Human genetic analyses of organelles highlight the nucleus in age-related trait heritability

Rahul Gupta[1,2,3], Konrad J. Karczewski[2,3], Daniel Howrigan[2,3], Benjamin M. Neale[2,3,*], Vamsi K. Mootha[1,2,*]

[1] Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Department of Molecular Biology, Massachusetts General
Hospital, Boston, MA 02114
[2] Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA 02142
[3] Analytic and Translational Genetics Unit, Center for Genomic Medicine, Massachusetts General
Hospital, Boston, MA 02114, USA

[*]Corresponding authors: bneale@broadinstitute.org (B.M.N.), vamsi@hms.harvard.edu (V.K.M.)

Keywords: mitochondria, nucleus, aging, oxidative phosphorylation, OXPHOS, transcription factor, zinc
finger, KRAB domain, gene regulation, UK Biobank, constraint, dominance, haplosufficiency,
haplosufficient, PPARGC1A, ESRRA, TFAM

<u>Abstract</u>

Aging is associated with defects in many organelles, but an open question is whether the inherited risk for age-related disease is enriched within loci relevant to each organelle. Here, we begin with a focus on mitochondria, as mitochondrial dysfunction is a hallmark of age-related disease. We report a striking lack of enrichment of mitochondria-relevant loci across GWAS for 24 age-related traits. Analyses of nine additional organelles reveal enrichment only for the nucleus, particularly nuclear transcription factors. Consistent with these results, natural selection appears to exert stronger purifying selection against protein-truncating variants for transcription factors compared to mitochondrial pathways, underscoring the importance of inherited variation in gene-regulation in age-related traits.

Introduction

The global burden of age-related diseases such as type 2 diabetes (T2D), Parkinson's disease (PD), and cardiovascular disease (CVD) has been steadily rising due in part to a progressively aging population. These diseases are often highly heritable[1]. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have led to the discovery of thousands of robust associations with common genetic variants[2], implicating a complex genetic architecture as underlying much of the heritable risk. These loci hold the potential to reveal underlying mechanisms of disease and spotlight targetable pathways.

Aging has been associated with dysfunction in many cellular organelles. This is perhaps most notable for the mitochondria, dysfunction in which is frequently invoked as a key "hallmark" of aging[3] and has been nominated as a candidate driver of virtually all common age-associated diseases. In particular, deficits in mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS), the chief pathway for ATP production, is often observed in these diseases as evidenced by a decline in *in vivo* ^{31}P-NMR measures of OXPHOS activity[4,5], a decline in electron transport chain enzymatic activity[6-12] in biopsy/autopsy material, an accumulation of somatic mtDNA mutations[13-15], and a decline in mtDNA copy number (mtCN)[16]. Protein products from both the nuclear DNA (nucDNA), which encodes ~1100 proteins that primarily localize to the mitochondria[17], and the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), which encodes 13 proteins, are critical for proper OXPHOS homeostasis[18]. Rare, inherited mutations in any of ~300 nucDNA or mtDNA genes can cause severe "primary" mitochondrial diseases that impact multiple organ systems[19]. Dysfunction in other organelles[3], such as the nucleus (e.g., increased gene regulatory noise from epigenetic alterations[3], telomere shortening[20,21]), the lysosome[22], and the endoplasmic reticulum[23], has also been associated with aging and/or age-related disease.

A key question is whether inherited variation influencing organelle function is enriched for age-related disease risk. In the present study, we use a human genetics approach to assess common variation in loci relevant to the function of 10 cellular organelles. We begin with a deliberate focus on mitochondria given the depth of literature linking it to age-related disease, testing nucDNA and mtDNA loci relevant for mitochondrial function in 24 different age-related diseases and traits. We hypothesized that heritability for common, age-related traits would be overrepresented among mitochondria-relevant loci, namely variants near genes encoding the organelle's proteome or loci associated with quantitative readouts of mitochondrial function.

To our surprise, we find no evidence of enrichment for genome-wide association signal in mitochondria-relevant loci across any of our analyses. Of ten tested organelles, only the nucleus shows enrichment among many age-associated traits, with the signal emanating from the transcription factors. Further analysis shows that genes encoding the mitochondrial proteome tend to be tolerant to heterozygous predicted loss-of-function (pLoF) variation and thus are surprisingly "haplosufficient," whereas nuclear transcription factors are especially sensitive to gene dosage and are often "haploinsufficient". Thus, we highlight variation influencing gene-regulatory pathways, rather than organelle physiology, in the inherited risk of common age-associated diseases.

Results

Age-related diseases and traits show diverse genetic architectures

To systematically define age-related diseases, we turned to recently published epidemiological data from the United Kingdom (U.K.)[24] in order to match the U.K. Biobank (UKB)[25] cohort. We prioritized traits whose prevalence increased as a function of age (**Methods**) and were represented in UKB (https://github.com/Nealelab/UK_Biobank_GWAS) and/or had available published GWAS meta-analyses[26-35] (**Figure 1A**, **Supplementary note).** We used SNP heritability estimates from stratified linkage disequilibrium score regression (S-LDSC, https://github.com/bulik/ldsc)[36] to ensure that our selected traits were sufficiently heritable (**Methods**, **Supplementary note**). We then computed pairwise genetic

correlations between the age-associated traits to compare their respective genetic architectures (**Figure**
1B, Table S2, Methods). As expected we find a highly correlated module of primarily cardiometabolic
traits with high density lipoprotein (HDL) showing anti-correlation[37]. Interestingly, several other traits
(gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), osteoarthritis) showed moderate correlation to the
cardiometabolic trait cluster while atrial fibrillation, for which T2D and CVD are risk factors[38], did not. Our
final set of prioritized, age-associated traits included 24 genetically diverse, heritable phenotypes (**Table**
S1). Of these, 11 traits were sufficiently heritable only in UKB, 3 were sufficiently heritable only among
non-UKB meta-analyses, and 10 were well-powered in both UKB and an independent cohort.

Figure 1. Selection of genetically diverse age-related diseases and traits using epidemiological data. **A.** Period prevalence of age-associated diseases systematically selected for this study **(Methods)**. Epidemiological data obtained from Kuan et al. 2019. **B**: Genetic correlation between the selected age-related traits. All correlations were assessed between UK Biobank phenotypes with the exception of eGFR, Alzheimer's Disease, and Parkinson's Disease, for which the respective meta-analyses were used (**Methods**). Point estimates and standard errors reported in **Table S2**. * represent genetic correlations that are significantly different from 0 at a Bonferroni-corrected threshold for p = 0.05 x 24 traits.

No evidence for enrichment of age-related trait heritability in mitochondria-relevant loci

To test if age-related trait heritability was enriched among mitochondria-relevant loci, we began by simply
asking if ~1100 nucDNA genes encoding the mitochondrial proteome from the MitoCarta2.0 inventory[17]
were found near lead SNPs for our selected traits represented in the NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog
(https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/)[39] more frequently than expectation (**Methods, Supplementary note**). To
our surprise, no traits showed a statistically significant enrichment of mitochondrial genes (**Figure S1A**);
in fact, six traits showed a statistically significant depletion. Even more strikingly, MitoCarta genes tended
to be nominally enriched in fewer traits than the average randomly selected sample of protein-coding
genes (**Figure S1B**, empirical p = 0.014). This lack of enrichment was observed more broadly across
virtually all traits represented in the GWAS Catalog (**Figure S1C**). We also tested several transcriptional
regulators of mitochondrial biogenesis and function – *TFAM, GABPA, GABPB1, ESRRA, YY1, NRF1,*
PPARGC1A, PPARGC1B. We found little evidence supporting a role for these genes in modifying risk for
the age-related GWAS Catalog phenotypes, observing only a single trait (heel bone mineral density) for
which a mitochondrial transcriptional regulator (*TFAM*) was nearest an associated genome-wide
significant variant (**Supplementary note**).

To investigate further, we turned to U.K. Biobank (UKB). We compiled and tested three classes of
"mitochondria-relevant loci" (**Figure 2A**) with which we interrogated the association between common
mitochondrial variation and common disease. First, we curated literature-reported nucDNA quantitative
trait loci (QTLs) associated with measures of mitochondrial function (**Table S3**): mtCN[40,41], mtRNA

abundance and modification[42,43], and plasma levels of OXPHOS dysfunction biomarkers including GDF15
protein[44,45], lactate, pyruvate, and lactate/pyruvate ratio[46–48]. Second, we considered all common variants
in or near nucDNA MitoCarta genes, as well as two subsets of MitoCarta: mitochondrial Mendelian disease
genes[19] and nucDNA-encoded OXPHOS genes. Third, we obtained mtDNA genotypes at up to 213 loci after
quality control (**Methods**) from 360,662 individuals.

Figure 2. Assessment of the association of nucDNA and mtDNA mitochondria-relevant loci to age-related traits. **A.** Scheme outlining the aspects of mitochondrial function assessed in this study. nucDNA loci relevant to mitochondrial function are shown in teal, while mtDNA loci are shown in pink. **B.** Enrichment results for the overlap between loci associated with mtDNA copy number, mtRNA abundance/modification, and OXPHOS biomarkers and loci significantly associated with age-related disease in UKB. Inset number represents the number of tested SNPs, numbers adjacent to bars represent the absolute number of mitochondria-relevant loci overlapping the respective age-related disease. Dotted line represents Bonferroni cutoff for p = 0.1; BH FDR 0.1 threshold cannot be visualized as no tests pass the cutoff (**Supplementary note**). **C.** S-LDSC enrichment p-values on top of the baseline model in UKB. Inset labels represent gene-set size; dotted line represents BH FDR 0.1 threshold. **D.** Visualization of mtDNA variants and associations with age-related diseases. The outer-most track represents the genetic architecture of the circular mtDNA. The heatmap track represents the number of individuals with alternate genotype on log scale. The inner track represents mitochondrial genome-wide association p-values, with radial angle corresponding to position on the mtDNA and magnitude representing $-\log_{10}$ P-value. Dotted line represents Bonferroni cutoff for all tested trait-variant pairs. **E.** Replication of S-LDSC enrichment results in meta-analyses. Dotted line represents BH FDR 0.1 threshold. * represent traits for which sufficiently well powered cohorts from both UKB and meta-analyses were available. The trait color legend to the right of panel **D** applies to panels **B, C,** and **D**, representing UKB traits.

First, we tested if published QTLs for mtCN, mtRNA abundance, and OXPHOS biomarkers (**Table S3, S4**)
were enriched for an overlap with genome-wide significant loci for each of our age-related traits in UKB
(**Methods, Figure S2**). We observed no evidence of enrichment among QTLs available in the literature
(**Figure 2B, Supplementary note;** all $q > 0.1$).

Second, we used S-LDSC[36,49] and MAGMA (https://ctg.cncr.nl/software/magma)[50], two robust methods
that can be used to assess gene-based heritability enrichment accounting for LD and several confounders,
to test if there was any evidence of heritability enrichment among MitoCarta genes (**Methods**). We found
no evidence of enrichment near nucDNA MitoCarta genes for any trait tested in UKB using S-LDSC (**Figure**
2C, S8A), consistent with our results from the GWAS Catalog. We replicated this lack of enrichment using
MAGMA at two different window sizes (**Figure S8C, S8E;** all $q > 0.1$).

Given the lack of enrichment among the MitoCarta genes, we wanted to (1) verify that our selected
methods could detect previously reported enrichments and (2) confirm that common variation in or near
MitoCarta genes can lead to expression-level perturbations. We first successfully replicated previously
reported enrichment among tissue-specific genes for key traits using both S-LDSC (**Figure S3, S4**) and
MAGMA (**Figure S5, S6, Supplementary note, Methods**). We next confirmed that we had sufficient power
using both S-LDSC and MAGMA to detect physiologically relevant enrichment effect sizes among
MitoCarta genes (**Figure S7, Methods, Supplementary note**). We finally examined the landscape of cis-
expression QTLs (eQTLs) for these genes and found that almost all MitoCarta genes have cis-eQTLs in at
least one tissue and often have cis-eQTLs in more tissues than most protein-coding genes (**Figure S9,**
Methods, Supplementary note). Hence, our selected methods could detect physiologically relevant
heritability enrichments among our selected traits at gene-set sizes comparable to that of MitoCarta, and
common variants in or near MitoCarta genes exerted *cis*-control on gene expression.

Third, we considered mtDNA loci genotyped in UKB, obtaining calls for up to 213 common variants passing
quality control across 360,662 individuals (**Methods, Supplementary note**). We found no significant
associations on the mtDNA for any of the 21 age-related traits available in UKB using linear or logistic
regression (**Methods, Figure 2E, S9**).

As a control and to validate our approach, we also performed mtDNA-GWAS for specific traits with
previously reported associations. A recent analysis of ~147,437 individuals in BioBank Japan revealed four
distinct traits with significant mtDNA associations[51]. Of these, creatinine and aspartate aminotransferase
(AST) had sufficiently large sample sizes in UKB. We observed a large number of associations throughout
the mtDNA for both traits ($p < 1.15 * 10^{-5}$, **Figure S9E**). Thus, our mtDNA association method was able to
replicate robust mtDNA associations among well-powered traits.

Finally, we sought to replicate our negative results in an independent cohort. We turned to published
GWAS meta-analyses[26–35] (**Table S1**) and successfully replicated the lack of enrichment for MitoCarta
genes across all 10 traits with an available independent cohort GWAS using S-LDSC (**Figure 2E, S8B**) and
MAGMA at two different window sizes (**Figure S8D, Supplementary note;** all $q > 0.1$). Importantly, while
we were unable to pursue analyses for PD and Alzheimer's disease in UKB due to limited case counts, we
tested MitoCarta genes among well-powered meta-analyses for these disorders (**Supplementary note**)
and observed no enrichment (**Figure 2E;** all $q > 0.1$).

In summary, we tested (1) QTLs for mitochondrial physiology in UKB, (2) nucDNA loci near genes that
encode the mitochondrial proteome in the GWAS Catalog, UKB, and GWAS meta-analyses, (3) mtDNA
variants in UKB, and (4) known transcriptional regulators of mitochondrial biogenesis and function in the
GWAS Catalog. We found no convincing evidence of heritability enrichment for common age-associated
diseases among these mitochondria-relevant loci (**Table S8**).

Enrichment of age-related trait heritability near genes encoding nuclear transcription factors

We next asked whether heritability for age-related diseases and traits clusters among loci associated with
any cellular organelle. We used the COMPARTMENTS database (https://compartments.jensenlab.org) to
define gene-sets corresponding to the proteomes of nine additional organelles[52] besides mitochondria
(**Methods**). We used S-LDSC to produce heritability estimates for these categories in the UKB age-related
disease traits, finding evidence of heritability enrichment in many traits for genes comprising the nuclear
proteome (**Figure 3A, Methods**). No other tested organelles showed evidence of heritability enrichment.
Variation in or near genes comprising the nuclear proteome explained over 50% of disease heritability on
average despite representing only ~35% of tested SNPs (**Figure S10, Supplementary note**). We
successfully replicated this pattern of heritability enrichment among organelles using MAGMA in UKB at
two window sizes (**Figure S13A, S13B**), again finding only enrichment among genes related to the nucleus.

With over 6,000 genes comprising the nuclear proteome, we considered largely disjoint subsets of the
organelle's proteome to trace the source of the enrichment signal[53–55] (**Figure 3B, Methods,**
Supplementary note). We found significant heritability enrichment within the set of 1,804 genes whose
protein products are annotated to localize to the chromosome itself (*q < 0.1* for 9 traits, **Figure 3C, S12**).
Further partitioning revealed that much of this signal is attributable to the subset classified as
transcription factors[55] (1,523 genes, *q < 0.1* for 10 traits, **Figure 3D, S12**). We replicated these results using
MAGMA in UKB at two window sizes (**Figure S13**), and also replicated enrichments among TFs in several
(but not all) corresponding meta-analyses (**Figure S14**) despite reduced power (**Figure S7H**). We generated
functional subdivisions of the TFs (**Methods, Supplementary note**), finding that the non-zinc finger TFs

Figure 3. Heritability enrichment of organellar proteomes across age-related disease in UK Biobank. **A.** Quantile-quantile plot of heritability enrichment p-values atop the baseline model for gene-sets representing organellar proteomes, with black line representing expected null p-values following the uniform distribution and shaded ribbon representing 95% CI. **B.** Scheme of spatially distinct disjoint subsets of the nuclear proteome as a strategy to characterize observed enrichment of the nuclear proteome. Numbers represent gene-set size. **C.** S-LDSC enrichment p-values for spatial subsets of the nuclear proteome computed atop the baseline model. **D.** S-LDSC enrichment p-values for TFs and all other nucleus-localizing proteins. Inset numbers represent gene-set sizes, black lines represent cutoff at BH FDR < 10%. * represent traits for which sufficiently well powered cohorts from both UKB and meta-analyses were available.

showed enrichment for a highly similar set of traits to those enriched for the whole set of TFs (**Figure**
S15D, S16B, S17B, S18B). Interestingly, the KRAB domain-containing zinc fingers (KRAB ZFs)[56], which are
recently evolved (**Figure S15H**), were largely devoid of enrichment even compared to non-KRAB ZFs
(**Figure S15E, S16C, S17C, S18C**). Thus, we find that variation within or near non-KRAB domain-containing
transcription factor genes has an outsize influence on age-associated disease heritability (**Table S8**).

<u>**Mitochondrial genes tend to be more "haplosufficient" than genes encoding other organelles**</u>
In light of observing heritability enrichment only among nuclear transcription factors, we wanted to
determine if the fitness cost of pLoF variation in genes across cellular organelles mirrored our results.
Mitochondria-localizing genes and TFs play a central role in numerous Mendelian diseases[19,57–59], so we
initially hypothesized that genes belonging to either category would be under significant purifying
selection (i.e., constraint). We obtained constraint metrics from gnomAD

Figure 4. Differences in constraint distribution across organelles. **A.** Constraint as measured by LOEUF from gnomAD v2.1.1 for genes comprising organellar proteomes, book-ended by distributions for known haploinsufficient genes as well as olfactory receptors. Lower values indicate genes exacting a greater organismal fitness cost from a heterozygous LoF variant (greater constraint). **B.** Proportion of each gene-set found in the lowest LOEUF decile. Higher values indicate gene-sets containing more highly constrained genes. **C.** Constraint distributions for subsets of the nuclear-encoded mitochondrial proteome (red) and subsets of the nucleus (teal). Black points represent the mean with 95% CI. Inset numbers represent gene-set size.

(https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org)[60] as the LoF observed/expected fraction (LOEUF). In agreement with
our GWAS enrichment results, we observed that the mitochondrion on average is one of the least
constrained organelles we tested, in stark contrast to the nucleus (**Figure 4A**). In fact, the nucleus was
second only to the set of "haploinsufficient" genes (defined based on curated human clinical genetic
data[60], **Methods**) in the proportion of its genes in the most constrained decile, while the mitochondrion
lay on the opposite end of the spectrum (**Figure 4B**). Interestingly, even the Mendelian mitochondrial
disease genes had a high tolerance to pLoF variation on average in comparison to TFs (**Figure 4C, S19A**).
Even across different categories of TFs, we observed that highly constrained TF subsets tend to show
GWAS enrichment (**Figure S19B**, **S15E**) relative to unconstrained subsets for our tested traits. Indeed,
explicit inclusion of LOEUF as a covariate in the enrichment analysis model (**Methods**) reduced the
significance of (but did not eliminate) the enrichment seen for the TFs (**Figure S20B, S21B, S20E, S20F**).
Thus, while disruption in both mitochondrial genes and TFs can produce rare disease, the fitness cost of
heterozygous variation in mitochondrial genes appears to be far lower than that among the TFs. This
dichotomy reflects the contrasting enrichment results between the mitochondrial genes and the TFs and
supports the importance of gene regulation as it relates to evolutionary conservation.
214
Discussion
Organelle pathology has been documented in many age-related diseases[3,23,61–64]. Perhaps most notably, a
decline in mitochondrial abundance and activity has long been reported as one of the most consistent
biomarkers of aging[4,9,14,15] and of heritable age-associated diseases such as T2D[5,6,10–12], PD[8,13], and CVD[7,16].
This suggested that variation in loci relevant to mitochondrial function may explain a disproportionate
amount of heritability for these age-related traits. We tested mitochondria-relevant common variants on
the nucDNA and mtDNA in the GWAS Catalog, UKB, and meta-analyses using Fisher's exact tests, S-LDSC,
and MAGMA. We found no convincing evidence of heritability enrichment among mitochondria-relevant
loci in any tested trait, cohort, or method. Of 10 tested organelles, only the nucleus showed enrichment,
and further analysis revealed that much of this signal originated from transcription factors. These
contrasting results were corroborated by constraint analysis, which showed a substantial fitness cost to
heterozygous loss-of-function mutation in genes encoding the nuclear proteome, whereas genes
encoding the mitochondrial proteome were "haplosufficient."

For highly polygenic and well-powered traits, any large fraction of the genome may explain a statistically
significant amount of disease heritability[65,66]. Indeed, individual associations between mitochondria-
relevant loci and certain common diseases have been identified previously[67,68]. As associations have also
been identified among loci relevant for other organelles, enrichment analyses can place these complex
genetic architectures in a broader biological context and prioritize pathways for follow-up. Importantly,
both MAGMA and S-LDSC are capable of detecting an enrichment even in a highly polygenic background.
Both methods have been used in the past to identify biologically plausible disease-relevant tissues[36,49] and
pathway enrichments[69,70] in traits across the spectrum of polygenicity, and we identify enrichments
among disease-relevant tissues using both methods in several highly polygenic traits.

Our comprehensive analysis of common inherited mitochondrial variation is in agreement with the
literature and represents, to our knowledge, the most comprehensive assessment of such variation in
age-related diseases to date. Our negative results are consistent with a small number of isolated reports
interrogating either mitochondria-relevant nucDNA[71] or mtDNA[51,72–74] loci in cardiometabolic traits,
cataracts, osteoporosis, or osteoarthritis. We replicated mtDNA associations with creatinine and AST
observed previously in BioBank Japan[51], further supporting our approach. While individual mtDNA
variants have been previously associated with certain traits[75–77], these associations appear to be
conflicting in the literature, perhaps because of limited power and/or uncontrolled confounding biases
such as population stratification[78,79].

Though several organelles have been associated with aging and age-associated pathology[3,23,61–64], of the
ten organelles we considered, we observed age-related trait heritability enrichment for only one: the
nucleus. While previous work has shown that common disease GWAS can be enriched for expression in
specific disease-relevant organs[49,80], this framework does not appear to extend to organelles outside the
nucleus. This finding contrasts with our classical nosology of (typically recessive) inborn errors of
metabolism that tend to be mapped to "causal" organelles, e.g., lysosomal storage diseases, disorders of
peroxisomal biogenesis, and mitochondrial OXPHOS disorders. The enrichment of the nucleus appears to
derive almost entirely from transcription factors, indicating that common variation influencing genome
regulation impacts the inherited risk of common diseases more than variation influencing individual
organelles.

Our observation that MitoCarta genes are pLoF tolerant (**Figure 4A**) is consistent with the rare disease
literature. Namely, while mutations in over 300 nucDNA genes can produce severe Mendelian
mitochondrial disease[19], the vast majority tend to be autosomal recessive with healthy parents. The few
disorders that show dominant inheritance are nearly always due to dominant negativity rather than
haploinsufficiency. In combination with these observations, the results from the current study indicate
that mitochondrial pathways are generally haplosufficient.

The observed pLoF-intolerance among TFs (**Figure 4A**) provide a stark contrast to the results from the
mitochondria that is borne out in their associated Mendelian disease syndromes: TFs are known to be
haploinsufficient[81] and even regulatory variants modulating their expression can produce severe
Mendelian disease[82]. We observe heritability enrichment among TFs for 10 different diseases, consistent
with observed elevated purifying selection against pLoF variants in these genes. Our enrichment results
combined with pLoF intolerance suggest that variation among TFs may produce disease-associated
variants with larger effect sizes than expectation. Overall, gene-regulatory pathways at the level of the
TFs appear to be less resilient to common variation and more susceptible to conferring disease risk upon
genetic perturbation. These results highlight the importance of gene regulators and suggest that they may
serve as important genetic "levers" for the modulation of pathways relevant to common disease
heritability.

Why are mitochondria so robust to variation in gene dosage and hence haplosufficient? We propose three
possibilities. First, pathway redundancy may underlie robustness to mitochondrial insults, consistent with
the longstanding observation that in cell culture, defective OXPHOS can be supported thanks to the action
of non-mitochondrial pathways such as cytosolic glycolysis and nucleotide salvage as long as key
environmental nutrients are provided[83]. Second, mitochondrial pathways tend to be highly
interconnected, and it was already proposed by Wright[84] and later by Kacser and Burns[85] that
haplosufficiency arises as a consequence of physiology, i.e., network organization of metabolic reactions.
Kacser and Burns in fact explicitly mention that noncatalytic gene products fall outside their framework,
and we believe that our finding that nucleus-localizing and cytoskeletal genes are the two most pLoF-
intolerant compartments is consistent with their assessment. Third, mitochondria were formerly
autonomous microbes and hence may have retained vestigial layers of "intra-organelle buffering" against
genetic variation. Numerous feedback control mechanisms, including respiratory control[86], help to ensure
organelle robustness across physiological extremes[87] (e.g. resting versus exercising[88], shifting nutrient
availability). In agreement, a recent CRISPR screen showed that of the genes for which knock-out modified
survival under a mitochondrial poison, there is a striking over-representation of genes that themselves
encode mitochondrial proteins[89].

Throughout this study, we have tested inherited common variant associations via an additive genetic
model. We acknowledge the limitations of focusing on a specific genetic model and variant frequency
regime, though note that common variation is the largest documented source of narrow-sense

heritability, which typically accounts for a majority of disease heritability[90,91]. First, we consider only
common variants. While rare variants may prove to be instructive, it is notable that a previous rare variant
analysis in T2D[92] failed to show enrichment among OXPHOS genes. Second, we consider only additive
genetic models. A recessive model may be particularly fruitful for mitochondria-relevant loci given their
tolerance to pLoF variation, however these models are frequently power-limited and may not explain
much more phenotypic variance than additive models[93,94]. Third, we have not considered epistasis. The
effects of mtDNA-nucDNA interactions[95] in common diseases have yet to be assessed. While there is
debate about whether biologically-relevant epistasis can be simply captured by main effects[91,93,96,97] at
individual loci, it is possible that modeling mtDNA-nucDNA interactions will reveal new contributions.
Finally, it is crucial not to confuse our results with previously reported associations between somatic
mtDNA mutations and age-associated disease[13–15] – the present work is focused on germline variation.

Our study does not formally address the causality of mitochondrial dysfunction in common age-related
disease. Rather, we have tested if common variants in mitochondrial pathways tend to explain a
disproportionate amount of age-related disease heritability. The observed lack of heritability enrichment
in mitochondrial pathways does not preclude the possibility of a therapeutic benefit in targeting the
mitochondrion for age-related disease. For example, mitochondrial dysfunction is documented in brain or
heart infarcts following blood vessel occlusion in laboratory-based models[98,99]. Though mitochondrial
variants do not influence infarct risk in this laboratory model, pharmacological blockade of the
mitochondrial permeability transition pore can mitigate reperfusion injury and infarct size[100]. Future
studies will be required to determine if and how the mitochondrial dysfunction associated with common
age-associated diseases can be targeted for therapeutic benefit.

Our finding that the nucleus is the only organelle that shows enrichment for common age-associated trait
heritability builds on prior work implicating nuclear processes in aging. Most human progeroid syndromes
result from monogenic defects in nuclear components[101] (e.g., *LMNA* in Hutchinson-Gilford progeria
syndrome, *TERC* in dyskeratosis congenita), and telomere length has long been observed as a marker of
aging[102]. Heritability enrichment of age-related traits among gene regulators is consistent with the
epigenetic dysregulation[103] and elevated transcriptional noise[3,104] observed in aging (e.g., *SIRT6*
modulation influences mouse longevity[105] and metabolic syndrome[62]). An important role for gene
regulation in common age-related disease is in agreement with both the observation that a very large
fraction of common disease-associated loci corresponds to the non-coding genome and the enrichment
of disease heritability in histone marks and transcription factor binding sites[36,106].

Acknowledgements

We thank D. Altshuler, S.E. Calvo, H. Finucane, E.S. Lander, M.E. MacDonald, D. Palmer, E.B. Robinson,
A.V. Segrè, M.E. Talkowski, R.K. Walters, C.C. Winter, and members of the Mootha and Neale labs for
critical feedback and discussions. This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under
Application Number 31063. This project was supported in part by grants (NIH R35GM122455 to V.K.M.
and NIH T32 AG000222 to R.G.) from the National Institutes of Health. VKM is an Investigator of the
Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

Data Availability

Genetic correlation point estimates and standard errors plotted in Figure 1B is available in Table S2.
Summary statistics from mtDNA-GWAS available in Table S6. All gene-based enrichment analysis p-values
and point estimates are available in Table S8. Literature-reported loci associated with biomarkers of
mitochondrial function after clumping and QC are available in Table S4. Period prevalence data for
diseases in the UK can be obtained from Kuan et al. 2019. Gene-sets can be found using COMPARTMENTS

(https://compartments.jensenlab.org),   MitoCarta   2.0
(https://www.broadinstitute.org/files/shared/metabolism/mitocarta/human.mitocarta2.0.html),
Lambert et al. 2018 (DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.01.029), Frazier et al. 2019 (DOI: 10.1074/jbc.R117.809194),
Finucane et al. 2018 (https://alkesgroup.broadinstitute.org/LDSCORE/), Kapopoulou et al. 2015 (DOI:
10.1111/evo.12819), and the Macarthur laboratory (https://github.com/macarthur-lab/gene_lists). Gene
age estimates were obtained from Litman, Stein 2019 (DOI: 10.1053/j.seminoncol.2018.11.002). GWAS
catalog annotations can be obtained from: https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas. UKB heritability estimates can
be obtained at: https://nealelab.github.io/UKBB_ldsc/. UKB summary statistics can be obtained from
Neale lab GWAS round 2: https://github.com/Nealelab/UK_Biobank_GWAS. Annotations for the Baseline
v1.1 and BaselineLD v2.2 models as well as other relevant reference data, including the 1000G EUR
reference panel, can be obtained from https://alkesgroup.broadinstitute.org/LDSCORE/. eQTL and
expression data in human tissues can be obtained from GTEx (https://www.gtexportal.org). Constraint
estimates can be found via gnomAD: https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org. See citations for publicly
available GWAS meta-analysis summary statistics[26–35].
352
Code Availability
Our analysis leverages publicly available tools including LDSC for heritability enrichment and genetic
correlation (https://github.com/bulik/ldsc), MAGMA v1.07b for gene-set enrichment analysis
(https://ctg.cncr.nl/software/magma), PLINK v1.07 for linkage disequilibrium clumping
(https://zzz.bwh.harvard.edu/plink/), and Hail v0.2.51 for distributed computing and mtDNA GWAS
(https://hail.is).
359
360   **Competing Interests**
361   VKM is an advisor to and receives compensation or equity from Janssen Pharmaceuticals, 5am Ventures,
362   and Raze Therapeutics. BMN is a member of the scientific advisory board at Deep Genomics and RBNC
363   Therapeutics. BMN is a consultant for Camp4 Therapeutics, Takeda Pharmaceutical and Biogen.
364
365   **Author Contributions**
366   R.G., B.M.N., and V.K.M. conceived of the project; R.G., K.J.K., D.H. designed analyses; R.G. performed
367   analyses; B.M.N., V.K.M. supervised project; R.G. and V.K.M. wrote the manuscript with input from
368   other authors.

Online Methods

Trait selection:

Sex-standardized period prevalence of over 300 diseases was obtained from an extensive survey of the National Health Service in the UK as reported previously[24]. To select high prevalence late-onset diseases, we ranked diseases with a median onset over 50 years of age by the sum of the period prevalence of all age categories above 50. We selected the top 30 diseases using this metric and manually mapped these traits to similar or equivalent phenotypes with publicly available summary statistics from UKB and/or well-powered meta-analyses (e.g., Parkinson's Disease and Alzheimer's Disease for dementia) resulting in 24 traits with data available in UKB, meta-analyses, or both (**Table S1**).

Criteria for inclusion of summary statistics:

We manually mapped selected age-related diseases and traits to corresponding phenotypes in UKB. In parallel, we searched the literature to identify well-powered EUR-predominant GWAS (referred to as meta-analyses) that (1) used primarily non-targeted arrays, (2) had publicly available full summary statistics, and (3) did not enroll individuals from UKB to serve as independent replication (**Supplementary note**). For UKB, we obtained heritability estimates (https://github.com/Nealelab/UKBB_ldsc) previously computed using stratified linkage-disequilibrium score regression (S-LDSC, https://github.com/bulik/ldsc)[36] atop the BaselineLD v1.1 model using reference LD scores computed from 1000G EUR. For meta-analyses, we computed heritability estimates with S-LDSC atop the updated BaselineLD v2.2 model using reference LD scores computed from 1000G EUR (https://alkesgroup.broadinstitute.org/LDSCORE/). We computed the heritability Z-score, a statistic that captures sample size, polygenicity, and heritability[36], and included only traits with heritability Z-score > 4 (**Supplementary note**) for further analysis.

Genetic correlations in UKB:

Pairwise genetic correlations, r_g, were computed using linkage-disequilibrium score correlation[37] on all selected age-related traits with heritability Z-score > 4. We used UKB summary statistics (https://github.com/Nealelab/UK_Biobank_GWAS) for all sufficiently powered traits; summary statistics from meta-analyses were used for eGFR[33], Alzheimer's Disease[35], and Parkinson's Disease[34] as these traits showed heritability Z-score > 4 within meta-analyses but not in UKB **(Table S1)**. P-values for genetic correlation represented deviation from the null hypothesis $r_g = 0$. Traits were ordered by their contribution to the first eigenvector of the absolute value of the correlation matrix, with point estimates and standard errors available in **Table S2**. Bonferroni correction was applied producing a p-value cutoff of $0.05/\binom{24}{2} = 1.81 * 10^{-4}$.

Assessment of mitochondria-localizing genes in the GWAS Catalog:

We mapped variants in the GWAS Catalog (obtained on September 5th, 2019, https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/) meeting genome-wide significance (p < 5e-8) to genes using provided annotations, producing a set of trait-associated genes for each trait. We manually selected phenotypes represented in the GWAS Catalog matching our set of age-associated traits with over annotated 30 trait-associated genes. For each trait, we computed the proportion of trait associated genes that were mitochondria-localizing (defined via MitoCarta2.0[17]) and tested for enrichment or depletion relative to overall genome background using two-sided Fisher's exact tests correcting for multiple hypothesis tests with the Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) procedure at FDR q-value < 0.1.

We also computed the test statistic N_g^{enrich}, defined as the number of age-associated traits showing a nominal (not necessarily statistically significant) enrichment for a given gene-set g, for the MitoCarta genes. We then generated an empirical null distribution for N_g^{enrich}. We drew 1,000 random samples of

protein-coding genes, where each sample contained the same number of genes as the set of
mitochondria-localizing genes and computed N_g^{enrich} for each of these gene-sets **(Figure S1B)**. The one-
sided p-value, defined as $\Pr(N_g^{enrich} \leq x)$ under the null, was subsequently obtained.

We expanded our enrichment/depletion analysis to all 332 traits in the GWAS Catalog with over 30 trait-
associated genes; for enrichment or depletion testing, we used two-sided Fisher's exact tests and
corrected for multiple hypothesis testing with the BH procedure at FDR q-value < 0.1.

Enrichment analysis of literature-curated mitochondria-associated phenotypes:
We reviewed the literature for quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for mtDNA copy number (mtCN)[40,41], mtRNA
abundance/modification[42,43], and biomarkers of OXPHOS dysfunction (namely lactate, pyruvate,
lactate/pyruvate ratio[46–48], and GDF15 abundance[44,45]) **(Supplementary note)**. We subsequently used
PLINK v1.07 (https://zzz.bwh.harvard.edu/plink/)[107] to identify independent variants for each phenotype
based on the 1000G EUR reference panel **(Supplementary note)**. To test for overlap with UKB age-
associated disease traits, we divided curated variants into three classes: mtCN-related (21 variants),
mtRNA-related (78 variants), and OXPHOS biomarkers (62 variants). For each of the 21 UKB age-related
disease traits, we computed the number of genome-wide significant (p < 5e-8) variants that overlapped
the curated variants for each class, termed $N_c^{overlap}$ where c is the class. We only considered variants
with INFO > 0.8 and MAF > 0.001 or expected case MAC > 25. For significance testing, we generated an
empirical null distribution around $N_c^{overlap}$ including only variants with INFO > 0.8. For each class, we
drew variants at random 2500 times matching on LD score, in-sample MAF, and distance to transcription
start site (where the distance metric was set to 0 if the variant was located within a gene boundary). LD
scores per variant were generated per-chromosome with a 1 cm window using the 1000G EUR reference
panel. The $N_c^{overlap}$ was then computed for each category for each set of randomly selected variants,
generating a category specific empirical null distribution for the statistic **(Figure S2)**. The one-sided p-
value, defined as $\Pr(N_c^{overlap} \geq x)$ under the null, was subsequently obtained. To correct for multiple
hypothesis testing, we applied the BH procedure with FDR < 0.1 and also applied a Bonferroni threshold
of $P = \frac{0.1}{21*3} \approx 0.0016$.

Harmonization and filtering of summary statistics for LDSC and MAGMA:
UKB summary statistics previously formatted for use with LDSC and filtered to HapMap3 (HM3) SNPs
(https://github.com/Nealelab/UKBB_ldsc) were used for analysis with S-LDSC. For analysis with MAGMA
v1.07b[50], we included variants from the full Neale Lab UKB Round 2 GWAS summary statistics
(https://github.com/Nealelab/UK_Biobank_GWAS) with INFO > 0.8 and MAF > 0.01, and excluded any
variants flagged as low confidence (a heuristic defined by MAF < 0.001 or expected case MAC < 25).

Summary statistics obtained from publicly available GWAS meta-analyses[26–35] were reported in varied
formats. We manually verified the genome build upon which each meta-analysis reported results and
ensured that all sets of summary statistics contained columns listing P-value, variant rsID, genome-build
specific coordinates, and if available, variant-specific sample size **(Table S1)**. If variant coordinates or rsID
were not provided, the relevant columns were obtained from dbSNP database version 130 (for hg18) or
146 (for hg19). We used the summary statistic munging script provided with S-LDSC
(https://github.com/bulik/ldsc) to generate summary statistics compatible with S-LDSC, restricting to
HM3 SNPs as these tend to be best behaved for analysis with LDSC. For use of meta-analyses with
MAGMA[50], we restricted analysis to variants with INFO > 0.8 and MAF > 0.01 if such information was
provided.

Multiple testing correction for gene-set enrichment analysis:

To account for the multiple hypothesis tests performed throughout this study, we obtained p-value
thresholds via the BH procedure at FDR < 0.1 for all gene-sets assessed for a given method and cohort
type (where the two cohort types were UKB and meta-analysis).
465
Gene-set based enrichment analysis:
We extensively use S-LDSC and MAGMA to perform gene-set enrichment analyses among GWAS summary
statistics. To test enrichment with S-LDSC, SNPs were mapped to each gene with a 100kb symmetric
window as recommended[49] and LD scores were computed using the 1000G EUR reference panel
(https://alkesgroup.broadinstitute.org/LDSCORE/) and subsequently restricted to the HM3 SNPs. We
used S-LDSC to test for heritability enrichment controlling for 53 annotations including coding regions,
enhancer regions, 5' and 3' UTRs, and others as previously described[36] (baseline v1.1, referred to as
baseline model hereafter). We also used MAGMA with both 5kb up, 1.5kb down and 100kb symmetric
windows to test for enrichment. MAGMA gene-level analysis was performed with the 1000G EUR LD
reference panel to account for LD structure, and gene-set analysis was performed including covariates for
gene length, variant density, inverse minor allele count (MAC), as well as log-transformed versions of
these covariates. Statistical tests for both S-LDSC and MAGMA were one-sided, considering enrichment
only. For both methods, we included the relevant superset of genes as a control to ensure that our analysis
was competitive (**Supplementary note**). We refer to this approach as the 'usual approach'. All enrichment
effect size estimates and p-values are available in **Table S8**.
481
Enrichment analysis of genes comprising the mitochondrial proteome:
We obtained the set of nuclear-encoded mitochondria-localizing genes using MitoCarta2.0[17] and used the
literature to obtain the subset of MitoCarta genes involved in inherited mitochondrial disease[19] as well as
those producing components of oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) complexes. We used both S-LDSC
and MAGMA to test for enrichment in the usual way (**Methods**) controlling for the set of protein-coding
genes to ensure a competitive analysis (**Supplementary note**). We also tested mitochondria-localizing
genes for enrichment in meta-analyses using S-LDSC and MAGMA with the same parameters as for UKB
traits (**Supplementary note**).
490
Tissue-expressed gene-set enrichment analysis:
To obtain the set of genes most expressed in a given tissue versus others, we obtained t-statistics
computed from GTEx v6 gene-level transcript-per-million (TPM) data corrected for age and sex as
published previously[49]. For each tissue, we selected the top 2485 genes (10%) with the highest t-statistics
for tissue-specific expression, producing tissue-expressed gene-sets. We selected nine tissues based on
expectation of enrichment for our tested traits in UKB (e.g., liver for LDL levels, esophageal mucosa for
GERD). We used both S-LDSC and MAGMA to test for enrichment in the usual way (**Methods**) controlling
for the set of tissue-expressed genes to ensure a competitive analysis (**Supplementary note**). Tissue-
expressed gene-set analyses were performed on meta-analyses with S-LDSC and MAGMA on the same
tissues using the same parameters as used in UKB.
501
Power analysis:
To test for the effects of gene-set size on power, we selected ten positive control tissue-trait pairs based
on (1) the presence of tissue enrichment in UKB with S-LDSC and MAGMA and (2) if the observed
enrichment was biologically plausible. The pairs tested were liver-HDL, liver-LDL, liver-TG, liver-
cholesterol, pancreas-glucose, pancreas-type 2 diabetes, atrial appendage-atrial fibrillation, sigmoid
colon-diverticular disease, coronary artery-myocardial infarction, and visceral adipose-HDL. We then, in
brief, used an empirical sampling-based approach, generating random subsamples of a selected set of
tissue-expressed gene-sets at four different gene-set sizes (1523, 1105, 800, and 350 genes), defining

power as the proportion of trials showing a significant enrichment (**Supplementary note**). We used the
same sub-sampled gene-sets for enrichment analysis using both S-LDSC and MAGMA in the usual way
(**Methods**) controlling for the set of tissue-expressed genes to ensure a competitive analysis
(**Supplementary note**). We used the same gene-sets among the subset of the positive control traits that
showed enrichment in the corresponding meta-analysis to verify power for the meta-analyses
(**Supplementary note**).
516
Cross-tissue eQTL analysis
We obtained the set of eGenes from GTEx v8 across 49 tissues (https://www.gtexportal.org), filtering to
only include cis-eQTLs with q-value < 0.05. To determine how the landscape of cis-eQTLs for MitoCarta
genes compared to other protein-coding genes, we regressed the number of tissues with a detected cis-
eQTL for a given gene x, N_x^{eQTL}, onto an indicator for membership in a given organellar proteome
($I_x^{organelle}$), controlling for gene length, log gene length, breadth of expression (τ_x), and the number of
tissues with detected expression > 5 TPM ($N_x^{express}$, **Supplementary note**). To quantify breadth of
expression, we obtained median-per-tissue GTEx v8 TPM expression values and computed τ[108] after
removing lowly-expressed genes with maximal cross-tissue TPM < 1, defined as:
526
527 $$\tau_x = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(1 - \hat{x}_i)}{n - 1} \ where \ \hat{x}_i = \frac{x_i}{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i}$$
528
where x_i is the expression of gene x in tissue i with n tissues. τ ranges from 0 to 1, with lower τ indicating
broadly expressed gene and higher τ indicating more tissue specific expression patterns. Because GTEx
sampled multiple tissue subtypes (e.g., brain sub-regions) that show correlated expression profiles[109]
which bias τ_x, N_x^{eQTL}, and $N_x^{express}$ upward, for each broader tissue class (brain, heart, artery, esophagus,
skin, cervix, colon, adipose) we selected a single representative tissue when computing these quantities
(**Figure S14B, Supplementary note**). We used LD scores computed from the 1000G EUR reference panel.
The model, fit via OLS for each tested organelle, was:
536
537 $$N_x^{eQTL} \sim I_x^{organelle} + N_x^{express} + \tau_x + \log(gene \ length) + gene \ length$$
538
mtDNA-wide association study:
We obtained mtDNA genotype data on 265 variants as obtained on the UK Biobank Axiom array and the
UK BiLEVE array from the full UKB release[25]. To perform variant QC, we used evoker-lite[110] to generate
fluorescence cluster plots per-variant and per-batch and manually inspected the results, removing 19
variants due to cluster plot abnormalities (**Table S5**, **Supplementary note**). We additionally removed any
variants with heterozygous calls, within-array-type call rate < 0.95, and with less than 20 individuals with
an alternate genotype. For case-control traits, we removed any phenotype-variant pair with an expected
case count of alternate genotype individuals of less than 20, resulting in a maximum of 213 variants tested
per trait (**Supplementary note**). To perform sample QC, we restricted samples to the same samples from
which UKB summary statistics were generated (https://github.com/Nealelab/UK_Biobank_GWAS),
namely unrelated individuals 7 standard deviations away from the first 6 European sample selection PCs
with self-reported white-British, Irish, or White ethnicity and no evidence of sex chromosome aneuploidy.
We additionally removed any samples with within-array-type mitochondrial variant call rate < 0.95,
resulting in 360,662 unrelated samples of EUR ancestry. We generated the LD matrix for mitochondrial
DNA variants using Hail v0.2.51 (https://hail.is) pairwise for all 213 variants tested across all post-QC
samples.

We ran mtDNA-GWAS for all 21 UKB age-related phenotypes as well as creatinine and AST using Hail
v0.2.51 via linear regression controlling for the first 20 PCs of the nuclear genotype matrix, sex, age, age^2,
sex*age, and sex*age^2 as performed for the UKB GWAS
(https://github.com/Nealelab/UK_Biobank_GWAS). We also used Hail to run Firth logistic regression with
the same covariates for case/control traits (**Table S1**). As we observed that some mitochondrial DNA
variants were specific to array type, we also ran linear regression including array type as a covariate; we
did not perform logistic regression with array type as a covariate due to convergence issues secondary to
complete separation of variants assessed only on only array type. We defined mtDNA-wide significance
using a Bonferroni correction by $p = \frac{0.05}{4337} \approx 1.15e - 5$.

Enrichment analysis of components of organellar proteomes:
COMPARTMENTS (https://compartments.jensenlab.org)[52] is a resource integrating several lines of
evidence for protein localization predictions including annotations, text-mining, sequence predictions,
and experimental data from the Human Protein Atlas. We used this resource to obtain the degree of
evidence (a number ranging from 0 to 5) linking each gene to localization to one of 12 organelles: nucleus,
cytosol, cytoskeleton, peroxisome, lysosome, endoplasmic reticulum, Golgi apparatus, plasma
membrane, endosome, extracellular space, mitochondrion, and proteasome. To avoid noisy localization
assignments due to weak text mining and prediction evidence, we only considered localization
assignments with a score > 2 as described previously[52]. We subsequently assigned compartment(s) to each
gene by selecting the compartment(s) with the maximal score within each gene. We only included
compartments containing over 240 genes due to limited power at these smaller gene-set sizes and used
MitoCarta2.0[17] to obtain a higher confidence set of genes localizing to the mitochondrion, resulting in
gene-sets representing the proteomes of 10 organelles. S-LDSC and MAGMA were used to test for
enrichment across the UKB age-related traits for these gene-sets in the usual way, controlling for the set
of protein-coding genes. S-LDSC was also used to obtain estimates of the percentage of heritability
explained by each organelle gene-set.

Enrichment analysis of spatial components of the nucleus:
To produce interpretable sub-divisions of the nucleus, we used Gene Ontology (GO)[53,54] to identify terms
listed as children of the nucleus cellular component (GO:0005634). We used Ensembl version 99[111] to
obtain a first pass set of genes annotated to each sub-compartment of the nucleus (or its children). After
manual review of sub-compartments with > 90 genes, we selected nucleoplasm (GO:0005654), nuclear
chromosome (GO:0000228), nucleolus (GO:0005730), nuclear envelope (GO:0005635), splicosomal
complex (GO:0005681), nuclear DNA-directed RNA polymerase complex (GO:0055029), and nuclear pore
(GO:0005643). We excluded terms listed as 'part' due to poor interpretability and manually excluded
similar terms (e.g., nuclear lumen vs nucleoplasm). To generate a high confidence set of genes localizing
to each of these selected sub-compartments, we then turned to the COMPARTMENTS resource which
assigns localization confidence scores for each protein to GO cellular component terms. We assigned
members of the nuclear proteome to these selected nuclear sub-compartments using same the approach
outlined for the organelle analysis (**Methods**). After filtering our selected sub-compartments to those
containing > 240 genes, we obtained four categories: nucleoplasm, nuclear chromosome, nucleolus, and
nuclear envelope. The nuclear chromosome annotation was largely overlapping with a manually curated
high-quality list of transcription factors[55] however was not exhaustive; as such, we merged these lists to
generate the chromosome and TF category. To improve interpretability, we removed genes from
nucleoplasm that were also assigned to another nuclear sub-compartment, constructed a list of other
nucleus-localizing proteins not captured in these four sub-compartments, and included only genes
annotated as localizing to the nucleus (**Methods**). S-LDSC and MAGMA were used to test for enrichment

across the UKB age-related traits for these gene-sets in the usual way while controlling for the set of
protein-coding genes (**Methods**).
604
Enrichment analysis of functionally distinct TF subsets:
We used a published curated high-quality list of TFs[55] to partition the Chromosome and TF category into
transcription factors and other chromosomal proteins. To determine which TFs are broadly expressed
versus tissue specific, we computed τ per TF across all selected tissues after removing lowly-expressed
genes with maximal cross-tissue TPM < 1 (**Methods, Supplementary note**). The threshold for tissue-
specific genes was set at $\tau \geq 0.76$ based on the location of the central nadir of the resultant bimodal
distribution (**Figure S14A**). To identify terciles of TFs by age, we obtained relative gene age assignments
for each gene previously generated by obtaining the modal earliest ortholog level across several databases
mapped to 19 ordered phylostrata[112]. DNA binding domain (DBD) annotations for the TFs were obtained
from previous manual curation efforts[55]. S-LDSC and MAGMA were used to test for enrichment across the
UKB age-related traits for these gene-sets in the usual way while controlling for the set of protein-coding
genes (**Methods**). We also tested TFs for enrichment in meta-analyses using S-LDSC and MAGMA with the
same parameters as for UKB traits (**Supplementary note**).
618
619   *Analysis of constraint across organelles and sub-organellar gene-sets:*
620   We obtained gene-level gnomAD v2.1.1 constraint tables (https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org),
621   haploinsufficient genes, and olfactory receptors[60] (https://github.com/macarthur-lab/gene_lists).
622   Constraint values as loss-of-function observed/expected fraction (LOEUF) were mapped to genes within
623   organelle, sub-mitochondrial, sub-nuclear, and TF binding domain gene-sets.
624
Enrichment analysis across age-related disease holding constraint as a covariate:
To test for enrichment with constraint as a covariate, we used MAGMA with UKB age-related traits. We
mapped variants to genes and performed the gene-level analysis as done previously for the mitochondria-
localizing gene and organelle analysis. We included LOEUF and log LOEUF as covariates for the gene-set
analysis in addition to the default covariates (gene length, SNP density, inverse MAC, as well as the
respective log-transformed versions) via the `-condition-residualize` flag.

1.   Wang K, Gaitsch H, Poon H, Cox NJ, Rzhetsky A. Classification of common human diseases derived
from shared genetic and environmental determinants. *Nat Genet*. 2017;49(9):1319-1325.
doi:10.1038/ng.3931
2.   Claussnitzer M, Cho JH, Collins R, et al. A brief history of human disease genetics. *Nature*.
2020;577(7789):179-189. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1879-7
3.   López-Otín C, Blasco MA, Partridge L, Serrano M, Kroemer G. The hallmarks of aging. *Cell*.
2013;153(6):1194. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.05.039
4.   Fleischman A, Makimura H, Stanley TL, et al. Skeletal muscle phosphocreatine recovery after
submaximal exercise in children and young and middle-aged adults. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*.
2010;95(9):69-74. doi:10.1210/jc.2010-0527
5.   Petersen KF, Dufour S, Befroy D, Garcia R, Shulman GI. Impaired Mitochondrial Activity in the
Insulin-Resistant Offspring of Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;350(7):664-671.
doi:10.1056/nejmoa031314
6.   Mootha VK, Lindgren CM, Eriksson KF, et al. PGC-1α-responsive genes involved in oxidative
phosphorylation are coordinately downregulated in human diabetes. *Nat Genet*. 2003;34(3):267-
273. doi:10.1038/ng1180
7.   Fannin SW, Lesnefsky EJ, Slabe TJ, Hassan MO, Hoppel CL. Aging selectively decreases oxidative
capacity in rat heart interfibrillar mitochondria. *Arch Biochem Biophys*. 1999;372(2):399-407.
doi:10.1006/abbi.1999.1508
8.   Schapira AH V, Cooper JM, Dexter D, Clark JB, Jenner P, Marsden CD. Mitochondrial Complex I
Deficiency in Parkinson's Disease. *J Neurochem*. 1990;54(3):823-827. doi:10.1111/j.1471-
4159.1990.tb02325.x
9.   Trounce I, Byrne E, Marzuki S. Decline in Skeletal Muscle Mitochondrial Respiratory Chain
Function: Possible Factor in Ageing. *Lancet*. 1989;333(8639):637-639. doi:10.1016/S0140-
6736(89)92143-0
10. Kelley DE, He J, Menshikova E V., Ritov VB. Dysfunction of mitochondria in human skeletal muscle
in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2002;51(10):2944-2950. doi:10.2337/diabetes.51.10.2944
11. Patti ME, Butte AJ, Crunkhorn S, et al. Coordinated reduction of genes of oxidative metabolism in
humans with insulin resistance and diabetes: Potential role of PGC1 and NRF1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
U S A. 2003;100(14):8466-8471. doi:10.1073/pnas.1032913100
12. Stump CS, Short KR, Bigelow ML, Schimke JM, Nair KS. Effect of insulin on human skeletal muscle
mitochondrial ATP production, protein synthesis, and mRNA transcripts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*.
2003;100(13):7996-8001. doi:10.1073/pnas.1332551100
13. Bender A, Krishnan KJ, Morris CM, et al. High levels of mitochondrial DNA deletions in substantia
nigra neurons in aging and Parkinson disease. *Nat Genet*. 2006;38(5):515-517.
doi:10.1038/ng1769
14. Taylor RW, Barron MJ, Borthwick GM, et al. Mitochondrial DNA mutations in human colonic crypt
stem cells Find the latest version : Mitochondrial DNA mutations in human colonic crypt stem
cells. *J Clin Invest*. 2003;112(9):1351-1360. doi:10.1172/JCI200319435.Introduction
15. Wanagat J, Cao Z, Pathare P, Aiken JM. Mitochondrial DNA deletion mutations colocalize with
segmental electron transport system abnormalities, muscle fiber atrophy, fiber splitting, and
oxidative damage in sarcopenia. *FASEB J*. 2001;15(2):322-332. doi:10.1096/fj.00-0320com
16. Ashar FN, Zhang Y, Longchamps RJ, et al. Association of mitochondrial DNA copy number with
cardiovascular disease. *JAMA Cardiol*. 2017;2(11):1247-1255. doi:10.1001/jamacardio.2017.3683
17. Calvo SE, Clauser KR, Mootha VK. MitoCarta2.0: An updated inventory of mammalian
mitochondrial proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2016;44(D1):D1251-D1257. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1003
18. Schon EA, Dimauro S, Hirano M. Human mitochondrial DNA: Roles of inherited and somatic
mutations. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2012;13(12):878-890. doi:10.1038/nrg3275

19. Frazier AE, Thorburn DR, Compton AG. Mitochondrial energy generation disorders: Genes,
mechanisms, and clues to pathology. *J Biol Chem*. 2019;294(14):5386-5395.
doi:10.1074/jbc.R117.809194

20. Shammas MA. Telomeres, lifestyle, cancer, and aging. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*.
2011;14(1):28-34. doi:10.1097/MCO.0b013e32834121b1

21. Cawthon RM, Smith KR, O'Brien E, Sivatchenko A, Kerber RA. Association between telomere
length in blood and mortality in people aged 60 years or older. *Lancet*. 2003;361(9355):393-395.
doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(03)12384-7

22. Mizushima N, Levine B, Cuervo AM, Klionsky DJ. Autophagy fights disease through cellular self-
digestion. *Nature*. 2008;451(7182):1069-1075. doi:10.1038/nature06639

23. Özcan U, Cao Q, Yilmaz E, et al. Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress Links Obesity, Insulin Action, and
Type 2 Diabetes. *Science (80-)*. 2004;306(5695):457 LP - 461.
http://science.sciencemag.org/content/306/5695/457.abstract.

24. Kuan V, Denaxas S, Gonzalez-Izquierdo A, et al. A chronological map of 308 physical and mental
health conditions from 4 million individuals in the English National Health Service. *Lancet Digit
Heal*. 2019;1(2):e63-e77. doi:10.1016/s2589-7500(19)30012-3

25. Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, et al. UK Biobank: An Open Access Resource for Identifying the
Causes of a Wide Range of Complex Diseases of Middle and Old Age. *PLoS Med*. 2015;12(3):1-10.
doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001779

26. Teslovich TM, Musunuru K, Smith A V, et al. Biological, clinical and population relevance of 95 loci
for blood lipids. *Nature*. 2010;466(7307):707-713. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09270.

27. Ehret GB, Munroe PB, Rice KM, et al. Genetic variants in novel pathways influence blood pressure
and cardiovascular disease risk. *Nature*. 2011;478(7367):103-109. doi:10.1038/nature10405

28. Manning AK, Hivert M-F, Scott RA, et al. A genome-wide approach accounting for body mass
index identifies genetic variants influencing fasting glycemic traits and insulin resistance. *Nat
Genet*. 2012;44(6):659-669. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng.2274.

29. Morris AP, Voight BF, Teslovich TM, et al. Large-scale association analysis provides insights into
the genetic architecture and pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes. *Nat Genet*. 2012;44(9):981-990.
doi:10.1038/ng.2383

30. Schunkert H, König IR, Kathiresan S, et al. Large-scale association analysis identifies 13 new
susceptibility loci for coronary artery disease. *Nat Genet*. 2011;43(4):333-340.
doi:10.1038/ng.784

31. Estrada K, Styrkarsdottir U, Evangelou E, et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies 56 bone
mineral density loci and reveals 14 loci associated with risk of fracture. *Nat Genet*.
2012;44(5):491-501. doi:10.1038/ng.2249

32. Christophersen IE, Rienstra M, Roselli C, et al. Large-scale analyses of common and rare variants
identify 12 new loci associated with atrial fibrillation. *Nat Genet*. 2017;49(6):946-952.
doi:10.1038/ng.3843

33. Pattaro C, Teumer A, Gorski M, et al. Genetic associations at 53 loci highlight cell types and
biological pathways relevant for kidney function. *Nat Commun*. 2016;7:1-19.
doi:10.1038/ncomms10023

34. Nalls MA, Blauwendraat C, Vallerga CL, et al. Identification of novel risk loci, causal insights, and
heritable risk for Parkinson's disease: a meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies. *Lancet
Neurol*. 2019;18(12):1091-1102. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(19)30320-5

35. Lambert JC, Ibrahim-Verbaas CA, Harold D, et al. Meta-analysis of 74,046 individuals identifies 11
new susceptibility loci for Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet*. 2013;45(12):1452-1458.
doi:10.1038/ng.2802

36. Finucane HK, Bulik-Sullivan B, Gusev A, et al. Partitioning heritability by functional annotation

using genome-wide association summary statistics. *Nat Genet*. 2015;47(11):1228-1235.
doi:10.1038/ng.3404

37.   Bulik-Sullivan B, Finucane HK, Anttila V, et al. An atlas of genetic correlations across human
diseases and traits. *Nat Genet*. 2015;47(11):1236-1241. doi:10.1038/ng.3406

38.   Wasmer K, Eckardt L, Breithardt G. Predisposing factors for atrial fibrillation in the elderly. *J
Geriatr Cardiol*. 2017;14(3):179-184. doi:10.11909/j.issn.1671-5411.2017.03.010

39.   MacArthur J, Bowler E, Cerezo M, et al. The new NHGRI-EBI Catalog of published genome-wide
association studies (GWAS Catalog). *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2016;45(November 2016):gkw1133.
doi:10.1093/nar/gkw1133

40.   Cai N, Li Y, Chang S, et al. Genetic Control over mtDNA and Its Relationship to Major Depressive
Disorder. *Curr Biol*. 2015;25(24):3170-3177. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.10.065

41.   Guyatt AL, Brennan RR, Burrows K, et al. A genome-wide association study of mitochondrial DNA
copy number in two population-based cohorts. *Hum Genomics*. 2019;13(1):6.
doi:10.1186/s40246-018-0190-2

42.   Ali AT, Boehme L, Carbajosa G, Seitan VC, Small KS, Hodgkinson A. Nuclear genetic regulation of
the human mitochondrial transcriptome. *Elife*. 2019;8:1-23. doi:10.7554/eLife.41927

43.   Ali AT, Idaghdour Y, Hodgkinson A. Analysis of mitochondrial m1A/G RNA modification reveals
links to nuclear genetic variants and associated disease processes. *Commun Biol*. 2020;3(1):1-11.
doi:10.1038/s42003-020-0879-3

44.   Folkersen L, Fauman E, Sabater-Lleal M, et al. Mapping of 79 loci for 83 plasma protein
biomarkers in cardiovascular disease. *PLoS Genet*. 2017;13(4):1-21.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006706

45.   Jiang J, Thalamuthu A, Ho JE, et al. A meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies of growth
differentiation factor-15 concentration in blood. *Front Genet*. 2018;9(MAR):1-13.
doi:10.3389/fgene.2018.00097

46.   Shin SY, Fauman EB, Petersen AK, et al. An atlas of genetic influences on human blood
metabolites. *Nat Genet*. 2014;46(6):543-550. doi:10.1038/ng.2982

47.   Suhre K, Shin SY, Petersen AK, et al. Human metabolic individuality in biomedical and
pharmaceutical research. *Nature*. 2011;477(7362):54-62. doi:10.1038/nature10354

48.   Raffler J, Friedrich N, Arnold M, et al. Genome-Wide Association Study with Targeted and Non-
targeted NMR Metabolomics Identifies 15 Novel Loci of Urinary Human Metabolic Individuality.
PLoS Genet. 2015;11(9):1-28. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005487

49.   Finucane HK, Reshef YA, Anttila V, et al. Heritability enrichment of specifically expressed genes
identifies disease-relevant tissues and cell types. *Nat Genet*. 2018;50(4):621-629.
doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0081-4

50.   de Leeuw CA, Mooij JM, Heskes T, Posthuma D. MAGMA: Generalized Gene-Set Analysis of GWAS
Data. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2015;11(4):1-19. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004219

51.   Yamamoto K, Sakaue S, Matsuda K, et al. Genetic and phenotypic landscape of the mitochondrial
genome in the Japanese population. *Commun Biol*. 2020;3(1):104. doi:10.1038/s42003-020-0812-
9

52.   Binder JX, Pletscher-Frankild S, Tsafou K, et al. COMPARTMENTS: Unification and visualization of
protein subcellular localization evidence. *Database*. 2014;2014:1-9.
doi:10.1093/database/bau012

53.   Carbon S, Douglass E, Dunn N, et al. The Gene Ontology Resource: 20 years and still GOing
strong. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2019;47(D1):D330-D338. doi:10.1093/nar/gky1055

54.   Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, et al. Gene Ontology: Tool for The Unification of Biology. *Nat
Genet*. 2000;25(1):25-29. doi:10.1038/75556

55.   Lambert SA, Jolma A, Campitelli LF, et al. The Human Transcription Factors. *Cell*. 2018;172(4):650-

665. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.01.029

56.   Kapopoulou A, Mathew L, Wong A, Trono D, Jensen JD. The evolution of gene expression and
binding specificity of the largest transcription factor family in primates. *Evolution (N Y)*.
2016;70(1):167-180. doi:10.1111/evo.12819

57.   Jimenez-Sanchez G, Childs B, Valle D. Human Disease Genes. *Nature*. 2001;409:853-855.

58.   Worman HJ, Courvalin JC. The nuclear lamina and inherited disease. *Trends Cell Biol*.
2002;12(12):591-598. doi:10.1016/S0962-8924(02)02401-7

59.   Cleaver JE. It was a very good year for DNA repair. *Cell*. 1994;76(1):1-4. doi:10.1016/0092-
8674(94)90165-1

60.   Karczewski KJ, Francioli LC, Tiao G, et al. The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from
variation in 141,456 humans. *Nature*. 2020;581(7809):434-443. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2308-7

61.   Colacurcio DJ, Nixon RA. Disorders of lysosomal acidification—The emerging role of v-ATPase in
aging and neurodegenerative disease. *Ageing Res Rev*. 2016;32:75-88.
doi:10.1016/j.arr.2016.05.004

62.   Kanfi Y, Peshti V, Gil R, et al. SIRT6 protects against pathological damage caused by diet-induced
obesity. *Aging Cell*. 2010;9(2):162-173. doi:10.1111/j.1474-9726.2009.00544.x

63.   Blasco MA. Telomere length, stem cells and aging. *Nat Chem Biol*. 2007;3(10):640-649.
doi:10.1038/nchembio.2007.38

64.   Bhattarai KR, Chaudhary M, Kim H-R, Chae H-J. Endoplasmic Reticulum (ER) Stress Response
Failure in Diseases. *Trends Cell Biol*. 2020;xx(xx):5-7. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2020.05.004

65.   De Leeuw CA, Neale BM, Heskes T, Posthuma D. The statistical properties of gene-set analysis.
Nat Rev Genet. 2016;17(6):353-364. doi:10.1038/nrg.2016.29

66.   Loh PR, Bhatia G, Gusev A, et al. Contrasting genetic architectures of schizophrenia and other
complex diseases using fast variance-components analysis. *Nat Genet*. 2015;47(12):1385-1392.
doi:10.1038/ng.3431

67.   Billingsley KJ, Barbosa IA, Bandrés-Ciga S, et al. Mitochondria function associated genes
contribute to Parkinson's Disease risk and later age at onset. *npj Park Dis*. 2019;5(1).
doi:10.1038/s41531-019-0080-x

68.   Kraja AT, Liu C, Fetterman JL, et al. Associations of Mitochondrial and Nuclear Mitochondrial
Variants and Genes with Seven Metabolic Traits. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2019;104(1):112-138.
doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2018.12.001

69.   Jansen IE, Savage JE, Watanabe K, et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies new loci and
functional pathways influencing Alzheimer's disease risk. *Nat Genet*. 2019;51(3):404-413.
doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0311-9

70.   Pardiñas AF, Holmans P, Pocklington AJ, et al. Common schizophrenia alleles are enriched in
mutation-intolerant genes and in regions under strong background selection. *Nat Genet*.
2018;50(3):381-389. doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0059-2

71.   Segrè A V, Consortium D, investigators M, et al. Common Inherited Variation in Mitochondrial
Genes Is Not Enriched for Associations with Type 2 Diabetes or Related Glycemic Traits. *PLOS
Genet*. 2010;6(8):e1001058. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1001058.

72.   Saxena R, De Bakker PIW, Singer K, et al. Comprehensive association testing of common
mitochondrial DNA variation in metabolic disease. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2006;79(1):54-61.
doi:10.1086/504926

73.   Hudson G, Gomez-Duran A, Wilson IJ, Chinnery PF. Recent Mitochondrial DNA Mutations
Increase the Risk of Developing Common Late-Onset Human Diseases. *PLoS Genet*. 2014;10(5).
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004369

74.   Hudson G, Panoutsopoulou K, Wilson I, et al. No evidence of an association between
mitochondrial DNA variants and osteoarthritis in 7393 cases and 5122 controls. *Ann Rheum Dis*.

2013;72(1):136-139. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2012-201932

75.   Raule N, Sevini F, Santoro A, Altilia S, Franceschi C. Association studies on human mitochondrial
DNA: Methodological aspects and results in the most common age-related diseases.
Mitochondrion. 2007;7(1-2):29-38. doi:10.1016/j.mito.2006.11.013

76.   Yu X, Koczan D, Sulonen AM, et al. mtDNA nt13708A variant increases the risk of multiple
sclerosis. *PLoS One*. 2008;3(2):1-7. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001530

77.   Hudson G, Nalls M, Evans JR, et al. Two-stage association study and meta-analysis of
mitochondrial DNA variants in Parkinson disease. *Neurology*. 2013;80(22):2042-2048.
doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e318294b434

78.   Samuels DC, Carothers AD, Horton R, Chinnery PF. The power to detect disease associations with
mitochondrial DNA haplogroups. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2006;78(4):713-720. doi:10.1086/502682

79.   Biffi A, Anderson CD, Nalls MA, et al. Principal-Component Analysis for Assessment of Population
Stratification in Mitochondrial Medical Genetics. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2010;86(6):904-917.
doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2010.05.005

80.   Maurano MT, Humbert R, Rynes E, et al. Systematic localization of common disease-associated
variation in regulatory DNA. *Science (80-)*. 2012;337(6099):1190-1195.
doi:10.1126/science.1222794

81.   Seidman JG, Seidman C. Transcription factor haploinsufficiency: When half a loaf is not enough. *J
Clin Invest*. 2002;109(4):451-455. doi:10.1172/JCI0215043

82.   Lee R Van Der, Correard S, Wasserman WW. Deregulated Regulators : Disease-Causing cis
Variants in Transcription Factor Genes. *Trends Genet*. 2020;xx(xx). doi:10.1016/j.tig.2020.04.006

83.   Arroyo JD, Jourdain AA, Calvo SE, et al. A Genome-wide CRISPR Death Screen Identifies Genes
Essential for Oxidative Phosphorylation. *Cell Metab*. 2016;24(6):875-885.
doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2016.08.017

84.   Wright S. Physiological and Evolutionary Theories of Dominance. *Am Nat*. 1934;68(714):24.

85.   Kacser H, Burns JA. The molecular basis of dominance. *Genetics*. 1981;97(3-4):639-666.

86.   Chance B, Williams GR. RESPIRATORY ENZYMES IN OXIDATIVE PHOSPHORYLATION: III. THE
STEADY STATE. *J Biol Chem*. 1955;217(1):409-428. http://www.jbc.org/content/217/1/409.short.

87.   Vafai SB, Mootha VK. Mitochondrial disorders as windows into an ancient organelle. *Nature*.
2012;491(7424):374-383. doi:10.1038/nature11707

88.   Balaban RS, Kantor HL, Katz LA, Briggs RW. Relation between work and phosphate metabolite in
the in vivo paced mammalian heart. *Science (80-)*. 1986;232(4754):1121-1123.
doi:10.1126/science.3704638

89.   To TL, Cuadros AM, Shah H, et al. A Compendium of Genetic Modifiers of Mitochondrial
Dysfunction Reveals Intra-organelle Buffering. *Cell*. 2019;179(5):1222-1238.e17.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.10.032

90.   Golan D, Lander ES, Rosset S. Measuring missing heritability: Inferring the contribution of
common variants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2014;111(49):E5272-E5281.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1419064111

91.   Polderman TJC, Benyamin B, De Leeuw CA, et al. Meta-analysis of the heritability of human traits
based on fifty years of twin studies. *Nat Genet*. 2015;47(7):702-709. doi:10.1038/ng.3285

92.   Fuchsberger C, Flannick J, Teslovich TM, et al. The genetic architecture of type 2 diabetes.
Nature. 2016;536(7614):41-47. doi:10.1038/nature18642

93.   Hill WG, Goddard ME, Visscher PM. Data and theory point to mainly additive genetic variance for
complex traits. *PLoS Genet*. 2008;4(2). doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000008

94.   Zhu Z, Bakshi A, Vinkhuyzen AAE, et al. Dominance genetic variation contributes little to the
missing heritability for human complex traits. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2015;96(3):377-385.
doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2015.01.001

95.   Rand DM, Mossman JA. Mitonuclear conflict and cooperation govern the integration of
genotypes, phenotypes and environments. *Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci*. 2020;375(1790).
doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0188
96.   Sackton TB, Hartl DL. Genotypic Context and Epistasis in Individuals and Populations. *Cell*.
2016;166(2):279-287. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.06.047
97.   Hemani G, Shakhbazov K, Westra HJ, et al. Detection and replication of epistasis influencing
transcription in humans. *Nature*. 2014;508(7495):249-253. doi:10.1038/nature13005
98.   Solenski NJ, DiPierro CG, Trimmer PA, Kwan AL, Helms GA. Ultrastructural changes of neuronal
mitochondria after transient and permanent cerebral ischemia. *Stroke*. 2002;33(3):816-824.
doi:10.1161/hs0302.104541
99.   Flameng W, Andres J, Ferdinande P, Mattheussen M, Van Belle H. Mitochondrial function in
myocardial stunning. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 1991;23(1):1-11. doi:10.1016/0022-2828(91)90034-J
100. Weinbrenner C, Liu GS, Downey JM, Cohen M V. Cyclosporine a limits myocardial infarct size
even when administered after onset of ischemia. *Cardiovasc Res*. 1998;38(3):676-684.
doi:10.1016/S0008-6363(98)00064-9
101. Kubben N, Misteli T. Shared molecular and cellular mechanisms of premature ageing and ageing-
associated diseases. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2017;18(10):595-609. doi:10.1038/nrm.2017.68
102. Garcia CK, Wright WE, Shay JW. Human diseases of telomerase dysfunction: Insights into tissue
aging. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2007;35(22):7406-7416. doi:10.1093/nar/gkm644
103. Han S, Brunet A. Histone methylation makes its mark on longevity. *Trends Cell Biol*.
2012;22(1):42-49. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2011.11.001
104. Bahar R, Hartmann CH, Rodriguez KA, et al. Increased cell-to-cell variation in gene expression in
ageing mouse heart. *Nature*. 2006;441(7096):1011-1014. doi:10.1038/nature04844
105. Kanfi Y, Naiman S, Amir G, et al. The sirtuin SIRT6 regulates lifespan in male mice. *Nature*.
2012;483(7388):218-221. doi:10.1038/nature10815
106. Karczewski KJ, Dudley JT, Kukurba KR, et al. Systematic functional regulatory assessment of
disease-associated variants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2013;110(23):9607-9612.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1219099110
107. Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, et al. PLINK: A tool set for whole-genome association and
population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2007;81(3):559-575. doi:10.1086/519795
108. Yanai I, Benjamin H, Shmoish M, et al. Genome-wide midrange transcription profiles reveal
expression level relationships in human tissue specification. *Bioinformatics*. 2005;21(5):650-659.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bti042
109. Melé M, Ferreira PG, Reverter F, et al. The human transcriptome across tissues and inidividuals.
Science (80-). 2015;348(6235):660-665. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa0355.
110. Morris JA, Randall JC, Maller JB, Barrett JC. Evoker: A visualization tool for genotype intensity
data. *Bioinformatics*. 2010;26(14):1786-1787. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq280
111. Yates AD, Achuthan P, Akanni W, et al. Ensembl 2020. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2020;48(D1):D682-D688.
doi:10.1093/nar/gkz966
112. Litman T, Stein WD. Obtaining estimates for the ages of all the protein-coding genes and most of
the ontology-identified noncoding genes of the human genome, assigned to 19 phylostrata.
Semin Oncol. 2019;46(1):3-9. doi:10.1053/j.seminoncol.2018.11.002
913