Identification of a Nervous System Gene Expression Signature in Colon Cancer

Stem Cells Reveals a Role for Neural Crest Regulators *EGR2* and *SOX2* in

Tumorigenesis

Joseph L. Regan[1,2,13*], Dirk Schumacher[3,4], Stephanie Staudte[1,2], Andreas Steffen[1], Ralf

Lesche[1,5], Joern Toedling[1,5], Thibaud Jourdan[1], Johannes Haybaeck[6,7], Nicole Golob-

Schwarzl[7,8], Dominik Mumberg[1], David Henderson[1], Balázs Győrffy[9,10], Christian R.A.

Regenbrecht[3,11,12], Ulrich Keilholz[2], Reinhold Schäfer[2,3,4], Martin Lange[1,5]

Affiliations

[1]Bayer AG, Drug Discovery, Pharmaceuticals, 13342 Berlin, Germany

[2]Charité Comprehensive Cancer Center, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, 10117

Berlin, Germany

[3]Laboratory of Molecular Tumor Pathology, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, 10117

Berlin, Germany

[4]German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), DKFZ, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

[5]Nuvisan ICB GmbH, 13343 Berlin, Germany

[6]Department of Pathology, Neuropathology and Molecular Pathology, Medical

University of Innsbruck, Austria

[7]Diagnostic & Research Center for Molecular Biomedicine, Institute of Pathology,

Medical University of Graz, Austria

[8]Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Medical University of Graz, Austria

[9]Department of Bioinformatics, Semmelweis University, 1094 Budapest, Hungary

[10]TTK Cancer Biomarker Research Group, Institute of Enzymology, 1117 Budapest,

Hungary

[11]CELLphenomics GmbH, 13125 Berlin, Germany

[12]Institute of Pathology, University Medical Center Göttingen, 37075 Göttingen,

Germany

[13]Lead Contact

*Correspondence: joseph.regan@charite.de (J.L.R.)

SUMMARY

Recent data support a hierarchical model of colon cancer driven by a population of cancer

stem cells (CSCs). Greater understanding of the mechanisms that regulate CSCs may

therefore lead to more effective treatments. Serial limiting dilution xenotransplantation

assays of colon cancer patient-derived tumors demonstrated ALDH[Positive] cells to be

enriched for tumorigenic self-renewing CSCs. In order to identify CSC modulators, we

performed RNA-sequencing analysis of ALDH[Positive] CSCs from a panel of colon cancer

patient-derived organoids (PDOs) and xenografts (PDXs). These studies demonstrated

CSCs to be enriched for embryonic and neural development gene sets. Functional

analyses of genes differentially expressed in both ALDH[Positive] PDO and PDX CSCs

demonstrated the neural crest stem cell (NCSC) regulator and wound response gene

EGR2 to be required for CSC tumorigenicity and to control expression of homeobox

superfamily embryonic master transcriptional regulator *HOX* genes and the embryonic

and neural stem cell regulator *SOX2*. In addition, we identify *EGR2*, *HOXA2*, *HOXA4*,

HOXA5, HOXA7, HOXB2, HOXB3 and the tumor suppressor *ATOH1* as new prognostic

biomarkers in colorectal cancer.

INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC), the third most common cancer and fourth most common cause

of cancer deaths worldwide[1], is a heterogeneous tumor driven by a subpopulation of

CSCs, that may also be the source of relapse following treatment[2–5]. Elucidation of the

mechanisms that regulate CSC survival and tumorgenicity may therefore lead to novel

treatments and improved patient outcomes.

CSCs are undifferentiated cancer cells that share many of the attributes of stem cells,

such as multipotency, self-renewal and the ability to produce daughter cells that

differentiate[2,6,7]. Stem cells are controlled by core gene networks that include the

embryonic master transcriptional regulator *HOX* genes[8,9] and *SOX2*[10], whose

misregulation can result in aberrant stem cell function, developmental defects and

cancer[11,12]. These genes are crucial for embryonic development and their expression is

maintained in adult tissue stem cells, where they regulate self-renewal and

differentiation[9,13–15]. *HOX* genes and *SOX2* are aberrantly expressed in several cancers,

including CRC, and emerging evidence demonstrates their involvement in the

transformation of tissue stem cells into CSCs[11,16–23]. Modulation of *HOX* genes and *SOX2*

could therefore provide novel therapeutic strategies to block tumorigenesis and overcome

therapy resistance in CRC and other CSC driven cancers.

During embryonic development of the neural crest, which gives rise to the peripheral

nervous system (PNS) and several non-neuronal cell types[24], *HOX* and *SOX* genes are

regulated by retinoic acid[25,26], a product of the normal tissue stem cell and CSC marker

aldehyde dehydrogenase (*ALDH1A1, ALDH1A2, ALDH1A3*)[8,26–29], and by the neural

crest stem cell (NCSC) zinc finger transcription factor and wound response gene *EGR2*

(*KROX20*)[30–41].

Here we carried out whole transcriptome analysis of functionally tested ALDH[Positive] CSCs

from a panel of colon PDOs and PDX models and show that colon CSCs and Lgr5[Positive]

intestinal stem cells (ISCs) are highly enriched for nervous system development and

neural crest genes. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the neural crest stem cell (NCSC)

gene *EGR*2 is a marker of poor prognosis in CRC and modulates expression of *HOX*

genes and *SOX2* in CSCs to regulate tumorigenicity and differentiation.

RESULTS

Colon cancer PDOs are heterogeneous and enriched for ALDH[Positive] self-renewing

CSCs

Colon cancer PDO models were established from freshly isolated primary tumors and

metastases from colon cancer patients (Table S1) by embedding in growth-factor reduced

Matrigel and cultivating in serum free media, as previously described[42–44].

Immunostaining of PDOs for the structural proteins EZRIN and EPCAM demonstrated

that PDOs retain the apical-basal polarity and structural adhesion of the normal intestine

(Figure 1A). Immunostaining of PDOs and equivalent PDX models for stem cell regulator

Wnt signaling protein BETA-CATENIN demonstrated differences in nuclear localization

of BETA-CATENIN and confirmed previous data demonstrating heterogeneous Wnt

signaling activity within the tumors[43] (Figure 1B). Increased aldehyde dehydrogenase

(ALDH) activity, as measured using the Aldefluor™ assay, is a marker of CSCs in colon

cancer and many other cancer types[29]. We previously carried out limiting dilution serial

xenotransplantation of ALDH[Negative] and ALDH[Positive] cells and demonstrated that colon

CSCs are ALDH[Positive] and enriched for Wnt signaling activity[43]. However, ALDH[Negative]

cells also gave rise to tumors when transplanted at higher cell numbers. In order to

determine if ALDH[Negative] and ALDH[Positive] cells maintained their self-renewal and

tumorigenic capacity, we performed additional rounds of limiting dilution serial

xenotransplantation of ALDH[Negative] and ALDH[Positive] cells (Figure 1E). These data

confirmed that PDOs are enriched for ALDH[Positive] cells compared to equivalent PDX

models (Figure 1C and D) and that ALDH[Positive] CSCs self-renew to maintain their

tumorigenic capacity over extended rounds of xenotransplantation, but that ALDH[Negative]

cells do not (Figure 1E).

Colon CSCs are enriched for embryonic and nervous system development gene

expression signatures

In order to identify modulators of colon CSCs, ALDH[Negative] cells and ALDH[Positive] CSCs

were isolated from PDO and PDX models and subjected to whole transcriptome analysis

by RNA-sequencing. *ALDH1A1* is a marker of poor prognosis in several cancer

types[27,29,45–49] and has been reported to be responsible for the aldehyde dehydrogenase

activity that defines the ALDH[Positive] cell fraction in the Aldefluor™ assay[50]. However,

nineteen different isoforms of ALDH exist and several of these, including *ALDH1A2*,

ALDH1A3 and *ALDH2* have also been reported to be involved in the Aldefluor[TM] assay[51–

53]. Here we show that *ALDH1A1* expression is enriched in ALDH[Positive] CSCs compared

to ALDH[Negative] cells (Figure 2A and S1). GSEA of ALDH[Positive] and ALDH[Negative] cells

isolated from PDO and PDX models demonstrated that ALDH[Positive] CSCs are enriched

for nervous system development, TNFα via NFκB signaling, epithelial mesenchymal

transition (EMT), embryonic development and Wnt signaling transcripts (Figure 2B).

Differential gene expression analysis identified 218 genes upregulated in PDOs and 250

genes upregulated in PDX models compared to ALDH[Negative] cells. Of these, 30 genes

were found to be differentially expressed in both ALDH[Positive] PDO and PDX cells (Figure

2C). Interestingly, many of these differentially expressed and common PDO-PDX genes

are expressed during embryogenesis and have a role in neural crest cell (NCC) and

central nervous system (CNS) development. Of these 30 common genes (Figure S2) 10,

ALDH1A1[50], *EGR2*[31,38,41,54–60], *EGR3*[56,58,61–66], *HDGFRP3*[67–72], *OLFM2*[73–76],

OLFML3[75,77,78], *PCP4*[79–82], *PEG10*[83–91], *PRKACB*[92,93], and *THBS1*[94–99], were selected for

functional analysis based on their tissue expression and roles in development and cancer

(Figure 2D, S2 and S3).

***EGR2* is required for colon CSC survival in non-adherent cell culture**

The ability of CSCs to survive and form spheroids in non-adherent cell culture is the gold

standard assay for the assessment of normal stem cells and CSCs *in vitro*[100,101]. In order

to test 10 of the differentially expressed genes common to ALDH[Positive] PDO-PDX models,

cells were transfected with siRNAs against *ALDH1A1, EGR2, EGR3, HDGFRP3, OLFM2,*

OLFML3, PCP4, PEG10, PRKACB and *THBS1* (Figure 3B), serially plated at limiting

dilution into low-attachment plates and assessed for spheroid formation. siRNA *EGR2*

caused a significant decrease in spheroid formation and proliferation in all models (Figure

3A, C and D). Immunostaining of PDO, PDX and clinical samples demonstrated *EGR2* to

be ubiquitously expressed, with increased cytoplasmic and nuclear expression in cancer

compared to normal mucosa (Figure S4).

shRNA EGR2 cells are less tumorigenic, more differentiated and have decreased

expression of *HOX* genes and *SOX2*

Limiting dilution xenotransplantation of control virus transduced and shRNA EGR2

transduced 195-CB-P cells was carried out to determine if *EGR2* regulates tumorigenesis

in vivo. Control virus transduced cells generated xenografts at each cell dilution tested

but shRNA EGR2 transduced cells were significantly impaired in their ability to generate

tumors when transplanted at low cell number (Figure 3E). In addition, shRNA EGR2

tumors grew more slowly than control transduced cells (Figure 3F). These data

demonstrate that loss of *EGR2* in CSCs significantly decreased their tumorigenic

capacity. Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of three shRNA EGR2 tumors confirmed that the

shRNA EGR2 knockdown was present (Figure 3G). Significantly, expression of

proliferation (*MKI67, MYC*), intestinal stem cell genes (*ALCAM, ALDH1A1, BMI1, EPHA4,*

EPHB2, LRIG1, OLFM4, PROM1) and Wnt signaling genes (*AXIN2, CTNNB1, LGR5,*

RUNX2) were decreased, while the expression of differentiation markers, including the

tumor suppressor and Wnt signaling target *ATOH1*, were strongly increased (Figure 3G).

Interestingly, *ATOH1* is also essential for neuronal differentiation during embryonic

development[102–109].

During embryogenesis *EGR2* has a conserved role in regulating embryonic master

transcriptional regulator HOX genes and the stem cell regulator *SOX2*[30–32,34–41]. In

addition, several HOX genes and *SOX2* have recently been shown to be enriched in and

to regulate colon CSCs[17–20,23].   We therefore investigated whether these genes were

similarly regulated by *EGR2* in colon PDX tumors. Notably, we found that *SOX2* and

several *HOX* genes, namely *HOXA2*, *HOXA4*, *HOXA5*, *HOXA7*, *HOXB2*, *HOXB3* and

HOXD10, were downregulated in shRNA EGR2 tumors (Figure 3G).

***EGR2, ATOH1, HOXA2, HOXA4, HOXA5, HOXA7, HOXB2* and *HOXB3* are predictors**

of patient outcome in colorectal cancer

To characterize *EGR2, ATOH1, HOXA2, HOXA4, HOXA5, HOXA7, HOXB2, HOXB3*

HOXD10 and *SOX2* expression in clinical samples, we analyzed expression across

different colorectal tumor stages (Figure 4A). These data demonstrated that *EGR2* (p-

value 0.027), *HOXA2* (p-value 0.026), *HOXA4* (p-value 0.000075) *HOXA5* (p-value

0.001), *HOXA7* (p-value 0.009), *HOXB3* (p-value 0.0016) and HOXD10 (p-value 0.043)

expression are more enhanced in late stage T4 clinical tumors. Of these, *HOXA4*,

HOXA5, *HOXA7*, and *HOXB3* are significant at FDR < 5%. Analysis of Kaplan-Meier

survival curves showed that patients with higher *EGR2, HOXA2, HOXA4, HOXA5,*

HOXA7, HOXB2 and HOXB3 expression have a poorer clinical outcome (p-values

0.00017, 0.0028, 0.0006, 0.0043, 0.0022, 0.00025 and 0.019, respectively). Of these,

higher *EGR2*, *HOXA2*, *HOXA4*, *HOXA5* and *HOXA7* are significant at FDR < 5%.

Furthermore, these data demonstrated that high levels of *ATOH1* are predictive of good

prognosis (p-value 0.0013). These data support *ATOH1*, *EGR2* and its target genes

HOXA2, HOXA4, HOXA5, HOXA7 and HOXB3 as potential new biomarkers for CRC

prognosis.

DISCUSSION

We previously demonstrated that colon cancer PDOs are enriched for CSCs and

preserve the functional and molecular heterogeneity found *in vivo*, thus making them

excellent models for the study of CSCs[43]. However, the defined conditions of the PDO

culture media results in reduced cell type diversity[42]. Conversely, the *in vivo* environment

promotes differentiation and reduces CSCs to a minority population. Therefore, in order

to identify genes that regulate CSC survival and differentiation we carried out whole

transcriptome analyses of functionally defined ALDH[Negative] cells and ALDH[Positive] CSCs

from colon cancer PDO and PDX models and performed functional analyses of genes

differentially expressed and common to ALDH[Positive] CSCs from both models.

Interestingly, these analyses revealed transcripts associated with nervous system

development and NCSCs to be highly enriched in both PDO and PDX CSCs. Recent

studies have demonstrated that solid tumors, including CRC, contain nerve fibers that

promote tumor growth and metastasis, indeed, neurogenesis in CRC is an independent

indicator of poor clinical outcome[110,111], but their origin and mechanism of innervation is

unknown[112–117].

A growing body of evidence has demonstrated a gut-neural axis[118–123] in which various

intestinal cells, including stem cells, interact with the autonomic nervous system (ANS),

either directly[124–130] or via the enteric nervous system (ENS)[131–133], a network of neurons

and glia within the bowel wall that regulates most aspects of intestinal function[134], to

control stem cell proliferation and differentiation[135,136]. For example, ISCs express ANS-

associated alpha2A adrenoreceptor (Adra2a) and acetylcholine (ACh) receptors

implicated in controlling intestinal epithelial proliferation[130,137–140]. In addition,

differentiated cell types, such as intestinal enterochromaffin (EC) cells have been found

to be electrically excitable and modulate serotonin-sensitive primary afferent nerve fibers

via synaptic connections, enabling them to detect and transduce environmental,

metabolic, and homeostatic information from the gut directly to the nervous system[141].

Recent studies have also demonstrated that enteroendocrine cells form neuroepithelial

circuits by directly synapsing with vagal neurons and called for a renaming of these cells

from enteroendocrine to neuropod cells[129,142]. Neuropod cells and EC cells, like all

differentiated intestinal cells (enteroendocrine, enterocyte, goblet, paneth) and CSCs,

derive from multipotent Lgr5[Positive] crypt stem cells[143,144]. Significantly, colorectal CSCs

themselves have been shown to be capable of generating neurons when transplanted

intraperitoneally in nude mice[145]. Intestinal stem cells and CSCs should therefore possess

the capacity to express nervous system genes, since they are the progenitors of cells with

neural function. However, until now, no previous study had directly reported nervous

system gene enrichment in ISCs or CSCs.

We therefore carried out gene ontology analysis of Lgr5[Positive] crypt stem cell

transcriptomes from earlier studies[146–148]. In agreement with our CSC data (Figure 2), this

analysis revealed normal ISCs to also be enriched for nervous system genes (Figure S5).

In addition, the PDOs showed ubiquitous staining for the epithelial cell marker EPCAM

(Figure 1A), demonstrating that they do not contain a separate non-epithelial neural cell

lineage that could be the origin of the nervous system gene expression. Overall, these

data suggest that CSCs may be a source of the neural connections that interact with the

ANS and peripheral nervous system (PNS) to drive tumor growth and metastasis[112–116].

Denervation of the ANS and PNS, which causes loss of autonomic neurotransmitters in

the gut, results in loss of crypt stem cell proliferation and suppression of tumorigenesis[124–

128,131,132,149–151]. The inhibition of nervous system gene transcription in CSCs and their

progeny may therefore provide a novel therapeutic strategy in colorectal cancer, with

results similar to denervation[149,150,152].

During embryonic development, the PNS, of which the ENS is a part, arises from NCSCs,

multipotent and highly migratory stem cells that move throughout the embryo to colonize

multiple organ primordia and differentiate into numerous cell types[24,153–155]. Recently, self-

renewing NCSCs have been discovered in post-natal tissue[156–160], including the adult

gut[161,162], although the degree to which these cells contribute to the adult tissue is not yet

known.

EGR2 is a conserved regulator and marker of NCSCs that acts upstream of several *HOX*

genes and *SOX2* to control cell fate in embryonic and nervous system stem cells[30–41].

Interestingly, its expression is also rapidly activated after wounding in the embryonic and

adult mouse[33], suggesting a role in adult tissue stem cells, which contribute to tissue

regeneration and wound repair[163]. However, no previous study has identified a role for

EGR2 in CRC. Here, we demonstrate that *EGR2* is enriched in colon CSCs and is

required for tumorigenicity and to maintain CSCs in an undifferentiated state by regulating

HOX genes and *SOX2*.

SOX2 is one of the early genes activated in the developing neural crest and has a broad

role as a transcriptional regulator in embryonic and adult stem cells[15,164–169]. In embryonic

and adult neural stem cells, it is required for the maintenance of neural stem cell

properties, including proliferation, survival, self-renewal and neurogenesis[170–174]. In the

intestine, its expression results in cell fate conversion and redirects the intestinal

epithelium to a more undifferentiated phenotype[175–177]. In addition, *SOX2* has been

associated with a stem cell state in several cancer types[178–180] and is aberrantly

expressed in CRC[176,181,182]. Overall, these data, combined with our own, support a role

for *SOX2* in CRC tumor initiation and progression, possible by promoting neural

specification in CSCs and their descendants.

HOX genes have been reported to be enriched in and required for the maintenance of

normal stem cells and CSCs in various adult tissues[11,13,16,183–189]. Recently, *HOXA4,*

HOXA9 and *HOXD10* were shown to be selectively expressed in ALDH[Positive] intestinal

crypt stem cells and colon CSCs, to promote self-renewal and regulate expression of

stem cell markers[17,18]. Here, we demonstrate that the same *HOX* genes that are regulated

by *EGR2* in NCSCs are also regulated by *EGR2* in colon CSCs and that several of these,

HOXA2, *HOXA4*, *HOXA5*, *HOXA7*, *HOXB2*, *HOXB3*, along with *EGR2*, are indicators of

poor prognosis in CRC.

These data demonstrate that colon CSCs are enriched for neural crest and nervous

system development genes, including the NCSC regulator *EGR2*, which controls *SOX2*

and *HOX* genes to maintain CSCs in an undifferentiated state required for tumorigenesis.

Targeting *EGR2* to induce differentiation and block potential intestinal-neural cell

specification, e.g. by downregulating the neural stem cell regulator SOX2, may offer a

novel therapeutic strategy to eliminate colon CSCs and prevent nervous system driven

proliferation and metastasis.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Human tissue samples and establishment of patient-derived cancer organoid cell

cultures

Tumor material was obtained with informed consent from CRC patients under approval

from the local Institutional Review Board of Charité University Medicine (Charité Ethics

Cie: Charitéplatz 1, 10117 Berlin, Germany) (EA 1/069/11) and the ethics committee of

the Medical University of Graz and the ethics committee of the St John of God Hospital

Graz (23-015 ex 10/11). Tumor staging was carried out by experienced and board-

certified pathologists (Table S1). Cancer organoid cultures were established and

propagated as described[42,44].

Limiting dilution xenotransplantation

Housing and handling of animals followed European and German Guidelines for Laboratory Animal Welfare. Animal experiments were conducted in accordance with animal welfare law, approved by local authorities, and in accordance with the ethical guidelines of Bayer AG. PDO derived PDX models were processed to single cells and sorted by FACS (BD FACS Aria II) for ALDH activity (Aldefluor assay) and DAPI to exclude dead cells. Cells were then re-transplanted at limiting dilutions by injected subcutaneously in PBS and Matrigel (1:1 ratio) at limiting cell dilutions into female 8 – 10-week-old nude$^{-/-}$ mice.

Histology and immunohistochemistry

Tumors were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight for routine histological analysis and immunohistochemistry. Immunohistochemistry was carried out via standard techniques with non-phospho (Active) β-Catenin (#8814, rabbit monoclonal, Cell Signaling Technology; diluted 1:200) and EGR2 (ab43020, Abcam, rabbit IgG, polyclonal, diluted 1:1000) antibodies. Negative controls were performed using the same protocols with substitution of the primary antibody with IgG-matched controls (ab172730, rabbit IgG, monoclonal [EPR25A], Abcam). Colorectal cancer tissue microarrays from the OncoTrack patient cohort[44] were obtained from The Institute of Pathology, Medical University Graz, Austria and analyzed using Aperio TMALab and Image software (Leica Biosystems).

Immunofluorescence staining of PDOs

For immunofluorescence imaging, cancer organoid cultures were fixed in 4%

paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-

100 for 30 min and blocked in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with 10% bovine serum

albumin (BSA). Samples were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C.

Antibodies used were Non-phospho (Active) β-Catenin (#8814, rabbit monoclonal, Cell

Signaling Technology; diluted 1:200), EZRIN (ab40839, rabbit monoclonal, Abcam,

diluted 1:200), EPCAM (#2929, mouse monoclonal, Cell Signaling Technology, diluted

1:500) and EGR2 (ab43020, rabbit polyclonal, Abcam, diluted 1:1000). Samples were

stained with a conjugated secondary antibody overnight at 4°C. F-actin was stained with

Alexa Fluor® 647 Phalloidin (#A22287, Thermo Fisher; diluted 1:20) for 30 min at room

temperature. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. Negative controls were performed

using the same protocol with substitution of the primary antibody with IgG-matched

controls. Cancer organoids were then transferred to microscope slides for examination

using a Zeiss LSM 700 Laser Scanning Microscope.

Aldefluor Assay

Organoids and xenografts were processed to single cells and labelled using the Aldefluor

Assay according to manufacturer's (Stemcell Technologies) instructions. ALDH levels

were assessed by FACS on a BD LSR II analyzer.

RNA Sequencing

Cells were lysed in RLT buffer and processed for RNA using the RNeasy Mini Plus RNA

extraction kit (Qiagen). Samples were processed using Illumina's TrueSeq RNA protocol

and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 machine as 2x125nt paired-end reads. The

raw data in Fastq format were checked for sample quality using our internal NGS QC

pipeline. Reads were mapped to the human reference genome (assembly hg19) using

the STAR aligner (version 2.4.2a). Total read counts per gene were computed using the

program "featureCounts" (version 1.4.6-p2) in the "subread" package, with the gene

annotation taken from Gencode (version 19). The "DESeq2" Bioconductor package was

used for the differential-expression analysis.

siRNA transfection

Cells were seeded in 100 µl volumes of Accell™ Delivery Media (Dharmacon™) at 1.0 x

10^5 cells per well in ultra-low attachment 96-well plates and transfected with 2 µM

concentrations of Accell™ siRNAs (Table S2) and control siRNA (Accell™ non-targeting

siRNA control) (Dharmacon™) by incubating for up to 72 h in Accell siRNA Delivery

Media.

Viral transduction

Cells were seeded in 100 µl volumes of antibiotic free culture media at 1.0 x10^5 cells per

well in ultra-low attachment 96-well plates. Control and shRNA lentiviruses were

purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Table S3). Viral particles were added at a multiplicity of

infection of 1. Cells were transduced for up to 96 h or until GFP positive cells were

observed before being embedded in Matrigel for the establishment of lentiviral transduced

cancer organoid cultures. Puromycin (2 µg/ml) was used to keep the cells under selection.

Limiting dilution spheroid assays

For siRNA spheroid assays, transfected live (DAPI[Negative]) cells were sorted at 10 cells per

well into 96-well ultra-low attachment plates. 20 days later wells containing spheroids

were counted and used to calculate CSC frequency using ELDA software. Proliferation

was measured using the CellTiter-Glo® Luminescent Cell Viability Assay.

Gene expression analysis

For quantitative real-time RT-PCR analysis RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Plus

RNA extraction kit (Qiagen). cDNA synthesis was carried out using a Sensiscript RT kit

(Qiagen). RNA was transcribed into cDNA using an oligo dTn primer (Promega) per

reaction. Gene expression analysis was performed using TaqMan® Gene Expression

Assays (Applied Biosystems) (Table S4) on an ABI Prism 7900HT sequence detection

system (Applied Biosystems). GAPDH was used as an endogenous control and results

were calculated using the Δ-ΔCt method. Data were expressed as the mean fold gene

expression difference in three independently isolated cell preparations over a comparator

sample with 95% confidence intervals. Pairwise comparison of gene expression was

performed using R[190] together with package ggplot2[191] on log2 transformed RNAseq data

from 533 patients with clinical data (n=378 colon adenocarcinomas, n=155 rectal

carcinomas staged T1-T4) extracted from the cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics

(cbioportal.org)[192,193]. Survival curves were generated using the Kaplan-Meier Plotter

(www.kmplot.com/analysis)[194]. Gene ontology enrichment analysis was carried out using

the Gene Ontology Resource (www.geneontology.org)[195,196].

Statistical analysis

GraphPad Prism 6.0 was used for data analysis and imaging. All data are presented as

the means ± SD, followed by determining significant differences using the two-tailed t test.

Significance of RT-PCR data was determined by inspection of error bars as described by

Cumming *et al*. (2007)[197]. Limiting-dilution frequency and probability estimates were

analyzed by the single-hit Poisson model and pairwise tests for differences in stem cell

frequencies using the ELDA software (http://bioinf.wehi.edu.au/software/elda/index.html,

Hu and Smyth, 2009)[198]. Gene set enrichment analysis was carried out using pre-ranked

feature of the Broad Institute GSEA software version 2 using msigdb v5.1 gene sets[199,200].

The ranking list was derived from the fold changes (1.5fold upregulated) calculated from

the differential gene expression calculation and nominal p-values. P-values <0.05 were

considered as statistically significant. For the final list of significant genes, False

Discovery Rate was computed using the Benjamini-Hochberg method[201].

Acknowledgements

We thank Dorothea Przybilla, and Cathrin Davies (Laboratory of Molecular Tumor

Pathology, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany) for technical and cell culture

assistance. The research leading to these results has received support from the

Innovative Medicines Initiative Joint Undertaking under Grant Agreement 115234

(OncoTrack), the resources of which are composed of financial contribution from the

417 Authors Contribution

418 Conceptualization, J.L.R.; Methodology, J.L.R. and M.L.; Investigation, J.L.R., D.S., S.S.,

419 A.S., R.L., J.T., T.J., J.H., N.G.-S., and M.L.; Writing, J.L.R.; Visualization, J.L.R; Data

420 Curation, A.S., J.T.; Resources, J.H., U.K., C.R.A.R. and B.G.; Supervision, J.L.R., D.M.,

421 D.H., R.S., and M.L.

423 Accession Numbers

425 Array data are available in the ArrayExpress database (www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress)

426 under accession numbers E-MTAB-5209 and E-MTAB-8927.

428 References

1.   Siegel, R., DeSantis, C. & Jemal, A. Colorectal cancer statistics, 2014. *CA.*

Cancer J. Clin. **64**, 104–117 (2014).

2.   Reya, T., Morrison, S. J., Clarke, M. F. & Weissman, I. L. Stem cells, cancer, and

cancer stem cells. *Nature* **414**, 105–111 (2001).

3.   Vermeulen, L., Sprick, M. R., Kemper, K., Stassi, G. & Medema, J. P. Cancer

stem cells--old concepts, new insights. *Cell Death Differ.* **15**, 947–58 (2008).

4.   Ricci-Vitiani, L. *et al.* Identification and expansion of human colon-cancer-initiating

cells. *Nature* **445**, 111–115 (2007).

5.   O'Brien, C. A., Pollett, A., Gallinger, S. & Dick, J. E. A human colon cancer cell

capable of initiating tumour growth in immunodeficient mice. *Nature* **445**, 106–110

(2007).

6.   Clarke, M. F. & Fuller, M. Stem cells and cancer: two faces of eve. *Cell* **124**,

1111–1115 (2006).

7.   Wicha, M. S., Liu, S. & Dontu, G. Cancer stem cells: an old idea--a paradigm shift.

Cancer Res **66**, 1883–1886 (2006).

8.   Nolte, C., Ahn, Y. & Krumlauf, R. B. T.-R. M. in B. S. Hox Genes Expression☆. in

(Elsevier, 2014). doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-801238-3.04638-9

9.   Gouti, M. & Gavalas, A. Hox Genes and Stem Cells. in *HOX Gene Expression*

111–120 (Springer New York, 2007). doi:10.1007/978-0-387-68990-6_8

10.   Sarkar, A. & Hochedlinger, K. The Sox Family of Transcription Factors: Versatile

Regulators of Stem and Progenitor Cell Fate. *Cell Stem Cell* **12**, 15–30 (2013).

11.   Shah, N. & Sukumar, S. The Hox genes and their roles in oncogenesis. *Nat. Rev.*

Cancer **10**, 361–371 (2010).

12.   Chew, L.-J. & Gallo, V. The Yin and Yang of Sox proteins: Activation and

repression in development and disease. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **87**, 3277–3287 (2009).

13.   Seifert, A., Werheid, D. F., Knapp, S. M. & Tobiasch, E. Role of Hox genes in

stem cell differentiation. *World J. Stem Cells* **7**, 583–595 (2015).

14.   Kamachi, Y. & Kondoh, H. Sox proteins: regulators of cell fate specification and

differentiation. *Development* **140**, 4129 LP – 4144 (2013).

15.   Arnold, K. *et al.* Sox2+ Adult Stem and Progenitor Cells Are Important for Tissue

Regeneration and Survival of Mice. *Cell Stem Cell* **9**, 317–329 (2011).

16.   Bhatlekar, S., Fields, J. Z. & Boman, B. M. Role of HOX Genes in Stem Cell

Differentiation and Cancer. *Stem Cells Int.* **2018**, 3569493 (2018).

17.   Bhatlekar, S., Viswanathan, V., Fields, J. Z. & Boman, B. M. Overexpression of

HOXA4 and HOXA9 genes promotes self-renewal and contributes to colon cancer

stem cell overpopulation. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **233**, 727–735 (2018).

18.   Bhatlekar, S. *et al.* Identification of a developmental gene expression signature,

including HOX genes, for the normal human colonic crypt stem cell niche:

overexpression of the signature parallels stem cell overpopulation during colon

tumorigenesis. *Stem Cells Dev.* **23**, 167–179 (2014).

19.   Takeda, K. *et al.* Sox2 is associated with cancer stem-like properties in colorectal

cancer. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 17639 (2018).

20.   Novak, D. *et al.* SOX2 in development and cancer biology. *Semin. Cancer Biol.*

(2019). doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2019.08.007

21.   Basu-Roy, U. *et al.* Sox2 maintains self renewal of tumor-initiating cells in

osteosarcomas. *Oncogene* **31**, 2270–2282 (2012).

22.   Lundberg, I. V *et al.* SOX2 expression is associated with a cancer stem cell state

and down-regulation of CDX2 in colorectal cancer. *BMC Cancer* **16**, 471 (2016).

23.   Schaefer, T. & Lengerke, C. SOX2 protein biochemistry in stemness,

reprogramming, and cancer: the PI3K/AKT/SOX2 axis and beyond. *Oncogene* **39**,

278–292 (2020).

24.   Bronner, M. E. & LeDouarin, N. M. Development and evolution of the neural crest:

482   an overview. *Dev. Biol.* **366**, 2–9 (2012).

483   25.   Duester, G. Retinoic Acid Synthesis and Signaling during Early Organogenesis.

484   *Cell* **134**, 921–931 (2008).

485   26.   Tremblay, R. *et al.* Retinoic acid regulates Sox2 expression during neuronal and

486   glial differentiation in mouse P19 cells. *Retinoic acid: structure, mechanisms and*

487   *roles in disease* 165–174 (2012).

488   27.   Vassalli, G. Aldehyde Dehydrogenases: Not Just Markers, but Functional

489   Regulators of Stem Cells. *Stem Cells Int.* **2019**, 3904645 (2019).

490   28.   Douville, J., Beaulieu, R. & Balicki, D. ALDH1 as a Functional Marker of Cancer

491   Stem and Progenitor Cells. *Stem Cells Dev.* **18**, 17–26 (2008).

492   29.   Huang, E. H. *et al.* Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 is a marker for normal and

493   malignant human colonic stem cells (SC) and tracks SC overpopulation during

494   colon tumorigenesis. *Cancer Res.* **69**, 3382–3389 (2009).

495   30.   Nonchev, S. *et al.* The conserved role of Krox-20 in directing Hox gene

496   expression during vertebrate hindbrain segmentation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **93**,

497   9339 LP – 9345 (1996).

498   31.   Ghislain, J., Desmarquet-Trin-Dinh, C., Gilardi-Hebenstreit, P., Charnay, P. &

499   Frain, M. Neural crest patterning: autoregulatory and crest-specific elements co-

500   operate for Krox20 transcriptional control. *Development* **130**, 941—953 (2003).

501   32.   Vesque, C. *et al.* Hoxb-2 transcriptional activation in rhombomeres 3 and 5

502   requires an evolutionarily conserved cis-acting element in addition to the Krox-20

503   binding site. *EMBO J.* **15**, 5383–5396 (1996).

33.   Grose, R., Harris, B. S., Cooper, L., Topilko, P. & Martin, P. Immediate early

genes krox-24 and krox-20 are rapidly up-regulated after wounding in the

embryonic and adult mouse. *Dev. Dyn.* **223**, 371–378 (2002).

34.   Nonchev, S. *et al.* Segmental expression of Hoxa-2 in the hindbrain is directly

regulated by Krox-20. *Development* **122**, 543 LP – 554 (1996).

35.   Kim, M. H., Cho, M. & Park, D. Sequence Analysis of the 5′-Flanking Region of

the Gene Encoding Human HOXA-7. *Somat. Cell Mol. Genet.* **24**, 371–374

(1998).

36.   Manzanares, M. *et al.* Krox20 and kreisler co-operate in the transcriptional control

of segmental expression of Hoxb3 in the developing hindbrain. *EMBO J.* **21**, 365–

376 (2002).

37.   Chavrier, P. *et al.* The segment-specific gene Krox-20 encodes a transcription

factor with binding sites in the promoter region of the Hox-1.4 gene. *EMBO J.* **9**,

1209–1218 (1990).

38.   Topilko, P. *et al.* Krox-20 controls myelination in the peripheral nervous system.

Nature **371**, 796 (1994).

39.   Jang, S.-W. *et al.* Locus-wide identification of Egr2/Krox20 regulatory targets in

myelin genes. *J. Neurochem.* **115**, 1409–1420 (2010).

40.   Sham, M. H. *et al.* The zinc finger gene Krox20 regulates

HoxB2 (Hox2.8) during hindbrain segmentation. *Cell* **72**, 183–196

(1993).

41.   Desmazières, A., Charnay, P. & Gilardi-Hebenstreit, P. Krox20 Controls the

Transcription of Its Various Targets in the Developing Hindbrain According to

Multiple Modes. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**, 10831–10840 (2009).

42. Sato, T. *et al.* Long-term Expansion of Epithelial Organoids From Human Colon,

Adenoma, Adenocarcinoma, and Barrett's Epithelium. *Gastroenterology* **141**,

1762–1772 (2011).

43. Regan, J. L. *et al.* Non-Canonical Hedgehog Signaling Is a Positive Regulator of

the WNT Pathway and Is Required for the Survival of Colon Cancer Stem Cells.

Cell Rep. **21**, 2813–2828 (2017).

44. Schütte, M. *et al.* Molecular dissection of colorectal cancer in pre-clinical models

identifies biomarkers predicting sensitivity to EGFR inhibitors. *Nat. Commun.* **8**,

(2017).

45. Ginestier, C. *et al.* ALDH1 is a marker of normal and malignant human mammary

stem cells and a predictor of a poor clinical outcome. *Cell Stem Cell* **1**, 555–567

(2007).

46. Deng, Y. *et al.* ALDH1 is an independent prognostic factor for patients with stages

II-III rectal cancer after receiving radiochemotherapy. *Br. J. Cancer* **110**, 430–434

(2014).

47. Flahaut, M. *et al.* Aldehyde dehydrogenase activity plays a Key role in the

aggressive phenotype of neuroblastoma. *BMC Cancer* **16**, 781 (2016).

48. Kuroda, T. *et al.* ALDH1-high ovarian cancer stem-like cells can be isolated from

serous and clear cell adenocarcinoma cells, and ALDH1 high expression is

associated with poor prognosis. *PLoS One* **8**, e65158–e65158 (2013).

49. Wakamatsu, Y. *et al.* Expression of cancer stem cell markers ALDH1, CD44 and

CD133 in primary tumor and lymph node metastasis of gastric cancer. *Pathol. Int.*

62, 112–119 (2012).

50.   Tomita, H., Tanaka, K., Tanaka, T. & Hara, A. Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1A1 in

552   stem cells and cancer. *Oncotarget* **7**, 11018–11032 (2016).

553   51.   Puttini, S. *et al.* ALDH1A3 Is the Key Isoform That Contributes to Aldehyde

554   Dehydrogenase Activity and Affects in Vitro Proliferation in Cardiac Atrial

555   Appendage Progenitor Cells. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* **5**, 90 (2018).

556   52.   Moreb, J. S. *et al.* The enzymatic activity of human aldehyde dehydrogenases

557   1A2 and 2 (ALDH1A2 and ALDH2) is detected by Aldefluor, inhibited by

558   diethylaminobenzaldehyde and has significant effects on cell proliferation and

559   drug resistance. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* **195**, 52–60 (2012).

560   53.   Marcato, P., Dean, C. A., Giacomantonio, C. A. & Lee, P. W. K. Aldehyde

561   dehydrogenase: Its role as a cancer stem cell marker comes down to the specific

562   isoform. *Cell Cycle* **10**, 1378–1384 (2011).

563   54.   Chandra, A., Lan, S., Zhu, J., Siclari, V. A. & Qin, L. Epidermal Growth Factor

564   Receptor (EGFR) Signaling Promotes Proliferation and Survival in

565   Osteoprogenitors by Increasing Early Growth Response 2 (EGR2) Expression. *J.

566   Biol. Chem.* **288**, 20488–20498 (2013).

567   55.   Liu, C.-J. *et al.* Differential gene expression signature between primary and

568   metastatic head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *J. Pathol.* **214**, 489–497

569   (2007).

570   56.   To, S. Q., Knower, K. C. & Clyne, C. D. NFκB and MAPK signalling pathways

571   mediate TNFα-induced Early Growth Response gene transcription leading to

572   aromatase expression. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **433**, 96–101 (2013).

573   57.   Young, E. *et al.* EGR2 mutations define a new clinically aggressive subgroup of

574   chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Leukemia* **31**, 1547 (2016).

575   58.   Yao, T., Wang, Q., Zhang, W., Bian, A. & Zhang, J. Identification of genes

576   associated with renal cell carcinoma using gene expression profiling analysis.

577   *Oncol. Lett.* **12**, 73–78 (2016).

578   59.   Dillon, R. L., Brown, S. T., Ling, C., Shioda, T. & Muller, W. J. An EGR2/CITED1

579   Transcription Factor Complex and the 14-3-3σ Tumor Suppressor Are Involved in

580   Regulating ErbB2 Expression in a Transgenic-Mouse Model of Human Breast

581   Cancer. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **27**, 8648 LP – 8657 (2007).

582   60.   O'Donovan, K. J., Tourtellotte, W. G., Millbrandt, J. & Baraban, J. M. The EGR

583   family of transcription-regulatory factors: progress at the interface of molecular

584   and systems neuroscience. *Trends Neurosci.* **22**, 167–173 (1999).

585   61.   Baron, V. T., Pio, R., Jia, Z. & Mercola, D. Early Growth Response 3 regulates

586   genes of inflammation and directly activates IL6 and IL8 expression in prostate

587   cancer. *Br. J. Cancer* **112**, 755–764 (2015).

588   62.   Xi, H. & Kersh, G. J. Early growth response gene 3 regulates thymocyte

589   proliferation during the transition from CD4-CD8- to CD4+CD8+. *J Immunol* **172**,

590   964–971 (2004).

591   63.   Inoue, A., Omoto, Y., Yamaguchi, Y., Kiyama, R. & Hayashi, S. I. Transcription

592   factor EGR3 is involved in the estrogen-signaling pathway in breast cancer cells.

593   *J. Mol. Endocrinol.* **32**, 649–661 (2004).

594   64.   Shin, H., Kwon, S., Song, H. & Lim, H. J. The Transcription Factor Egr3 Is a

595   Putative Component of the Microtubule Organizing Center in Mouse Oocytes.

596   *PLoS One* **9**, e94708 (2014).

597   65.   Quach, D. H., Oliveira-Fernandes, M., Gruner, K. A. & Tourtellotte, W. G. A

598   Sympathetic Neuron Autonomous Role for Egr3-Mediated Gene Regulation in

599   Dendrite Morphogenesis and Target Tissue Innervation. *J. Neurosci.* **33**, 4570–

600   4583 (2013).

601   66.   Tourtellotte, W. G. & Milbrandt, J. Sensory ataxia and muscle spindle agenesis in

602   mice lacking the transcription factor Egr3. *Nat. Genet.* **20**, 87 (1998).

603   67.   Ortega-Paino, E., Fransson, J., Ek, S. & Borrebaeck, C. A. K. Functionally

604   associated targets in mantle cell lymphoma as defined by DNA microarrays and

605   RNA interference. *Blood* **111**, 1617–1624 (2008).

606   68.   Fok, K. L. *et al.* STK31 Maintains the Undifferentiated State of Colon Cancer

607   Cells. *Carcinogenesis* **33**, 2044–2053 (2012).

608   69.   Yun, H. S. *et al.* Depletion of hepatoma-derived growth factor-related protein-3

609   induces apoptotic sensitization of radioresistant A549 cells via reactive oxygen

610   species-dependent p53 activation. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **439**, 333–

611   339 (2013).

612   70.   Xiao, Q. *et al.* HDGF-related protein-3 is required for anchorage-independent

613   survival and chemoresistance in hepatocellular carcinomas. *Gut* **62**, 440 LP – 451

614   (2013).

615   71.   Cai, H. *et al.* HRP-3 protects the hepatoma cells from glucose deprivation-induced

616   apoptosis. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol.* **8**, 14383–14391 (2015).

617   72.   El-Tahir, H. M., Abouzied, M. M., Gallitzendoerfer, R., Gieselmann, V. & Franken,

618   S. Hepatoma-derived Growth Factor-related Protein-3 Interacts with Microtubules

and Promotes Neurite Outgrowth in Mouse Cortical Neurons. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**,

11637–11651 (2009).

73.   Shi, N., Guo, X. & Chen, S.-Y. Olfactomedin 2, a novel regulator for transforming

growth factor-β-induced smooth muscle differentiation of human embryonic stem

cell-derived mesenchymal cells. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **25**, 4106–4114 (2014).

74.   Shi, N. & Chen, S.-Y. From nerve to blood vessel: a new role of Olfm2 in smooth

muscle differentiation from human embryonic stem cell-derived mesenchymal

cells. *J. Biomed. Res.* **29**, 261–263 (2015).

75.   Anholt, R. Olfactomedin proteins: central players in development and disease   .

Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology   **2**, 6 (2014).

76.   Lee, J.-A., Anholt, R. R. H. & Cole, G. J. Olfactomedin-2 mediates development of

the anterior central nervous system and head structures in zebrafish. *Mech. Dev.*

125, 167–181 (2008).

77.   Miljkovic-Licina, M. *et al.* Targeting Olfactomedin-like 3 Inhibits Tumor Growth by

Impairing Angiogenesis and Pericyte Coverage. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* **11**, 2588 LP –

2599 (2012).

78.   Torres, S. *et al.* Proteome Profiling of Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts Identifies

Novel Proinflammatory Signatures and Prognostic Markers for Colorectal Cancer.

Clin. Cancer Res. **19**, 6006 LP – 6019 (2013).

79.   Harashima, S., Wang, Y., Horiuchi, T., Seino, Y. & Inagaki, N. Purkinje cell protein

4 positively regulates neurite outgrowth and neurotransmitter release. *J. Neurosci.*

Res. **89**, 1519–1530 (2011).

80.   Yoshimura, T. *et al.* PCP4/PEP19 promotes migration, invasion and adhesion in

human breast cancer MCF-7 and T47D cells. *Oncotarget* **7**, 49065–49074 (2016).

81.   Hamada, T. *et al.* Anti-apoptotic effects of PCP4/PEP19 in human breast cancer

cell lines: a novel oncotarget. *Oncotarget* **5**, 6076–6086 (2014).

82.   Erhardt, J. A., Legos, J. J., Johanson, R. A., Slemmon, J. R. & Wang, X.

Expression of PEP-19 inhibits apoptosis in PC12 cells. *Neuroreport* **11**, (2000).

83.   Xie, T. *et al.* PEG10 as an oncogene: expression regulatory mechanisms and role

in tumor progression. *Cancer Cell Int.* **18**, 112 (2018).

84.   Li, C.-M. *et al.* PEG10 Is a c-MYC Target Gene in Cancer

Cells. *Cancer Res.* **66**, 665 LP – 672 (2006).

85.   Okabe, H. *et al.* Involvement of PEG10 in Human Hepatocellular Carcinogenesis

through Interaction with SIAH1. *Cancer Res.* **63**, 3043 LP – 3048 (2003).

86.   Peng, Y.-P. *et al.* PEG10 overexpression induced by E2F-1 promotes cell

proliferation, migration, and invasion in pancreatic cancer. *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer*

Res. **36**, 30 (2017).

87.   Kainz, B. *et al.* Overexpression of the paternally expressed gene 10 (PEG10)

from the imprinted locus on chromosome 7q21 in high-risk B-cell chronic

lymphocytic leukemia. *Int. J. Cancer* **121**, 1984–1993 (2007).

88.   LI, X. *et al.* PEG10 promotes human breast cancer cell proliferation, migration and

invasion. *Int. J. Oncol.* **48**, 1933–1942 (2016).

89.   Tsou, A.-P. *et al.* Overexpression of a novel imprinted gene,PEG10, in human

hepatocellular carcinoma and in regenerating mouse livers. *J. Biomed. Sci.* **10**,

625–635 (2003).

90.   Akamatsu, S. *et al.* The Placental Gene PEG10 Promotes Progression of

Neuroendocrine Prostate Cancer. *Cell Rep.* **12**, 922–936 (2015).

91.   Ono, R. *et al.* Deletion of Peg10, an imprinted gene acquired from a

retrotransposon, causes early embryonic lethality. *Nat. Genet.* **38**, 101–106

(2006).

92.   Furuta, K. *et al.* Integrated analysis of whole genome exon array and array-

comparative genomic hybridization in gastric and colorectal cancer cells. *Cancer*

Sci. **103**, 221–227 (2011).

93.   Livshits, A., Git, A., Fuks, G., Caldas, C. & Domany, E. Pathway-based

personalized analysis of breast cancer expression data. *Mol. Oncol.* **9**, 1471–

1483 (2015).

94.   Kazerounian, S., Yee, K. O. & Lawler, J. Thrombospondins in cancer. *Cell. Mol.*

Life Sci. **65**, 700–712 (2008).

95.   Huang, T., Sun, L., Yuan, X. & Qiu, H. Thrombospondin-1 is a multifaceted player

in tumor progression. *Oncotarget* **8**, 84546–84558 (2017).

96.   Iruela-Arispe, M. L., Liska, D. J., Sage, E. H. & Bornstein, P. Differential

expression of thrombospondin 1, 2, and 3 during murine development. *Dev. Dyn.*

197, 40–56 (2018).

97.   Adams, J. C. & Tucker, R. P. The thrombospondin type 1 repeat (TSR)

superfamily: Diverse proteins with related roles in neuronal development. *Dev.*

Dyn. **218**, 280–299 (2000).

98.   Ostankova, Y. V *et al.* Expression of Thrombospondin-1 Gene mRNA and Protein

in the Placenta in Gestosis. *Bull. Exp. Biol. Med.* **151**, 215–218 (2011).

99.   Daubon, T. *et al.* Deciphering the complex role of thrombospondin-1 in

glioblastoma development. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1146 (2019).

100. Weiswald, L.-B., Bellet, D. & Dangles-Marie, V. Spherical Cancer Models in

Tumor Biology. *Neoplasia* **17**, 1–15 (2015).

101. Ricci-Vitiani, L. *et al.* Identification and expansion of human colon-cancer-initiating

cells. *Nature* **445**, 111–5 (2007).

102. Maricich, S. M. *et al.* Atoh1-lineal neurons are required for hearing and for the

survival of neurons in the spiral ganglion and brainstem accessory auditory nuclei.

J. Neurosci. **29**, 11123–11133 (2009).

103. Aragaki, M. *et al.* Proteasomal degradation of Atoh1 by aberrant Wnt signaling

maintains the undifferentiated state of colon cancer. *Biochem Biophys Res*

Commun **368**, 923–929 (2008).

104. Yang, Q., Bermingham, N. A., Finegold, M. J. & Zoghbi, H. Y. Requirement of

Math1 for Secretory Cell Lineage Commitment in the Mouse Intestine. *Science*

(80-.). **294**, 2155 LP – 2158 (2001).

105. Ben-Arie, N. *et al.* Evolutionary Conservation of Sequence and Expression of the

bHLH Protein Atonal Suggests a Conserved Role in Neurogenesis. *Hum. Mol.*

Genet. **5**, 1207–1216 (1996).

106. Bossuyt, W. *et al.* Atonal homolog 1 is a tumor suppressor gene. *PLoS Biol.* **7**,

e39–e39 (2009).

107. Ishibashi, M. Molecular mechanisms for morphogenesis of the central nervous

system in mammals. *Anat Sci Int* **79**, 226–234 (2004).

108. Akazawa, C., Ishibashi, M., Shimizu, C., Nakanishi, S. & Kageyama, R. A

Mammalian Helix-Loop-Helix Factor Structurally Related to the Product of

Drosophila Proneural Gene atonal Is a Positive Transcriptional Regulator

Expressed in the Developing Nervous System. *J. Biol. Chem.* **270**, 8730–8738

(1995).

109. VanDussen, K. L. & Samuelson, L. C. Mouse atonal homolog 1 directs intestinal

progenitors to secretory cell rather than absorptive cell fate. *Dev. Biol.* **346**, 215–

223 (2010).

110. Albo, D. *et al.* Neurogenesis in colorectal cancer is a marker of aggressive tumor

behavior and poor outcomes. *Cancer* **117**, 4834–4845 (2011).

111. Liebl, F. *et al.* The Severity of Neural Invasion Is Associated with Shortened

Survival in Colon Cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **19**, 50 LP – 61 (2013).

112. Amit, M. *et al.* Loss of p53 drives neuron reprogramming in head and neck

cancer. *Nature* **578**, 449–454 (2020).

113. Venkataramani, V. *et al.* Glutamatergic synaptic input to glioma cells drives brain

tumour progression. *Nature* **573**, 532–538 (2019).

114. Magnon, C. *et al.* Autonomic Nerve Development Contributes to Prostate Cancer

Progression. *Science (80-.).* **341**, 1236361 (2013).

115. Wang, D. *et al.* Identification of multipotent mammary stem cells by protein C

receptor expression. *Nature* **517**, 81 (2014).

116. Rademakers, G. *et al.* The role of enteric neurons in the development and

progression of colorectal cancer. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Rev. Cancer* **1868**,

420–434 (2017).

117. Monje, M. *et al.* Roadmap for the Emerging Field of Cancer Neuroscience. *Cell*

181, 219–222 (2020).

118.   Han, W. *et al.* A Neural Circuit for Gut-Induced Reward. *Cell* **175**, 665-678.e23

735   (2018).

736   119.   Bohórquez, D. V & Liddle, R. A. The gut connectome: making sense of what you

737   eat. *J. Clin. Invest.* **125**, 888–890 (2015).

738   120.   Clemmensen, C. *et al.* Gut-Brain Cross-Talk in Metabolic Control. *Cell* **168**, 758–

739   774 (2017).

740   121.   de Araujo, I. E., Ferreira, J. G., Tellez, L. A., Ren, X. & Yeckel, C. W. The gut-

741   brain dopamine axis: a regulatory system for caloric intake. *Physiol. Behav.* **106**,

742   394–399 (2012).

743   122.   Mayer, E. A. Gut feelings: the emerging biology of gut-brain communication. *Nat.*

744   *Rev. Neurosci.* **12**, 453–466 (2011).

745   123.   Sharon, G., Sampson, T. R., Geschwind, D. H. & Mazmanian, S. K. The Central

746   Nervous System and the Gut Microbiome. *Cell* **167**, 915–932 (2016).

747   124.   Callaghan, B. D. The effect of pinealectomy and autonomic denervation on crypt

748   cell proliferation in the rat small intestine. *J. Pineal Res.* **10**, 180–185 (1991).

749   125.   Kennedy, M. F. G., Tutton, P. J. M. & Barkla, D. H. Adrenergic factors involved in

750   the control of crypt cell proliferation in jejunum and descending colon of mouse.

751   *Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol.* **10**, 577–586 (1983).

752   126.   Lachat, J.-J. & Goncalves, R. Influence of autonomic denervation upon the

753   kinetics of the ileal epithelium of the rat. *Cell Tissue Res.* **192**, 285–297 (1978).

754   127.   Musso, F., Lachat, J.-J., Cruz, A. R. & Gon   alves, R. P. Effect of denervation on

755   the mitotic index of the intestinal epithelium of the rat. *Cell Tissue Res.* **163**, 395–

756   402 (1975).

757   128.   Tutton, P. J. M. & Helme, R. D. The influence of adrenoreceptor activity on cell

758   proliferationin the rat jejunum. *Cell Prolif.* **7**, 125–136 (1974).

759   129.   Bohórquez, D. V *et al.* Neuroepithelial circuit formed by innervation of sensory

760   enteroendocrine cells. *J. Clin. Invest.* **125**, 782–786 (2015).

761   130.   Davis, E. A., Zhou, W. & Dailey, M. J. Evidence for a direct effect of the

762   autonomic nervous system on intestinal epithelial stem cell proliferation. *Physiol.*

763   *Rep.* **6**, e13745–e13745 (2018).

764   131.   Hernandes, L., Zucoloto, S. & Parisi Alvares, E. Effect of myenteric denervation

765   on intestinal epithelium proliferation and migration of suckling and weanling rats.

766   *Cell Prolif.* **33**, 127–138 (2000).

767   132.   Zucoloto, S. *et al.* The relationship between myenteric neuronal denervation,

768   smooth muscle thickening and epithelial cell proliferation in the rat colon. *Res.*

769   *Exp. Med.* **197**, 117–124 (1997).

770   133.   Puzan, M., Hosic, S., Ghio, C. & Koppes, A. Enteric Nervous System Regulation

771   of Intestinal Stem Cell Differentiation and Epithelial Monolayer Function. *Sci. Rep.*

772   **8**, 6313 (2018).

773   134.   Sasselli, V., Pachnis, V. & Burns, A. J. The enteric nervous system. *Dev. Biol.*

774   **366**, 64–73 (2012).

775   135.   Davis, E. A. & Dailey, M. J. A direct effect of the autonomic nervous system on

776   somatic stem cell proliferation? *Am. J. Physiol. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* **316**, R1–

777   R5 (2018).

778   136.   Lundgren, O., Jodal, M., Jansson, M., Ryberg, A. T. & Svensson, L. Intestinal

779   epithelial stem/progenitor cells are controlled by mucosal afferent nerves. *PLoS*

One **6**, e16295–e16295 (2011).

137. Greig, C. J. & Cowles, R. A. Muscarinic acetylcholine receptors participate in

small intestinal mucosal homeostasis. *J. Pediatr. Surg.* **52**, 1031–1034 (2017).

138. Schaak, S. *et al.* Alpha(2) adrenoceptors regulate proliferation of human intestinal

epithelial cells. *Gut* **47**, 242–250 (2000).

139. Valet, P. *et al.* Characterization and distribution of alpha 2-adrenergic receptors in

the human intestinal mucosa. *J. Clin. Invest.* **91**, 2049–2057 (1993).

140. Takahashi, T. *et al.* Non-neuronal acetylcholine as an endogenous regulator of

proliferation and differentiation of Lgr5-positive stem cells in mice. *FEBS J.* **281**,

4672–4690 (2014).

141. Bellono, N. W. *et al.* Enterochromaffin Cells Are Gut Chemosensors that Couple

to Sensory Neural Pathways. *Cell* **170**, 185-198.e16 (2017).

142. Kaelberer, M. M. *et al.* A gut-brain neural circuit for nutrient sensory transduction.

Science (80-.). **361**, eaat5236 (2018).

143. Barker, N. *et al.* Identification of stem cells in small intestine and colon by marker

gene Lgr5. *Nature* **449**, 1003–1007 (2007).

144. Barker, N. *et al.* Crypt stem cells as the cells-of-origin of intestinal cancer. *Nature*

457, 608–611 (2009).

145. Lu, R. *et al.* Neurons generated from carcinoma stem cells support cancer

progression. *Signal Transduct. Target. Ther.* **2**, 16036 (2017).

146. Merlos-Suárez, A. *et al.* The Intestinal Stem Cell Signature Identifies Colorectal

Cancer Stem Cells and Predicts Disease Relapse. *Cell Stem Cell* **8**, 511–524

(2011).

147. Muñoz, J. *et al.* The Lgr5 intestinal stem cell signature: robust expression of

proposed quiescent '+4' cell markers. *EMBO J.* **31**, 3079–3091 (2012).

148. Yan, K. S. *et al.* Intestinal Enteroendocrine Lineage Cells Possess Homeostatic

and Injury-Inducible Stem Cell Activity. *Cell Stem Cell* **21**, 78-90.e6 (2017).

149. Zhao, C.-M. *et al.* Denervation suppresses gastric tumorigenesis. *Sci. Transl.*

Med. **6**, 250ra115-250ra115 (2014).

150. Saloman, J. L., Albers, K. M., Rhim, A. D. & Davis, B. M. Can Stopping Nerves,

Stop Cancer? *Trends Neurosci.* **39**, 880–889 (2016).

151. Saloman, J. L. *et al.* Ablation of sensory neurons in a genetic model of pancreatic

ductal adenocarcinoma slows initiation and progression of cancer. *Proc. Natl.*

Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **113**, 3078–3083 (2016).

152. Rabben, H.-L., Zhao, C.-M., Hayakawa, Y., Wang, T. C. & Chen, D. Vagotomy

and Gastric Tumorigenesis. *Curr. Neuropharmacol.* **14**, 967–972 (2016).

153. Nagy, N. & Goldstein, A. M. Enteric nervous system development: A crest cell's

journey from neural tube to colon. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **66**, 94–106 (2017).

154. Le Douarin, N. M. & Teillet, M.-A. The migration of neural crest cells to the wall of

the digestive tract in avian embryo. *J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol.* **30**, 31 LP – 48

(1973).

155. Yntema, C. L. & Hammond, W. S. The origin of intrinsic ganglia of trunk viscera

from vagal neural crest in the chick embryo. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **101**, 515–541

(1954).

156. Morrison, S. J., White, P. M., Zock, C. & Anderson, D. J. Prospective

identification, isolation by flow cytometry, and in vivo self-renewal of multipotent

mammalian neural crest stem cells. *Cell* **96**, 737–49 (1999).

157.   Jinno, H. *et al.* Convergent genesis of an adult neural crest-like dermal stem cell

from distinct developmental origins. *Stem Cells* **28**, 2027–2040 (2010).

158.   Adameyko, I. *et al.* Schwann Cell Precursors from Nerve Innervation Are a

Cellular Origin of Melanocytes in Skin. *Cell* **139**, 366–379 (2009).

159.   Dyachuk, V. *et al.* Parasympathetic neurons originate from nerve-associated

peripheral glial progenitors. *Science (80-.).* **345**, 82 LP – 87 (2014).

160.   Espinosa-Medina, I. *et al.* Parasympathetic ganglia derive from Schwann cell

precursors. *Science (80-.).* **345**, 87 LP – 90 (2014).

161.   Uesaka, T., Nagashimada, M. & Enomoto, H. Neuronal Differentiation in Schwann

Cell Lineage Underlies Postnatal Neurogenesis in the Enteric Nervous System. *J.*

Neurosci. **35**, 9879–9888 (2015).

162.   Kruger, G. M. *et al.* Neural crest stem cells persist in the adult gut but undergo

changes in self-renewal, neuronal subtype potential, and factor responsiveness.

Neuron **35**, 657–69 (2002).

163.   Ge, Y. *et al.* Stem Cell Lineage Infidelity Drives Wound Repair and Cancer. *Cell*

169, 636-650.e14 (2017).

164.   Rogers, C. D., Harafuji, N., Archer, T., Cunningham, D. D. & Casey, E. S.

Xenopus Sox3 activates sox2 and geminin and indirectly represses Xvent2

expression to induce neural progenitor formation at the expense of non-neural

ectodermal derivatives. *Mech. Dev.* **126**, 42–55 (2009).

165.   Wakamatsu, Y., Endo, Y., Osumi, N. & Weston, J. A. Multiple roles of Sox2, an

HMG-box transcription factor in avian neural crest development. *Dev. Dyn.* **229**,

74–86 (2004).

166.   Aquino, J. B. *et al.* In vitro and in vivo differentiation of boundary cap neural crest

stem cells into mature Schwann cells. *Exp. Neurol.* **198**, 438–449 (2006).

167.   Avilion, A. A. *et al.* Multipotent cell lineages in early mouse development depend

on SOX2 function. *Genes Dev.* **17**, 126–140 (2003).

168.   Masui, S. *et al.* Pluripotency governed by Sox2 via regulation of Oct3/4

expression in mouse embryonic stem cells. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **9**, 625–635 (2007).

169.   Favaro, R. *et al.* Hippocampal development and neural stem cell maintenance

require Sox2-dependent regulation of Shh. *Nat. Neurosci.* **12**, 1248–1256 (2009).

170.   Pevny, L. H. & Nicolis, S. K. Sox2 roles in neural stem cells. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell

Biol.* **42**, 421–424 (2010).

171.   Suh, H. *et al.* In Vivo Fate Analysis Reveals the Multipotent and Self-Renewal

Capacities of Sox2+ Neural Stem Cells in the Adult Hippocampus. *Cell Stem Cell*

1, 515–528 (2007).

172.   Feng, R. *et al.* Sox2 protects neural stem cells from apoptosis via up-regulating

survivin expression. *Biochem. J.* **450**, 459–468 (2013).

173.   Thiel, G. How Sox2 maintains neural stem cell identity. *Biochem. J.* **450**, e1–e2

(2013).

174.   Ellis, P. *et al.* SOX2, a Persistent Marker for Multipotential Neural Stem Cells

Derived from Embryonic Stem Cells, the Embryo or the Adult. *Dev. Neurosci.* **26**,

148–165 (2004).

175.   Hagey, D. W. *et al.* SOX2 regulates common and specific stem cell features in the

CNS and endoderm derived organs. *PLOS Genet.* **14**, e1007224 (2018).

176. Raghoebir, L. *et al.* SOX2 redirects the developmental fate of the intestinal

873   epithelium toward a premature gastric phenotype. *J. Mol. Cell Biol.* **4**, 377–385

874   (2012).

875   177. Kuzmichev, A. N. *et al.* Sox2 Acts through Sox21 to

876   Regulate Transcription in Pluripotent and Differentiated Cells. *Curr. Biol.* **22**,

877   1705–1710 (2012).

878   178. Bareiss, P. M. *et al.* SOX2 Expression Associates with Stem

879   Cell State in Human Ovarian Carcinoma. *Cancer Res.* **73**, 5544 LP – 5555

880   (2013).

881   179. Herreros-Villanueva, M. *et al.* SOX2 promotes dedifferentiation and imparts stem

882   cell-like features to pancreatic cancer cells. *Oncogenesis* **2**, e61–e61 (2013).

883   180. Lee, S. H. *et al.* SOX2 regulates self-renewal and tumorigenicity of stem-like cells

884   of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Br. J. Cancer* **111**, 2122–2130

885   (2014).

886   181. Fang, X. *et al.* ChIP-seq and Functional Analysis of the SOX2 Gene in Colorectal

887   Cancers. *Omi. A J. Integr. Biol.* **14**, 369–384 (2010).

888   182. Park, E. T. *et al.* Aberrant expression of SOX2 upregulates MUC5AC gastric

889   foveolar mucin in mucinous cancers of the colorectum and related lesions. *Int. J.*

890   *Cancer* **122**, 1253–1260 (2008).

891   183. Liedtke, S. *et al.* The HOX Code as a "biological fingerprint" to distinguish

892   functionally distinct stem cell populations derived from cord blood. *Stem Cell Res.*

893   **5**, 40–50 (2010).

184. Leucht, P. *et al.* Embryonic origin and Hox status determine progenitor cell fate

during adult bone regeneration. *Development* **135**, 2845 LP – 2854 (2008).

185. Hassan, M. Q. *et al.* HOXA10 Controls Osteoblastogenesis by Directly Activating

Bone Regulatory and Phenotypic Genes. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **27**, 3337 LP – 3352

(2007).

186. Eoh, K. J. *et al.* Upregulation of homeobox gene is correlated with poor survival

outcomes in cervical cancer. *Oncotarget* **8**, (2017).

187. Ben Khadra, Y., Said, K., Thorndyke, M. & Martinez, P. Homeobox Genes

Expressed During Echinoderm Arm Regeneration. *Biochem. Genet.* **52**, 166–180

(2014).

188. Shah, M. *et al.* HOXC8 regulates self-renewal, differentiation and transformation

of breast cancer stem cells. *Mol. Cancer* **16**, 38 (2017).

189. Tabuse, M. *et al.* Functional analysis of HOXD9 in human gliomas and glioma

cancer stem cells. *Mol. Cancer* **10**, 60 (2011).

190. R Core Team. A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. (2020).

191. Wickham, H. *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New*

York (Springer-Verlag New York, 2016).

192. Liu, J. *et al.* An Integrated TCGA Pan-Cancer Clinical Data Resource to Drive

High-Quality Survival Outcome Analytics. *Cell* **173**, 400-416.e11 (2018).

193. Hoadley, K. A. *et al.* Cell-of-Origin Patterns Dominate the Molecular Classification

of 10,000 Tumors from 33 Types of Cancer. *Cell* **173**, 291-304.e6 (2018).

194. Nagy, Á., Lánczky, A., Menyhárt, O. & Győrffy, B. Validation of miRNA prognostic

power in hepatocellular carcinoma using expression data of independent

datasets. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 9227 (2018).

195. Ashburner, M. *et al.* Gene Ontology: tool for the unification of biology. *Nat. Genet.*

25, 25–29 (2000).

196. The Gene Ontology Consortium. The Gene Ontology Resource: 20 years and still

GOing strong. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, D330–D338 (2018).

197. Cumming, G., Fidler, F. & Vaux, D. L. Error bars in experimental biology. *J Cell*

Biol **177**, 7–11 (2007).

198. Hu, Y. & Smyth, G. K. ELDA: Extreme limiting dilution analysis for comparing

depleted and enriched populations in stem cell and other assays. *J. Immunol.*

Methods **347**, 70–78 (2009).

199. Subramanian, A. *et al.* Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based

approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*

U. S. A. **102**, 15545–15550 (2005).

200. Liberzon, A. *et al.* The Molecular Signatures Database Hallmark Gene Set

Collection. *Cell Syst.* **1**, 417–425 (2015).

201. Benjamini, Y. & Hochberg, Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and

powerful approach to multiple testing. *J R Stat. Soc B* **57**, 289–300 (1995).

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Colon cancer PDOs are heterogeneous and enriched for self-renewing

ALDH^Positive CSCs

(A) Immunofluorescence staining of colon cancer PDOs for EZRIN (green) and EPCAM

(red). Nuclei are stained blue with DAPI (Bars = 20 µm). (B) Immunofluorescence staining

of a PDO for BETA-CATENIN (green) and F-ACTIN (red) (left hand side) and

immunostaining of a PDX model for BETA-CATENIN (right hand side) (Bars = 20 μm).

(C) Representative Aldefluor Assay FACS plots of cells derived from PDO model 195-

CB-P (data from 10 independent experiments). DEAB (diethylaminobenzaldehyde) is a

specific inhibitor of ALDH and is used to control for background fluorescence. (D)

Frequency (±SD) of ALDH^{Positive} cells in PDOs and corresponding PDX models (data from

10 independent experiments). (E) Tables show results of two rounds of limiting dilution

serial xenotransplantation of ALDH^{Positive} and ALDH^{Negative} cells from previously

established PDO derived xenograft models. The number of successfully established

tumors as a fraction of the number of animals transplanted is given. P-values for pairwise

tests of differences in CSC frequencies between ALDH^{Positive} versus ALDH^{Negative} cells in

151-ML-M, 278-ML-P, 302-CB-M and 195-CB-P in serial transplant round one tumors are

1.12×10^{-4}, 1.37×10^{-1}, 8.39×10^{-14} and 2.92×10^{-17} respectively and in 278-ML-P, 302-

CB-M and 195-CB-P serial transplant round two tumors are 3.82×10^{-7}. 3.67×10^{-22} and

3.78×10^{-15}, respectively. (See also Figure S1 and Table S1).

Figure 2. PDO and PDX ALDH^{Positive} CSCs are enriched for nervous system

development gene sets and neural crest stem cell genes

(A) RNA sequencing generated FPKM values for ALDH1A1 (n = 3 separate cell

preparations). (B) Gene set enrichment analysis for nervous system development

(nominal p-values = <0.0005), TNFα signaling via NFkB (nominal p-value = <0.0005),

epithelial to mesenchymal transition (nominal p-values = <0.0005 and 0.002), embryonic

development (nominal p-value = <0.0005), and Wnt β-Catenin signaling (nominal p-

values= <0.0005) in ALDH^Positive cells (compared to ALDH^Negative cells) from PDO models

(top panels) and PDX models (bottom panels). (C) Venn diagram shows the number of

RNA-sequencing generated transcripts upregulated in PDO ALDH^Positive cells (218 genes)

and PDX ALDH^Positive cells (250 genes) and upregulated in both PDO ALDH^Positive cells

and PDX ALDH^Positive cells (30 genes) n = 4 separate cell preparations, basemean greater

than or equal to 100, log2 fold change = 1.5 fold upregulated, p-value <0.05). (D) Table

shows 10 genes upregulated in both PDO ALDH^Positive cells and PDX ALDH^Positive cells

selected for functional analysis by RNA-interference (relevant literature is cited in

brackets below gene names). (See also Figure S2 and S3).

Figure 3. *EGR2* is required for CSC tumorigenicity and differentiation and regulates

expression of NCSC *HOX* genes and *SOX2*

(A) Proliferation of siRNA transfected patient-derived colon cancer cells in non-adherent

cell culture compared to control cells (mean ± SD; data from three independent

experiments). *p-value < 0.05; ***p-value < 0.001 (t test). (B) Fold expression of

ALDH1A1, EGR2, EGR3, HDGFRP3 OLFML2, PCP4, PEG10, PRKACB and *THBS1* RT-

PCR gene expression data (±95% confidence intervals) in siRNA transfected 278-ML-P

cells (n=3 independent cell preparations) over the comparator population (control siRNA

transfected 278-ML-P cells) (see also Table S2 and S4). (C) Frequency of siRNA EGR2

spheroid formation in non-adherent cell culture compared to control transfected cells

(mean ± SD; data from three independent experiments). ns = not significant; *p-value <

0.05; **p-value < 0.01 (t test). (D) Representative images of a 278-ML-P control spheroid

(LHS) and a siRNA EGR2 spheroid (RHS) in non-adherent cell culture (Bars = 100 μm).

(E) Table shows results of limiting dilution transplantation of control virus transduced and

shRNA EGR2 transduced 195-CB-P cells. The number of established tumors as a fraction

of the number of animals transplanted is given. P-values for pairwise tests of differences

in CSC frequencies between control virus versus shRNA EGR2 1, shRNA EGR2 2 and

shRNA EGR2 3 195-CB-P cells are 6.9 x10^{-9}, 4.9 x 10^{-6} and 6.92 x 10^{-8}, respectively. (F)

Growth curves for xenografts derived from control virus transduced cells and shRNA

EGR2 transduced cells. (G) Fold expression of *EGR2,* proliferation, differentiation, stem

cell genes, Wnt signaling and EGR2 NCSC target genes RT-PCR gene expression data

(±95% confidence intervals) in four separate 195-CB-P shRNA EGR2 tumors over the

comparator population (four control virus transduced 195-CB-P xenografts). Significant

differences are as follows: ∗p < 0.05, ∗∗p < 0.01. (see also Table S3).

Figure 4. *EGR2, HOXA2, HOXA4, HOXA5, HOXA7* and *HOXB3* are increased in late

stage tumors and are indicators of poor prognosis in clinical samples

(A) Expression *of EGR2, ATOH1, HOXA2, HOXA4, HOXA5, HOXA7, HOXB2, HOXB3,*

HOXD10 and *SOX2* in colorectal cancer patients across different tumor stages (T1 v T4,

p-value = 0.027, 0.53, 0.026, 0.000075, 0.001, 0.009, 0.075, 0.0016, 0.043 and 0.1,

respectively). Of these, *HOXA4*, *HOXA5*, *HOXA7*, and *HOXB3* are significant at FDR <

5%. RNAseq and clinical data of 533 patients (n=378 colon adenocarcinoma, n=155

rectal adenocarcinoma) was extracted from cBioPortal. (B) Kaplan-Meier survival curves

for *EGR2, ATOH1, HOXA2, HOXA4, HOXA5, HOXA7, HOXB2, HOXB3, HOXD10* and

SOX2 in colorectal cancer patients comparing lower third percentile to upper third

percentile (logrank p-values = 0.00017, 0.0013, 0.0028, 0.0006, 0.0043, 0.0022, 0.00025,

0.019, 0.11 and 0.21, respectively. Of these, higher *EGR2*, *HOXA2*, *HOXA4*, *HOXA5* and

HOXA7 are significant at FDR < 5%. Results based upon data generated by the Kaplan-

Meier Plotter (www.kmplot.com/analysis)[194].

1013

Supplementary Information

Figure S1: ALDH isoform expression in ALDH^{Positive} PDO (LHS) and PDX (RHS)cells. Related to Figure 1 and 2.

Gene Name	Description	Expression	Function
LINGO1	Leucine rich repeat and Immunoglobin domain-containing protein 1	nervous system, placenta, testis	regulates myelination, oligodendrocyte differentiation, axon regeneration, and neuronal survival
EGR2	Early growth response 2	thyroid, nervous system, placenta, testis	early myelination of the peripheral nervous system
RASL11B	RAS like family 11 member B	ovary, heart, kidney, nervous system, placenta	member of the small GTPase protein family with a high degree of similarity to RAS
DEFA6	defensin alpha 6	intestine, colon	antimicrobial and cytotoxic peptide thought to be involved in host defense
PRKACB	protein kinase cAMP-activated catalytic subunit beta	nervous system, placenta, testis	mediates cAMP-dependent signaling; regulates diverse cellular processes including cell proliferation and differentiation
THBS1	thrombospondin 1	placenta, endometrium, smooth muscle	mediates cell-to-cell and cell-to-matrix interactions, roles in platelet aggregation, angiogenesis, and tumorigenesis
PCP4	Purkinje cell protein 4	nervous system	development of the central nervous system, may play a role in neuronal differentiation through activation of calmodulin-dependent kinase signaling pathways
EGR3	early growth response 3	nervous system, adrenal gland, gall bladder	early growth response gene induced by mitogenic stimulation; regulates biological rhythm, endothelial cell growth and migration, and neuronal development
FBLN1	fibulin 1	placenta, uterine,	mediates platelet adhesion via binding fibrinogen
CFI	complement factor I	liver, kidney, gallbladder	encodes a serine proteinase that is essential for regulating the complement cascade
ADAMTSL2	ADAMTS like 2	adrenal gland, kidney, nervous system	a secreted glycoprotein that binds the cell surface and extracellular matrix
PHYHIPL	phytanoyl-CoA 2-hydroxylase interacting protein like	nervous system, adrenal gland, intestine, placenta, testis	May play a role in the development of the central system
OSBPL6	oxysterol binding protein like 6	nervous system, skeletal muscle, intestine	intracellular lipid receptor
HDGFRP3	Hepatoma-Derived Growth Factor 2	testis, nervous system, endometrium, placenta	nhances DNA synthesis and may play a role in cell proliferation
OLFML3	olfactomedin like 3	uterine, endometrium, placental, smooth muscle	facilitates protein-protein interactions, cell adhesion, and intercellular interactions, scaffold protein and pro-angiogenic vascular tissue remodeler
FRMPD1	FERM and PDZ domain containing 1	testis, skin, nervous system	a regulatory binding partner for AGS3, which stabilizes GDP-bound inhibitory G proteins. FRMPD1 binds to and secures AGS3 localization at cell membranes
LMCD1	LIM and cysteine rich domains 1	skeletal ann smooth muscle, adipose tissue, placenta	protein-protein interactions, may act as a co-regulator of transcription
DACT1	dishevelled binding antagonist of beta catenin 1	nervous system, ovary, testis, placenta, colon	regulates dishevelled-mediated signaling pathways during development; may play role in the regulation of Wnt signaling via degradation of CTNNB1
PEG10	paternally expressed 10	placenta, nervous tissue, testis, ovary, adrenal	cell proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis; Overexpression of this gene has been associated with several malignancies
TENT5C	Terminal Nucleotidyltransferase 5C	bone marrow, colon, testis, stomach	Probable nucleotidyltransferase that may act as a non-canonical poly(A) RNA polymerase; Seems to enhance replication of some viruses
ERVMER34-1	endogenous retrovirus group MER34 member 1, envelope	Placenta, kidney, heart	retroviral envelope proteins, which mediate receptor recognition and membrane fusion during early infection.
SULT1B1	sulfotransferase family 1B member 1	intestine, liver, spleen	catalyze the sulfate conjugation of many hormones, neurotransmitters, drugs, and xenobiotic compounds
OLFM2	olfactomedin 2	nervous system, skin	involved in early eye development and function; plays a role in TGFB-mediated differentiation of smooth muscle cells from mesenchymal stem cells
MAP1B	microtubule associated protein 1B	nervous system	microtubule assembly, required for neurogenesis
PCDHB16	protocadherin beta 16	parathyroid gland, nervous system	specific functions are unknown; likely plays a critical role in the establishment and function of specific cell-cell neural connections
ALDH1A1	aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 family member A1	liver, stomach, intestine, testis, ovary, adrenal	conversion/oxidization of retinaldehyde to retinoic acid
SLC2A12	solute carrier family 2 member 12	protate, endometrium, intestine, nervous system	Facilitative glucose transporter
UGT2A3	UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 2 member A3	Instestine, kidney	important in the conjugation and subsequent elimination of potentially toxic xenobiotics and endogenous compounds
PCSK5	proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 5	Intestine, adipose, placenta, uterine, ovary, testis	likely functions in the secretory pathways; essential role in pregnancy establishment by proteolytic activation factors such as BMP2, CALD1 and alpha-integrins
RAB30	RAB30, member RAS oncogene family	nervous system, ovary, breast, placenta	regulator of intracellular membrane trafficking; required for maintaining the structural integrity of the Golgi apparatus,

Figure S2: List of genes differentially expressed and common in ALDH^{Positive} PDO and ALDH^{Positive} PDX CSCs. Related to Figure 2.

Figure S3: RNA tissue expression of differentially expressed and common ALDH^Positive PDO and ALDH^Positive PDX cells. Related to Figure 2.

Consensus RNA-seq dataset from the Human Protein Atlas (HPA) the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project and CAGE data from FANTOM5 project. Color-coding is based on tissue groups, each consisting of tissues with functional features in common.

Figure S4: EGR2 immunostaining in PDO, PDX and clinical samples.

(A) Immunofluorescence staining of PDO for EGR2 (green) and F-ACTIN (red). Nuclei are stained blue with DAPI (Bars = 20 μm). Immunostaining of PDX tissue (B) and tissue microarrays of normal intestinal mucosa and colorectal cancer patient tissue (C) for EGR2. (Bars = 200 μm). Related to Figure 3.

Figure S5: Lgr5 intestinal crypt-base stem cells are enriched for nervous system genes.

Gene ontology analysis of Lgr5 intestinal stem cell gene signatures from (A) Merlos-Suarez, *et al*., 2011), (B) Munoz, *et al*., (2012) and (C) Yan, *et al*., (2017). Related to Figure 2.

Patient Model	Origin	TNM stage	Stage
162-MW-P	Sigmoid colon & descending colon	T3 N0 M0	IIA
151-ML-M	Liver	T2 N0 M0 , M1a	IVA
278-ML-P	Sigmoid colon & descending colon	T4a N0 M0	IIB
302-CB-M	Liver	T3 N1a M1a	IVA
195-CB-P	Sigmoid colon	T4a N2b M1a	IVA

Table S1 Tissue Origin and TNM Classification of Malignant Tumors (TNM). Related to Figure 1.

T: primary tumor size, N: regional lymph nodes involved, M: distant metastasis.

siRNA	Dharmacon™ Product	Product Number
ALDH1A1	Accell Human (216) siRNA - SMARTpool	E-008722-00-5
EGR2	Accell Human (1959) siRNA - SMARTpool	E-006527-01-5
EGR3	Accell Human (1960) siRNA - SMARTpool	E-006528-00-5
HDGFRP3	Accell Human (50810) siRNA - SMARTpool	E-017093-00-5
OLFM2	Accell Human (93145) siRNA - SMARTpool	E-015212-00-5
OLFML3	Accell Human (56944) siRNA - SMARTpool	E-020325-00-5
PCP4	Accell Human (5121) siRNA - SMARTpool	E-020122-00-5
PEG10	Accell Human (23089) siRNA - SMARTpool	E-032579-00-5
PRKACB	Accell Human (5567) siRNA - SMARTpool	E-004650-00-5
THBS1	Accell Human (7057) siRNA - SMARTpool	E-019743-00-5

Table S2: Dharmacon™ Smartpool siRNAs. Related to Figure 3.

LENTIVIRUS	SIGMA PRODUCT	PRODUCT NAME	VECTOR	TRC NUMBER
Control	SHC003V	MISSION® tGFP™ Positive Control Transduction Particles	–pLKO.1-puro-CMV-tGFP	NA
shEGR2 1	SHCLNV-NM_000399	EGR2 MISSION shRNA Lentiviral Transduction Particles	–hPGK-Puro-CMV-tGFP	TRCN0000013839
shEGR2 2	SHCLNV-NM_000399	EGR2 MISSION shRNA Lentiviral Transduction Particles	–hPGK-Puro-CMV-tGFP	TRCN0000013840
shEGR2 3	SHCLNV-NM_000399	EGR2 MISSION shRNA Lentiviral Transduction Particles	–hPGK-Puro-CMV-tGFP	TRCN0000013841

Table S3. Lentiviral Transduction Particles. Related to Figure 3.

Symbol	Gene Name	UniGene ID	TaqMan® Gene Expression Assay
ATOH1	atonal BHLH transcription factor 1	Hs.532680	Hs00245453_s1
AXIN2	axin 2	Hs.156527	Hs00610344_m1
BMI1	BMI1 proto-oncogene, polycomb ring finger	Hs.380403	Hs00180411_m1
CTNNB1	catenin beta 1	Hs.476018	Hs00355049_m1
EGR2	early growth response 2	Hs.1395	Hs00166165_m1
EPHA4	EPH receptor A4	Hs.371218	Hs00953178_m1
EPHB2	EPH receptor B2	Hs.523329	Hs00362096_m1
GAPDH	glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	Hs.544577	Hs02758991_g1
HOXA2	homeobox A2	Hs.445239	Hs00534579_m1
HOXA5	homeobox A5	Hs.655218	Hs00430330_m1
HOXA7	homeobox A7	Hs.610216	Hs00600844_m1
HOXB2	homeobox B2	Hs.514289	Hs01911167_s1
HOXB3	homeobox B3	Hs.654560	Hs05048382_s1
HOXD10	homeobox D10	Hs.123070	Hs00157974_m1
LGR5	leucine rich repeat containing G protein-coupled receptor 5	Hs.658889	Hs00969422_m1
MKI67	MKI67	Hs.689823	Hs04260396_g1
MUC1	mucin 1, cell surface associated	Hs.89603	Hs00159357_m1
MYC	v-myc avian myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog	Hs.202453	Hs00153408_m1
RUNX2	runt related transcription factor 2	Hs.535845	Hs01047973_m1
SOX2	SRY-box 2	Hs.518438	Hs01053049_s1
HDGFRP3	hepatoma-derived growth factor, related protein 3	Hs.513954	Hs00274988_m1
OLFM2	olfactomedin 2	Hs.169743	Hs01017934_m1
OLFML3	olfactomed like 3	Hs.9315	Hs01113293_g1
PCP4	Purkinje cell protein 4	Hs.80296	Hs01113638_m1
PEG10	paternally expressed 10	Hs.147492	Hs00248288_s1
PRKACB	protein kinase cAMP-activated catalytic subunit beta	Hs.487325	Hs01086757_m1
THBS1	thrombospondin 1	Hs.164226	Hs00962908_m1

Table S4. Taqman Gene Expression Assays. Related to Figure 3.