Phenotypic plasticity is aligned with phenological adaptation on micro- and

macroevolutionary timescales

Stephen P. De Lisle[1]*, Maarit I. Mäenpää[2], & Erik I. Svensson[1]

[1]Evolutionary Ecology Unit, Department of Biology

Lund University

Sölvegatan 37 223 62

Lund, Sweden

[2]Department of Zoology

Stockholm University

SE-106 91 Stockholm

*Email: stephen.de_lisle@biol.lu.se

Keywords: Phenology, phenotypic plasticity, microevolution, macroevolution

Abstract

Phenology is a key determinant of fitness, particularly in organisms with complex life cycles

with dramatic transitions from an aquatic to a terrestrial life stage. Because optimum phenology

is influenced by local environmental conditions, particularly temperature, phenotypic plasticity

could play an important role in adaptation to seasonally variable environments. Here, we used a

18-generation longitudinal field dataset from a wild insect (the damselfly *Ischnura elegans*) and

show that phenology has strongly advanced, coinciding with increasing temperatures in northern

Europe. Using individual fitness data, we show this advancement is most likely an adaptive

response towards a thermally-dependent moving fitness optimum. These field data were

complemented with a laboratory experiment, revealing that developmental plasticity to

temperature quantitatively matches the environmental dependence of selection and can explain

the observed phenological advance. We expand the analysis to the macroevolutionary level,

using a public database of over 1 million occurrence records on the phenology of Swedish

damselfly and dragonfly species. Combining spatiotemporally matched temperature data and

phylogenetic information, we estimated the phenological reaction norms towards temperature for

49 Swedish species. We show that thermal plasticity in phenology is more closely aligned with

local adaptation for odonate species that have recently colonized northern latitudes, whereas

there is more mismatch at lower latitudes. Our results show that phenological plasticity plays a

key role in microevolutionary adaptation within in a single species, and also suggest that such

plasticity may have facilitated post-Pleistocene range expansion at the macroevolutionary scale

in this insect clade.

Impact Statement

Organisms with complex life cycles must time their life-history transitions to match environmental conditions favorable to survival and reproduction. The timing of these transitions – phenology – is therefore of critical importance, and phenology a key trait in adaptive responses to climate change. Here, we use field data from a single species and phylogenetic comparative from over 1 million individual damselfly and dragonfly records to show that plasticity in phenology underlies adaptation at both the microevolutionary scale (across generations in a single species) and the macroevolutionary scale (across deep time in a clade). Our results indicates that phenotypic plasticity has the potential to explain variation in phenology and adaptive response to climate change across disparate evolutionary time scales.

Introduction

In many organism, life is characterized by dramatic life-history transitions between discrete stages that correspond to the unique demands of resource acquisition versus reproduction. For organisms with complex lifecycles, these life history transitions span disparate ecological niches, such as aquatic versus terrestrial environments, that demand irreversible metamorphosis to achieve such extreme ontogenetic niche shifts (1, 2). The timing of such life history transitions, or phenology, is particularly crucial for organisms with complex life cycles that undergo their metamorphosis in seasonally variable environments. This is because success during a given life stage must depend not only on biotic factors such as predation, competition and seasonally-changing resources, but also on aspects of the abiotic environment, such as temperature, that vary across both time (generations) and space (between populations) (3-7). Thus, phenology is expected to be under net-stabilizing selection (8) with an optimum that is shaped by various

counteracting selection pressures that are in turn influenced by multiple biotic and abiotic

environmental variables, including temperature, precipitation, competition and resource

availability.

The location of phenological optima may fluctuate randomly in response to

environmental stochasticity across years in seasonally variable environments (9).

Concomitantly, such fitness optima may show directional change and track advancing

temperatures and resource abundances associated with anthropogenic climate change (10-12). In

both scenarios, phenological plasticity (phenotypic plasticity in the timing of life history

transitions) is expected to be a target of natural selection (13, 14). Individuals that adaptively

alter their developmental trajectory in response to available environmental cues regarding the

conditions occurring during or after the life history transition (15), will undergo metamorphosis

to closely match the phenological optimum and will therefore have a selective advantage (13).

Phenotypic plasticity in phenology is therefore an expected key evolutionary outcome of

adaptation to seasonally variable environments (16). This classical hypothesis has obtained some

qualitative support in a number of previous studies across a range of taxa that all suggest a key

role for plasticity in explaining between- and within population differences in phenological traits

(17-22), including studies of organisms with complex life cycles (23-25). Although there is

abundant evidence for the existence of phenological plasticity, whether such plasticity is

adaptive or not and the role of such plasticity in generating adaptation in natural populations is

largely unclear (26, 27).

Recent work has recast the concepts of plasticity, fitness, and environmental variation in

terms of estimable quantitative genetic parameters that together describe plasticity's potential

contribution to adaptive evolution.   In this framework (Figure 1) plasticity, termed b, and the

environmental dependence of selection, termed B, jointly determine the extent that phenotypic

plasticity contributes to local adaptation (13). The strength of plasticity b describes the

relationship between expressed population mean phenology and the average environment

experienced by a population, and thus represents the population-mean reaction norm. The

environmental dependence of selection, B, describes the relationship between the optimum

phenology and the mean environment, and so represents the degree to which natural selection

changes as a function of environmental variation. Importantly, estimation and comparison of

these parameters can provide insight into plasticity's role in adaptation to spatio-temporal

environmental variation (9). New statistical and analytical approaches have allowed researchers

to leverage observational individual occurrence records to estimate these parameters indirectly,

via space-for-time substitution (23). A growing body of studies have employed these or similar

time-series based approaches (23, 28-30). This work (see also 8) indicates that plasticity can

indeed play a large role in explaining spatio-temporal variation in phenology across natural

populations in the wild.

It is, however, still unclear whether and to what extent plastic variation in phenology

matches our expectations for plasticity's role in evolution. In particular, two critical open

questions on phenological plasticity's role in evolution remain unanswered: 1) does phenotypic

plasticity correspond to environmental-dependence of phenological optima at the

microevolutionary scale, thus amplifying or accelerating adaptation? and 2) is the role of

plasticity in adaptation greater for lineages inhabiting variable and/or recently colonized

environments? Answering the first question requires long-term data on individual fitness in the

wild. Answering the second requires comparative analysis of multiple species or lineages that

vary in ecology yet which share a similar and conserved complex life cycle.

Here we combine three independent, large-scale datasets from the insect order Odonata

(damselflies and dragonflies) to answer the above two related questions on phenological

plasticity's role in adaptation. Odonates are semi-aquatic insects with a phylogenetically

conserved complex life cycle characterized by metamorphosis from aquatic nymph (henceforth,

'larvae') to aerial reproductive adult (31). Together, our results suggest that phenological

plasticity is indeed adaptive and may play a key role in local adaptation at the microevolutionary

scale within a single species, and that the role of plasticity in evolution transcends the species

level and can explain macroevolutionary divergence. We suggest that phenological plasticity

may have played a key role in the colonization of northern areas and adaptation to the colder

climates at high latitudes during the post-Pleistocene range expansion of this insect clade into

Scandinavia.

Methods – Data Collection

Long term study of Ischnura elegans

We analyzed data on individual phenology and fitness in a set of populations (approximately 16)

of the damselfly *Ischnura elegans* in province of Skåne, southern Sweden, for 18 years (2000-

2017). Each year, these populations were surveyed during the reproductive season of *I. elegans*,

beginning in late May when they start to emerge from the aquatic larval stage and continuing

throughout the major part of the flying season until the end of July. Field work took place daily,

except during days of low temperature (< 14 °C), heavy wind and rain, when adult damselflies

are not active. Each population was visited at intervals between one and two weeks. See Willink

and Svensson (32) for more details about general field and laboratory work procedures.

I. elegans adults were captured in the field. Copulating pairs were kept separate from

single-caught individuals in individual plastic containers. In the laboratory, all individuals were

sexed and their copulation status (mated or not) was recorded. In this study, we used data on one

major male fitness component: male mating success (a binomially distributed variable) to

estimate the strength of selection on phenology through mating success. Our previous work has

shown that this fitness measure is correlated with female fitness (fecundity) in these populations,

i. e. male and female phenotypic selection estimates are significantly and positively correlated

with each other across these populations (33). Hence, male mating success is a reasonable proxy

of mean population fitness as a whole. Our surveys yielded data on phenology (date of capture)

from 47,615 individuals (28,978 males, 18,637 females) during this 18 year period (2000-2017).

As the majority of individuals of *I. elegans* in these populations in southern Sweden are

univoltine and emerge as adults after one year in the larval stage, these 18 years correspond to

approximately the same number of generations.

Laboratory experiment on thermal plasticity in development time

We reared larvae of individual *I. elegans* from the egg stage, through hatching and

metamorphosis to adults, under two different larval temperature environments: 20 °C and 24 °C.

Maternal egg clutches (full sib clutches) were obtained from females caught *in copula* in the

field in the general population survey described above. Females caught *in copula* were set up for

oviposition in individual plastic cups, and provided with a wet filter paper for egg laying surface.

Two days later, the female was removed, the eggs were scanned and counted from the digital

images. See Svensson and Abbott (34) and Svensson et al. (35) for further methodological details

about laboratory procedures. Individual eggs and larvae from a total of 101 full-sib clutches were

reared across both environments. We started with 20 full siblings per environmental treatment

for a total of approximately 4000 larvae across this rearing experiment. Upon hatching,

individual larvae were transferred to plastic cups where they were housed individually in

temperature-controlled water baths. Larvae were fed *Artemia* daily and kept at a constant

midsummer light cycle (16:8). Towards the end of larval development and when the larvae

reached the last instar, each cup received a wooden stick as an emergence aid and cups were

covered with mesh to prevent escape of emerging adults. This experiment continued until all

individuals had either died as larvae or emerged as adults. Of the 4000 larvae, 523

(13%) successfully emerged as adults, with recorded emergence time for 521 individuals. Larval

development time was calculated as date of emergence as an adult minus the date of hatching,

consistent with our previous procedures (36).

Occurrence records of Swedish Odonata

We obtained public, spatiotemporally referenced observational records of adult Swedish

odonates identified to species level from the Swedish Species Observation System (Artportalen:

https://www.artportalen.se/). These data represent a combination of citizen science records, as

well as records reported by professional scientists and naturalists throughout Sweden, and these

data are also subsequently exported to the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF:

https://www.gbif.org/). We used data from a ten year period from 2006-2015, the range of years

for which we had accurate temperature data (see below) and for which substantial observational

records were available in this public database. We excluded rare taxa for which records were

unavailable in some years in this range, as well as taxa that were not included in our phylogeny

(see below). Our final dataset consists of 1,027,952 records from 49 species, which is the

majority of odonate species (75 %) that have so far been recorded in Sweden (currently a total of

65; 43 dragonflies (Anisoptera) and 22 damselflies (Zygoptera)).

We obtained spatiotemporally matched estimates of spring mean surface temperature

from the publicly accessible NOAA Global Forecast System Analysis (GFS ANL) database

(https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/data-access/model-data/model-datasets/global-forcast-system-gfs).

The NOAA GFS ANL provides global weather data estimated four times per day gridded at .5°

resolution (corresponding to approximately 25km in Sweden), using a system of satellites and

globally-distributed weather stations. Comparison of these surface temperature estimates to

direct temperature records from a weather station in Malmö, Sweden, obtained from the Swedish

Meteorological and Hydrological Institute (https://www.smhi.se/en), indicates the GFS ANL

data are an accurate representation of surface temperature ($r > 0.99$ between temperature

records). For each record in our dataset of Swedish odonates, we obtained the mean surface

temperature (GFS ANL database variable: tmp2m) for the first 120 days of the year from

corresponding lat-long grid cell in the GFS ANL database. We focused on this period because it

corresponds to a substantial period of pre-metamorphosis larval development and covers the last

instar for all the species in our database. We also obtained mean temperature for the month of

April, although we here focus our analysis on the 120 day mean, as this turned out to be a better

predictor of phenology and provided better model fits (see Supplemental Material).

We obtained phylogenic information for all 49 species in our dataset, using a recently

published large molecular phylogeny of the odonates (37). We set branch lengths using Grafen's

(38) method to make our tree ultrametric and be able to use it as a covariance matrix in mixed

effects models.

Methods – Statistical Analysis

Long term field study of Ischnura elegans

We used a linear mixed effects model to assess phenological advance in the timing of the adult

aerial period in *I. elegans* during our 18 year study period. This model featured the date (in

Julian days; January 1 = day 1) of an observation of an individual as the response variable and

year as a fixed effect. Our model also included a random intercept for population to account for

spatial variation in phenology among the study populations.

To infer selection on phenology, we seek a statistical description of individual fitness as a

function of phenology, where the functional relationship between phenology and fitness varies

with spring temperature cues. We modify the Lande-Arnold (39) equation to include

temperature dependence in the form of directional selection on phenology:

$$w = a + \beta z * (1 + \varphi t) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma z^2 + \varepsilon \qquad 1$$

220 where $\varphi = \frac{\phi}{\beta}$, ϕ is an interaction term as estimable in a regression model, w is individual

221 fitness, β is the linear selection gradient on phenology z, t is the temperature cue and γ is the

222 nonlinear selection gradient on phenology. Setting the first derivative of equation 1 with respect

223 to z to zero and solving yields the stationary point:

$$\theta_z = \frac{\beta}{-\gamma} + \frac{\phi}{-\gamma}t \qquad 2$$

where θ_z is the optimum phenology (if selection is stabilizing, otherwise it is a fitness

minimum). We note that this quadratic description of environmental-dependence of selection

also approximates environmental dependence of a Gaussian fitness function (40). The first part

of the right hand side of equation 2 is an intercept equal to the fixed location of the optimum

obtained from the standard Lande-Arnold equation (41). The second term on the right hand side

describes a temperature-dependent slope. Thus, the simple modification of the Lande-Arnold

equation in 1 yields a statistical description of a linear relationship between temperature cue and

optimal phenology, where the slope of the relationship between temperature and θ_z is $\frac{\phi}{-\gamma}$, and

represents a direct estimate of the temperature dependence of selection (B).

We fit equation 1 to our *I. elegans* field dataset using a linear mixed effects model with

male copulation success as a response, i. e. a measure of sexual selection. For comparison, we

also fit a simplified model, with directional selection only (i.e., no temperature-dependence or

nonlinear terms). We focus on males only in this analysis, as copulation success is likely a more

critical determinant of male fitness than it is for females. We used absolute copulation success

as a response, as this resulted in more consistent model convergence and eases interpretation;

however, qualitatively equivalent conclusions were obtained using relativized (by subpopulation,

season or globally) copulation success. We estimated β, γ, and ϕ from the fixed effect

parameters (doubling the nonlinear regression coefficient; 42), and included random

(co)variation in β and γ among years to accommodate variation in selection independent of

temperature. Temperature was obtained as the mean of the first 120 days from the NOAA GFS

ANL database for the corresponding grid cell of our study populations, with the exception of

records from 2000-2005 for which we instead calculated this value from the Swedish

Meteorological and Hydrological Institute data since the GFS data was unavailable for this

period.

Laboratory temperature experiment: raising damselfly families

We used a mixed effects model to estimate the relationship between thermal developmental

environment of larvae (two temperature treatments) and emergence time from our laboratory

experiment. Our model featured emergence day as the response variable, temperature treatment

as a fixed effect, and random intercepts for tank and maternal full-sib family. We calculated

phenotypic variancies, additive genetic variances and heritability (h^2), the latter as twice the

family variance over the total variance of the random effects (the sum of tank, family, and

residual variance components). We assessed statistical significance of h^2 using a likelihood ratio

test of the family variance component. We also calculated standard error and 95% confidence

intervals by empirically constructing the sampling distribution of h^2 by taking 1 million draws

from a multivariate normal distribution centered on our original REML estimates of the variance

components with covariance equal to the Hessian matrix of the fitted model (43). We note that

our estimate of heritability is conditioned on the fixed effect of temperature treatment (44).

Occurrence records of Swedish Odonata and phylogenetic comparative analyses

We used a bivariate mixed modelling approach (23, 29) to infer interspecific variation in thermal

reaction norms in phenology from our observational records of Swedish Odonata combined with

paired estimates of temperature in the first 120 days of the year. The premise behind this

approach is to use detrended covariation in temperature and phenology, within geographic grid

cells, as an estimate of population mean reaction norms. From the same model, covariation in

mean phenology and temperature across geographic grid cells provides an estimate of the

environmental dependence of selection (9). We thus fit the bivariate model

$$\zeta_i = \mu_i + \beta_{1,i} * y + \beta_{2,i} * x + a_{lat} + a_{time(lat)} + \varepsilon \qquad 3$$

where ζ_i is the response vector of individual values of two variables: i = observed phenology or

spring temperature. β is a fixed effect regression coefficient between continuous latitude (y) or

year (x) and variable i. Two nested random effects are included in this model: a_{lat} is a random

effect describing (co)variation across binned latitude, and $a_{time(lat)}$ is a random effect describing

co(variation) across binned annual seasons within latitudinal grids. For each a we estimated the

full 2x2 unstructured covariance matrix $\begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2_{phenology} & \sigma_{phenology,temp} \\ \sigma_{phenology,temp} & \sigma^2_{temperature} \end{bmatrix}$ Thus, in this model

space (latitude) and time (year) are included as both continuous fixed effects, as well as binned

levels in nested random effects.

We focus on analysis of latitudinal spatial variation because Sweden spans a far greater

latitudinal than longitudinal range, and corresponding species distributions within Sweden reflect

substantial latitudinal span but little longitudinal span. We note, however, that longitudinal

variation, when present, will be captured in variation in estimated spring mean temperatures for

observations recorded from differing longitudes within the same latitudinal resolution, and thus

is a source of residual within-bin variation accommodated in our model. For estimation of our

mixed model random effects, we binned latitude by half degree increments, with the exception of

extreme northern latitudes (over 62°) due to limited data from these sparsely populated Swedish

regions. Our dataset contained 13 latitudinal bins with each species containing observations

represented in at least 4 adjacent bins.

From this model we can interpret the ratio of the fixed effect parameter estimates,

particularly $\frac{\beta_{lat,\ phenology}}{\beta_{lat,\ temperature}}$ as approximating the environmental dependence of the optimum, B

(9). From the random effects, we can interpret $b \sim \sigma_{phenology, \ temperature}/\sigma_{temperature}^2$ where

σ and σ^2 are the elements of the random effect covariance matrix estimated across time points

within binned latitude ($a_{time(lat)}$). Thus, b is the de-trended regression of phenology across

temperature within latitudinal bins (23, 29). This bivariate model was fit separately for each

species in our dataset.

We then used the corresponding estimates of B and b in phylogenetic mixed models (45)

to explore the relationship between species mean latitude, species mean phenology, and the

strength and form of inferred phenological plasticity. We focus on species mean latitude because

we were interested in how plasticity has evolved with Northern range expansion. These models

included species as a random effect, with covariance among levels equal to the phylogenetic-

variance-covariance matrix from our species level phylogeny, standardized to a depth of 1 (i.e.,

using the correlation matrix). These models also included a residual term. We estimated

phylogenetic signal in the inferred reaction norms b using Pagel's lambda (46).

All mixed models were fit in SAS using either the hpmixed (for bivariate models, due to

exceptional sample sizes) or glimmix (for all others) procedures. SAS and R scripts to reproduce

our analyses and figures, as well as all raw data, are provided at:

https://github.com/spdelisle/OdonatePhenology, with the exception of the dataset of matched

phenology and temperature records (which exceeds github's size limit), which can currently be

found as a .sas dataset at: https://www.dropbox.com/s/ah3w6geewv2p7k7/swed_big.sas?dl=0.

All datasets have also been deposited as .csv files on Dryad

https://datadryad.org/stash/share/FKHv4E_XmOX2f4yEi63pTibgzqmNFuXXhJLi_lnV3J0.

Results

We found evidence of significant phenological advance during the 18 year study period

of *I. elegans* in the field ($F_{1, 47526}$ = 1231.38, P <0.0001, Figure 2B). Although there was

significant among-year heterogeneity, on average the emergence date has advanced by

approximately .58 days per year, corresponding to a predicted total advance of 10.44 days during

the entire 18-year period.

Using data on male mating success (N = 21,384 individuals for which we also had

annual temperature data, consisting of 5,487 mated males and 15,897 non-mated males), we find

evidence of statistically significant but seasonally variable (Figure 3B) sexual selection on

phenology. Overall, we found evidence of significant stabilizing selection on phenology

(estimates of parameters in equation 1, in units of raw Julian days: γ = -0.00016, χ_1^2 = 4.51, P =

0.0336; β = 0.001308, χ_1^2 = 1.18, P = 0.2), along with significant temperature-dependent

component to directional selection on phenology (ϕ = -0.00156, χ_1^2 = 6.90, P = 0.0086). Fitting

a simplified model with directional selection only and random variation among years to

variance-standardized data indicates standardized directional selection gradients varied

substantially among seasons (overall estimate β = 0.027, SE = 0.021; see figure S1). The

resulting estimate of the relationship between θ_z and spring mean temperature indicates a

temporal advance in the optimum phenology with increasing temperature (Figure 3C). Our point

estimate of *B*, the slope of the relationship between temperature and θ_z , given by the ratio of the

parameter estimate $\frac{\phi}{-\gamma}$, indicates an advance of approximately 10 days in the phenological

optimum for each degree centigrade of spring warming (Figure 3C). We reach qualitatively

equivalent conclusions using an independent dataset of 1695 observations from the Artportalen

database when we inferred B indirectly from interspecific data on phenology (Supplemental

material, Figure S2).

We obtained individual and family emergence time records from 521 individuals from

101 full-sib genetic families across two temperature treatments in our laboratory rearing

experiment. We found significant acceleration of development in response to our experimental

manipulation of temperature ($F_{1, 367} = 65.61$, P <0.0001, Figure 3A), with an estimated reaction

norm of approximately -17 days per 1 °C warming of the developmental environment. This

laboratory estimate therefore corresponds closely with our estimate of the environmental

dependence of θ_z estimated from the field data in the natural populations of *I. elegans* (Figure

3C). We found statistically significant broad-sense heritability in the timing emergence ($H^2 =$

0.24, $\chi_1^2 = 17.54$, P < 0.0001; SE: 0.078, 95% CI: 0.079-0.39; $V_a = 993$ SE: 245, $V_p = 4156$ SE

= 326; $CV_A = 5.3\%$). Our finding of a strongly negative thermal reaction norm in larval

development time that aligns with the environmental dependence of selection on phenology was

supported by an independent, indirect inference of *b* using observational data (Figure S2).

We analyzed paired temperature and phenology data from 1,027,952 records from 49

species of Swedish Odonates (see Table S1). Reaction norm estimates varied across species,

although most estimates where within the range of five days per °C of spring temperature

variation (Figure 4, Table S1). The damselfly *Sympecma fusca* and the dragonfly *Aeshna serrata*

were the two species with the most negative reaction norms in phenology (Fig. 4), i. e. they

responded most strongly in terms of advancement. Notably, there were also several species that

showed positive reaction norm slopes (Fig. 4). These analyses show that temperature during the

last instar larval stage can either advance or delay emergence, depending on species, and some

species were relatively insensitive to spring temperature (Fig. 4). On average, the estimated

thermal reaction norms explained 9% of the de-trended within-latitude variation in phenology

(Table S1; full model fits provided in the supplemental material). We also found evidence of a

significant phylogenetic signal in the phenological reaction norm (Pagel's λ = 1.002 LR chi

square = 18.67, P<0.001), revealing that closely related species have similar magnitude of

thermal plasticity in phenology (Figure 4). Estimated reaction norms were correlated with mean

phenology across species, with early season species exhibiting more negative reaction norms and

late season species exhibiting positive reaction norms ($F_{1, 46}$ = 8.10, P = 0.0066, Figure 5A). We

also found a trend of more negative reaction norm slopes for the southern species in a

phylogenetic mixed model with latitude as a predictor of estimated reaction norm ($F_{1, 45}$ = 3.49, P

= 0.07, the two outlier species *Sympecma fusca* and *Aeshna serrata* excluded from this analysis,

Figure 5B).

The alignment between the estimated phenological reaction norms, b, and the estimated

environmental dependence of selection, B, described as |B-b|, varied significantly with species

mean latitude ($F_{1, 47}$ = 9.27, P = 0.0038, Figure 5C). Species at northern latitudes had thermal

reaction norms that were more closely aligned with their estimated environmental dependence of

phenological optima. Thus, plasticity and selection were more closely aligned in the northern

species, suggesting that plasticity might be more adaptive in northern than in southern species.

Discussion

Here we combine three independent datasets, together representing well over 1 million

individual insect records, to test two related questions on the role of phenotypic plasticity in the

evolution of phenology. First, we demonstrate pronounced recent phenological advance in a

single damselfly species, *Ischnura elegans*, using a long term study of individuals in the wild.

We addressed the question of whether temperature-dependent phenological plasticity

corresponds to a temperature dependence in the optimum phenology. We find that thermal

plasticity in developmental time in the laboratory is substantial and matches the relationship

between temperature and the optimum phenology of the same species estimated in the field. This

suggests an important role for thermal plasticity in adaptation to seasonal environmental

variability, and also suggests plasticity could contribute to advancing phenology that corresponds

to recent anthropogenically-caused climate change in Sweden (47). At the macroevolutionary

scale, we used a large interspecific database of individual emergence records of 49 species of

odonates spanning the extensive latitudinal gradient of Sweden. We combined these occurrence

records with spatiotemporally matched estimates of spring mean temperature to examine the

possible role of phenotypic plasticity in species divergence in phenology. We found that these

species-specific mean phenological reaction norms are more closely aligned with indirect

inferences of the environmental dependence of the optimum for northern than for southern

species. Our combined intra- and interspecific results indicate that temperature-dependent

plasticity in phenology underlies both microevolutionary adaptation to contemporary climate

change as well as the macroevolutionary expansion into harsh climatic conditions at high

latitudes that took place when species colonized these areas after the last Ice Age in

Fennoscandia, about 10,000 years before present.

We found a phenological advance in a single species (*Ischnura elegans*) of 0.58

days/year, almost six years per decade or 10.44 days over the entire 18-year study period (Fig.

1). This is a dramatic phenological advance during the first two decades of the century in

Sweden. In fact, this is almost twice as large advancement of phenology as was documented

previously for *I. elegans* in a study of British Odonata for the period 1960-2004 (0.58 vs. 0.33;

48). Across all of these 25 British species of Odonata, the average phenological advancement per

decade was 1.51 days (48), again considerably lower than the estimated advancement of 5.8 days

per decade for *I. elegans* in Sweden. Moreover, the average advancement across these British

Odonata species was 3.08 days per °C (48), considerably less than our estimate of the advanced

phenology of *I. elegans* in Sweden. Thus, although our findings of phenological advance are

consistent with previous study in Britain, the new results presented here suggest temperature-

dependent phenological advance in odonates may be more extreme than previously appreciated.

We find close correspondence between point estimates of the environmental dependence

of selection in *Ischnura elegans* and the population mean reaction norm, using three independent

datasets and approaches. Our direct estimate of *B* using data on individual fitness from the long-

term study on *I. elegans* and our indirect estimates using a public database of individual

occurrence records for 49 different species both suggest that the optimum emergence time

advances substantially for every centigrade degree of spring warming. Our direct estimate of the

phenological reaction norm matches closely with our direct estimate of the environmental

dependence of selection, and the correspondence is also found using a bivariate mixed model

approach to indirectly estimate these parameters (see Supplemental Material). Although absolute

values of *B* and *b* differ substantially across these two approaches (direct vs indirect), the

qualitative conclusion – that phenotypic plasticity can explain the close match between local

optima set by environmental variation – is equivalent across these different approaches and

datasets. This correspondence is encouraging and suggests that our space-for-time substitution

approach to estimating environmental dependence of optimal phenologies may be robust to

inevitable violation of the assumptions (e.g., local adaptation and limited dispersal) that underlie

the theory behind the method (9). We are unaware of other comparisons between these

approaches (but see 49 for a comparison of other related approaches). The results presented here

echoes past suggestions (30) that further validation of indirect estimates of *B* is warranted.

Our laboratory breeding experiment revealed a strong effect of larval temperature on

development and emergence time, with an advance of 17 Julian days per degree Celsius.

Although this might suggest 'hyper plasticity' (17) wherein the population mean reaction norm

might exceed the environmental dependence of selection, we note that our laboratory experiment

consisted of constant thermal conditions within each treatment for the duration of development.

In natural settings, variation in temperature occurring on top of mean temperature differences

could reduce the expression of plasticity (and thus the mean reaction norm), or in other cases

inhance it. Moreover, our laboratory environment lacked other environmental cues, such as

photoperiod, that may be used in addition to or conjunction with temperature to determine

individual developmental rate, which may result in apparent hyper plasticity in analysis of a

single cue (14). Nevertheless, our laboratory experiment does indicate that the strength of

temperature-dependent plasticity qualitatively matches our estimate of the environmental

dependence of selection and is aligned with the direction of temporal phenological advance in

response to rising temperatures in natural populations. Indeed, the estimated population reaction

norm in *I. elegans* suggests that an adaptive fit between the thermal optimum and mean

phenology could be explained entirely by phenotypic plasticity. This indicates that *I. elegans*

may already be well-adapted to cope with changing climates and increasing temperature

compared to many other animal taxa, such as birds (50) and is able to closely track moving

phenological optima. Consistent with this finding, gene expression analyses and heat- and cold

shock experiments in *I. elegans* adults from different parts of the geographic range indicate that

adaptive thermal plasticity does play an important role in the northward range expansion of this

species (51, 52), in addition to genetic adaptation (53).

Our laboratory experiment also revealed that development time was modestly heritable,

with a point estimate of $H^2 = 0.24$. This is considerably lower than a previous estimate of the

heritability of development time in this species (0.51), estimated in a single thermal environment

(54). The modest level of heritability of development that we document here would require a

persistent variance-standardized selection gradient of approximately $\beta = -.13$ to result in the

observed annual phenological advance of 0.58 days/year (approximately .03 within-year standard

deviations). This would be relatively strong selection compared to available evidence

documented in meta-analyses of field studies on phenotypic selection (55). Although our results

and analyses do indeed suggest that selection can be strong, we also find little evidence of

persistent directional selection of the magnitude required to produce such a dramatic response. In

fact, across all years, net selection on phenology is actually slightly positive rather than negative

as would be expected if earlier phenology was consistently favored (Fig. S1). Therefore, it is

unlikely that genetic evolution alone can entirely explain the strong phenological advance of

more than 10 days during the entire 18-year period, given the substantial among-year variation

(Figure 2) of *I. elegans* in southern Sweden. Instead, our analyses suggest that phenotypic

plasticity may have a key role in driving both inter-annual variation in phenology and the

progressively earlier flight seasons that we have documented here. These data suggest that *I.*

elegans can track the changing phenological optima through plasticity, which will in effect

reduce or counteract directional selection for earlier phenology, a conclusion that was also

reached in a recent study of 21 bird and mammal species with long-term data (8). More

generally, various forms of phenotypic plasticity have been proposed to "buffer" organisms

against external stress from temperature extremes (56), and recent studies have shown that

plasticity and selection might sometimes be closely aligned (57, 58).

Theory suggests that the fitness benefit of phenological plasticity depends upon the

existence of environmental cues that accurately predict the conditions that determine fitness in

the next life stage (14). Here we have focused on spring mean temperature as a potential

environmental cue (or correlate thereof). This is justified in substantial evidence linking

temperature and corresponding thermal tolerance to various aspects of adult fitness in insects in

general (59, 60), and our study species *I. elegans* in particular (51-53, 61). Moreover, our

laboratory experiment confirms that larval developmental temperature is a key predictor of

emergence time into the transition to the adult terrestrial life-stage. Across all our interspecific

dataset, all but one species in our comparative analysis over-winter as either aquatic larvae or

eggs, and so are exposed to spring temperatures at a similar developmental stage. The only

exception to this rule is the winter damselfly *Sympecma fusca*, which overwinters as an adult in

vegetation refugia. Consistent with this, *S. fusca* was a clear outlier in our estimates of

phenological reaction norms, exhibiting the most extreme negative estimate of b (Fig. 4A). This

suggests that this species might be quicker to respond to spring temperature cues in the transition

to the aerial life-stage, compared to species that overwinter in their aquatic larval stage or as

eggs. This fits with previous research showing that temperature-phenology reaction norms tend

to be strongest in insects that over winter as adults (62). Across all the species included in this

study, it is likely that other environmental cues are also important for determining developmental

rate; for instance, aspects of the biotic environment, such as density of con- or heterospecific

competitors and resource availability. These unknown additional cues could explain much of the

residual variance in phenology as well as the lack of alignment of inferred reaction norms and

inferred optima in some southerly taxa. As an example, plasticity in response to resource

availability, instead of temperature, is a main driver of advanced phenology red squirrels despite

the appearance of directional selection on heritable phenology (63). Nevertheless, our focus on

temperature is relevant in light of anthropogenic climate change (11) and the general consensus

that temperature is a stronger determinant of fitness in ectotherms than in endotherms (64).

The degree of alignment between the phenological reaction norms and environmental

dependence of selection changed across the latitudinal range of these 49 species in Sweden (Fig.

4C). This indicates that adaptive phenological plasticity has played an important role in the

northern range expansion of this clade. Insect colonization of the Scandinavian peninsula

occurred relatively recently following the retreat of the large Fenno-Scandian ice sheet, which

covered the region from the last glacial maximum until its recession at the end of the Pleistocene

approximately 10,000 years ago (65, 66). Thus, the odonate species that currently occur in

northern Sweden have established themselves in this region only recently. Our findings of

stronger alignment between the fitness optima and plasticity in northern species in our

phylogenetic comparative analysis (Fig. 5) is thus consistent with the theoretical prediction (67,

68) that plasticity is important for adaption to novel and variable environments, such as near

range limits (51, 52). Conversely, although such adaptive alignment between plasticity and

phenological optima were observed in northern species, the southern species might currently

show some degree of non-adaptive or even maladaptive plasticity in relation to temperature as

they show less alignment (Fig. 4C). Recent research indicate that phenotypic plasticity can be

adaptive, non-adaptive or even maladaptive, depending on traits and environmental context (17,

57, 58, 69).

522   By combining data from multiple and independent sources of data, including individual

523 variation in flight periods, fitness data, and data on thermal environmental variation, we have

524 revealed a key role for phenotypic plasticity in explaining intra- and interspecific variation in

525 phenology. Importantly, through our focus on a group of insects with a conserved and complex

526 life cycle, we were able to expand our intraspecific microevolutionary analyses of a single

527 species to the macroevolutionary level, to asses phenological plasticity's role in post-Pleistocene

528 macroevolution of insect phenology and its temperature-dependence. Our work indicates that

529 temperature-dependent phenological plasticity can at least partly track changing optima due to

530 recent climate change, and that such adaptive phenological plasticity may also have facilitated

531 historical range expansion into variable and extreme northern environments.

533 **Acknowledgements** We thank the many student interns that assisted with collection of the long-

534 term *I. elegans* data, and especially Rachel Thomson and Tilly Pembury Smith who helped carry

535 out the laboratory breeding experiment during 2017-2018. Deborah Goedert provided critical

536 feedback on the manuscript. Funding was provided by a postdoctoral scholarship grant to M.M.

537 from The Emil Aaltonen Foundation, grants from the Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences and

538 the Royal Physiographical Society of Lund to S.P.D., and research grants from The Swedish

539 Research Council (VR; grant no. 2016-03356), Gyllenstiernska Krapperupstiftelsen (grant no.

540 KR2018—0038), Lunds Djurskyddsfond, "Olle Engqvist Byggmästare Foundation", John

541 Templeton Foundation (grant no. 60501) and Stina Werners Foundation to E.I.S.

Figure 1. The environmental dependence of selection and the mean reaction norm jointly determine the role that phenotypic plasticity plays in adaptation. The x-axis represents an environmental variable important for individual fitness; the y-axis represents phenology, the timing of a key life history transition. The slope of the regression of the optimal phenology on environmental variation, B, represents the degree to which selection on phenology depends on the environment, shown in black. The degree to which expressed population mean phenology depends on environmental variation is represented by the by the population mean reaction norm, b, shown in blue. The degree to which B and b align determines the potential contribution of phenotypic plasticity to adaptation to environmental variation.

Figure 2. Reproductive advance in *I. elegans* in Southern Sweden. *Ischnura elegans*, a
common European damselfly, exhibits an aerial reproductive adult stage (**A**) that follows a
prolonged aquatic larval growth stage. Long term study of a set of populations in southern
Sweden over 18 years indicates a significant advance in the timing of the aerial adult phase (**B**).
Conclusions were unaffected by exclusion of data from the year 2000.

Figure 3. Temperature dependent selection and plasticity are aligned in *I. elegans*. In a

laboratory experiment, increased larval developmental temperature was associated with

accelerated time to metamorphosis into an aerial adult, **A**. Y axis days from hatching to

emergence. In a long term study of *I. elegans* in the wild, selection varied across years but was

net-stabilizing, **B**, with optima that vary across years (lines show model fits from the fixed

effects across years; each color represents a unique year). The estimated optimum was negative

temperature dependent, **C**. Panel C shows the linear relationship between θ_z and spring mean

temperature (equation 2 in text); black line shows original ML estimates, grey lines show 100

samples from a multivariate normal distribution centered at the ML estimates with covariance

equal to the covariance matrix of fixed effected from the fitted model. Dashed blue line shows

the estimated reaction norm from the plasticity experiment (see panel A).

Figure 4. Inferred temperature reaction norms for phenology across Swedish Odonates.

Estimated reaction norms for temperature dependent phenology show variation among species,

although most are relatively shallow and phylogenetic signal is high and statistically significant.

Sympecma fusca, 49, was truncated for clarity (b = -110.69). Species images (photos by S. De

Lisle, top down): *Lestes dryas* (ID 44), *Calopteryx splendens* (ID 32), *Brachytron praetense* (ID

9), *Aeshna cyanea* (ID 1). Full species names for each ID number are provided in Table S1.

Figure 5. Macroevolutionary dynamics of phenological plasticity. Species with flight periods early in the year exhibit more negative thermal reaction norms, while those that emerge late in the season tend to exhibit positive reaction norms to winter/spring temperature, **A**. There is evidence that negative reaction norms, reflecting accelerated development in response to warm temperatures, evolve in southern latitudes **B**. Across species, the alignment between inferred reaction norm slope, b, and the inferred environmental dependence of the optimum phenology B, becomes greater (smaller absolute difference) for species with Northern geographic ranges **C**. Together these data suggest that reaction norms have diversified at the macroevolutionary scale and that this diversity may have played a particularly important role during expansion into northern latitudes.

Literature Cited

1. H. M. Wilbur, Complex Life Cycles. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **11**, 67-93 (1980).

2. E. E. Werner, J. F. Gilliam, The ontogenetic niche and species interactions in size-structured populations. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **15**, 393-425 (1984).

3. M. E. Visser *et al.*, Effects of Spring Temperatures on the Strength of Selection on Timing of Reproduction in a Long-Distance Migratory Bird *PLoS Biology* **e1002120** (2015).

4. C. Hassal, D. J. Thompson, G. C. French, I. F. Harvey, Historical changes in the phenology of British Odonata are related to climate. *Global Change Biology* **13**, 933-941 (2007).

5. M. J. Angilletta, *Thermal Adaptation: A Theoretical and Empirical Synthesis* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2009).

6. F. Plard *et al.*, Mismatch Between Birth Date and Vegetation Phenology Slows the Demography of Roe Deer. *PLoS Biology* **12**, e1001828 (2014).

7. S. Bonamour, L. M. Chevin, D. Reale, C. Teplitsky, A. Charmantier, Age-dependent phenological plasticity in a wild bird. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **in press** (2020).

8. P. Villemereuil *et al.*, Fluctuating optimum and temporally variable selection on breeding date in brids and mammals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **117**, 31969-31978 (2020).

9. J. D. Hadfield, The spatial scale of local adaptation in a stochastic environment. *Ecology Letters* **19**, 780-788 (2016).

647   10.   J. T. Anderson, D. W. Inouye, A. M. McKinney, R. I. Colautti, T. Mitchell-Olds,

648   Phenotypic plasticity and adaptive evolution contribute to advancing flowering

649   phenology in response to climate change *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London*

650   *Series B*. **279**, 3843-3852 (2012).

651   11.   C. Parmesan, Ecological and Evolutionary Responses to Recent Climate Change. *Annual*

652   *Review of Ecology and Systematics* **37**, 637-669 (2006).

653   12.   S. J. Thackeray, e. al., Phenological sensitivity to climate across taxa and trophic levels.

654   *Nature* **535**, 241-245 (2016).

655   13.   L. M. Chevin, R. Lande, G. M. Mace, Adaptation, plasticity, and extinction in a changing

656   environment: Towards a predictive theory. *PLoS Biology* **8** e1000357 (2010).

657   14.   L. M. Chevin, R. Lande, Evolution of environmental cues for phenotypic plasticity

658   *Evolution* **69**, 2767-2775 (2015).

659   15.   H. H. Whiteman, Evolution of facultative paedomorphosis in salamanders. *The Quarterly*

660   *Review of Biology* **69**, 205-221 (1994).

661   16.   Levins, *Evolution in changing environments* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ,

662   1968).

663   17.   M. A. Stamp, J. D. Hadfield, The relative importance of plasticitiy versus genetic

664   differntiation in explaining between population differences; a meta analysis *Ecology*

665   *Letters* **doi: 10.1111/ele.13565** (2020).

666   18.   S. J. Franks, J. J. Weber, S. Aitken, Evolutionary and plastic responses to climate change

667   in terrestrial plant populations *Evolutionary Applications* **7**, 123-139 (2014).

668   19.   S. Boutin, J. E. Lane, Climate change and mammals: evolutionary versus plastic

669   responses *Evolutionary Applications* **7**, 29-41 (2014).

20.   L. G. Crozier, J. A. Hutchings, Plastic versus evolutionary responses to climate change in
fish *Evolutionary Applications* **7**, 68-87 (2014).

21.   A. R. Nashoba, T. J. Y. Kono, Selection and plasticity both account for interannual
variation in life-history phenology in an annual praries legume. *Ecology and Evolution*
10, 940-951 (2020).

22.   A. Charmantier *et al.*, Adaptive phenotypic plasticity in response to climate change in a
wild bird population. *Science* **320**, 800-803 (2008).

23.   A. B. Phillimore, J. D. Hadfield, O. R. Jones, R. J. Smithers, Differences in spawning
date between populations of common frog reveal local adaptaion *Proceedings of the*
National Academy of Sciences **107**, 8292-8297 (2010).

24.   R. Stoks, A. N. Geerts, L. De Meester, Evolutionary and plastic responses of freshwater
invertebrates to climate change: realized patterns and future potential *Evolutionary*
Applications **7**, 42-55 (2014).

25.   M. C. Urban, J. L. Richardson, N. A. Freidenfelds, Plasticity and genetic adaptation
mediate amphibian and reptile responses to climate change *Evolutionary Applications* **7**,
88-103 (2014).

26.   J. Merilä, A. P. Hendry, Climate change, adaptation, and phenotypic plasticity: The
problem and the evidence *Evolutionary Applications* **7**, 1-14 (2014).

27.   A. Charmantier, P. Gienapp, Climate change and timing of breeding and migration:
evolutionary versus plastic changes. *Evolutionary Applications* **7**, 15-28 (2014).

28.   L. G. Crozier, M. D. Scheuerell, R. W. Zabel, Using time series analysis to characterize
evolutionary and plastic responses to environmental change: A case study of shift

towards earlier migration date in sockeye salmon *The American Naturalist* **178**, 755-773

(2011).

29. A. B. Phillimore, S. Stålhandske, R. J. Smithers, R. Bernard, Dissecting the contributions

of plasticity and local adaptation to the phenology of a butterfly and its host plants. *The*

American Naturalist **180**, 655-670 (2012).

30. A. B. Phillimore, D. I. Leech, J. W. Pearce-Higgens, J. D. Hadfield, Passerines may be

suffieciently plastic to track temperature-mediated shifts in optimum lay date. *Global*

Change Biology **22**, 3258-3272 (2016).

31. R. Stoks, A. Córdoba-Aguilar, Evolutionary ecology of odonata: A complex life cycle

perspective *Annual Review of Entomolgy* **57**, 249-265 (2012).

32. B. Willink, E. I. Svensson, Intra- and intersexual differences in parasite resistance and

female fitness tolerance in a polymorphic insect. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of*

London Series B., 28420162407 (2017).

33. T. P. Gosden, E. I. Svensson, Spatial and temporal dynamics in a sexual selection mosaic.

Evolution **62**, 845-856 (2008).

34. E. I. Svensson, J. Abbott, Evolutionary dynamics and population biology of a

polymorphic insect. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **18**, 1503-1514 (2005).

35. E. I. Svensson, J. Abbott, R. Hardling, Female polymorphism, frequency dependence,

and rapid evolutionary dynamics in natural populations. *The American Naturalist* **165**, 567-576

(2005).

36. J. Abbott, E. I. Svensson, Phenotypic and genetic variation in emergence and

development time of a trimorphic damselfly. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **18**, 1464-

1470 (2005).

37.   J. T. Waller, E. I. Svensson, Body size evolution in an old insect order: no evidence for

Cope's rule in spite of fitness benefits of large size. *Evolution* **71**, 2178-2193 (2017).

38.   A. Grafen, The phylogenetic regression. *Philosophical Transactions of The Royal Society*

B. **326**, 119-157 (1989).

39.   R. Lande, S. J. Arnold, The measurement of selection on correlated characters. *Evolution*

37, 1210-1226 (1983).

40.   L. M. Chevin, M. E. Visser, J. Tufto, Estimating the variation, autocorrelation, and

environmental sensitivity of phenotypic selection. *Evolution* **69**, 2319-2332 (2015).

41.   P. C. Phillips, S. J. Arnold, Visualizing multivariate selection. *Evolution* **43**, 1209-1222

(1989).

42.   J. R. Stinchcombe, A. F. Agrawal, P. A. Hohenlohe, S. J. Arnold, M. W. Blows,

Estimating nonlinear selection gradients using quadratic regression coefficients: double

or nothing? *Evolution* **62**, 2435-2440 (2008).

43.   D. Houle, K. Meyer, Estimating sampling error of evolutionary statistics based on genetic

covariance matrices using maximum likelihood. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **28**,

1542-1549 (2015).

44.   M. Lynch, B. Walsh, *Genetics and the analysis of quantitative traits* (Sinaur Asssociates

Inc., Sunderland, MA, 1998).

45.   J. D. Hadfield, S. Nakagawa, General quantitative genetic methods for comparative

biology: Phylogenies, taxonomies, meta-analysis and multi-trait models for continuous

and categorical characters. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **23**, 494-508 (2010).

46.   M. Pagel, Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. *Nature* **401**, 877-884

(1999).

47.   SMHI (2015) Climate Indicators - Temperature (Swedish Meteorological and

Hyrdrological Institute).

48.   C. Hassall, D. J. Thompson, G. C. French, I. F. Harvey, Historical changes in the

phenology of British Odonata are related to climate. *Global Change Biology* **13**, 933-941

(2007).

49.   K. J. van Benthem *et al.*, Disentangling evolutionary, plastic and demographic processes

underlying trait dynamics: a review of four frameworks. *Methods in Ecology and*

Evolution **8**, 75-85 (2017).

50.   V. Radchuk *et al.*, Adaptive responses of animals to climate change are most likely

insufficient. *Nature Communications* **10**, 3109 (2019).

51.   L. T. Lancaster, R. Y. Dudaniec, B. Hansson, E. I. Svensson, Latitudinal shift in thermal

niche breadth results from thermal release during a climate-mediated range expansion.

Journal of Biogeography **42**, 1953-1963 (2015).

52.   L. T. Lancaster, R. Y. Dudaniec, P. Chauhan, M. Wellenreuther, E. I. Svensson, Gene

expression under thermal stress varies across a geographical range expansion front.

Molecular Ecology **25**, 1141-1156 (2016).

53.   R. Y. Dudaniec, C. J. Yong, L. T. Lancaster, E. I. Svensson, B. Hansson, Signatures of

local adaptation along environmental gradients in a range-expanding damselfly (Ischnura

elegans). *Molecular Ecology* **27**, 2576-2593 (2018).

54.   J. Abbott, E. I. Svensson, Morph-specific variation in intersexual genetic correlations in

an intraspecific mimicry system. *Evolutionary Ecology Research* **12**, 105-118 (2010).

55.   J. G. Kingsolver *et al.*, The strength of phenotypic selection in natural populations.

American Naturalist **157**, 245-261 (2001).

56. R. B. Huey, P. E. Hertz, B. Sinervo, Behavioral drive versus behavioral inertia in

evolution: a null model approach. *The American Naturalist* **161**, 357-366 (2003).

57. D. W. A. Noble, R. Radersma, T. Uller, Plastic responses to novel environments are

biased towards phenotype dimensions with high additive genetic variation. *Proceedings*

of the National Academy of Sciences **116**, 13452-13461 (2019).

58. F. Johansson, P. C. Watts, S. Sniegula, D. Berger, Natural selection mediated by seasonal

time constraints increases the alignment between evolvability and developmental

plasticity. *Evolution* **in press** (2021).

59. R. Garcia-Roa, F. Garcia-Gonzalez, D. W. A. Noble, P. Carazo, Temperature as a

modulator of sexual selection. *Biological Reviews* **in press** (2020).

60. D. Punzalan, F. H. Rodd, L. Rowe, Sexual selection mediated by the thermoregulatory

effects of male colour pattern in the ambush bug Phymata americana. *Proceedings B* **275**,

483-492 (2008).

61. E. I. Svensson, B. Willink, M. C. Duryea, L. T. Lancaster, Temperature drives pre-

reproductive selection and shapes the biogeography of a female polymorphism. *Ecology*

Letters **23**, 149-159 (2020).

62. J. R. K. Forrest, Complex responses of insect phenology to climate change *Current*

Opinion in Insect Science **17** 49-54 (2016).

63. J. E. Lane *et al.*, Phenological shifts in North American red squirrels: diesntangling the

roles of phenotypic plasticity and microevolution. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **31**,

810-821 (2018).

64. M. Angiletta, *Thermal Adaptation* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2009).

65. G. Hewitt, The genetic legacy of the Quarternary ice ages. *Nature* **405**, 907-913 (2000).

66.   G. Hewitt, Postglacial re-colonization of European biota. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **68**, 87-112 (1999).

67.   L. M. Chevin, R. Lande, Adaptation to marginal habitats by evolution of increased phenotypic plasticity *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **24**, 1462-1476 (2011).

68.   R. Lande, Adaptation to an extraordinary environment by evolution of phenotypic plasticity and genetic assimilation *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **22**, 1435-1446 (2009).

69.   E. I. Svensson, M. A. Gomez-Llano, J. T. Waller, Selection on phenotypic plasticity favors thermal canalization. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **117**, 29767-29774 (2020).

 Supplemental Material

Table S1. Summary statistics for 49 species of Swedish Odonata. B is the indirect estimate of the environmental dependence of the optimum, b is the indirect estimate of the temperature-phenology reaction norm. R^2_b is the variance in phenology explained by plasticity within grid cells. See text for further details.

Taxon	species ID #	Mean temp	Mean phenology	Mean Latitude	B	b	R^2_b
Aeshna cyanea	1	0.90	234.72	57.58	-11.38	4.07	0.25
Aeshna grandis	2	0.34	224.90	59.58	109.70	2.78	0.21
Aeshna juncea	3	1.43	245.27	56.51	-1.59	4.89	0.25
Aeshna mixta	4	-0.13	214.83	58.68	181.84	2.93	0.13
Aeshna serrata	5	0.41	216.44	58.32	1.43	-11.04	0.44
Aeshna subarctica	6	0.63	224.42	57.74	-7.57	1.95	0.02
Aeshna viridis	7	0.45	217.06	58.46	-2.66	1.75	0.04
Anax imperator	8	2.58	192.54	56.20	91.59	0.43	0.00
Brachytron pratense	9	1.20	157.68	57.03	-55.71	-1.34	0.09
Cordulegaster boltonii	10	-0.11	190.87	58.57	2.75	-1.12	0.02
Cordulia aenea	11	0.41	164.30	57.92	-66.13	-1.63	0.07
Epitheca bimaculata	12	0.95	166.71	57.74	28.19	-0.28	0.00
Gomphus vulgatissimus	13	0.81	165.96	57.67	-670.66	-4.54	0.20
Leucorrhinia albifrons	14	0.98	183.21	58.24	-6.42	2.27	0.07
Leucorrhinia caudalis	15	0.25	174.51	58.57	-5.34	-2.35	0.18
Leucorrhinia dubia	16	0.03	177.04	59.37	-1.75	0.02	0.00
Leucorrhinia pectoralis	17	0.40	172.30	58.13	12.64	-1.70	0.07
Leucorrhinia rubicunda	18	0.16	158.16	58.73	125.02	-1.86	0.06
Libellula depressa	19	1.17	168.22	56.95	6.12	-1.09	0.03
Libellula fulva	20	1.31	178.01	57.60	-129.90	-0.20	0.00
Libellula quadrimaculata	21	0.52	171.52	57.85	76.68	-1.47	0.06
Onychogomphus forcipatus	22	0.46	192.14	58.13	-9.53	-0.07	0.00
Orthetrum coerulescens	23	0.58	195.60	57.88	-17.14	3.96	0.22
Somatochlora arctica	24	-0.12	193.21	61.90	7.19	5.12	0.08
Somatochlora flavomaculata	25	0.72	191.30	57.92	28.90	1.16	0.02
Somatochlora metallica	26	0.10	199.56	58.48	-6.12	1.00	0.01
Sympetrum danae	27	0.60	236.08	58.72	-8.32	1.64	0.03
Sympetrum flaveolum	28	0.47	209.63	57.91	5.81	-2.66	0.04
Sympetrum sanguineum	29	1.05	224.38	57.35	106.20	1.59	0.06
Sympetrum striolatum	30	1.60	237.06	56.88	3.78	0.90	0.00
Sympetrum vulgatum	31	0.81	233.27	57.83	-75.24	2.80	0.09

Calopteryx splendens	32	1.05	184.48	57.13	-65.05	0.76	0.01
Calopteryx virgo	33	0.34	182.96	58.67	111.47	0.39	0.00
Coenagrion armatum	34	0.36	153.94	58.50	0.02	-4.92	0.08
Coenagrion hastulatum	35	0.06	168.22	58.63	-4.18	0.13	0.00
Coenagrion johanssoni	36	-1.11	184.94	60.73	1.93	0.61	0.01
Coenagrion lunulatum	37	1.15	157.04	57.42	-2.23	-0.39	0.00
Coenagrion puella	38	1.09	174.47	57.21	-15.63	-1.39	0.04
Coenagrion pulchellum	39	0.76	172.28	57.40	-10.17	-0.08	0.00
Enallagma cyathigerum	40	0.87	191.40	56.91	58.65	-1.29	0.03
Erythromma najas	41	0.43	178.93	57.68	272.77	1.49	0.02
Erythromma viridulum	42	2.69	209.41	55.74	572.93	-0.32	0.00
Ischnura pumilio	43	2.83	182.00	56.35	-53.77	-5.11	0.06
Lestes dryas	44	0.95	197.02	57.13	21.27	0.61	0.00
Lestes sponsa	45	0.61	211.48	57.53	26.84	2.16	0.12
Lestes virens	46	2.15	226.74	56.64	-112.34	-3.20	0.05
Platycnemis pennipes	47	0.79	184.15	57.84	68.47	0.75	0.01
Pyrrhosoma nymphula	48	0.42	168.05	57.63	4.68	-0.98	0.02
Sympecma fusca	49	1.18	155.54	57.90	6.57	-110.69	1.00

Figure S1. Variation in standardized directional selection gradients. Histogram shows

BLUP estimates of annual directional selection on phenology, from a model with copulation

success as response and globally-standardized (sd = 1) Julian day as a fixed effect. Blue dashed

line indicates the overall (main effect) estimate of directional selection across all 18 study years.

Data come from a mixed effects model with random variation in slope and intercept among

years.

Figure S2. Comparison of parameter estimates from bivariate mixed effects reaction norm model and direct estimates of B and b. Long-dashed blue and black lines are (respectively) the estimates of the population mean reaction norm, b, and the temperature-dependence of the optimum phenology, B, estimated from a bivariate mixed model with fixed and random effects of space and time (see text) using observational data from the Swedish Artportalen and NOAA GFS ANL. Other graph features are as in Figure 3C; although sampling effects result in slight differences in the grey lines showing error in B. Short-dashed blue line is the reaction norm b

837   estimated in a laboratory experiment, solid black line is the estimate of B from individual fitness

838   data from wild-caught damselflies.

Figure S3 Comparison of model fits between identical bivariate mixed models fit to

temperature and phenology data using either the mean of the first 120 Julian days of the year or

the mean April temperature. The difference in -2 log likelihood shows that on average across the

49 species studied that the mean 120 days fit on average 10.17 (SE = 4.38, DF = 48 P = 0.0245)

log likelihood units better than mean April temperature. Note that these are identical datasets

(equal N) and identical models, differing only in the values of mean temperature and so

comparing the likelihoods in this way provides one approach to assessing fit. We found no

evidence of any phylogetic signal in which data set fit better (Pagel's λ = 6.67295e-05, P $\approx$ 1).

Figure S4. Comparison of R^2 of b estimated using two values for spring mean temperature.

Values are the squares of the correlation coefficients estimated as the covariance between

temperature and phenology across time within binned latitudinal grid cells divided by the

product of the square roots of the variance in temperature and phenology. On average, reaction

norm from the mean 120 days explained slightly more variation (9%) than did that estimated

using April temperature only (7.5%). Red dashed line shows the regression slope between the

two estimates.

863