used to model

missing loops into each structure, and theoretical scattering profiles of each model were then

generated and compared against the raw scattering profiles using Fast X-ray Scattering (FoXS)

(36, 37). Good agreement between theoretical and experimental SAXS curves were obtained for

BBK32-C (χ = 2.08) and C1r-CCP2-SP (χ = 1.06) atomistic models (**Fig. S1 D, E Table 1**).

Collectively, analysis of the experimental SAXS curves strongly suggests that solution states of

unbound BBK32-C and activated C1r-CCP2-SP are in agreement with previously published

crystal structures and that BBK32-C/C1r-CCP2-SP forms a 1:1 stoichiometric complex in

solution.

To better define the molecular interface formed between BBK32 and human C1r, we employed

a SAXS-restrained macromolecular computational docking approach using FoXSDock (38). This

method – which relies on the availability of high-resolution structures of the individual complex

components – involves initial docking using a geometric shape-matching algorithm, coarse SAXS

filtering of the resulting docking models, scoring of the interface energies, and scoring the fit of

the model's theoretical SAXS profiles to the raw SAXS profile of the BBK32-C/C1r-CCP2-SP

complex (37). BBK32-C and C1r-CCP2-SP were docked as a ligand-receptor pair and each model

was compared against the BBK32-C/C1r-CCP2-SP SAXS curve. The top four models (as judged

by Z scores (38)), each exhibited nearly identical protein-protein interfaces involving BBK32-C

contact residues originating from helix 1, 5 and the short loop connecting helix 1 and 2. Consistent

with our previous mapping study (25), all C1r interactions in these four models involve only

residues within the C1r SP domain. We then reconstructed a molecular envelope using DAMMIF

(39, 40), which produced a distinctive chevron shape (**Figs. 1C,** gray envelope). Superimposition

of the top scoring FoxsDock model onto the shape envelope results in a close match, further

supporting the validity of the interface (**Fig. 1C**). Minimal molecular dynamics simulations using

BILBOMD (41) were performed on the best scoring model (χ = 1.28) to eliminate potential

clashes between side chains at the interface, further improving the model's SAX score (χ = 1.24)

(**Fig. 1C**).

Analysis and Validation of the BBK32-C/C1r-CCP2-SP Structure

Protein-protein interaction analysis was then carried out using the programs PISA and CCP4 CONTACT (42, 43). The model predicts an interaction between BBK32-C and C1r-CCP2-SP involving 28 contact residues on the BBK32-C side and 34 contact residues from human C1r, resulting in a total buried surface area (b.s.a.) of 1,270 $Å^2$ (**Fig. 2A**). To validate the predicted interface, we carried out extensive structure-guided site-directed mutagenesis. A total of eleven BBK32-C residues comprising > 50% of the total surface area buried by BBK32-C were changed to alanine in single and/or double mutant forms. These mutants were then evaluated for direct binding to C1r-CCP2-SP by surface plasmon resonance (SPR). Consistent with our previous SPR binding measurements of BBK32-C for C1, C1r, proteolytic fragments of C1r, and HIS-tagged recombinant domain truncations of C1r (25, 31), BBK32-C bound to activated C1r-CCP2-SP with high affinity, exhibiting an equilibrium dissociation constant (K_D) of 0.5 nM (**Fig. 2B**). Three different double alanine mutants involving mutagenesis of small contiguous contact surfaces, as predicted by the SAXS structure, resulted in a 1,020-fold (K327A-T331A), 114-fold (T242A-Y245A), and 960-fold (Y323A-E324A) reduction in affinity for C1r-CCP2-SP, whereas a fourth such mutant retained an affinity similar to wild-type (L236A-T239A) (**Figs. 2B, S2-S3**). In the case of T242A-Y245A and Y323A-E324A, single mutants retained wild-type-like affinity, indicating that the observed affinity loss in the double mutants was due to a cumulative disruption of a larger contact surface area (**Fig. 2B**). In contrast, a single K327A mutant resulted in a 240-fold loss in affinity, whereas the paired T331A single mutant had near wild-type affinity (**Fig. 2B**). Additional mutagenesis was directed at two charged residues predicted by the BBK32-C/C1r-CCP2-SP structure to form sidechain-mediated hydrogen bonds (R248 and R337). While a single R337A mutant exhibited wild-type like affinity, mutation of R248 resulted in a 12-fold loss in

affinity for C1r-CCP2-SP (**Fig. 2B, S2**). Although they do not form a contiguous binding surface, a pairing of the two strongest loss-of-affinity single mutants (i.e., R248A-K327A), produced a double mutant where no detectable binding signal was observed (**Fig. 2B**).

R248 and K327 are Critical for BBK32-C Complement Inhibitory Activity

Next we tested our panel of recombinant mutants for their ability to block serum-based human complement activation in an ELISA assay that detects the classical pathway activation product C4b. BBK32-C exhibited a half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC_{50}) of 15 nM in this assay format (**Fig. 3A, Table S1**), consistent with our previously reported value of 5.6 nM (25). With the exception of E324A, which is discussed below, near wild-type IC_{50} values were measured for BBK32-C mutants that retained high affinity for C1r-CCP2-SP, although we noted a modest reduction in inhibitory activity in the Y245A (~5-fold), N251A (~6-fold) and Y323A (~2-fold) single mutants (**Fig. S4 and Table S1**). Importantly, in all cases where mutants exhibited a strong loss of affinity for C1r-CCP2-SP, they were also greatly impaired in their ability to block classical pathway activation. For example, the contiguous surface double mutants Y323A-E324A and K327A-T331A failed to block complement at any concentration, while a ~430-fold weaker IC_{50} value for T242A-Y245A was measured (**Fig. S4 and Table S1**). Likewise, the R248A mutant was attenuated ~28-fold, the K327A mutant did not block at the concentrations used, and the R248A-K327A mutant was attenuated ~400-fold (**Figs. 3A, and Table S1**).

Based on the SAXS-derived BBK32-C/C1r-CCP2-SP structure we were able to identify several BBK32 residues that mediate its binding and inhibitory activity, ultimately resulting in the identification of two "hot-spot" residues, R248 and K327. To study the role of these two residues in a more physiological setting, we produced site-directed BBK32 'knock-in' strains in the *B. burgdorferi* B314 genetic background. *B. burgdorferi* B314 lacks linear plasmids that encode

many of the outer surface proteins associated with infection, including BBK32 (44, 45).

Previously we have shown that when wild-type BBK32 is expressed heterologously on the surface

of *B. burgdorferi* B314, it protects these normally serum-sensitive spirochetes from human

complement-mediated bacteriolysis (25, 31). Introduction of the R248A mutant into *B. burgdorferi*

B314 resulted in a minimal reduction in inhibitory activity relative to wild-type BBK32, while

spirochetes expressing K327A were significantly reduced in their sensitivity to human serum.

Spirochetes transformed with the *bbk32* R248A-K327A allele lost all protective activity and were

indistinguishable from the vector-only control (**Fig. 3B**). These data confirmed that the R248 and

K327 residues are critical for eliciting complement inhibitory activity in the context of full-length

BBK32 on the outer surface of *B. burgdorferi*. Western immunoblots showed that full length

BBK32 was produced equivalently in all mutants tested, indicating that the enhanced sensitivity

of the R248-K327A double mutant was not the result of proteolytic instability (**Fig S5**). The

functional importance of these residues is further supported by the complete loss of inhibitory

activity by recombinant BBK32-R248A-K327A in an enzymatic assay that monitors the

proteolytic activation of a natural C1r substrate, proenzyme C1s, by purified full-length C1r

enzyme (**Fig. 3C**).

BBK32 Occludes Subsites Within the Active Site of C1r

Having taken steps to validate the SAXS-derived structural model, and in so doing, identifying

key interactions that mediate BBK32-C/C1r-CCP2-SP complex formation, we then analyzed the

structure for potential clues into the mechanism of C1r inhibitory action by BBK32. C1r is a

modular serine protease with a chymotrypsin-like SP domain (46). We noted that the BBK32

binding site on human C1r is in close proximity to the C1r catalytic site (**Fig. 4A**). Although limited

contacts are made in the model with active site residues (28 Å^2 b.s.a.), much more extensive

interactions are made with the specificity pocket subsites S1 (176 $Å^2$ b.s.a.) and S1' (167 $Å^2$ b.s.a.)

(**Fig. 4B**). Residues involved in S1 (red) and S1' (blue) subsite interactions were mapped onto the

BBK32-C surface (**Fig. 4B**). These include three residues (T242, Y245, and R248) that were

shown to have loss of function effects when mutated to alanine (**Figs. 2-3**). The steric occlusion

of the S1 and S1' site by BBK32 predicts that it would be capable of blocking the hydrolysis of

small peptide C1r substrates. To examine this, we assayed C1r-CCP2-SP cleavage of a

colorimetric peptide substrate that requires only P2-P1-P1' access (i.e. Z-Gly-Arg-thiobenzyl) in

the presence of increasing concentrations of inhibitor. BBK32-C, but not the negative control (i.e.

BBK32-R248A-K327A), dose-dependently blocked cleavage of this small substrate (**Fig. 4C**).

BBK32-C Targets Non-Conserved C1r Loops Outside of the Active Site

We have previously shown that BBK32 is highly selective for C1r binding relative to C1s

(25). Sequence alignment of the SP domains of C1r and C1s reveal that 25 of the 34 human C1r

contact residues in the BBK32-C/C1r-CCP2-SP SAXS structure are non-identical in C1s (**Fig. 5**).

Most of these differences arise from contacts involving the S1' site and four C1r loops (i.e. A, B,

D, E loops), suggesting these interactions may underly the selectivity of BBK32 for C1r. To gain

further insight into this possibility, we compared the interface of BBK32-C/C1r-CCP2-SP present

in the SAXS structure to that of a previously published structure of a protein inhibitor of both the

classical and lectin complement pathways known as gigastasin (47). Gigastasin is produced by the

giant Amazonian leech (*Haementeria ghilianii*), but it differs in selectivity from BBK32 as it

blocks C1s, MASP-1 and MASP-2, but is a relatively weak inhibitor of C1r (47). Interestingly, the

gigastasin/C1s protein-protein interface shares common features with the BBK32-C/C1r-CCP2-

SP SAXS structure presented here. Namely, both inhibitors form extensive interactions with the

S1 and S1' subsites of their respective target enzymes. Furthermore, BBK32 and gigastasin both

interact with the A, D, and E loops as well as loop 2 (**Fig. 5**). Given the vastly different three-

dimensional structures of BBK32 and gigastasin (**Fig. 5**), it appears that a bloodborne prokaryote

(*B. burgdorferi*) and a blood-feeding eukaryote (*H. ghilianii*) have evolved complement inhibitors

with convergent mechanisms of action.

BBK32 Forms Extensive Interactions with the C1r B loop

Despite the common features of BBK32 and gigastasin described above, we also noted that

BBK32 is unique in that it makes extensive interactions with the B loop of C1r. This loop contains

an insertion sequence making it longer in C1r compared to most other complement serine

proteases, including C1s (**Fig. 5**). Of the 16 residues that comprise the C1r B loop, 10 form contacts

with BBK32-C. Of these 10 contact residues, none are identical at the homologous position in C1s

(**Fig. 5**). In the BBK32-C/C1r-CCP2-SP SAXS structure, the B loop sits directly across from the

small kinked region of alpha helix 5 on BBK32, where K327 resides (**Fig. 6A**). Mutation of K327

to alanine resulted in our most severe loss of function for a single mutant in *in vitro* biochemical

assays (**Figs. 2 and 3**), underscoring the critical nature of the BBK32-C/C1r-CCP2-SP$_\text{B loop}$

interface in driving both affinity and inhibitory activity of BBK32. To investigate this further, we

produced a construct of C1r-CCP2-SP where the three B loop residues in closest proximity to

BBK32-K327 were changed to alanine (i.e. N514A-S516A-D518A) (**Fig. 6A**). Interestingly, this

mutant resulted in only a two-fold reduction in affinity (K_D) for BBK32-C owed to a decreased

association rate constant (k_a), whereas the dissociation rate constant (k_d) was similar to that of wild-

type C1r-CCP2-SP (**Fig. 6B, Table S1**). This finding suggests that BBK32-K327 may form

compensatory interactions with this mutant. Nonetheless, these data collectively indicate that

interactions made by BBK32 outside of the C1r active site are important to its inhibitory activity.

Residues in the C1r B loop are Important for C1r Function

A role for the B loop in C1r function has not been previously described. However, the

extensive interactions formed with this relatively unique region of C1r by an evolutionarily

optimized inhibitor like BBK32, suggested it may be important to the enzymatic function of C1r.

To test this hypothesis, we measured the ability of our C1r-CCP2-SP B loop mutant (i.e. N514A-

S516A-D518A) to activate a natural substrate, proenzyme C1s, by comparing its activity to C1r-

CCP2-SP wild-type enzyme. In two different assay formats of proenzyme C1s cleavage, we found

that the C1r-CCP2-SP-N514A-S516A-D518A mutant protein was significantly impaired in its

ability to cleave proenzyme C1s (**Figs. 6C, D**). These data support the idea that residues residing

outside of the C1r active site, notably B loop residues, are important to its role as the initiator

protease of the classical pathway.

Discussion

In the absence of regulation, complement activation at the microbial surface leads to

deposition of C3b or C4b on the microbe surface, opsonization by innate immune cells due to the

presence of immobilized C3b and C4b, potent recruitment of leukocytes by the anaphylatoxins

C3a and C5a, stimulation of the adaptive immune response by each of these fragments, and direct

bactericidal action by C5b-9 (i.e., MAC) (1, 2). While intracellular pathogens may be protected by

complement within an intracellular niche, extracellular pathogens like *Borreliella* spirochetes face

a continuous threat from the complement systems of their hosts. *Borreliella* first encounter

complement during the bloodmeal of an infected *Ixodes* sp. tick. Following transmission from their

arthropod vector into the vertebrate host, spirochetes must continue to protect themselves from

complement during hematogenous dissemination, a challenge that persists once they colonize

distant tissues. To evade complement attack, Lyme disease spirochetes express nearly a dozen

different outer surface lipoproteins that directly interact with complement proteins and modulate their native activities (8, 9).

Like Lyme disease spirochetes, other bloodborne pathogens and blood feeding eukaryotes have evolved complement evasion systems. For example, potent direct inhibitors of complement are produced in the salivary glands of several species of ticks, including *Ixodes* sp. (48–52). Similarly, blood-feeding insects like sandflies (53) and mosquitos (54), along with other human bacterial pathogens such as *Staphylococcus aureus* (7, 55, 56), all produce a wide array of mechanistically distinct protein inhibitors of the complement cascade. However, among known complement evasion molecules, relatively few target the classical pathway with specificity, and to our knowledge BBK32 remains the only reported macromolecule of natural origin that selectively blocks C1r (56). Despite this, our study identifies a surprising convergence of function between *B. burgdorferi* BBK32 and a complement protease inhibitor of the classical and lectin pathways previously identified in the giant Amazonian leech (i.e. gigastasin). Each inhibitor functions, at least in part, by steric occlusion of the subsites upon enzyme-inhibitor complex formation (47). A major difference between the gigastasin and BBK32 enzyme-inhibitor complexes involve interactions made by BBK32 with the C1r B loop, which appear to underly BBK32's C1r selectivity over other closely related complement proteases such as C1s (**Fig. 5**).

Our study suggests that BBK32 inhibits human C1r by simultaneously targeting its active site, as well as functionally critical non-active site, residues. For example, BBK32 residue R248 – which binds to the C1r S1 subsite – serves as a prototypical example of BBK32's active site targeted inhibitory mode (**Figs. 2-4**). Separate from these active site targeting residues, we also identified an important interaction involving non-active site residues on the C1r B loop with residues from the fifth alpha helix of BBK32 (i.e., K327) (**Figs. 2, 3, 6B**). While the functional

role of the B loop in C1r is not well understood, we showed here that it is important in C1r enzyme

function (**Fig. 6C, D**). The increased length of the B loop in C1r is unique to a select group of

chymotrypsin-like serine proteases, including the coagulation cascade protease thrombin, where it

functions as a determinant of substrate specificity (57). Intriguingly, studies involving B loop

deletion mutants of thrombin have revealed a critical role for this loop in substrate recognition

(58). Whether this is also the case for C1r requires more study.

We note that a prerequisite for potent BBK32-mediated inhibition is high-affinity interaction

with C1r, as evidenced by a strong reduction in activity by all loss-of-affinity mutants tested here.

However, a curious exception to this expected high-affinity/high-potency relationship is BBK32-

E324A. This mutant was reduced only three-fold in affinity for C1r-CCP2-SP (**Fig. 2**), but by

several orders of magnitude in inhibitory activity (**Fig. S4**). BBK32-E324 is located next to K327

on the face of alpha helix 5 of BBK32 and, like K327, forms contacts with the C1r B loop. In our

previous study we coincidentally probed the function of this residue position while investigating

the reduced complement inhibitory activity of a BBK32 homologue from *B. garinii*, termed

BGD19 (31). In that study, a triple mutant chimeric recombinant protein termed BXK32-C was

created to convert BBK32 to BGD19 at three non-conserved residue positions (i.e., BBK32-

E308K-Q319K-E324Q) (31). This mutant shifted from BBK32-like to BGD19-like inhibitory

activity, leading us to conclude that at least one of these three surface-exposed residues was

important for the complement inhibitory activity of BBK32. Among the three residues in the

BXK32 mutant, only E324 is a contact residue in the BBK32-C/C1r-CCP2-SP SAXS structure.

Similar to the activity reported here for BBK32-E324A, recombinant BGD19 and BXK32 retained

high affinity for human C1r while having a greatly reduced inhibitory activity. While the

underlying reasons for the disconnect between the binding and inhibitory activities of these

proteins remain unclear, these data suggest that specific conformations of the B loop may be

important for C1r function. Furthermore, given that mutants like BBK32-E324A retain all of the

necessary physicochemical information to target the active site (i.e. R248, etc.), these data suggest

that the ability of BBK32 to target the active site is necessary but not sufficient to attain its full

inhibitory activity.

Interestingly, genospecies of *Borreliella* vary widely in their susceptibility to serum-based

complement-mediated bacteriolysis (59). While the categorizations are general and not without

exceptions, *B. burgdorferi* is typically considered serum-resistant whereas *B. garinii* is susceptible

to killing by human serum. The overall susceptibility of a spirochete to a particular host

complement system is related to the collective activities of the larger *Borreliella* complement

evasion system (i.e., CRASPs, OspC, BBK32, etc.). However, insight into this host association

phenomenon may be gained from analysis of a single *Borreliella* complement inhibitor, in this

case BBK32. Our original analysis of *B. garinii* BGD19, discussed above, implicated three

differentially encoded residues in BGD19 likely involved in its activity difference relative to

BBK32. Here we have pinpointed the likely cause of the reduced BGD19 activity to a single

contact residue in the BBK32/C1r-CCP2-SP structure, residue position E324. Unlike R248 and

K327, which drive human C1r affinity and are universally conserved across publicly available *B.*

burgdorferi genome sequences, position 324 is less conserved (**Fig. S6**). We speculate that

variation in position 324 in naturally occurring BBK32 sequences may allow for optimal inhibition

of C1r in other vertebrates as the sequence of the C1r B loop is one of the least conserved features

within vertebrate C1r. Further studies, including high-resolution structural information involving

synthetic or naturally occurring BBK32 sequences such as *B. garinii* BGD19, along with

mechanistic studies involving targeted C1r B loop mutants, will be needed to further test this

possibility.

C1r's role as the initiator protease of the classical pathway remains its only known

physiological function. Aberrant activation of the classical pathway has been linked to a wide

range of human autoimmune and inflammatory conditions, and C1r presents a promising target for

classical pathway-specific therapeutic interventions (6, 60, 61). Given that BBK32-mediated C1r

inhibition has arisen *in vivo* from evolutionary pressure at the host-pathogen interface, a drug-like

molecule with a BBK32-like inhibitory mode holds promise for the development of selective and

potent complement-directed drugs. As such, the structure of the BBK32/C1r-CCP2-SP complex

provides new insight into the development of highly selective C1r inhibitors. Selective targeting

of the C1r subsites, as is seen for BBK32 residues like R248, may be difficult to achieve given the

large potential for off-target effects for closely related complement proteases or other blood serine

proteases such as those of the coagulation cascade. However, the work presented here shows that

selective inhibition might be achieved by targeting of loop structures unique to C1r, in particular

the C1r B loop.

For the first time, this study provides a structural basis for classical pathway complement

evasion by a human pathogen. Among naturally occurring complement inhibitors *B. burgdorferi*

BBK32 is unique in its ability to selectively target and inhibit the initiator protease of the classical

pathway, C1r, and, as such, the structure-function studies reported here provide new insight into

an evolutionarily optimized mechanism of action for C1r inhibition. This knowledge improves our

understanding of complement evasion in Lyme spirochetes, increases our understanding of

naturally occurring complement inhibitors, and provides a basis for the development of novel

complement directed therapeutics that are classical pathway-specific.

Materials and Methods

Strains, plasmids, and oligonucleotides used in this study are reported in **Table S2**. Culture

conditions, protein expression and purification protocols, immunoblotting, SPR, and complement

inhibition assays were performed as described in *SI Appendix* and *SI Materials and Methods*.

Details on SEC-SAXS-MALS, including sample preparation, data collection, and data processing

are provided in *SI Appendix and SI Materials and Methods*. All statistical analysis is reported in

SI Materials and Methods.

Data Availability

419 SAXS data and models of xxxxxx, xxxx and xxxx complex have been deposited in the SASBDB

420 with accession codes xxx , xxxx and xxx.

Acknowledgments

422 SAXS data was collected at SIBYLS which is supported by the DOE-BER IDAT DE-AC02-

423 05CH11231 and NIGMS ALS-ENABLE (P30 GM124169 and S10OD018483). This work was

424 supported by Public Health Service Grants AI133367 and AI146930 (to JTS and BLG).

References

1. N. S. Merle, S. E. Church, V. Fremeaux-Bacchi, L. T. Roumenina, Complement System Part I - Molecular Mechanisms of Activation and Regulation. *Front. Immunol.* **6**, 262 (2015).

2. N. S. Merle, R. Noe, L. Halbwachs-Mecarelli, V. Fremeaux-Bacchi, L. T. Roumenina, Complement System Part II: Role in Immunity. *Front. Immunol.* **6**, 257 (2015).

3. C. Q. Schmidt, J. D. Lambris, D. Ricklin, Protection of host cells by complement regulators. *Immunol. Rev.* **274**, 152–171 (2016).

4. D. Ricklin, J. D. Lambris, Complement in immune and inflammatory disorders: pathophysiological mechanisms. *J. Immunol. (Baltimore, Md. 1950)* **190**, 3831–3838 (2013).

5. B. P. Morgan, Complement in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. *Semin. Immunopathol.* **40**, 113–124 (2018).

6. D. Ricklin, D. C. Mastellos, E. S. Reis, J. D. Lambris, The renaissance of complement therapeutics. *Nat. Rev. Nephrol.* **14**, 26–47 (2018).

7. J. D. Lambris, D. Ricklin, B. V Geisbrecht, Complement evasion by human pathogens. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **6**, 132–142 (2008).

8. P. Kraiczy, Hide and Seek: How Lyme Disease Spirochetes Overcome Complement Attack. *Front. Immunol.* **7**, 385 (2016).

9. J. T. Skare, B. L. Garcia, Complement Evasion by Lyme Disease Spirochetes. *Trends Microbiol.* (2020) https:/doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2020.05.004.

10. M. Adeolu, R. S. Gupta, A phylogenomic and molecular marker based proposal for the division of the genus *Borrelia* into two genera: the emended genus *Borrelia* containing only the members of the relapsing fever *Borrelia*, and the genus *Borreliella* gen. nov. containing the members o. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* **105**, 1049–1072 (2014).

11. P. Kraiczy, *et al.*, Complement resistance of *Borrelia* burgdorferi correlates with the expression of BbCRASP-1, a novel linear plasmid-encoded surface protein that interacts with human factor H and FHL-1 and is unrelated to Erp proteins. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 2421–2429 (2004).

12. K. Hartmann, *et al.*, Functional characterization of BbCRASP-2, a distinct outer membrane protein of *Borrelia burgdorferi* that binds host complement regulators factor H and FHL-1. *Mol. Microbiol.* **61**, 1220–1236 (2006).

13. B. Stevenson, K. Tilly, P. A. Rosa, A family of genes located on four separate 32-kilobase circular plasmids in *Borrelia burgdorferi* B31. *J. Bacteriol.* **178**, 3508–3516 (1996).

14. B. Stevenson, N. El-Hage, M. A. Hines, J. C. Miller, K. Babb, Differential binding of host complement inhibitor factor H by *Borrelia burgdorferi* Erp surface proteins: A possible mechanism underlying the expansive host range of Lyme disease spirochetes. *Infect. Immun.* (2002) https:/doi.org/10.1128/IAI.70.2.491-497.2002.

15. J. V McDowell, *et al.*, Comprehensive analysis of the factor h binding capabilities of
borrelia species associated with lyme disease: delineation of two distinct classes of factor
h binding proteins. *Infect. Immun.* **71**, 3597–3602 (2003).

16. J. V McDowell, *et al.*, Evidence that the BBA68 protein (BbCRASP-1) of the Lyme
disease spirochetes does not contribute to factor H-mediated immune evasion in humans
and other animals. *Infect. Immun.* **74**, 3030–3034 (2006).

17. T. Bykowski, *et al.*, *Borrelia burgdorferi* complement regulator-acquiring surface proteins
(BbCRASPs): Expression patterns during the mammal-tick infection cycle. *Int. J. Med.*
Microbiol. IJMM **298 Suppl**, 249–256 (2008).

18. M. R. Kenedy, S. R. Vuppala, C. Siegel, P. Kraiczy, D. R. Akins, CspA-mediated binding
of human factor H inhibits complement deposition and confers serum resistance in
Borrelia burgdorferi. *Infect. Immun.* **77**, 2773–2782 (2009).

19. E. A. Rogers, S. V Abdunnur, J. V McDowell, R. T. Marconi, Comparative analysis of the
properties and ligand binding characteristics of CspZ, a factor H binding protein, derived
from *Borrelia burgdorferi* isolates of human origin. *Infect. Immun.* **77**, 4396–4405 (2009).

20. M. R. Kenedy, D. R. Akins, The OspE-related proteins inhibit complement deposition and
enhance serum resistance of *Borrelia burgdorferi*, the lyme disease spirochete. *Infect.*
Immun. **79**, 1451–1457 (2011).

21. C. Hammerschmidt, *et al.*, BGA66 and BGA71 facilitate complement resistance of
Borrelia bavariensis by inhibiting assembly of the membrane attack complex. *Mol.*
Microbiol. **99**, 407–424 (2016).

22. T. Hallström, *et al.*, CspA from *Borrelia burgdorferi* inhibits the terminal complement
pathway. *MBio* **4**, 13 (2013).

23. M. Pausa, *et al.*, Serum-resistant strains of *Borrelia burgdorferi* evade complement-
mediated killing by expressing a CD59-like complement inhibitory molecule. *J. Immunol.*
(Baltimore, Md. 1950) **170**, 3214–3222 (2003).

24. J. A. Caine, *et al.*, *Borrelia burgdorferi* outer surface protein C (OspC) binds complement
component C4b and confers bloodstream survival. *Cell. Microbiol.* **19** (2017).

25. B. L. Garcia, H. Zhi, B. Wager, M. Höök, J. T. Skare, *Borrelia burgdorferi* BBK32
Inhibits the Classical Pathway by Blocking Activation of the C1 Complement Complex.
PLoS Pathog. **12**, e1005404 (2016).

26. M. He, B. K. Boardman, D. Yan, X. F. Yang, Regulation of expression of the fibronectin-
binding protein BBK32 in *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *J. Bacteriol.* **189**, 8377–8380 (2007).

27. W. S. Probert, J. H. Kim, M. Höök, B. J. Johnson, Mapping the ligand-binding region of
Borrelia burgdorferi fibronectin-binding protein BBK32. *Infect. Immun.* **69**, 4129–4133
(2001).

28. J. R. Fischer, K. T. LeBlanc, J. M. Leong, Fibronectin binding protein BBK32 of the
Lyme disease spirochete promotes bacterial attachment to glycosaminoglycans. *Infect.*
Immun. **74**, 435–441 (2006).

29. T. J. Moriarty, *et al.*, Vascular binding of a pathogen under shear force through mechanistically distinct sequential interactions with host macromolecules. *Mol. Microbiol.* **86**, 1116–1131 (2012).

30. R. Ebady, *et al.*, Biomechanics of *Borrelia burgdorferi* Vascular Interactions. *Cell Rep.* **16**, 2593–2604 (2016).

31. J. Xie, *et al.*, Structural determination of the complement inhibitory domain of *Borrelia burgdorferi* BBK32 provides insight into classical pathway complement evasion by Lyme disease spirochetes. *PLoS Pathog.* **15**, e1007659 (2019).

32. R. P. Rambo, J. A. Tainer, Accurate assessment of mass, models and resolution by small-angle scattering. *Nature* (2013) https:/doi.org/10.1038/nature12070.

33. M. Budayova-Spano, *et al.*, Monomeric structures of the zymogen and active catalytic domain of complement protease c1r: further insights into the c1 activation mechanism. *Struct. (London, Engl. 1993)* **10**, 1509–1519 (2002).

34. B. Webb, A. Sali, Comparative protein structure modeling using MODELLER. *Curr. Protoc. Bioinforma.* **2014**, 5.6.1-5.6.32 (2014).

35. E. F. Pettersen, *et al.*, UCSF Chimera - A visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* **25**, 1605–1612 (2004).

36. D. Schneidman-Duhovny, M. Hammel, J. A. Tainer, A. Sali, Accurate SAXS profile computation and its assessment by contrast variation experiments. *Biophys. J.* **105**, 962–974 (2013).

37. D. Schneidman-Duhovny, M. Hammel, J. A. Tainer, A. Sali, FoXS, FoXSDock and MultiFoXS: Single-state and multi-state structural modeling of proteins and their complexes based on SAXS profiles (2016).

38. D. Schneidman-Duhovny, M. Hammel, A. Sali, Macromolecular Docking Restrained by a Small Angle X-Ray Scattering Profile (2010) https:/doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2010.09.023.

39. D. Franke, D. I. Svergun, DAMMIF, a program for rapid ab-initio shape determination in small-angle scattering. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* (2009) https:/doi.org/10.1107/S0021889809000338.

40. V. V. Volkov, D. I. Svergun, Uniqueness of ab initio shape determination in small-angle scattering in *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, (2003) https:/doi.org/10.1107/S0021889803000268.

41. M. Pelikan, G. L. Hura, M. Hammel, Structure and flexibility within proteins as identified through small angle X-ray scattering. *Gen. Physiol. Biophys.* (2009) https:/doi.org/10.4149/gpb_2009_02_174.

42. M. D. Winn, *et al.*, Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr.* (2011) https:/doi.org/10.1107/S0907444910045749.

43. E. Krissinel, K. Henrick, Inference of Macromolecular Assemblies from Crystalline State.

J. Mol. Biol. **372**, 774–797 (2007).

44. A. Sadziene, B. Wilske, M. S. Ferdows, A. G. Barbour, The cryptic ospC gene of *Borrelia*
burgdorferi B31 is located on a circular plasmid. *Infect. Immun.* **61**, 2192–2195 (1993).

45. A. Sadziene, D. D. Thomas, A. G. Barbour, *Borrelia burgdorferi* mutant lacking Osp:
biological and immunological characterization. *Infect. Immun.* **63**, 1573–1580 (1995).

46. J. Kardos, *et al.*, The Role of the Individual Domains in the Structure and Function of the
Catalytic Region of a Modular Serine Protease, C1r. *J. Immunol.* **167**, 5202–5208 (2001).

47. S. S. Pang, *et al.*, The Structural Basis for Complement Inhibition by Gigastasin, a
Protease Inhibitor from the Giant Amazon Leech. *J. Immunol. (Baltimore, Md. 1950)* **199**,
3883–3891 (2017).

48. M. P. Reichhardt, *et al.*, An inhibitor of complement C5 provides structural insights into
activation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* (2020) https:/doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1909973116.

49. K. Tyson, C. Elkins, H. Patterson, E. Fikrig, A. de Silva, Biochemical and functional
characterization of Salp20, an Ixodes scapularis tick salivary protein that inhibits the
complement pathway. *Insect Mol. Biol.* **16**, 469–479 (2007).

50. T. J. Schuijt, *et al.*, The tick salivary protein Salp15 inhibits the killing of serum-sensitive
Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato isolates. *Infect. Immun.* (2008)
https:/doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00232-08.

51. N. C. S. Silva, *et al.*, Saliva of Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus (Acari: Ixodidae)
inhibits classical and alternative complement pathways. *Parasites and Vectors* (2016)
https:/doi.org/10.1186/s13071-016-1726-8.

52. T. J. Schuijt, *et al.*, A tick mannose-binding lectin inhibitor interferes with the vertebrate
complement cascade to enhance transmission of the lyme disease agent. *Cell Host*
Microbe **10**, 136–146 (2011).

53. V. P. Ferreira, *et al.*, SALO, a novel classical pathway complement inhibitor from saliva
of the sand fly *Lutzomyia longipalpis*. *Sci. Rep.* (2016) https:/doi.org/10.1038/srep19300.

54. A. F. Mendes-Sousa, *et al.*, An Inhibitor of the Alternative Pathway of Complement in
Saliva of New World Anopheline Mosquitoes. *J. Immunol.* (2016)
https:/doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1600020.

55. B. L. Garcia, K. X. Ramyar, D. Ricklin, J. D. Lambris, B. V Geisbrecht, Advances in
understanding the structure, function, and mechanism of the SCIN and Efb families of
Staphylococcal immune evasion proteins. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* **946**, 113 (2012).

56. B. L. Garcia, S. A. Zwarthoff, S. H. M. Rooijakkers, B. V Geisbrecht, Novel Evasion
Mechanisms of the Classical Complement Pathway. *J. Immunol.* **197**, 2051–2060 (2016).

57. M. J. Page, R. T. A. Macgillivray, E. Di Cera, Determinants of specificity in coagulation
proteases. *J. Thromb. Haemost.* (2005) https:/doi.org/10.1111/j.1538-7836.2005.01456.x.

58. A. R. Rezaie, L. Yang, Deletion of the 60-loop provides new insights into the substrate
and inhibitor specificity of thrombin. *Thromb. Haemost.* (2005)

https:/doi.org/10.1160/TH04-11-0730.

59.   P. Kraiczy, Travelling between Two Worlds: Complement as a Gatekeeper for an
Expanded Host Range of Lyme Disease Spirochetes. *Vet. Sci.* **3** (2016).

60.   D. C. Mastellos, D. Ricklin, J. D. Lambris, Clinical promise of next-generation
complement therapeutics. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* (2019) https:/doi.org/10.1038/s41573-
019-0031-6.

61.   C. L. Harris, R. B. Pouw, D. Kavanagh, R. Sun, D. Ricklin, Developments in anti-
complement therapy; from disease to clinical trial. *Mol. Immunol.* **102**, 89–119 (2018).

62.   I. Schechter, A. Berger, On the size of the active site in proteases. I. Papain. *Biochem.*
Biophys. Res. Commun. (1967) https:/doi.org/10.1016/S0006-291X(67)80055-X.

Figures and Tables

Figure 1. SAXS solution structure of BBK32-C/C1r-CCP2-SP. A) Experimental SAXS curve for the C1r-CCP2-SP/BBK32-C complex sample (black) shown with calculated theoretical SAXS of the model (red) and residual plot below. A Guinier plot is shown inset. **B)** Normalized pair distribution curves (P(r)) of C1r-CCP2-SP/BBK32-C complex (red), C1r-CCP2-SP (green), and BBK32-C (blue). **C)** *Ab initio* SAXS envelope (gray) aligned with SAXS solution structure from FoXSDock, refined with BILBOMD.

Figure 2. SPR binding assays with structure-guided site-directed BBK32 mutants. A) Surface representations of BBK32-C and C1r-CCP2-SP denoted with non-contact surface areas (gray), BBK32-C contact area (cyan), C1r-CCP2-SP contacts (green). Site-directed mutant contact residues used for this study are denoted in red and were either single Ala mutations or double Ala mutations as detailed in **Table S1**. **B)** To validate the C1r-CCP2-SP/BBK32-C complex observed in the SAXS structure, SPR binding assays using BBK32-C site-directed mutants were performed. A five-fold concentration series of C1r-CCP2-SP (0.8 to 500 nM) was injected over each immobilized BBK32-C mutant. Surface plasmon resonance sensorgrams (black) with a kinetic fit (red) show are shown. SPR experiments were performed in duplicate and dissociation constants (K_D) are shown in red. Sensorgrams of additional mutants are presented (**Fig. S2**) and SPR parameters are shown in **Table S1**.

Figure 3. BBK32 R248 and K327 are critical for complement inhibitory activity of BBK32. **A)** ELISA-based complement activation assays were performed to determine the ability of BBK32-C mutants to inhibit the classical pathway of complement *in vitro.* A two-fold concentration series of each BBK32-C mutant was used and performed in duplicate. Inhibitory data for additional site-directed mutants are presented in **Figure S4** and fitting parameters and

statistics are shown in **Table S1**. **B)** BBK32 mutant proteins were tested for their ability to confer

resistance to normal human serum (NHS). The serum sensitive strain B314 was transformed

separately with vector only (black), wildtype *bbk32* (blue), *bbk32*-R248A (red), *bbk32*-K327A

(green), and *bbk32*-R248A-K327A (purple) and incubated with NHS. Sensitivity was scored as a

ratio of the affected cells relative to the total cells viewed via darkfield microscopy. Heat

inactivated NHS was used as a control (right). P-values between *bbk32* samples are indicated

above. Statistical significance was assessed using a two-way ANOVA. **C)** The activation of

proenzyme C1s by full-length purified C1r was monitored by the cleavage of a C1s-specific

colorimetric substrate (Z-L-Lys-thiobenzyl) in the presence of 2,200 nM BBK32-C or BBK32-

R248A-K327A. Statistical significance was assessed using an unpaired t-test and the p-value is

reported.

Figure 4. BBK32-C occludes the S1 and S1' subsites on C1r. A-B) C1r-CCP2-SP shown with

the active site (yellow), substrate specificity subsites S1 (red) and S1' (blue) as determined by

Budayova and colleagues (33) using nomenclature provided by Schechter and Berger (62). The S1

site interacts with P1 residues on cognate peptide substrates and S1' site interacts with P1' residues,

with peptide hydrolysis occurring between the P1 and P1' residues. BBK32-C shown with residues

that contact the C1r S1 site (red) and S1' site (blue). By interacting with these sites, BBK32-C

effectively occludes the active site of C1r. **C)** Direct inhibition of C1r-CCP2-SP was assayed

using a small colorimetric peptide substrate, Z-Gly-Arg-thiobenzyl. A two-fold inhibitor

concentration series (1.1 – 2,200 nM) was performed with BBK32-C or BBK32-R248A-K327A.

BBK32-C was able to inhibit C1r-CCP2-SP, whereas the mutant was not.

Figure 5. Comparison of BBK32 to gigastasin reveals convergent mechanisms of action.

Ribbon diagrams of BBK32-C (PDB:6N1L), C1r-CCP2-SP (PDB:1MD8), Gigastasin/C1s-CCP2-

SP (PDB: 5UBM) with colored loop interactions within 4 Å, as judged by the program CCP4i

CONTACTS. The BBK32-C/C1r-CCP2-SP loop interactions are shown highlighted in red and

C1s/Gigastasin loop interactions in blue.

Figure 6. C1r B loop residues contribute to enzymatic function. A) A ribbon structure of the

BBK32/C1r-CCP2-SP complex is shown where BBK32-K327 is highlighted in cyan. The C1r B

loop is colored green and C1r residues making close contact with BBK32-K327 (i.e. N514, S516,

and D518) are highlighted. **B)** SPR-binding assay using immobilized BBK32-C and C1r-CCP2-

SP wild-type (solid line) or C1r-CCP2-SP-N514A-S516A-D518A (dashed line). Kinetic fits are

overlaid as red lines. **C)** SDS-PAGE-based proenzyme cleavage assay shows impaired cleavage

of the C1r substrate, proenzyme C1s, by C1r-CCP2-SP-N514A-S516A-D518A relative to wild-

type. Gel lane 1: proenzyme C1s control, 2: C1s enzyme control, 3: C1r-CCP2-SP + proenzyme

C1s, 4: C1r-CCP2-SP-N514A-S516A-D518A + proenzyme C1s. Gels were analyzed by

densitometry and data is shown on the right bar graph. A paired t-test was performed to determine

statistical significance and a p-value is shown. **D)** Enzymatic activation of proenzyme C1s by C1r-

CCP2-SP and C1r-CCP2-SP-N514A-S516A-D518A was determined by spectrophotometric assay

similar to the assay shown using full-length C1r in **Fig. 3C**. Data were analyzed using an unpaired

t-test to determine statistical significance and a p-value is shown.

Table 1. MALS and SAXS parameters.

Sample	Maximal dimension D_{max} (Å)	R_g (Å)	MW_{seq} (kDa)	MW_{SAXS} (kDa)	MW_{MALS} (kDa)	SAXS model fit χ^2
BBK32-C	~75	21.3 ± 0.9	16.9	19.9 ± 1.58	~19	2.08
C1r-CCP2-SP	~80	24.0 ± 0.9	37.7	31.7 ± 1.75	~40-60	1.06
BBK32-C/ C1r-CCP2-SP Complex	~85	27.8 ± 2.2	54.6	55.6 ± 4.13	~55-65	1.24

Fig. 1

A

B

C1r CCP2-SP/
BBK32-C Complex
C1r CCP2-SP
BBK32-C

C

C1r-CCP2-SP   BBK32-C

180°

Fig. 2

A

C1r-CCP2-SP

BBK32-C

Y245
E324
Y323
T331

R248
T242
K327

180°

B.

BBK32-C — K_D=0.50 nM

K327A-T331A — K_D=510 nM

T242A-Y245A — K_D=57 nM

Y323A-E324A — K_D=480 nM

R248A-K327A — K_D= N.D.

K327A — K_D=120 nM

T331A — K_D=0.73 nM

Y323A — K_D=0.87 nM

R248A — K_D=5.8 nM

T242A — K_D=0.96 nM

Y245A — K_D=1.3 nM

E324A — K_D=1.6 nM

Fig. 3

A

B

C

Fig. 4

A

Catalytic Site

α2

α3

α4

α5

α1

B

S1

S1'

C1r-CCP2-SP

T247   D250

M244   L249

Y245   R248

            A257

T242   Q243

S238   K240

BBK32-C

C

% Cleavage of Peptide

-10   -9   -8   -7   -6   -5

log [inh, M]

R248A-K327A

BBK32-C

Fig. 5

BBK32-C

Gigastasin

C1r

Loop 2
D Loop
A Loop
E Loop
B Loop

C1s

Loop 2
D Loop
A Loop
E Loop
B Loop

```
                                 A Loop                            B Loop                        E Loop
C1r  IIGGQKAKMGNFPWQVFTNIHGRGGGALLGDRWILTAAHTLYPKEHEAQSNASLDVFLGHTNVE--LMKLGNHPIRRVS
C1s  IIGGSDADIKNFPWQVFFDNP-WAGGALINEYWVLTAAHVVEGNRE-------PTMYVGSTSVQTSRLAKSKMLTPEHVF
     ****..*. : ******* :  .****:.: *:*****.: :..   .    ::.* *.*.   *  *       .:*
           C Loop                                              D Loop
C1r  VHPDYR----QDESYNFEGDIALLELENSVTLGPNLLPICLPDNDTFYDL--GLMGYVSGFGVMEEK-IAHDLRFVRLPV
C1s  IHPGWKLLEVPEGRTNFDNDIALVRLKDPVKMGPTVSPICLPGTSSDYNLMDGDLGLISGWGRTEKRDRAVRLKAARLPV
     :**.::::     .  **:.*a***:.*:: *.:**..: ***...: *:* * :* :**:*  *:  *.: ** *.****
                              Loop 1                                          Loop 2
C1r  ANPQACENWLRGK----NRMDVFSQNMFCAGHPSLKQDACQGDSGGVFAVRDPNT-DRWVATGIVSWGIGCSRGYGFYTK
C1s  APLRKCKEVKVEKPTADAEAYVFTPNMICAGGE-KGMDSCKGDSGGAFAVQDPNDKTKFYAAGLVSWGPQCG-TYGLYTR
     *   : *::   *       .   **: **.***   .  *:*:****.***:***  ::  *:*.*****  *. **:*:
C1r  VLNYVDWIKKEMEEED-----
C1s  VKNYVDWIMKTMQENSTPRED
     * ****** * *:*::.
```

Fig. 6

A

N514
K327
S516
D518

B

BBK32-C

500nM

— C1r-CCP2-SP
--- C1r-CCP2-SP
N514A-S516A-D518A

C

1 2 3 4

100
70   Proenzyme C1s

55   C1s Chain 1
40

35   C1s Chain 2

0.0219

C1r-CCP2-SP   C1r-CCP2-SP-
               N514A-S516A-D518A

D

0.0108

C1r-CCP2-SP   C1r-CCP2-SP-
               N514A-S516A-D518A