Presynaptic NMDA receptors facilitate short-term plasticity and BDNF release at hippocampal mossy fiber synapses

Pablo J. Lituma[1], Hyung-Bae Kwon[1,4], Karina Alviña[1,5], Rafael Lujan[3], Pablo E. Castillo[1,2]*

[1] Dominick P. Purpura Department of Neuroscience, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY 10461, U.S.A.

[2] Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY 10461, U.S.A.

[3] Instituto de Investigación en Discapacidades Neurológicas (IDINE), Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Castilla-La Mancha, 02008 Albacete, Spain.

[4] Present address: The Solomon H. Snyder Department of Neuroscience, John Hopkins University, School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, U.S.A.

[5] Present address: Department of Neuroscience, University of Florida, 1149 Newell Drive, Room L1-100, Gainesville, FL, 32611, U.S.A.

Running title: Role of presynaptic NMDA receptors in short-term plasticity

Keywords: CA3, ionotropic, hippocampus, presynaptic calcium, autoreceptors

* To whom correspondence should be addressed:

     Pablo E. Castillo, MD/PhD
     Dominick P. Purpura Department of Neuroscience
     Albert Einstein College of Medicine
     1410 Pelham Parkway South
     Kennedy Center, Room 703
     Bronx, NY 10461, USA
     Email: pablo.castillo@einsteinmed.org

Author Contributions: PJL and PEC designed the experiments. Except for the electron microscopy experiments, PJL performed all experiments included in this study and analyzed data. HBK performed preliminary experiments and made observations that triggered this study. RL performed electron microscopy experiments and analyzed the data. KA set up the 2P system in the Castillo Lab and validated acquisition and analysis methods. PJL and PEC wrote the first manuscript which was edited by all authors.

Funding sources: This work supported by the NIH (F31-MH109267 to PJL; R01 MH116673, R01MH125772, and R01 NS 113600 to P.E.C.) and by the Spanish Ministerio de Economia y Competitividad (RTI2018-095812-B-I00) and Junta de Comunidades de Castillo-La Mancha (SBPLY/17/180501/000229) to RL.

Acknowledgements: We thank all the Castillo lab members for invaluable discussions. We also thank Dr. Hyungju Park for his generous gift of the BDNF-phluorin DNA construct, Dr. Michael Higley for sharing *Grin1* floxed mice, and Dr. Pascal Kaeser for his generous gift of the Cre-dependent ChIEF DNA construct.

Title: 112 characters (with spaces)
Summary: 138 words, 1080 characters with spaces
Main text (excluding Summary, Methods, references and figure legends): 4,490
Figures: 8
Supplementary figures: 12

Summary

Neurotransmitter release is a highly controlled process by which synapses can critically regulate

information transfer within neural circuits. While presynaptic receptors –typically activated by

neurotransmitters and modulated by neuromodulators– provide a powerful way of fine-tuning

synaptic function, their contribution to activity-dependent changes in transmitter release remains

poorly understood. Here, we report that presynaptic NMDA receptors (preNMDARs) at

hippocampal mossy fiber boutons can be activated by physiologically relevant patterns of

activity and selectively enhance short-term synaptic plasticity at mossy fiber inputs onto CA3

pyramidal cells and mossy cells, but not onto inhibitory interneurons. Moreover, preNMDARs

facilitate brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) release and contribute to presynaptic calcium

rise. Taken together, our results indicate that by increasing presynaptic calcium, preNMDARs

fine tune mossy fiber neurotransmission and can control information transfer during dentate

granule cell burst activity that normally occur *in vivo*.

72
73
74
75

Introduction

Neurotransmission is a dynamic and highly regulated process. The activation of ionotropic and

metabotropic presynaptic autoreceptors provides a powerful way of fine-tuning neurotransmission

via the facilitation or inhibition of neurotransmitter release (Burke & Bender, 2019; Engelman &

MacDermott, 2004; Miller, 1998; Pinheiro & Mulle, 2008; Schicker et al., 2008). Due to their unique

functional properties, including high calcium-permeability, slow kinetics and well-characterized

role as coincidence-detectors (Cull-Candy et al., 2001; Lau & Zukin, 2007; Paoletti et al., 2013;

Traynelis et al., 2010), presynaptic NMDA receptors (preNMDARs) have received particular

attention (Banerjee et al., 2016; Bouvier et al., 2015; Bouvier et al., 2018; Duguid, 2013; Duguid

& Smart, 2009; Wong et al., 2020). Regulation of neurotransmitter release by NMDA

autoreceptors in the brain was suggested three decades ago (Martin et al., 1991). Anatomical

evidence for preNMDARs arose from an immuno-electron microscopy study revealing NMDARs

at the mossy fiber giant bouton of the monkey hippocampus (Siegel et al., 1994), followed by

functional studies in the entorhinal cortex indicating that preNMDARs tonically increase

spontaneous glutamate release and also facilitate evoked release in a frequency-dependent

manner (Berretta & Jones, 1996; Woodhall et al., 2001). Since these early studies, although

evidence for preNMDARs has accumulated throughout the brain (Banerjee et al., 2016; Bouvier

et al., 2018; Duguid & Smart, 2009), the presence and functional relevance of preNMDARs at key

synapses in the brain have been called into question (Carter & Jahr, 2016; Duguid, 2013).

Mossy fibers (mf) – the axons of dentate granule cells (GCs) – establish excitatory synapses onto

proximal dendrites of CA3 pyramidal neurons, thereby conveying a major excitatory input to the

hippocampus proper (Amaral et al., 2007; Henze et al., 2000). This synapse displays uniquely

robust frequency facilitation both *in vitro* (Nicoll & Schmitz, 2005; Salin et al., 1996; Vyleta et al.,

2016) and *in vivo* (Hagena & Manahan-Vaughan, 2010; Vandael et al., 2020). The molecular basis

of this short-term plasticity is not fully understood but likely relies on diverse presynaptic

mechanisms that increase glutamate release (Jackman & Regehr, 2017; Rebola et al., 2017).

Short-term, use-dependent facilitation is believed to play a critical role in information transfer,

circuit dynamics and short-term memory (Abbott & Regehr, 2004; Jackman & Regehr, 2017; Klug

et al., 2012). The mf-CA3 synapse can strongly drive the CA3 network during short bursts of

presynaptic activity (Chamberland et al., 2018; Henze et al., 2002; Vyleta et al., 2016; Zucca et

al., 2017), an effect that likely results from two key properties of this synapse, namely, its strong

frequency facilitation and proximal dendritic localization. In addition to CA3 pyramidal neurons,

mf axons establish synaptic connections with hilar mossy cells (MC) and inhibitory interneurons

(IN) (Amaral et al., 2007; Henze et al., 2000). These connections also display robust short-term

plasticity (Lysetskiy et al., 2005; Toth et al., 2000), which may contribute significantly to information

transfer and dynamic modulation of the dentate gyrus (DG)-CA3 circuit (Bischofberger et al.,

2006; Evstratova & Toth, 2014; Lawrence & McBain, 2003). Despite early evidence for

preNMDARs at mf boutons (Siegel et al., 1994), whether these receptors modulate

neurotransmission at mf synapses is unknown. Intriguingly, mfs contain one of the highest

expression levels of brain-derived neurotrophic factor, BDNF (Conner et al., 1997). While

preNMDARs were implicated in BDNF release at corticostriatal synapses (Park et al., 2014),

whether putative preNMDARs impact BDNF release at mf synapses remains unexplored.

Here, to examine the potential presence and impact of preNMDARs at mf synapses, we utilized

multiple approaches, including immunoelectron microscopy, selective pharmacology for

NMDARs, a genetic knockout strategy to remove NMDARs from presynaptic GCs, two-photon

imaging of BDNF release, and presynaptic Ca^{2+} signals in acute rodent hippocampal slices. Our

findings indicate that preNMDARs contribute to mf short-term plasticity and promotes BDNF

release likely by increasing presynaptic Ca^{2+}. Thus, preNMDARs at mfs may facilitate information

transfer and provide an important point of regulation in the DG – CA3 circuit by regulating both

glutamate and BDNF release.

Results

Electron microscopy reveals presynaptic NMDA receptors at mossy fiber terminals

To determine the potential localization of NMDA receptors at the mf terminals of the rodent

hippocampus, we performed electron microscopy and post-embedding immunogold labeling in

rats using a validated antibody for the obligatory subunit GluN1 (Petralia et al., 1994; Siegel et

al., 1994; Takumi et al., 1999; Watanabe et al., 1998). Gold particles were detected in the main

body of the postsynaptic density as well as presynaptic mf terminals (**Figure 1A-C**). GluN1

localized in mf boutons in a relatively high proportion to the active zone, as compared to

associational-commissural (ac) synapse in the same CA3 pyramidal neuron (**Figure 1D**; mf,

~32% presynaptic particles; ac, <10% presynaptic particles; n = 3 animals). Similar quantification

for AMPA receptors did not reveal presynaptic localization of these receptors in either mf or

associational commissural synapses (**Figure S1A-C**; ~5% presynaptic particles, n = 3 animals).

Together, these results provide anatomical evidence for preNMDARs at mf-CA3 synapses.

Both NMDAR antagonism and genetic deletion from presynaptic granule cells reduce

mossy fiber low-frequency facilitation

Presynaptic short-term plasticity, in the form of low-frequency (~1 Hz) facilitation (LFF), is uniquely

robust at the mf-CA3 synapse (Nicoll & Schmitz, 2005; Salin et al., 1996). To test a potential

involvement of preNMDARs in LFF, we monitored AMPAR-mediated excitatory postsynaptic

currents (EPSCs) from CA3 pyramidal neurons in acute rat hippocampal slices. Neurons were

held at V_h= -70 mV to minimize postsynaptic NMDAR conductance, and mfs were focally

stimulated with a bipolar electrode (theta glass pipette) placed in *stratum lucidum* ~100 µm from

the recorded cell. LFF was induced by stepping the stimulation frequency from 0.1 Hz to 1 Hz for

~2 min in the presence of picrotoxin (100 µM) to block fast inhibitory synaptic transmission, and

a low concentration of the AMPAR noncompetitive antagonist LY303070 (0.5 µM) to minimize

CA3-CA3 recurrent activity (Kwon & Castillo, 2008). Bath-application of the NMDAR irreversible

open channel blocker MK-801 (50 µM) significantly reduced LFF (**Figure 1E**). In addition, the

competitive NMDAR antagonists D-APV (100 µM) or R-CPP (50 µM) yielded a comparable

reduction of facilitation (**Figure 1F**). To confirm that these synaptic responses were mediated by

mfs, the mGluR2/3 agonist DCG-IV (1 µM) was applied at the end of all recordings (Kamiya et al.,

1996). To control for stability, we performed interleaved experiments in the absence of NMDAR

antagonists and found that LFF remained unchanged (**Figure S2A**). These findings indicate

NMDAR antagonism reduces mf-CA3 short-term plasticity (LFF), suggesting that preNMDARs

could contribute to this form of presynaptic plasticity.

The reduction in facilitation of AMPAR-transmission could be due to dampening of CA3 recurrent

activity by NMDAR antagonism (Henze et al., 2000; Kwon & Castillo, 2008; Nicoll & Schmitz,

2005). To discard this possibility, we repeated our experiments in a much less excitable network

in which AMPAR-mediated synaptic transmission was selectively blocked by a high concentration

of the noncompetitive antagonist LY303070 (15 µM) and monitored the kainate receptor (KAR)-

mediated component of mf synaptic transmission (Castillo et al., 1997; Kwon & Castillo, 2008).

In addition, 2 mM MK-801 was included in the intracellular recording solution to block postsynaptic

NMDARs (Corlew et al., 2008). To further ensure postsynaptic NMDAR blockade, we voltage-

clamped the CA3 pyramidal neuron at -70 mV and waited until NMDAR-mediated transmission

was eliminated and only KAR-EPSCs remained. Under these recording conditions, bath-

application of MK-801 (50 µM) also reduced LFF of KAR-mediated transmission (**Figure 1G**),

whereas LFF remained unchanged in interleaved control experiments (**Figure S2B**). At the end

of these recordings**,** 10 µM NBQX was applied to confirm KAR-transmission (**Figure 1G; Figure**

S2B) (Castillo et al., 1997; Kwon & Castillo, 2008). It is therefore unlikely that the reduction of LFF

mediated by NMDAR antagonism could be explained by recurrent network activity, suggesting a

direct effect on transmitter release.

To further support a role of preNMDARs in mf LFF, we took a genetic approach by conditionally

removing NMDARs from GCs in *Grin1* floxed mice. To this end, an AAV5-CamKII-Cre-GFP virus

was bilaterally injected in the DG to selectively delete *Grin1* expression, whereas AAV5-CamKII-

eGFP was injected in littermates as a control at postnatal days 16-20 in both groups (**Figure 2A**).

Two weeks after surgery, we prepared acute hippocampal slices and examined the efficacy of

Grin1 deletion by analyzing NMDAR-mediated transmission in GFP$^+$ GCs of *Grin1*-cKO and

control mice. We confirmed that in contrast to control mice, no NMDAR-EPSCs were elicited by

electrically stimulating medial perforant path inputs in *Grin1*-cKO GCs voltage-clamped at +40

mV in the presence of 100 µM picrotoxin and 10 µM NBQX (**Figure 2B**). As expected, the

NMDAR/AMPAR ratio was significantly reduced in *Grin1*-cKO mice compared to control (**Figure**

2C). Only acute slices that exhibited robust GFP fluorescence in the DG were tested for LFF of

AMPAR-transmission in CA3. We found that LFF was significantly reduced in *Grin1*-cKOs as

compared to controls (**Figure 2D**), indicating that genetic removal of NMDARs from GCs

recapitulated NMDAR antagonism (**Figure 1E-G**). *Grin1* deletion did not affect basal transmitter

release as indicated by a comparable paired-pulse ratio to control (Control: 2.5 ± 0.36, n = 13

cells; *Grin1* cKO: 2.4 ± 0.31, n = 13 cells; U > 0.5, Mann-Whitney test). Collectively, our findings

using two distinct approaches strongly suggest that NMDAR activation in GCs increases LFF of

mf-CA3 synaptic transmission.

Reduced facilitation by NMDAR antagonism is independent of the granule cell

somatodendritic compartment

Bath application of MK-801 could have blocked dendritic NMDARs in GCs and potentially affected

transmitter release (Christie & Jahr, 2008; Duguid, 2013). To address this possibility, we repeated

our experiments after performing a surgical cut in the granular layer of the DG in order to isolate

mf axons from GCs (**Figure S3A**). Under these conditions, MK-801 bath application still reduced

LFF (**Figure 3A**), and LFF was stable in control, acutely transected axons (**Figure 3B**). In addition,

puffing D-APV (2 mM) in *stratum lucidum* near (~200 μm) the recorded neuron also reduced LFF

(**Figure 3C**), whereas puffing ACSF had no effect (**Figure 3D**). Lastly, in a set of control

experiments, we confirmed that D-APV puffs were sufficient to transiently block NMDAR-mediated

transmission in CA3 but not in DG (**Figure S3B,C**). Together, these results support the notion that

LFF reduction was due to the blockade of preNMDARs but not somatodendritic NMDARs on GCs.

PreNMDARs boost information transfer by enhancing burst-induced facilitation at mossy

fiber synapses

GCs *in vivo* typically fire in brief bursts (Diamantaki et al., 2016; GoodSmith et al., 2017; Henze

et al., 2002; Pernia-Andrade & Jonas, 2014; Senzai & Buzsaki, 2017). To test whether

preNMDARs contribute to synaptic facilitation that occurs during more physiological patterns of

activity, mfs were activated with brief bursts (5 stimuli, 25 Hz). We first took an optogenetic

approach and used a Cre-dependent ChIEF virus to selectively light-activate mf-CA3 synapses

in *Grin1*-cKO and control mice. Thus, animals were injected with a mix of AAV5-CamKII-CreGFP

+ AAV-DJ-FLEX-ChIEF-tdTomato viruses in the DG (**Figure 4A**). At least four weeks after surgery,

acute slices were prepared and burst-induced facilitation of AMPAR-mediated transmission in

CA3 was assessed (**Figure 4B,C**). Burst-induced facilitation triggered by light stimulation and

measured as the ratio of EPSCs elicited by the 5th and 1st pulse (P5/P1 ratio), was significantly

reduced in *Grin1*-cKO animals as compared to controls. Because these bursts of activity can

activate the CA3 network (Henze et al., 2000; Kwon & Castillo, 2008; Nicoll & Schmitz, 2005), we

next monitored KAR-EPSCs under conditions of low excitability (as in Figure 1G). MK-801 bath

application also reduced burst-induced facilitation, whereas facilitation remained unchanged in

naïve slices (**Figure 4D,E**). In a separate set of experiments, we confirmed the reduction of MK-

801 on burst-induced facilitation under more physiological recording conditions (**Figure S5**).

Lastly, we tested whether preNMDARs, by facilitating glutamate release during bursting activity,

could bring CA3 pyramidal neurons to threshold and trigger postsynaptic action potentials. To test

this possibility, we monitored action potentials elicited by KAR-EPSPs (resting membrane

potential -70 ± 2 mV) from CA3 pyramidal neurons intracellularly loaded with 2 mM MK-801. Under

these recording conditions, MK-801 bath application significantly reduced the mean number of

spikes per burst (**Figure 4F**). No changes in mean spikes per burst were observed in naïve slices

over time (**Figure 4G**). Application of 10 µM NBQX at the end of these experiments confirmed

that action potentials were induced by KAR-mediated synaptic responses. Consistent with these

observations, MK-801 also reduced the mean number of spikes per burst when AMPAR-mediated

action potentials were recorded from CA3 pyramidal neurons (**Figure S6**). In control experiments,

we found that intracellular MK-801 effectively blocked postsynaptic NMDAR transmission during

burst stimulation (**Figure S4B**). Altogether, these results indicate that preNMDARs at mf-CA3

synapses can contribute to information transfer from the DG to CA3.

PreNMDARs contribute to presynaptic calcium rise and can be activated by glutamate

PreNMDARs could facilitate glutamate and BDNF release by increasing presynaptic Ca^{2+} rise

(Bouvier et al., 2016; Buchanan et al., 2012; Corlew et al., 2008; Park et al., 2014). To test this

possibility at mf-CA3 synapses, we combined a conditional knockout strategy with Ca^{2+} imaging

using two-photon laser scanning microscopy. We first deleted preNMDARs by injecting AAV5-

CamKII-mCherry-Cre virus in the DG of *Grin1* floxed mice, and littermate animals injected with

AAV5-CamKII-mCherry virus served as control (**Figure 5A**). Two weeks after surgery, we

confirmed the efficacy of *Grin1* deletion by activating medial perforant path inputs and monitoring

NMDAR/AMPAR ratios in GCs of control and *Grin1*-cKO animals (**Figure 5A**). Virtually no

NMDAR-EPSCs were detected at V_h= +40 mV in *Grin1*-cKO animals (**Figure 5A**). Acute slices

that exhibited robust mCherry fluorescence in the DG were used for Ca^{2+} imaging experiments.

To maximize our ability to detect preNMDAR-mediated Ca^{2+} signals, we used a recording solution

that contained 0 mM Mg^{2+}, 4 mM Ca^{2+} and 10 µM D-Serine (Carter & Jahr, 2016). GCs expressing

mCherry were patch-loaded with 35 µM Alexa 594 (used as morphological dye) and 200 µM Fluo-

5F, and mf axons were imaged and followed towards CA3 until giant boutons (white arrows) were

identified (**Figure 5B**). We found that Ca^{2+} transients (CaTs) elicited by direct current injection in

the GC soma (5 action potentials, 25 Hz) were significantly smaller in *Grin1*-cKO animals as

compared to control (**Figure 5C-E**). In addition, NMDAR antagonism with D-APV reduced

presynaptic Ca^{2+} rise even under more physiological Mg^{+2} concentration in acute rat hippocampal

slices (**Figure S7**). Thus, preNMDARs contribute significantly to presynaptic Ca^{2+} rise in mf

boutons, and by this means likely facilitates synaptic transmission, although a potential

contribution of Ca^{2+} rise-independent effects cannot be discarded.

Lastly, we sought to determine if direct activation of preNMDARs could drive Ca^{2+} influx in mf giant

boutons. To test this possibility, we elicited CaTs by two-photon glutamate uncaging (2PU) on mf

boutons of control and *Grin1*-cKO animals (**Figure 6A**). As previously described, mCherry GCs

were patch-loaded with Alexa 594 and Fluo-5F in a recording solution designed to maximize the

detection of preNMDAR-mediated Ca^{2+} signals (as in Figure 5). We first confirmed that glutamate

2PU-induced CaTs in dendritic spine heads of GCs were strongly reduced in *Grin1*-cKO animals

as compared to controls (**Figure 6B,C**). To verify that reduced Ca^{2+} signals (ΔG/R) were a result

of *Grin1* deletion and not differences in uncaging laser power, we performed a laser power

intensity–response curve, and found that *Grin1*-cKO animals exhibited reduced ΔG/R signals as

compared to control regardless of laser power intensity (**Figure S8**). We next measured

glutamate 2PU-induced CaTs in mf giant boutons (identified as in Figure 5B) and found that single

uncaging pulses were insufficient to drive detectable CaTs in control boutons (**Figure S9**).

However, a burst of 2PU stimulation (5 pulses, 25 Hz) induced CaTs in mf boutons of control but

not in *Grin1*-cKO animals (**Figure 6D,E**). Additionally, CaTs elicited by 2PU stimulation were

abolished by D-APV application (**Figure S10**). These findings indicate that brief bursts of

glutamate 2PU, a manipulation that mimics endogenous release of glutamate during physiological

patterns of activity, induces presynaptic Ca^{2+} influx in mf boutons by activating preNMDARs.

PreNMDARs promote BDNF release from mossy fiber boutons

Previous work implicated preNMDARs in the release of BDNF at corticostriatal synapses following

burst stimulation and presynaptic Ca^{2+} elevations (Park et al., 2014). Given the high expression

levels of BDNF in mfs (Conner et al., 1997; Yan et al., 1997), we examined the potential role for

preNMDARs in BDNF release from mf terminals. To this end, a Cre-dependent BDNF reporter

(BDNF-pHluorin) was injected in *Grin1*-floxed and control animals. Littermate mice were injected

with a mix of AAV5-CamKII-mCherry-Cre + AAV-DJ-DIO-BDNF-pHluorin viruses in the DG

(**Figure 7A**). At least four weeks after surgery, acute slices were prepared for two-photon laser

microscopy to image mf boutons. After acquiring a stable baseline of BDNF-pHluorin signals, mfs

were repetitively activated (see Methods) (**Figure 7B**). BDNF-pHluorin signals were analyzed by

measuring ΔF/F, where ΔF/F reductions indicate BDNF release (Park et al., 2014). We found that

GluN1-deficient mf boutons showed a significant (~50%) impairment in BDNF release as

compared to control (**Figure 7C-D**). Furthermore, using a more physiological pattern of burst

stimulation, GluN1-lacking mf boutons still displayed altered BDNF release as compared to

control (**Figure S11**). Taken together, our results suggest preNMDARs contribute significantly to

BDNF release during repetitive or burst stimulation of mf synapses.

PreNMDAR-mediated regulation of mossy fiber synapses is input-specific

In addition to providing a major excitatory input to the hippocampus proper, mf axons also synapse

onto excitatory hilar mossy cells and inhibitory neurons in CA3 (Amaral et al., 2007; Henze et al.,

2000; Lawrence & McBain, 2003). To test whether preNMDARs could also play a role at these

synapses, we visually patched mossy cells and interneurons in acute rat hippocampal slices,

loaded them with 35 µM Alexa 594 (**Figure 8A**) and 2 mM MK-801, and monitored AMPAR-

EPSCs (V_h = -70 mV). Unlike mf-CA3 synapses, mf synapses onto CA3 interneurons in *stratum*

lucidum do not express LFF, but can undergo burst-induced facilitation or depression (Toth et al.,

2000). We found that MK-801 bath application had no effect on burst-induced facilitation or

depression (**Figure 8B**), suggesting preNMDARs do not play a role at mf-Interneuron synapses

in CA3. Mf inputs onto hilar mossy cells undergo robust activity-dependent facilitation (Lysetskiy

et al., 2005). Similar to mf-CA3 synapses, we found that MK-801 reduced LFF (**Figure 8C**).

Stability experiments of mf transmission at CA3 interneurons or hilar mossy cells showed no

significant differences (**Figure S12**). Taken together, our findings demonstrate that preNMDARs

facilitate mf transmission onto excitatory neurons but not onto inhibitory interneurons.

Discussion

In this study, we provide evidence that hippocampal mf boutons express preNMDARs whose

activation fine-tunes mf synaptic function. Specifically, our results show that preNMDARs enhance

mf short-term plasticity in a target cell-specific manner. By enhancing glutamate release onto

excitatory but not inhibitory interneurons, preNMDARs increase GC-CA3 spike transfer.

Moreover, using two-photon Ca^{2+} imaging, we demonstrate that preNMDARs contribute to

presynaptic Ca^{2+} rise in mf boutons. Lastly, upon repetitive activity, preNMDARs promote BDNF

release from mf boutons. Taken together, our findings indicate that preNMDARs act as

autoreceptors to boost both glutamate and BDNF release at mf synapses. By regulating

information flow in the DG-CA3 circuit, preNMDARs may play a significant role in learning and

memory.

Early studies using immunoperoxidase electron microscopy revealed NMDARs in presynaptic

compartments in multiple brain areas (for a review, see Corlew et al., 2008). Subsequent studies

that used immunogold electron microscopy, a more precise localization method, identified

NMDARs on the presynaptic membrane in a number of brain structures, including neocortex

(Fujisawa & Aoki, 2003; Larsen et al., 2011), hippocampus (Berg et al., 2013; Jourdain et al.,

2007; McGuinness et al., 2010), and amygdala (Pickel et al., 2006). In agreement with these

studies, and using a previously validated antibody (Siegel et al., 1994), we identified prominent

presynaptic labeling of the obligatory subunit GluN1 in mf boutons (Figure 1A-D). Moreover, we

found that these receptors are close to the active zone and therefore well positioned to modulate

neurotransmitter release.

Previous work in the cerebellum and neocortex suggested that somatodendritic potentials

generated by NMDARs could signal to nerve terminals and lead to presynaptic Ca^{2+} elevations

(Christie & Jahr, 2008, 2009). Thus, changes in neurotransmitter release resulting from NMDAR

antagonism could be due to somatodendritic NMDARs but not necessarily preNMDARs residing

on nerve terminals (Duguid, 2013). However, we showed that focal NMDAR antagonism far from

the somatodendritic compartment and in transected axons still reduced short-term plasticity at mf

synapses (Figure 3), making it extremely unlikely that somatodendritic NMDARs could explain

our results. In further support of functional preNMDARs at mf boutons, we found that 2PU of

glutamate induced Ca^{2+} rise in control but not in GluN1-deficient boutons. Together, our findings

strongly support the presence of functional preNMDARs facilitating neurotransmission at mf-CA3

synapses.

There is evidence that preNMDARs can operate as coincidence detectors at some synapses

(Duguid, 2013; Wong et al., 2020). At the mf-CA3 synapse, we found that preNMDARs contribute

to LFF (i.e. 1s inter-stimulus interval). This observation is intriguing given that the presynaptic AP-

mediated depolarization is likely absent by the time glutamate binds to preNMDARs. However,

coincidence detection may not be an essential requirement for mf preNMDARs to modulate

glutamate release. Of note, at resting membrane potential the NMDAR conductance is not zero

and the driving force for Ca^{2+} influx is high (Paoletti et al., 2013; Traynelis et al., 2010). It is also

conceivable that mf preNMDARs exhibit low-voltage dependence, as it has been reported at other

synapses (Wong et al., 2020). Remarkably, the somatodendritic compartment of GCs can

generate sub-threshold depolarizations at mf terminals (a.k.a. excitatory presynaptic potentials)

(Alle & Geiger, 2006). By alleviating the magnesium blockade, these potentials might reduce the

need for coincidence detection and transiently boost the functional impact of mf preNMDARs.

While the presence of preNMDARs is downregulated during development both in neocortex

(Corlew et al., 2007; Larsen et al., 2011) and hippocampus (Mameli et al., 2005), we were able to

detect functional preNMDARs in young adult rats (P17-P28) and mice (P30-P44), once mf

connections are fully developed (Amaral & Dent, 1981). Functional preNMDARs have been

identified in axonal growth cones of hippocampal and neocortical neurons, suggesting these

receptors are important for regulating early synapse formation (Gill et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2011).

Because GCs undergo adult neurogenesis, and adult born GCs establish new connections in the

mature brain, preNMDARs could also play an important role at immature mf synapses and

functional integration of new born GCs into the mature hippocampus (Toni & Schinder, 2015).

Moreover, experience can modulate the expression and composition of preNMDARs in neocortex

(Larsen et al., 2014), a possibility not investigated in our study.

The glutamate that activates preNMDARs may originate from the presynaptic terminal, the

postsynaptic cell, nearby synapses or neighboring glial cells. Our results indicate that activation

of preNMDARs at mf synapses requires activity-dependent release of glutamate that likely arises

from mf boutons, although other sources cannot be discarded, including astrocytes. For instance,

at medial entorhinal inputs to GCs, preNMDARs appear to be localized away from the presynaptic

release sites and facing astrocytes, consistent with preNMDAR activation by gliotransmitters

(Jourdain et al., 2007; Savtchouk et al., 2019). In contrast, at mf-CA3 synapses we found that

preNMDARs are adjacent to the release sites suggesting a direct control on glutamate release

from mf boutons.

The precise mechanism by which preNMDARs facilitate neurotransmitter release is poorly

understood but it may include Ca^{2+} influx through the receptor and depolarization of the

presynaptic terminal with subsequent activation of voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channels (Banerjee et al.,

2016; Corlew et al., 2008). In support of this mechanism is the high Ca^{2+} permeability of NMDARs

(Paoletti et al., 2013; Rogers & Dani, 1995). Besides, presynaptic subthreshold depolarization

and subsequent activation of presynaptic voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channels is a common mechanism

by which presynaptic ionotropic receptors facilitate neurotransmitter release (Engelman &

MacDermott, 2004; Pinheiro & Mulle, 2008). PreNMDARs may also act in a metabotropic manner

(Dore et al., 2016) and facilitate spontaneous transmitter release independent of Ca^{2+}-influx

(Abrahamsson et al., 2017). Our findings demonstrating that the open channel blocker MK-801

robustly reduced short-term plasticity at mf synapses support an ionotropic mechanism that

involves Ca^{2+} influx through preNMDARs. A previous study failed to observe Ca^{+2} reductions in

mf boutons by DL-APV (Liang et al., 2002). A combination of factors could account for this

discrepancy with our study, including a stronger mf repetitive stimulation (20 pulses, 100 Hz)

which may overcome a less potent NMDAR antagonism and/or the need for preNMDAR activity,

as well as the use of a higher affinity Ca^{2+} indicator (Fura-2 AM) and a lower spatiotemporal

resolution imaging approach. Nevertheless, in line with previous studies that detected presynaptic

Ca^{2+} rises following local activation of NMDARs (e.g. NMDA or glutamate uncaging) in visual

cortex (Buchanan et al., 2012) and cerebellum (Rossi et al., 2012), we provide direct evidence

that preNMDAR activation either by repetitive activation of mfs or 2PU of glutamate increases

presynaptic Ca^{2+} (Figures 5 and 6). Although the Ca^{2+} targets remain unidentified, these may

include proteins of the release machinery, calcium-dependent protein kinases and phosphatases,

and Ca^{2+} release from internal stores (Banerjee et al., 2016). In addition to facilitating evoked

neurotransmitter release, preNMDARs can promote spontaneous neurotransmitter release as

indicated by changes in miniature, action potential-independent activity (e.g. mEPSCs) (for recent

reviews, see Banerjee et al., 2016; Kunz et al., 2013; Wong et al., 2020). A potential role for

preNMDARs in spontaneous, action potential-independent release at mf synapses cannot be

discarded.

Our results show that activation of preNMDARs by physiologically relevant patterns of presynaptic

activity enhanced mf transmission and DG-CA3 information transfer (Figure 4). A previous study

reported NMDAR genetic deletion in GCs resulted in memory deficits (e.g. pattern separation)

(McHugh et al., 2007). Although the mechanism is unclear, it could involve activity-dependent

preNMDAR regulation of mf excitatory connections. We also found that preNMDARs facilitate

neurotransmitter release in a target cell-specific manner. Like in neocortex (Larsen & Sjostrom,

2015), such specificity strongly suggests that preNMDARs have distinct roles in controlling

information flow in cortical microcircuits. Thus, preNMDAR facilitation of mf synapses onto

glutamatergic neurons but not GABAergic interneurons (Figure 8) may fine-tune the CA3 circuit

by increasing the excitatory/inhibitory balance.

Given the multiple signaling cascades known to regulate NMDARs (Lau & Zukin, 2007; Sanz-

Clemente et al., 2013), preNMDARs at mf synapses may provide an important site of

neuromodulatory control. PreNMDARs have been implicated in the induction of LTP and LTD at

excitatory or inhibitory synapses in several brain areas (Banerjee et al., 2016; Wong et al., 2020).

While most evidence, at least using robust induction protocols *in vitro,* indicates that long-term

forms of presynaptic plasticity at mf synapses can occur in the absence of NMDAR activation

(Castillo, 2012; Nicoll & Schmitz, 2005), our findings do not discard the possibility that

preNMDARs could play a role *in vivo* during subtle presynaptic activities. As previously reported

for corticostriatal LTP (Park et al., 2014), preNMDARs could regulate long-term synaptic plasticity

by controlling BDNF release, which is consistent with BDNF-TrkB signaling being implicated in

mf-CA3 LTP (Schildt et al., 2013). In addition, BDNF could facilitate glutamate release by

enhancing NMDAR function at the presynapse, as previously suggested (W. Chen et al., 2014;

Madara & Levine, 2008), although the precise mechanism(s) remain unclear. By potentiating mf-

CA3 transmission, BDNF could also promote epileptic activity (McNamara & Scharfman, 2012).

Lastly, dysregulation of NMDARs is commonly implicated in the pathophysiology of brain

disorders such as schizophrenia, autism, and epilepsy (Lau & Zukin, 2007; Paoletti et al., 2013).

PreNMDAR expression and function have been suggested to be altered in experimental models

of disease, including neuropathic pain (Y. Chen et al., 2019; Zeng et al., 2006), and epilepsy (Yang

et al., 2006). At present, however, *in vivo* evidence for the involvement of preNMDARs in brain

function and disease is rather indirect (Bouvier et al., 2015; Wong et al., 2020). The development

of specific preNMDAR tools is required to determine the functional impact of these receptors *in*

vivo.

Methods

Key Resources Table				
Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information

strain, strain background (*rattus norvegicus* male and female)	Rat: Sprague-Dawley	Charles River	Strain code: 400	
strain, strain background (*Mus musculus*, male and female)	Mouse: GluN1fl/fl (B6.129S4-Grin1tm2Stl/J)	Dr. Michael Higley /The Jackson Laboratory	RRID: IMSR_JAX: 005246	
strain, strain background (*Mus musculus* male and female)	Mouse: C57Bl6/J	Charles River	Strain code: 027	
antibody	(Include host species and clonality) Mouse, Monoclonal, anti-NMDAR1	Millipore	Cat# MAB363	10 µg/mL
antibody	Rabbit, Polyclonal, anti-GluA1-4, (pan-AMPA)	Dr. Elek Molnar /Bristol University	Generated by Dr. Elek Molnar	10 µg/mL
antibody	Goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated gold particles	Nanoprobes Inc	#2003-0.5ML	1:100
recombinant DNA reagent	AAV5-CamKII-GFP-Cre	Penn Vector Core	AV-5-PV2521	Available on Addgene
recombinant DNA reagent	AAV5-CamKII-eGFP	Penn Vector Core	AV-5-PV1917	Available on Addgene

recombinant DNA reagent	AAV5-CamKII-mcherry-Cre	UNC Vector Core	See website	https://www med. unc.edu /genetherapy/ vectorcore/in-stock-aav-vectors/
recombinant DNA reagent	AAV5-CamKII-mcherry	UNC Vector Core-Dr. Karl Deisseroth Control Fluorophores	See website	https://www med. unc.edu /genetherapy/ vectorcore/in-stock-aav-vectors/
recombinant DNA reagent	AAV-DJ-flex-OChIEF-tdTomato	Dr. Pascal Kaeser PMID: **29398114**	Generated at UNC Vector Core	Custom Order
recombinant DNA reagent	AAV-DJ-DIO-BDNF-phluorin	Dr. Hyungju Park PMID: **25467984**	Generated at UNC Vector Core	Custom Order
chemical compound, drug	Ketamine	Merial	Cat#03661103001904	
chemical compound, drug	Xylazine	Calier	Cat#20100-003	
chemical compound, drug	Paraformaldehyde	Scharlau	PA0095	
chemical compound, drug	Glutaraldehyde	Electron Microscopy Sciences	Cat#16210	
chemical compound, drug	Picric Acid	Panreac	Cat#141048.1609	

chemical compound, drug	Phosphate Buffer		Scharlau	SO03321000	
chemical compound, drug	Human serum albumin		SigmaMillipore	A-1653	
chemical compound, drug	TBS	TRIZMA BASE	SigmaMillipore	T1503	
		Trizma HCl		T3253	
chemical compound, drug	Triton X-100		SigmaMillipore	T8787	
chemical compound, drug	Polyethylene glycol		SigmaMillipore	25322-68-3	
chemical compound, drug	Uranyl acetate		Electron Microscopy Sciences	Cat#22400	
chemical compound, drug	Reynold's lead citrate		Electron Microscopy Sciences	#17800	
chemical compound, drug	Picrotoxin		SigmaMillipore	Cat# P1675	
chemical compound, drug	LY303070		ABX Chemical Co.	N/A	Custom Order
chemical compound, drug	MK-801		Tocris Bioscience	Cat# 0924	
chemical compound, drug	DCG-IV		Tocris Bioscience	Cat# 0975	
chemical compound, drug	D-APV		Tocris Bioscience	Cat# 0106	

chemical compound, drug	D-APV	NIMH Chemical Synthesis Program	N/A	
chemical compound, drug	R-CPP	Tocris Bioscience	Cat# 0247	
chemical compound, drug	NBQX	Cayman Chemical Co.	Cat# 14914	
chemical compound, drug	Fluo5-F pentapotassium salt cell impermeant	Invitrogen Molecular Probes	Cat# F14221	
chemical compound, drug	Alexa Fluor 594 Hydrazide	Invitrogen Molecular Probes	Cat# A10438	
chemical compound, drug	Alexa Fluor 488 Hydrazide	Invitrogen Molecular Probes	Cat# A10436	
chemical compound, drug	D-Serine	Tocris Bioscience	Cat# 0226	
chemical compound, drug	MNI-caged-L-glutamate	Tocris Bioscience	Cat# 1490	
chemical compound, drug	Sucrose	SigmaMillipore	Cat# S9378	
chemical compound, drug	KCl	SigmaMillipore	Cat# P3911	
chemical compound, drug	NaH_2PO_4	SigmaMillipore	Cat# S9638	
chemical compound, drug	$CaCl_2$	SigmaMillipore	Cat# C8106	

chemical compound, drug	MgCl$_2$	SigmaMillipore	Cat# M2670	
chemical compound, drug	MgSO$_4$	SigmaMillipore	Cat# M1880	
chemical compound, drug	Glucose	SigmaMillipore	Cat# G8270	
chemical compound, drug	NaCl	SigmaMillipore	Cat# S7653	
chemical compound, drug	NaHCO$_3$	SigmaMillipore	Cat# S6014	
chemical compound, drug	Cesium hydroxide	SigmaMillipore	Cat# 23204	
chemical compound, drug	D-gluconic acid	SigmaMillipore	Cat# G1951	
chemical compound, drug	EGTA	SigmaMillipore	Cat# E4378	
chemical compound, drug	HEPES	SigmaMillipore	Cat# H3375	
chemical compound, drug	Potassium gluconate	SigmaMillipore	Cat# G4500	
chemical compound, drug	MgATP	SigmaMillipore	Cat# A9187	
chemical compound, drug	Na$_3$GTP	SigmaMillipore	Cat# G0635	

chemical compound, drug	NMDG	SigmaMillipore	Cat# M2004	
chemical compound, drug	Sodium ascorbate	SigmaMillipore	Cat# A4034	
chemical compound, drug	Thiourea	SigmaMillipore	Cat# T8656	
chemical compound, drug	Sodium pyruvate	SigmaMillipore	Cat# P2256	
chemical compound, drug	$KMeSO_4$	SigmaMillipore	Cat# 83000	
chemical compound, drug	Na_2ATP	SigmaMillipore	Cat# A2383	
chemical compound, drug	NaGTP	SigmaMillipore	Cat# 51120	
chemical compound, drug	Sodium Phosphocreatine	SigmaMillipore	Cat# P7936	
chemical compound, drug	NH_4Cl	SigmaMillipore	Cat# A9434	
chemical compound, drug	KOH	EMD Millipore	Cat# 109108	
chemical compound, drug	HCl	Fisher Chemical	Cat# SA49	
software, algorithm	IgorPro7	Wavemetrics		https://www.wavemetrics.com/

software, algorithm	Origin Pro 9	Origin Lab		https://www. originlab.com/
software, algorithm	ImageJ	ImageJ		http://imagej. net/ Welcome
software, algorithm	Multiclamp 700B	Molecular Devices		https://www. molecular devices.com/
software, algorithm	Prairie View 5.4	Bruker Corp.		https://www.p v update .blogspot.com /

Antibodies

464   A monoclonal antibody against GluN1 (clone 54.1 MAB363) was obtained from Millipore

465   (Germany) and its specificity was characterized previously (Siegel et al., 1994). An affinity-purified

466   polyclonal rabbit anti-GluA1-4 (pan-AMPA), corresponding to aa 724–781 of rat, was used and

467   characterised previously (Nusser et al., 1998).

Immunohistochemistry for electron microscopy

Immunohistochemical reactions at the electron microscopic level were carried out using the post-

embedding immunogold method as described earlier (Lujan et al., 1996). Briefly, animals (n = 3

rats were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of ketamine-xylazine 1 : 1 (0.1 mL/kg b.w.) and

transcardially perfused with ice-cold fixative containing 4% paraformaldehyde, 0.1%

glutaraldehyde and 15% saturated picric acid solution in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB) for 15 min.

Vibratome sections 500 µm thick were placed into 1 M sucrose solution in 0.1 M PB for 2 h before

they were slammed on a Leica EM CPC apparatus. Samples were dehydrated in methanol at -

80°C and embedded by freeze-substitution (Leica EM AFS2) in Lowicryl HM 20 (Electron

Microscopy Science, Hatfield, USA), followed by polymerization with UV light. Then, ultrathin 80-

nm-thick sections from Lowicryl-embedded blocks of the hippocampus were picked up on coated

nickel grids and incubated on drops of a blocking solution consisting of 2% human serum albumin

in 0.05 M TBS and 0.03% Triton X-100. The grids were incubated with GluN1 or pan-AMPA

antibodies (10 µg/mL in 0.05 M TBS and 0.03% Triton X-100 with 2% human serum albumin) at

28 °C overnight. The grids were incubated on drops of goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to 10 nm

colloidal gold particles (Nanoprobes Inc.) in 2% human serum albumin and 0.5% polyethylene

glycol in 0.05 M TBS and 0.03% Triton X-100. The grids were then washed in TBS and

counterstained for electron microscopy with 1% aqueous uranyl acetate followed by Reynolds's

lead citrate. Ultrastructural analyses were performed in a JEOL-1010 electron microscope.

Hippocampal slice preparation

Animal handling followed an approved protocol by the Albert Einstein College of Medicine

Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee in accordance with the National Institute of Health

guidelines. Acute rat hippocampal slices (400 µm thick) were obtained from Sprague-Dawley

rats, from postnatal day 17 (P17) to P28 of either sex. For procedures regarding transgenic mouse

slice preparation, see below. The hippocampi were isolated and cut using a VT1200s microslicer

(Leica Microsystems Co.) in a solution containing (in mM): 215 sucrose, 2.5 KCl, 26 $NaHCO_3$, 1.6

NaH_2PO_4, 1 $CaCl_2$, 4 $MgCl_2$, 4 $MgSO_4$ and 20 glucose. Acute slices were placed in a chamber

containing a 1:1 mix of sucrose cutting solution and normal extracellular artificial cerebrospinal

fluid (ACSF) recording solution containing (in mM): 124 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 26 $NaHCO_3$, 1 NaH_2PO_4,

2.5 $CaCl_2$, 1.3 $MgSO_4$ and 10 glucose incubated in a warm-water bath at 33-34 ºC. The chamber

was brought to room temperature for at least 15 min post-sectioning and the 1:1 sucrose-ACSF

solution was replaced by ACSF. All solutions were equilibrated with 95% O_2 and 5% CO_2 (pH

7.4). Slices were allowed to recover for at least 45 min in the ACSF solution before recording.

For physiological Mg^{+2} and Ca^{+2} experiments, ACSF solutions were adjusted to (in mM): 1.2

$MgSO_4$, and 1.2 $CaCl_2$ and temperature was maintained at 35 ± 0.1 °C in the submersion-type

recording chamber heated by a temperature controller (TC-344B Dual Automatic Temperature

Controller, Warner Instruments).

Electrophysiology

Electrophysiological recordings were performed at 26.0 ± 0.1 ºC (unless otherwise stated) in a

submersion-type recording chamber perfused at 2 mL/min with normal ACSF supplemented with

the $GABA_A$ receptor antagonist picrotoxin (100 μM) and the selective AMPA receptor (AMPAR)

antagonist LY303070 at a low concentration (0.5 μM) to minimize CA3-CA3 recurrent activity, or

at a high concentration (15 μM) to isolate KAR-EPSCs and KAR-EPSPs to assess monosynaptic

mf transmission. Whole-cell recordings were made from CA3 pyramidal cells voltage clamped at

-70 mV using patch-type pipette electrodes (3-4 mΩ) containing (in mM): 131 cesium gluconate,

8 NaCl, 1 $CaCl_2$, 10 EGTA, 10 glucose, 10 HEPES, and 2 MK-801 pH 7.25 (280-285 mOsm)

unless specified otherwise. KOH was used to adjust pH. Series resistance (8-15 MΩ) was

monitored throughout all experiments with a -5 mV, 80 ms voltage step, and cells that exhibited a

series resistance change (>20%) were excluded from analysis. A stimulating bipolar electrode

(theta glass, Warner Instruments) was filled with ACSF and placed in *stratum lucidum* to

selectively activate mfs using a DS2A Isolated Voltage Stimulator (Digitimer Ltd.) with a 100 μs

pulse width duration. AMPAR-EPSCs were recorded for a baseline period of two minutes and

low-frequency facilitation (LFF) was induced by stepping the stimulation frequency from 0.1 to 1

Hz for two minutes. Facilitation was measured by taking a ratio of the mean EPSC during the

steady-state, LFF period of activity and the two-minute baseline ($EPSC_{1Hz}/EPSC_{0.1Hz}$) before and

after bath-application of NMDAR antagonists.

To qualify for analysis, mf responses met three criteria: 1) The 20-80% rise time of the AMPAR-

EPSC was less than 1 ms, 2) LFF was greater than 150%, 3) The AMPAR-EPSC displayed at

least 70% sensitivity to the group 2/3 mGluR agonist, DCG-IV (1 μM). Isolated KAR-EPSCs were

elicited by 2 pulses with a 5 ms inter-stimulus-interval for LFF experiments. Baseline

measurements were acquired at least 10 min after "break-in" to achieve optimal intracellular

blockade of postsynaptic NMDARs by MK-801 (2 mM) in the patch-pipette. To transect mf axons

in acute slices, a 45° ophthalmic knife (Alcon Surgical) was used to make a diagonal cut across

the hilus from the dorsal to ventral blades of the DG, and the subregion CA3b was targeted for

patch-clamp recordings. For D-APV (2 mM) puff experiments, a puffer device (Toohey Company)

was set to deliver 2-3 puffs of 100 ms duration at 3-4 psi during the two minutes of LFF activity.

The puffer pipette was placed at least 200 μm away from the recording site and both the puff

pipette and hippocampal slice were positioned to follow the direction of the laminar perfusion flow

in a low profile, submersion-type chamber (RC-26GLP, Warner Instruments). Burst-induced

facilitation was elicited by 5 pulses at 25 Hz with a 0.03 Hz inter-trial-interval for a baseline period

of 10 min. Facilitation was measured by calculating the ratio of the mean KAR-EPSC peak of the

5th pulse to the 1st pulse (P_5/P_1) before and after bath-application of MK-801 (50 μM). To study

KAR induced action potentials, CA3 pyramidal cells were whole-cell patch-clamped with internal

solution containing in (mM): 112 potassium gluconate, 17 KCl, 0.04 $CaCl_2$, 0.1 EGTA, 10 HEPES,

10 NaCl, 2 MgATP, 0.2 Na_3GTP and 2 MK-801, pH 7.2 (280-285 mOsm). Current-clamped CA3

cells were held at -70 mV during burst stimulation of mfs (5 pulses at 25 Hz) to monitor evoked

action potentials. Spike-transfer was measured by quantifying mean number of spikes/burst for

a 10 min period before and after bath application of MK-801 (50 μM). Robust sensitivity to the

AMPAR/KAR selective antagonist NBQX (10 μM) confirmed KAR-EPSC responses. Similarly,

CA3 pyramidal cells were kept in current-clamp mode for AMPAR-mediated action potential

monitoring in the presence of LY303070 (0.5 μM) and picrotoxin (100 μM). AMPAR-mediated mf

action potentials were confirmed by blockade of responses following application of DCG-IV (1

μM). Both hilar mossy cells and CA3 interneurons were visually patched-loaded with Alexa 594

(35 μM) and morphological identity was confirmed by two-photon laser scanning imaging at the

end of experiments. Hilar mossy cells were voltage clamped at -70 mV and a bipolar electrode

was placed in the DG to activate mf inputs. The data analysis and inclusion criteria used for mf

experiments (described above) was also implemented for hilar mossy cell recordings. CA3

interneurons were voltage clamped at -70 mV and burst-stimulated, facilitation was assessed as

previously mentioned. Both facilitating and depressing mf responses were included for analysis

given the diversity of mf-CA3 interneuron transmission (Toth et al., 2000). Whole-cell voltage and

current clamp recordings were performed using an Axon MultiClamp 700B amplifier (Molecular

Devices). Signals were filtered at 2 kHz and digitized at 5 kHz. Stimulation and acquisition were

controlled with custom software (Igor Pro 7).

Transgenic animals

Grin1-floxed littermate mice of either sex (P16-20) were injected with 1 µL of AAV5-CamKII-eGFP,

AAV5-CamKII-CreGFP, AAV5-CamKII-mCherry, or AAV5-CamKII-mCherry-Cre viruses at a rate

of 0.12 µL/min at coordinates (-1.9 mm A/P, 1.1 mm M/L, 2.4 mm D/V) targeting the DG using a

stereotaxic apparatus (Kopf Instruments). Two weeks post-surgery mice were sacrificed for

electrophysiology or Ca^{2+} imaging experiments. Mice were transcardially perfused with 20 mL of

cold NMDG solution containing in (mM): 93 NMDG, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 30 $NaHCO_3$, 20

HEPES, 25 glucose, 5 sodium ascorbate, 2 Thiourea, 3 sodium pyruvate, 10 $MgCl_2$, 0.5 $CaCl_2$,

brought to pH 7.35 with HCl. The hippocampi were isolated and cut using a VT1200s microslicer

in cold NMDG solution. Acute mouse slices were placed in an incubation chamber containing

normal ACSF solution that was kept in a warm water bath at 33-34 ˚C. All solutions were

equilibrated with 95% O_2 and 5% CO_2 (pH 7.4). Post-sectioning, slices recovered at room

temperature for at least 45 min prior to experiments. For NMDAR/AMPAR ratios, GCs were patch-

clamped with the cesium internal solution previously mentioned containing either Alexa 594 (35

µM) for GFP+ cells (laser tuned to 830 nm/910 nm, respectively) or Alexa 488 (35 µM) for

mCherry+ cells (laser tuned to 910 nm/780 nm, respectively). AMPAR-EPSCs were recorded at -

65 mV in the presence of picrotoxin (100 µM) by placing a bipolar electrode near the medial

perforant path and delivering a 100 μs pulse width duration using an Isoflex stimulating unit.

AMPAR-EPSCs were acquired for at least 5 min followed by bath-application of NBQX (10 μM)

to isolate NMDAR-EPSCs. GCs were brought to +40 mV to alleviate magnesium block and record

optimal NMDAR-EPSCs. NMDAR/AMPAR ratios were measured by taking the mean NMDAR-

EPSC/AMPAR-EPSC for a 5 min period of each component. Only acute mouse slices with

optimal GFP and mCherry reporter fluorescence (i.e. robust expression, ≥ 75% of dentate gyrus

fluorescence) were used for electrophysiology, and Ca^{2+} and BDNF imaging experiments. *Grin1*-

floxed animals (The Jackson Laboratory) were kindly provided by Dr. Michael Higley (Yale

University).

Optogenetics

Grin1 floxed and control mice of either sexes (P17-20) were injected with a 1:2 mix of AAV5-

CamKII-CreGFP/AAV-DJ-FLEX-ChIEF-tdTomato viruses targeting the DG, using the same

coordinates described above. At least four weeks post-surgery acute hippocampal slices were

prepared as previously described and slices showing optimal GFP and tdTomato expression were

used for electrophysiology experiments. Mf optical burst-stimulation was elicited by using a

Coherent 473 nm laser (4-8 mW) delivering 5 pulses at 25 Hz with a 1-2 ms pulse width duration.

Facilitation was measured by taking a ratio of the mean AMPAR-EPSC peak of the 5th pulse to

the 1st pulse (P_5/P_1) in control and *Grin1*-cKO animals.

Two-photon calcium imaging and MNI-glutamate uncaging

mCherry+ GCs were patch-loaded with an internal solution containing in (mM): 130 KMeSO$_4$, 10

HEPES, 4 MgCl$_2$, 4 Na$_2$ATP, 0.4 NaGTP, 10 sodium phosphocreatine, 0.035 Alexa 594 (red

morphological dye), and 0.2 Fluo-5F (green calcium indicator), 280-285 mOsm. KOH was used

to adjust pH. GCs near the hilar border were avoided and GCs that exhibited adult-born GCs

electrophysiological properties were excluded from analysis. GCs were kept in voltage clamp

configuration at -50 mV for at least 1 hr to allow the diffusion of dyes to mf boutons. Recordings

were obtained in ACSF solution containing in (mM): 124 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 26 $NaHCO_3$, 1 NaH_2PO_4,

4 $CaCl_2$, 0 $MgSO_4$, 10 glucose, 0.01 NBQX, 0.1 picrotoxin, and 0.01 D-serine. Using an Ultima

2P laser scanning microscope (Bruker Corp) equipped with an Insight Deep See laser (Spectra

Physics) tuned to 830 nm, the "red" photomultiplier tube (PMT) was turned on and with minimal

pockel power the red signal was used to identify the mf axon. With 512 x 512 pixel resolution mf

axons were followed for at least 200 μm from the DG towards CA3, until bouton structures were

morphologically identified and measured (>3 μm in diameter). GCs were switched to current

clamp mode held at -70 mV and 1 ms current injections were used to elicit a burst of 5 action

potentials at 25 Hz. Using line scan analysis software (PrairieView 5.4, Bruker Corp.), a line was

drawn across the diameter of the bouton at a magnification of at least 16X. The "green" PMT

channel was turned on and 1,000 line scans were acquired in a 2 s period. Action potential

induction was delayed for 400 ms to collect a baseline fluorescence time period. Calcium

transients (CaTs) were acquired with a 1 min inter-trial-interval and analyzed using the ΔG/R

calculation: $(G - G_0)/R$. CaTs from control animals were compared to *Grin1*-cKO by taking the

mean peak ΔG/R value for a 30 ms period of the 5th action potential. In similar fashion, CaT

signals in acute rat hippocampal slices were acquired and tested for sensitivity to D-APV (100

μM) while adjusting ACSF $MgSO_4$ concentration to 1.3 mM and $CaCl_2$ to 2.5 mM in the absence

of NBQX.

For glutamate uncaging experiments, GCs that were mCherry+ were patch-loaded using the

internal solution previously described, and a small volume (12 mL) of recirculated ACSF solution

containing in (mM): 124 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 26 $NaHCO_3$, 1 NaH_2PO_4, 4 $CaCl_2$, 0 $MgSO_4$, 10 glucose,

2.5 MNI-glutamate, 0.01 NBQX, 0.1 picrotoxin, and 0.01 D-serine. A MaiTai HP laser (Spectra

Physics) was tuned to 720 nm to optimally uncage glutamate and elicit CaTs in GC dendritic

spines. Following the measurement of CaTs in GC spines, mf boutons were identified and to

mimic bursting activity, 5 uncaging pulses (1 ms duration) were delivered at 25 Hz. The acquired

CaTs in spines and boutons were analyzed using the ΔG/R calculation in control and *Grin1*-cKO

animals. In a subset of control boutons, D-APV (100 µM) was applied to detect CaT sensitivity to

NMDAR antagonism.

Two-photon BDNF-phluorin imaging

Grin1 floxed and control mice of both sexes (P16-20) were injected with a 1:2 mix of AAV5-

CamKII-mCherryCre/AAV-DJ-DIO-BDNF-phluorin viruses targeting the DG using the same

coordinates as above. At least four weeks post-surgery acute hippocampal slices were prepared

as previously described and slices showing optimal GFP and mCherry expression were taken for

imaging sessions. For stimulation, a monopolar micropipette electrode was placed in the *stratum*

lucidum at least 250 µm away from the imaging site. The Insight Deep See laser (Spectra

Physics) was tuned to 880 nm and the imaging site was selected by the appearance of fibers and

bouton structures in the *stratum lucidum*. Using 512 X 512 pixel resolution identified boutons

measuring at least 3 µm in diameter were selected as a region of interest (ROI) magnified to 4-

6X and a baseline acquisition of 100 consecutive images at 1 Hz using T-series software

(PrairieView 5.4, Bruker Corp.) was acquired (Park et al., 2014). Following the baseline

acquisition a repetitive stimulation consisting of 125 pulses at 25 Hz was delivered 2x, triggering

an acquisition of 200 consecutive images at 1 Hz. The fluorescence intensity of the bouton ROI

was measured using ImageJ software to calculate ΔF/F of the BDNF-pHluorin signal. To verify

reactivity of the ROI an isosmotic solution of NH_4Cl (50 mM) was added at the end of the imaging

session as previously reported (Park et al., 2014). The same experimental and analysis procedure

was implemented to measure BDNF release triggered by mf burst stimulation consisting of 5

pulses at 100 Hz, 50x, every 0.5 s.

Viruses

AAV5-CamKII-eGFP and AAV5-CamKII-CreGFP viruses were acquired from UPenn Vector Core.

AAV5-CamKII-mCherry and AAV5-CamKII-mCherry-Cre were obtained from UNC Chapel Hill

Vector Core. The AAV-DJ-FLEX-ChIEF-tdTomato and AAV-DJ-DIO-BDNF-phluorin viruses were

custom ordered and obtained from UNC Chapel Hill Vector Core. The DNA of the ChIEF virus

was a generous gift from Dr. Pascal Kaeser (Harvard University), and the DNA of the BDNF-

pHluorin was kindly provided by Dr. Hyungju Park (Korea Brain Research Institute).

Chemicals & Drugs

Picrotoxin and all chemicals used to prepare cutting, recording, and internal solutions were

acquired from MilliporeSigma. All NMDAR antagonists (D-APV, MK-801, R-CPP), NMDAR

agonist (D-serine), the group 2/3 mGluR agonist (DCG-IV) and MNI-glutamate for uncaging

experiments were purchased from Tocris Bioscience. D-APV was also acquired from the NIMH

Chemical Synthesis Drug Program. NBQX was purchased from Cayman Chemical Company.

The noncompetitive AMPAR selective antagonist LY303070 was custom ordered from ABX

Chemical Company. Alexa 594 morphological dye, Alexa 488, and the Ca^{2+} indicator Fluo-5F

(Invitrogen) were purchased from ThermoFisher Scientific.

Statistical analysis and Data Acquisition

All data points from experiments were tested for normality using a Shapiro-Wilk test (p value <

5% for a normal distribution). Statistical significance was determined if p value < 0.05.

Experiments with a normal distribution and an N > to 7 cells were tested for statistical significance

with a paired Student *t*-test. Experiments with N < 7 cells or skewed distributions were tested for

statistical significance using a paired Wilcoxon signed rank sum test. For experiments comparing

control and *Grin1*-cKO animals, statistical significance was determined using Unpaired *t*-test and

Mann-Whitney test (U < 0.05). All statistical tests were performed using Origin Pro 9 (Origin Lab).

Experimenters were blind to the identity of the virus injected in transgenic *Grin1* floxed mice during

the acquisition of data in CA3 electrophysiology and two-photon imaging. However, data analysis

could not be performed blind in those experiments in which NMDAR/AMPAR ratios in GCs were

examined in order to assess the efficiency of the cKO.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Anatomical and functional evidence for preNMDARs at mossy fiber synapses. (**A**) Image of a mossy fiber (mf) giant bouton and postsynaptic spines (s). (**B, C**) Higher magnification of mf synapses. Arrows indicate postsynaptic GluN1 whereas arrowheads indicate presynaptic GluN1. Calibration bars: 500 nm. (**D**) Mossy fiber (mf) and associational commissural (ac) synaptic GluN1 immuno-particle radial distribution (30 nm bins), mf: 34 synapses, 100 presynaptic particles; ac: 25 synapses, 24 presynaptic particles; 3 animals. (**E**) AMPAR-ESPCs were recorded at V_h= -70 mV in the presence of 0.5 μM LY303070 and 100 μM picrotoxin. Low-frequency facilitation (LFF), induced by stepping stimulation frequency from 0.1 to 1 Hz, was assessed before and after bath application of MK-801 (50 μM). MK-801 significantly reduced LFF (baseline 378 ± 57%, MK-801 270 ± 48%, n = 10 cells, 9 animals; baseline vs MK-801, p = 3.8 x 10^{-5}, paired *t*-test). In all panels of this figure: representative traces (*top*), representative experiment (*middle*), normalized LFF and summary plot (*bottom*). DCG-IV (1 μM) was applied at the end of all recordings to confirm mf-CA3 transmission. (**F**) D-APV (100 μM) or R-CPP (50 μM) application also reduced LFF (baseline 546 ± 50%, D-APV/R-CPP 380 ± 38%, n = 7 cells, 5 animals; baseline vs D-APV/R-CPP, p = 0.00743, paired *t*-test). (**G**) KAR-EPSCs were recorded at V_h= -70 mV in the presence of 15 μM LY303070 and 100 μM picrotoxin. In addition, NMDAR-mediated transmission was blocked intracellularly by loading MK-801 (2 mM) in the patch-pipette. Bath application of MK-801 (50 μM) significantly reduced LFF (baseline 278 ± 40%, MK-801 195 ± 26% n = 8 cells, 6 animals; baseline vs MK-801, p = 0.00259, paired *t*-test). Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.005; **** p < 0.001.

Supplementary Figure 1 related to Figure 1. Immunogold-EM reveals negligible presynaptic AMPAR particle distribution. (**A,B**) Images of mossy fiber (mf) and associational commissural (ac) synapses, postsynaptic spines (s). (**C**) AMPAR immuno-particle distribution (30 nm bins), mf: 102

synapses, 8 presynaptic particles; ac: 75 synapses, 6 presynaptic particles; 3 animals. Dashed

line represents synaptic cleft.

Supplementary Figure 2 related to Figure 1. (**A**) Stable low-frequency facilitation (LFF) of

AMPAR-EPSCs. In naïve slices (interleaved experiments), LFF remained unchanged throughout

the recording session (baseline 335 ± 62%, naïve 363 ± 63%, n = 10 cells, 9 animals; p = 0.185,

Wilcoxon-Signed Ranks test, baseline vs naÏve). DCG-IV (1 µM) was applied at the end of all

recordings to confirm mf-CA3 transmission. (**B**) LFF of KAR-EPSCs was also stable in

interleaved, naïve slices (baseline 274 ± 33%, naïve 278 ± 25%, n = 9 cells, 6 animals; p = 0.236,

Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test, baseline vs naïve). NBQX (10 µM) was applied at the end of all

recordings to confirm mf KAR transmission. Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m.

Figure 2. GluN1 deletion from granule cells reduces mf-CA3 facilitation. (**A**) Representative

images showing GCs patch-loaded with Alexa 594 (35 µM) *(left),* and GFP expression in GCs

(right). (**B**) Representative EPSCs recorded from control (GFP$^+$) and *Grin1*-cKO (Cre-GFP$^+$) GCs.

Synaptic responses were elicited by activating medial perforant-path inputs. AMPAR-ESPCs were

recorded at V_h= -65 mV in the presence of 100 µM picrotoxin, NMDAR-EPSCs were isolated with

10 µM NBQX and recorded at +40 mV. MK-801 (20 µM) was applied at the end of each recording.

(**C**) Summary plot demonstrating that GluN1 deletion from GCs virtually abolished NMDAR-

mediated transmission indicated by a strong reduction of NMDAR/AMPAR in *Grin1*-cKO GCs as

compared to controls (control 1.61 ± 0.18, n = 9 cells, 9 animals, *Grin1*-cKO 0.18 ± 0.04, n = 10

cells, 10 animals; control vs *Grin1*-cKO, p = 9.2 x 10^{-6}, unpaired *t*-test). (**D**) LFF was significantly

reduced in GluN1-deficient animals (control, 430 ± 5 %, n = 13 cells, 10 animals; *Grin1*-cKO, 291

± 6 %, n = 11 cells, 10 animals; p = 0.0239, unpaired *t*-test). Representative traces (*left*) and

summary plot (*right*). LFF was induced by stepping stimulation frequency from 0.1 to 1 Hz. DCG-

IV (1 µM) was added at the end of each experiment. Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. * p <

0.05; **** p < 0.001.

Figure 3. Reduced facilitation by NMDAR antagonism is independent of the GC

somatodendritic compartment. (**A**) KAR-EPSCs were recorded at V_h= -70 mV in the presence

of 15 µM LY303070 and 100 µM picrotoxin. In addition, NMDAR-mediated transmission was

blocked intracellularly by loading MK-801 (2 mM) in the patch-pipette. LFF of KAR-EPSCs was

assessed as in Fig. 1G but with transected mf axons (see Methods). Bath application of MK-801

(50 µM) significantly reduced LFF (baseline 213 ± 9%, MK-801 181 ± 10%, n = 8 cells, 7 animals;

baseline vs MK-801, p = 0.002, paired *t*-test). In all panels of this figure: recording arrangement

(*inset*), representative traces (*top*), representative experiment (*middle*), normalized LFF and

summary plot (*bottom*). (**B**) Stable LFF in transected, naïve slices (baseline 186 ± 10%, naïve

196 ± 5%, n = 8 cells, 7 animals; baseline vs naïve, p = 0.278, paired *t*-test). (**C**) LFF was induced

before and during puff application of D-APV (2 mM) in *stratum lucidum*. This manipulation

significantly reduced facilitation (baseline 220 ± 19%, D-APV puff 176 ± 11%, n = 7 cells, 7

animals; baseline vs D-APV puff, p = 0.003, paired *t*-test). (**D**) Stable LFF in acute slices during

puff application of ACSF (baseline 210% ± 12, naïve 213% ± 9, n = 7 cells, 7 animals; baseline

vs naïve, p = 0.778, paired *t*-test). NBQX (10 µM) was applied at the end of all recordings to

confirm mf KAR transmission. Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. *** p < 0.005.

Supplementary Figure 3 related to Figure 3. Targeting preNMDARs located in mf axons but not

granule cells. (**A**) Field view of a representative hippocampal slice showing a surgical cut between

DG and CA3. (**B**) Local D-APV puff application (vertical arrow, 2 puffs at 0.1 Hz) blocks NMDAR

currents recorded at V_h= -50 mV and washes out in less than 10 minutes (n = 7 cells, 5 animals,

p = 5 x 10^{-8}, paired *t*-test). Inset depicts the recording paradigm of the experiment *(left)*, the

representative NMDAR currents *(top)* and the summary time course *(bottom)* where arrows

denote the onset of D-APV (2 mM) puff application. Mfs were stimulated with a bipolar electrode

(theta-glass pipette) in *stratum lucidum* in the presence of 100 µM picrotoxin and 10 µM NBQX.

(**C**) D-APV puff application in CA3 did not reduce NMDAR transmission in GCs (n = 6 cells, 5

animals, control vs D-APV puff, U = 0.594, Mann Whitney test). Excitatory inputs were stimulated

with a monopolar electrode placed in the medial molecular layer/inner molecular layer, in the

presence of 100 µM picrotoxin and 10 µM NBQX, while GCs were clamped at V_h= +40 mV. Data

are presented as mean ± s.e.m.

Figure 4. PreNMDARs contribute significantly to burst-induced facilitation and spike

transfer. (**A**) Representative images showing expression of GFP-Cre *(left)* and ChIEF-tdTomato

(right) in the DG of control and *Grin1*-cKO animals. (**B**) Representative AMPAR-EPSCs from

control *(left)* and *Grin1*-cKO *(right)* CA3 pyramidal neurons recorded at V_h= -65 mV and evoked

by optical burst-stimulation (5 pulses at 25 Hz) of *stratum lucidum*. Blue arrows indicate light

stimulation. (**C**) Summary plot of burst-induced facilitation measured as P5/P1 ratio of optical

responses; facilitation was significantly reduced in *Grin1*-cKO animals as compared to control

(*Grin1*-cKO 187 ± 16%, n = 12 cells, 9 animals; control 255 ± 22%, n = 9 cells, 8 animals; *Grin1*-

cKO vs control, p = 0.0167, unpaired *t*-test). (**D**) Burst-stimulation induced KAR-EPSCs were

isolated and recorded as described in Fig. 3, bath-application of MK-801 (50 µM) significantly

reduced facilitation (baseline 601 ± 107%, MK-801 464 ± 84%, n = 13 cells, 10 animals; baseline

vs MK-801, p = 0.00042, paired *t*-test). In panels D and E of this figure: representative traces

(*left*), representative experiment (*middle*), and summary plot (*right*). (**E**) Burst-induced facilitation

was stable in interleaved, naïve slices (baseline 369 ± 45%, naïve 367 ± 48%, n = 9 cells, 9

animals; p = 0.863, paired *t*-test). (**F**) Bath-application of MK-801 (50 µM) reduced KAR-mediated

action potentials induced by burst-stimulation (baseline 0.93 ± 0.17, MK-801 0.46 ± 0.09, n = 6

cells, 5 animals; p = 0.036, Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test). In panels F and G of this figure:

representative traces (*top*), representative experiment and summary plot (*bottom)*. (**G**) Stable

KAR-mediated action potentials in interleaved naïve slices (baseline 0.76 ± 0.07, naïve 0.88 ±

0.1, n = 6 cells, 5 animals; p = 0.2084, Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test). NBQX (10 µM) was applied

at the end of all experiments in panels D-G. Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. * p < 0.05; ****

p < 0.001.

Supplementary Figure 4 related to Figures 1 & 4. Intracellular MK-801 effectively blocked

postsynaptic NMDARs. In each panel of this figure, representative NMDAR-EPSCs from CA3

pyramidal neurons patch-loaded with 2 mM MK-801 *(left)* or naïve internal solution *(right)*. Mf

inputs were stimulated with a bipolar electrode (theta-glass pipette) in *stratum lucidum* delivering

1 pulse or 5 pulses at 25 Hz in the presence of picrotoxin (100 µM) and NBQX (10 µM). (**A**)

NMDAR currents were recorded at V_h = + 40 mV in intracellular MK-801 (iMK-801) and naïve

conditions. Bath-application of MK-801 (50 µM) blocked NMDAR currents in naïve cells to a

similar magnitude as cells patch-loaded with MK-801 (n = 5 cells, 4 animals in each condition; U

= 0.676, Mann-Whitney test). Note that CA3 pyramidal neurons were loaded for at least 3-5

minutes before recording started at +40 mV. (**B**) NMDAR currents were recorded at V_h= + 40 mV

(gray shaded area) followed by a voltage jump to -70 mV in iMK-801 conditions and -50 mV in

naïve recordings. Bath-application of MK-801 (50 µM) blocked NMDAR currents of the 5th pulse

to a similar magnitude as iMK-801 (n = 5 cells, 4 animals per condition; U = 0.21, Mann-Whitney

test). Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m.

Supplementary Figure 5 related to Figure 4. PreNMDARs contribute to burst-induced

facilitation in more physiological conditions: 1.2 mM Mg^{+2}, 1.2 mM Ca^{+2} and 35 ºC. KAR-EPSCs

were recorded from CA3 pyramidal cells loaded with 2 mM MK-801 in the presence of 15 µM

LY303070 and 100 µM picrotoxin. (**A**) Bath-application of MK-801 (50 µM) significantly reduced

burst-induced facilitation elicited by 5 pulses, 25 Hz (baseline 450 ± 67%, MK-801 366 ± 63%, n

= 6 cells, 4 animals; baseline vs MK-801, p = 0.036, Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test). In panels A

and B of this figure: representative traces (*left*), representative experiment (*middle*), and summary

plot (*right*). (**B**) Burst-induced facilitation was stable in interleaved, naïve slices (baseline 462 ±

63%, naïve 481 ± 71%, n = 5 cells, 4 animals; p = 0.281, Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test). Data are

presented as mean ± s.e.m. * p < 0.05.

Supplementary Figure 6 related to Figure 4. PreNMDARs contribute to action potential firing

elicited by AMPAR-mediated transmission. (**A**) Bath-application of MK-801 (50 µM) reduced

action potentials induced by 5 pulses at 25 Hz burst-stimulation (baseline 2.47 ± 0.27, MK-801

1.9 ± 0.24, n = 6 cells, 5 animals; p = 0.036, Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test). In panels A and B of

this figure: representative traces (*top*), representative experiment and summary plot (*bottom)*. (**B**)

Stable action potential firing in interleaved naïve slices (baseline 1.55 ± 0.24, naïve 1.61 ± 0.23,

n = 6 cells, 5 animals; p = 0.402, Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test). DCG-IV (1 µM) was applied at the

end of all experiments in panels A-B. Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. * p < 0.05.

Figure 5. preNMDARs contribute to presynaptic Ca^{2+} rise. (**A**) Representative images showing

GCs patch-loaded with Alexa 488 (35 µM) to confirm expression of mCherry *(bottom)*.

Representative AMPAR-EPSCs recorded from control *(top)* or *Grin1*-cKO *(middle)* GCs. Synaptic

responses were elicited by activating medial perforant-path inputs. AMPAR-ESPCs were recorded

at V_h= -65 mV in the presence of 100 µM picrotoxin, NMDAR-EPSCs were isolated with 10 µM

NBQX and recorded at +40 mV. MK-801 (20 µM) was applied at the end of each experiment.

Summary plot *(bottom)* demonstrating that GluN1 deletion from GCs virtually abolished NMDAR-

mediated transmission indicated by a strong reduction of NMDAR/AMPAR in *Grin1*-cKO granule

cells as compared to controls (control 0.90 ± 0.17, n = 7 cells, 6 animals; *Grin1*-cKO 0.13 ± 0.05,

n = 6 cells, 6 animals; control vs *Grin1*-cKO, p = 3.81 x 10^{-7}, unpaired *t*-test). (**B**) Representative

control and *Grin1*-cKO GCs patch-loaded with Fluo-5F (200 µM) and Alexa 594 (35 µM). Arrows

indicate the identification of a mf giant bouton, magnified images in white box. (**C**) Three

representative mf boutons *(top)* and line scan analysis of calcium transients (CaTs) elicited by 5

action potentials at 25 Hz *(middle,* Fluo-5F*)* and morphological dye (*bottom*, Alexa 594), in Control

and *Grin1*-cKO animals. Dotted line (yellow) indicates line scan location. Red Channel, Alexa 594;

Green Channel, Fluo-5F. (**D, E**) Peak analysis of the 5th pulse ΔG/R revealed a significant

reduction in Ca^{2+} rise of *Grin1*-cKO animals as compared to Control (control 0.046 ± 0.01, n = 10

boutons, 8 animals; *Grin1*-cKO 0.025 ± 0.004, n = 10 boutons, 8 animals; control vs. *Grin1*-cKO,

U = 0.017, Mann-Whitney test). Arrows indicate mf activation. Data are presented as mean ±

s.e.m. * U < 0.05; **** p < 0.001.

Supplementary Figure 7 related to Figure 5. NMDAR antagonism reveals a reduction in

presynaptic Ca^{+2} rise in the presence of 1.3 mM Mg^{+2} and 2.5 mM Ca^{+2}. (**A, B**) Granule cells

were patch-loaded with Fluo-5F (200 µM) and Alexa 594 (35 µM). Line scan analysis of mf giant

bouton calcium transients (CaTs) in response to action potential (AP) stimulation (5 APs, 25 Hz).

(**C**) Line scan signals following D-APV application or naïve conditions. (**D**) D-APV (100 µM)

significantly reduced the 5th peak (P5) of CaTs (baseline 0.155 ± 0.04, D-APV 0.138 ± 0.03, n =

13 boutons, 10 animals; baseline vs D-APV, p = 0.00642, Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test). (**E**) In

naïve conditions P5 of CaTs is stable (baseline 0.104 ± 0.026, naïve 0.105 ± 0.026, n = 12

boutons, 10 animals; baseline vs naïve, p = 0.255, Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test). The first peak

(P1) of CaTs is not affected by D-APV (baseline 0.05 ± 0.017; D-APV 0.047 ± 0.014; baseline vs

D-APV, p = 0.485, Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test) and is stable in naïve conditions (baseline 0.033

± 0.009; naïve 0.032 ± 0.009, baseline vs naïve, p = 0.196, Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test). Data

are presented as mean ± s.e.m. ** p < 0.01.

Figure 6. Uncaging glutamate induces Ca^{2+} rise mossy fiber boutons. (**A**) Representative

images showing dendritic spines in GCs *(left)* and mf boutons *(right),* and the associated line scan

analysis of calcium transients (CaTs) elicited by uncaging of MNI-glutamate (see Methods), in

control and *Grin1*-cKO animals. Blue dots indicate uncaging spots. Red Channel, Alexa 594;

Green Channel, Fluo-5F. (**B**) Line scan analysis of CaTs measuring ΔG/R in dendritic spines

when MNI-glutamate is uncaged in control or *Grin1*-cKO animals. Blue dots indicate location of

two photon uncaging (2PU) pulses. (**C**) Summary plot demonstrating a significant reduction in

dendritic spine CaTs in *Grin1*-cKO as compared to Control (control 0.053 ± 0.01 ΔG/R, n = 6

dendritic spines, 6 animals; *Grin1*-cKO 0.004 ± 0.003 ΔG/R, n = 6 spines, 6 animals; ΔG/R control

vs. *Grin1*-cKO, p = 0.00088, unpaired *t*-test). (**D**) Line scan analysis of CaTs measuring ΔG/R in

mf boutons when MNI-glutamate is uncaged in control or *Grin1*-cKO animals. (**E**) Summary plot

demonstrating significant CaTs in boutons of control as compared to *Grin1*-cKO (control 0.014 ±

0.005, n = 6 boutons, 6 animals; *Grin1*-cKO -0.00012 ± -0.0006, n = 6 boutons, 6 animals; control

vs. *Grin1*-cKO, p = 0.015, unpaired *t*-test). Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. * p < 0.05; ****

p < 0.001.

Supplementary Figure 8 related to Figure 6. *Grin1*-cKO exhibit reduced CaTs at varying

uncaging laser power intensities. (**A**) Representative images of CaTs from control *(top)* and G*rin1*-

cKO animals *(bottom)* after MNI-glutamate uncaging (2 mM, 3 pulses at 25 Hz) on GC dendritic

spines. Dotted line (yellow) indicates line scan, and blue dots indicate 2PU spots. (**B**) Quantified

ΔG/R signals *(top)* and uncaging induced NMDAR-EPSCs *(bottom)* from control and *Grin1*-cKO

animals. Blue dots indicate when 2PU pulses were delivered. (**C**) Control animals display robust

ΔG/R signals as compared to *Grin1*-cKO animals at varying laser power intensities (6 spines, 6

animals per group, U = 0.00507 per power intensity, Mann-Whitney test). Data are presented as

mean ± s.e.m. ** U < 0.01.

Supplementary Figure 9 related to Figure 6. Bouton CaTs can be detected after repetitive

uncaging of MNI-glutamate. (**A**) Representative images of CaTs from single-trial: 1 pulse *(top)*

and 5 pulses, 25 Hz *(bottom)* of MNI-glutamate uncaging (2 mM). Dotted line (yellow) indicates

line scan, and blue dots indicate 2PU spots. (**B**) Quantified ΔG/R signals from 1 pulse *(black)* and

5 pulses *(dark gray)* from all trials. (**C**) Repetitive pulses result in larger ΔG/R signals as compared

to single pulses (n = 6 boutons, 6 animals, p = 0.03603, Wilcoxon-Signed Ranks test). Data are

presented as mean ± s.e.m. * p < 0.05.

Supplementary Figure 10 related to Figure 6. NMDAR antagonism with D-APV blocks CaTs

elicited by glutamate 2PU. (**A**) Representative image of baseline glutamate uncaging driven CaTs

in mf boutons *(top)*. D-APV application (100 μM) blocks CaTs *(bottom)*. (**B**) Quantified ΔG/R

signals before and after D-APV application. (**C**) Summary data of D-APV block on glutamate

uncaging elicited CaTs (baseline 0.0103 ± 0.0016, D-APV -0.004 ± 0.0024, n = 4 boutons, 3

animals; U = 0.0304, Mann-Whitney test, baseline vs D-APV). Data are presented as mean ±

s.e.m. * U < 0.05.

Figure 7. preNMDARs contribute significantly to BDNF release following repetitive activity.

(**A**) Representative images showing expression of BDNF-pHluorin in the DG and CA3 area

(arrows indicate mf axon, arrowheads indicate mf boutons). Control images *(top)*, *Grin1*-cKO

images *(bottom)*. (**B**) Representative images of BDNF-pHluorin signal intensity at baseline and

after repetitive stimulation of mfs (125 pulses, 25 Hz, x 2). Control images *(left)*, *Grin1*-cKO images

(right), arrowhead indicates region of interest. (**C**) Time course of BDNF-pHluorin signal intensity

measured as ΔF/F (%): control *(black)*, *Grin1*-cKO *(red)*, Naïve *(blue)*. (**D**) Quantification of BDNF-

pHluorin signal in (C) during the last 100 seconds reveals larger BDNF release in control animals

as compared to *Grin1*-cKO (control -18% ± 3%, n = 12 slices, 5 animals; *Grin1*-cKO -8 ± 1%, n =

10 slices, 5 animals; *Grin1*-cKO vs. control, p = 0.00648, unpaired *t*-test). Data are presented as

mean ± s.e.m. ** p < 0.01.

Supplementary Figure 11 related to Figure 7. preNMDARs contribute significantly to BDNF

release following a more physiological pattern of burst-stimulation. (**A**) Representative images of

BDNF-pHluorin signal intensity at baseline and after burst stimulation of mfs (5 pulses, 100 Hz, x

50, every 0.5 s). Control images *(left)*, *Grin1*-cKO images *(right)*, arrowhead indicates region of

interest. (**B**) Time course of BDNF-pHluorin signal intensity measured as ΔF/F (%): control *(black)*,

Grin1-cKO *(red),* Naïve *(blue)*. (**C**) Quantification of BDNF-pHluorin signal in (B) during the last

100 seconds reveals larger BDNF release in control animals as compared to *Grin1*-cKO (control

-8.9% ± 2%, n = 7 slices, 5 animals; *Grin1*-cKO -3.5 ± 1%, n = 11 slices, 5 animals; *Grin1*-cKO

vs. control, p = 0.0305, unpaired *t*-test). Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. * p < 0.05.

Figure 8. preNMDARs contribute to synaptic facilitation of mossy fiber inputs onto mossy

cells but not onto CA3 inhibitory interneurons. (**A**) Representative images showing a CA3

interneuron and a hilar mossy cell patch-loaded with Alexa 594 (35 µM) for morphological

identification in acute rat hippocampal slices. (**B**) AMPAR-EPSCs were recorded from CA3

interneurons at V_h= -65 mV and burst-stimulation was elicited by 5 pulses at 25 Hz, see traces

(top). Representative experiment *(bottom, left)*, and summary plots *(right)* showing bath-

application of MK-801 (50 µM) had no significant effect on depression *(top, right)* or facilitation

(bottom, right) measured by P5/P1 ratio (baseline 54 ± 12%, MK-801 60 ± 16%, n = 6 cells; MK-

801 vs baseline, p = 0.675, Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test; baseline 281 ± 30%, MK-801 318 ± 37%,

n = 7 cells; MK-801 vs baseline, p = 0.178, paired *t*-test, 5 animals in each data set). (**C**) AMPAR-

ESPCs were recorded at V_h = -70 mV from hilar mossy cells, LFF was induced by stepping

stimulation frequency from 0.1 to 1 Hz, see traces *(top)*. Representative experiment *(middle)*,

normalized LFF and summary plot *(bottom)* indicating bath-application of MK-801 (50 µM)

reduced facilitation (baseline 350 ± 10%, MK-801 225 ± 25%, n = 9 cells, 6 animals; baseline vs

MK-801, p = 0.00152, paired *t*-test). DCG-IV (1 µM) was applied at the end of all experiments.

Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. *** p < 0.005.

Supplementary Figure 12 related to Figure 8. Stability experiments for mf-Interneuron and mf-

mossy cell short-term plasticity. (**A**) Stable CA3 interneuron burst-induced facilitation of mf-CA3

transmission (baseline 273 ± 30%, naïve 294 ± 33%, n = 10 cells, 6 animals; p = 0.298, paired *t*-

test, baseline vs naïve). (**B**) Stable low-frequency facilitation (LFF) of AMPAR-EPSCs in hilar

mossy cells (baseline 288 ± 51%, naïve 291 ± 29%, n = 7 cells, 6 animals; p = 0.937, paired *t*-

test, baseline vs naïve). DCG-IV (1 µM) was applied at the end of all recordings to confirm mf-

CA3 transmission. Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m.

REFERENCES

Abbott, L. F., & Regehr, W. G. (2004). Synaptic computation. *Nature, 431*(7010), 796-803. doi:10.1038/nature03010

Abrahamsson, T., Chou, C. Y. C., Li, S. Y., Mancino, A., Costa, R. P., Brock, J. A., . . . Sjostrom, P. J. (2017). Differential Regulation of Evoked and Spontaneous Release by Presynaptic NMDA Receptors. *Neuron, 96*(4), 839-855 e835. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2017.09.030

Alle, H., & Geiger, J. R. (2006). Combined analog and action potential coding in hippocampal mossy fibers. *Science, 311*(5765), 1290-1293. doi:10.1126/science.1119055

Amaral, D. G., & Dent, J. A. (1981). Development of the mossy fibers of the dentate gyrus: I. A light and electron microscopic study of the mossy fibers and their expansions. *J Comp Neurol, 195*(1), 51-86. doi:10.1002/cne.901950106

Amaral, D. G., Scharfman, H. E., & Lavenex, P. (2007). The dentate gyrus: fundamental neuroanatomical organization (dentate gyrus for dummies). *Prog Brain Res, 163*, 3-22. doi:S0079-6123(07)63001-5 [pii]
10.1016/S0079-6123(07)63001-5

Banerjee, A., Larsen, R. S., Philpot, B. D., & Paulsen, O. (2016). Roles of Presynaptic NMDA Receptors in Neurotransmission and Plasticity. *Trends Neurosci, 39*(1), 26-39. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2015.11.001

Berg, L. K., Larsson, M., Morland, C., & Gundersen, V. (2013). Pre- and postsynaptic localization of NMDA receptor subunits at hippocampal mossy fibre synapses. *Neuroscience, 230*, 139-150. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2012.10.061

Berretta, N., & Jones, R. S. (1996). Tonic facilitation of glutamate release by presynaptic N-methyl-D-aspartate autoreceptors in the entorhinal cortex. *Neuroscience, 75*(2), 339-344. doi:10.1016/0306-4522(96)00301-6

Bischofberger, J., Engel, D., Frotscher, M., & Jonas, P. (2006). Timing and efficacy of transmitter release at mossy fiber synapses in the hippocampal network. *Pflugers Arch, 453*(3), 361-372. doi:10.1007/s00424-006-0093-2

Bouvier, G., Bidoret, C., Casado, M., & Paoletti, P. (2015). Presynaptic NMDA receptors: Roles and rules. *Neuroscience, 311*, 322-340. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2015.10.033

Bouvier, G., Higgins, D., Spolidoro, M., Carrel, D., Mathieu, B., Lena, C., . . . Casado, M. (2016). Burst-Dependent Bidirectional Plasticity in the Cerebellum Is Driven by Presynaptic NMDA Receptors. *Cell Rep, 15*(1), 104-116. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.03.004

Bouvier, G., Larsen, R. S., Rodriguez-Moreno, A., Paulsen, O., & Sjostrom, P. J. (2018). Towards resolving the presynaptic NMDA receptor debate. *Curr Opin Neurobiol, 51*, 1-7. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2017.12.020

Buchanan, K. A., Blackman, A. V., Moreau, A. W., Elgar, D., Costa, R. P., Lalanne, T., . . . Sjostrom, P. J. (2012). Target-specific expression of presynaptic NMDA receptors in neocortical microcircuits. *Neuron, 75*(3), 451-466. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2012.06.017

Burke, K. J., Jr., & Bender, K. J. (2019). Modulation of Ion Channels in the Axon: Mechanisms and Function. *Front Cell Neurosci, 13*, 221. doi:10.3389/fncel.2019.00221

Carter, B. C., & Jahr, C. E. (2016). Postsynaptic, not presynaptic NMDA receptors are required for spike-timing-dependent LTD induction. *Nat Neurosci, 19*(9), 1218-1224. doi:10.1038/nn.4343

Castillo, P. E. (2012). Presynaptic LTP and LTD of excitatory and inhibitory synapses. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol, 4*(2). doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a005728

Castillo, P. E., Malenka, R. C., & Nicoll, R. A. (1997). Kainate receptors mediate a slow postsynaptic current in hippocampal CA3 neurons. *Nature, 388*(6638), 182-186. doi:10.1038/40645

Chamberland, S., Timofeeva, Y., Evstratova, A., Volynski, K., & Toth, K. (2018). Action potential counting at giant mossy fiber terminals gates information transfer in the hippocampus.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 115(28), 7434-7439. doi:10.1073/pnas.1720659115

Chen, W., Walwyn, W., Ennes, H. S., Kim, H., McRoberts, J. A., & Marvizon, J. C. (2014). BDNF
released during neuropathic pain potentiates NMDA receptors in primary afferent
terminals. *Eur J Neurosci, 39*(9), 1439-1454. doi:10.1111/ejn.12516

Chen, Y., Chen, S. R., Chen, H., Zhang, J., & Pan, H. L. (2019). Increased alpha2delta-1-NMDA
receptor coupling potentiates glutamatergic input to spinal dorsal horn neurons in
chemotherapy-induced neuropathic pain. *J Neurochem, 148*(2), 252-274.
doi:10.1111/jnc.14627

Christie, J. M., & Jahr, C. E. (2008). Dendritic NMDA receptors activate axonal calcium channels.
Neuron, 60(2), 298-307. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2008.08.028

Christie, J. M., & Jahr, C. E. (2009). Selective expression of ligand-gated ion channels in L5
pyramidal cell axons. *J Neurosci, 29*(37), 11441-11450. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2387-
09.2009

Conner, J. M., Lauterborn, J. C., Yan, Q., Gall, C. M., & Varon, S. (1997). Distribution of brain-
derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) protein and mRNA in the normal adult rat CNS:
evidence for anterograde axonal transport. *J Neurosci, 17*(7), 2295-2313. Retrieved from
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9065491

Corlew, R., Brasier, D. J., Feldman, D. E., & Philpot, B. D. (2008). Presynaptic NMDA receptors:
newly appreciated roles in cortical synaptic function and plasticity. *Neuroscientist, 14*(6),
609-625. doi:10.1177/1073858408322675

Corlew, R., Wang, Y., Ghermazien, H., Erisir, A., & Philpot, B. D. (2007). Developmental switch in
the contribution of presynaptic and postsynaptic NMDA receptors to long-term depression.
J Neurosci, 27(37), 9835-9845. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5494-06.2007

Cull-Candy, S., Brickley, S., & Farrant, M. (2001). NMDA receptor subunits: diversity, development
and disease. *Curr Opin Neurobiol, 11*(3), 327-335. Retrieved from
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11399431

Diamantaki, M., Frey, M., Berens, P., Preston-Ferrer, P., & Burgalossi, A. (2016). Sparse activity
of identified dentate granule cells during spatial exploration. *Elife, 5*.
doi:10.7554/eLife.20252

Dore, K., Aow, J., & Malinow, R. (2016). The Emergence of NMDA Receptor Metabotropic
Function: Insights from Imaging. *Front Synaptic Neurosci, 8*, 20.
doi:10.3389/fnsyn.2016.00020

Duguid, I. C. (2013). Presynaptic NMDA receptors: are they dendritic receptors in disguise? *Brain*
Res Bull, 93, 4-9. doi:10.1016/j.brainresbull.2012.12.004

Duguid, I. C., & Smart, T. G. (2009). Presynaptic NMDA Receptors. In A. M. Van Dongen (Ed.),
Biology of the NMDA Receptor. Boca Raton (FL).

Engelman, H. S., & MacDermott, A. B. (2004). Presynaptic ionotropic receptors and control of
transmitter release. *Nat Rev Neurosci, 5*(2), 135-145. doi:10.1038/nrn1297

Evstratova, A., & Toth, K. (2014). Information processing and synaptic plasticity at hippocampal
mossy fiber terminals. *Front Cell Neurosci, 8*, 28. doi:10.3389/fncel.2014.00028

Fujisawa, S., & Aoki, C. (2003). In vivo blockade of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors induces rapid
trafficking of NR2B subunits away from synapses and out of spines and terminals in adult
cortex. *Neuroscience, 121*(1), 51-63. doi:10.1016/s0306-4522(03)00341-5

Gill, I., Droubi, S., Giovedi, S., Fedder, K. N., Bury, L. A., Bosco, F., . . . Sabo, S. L. (2015).
Presynaptic NMDA receptors - dynamics and distribution in developing axons in vitro and
in vivo. *J Cell Sci, 128*(4), 768-780. doi:10.1242/jcs.162362

GoodSmith, D., Chen, X., Wang, C., Kim, S. H., Song, H., Burgalossi, A., . . . Knierim, J. J. (2017).
Spatial Representations of Granule Cells and Mossy Cells of the Dentate Gyrus. *Neuron,*
93(3), 677-690 e675. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2016.12.026

Hagena, H., & Manahan-Vaughan, D. (2010). Frequency facilitation at mossy fiber-CA3 synapses
of freely behaving rats contributes to the induction of persistent LTD via an adenosine-A1

receptor-regulated mechanism. *Cereb Cortex, 20*(5), 1121-1130. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhp184

Henze, D. A., Urban, N. N., & Barrionuevo, G. (2000). The multifarious hippocampal mossy fiber pathway: a review. *Neuroscience, 98*(3), 407-427. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10869836

Henze, D. A., Wittner, L., & Buzsaki, G. (2002). Single granule cells reliably discharge targets in the hippocampal CA3 network in vivo. *Nat Neurosci, 5*(8), 790-795. doi:10.1038/nn887

Jackman, S. L., & Regehr, W. G. (2017). The Mechanisms and Functions of Synaptic Facilitation. *Neuron, 94*(3), 447-464. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2017.02.047

Jourdain, P., Bergersen, L. H., Bhaukaurally, K., Bezzi, P., Santello, M., Domercq, M., . . . Volterra, A. (2007). Glutamate exocytosis from astrocytes controls synaptic strength. *Nat Neurosci, 10*(3), 331-339. doi:10.1038/nn1849

Kamiya, H., Shinozaki, H., & Yamamoto, C. (1996). Activation of metabotropic glutamate receptor type 2/3 suppresses transmission at rat hippocampal mossy fibre synapses. *J Physiol, 493 (Pt 2)*, 447-455. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.1996.sp021395

Klug, A., Borst, J. G., Carlson, B. A., Kopp-Scheinpflug, C., Klyachko, V. A., & Xu-Friedman, M. A. (2012). How do short-term changes at synapses fine-tune information processing? *J Neurosci, 32*(41), 14058-14063. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3348-12.2012

Kunz, P. A., Roberts, A. C., & Philpot, B. D. (2013). Presynaptic NMDA receptor mechanisms for enhancing spontaneous neurotransmitter release. *J Neurosci, 33*(18), 7762-7769. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2482-12.2013

Kwon, H. B., & Castillo, P. E. (2008). Role of glutamate autoreceptors at hippocampal mossy fiber synapses. *Neuron, 60*(6), 1082-1094. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2008.10.045

Larsen, R. S., Corlew, R. J., Henson, M. A., Roberts, A. C., Mishina, M., Watanabe, M., . . . Philpot, B. D. (2011). NR3A-containing NMDARs promote neurotransmitter release and spike timing-dependent plasticity. *Nat Neurosci, 14*(3), 338-344. doi:10.1038/nn.2750

Larsen, R. S., & Sjostrom, P. J. (2015). Synapse-type-specific plasticity in local circuits. *Curr Opin Neurobiol, 35*, 127-135. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2015.08.001

Larsen, R. S., Smith, I. T., Miriyala, J., Han, J. E., Corlew, R. J., Smith, S. L., & Philpot, B. D. (2014). Synapse-specific control of experience-dependent plasticity by presynaptic NMDA receptors. *Neuron, 83*(4), 879-893. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.07.039

Lau, C. G., & Zukin, R. S. (2007). NMDA receptor trafficking in synaptic plasticity and neuropsychiatric disorders. *Nat Rev Neurosci, 8*(6), 413-426. doi:10.1038/nrn2153

Lawrence, J. J., & McBain, C. J. (2003). Interneuron diversity series: containing the detonation-- feedforward inhibition in the CA3 hippocampus. *Trends Neurosci, 26*(11), 631-640. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2003.09.007

Liang, Y., Yuan, L. L., Johnston, D., & Gray, R. (2002). Calcium signaling at single mossy fiber presynaptic terminals in the rat hippocampus. *J Neurophysiol, 87*(2), 1132-1137. doi:10.1152/jn.00661.2001

Lujan, R., Nusser, Z., Roberts, J. D., Shigemoto, R., & Somogyi, P. (1996). Perisynaptic location of metabotropic glutamate receptors mGluR1 and mGluR5 on dendrites and dendritic spines in the rat hippocampus. *Eur J Neurosci, 8*(7), 1488-1500. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8758956

Lysetskiy, M., Foldy, C., & Soltesz, I. (2005). Long- and short-term plasticity at mossy fiber synapses on mossy cells in the rat dentate gyrus. *Hippocampus, 15*(6), 691-696. doi:10.1002/hipo.20096

Madara, J. C., & Levine, E. S. (2008). Presynaptic and postsynaptic NMDA receptors mediate distinct effects of brain-derived neurotrophic factor on synaptic transmission. *J Neurophysiol, 100*(6), 3175-3184. doi:10.1152/jn.90880.2008

Mameli, M., Carta, M., Partridge, L. D., & Valenzuela, C. F. (2005). Neurosteroid-induced plasticity of immature synapses via retrograde modulation of presynaptic NMDA receptors. *J*

Neurosci, 25(9), 2285-2294. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3877-04.2005

Martin, D., Bustos, G. A., Bowe, M. A., Bray, S. D., & Nadler, J. V. (1991). Autoreceptor regulation of glutamate and aspartate release from slices of the hippocampal CA1 area. *J Neurochem, 56*(5), 1647-1655. doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159.1991.tb02063.x

McGuinness, L., Taylor, C., Taylor, R. D., Yau, C., Langenhan, T., Hart, M. L., . . . Emptage, N. J. (2010). Presynaptic NMDARs in the hippocampus facilitate transmitter release at theta frequency. *Neuron, 68*(6), 1109-1127. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.11.023

McHugh, T. J., Jones, M. W., Quinn, J. J., Balthasar, N., Coppari, R., Elmquist, J. K., . . . Tonegawa, S. (2007). Dentate gyrus NMDA receptors mediate rapid pattern separation in the hippocampal network. *Science, 317*(5834), 94-99. doi:10.1126/science.1140263

McNamara, J. O., & Scharfman, H. E. (2012). Temporal Lobe Epilepsy and the BDNF Receptor, TrkB. In th, J. L. Noebels, M. Avoli, M. A. Rogawski, R. W. Olsen, & A. V. Delgado-Escueta (Eds.), *Jasper's Basic Mechanisms of the Epilepsies*. Bethesda (MD).

Miller, R. J. (1998). Presynaptic receptors. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol, 38*, 201-227. doi:10.1146/annurev.pharmtox.38.1.201

Nicoll, R. A., & Schmitz, D. (2005). Synaptic plasticity at hippocampal mossy fibre synapses. *Nat Rev Neurosci, 6*(11), 863-876. doi:10.1038/nrn1786

Nusser, Z., Lujan, R., Laube, G., Roberts, J. D., Molnar, E., & Somogyi, P. (1998). Cell type and pathway dependence of synaptic AMPA receptor number and variability in the hippocampus. *Neuron, 21*(3), 545-559. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9768841

Paoletti, P., Bellone, C., & Zhou, Q. (2013). NMDA receptor subunit diversity: impact on receptor properties, synaptic plasticity and disease. *Nat Rev Neurosci, 14*(6), 383-400. doi:10.1038/nrn3504

Park, H., Popescu, A., & Poo, M. M. (2014). Essential role of presynaptic NMDA receptors in activity-dependent BDNF secretion and corticostriatal LTP. *Neuron, 84*(5), 1009-1022. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.10.045

Pernia-Andrade, A. J., & Jonas, P. (2014). Theta-gamma-modulated synaptic currents in hippocampal granule cells in vivo define a mechanism for network oscillations. *Neuron, 81*(1), 140-152. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2013.09.046

Petralia, R. S., Yokotani, N., & Wenthold, R. J. (1994). Light and electron microscope distribution of the NMDA receptor subunit NMDAR1 in the rat nervous system using a selective anti-peptide antibody. *J Neurosci, 14*(2), 667-696. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8301357

Pickel, V. M., Colago, E. E., Mania, I., Molosh, A. I., & Rainnie, D. G. (2006). Dopamine D1 receptors co-distribute with N-methyl-D-aspartic acid type-1 subunits and modulate synaptically-evoked N-methyl-D-aspartic acid currents in rat basolateral amygdala. *Neuroscience, 142*(3), 671-690. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2006.06.059

Pinheiro, P. S., & Mulle, C. (2008). Presynaptic glutamate receptors: physiological functions and mechanisms of action. *Nat Rev Neurosci, 9*(6), 423-436. doi:10.1038/nrn2379

Rebola, N., Carta, M., & Mulle, C. (2017). Operation and plasticity of hippocampal CA3 circuits: implications for memory encoding. *Nat Rev Neurosci, 18*(4), 208-220. doi:10.1038/nrn.2017.10

Rogers, M., & Dani, J. A. (1995). Comparison of quantitative calcium flux through NMDA, ATP, and ACh receptor channels. *Biophys J, 68*(2), 501-506. doi:10.1016/S0006-3495(95)80211-0

Rossi, B., Ogden, D., Llano, I., Tan, Y. P., Marty, A., & Collin, T. (2012). Current and calcium responses to local activation of axonal NMDA receptors in developing cerebellar molecular layer interneurons. *PLoS One, 7*(6), e39983. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0039983

Salin, P. A., Scanziani, M., Malenka, R. C., & Nicoll, R. A. (1996). Distinct short-term plasticity at two excitatory synapses in the hippocampus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 93*(23), 13304-

13309. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8917586

Sanz-Clemente, A., Nicoll, R. A., & Roche, K. W. (2013). Diversity in NMDA receptor composition:
many regulators, many consequences. *Neuroscientist, 19*(1), 62-75.
doi:10.1177/1073858411435129

Savtchouk, I., Di Castro, M. A., Ali, R., Stubbe, H., Lujan, R., & Volterra, A. (2019). Circuit-specific
control of the medial entorhinal inputs to the dentate gyrus by atypical presynaptic
NMDARs activated by astrocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 116*(27), 13602-13610.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1816013116

Schicker, K. W., Dorostkar, M. M., & Boehm, S. (2008). Modulation of transmitter release via
presynaptic ligand-gated ion channels. *Curr Mol Pharmacol, 1*(2), 106-129.
doi:10.2174/1874467210801020106

Schildt, S., Endres, T., Lessmann, V., & Edelmann, E. (2013). Acute and chronic interference with
BDNF/TrkB-signaling impair LTP selectively at mossy fiber synapses in the CA3 region of
mouse hippocampus. *Neuropharmacology, 71,* 247-254.
doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2013.03.041

Senzai, Y., & Buzsaki, G. (2017). Physiological Properties and Behavioral Correlates of
Hippocampal Granule Cells and Mossy Cells. *Neuron, 93*(3), 691-704 e695.
doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2016.12.011

Siegel, S. J., Brose, N., Janssen, W. G., Gasic, G. P., Jahn, R., Heinemann, S. F., & Morrison, J.
H. (1994). Regional, cellular, and ultrastructural distribution of N-methyl-D-aspartate
receptor subunit 1 in monkey hippocampus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 91*(2), 564-568.
Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8290563

Takumi, Y., Ramirez-Leon, V., Laake, P., Rinvik, E., & Ottersen, O. P. (1999). Different modes of
expression of AMPA and NMDA receptors in hippocampal synapses. *Nat Neurosci, 2*(7),
618-624. doi:10.1038/10172

Toni, N., & Schinder, A. F. (2015). Maturation and Functional Integration of New Granule Cells into
the Adult Hippocampus. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol, 8*(1), a018903.
doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a018903

Toth, K., Suares, G., Lawrence, J. J., Philips-Tansey, E., & McBain, C. J. (2000). Differential
mechanisms of transmission at three types of mossy fiber synapse. *J Neurosci, 20*(22),
8279-8289. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11069934

Traynelis, S. F., Wollmuth, L. P., McBain, C. J., Menniti, F. S., Vance, K. M., Ogden, K. K., . . .
Dingledine, R. (2010). Glutamate receptor ion channels: structure, regulation, and
function. *Pharmacol Rev, 62*(3), 405-496. doi:10.1124/pr.109.002451

Vandael, D., Borges-Merjane, C., Zhang, X., & Jonas, P. (2020). Short-Term Plasticity at
Hippocampal Mossy Fiber Synapses Is Induced by Natural Activity Patterns and
Associated with Vesicle Pool Engram Formation. *Neuron, 107*(3), 509-521 e507.
doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2020.05.013

Vyleta, N. P., Borges-Merjane, C., & Jonas, P. (2016). Plasticity-dependent, full detonation at
hippocampal mossy fiber-CA3 pyramidal neuron synapses. *Elife, 5.*
doi:10.7554/eLife.17977

Wang, P. Y., Petralia, R. S., Wang, Y. X., Wenthold, R. J., & Brenowitz, S. D. (2011). Functional
NMDA receptors at axonal growth cones of young hippocampal neurons. *J Neurosci,*
31(25), 9289-9297. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5639-10.2011

Watanabe, M., Fukaya, M., Sakimura, K., Manabe, T., Mishina, M., & Inoue, Y. (1998). Selective
scarcity of NMDA receptor channel subunits in the stratum lucidum (mossy fibre-recipient
layer) of the mouse hippocampal CA3 subfield. *Eur J Neurosci, 10*(2), 478-487. Retrieved
from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9749710

Wong, H. H., Rannio, S., Jones, V., Thomazeau, A., & Sjostrom, P. J. (2020). NMDA receptors in
axons: there's no coincidence. *J Physiol.* doi:10.1113/JP280059

Woodhall, G., Evans, D. I., Cunningham, M. O., & Jones, R. S. (2001). NR2B-containing NMDA

autoreceptors at synapses on entorhinal cortical neurons. *J Neurophysiol, 86*(4), 1644-1651. doi:10.1152/jn.2001.86.4.1644

Yan, Q., Rosenfeld, R. D., Matheson, C. R., Hawkins, N., Lopez, O. T., Bennett, L., & Welcher, A. A. (1997). Expression of brain-derived neurotrophic factor protein in the adult rat central nervous system. *Neuroscience, 78*(2), 431-448. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9145800

Yang, J., Woodhall, G. L., & Jones, R. S. (2006). Tonic facilitation of glutamate release by presynaptic NR2B-containing NMDA receptors is increased in the entorhinal cortex of chronically epileptic rats. *J Neurosci, 26*(2), 406-410. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4413-05.2006

Zeng, J., Thomson, L. M., Aicher, S. A., & Terman, G. W. (2006). Primary afferent NMDA receptors increase dorsal horn excitation and mediate opiate tolerance in neonatal rats. *J Neurosci, 26*(46), 12033-12042. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2530-06.2006

Zucca, S., Griguoli, M., Malezieux, M., Grosjean, N., Carta, M., & Mulle, C. (2017). Control of Spike Transfer at Hippocampal Mossy Fiber Synapses In Vivo by GABAA and GABAB Receptor-Mediated Inhibition. *J Neurosci, 37*(3), 587-598. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2057-16.2016

Figure 1. Anatomical and functional evidence for preNMDARs at mossy fiber synapses.

Figure 2. GluN1 deletion from granule cells reduces mf-CA3 facilitation.

Figure 3. Reduced facilitation by NMDAR antagonism is independent of the GC somatodendritic compartment.

Figure 4. PreNMDARs contribute significantly to burst-induced facilitation and spike transfer.

Figure 5. preNMDARs contribute to presynaptic Ca²⁺ rise.

Figure 6. Uncaging glutamate induces Ca²⁺ rise mossy fiber boutons.

Figure 7. preNMDARs contribute significantly to BDNF release following repetitive activity.

Figure 8. preNMDARs contribute to synaptic facilitation of mossy fiber inputs onto mossy cells but not onto CA3 inhibitory interneurons.

Supplementary Figure 1 related to Figure 1.

Supplementary Figure 2 related to Figure 1.

Supplementary Figure 3 related to Figure 3.

Supplementary Figure 4 related to Figures 1 & 4

Supplementary Figure 5 related to Figure 4.

Supplementary Figure 6 related to Figure 4.

Supplementary Figure 7 related to Figure 5.

Supplementary Figure 8 related to Figure 6.

Supplementary Figure 9 related to Figure 6.

Supplementary Figure 10 related to Figure 6.

Supplementary Figure 11 related to Figure 7.

Supplementary Figure 12 related to Figure 8.