Mechanism of Viral Glycoprotein Targeting by Membrane-associated-RING-CH Proteins

Cheng Man Lun[1], Abdul A. Waheed[1], Alhlam Majadly[1], Nicole Powell [1], and Eric O. Freed[1][*]

[1]Virus-Cell Interaction Section, HIV Dynamics and Replication Program, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute

*To Whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: efreed@nih.gov

Keywords
E3 ubiquitin ligase, viral glycoproteins, HIV-1 Env, VSV-G, Ebola virus GP, SARS-CoV-2, spike protein, cellular inhibitory factors

Abstract

An emerging class of cellular inhibitory proteins has been identified that targets viral glycoproteins. These include the membrane-associated RING-CH (MARCH) family of E3 ubiquitin ligases that, among other functions, downregulate cell-surface proteins involved in adaptive immunity. The RING-CH domain of MARCH proteins is thought to function by catalyzing the ubiquitination of the cytoplasmic tails (CTs) of target proteins, leading to their degradation. MARCH proteins have recently been reported to target retroviral envelope glycoproteins (Env) and vesicular stomatitis virus G glycoprotein (VSV-G). However, the mechanism of antiviral activity remains poorly defined. Here we show that MARCH8 antagonizes the full-length forms of HIV-1 Env, VSV-G, Ebola virus glycoprotein (EboV-GP), and the spike (S) protein of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) thereby impairing the infectivity of virions pseudotyped with these viral glycoproteins. This MARCH8-mediated targeting of viral glycoproteins requires the E3 ubiquitin ligase activity of the RING-CH domain. We observe that MARCH8 protein antagonism of VSV-G is CT-dependent. In contrast, MARCH8-mediated targeting of HIV-1 Env, EboV-GP and SARS-CoV-2 S protein by MARCH8 does not require the CT, suggesting a novel mechanism of MARCH-mediated antagonism of these viral glycoproteins. Confocal microscopy data demonstrate that MARCH8 traps the viral glycoproteins in an intracellular compartment. We observe that the endogenous expression of *MARCH8* in several relevant human cell types is rapidly inducible by type I interferon. These results help to inform the mechanism by which MARCH proteins exert their antiviral activity and provide insights into the role of cellular inhibitory factors in antagonizing the biogenesis, trafficking, and virion incorporation of viral glycoproteins.

Importance

Viral envelope glycoproteins are an important structural component on the surface of enveloped viruses that direct virus binding and entry and also serve as targets for the host adaptive immune response. In this study, we investigate the mechanism of action of the MARCH family of cellular proteins that disrupt the trafficking and virion incorporation of viral glycoproteins across several virus families. This research provides novel insights into how host cell factors antagonize viral

51   replication, perhaps opening new avenues for therapeutic intervention in the replication of a

52   diverse group of highly pathogenic enveloped viruses.

INTRODUCTION

Viruses rely heavily on host cellular machinery to replicate due to their relatively limited coding capacity. In turn, cells have evolved extensive and elaborate defense mechanisms to impede virus replication. Cells encode numerous proteins, often referred to as inhibitory or restriction factors, that are central components of the innate immune response that serves as the first line of defense against invading pathogens. Well characterized restriction factors include apolipoprotein B mRNA editing catalytic polypeptide-like (APOBEC) family proteins, tripartite motif protein 5 α, (TRIM5α), SAM and HD domain-containing protein 1 (SAMHD1), Myxovirus resistance 2 (Mx2), and tetherin/BST-2 (1). These restriction factors are either expressed constitutively and/or are induced by IFN (2-4). Recently, an emerging class of host proteins have been shown to specifically target the synthesis, trafficking and/or function(s) of viral glycoproteins (5). These include the IFN-induced transmembrane (IFITM) proteins, guanylate-binding proteins (GBPs), endoplasmic reticulum class I α-mannosidase (ERManI), galectin 3 binding protein (LGALS3BP/90K), Ser incorporator (SERINC), and the MARCH proteins (5-13).

Viral envelope glycoproteins, which are important structural components decorating the surface of enveloped virus particles, recognize receptors on target cells and mediate viral entry by catalyzing membrane fusion events either at the plasma membrane or in low-pH endosomes following endocytic uptake of the viral particle. They are synthesized and cotranslationally glycosylated in the ER and then traffic through the secretory pathway to the site of virus assembly (14). During trafficking through the Golgi apparatus, some viral glycoproteins are cleaved by furin or furin-like proteases as a requisite step in the generation of the fusion-active viral glycoprotein complex. Viral glycoproteins often multimerize (e.g., as trimers) during trafficking (14). Many enveloped viruses assemble at the plasma membrane, whereas some assemble in alternative compartments. For example, SARS-CoV-2 particles are thought to assemble in the ER/Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC) and be released by exploiting lysosomal organelles (15, 16).

Ubiquitin is a small, 76-amino acid protein that is highly expressed in eukaryotic cells. It can be attached to target proteins, usually on Lys residues, but occasionally on Ser, Thr, or Cys residues, via a multi-enzyme cascade involving an E1 ubiquitin-activating enzyme, an E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme, and an E3 ubiquitin ligase (17). Ubiquitin attachment can serve

as a signal for target protein degradation in the proteasome or lysosome, or can regulate the

endocytic trafficking of the target protein or other aspects of protein function.

The MARCH family of RING-finger E3 ubiquitin ligases comprise 11 structurally diverse

members. With the exception of the cytosolic MARCH7 and MARCH10 proteins, MARCH

family members are transmembrane proteins containing multiple (ranging from 2-14) putative

membrane-spanning domains and bearing an N-terminal cytoplasmic RING-CH domain (Fig. 1)

(18). MARCH proteins were originally discovered as cellular homologs of the K3 and K5 E3

ubiquitin ligases of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpes virus (KSHV) (19, 20). These viral

MARCH protein homologs confer escape from the host immune response by downregulating the

major histocompatibility class I (MHC-I) antigen on the surface of virus-infected cells (21, 22).

Other large DNA viruses encode analogous proteins involved in immune evasion (23). Cellular

MARCH proteins have been reported to downregulate numerous proteins, including MHC-II (24),

transferrin receptor (25), TRAIL receptors (26), CD44 and CD81 (27), IL-1 receptor accessory

protein (28), CD98 (29), and tetherin/BST-2 (30).   The RING-CH domain of MARCH proteins,

and its interaction with E2 enzymes, are responsible for their E3 ligase activity; thus, mutations

that either inactivate the catalytic center of the RING domain, or prevent interaction with E2s,

abrogate MARCH protein activity (18, 25, 28). MARCH proteins and their viral homologs

generally catalyze the transfer of ubiquitin to Lys residues in the CTs of their target proteins,

leading to their degradation and/or altered trafficking (18, 19, 25, 31-34). However, the viral

MARCH protein homologs K3 and K5 of KSHV have been reported to attach ubiquitin to Ser,

Thr, and/or Cys residues (35-37).

MARCH8 was first identified in a genome-wide siRNA screen as a potential HIV-1

restriction factor acting early in the virus replication cycle by an unknown mechanism (38). More

recently, three MARCH proteins – MARCH1, 2 and 8 – were reported to be late-acting restriction

factors that target retroviral Env glycoproteins and VSV-G, thereby impairing infectivity of HIV-

1 virions bearing these viral glycoproteins (6, 8, 11). The endogenous expression of MARCH

proteins differs among cell types, with higher expression of MARCH1, 2 and 8 observed in

myeloid cells such as monocyte-derived macrophages (MDM) and monocyte-derived dendritic

cells (MDDCs) compared to primary CD4$^+$ T cells (6, 11). MARCH8 expression has been reported

to be particularly high in the lung (19). MARCH8 knockdown or knockout in myeloid cells

increases HIV-1 infectivity, suggesting that MARCH8 may serve as an antiviral factor in these

cell types (11). MARCH1, 2, and 8 are reported to be localized to lysosomes, endosomes and the

plasma membrane (18, 19, 25).

To understand the mechanism of MARCH-mediated antiviral activity in greater detail,

envelope glycoproteins from four families of viruses were selected for study: the retrovirus HIV-

1, the rhabdovirus VSV, the filovirus Ebola virus (EboV) and the coronavirus severe acute

respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2). Three of the glycoproteins encoded by these

viruses [HIV-1 Env, EboV-GP, and SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein] are cleaved by furin, whereas

VSV-G is not (39-41) (Fig.1). HIV-1 Env is synthesized as a precursor, gp160, that is cleaved to

gp120 and gp41; the EboV-GP precursor pre-GP is cleaved to GP1 and GP2, and the SARS-CoV-

2 S protein precursor is cleaved to S1 and S2 [Fig.1; (39-41)]. The CTs of the viral glycoproteins

investigated in this study are highly variable in length, with those of HIV-1 Env, SARS-CoV-2 S

protein, VSV-G, and EboV-GP containing 150, 39, 21 and 4 amino acids, respectively (**Fig. 1**).

These viral glycoproteins have CTs that vary not only in length but also in the number of Lys

residues they contain, with two Lys residues in the CT of HIV-1 Env, four in the SARS-CoV-2 S

protein, five in VSV-G, and one in the CT of EboV-GP (Fig. 1). These Lys residues could

potentially serve as targets for MARCH-mediated ubiquitination. Our data demonstrate that each

of the viral glycoproteins examined is antagonized, to variable extents, by MARCH8. We

observed that MARCH-mediated inhibition of VSV-G is CT dependent, whereas inhibition of

HIV-1 Env, EboV-GP and SARS-CoV-2 S protein is CT independent. We further demonstrate

that knock-down of endogenous *MARCH8* gene expression in HEK293T cells increases the

infectivty of HIV-1 particles produced from those cells and that endogenous expression of

MARCH8 is induced by IFN treatment in a human T-cell line, hPBMCs, and primary human

airway epithelial cells. Finally, we show that MARCH proteins colocalize with, and retain, the

viral glycoproteins in an aberrant intracellular compartment that bears the lysosomal marker

LAMP-1. Collectively, our data provide novel insights into the mechanism of action of the

MARCH family of cellular E3 ubiquitin ligases and their ability to antagonize diverse viral

envelope glycoproteins.

RESULTS

MARCH-mediated inhibition of viral envelope glycoproteins exhibits differential CT dependence. It has been shown that the ectopic expression of MARCH8 in virus-producer cells markedly reduces the infectivity of HIV-1 virions bearing retroviral Env glycoproteins or VSV-G (6, 11). However, the molecular mechanism by which MARCH8 targets viral glycoproteins is not well defined. As mentioned in the Introduction, it has been determined that MARCH proteins downregulate a number of proteins by transferring ubiquitin to their CTs, leading to their lysosomal degradation. To investigate the potential role of MARCH-mediated CT ubiquitination in the downregulation of viral glycoproteins, we deleted the CTs of HIV-1 Env, VSV-G, EboV-GP, and SARS-CoV-2 S protein (**Fig. 1**) and cotransfected the viral glycoprotein expression vectors with the Env(-) pNL4-3 derivative pNL4-3/KFS (42) or a luciferase-encoding NL4-3-derived vector virus. In the case of HIV-1, we used full-length pNL4-3 expressing WT Env or the CT-truncated Env mutant, CTdel-144 (43). Virus-containing supernatants were harvested, normalized for p24 capsid content or reverse transcriptase (RT) activity, and used to infect the TZM-bl indicator cell line (44) or, in the case of the S protein pseudotypes, HEK293T cells stably expressing the human angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (hACE2) receptor. Consistent with previous reports (6, 11), we observed that the infectivity of HIV-1 virions bearing VSV-G was markedly reduced (by ~10-fold) upon expression of WT MARCH8 in the virus-producer cells (**Fig. 2A**). In contrast, the MARCH8-CS (25) and MARCH8-W114A (28, 45, 46) mutants, which abolish RING-CH function or interaction with E2 ubiquitin conjugating enzyme, respectively, did not exert antiviral activity (**Fig. 2A**). We observed that the infectivity conferred by VSV-G Tailless was ~40% that of WT VSV-G, and overexpression of MARCH8 in virus-producer cells had no significant effect on the infectivity of this VSV-G variant (**Fig. 2A**). Next, we investigated the ability of MARCH8 to inhibit a VSV-G mutant in which the Lys residues in the CT, which are potential sites of MARCH8-mediated ubiquitination, were substituted. A VSV-G mutant in which the five Lys residues in the CT were mutated to Ala displayed severely compromised infectivity (data not shown). We therefore introduced the more conservative Lys-to-Arg substitution (K-to-R) at these five Lys residues (Fig. 1) and observed that this mutant is fully infectious relative to the WT. As observed with the VSV-G Tailless mutant, overexpression of MARCH8 in virus-producer cells had no significant effect on the infectivity of the VSV-G K-to-R mutant. As

expected, the MARCH8 mutants likewise did not diminish the infectivity of either the Tailless or K-to-R VSV-G mutants. These results indicate that the ability of MARCH8 to antagonize VSV-G in this experimental system is dependent upon the Lys residues in the VSV-G CT, likely because they are targets of MARCH8-mediated ubiquitination.

As reported previously (6, 11), we observed that the infectivity of virus particles bearing HIV-1 Env is severely reduced (by ~10-fold) upon overexpression of WT MARCH8 in the virus-producer cell. This inhibitory activity was to a large extent eliminated by the MARCH8-CS and MARCH8-W114A mutations (**Fig. 2B**). To investigate whether the inhibition of HIV-1 Env is CT dependent we tested the CTdel144 Env mutant (43), which lacks nearly the entire gp41 CT (**Fig. 1**). Under these assay conditions, the infectivity of CTdel144 HIV-1 was ~40% that of WT HIV-1. Interestingly, in contrast to the lack of MARCH8-mediated inhibition observed with Tailless VSV-G, the infectivity of CT-deleted HIV-1 was reduced to a similar extent as the WT by expression of MARCH8 in the virus-producer cells. The MARCH8 mutants did not significantly inhibit the infectivity of the CTdel144 HIV-1 Env mutant. These results demonstrate that MARCH8-mediated antagonism of HIV-1 Env requires the E3 ubiquitin ligase activity of the RING domain but does not require the gp41 CT.

As indicated above, the dependency on the CT for MARCH8-mediated inhibition differs between VSV-G and HIV-1 Env. We next examined the effect of MARCH8 on the infectivity of the EboV-GP, which contains a CT of only four amino acids (**Fig. 1**). For these experiments, we used a version of the EboV-GP lacking the mucin-like domain (47) as we obtained higher infectivity with this variant (data not shown). We will refer to this delta mucin-like domain variant (ΔMLD) as WT because it contains an intact CT. The infectivity of HIV-1 virions bearing either the WT or CT-deleted EboV-GP was reduced by ~30-40 % when the virus was produced in the presence of MARCH8. We also mutated the single Lys residue in the CT of EboV-GP and observed that the infectivity of this K-to-A mutant was inhibited by ~50% in the presence of MARCH8. The infectivity of EboV-GP mutants was similar to that of the WT in the absence of MARCH8 overexpression (**Fig. 2C**). No statistically significant reduction in infectivity was observed for WT EboV-GP upon expression of the MARCH8-CS mutant, yet a minor reduction in infectivity was observed for EboV-GP Tailless and K-To-A mutants in the presence of the MARCH8-W114A mutant (**Fig. 2C**). These results demonstrate that MARCH8-mediated

antagonism of EboV-GP is dependent on the E3 ubiquitin ligase activity of the RING domain but
does not require the short EboV-GP CT.

We next tested the effect of MARCH8 overexpression on the infectivity of particles bearing
the SARS-CoV-2 S protein, which has a 39 amino acid CT with five Lys residues. We also tested
a CT-truncated SARS-CoV-2 S protein, which bears a 19 amino acid truncation and contains one
Lys residue (**Fig. 1**). The infectivity of HIV-1 virions bearing WT or CT-truncated SARS-CoV-2
S protein was reduced by ~60% in the presence of MARCH8. We also mutated the Lys residues
in the CT of both WT and CT-truncated SARS-CoV-2 S proteins and observed ~50% reductions
in particle infectivity upon overexpression of MARCH8 in the virus-producer cell. The infectivity
of particles bearing truncated or Lys-mutant SARS-CoV-2 S proteins was modestly higher than
those bearing the WT S protein, likely due to disruption of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-
retention signal in the CT (48-50) (**Fig. 2D**). Expression of the inactive MARCH8-CS mutant
did not reduce the infectivity of particles bearing the WT SARS-CoV-2 S protein or the full-length
K-to-R mutant, but it did cause some reduction in the infectivity of virions bearing the CT-
truncated forms of SARS-CoV-2 S protein (**Fig. 2D**).

We note that the effect of MARCH8 expression on the infectivity of particles bearing
EboV-GP or SARS-CoV-2 S protein is modest relative to its effect on the infectivity of particles
bearing VSV-G or HIV-1 Env. To investigate whether different levels of EboV-GP or SARS-
CoV-2 S protein expression would affect the MARCH8-mediated inhibition of pseudotype
infectivity, we performed transfections with a range of viral glycoprotein expression vector inputs.
In the case of EboV-GP, we observed a 40-50% reduction in pseudotyped particle infectivity
across the range of GP expression vector inputs (from 100-600 ng). In contrast, with SARS-CoV-
2, we observed a 4-fold reduction in infectivity with 100 ng input, but a more-modest 2-fold
reduction with a 400 ng input (data not shown).

Altogether, the data presented in Fig. 2 demonstrate that MARCH8 overexpression in the
virus-producer cell inhibits the infectivity of virus particles bearing each of the four viral
glycoproteins tested. The inhibitory activity of MARCH8 is largely abrogated by mutations
reported to block E3 ubiquitin ligase activity (CS and W114A), although some residual inhibitory
activity was observed with these mutants in some assays. Importantly, in the case of VSV-G,
MARCH8-mediated inhibition is dependent on the Lys residues in the CT, whereas inhibition of
HIV-1 Env, EboV-GP, and SARS-CoV-2 S protein does not require the CT.

MARCH8 expression in virus-producer cells leads to viral envelope glycoprotein degradation and reduced processing and virion incorporation. To investigate the mechanism by which MARCH8 expression reduces the infectivity of virus particles bearing VSV-G we transfected 293T cells with the Env(-) pNL4-3 molecular clone pNL4-3/KFS and the WT or mutant VSV-G expression vectors, with or without MARCH8 expression vectors. VSV-G levels in cell and virion fractions were quantified by western blot (WB). Cotransfection with an expression vector for FLAG-tagged small glutamine-rich tetratricopeptide repeat-containing protein (SGTA) provided a loading control. As shown in **Fig. 3A**, overexpression of WT MARCH8 markedly reduced (by ~3-fold) the expression of VSV-G in both cell and virion fractions. Consistent with the lack of inhibition observed with the MARCH8-CS and MARCH8-W114A mutants in the infectivity assays (**Fig. 2**), significant reductions in VSV-G levels were not observed with these MARCH8 mutants (**Figs. 3A and 3B**) despite comparable WT and mutant MARCH8 expression levels (**Fig. 3A**). Also consistent with the infectivity data, overexpression of WT or mutant MARCH8 did not significantly affect levels of Tailless or K-to-R VSV-G mutants in either cell or virus fractions. We also observed that overexpression of MARCH8 had no significant effect on the expression of viral Gag proteins in cells or on virus release, which, together with the observed lack of effect on SGTA expression levels, indicated that the reduction of VSV-G levels in the presence of MARCH8 is not due to cytotoxicity or globally reduced protein expression. Finally, we observed a more rapid mobility of VSV-G in the presence of WT but not mutant MARCH8, presumably due to reduced VSV-G glycosylation induced by MARCH8 expression (Fig. 3A). This effect on VSV-G mobility was not observed with the Tailless or K-to-R mutants, consistent with these mutants being resistant to MARCH8-mediated inhibition.

To measure the half-life of VSV-G in the presence or absence of MARCH8, we performed pulse-chase analysis. 293T cells expressing WT VSV-G alone, or coexpressing VSV-G with MARCH8, were pulse-labeled with [^{35}S]Met/Cys for 20 min and chased for 0, 2, or 4 h in unlabeled medium. We observed that MARCH8 overexpression reduced the levels of VSV-G, on average, to 52% and 34% at 2 and 4 h, respectively, compared to 85% and 78% in the absence of MARCH8 at those time points (**Fig. 3C**). These results indicate that MARCH8 overexpression promotes the degradation of VSV-G, leading to reduced expression in cells and incorporation into virions.

Similar experiments to those described above were performed with HIV-1 Env. MARCH8
expression significantly reduced the levels of both gp120 and gp41 in virions. The incorporation
of WT gp120 was not impaired by expression of the MARCH8 mutants, while the MARCH8-
W114A mutant modestly reduced (by ~40%) the levels of virion-associated gp120 for CTdel144
Env. The levels of virion-associated gp41 were markedly reduced for both WT and CTdel144 Env
in the presence of MARCH8, and the MARCH8 mutants did not exert a statistically significant
effect on virion gp41 levels (**Fig. 4A and C**). The reduced levels of virion-associated gp120 and
gp41 imposed by MARCH8 expression are consistent with the infectivity data presented above
(**Fig. 2B**). The expression of exogenous FLAG-tagged SGTA and HIV-1 Gag proteins in cells
was similar across the different transfected samples, indicating that expression of WT and mutant
MARCH8 is not cytotoxic under these conditions and no effect on virus particle production was
observed (**Fig. 4A**). These results demonstrate that MARCH8 expression in the virus-producer
cell reduces the levels of virion-associated gp120 and gp41 for both WT and gp41 CT-deleted
HIV-1 Env.

The EboV-GP bears a CT that contains only four residues, considerably shorter than the
CTs of either VSV-G or HIV-1 Env, yet it resembles HIV-1 Env in terms of the CT-independence
of its inhibition by MARCH8 in infectivity assays (**Fig. 2**). To investigate the effect of MARCH8
expression on the processing and incorporation of EboV-GP, we analyzed the levels of cell- and
virion-associated EboV-GP in the presence and absence of MARCH8. The levels of cell- and
virion-associated EboV-GP were significantly reduced in the presence of WT MARCH8, but not
in the presence of the MARCH8-CS or MARCH8-W114A mutants (**Fig. 5A and C**). The
incorporation of the Tailless and K-to-A EboV-GP mutants was also reduced in the presence of
MARCH8 (**Fig. 5A and B**). We observed a significant reduction in the processing of EboV-GP0
in the presence of WT but not mutant MARCH8, as determined by the ratio of cell-associated
GP0/GP1 (**Fig. 5A and D**). As observed with VSV-G and HIV-1 Env, overexpression of WT or
mutant MARCH8 had no significant effect on cell-associated Gag expression or particle
production (**Fig. 5A**). These observations demonstrate that the MARCH8-mediated infectivity
defect observed with EboV-GP is due to impaired GP0 processing and correspondingly reduced
virion incorporation of GP1 and GP2, and this defect is independent of the EboV-GP CT.

As mentioned in the Introduction, MARCH8 expression has been reported to be high in
the lung (51), making its effect on the respiratory virus SARS-CoV-2 of particular interest.

Because the experiments described above demonstrated that neither inactive MARCH8 mutant
(MARCH8-CS or MARCH8-W114A) was capable of consistent antiviral activity, in these and
subsequent experiments we used only one mutant, MARCH8-CS, as our negative control. As
observed with HIV-1 Env and EboV-GP, the SARS-CoV-2 S protein shows a CT-independent
MARCH8-mediated restriction in infectivity assays (**Fig. 2**). To examine the effect of MARCH8
on SARS-CoV-2 S protein expression, we analyzed the levels of cell- and virion-associated S
protein in the presence and absence of WT or catalytically inactive MARCH8. We observed that
MARCH8 expression significantly reduced (by ~3-4 fold) the levels of S2 in cells and reduced S2
levels by ~10-fold in virions. Averaged over multiple assays, the MARCH8-CS mutant did not
significantly reduce S protein levels (**Fig. 6A and C**). Consistent with the infectivity data
presented above, the levels of the S protein mutants (K-to-R, CT-truncated, and CT-truncated K-
to-R) were also reduced in the presence of MARCH8. We also observed a 60-70% reduction in
the levels of WT and mutant S2 in the cell fraction in the presence of MARCH8, and noted the
presence of a smaller S2-related protein product (**Fig. 6A, red arrow**), which we speculate to be
a less-glycosylated form of S2. The reductions in S2, and the presence of the smaller S2 species,
were not observed upon expression of the MARCH8-CS mutant (**Fig. 6A and B**). Levels of the S
precursor protein were not reduced by expression of MARCH8 in the virus-producer cell,
suggesting an effect primarily on S protein processing. These data demonstrate that expression of
WT MARCH8 but not the MARCH8-CS mutant disrupts S protein processing, glycosylation, and
incorporation into virus particles and indicate that the MARCH8-imposed antagonism of SARS-
CoV-2 S protein is CT independent.
Because the effect of MARCH8 expression on both particle infectivity and glycoprotein
levels in virions varied across the four viral glycoproteins studied here, we calculated the
incorporation efficiency of each glycoprotein under the conditions used in the experiments
described above (**Fig. S2**). We observed that the Ebo-GP incorporation efficiency was quite high,
with nearly 40% of expressed GP present in VLPs. In contrast, the incorporation of SARS-CoV-
2 S protein was quite low, perhaps because HIV-1 particles assemble on the plasma membrane,
which, as noted in the Introduction, is not the normal site of SARS-CoV-2 assembly. Incorporation
efficiencies of HIV-1 gp41 and VSV-G were ~18% and ~8%, respectively, under these
experimental conditions (**Fig. S2**).
329

Knockdown of endogenous MARCH8 expression in HEK293T increases HIV-1 infectivity.

Although levels of basal MARCH8 expression are known to be relatively low in established cell lines (51). We examined whether knock-down of *MARCH8* gene expression in HEK293T cells would affect the infectivity of HIV-1 particles produced from the *MARCH8*-depleted cells. HEK293T cells were treated with siRNA targeting MARCH8 or with a non-targeting control. *MARCH8* expression was measured by RT-qPCR. At the highest siRNA input, *MARCH8* gene expression was reduced by approximately 5-fold (**Fig. 7A**). Under these conditions, we observed a modest but statistically significant increase in the infectivity of HIV-1 particles produced from the *MARCH8*-depleted cells (**Fig. 7B**). The infectivity of virus produced from cells treated with the non-targeting siRNA control was not affected. These results demonstrate that even low levels of endogenous MARCH8 expression can impair HIV-1 infectivity.

MARCH8 **expression is highly and rapidly inducible in certain cell types by IFN stimulation.**

Previous findings demonstrated that MARCH8 is highly expressed in MDMs in the absence of IFN stimulation and that expression levels are not appreciably increased in either MDMs or human CD4$^+$ T cells by overnight stimulation with IFN-α (11). Because IFN is known to induce highly variable levels of gene expression in a time-, dose-, and cell type-dependent manner (52, 53), and many genes with antiviral functions are IFN inducible (54), we performed RT-qPCR time-course experiments with three different IFNs [IFN type-I (α and β) and II (γ)] using total RNA extracted from different cell types and cell lines. We tested the SupT1 human T-cell line, primary hPBMCs from four different donors, the A549 transformed alveolar epithelial cell line, primary human airway epithelial cells, and HEK293T cells. In the SupT1 T-cell line, *MARCH8* gene expression was rapidly induced at 0.5 hr post-stimulation with IFN-α up to 70-fold over baseline levels, which subsided to ~10-fold over unstimulated levels by 4 hrs after induction (**Fig. 7A**) and then returned to baseline. Treatment with IFN-β induced a ~30-fold increase in *MARCH8* gene expression over baseline that peaked around 1-2 hrs post-IFN stimulation (**Fig. 7A**). To examine *MARCH8* gene expression in primary hPBMCs, cells from four donors were IFN stimulated and *MARCH8* RNA levels measured. In three of the four donors, we observed that *MARCH8* expression increased 5-8-fold over unstimulated levels at 0.5 hr post-

stimulation with IFN-α and then returned to baseline by 2 hr post-stimulation (**Fig. 7B**). In one

donor, no IFN-inducible *MARCH8* gene expression was observed (data not shown). To investigate

MARCH8 gene expression in cells that are permissive for SARS-CoV-2 infection, we tested

primary human airway epithelial cells and observed a ~3-fold increase in expression at 1-4 h post

IFN-α stimulation (**Fig. 7C**). In HEK293T cells, we measured a rapid, ~7-fold increase in

MARCH8 gene expression after stimulation with IFN-α. Finally, we observed no IFN stimulation

of *MARCH8* gene expression in A549 cells (data not shown). Collectively, these data indicate that

MARCH8 gene expression is induced to varying levels in cell lines and primary cells types that are

natural targets for HIV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 infection.

It is important to verify that the levels of exogenous *MARCH8* gene expression achieved

in these assays were not excessive relative to endogenous levels present in relevant cell types.

Therefore, we investigated the *MARCH8* RNA expression levels in transiently transfected

HEK293T cells upon increasing MARCH8 concentrations at 48 hr post-transfection. The results

indicated that *MARCH8* expression at 0.05 and 0.1 μg DNA input, the conditions used for the

analyses presented in Figs. 2-6, was ~4-5 fold above the levels in untransfected cells (**Fig. 8E**).

Transfection efficiencies were ~50% under these conditions (data not shown), indicating that

transfected cells expressed *MARCH8* RNA at levels that were ~8-10 fold over those of

untransfected cells. These results show that exogenous levels of *MARCH8* gene expression in our

transfected 293T cells were lower than those observed following IFN stimulation of the SupT1 T-

cell line and comparable to those measured in some IFN-stimulated hPBMC donors and HEK293T

cells at early time points after IFN stimulation (**Fig. 8 A-D**). The levels of basal expression of

MARCH8 relative to the house-keeping gene *GAPDH* in all of these cell types were comparable

(**Table S1**). Collectively, our data demonstrate that *MARCH8* gene expression can be induced

rapidly by IFN stimulation in different cell types: a human T-cell line, hPBMCs, human airway

epithelial cells and the HEK293T cell line. The levels of exogenous expression in our transfected

HEK293T cells were lower than, or comparable to, those achieved upon IFN stimulation at early

time points in the T-cell line, primary hPBMCs, and HEK293T cells.

MARCH8 retains VSV-G, HIV-1 Env, EboV-GP and SARS-CoV-2 S protein in an

intracellular compartment. The western blotting data presented above demonstrate that

MARCH8 overexpression disrupts the processing and incorporation of viral envelope

glycoproteins, suggesting that MARCH8 might alter the trafficking of these viral glycoproteins.

To examine the effect of MARCH8 overexpression on the localization of different viral

glycoproteins, we performed confocal microscopy using HEK293T cells transfected with

MARCH8 or the inactive MARCH8-CS mutant. Our confocal data showed that MARCH8

localizes to the Golgi, a LAMP-1$^+$ compartment, and the plasma membrane (**Fig. S1**) as previously

reported (51). All of the viral glycoproteins and mutants used in this study colocalized with

MARCH8 and MARCH8-CS with strong Pearson's correlation coefficients (r) of ~0.7- 0.9 (Table

1) indicating that MARCH proteins and viral glycoproteins traffic through the same pathway. We

quantified the intracellular colocalization between the dsRed-Golgi marker and the different viral

glycoproteins in the presence of WT MARCH8 and the inactive MARCH8-CS and observed

strong colocalization (r=~0.7-0.9) (**Table 1, Fig. 9 to 12**).

In the absence of MARCH8 overexpression, we observed WT VSV-G localization

primarily in the Golgi and at the plasma membrane (**Fig. 9A**). MARCH8 but not MARCH8-CS

expression resulted in a marked shift in the localization of WT VSV-G from the plasma membrane

to an intracellular vesicular compartment (**Fig. 9A**). The predominantly plasma membrane

localization of the VSV-G Tailless (**Fig. 9B**) and VSV-G K-to-R (**Fig. 9C**) mutants was not shifted

by MARCH8 expression, consistent with the lack of MARCH8 targeting of these VSV-G mutants

in infectivity and western blotting analyses. We observed a strong colocalization (r ~ 0.89)

between WT VSV-G and the lysosomal marker LAMP-1 in the presence of WT MARCH8

expression (**Fig. 9D; Table 1**), whereas the Tailless and K-to-R VSV-G mutants showed low levels

of colocalization with LAMP-1 (r ~ 0.2). In the presence of the inactive MARCH8-CS mutant,

neither WT nor mutant VSV-G showed a high level of colocalization with LAMP-1 (r ~ 0.2) (**Fig.**

9E; Table 1). These data demonstrate that MARCH8 interferes with VSV-G trafficking and

targets it to a LAMP-1-positive compartment in a manner that requires the Lys residues in the

VSV-G CT.

We performed similar analyses with HIV-1 Env. As expected, WT and CTdel144 HIV-1

Env were observed in the Golgi and at the plasma membrane in the absence of MARCH8

expression (**Fig. 10A and B**). Similar to VSV-G, localization of WT HIV-1 Env was shifted in

cells expressing MARCH8, and a high level of colocalization (r ~0.8) with LAMP-1 was observed

(**Fig. 10C; Table 1**). In contrast to VSV-G, for which MARCH8-induced localization required

the CT, the Tailless form of HIV-1 Env, CTdel144, was strongly localized to a LAMP-1$^+$

compartment in MARCH8-expressing cells (**Fig. 10C; Table 1**). The inactive MARCH8-CS did

not result in the retention of either WT or CTdel144 HIV-1 Env in a LAMP-1$^+$ compartment (**Fig.**

10D). These findings are consistent with the infectivity and western blotting data presented above,

and indicate that the reductions in HIV-1 Env processing and incorporation in the presence of

MARCH8 are associated with the retention of Env in an internal, LAMP-1$^+$ compartment.

The confocal microscopy data obtained with EboV-GP and SARS-CoV-2 S protein

paralleled our observations with HIV-1 Env but diverged from the results obtained with VSV-G.

Expression of MARCH8 but not MARCH8-CS reduced the expression of these viral glycoproteins

on the cell-surface and induced their retention in an internal, LAMP-1$^+$ compartment. The

localization of truncated and K-to-R EboV-GP and S protein mutants was also shifted by

MARCH8 expression (**Figs. 11 and 12; Table 1**).

Taken together, the confocal microscopy data demonstrate that MARCH8 expression

redirects the localization of viral glycoproteins by two distinct mechanisms. In the case of VSV-

G, the CT is required for the MARCH8-induced relocalization to a LAMP-1$^+$ compartment and

mutation of the Lys residues in the CT abrogates the ability of MARCH8 expression to disrupt the

localization of the viral glycoprotein. In contrast, for HIV-1 Env, EboV-GP and SARS-CoV-2 S

protein, neither the CT nor the Lys residues in the CT are required for MARCH8-induced

relocalization. The microscopy data are thus consistent with the infectivity and western blotting

data (**Fig. 2-6**) showing that the ability of MARCH8 to antagonize VSV-G is dependent on the CT

but the antagonism of the other viral glycoproteins tested is CT independent.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we examined the ability of MARCH8 to antagonize a wide range of viral

envelope glycoproteins – specifically, HIV-1 Env, VSV-G, EboV-GP and SARS-CoV-2 S protein.

Expression of MARCH8 in the virus-producer cell reduced levels of viral glycoprotein processing

for those glycoproteins that undergo furin-mediated cleavage, reduced glycoprotein stability, and

interfered with glycoprotein incorporation into HIV-1 particles. In the case of VSV-G, MARCH8-

mediated antagonism required the CT of the viral glycoprotein, and Lys residues in the CT were

essential for MARCH8 restriction. In contrast, in the case of HIV-1 Env, EboV-GP, and SARS-

CoV-2 S protein, the CT of the viral glycoprotein was not required for the antiviral effect. In all

cases, however, the ubiquitin ligase activity of MARCH8 was required for restriction, as RING

domain mutations to a large extent abrogated the inhibition. While this manuscript was in
preparation, another group reported that, as we observed here, MARCH8-mediated restriction of
VSV-G was CT-dependent but antagonism of HIV-1 Env was CT-independent, and they
demonstrated MARCH8-mediated ubiquitination of VSV-G (55). These results support a model
whereby the RING domain E3 ubiquitin ligase activity of MARCH8 either directly targets the CT
of the viral glycoprotein – as has been shown for MARCH protein-mediated downregulation of a
variety of cellular proteins (18) – or exerts its effect indirectly. In either case, the consequence of
MARCH8 activity is the apparent redirection of the viral glycoproteins to a LAMP-1$^+$
compartment. This is consistent with MARCH8 inducing lysosomal degradation of viral
glycoproteins.

While MARCH8 expression antagonized each of the glycoproteins tested here, the
magnitude of the effect varied across the different glycoproteins, in terms of both particle
infectivity and glycoprotein incorporation into virions. Specifically, the inhibition of VSV-G and
HIV-1 Env was quite severe, whereas more modest effects were observed with EboV-GP and
SARS-CoV-2 S. The degree of inhibition did not correlate with the viral glycoprotein
incorporation efficiency; for example, EboV-GP was very efficiently incorporated into HIV-1
particles, whereas SARS-CoV-2 S protein was inefficiently incorporated. Further study will be
required to determine the basis for the variable activity of MARCH8 against the four glycoproteins
examined in this study.

It remains unclear at what stage during their trafficking pathway viral glycoproteins are
targeted by MARCH8. MARCH8 could act early during trafficking of the viral glycoprotein
through the Golgi *en route* to the site of virus assembly, at the plasma membrane, or, in the case
of glycoproteins like HIV-1 Env that undergo a recycling step, could act late following endocytic
uptake from the plasma membrane. MARCH proteins have been reported to target a large
repertoire of cellular proteins, including innate/adaptive immune receptors and intracellular
adhesion molecules (18). Previous studies have shown that the overexpression of MARCH
proteins can cause redistribution of syntaxin 4 and syntaxin 6 as well as some syntaxin-6-
interacting soluble *N*-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptors (SNAREs),
which are known to be involved in cellular endosomal trafficking (56, 57). MARCH protein
overexpression has also been reported to alter the trafficking of clathrin-independent endocytosis
(CIE) cargo proteins, rerouting them to endosomes and lysosomes for degradation (29). Thus, the

indirect mechanism of MARCH8-mediated targeting of viral glycoproteins that we hypothesize

would involve the ubiquitination and downregulation of cellular factor(s) involved in viral

glycoprotein trafficking. The resulting accumulation of the viral glycoprotein in a LAMP-1$^+$

intracellular compartment (Figs. 9-12), would reduce virion incorporation of the viral glycoprotein

and impair particle infectivity. Taken together, our data suggest that MARCH8 exerts its antiviral

activity via two different mechanisms, one (e.g., as observed for VSV-G) in which the CT of the

viral glycoprotein is directly ubiquitinated, the other (e.g., as observed for HIV-1 Env, EboV-GP,

and SARS-CoV-2 S) in which host trafficking factor(s) are targeted, resulting in an indirect

restriction of the viral glycoprotein.

The viral homologs of the cellular MARCH proteins have been reported to ubiquitinate

residues other than Lys in the CTs of their target proteins; for example, the K3 protein of KSHV

has been reported to attach ubiquitin to Cys residues and the mK3 protein of gamma herpesviruses

can ubiquitinate Ser and Thr in addition to Lys (35-37, 58). It is not clear whether MARCH

proteins are able to ubiquitinate non-Lys residues, but we cannot exclude this possibility.

Inspection of the viral glycoproteins under study indicates that the truncated HIV-1 Env mutant

CTdel144 and EboV-GP Tailless have no Ser, Thr or Cys residues facing the cytosol, indicating

that their CTs cannot be ubiquitinated. In contrast, SARS-CoV-2 S-Trun has 4 Ser, 1 Thr and 10

Cys residues. Ongoing work is investigating whether the CT of SARS-CoV-2 S protein undergoes

MARCH8-mediated ubiquitination. It is interesting to note that the basic residues in the CT of

HIV-1 gp41 are highly skewed towards Arg instead of Lys residues; for example, the CT of the

NL4-3 strain of HIV-1 used in this study contains 21 Arg residues but only two Lys residues

(https://www.hiv.lanl.gov/content/sequence/HIV/mainpage.html). This observation invites the

speculation that HIV-1 may have evolved to limit the number of Lys residues available for gp41

CT ubiquitination.

Our results show a broad antiviral activity of MARCH8 against the glycoproteins from

three human viral pathogens – HIV-1, EboV, and SARS-CoV-2 – and a primarily animal pathogen,

VSV. MARCH8 targeting of HIV-1 Env, VSV-G, and, very recently, EboV-GP, has been reported

(6, 8, 11, 55, 59). HIV-1 replicates predominantly in CD4$^+$ T cells and also infects MDM (60).

EboV targets mainly alveolar macrophages and endothelial cells (61); SARS-CoV-2, like other

coronaviruses, targets airway epithelial cells (62); and VSV typically replicates in cells of the oral

mucosa, although VSV-G confers very broad tissue tropism (63). In this study, we relied

extensively on expressing MARCH8 exogenously to evaluate its effect on viral envelope
glycoprotein stability, trafficking, and incorporation. This raises the question of whether the levels
of MARCH8 expression achieved in these experiments are physiologically relevant. A previous
study demonstrated that depletion of MARCH8 in primary human MDM significantly increased
the infectivity of HIV-1 particles released from these cells, suggesting that at least in this cell type
endogenous levels of MARCH8 expression are sufficient to restrict HIV-1 infectivity (11).
MARCH8 expression is high in the lung (19), suggesting its potential relevance to infection by
respiratory viruses like SARS-CoV-2. Due to the poor quality of available MARCH8 antibodies,
we could not evaluate endogenous MARCH8 protein expression. Instead, qRT-PCR was used to
measure the endogenous *MARCH8* gene expression in relevant cell types in the presence and
absence of IFN. In the absence of IFN stimulation, basal levels of *MARCH8* RNA, measured as a
ratio to *GAPDH*, were similar across the cell types analyzed. The RNA levels measured following
IFN induction were comparable to those in transiently transfected HEK293T cells. Although RNA
levels may not be directly correlated with protein expression, the results suggest that the MARCH8
expression in the cell types tested may be sufficient to exert an antiviral activity. Indeed, even in
the absence of IFN stimulation, we observed that depletion of MARCH8 in HEK293T cells caused
a modest but statistically significant increase in the infectivity of particle produced from those
cells. In addition, our data indicate that *MARCH8* is an IFN-stimulated gene (ISG). The type I
IFN (IFN-I) response is crucial in the host antiviral defense against viral infections (64, 65). For
example, a recent publication highlighted the importance of the IFN-I response in immune
protection against SARS-COV-2 by establishing a link between life-threatening COVID-19
symptoms and loss-of-function mutations in IFN-I-related genes (66).
Viruses, including those whose envelope glycoproteins were studied here, have evolved a
complex array of countermeasures to disable the function of cellular ISGs (67) . Further work will
be required to elucidate mechanisms by which viruses counteract the antiviral activity of the
MARCH family of E3 ubiquitin ligases.
542

Materials and Methods

Cell culture. HEK293T cells [obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and TZM-bl cells (obtained from J. C. Kappes, X. Wu, and Tranzyme, Inc. through the NIH AIDS Reagent Program (ARP), Germantown, MD)] were maintained in DMEM containing 5% or 10% (vol/vol) fetal bovine serum (FBS; HyClone), 2mM glutamine, 1% penicillin-streptomycin (penicillin 50 U/ml and streptomycin 50 µg/ml, final concentration; Lonza) at 37 °C with 5% CO_2. The SupT1 T-cell line was cultured in RPMI with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. The A549 human lung epithelial cell line (obtained from the ATCC) was cultured in DMEM with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. Primary small airway epithelial cells (obtained from ATCC) were cultured in airway epithelial cell basal medium (ATCC) supplemented with bronchial epithelial cell growth kit (ATCC). Primary hPBMC were obtained from healthy volunteers from the NCI-Frederick Research Donor Program. The hPBMCs were extracted from whole blood using Histopaque procedure (Sigma). Cells were then stimulated with PHA-P and IL-2 for three days.

Plasmids and transfection. The following plasmids were used in this study: the full-length HIV-1 clade B molecular clone pNL4-3 and derivatives pNL4-3EnvCTdel144 (68), pNL4-3KFS (*env*-minus) (69), pNL4-3.Luc.R-E- (NIH ARP, #3418); and the pHCMV-G (VSV-G expression vector) (70, 71). The EboV-GP ΔMLD was a gift from Judith White (University of Virginia) (47) and SARS-CoV-2 S protein (FL and Truncated) expression vectors were gifts from Thomas Gallagher (Loyola University). Viral glycoprotein mutant plasmids VSV-G Tailless, VSV-G K-to-A and VSV-G K-to-R; EboV-GP-K-to-A and EboV-GP-Tailless; SARS-CoV-2 S-FL-K-to-R and SARS-CoV-2 S-Truncated-K-to-R) were generated using the Q5® site-directed mutagenesis kit (New England BioLabs Inc., #E0552S) or the QuickChangeSite-Directed Mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) according to the manufacturer's instructions with primers listed in Table S1. In microscopy experiments, pDsRed-monomer-Golgi-Beta-1,4-galactosyltransferase (Clontech, #632480) and LAMP-RFP (Addgene, #1817) (72) were used. Plasmids were purified using MaxiPrep Kits (Qiagen, #12263) and mutations were verified by sequencing (Psomagen, Rockville, MD). The HEK293T cells were transfected with the indicated plasmids using PEI or Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer's instructions. Virus-containing supernatants were filtered through 0.45-µm membrane 24 or 48 hours post-transfection and virus

was quantified by measuring RT activity. Virus-containing supernatant was harvested 24 or 48
hours post-transfection and virus particles were collected by ultracentrifugation. Virus and cell
pellets were solubilized in lysis buffer (10mM iodoacetamide (Sigma-Aldrich), Complete™
protease inhibitor tablets (Roche), 300 mM sodium chloride, 50mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and 0.5%
Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich)) and used for further analysis.

Western blotting. Cell and virus lysates in lysis buffer with 6x SDS-PAGE sample loading buffer
(600 mM Tris-HCL pH6.8, 30% glycerol, 12% SDS, 20mM DTT, 0.03% bromophenol blue) were
heated at 95°C for 5 min. Samples were analyzed on 10% 1.5mm Tris-glycine gels using a BioRad
Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer system according to manufacturer's instructions. Proteins were
detected with primary antibodies (see Table S2) and secondary antibodies. Protein bands were
visualized using chemiluminescence with either a Gel Doc XR+ system (BioRad) or Sapphire
Biomolecular Imager (Azure Biosystems) and analyzed with either Image Lab version 6.0.1 or
AzureSpot (Azure Biosystems).

Single-cycle infectivity assays. TZM-bl is a HeLa-derived cell line which contains a stably
integrated HIV-LTR-luciferase construct (73, 74). TZM-bl cells were infected with serial dilutions
of RT-normalized virus stock (44) in the presence of 10 μg/ml DEAE-dextran. For SARS-CoV-2
S infectivity assays, HEK293T cells stably expressing hACE2 (BEI resources, NR-52511) were
infected with serial dilutions of RT-normalized S-pseudotyped, luciferase-expressing HIV-1
(pNL4-3.Luc.R-E-) virus stock in the presence of 10 μg/ml DEAE-dextran. Cells were lysed with
BriteLite Luciferase reagent (Perkin-Elmer) and luciferase was measured in a Wallac BetaMax
Plate reader at 48 hours post-infection. Data were normalized to non-MARCH transfected
negative control from three independent experiments.

Confocal microscopy. Microscopy experiments used 18mm coverslips (Electron Microscopy
Sciences, catalog number 72291-06) which were sterilized by soaking in ethanol and air-dried
before seeding HEK293T cells onto them. Coverslips were pre-treated with fibronectin (Sigma-
Aldrich, #GC010) at 1:50 dilution in PBS for 30 min at room temperature before seeding cells.
HEK293T cells were cultured overnight and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron
Microscopy Sciences, BM-155) at 24 hr post-transfection in DPBS for 1 hr, quenched with 0.1M

glycine in PBS for 10 min. Cells were permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich,

#T-8787) in PBS for 5 min, blocked with 10% BSA/PBS (Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 min and stained

with primary antibodies at a 1:400 dilution with 0.1% Triton and 1% BSA in PBS for 1 hr. After

3 washes in PBS, cells were incubated with secondary antibodies and DAPI stain in 1:1000 dilution

in PBS. Antibody information is listed in Table S2. Cells were washed and mounted with

Fluoromount-G (Electron Microscopy Sciences, #0100-01). Imaging was performed with a Leica

TCS SP8 microscope (Leica Microsystems Inc., Buffalo Grove, IL) using a 63X oil-immersion

objective. Images were generated using ImageJ software (NIH, Bethesda, MD). Background was

subtracted using imageJ's built-in 'rolling ball' background subtraction process. Colocalization

analyses were performed with intracellular region of interest (ROI) excluding any plasma-

membrane associated signal using Colocalization test and a Plugin, EzColocalization, (75) with

ImageJ. Cells were excluded from analysis if they were not transfected.

RT-qPCR. To measure *MARCH8* expression levels, total RNA was extracted using RNeasy Plus

Mini Kit (Qiagen) following manufacturer's instructions from transfected HEK293T, cell-lines or

cells stimulated with 1000U/ml of IFN- α, β or γ (Pblassay science, catalog number 11200, 11415

and 11500). RNA was transcribed using High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit

(Applied Biosystems) and real time PCR was performed using KAPA Sybr Fast master mix (Kapa

Biosystems) following manufacturer's instructions using CFX connect real-time PCR detection

system (BioRad) with specific oligonucleotides described previously (6, 11). The *MARCH8*

mRNA levels were normalized with *GAPDH* mRNA levels using ΔΔCT method for relative

quantification.

siRNA knockdown of endogenous *MARCH8*. HEK293T cells were transfected with 0.01, 0.1

and 1 μM MARCH8-specific siRNA or non-targeting control (NTC) (Smart pool, Dharmacon)

using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (ThermoFisher Scientific) following the manufactuer's protocol.

Seventy-two hrs post siRNA treatment, cells were collected for RT-qPCR to measure *MARCH8*

expression. The siRNA-treated HEK293T cells were transfected with HIV-1 pNL4-3 clone, and

the progeny viruses were collected at 48 hr post transfection, RT normalized and used to infect

the TZM-bl indicator cell line.

Acknowledgements:
We thank following Kenzo Tokunaga, Alan Rein and Alex Compton for providing reagents. We
thank Sherimay Ablan and Melissa V. Fernandez for technical advice and members of the Freed
lab for critical review of the manuscript and helpful discussion. We thank Kim Peifley and David
Scheiblin (NCI-Frederick) for technical advice.
642
643 Funding information
644
645 Research in the Freed lab is supported by the Intramural Research Program of the Center for
646 Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health. Funds were also
647 provided by a grant from the Intramural Targeted Anti-COVID-19 (ITAC) Program and from an
648 Intramural AIDS Research Fellowship (for CML).
649
650
651 Author contributions:
652 Designed research: CML, AW & EOF
653 Performed research: CML, AW, AM and NP
654 Analyzed data: CML & AW
655 Wrote paper: CML, AW and EOF
656
657

658 References:
659

1. Ghimire D, Rai M, Gaur R. 2018. Novel host restriction factors implicated in HIV-1
replication. J Gen Virol 99:435-446.
2. Forlani G, Shallak M, Ramia E, Tedeschi A, Accolla RS. 2019. Restriction factors in human
retrovirus infections and the unprecedented case of CIITA as link of intrinsic and
adaptive immunity against HTLV-1. Retrovirology 16:34.
3. Jia X, Zhao Q, Xiong Y. 2015. HIV suppression by host restriction factors and viral
immune evasion. Curr Opin Struct Biol 31:106-14.
4. V DU, De Crignis E, Re MC. 2018. Host Restriction Factors and Human Immunodeficiency
Virus (HIV-1): A Dynamic Interplay Involving All Phases of the Viral Life Cycle. Curr HIV
Res 16:184-207.
5. Beitari S, Wang Y, Liu SL, Liang C. 2019. HIV-1 Envelope Glycoprotein at the Interface of
Host Restriction and Virus Evasion. Viruses 11.
6. Zhang Y, Tada T, Ozono S, Yao W, Tanaka M, Yamaoka S, Kishigami S, Fujita H, Tokunaga
K. 2019. Membrane-associated RING-CH (MARCH) 1 and 2 are MARCH family members
that inhibit HIV-1 infection. J Biol Chem 294:3397-3405.
7. Braun E, Hotter D, Koepke L, Zech F, Gross R, Sparrer KMJ, Muller JA, Pfaller CK,
Heusinger E, Wombacher R, Sutter K, Dittmer U, Winkler M, Simmons G, Jakobsen MR,
Conzelmann KK, Pohlmann S, Munch J, Fackler OT, Kirchhoff F, Sauter D. 2019.
Guanylate-Binding Proteins 2 and 5 Exert Broad Antiviral Activity by Inhibiting Furin-
Mediated Processing of Viral Envelope Proteins. Cell Rep 27:2092-2104 e10.

8.   Zhang Y, Lu J, Liu X. 2018. MARCH2 is upregulated in HIV-1 infection and inhibits HIV-1
681   production through envelope protein translocation or degradation. Virology 518:293-
682   300.
683   9.   Hotter D, Sauter D, Kirchhoff F. 2017. Guanylate binding protein 5: Impairing virion
684   infectivity by targeting retroviral envelope glycoproteins. Small GTPases 8:31-37.
685   10.   Krapp C, Hotter D, Gawanbacht A, McLaren PJ, Kluge SF, Sturzel CM, Mack K, Reith E,
686   Engelhart S, Ciuffi A, Hornung V, Sauter D, Telenti A, Kirchhoff F. 2016. Guanylate
687   Binding Protein (GBP) 5 Is an Interferon-Inducible Inhibitor of HIV-1 Infectivity. Cell Host
688   Microbe 19:504-14.
689   11.   Tada T, Zhang Y, Koyama T, Tobiume M, Tsunetsugu-Yokota Y, Yamaoka S, Fujita H,
690   Tokunaga K. 2015. MARCH8 inhibits HIV-1 infection by reducing virion incorporation of
691   envelope glycoproteins. Nat Med 21:1502-7.
692   12.   Sood C, Marin M, Chande A, Pizzato M, Melikyan GB. 2017. SERINC5 protein inhibits
693   HIV-1 fusion pore formation by promoting functional inactivation of envelope
694   glycoproteins. J Biol Chem 292:6014-6026.
695   13.   Usami Y, Wu Y, Gottlinger HG. 2015. SERINC3 and SERINC5 restrict HIV-1 infectivity and
696   are counteracted by Nef. Nature 526:218-23.
697   14.   Rey FA, Lok SM. 2018. Common Features of Enveloped Viruses and Implications for
698   Immunogen Design for Next-Generation Vaccines. Cell 172:1319-1334.
699   15.   Tang T, Bidon M, Jaimes JA, Whittaker GR, Daniel S. 2020. Coronavirus membrane fusion
700   mechanism offers a potential target for antiviral development. Antiviral Res
701   178:104792.
702   16.   Ghosh S, Dellibovi-Ragheb TA, Kerviel A, Pak E, Qiu Q, Fisher M, Takvorian PM, Bleck C,
703   Hsu VW, Fehr AR, Perlman S, Achar SR, Straus MR, Whittaker GR, de Haan CAM, Kehrl J,
704   Altan-Bonnet G, Altan-Bonnet N. 2020. beta-Coronaviruses Use Lysosomes for Egress
705   Instead of the Biosynthetic Secretory Pathway. Cell doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.10.039.
706   17.   Komander D, Rape M. 2012. The ubiquitin code. Annu Rev Biochem 81:203-29.
707   18.   Bauer J, Bakke O, Morth JP. 2017. Overview of the membrane-associated RING-CH
708   (MARCH) E3 ligase family. N Biotechnol 38:7-15.
709   19.   Bartee E, Mansouri M, Hovey Nerenberg BT, Gouveia K, Fruh K. 2004. Downregulation of
710   major histocompatibility complex class I by human ubiquitin ligases related to viral
711   immune evasion proteins. J Virol 78:1109-20.
712   20.   Goto E, Ishido S, Sato Y, Ohgimoto S, Ohgimoto K, Nagano-Fujii M, Hotta H. 2003. c-MIR,
713   a human E3 ubiquitin ligase, is a functional homolog of herpesvirus proteins MIR1 and
714   MIR2 and has similar activity. J Biol Chem 278:14657-68.
715   21.   Ishido S, Wang C, Lee BS, Cohen GB, Jung JU. 2000. Downregulation of major
716   histocompatibility complex class I molecules by Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus
717   K3 and K5 proteins. J Virol 74:5300-9.
718   22.   Coscoy L, Ganem D. 2000. Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus encodes two
719   proteins that block cell surface display of MHC class I chains by enhancing their
720   endocytosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97:8051-6.
721   23.   Nash K, Chen W, Salganik M, Muzyczka N. 2009. Identification of cellular proteins that
722   interact with the adeno-associated virus rep protein. J Virol 83:454-69.

723   24.   Oh J, Shin JS. 2015. Molecular mechanism and cellular function of MHCII ubiquitination.
724   Immunol Rev 266:134-44.

725   25.   Fujita H, Iwabu Y, Tokunaga K, Tanaka Y. 2013. Membrane-associated RING-CH (MARCH)
726   8 mediates the ubiquitination and lysosomal degradation of the transferrin receptor. J
727   Cell Sci 126:2798-809.

728   26.   van de Kooij B, Verbrugge I, de Vries E, Gijsen M, Montserrat V, Maas C, Neefjes J, Borst
729   J. 2013. Ubiquitination by the membrane-associated RING-CH-8 (MARCH-8) ligase
730   controls steady-state cell surface expression of tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis
731   inducing ligand (TRAIL) receptor 1. J Biol Chem 288:6617-28.

732   27.   Bartee E, Eyster CA, Viswanathan K, Mansouri M, Donaldson JG, Fruh K. 2010.
733   Membrane-Associated RING-CH proteins associate with Bap31 and target CD81 and
734   CD44 to lysosomes. PLoS One 5:e15132.

735   28.   Chen R, Li M, Zhang Y, Zhou Q, Shu HB. 2012. The E3 ubiquitin ligase MARCH8 negatively
736   regulates IL-1beta-induced NF-kappaB activation by targeting the IL1RAP coreceptor for
737   ubiquitination and degradation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109:14128-33.

738   29.   Eyster CA, Cole NB, Petersen S, Viswanathan K, Fruh K, Donaldson JG. 2011. MARCH
739   ubiquitin ligases alter the itinerary of clathrin-independent cargo from recycling to
740   degradation. Mol Biol Cell 22:3218-30.

741   30.   Roy N, Pacini G, Berlioz-Torrent C, Janvier K. 2017. Characterization of E3 ligases
742   involved in lysosomal sorting of the HIV-1 restriction factor BST2. J Cell Sci 130:1596-
743   1611.

744   31.   Hewitt EW, Duncan L, Mufti D, Baker J, Stevenson PG, Lehner PJ. 2002. Ubiquitylation of
745   MHC class I by the K3 viral protein signals internalization and TSG101-dependent
746   degradation. EMBO J 21:2418-29.

747   32.   Mansouri M, Bartee E, Gouveia K, Hovey Nerenberg BT, Barrett J, Thomas L, Thomas G,
748   McFadden G, Fruh K. 2003. The PHD/LAP-domain protein M153R of myxomavirus is a
749   ubiquitin ligase that induces the rapid internalization and lysosomal destruction of CD4.
750   J Virol 77:1427-40.

751   33.   Coscoy L, Ganem D. 2001. A viral protein that selectively downregulates ICAM-1 and B7-
752   2 and modulates T cell costimulation. J Clin Invest 107:1599-606.

753   34.   Boname JM, Stevenson PG. 2001. MHC class I ubiquitination by a viral PHD/LAP finger
754   protein. Immunity 15:627-36.

755   35.   Cadwell K, Coscoy L. 2005. Ubiquitination on nonlysine residues by a viral E3 ubiquitin
756   ligase. Science 309:127-30.

757   36.   Cadwell K, Coscoy L. 2008. The specificities of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus-
758   encoded E3 ubiquitin ligases are determined by the positions of lysine or cysteine
759   residues within the intracytoplasmic domains of their targets. J Virol 82:4184-9.

760   37.   Wang X, Herr RA, Chua WJ, Lybarger L, Wiertz EJ, Hansen TH. 2007. Ubiquitination of
761   serine, threonine, or lysine residues on the cytoplasmic tail can induce ERAD of MHC-I
762   by viral E3 ligase mK3. J Cell Biol 177:613-24.

763   38.   Liu L, Oliveira NM, Cheney KM, Pade C, Dreja H, Bergin AM, Borgdorff V, Beach DH,
764   Bishop CL, Dittmar MT, McKnight A. 2011. A whole genome screen for HIV restriction
765   factors. Retrovirology 8:94.

39.   Volchkov VE, Feldmann H, Volchkova VA, Klenk HD. 1998. Processing of the Ebola virus
glycoprotein by the proprotein convertase furin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95:5762-7.
40.   Coutard B, Valle C, de Lamballerie X, Canard B, Seidah NG, Decroly E. 2020. The spike
glycoprotein of the new coronavirus 2019-nCoV contains a furin-like cleavage site
absent in CoV of the same clade. Antiviral Res 176:104742.
41.   Checkley MA, Luttge BG, Freed EO. 2011. HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein biosynthesis,
trafficking, and incorporation. J Mol Biol 410:582-608.
42.   Freed EO, Martin MA. 1995. The role of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 envelope
glycoproteins in virus infection. J Biol Chem 270:23883-6.
43.   Freed EO, Martin MA. 1996. Domains of the human immunodeficiency virus type 1
matrix and gp41 cytoplasmic tail required for envelope incorporation into virions. J Virol
70:341-51.
44.   Willey RL, Smith DH, Lasky LA, Theodore TS, Earl PL, Moss B, Capon DJ, Martin MA. 1988.
In vitro mutagenesis identifies a region within the envelope gene of the human
immunodeficiency virus that is critical for infectivity. J Virol 62:139-47.
45.   Dodd RB, Allen MD, Brown SE, Sanderson CM, Duncan LM, Lehner PJ, Bycroft M, Read
RJ. 2004. Solution structure of the Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus K3 N-
terminal domain reveals a Novel E2-binding C4HC3-type RING domain. J Biol Chem
279:53840-7.
46.   Jabbour M, Campbell EM, Fares H, Lybarger L. 2009. Discrete Domains of MARCH1
Mediate Its Localization, Functional Interactions, and Posttranscriptional Control of
Expression. The Journal of Immunology 183:6500-6512.
47.   Tran EE, Simmons JA, Bartesaghi A, Shoemaker CJ, Nelson E, White JM, Subramaniam S.
2014. Spatial localization of the Ebola virus glycoprotein mucin-like domain determined
by cryo-electron tomography. J Virol 88:10958-62.
48.   Ou X, Liu Y, Lei X, Li P, Mi D, Ren L, Guo L, Guo R, Chen T, Hu J, Xiang Z, Mu Z, Chen X,
Chen J, Hu K, Jin Q, Wang J, Qian Z. 2020. Characterization of spike glycoprotein of SARS-
CoV-2 on virus entry and its immune cross-reactivity with SARS-CoV. Nat Commun
11:1620.
49.   Ujike M, Huang C, Shirato K, Makino S, Taguchi F. 2016. The contribution of the
cytoplasmic retrieval signal of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus to
intracellular accumulation of S proteins and incorporation of S protein into virus-like
particles. J Gen Virol 97:1853-1864.
50.   Lontok E, Corse E, Machamer CE. 2004. Intracellular targeting signals contribute to
localization of coronavirus spike proteins near the virus assembly site. J Virol 78:5913-
22.
51.   Samji T, Hong S, Means RE. 2014. The Membrane Associated RING-CH Proteins: A Family
of E3 Ligases with Diverse Roles through the Cell. International Scholarly Research
Notices 2014:637295.
52.   Schneider WM, Chevillotte MD, Rice CM. 2014. Interferon-stimulated genes: a complex
web of host defenses. Annu Rev Immunol 32:513-45.
53.   Colomer-Lluch M, Ruiz A, Moris A, Prado JG. 2018. Restriction Factors: From Intrinsic
Viral Restriction to Shaping Cellular Immunity Against HIV-1. Front Immunol 9:2876.

54.   Schoggins JW, Rice CM. 2011. Interferon-stimulated genes and their antiviral effector
functions. Curr Opin Virol 1:519-25.

55.   Zhang Y, Tada T, Ozono S, Kishigami S, Fujita H, Tokunaga K. 2020. MARCH8 inhibits viral
infection by two different mechanisms. Elife 9.

56.   Nakamura N, Fukuda H, Kato A, Hirose S. 2005. MARCH-II is a syntaxin-6-binding protein
involved in endosomal trafficking. Mol Biol Cell 16:1696-710.

57.   Nakamura N. 2011. The Role of the Transmembrane RING Finger Proteins in Cellular and
Organelle Function. Membranes (Basel) 1:354-93.

58.   Herr RA, Harris J, Fang S, Wang X, Hansen TH. 2009. Role of the RING-CH domain of viral
ligase mK3 in ubiquitination of non-lysine and lysine MHC I residues. Traffic 10:1301-17.

59.   Yu C, Li S, Zhang X, Khan I, Ahmad I, Zhou Y, Li S, Shi J, Wang Y, Zheng YH. 2020. MARCH8
Inhibits Ebola Virus Glycoprotein, Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 Envelope
Glycoprotein, and Avian Influenza Virus H5N1 Hemagglutinin Maturation. mBio 11.

60.   Freed EO, Martin MA. 2013. Human Immunodeficiency Viruses: Replication, p 1502-
2456. *In* Knipe DM, Howley P (ed), Fields Virology, 6 ed. Wolters Kluwer, Philadelphia.

61.   Feldmann H, Sanchez AS, Geisbert TW. 2013. Filoviridae: Marburg and Ebola Viruses, p
923-2456. *In* Knipe DM, Howley P (ed), Fields Virology, 6 ed. Wolters Kluwer,
Philadelphia.

62.   Masters PS, Perlman S. 2013. Coronaviridae, p 825-2456. *In* Knipe DM, Howley P (ed),
Fields Virology, 6 ed. Wolters Kluwer, Philadelphia.

63.   Lyles DS, Kuzmin IV, Rupprecht CE. 2013. Rhabdoviridae, p 885-2456. *In* Knipe DM,
Howley P (ed), Fields Virology, 6 ed. Wolters Kluwer, Philadelphia.

64.   Sa Ribero M, Jouvenet N, Dreux M, Nisole S. 2020. Interplay between SARS-CoV-2 and
the type I interferon response. PLoS Pathog 16:e1008737.

65.   Acosta PL, Byrne AB, Hijano DR, Talarico LB. 2020. Human Type I Interferon Antiviral
Effects in Respiratory and Reemerging Viral Infections. Journal of Immunology Research
2020:1372494.

66.   Zhang Q, Bastard P, Liu Z, Le Pen J, Moncada-Velez M, Chen J, Ogishi M, Sabli IKD,
Hodeib S, Korol C, Rosain J, Bilguvar K, Ye J, Bolze A, Bigio B, Yang R, Arias AA, Zhou Q,
Zhang Y, Onodi F, Korniotis S, Karpf L, Philippot Q, Chbihi M, Bonnet-Madin L, Dorgham
K, Smith N, Schneider WM, Razooky BS, Hoffmann HH, Michailidis E, Moens L, Han JE,
Lorenzo L, Bizien L, Meade P, Neehus AL, Ugurbil AC, Corneau A, Kerner G, Zhang P,
Rapaport F, Seeleuthner Y, Manry J, Masson C, Schmitt Y, Schluter A, Le Voyer T, Khan T,
Li J, et al. 2020. Inborn errors of type I IFN immunity in patients with life-threatening
COVID-19. Science 370.

67.   Gale M, Jr., Sen GC. 2009. Viral evasion of the interferon system. J Interferon Cytokine
Res 29:475-6.

68.   Murakami T, Freed EO. 2000. The long cytoplasmic tail of gp41 is required in a cell type-
dependent manner for HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein incorporation into virions.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 97:343-348.

69.   Freed EO, Martin MA. 1995. Virion incorporation of envelope glycoproteins with long
but not short cytoplasmic tails is blocked by specific, single amino acid substitutions in
the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 matrix. J Virol 69:1984-9.

70.   Yee JK, Friedmann T, Burns JC. 1994. Generation of high-titer pseudotyped retroviral
853   vectors with very broad host range. Methods Cell Biol 43 Pt A:99-112.

854   71.   Burns JC, Friedmann T, Driever W, Burrascano M, Yee JK. 1993. Vesicular stomatitis virus
855   G glycoprotein pseudotyped retroviral vectors: concentration to very high titer and
856   efficient gene transfer into mammalian and nonmammalian cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
857   A 90:8033-7.

858   72.   Sherer NM, Lehmann MJ, Jimenez-Soto LF, Ingmundson A, Horner SM, Cicchetti G, Allen
859   PG, Pypaert M, Cunningham JM, Mothes W. 2003. Visualization of retroviral replication
860   in living cells reveals budding into multivesicular bodies. Traffic 4:785-801.

861   73.   Platt EJ, Wehrly K, Kuhmann SE, Chesebro B, Kabat D. 1998. Effects of CCR5 and CD4 Cell
862   Surface Concentrations on Infections by Macrophagetropic Isolates of Human
863   Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1. Journal of Virology 72:2855-2864.

864   74.   Wei X, Decker JM, Liu H, Zhang Z, Arani RB, Kilby JM, Saag MS, Wu X, Shaw GM, Kappes
865   JC. 2002. Emergence of Resistant Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 in Patients
866   Receiving Fusion Inhibitor (T-20) Monotherapy. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy
867   46:1896-1905.

868   75.   Stauffer W, Sheng H, Lim HN. 2018. EzColocalization: An ImageJ plugin for visualizing
869   and measuring colocalization in cells and organisms. Sci Rep 8:15764.

 Figure legends

Figure 1. Organization of the viral envelope glycoproteins used in this study. Surface and

transmembrane subunits of HIV-1 Env (gp120 and gp41), EboV-GP (GP1 and GP2) and SARS-

CoV-2 S protein (S1 and S2) are indicated. Where appropriate, the site of furin cleavage is

indicated by the red arrow. The Δmucin-like domain (ΔMLD, dotted rectangle with hatching

mark) and the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of the EboV-GP and SARS-CoV-2 S protein,

respectively, are indicated. The fusion peptide (FP) and transmembrane domains (TM) are labeled.

The length in amino acids (aa) of each full-length (FL) CT, and the number of Lys (K) residues in

each CT, are indicated. Each CT mutant, and the Lys residues mutated to either Arg (R) or Ala

(A), are shown. At the bottom is shown a schematic representation of the MARCH8 topology in

the membrane, with two transmembrane domains and the RING finger E3 ligase domain. The

structures of the viral glycoproteins and MARCH8 are not drawn to scale.

Figure 2. MARCH8-mediated targeting of viral envelope glycoproteins exhibits differential

dependence on the CT. (A) HEK293T cells in a 12-well plate were cotransfected with the Env-

defective HIV-1 molecular clone pNL4-3/KFS (1 μg) and vectors expressing WT or mutant VSV-

G (100 ng) and HA-tagged WT or mutant MARCH8 (50 ng). TZM-bl cells were infected with

VSV-G pseudotyped HIV-1 and luciferase activity was measured two days post-infection and

normalized for viral p24. Infectivity of particles pseudotyped with WT VSV-G in the absence of

MARCH8 is set to 100%. **(B)** HEK293T cells were cotransfected with WT or CT-deleted

(CTdel144) pNL4-3 HIV-1 molecular clones (1 μg), and vectors expressing HA-tagged MARCH8

(WT or mutants) (100 ng). Two days posttransfection, virus supernatants were collected, analyzed

for p24 content by western blot, and infections were carried out in TZM-bl cells, and normalized

to p24 as described in **(A)**. Infectivity of WT HIV-1 in the absence of MARCH8 was set to 100%.

(C) HEK293T cells were cotransfected with Env-defective (pNL4-3/KFS) HIV-1 molecular clone

(1 μg), and vectors expressing EboV-GP (WT or CT mutants) (500 ng) and HA-tagged MARCH8

(WT or mutants) (250 ng), and infections were carried out in TZM-bl cells. Infectivity of particles

pseudotyped with WT EboV-GP in the absence of MARCH8 was set to 100%. **D)** HEK293T cells

in a 6-well plate were cotransfected with the Env-defective, luciferase-expressing pNL4-3

derivative pNL4-3.Luc.R-E- (3 μg) and vectors expressing SARS-CoV-2-S full-length (FL) and

mutants (FL-K-to-R, Truncated, Truncated-K-to-R) (300 ng) and HA-tagged MARCH8 (WT or

CS mutant) (200 ng). Two days post-transfection, virus supernatant was collected, and infectivity

was measured in HEK293T cells stably expressing hACE2 with RT-normalized virus. Infectivity

of virus particles pseudotyped with FL S protein in the absence of MARCH8 was set to 100%.

Data shown are ± SD from 3-5 independent experiments. P values (two-tailed unpaired t-test): *p

< 0.05, **p < 0.01, ****p < 0.0001.

Figure 3. Effect of MARCH8 on virion incorporation of VSV-G is CT dependent. (A)

HEK293T cells were cotransfected with the Env-defective HIV-1 molecular clone pNL4-3/KFS

(1 µg), and vectors expressing WT or mutant VSV-G (100 ng), HA-tagged WT or mutant

MARCH8 (50 ng) and FLAG-tagged SGTA (200 ng) as a transfection control. Cell and viral

lysates were prepared and subjected to western blot analysis with anti-VSV-G to detect VSV-G;

HIV-Ig to detect the Gag proteins Pr55Gag and p24 (CA); anti-HA to detect HA-tagged

MARCH8; or anti-FLAG to detect FLAG-tagged SGTA. Mobility of molecular mass standards is

shown on the right of each blot. **(B)** The levels of VSV-G in cell and virus was quantified, and the

levels of VSV-G in virus was normalized to viral p24. The levels of VSV-G in the absence of

MARCH8 was set to 100%. **(C)** HEK293T cells were transfected with VSV-G expression vector

in the absence or presence of HA-tagged MARCH8 expression vector. One day post-transfection,

cells were labeled with [^{35}S]Met/Cys for 20 min and then chased for 0, 2, or 4 h in unlabeled

medium. Cell lysates were prepared and immunoprecipitated with anti-VSV-G Ab and analyzed

by SDS-PAGE followed by fluorography. The levels of VSV-G were quantified, and set to 100%

at time zero. Data shown are ± SD from 4-5 **(B)** or 2 **(C)** independent experiments. P values (two-

tailed unpaired t-test): *p < 0.05, **p < 0.02, ***p < 0.01, ****p < 0.0001.

Figure 4. Effect of MARCH8 on HIV-1 Env processing and incorporation is CT independent.

(A) HEK293T cells were cotransfected with pNL4-3 or pNL4-3/CTdel144 molecular clones (1

µg) in the absence or presence of vectors expressing HA-tagged WT or mutant MARCH8 (100

ng) and FLAG-tagged SGTA as a transfection control. Two days post-transfection, cell and viral

lysates were prepared and subjected to western blot analysis with anti-Env to detect gp160, gp120

and gp41; HIV-Ig to detect Gag proteins, Pr55Gag and p24 (CA); anti-HA to detect HA-tagged

MARCH8; or anti-FLAG to detect FLAG-tagged SGTA. Mobility of molecular mass standards is

shown on the right of the blots. **(B)** The levels of gp120 in virus was quantified and normalized to

p24, and set to 100% in the absence of MARCH8. **(C)** The levels of gp41 in virus was quantified
and normalized to p24, and set to 100% in the absence of MARCH8. Data shown are $\pm$ SD from
three independent experiments. P values (two-tailed unpaired t-test): ***$p < 0.001$, ****$p <$
0.0001.

Figure 5. Effect of MARCH8 on processing and virion incorporation of EboV-GP is CT
independent. (A) HEK293T cells were cotransfected with the Env-defective HIV-1 molecular
clone pNL4-3/KFS (1 µg) with vectors expressing WT or mutant EboV-GP (500 ng), HA-tagged
WT or mutant MARCH8 (250 ng) and FLAG-tagged SGTA as a transfection control. Two days
post-transfection, cell and viral lysates were prepared and subjected to western blot analysis with
anti-EboV-GP Abs to detect Pre-GP (G0), GP1, and GP2, HIV-Ig to detect Gag proteins, anti-HA
to detect HA-tagged MARCH8 or anti-FLAG to detect FLAG-tagged SGTA.   Mobility of
molecular mass standards is shown on the right of the blots. **(B)** The levels of GP0+GP1 and GP2
in the cell were quantified, and the levels in the absence of MARCH8 were set to 100%. **(C)** The
levels of GP0+GP1 and GP2 in virus were quantified and normalized to p24, and set to 100% in
the absence of MARCH8. **(D)** The processing of EboV-GP in cells was quantified by calculating
the ratio of GP1/GP0, and set to 100% for the no MARCH8 control. Data shown are $\pm$ SD from
three independent experiments. P values (two-tailed unpaired t-test): *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***p
< 0.001, ****$p < 0.0001$.

Figure 6. Effect of MARCH8 on processing and virion incorporation of SARS-CoV-2-S is
CT independent. (A) HEK293T were cotransfected with the Env-defective, luciferase-expressing
pNL4-3 derivative pNL4-3.Luc.R-E- (3 µg) with vectors expressing WT or mutant SARS-CoV-
2 S protein (300 ng), and WT or mutant HA-tagged MARCH8 (200 ng). Two days post-
transfection, cell and virus lysate were prepared and subjected to western blot analysis with anti-
SARS-CoV-2 S2 Ab to detect precursor S and S2; HIV-Ig to detect Gag proteins, Pr55Gag and
p24; and anti-HA to detect HA-tagged MARCH8.   Molecular mass standards are shown on the
right of the blots. Red arrow indicates a putative less-glycosylated form SARS-CoV-2 S2 protein
in the cell lysate. **(B)** The level of SARS-CoV-2 S protein in cells was quantified and normalized
to p24; values were set to 100% in the absence of MARCH8. **(C)** The level of S2 was quantified
and normalized to p24 in virus; values were set to 100% in the absence of MARCH8. Data shown

are $\pm$ SD from three independent experiments. P values (two-tailed unpaired t-test): ***p < 0.001,

****p < 0.0001.

Figure 7. Knockdown of endogenous MARCH8 in HEK293T cells increases HIV-1

infectivity. A) HEK293T cells were treated for 72 hrs with the indicated concentrations of

MARCH8-specific siRNA or a non-targeting control (NTC). Endogenous *MARCH8* RNA

expression was measured using RT-qPCR. B) siRNA-treated HEK293T cells in a 6-well plate

were transfected with the pNL4-3 HIV-1 molecular clone (3 µg). Two days posttransfection, virus

supernatants were collected, RT normalized, and used to infect TZM-bl cells. Infectivity in non-

siRNA-treated cells is set to 100%. Data shown are $\pm$ SD from 3 independent experiments. P

values (two-tailed unpaired t-test): *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.

Figure 8. Endogenous *MARCH8* gene expression is rapidly induced by IFN. A) Endogenous

MARCH8 RNA expression in the SupT1 T-cell line **(A)**, hPBMCs from 4 donors **(B)** and primary

human airway epithelial cells **(C)** and **D**) HEK293T cell line at 0, 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 hrs post-

stimulation with 1000U/ml type I (α and β) and II (γ) IFN. **E)** *MARCH8* expression in transfected

HEK293T cells after 48 hr post transfection (hpt) with increasing concentrations of MARCH8 (0,

50, 100 ng) in a 6-well tissue culture plate. Isolated RNA was subjected to RT-qPCR protocol as

detailed in the Materials and Methods. Fold increase in *MARCH8* RNA expression is normalized

to *GAPDH* expression levels. Data shown are $\pm$ SD from three independent experiments.

Figure 9. MARCH8 traps WT VSV-G but not the VSV-G CT mutants in an intracellular

LAMP-1$^+$ compartment. HEK293T cells were co-transfected with vectors expressing WT or

mutant VSV-G (200 ng) with or without vectors expressing HA-tagged MARCH8, MARCH8-CS

(100 ng) and the LAMP1-RFP or pDsRed-Golgi-Beta 1,4-galactosyltransferase (Golgi-

transferase) (300 ng) expression vectors. One day post-transfection cells, were processed for

confocal microscopy. Distribution of WT VSV-G **(A)**, VSV-G Tailless **(B)**, or VSV-G K-to-R

(C) in the absence or presence of WT MARCH8 or MARCH8-CS. Colocalization of VSV-G or

VSV-G mutants with WT MARCH8 **(D)** or MARCH8-CS **(E)** with the LAMP1-RFP or pDsRed-

Golgi-Beta 1,4-galactosyltransferase cellular markers. Scale bar = 10 µm.

Figure 10. MARCH8 traps WT and truncated HIV-1 Env in an intracellular LAMP-1⁺
compartment. HEK293T cells were cotransfected with vectors expressing WT or truncated HIV-1 Env (pIIINL4-Env or pIIINL4-EnvCTdel144, respectively) (200 ng), pSV-Tat, and HA-tagged MARCH8 expression vectors (100 ng) and the LAMP1-RFP or pDsRed-Golgi-Beta 1,4-galactosyltransferase (300 ng) expression vectors. One day post-transfection cells were processed for confocal microscopy. Distribution of WT HIV-1 Env **(A)**, and EnvCTdel144 **(B)** in the absence or presence of WT MARCH8 or MARCH8-CS. Colocalization of HIV-1 Env and EnvCTdel144 with MARCH8 **(C)** and MARCH-CS **(D)** and the LAMP1-RFP or pDsRed-Golgi-Beta 1,4-galactosyltransferase cellular markers. Scale bars = 10 µm.

1005

Figure 11. MARCH8 traps WT and truncated EboV-GP in an intracellular LAMP-1⁺
compartment. HEK293T cells were cotransfected with vectors expressing EboV-GP or EboV-GP Tailless (200 ng) and HA-tagged MARCH8 expression vectors (100 ng) and the LAMP1-RFP or pDsRed-Golgi-Beta 1,4-galactosyltransferase (300 ng) expression vectors. One day post-transfection cells were processed for confocal microscopy. Distribution of EboV-GP **(A)** and EboV-GP Tailless **(B)** in the absence or presence of WT MARCH8 or MARCH8-CS. Colocalization of EboV-GP and EboV-GP Tailless with MARCH8 **(C)** and MARCH8-CS **(D)** and the LAMP1-RFP or pDsRed-Golgi-Beta 1,4-galactosyltransferase cellular markers. Scale bars = 10 µm.

1015

Figure 12. MARCH8 traps WT and CT-mutant SARS-CoV-2 S proteins in an intracellular
LAMP-1⁺ compartment. HEK293T cells were cotransfected with vectors expressing WT or CT-mutant SARS-CoV-2 S proteins (200 ng) and HA-tagged MARCH8 expression vectors (100 ng) and the LAMP1-RFP or pDsRed-Golgi-Beta 1,4-galactosyltransferase (300 ng) expression vectors. One day post-transfection cells were processed for confocal microscopy. Distribution of SARS-CoV-2 S-FL **(A)**, SARS-CoV-2 S-FL-K-to-R **(B)**, and SARS-CoV-2 S-Trun **(C)** and SARS-CoV-2 S-Trun-K-to-R **(D)** in the presence of MARCH8 and MARCH8-CS. Colocalization of SARS-CoV-2 S and CT mutants with MARCH8 **(E)** and MARCH8-CS **(F)** and the LAMP1-RFP or pDsRed-Golgi-Beta 1,4-galactosyltransferase cellular markers. Scale bars = 10 µm.

1025

Figure S1. MARCH8 is localized in the endolysosomal compartments and at the plasma

membrane.   HEK293T cells were cotransfected with MARCH8 expression vector (200 ng) and

the LAMP1-RFP or pDsRed-Golgi-Beta 1,4-galactosyltransferase (300 ng) expression vectors.

Cells were fixed, processed and stained with anti-HA to detect MARCH8. Scale bars = 10 μm.

Images were acquired with a Leica TCS SP8 confocal microscope. Colocalization between

MARCH8 and subcellular compartment markers was assessed by calculating the Pearson's

correlation coefficient (r values) $\pm$ SD using 50 cells per condition.

1033

Fig S2. Incorporation efficiency of viral glycoproteins into HIV-1 particles. HEK293T cells

were transfected with the WT (pNL4-3) or cotransfected with the Env-defective (pNL4-3/KFS)

HIV-1 molecular clone and vectors expressing VSV-G or EboV-GP proteins or the Env-defective,

luciferase-expressing pNL4-3 derivative pNL4-3.Luc.R-E- and a vector expressing the SARS-

CoV-2 S protein. Two days post-transfection, cell and viral lysates were prepared and subjected

to western blot analysis with antibodies against gp41, VSV-G, EboV GP2, or SARS-CoV-2 S2.

The levels of viral glycoprotein in cell and virus lysates were quantified and incorporation

efficiency was calculated as the amount of virion-associated glycoprotein relative to total

glycoprotein in cell and virus. Data shown are $+$ SD from three independent experiments. Plasmid

concentrations indicated in legends of Fig 3-6.

1044

1045

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

Table 1. Colocalization of WT and mutant MARCH8, viral glycoproteins, and cellular markers

| | | MARCH8 | MARCH8-CS | +MARCH8 | | +MARCH8-CS | |
				Golgi-transferase [a]	LAMP-1	Golgi-transferase	LAMP-1
Viral GP[c]	VSV-G	0.92 ± 0.08 [b]	0.82 ± 0.13	0.91 ± 0.03	0.89 ± 0.06	0.88 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.01
	VSV-G Tailless	0.85 ± 0.11	0.80 ± 0.09	0.74 ± 0.14	0.31 ± 0.01	0.79 ± 0.15	0.18 ± 0.15
	VSV-G K-to-R	0.83 ± 0.01	0.79 ± 0.01	0.90 ± 0.02	0.32 ± 0.04	0.85 ± 0.04	0.14 ± 0.04
	HIV-1 Env	0.89 ± 0.13	0.79 ± 0.05	0.86 ± 0.07	0.79 ± 0.04	0.78 ± 0.02	0.18 ± 0.12
	HIV-1 EnvCTdel144	0.87 ± 0.12	0.79 ± 0.02	0.79 ± 0.16	0.76 ± 0.04	0.79 ± 0.11	0.19 ± 0.02
	EboV-GP	0.78 ± 0.06	0.73 ± 0.12	0.87 ± 0.11	0.67 ± 0.06	0.82 ± 0.08	0.12 ± 0.01
	EboV-GP Tailless	0.82 ± 0.08	0.71 ± 0.15	0.81 ± 0.07	0.61 ± 0.21	0.79 ± 0.16	0.15 ± 0.13
	SARS-CoV-2 S-FL	0.81 ± 0.01	0.72 ± 0.01	0.68 ± 0.13	0.65 ± 0.16	0.67 ± 0.08	0.20 ± 0.05
	SARS-CoV-2 S-FL-K-to-R	0.86 ± 0.11	0.77 ± 0.05	0.67 ± 0.14	0.67 ± 0.21	0.69 ± 0.12	0.18 ± 0.06
	SARS-CoV-2 S-Trun	0.89 ± 0.12	0.83 ± 0.03	0.79 ± 0.08	0.85 ± 0.08	0.78 ± 0.06	0.22 ± 0.14
	SARS-CoV-2 S-Trun K-to-R	0.92 ± 0.06	0.86 ± 0.13	0.81 ± 0.1	0.81 ± 0.16	0.80 ± 0.21	0.14 ± 0.01

[a] Golgi-transferase = Beta 1,4-galatosyltransferase

[b] Pearson correlation coefficient (r) values, ± standard deviation (SD) using 50 cells per experimental condition. R-values indicate colocalization between MARCH8 and intracellular viral glycoprotein (first two columns), intracellular viral glycoprotein and cellular markers in the presence of WT MARCH8 (two middle columns), and intracellular viral glycoprotein and cellular markers in the presence of MARCH8-CS (right two columns)

[c] Viral glycoprotein

Figure 1

Figure 2

A. VSV-G

B. HIV-1 Env

C. EboV-GP

D. SARS-CoV-2 S

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8

Figure 9A

Figure 9B

Figure 9C

| Nucleus | MARCH8 | Golgi-transferase | VSV-G K-to-R | Merge |

Figure 9D

	Nucleus	MARCH8	LAMP-1	VSV-G	Merge
WT VSV-G					
VSV-G Tailless					
VSV-G K-to-R					

Figure 9E

Figure 10A

Figure 10B

Figure 10C

Figure 10D

Figure 11A

Figure 11B

Figure 11C

Figure 11D

Figure 12A

Figure 12B

Figure 12C

Figure 12D

Figure 12E

Figure 12F