1 Cluster-specific gene markers enhance Shigella and Enteroinvasive Escherichia coli in

2 silico serotyping

3

5

8

9

10

15

20

21

- 4 Xiaomei Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Michael Payne<sup>1</sup>, Thanh Nguyen<sup>1</sup>, Sandeep Kaur<sup>1</sup>, Ruiting Lan<sup>1</sup>\*
- 6 <sup>1</sup>School of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney,
- 7 New South Wales, Australia
- 11 \*Corresponding Author
- 12 Email: r.lan@unsw.edu.au
- 13 Phone: 61-2-9385 2095
- 14 Fax: 61-2-9385 1483
- 16 **Keywords:** Phylogenetic clusters, cluster-specific gene markers, *Shigella*/EIEC serotyping
- 17 Running title: Shigella EIEC Cluster Enhanced Serotyping
- 18 **Repositories**: Raw sequence data are available from NCBI under the BioProject number
- 19 PRJNA692536.

**Abstract** 

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

49

50

Shigella and enteroinvasive Escherichia coli (EIEC) cause human bacillary dysentery with similar invasion mechanisms and share similar physiological, biochemical and genetic characteristics. The ability to differentiate *Shigella* and EIEC from each other is important for clinical diagnostic and epidemiologic investigations. The existing genetic signatures may not discriminate between Shigella and EIEC. However, phylogenetically, Shigella and EIEC strains are composed of multiple clusters and are different forms of E. coli. In this study, we identified 10 Shigella clusters, 7 EIEC clusters and 53 sporadic types of EIEC by examining over 17,000 publicly available Shigella/EIEC genomes. We compared Shigella and EIEC accessory genomes to identify the cluster-specific gene markers or marker sets for the 17 clusters and 53 sporadic types. The gene markers showed 99.63% accuracy and more than 97.02% specificity. In addition, we developed a freely available in silico serotyping pipeline named Shigella EIEC Cluster Enhanced Serotype Finder (ShigEiFinder) by incorporating the cluster-specific gene markers and established Shigella/EIEC serotype specific O antigen genes and modification genes into typing. ShigEiFinder can process either paired end Illumina sequencing reads or assembled genomes and almost perfectly differentiated Shigella from EIEC with 99.70% and 99.81% cluster assignment accuracy for the assembled genomes and mapped reads respectively. ShigEiFinder was able to serotype over 59 Shigella serotypes and 22 EIEC serotypes and provided a high specificity with 99.40% for assembled genomes and 99.38% for mapped reads for serotyping. The cluster markers and our new serotyping tool, ShigEiFinder (https://github.com/LanLab/ShigEiFinder), will be useful for epidemiologic and diagnostic investigations.

### Data summary

- 47 Sequencing data have been deposited at the National Center for Biotechnology Information
- 48 under BioProject number PRJNA692536.

## Introduction

- 51 Shigella is one of the most common etiologic agents of foodborne infections worldwide and
- 52 can cause diarrhea with a very low infectious dose (1, 2). The infections can vary from mild
- diarrhea to severe bloody diarrhea referred to as bacillary dysentery. The estimated cases of
- 54 Shigella infections are 190 million with at least 210,000 deaths annually, predominantly in
- children younger than 5 years old in developing countries (3-7). Shigella infections also have a

56 significantly impact on public health in developed countries although most cases are travel-57 associated (8). 58 The Shigella genus consists of four species, Shigella sonnei, Shigella flexneri, Shigella bovdii 59 60 and Shigella dysenteriae (9). Serological testing further classifies Shigella species into more than 55 serotypes through the agglutination reaction of antisera to Shigella serotype specific O-61 antigens (10, 11). Up to 89.6% Shigella infections were caused by S. flexneri (65.9%) and S. 62 sonnei (23.7%) globally (12, 13). The predominant serotype reported in Shigella infections has 63 been S. flexneri serotype 2a while S. dysenteriae serotype 1 has caused the most severe disease 64 (11, 14). Note that for brevity, in all references to *Shigella* serotypes below, *S. sonnei*, *S.* 65 flexneri, S. boydii and S. dysenteriae are abbreviated as SS, SF, SB and SD respectively and a 66 serotype is designated with abbreviated "species" name plus the serotype number e.g. S. 67 dysenteriae serotype 1 is abbreviated as SD1. 68 69 Enteroinvasive Escherichia coli (EIEC) is a pathovar of E. coli that causes diarrhoea with less 70 severe symptoms to Shigella infections in humans worldwide, particularly in developing 71 countries (8, 13, 15-18). EIEC infections in developed countries are mainly imported (19). 72 EIEC has more than 18 specific E. coli O-serotypes (19, 20). Although the incidence of EIEC 73 is low (17), EIEC serotypes have been associated with outbreaks and sporadic cases of 74 infections (20-22). In contrast to Shigella, EIEC infections are not notifiable in many countries 75 76 (23, 24).77 Shigella and EIEC have always been considered very closely related and share several 78 79 characteristics (25-28). Shigella and EIEC are both non-motile and lack the ability of ferment lactose (24). Some of EIEC O antigens are identical or similar to Shigella O antigens (O112ac, 80 81 O124, O136, O143, O152 and O164) (26, 29-31). Furthermore, *Shigella* and EIEC both carry the virulence plasmid pINV, which encodes virulence genes required for invasion (32, 33) and 82 contain ipaH (invasion plasmid antigen H) genes with the exception of some SB13 isolates (10, 83 23, 24, 34, 35). Shigella and EIEC have arisen from E. coli in multiple independent events 84 and should be regarded as a single pathovar of E. coli (25, 26, 28, 36-38). Previous 85 phylogenetic studies suggested that Shigella isolates were divided into 3 clusters (C1, C2 and 86 87 C3) with 5 outliers (SS, SB13, SD1, SD8 and SD10) (25, 38) whereas EIEC isolates were 88 grouped into four clusters (C4, C5, C6 and C7) (26). The seven Shigella/EIEC clusters and 5 outliers of Shigella are within the broader non-enteroinvasive E. coli species except for SB13 89

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

which is closer to Escherichia albertii (39, 40). WGS-based phylogenomic studies have also defined multiple alternative clusters of *Shigella* and EIEC (23, 28, 41). The traditional biochemical test for motility and lysine decarboxylase (LDC) activity (42) and molecular test for the presence of *ipaH* gene have been used to differentiate *Shigella* and EIEC from non-enteroinvasive E. coli (24, 43-45). Agglutination with Shigella/EIEC associated antiserum further classify Shigella or EIEC to serotype level. However, cross-reactivity, strains not producing O antigens, and newly emerged *Shigella* serotypes may all prevent accurate serotyping (10, 46). Serotyping by antigenic agglutination is being replaced by molecular serotyping (47, 48), which can be achieved through examination of the sequences of O antigen biosynthesis and modification genes (8, 24, 49-52). Recently, PCR-based molecular detection methods targeting the gene *lacY* were developed to distinguish Shigella from EIEC (53, 54). However, the ability of the primers described in these methods to accurately differentiate between Shigella and EIEC was later questioned (23, 28). With the uptake of whole-genome sequencing technology, several studies have identified phylogenetic clade specific markers, species specific markers and EIEC lineage-specific genes for discrimination between Shigella and EIEC and between Shigella species (23, 27, 28, 41, 55, 56). More recently, genetic markers lacY, cadA, Ss methylase were used for identification of Shigella and EIEC (10). However, these markers failed to discriminate between Shigella and EIEC when a larger genetic diversity is considered (23, 28, 55). A Kmer-based approach can identify Shigella isolates to the species level but misidentification was also observed (56). In this study, we aimed to i), identify phylogenetic clusters of *Shigella* and EIEC through large scale examination of publicly available genomes; ii), identify cluster-specific gene markers using comparative genomic analysis of Shigella and EIEC accessory genomes for differentiation of Shigella and EIEC; iii), develop a pipeline for Shigella and EIEC in silico serotyping based on the cluster-specific gene markers combined with Shigella and EIEC serotype-specific O antigen and H antigen genes. We demonstrate that these cluster-specific gene markers enhance in silico serotyping using genomic data. We also developed an automated pipeline for cluster typing and serotyping of Shigella/EIEC from WGS data. **Materials and Methods** 

# Identification of Shigella/EIEC isolates from NCBI database

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

E. coli/Shigella isolates from the NCBI SRA (National Center for Biotechnology Information Sequence Read Archive) as May of 2019 were gueried. Raw reads were retrieved from ENA (European Nucleotide Archive). The *ipaH* gene (GenBank accession number M32063.1) was used to screen E. coli/Shigella reads using Salmon v0.13.0 (57). Taxonomic classification for E. coli/Shigella was confirmed by Kraken v1.1.1 (58). Molecular serotype prediction of ipaH negative Shigella isolates was performed by ShigaTyper v1.0.6 (10). Isolates that were ipaH positive and isolates with designation of SB13 by ShigaTyper were selected as Shigella/EIEC database. The sequence types (STs) and ribosomal STs (rSTs) of ipaH gene negative E. coli (nonenteroinvasive E. coli) isolates were examined. STs and rSTs for these isolates were obtained from the E. coli/Shigella database in the Enterobase (59) as of May 2019. For STs and rSTs with only one isolate, the isolates were selected. For STs and rSTs with more than one isolates, one representative isolate for each ST and rST were randomly selected. In total, 12,743 ipaH negative E. coli isolates representing 3,800 STs and 11,463 rSTs were selected as nonenteroinvasive E. coli control database. Genome sequencing Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) of 31 EIEC strains used in a previous study (26) was performed by Illumina NextSeq (Illumina, Scoresby, VIC, Australia). DNA libraries were constructed using Nextera XT Sample preparation kit (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) and sequenced using the NextSeq sequencer (Illumina Inc.). FASTO sequences of the strains sequenced in this study were deposited in the NCBI under the BioProject (PRJNA692536). Genome assembly and data processing Raw reads were *de novo* assembled using SPADES v3.14.0 assembler with default settings [http://bioinf.spbau.ru/spades] (60). The metrics of assembled genomes were obtained with QUAST v5.0.0 (61). Three standard deviations (SD) from the mean for contig number, largest contig, total length, GC, N50 and genes were used as quality filter for assembled genomes. The STs for isolates in *Shigella*/EIEC database was checked by using mlst (https://github.com/tseemann/mlst) with the E. coli scheme from PubMLST (62). rSTs were extracted from the E. coli/Shigella rMLST database in Enterobase (59) as of May 2019.

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

Serotype prediction for isolates in *Shigella*/EIEC was performed by ShigaTyper v1.0.6 (10). Serotyping of E. coli O and H antigens were predicted by using SerotypeFinder v2.0.1 (63). Selection of isolates for Shigella/EIEC identification dataset The selection of isolates for the identification dataset was based on the representative isolates for each ST, rST and serotype of Shigella and EIEC in the Shigella/EIEC database. For STs and rSTs with only one isolate, the isolate was selected. For STs and rSTs with more than one isolates, one representative isolate for each ST, rST was randomly selected. A representative experimentally confirmed isolate of each serotype of *Shigella* and EIEC was also randomly selected. 72 ECOR strains downloaded from Enterobase (59) and 18 E. albertii strains were used as controls for the identification dataset. The details of the identification dataset are listed in Table S1. The remaining isolates in Shigella/EIEC database were referred as validation dataset (Table S2). The identification dataset was used to characterise the phylogenetic relationships of Shigella and EIEC. The identification dataset was also used to identify cluster-specific genes. The validation dataset was used to evaluate the performance of cluster-specific gene markers using the *in-silico* serotyping pipeline. Phylogeny of Shigella and EIEC based on WGS Three phylogenetic trees including identification tree, confirmation tree and validation tree were constructed by Quicktree v1.3 (64) with default parameters to identify and confirm the phylogenetic clustering of Shigella and EIEC isolates. The phylogenetic trees were visualised by Grapetree and ITOL v5 (65, 66). The identification phylogenetic tree was generated based on isolates in the identification dataset for the characterisation of clusters of Shigella and EIEC isolates (Fig. 1). A subset of 485 isolates known to represent each identified cluster from the identification dataset were then selected. The subset of 485 isolates from the identification dataset and 1,872 nonenteroinvasive E. coli isolates from non-enteroinvasive E. coli control dataset (2,357 isolates total) were used to construct a confirmation tree. This tree was used for confirmation of the phylogenetic relationships between identified Shigella/EIEC clusters in the identification dataset and non-enteroinvasive E. coli isolates. The validation tree was generated based on 1,159 representative isolates from the validation dataset that were selected in the same way as

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

the identification dataset and a subset of 485 isolates from the identification dataset to assign validation dataset isolates to clusters. Investigation of *Shigella* virulence plasmid pINV The presence of Shigella virulence plasmid pINV in isolates were investigated by using BWA-MEM v0.7.17 (Burrows-Wheeler Aligner) (67) to align isolate raw reads onto the reference sequence of pINV (68) (NC 024996.1). Mapped reads were sorted and indexed using Samtools v1.9 (69). The individual gene coverage from mapping was obtained using Bedtools coverage v2.27.1 (70). **Identification of the cluster-specific gene markers** Cluster-specific gene markers were identified from Shigella/EIEC accessory genomes. The genomes from the identification dataset were annotated using PROKKA v1.13.3 (71). Pan- and core-genomes were analysed by roary v3.12.0 (72) using an 80% sequence identity threshold. The genes specific to each cluster were identified from the accessory genes with an in-house python script. In this study, the number of genomes from a given cluster containing all specific genes for that cluster was termed true positives (TP), the number of genomes from the same cluster lacking any of those same genes was termed false negatives (FN). The number of genomes from other clusters containing all of those same genes was termed false positives (FP). The sensitivity (True positive rate, TPR) of each cluster-specific gene marker was defined as TP/(TP+FN). The specificity (True negative rate, TNR) was defined as TN/(TN+FP). Validation of the cluster-specific gene markers The ability of cluster-specific gene markers to assign Shigella/EIEC isolates was examined by using BLASTN to search against the validation dataset (Table S2) and non-enteroinvasive E. coli control database for the presence of any of the cluster-specific gene marker or a set of cluster-specific gene markers. The BLASTN thresholds were defined as 80% sequence identity and 50% gene length coverage. Development of ShigEiFinder, an automated pipeline for molecular serotyping of Shigella/EIEC

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

ShigEiFinder was developed using paired end illumina genome sequencing reads or assembled genomes identify cluster-specific gene markers combined with Shigella/EIEC serotype specific O antigen genes (wzx and wzy) and modification genes (Fig. 2, Data S1). We used the same signature O and H sequences from ShigaTyper and SerotypeFinder (Data S2) (10, 63). These include Shigella serotype-specifc wzx/wzy genes and modification genes from ShigaTyper and E. coli O antigen and *fliC* (H antigen) genes from SerotypeFinder. *ipaH* gene and 38 virulence genes used in analysis of virulence of 59 sporadic EIEC isolates were also included in the typing reference sequences database. Seven House Keeping (HK) genes -recA, purA, mdh, icd, gyrB, fumC and adk downloaded from NCBI were used for contamination checking. Raw reads were aligned to the typing reference sequences by using BWA-MEM v0.7.17 (67). The mapping length percentage and the mean mapping depth for all genes were calculated using Samtools coverage v1.10 (69). To determine whether the genes were present or absent, 50% of mapping length for all cluster-specific genes, virulence genes and O antigen genes and 10% for *ipaH* gene were used as cutoff value. The ratio of mean mapping depth to the mean mapping depth of the 7 HK genes was used to determine a contamination threshold with ratios less than 1% for *ipaH* gene and less than 10% for other genes assigned as contamination. Reads coverage mapped to particular regions of genes were checked by using samtools mpileup v1.10. Assembled genomes were searched against the typing reference sequences using BLASTN v2.9.0 (73) with 80% sequence identity and 50% gene length coverage for all genes with exception of *ipaH* gene which was defined as 10% gene length coverage. ShigEiFinder was tested with the identification dataset and validated with the Shigella/EIEC validation dataset and non-enteroinvasive E. coli control database. The specificity defined as (1 - the number of non-enteroinvasive E. coli isolates being detected / the total number of nonenteroinvasive E. coli isolates) \* 100. Results Screening sequenced genomes for Shigella/EIEC isolates We first screened available E. coli and Shigella genomes based on the presence of ipaH gene. We examined 122,361 isolates with the species annotation of E. coli (104,256) or Shigella (18,105) with paired end illumina sequencing reads available in NCBI SRA database. Of

122,361 isolates, 17,989 isolates were positive to the *ipaH* gene including 455 out of 104,256 258 259 E. coli isolates and 17,434 out of 18,105 Shigella isolates. The 17,989 ipaH positive E. coli and 260 Shigella genomes and 571 ipaH negative "Shigella" genomes were checked for taxonomic 261 classification and genome assembly quality. 17,320 ipaH positive E. coli and Shigella genomes 262 and 246 ipaH negative "Shigella" genomes passed quality filters. Among 246 ipaH negative "Shigella" genomes, 11 isolates belonged to SB13 by using ShigaTyper (10) while the 263 remaining 235 isolates were classified with taxonomic identifier of E. coli by Kraken v1.1.1 264 (58) and were removed from analysis. A total of 17,331 genomes including 17,320 ipaH 265 positives and 11 SB13 genomes were selected to form the Shigella/EIEC database, which 266 contained 429 genomes with species identifier of E. coli and 16,902 genomes with species 267 identifier of Shigella. 268 269 Isolates in *Shigella*/EIEC database were typed using MLST, ShigaTyper and SerotypeFinder. 270 271 MLST and rMLST divided the 17,331 Shigella/EIEC isolates into 252 STs (73 isolates untypeable by MLST) and 1,128 rSTs (3,513 isolates untypeable by rMLST). Of 16,902 272 genomes with species identifier of Shigella, 8,313 isolates and 8,189 isolates were typed as 273 Shigella and EIEC respectively by ShigaTyper while 400 isolates were untypeable. ShigaTyper 274 typed the majority of the 8,313 isolates as SF (66.82%) including 25.43% SF2a isolates, 275 followed by SS (19.69%), SB (7.22%) and SD (6.27%). 276 277 SerotypeFinder typed 293 of the 429 E. coli genomes into 71 E. coli O/H antigen types. 278 Among these 293 isolates with typable O/H antigen types, 190 isolates belonged to 22 known 279 EIEC serotypes (O28ac:H-, O28ac:H7, O29:H4, O112ac:H26, O121:H30, O124:H30, 280 281 O124:H24, O124:H7, O132:H7, O132:H21, O135:H30, O136:H7, O143:H26, O144:H25, O152:H-, O152:H30, O164:H-, O164:H30, O167:H26, O173:H7 and 2 newly emerged EIEC 282 serotypes O96:H19 and O8:H19) (20-22). The remaining 136 of 429 genomes were O antigen 283 untypable and typed to 15 H antigen types only by SerotypeFinder, of which H16 was the 284 predominant type. 285 286 Identification of Shigella and EIEC clusters 287 Shigella and EIEC are known to have been derived from E. coli independently. To identify 288 289 previously defined clusters (25, 26) and any new clusters from the 17,331 Shigella/EIEC

genomes, we selected representative genomes to perform phylogenetic analysis as it was

impractical to construct a tree with all genomes. The selection was based on ST, rST and

290

291

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

serotype of the 17,331 Shigella/EIEC genomes. One isolate was selected to represent each ST, rST and serotype for a total of 1,830 isolates. The selection included 252 STs, 1,128 rSTs, 59 Shigella serotypes (21 SB serotypes, 20 SF serotypes, 17 SD serotypes and SS), 22 EIEC known serotypes and 31 other or partial antigen types. A further 31 in-house sequenced EIEC isolates, 18 EIEC isolates used in a previous typing study (41), 72 ECOR strains and 18 E. albertii strains were also included to form the identification dataset of 1,969 isolates. Details are listed in Table S1. A phylogenetic tree was constructed based on the identification dataset to identify the clusters (Fig. 1). All known clusters were identified (Fig. 1) including 3 Shigella clusters (C1, C2, C3) and 5 outliers (SD1, SD8, SD10, SB13 and SS) as defined by Pupo et al (25) and 4 EIEC clusters (C4, C5, C6 and C7) defined by Lan et al. (26). Each of these clusters was supported by a bootstrap value of 80% or greater (Fig. S1). 1,789 isolates of the 1,879 Shigella/EIEC isolates (1,830 isolates from the Shigella/EIEC database, 31 in-house sequenced EIEC isolates and 18 EIEC isolates from Hazen et al.) fell within these clusters. Of the remaining 90 Shigella/EIEC unclustered isolates, 31 belonged to 5 Shigella/EIEC serotypes including 5 SB13 isolates, 8 SB12 isolates, 2 EIEC O135:H30 isolates, 12 EIEC serotype O96:H19 isolates and 4 EIEC O8:H19 isolates, while 59 isolates were sporadic EIEC isolates which are described in detail in the separate section below. The 5 SB13 isolates were grouped into one lineage within E. coli and close to known Shigella/EIEC clusters rather than the established SB13 cluster outside E. coli which was within the E. albertii lineage. The former was previously named as atypical SB13 while the latter was previously named as typical SB13 (39). The 8 SB12 isolates formed one single cluster close to SD1 and atypical SB13 clusters. Two EIEC O135:H30 isolates were grouped as a separate cluster close to C5. Twelve isolates belonging to EIEC serotype O96:H19 and 4 isolates typed as O8:H19 were clustered into two separate clusters, both of which were more closely related to SD8 than other Shigella/EIEC clusters. Therefore, atypical SB13 and SB12 were defined as new clusters of Shigella while EIEC O96:H19, EIEC O8:H19 and EIEC O135:H30 were defined as C8, C9 and C10 respectively. In total there were 10 *Shigella* clusters and 7 EIEC clusters (Table 1). Analysis of the 59 sporadic EIEC isolates To determine the phylogenetic relationships of the above defined clusters and the remaining 59

sporadic EIEC isolates within the larger non-enteroinvasive E. coli population a confirmation

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

tree was generated using 485 isolates representing the known clusters and 1,872 representative non-Shigella/EIEC isolates (Fig. S2). The 59 sporadic EIEC isolates including 2 EIEC isolates M2330 (O152:H51) and M2339 (O124:H7) sequenced in this study and 57 isolates were interspersed among non-Shigella/EIEC isolates and did not form large clusters. Groups of these isolates that were not previously identified were named as sporadic EIEC lineage followed by their serotype. For example, M2339 (O124:H7) grouped together with one other EIEC isolate with the same O and H antigens O124:H7 and were named 'sporadic EIEC lineage O124:H7'. There were 53 sporadic EIEC lineages including 5 lineages with 2 or more isolates and 48 lineages with only one isolate. The STs, rSTs and antigen types of these 59 isolates were listed in the Table S1. Some of the sporadic EIEC isolates fell into STs containing *ipaH* negative isolates. We therefore examined the presence of the pINV virulence plasmid in the sporadic EIEC isolates. We selected 38 genes that are essential for virulence including 35 genes (12 mxi genes, 9 spa genes, 5 ipaA-J genes, 6 ipgA-F genes as well as acp, virB, icsB) in the conserved entry region encoding the Mxi-Spa-Ipa type III secretion system and its effectors and 3 regulator genes (virF, virA and icsA/virG) (24, 33, 68) and determined the presence of pINV in the 59 sporadic EIEC isolates by mapping the sequence reads onto a pINV reference sequence (68). Reads from 18 non-Shigella/EIEC isolates that shared the same ST as one of 58 sporadic isolates were positive for these genes. The number of essential virulence genes with mapped reads in the 59 sporadic EIEC isolates were analysed (Fig. S3). Those isolates containing more than 25 of the 38 essential virulence genes were defined as virulence plasmid positive. While isolates containing between 13 and 25 were defined as intermediate and less than 13 were defined as virulence plasmid negative. The 2 newly sequenced sporadic EIEC isolates (M2330 and M2339) were positive for the virulence plasmid and of the other 57 sporadic EIEC isolates, 39 isolates were positive, 9 isolates were negative and 9 isolates were intermediate (Table S1). The results were compared with those non-Shigella/EIEC isolates belonging to the same ST. The virulence plasmid was absent in all non-Shigella/EIEC isolates while all sporadic EIEC isolates in these STs were either positive or intermediate. Therefore, this analysis confirmed the sporadic isolates belonged to EIEC and the STs contained both EIEC and non- EIEC isolates.

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

**Identification of cluster-specific gene markers** In this study, cluster-specific gene markers were either a single gene present in all isolates of a cluster and absent in all other isolates or a set of genes (two or more) that as a combination were only found in one cluster. For the marker sets, a subset of cluster-specific gene markers for a given cluster could be found in other clusters but the entire set was only found in the target cluster. Comparative genomic analysis on 1,969 accessory genomes from the identification dataset was used to identify cluster-specific gene markers or marker sets. Multiple candidate clusterspecific gene markers or marker sets of markers for each of 17 Shigella/EIEC clusters and 53 sporadic EIEC lineages were identified through screening the accessory genes from 1,969 genomes. These gene markers or marker sets were 100% sensitive to clusters but with varying specificity. The cluster-specific gene markers or marker sets with the lowest FP rates were then selected from candidate cluster-specific gene markers by BLASTN searches against genomes in the identification dataset using 80% sequence identity and 50% gene length threshold. Five single cluster-specific gene markers (C7, C10, SB12, SB13 and atypical SB13) and 12 sets of cluster-specific gene markers (C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, C6, C8, C9, SS, SD1, SD8 and SD10) were selected for Shigella/EIEC cluster typing. The sensitivity and specificity for each cluster-specific gene marker or a set of cluster-specific gene markers for the identification dataset were listed in Table 2. The cluster-specific gene markers or marker sets were all 100% sensitive and 100% specific with the exception of C1 (99.94% specificity), C3 (99.91% specificity) and SS (99.8% specificity). A single specific gene for each of 53 sporadic EIEC lineages were also selected with the exception of one lineage which has a set of 2 genes. These genes were all 100% sensitive and specific for a given sporadic EIEC lineage. All cluster-specific gene markers, 37 in total (5 single, 32 genes in 12 sets) and 54 sporadic EIEC lineages specific gene markers were located on chromosome but one of C4 gene markers and 5 sporadic EIEC lineages specific genes were located on plasmid by NCBI BLAST searching. None of the cluster-specific gene markers were contiguous in the genomes. The location of these cluster-specific gene markers was determined by BLASTN against representative complete genomes of Shigella/EIEC containing gene features downloaded from NCBI GenBank. In those cluster or sporadic lineages with no representative complete genome specific gene markers were named using their cluster or sporadic EIEC lineage followed by the

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

cluster or lineage number. For example, C7 specific gene marker was named "C7 specific gene". The functional characterization of these specific gene markers were identified from RAST annotation (74). For 37 cluster-specific gene markers, 22 had known functions and 15 encoded hypothetical proteins with unknown functions, while 11 sporadic EIEC lineages specific gene markers were identified with known functions and 43 were hypothetical proteins with unknown functions. The location and functions of specific gene markers are listed in Table S3. Validation of cluster-specific gene markers The ability of cluster-specific gene markers to correctly assign Shigella/EIEC isolates was evaluate with 15,501 Shigella/EIEC isolates in the validation dataset, 12,743 isolates from nonenteroinvasive E. coli control database. Using cluster-specific gene markers, 15,443 of the 15,501 (99.63%) Shigella/EIEC isolates were assigned to clusters which included 15,337 Shigella isolates, 102 EIEC isolates, 4 sporadic EIEC isolates, and 38 (0.24%) isolates with more than one clusters. Twenty of the 15,501 (0.13%) Shigella/EIEC isolates were not assigned to any of identified clusters. To confirm the assignment of cluster-specific gene markers, we constructed a "validation" phylogenetic tree (Fig. S4) using 1,159 representative isolates from the validation dataset and a subset of 485 isolates from each cluster from the identification dataset. Isolates that grouped with known cluster isolates (from identification dataset) with strong bootstrap support were assigned to that cluster. All 1,159 isolates were grouped into known clusters on the validation phylogenetic tree. The cluster-specific gene markers assignments were entirely consistent with cluster assignments by phylogenetic tree. We tested cluster-specific gene markers with the 12,743 non-enteroinvasive E. coli isolates. The Shigella/EIEC cluster-specific gene markers were highly specific with specificity varying from 98.8% to 100% for cluster-specific genes and 97.02% to 100% for sporadic EIEC specific genes. Details are listed in Table S4.

Development of an automated pipeline for molecular serotyping of Shigella/EIEC

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

Above results showed that cluster-specific gene markers were sensitive and specific and can distinguish Shigella and EIEC isolates. We therefore used these genes combined with established Shigella/EIEC serotype specific O antigen and H antigen genes to develop an automated pipeline for *in silico* serotyping of *Shigella*/EIEC (Fig. 2). The pipeline is named Shigella EIEC Cluster Enhanced Serotype Finder (ShigEiFinder). ShigEiFinder can process either paired end Illumina sequencing reads or assembled genomes (installable package: <a href="https://github.com/LanLab/ShigEiFinder">https://github.com/LanLab/ShigEiFinder</a>, online too: https://mgtdb.unsw.edu.au/ShigEiFinder/). ShigEiFinder classifies isolates into Non-Shigella/EIEC, Shigella or EIEC clusters based on the presence of ipaH gene, number of virulence genes, cluster specific genes. The "Not Shigella/EIEC" assignment was determined by the absence of the *ipaH* gene, virulence genes (<26) and absence of cluster-specific gene markers. The "Shigella or EIEC clusters" assignments were made based on the presence of ipaH gene, and/or more than 25 virulence genes together with the presence of any of clusterspecific gene markers or marker set, whereas the presence of ipaH gene and/or more than 25 virulence genes with absence of any of cluster-specific gene markers were assigned as "Shigella/EIEC unclustered". Shigella and EIEC isolates were differentiated and serotypes were assigned after cluster assignment. ShigEiFinder predicts a serotype through examining the presence of any of established Shigella serotype specific O antigen and modification genes and E. coli O and H antigen genes that differentiate the serotypes as ShigaTyper and SerotypeFinder (10, 63). A "novel serotype" is assigned if no match to known serotypes. Two pairs of Shigella serotypes, SB1/SB20 and SB6/SB10, are known to be difficult to differentiate as they share identical O antigen genes (10, 46, 75). ShigaTyper used a heparinase gene for the differentiation of SB20 from SB1 and wbaM gene for the separation of SB6 from SB10. We found that fragments of the heparinase and wbaM genes may be present in other serotypes and cannot accurately differentiate SB1/SB20 and SB6/SB10. We found a SB20 specific gene which encoded hypothetical proteins with unknown functions and located on a plasmid by comparative genomic analysis of all isolates in C1 accessory genome. The SB20 specific gene can reliably differentiate SB20 from SB1 and also one SNP each in wzx and wzy genes that can differentiate SB6 from SB10. We used these differences (Data S1) in ShigEiFinder for the prediction of these serotypes.

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485 486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

The accuracy and specificity of ShigEiFinder in cluster typing The accuracy of ShigEiFinder was tested with 1,969 isolates (1,969 assembled genomes and 1,951 Illumina reads [note no reads available for 18 EIEC isolates from NCBI) from the identification dataset and 15,501 isolates from the validation dataset. The results are listed in Table 3. ShigEiFinder was able to assign 99.54% and 99.28% of the isolates in the identification dataset to clusters for assembled genomes and read mapping respectively. The accuracy was 99.70% and 99.81% for assembled genomes and read mapping respectively when applied to the validation dataset. Discrepancies were observed between assembled genomes and read mapping (Table 3). There were more isolates assigned to "Shigella/EIEC unclustered" in read mapping, in contrast there were more isolates assigned to multiple clusters in genome assemblies. The specificity of ShigEiFinder was 99.40% for assembled genomes and 99.38% for read mapping when evaluated with 12,743 non-Shigella/EIEC E. coli isolates. An additional 2 isolates were detected as sporadic EIEC lineages by read mapping. Comparison of ShigEiFinder and ShigaTyper To demonstrate ShigEiFinder for differentiation of Shigella from EIEC and enhancement of cluster based serotyping, the comparison of read mapping results between ShigEiFinder and the existing in silico Shigella identification pipeline ShigaTyper (10) was performed with 488 isolates used in ShigaTyper and 15,501 isolates from Shigella/EIEC validation dataset used in the present study. The 488 isolates used in ShigaTyper consisted of 23 other species, 45 E. coli isolates and 420 Shigella isolates. ShigEiFinder identified 23 other species isolates and 453 out of 465 E. coli and Shigella isolates, in agreement with ShigaTyper assignment. ShigEiFinder also assigned the remaining 3 EIEC isolates and 9 (either multiple wzx or no wzx genes found) isolates untypeable by ShigaTyper to Shigella/EIEC clusters. ShigEiFinder assigned 15,471 of 15,501 Shigella/EIEC isolates to Shigella or EIEC clusters and then to a serotype. The accuracy of ShigEiFinder to correctly assign isolates to Shigella or EIEC clusters was 99.81% (15,471/15,501). By contrast, ShigaTyper assigned 7,277 isolates

(46.95%) to Shigella, 7.976 isolates (51.45%) to EIEC, 177 (1.14%) isolates to multiple wzx 494 495 genes and failed to type 71 (0.46%) isolates. 496 The predicted serotype of 7.277 (46.96%) Shigella isolates by ShigaTyper agreed with the 497 498 results of ShigEiFinder. For 8,224 isolates typed as EIEC or untypable by ShigaTyper, 99.73% (8,202/8,224) of the isolates were assigned to Shigella or EIEC clusters by ShigEiFinder (Table 499 4). Of these isolates, the majority belonged to SS, SD1 and SF which were erroneously 500 501 predicted as EIEC by ShigaTyper. 502 Discussion 503 504 Shigella and EIEC cause human bacillary dysentery with similar invasion mechanisms, however the pathogenicity of these 2 groups varies (8, 43). The prevalence of each of the four 505 Shigella "species" also varies (11-13). Differentiation of Shigella and EIEC from each other is 506 507 important for epidemiologic and diagnostic investigations. However, their similar physiological, biochemical and genetic characteristics make this differentiation difficult. 508 509 Determining phylogenetic clusters for better separation of *Shigella* isolates from EIEC 510 From a phylogenetic perspective, Shigella and EIEC strains consisted of multiple phylogenetic 511 lineages derived from commensal E. coli, which do not reflect the nomenclature of Shigella 512 513 and EIEC (23, 25, 26, 28, 38, 41). In the present study, we identified all phylogenetic clusters of Shigella and EIEC through large scale examination of publicly available genomes. 514 Phylogenetic results demonstrated that Shigella isolates had at least 10 clusters while EIEC 515 isolates had at least 7 clusters. The 10 Shigella clusters included the 7 previously defined 516 517 lineages including 3 major clusters (C1, C2 and C3) and 5 outliers (SD1, SD8, SD10, SB13 and SS) (25) and 2 newly identified clusters (SB12 and SB13-atypical). The 7 EIEC clusters 518 519 consisted of 4 previously defined EIEC clusters (C4, C5, C6 and C7) (26) and 3 newly identified EIEC clusters (C8 EIEC O96:H19, C9 EIEC O8:H19 and C10 EIEC O135:H30). 520 521 522 Our WGS-based phylogeny provided high resolution for assigning *Shigella* and EIEC isolates to clusters. Several serotypes that are currently increasing in frequency (SB19, SB20, SD14, 523 SD15, SD provisional serotype 96-626) (76-79) were assigned to clusters and five new 524 clusters/outliers were identified. SB13 isolates in this study formed two known lineages. One 525 526 lineage was located outside of Shigella/EIEC clusters and represented the outlier SB13 which is in fact belonging to the newly defined species E. albertii (25, 26, 38, 39). The second lineage 527

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

was with E. coli, and was defined as atypical SB13 previously (39). The newly identified Shigella outlier SB12 was previously grouped into C3 based on housekeeping gene trees (25, 38) but was seen as outliers in two other studies (28, 56). Newly identified clusters C8 (EIEC O96:H19) and C9 (EIEC O8:H19) represented the emergence of novel EIEC serotypes. A recent study revealed that EIEC serotype O96:H19 (C8) could be the result of a recent acquisition of the invasion plasmid by commensal E. coli (80). The EIEC serotype O8:H19 (C9) had not been reported previously. Apart from the 17 major and outlier clusters of *Shigella* and EIEC, the presence of 53 sporadic EIEC lineages indicated greater genetic diversity than has been observed previously. Isolates belonging to these sporadic EIEC groups were more closely related to non-enteroinvasive E. coli isolates than to major Shigella/EIEC lineages. However, 41 of these isolates, representing 38 sporadic EIEC lineages, carried pINV. Shigella and EIEC both carry the Shigella virulence plasmid pINV which is vital for virulence and distinguishes Shigella/EIEC from other E. coli (24, 33, 68). Therefore, these isolates may represent recently formed EIEC lineages through acquisition of the pINV. The remaining 18 isolates contained the *ipaH* gene but may or may not carry pINV. It is possible that these strains carried very low copy number of pINV or the pINV plasmid was lost during culture. Highly sensitive and specific cluster-specific gene markers for differentiation of Shigella and EIEC isolates Several studies have identified phylogenetic related genomic markers for discrimination of Shigella and EIEC and between Shigella species (23, 27, 28, 41, 55, 56). However, these phylogenetic analyses were performed only with a small number of genomes (23, 28, 55). In addition, non-invasive E. coli isolates were included in some of the phylogenetic clusters identified (28) which led to non-invasive E. coli isolates being identified by the markers. We identified cluster-specific gene markers for each respective cluster which were only composed of Shigella or EIEC isolates. Sets of cluster-specific gene markers were identified for those clusters where no single suitable marker is present. The combination of genes enhances the specificity of cluster-specific gene markers as demonstrated by the 100% sensitivity and very high specificity in this analysis (Table 2). Genes specific to each of the 53

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

sporadic EIEC lineages were also identified and they were sensitive and specific, although it should be noted that these values are based on very small sample sizes. The cluster-specific gene markers or marker sets can be used to differentiate Shigella/EIEC from non-enteroinvasive E. coli independent of ipaH gene. The ipaH gene as a molecular target has been used to differentiate Shigella and EIEC from non-enteroinvasive E. coli (24, 43-45). In our study, the cluster-specific gene markers were specific to Shigella/EIEC with 98.8% to 100% specificity when evaluated on non-enteroinvasive E. coli control database, providing confidence that the cluster-specific genes or sets are robust markers for the identification of Shigella/EIEC. 53 sporadic EIEC lineage specific gene markers also have very high specificity (97.02% to 100%) against non-enteroinvasive E. coli control database. The cluster-specific gene markers or marker sets are able to assign Shigella/EIEC isolates to correct clusters in 99.63% of cases and can clearly distinguish Shigella isolates from EIEC when applied to the validation dataset. While ShigaTyper assigned 46.95% isolates to Shigella and 51.45% isolates to EIEC in the same dataset we tested, leading to a large proportion of isolates incorrectly assigned. The majority of the isolates predicted as EIEC by ShigaTyper were SS or SD1 as they belonged to SS and SD1 specific STs and were positive to a set of SS or SD1 specific gene markers and grouped into SS or SD1 cluster on our phylogenetic tree. The genes used in ShigaTyper were SS specific marker Ss methylase gene (81, 82) together with SS O antigen wzx gene. However, SS specific marker Ss methylase gene was found in other Shigella serotypes and EIEC (10) and SS O antigen wzx gene were located on a plasmid which is frequently lost (83). Similarly, the SD1 O antigen genes used in ShigaTyper were plasmid-borne which may also lead to inconsistent detection (84, 85). A previous study identified 6 loci to distinguish EIEC from Shigella (23). We searched the 6 loci against our Shigella/EIEC database and found that some Shigella isolates were misidentified as EIEC isolates, such as SD8 isolates incorrectly identified as EIEC subtype 13. Our cluster-specific genes can differentiate SD8 isolates from EIEC with 100% accuracy. Therefore, the clusterspecific gene markers marker sets described here provided nearly perfect differentiation of Shigella from EIEC. The cluster-specific gene markers or marker sets are able to differentiate SS and SF (with exception of SF6) from SB and SD. SF and SS are the major cause of Shigella infections, accounting for up to 89.6% annual cases (11-13). Differentiation of SS and SF isolates from

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

SB and SD is also beneficial for diagnosis and surveillance. A recent study identified "species" specific markers for the detection of each of the four Shigella "species" and validated with only one isolate per species (55). A molecular algorithm based on Shigella O antigen genes can detect 85% of SF isolates (52). In contrast, a set of SF specific genes in our study can correctly identify SF isolates with 99.62% accuracy. The cluster-specific gene markers or marker sets can also assign Shigella/EIEC isolates to serotype level if the cluster has single serotype such as SD1, SD8, SD10, SB13, SB12, EIEC O144:H25 (C7), EIEC O96:H19 (C8), EIEC O8:H19 (C9) and EIEC O135:H30 (C10). The remaining EIEC, SF, SB and SD serotypes were distributed over the major clusters C4-6, C3, C1 and C2 respectively. Cluster-specific gene markers or marker sets combined with serotype associated O antigen and modification genes can further identify these isolates to serotype level if the cluster has multiple serotypes. Once an isolate is assigned to a cluster, only serotype associated O antigen and modification genes found in that cluster need be examined. This allows the elimination of ambiguous or incorrect serotype assignments that may otherwise occur, increasing the overall accuracy of the method. Cluster-specific gene marker based ShigEiFinder can accurately type Shigella and EIEC To facilitate the use of cluster-specific gene markers or marker sets for typing, we developed an automated pipeline, ShigEiFinder, for in silico molecular serotyping of Shigella/EIEC. ShigEiFinder provided Shigella/ EIEC differentiation as well as serotype prediction by yielding "presence or absence" of cluster-specific gene markers or marker sets combined with Shigella/EIEC O antigen genes and modification genes in a query isolate (either reads or assembled genomes). We showed 99.70% and 99.81% accuracy to assign isolates to the correct clusters from 15,501 Shigella/EIEC isolates in validation dataset for the assembled genomes and reads mapping respectively. In contrast, the existing in silico Shigella serotyping pipeline ShigaTyper had 46.95% accuracy for reads mapping when tested with the same validation dataset, with 51.45% of isolates in validation dataset being predicted as EIEC by ShigaTyper. The genetic determinants used in ShigaTyper for differentiation of Shigella from EIEC and identification of SS were lacY, cadA, Ss methylase, SS and SD1 O antigen wzx genes (10). As discussed above some of these genes were found to be non-specific in this study. Compared with ShigaTyper, the cluster-specific gene markers used in ShigEiFinder for identification of Shigella and EIEC provided higher discriminatory power than ShigaTyper. ShigEiFinder also

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

provided a high specificity with 99.40% for assembled genomes and 99.38% for reads mapping. ShigEiFinder can differentiate Shigella isolates from EIEC and distinguish SS and SF (with exception of SF6) isolates from SB and SD accurately. It also can identify SD1 isolates directly. ShigEiFinder was able to serotype over 59 Shigella serotypes and 22 EIEC serotypes. Therefore, ShigEiFinder will be useful for clinical, epidemiological and diagnostic investigations and the cluster-specific gene markers identified could be adapted for metagenomics or culture independent typing. Conclusion This study analysed over 17,000 publicly available Shigella/EIEC genomes and identified 10 clusters of Shigella, 7 clusters of EIEC and 53 sporadic types of EIEC. Cluster-specific gene markers or marker sets for the 17 major clusters and 53 sporadic types were identified and found to be valuable for in silico typing. We additionally developed ShigEiFinder, a freely available in silico serotyping pipeline incorporating the cluster-specific gene markers to facilitate serotyping of Shigella/EIEC isolates using genome sequences with very high specificity and sensitivity. **Authors and contributors** Conceptualization: R.L, M.P.; Investigation: X.Z., M.P., T.N., S.K.; Methodology: M.P., R.L. Writing – original draft: X.Z.; Writing – review and editing: M.P., R.L. **Conflicts of interest** The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest. **Funding information** This work was funded in part by a National Health and Medical Research Council project grant (grant number 1129713) and an Australian Research Council Discovery Grant (DP170101917). Acknowledgements The authors thank Duncan Smith and Robin Heron from UNSW Research Technology Services for computing assistance.

### Data bibliography

663

664

667

675

676

677

- Zhang X, Payne M, Nguyen T, Kaur S, Lan R. All the sequencing data generated within this
- study, NCBI BioProject number (PRJNA692536).

#### 668 Abbreviations

- 669 SS, Shigella sonnei; SF, Shigella flexneri; SB, Shigella boydii; SD, Shigella dysenteriae; EIEC,
- 670 Enteroinvasive Escherichia coli; NCBI SRA, National Center for Biotechnology Information
- 671 Sequence Read Archive; ST, sequence type; rST, ribosomal ST; MLST, Multilocus sequence
- 672 typing; rMLST, Ribosomal MLST; ECOR, Escherichia coli reference collection; WGS, whole-
- genome sequencing; TP, true positive; FN, false negative; FP, false positive; HK, House
- 674 Keeping.

#### References

- 1. DuPont HL, Levine MM, Hornick RB, Formal SBJTJoid. Inoculum size in shigellosis
- and implications for expected mode of transmission. *The Journal of infectious diseases*.
- 680 1989;159(6):1126-8.
- Troeger C, Forouzanfar M, Rao PC, Khalil I, Brown A, Reiner Jr RC, et al. Estimates
- of global, regional, and national morbidity, mortality, and aetiologies of diarrhoeal diseases: a
- 683 systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *The Lancet Infectious*
- 684 *Diseases*. 2017;17(9):909-48.
- World Health Organization. Guidelines for the control of shigellosis, including
- epidemics due to *Shigella dysenteriae* type 1. 2005.
- 687 4. Kirk MD, Pires SM, Black RE, Caipo M, Crump JA, Devleesschauwer B, et al. World
- Health Organization estimates of the global and regional disease burden of 22 foodborne
- bacterial, protozoal, and viral diseases, 2010: a data synthesis. *PLoS medicine*.
- 690 2015;12(12):e1001921.
- 691 5. Brengi SP, Sun Q, Bolaños H, Duarte F, Jenkins C, Pichel M, et al. PCR-based method
- 692 for Shigella flexneri serotyping: international multicenter validation. Journal of clinical
- 693 *microbiology*. 2019;57(4):e01592-18.
- 694 6. Kotloff KL, Nataro JP, Blackwelder WC, Nasrin D, Farag TH, Panchalingam S, et al.
- Burden and aetiology of diarrhoeal disease in infants and young children in developing

- 696 countries (the Global Enteric Multicenter Study, GEMS): a prospective, case-control study.
- 697 *The Lancet* 2013;382(9888):209-22.
- 698 7. Khalil IA, Troeger C, Blacker BF, Rao PC, Brown A, Atherly DE, et al. Morbidity and
- 699 mortality due to *shigella* and enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* diarrhoea: the Global Burden of
- 700 Disease Study 1990–2016. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 2018;18(11):1229-40.
- van den Beld MJ, Warmelink E, Friedrich AW, Reubsaet FA, Schipper M, de Boer RF,
- et al. Incidence, clinical implications and impact on public health of infections with Shigella
- spp. and entero-invasive Escherichia coli (EIEC): results of a multicenter cross-sectional study
- in the Netherlands during 2016–2017. BMC Infectious Diseases. 2019;19(1):1037.
- 705 9. Edwards PR, Ewing WH. Identification of enterobacteriaceae. Identification of
- 706 Enterobacteriaceae.. 1972(Third edition).
- 707 10. Wu Y, Lau HK, Lee T, Lau DK, Payne J. *In silico* serotyping based on Whole-Genome
- sequencing improves the accuracy of *shigella* identification. *Appl Environ Microbiol*.
- 709 2019;85(7):e00165-19.
- 710 11. The HC, Thanh DP, Holt KE, Thomson NR, Baker SJNRM. The genomic signatures of
- 711 Shigella evolution, adaptation and geographical spread. Nature Reviews Microbiology.
- 712 2016;14(4):235.
- 12. Livio S, Strockbine NA, Panchalingam S, Tennant SM, Barry EM, Marohn ME, et al.
- 714 Shigella isolates from the global enteric multicenter study inform vaccine development.
- 715 *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2014;59(7):933-41.
- 716 13. Group OW. Monitoring the incidence and causes of diseases potentially transmitted by
- food in Australia: Annual report of the OzFoodNet network, 2011. Communicable diseases
- 718 intelligence quarterly report. 2015;39(2):E236.
- 719 14. Connor TR, Barker CR, Baker KS, Weill F-X, Talukder KA, Smith AM, et al. Species-
- wide whole genome sequencing reveals historical global spread and recent local persistence in
- 721 *Shigella flexneri. Elife.* 2015;4:e07335.
- 722 15. Taylor D, Echeverria P, Sethabutr O, Pitarangsi C, Leksomboon U, Blacklow N, et al.
- 723 Clinical and microbiologic features of *Shigella* and enteroinvasive *Escherichia coli* infections
- detected by DNA hybridization. *Journal of clinical microbiology*. 1988;26(7):1362-6.
- 725 16. Levine MM. Escherichia coli that cause diarrhea: enterotoxigenic, enteropathogenic,
- enteroinvasive, enterohemorrhagic, and enteroadherent. Journal of infectious Diseases. 1987
- 727 Mar 1;155(3):377-89.

- 728 17. Tai AY, Easton M, Encena J, Rotty J, Valcanis M, Howden BP, et al. A review of the
- 729 public health management of shigellosis in Australia in the era of culture-independent
- 730 diagnostic testing. Australian New Zealand journal of public health. 2016;40(6):588-91.
- 731 18. Gomes TA, Elias WP, Scaletsky IC, Guth BE, Rodrigues JF, Piazza RM, et al.
- 732 Diarrheagenic Escherichia coli. brazilian journal of microbiology 2016;47:3-30.
- 733 19. Pasqua M, Michelacci V, Di Martino ML, Tozzoli R, Grossi M, Colonna B, et al. The
- 734 Intriguing Evolutionary Journey of Enteroinvasive *E. coli* (EIEC) toward Pathogenicity.
- 735 Frontiers in microbiology. 2017;8:2390.
- 736 20. Herzig CT, Fleischauer AT, Lackey B, Lee N, Lawson T, Moore ZS, et al. Notes from
- 737 the Field: Enteroinvasive *Escherichia coli* Outbreak Associated with a Potluck Party—North
- 738 Carolina, June–July 2018. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2019;68(7):183.
- 739 21. Pettengill EA, Hoffmann M, Binet R, Roberts RJ, Payne J, Allard M, et al. Complete
- 740 genome sequence of enteroinvasive *Escherichia coli* O96: H19 associated with a severe
- 741 foodborne outbreak. *Genome Announcements*. 2015;3(4):e00883-15.
- 742 22. Escher M, Scavia G, Morabito S, Tozzoli R, Maugliani A, Cantoni S, et al. A severe
- foodborne outbreak of diarrhoea linked to a canteen in Italy caused by enteroinvasive
- Escherichia coli, an uncommon agent. Epidemiology and infecttion. 2014;142(12):2559-66.
- 745 23. Dhakal R, Wang Q, Lan R, Howard P, Sintchenko VJJomm. Novel multiplex PCR
- assay for identification and subtyping of enteroinvasive *Escherichia coli* and differentiation
- from Shigella based on target genes selected by comparative genomics. Journal of medical
- 748 *microbiology*. 2018;67(9):1257-64.
- 749 24. Van den Beld M, Reubsaet FJEjocm, diseases i. Differentiation between *Shigella*,
- 750 enteroinvasive Escherichia coli (EIEC) and noninvasive Escherichia coli. European Journal of
- 751 Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases. 2012;31(6):899-904.
- 752 25. Pupo GM, Lan R, Reeves PRJPotNAoS. Multiple independent origins of Shigella
- 753 clones of *Escherichia coli* and convergent evolution of many of their characteristics.
- *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2000;97(19):10567-72.
- 755 26. Lan R, Alles MC, Donohoe K, Martinez MB, Reeves PRJI, immunity. Molecular
- evolutionary relationships of enteroinvasive Escherichia coli and Shigella spp. Infection and
- 757 *immunity*. 2004;72(9):5080-8.
- 758 27. Sahl JW, Morris CR, Emberger J, Fraser CM, Ochieng JB, Juma J, et al. Defining the
- 759 phylogenomics of *Shigella* species: a pathway to diagnostics. *Journal of clinical microbiology*.
- 760 2015;53(3):951-60.

- 761 28. Pettengill EA, Pettengill JB, Binet RJFim. Phylogenetic analyses of *Shigella* and
- 762 enteroinvasive *Escherichia coli* for the identification of molecular epidemiological markers:
- 763 whole-genome comparative analysis does not support distinct genera designation. Frontiers in
- 764 *microbiology*. 2016;6:1573.
- 765 29. Cheasty T, Rowe BJJocm. Antigenic relationships between the enteroinvasive
- 766 Escherichia coli O antigens O28ac, O112ac, O124, O136, O143, O144, O152, and O164 and
- 767 Shigella O antigens. Journal of clinical microbiology. 1983;17(4):681-4.
- 768 30. Landersjö C, Weintraub A, Widmalm GJCr. Structure determination of the O-antigen
- polysaccharide from the enteroinvasive Escherichia coli (EIEC) O143 by component analysis
- and NMR spectroscopy. *Carbohydrate research*. 1996;291:209-16.
- 771 31. Linnerborg M, Weintraub A, Widmalm GJEjob. Structural studies of the O-antigen
- polysaccharide from the enteroinvasive *Escherichia coli* O164 cross-reacting with *Shigella*
- dysenteriae type 3. European journal of biochemistry. 1999;266(2):460-6.
- 32. Sansonetti P, d'Hauteville H, Écobichon Ct, Pourcel C, editors. Molecular comparison
- of virulence plasmids in *Shigella* and enteroinvasive *Escherichia coli*. Annales de l'Institut
- 776 Pasteur/Microbiologie; 1983: Elsevier.
- The state of the s
- 778 Virulence Plasmid in Shigella Clones and Enteroinvasive Escherichia coli. Infection and
- 779 *immunity*. 2001;69(10):6303-9.
- 780 34. Venkatesan MM, Buysse JM, Kopecko DJJJoCM. Use of Shigella flexneri ipaC and
- 781 ipaH gene sequences for the general identification of *Shigella spp.* and enteroinvasive
- 782 Escherichia coli. Journal of Clinical Microbiology. 1989;27(12):2687-91.
- 783 35. Hale TLJM, Reviews MB. Genetic basis of virulence in *Shigella* species. Microbiology
- 784 *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*. 1991;55(2):206-24.
- 785 36. Jin Q, Yuan Z, Xu J, Wang Y, Shen Y, Lu W, et al. Genome sequence of Shigella
- 786 flexneri 2a: insights into pathogenicity through comparison with genomes of Escherichia coli
- 787 K12 and O157. Nucleic acids research. 2002;30(20):4432-41.
- 788 37. Yang F, Yang J, Zhang X, Chen L, Jiang Y, Yan Y, et al. Genome dynamics and
- 789 diversity of *Shigella* species, the etiologic agents of bacillary dysentery. *Nucleic acids*
- 790 research. 2005;33(19):6445-58.
- 791 38. Yang J, Nie H, Chen L, Zhang X, Yang F, Xu X, et al. Revisiting the molecular
- evolutionary history of *Shigella spp. Journal of molecular evolution*. 2007;64(1):71-9.

- 793 39. Hyma KE, Lacher DW, Nelson AM, Bumbaugh AC, Janda JM, Strockbine NA, et al.
- 794 Evolutionary genetics of a new pathogenic Escherichia species: Escherichia albertii and
- related Shigella boydii strains. Journal of bacteriology. 2005;187(2):619-28.
- 796 40. Walters LL, Raterman EL, Grys TE, Welch RA. Atypical Shigella boydii 13 encodes
- virulence factors seen in attaching and effacing Escherichia coli. FEMS Microbiol Lett.
- 798 2012;328(1):20-5.
- 799 41. Hazen TH, Leonard SR, Lampel KA, Lacher DW, Maurelli AT, Rasko DAJI, et al.
- 800 Investigating the relatedness of enteroinvasive Escherichia coli to other E. coli and Shigella
- isolates by using comparative genomics. *Infection and immunity*. 2016;84(8):2362-71.
- 802 42. Silva RM, Toledo M, Trabulsi LRJJoCM. Biochemical and cultural characteristics of
- invasive *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 1980;11(5):441-4.
- van den Beld MJ, Friedrich AW, van Zanten E, Reubsaet FA, Kooistra-Smid MA,
- 805 Rossen JWJJomm. Multicenter evaluation of molecular and culture-dependent diagnostics for
- 806 Shigella species and Entero-invasive Escherichia coli in the Netherlands. Journal of
- *microbiological methods*. 2016;131:10-5.
- 808 44. Van Lint P, De Witte E, Ursi J, Van Herendael B, Van Schaeren JJDm, disease i. A
- screening algorithm for diagnosing bacterial gastroenteritis by real-time PCR in combination
- with guided culture. *Diagnostic microbiology*. 2016;85(2):255-9.
- 811 45. De Boer RF, Ott A, Kesztyüs B, Kooistra-Smid AMJJocm. Improved detection of five
- major gastrointestinal pathogens by use of a molecular screening approach. *Journal of clinical*
- 813 *microbiology*. 2010;48(11):4140-6.
- 46. Liu B, Knirel YA, Feng L, Perepelov AV, Senchenkova SyN, Wang O, et al. Structure
- and genetics of *Shigella* O antigens. *FEMS microbiology reviews*. 2008;32(4):627-53.
- 816 47. Wattiau P, Boland C, Bertrand S. Methodologies for Salmonella enterica ssp enterica
- subtyping: gold standards and alternatives. *Applied and environmental microbiology*.
- 818 2011:AEM. 05527-11.
- 819 48. Cai H, Lu L, Muckle C, Prescott J, Chen S. Development of a novel protein microarray
- method for serotyping Salmonella enterica strains. Journal of clinical microbiology.
- 821 2005;43(7):3427-30.
- 822 49. van der Ploeg CA, Rogé AD, Bordagorría XL, de Urquiza MT, Castillo ABC, Bruno
- 823 SB. Design of Two Multiplex PCR Assays for Serotyping Shigella flexneri. Foodborne
- 824 *pathogens and disease.* 2018;15(1):33-8.

- 825 50. Sun Q, Lan R, Wang Y, Zhao A, Zhang S, Wang J, et al. Development of a multiplex
- PCR assay targeting O-antigen modification genes for molecular serotyping of Shigella
- 827 *flexneri. Journal of clinical microbiology.* 2011;49(11):3766-70.
- 828 51. Li Y, Cao B, Liu B, Liu D, Gao Q, Peng X, et al. Molecular detection of all 34 distinct
- O-antigen forms of *Shigella*. J Med Microbiol. 2009;58(Pt 1):69-81.
- van den Beld MJ, de Boer RF, Reubsaet FA, Rossen JW, Zhou K, Kuiling S, et al.
- 831 Evaluation of a Culture-Dependent Algorithm and a Molecular Algorithm for Identification of
- 832 Shigella spp., Escherichia coli, and Enteroinvasive E. coli. Journal of clinical microbiology.
- 833 2018;56(10):e00510-18.
- 834 53. Pavlovic M, Luze A, Konrad R, Berger A, Sing A, Busch U, et al. Development of a
- duplex real-time PCR for differentiation between E. coli and Shigella spp. Journal of applied
- 836 *microbiology*. 2011;110(5):1245-51.
- 837 54. Løbersli I, Wester AL, Kristiansen Å, Brandal LTJEJoM, Immunology. Molecular
- differentiation of *Shigella spp.* from enteroinvasive *E. coli. European Journal of Microbiology*.
- 839 2016;6(3):197-205.
- 840 55. Kim H-J, Ryu J-O, Song J-Y, Kim H-YJFp, disease. Multiplex polymerase chain
- reaction for identification of shigellae and four *Shigella* species using novel genetic markers
- screened by comparative genomics. *Foodborne pathogens*. 2017;14(7):400-6.
- 843 56. Chattaway MA, Schaefer U, Tewolde R, Dallman TJ, Jenkins CJJocm. Identification of
- 844 Escherichia coli and Shigella species from whole-genome sequences. Journal of clinical
- 845 *microbiology*. 2017;55(2):616-23.
- 846 57. Patro R, Duggal G, Love MI, Irizarry RA, Kingsford C. Salmon provides fast and bias-
- aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nature Methods*. 2017;14(4):417-9.
- 848 58. Wood DE, Salzberg SLJGb. Kraken: ultrafast metagenomic sequence classification
- using exact alignments. Genome biology. 2014;15(3):R46.
- 850 59. Alikhan N-F, Zhou Z, Sergeant MJ, Achtman M. A genomic overview of the
- population structure of Salmonella. PLoS genetics. 2018;14(4):e1007261.
- 852 60. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, et al.
- 853 SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing.
- *Journal of computational biology*. 2012;19(5):455-77.
- 855 61. Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. QUAST: quality assessment tool for
- genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics*. 2013;29(8):1072-5.
- 857 62. Jolley KA, Maiden MCJ. BIGSdb: Scalable analysis of bacterial genome variation at
- the population level. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2010;11(1):595.

- 859 63. Joensen KG, Tetzschner AM, Iguchi A, Aarestrup FM, Scheutz FJJocm. Rapid and
- easy in silico serotyping of Escherichia coli isolates by use of whole-genome sequencing data.
- *Journal of clinical microbiology*. 2015;53(8):2410-26.
- 862 64. Hu D, Liu B, Wang L, Reeves PR. Living Trees: High-Quality Reproducible and
- Reusable Construction of Bacterial Phylogenetic Trees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*.
- 864 2019;37(2):563-75.
- 865 65. Letunic I, Bork PJNar. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new
- developments. *Nucleic acids research*. 2019;47(W1):W256-W9.
- 867 66. Zhou Z, Alikhan N-F, Sergeant MJ, Luhmann N, Vaz C, Francisco AP, et al.
- 868 GrapeTree: visualization of core genomic relationships among 100,000 bacterial pathogens.
- 869 *Genome research*. 2018;28(9):1395-404.
- 870 67. Li HJapa. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-
- 871 MEM. *arXiv preprint arXiv*. 2013.
- 872 68. Buchrieser C, Glaser P, Rusniok C, Nedjari H, d'Hauteville H, Kunst F, et al. The
- virulence plasmid pWR100 and the repertoire of proteins secreted by the type III secretion
- apparatus of *Shigella flexneri*. *Molecular microbiology*. 2000;38(4):760-71.
- 875 69. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The Sequence
- Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 2009;25(16):2078-9.
- 877 70. Quinlan AR, Hall IM. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic
- 878 features. *Bioinformatics*. 2010;26(6):841-2.
- 879 71. Seemann T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics*.
- 880 2014;30(14):2068-9.
- Page AJ, Cummins CA, Hunt M, Wong VK, Reuter S, Holden MT, et al. Roary: rapid
- large-scale prokaryote pan genome analysis. *Bioinformatics*. 2015;31(22):3691-3.
- 883 73. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, et al.
- BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC bioinformatics*. 2009;10(1):421.
- Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA, et al. The RAST
- 886 Server: rapid annotations using subsystems technology. *BMC genomics*. 2008;9(1):75.
- 887 75. Sofya NS, Feng L, Yang J, Shashkov AS, Cheng J, Liu D, et al. Structural and genetic
- characterization of the *Shigella boydi*i type 10 and type 6 O antigens. *Journal of bacteriology*.
- 889 2005;187(7):2551-4.
- 890 76. Ansaruzzaman M, Kibriya A, Rahman A, Neogi P, Faruque A, Rowe B, et al. Detection
- of provisional serovars of *Shigella* dysenteriae and designation as S. dysenteriae serotypes 14
- and 15. *Journal of clinical microbiology*. 1995;33(5):1423-5.

- 893 77. Balows AJDM, Disease I. Manual of clinical microbiology 8th edition: PR Murray, EJ
- Baron, JH Jorgenson, MA Pfaller, and RH Yolken, eds., ASM Press, 2003, 2113 pages, 2 vol,
- 895 2003+ subject & author indices, ISBN: 1-555810255-4, US \$189.95. Diagnostic Microbiology.
- 896 2003;47(4):625.
- 897 78. Woodward DL, Clark CG, Caldeira RA, Ahmed R, Soule G, Bryden L, et al.
- 898 Identification and characterization of *Shigella boydii* 20 serovar nov., a new and emerging
- 899 *Shigella* serotype. *J Med Microbiol*. 2005;54(8):741-8.
- 900 79. Kim J, Lindsey RL, Garcia-Toledo L, Loparev VN, Rowe LA, Batra D, et al. High-
- quality whole-genome sequences for 59 historical Shigella strains generated with PacBio
- 902 sequencing. Genome Announcements. 2018;6(15).
- 903 80. Michelacci V, Prosseda G, Maugliani A, Tozzoli R, Sanchez S, Herrera-León S, et al.
- 904 Characterization of an emergent clone of enteroinvasive *Escherichia coli* circulating in Europe.
- 905 *Clinical Microbiology*. 2016;22(3):287. e11-. e19.
- 906 81. Liu J, Platts-Mills JA, Juma J, Kabir F, Nkeze J, Okoi C, et al. Use of quantitative
- 907 molecular diagnostic methods to identify causes of diarrhoea in children: a reanalysis of the
- 908 GEMS case-control study. *The Lancet*. 2016;388(10051):1291-301.
- 909 82. Cho MS, Ahn T-Y, Joh K, Kwon O-S, Jheong W-H, Park DSJJMB. A novel marker for
- 910 the species-specific detection and quantitation of *Shigella sonnei* by targeting a methylase
- 911 gene. *J Microbiol Biotechnol*. 2012;22(8):1113-7.
- 912 83. Sansonetti PJ, Kopecko DJ, Formal SBJI, immunity. Shigella sonnei plasmids:
- evidence that a large plasmid is necessary for virulence. *Infection and immunity*.
- 914 1981;34(1):75-83.
- 915 84. Feng L, Perepelov AV, Zhao G, Shevelev SD, Wang Q, Sofya NS, et al. Structural and
- genetic evidence that the Escherichia coli O148 O antigen is the precursor of the Shigella
- 917 dysenteriae type 1 O antigen and identification of a glucosyltransferase gene. Microbiology.
- 918 2007;153(1):139-47.

- 919 85. Göhmann S, Manning P, Alpert C-A, Walker M, Timmis KJMp. Lipopolysaccharide
- O-antigen biosynthesis in *Shigella* dysenteriae serotype 1: analysis of the plasmid-carried rfp
- 921 determinant. *Microbial pathogenesis*. 1994;16(1):53-64.

Table 1: The summary of identified *Shigella/*EIEC clusters and outliers in identification dataset

Clusters (no of serotypes)#	No of isolates	No. STs	No. rSTs	Serotypes
C1 (25)	288	36	166	SB1-4, SB6, SB8, SB10, SB14, SB18, SB11 <sup>b</sup> , SB19-20 <sup>b</sup> ; SD3-7, SD9,
				SD11-13, SD14-15*, SD-96-265*; SF6
C2 (9)	101	19	56	SB5, SB7, SB9, SB11, SB15, SB16, SB17; SD2, SD-E670-74 <sup>b</sup> ; SD2
C3 (20)	744	81	437	SF1a, SF1b, SF1c (7a), SF2a, SF2b, SF3a, SF3b, SF4a, SF4av, SF4b,
				SF4bv, SF5a, SF5b, SF7b, SFX, SFXv (4c), SFY, SFYv, SF novel
				serotype; SB-E1621-54*
C4 (9)	51	6	21	O28ac:H-, O28ac:H7, O136:H7, O164:H-, O164:H7, O29:H4, O173:H7,
				O124:H7, O132:H7*
C5 (6)	62	4	15	O121:H30, O124:H30, O164:H30, O132:H21, O152:H30, O152:H-
C6 (3)	20	2	6	O143:H26, O167:H26, O112ac:H26 <sup>b</sup>
C7	10	1	3	O144:H25
C8 <sup>a</sup>	12	2	1	O96:H19
C9 <sup>a</sup>	4	1	2	O8:H19
C10 #	2	1	1	O135:H30
CSS	427	39	294	
CSD1	70	8	56	SD1
CSD8	7	3	3	SD8
CSD10	2	2	1	SD10
CSB12 <sup>a</sup>	8	2	6	SB12
CSB13	7	3	3	SB13

Clusters (no of serotypes)#	No of isolates	No. STs	No. rSTs	Serotypes
CSB13-atypical <sup>a</sup>	5	3	3	SB13
Sporadic EIEC lineages <sup>a</sup> (53)	59	49	53	53 antigen types

929

930

926 \*Numbers in parentheses are the number of serotypes within that cluster.

927 <sup>a</sup>: Clusters identified as new clusters in this study.

928 b: Serotypes were inconsistent with previous analyses.

Table 2: The sensitivity and specificity of cluster-specific genes

935

936

Chatana	Cluster-specific	Identification dataset (1969 isolates)			
Clusters	genes (Single/sets)b	No of isolates	Sensitivity	Specificity	
C1	Set of 4 genes	288	100	99.94ª	
C2	Set of 3 genes	101	100	100	
C3	Set of 3 genes	744	100	99.59 <sup>a</sup>	
C4	Set of 2 genes	51	100	100	
C5	Set of 3 genes	62	100	100	
C6	Set of 2 genes	20	100	100	
C7	Single gene	10	100	100	
C8	Set of 2 genes	12	100	100	
C9	Set of 2 genes	4	100	100	
C10	Single gene	2	100	100	
CSS	Set of 5 genes	427	100	$99.87^{a}$	
CSD1	Set of 2 genes	70	100	100	
CSD8	Single gene	7	100	100	
CSD10	Single gene	2	100	100	
CSB12	Single gene	8	100	100	
CSB13	Single gene	7	100	100	
CSB13-atypical	Single gene	5	100	100	
53 Sporadic EIEC lineages	Single gene / lineage	59	100	100	

a:The specificity of cluster-specific gene set less than 100% was due to at least one FP found in
that set.

b: The sequences of these genes were listed in Data S1.

Table 3: The accuracy of ShigEiFinder with identification dataset and validation dataset

938	ShigEiEindon assignments	<b>Identification</b>	Dataset (n=1,969) <sup>a</sup>	Validation dataset (n=15,501)		
939	ShigEiFinder assignments	Genomes	Reads mapping	Genomes	Reads mapping	
940	Shigella/EIEC clusters	1871	1848	15,455	15,471	
941	Multiple Shigella/EIEC clusters	9	6	33	7	
942	Shigella/EIEC unclustered	0	8	13	23	
943	Not Shigella/EIEC	89	89	0	0	
944	Accuracy <sup>b</sup>	99.54%	99.28%	99.70%	99.81%	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>: Identification dataset has 90 non-*Shigella*/EIEC strains including 72 ECOR strains and 18 *E.albertii* strains. 1,969 assembled genomes and 1,951 reads (reads not available for 18 EIEC isolates downloaded from NCBI) in identification dataset. One of *E.albertii* strain was assigned as SB13 which was grouped into SB13 cluster on the phylogenetic tree.

b: The accuracy was defined as the number of *Shigella*/EIEC isolates being correctly assigned to cluster over the total number of tested.

Table 4: Discrepant assignment of 8,224 isolates by ShigEiFinder and Shigatyper

ShigEiFinder Assignment	ShigaTyper assignment				
Snight inder Assignment	EIEC	Multiple wzx	Non-prediction	Total	
SS	7,465	12	7	7,484	
SF	117	61	10	188	
C1 and C2 (SB/SD)	17	99	51	167	
SB12	0	2	0	2	
SD1	244	1	1	246	
SD8	1	0	0	1	
SD10	0	0	2	2	
EIEC	97	0	0	97	
Sporadic EIEC lineages	15	0	0	15	
Multiple clusters	5	2	0	7	
Shigella/EIEC unclustered	15	0	0	15	
Total	7,976	177	71	8,224	

Figure legends: Figure 1: Shigella/EIEC cluster Identification phylogenetic tree Representative isolates from the identification dataset were used to construct the phylogenetic tree by Quicktree v1.3 (64) to identify Shigella and EIEC clusters and visualised by Grapetree's interactive mode. The dendrogram tree shows the phylogenetic relationships of 1879 Shigella and EIEC isolates represented in the identification dataset. Branch lengths are log scale for clarity. The tree scales indicated the 0.2 substitutions per locus. Shigella and EIEC clusters are coloured. Numbers in square brackets indicate the number of isolates of each identified cluster. CSP is sporadic EIEC lineages. Figure 2: in silico serotyping pipeline workflow Schematic of *in silico* serotyping *Shigella* and EIEC by cluster-specific genes combined with the *ipaH* gene and O antigen and modification genes and H antigen genes, implemented in ShigEiFinder. Both assembled genomes and raw reads are accepted as data input. 

1010 **Supplementary Material** 1011 Figure S1: Identification phylogenetic tree 1012 An identification phylogenetic tree constructed by Quicktree v1.3 (64) and visualised by ITOL v5 shows the phylogenetic relationships of 1879 Shigella and EIEC isolates in identification 1013 1014 dataset. The tree scales indicated the 0.01 substitutions per locus. Shigella and EIEC clusters are colored. The internal branches are colored to represent the bootstrap values. Green color 1015 indicates the maximum bootstrap value (1). The red color shows the minimum bootstrap value 1016 (0). Each of cluster is well supported by bootstrap value. CSP is sporadic EIEC lineages. 1017 1018 Figure S2-A: Confirmation phylogenetic tree 1019 A confirmation phylogenetic tree was constructed by Quicktree v1.3 (64) based on 2375 1020 isolates and visualised by Grapetree's interactive mode. The tree shows the phylogenetic 1021 relationships between identified Shigella/EIEC clusters in identification dataset and non-1022 1023 enteroinvasive E. coli isolates. Branch lengths are log scale for clarity. The tree scales indicated the 0.1 substitutions per locus. Known Shigella and EIEC clusters from identification 1024 dataset are colored. Numbers in square brackets indicate the number of isolates of each 1025 identified cluster. CSP is sporadic EIEC lineages. 1026 1027 Figure S2-B: Confirmation phylogenetic tree 1028 A confirmation phylogenetic tree constructed by Quicktree v1.3 (64) and visualised by ITOL 1029 v5 shows the phylogenetic relationships between identified Shigella/EIEC clusters in 1030 identification dataset and non-enteroinvasive E. coli isolates. The tree scales indicated the 0.01 1031 substitutions per locus. Shigella and EIEC clusters are colored. The internal branches are 1032 1033 colored to represent the bootstrap values. Green color indicates the maximum bootstrap value (1). The red color shows the minimum bootstrap value (0). Each of cluster is well supported by 1034 bootstrap value. CSP is sporadic EIEC lineages. 1035 1036 Figure S3: Distribution of mapped 38 virulence genes in 59 sporadic isolates 1037 The presence of Shigella virulence plasmid pINV in 59 sporadic isolates in identification 1038 dataset was determined by the mapped 38 virulence genes. Detailed genes were described in 1039 Results "Investigation of Shigella virulence plasmid pINV in 59 sporadic isolates". Three 1040 categories were defined based on the number of virulence genes mapped to isolate. Virulence 1041 1042 plasmid positive: > 25 genes mapped to isolate; Intermediate: 13 to 25 genes mapped to isolate; Virulence plasmid negative: less than 13 genes mapped to isolate. 1043

1045

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

Figure S4 (A): Validation phylogenetic tree A validation tree was generated by Quicktree v1.3 (64) and visualised by Grapetree's interactive mode to assign representative isolates in validation dataset to clusters. Branch lengths are log scale for clarity. The tree scales indicated the 0.2 substitutions per locus. Known Shigella and EIEC clusters from identification dataset are colored. Numbers in square brackets indicate the number of isolates of each identified cluster. Isolates in validation dataset are colored white. The isolates are assigned to clusters if they grouped into known cluster isolates. CSP is sporadic EIEC lineages. Figure S4 (B): Validation phylogenetic tree A validation phylogenetic tree was constructed by Quicktree v1.3 (64) and visualised by ITOL v5 to assign representative isolates in validation dataset to clusters. The tree scales indicated the 0.01 substitutions per locus. Shigella and EIEC clusters are colored. The internal branches are colored to represent the bootstrap values. Green color indicates the maximum bootstrap value (1). The red color shows the minimum bootstrap value (0). Each of cluster is well supported by bootstrap value. Isolates that grouped with known cluster isolates (from identification dataset) with strong bootstrap support are categorised into that cluster. CSP is sporadic EIEC lineages. Table S1: 1,969 isolates used in identification dataset **Table S2**: 15,501 isolates used in validation dataset **Table S3**: The location and function of cluster-specific genes **Table S4**: The results of cluster-specific gene markers tested with 12,743 non-enteroinvasive E. coli isolates Data S1: Algorithms incorporated into the ShigEiFinder Data S2: Genetic signature O and H genes from ShigaTyper and SerotypeFinder **Data Availability Statement** Custom python scripts used in this study are available from the authors on request.



