1   LEA motifs promote desiccation tolerance *in vivo*

3   Jonathan D. Hibshman[a] and Bob Goldstein[a,b]

6   [a]Biology Department, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

7   [b]Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel
8   Hill, NC, USA
9

*Corresponding author, Jonathan D. Hibshman

Biology Department

616 Fordham Hall

The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3280

E-mail: hibsjo01@email.unc.edu

Abstract

Background

Cells and organisms typically cannot survive in the absence of water. However, there

are some notable exceptions, including animals such as nematodes, tardigrades, rotifers, and

some arthropods. One class of proteins known to play a role in desiccation resistance is the late

embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins. These largely disordered proteins protect plants and

animals from desiccation. A multitude of studies have characterized stress-protective

capabilities of LEA proteins *in vitro* and in heterologous systems. However, the extent to which

LEA proteins exhibit such functions *in vivo*, in their native contexts in animals, is unclear.

Furthermore, little is known about the distribution of LEA proteins in multicellular organisms or

tissue-specific requirements in conferring stress protection.

Results

To study the endogenous function of an LEA protein in an animal, we created a true null

mutant of *C. elegans* LEA-1, as well as endogenous fluorescent reporters of the protein. We

confirmed that *C. elegans* lacking LEA-1 are sensitive to desiccation. LEA-1 mutant animals

were also sensitive to heat and osmotic stress and were prone to protein aggregation. During

desiccation, LEA-1 expression increased and became more widespread throughout the body.

LEA-1 was required at high levels in body wall muscle for animals to survive desiccation and

osmotic stress. We identified minimal motifs within *C. elegans* LEA-1 that are sufficient to

increase desiccation survival of *E. coli*. To test whether such motifs are central to LEA-1's *in*

vivo functions, we then replaced the sequence of *lea-1* with these minimal motifs and found that

C. elegans survived mild desiccation and osmotic stress at the same levels as worms with the

full-length protein.

Conclusions

Our results provide insights into the endogenous functions and expression dynamics of

an LEA protein in a multicellular animal. The results show that LEA-1 buffers animals from a

broad range of stresses. Our identification of LEA motifs that can function in both bacteria and in

a multicellular organism suggests the possibility of engineering LEA-1-derived peptides for

optimized desiccation protection.

Background

Animals regularly encounter abiotic stresses due to fluctuating environments. One such

stress is desiccation. Water is essential for cellular metabolism, and without it cellular

components including DNA, RNA, proteins, and membranes are unstable. However, certain

animals can lose nearly all their internal water and yet survive (anhydrobiosis). This group

includes nematodes, rotifers, tardigrades, and certain arthropods such as some crustaceans

and insects. Studying the mechanisms by which these organisms are able to survive

desiccation is of fundamental interest and may lead to better understanding of biological

protectants.

One family of common protectants with demonstrated efficacy during desiccation is the

late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins. These proteins were originally identified in cotton

seeds and were subsequently found to be present in many other plants [1–6]. LEA proteins

protect the viability of desiccated seeds [7]. Animal LEA proteins were later identified in the

nematode *Aphelenchus avenae* and other anhydrobiotic animals [8–13]. Several families of LEA

proteins have been described, each containing distinct motifs [14, 15]. Nematode LEA proteins

both in *A. avenae* as well as the more common model system *C. elegans* are members of the

Group 3 LEA proteins. This is the most common LEA variety within anhydrobiotic animals [16].

LEA proteins are thought to be intrinsically disordered, i.e. largely unstructured in hydrated

conditions, but under water-limiting conditions the proteins acquire recognizable alpha-helical

secondary structure [17–19]. There are several examples in which heterologous expression of

LEA proteins confers stress resistance in a number of host cell types, including yeast, bacteria,

rice, and mammalian cell culture [20–25]. Additionally, isolated LEA protein has been

demonstrated to protect against protein aggregation and stabilize membranes and liposomes *in*

vitro [26–28]. Although the functions of LEA proteins are well-established, most studies have

utilized heterologous expression systems. Therefore, we have limited knowledge of the

endogenous, *in vivo* roles of LEA proteins.

The nematode *C. elegans* is an ideal model for the study of the endogenous functions of

LEA proteins. *C. elegans* survive many stresses including desiccation, have a well-resourced

genetic toolkit, and contain two LEA family proteins, *lea-1* and *dur-1* (dauer up-regulated) [10,

29]. Disruption of *lea-1* has been reported to sensitize worms to desiccation, osmotic stress with

sucrose, and larval heat stress [10, 29, 30]. *dur-1* is also required for a robust desiccation

response but is apparently unable to compensate for the loss of LEA-1 [29]. Whereas most

studies show that heterologous expression is sufficient to protect against stresses in other

systems, these *C. elegans* studies provide evidence for necessity in the native function of LEA

proteins in animals. However, little is known about the tissues in which LEA proteins are

required or the mechanisms by which they function *in vivo* [14, 31, 32].

We used LEA-1 of *C. elegans* as a model to study the endogenous function of an LEA

protein in a multicellular animal. We confirmed and expanded phenotypes associated with

disruption of LEA-1, characterized expression patterns and dynamics in response to

desiccation, and identified minimal amino acid motifs within the protein that harbor the

biochemical properties to confer desiccation resistance. These results provide insights into the

in vivo, endogenous functions of an LEA protein in a multicellular animal.

Results

Generation of a null allele of *lea-1*

We were motivated to determine the role of LEA-1 in the endogenous organismal

context of *C. elegans*. Previous studies have relied on RNAi for gene knockdown and did not

fully eliminate *lea-1* mRNA [10] or noted that RNAi seldom fully eliminates the target mRNA [29].

One recent study used a deletion allele, but only confirmed the phenotype of desiccation

sensitivity [30]. Furthermore, there are many isoforms of LEA-1 (Fig. 1), and the potential for

alternative isoform usage makes mutant analysis difficult to interpret. To address these

concerns, we created a full-length, 15.8 kb deletion of the *lea-1* locus via CRISPR, and we also

cleaned the genetic background of a second, pre-existing mutant allele, *lea-1(tm6452)*, by

outcrossing it to wild-type worms for 5 generations [10, 29, 33, 34]. For the full-length deletion

(*lea-1Δ*), the deleted gene was replaced with a *pmyo-2*::GFP::*myo-2 3'-UTR* construct as a

reporter for the deletion (Fig. 1). The successful deletion was confirmed via visualization of

pharyngeal GFP as well as by PCR (Fig. S1A-D).

***lea-1* deletion mutants are sensitive to multiple stresses**

LEA proteins are most well known for promoting survival during desiccation. Because

worms are reported to survive desiccation only when in the dauer state [35], and *daf-2(e1370)*

offers a temperature-sensitive constitutive dauer phenotype when worms are grown at 25 ºC

[36], we chose to conduct the majority of our experiments in this *daf-2* background to facilitate

consistent production of dauer worms. In a *daf-2(e1370)* background, we confirmed that both

lea-1Δ and *lea-1(tm6452)* dauer worms were sensitive to desiccation at high relative humidity

(97.5%) and at a more stringent desiccation stress of 60% humidity (Fig. 2A), consistent with

published results [10, 29, 30]. Additionally, dauer worms of each of these mutant strains were

sensitive to osmotic stress in a concentrated salt solution. While there were no differences in

survival in worms kept in water for 2 hr, both *lea-1Δ* and *lea-1(tm6452)* worms had significantly

lower survival when exposed to 1M NaCl for 2 hr (Fig. 2B). Both mutants were also sensitive to

exposure to heat shock at 37 ºC (Fig. 2C).

LEA proteins have been demonstrated to prevent protein aggregation *in vitro* and in cell

culture [26, 37–39]. To test for *in vivo* roles in preventing protein aggregation, we assessed the

number of polyglutamine aggregates in body wall muscle of *lea-1Δ* animals. These animals

expressed tracts of 35 or 40 glutamines fused to a yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) reporter

[40]. Mutants with the Q35::YFP reporter did not have a statistically significant difference from

controls (p=0.22); however, *lea-1Δ* animals with a Q40::YFP reporter had significantly more

aggregates per worm than controls (p=0.02) after 5 days of development and dauer formation

(Fig. 2D). We conclude that LEA-1 has *in vivo* functions protecting animals against desiccation,

osmotic stress, heat stress, and formation of polyglutamine protein aggregates.

LEA-1 lacks discernable nonredundant functions outside of stress tolerance

Working with a true null mutant allowed us to ask if there were any phenotypes

associated with deletion of *lea-1* in the context of normal development and physiology. We

tested effects on lifespan and brood size as especially sensitive quantitative proxies for diverse

effects on physiology or development. Our results suggest that LEA-1 functions specifically in

response to stress: In a wild-type (N2) background we did not observe any significant

differences in lifespan or brood size of *lea-1Δ* animals (Fig. 3A,B). We also did not observe

significant differences in polyglutamine aggregation during aging in these worms when

maintained under normal laboratory conditions (Fig. S2). These results indicate that LEA-1 may

function primarily during the dauer stage and in response to conditions of external stress.

Expression of multiple LEA-1 isoforms is increased in response to desiccation

To examine where LEA-1 is expressed *in vivo*, we used fluorescent monomeric

NeonGreen (mNG) and monomeric yellow fluorescent protein (mYPET) tags to label

endogenous LEA-1 at two different positions [41, 42]. Sequence for mNG as well as a 3x FLAG

and auxin inducible degron sequence were inserted in the N-terminal region of the gene (Fig. 1).

Similarly, sequence for mYPET and 3x FLAG were added to the C-terminus of LEA-1 (Fig. 1).

As with the *lea-1Δ* mutant, these edits were confirmed via visualization of fluorescence and

PCR (Fig. S1E). When desiccated, animals expressing the fluorescent tags survived equally as

well as *daf-2* control animals (Fig. 4A). We also confirmed that the fluorescent tags did not

significantly impact osmotic stress survival in 1M NaCl for 2 hr (Fig. S3A). We conclude that

introduction of either fluorophore did not disrupt functions of the endogenous LEA-1 protein.

LEA-1 protein is known to be upregulated in response to desiccation [10, 29]. However,

there are many predicted isoforms of LEA-1 (Fig 1), and it is unclear whether all isoforms are

upregulated or whether all of LEA-1's protective roles involve such upregulation. To examine the

diversity of isoforms that are actively expressed in different conditions we lysed cultures of

mixed stage worms, dauer worms, and desiccated dauers to blot with an anti-FLAG antibody to

recognize the 3x FLAG epitope appended to each fluorophore. Numerous isoforms appeared

tagged with the 5' mNG tag, while fewer isoforms of distinct sizes carrying the 3' mYPET tag

were prominent (Fig. 3B). Isoforms labeled with the 5' mNG tag but not the 3' mYPET tag were

found to be upregulated during desiccation. These changes in expression were confirmed by

quantification of *in vivo* fluorescence intensity in dauer and desiccated worms. Fluorescence

from the mNG reporter was significantly increased after 4 days of desiccation at 97.5% relative

humidity (Fig. 4C-E). Expression of mNG::LEA-1 was diffuse throughout the body during

desiccation (Fig. 4D). In contrast, mYPET fluorescence level was not significantly altered during

desiccation (Fig. 4F-H), consistent with the Western blot results. For both reporters,

fluorescence intensity was not changed in response to 2 hr of osmotic shock in 1M NaCl (Fig.

S3B-G). We conclude that only some isoforms of LEA-1 are upregulated in response to

desiccation, and that *lea-1*'s response to osmotic shock does not appear to involve a similar

upregulation.

Depletion of LEA-1 in body wall muscle reduces survival of desiccation and osmotic

stress

To examine the localization of upregulated LEA-1 isoforms in the stage when LEA-1 has

a protective role, we visualized mNG::LEA-1 fluorescence in dauer larvae. We found that the

protein was prominently expressed in specific tissues: the germline, body wall muscle, pharynx,

and excretory cell (Fig. 5A-D). mNG::LEA-1 was localized to both the excretory cell body, which

is adjacent to the pharynx (Fig. 5D), as well as excretory canals, which extend laterally along

the length of the body (Fig. 5A). Some faint expression was seen in seam cells (Fig. 5B), as well

as the intestine (Fig. 5C). Additionally, some unidentified cells in the head were fluorescent. The

expression and localization of LEA-1 to particular tissues in dauer worms suggested that its

function might be required in only some tissues or required at higher levels in some tissues than

in others.

To determine the sites of action of LEA-1, we utilized auxin-induced degradation to

deplete protein. We used the auxin-induced degron (AID) sequence that we included on the

mNG::3xFLAG tag as a target for protein degradation when the modified F-box protein TIR1 is

expressed and worms are exposed to auxin [43]. Expression of TIR1 under the control of

different promoters allows for tissue-specific conditional depletion [44]. We used a panel of

worms expressing TIR1 in the various tissues in which LEA-1 was expressed to determine the

tissue or tissues in which LEA-1 was required for survival of desiccation and osmotic stress (Fig.

5E) [44]. These experiments were conducted in a *daf-2* mutant background, for which

expression patterns of LEA-1 were not noticeably different from a WT (N2) background (Fig.

S4A). When exposed to auxin, dauer worms showed depletion of mNG::LEA-1 in the expected

locations for each promoter used: the intestine (using the *ges-1* promoter, called *ges-1*p),

germline (*mex-5*p), pharynx (*myo-2*p), body wall muscle (*myo-3*p), and a combination of

intestine, excretory cell and canal, germline, and some other cells in the head (*vha-8*p) (Fig.

S4). Expression of TIR1 with a *col-10* promoter to target hypodermis did not seem to have a

significant effect on expression, consistent with the apparently minimal baseline hypodermal

expression of mNG::LEA-1 (Fig. S4C). Additionally, the *myo-2*p::TIR1 strain depleted some

pharyngeal mNG::LEA-1 protein, but did not entirely eliminate expression, particularly in the

posterior bulb of the pharynx (Fig. S4F).

When exposed to desiccation at 97.5% relative humidity, no TIR1 expression strain had

significantly reduced survival relative to controls (*daf-2*) or worms expressing mNG::LEA-1 alone

(Fig. 5F). However, when exposed to 60% relative humidity, *myo-3*p::TIR1 worms had

significantly reduced survival. This suggests that LEA-1 is required in the body wall muscle to

promote desiccation resistance. When exposed to osmotic stress with 1M NaCl for 4 hrs, we

observed a similar result. *lea-1Δ* mutants and *myo-3*p::TIR1 worms had significantly reduced

survival compared to control (*daf-2*) and mNG::LEA-1-expressing worms (Fig. 5G). Collectively,

these results suggest that LEA-1 synthesized in the body wall muscle is required for survival of

multiple stresses, and that other tissues do not require high levels of the tagged LEA-1 isoforms

for survival of animals.

LEA-1 minimal motifs confer desiccation resistance to bacteria

Having described *in vivo* roles for an animal LEA protein and its localized expression

and function, we sought to identify the protein's protective domains. Given the variety of

isoforms of *lea-1* in *C. elegans* that are expressed during desiccation (Fig. 1, Fig. 4B), before

testing specific domains *in vivo* we employed a heterologous expression approach to efficiently

test if different isoforms improved desiccation tolerance in *E. coli* equally, or if some were more

effective than others. We selected isoforms A, D, E, F, and K for expression because they have

a combination of overlapping and non-overlapping sequence to allow for deduction of regions of

interest in the case that some isoforms are more effective at conferring desiccation resistance

(Fig. 6A). We confirmed robust expression of each of the 5 different bacterially codon-optimized

isoforms in BL21 *E. coli* and found that expression of each isoform conferred stress resistance

to the bacteria, relative to cells expressing GFP (Fig. 6B, Fig. S5). Expression of a truncated

version of GFP or actin (*C. elegans act-2*) did not increase desiccation resistance of bacteria

(Fig. 6B).

Because all five isoforms tested were sufficient to improve bacterial desiccation

resistance, we hypothesized that motifs shared by these isoforms might be sufficient for

conferring bacterial desiccation resistance. Group 3 LEA proteins commonly have repeated

motifs of 11 amino acids that are capable of protecting heterologous cells against stresses

including low pH, high salt, and desiccation-induced protein aggregation [8, 21, 39, 45–48].

These motifs are predicted to form alpha helices, and circular dichroism of LEA proteins and

motif-containing peptides has confirmed alpha helix formation during conditions when water is

limiting [17, 18, 49]. We used MEME Suite to detect a repeated 11-mer from the protein

sequence of one of the longest *C. elegans* isoforms, LEA-1K [50]. An 11-mer was found that is

predicted to form an amphipathic alpha-helix (Fig. 6C). When search parameters were relaxed

to include motifs of other lengths, a 97-mer peptide encompassing multiple 11-mers was also

identified. In the 1397 amino acid protein, there were five occurrences of the 97-mer and 61

instances of the 11-mer (Fig. 6D). To determine if these motifs alone from *C. elegans* LEA-1

were sufficient to improve desiccation tolerance, we expressed codon-optimized versions of

these idealized motifs in *E. coli*. We included the base 11-mer, repeats containing two (22-mer)

and four (44-mer) copies of its sequence, and the 97-mer. Expression of each of these minimal

motifs significantly improved desiccation survival of the bacteria (Fig. 6E). Thus, it is likely that

any of LEA-1's isoforms that contain such repeats would improve survival of desiccation.

LEA-1 motifs are sufficient for desiccation tolerance and osmotic stress survival *in vivo*

Because motifs of LEA-1 improved desiccation survival of bacteria, we were motivated

to test if minimal motifs of LEA-1 are sufficient for stress tolerance *in vivo*. To specifically

determine if minimal LEA-1 motifs are sufficient for desiccation tolerance in *C. elegans*, we

deleted nearly all possible exons of *lea-1* and replaced them with sequence encoding single

codon-optimized 44-mer or 97-mer motifs fused to mNG to allow visual confirmation of

expression (Fig. 7A). Genomic sequence encoding the most N-terminal predicted exons for

some isoforms (like the short N-terminal exons of isoforms K and N as shown in Fig. 7A) was

left intact because these regions likely overlap with the promoter region or other regulatory

sequence. These short predicted exons do not encode any 11-mer motifs. We confirmed

genomic edits by PCR genotyping (Fig. S6A,B). We also determined that the expression

patterns of each inserted motif::mNG fusion are similar to the overall pattern observed for

tagged versions of the endogenous protein, suggesting that these genomic edits did not

significantly disrupt gene regulation (Fig. S6C,D).

Expression of either the 44 amino acid motif or 97 amino acid motif was sufficient to

replace full-length LEA-1's role in promoting desiccation tolerance of animals at 97.5% relative

humidity (Fig. 7B). When exposed to 60% relative humidity, the protective capacity of these

motifs was limited, and survival was reduced to levels comparable to *lea-1Δ* mutants.

Expression of each motif in lieu of the complete LEA-1 protein was also sufficient to promote

survival of osmotic stress in 1M NaCl (Fig. 7C). Survival of motif-expressing animals was

indistinguishable from controls and significantly improved relative to *lea-1Δ* mutants. These

results indicate that short repetitive motif sequences likely account for much of the functionality

of the full length LEA-1 protein during osmotic stress and desiccation.

Discussion

LEA proteins are commonly found in animals with interesting extremotolerant abilities

but with limited genetic tools. Therefore, a common approach has been to study LEA proteins

(and fragments thereof) in heterologous systems [20, 21, 23, 47, 48, 51–54]. While such

approaches have revealed many potential functions of LEA proteins, there has been limited

evidence to bridge protective abilities observed *in vitro* and in heterologous systems to the

endogenous, *in vivo* context in an animal [10, 29, 55]. Therefore, we were motivated to use *C.*

elegans as a model to test for *in vivo* functions of LEA-1 in its native context.

Previous studies of LEA-1 in *C. elegans* have often relied on RNAi phenotypes [10, 29].

We generated a true null mutant (*lea-1Δ*) that lacks the entire gene, in order to eliminate the

possibility of residual LEA-1 expression or function. Comparison of this mutant to the insertion

and deletion mutant *lea-1(tm6452)* reveals similar sensitivities to a variety of stresses, including

desiccation, osmotic stress, and heat. Importantly, these phenotypes were assessed in dauer

larvae, in which LEA-1 is expressed more highly at both the level of mRNA and protein [10, 35].

DUR-1, the other group 3 LEA protein of *C. elegans*, is also upregulated in dauer larvae, but is

apparently unable to compensate for the loss of LEA-1 [29]. LEA-1 does not significantly impact

lifespan or brood size (Fig. 3), which demonstrates the importance of the protein specifically in

the context of stress resistance. Similarly, accumulation of polyglutamine protein aggregates

was exacerbated by deletion of LEA-1 in dauer worms, but not in normally aging worms (Fig.

2D, Fig. S2). Effects of LEA-1 on protein aggregation have previously been demonstrated *in*

vitro and in heterologous contexts [26, 37, 56]. We present evidence that LEA-1 can affect

proteostasis *in vivo* in a multicellular animal. It would be fascinating to further explore any

differential effects of LEA-1 with other types of aggregation-prone proteins and in tissues

beyond the body wall muscle. Because LEA-1 is expressed highly in body wall muscle and is

required there for desiccation survival and osmotic stress resistance, it is possible that

improving proteostasis in this tissue contributes to survival of these stresses.

Adding endogenous, single-copy fluorescent tags to LEA-1 without disrupting LEA-1

function revealed the sites of its expression and *in vivo* dynamics in response to stress. In dauer

larvae, mNG-tagged LEA-1 is expressed most notably in the germline, body wall muscle,

pharynx, excretory cell, as well as some unidentified cells in the head. Expression patterns were

similar in worms tagged with mYPET, although some sites of expression were more faint.

Surprisingly, blotting for the 3x FLAG epitope included in both mNG and mYPET tags revealed

significantly fewer isoforms tagged by mYPET than expected based on isoform predictions if all

isoforms were expressed at high levels (Fig. 1, Fig. 4B). It is possible that the C-terminal exons

are not actually included in as many isoforms as expected, or that some isoforms are expressed

at low levels. The difference in the number of prominent isoforms labeled by each tag is further

reflected in the significant increase in expression of mNG-labeled proteins but not mYPET-

labeled proteins during desiccation (Fig. 4B-H). The upregulation of mNG-tagged proteins does

not seem to be specific to a single isoform, but rather, multiple isoforms are expressed more

highly during desiccation (Fig. 4B). Therefore, the increased fluorescence seen *in vivo* likely

reflects the summation of these isoforms of varying sizes and compositions. LEA-1 has been

reported to be regulated by transcription factors including *daf-16*/FOXO and *skn-1*/Nrf, but

whether such regulation is tissue-specific or unique to some isoforms remains unexplored [57,

58]. Expression of LEA-1 in several tissues, and the upregulation of multiple isoforms led to two

questions: 1) In which tissue or tissues is LEA-1 required for stress resistance? 2) Are particular

isoforms more effective at conferring stress resistance?

To address the first question we utilized the auxin-inducible degradation system to

deplete LEA-1 in various tissues [44]. By expressing TIR1 under the control of different

promoters, we had control over the tissues in which proteins containing the auxin inducible

degron (AID) tag were degraded. The AID sequence was included on the mNG tag and

therefore should deplete the same isoforms containing the 3x FLAG tag (Fig. 4B). It is possible

that some predicted isoforms that are not tagged with the mNG construct could escape

degradation (for example isoforms C, D, and E, Fig. 1), although we do not know if such

isoforms are expressed or their relative abundance. Furthermore, even though we observed

decreased fluorescence in most cases (Fig. S4), depletion of AID-containing proteins may be

incomplete. Incomplete degradation could result from insufficient levels of TIR1 (based on the

strength of the promoter driving it) in tissues with high levels of LEA-1. For example, with *myo-*

2p driven TIR1, some expression of mNG::LEA-1 remained in the pharynx – particularly in the

posterior bulb (Fig S4E). Thus, we cannot rule out the possibility that LEA-1 is required in the

pharynx for survival of desiccation and osmotic stress. In contrast, depletion of LEA-1 in body

wall muscle resulted in significantly reduced survival of both desiccation and osmotic stress

(Fig. 5F,G). The consensus site of action from these two stresses lends confidence to the result.

However, given the caveats that some untagged isoforms may remain, and that there could be

incomplete degradation (even if fluorescence is depleted below detectable levels), we cannot

formally conclude that LEA-1 is not required in other tissues. Rather, we present evidence that

LEA-1 is required in at least body wall muscle to survive desiccation and osmotic stress. Our

data suggest that other tissues can survive at least with reduced levels of the LEA-1 isoforms

that are induced in dauer larvae.

To answer the second question of which LEA-1 isoforms are most functional in

conferring stress resistance, we initially employed a heterologous expression approach. *E. coli*

provides an ideal system to facilitate expression of proteins and rapidly screen for desiccation

resistance. The fact that multiple LEA-1 isoforms could improve desiccation tolerance of

bacteria turned our attention to conserved motifs contained within these isoforms. Similar motifs

from LEA proteins of other organisms have been demonstrated to function in stress resistance

[21, 39, 47, 48, 59]. These LEA motifs acquire secondary structure during desiccation-like

conditions and specifically are thought to form amphipathic alpha-helices [19, 49, 60]. The 11-

mer we identified is likely to form an amphipathic helix based on the amino acid composition

and locations as depicted in Fig. 6C. Although the amino acid identity is different from other

similarly identified LEA motifs, the putative structural similarities and charge distribution hint at

the relative importance of structure and charge distribution over sequence identity [12, 60].

Expression of the 11-mer alone was sufficient to improve bacterial desiccation survival. A longer

sequence (44-mer) marginally improved survival relative to the 11-mer (p=0.02, unpaired T-test,

n=7), similar to a 97-mer (p=0.05, unpaired T-test, n=7). Thus, an increased number of motif

repeats may improve survival. The importance of multiple motifs occurring in the same

contiguous peptide versus the possibility of oligomerization remains unclear. Notably, in bacteria

the expression levels of LEA proteins and motifs are likely much higher than in endogenous

contexts.

Inserting motifs into the endogenous *lea-1* locus of *C. elegans* allowed us to test if the

identified motifs are sufficient to confer desiccation *in vivo*. LEA motifs were highly effective

substitutes for the full-length protein for phenotypes of osmotic stress resistance and

desiccation at 97.5% RH (Fig. 7). This suggests that the function of LEA-1 can in large part be

explained by the presence of these amino acid repeats. Unique combinations of motifs or longer

contiguous sequences may allow for increased functionality – particularly in the context of more

severe desiccation. This may further explain the apparent complexity and variety of isoforms of

the protein (Fig. 1, Fig. 4B). If there is limited selective pressure for maintenance of a single long

contiguous sequence, then many functional exon combinations may arise. This could contribute

to the large number of proteins produced by this gene. An optimal number of motifs and the

most functional combination of motif variants appended to each other remain to be

characterized.

The ability of LEA motifs to function similarly in a single-celled prokaryote (*E. coli*) and a

multicellular eukaryote (*C. elegans*) encourages the possibility of engineering broadly functional

desicco-protectants. LEA proteins and motifs that can function in cells with fundamentally

different subcellular organization likely harbor basic biochemical properties that promote cell

and organismal survival. Relative to bacteria, multicellular animals face further challenges

during desiccation including coordination of a response across multiple tissue types and

protecting diverse organelles and subcellular compartments. *C. elegans* is a prime animal

model for continued study of these *in vivo* mechanisms of desiccation tolerance.

Conclusions

In summary, we created a true null mutant of LEA-1 to study its role *in vivo* and

characterized several phenotypes of stress sensitivity. LEA-1 is expressed in multiple tissues,

and it is required at high levels in body wall muscle to carry out its functions. We identified LEA-

1 motifs that are sufficient to improve bacterial desiccation survival. These motifs also function

in place of full length LEA-1 to promote desiccation tolerance *in vivo*. Future work is needed to

dissect the principles by which the peptide repeat sequences function. Still, the conserved

function of LEA-based peptides in a single-celled prokaryote and a multicellular animal suggests

the possibility of engineering LEA-1-derived peptides that broadly promote desiccation

tolerance.

Methods

CRISPR editing in C. elegans

To generate a deletion of *lea-1* and to insert mNG and mYPET fluorescent tags into the

endogenous gene locus, CRISPR methods were employed [33, 34]. Using the self-excising

cassette (SEC) system, sgRNA sequences were added to the sgRNA-Cas9 plasmid pDD162

using the NEB Q5 site-directed mutagenesis kit. Homology arms of ~500-700bp were added to

plasmids carrying the SEC and repair templates. To insert a myo-2p::GFP::myo-2 3'UTR

reporter to track the null deletion of *lea-1*, homology arms were cloned into plasmid pDD317. To

insert mNG or mPYET tags, homology arms were cloned into pUA77 and pDD283 respectively.

To replace the endogenous *lea-1* sequence with LEA motifs, sequence was first codon-

optimized for *C. elegans* and synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT). These

stretches were cloned into pUA77 with the same upstream homology arm for insertion of mNG

to the endogenous locus and the same downstream homology arm for insetion of mYPET into

the endogenous locus. The same sgRNAs for each of the initial mNG and mYPET insertions

were used in combination to excise the *lea-1* locus.

Worms were injected with 50 ng/μL of plasmid containing the sgRNA and Cas9, and 10-

20 ng/μL plasmid containing the repair template, along with a co-injection mix [34]. 2-3 days

after injection worms were treated with Hygromycin and selected for transgene-carrying rollers

lacking red co-injection mix extra-chromosomal arrays. L1 worms were heat shocked at 32 °C

for 5 hr to excise the SEC. Genomic edits were confirmed by visualization of fluorescent

reporters and with PCR genotyping.

Construction of most TIR1-expressing strains is described in [44]. The *col-10p*::TIR1 line

was generated by cloning to combine the *col-10* promoter with the TIR1 construct of pDD356

(NEB Hifi Assembly Master Mix). Plasmid pAP082 was used to express Cas9 and a sgRNA to

target the chromosome I insertion site. Worms were injected with 50 ng/μL of pAP082 and 20

ng/μL plasmid containing the repair template.

Genotyping

Template genomic DNA was create by lysing worms in lysis buffer with proteinase K.

Worms were picked into 0.2mL tubes and briefly frozen at -80 ºC, then heated at 65 ºC for 1hr

followed by 95 ºC for 15 minutes. This lysate was used for PCR genotyping. Primers were

designed to confirm CRISPR genome modifications and to genotype the pre-existing allele *lea-*

1(tm6452) during backcrossing. Sequences of these primers can be found in Supplemental

Table 1. PCR genotyping was carried out using either Gotaq or Q5 High Fidelity Polymerase

(NEB). Annealing temperature and extension time were adjusted based on the melting

temperature of the primers and size of the amplicon.

C. elegans maintenance

Worms were maintained according to standard laboratory conditions on nematode

growth media (NGM) plates, fed OP50 *E. coli*, and stored at 20 ºC. Standard methods were

employed for crossing worms, and males were generated by keeping L4 worms at 32 ºC for 5-6

hr and 25 ºC overnight. The following strains and alleles were used: N2, *lea-1(tm6452)*

(backcrossed 5x into N2), AM140 *rmIs132[unc-54p::Q35::YFP]*, AM141 *rmIs133[unc-*

54p::Q40::YFP], CB1370 *daf-2(e1370)*, LP847 *lea-1Δ(cp423[myo-2p::GFP::myo-2 3'UTR])*,

LP852 *daf-2(e1370);lea-1Δ(cp423[myo-2p::GFP::myo-2 3'UTR])*, LP858 *lea-1(cp431[mNG::3x*

FLAG::AID::lea-1]), LP859 *lea-1(cp430[lea-1::mYPET::3x FLAG])*, LP860 *daf-2(e1370);lea-*

1(cp431[mNG::3x FLAG::AID::lea-1]), LP861 *daf-2(e1370);lea-1(cp430[lea-1::mYPET::3x*

FLAG]), LP862 *rmIs132[unc-54p::Q35::YFP];lea-1Δ(cp423[myo-2p::GFP::myo-2 3'UTR])*,

LP863 *rmIs133[unc-54p::Q40::YFP];lea-1Δ(cp423[myo-2p::GFP::myo-2 3'UTR])*, LP865

cpSi171*[vha-8p::TIR1::F2A::mTagBFP::AID*::NLS];daf-2(e1370);lea-1(cp431[mNG::3x*

FLAG::AID::lea-1])*, LP866 cpSi172*[myo-2p::TIR1::F2A::mTagBFP::AID::NLS];daf-*

2(e1370);lea-1(cp431[mNG::3x FLAG::AID::lea-1])*, LP867 cpSi173*[col-*

10p::TIR1::F2A::mTagBFP::AID::NLS];daf-2(e1370);lea-1(cp431[mNG::3x FLAG::AID::lea-1])*,

LP868 cpSi174*[myo-3p::TIR1::F2A::mTagBFP::AID*::NLS];daf-2(e1370);lea-1(cp431[mNG::3x*

FLAG::AID::lea-1]), LP875 *daf-2(e1370);lea-1(tm6452, 5x backcrossed)*, LP876 *reSi5[ges-*

1p::TIR1::F2A::BFP::AID::NLS::tbb-2 3'UTR];daf-2(e1370);lea-1(cp431[mNG::3x*

FLAG::AID::lea-1]), LP877 *wrdSi18[mex-5p::TIR1::F2A::BFP::AID*::NLS::tbb-2 3'UTR];daf-*

2(e1370);lea-1(cp431[mNG::3x FLAG::AID::lea-1]), LP882 *rmIs132[unc-54p::Q35::YFP];daf-*

2(e1370);lea-1Δ(cp423[myo-2p::GFP::myo-2 3'UTR]), LP883 *rmIs133[unc-54p::Q40::YFP];daf-*

2(e1370);lea-1Δ(cp423[myo-2p::GFP::myo-2 3'UTR]), LP885 *daf-2(e1370);lea-1(cp432[lea-*

1p::44-mer::mNG::3x FLAG::AID], LP887 *daf-2(e1370);lea-1(cp433[lea-1p::97-mer::mNG::3x*

FLAG::AID].

C. elegans desiccation, heat, and osmotic stress

C. elegans were desiccated according to a previously established protocol [29, 35].

Briefly, desiccation chambers were made with varying ratios of glycerol:water in order to

produce relative humidity (RH) of either 97.5% or 60%[61]. Dauer worms were formed by

moving *daf-2(e1370)* mutant embryos to 25º C. Dauer worms were picked into ~1.5-2 μL

droplets of water and initially exposed to 97.5% for 4 days as preconditioning. Worms were

either rehydrated in M9 or moved to 60% RH desiccation chambers for 1 day before recovery.

Survival was scored as the percentage of worms moving or responsive to physical stimulus.

Heat stress was implemented by exposing dauer worms to 37 ºC. Multiple plates

containing dauer larvae were moved to a 37 ºC incubator for defined periods of time. Worms

were scored for survival based on movement or responsiveness to physical stimulus.

To measure osmotic stress tolerance, dauer worms were added to water or 1M NaCl in

a 96-well or 24-well plate for 2 hr or 4 hr. Worms were then transferred to unseeded NGM plates

and survival was scored by movement or responsiveness to physical stimulus.

C. elegans lifespan and brood size

For lifespan assays 10 L1 worms per plate were picked to an NGM plate. For each

genotype five plates of ten worms were included to start each experiment. Worms were

transferred to new plates every other day until they were no longer reproductive. Worms that

crawled onto the sides of the dishes or were otherwise missing were censored. Survival was

scored every other day by movement or responsiveness to touch with a platinum wire. Three

independent biological replicates were conducted.

To determine brood size, individual embryos were added to NGM plates. 15 worms were

included to start in each of three experiments. Worms were transferred to new plates every

other day. After transfer, progeny on plates were allowed to develop for ~24 hr and were then

counted. Total brood was calculated as the sum of all progeny produced by an individual worm.

Worms that failed to hatch or crawled off the plate were censored.

Polyglutamine protein aggregation

Strains AM140 and AM141 express polyglutamine tracts of 35 or 40 repeated glutamine

residues fused to YFP [40]. These strains were crossed with *lea-1Δ* (LP847). To assess protein

aggregation during aging the worms were picked to plates and allowed to develop for 4 or 8

days. Worms were periodically transferred to fresh plates to separate them from progeny. The

number of aggregates per worm was scored by imaging worms and counting the number of

fluorescent puncta in the body wall muscle of each worm.

To determine the number of aggregates in dauer worms the AM140 and AM141

reporters were crossed into *daf-2(e1370);lea-1Δ* (LP852) to put the reporter in a *daf-2* mutant

background. Dauer formation was induced as before by growth at 25 ºC. The number of

aggregates per worm was counted after 5 days (including development and arrest as dauer

larvae).

Fluorescent imaging and quantification

Worms expressing mNG::LEA-1 or LEA-1::mYPET were imaged on a Nikon TiE stand

with CSU-X1 spinning disk head (Yokogawa), 514 nm solid state laser, and ImagEM

EMCCD camera (Hamamatsu). Metamorph software was used for image acquisition. To

quantify fluorescence in control dauers, desiccated dauers, and dauers exposed to 1 M

NaCl for 2 hr, worms were imaged with a 10x objective. Images were imported into FIJI

for analysis. Whole worms were outlined manually. The total fluorescence intensity was

measured. The outline of the worm was moved to background area of the image to

obtain a background measurement. The fluorescence intensity was calculated by

subtracting the background from that of the worm. For representative images of worms

higher magnification objectives (20x or 60x) were used.

Western blotting

Protein for western blotting was obtained from worms expressing mNG::3x FLAG::LEA-1

or LEA-1::mYPET::3x FLAG. Mixed stage populations were washed from 3-6 NGM plates,

dauer worms from plates at 25 ºC, and desiccated worms from plastic dishes at 97.5% RH for 4

days. Large quantities (>20,000) of synchronous worms were obtained for dauer formation and

desiccation by standard hypochlorite treatment. Worms were collected, washed in M9, pelleted,

and resuspended in ~100 µL lysis buffer containing 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 1mM EGTA, 1mM

MgCl$_2$, 100 mM KCl, 10% glycerol, 0.05% NP-40, DTT, and an EDTA-free protease inhibitor

tablet (added fresh to 12 mL of buffer) [62]. Worms in lysis buffer were briefly frozen at -80 ºC,

then sonicated on ice with a Branson Sonifier 250 wand sonicator at 50% duty until no intact

worms remained (2-5 minutes in individual bouts of no longer than 1-2 minutes). The

concentration of protein in lysates was determined with the Biorad Protein Assay (Bradford)

according to specifications, measuring the OD595 in a total volume of 1mL in cuvettes.

A 4-12% Bis-Tris NuPAGE minigel (Invitrogen), loaded with 10 µg of protein in sample

buffer (3x concentrate contains 6% SDS, 240mM Tris pH 6.8, 30% glycerol, 0.04% w/v

Bromophenol blue, and 50 µL 2-ME per mL) was run in 1x NuPAGE MOPS running buffer

(Novex). 10 µL of Precision Plus Protein Kaleidoscope Standard (Biorad) was loaded in lanes

adjacent to samples. Gels were run at 140V for 90 minutes. Protein was transferred to a PVDF

membrane activated in methanol at 90V for 90 min (in NuPAGE transfer buffer, at 4 ºC).

Membranes were rinsed in PBST, and blocked in PBST + 5% BSA for 1 hr with rocking.

Membranes were soaked in a 1:1000 dilution of primary antibody (mouse anti-FLAG, Sigma Cat

#F1804) overnight at 4 ºC with rocking. Membranes were washed 3x in PBST, then soaked in a

1:5000 dilution of secondary antibody (goat anti-mouse IgG, Invitrogen Cat #31432) for 1 hr with

rocking. Membranes were washed 3 times and visualized after addition of SuperSignal West

Pico PLUS Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Scientific).

After initial visualization, membranes were stripped and re-blotted for tubulin as a

loading control. Membranes were incubated in mild stripping buffer (15 g glycine, 1 g SDS, 10

mL Tween20, bring volume to 1 L with H$_2$0, pH 2.2) for ten minutes with rocking. Buffer was

replaced, and allowed to soak for another ten minutes. Membranes were then washed 3x in

PBST, blocked for 1 hr in PBST with 5% BSA, and soaked in a 1:500 dilution of primary

antibody (rat anti-tubulin, Invitrogen Cat #MA1-80189) overnight at 4 ºC. After washing 3x in

PBST, secondary antibody (goat anti-rat IgG, Thermo Fisher Cat #31470) was added in a

1:5000 dilution and membranes soaked for 1 hr with rocking and visualized as before. A single

band was detected indicating antibody specificity for tubulin.

Protein depletion with auxin-induced degradation

Worms expressing TIR1 under various promoters were grown on plates containing 1mM

auxin (indole-3-acetic acid) [43]. Embryos or L1s were picked to NGM + auxin plates and

allowed to develop into dauer larvae at 25 ºC for at least 5 days before exposure to desiccation

or osmotic stress. Degradation of LEA-1 tagged with mNG::3x FLAG::AID was assessed by

imaging worms.

Heterologous expression in bacteria

A bacterial codon-optimized version of *lea-1* isoform K was synthesized by Genewiz.

Isoforms A, D, E, and F were subcloned from that construct. 30bp of homology was added by

PCR to expression constructs, and they were cloned into a PCR-linearized pDest17 using NEB

Hifi Assembly Master Mix. Similarly, to clone motifs, 30bp homology was added synthesized

codon-optimized DNA fragments (from Integrated DNA Technologies), and they were cloned

into PCR-linearized pDest17 with NEB HiFi Assembly Master Mix. GFP and truncated GFP

controls were cloned by the same method, using plasmid pFCcGi as a template to obtain the

GFP sequence [63]. The *C. elegans act-2* gene was also cloned as a control from cDNA. All

plasmids were transformed into NEB 5-alpha cells and correct inserts were verified by

sequencing.

For desiccation experiments, plasmids containing expression constructs were

transformed into *E. coli* BL21 A1 (Invitrogen). Individual colonies were picked into 3 mL LB with

100 µg/mL ampicillin (Amp) and grown overnight (~12-16 hrs) at 37 ºC in a shaker incubator.

Cultures were then diluted 1:20 and 0.2% L-arabinose was added to induce protein expression

for 4 hr. Protein expression was confirmed with a Coomassie gel. Bacteria were pelleted and

briefly boiled to generate a lysate. Protein concentration was quantified using the Biorad Protein

Assay (Bradford) according to specifications. From these protein concentrations an appropriate

volume was determined to load 20 ug of total protein per well. 5 µL of pageruler prestained

ladder was loaded. A 4-12% BT NuPAGE minigel was run in 1x NuPAGE MOPS running buffer.

The gel was stained with Coomassie and de-stained overnight. GFP-expressing bacteria

provide a convenient visual confirmation of protein expression in each experiment.

To obtain equivalent numbers of starting bacteria to measure desiccation survival,

OD600 of 4 hr induced cultures was measured and volumes equivalent to an OD600 of 1.5

were added to 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes. Bacteria were washed once in 0.85% NaCl. Bacteria

were then resuspended in 1 mL 0.85% NaCl. A 20 µL sample was removed and used for 10-fold

dilution of the culture. A 10-fold dilution series was plated on LB + Amp agar plates by spotting

10 µL. These control plates were grown at 37 ºC overnight. The remaining 980 µL supernatant

was aspirated and tubes were desiccated in a speedvac overnight (~12-16hr). Bacteria were

rehydrated in 980 µL of LB + Amp and given 1-2 hr to recover before plating a 10-fold dilution

series, as with controls the day before. Bacteria on plates were grown overnight at 37 ºC.

Survival was calculated by dividing cfu of each desiccated sample by its control.

Motif analysis

The MEME tool of the MEME suite (http://meme-suite.org/tools/meme) was used to

identify conserved motifs within LEA-1 [50]. The protein sequence of Isoform K was used as a

template since it contains nearly all possible exons of the protein. Position weight matrices,

consensus motifs, and distribution of motifs throughout the protein were obtained from this

analysis. The pepwheel program (https://www.bioinformatics.nl/cgi-bin/emboss/pepwheel) was

used to generate the diagram of the putative alpha-helical structure formed by the 11-mer.

Coloration of amino acids was added by hand.

Statistical analysis

Statistical tests were carried out as described in figure legends. Unpaired t-tests were

conducted in Microsoft Excel and ANOVAs were conducted in RStudio. Lifespan analysis was

conducted using GraphPad Prism.

Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Goldstein lab for helpful discussions and feedback. Ari Pani

offered guidance on plasmid design and CRISPR editing. Priya Hibshman provided advice and

assistance with Western blotting. Rob Dowen provided a plasmid from which the promoter of

col-10 was cloned. JDH was supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH)

1F32GM131577. This work was also supported by NSF grant IOS 2028860 awarded to BG.

Some strains were provided by the CGC, which is funded by NIH Office of Research

Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440). The *tm6452* allele of *lea-1* was obtained from the

National Bioresource Project in Tokyo, Japan [64].

Availability of Data and Materials

C. elegans strains generated in this study will be deposited to the *Caenorhabditis*

Genetics Center. Plasmids and other reagents will be made available upon request.

Declarations

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Figures

Figure 1. Predicted isoform structure of LEA-1 and generation of a null allele and two

endogenous fluorescent tags. Isoform annotations were combined from the UCSC genome

browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu) from the Feb. 2013 release (WBcel235/ce11) and Wormbase

version WS278. Locations for the existing tm6452 indel mutation, the newly created CRISPR

null mutation, and the insertion of mNeonGreen and mYPET fluorescent tags are indicated.

Figure 2. *lea-1* is required for tolerance of desiccation and osmotic stress. **A)** Dauer larvae of

lea-1Δ and *lea-1(tm6452)* mutants are sensitive to desiccation. Survival is significantly reduced

in worms exposed to 97.5% relative humidity for 4 days (p=0.0002, p=0.0001 respectively, n=4,

unpaired T-test) as well as 60% for 1 day after 4 days at 97.5% (p=2.5×10^{-10}, p=1.8×10^{-10}

respectively, n=4, unpaired T-test). Data points represent results from individual experiments

and bars represent mean survival. **B)** Dauer larvae of *lea-1Δ* and *lea-1(tm6452)* mutants are

sensitive to osmotic stress in 1M NaCl for 2 hr (p=8.8×10^{-8}, p=6.1×10^{-6} respectively, n=4,

unpaired T-test). Data points represent results from individual experiments and bars represent

mean survival. **C)** Dauer larvae of *lea-1Δ* and *lea-1(tm6452)* mutants are sensitive to heat stress

at 37°C (p<0.0001 for each mutant allele relative to control, n=4-5 replicates per timepoint, 2-

way ANOVA). Lines depict mean survival and error bars represent SEM. Data points depict

survival from individual experiments. **D)** *lea-1Δ* mutants have a higher number of polyglutamine

protein aggregates. The total number of aggregates in the body wall muscle of individual worms

from three independent biological replicates is shown. Thick bars indicate the mean number of

aggregates and error bars depict 95% confidence intervals. Worms carrying a transgene

expressing a 35-glutamine repeat (Q35) with a YFP for visualization have only marginally more

aggregates than controls (p=0.22, n=3, unpaired T-test), while worms carrying a Q40::YFP

transgene have significantly more aggregates than controls (p=0.02, n=3, unpaired T-test).

Note, all worms were in a *daf-2(e1370)* background to facilitate dauer formation at 25°C. *

indicates p<0.05, *** indicates p<0.001.

Figure 3. Phenotypes of LEA-1 are specific to conditions of stress. **A)** Lifespan is not

significantly altered in either *lea-1Δ* or *lea-1(tm6452)* mutants in a WT (N2) background. **B)**

There are no significant differences in brood size of *lea-1Δ* (p=0.97, n=3, unpaired T-test) or *lea-*

1(tm6452) mutants (p=0.41, n=3, unpaired T-test) relative to N2 worms. Brood size of individual

worms from independent replicates are shown. The mean is also shown and error bars

represent 95% confidence intervals. Lifespan and brood size experiments were carried out in a

WT (N2) background.

Figure 4. Functional endogenous fluorescent tags reveal increased LEA-1 protein expression of

multiple isoforms during desiccation. **A)** Endogenous fluorescent tags do not disrupt the function

of LEA-1 in desiccation survival. *lea-1Δ* are sensitive to desiccation at 97.5% relative humidity

(p=6.6x10^{-10}, n=4, unpaired T-test) and 60% relative humidity (p=0.0002, n=4, unpaired T-test).

Survival of mNeonGreen tagged LEA-1 worms (mNG::*lea-1*) and mYPET tagged worms (*lea-*

1::mYPET) was not significantly different from *daf-2(e1370)* controls after exposure to 97.5% or

60% RH. **B)** Protein samples extracted from of mixed stage cultures, dauer worms, and

desiccated worms were blotted with an anti-FLAG antibody indicates multiple isoforms tagged

by the two independent tags. The membrane was stripped and blotted for tubulin as a loading

control. Total protein in each lane was quantified and normalized to the tubulin loading control.

Normalized proteins expression measurements, relative to mixed stage mNG::*lea-1* animals,

are listed at the bottom of each lane (Norm. Exp.). **C)** A representative dauer worm expressing

mNG::*lea-1*. **D)** A representative desiccated dauer larvae expressing mNG::*lea-1*. **E)** mNG

fluorescence is significantly increased in desiccated worms relative to non-desiccated controls

(p=0.001, n=3 replicates with 14-15 worms per condition, unpaired T-test). **F)** A representative

dauer worm expressing *lea-1*::mYPET. **G)** A desiccated dauer expressing *lea-1*::mYPET. **H)**

Fluorescent intensity is not significantly different between control and desiccated dauer worms

expressing *lea-1*::mYPET (p=0.39, n=3 replicates with 15 worms per condition, unpaired T-test).

Note that all worms were in a *daf-2(e1370)* background. ** indicates p<0.01, *** indicates

p<0.001.

Figure 5. LEA-1 is required in body wall muscle to survive desiccation and osmotic stress. **A-D)**

Representative images of an mNG::*lea-1* worm depict the major sites of expression. There is

prominent fluorescence in the germline, body wall muscle, excretory cell, and pharynx. There is

also some apparent expression in seam cells (B) and faint fluorescence in the intestine (C). A

zoomed in image of the excretory cell is shown in (D). **E)** A cartoon depicts tissues in which

LEA-1 is expressed that were targeted for protein depletion by driving TIR1 under the control of

different promoters. **F)** Desiccation survival is plotted for worms with tissue-specific LEA-1

depletion by expression of TIR1 under various promoters and exposure to 1mM auxin.

Depletion of the mNG::*lea-1* protein utilizing auxin-induced degradation reduces desiccation

survival in worms expressing TIR1 under a *myo-3* (body wall muscle-specific) promoter relative

to controls (p=0.0001, n=3, unpaired T-test) and worms expressing only mNG::*lea-1* and not

TIR1 (p=0.001, n=3, unpaired T-test). No other site of TIR1 expression significantly altered

desiccation survival at 60% RH. **G)** Survival of osmotic stress in 1M NaCl for 4 hrs is plotted for

the same strains as in (E). *lea-1Δ* mutants and worms expressing TIR1 under a *myo-3* promoter

were the only two strains that with significant differences in survival relative to both control and

mNG::*lea-1*-expressing animals (*lea-1Δ*: vs. control p=0.006, vs. mNG::*lea-1* p=0.01; *myo-3p*:

vs. control p=0.0001, vs. mNG::*lea-1* p=0.0009, unpaired T-tests, n=3). Note, the images in A-D

show a worm with an N2 background, whereas worms in (E) and (F) are in a *daf-2(e1370)*

background (control). ** indicates p<0.01, *** indicates p<0.001.

Figure 6. Heterologous expression of *C. elegans lea-1* isoforms and motifs improves bacterial

desiccation survival. **A)** Select isoforms of LEA-1 used for bacterial expression. **B)** Desiccation

survival of BL21 *E. coli* expressing codon-optimized *C. elegans* LEA-1 isoforms. Heterologous

expression of each isoform increased desiccation survival (Isoform A $p=2x10^{-5}$, Isoform D

p=0.0002, Isoform E p=0.003, Isoform F $p=9x10^{-5}$, Isoform K $p=7x10^{-7}$, unpaired T-tests vs.

GFP, n=6). **C)** A consensus 11-mer motif found in LEA-1 likely forms an amphipathic alpha

helix. The position weight matrix of amino acids in the motif is shown, as well as a wheel

diagram depicting the relative position of each consensus amino acid in an alpha helical

conformation. **D)** Consensus amino acid sequences are shown for the 11-mer as well as a 97-

mer motif that was also detected with an expanded motif window size. The frequency and

distribution of occurrences of these motifs within isoform K are shown. **E)** Desiccation survival of

BL21 *E. coli* is significantly increased by expression of motifs of LEA-1. Codon-optimized

sequences for the 11-mer, as well as concatenated repeats of the 11-mer sequence (2x=22-

mer, 4x=44-mer), and the 97-mer motif were expressed. Expression of each peptide increased

survival of desiccated bacteria (11-mer $p=2x10^{-6}$, 22-mer $p=5x10^{-6}$, 44-mer $p=1x10^{-7}$, 97-mer

$p=1x10^{-7}$, unpaired T-tests vs. GFP, n=7).

Figure 7. Minimal LEA-1 motifs promote desiccation and osmotic stress survival *in vivo*. **A)**

Endogenous *lea-1* sequence was largely deleted and replaced with sequence encoding codon

optimized, mNG-tagged, idealized motifs of 44 amino acids (4x 11-mer) or 97 amino acids. **B)**

Desiccation survival of control (*daf-2*), *lea-1Δ*, 44-mer::mNG, and 97-mer::mNG animals is

shown. *lea-1Δ* mutants had significantly lower survival than controls at both 97.5% RH

($p=2.3x10^{-6}$, unpaired T-test, n=4) and 60% RH ($p=4.2x10^{-7}$, unpaired T-test, n=4). At 97.5%

RH motif-expressing worms had survival that was statistically indistinguishable from control, and

significantly improved relative to *lea-1Δ* animals (44-mer p=0.36 , 97-mer p=0.78 , unpaired T-

tests, n=4). When dehydrated at 60% RH, motif-expressing worms each had significantly

reduced survival (44-mer::mNG p=2.7×10^{-4} , 97-mer::mNG p=7.4×10^{-5} , unpaired T-tests relative

to control, n=4). **C)** *lea-1Δ* mutants, but not motif-expressing animals, were sensitive to osmotic

stress in 1M NaCl for 4 hr (*lea-1Δ* p=7.5×10^{-7}, 44-mer::mNG p=unpaired T-test vs. control, n=4).

Experiments in B and C were conducted in dauer worms in a *daf-2(e1370)* background. ***

indicates p<0.001.

Figure S1. Genotyping LEA-1 alleles. **A)** A simplified schematic depicting locations of primers to

genotype the various genome edits. Primers are indicated with numbers. Sequences can be

found in Table S1. **B)** A representative image depicts pharyngeal GFP expression in an *lea-1Δ*

mutant in which 15.8 kb of genomic sequence was replaced with *myo-2p*::GFP::*myo-2 3'UTR* as

a visual marker for the deletion. **C)** A representative image shows the plate level phenotype of

pharyngeal GFP expression in *lea-1Δ* mutants. **D)** Primers as indicated by numbers in Figure 1

were used to amplify genomic DNA and confirm deletion of the endogenous *lea-1* locus and

insertion of *myo-2p*::GFP::*myo-2 3'UTR* in the *lea-1Δ* mutant. **E)** The indicated primers were

used to amplify across the loci in genomic DNA at which the mNG and mYPET tags were

inserted. Genotyping confirms insertion of the mNG::3xFLAG::AID tag in a relatively N-terminal

position of *lea-1* and insertion of the mYPET::3xFLAG tag at the C-terminus of the gene.

Figure S2. LEA-1 does not significantly alter polyglutamine protein aggregation due to age. **A)**

Representative images of 4 and 8 day old worms expressing polyglutamine::YFP constructs in

body wall muscle. **B)** There are no significant differences in the number of polyglutamine

aggregates between control and *lea-1Δ* animals for either Q35::YFP (day 4 p=0.23, day 8

p=0.42, n=3, unpaired T-test) or Q40::YFP (day 4 p=0.81, day 8 p=0.32, n=3, unpaired T-test).

Figure S3. LEA-1 expression does not increase in response to short-term osmotic stress. **A)**

Fluorescent tags to not disrupt function of LEA-1 during osmotic stress. Survival is plotted for

worms exposed to either water or 1M NaCl for 2 hr. Bars represent mean survival. Neither

mNG::*lea-1* nor *lea-1*::mYPET worms were significantly different from control worms exposed to

1M NaCl. **B)** Representative image of a control mNG::*lea-1* dauer worm. **D)** A mNG::*lea-1* dauer

larvae exposed to 1M NaCl for 2 hr. **E)** mNG fluorescence is not significantly altered in worms

exposed to 1M NaCl relative to controls (p=0.86, n=3 replicates, unpaired T-test). **F)** A

representative control dauer worm expressing *lea-1*::mYPET. **G)** An *lea-1*::mYPET dauer after 2

hr in 1M NaCl. **H)** Fluorescent intensity is not significantly different between controls worms

expressing *lea-1*::mYPET (p=0.36, n=3 replicates, unpaired T-test). All worms were in a *daf-*

2(e1370) background. *** indicates p<0.001.

Figure S4. Representative images of auxin-induced depletion of mNG::*lea-1* in TIR1 expressing

strains. **A)** Baseline expression of mNG::LEA-1 in the absence of TIR1 expression. **B)** *daf-2*

mutant animals have no detectable baseline fluorescence. Yellow dashed lines indicate the

outline of a worm. **C)** TIR1 expressed from the *col-10* promoter should deplete LEA-1 in the

hypodermis. It had a minimal effect on expression levels in worms. **D)** TIR1 driven by the *ges-1*

promoter reduced LEA-1 in the intestine. **E)** TIR1 under the control of the *mex-5* promoter

depleted LEA-1 in the germline. Some worms retained a significantly reduced amount of

germline LEA-1. **F)** *myo-2p*::TIR1 worms have reduced LEA-1 in the pharynx. This construct did

not totally deplete LEA-1. In particular, expression levels are still relatively high in the posterior

bulb of the pharynx (solid arrowhead). Depletion in the more anterior regions of the pharynx was

more pronounced (open arrowheads). **G)** Expression of *myo-3p*::TIR1 significantly reduced

LEA-1 levels in body wall muscle. **H)** TIR1 driven by the *vha-8* promoter is expressed in multiple

tissues and depletes LEA-1 in the excretory cell (and canal), intestine, and germline. TIR-1

expression was also observed in hypodermis and some cells of the head. The 100 µm scale bar

applies to all images. All images were taken with the same microscope settings and are shown

on the same intensity scale. For the 2x magnified insets the brightness was adjusted to facilitate

visualization. All worms were grown on plates containing 1mM auxin. Open arrowheads indicate

sites of LEA-1 depletion in each strain.

Figure S5. Controls for heterologous expression of proteins in bacteria. **A)** BL21 *E.coli* carrying

pDest17 driving expression of GFP are induced by growth in media with 0.2% L-arabinose.

Samples of bacteria from liquid cultured were spotted onto LB agar plates for imaging. **B)** A

Coomassie stained 4-12% Bis-Tris gel shows expression of *C. elegans* LEA-1 isoforms A, D, E,

F, and K in BL21 *E. coli* when induced with 0.2% L-arabinose. 20 µg of total protein was loaded

per lane.

Figure S6. Characterization of LEA-1 motif-expressing worms. **A)** A schematic depicts the

regions of genomic deletion and insertions and the primers used for genotyping these edits. **B)**

PCR genotyping confirms deletion of the majority of LEA-1 exons and insertion of sequence

encoding 44-mer::mNG or 97-mer::mNG. **C)** A representative image depicts *in vivo* expression

of the 44-mer::mNG fusion protein in a dauer worm. **D)** A representative image depicts *in vivo*

expression of the 97-mer::mNG fusion protein in a dauer worm. Worms in C and D were in a

daf-2(e1370) background.

References

1. Dure L, Greenway SC, Galau GA. Developmental Biochemistry of Cottonseed
Embryogenesis and Germination: Changing Messenger Ribonucleic Acid Populations As
Shown by in Vitro and in Vivo Protein Synthesis. Biochemistry. 1981;20:4162–8.
2. Baker J, Van dennSteele C, Dure L. Sequence and characterization of 6 Lea proteins and
their genes from cotton. Plant Mol Biol. 1988;11:277–91.
3. Hundertmark M, Hincha DK. LEA (Late Embryogenesis Abundant) proteins and their
encoding genes in Arabidopsis thaliana. BMC Genomics. 2008;9:1–22.
4. Campos F, Cuevas-Velazquez C, Fares MA, Reyes JL, Covarrubias AA. Group 1 LEA
proteins, an ancestral plant protein group, are also present in other eukaryotes, and in the
archeae and bacteria domains. Mol Genet Genomics. 2013;288:503–17.
5. Battaglia M, Covarrubias AA. Late Embryogenesis Abundant (LEA) proteins in legumes.
Front Plant Sci. 2013;4 JUN:1–11.
6. Muniz Pedrosa A, Martins CDPS, Gonçalves LP, Costa MGC. Late Embryogenesis Abundant
(LEA) constitutes a large and diverse family of proteins involved in development and abiotic
stress responses in sweet orange (Citrus sinensis L. Osb.). PLoS One. 2015;10:1–17.
7. Hoekstra FA, Golovina EA, Buitink J. Mechanism of plant desiccation tolerance. Trends Plant
Sci. 2001;6:431–8.
8. Browne J, Tunnacliffe A, Burnell A. Plant desiccation gene found in a nematode. Nature.
2002;416:38.
9. Hand SC, Jones D, Menze MA, Witt TL. Life Without Water: Expression of Plant LEA Genes
by an Anhydrobiotic Arthropod. J Exp Zool. 2006;307A:62–6.
10. Gal TZ, Glazer I, Koltai H. An LEA group 3 family member is involved in survival of C.
elegans during exposure to stress. FEBS Lett. 2004;577:21–6.
11. Hand SC, Menze MA, Toner M, Boswell L, Moore D. LEA Proteins During Water Stress: Not
Just for Plants Anymore. Annu Rev Physiol. 2011;73:115–34. doi:10.1146/annurev-physiol-
012110-142203.
12. Tanaka S, Tanaka J, Miwa Y, Horikawa DD, Katayama T, Arakawa K, et al. Novel
mitochondria-targeted heat-soluble proteins identified in the anhydrobiotic tardigrade improve
osmotic tolerance of human cells. PLoS One. 2015;10:1–15.
13. Förster F, Liang C, Shkumatov A, Beisser D, Engelmann JC, Schnölzer M, et al. Tardigrade
workbench: comparing stress-related proteins, sequence-similar and functional protein clusters
as well as RNA elements in tardigrades. BMC Genomics. 2009;10:469. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-
10-469.
14. Tunnacliffe A, Wise MJ. The continuing conundrum of the LEA proteins.
Naturwissenschaften. 2007;94:791–812.
15. Wise MJ, Tunnacliffe A. POPP the question: What do LEA proteins do? Trends Plant Sci.
2004;9:13–7.
16. Hibshman JD, Clegg JS, Goldstein B. Mechanisms of Desiccation Tolerance: Themes and
Variations in Brine Shrimp, Roundworms, and Tardigrades. Front Physiol. 2020;11 October:1–
19. doi:10.3389/fphys.2020.592016.
17. Li D, He X. Desiccation induced structural alterations in a 66-amino acid fragment of an
anhydrobiotic nematode late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) protein. Biomacromolecules.
2009;10:1469–77.
18. Goyal K, Tisi L, Basran A, Browne J, Burnell A, Zurdo J, et al. Transition from natively
unfolded to folded state induced by desiccation in an anhydrobiotic nematode protein. J Biol
Chem. 2003;278:12977–84.
19. Liu Y, Zhang H, Han J, Jiang S, Geng X, Xue D, et al. Functional assessment of hydrophilic
domains of late embryogenesis abundant proteins from distant organisms. Microb Biotechnol.
2019;:1751-7915.13416. doi:10.1111/1751-7915.13416.

20. Imai R, Chang L, Ohta A, Bray EA, Takagi M. A lea-class gene of tomato confers salt and
freezing tolerance when expressed in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Gene. 1996;170:243–8.
21. Liu Y, Zheng Y. PM2, a group 3 LEA protein from soybean, and its 22-mer repeating region
confer salt tolerance in Escherichia coli. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2005;331:325–32.
22. Babu RC, Zhang J, Blum A, Ho THD, Wu R, Nguyen HT. HVA1, a LEA gene from barley
confers dehydration tolerance in transgenic rice (Oryza sativa L.) via cell membrane protection.
Plant Sci. 2004;166:855–62.
23. Hu T, Zhu S, Tan L, Qi W, He S, Wang G. Overexpression of OsLEA4 enhances drought,
high salt and heavy metal stress tolerance in transgenic rice (Oryza sativa L.). Environ Exp Bot.
2016;123:68–77. doi:10.1016/j.envexpbot.2015.10.002.
24. Gao J, Lan T. Functional characterization of the late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) protein
gene family from Pinus tabuliformis (Pinaceae) in Escherichia coli. Sci Rep. 2016;6 September
2015:1–10. doi:10.1038/srep19467.
25. Li S, Chakraborty N, Borcar A, Menze MA, Toner M, Hand SC. Late embryogenesis
abundant proteins protect human hepatoma cells during acute desiccation. Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A. 2012;109:20859–64.
26. Goyal K, Walton LJ, Tunnacliffe A. LEA proteins prevent protein aggregation due to water
stress. Biochem J. 2005;388:151–7. doi:10.1042/BJ20041931.
27. Moore DS, Hansen R, Hand SC. Liposomes with diverse compositions are protected during
desiccation by LEA proteins from Artemia franciscana and trehalose. Biochim Biophys Acta -
Biomembr. 2016;1858:104–15. doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2015.10.019.
28. Tolleter D, Hincha DK, Macherel D. A mitochondrial late embryogenesis abundant protein
stabilizes model membranes in the dry state. Biochim Biophys Acta - Biomembr.
2010;1798:1926–33. doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2010.06.029.
29. Erkut C, Vasilj A, Boland S, Habermann B, Shevchenko A, Kurzchalia T V. Molecular
strategies of the Caenorhabditis elegans dauer larva to survive extreme desiccation. PLoS One.
2013;8:1–19.
30. Gade VR, Traikov S, Oertel J, Fahmy K, Kurzchalia T V. C. elegans possess a general
program to enter cryptobiosis that allows dauer larvae to survive different kinds of abiotic stress.
Sci Rep. 2020;10:1–10. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-70311-8.
31. Tripathi R, Boschetti C, McGee B, Tunnacliffe A. Trafficking of bdelloid rotifer late
embryogenesis abundant proteins. J Exp Biol. 2012;215:2786–94.
32. Borrell A, Cutanda MC, Lumbreras V, Pujal J, Goday A, Culiáñez-Macià FA, et al.
Arabidopsis thaliana Atrab28: A nuclear targeted protein related to germination and toxic cation
tolerance. Plant Mol Biol. 2002;50:249–59.
33. Dickinson DJ, Ward JD, Reiner DJ, Goldstein B. Engineering the Caenorhabditis elegans
genome using Cas9-triggered homologous recombination. Nat Methods. 2013;10:1028–34.
doi:10.1038/nmeth.2641.
34. Dickinson DJ, Pani AM, Heppert JK, Higgins CD, Goldstein B. Streamlined Genome
Engineering with a Self-Excising Drug Selection Cassette. Genetics. 2015;200 August:1–33.
doi:10.1534/genetics.115.178335.
35. Erkut C, Penkov S, Khesbak H, Vorkel D, Verbavatz JM, Fahmy K, et al. Trehalose renders
the dauer larva of caenorhabditis elegans resistant to extreme desiccation. Curr Biol.
2011;21:1331–6. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2011.06.064.
36. Golden JW, Riddle DL. The Caenorhabditis elegans dauer larva: Developmental effects of
pheromone, food, and temperature. Dev Biol. 1984;102:368–78.
37. Chakrabortee S, Boschetti C, Walton LJ, Sarkar S, Rubinsztein DC, Tunnacliffe A.
Hydrophilic protein associated with desiccation tolerance exhibits broad protein stabilization
function. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104:18073–8.
38. Liu Y, Chakrabortee S, Li R, Zheng Y, Tunnacliffe A. Both plant and animal LEA proteins act
as kinetic stabilisers of polyglutamine-dependent protein aggregation. FEBS Lett.

2011;585:630–4. doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2011.01.020.

39. Furuki T, Shimizu T, Chakrabortee S, Yamakawa K, Hatanaka R, Takahashi T, et al. Effects

of Group 3 LEA protein model peptides on desiccation-induced protein aggregation. Biochim

Biophys Acta - Proteins Proteomics. 2012;1824:891–7.

40. Morley JF, Brignull HR, Weyers JJ, Morimoto RI. The threshold for polyglutamine-expansion

protein aggregation and cellular toxicity is dynamic and influenced by aging in Caenorhabditis

elegans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002;99:10417–22.

41. Shaner NC, Lambert GG, Chammas A, Ni Y, Cranfill PJ, Baird MA, et al. A bright

monomeric green fluorescent protein derived from Branchiostoma lanceolatum. Nat Methods.

2013;10:407–9.

42. Heppert JK, Dickinson DJ, Pani AM, Higgins CD, Steward A, Ahringer J, et al. Comparative

assessment of fluorescent proteins for in vivo imaging in an animal model system. Mol Biol Cell.

2016;27:3385–94. doi:10.1101/040279.

43. Zhang L, Ward JD, Cheng Z, Dernburg AF. The auxin-inducible degradation (AID) system

enables versatile conditional protein depletion in C. elegans. Dev. 2015;142:4374–84.

44. Ashley G, Duong T, Levenson MT, Martinez MAQ, Hibshman JD, Saeger HN, et al.

Expanding the Caenorhabditis elegans auxin-inducible degron system toolkit with internal

expression and degradation controls and improved modular constructs for CRISPR/Cas9-

mediated genome editing. bioRxiv. 2020;:2020.05.12.090217. doi:10.1101/2020.05.12.090217.

45. Dure L. A repeating 11-mer amino acid motif and plant desiccation. Plant J. 1993;3:363–9.

46. Dure L, Crouch M, Harada J, Ho THD, Mundy J, Quatrano R, et al. Common amino acid

sequence domains among the LEA proteins of higher plants. Plant Mol Biol. 1989;12:475–86.

47. Furuki T, Niwa T, Taguchi H, Hatanaka R, Kikawada T, Sakurai M. A LEA model peptide

protects the function of a red fluorescent protein in the dry state. Biochem Biophys Reports.

2019;17 September 2018:27–31. doi:10.1016/j.bbrep.2018.11.006.

48. Metwally K, Ikeno S. A Short Peptide Designed from Late Embryogenesis Abundant Protein

Enhances Acid Tolerance in Escherichia coli. Appl Biochem Biotechnol. 2020.

49. Furuki T, Shimizu T, Kikawada T, Okuda T, Sakurai M. Salt effects on the structural and

thermodynamic properties of a group 3 LEA protein model peptide. Biochemistry.

2011;50:7093–103.

50. Bailey TL, Boden M, Buske FA, Frith M, Grant CE, Clementi L, et al. MEME Suite: Tools for

motif discovery and searching. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009;37 SUPPL. 2:202–8.

51. Huwaidi A, Pathak N, Syahir A, Ikeno S. Escherichia coli tolerance of ultraviolet radiation by

in vivo expression of a short peptide designed from late embryogenesis abundant protein.

Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2018;:1–5. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2018.06.095.

52. Pathak N, Ikeno S. In vivo expression of a short peptide designed from late embryogenesis

abundant protein for enhancing abiotic stress tolerance in Escherichia coli. Biochem Biophys

Res Commun. 2017;492:386–90. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.08.091.

53. Marunde MR, Samarajeewa DA, Anderson J, Li S, Hand SC, Menze MA. Improved

tolerance to salt and water stress in Drosophila melanogaster cells conferred by late

embryogenesis abundant protein. J Insect Physiol. 2013;59:377–86.

doi:10.1016/j.jinsphys.2013.01.004.

54. Czernik M, Fidanza A, Luongo FP, Valbonetti L, Scapolo PA, Patrizio P, et al. Late

Embryogenesis Abundant (LEA) proteins confer water stress tolerance to mammalian somatic

cells. Cryobiology. 2020; January:1–8. doi:10.1016/j.cryobiol.2020.01.009.

55. Toxopeus J, Warner AH, MacRae TH. Group 1 LEA proteins contribute to the desiccation

and freeze tolerance of Artemia franciscana embryos during diapause. Cell Stress Chaperones.

2014;19:939–48.

56. Hatanaka R, Hagiwara-Komoda Y, Furuki T, Kanamori Y, Fujita M, Cornette R, et al. An

abundant LEA protein in the anhydrobiotic midge, PvLEA4, acts as a molecular shield by

limiting growth of aggregating protein particles. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2013;43:1055–67.

doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2013.08.004.

57. Chen ATY, Guo C, Itani OA, Budaitis BG, Williams TW, Hopkins CE, et al. Longevity genes
revealed by integrative analysis of isoform-specific daf-16/FoxO mutants of caenorhabditis
elegans. 2015.

58. Oliveira RP, Abate JP, Dilks K, Landis J, Ashraf J, Murphy CT, et al. Condition-adapted
stress and longevity gene regulation by Caenorhabditis elegans SKN-1/Nrf. Aging Cell.
2009;8:524–41.

59. Furuki T, Sakurai M. Group 3 LEA protein model peptides protect liposomes during
desiccation. Biochim Biophys Acta - Biomembr. 2014;1838:2757–66.
doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2014.07.009.

60. Shimizu T, Kanamori Y, Furuki T, Kikawada T, Okuda T, Takahashi T, et al. Desiccation-
induced structuralization and glass formation of group 3 late embryogenesis abundant protein
model peptides. Biochemistry. 2010;49:1093–104.

61. Forney CF, Brandl DG. Control of Humidity in Small Controlled-environment Chambers
using Glycerol-Water Solutions. Hort Technol. 1992;2:52–4.

62. Zanin E, Dumont J, Gassmann R, Cheeseman I, Maddox P, Bahmanyar S, et al. Affinity
Purification of Protein Complexes in C. elegans. In: Methods in Cell Biology. 2011. p. 289–322.

63. Figueira R, Watson KG, Holden DW, Helaine S. Identification of Salmonella pathogenicity
island-2 type III secretion system effectors involved in intramacrophage replication of S. enterica
serovar typhimurium: Implications for rational vaccine design. MBio. 2013;4:1–10.

64. The C. elegans Deletion Mutant Consortium. Large-scale screening for targeted knockouts
in the caenorhabditis elegans genome. G3 Genes, Genomes, Genet. 2012;2:1415–25.

Figure 1

Isoforms:

Fluorescent tags:

Deletions:

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

A (Percent survival vs Humidity)

Control
*lea-1*Δ
mNG::*lea-1*
lea-1::mYPET

B

mNG::*lea-1*   *lea-1::mYPET*

Size (kD)

Tubulin

Norm. Exp. 1.0 2.7 4.5 0.6 0.9 0.7

C mNG::*lea-1* Control   **D** Desiccated   **E** Fluor. Int. (AU) Control Desiccated

F *lea-1*::mYPET   **G**   **H** Fluor. Int. (AU) Control Desiccated

Figure 5

Figure 6

A

Isoform A

Isoform D

Isoform E

Isoform F

Isoform K

0 kb   2 kb   4 kb   6 kb   8 kb   10 kb   12 kb   14 kb   16 kb

B

Percent survival

0.1

0.01

0.001

0.0001

***

***

**

***

***

GFP   truncated GFP   *act-2*   *lea-1* isoform A   *lea-1* isoform D   *lea-1* isoform E   *lea-1* isoform F   *lea-1* isoform K

C

bits

DKASDAwDSaK

Hydrophilic

D   D
S   D
K   S
W   K
   A   A   A

Hydrophobic

D

■ DKASDAWDSAK

■ AKDKTKSLTEKVGDAISGAYDSVKEKASDVA
DSFKAHSTDSKDNVENKAADAYNSAKDKAS
DAWDKTKDKAGEAKDKAGDAWDSTKDKAG
DAWDSTK

Isoform K

E

Percent survival

0.1

0.01

0.001

0.0001

0.00001

0.000001

*

*

***

***

***

***

GFP   truncated GFP   *act-2*   11-mer   22-mer   44-mer   97-mer

Figure 7

A

Figure S1

A

Isoform K

mNG — AID
TEV — 3x FLAG

TEV
mYPET — 3x FLAG

1 4

CRISPR deletion

myo-2p GFP *myo-2 3'UTR*

2   6

0 kb   2 kb   4 kb   6 kb   8 kb   10 kb   12 kb   14 kb   16 kb

B

50 µm

C

200 µm

D

Template DNA	WT					*lea-1Δ*				
F Primer	1	1	6	7	4	1	1	6	7	4
R Primer	2	3	5	5	5	2	3	5	5	5

3kb
2.5kb
2kb
1.5kb

1kb

750bp

500bp

250bp

E

Template DNA	WT		*mNG::lea-1*		*lea-1::mYPET*	
F Primer	8	10	8	10	8	10
R Primer	9	11	9	11	9	11

3kb
2.5kb
2kb
1.5kb

1kb

750bp

500bp

250bp

Figure S2

A

B

Figure S3

A

B mNG::*lea-1* Control

C mNG::*lea-1* 1M NaCl

D

E *lea-1*::mYPET Control

F *lea-1*::mYPET 1M NaCl

G

Control
lea-1Δ
mNG::*lea-1*
lea-1::mYPET

Figure S4

Figure S5

Figure S6

Table S1. Genotyping primers for *lea-1* genomic edits.

Primer number	Sequence	Genotyping information
1	cgatggtaccacaatgacca	For use with primers #2 and #3.
2	TTTATGGACATTTAAAGCAAAGGA	Paired with #1 will give 417 bp band in *lea-1*Δ but no band in WT.
3	TCACTTGAGAGCCCGAACTT	Paired with #1 will give 742 bp band in WT but no band in *lea-1*Δ.
4	GATCACCATCTCCACCAACC	Paired with #5 will give 2,569 bp band in *lea-1*Δ but no band in WT.
5	gggcaatccaaaaagattga	For use with primers #4, #6, and #7.
6	ACCCGTTTCTCTTCCCCTAC	Paired with #5 will give 620 bp band in *lea-1*Δ but no band in WT.
7	GGACACTCTTCGCTCGACTC	Paired with #5 will give 256 bp band in WT but no band in *lea-1*Δ.
8	CAACAAAATGAGCTTTATGGATAAAG	For use with primer #9.
9	ATCTTTTCACCGACGGTGTTG	Paired with #8 will give 170 bp band in WT and 1,364 bp band in mNG::*lea-1* but no band in 44-mer::mNG or 97-mer::mNG.
10	ACTTGAAAACTGGAACTCTTCCATC	For use with primer #11.
11	ctacaacaaagaattaacag	Paired with #10 will give 347 bp band in WT and 1,412 bp band in *lea-1*::mYPET. When paired with #8 will give 1,612 bp band in 44-mer::mNG and 1,771 bp band in 97-mer::mNG.