An extracellular redox signal triggers calcium release and impacts the asexual development of

Toxoplasma gondii

Eduardo Alves[1], Henry J. Benns[1,2], Lilian Magnus[1], Caia Dominicus[3], Tamás Dobai[1], Joshua Blight[1],

Ceire J. Wincott[1], Matthew A. Child[1]*

[1]Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, Exhibition Road, South Kensington, London,

SW7 2AZ, UK.

[2]Department of Chemistry, Imperial College London, Exhibition Road, South Kensington, London,

SW7 2AZ, UK.

[3]Signaling in Apicomplexan Parasites Laboratory, The Francis Crick Institute, 1 Midland Road, NW1

1AT, London, UK

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: m.child@imperial.ac.uk

Abstract

The ability of an organism to sense and respond to environmental redox fluctuations relies on a signaling network that is incompletely understood in the apicomplexan parasite *Toxoplasma gondii*. The impact of changes in redox upon the development of this intracellular parasite is not known. Here, we provide a revised collection of 49 genes containing domains related to canonical antioxidant groups, with their encoded proteins widely dispersed throughout different cellular compartments. We demonstrate that addition of exogenous H_2O_2 to human fibroblasts infected with *T. gondii* triggers a Ca^{2+} flux in the cytosol of intracellular parasites that can induce egress. In line with existing models, egress triggered by exogenous H_2O_2 is reliant upon both Calcium-Dependent Protein Kinase 3 and diacylglycerol kinases. Finally, we show that the overexpression of the active catalytic domain of glutaredoxin in the parasitophorous vacuole severely impacts parasite replication. These data shed light on the rich redox network that exists in *T. gondii*, evidencing a link between extracellular redox and intracellular Ca^{2+} signaling that can culminate in parasite egress. Our findings also indicate that the redox potential of the intracellular environment contributes to normal parasite growth. Combined, our findings highlight the important role of redox as an unexplored regulator of parasite biology.

Introduction

Toxoplasma gondii is a single-cell obligate intracellular parasite from the apicomplexan phylum that can infect any warm-blooded animal. Its seroprevalence is estimated at more than one-third of the human population [1,2]. Within the host, the asexual lifecycle of *T. gondii* exists as two distinct stages: rapidly proliferating tachyzoites that characterize the acute infection, and slower replicating encysted bradyzoites that are associated with chronic infection[3,4]. While infections are usually benign, in immunocompromised patients and foetuses[5,6] the lytic tachyzoite lifecycle is responsible for severe clinical pathology. During a lytic cycle, tachyzoites attach onto and actively penetrate host cells, forming a permissive replication niche called the parasitophorous vacuole (PV). Parasites then replicate by endodyogeny[7] until they eventually egress from the host cell, leading to its lytic destruction. The interconversion of virulent tachyzoites with persistent encysted bradyzoites is influenced by host immune pressure and is key to understanding disease recrudescence[8]. The ability of *T. gondii* to infect diverse host species is related to its remarkable capacity to resist host defences. Moreover, it must be able to efficiently recognize and quickly respond to a myriad of environmental clues. Among biological signal cascades activated by external clues, Ca^{2+} signaling is the most notable and best studied in *Toxoplasma* (reviewed in [9]). Ca^{2+} is a ubiquitous signaling molecule with a vital role in tachyzoite host-cell invasion[10,11], motility[12] and egress[13] through activation of effector proteins such as the plant like Ca^{2+}-dependent Protein Kinase 1 (CDPK1)[14,15] and CDPK3[16]. Alongside Ca^{2+}, other intracellular signaling molecules are known to play important roles in the tachyzoite lytic cycle. These include phosphatidic acid (PA)[17], and the activation of protein kinase G by cyclic guanidine monophosphate (cGMP)[18].

Contrasting with Ca^{2+}, the study of reactive oxygen species (ROS) as signaling molecules is incipient in the Apicomplexa. Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) is a neutral ROS molecule with an ability to cross membranes[19], and its roles in signaling are diverse. These include its interaction with glutathione (GSH), and the oxidation of cysteine residues leading to allosteric changes in a variety of proteins such as phosphatases, transcription factors and ion channels[20]. Typically, the oxidation of redox-sensitive cysteines is a reversible process catalysed by enzymes that use GSH or nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphatase (NADPH)[21-24] as redox cofactors. Most studies of H_2O_2 and *T.*

gondii tachyzoites have focused on the ability of host cells to use the damaging oxidative properties of

ROS as a component of innate defence, and strategies employed by tachyzoites to overcome this

defence[25-29].

A *T. gondii* orthologue of the H_2O_2-detoxifying enzyme catalase is expressed in the parasite

cytosol, and confers protection against host oxidative stress[26, 30]. In 2004 Ding *et al.,* [26] compiled a

group of 14 genes related to the *T. gondii* antioxidant system. These typically localized to the parasite

cytosol and mitochondria, and were assigned to one of five major redox system classifications: (1)

metabolic genes (e.g. superoxide-dismutase and catalase); (2) thioredoxins (proteins that promote

cysteine thiol-disulfide exchange); (3) Protein Disulfide Isomerases (PDIs, proteins that disrupt or

form cysteine disulfide bonds to assist protein folding); (4) glutaredoxin-glutathione (Grx-GSH, small

proteins that use GSH as a cofactor for thiol-disulfide exchange) and (5) peroxiredoxins (Prxs,

enzymes that detoxify hydroperoxides like H_2O_2 and organic hydroperoxides).

Expanding this broad description of the parasite's antioxidant system, other studies have

tested the association of redox with *T. gondii* signaling and cell cycle regulation. Exogenous treatment

of intracellular parasites with the reducing agent dithiothreitol (DTT) triggers Ca^{2+} mobilization and

parasite egress[31]. This egress was triggered by host-cell ATP depletion resulting from the activity of

an exported parasite ATPase[32]. In a separate study, the oxidation-sensitive protein *Tg*DJ-1 was found

to associate with CDPK1 and promote microneme secretion in *Toxoplasma*[33]. More recently,

oxidative stress (generated by sodium arsenite) has been shown to trigger tachyzoite differentiation

into bradyzoites following phosphorylation of *Toxoplasma* translation initiation factor kinase

(*Tg*IF2K-B)[34]. Together, these data suggest that *T. gondii* modulates various biological processes in

response to changes in both the reduction and oxidation status of the environment.

In this study, we begin by revisiting existing datasets to identify genes related to redox

systems and update our view of these genes in *T. gondii*. We then investigate the impact of H_2O_2 upon

parasite biology, demonstrating that an H_2O_2 signal outside the boundaries of the infected host cell can

be received and interpreted deep within the cytosol of intracellular parasites. Extracellular H_2O_2

triggers mobilization of Ca^{2+} culminating in CDPK3-dependent egress. Finally, we use a genetically

encoded redox reporter to dissect redox oscillations prior to egress. Unexpectedly, we discover that

overexpression of the active catalytic domain of a human glutaredoxin enzyme in the parasite's

cytosol or PV delays parasite asexual replication. Our results corroborate the existence of a rich

variety of antioxidant proteins located in multiples cellular compartments, and highlight importance

of redox in the basic biology of *Toxoplasma*.

Results

Updated T. gondii redox-associated genes and their primary predicted protein localisation

We initially sought to update our understanding of the antioxidant response and redox-signaling

network in *T. gondii*. We mined gene sequence and annotation information, as well as proteomic

datasets present on ToxoDB to update the list of 14 redox associated genes previously summarised

and reported by Ding *et al.,* 2004[26]. Our bioinformatic approach screened for genes containing at

least one functional domain of the major redox signaling groups (Trxs, PDIs, Grx-GSHs and Prxs).

We identified a total of 49 redox-associated genes (Supplemental material table S1), including 20

Trxs, 13 GRX-GSHs, six PDIs, six Prxs, and four metabolic genes (including three distinct

superoxide dismutases and one catalase). With the exception of the metabolic genes that have been

more thoroughly investigated[26, 30, 35, 36], most genes representing the other redox signaling groups

remain uncharacterized. For an improved view of the subcellular distribution of these gene products,

we extracted their primary localisation from the recently published hyperLOPIT dataset[37] (Figure 1 –

table supplement 1). This indicated a broad distribution of these proteins throughout the cell, and

suggested the existence of spatially distinct mechanisms of redox regulation for different subcellular

compartments.

Figure 1: Schematic representation of a *T. gondii* tachyzoite displaying 49 redox-associated genes and their primary HyperLOPIT[37] protein location. Gene ID accession numbers are provided, and genes categorized into five groups: black (Trxs); red (PDIs); green (Prxs); blue (Gxr-GHSs) and orange (metabolic genes). Further details are provided in Supplement table S1.

Extracellular hydrogen peroxide induces cytosolic Ca^{2+} flux in intracellular tachyzoites

In other eukaryotic systems there is an association between calcium and redox signaling. For example,

oxidation of the ryanodine receptor is known to stimulate calcium release from intracellular

compartments[38, 39]. To investigate if a similar overlap exists between these two signaling networks in

Toxoplasma, we tested the ability of H_2O_2 to trigger a Ca^{2+} response in tachyzoites within a host cell.

We generated a Type 1 parasite (RH strain) expressing the Ca^{2+} sensor protein jRCamP1b[40] fused

with GFP (referred to as RH-GFP-2ta-jRCamP1tb). The ratio of these two distinct fluorescent

proteins provided an elegant tool to distinguish changes in fluorescence due to parasite movement

from the Ca^{2+} signal (Figure 2 – figure supplement 1A). Upon exogenous addition of 100 μM H_2O_2

we observed a distinct spike in the intracellular Ca^{2+} reporter signal (Figure 2A). To determine

whether the oxidative properties of H_2O_2 are responsible for triggering parasite Ca^{2+} release, we

repeated the experiment in the presence of the antioxidant α-tocopherol (Figure 2B). Pre-treatment of

infected host cell monolayers with 50 μM α-tocopherol abolished H_2O_2-stimulated Ca^{2+} release in

intracellular parasites. The addition of the Ca^{2+} ionophore A23187 caused an intense Ca^{2+} signal spike

in the parasite cytosol in both the presence and absence α-tocopherol (Figure 2A and 2B, respectively),

suggesting that the presence of the antioxidant does not interfere with Ca^{2+} stores in intracellular

parasites. Vehicle solvent for H_2O_2 (water) and for A231877 (DMSO) did not trigger Ca^{2+}

mobilisation (Figure 2 – figure supplement 1B and 1C, respectively).

To have a reference for the intensity of the Ca^{2+} signal spike induced by H_2O_2, we compared the Ca^{2+}

signal increase over the resting baseline against A23187 (Figure 2C), a small molecule known to

induce parasite egress by Ca^{2+} dependent mechanisms[41]. H_2O_2 induced an overall Ca^{2+} signal

increase of 35% ± 1.7. This was lower than the Ca^{2+} signal increase observed when parasites were

treated with A23187 alone (140 ± 4.3), or A23187 on cells pretreated with 100 μM H_2O_2 (61% ± 0.9).

Accordingly, within the 10 minutes tracking the parasites, only one event of egress was observed for

cells treated with 100 μM H_2O_2. We also compared the intensity of Ca^{2+} signal increase induced by

H_2O_2 with Δ*CDPK3* line (Figure 2D). The intensity of the Ca^{2+} signal induced by H_2O_2 on RH-GFP-

2ta-jRCamP1bΔ*CDPK3* was lower (18.1% ± 4.3) compared to RH-GFP-2ta-jRCamP1b (32.5% ± 1.1).

This could reflect previously observed differences in the resting Ca^{2+} levels of these lines[42]. These

data suggest that tachyzoites within the PV can perceive and respond to oxidation events outside the

host cells.

Hydrogen peroxide induces parasite egress in a mechanism dependent on CDPK3

Calcium flux accompanies natural egress[43], and calcium ionophores are well-characterized inducers

of egress[13]. To better investigate the potential of H_2O_2 to induce parasite egress, we analysed

populations of infected host cells by flow cytometry. We used the GFP signal from parasites

expressing the Ca^{2+} sensor and particle size to distinguish infected host cells from free tachyzoites

(Figure 2 – figure supplement 2A). We tested whether a longer treatment (30 mins) with different

concentrations of H_2O_2 could increase the number of free tachyzoites. Incubation of infected host cells

with H_2O_2 resulted in a dose-dependent increase in the number of free tachyzoites (Figure 2E). We

sought to understand how the H_2O_2 stimulated egress integrates into the existing molecular model of

egress. The small molecule R59022 is an inhibitor of diacylglycerol kinase[44] that has been shown to

inhibit egress by affecting the formation of phosphatidic acid[17]. H_2O_2 treatment resulted in a partial

pharmacological rescue of R59022 egress inhibition. This partial rescue could relate to the discrete

points of activity for the two targets of R59022: diacylglycerol kinase 1 and 2[45]. The stimulation of

egress by H_2O_2 was abolished in $\Delta CDPK3$ parasites (Figure 2F), demonstrating a dependency upon

this kinase similar to other egress agonists such as A231287. The incubation of non-infected HFFs

with different concentration of H_2O_2 did not alter the proportion of events in this population (Figure 2

– figure supplement 2B) confirming that the concentrations of H_2O_2 used in this protocol did not lysed

the host cells.

Figure 2: H_2O_2 induces Ca^{2+} release in intracellular parasites and triggers CDPK3-dependent egress. (**A**) Representative trace of H_2O_2 induction of Ca^{2+} flux in intracellular RH-GFP-2ta-jRCamP1b parasites, followed by A23187 treatment. a – c: widefield microscopy images depicting changes in parasite fluorescence signal intensity for both GFP and the Ca^{2+} sensor at baseline (a at 30s), the peak of Ca^{2+} after H_2O_2 addition (b at 270s), and peak of Ca^{2+} after A23187 addition (c at 460s). Data are representative of 27 infected

vacuoles from four independent experiments. (**B**) Representative trace of H_2O_2 induction of Ca^{2+} flux

following pre-treatment of infected host cells with 50 μM α-tocopherol. a: baseline at 30s, b: trace

after H_2O_2 addition at 270s and c: peak of Ca^{2+} triggered by A23187 at 520s. Data are representative

of 14 infected vacuoles from three independent experiments. For (**A**) and (**B**): black arrows indicate

the time of compound addition. Scale bar: 5 μM. (**C**) Intensity of parasite Ca^{2+} signal increase in RH-

GFP-2ta-jRCamP1b parasites (expressed as a percentage over baseline) following addition of: 1 μM

A23187, 1 μM of A23187 after 100 μM H_2O_2 treatment, and 100 μM H_2O_2 alone. Gray dots indicate

vacuole data points where parasite egress was observed during the measurement timeframe, blue dots

represent vacuoles where egress was not observed. (**D**) Intensity of parasite Ca^{2+} signal increase (%)

over the baseline upon addition of 100 μM H_2O_2 in RH-GFP-2ta-jRCamP1b versus RH-GFP-2ta-

jRCamP1bΔ*CDPK3* parasites. For (**C**) and (**D**): histograms present data mean ±SEM of three

independent experiments (five vacuoles measured in each experiment), with individual vacuole data

points also shown. (**E**) and (**F**): Egress assay measuring tachyzoite release after compound treatment

in RH-GFP-2ta-jRCamP1b and RH-GFP-2ta-jRCamP1bΔ*CDPK3*, respectively. Data represent the

mean ±SEM of two independent experiments, with six technical replicates for each. All data were

normalised to the water control. Significance was calculated using one-way Anova, Bonferroni's

multiple comparisons test. P values: *< 0.05; **< 0.01 and ****< 0.0001.

Intracellular parasites expressing GRX1-roGFP2 sensor perceive oxidation induced by H₂O₂

After confirming that exogenous addition of H_2O_2 stimulated Ca^{2+} flux in intracellular *Toxoplasma* parasites, we investigated the redox state within the parasite cytosol and PV during process. We reasoned that if calcium release was the direct result of an oxidative signal, that the redox status of cellular compartments separating intracellular parasites from the extracellular environment should also be affected. To test this, we generated transgenic parasite strains constitutively expressing the redox sensor protein GRX1-roGFP2, in two different cellular compartments: the parasite cytosol, or targeted to the PV as a consequence of an N-terminal fusion with the GRA8 signal sequence[46]. GRX1-roGFP2 is a ratiometric redox reporter that detects changes in both reduced (GSH) and oxidized (GSSG) glutathione[47] (Figure 3A). GRX1-roGFP2 is a sensitive tool to measure rapid changes in GSH/GSSG. Importantly, the redox relay system underpinning GRX1-roGFP2 is not affected by pH, which is known to confound data interpretation with other redox sensor proteins[48]. We used GRX1-roGFP2 parasites to track dynamic changes in GSH/GSSG by fluorescence microscopy, and used the normalized GSH/GSSG signal ratio to measure the intensity of oxidation events (Figure 3B – figure supplement 3A). Intracellular parasites expressing the redox sensor targeted to the PV (RH-GRA8-GRX1-roGFP2) or cytosol (RH-GRX1-roGFP2) both confirmed an oxidation event upon exogenous addition of 100 μM H_2O_2 (Figure 3C and D, respectively). The oxidation event was of a greater magnitude within PV compared to that detected within the parasite cytosol, indicating the anticipated decrease in the strength of the oxidation signal as it crossed the biological membrane separating these compartments. The water control did not affect the redox signal from GRX-roGFP2 sensor (Figure 3 – figure supplement 3B).

Having observed that H_2O_2 could stimulate calcium flux, we investigated the reciprocal nature this relationship, testing the ability of calcium ionophores to trigger an oxidative event. Using GRX1-roGFP2 parasites, we measure the resting redox state prior to ionomycin-induced egress. Ionomycin-induced egress was not accompanied by detectable changes in redox within either the PV or parasite cytosol (Figure 3E and F, respectively). The ionophore A23187 could not be used because of saturating autofluorescence associated with this small molecule in the fluorescence channel used to

259   measure oxidation (Figure 3 – figure supplemental 3C). These data suggest that no significant change

260   in the GSH/GSSG ratio occurs before parasite egress.

Figure 3: Oxidation induced by exogenous H_2O_2 results in a redox change within the PV and parasite cytosol. (**A**) Schematic representation of the redox sensor based on the catalytic domain of glutaredoxin (Grx1) fused with ro-GFP2 depicting how this sensor interacts with GSH/GSSG and the excitation and emission values of the reduced and oxidised states. (**B**) Proof-of-principle tracking dynamic changes of GSH/GSSG during an oxidation event in RH-GRX1-roGFP2 parasites. The left graph panel presents a representative signal trace from the GRX1-roGFP2 sensor monitoring both fluorescence channels for reduced (red line) and oxidized (orange line) readouts over time. The right graph panel presents the oxidation/reduction ratio of normalized signal depicting the intensity changes in redox compared to baseline. Microscopy images of an infected vacuole presented in pseudocolor (orange for oxidation, red for reduction) at a: baseline (45s), b: at the peak of the oxidation event (100s) and c: the return to baseline (280s). This is a representative trace from two independent experiments, with eight vacuoles. (**C**) Representative trace from RH-GRA8-GRX1-roGFP2 parasites depicting H_2O_2 induced redox change within the PV. Microscopy images of an infected vacuole highlighting the presence of the sensor within PV (left image), alongside the brightfield image of the infected host cell. (**D**) A representative trace from RH-GRX1-roGFP2 parasites depicting H_2O_2 induction of redox change within the parasite cytosol. Microscopy images depicting an infected vacuole highlighting the presence of the sensor on cytosol (left panel image) alongside the brightfield image of the infected host cell. (**C** – **D**): Representative traces from three independent experiment, nine vacuoles for each group. (**E** and **F**): Representative trace of redox fluctuations in intracellular parasite following ionomycin treatment for RH-GRA8-GRX1-roGFP2 (**E**), and RH-GRX1-roGFP2 parasites (**F**). (**E** – **D**): red arrows indicate the moment of parasite egress. Representative traces from three independent experiments, nine vacuoles for each group.

GRX1-roGFP2 redox sensor affects parasite fitness during asexual replication

We noted that one unavoidable result of using the GRX1-roGFP2 redox relay sensors would be the associated overexpression of the catalytic domain of glutaredoxin (as a consequence of it being fused to roGFP2). GRX1 is a small redox enzyme that confers protection against oxidative stress[48, 49], and the overexpression of this enzyme would be expected to shift GSH/GSSG ratios in favour of the reduced form (GSH). Correspondingly, we hypothesized that *Toxoplasma* parasites overexpressing GRX1 would have their normal redox status shifted to a more reduced potential. To test whether the overexpression of this redox protein affected parasite asexual growth, we generated a transgenic parasite strain expressing a version of the redox sensor where we had mutated the key catalytic residue of GRX to render it enzymatically inactive (GRX1$_{ser}$-roGFP2). As a result, GRX1ser-roGFP2 sensor did not respond changes in GSH/GSSG following H_2O_2 treatment (Figure 4 - figure supplement 4). We compared the growth of this strain with parasites expressing the catalytically active version of the sensor. As before, both active and inactive versions of the GRX-fusion sensor were targeted to either the parasite cytosol or PV. As a control group, we used a parasite strain expressing redox-insensitive GFP (RH-GFP-Luc). Parasites expressing catalytically active GRX1 in the cytosol presented fewer plaques compared to RH-GFP-Luc (Figure 4A). Despite being able to successfully maintain PV-targeted sensor strains in culture, all parasites lines where the sensor was targeted to the PV did not form clear measurable plaques after six days of growth. Intensely stained plaque-shaped boundaries visible on the HFF monolayer suggested these parasites had successfully grown, but that parasite lytic growth had not outcompeted host cell monolayer recovery sufficiently to produce a clear zone of lysis (Figure 4B). When either the catalytically active or inactive sensors were targeted to the parasite cytosol, parasites formed smaller plaques compared to the RH-GFP-Luc control (Figure 4B and 4C, respectively). These data suggested that the presence roGFP2 alone might be sufficient to affect parasite growth. To directly test the influence of redox environment on the lytic cycle, we grew RH-GFP-Luc parasites with 10 μM N-acetyl cysteine (NAC), a small antioxidant molecule that functions by donating cysteine to increase GSH biosynthesis[50]. Addition of NAC decreased the size of plaques generated by RH-GFP-Luc parasites (Figure 4C), suggesting that GSH/GSSG imbalance compromised parasite growth. To better understand the impact of

overexpressing the sensor on parasite growth, we counted the number of parasites per vacuole after 20

hours of asexual parasite replication (Figure 4D). All strains expressing the redox sensor had reduced

replication compared to RH-GFP-Luc (Figure 4 – figure supplement 5), with catalytic inactivation of

the GRX domain providing a partial rescue of the replication defect. Strains exhibiting the slowest

replication were those where the sensor was targeted to the PV, supporting the plaque growth data in

Figure 4B. Altogether, these data suggest that shift in GSH/GSSG buffer system, in either the parasite

cytosol or the PV slows parasite growth.

Figure 4: The GRX1-roGFP redox sensor affects parasites fitness during asexual replication. (**A**) Histogram presenting plaque count data from a 6-day plaque assay using RH-GFP-Luc parasites as a reference control group. (**B**) Representative images of plaques formed. Plaques are indicated by red arrows. Scale bar: 2 mm. (**C**) Violin plot presenting the distribution of plaque areas (mm^2) for parasites expressing the redox sensor within the cytosol. (**D**) Histogram presenting the effect of the GRX1-roGFP sensors and NAC on parasite intracellular replication. (**A** – **D**) All obtained from three independent experiments, with three technical replicates. Significance was calculated using one-way Anova, Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test for (**A**) and (**B**). P values: **< 0.01, **< 0.001 and ****< 0.0001. The significance analyse for (**D**) is provide on figure supplement 5.

Discussion

Investigations of ROS molecules like H_2O_2 and *T. gondii* initially focused on the host innate immune response. Host macrophage can generate oxidative bursts, creating a toxic microenvironment to fight microbial infection[51-53], with early studies interested in mechanisms used by *Toxoplasma* to evade oxidative stress[54-56]. However, increasing evidence from multiple organisms has demonstrated that H_2O_2 has functions as a signaling molecule (reviewed in [57]), suggesting the likelihood of a more complex role for ROS in the pathophysiology of *Toxoplasma*. In order to sense, respond, and protect against potential oxidative damage from a ROS stimulus, cells employ a network of redox-associated proteins like thioredoxins, PDIs, Prxs, superoxide dismutase, catalase and Grx-GSH. It was previously shown that *Toxoplasma* possesses all these elements[26], and we provide an updated view of the number, diversity and cellular distribution of these redox-associated proteins (Fig.1). The function of some of these redox-associated gene products in *T. gondii* parasites has been examined: disruption of catalase decreases parasite virulence in mice and *in vitro* tolerance to H_2O_2 [26]; peroxiredoxin-1 interacts with histone lysine methyltransferase to likely regulate genes by chromatin rearrangement[58]; two thioredoxins mediate an essential role in apicoplast biogenesis[59], and another thioredoxin with cytosolic localization has been associated with parasite virulence[60]. Nevertheless, functional information for most of the redox-associated proteins identified in this work remains elusive.

The ability of low concentrations of H_2O_2 to initiate an intracellular Ca^{2+} response in mammal models is well documented in the literature[61-63] and helped to solidify H_2O_2 as a signaling molecule. Addition of antioxidants blocks intracellular Ca^{2+} release induced by H_2O_2 in smooth muscle cells[63] suggesting that the oxidative properties of H_2O_2 are required for Ca^{2+} signaling. In human endothelial cells, oxidation induced by non-toxic concentrations of H_2O_2 target a Ca^{2+} channel located in acid compartments[62]. Distinct from these models, this work is the first to report that an oxidation event induced by H_2O_2 mobilizes intracellular Ca^{2+} in *Toxoplasma* parasites within the infected host cell (Fig. 2A). Studying the parasite within the host cell provides the best approximation of physiological conditions to observe both Ca^{2+} and redox signaling. Moreover, using parasites expressing a genetically encoded fluorescent Ca^{2+} sensor abrogated the need to use fluorescent Ca^{2+} indicator dyes. Use of these dyes can be damaging to the cells, and typically require the use of other small molecules

to avoid dye loss and compartmentalization[64]. GFP co-expressed with Ca^{2+} sensor allows Ca^{2+}

responses to be distinguished from parasite movement which avoids the need to use inhibitors of

parasite motility such as cytochalasin D[65]. Finally, the concentration of 100 μM H_2O_2 has been

showed to be non-toxic for human fibroblast[66]. Altogether, our protocol to investigate intracellular

Ca^{2+} release following H_2O_2 treatment sought to avoid cellular stress that could compromise the true

redox/Ca^{2+} dynamic within the parasite. As previously report in muscle cells[63], the presence of

antioxidant inhibited the parasite Ca^{2+} response triggered by H_2O_2. Using the GRX1-roGFP2 redox

sensor, we confirmed that intracellular parasites directly sense an oxidation event following the

exogenous addition of 100 μM H_2O_2. It is not clear whether the exogenous addition of 100 μM of

H_2O_2 results in H_2O_2 reaching the intracellular parasites as the host cell contains an extensive network

of antioxidant proteins that would be expected to scavenge and neutralise H_2O_2. This could be directly

addressed in future experiments using *Toxoplasma* parasites expressing a sensor that specifically

detects H_2O_2[67].

Regardless of whether Ca^{2+} is mobilized within the parasite as a direct result of an interaction

with H_2O_2, or via secondary oxidation signal from the host, Ca^{2+} regulates all aspects of parasite host

cell invasion[9] included egress[16]. For the short time period used to track parasites by microscopy, the

intensity of the Ca^{2+} signal spike induced by H_2O_2 was quite modest compared to A23187, and that

likely explains why egress events were rare. Longer incubations with H_2O_2 induced parasite egress

through a mechanism that requires CDPK3 and likely phosphatidic acid. This is the first evidence that

suggests that oxidation can trigger egress in *Toxoplasma*. Interestingly, at the other end of the redox

spectrum, the reductive molecule DDT can also mobilize Ca^{2+} and induce parasite egress[31].

Although the mechanism by which H_2O_2 and DDT lead to parasite egress appears to be distinct, these

data indicate that redox can influence the parasite's lytic cycle. We anticipate that parasite biology is

tuned to a specific environmental redox potential, and that the perturbation of redox homeostasis with

either oxidative or reductive stress elicits a phenotypic response.

The mechanism for how H_2O_2 mobilizes Ca^{2+} in animals is better understood but the

evolutionary distance between vertebrates and *Toxoplasma* makes direct comparisons uninformative.

Toxoplasma is more closely related to plants and share a more similar signaling toolkit[68]. It has been

recently reported that plants possess a cell surface H_2O_2 receptor that once activated, triggers a Ca^{2+}

influx into the cells through a Ca^{2+} ion channel[69]. *Toxoplasma* also has a H_2O_2-sensitive protein that

associates with the CDPK1 to promote microneme secretion[33], an event that requires Ca^{2+}

mobilization to allow parasite invasion[70].

Our work suggests a connection between oxidation and parasite Ca^{2+} release but our data did

not find evidence that ionophore-induced Ca^{2+} release changes the redox state of either the parasite's

cytosol or PV. The use of the GRX1-roGFP2 sensor to track redox changes based on GSH/GSSG

allows a fast and selective assessment of redox fluctuations in real time [47], with improved dynamics

compared to other redox sensors like roGFP1/roGFP2[71]. Considering that Ca^{2+} mobilization is also a

fast event, GRX1-roGFP2 is a suitable tool to investigate the dynamic between ROS and Ca^{2+}.

Moreover, the fact that GRX1 does not directly interact with H_2O_2 [48] implies this sensor would not

interfere with any eventual interaction between H_2O_2 and its potential targets within the parasite or PV.

Nevertheless, in all instances expression GRX1-roGFP2 within *T. gondii* parasites was detrimental to

asexual replication. This is surprising as the GRX1-based sensor is generally well tolerated, and

presents negligible toxicity in neurons[48, 72]. Inactivation of the catalytic domain of GRX1 only

partially recovered parasite growth, suggesting that roGFP2 alone is sufficient to influence parasite

replication. Cells contain millimolar concentrations of GSH within their cytoplasm and organelles,

and in normal redox conditions the cell maintain the majority of this redox buffer molecule in a

reduced form ([GSH]>[GHHS])[73]. Parasites overexpressing GRX1 would be expected to affect the

normal GSH/GSSG balance. The addition of NAC, a small molecule that can be used to generate

GSH, also slowed parasite growth. This supports the hypothesis that the GSH/GSSG ratio can

influence parasite replication. Parasites expressing GRX1ser-roGFP2 are likely have altered redox

potential due ability of roGFP not fused with an active GRX1 to interact directly with oxidizing

molecules like H_2O_2[74].

Targeting of the redox sensor to the PV had a greater effect upon parasite asexual growth

compare with expression within the parasite's cytosol. During asexual replication within host cells, *T.*

gondii resides within the PV. This compartment separates the parasite from the host cytoplasm,

providing a niche for parasite survival and replication[75]. All signaling molecules from the host must

cross the PV in order to reach the parasite. Should an oxidative signal from the host encounter an

unusually reductive environment within the PV due the buffering effect of the redox sensor, the signal

could be lost before reaching the parasite. Our work adds valuable information about the complex

redox system in *Toxoplasma,* and provides the first evidence that parasite replication is sensitive to

redox imbalance. Redox clearly has an important role in *Toxoplasma* pathophysiology and we

anticipate that the unveiling of this network will guide future covalent drug discovery targeting redox-

sensitive chemically reactive cysteines.

Material and Methods

Parasite and host cell culture: *T. gondii* tachyzoites from strain RH (Type I) lacking hypoxanthine-

guanine phosphoribosyl transferase gene (HXGPRT) were cultivated *in vitro* on monolayers of

primary human foreskin fibroblast (HFF, ATTC®) in a humidified incubator at 37°C, 5% CO_2, 3% O_2

atmosphere and maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10%

of foetal bovine serum (FBS) and 2mM L-glutamine, without antibiotics. All culture were tested

against *Mycoplasma* infection on a monthly basis.

Generation of plasmids and transgenic parasites: All primers used in this study are listed in table

supplement 2. The GRX1-roGFP2 sensor[47, 76] was amplified from the commercially available vector

pEIGW-GRX1-roGFP2 (Addgene plasmid n°64990) using primers 1/2 and insert into digested (HF-

EcoRI & PacI) pTUB8 vector containing selectable marker for HXGPRT using Gibson Assembly®

Master Mix. The same strategy was used for GRA8-GRX1-roGFP2, using primers 2/3. To generate

vectors with the inactivated GRX1 catalytic domain (GRX1$_{cys23-26}$)[77, 78], primers 4/5 were used to

replace cysteine with a serine on pTUB8::GRX1-roGFP2 and primers 4/6 on pTUB8::GRA8-GRX1-

roGFP2, followed circularization with KLD reaction mix (NEB), resulting the vectors

pTUB8::GRX1$_{(ser23-26)}$-roGFP2 and pTUB8::GRA8-GRX1$_{(ser23-26)}$-roGFP2. All vectors were linearized

and transfected into RHΔ*ku80*Δ*HXGPRT* parasites as previously described[79]. Transfected parasites

were selected 24 hrs post-transfection by addition of mycophenolic acid (MPA; 25μg/mL) and

xanthine (XAN; 50 μg/mL) to culture medium. Strains were cloned by limiting dilution into 96 well

plates, and five clones selected. Genomic DNA was extracted from extracellular tachyzoites using

Monarch® Genomic DNA Purification Kit (New England BioLabs). Presence of GRX1-based sensors

was confirmed using primer pair 7/8.

To generate the calcium sensor construct pUPRT::GFP-T2A-jRCaMP1b, sequence encoding

the red fluorescent calcium sensor protein jRCaMP1b[40] was ordered from IDT as a custom synthetic

gene, and PCR amplified with appropriate Gibson overhangs using primers 9/10. The 5'UTR of

GRA1 was PCR amplified from pTKO2c[80] using primers 11/12, and the GFP-T2A fusion sequence

was amplified from an unpublished in-house plasmid using primers 13/14. All three fragments were

subsequently cloned by Gibson assembly into PacI-digested UPRT targeting vector pUPRT-HA[81].

The resulting construct was linearised using NaeI and transfected into RH Δ*ku80*Δ*hxgprt* parasites to

generate the GFP-T2A-jRCaMP1b calcium sensor line. Transgenic parasites were subjected to 5'-

fluo-2'-deoxyuridine (FUDR) selection (5 μM) 24 hrs after transfection. To generate the GFP-T2A-

jRCaMP1b Δ*CDPK3* line, the *HXGPRT* casette (flanked by 5' and 3' DHFR UTR sequences) was

PCR amplified from *pGRA*-HA_HXGPRT[82] using primers 15/16 (introducing 40bp CDPK3

homology regions to the amplified fragment) and co-transfected into RH Δ*ku80*Δ*HXGPRT* with

pSag1::Cas9-U6::dbl-sgCDPK3. The pSag1::Cas9-U6::dbl-sgCDPK3 vector was generated by

inverse PCR amplification of the pSag1::Cas9-U6[83] vector using primer pairs 17/18 and 17/19 to

generate intermediate constructs pSag1::Cas9-U6::sg1CDPK3 (comprising sgRNA1) and

pSag1::Cas9-U6::sg2CDPK3 (comprising sgRNA2) respectively. Following circularization of both

intermediate constructs using KLD reaction mix, a region comprising sgRNA1 was PCR amplified

with primers 20/21 from pSag1::Cas9-U6::sg1CDPK3 and Gibson assembled into Kpn1/XhoI

linearised pSag1::Cas9-U6:: sg2CDPK3 to generate the double sgRNA plasmid pSag1::Cas9-U6::dbl-

sgCDPK3. Recombinant parasites were selected 24 hrs post transfection as previously described for

GRX1-roGFP2. Integration of the HXGPRT cassette at the CDPK3 locus was confirmed using primer

pairs 22/23 and 24/25 to confirm 5' and 3' integration respectively. Absence of the endogenous

CDPK3 locus was confirmed using primers 26/27. RH-GFP-Luc parasites (expressing GFP mutant 3

and firefly luciferase IAV[84]) were a gift from Dr Moritz Treeck.

Identification of *T. gondii* genes related to redox: Within the *Toxoplasma* database (ToxoDB[85]), a list of genes related to redox signaling in *T. gondii* were obtained using the keywords "thioredoxin", "glutathione", "glutaredoxin", "peroxiredoxin" and "protein disulfide" on gene text search option. The function domains of the canonical antioxidant groups Trxs, Grx-GSH, Prxs and PDIs were confirmed for each gene using Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) at National Center for Biotechnology information (NCBI) database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). The spatial protein localization related to each redox gene were extracted from the localisation of organelle proteins by isotope tagging (hyperLOPIT)[37, 86] dataset available through ToxoDB.

Plaque and replication assays: For plaque assays, tachyzoites were harvested from infected HFF by syringe passage followed by filtration (5μm). ~100 tachyzoites were added per well of a 6-well plate prepared with confluent HFF monolayers, and allowed to grow undisturbed for 6 days. Plates were washed with phosphate-buffer saline (PBS) and fixed with cold methanol, stained with crystal violet and scanned. Plaque counts and area measurements were performed using FIJI software by drawing region of interesting (ROI). For replication assays, the freshly lysed tachyzoites were added to HFF monolayers grown on a μ-slide 8 well glass bottom chamber (Ibidi®) with multiplicity of infection (MOI) 1. To synchronize in the infection, parasites were allowed to settle onto chilled host cells for 20 minutes, and then allowed to invade for 2 hours at 37˚C. Cells were washed with PBS to remove extracellular tachyzoites. Cells were incubated for a further 18 hours, and subsequently fixed with 3% paraformaldehyde at room temperature (RT) for 20 min, and blocked with PBS supplemented with 2% FBS. Parasites per vacuole were counted by excitation with 470nm laser (4% intensity) widefield Nikon Eclipse Ti-E inverted microscope equipped with an ORCA- Flash4.0 camera (Hamamatsu, Japan) and NIS-Elements Viewer software (Nikon), 60x-oil objective. All parasite strains were genetically encoding for GFP or roGFP2. All strains were tested tree independent times, each with three technical replicates.

Egress assay: Freshly lysed tachyzoites from RH-GFP-t2a-jRCaMP1b parasites were harvested and inoculated (MOI:1) onto confluent HFF cells grown on 24 well plates, and grown for 18 hours. Cells

were washed with PBS and the growth medium replaced with phenol red free DMEM without FBS

and incubate for a further three hours. Medium was removed and cells were incubated for 30 minutes

with PBS plus drugs (A23187, R59022, H_2O_2) or vehicle controls (water, DMSO). At the end of

incubation, the supernatant of the wells was carefully aspirated and cells were detached by 10 min

incubation with 200 μL of RT Accutase[TM]. Accutase-release cell suspensions were fixed with 200 μL

of 8% paraformaldehyde (20 minutes, RT). Fixed cells were transferred into falcon 5 mL tube with 35

μm nylon mesh cap. Free fluorescent parasite and infected HFF population were analysed and

quantified in a BD LRSFortessa[TM]. A total of 5000 events were collected for each tube. Blue laser

(488nm) with 530/30 nm filter was used to detect the GFP signal. An uninfected HFF control was

used to assess the effect of each drug treatment on host cell gating. Each drug condition was tested

two independent times, each with six technical replicates.

Fluorescence microscopy: For cytosolic Ca^{2+} fluorometric measurements, RH-GFP-t2a-jRCaMP1b

or RH-GFP-t2a-jRCaMP1bΔCDPK3 parasites were added (MOI:1) to confluent HFF grown on 8 well

glass bottom chamber, and allowed to grow for 18 hours. Wells were washed with PBS and media

replaced by phenol red DMEM medium without FBS, and incubate for a further two hours. Images of

live infected HFFs were captured at 37°C, using a 60x-oil objective in the same widefield microscope

previously describe on replication assay. GFP (470 nm excitation/ 520 nm emission) and jRCaMP1b

(555 nm excitation/ 605 nm emission) with 100 milliseconds acquisition rate signal were collected

every second for up to a maximum 10 minutes. Drugs were applied on the wells after one minute of

acquisition by pipetting. Image analyses were performed using FIJI software. Raw fluorescence

readout (F) for each vacuole on each channel was normalised against the average of the baseline

signal before adding the drug (F_0) using the ratio F/F_0 bringing the resting baseline value to one. To

distinguish intracellular Ca^{2+} oscillation signal from vacuole movement, the $F_{(jRCaMP1b)}/F_{0(jRCaMP1b)}$

values were normalised against the GFP signal $F_{(GFP)}/F_{0(GFP)}$. Ca^{2+} response during a specific time is

given by the formula: $(F_{(jRCaMP1b)}/F_{0(jRCaMP1b)}) / (F_{(GFP)}/F_{0(GFP)})$.

For redox measurements, parasites expressing ratiometric GRX1 based sensors were treated as

described for RH-GFP-t2a-jRCaMP1b parasites. Oxidized GRX1-roGFP2 (395 nm excitation/ 520

nm emission) and reduced GRX1-roGFP2 (470 nm excitation/ 520 nm emission) with 200

milliseconds acquisition rate signal were collected every second for up to a maximum 10 minutes.

Data analyses is similar to Ca^{2+}, the redox reading was obtained by formula: $(F_{(oxidised)}/F_{0(oxidised)})$ /

$(F_{(reduced)}/F_{0(reduced)})$.

Chemical and Reagents: Hydrogen peroxide 30% (w/w) solution with stabilizer; diacylglycerol

kinase inhibitor (R59022); A23187, Ionomycin, anhydrous methanol, and crystal violet solution were

obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Company Ltd. α-tocopherol phosphate disodium salt from Merk.

Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) anhydrous and paraformaldehyde 16% solution from Life Technologies.

Accutase cell detachment solution from Fisher Scientific Ltd.

Statistical Analysis: The data are represented as the mean ± SEM and analysed using two-tailed

pared Student *t* test between two groups and one-way or 2way analyses of variance (ANOVA) with

Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test for comparing means between ≥3. All data were analysed

using GraphPad Prism 9 software (California, USA). The data were considered statistically significant

when P values <0.05.

Funding

This work was supported by grant 202553/Z/16/Z from the Wellcome Trust & Royal Society (to

MAC), and BB/M011178/1 from the BBSRC (to HJB and MAC).

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank Prof Jake Baum and Dr George Ashdown for the access and assistance to

widefield microscopy, Dr Moritz Treeck for the RH-GFP-Luc line, Dr Lilach Sheiner for the roGFP

construct, and Dr Gautam Dey for useful discussions. We would also like to thank the Imperial

College Flow Cytometry Facility team in South Kensington for technical support.

Competing interests

The authors have declared there are no conflict or competing interest.

References

1.   Halonen SK, Weiss LM. Toxoplasmosis. Handb Clin Neurol. 2013;114:125-45.

2.   Flegr J, Prandota J, Sovickova M, Israili ZH. Toxoplasmosis--a global threat. Correlation of

latent toxoplasmosis with specific disease burden in a set of 88 countries. PLoS One.

2014;9(3):e90203.

3.   Sheffield HG, Melton ML. The fine structure and reproduction of Toxoplasma gondii. J

Parasitol. 1968;54(2):209-26.

4.   Dubey JP. WAAP and Pfizer Award for excellence in veterinary parasitology research.

Pursuing life cycles and transmission of cyst-forming coccidia of animals and humans. Vet Parasitol.

1996;64(1-2):13-20.

5.   Weiss LM, Dubey JP. Toxoplasmosis: A history of clinical observations. Int J Parasitol.

2009;39(8):895-901.

6.   Luft BJ, Remington JS. Toxoplasmic encephalitis in AIDS. Clin Infect Dis. 1992;15(2):211-

22.

7.   Goldman M, Carver RK, Sulzer AJ. Reproduction of Toxoplasma gondii by internal budding.

J Parasitol. 1958;44(2):161-71.

8.   Montoya JG, Liesenfeld O. Toxoplasmosis. Lancet. 2004;363(9425):1965-76.

9.   Lourido S, Moreno SN. The calcium signaling toolkit of the Apicomplexan parasites

Toxoplasma gondii and Plasmodium spp. Cell calcium. 2015;57(3):186-93.

10.   Vieira MC, Moreno SN. Mobilization of intracellular calcium upon attachment of

Toxoplasma gondii tachyzoites to human fibroblasts is required for invasion. Mol Biochem Parasitol.

2000;106(1):157-62.

11.   Pace DA, McKnight CA, Liu J, Jimenez V, Moreno SN. Calcium entry in Toxoplasma gondii

and its enhancing effect of invasion-linked traits. J Biol Chem. 2014;289(28):19637-47.

12.   Wetzel DM, Chen LA, Ruiz FA, Moreno SN, Sibley LD. Calcium-mediated protein secretion

potentiates motility in Toxoplasma gondii. J Cell Sci. 2004;117(Pt 24):5739-48.

13.   Endo T, Sethi KK, Piekarski G. Toxoplasma gondii: calcium ionophore A23187-mediated

exit of trophozoites from infected murine macrophages. Exp Parasitol. 1982;53(2):179-88.

14.   Murphy RC, Ojo KK, Larson ET, Castellanos-Gonzalez A, Perera BG, Keyloun KR, et al.

Discovery of Potent and Selective Inhibitors of Calcium-Dependent Protein Kinase 1 (CDPK1) from

C. parvum and T. gondii. ACS Med Chem Lett. 2010;1(7):331-5.

15.   Ojo KK, Larson ET, Keyloun KR, Castaneda LJ, Derocher AE, Inampudi KK, et al.

Toxoplasma gondii calcium-dependent protein kinase 1 is a target for selective kinase inhibitors.

Nature structural & molecular biology. 2010;17(5):602-7.

16.   Garrison E, Treeck M, Ehret E, Butz H, Garbuz T, Oswald BP, et al. A forward genetic

screen reveals that calcium-dependent protein kinase 3 regulates egress in Toxoplasma. PLoS Pathog.

2012;8(11):e1003049.

17.   Bullen HE, Jia Y, Yamaryo-Botte Y, Bisio H, Zhang O, Jemelin NK, et al. Phosphatidic

Acid-Mediated Signaling Regulates Microneme Secretion in Toxoplasma. Cell Host Microbe.

2016;19(3):349-60.

18.   Brown KM, Lourido S, Sibley LD. Serum Albumin Stimulates Protein Kinase G-dependent

Microneme Secretion in Toxoplasma gondii. J Biol Chem. 2016;291(18):9554-65.

19.   Guzik TJ, West NE, Black E, McDonald D, Ratnatunga C, Pillai R, et al. Vascular superoxide

production by NAD(P)H oxidase: association with endothelial dysfunction and clinical risk factors.

Circ Res. 2000;86(9):E85-90.

20.   Winterbourn CC, Hampton MB. Thiol chemistry and specificity in redox signaling. Free

Radic Biol Med. 2008;45(5):549-61.

21.   Pastore A, Piemonte F. S-Glutathionylation signaling in cell biology: progress and prospects.

Eur J Pharm Sci. 2012;46(5):279-92.

22.   Popov D. Protein S-glutathionylation: from current basics to targeted modifications. Arch

Physiol Biochem. 2014;120(4):123-30.

23.   Sabens Liedhegner EA, Gao XH, Mieyal JJ. Mechanisms of altered redox regulation in

neurodegenerative diseases--focus on S--glutathionylation. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2012;16(6):543-

66.

24.   Xiong Y, Uys JD, Tew KD, Townsend DM. S-glutathionylation: from molecular mechanisms

to health outcomes. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2011;15(1):233-70.

25.   Murray HW, Cohn ZA. Macrophage oxygen-dependent antimicrobial activity. I.

Susceptibility of Toxoplasma gondii to oxygen intermediates. J Exp Med. 1979;150(4):938-49.

26.   Ding M, Kwok LY, Schluter D, Clayton C, Soldati D. The antioxidant systems in

Toxoplasma gondii and the role of cytosolic catalase in defence against oxidative injury. Mol

Microbiol. 2004;51(1):47-61.

27.   Luder CG, Gross U. Apoptosis and its modulation during infection with Toxoplasma gondii:

molecular mechanisms and role in pathogenesis. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 2005;289:219-37.

28.   Luder CG, Stanway RR, Chaussepied M, Langsley G, Heussler VT. Intracellular survival of

apicomplexan parasites and host cell modification. Int J Parasitol. 2009;39(2):163-73.

29.   Akerman SE, Muller S. Peroxiredoxin-linked detoxification of hydroperoxides in

Toxoplasma gondii. J Biol Chem. 2005;280(1):564-70.

30.   Kaasch AJ, Joiner KA. Targeting and subcellular localization of Toxoplasma gondii catalase.

Identification of peroxisomes in an apicomplexan parasite. J Biol Chem. 2000;275(2):1112-8.

31.   Stommel EW, Ely KH, Schwartzman JD, Kasper LH. Toxoplasma gondii: dithiol-induced

Ca2+ flux causes egress of parasites from the parasitophorous vacuole. Exp Parasitol. 1997;87(2):88-

97.

32.   Silverman JA, Qi H, Riehl A, Beckers C, Nakaar V, Joiner KA. Induced activation of the

Toxoplasma gondii nucleoside triphosphate hydrolase leads to depletion of host cell ATP levels and

rapid exit of intracellular parasites from infected cells. J Biol Chem. 1998;273(20):12352-9.

33.   Child MA, Garland M, Foe I, Madzelan P, Treeck M, van der Linden WA, et al. Toxoplasma

DJ-1 Regulates Organelle Secretion by a Direct Interaction with Calcium-Dependent Protein Kinase 1.

mBio. 2017;8(1).

34. Augusto L, Martynowicz J, Amin PH, Carlson KR, Wek RC, Sullivan WJ. TgIF2K-B is an

eIF2α kinase in Toxoplasma gondii that responds to oxidative stress and optimizes

pathogenicity. bioRxiv. 2020:2020.09.24.312744.

35. Odberg-Ferragut C, Renault JP, Viscogliosi E, Toursel C, Briche I, Engels A, et al. Molecular

cloning, expression analysis and iron metal cofactor characterisation of a superoxide dismutase from

Toxoplasma gondii. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2000;106(1):121-9.

36. Sibley LD, Lawson R, Weidner E. Superoxide dismutase and catalase in Toxoplasma gondii.

Mol Biochem Parasitol. 1986;19(1):83-7.

37. Barylyuk K, Koreny L, Ke H, Butterworth S, Crook OM, Lassadi I, et al. A Comprehensive

Subcellular Atlas of the Toxoplasma Proteome via hyperLOPIT Provides Spatial Context for Protein

Functions. Cell Host Microbe. 2020;28(5):752-66 e9.

38. Meissner G. Regulation of mammalian ryanodine receptors. Front Biosci. 2002;7:d2072-80.

39. Oda T, Yang Y, Uchinoumi H, Thomas DD, Chen-Izu Y, Kato T, et al. Oxidation of

ryanodine receptor (RyR) and calmodulin enhance Ca release and pathologically alter, RyR structure

and calmodulin affinity. J Mol Cell Cardiol. 2015;85:240-8.

40. Dana H, Mohar B, Sun Y, Narayan S, Gordus A, Hasseman JP, et al. Sensitive red protein

calcium indicators for imaging neural activity. Elife. 2016;5.

41. Black MW, Arrizabalaga G, Boothroyd JC. Ionophore-resistant mutants of Toxoplasma

gondii reveal host cell permeabilization as an early event in egress. Mol Cell Biol. 2000;20(24):9399-

408.

42. Treeck M, Sanders JL, Gaji RY, LaFavers KA, Child MA, Arrizabalaga G, et al. The

calcium-dependent protein kinase 3 of toxoplasma influences basal calcium levels and functions

beyond egress as revealed by quantitative phosphoproteome analysis. PLoS Pathog.

2014;10(6):e1004197.

43. Stewart RJ, Whitehead L, Nijagal B, Sleebs BE, Lessene G, McConville MJ, et al. Analysis

of Ca(2)(+) mediated signaling regulating Toxoplasma infectivity reveals complex relationships

between key molecules. Cell Microbiol. 2017;19(4).

44.   Cooke E, Al-Mohanna FA, Hallett MB. Diacylglycerol kinase inhibitor, R59022, potentiates

neutrophil oxidase activation by Ca2+-dependent stimuli. Evidence for two separate but convergent

pathways. Biochem Pharmacol. 1987;36(20):3459-62.

45.   Bullen HE, Bisio H, Soldati-Favre D. The triumvirate of signaling molecules controlling

Toxoplasma microneme exocytosis: Cyclic GMP, calcium, and phosphatidic acid. PLoS Pathog.

2019;15(5):e1007670.

46.   Carey KL, Donahue CG, Ward GE. Identification and molecular characterization of GRA8, a

novel, proline-rich, dense granule protein of Toxoplasma gondii. Mol Biochem Parasitol.

2000;105(1):25-37.

47.   Aller I, Rouhier N, Meyer AJ. Development of roGFP2-derived redox probes for

measurement of the glutathione redox potential in the cytosol of severely glutathione-deficient rml1

seedlings. Front Plant Sci. 2013;4:506.

48.   Gutscher M, Pauleau AL, Marty L, Brach T, Wabnitz GH, Samstag Y, et al. Real-time

imaging of the intracellular glutathione redox potential. Nat Methods. 2008;5(6):553-9.

49.   Laporte D, Olate E, Salinas P, Salazar M, Jordana X, Holuigue L. Glutaredoxin GRXS13

plays a key role in protection against photooxidative stress in Arabidopsis. J Exp Bot.

2012;63(1):503-15.

50.   Ezerina D, Takano Y, Hanaoka K, Urano Y, Dick TP. N-Acetyl Cysteine Functions as a Fast-

Acting Antioxidant by Triggering Intracellular H2S and Sulfane Sulfur Production. Cell Chem Biol.

2018;25(4):447-59 e4.

51.   Dupre-Crochet S, Erard M, Nubetae O. ROS production in phagocytes: why, when, and

where? J Leukoc Biol. 2013;94(4):657-70.

52.   McLeod R, Estes R, Mack DG, McLeod EG. Effects of human alveolar macrophages and

peripheral blood monocytes on Toxoplasma gondii. J Infect Dis. 1983;147(5):957.

53.   Sibley LD, Krahenbuhl JL, Weidner E. Lymphokine activation of J774G8 cells and mouse

peritoneal macrophages challenged with Toxoplasma gondii. Infect Immun. 1985;49(3):760-4.

54.   Wilson CB, Tsai V, Remington JS. Failure to trigger the oxidative metabolic burst by normal

macrophages: possible mechanism for survival of intracellular pathogens. J Exp Med.

1980;151(2):328-46.

55.   Shrestha SP, Tomita T, Weiss LM, Orlofsky A. Proliferation of Toxoplasma gondii in

inflammatory macrophages in vivo is associated with diminished oxygen radical production in the

host cell. Int J Parasitol. 2006;36(4):433-41.

56.   Lima TS, Lodoen MB. Mechanisms of Human Innate Immune Evasion by Toxoplasma

gondii. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2019;9:103.

57.   Veal E, Day A. Hydrogen peroxide as a signaling molecule. Antioxid Redox Signal.

2011;15(1):147-51.

58.   Sautel CF, Ortet P, Saksouk N, Kieffer S, Garin J, Bastien O, et al. The histone methylase

KMTox interacts with the redox-sensor peroxiredoxin-1 and targets genes involved in Toxoplasma

gondii antioxidant defences. Mol Microbiol. 2009;71(1):212-26.

59.   Biddau M, Bouchut A, Major J, Saveria T, Tottey J, Oka O, et al. Two essential Thioredoxins

mediate apicoplast biogenesis, protein import, and gene expression in Toxoplasma gondii. PLoS

Pathog. 2018;14(2):e1006836.

60.   Xue J, Jiang W, Chen Y, Gong F, Wang M, Zeng P, et al. Thioredoxin reductase from

Toxoplasma gondii: an essential virulence effector with antioxidant function. FASEB J.

2017;31(10):4447-57.

61.   Volk T, Hensel M, Kox WJ. Transient Ca2+ changes in endothelial cells induced by low

doses of reactive oxygen species: role of hydrogen peroxide. Mol Cell Biochem. 1997;171(1-2):11-21.

62.   Avdonin PV, Nadeev AD, Tsitrin EB, Tsitrina AA, Avdonin PP, Mironova GY, et al.

Involvement of two-pore channels in hydrogen peroxide-induced increase in the level of calcium ions

in the cytoplasm of human umbilical vein endothelial cells. Dokl Biochem Biophys. 2017;474(1):209-

12.

63.   Roveri A, Coassin M, Maiorino M, Zamburlini A, van Amsterdam FT, Ratti E, et al. Effect of

hydrogen peroxide on calcium homeostasis in smooth muscle cells. Arch Biochem Biophys.

1992;297(2):265-70.

64.   Di Virgilio F, Steinberg TH, Silverstein SC. Inhibition of Fura-2 sequestration and secretion

with organic anion transport blockers. Cell Calcium. 1990;11(2-3):57-62.

65.   Carter SB. Effects of cytochalasins on mammalian cells. Nature. 1967;213(5073):261-4.

66.   Hyslop PA, Hinshaw DB, Scraufstatter IU, Cochrane CG, Kunz S, Vosbeck K. Hydrogen

peroxide as a potent bacteriostatic antibiotic: implications for host defense. Free Radic Biol Med.

1995;19(1):31-7.

67.   Gutscher M, Sobotta MC, Wabnitz GH, Ballikaya S, Meyer AJ, Samstag Y, et al. Proximity-

based protein thiol oxidation by H2O2-scavenging peroxidases. J Biol Chem. 2009;284(46):31532-40.

68.   Nagamune K, Sibley LD. Comparative genomic and phylogenetic analyses of calcium

ATPases and calcium-regulated proteins in the apicomplexa. Mol Biol Evol. 2006;23(8):1613-27.

69.   Wu F, Chi Y, Jiang Z, Xu Y, Xie L, Huang F, et al. Hydrogen peroxide sensor HPCA1 is an

LRR receptor kinase in Arabidopsis. Nature. 2020;578(7796):577-81.

70.   Lovett JL, Marchesini N, Moreno SN, Sibley LD. Toxoplasma gondii microneme secretion

involves intracellular Ca(2+) release from inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate (IP(3))/ryanodine-sensitive

stores. J Biol Chem. 2002;277(29):25870-6.

71.   Hanson GT, Aggeler R, Oglesbee D, Cannon M, Capaldi RA, Tsien RY, et al. Investigating

mitochondrial redox potential with redox-sensitive green fluorescent protein indicators. J Biol Chem.

2004;279(13):13044-53.

72.   Hasel P, McKay S, Qiu J, Hardingham GE. Selective dendritic susceptibility to bioenergetic,

excitotoxic and redox perturbations in cortical neurons. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2015;1853(9):2066-

76.

73.   Brieger K, Schiavone S, Miller FJ, Jr., Krause KH. Reactive oxygen species: from health to

disease. Swiss Med Wkly. 2012;142:w13659.

74.   Liu X, Wu J, Liu H, Zong N, Zhao J. RoGFP1 is a quantitative biosensor in maize cells for

cellular redox changes caused by environmental and endogenous stimuli. Biochem Biophys Res

Commun. 2014;452(3):503-8.

75.   Clough B, Frickel EM. The Toxoplasma Parasitophorous Vacuole: An Evolving Host-

Parasite Frontier. Trends Parasitol. 2017;33(6):473-88.

76.   Meyer AJ, Brach T, Marty L, Kreye S, Rouhier N, Jacquot JP, et al. Redox-sensitive GFP in

Arabidopsis thaliana is a quantitative biosensor for the redox potential of the cellular glutathione

redox buffer. Plant J. 2007;52(5):973-86.

77.   Sun C, Berardi MJ, Bushweller JH. The NMR solution structure of human glutaredoxin in the

fully reduced form. J Mol Biol. 1998;280(4):687-701.

78.   Yang Y, Jao S, Nanduri S, Starke DW, Mieyal JJ, Qin J. Reactivity of the human

thioltransferase (glutaredoxin) C7S, C25S, C78S, C82S mutant and NMR solution structure of its

glutathionyl mixed disulfide intermediate reflect catalytic specificity. Biochemistry.

1998;37(49):17145-56.

79.   Soldati D, Boothroyd JC. Transient transfection and expression in the obligate intracellular

parasite Toxoplasma gondii. Science. 1993;260(5106):349-52.

80.   Caffaro CE, Koshy AA, Liu L, Zeiner GM, Hirschberg CB, Boothroyd JC. A nucleotide

sugar transporter involved in glycosylation of the Toxoplasma tissue cyst wall is required for efficient

persistence of bradyzoites. PLoS Pathog. 2013;9(5):e1003331.

81.   Reese ML, Zeiner GM, Saeij JP, Boothroyd JC, Boyle JP. Polymorphic family of injected

pseudokinases is paramount in Toxoplasma virulence. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108(23):9625-

30.

82.   Coppens I, Dunn JD, Romano JD, Pypaert M, Zhang H, Boothroyd JC, et al. Toxoplasma

gondii sequesters lysosomes from mammalian hosts in the vacuolar space. Cell. 2006;125(2):261-74.

83.   Behnke MS, Zhang TP, Dubey JP, Sibley LD. Toxoplasma gondii merozoite gene expression

analysis with comparison to the life cycle discloses a unique expression state during enteric

development. BMC Genomics. 2014;15:350.

84.   Ploemen IH, Prudencio M, Douradinha BG, Ramesar J, Fonager J, van Gemert GJ, et al.

Visualisation and quantitative analysis of the rodent malaria liver stage by real time imaging. PLoS

One. 2009;4(11):e7881.

85.   Gajria B, Bahl A, Brestelli J, Dommer J, Fischer S, Gao X, et al. ToxoDB: an integrated

Toxoplasma gondii database resource. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008;36(Database issue):D553-6.

86.   Crook OM, Mulvey CM, Kirk PDW, Lilley KS, Gatto L. A Bayesian mixture modelling

approach for spatial proteomics. PLoS Comput Biol. 2018;14(11):e1006516.

Supplement Material

Gene ID (ToxoDB)	Gene annotation	Predicted localisation
TGME49_204480	thioredoxin domain-containing protein	apicoplast
TGME49_209950	thioredoxin domain-containing protein	apicoplast
TGME49_216510	thioredoxin, putative	mitochondria-membrane
TGME49_218530	PITH domain-containing protein	cytosol
TGME49_224060	thioredoxin, putative	golgi
TGME49_247350	thioredoxin domain-containing protein	ER
TGME49_247660	thioredoxin domain-containing protein	NA
TGME49_255480	thioredoxin domain-containing protein	NA
TGME49_266620	thioredoxin domain-containing protein	ER
TGME49_270120	thioredoxin-like protein, TLP1	mitochondria-soluble
TGME49_289180	thioredoxin domain-containing protein	mitochondria-soluble
TGME49_290260	PITH domain-containing protein	cytosol
TGME49_291810	thioredoxin domain-containing protein	NA
TGME49_293870	thioredoxin	cytosol
TGME49_308050	phosducin domain-containing protein	NA
TGME49_309730	thioredoxin reductase	cytosol
TGME49_247025	PITH domain-containing protein	NA
TGME49_258826	thioredoxin domain-containing protein	ER
TGME49_312110	thioredoxin domain-containing protein	apicoplast
TGME49_225060	thioredoxin domain-containing protein	nucleus-chromatin
TGME49_211680	protein disulfide-isomerase	ER
TGME49_218470	protein disulfide-isomerase, putative	NA
TGME49_225790	thioredoxin-like-fold domain-containing protein	cytoskeleton
TGME49_232410	thioredoxin-like-fold domain-containing protein	cytoskeleton
TGME49_238040	protein disulfide-isomerase domain-containing protein	ER
TGME49_249270	protein disulfide isomerase-related protein (provisional), putative	apicoplast
TGME49_226800	GSH-synthase domain-containing protein	nucleolus
TGME49_238070	glutaredoxin domain-containing protein	cytosol
TGME49_247580	glutaredoxin domain-containing protein	rhoptries
TGME49_227150	glutaredoxin domain-containing protein	apicoplast
TGME49_279400	glutaredoxin domain-containing protein	cytosol
TGME49_268730	glutaredoxin domain-containing protein	mitochondria-soluble
TGME49_246920	glutathione reductase	19S proteosome
TGME49_306030	glutathione s-transferase, n-terminal domain containing	ER
TGME49_249630	glutathione s-transferase, N-terminal domain-containing protein	mitochondria-soluble
TGME49_281630	lactamase-b domain-containing protein	nucleolus
TGME49_219130	NADPH-glutathione reductase	apicoplast
TGME49_227100	glutaredoxin domain-containing protein	NA
TGME49_285920	hypothetical protein	NA
TGME49_309210	thioredoxin domain-containing protein / peroxiredoxin 6, putative	cytosol
TGME49_230410	thioredoxin domain-containing protein / peroxiredoxin PRX3	mitochondria-soluble
TGME49_266130	glutathione peroxidase / peroxiredoxin PRX2	NA
TGME49_217890	peroxiredoxin / alkyl hydroperoxide reductase	nucleus
TGME49_286630	peroxiredoxin / redoxin domain-containing protein	apicoplast
TGME49_266120	glutathione peroxidase / thioredoxin-dependent peroxidase TPX1	PM-peripheral
TGME49_316330	superoxide dismutase SOD2	mitochondria-soluble
TGME49_232250	catalase	cytosol
TGME49_316190	superoxide dismutase SOD3	NA
TGME49_316310	superoxide dismutase	cytosol

Table supplement 1: List of *Toxoplasma gondii* genes related to redox sensing systems and their

primary location based on hyperLOPIT datasets. Abbreviations: PITH: proteasome-interacting

thioredoxin. ER: endoplasmic reticulum. PM: plasma membrane. GSH: glutathione. NA: not

applicable (location not predicted).

Figure supplement 1: Fluorescence tracking of Ca^{2+} signal and GFP movement on RH-GFP-t2a-

jRCaMP1b parasites within host cell. (**A**) Representative trace of parasite vacuoles following

treatment with H_2O_2 and ionophore A23187. On left, the graph presents the independent GFP trace

(green) and Ca^{2+} signal from jRCaMP1b sensor (red). Note the parasite movement after A23187

addition at 420s. On the right graph, the Ca^{2+} signal is normalized to GFP to minimize artefact on the

Ca^{2+} measurements due to parasite movement. Red arrow indicates the moment of parasite egress. (**B**)

Water, the vehicle solvent for H_2O_2, does not mobilize Ca^{2+}. The graph displays the trace of three

independent vacuoles from the same field of view. Data are representative of 15 infected vacuoles

from four independent experiments. (**C**) DMSO, the vehicle solvent for ionophore A23187, does not

mobilize Ca^{2+}. The graph displays the trace of four independent infected vacuoles from the same field

of view. Data are representative of 12 rosettes from three independent experiments. (**A – C**): black

arrows indicate the time of drug / solvent addition.

Figure supplement 2: Quantification of RH-GFP-t2a-jCamP1b parasite egress by flow cytometry. (**A**) Representative gating using GFP (Blue laser, 488nm, filter 530/30) against Forward scatter (FSC-A). HFF water control: uninfected human foreskin fibroblast (HFF) are mainly localised in quadrant c̲. Lysed tachyzoites: free RH-GFP-t2a-jCamP1b parasites are mainly localised in quadrant a̲. iHFF water control: infected host cells are detected in quadrant b̲. By using the GFP it is possible to distinguish free fluorescent parasite from iHFF in a population and assess the egress rates throughout different treatments. (**B**) Effect of drug incubation on non-infected HFF. The graph presents a change on event number within the non-fluorescent HFF gate. Data represent the mean ±SEM of two independent experiments, six technical replicates on each. Significance was calculated using one-way Anova, Bonferroni's multiple comparisons P value *< 0.05.

Figure supplement 3: Exploring the redox sensitivity of RH-GRX1-roGFP2 parasites to H_2O_2. (**A**) Tracking the GSH/GSSG change upon different concentration of H_2O_2. Among the concentration tested, 10 µM was the only one that did not induce a change in redox within the parasite cytosol. Data are representative of five infected vacuoles from each concentration, one independent experiment. (**B**) Water (vehicle control for H_2O_2) and DMSO (vehicle control for A23187) do not trigger change in GSH/GSSG. Data are representative of 12 vacuoles from three independent experiments. (**C**) Autofluorescence effect of A23187 drug on oxidation channel makes this ionophore unsuitable for ratiometric analyses of GRX1-roGFP2 sensor. Widefield microscope imaging depicting an egress induce by A23187. The structure of A23187 is shown above microscopy images.

895 **Supplemental Figure 4:** Inactivation of the catalytic domain of glutaredoxin 1 makes the GRX1-

896 roGFP2 redox sensor insensitive to changes in GSH/GSSH. Parasite expressing GRX1ser-roGFP2 do

897 not display fluorescent changes in either channel (reduction or oxidation) upon addition of H_2O_2.

898 Data are representative of 12 infected vacuole, three independent experiments. Black arrows indicate

899 the time of H_2O_2 addition.

Figure supplement 5: Effect of redox sensors on *T. gondii* parasite growth. Each graph presents parasites/vacuole counts after 20 hours of intracellular growth. The mean values are displayed over each bar for three independent experiments. (**A**) Percentage (%) of vacuoles contain one parasite. (**B**) % of vacuoles with two parasites. (**C**) % of vacuoles with four parasites. (**D**) % of vacuoles with eight parasites. (**E**) % of vacuoles with 16 parasites. (**F**) % of vacuoles with more than 32 parasites. Significance was calculated using two-way Anova, Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test. P values: *< 0.05; **< 0.01, ***< 0.001 and ****< 0.0001.

Primer name	Primer number	Sequence (5'-3')
Fw-GRX1-roGFP2	1	ctcgttggcattttttcttgatggctcaagagtttgtgaac
Rv-GRX1-roGFP2	2	agtgagcacaacggtgattattacttgtacagctcgtccatg
Fw-GRA8-GRX1-roGFP2	3	ctcgttggcattttttcttgatggctttaccattgcgtgttt cggccacggtgttcgtggtcttcgctgtctttggtgtagct cgcgccatggggaaggtggttgtgttc
Fw-GRX1ser23-26	4	ccagcccaccagcccgtacagcag
Rv-GRX1ser23-26	5	atgaacacaaccaccttcccagg
Rv-GRA8-GRX1ser23-26	6	atgaacacaaccaccttcccat
Fw-pTUB8 sequence	7	cagaagacatccaccaaacg
Rv-pTUB8 sequence	8	gctgcgaaacactcctatttag
Fw-jRCaMP1b	9	actttcgtcgtagtcttaatctacttcgctgtcatcatttgtac
Rv-jRCaMP1b	10	tccaggaccaatgctgcagaacgagcttg
Fw-5'UTR of GRA1	11	tgctcaccatcttgcttgatttcttcaaag
Rv-5'UTR of GRA1	12	ccggactacgcgtagttaattcgaaggctgtagtactg
Fw-GFP-t2a-fusion	13	tctgcagcattggtcctggattttcttctacatc
Rv-GFP-t2a-fusion	14	atcaagcaagatggtgagcaagggcgag
Fw-HXGPRT cassette	15	caagaatccccactccaagcatgcaggcgcagctggagaatggcca gaggcaggcatc
Rv-HXGPRT cassette	16	caaccggctgcatgcacgacgaagacatgtgcacctaaagccgcggaa gatccgatcttg
Rv-pSAg1::CAS9-U6::sg1/2 CDPK3	17	aacttgacatccccatttac
Fw-pSag1::Cas9-U6::sg1 CDPK3	18	gacgccagcctcgaaaaggggtttttagagctagaaatagc
Fw-pSag1::Cas9-U6::sg2 CDPK3	19	tcagtccgtagttgggacaggtttttagagctagaaatagc
Fw-sg1CDPK3	20	gtaatacgactcactatagggcgaattgggtacccaagtaagc agaagcacgct
Rv-sg1CDPK3	21	gtaaaagcttatcgataccgtcgacctcgagaattaaccctc actaaagg
Fw-5'HXGPRT cassette at CDPK3 locus	22	ggagtcacgcctgagtttga
Rv-5'HXGPRT cassette at CDPK3 locus	23	ggcctacgtgacttgctgat
Fw-3'HXGPRT cassette at CDPK3 locus	24	cttcaatgggtttggacgcc
Rv-3'HXGPRT cassette at CDPK3 locus	25	gaacaaaggggggtcggtcat
Fw-CDPK3 locus absent check	26	gcgcgttctcaggatgttcgt
Rv-CDPK3 locus absent check	27	cagtgtatctgcaacaaccaga

916 **Table supplement 2:** List of primers used to generate plasmid and check sequence / integration in

917 this study.