# The ribose methylation enzyme FTSJ1 has a conserved role in neuron morphology and learning performance 
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#### Abstract

FTSJ1 is a conserved human 2'-O-methyltransferase (Nm-MTase) that modifies several transfer RNAs (tRNAs) at position 32 and the wobble position 34 in the AntiCodon Loop (ACL). Its loss of function has been linked to Non-Syndromic X-Linked Intellectual Disability (NSXLID), and more recently to cancers. However, the molecular mechanisms underlying these pathologies are currently unclear. Here we report a novel FTSJ1 pathogenic variant from a NSXLID patient. Using blood cells derived from this patient and other affected individuals carrying FTSJ1 mutations, we performed an unbiased and comprehensive RiboMethSeq analysis to map the ribose methylation (Nm) on all human tRNAs and identify novel targets. In addition, we performed a transcriptome analysis in these cells and found that several genes previously associated with intellectual disability and cancers were deregulated. We also found changes in the miRNA population that suggest potential cross-regulation of some miRNAs with these key mRNA targets. Finally, we show that differentiation of FTSJ1-depleted human neuronal progenitor cells (NPC) into neurons displays long and thin spine neurites compared to control cells. These defects are also observed in Drosophila and are associated with long term memory deficit in this organism. Altogether, our study adds insight into FTSJ1 pathologies in human and flies by the identification of novel FTSJ1 targets and the defect in neuron morphology.


## INTRODUCTION

RNA modifications represent a novel layer of post-transcriptional gene regulation (Saletore et al, 2012; Angelova et al, 2018; Zhao et al, 2020). Due to their variety and dynamic nature, they rapidly adapt gene expression programs in response to developmental changes or environmental variations. One of the most abundant RNA modifications is 2'-O-methylation (ribose methylation, Nm ). Nm can affect the properties of RNA molecules in multiple ways e.g. stability, interactions and functions (Kawai et al, 1992; Kurth \& Mochizuki, 2009; Lacoux et al, 2012). Nm residues are abundant in ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs) and transfer RNAs (tRNAs) (Erales et al, 2017; Marchand et al, 2017), but are also found in other RNA types such as small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs) (Darzacq, 2002; Dai et al, 2017), small non-coding RNAs (sncRNAs) (Li et al, 2005; Yu et al, 2005; Horwich et al, 2007; Saito et al, 2007; Kurth \& Mochizuki, 2009) and messenger RNAs (mRNAs) (Darzacq, 2002; Dai et al, 2017; Bartoli et al. 2018 ). Many Nm positions are conserved through evolution and their presence is essential for maintaining healthy physiological functions. Eukaryotic mRNAs are 5 ' end capped with a 7 -methylguanosine $\left(m^{7} G\right)$, which is important for processing and translation of mRNAs. In addition, Cap methyltransferases (CMTR) catalyse Nm of the first and second transcribed nucleotides and were shown to be important for innate immune surveillance, neuronal development and activity (Lee et al, 2020; Haussmann et al, 2022). The loss of certain Nm modifications and/or Nm-modifying enzymes has been associated to various pathological conditions (reviewed in (Dimitrova et al, 2019)), including cancers (Liu et al, 2017; El Hassouni et al, 2019; He et al, 2020; Marcel et al, 2020) and brain diseases (Jia et al, 2012; Abe et al, 2014; Guy et al, 2015; Cavaillé, 2017).

FTSJ1 is a human tRNA 2'-O-methyltransferase (Nm-MTase), which belongs to the large phylogenetically conserved superfamily of Rrmj/fibrillarin RNA methyltransferases (Bügl et al, 2000; Feder et al, 2003). Human males individuals bearing a hemizygous loss of function variant in the FTSJ1 gene suffer from significant limitations both in intellectual functioning and in adaptive behaviour (Froyen et al, 2007; Freude et al, 2004; Guy et al, 2015). Similar phenotypes, including impaired learning and memory capacity, were recently observed in Ftsj1 KO mice that also present a reduced body weight and bone mass, as well as altered energy metabolism (Jensen et al, 2019; Nagayoshi et al, 2021). In flies, we recently showed that the loss of the two FTSJ1 homologs (i.e Trm7_32 and Trm7_34) provokes reduced lifespan and body weight, and affects RNAi antiviral defences and locomotion (Angelova and Dimitrova et al. 2020). Finally, Ftsj1 mutants in yeast ( $\Delta t r m 7$ ) grow poorly due to a constitutive general amino acid control (GAAC) activation and the possible reduced availability of aminoacylated tRNA ${ }^{\text {Phe }}$ (Pintard et al, 2002; Guy et al, 2012a; Han et
al, 2018). Interestingly, this growth phenotype can be rescued by human FTSJ1, indicating a conserved evolutionary function.

Most of the knowledge on FTSJ1's molecular functions are derived from yeast studies. Trm7 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae methylates positions 32 and 34 in the AntiCodon Loop (ACL) of specific tRNA targets: tRNA ${ }^{\text {Phe(GAA) }}$, tRNA ${ }^{\text {Trp(CCA) }}$ and tRNA ${ }^{\text {Leul(UAA) }}$ (Pintard et al, 2002; Guy et al, 2012a). To achieve 2'-O-methylation, Trm7 teams up with two other proteins: Trm732 for the methylation of cytosine at position 32, and with Trm734 for the methylation of cytosine or guanine at position 34 (Guy et al, 2012a; Li et al, 2020a). The presence of both $\mathrm{Cm}_{32}$ and $\mathrm{Gm}_{34}$ in $\mathrm{tRNA}{ }^{\text {Phe(GAA) }}$ is required for efficient conversion of $\mathrm{m}^{1} \mathrm{G}_{37}$ to wybutosine $\left(\mathrm{yW}_{37}\right)$ by other proteins. This molecular circuitry is conserved in the phylogenetically distinct Schizosaccharomyces pombe and humans (Noma et al, 2006; Guy \& Phizicky, 2015; Guy et al, 2015; Li et al, 2020a). In Drosophila, we found that Trm7_32 and Trm7_34 modify, respectively, positions 32 and 34 in the ACL on tRNA ${ }^{\text {Phe(GAA) }}$, tRNA ${ }^{\operatorname{Trp}(C C A)}$ and tRNA ${ }^{\text {Leu(CAA) }}$ (Angelova and Dimitrova et al. 2020). In this organism, we also identified novel tRNA targets for these two enzymes (tRNA ${ }^{\operatorname{Gin(CUG)})}$ and $\left.\operatorname{tRNA}{ }^{(1 /(C U C)}\right)$, which raised the question about their conservation in humans. A recent publication reported that human FTSJ1 modifies position 32 of another tRNA ${ }^{G l n}$ isoacceptor, tRNA ${ }^{\text {Gln(UUG) }}$ (Li et al, 2020a). This study performed in HEK293T cells tested a selected subset of tRNAs using tRNA purification followed by MS analysis. It was shown that position 32 of tRNA ${ }^{\text {Arg(UCG) }}$, tRNA ${ }^{\operatorname{Arg}(C C G)}$ and $\mathrm{RRNA}^{\operatorname{Arg}(A C G)}$ as well as position 34 on $\mathrm{tRNA}^{\operatorname{Arg}(C C G)}$ and $\mathrm{tRNA}^{\operatorname{Leu}(C A G)}$ are also 2'-O-methylated by human FTSJ1. tRNA ${ }^{\text {Agg(AGG) }}$ was originally identified as a target of fly Trm7_32 (Angelova and Dimitrova et al. 2020), while human tRNA ${ }^{\text {Leu(CAA) (Kawarada et al, 2017) }}$ and yeast tRNA ${ }^{\text {Leu(UAA) }}$ (Guy et al, 2012a) were predicted targets of FTSJ1 and Trm7, respectively. However, a comprehensive and unbiased (not selected) analysis of all possible FTSJ1 tRNA targets was not performed, particularly in human patient samples, leaving the full spectrum of FTSJ1 tRNA substrates yet to be identified.

Previously, the enzymatic activity of mammalian FTSJ1 on selected tRNAs has been revealed through HPLC (High-Performance Liquid Chromatography) (Guy et al, 2015) and more recently through UPLC-MS/MS (Ultra-Performance Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry/Mass Spectrometry) (Li et al, 2020a; Nagayoshi et al, 2021). Both approaches analyse mononucleotides derived from selected tRNAs and are based on already reported sequences. The exact position of the modified nucleotide was thus inferred from available information on tRNA sequences and modification profiles database (Jühling et al, 2009; Chan \& Lowe, 2016; Boccaletto et al, 2018). Recently, a new method called RiboMethSeq was established and allows the identification of Nm sites in a complete unbiased manner, based on the protection conferred by the ribose methylation to alkaline digestion (Marchand
et al, 2016, 2017). This offers the possibility to identify every Nm site regulated by a particular enzyme, especially when investigating abundant RNA, such as tRNA.

In this study we took advantage of this novel approach to identify the full set of FTSJ1's tRNA targets in human. We report a novel FTSJ1 pathogenic variant from a NSXLID patient. Using blood cells derived from this affected individual and other individuals carrying distinct FTSJ1 mutations, we performed an unbiased and comprehensive RiboMethSeq analysis to map the ribose methylation on all tRNAs and reveal new targets. In addition, we performed a transcriptome analysis in these FTSJ1 depleted cells and found that several genes previously associated with intellectual disability (ID) and cancers were deregulated. We also found changes in the miRNA population that suggest potential cross-regulation of some miRNAs with these key mRNA targets. Finally, in accordance with the known importance of FTSJ1 during brain development in mice and its involvement in intellectual disability in humans, we showed that human Neuronal Progenitor Cells (NPC) with inactivated FTSJ1 present abnormal neurite morphology. We also observed this phenotype in Drosophila as well as a specific deficit in long term memory. Altogether, our study reveals new targets potentially involved in FTSJ1 pathologies in human and demonstrates a conserved function in neuron morphology and function.

## MATERIALS \& METHODS

## FTSJ1 variants and lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCLs)

The various lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCLs) were generated using established methods from blood samples of NSXLID affected or healthy male individuals. The cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium with L-glutamine and sodium bicarbonate (ref. R8758-500ML, SIGMA) supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS (Gibco) and $1 \%$ penicillin-streptomycin (ref. P0781, SIGMA) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Cells were split at $1 / 2$ dilution approximately 24 h before being collected for RNA extraction with TRI-Reagent (Sigma Aldrich) following the manufacturer's instructions.

6514AW \& 6514JW (LCL65AW \& LCL65JW in this study): Family A3 - LCLs from two brothers with mild or severe ID associated with psychiatric manifestations (anger, aggression, anxiety, depression, schizophrenia requiring medication) bearing a splice variant in FTSJ1: c.121+1delG (Freude et al, 2004). This variant leads to a retention of intron 2, creating a premature stop codon (p.Gly41Valfs*10). Part of the transcripts undergo nonsense-mediated mRNA decay.

11716IJ (LCL11 in this study): Family A18 - LCL from one male with moderate to severe intellectual disability without dysmorphic features carrying an interstitial microdeletion at Xp11.23. The extent of the deletion was subsequently delineated to about 50 kb by regular PCR and included only the SLC38A5 and FTSJ1 genes. qPCR with the FTSJ1-ex3 primers is negative, thus demonstrating the complete deletion of FTSJ1 locus (Froyen et al, 2007).

22341SR (LCL22 in this study): Family 7 (A26P) - LCL from one male with moderate ID and psychiatric features (mild anxiety and compulsive behavior) carrying a missense mutation c.76G>C; p.Ala26Pro in FTSJ1. This family has been reported previously (Guy et al, 2015) .

LCL-MM: This is a newly reported family. The LCL has been generated from one male with mild ID, facial dysmorphia (hypertelorism, pointed chin, ears turned back), speech delay, attention disorders and behavior problems carrying a hemizygous de novo variant c.362-2A>T in FTSJ1. The mutation is predicted to disrupt the acceptor splice site of exon 6 (NM_012280.3: c.362-2A>T). This variant causes a skipping of the entire exon 6 in the mRNA (r.362_414del) leading to a frameshift and a premature stop codon (p.Val121Glyfs*51) (Figure S1A). Part of the transcripts undergo nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (Figure S1C). Consequently, a strong decrease of the corresponding mRNA steady
state level is observed (Figure S1B). This variant was deposited in the ClinVar database (VCV000981372.1). The research on LCL-MM was performed according to a research protocol approved by a local Ethics Committee (Comité Consultatif de Protection des Personnes dans la Recherche Biomédicale - CCPPRB). A written informed consent was obtained from the patient and his legal representatives.

18451PK (LCL18 in this study), 16806JD (LCL16 in this study), 3-2591 (LCL25 in this study) and 3-5456 (LCL54 in this study): LCL established from control males. Four LCLs not mutated in the FTSJ1 gene from unaffected males of similar age were used as controls. A written informed consent was obtained from those individuals and previously described LCLs from patients and their legal representatives in the original publications described above.

## LCL MM variant characterization at the mRNA level

As the FTSJ1 mRNA was highly downregulated in LCL MM, characterization of the FTSJ1 transcript for this experiment was performed on total RNAs from cells treated with cycloheximide (see NMD inhibition protocol below). This allowed a three fold increase in FTSJ1 mRNA in LCL MM (Figure S1B). $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of total RNAs from wild type LCL 25 and LCL MM were treated with DNAse I (M0303S-NEB), and reverse transcription was carried out with random hexamer primers (S0142-Thermo Scientific ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ ) using SuperScript ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ III Reverse Transcriptase (18080-044- Invitrogen), following the supplier's protocol. FTSJ1 cDNAs were amplified from $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of RT reaction using the following PCR primers: (Forward: 5'-GGCAGTTGACCTGTGTGCAGC-3'; Reverse: 5'-CCCTCTAGGTCCAGTGGGTAAC-3'. PCR products were sequenced using the sanger method with a forward primer hybridizing in exon 5: 5'-CCACTGCCAAGGAGATCA-3' (Figure S1A). Sequences are available upon request. Briefly, this variant causes a skipping of the entire exon 6 in the mRNA leading to a frameshift and a premature stop codon, thus undergoing nonsense-mediated mRNA decay as shown in Figure S1C. Consequently, a strong decrease of the corresponding mRNA steady state level is observed (Figure S1B). This MM variant was deposited in the ClinVar database (VCV000981372.1).

## RiboMethSeq

RiboMethSeq analysis of human LCL tRNAs was performed as described in (Marchand et al, 2017). Briefly, tRNAs extracted from LCLs were fragmented in 50 mM bicarbonate buffer pH 9.2 for 15 minutes at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stopped by ethanol precipitation. The pellet was washed with $80 \%$ ethanol and sizes of generated RNA fragments were assessed by capillary electrophoresis using a small RNA chip on Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent, USA). RNA fragments were directly 3'-end dephosphorylated
using 5 U of Antarctic Phosphatase (New England Biolabs, UK) for 30 minutes at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After inactivation of the phosphatase for 5 minutes at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, RNA fragments were phosphorylated at the $5^{\prime}$-end using T4 PNK and 1 mM ATP for one hour at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. End-repaired RNA fragments were then purified using RNeasy MinElute Cleanup kit (QIAGEN, Germany) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. RNA fragments were converted to library using NEBNext® Small RNA Library kit (ref\#E7330S, New England Biolabs, UK) following the manufacturer's instructions. DNA library quality was assessed using a High Sensitivity DNA chip on a Bioanalyzer 2100. Library sequencing was performed on Illumina HiSeq 1000 in single-read mode for 50 nt . Primary analysis of sequencing quality was performed with RTA 2.12 software, to insure > Q30 quality score for > $95 \%$ of obtained sequences.

Following SR50 sequencing run, demultiplexing was performed with BclToFastq v2.4, reads not passing quality filter were removed. Raw reads after demultiplexing were trimmed with Trimmomatic v0.32 (Bolger et al, 2014). Alignment to the reference tDNA sequences was performed with bowtie 2 ver2.2.4 (Langmead et al, 2009) in End-to-End mode. Uniquely mapped reads were extracted from *.sam file by RNA ID and converted to *.bed format using bedtools v2.25.0 (Quinlan, 2014). Positional counting of 5'-and 3'-ends of each read was performed with awk Unix command. Further treatment steps were performed in R environment (v3.0.1). In brief, 5 '-and 3 '-end counts were merged together by RNA position and used for calculation of ScoreMEAN (derived from MAX Score (Pichot et al, 2020), as well as Scores A and B (Birkedal et al, 2015) and MethScore (Score C) (Marchand et al, 2016). Scores were calculated in the window of -2 to +2 neighbouring nucleotides. Profiles of RNA cleavage at selected (candidate and previously known) positions were extracted and visually inspected.

Analysis of human tRNA 2'-O-methylation by RiboMethSeq was performed using the optimised non-redundant collection of reference tRNA sequences. This reduced collection contains 43 tRNA species and was validated by analysis of several experimentally obtained RiboMethSeq sequencing datasets (Pichot et al, 2021). Alignment of RiboMethSeq reads obtained in this study also confirmed low content in ambiguously mapped reads. In order to establish a reliable map of Nm positions in human tRNA anticodon loop, RiboMethSeq cleavage profiles were used to calculate detection scores (Mean and ScoreA2) (Pichot et al, 2020). However, this scoring strategy shows its limits in the case of short and highly structured RNAs (like tRNAs), since the cleavage profile is highly irregular. In addition, since these scores are calculated for 2 neighbouring nucleotides, simultaneous loss of two closely located Nm residues (e.g. $\mathrm{Cm}_{32}$ and $\mathrm{Gm}_{34}$ in $\mathrm{tRNA}{ }^{\text {Phe }}$ ) makes analysis of raw score misleading (Angelova et al, 2020). Moreover, the presence of multiple RT-arresting modifications (Anreiter et al, 2021) in the same tRNAs ( $m^{1} A, m^{1} G, m^{2} 2 G, m^{3} C$, etc) reduces coverage in the upstream regions. Considering all these limitations, visual inspection of raw
cleavage profiles revealed to be the most appropriate, since changes in protection of a given nucleotide represent modulation of its Nm methylation status. Analysis of alignment statistics demonstrated that the majority of human tRNAs are well represented in the analysed datasets and proportion of uniquely mapped reads were $>90 \%$ for all tRNA sequences, except tRNALeu(CCA) family, composed of 3 highly similar species. Only limited coverage of totally mapped reads $<7500$ reads/tRNA ( $\sim 100$ reads/position) was obtained for 5 tRNAs (Arg_TCG, Leu_CAA2, Ser_CGA_TGA1, Thr_CGT and Tyr_ATA).

In order to identify potential Nm32/Nm34 residues, raw cleavage profiles of the 11 nt region around pos 33 were visually inspected and profiles for WT samples were compared to FTSJ1 mutants. Due to the limited number of mapped raw reads, coverage in the anticodon loop for Leu_CAA, Ser_CGA_TGA1, Thr_CGT and Tyr_ATA was insufficient; thus, these species were excluded from further analysis. The results of this analysis are given in Table 2. This analysis allowed to identify 10 Nm 32 and 4 Nm 34 modifications on tRNAs ACL. Inosine residues formed by deamination of A34 at the wobble tRNA position (FTSJ1-independent) are visible in the sequencing data and are also shown in Table 2. 10 Nm 32 and 3 Nm 34 modifications were found to be FTSJ1-dependent. The only exception is Cm34 in tRNAMet_CAT known to be formed by snoRNA-guided Fibrillarin (Vitali \& Kiss, 2019). Comparison of these data with previously reported Nm modifications in human tRNA anticodon loop demonstrated that $2 / 3$ of the observed sites have been described, either in tRNAdb2009 ((Jühling et al, 2009), http://trnadb.bioinf.uni-leipzig.de/), or in two recent studies used LC-MS/MS analysis (Nagayoshi et al, 2021; Li et al, 2020b). Table 2 also shows those modifications in other organisms including yeast, mice and Drosophila. We were not able to confirm Nm residues previously reported in tRNASec_TCA (Nm34) and tRNAVal_AAC(Cm32), however, due to sequence similarity, tRNAVal_AAC clusters together with two other tRNAVal (CAC and TAC1). tRNALeu_AAG and Leu_TAG have similar sequences and thus were not distinguished by sequencing, however Nm32 was detected.

## mRNA sequencing and data analysis

mRNA sequencing was performed as in (Khalil et al, 2018). $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of total RNA were treated by 1 MBU of DNAse (BaseLine-Zero ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ DNAse, Epicentre, USA) for 20 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to remove residual genomic DNA contamination. RNA quality was verified by PicoRNA chip on Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent, USA) to ensure RIN (RNA Integrity Number) > 8.0. PolyA + fraction was isolated from $4.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of DNAse-treated total RNA using NEBNext Oligo d(T)25 Magnetic beads kit (NEB, USA), according to manufacturer's recommendations. PolyA + enrichment and the absence of residual rRNA contamination were verified using PicoRNA chips on Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent, USA). PolyA + fraction (1 ng for each sample) was used
for whole-transcriptome library preparation using ScriptSeq v2 RNA-Seq kit (Illumina, USA). Libraries amplified in 14 PCR cycles were purified using Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman-Coulter, USA), at a ratio $0.9 x$ to remove adapter dimer contamination. Quality of the libraries was verified by HS DNA Chip on Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent, USA) and quantification done by Qubit 2.0 with appropriate RNA quantification kit. Sequencing was performed on HiSeq1000 (Illumina, USA) in single read SR50 mode. About 50 million of raw sequencing reads were obtained for each sample. Adapters were trimmed by Trimmomatic v0.32 (Bolger et al, 2014) and the resulting sequencing reads aligned in sensitive-local mode by Bowtie 2 v2.2.4 (Langmead \& Salzberg, 2012) to hg19 build of human genome. Differential expression was analyzed using *.bam files in DESeq2 package (Love et al, 2014) under R environment. Analysis of KEGG and Gene Ontology pathways for differentially expressed genes was done under $R$ environment.

## small RNA sequencing and data analysis

Small RNA-Seq libraries were generated from 1000 ng of total RNA using TruSeq Small RNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA), according to manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, in the first step, RNA adapters were sequentially ligated to each end of the RNA, first the $3^{\prime}$ RNA adapter that is specifically modified to target microRNAs and other small RNAs, then the 5' RNA adapter. Small RNA ligated with $3^{\prime}$ and 5 ' adapters were reverse transcribed and PCR amplified ( 30 sec at $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; [10 sec at $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{sec}$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15$ sec at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ] $\times 13$ cycles; 10 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to create cDNA constructs. Amplified cDNA constructs of 20 to 40 nt were selectively isolated by acrylamide gel purification followed by ethanol precipitation. The final cDNA libraries were checked for quality and quantified using capillary electrophoresis and sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 4000 at the Institut de Génétique et de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire (IGBMC) GenomEast sequencing platform.

For small RNA data analysis, adapters were trimmed from total reads using FASTX_Toolkit [http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/]. Only trimmed reads with a length between 15 and 40 nucleotides were kept for further analysis. Data analysis was performed according to published pipeline ncPRO-seq (Chen et al, 2012). Briefly, reads were mapped onto the human genome assembly hg19 with Bowtie v1.0.0. The annotations for miRNAs were done with miRBase v21. The normalization and comparisons of interest were performed using the test for differential expression, proposed by (Love et al, 2014) and implemented in the Bioconductor package DESeq2 v1.22.2 [http://bioconductor.org/]. MicroRNA target prediction was performed using miRNet 2.0 (Chang et al, 2020).

## Northern blotting

For northern blotting analysis of tRNA, $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of total RNA from human LCLs were resolved on $15 \%$ urea-polyacrylamide gels for approximately 2 h in 0.5 x TBE buffer at 150 V , then transferred to Hybond-NX membrane (GE Healthcare) in $0,5 \times$ TBE buffer for 1 h at 350 mA of current and EDC-cross-linked for 45 min at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a solution containing $33 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ of 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide (EDC) (Sigma Aldrich), $11 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ul}$ of 1 -methylimidazol and $0.46 \%$ of HCl . The membranes were first pre-hybridized for 1 h at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a hybridization buffer containing $5 x S S C, 7 \%$ SDS, $5.6 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH}{ }_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 14.4 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$ and 1x Denhardt's solution. DNA oligonucleotide probes were labelled with ${ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$ at the 5 '-end by T4 polynucleotide kinase following manufacturer's instructions (Fermentas). The membranes were hybridized with the labelled probes overnight at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the hybridization buffer, then washed twice for 15 min in wash buffer A ( $3 x$ SSC and $5 \%$ SDS) and twice in wash buffer B ( $1 \times$ SSC and $1 \%$ SDS) before film exposure at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for variable time durations. Probe sequences are available in the Primers and Probes section.

## RT-qPCR

RNA was extracted from human LCLs using TRI-Reagent (Sigma Aldrich). After DNase digestion of total RNA using the TURBO DNA-free ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Kit (Ambion), $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ was used in a reverse transcription reaction with Random Primers (Promega) and RevertAid Reverse Transcriptase (ref. EP0442, Thermofisher). The cDNA was used to perform qPCR on a CFX96 Touch ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio Rad) using target-specific primers. hGAPDH was used for normalization (Primers and Probes section). The analysis was performed using $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$, on three biological replicates. Statistical analysis using a bilateral Student's $t$-test was performed and $p$-values were calculated.

## NMD inhibition test

LCLs were seeded in 25 cm cell culture plates at a density of $3.10^{6}$ cells and treated with $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of cycloheximide or equal volume of water as a control for six hours. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 1000 rpm for 5 min and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. RNA extraction was carried out using TRI-reagent (Sigma Aldrich) following the supplier's protocol. DNAse I digestion was carried out using RNAse free DNase I (M0303S- NEB), and reverse transcription on $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of DNase treated total RNA was performed using RevertAid Reverse Transcriptase. Quantitative PCR was performed as specified above using specific primers for FTSJ1 and GAPDH.

## miRNA complementation experiments

mirVana ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ miRNA Mimics and Inhibitors were used for hsa-miR-181a-5p overexpression/inhibition (Ambion ${ }^{\text {TM }}-4464066$ and 4464084). HeLa cells were transfected with corresponding mirVana ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ miRNA in 24 well plates at a density of 20.000 cells per well, using Lipofectamine ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ RNAiMAX (CAT\# 13778100-Invitrogen ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ ). We set up the transfection ratios to 15 pmol of miRNA mimic/ $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of Lipofectamine ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$, and 30 pmol of miRNA inhibitor $/ \mu \mathrm{L}$ of Lipofectamine ${ }^{\text {TM }}$. Cells were harvested 48 hours post-transfection and assayed for target gene expression. miRNA quantification was performed by RTqPCR on miR181a-5p using Qiagen's miRCURY LNA miRNA PCR System. Reverse transcription is performed using miRCURY LNA RT Kit (339340) and qPCR using miRCURY LNA SYBR® Green PCR Kit (339346). LNA enhanced primers were used for miRNA Sybr green qPCR (Refer to the list of primers and probes).

## Primers, Probes and Sequences

Northern blot analysis was performed using hsa-miR-181a-5p specific probes with the following sequences: 5'-AACATTCAACGCTGTCGGTGAGT-3' (sense probe) and 5'-ACTCACCGACAGCGTTGAATGTT-3' (antisense probe). Human U6 specific probe was used for detecting U6 as a loading control: 5'-GCAAGGATGACACGCAAATTCGTGA-3' (sense probe) and 5'-TCACGAATTTGCGTGTCATCCTTGC-3' (antisense probe). qPCR analysis (after an RT reaction performed with random primers) were performed with the use of primers with the following sequences:

| Target Gene | Primer | Sequence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BTBD3 | Forward | 5'-TGGCAGATGTACATTTTGTGG-3' |
|  | Reverse | 5'-AACACAGAGCTCCCAACAGC-3' |
|  | Forward | 5'-GAGAAGGTGTGCAGCAATGA-3' |
|  | Reverse | 5'-AAGTGGCAGGAAGAGTCGAA-3' |
| GAPDH | Forward | 5'-CAACGGATTTGGTCGTATTGG-3' |
|  | Reverse | 5'-GCAACAATATCCACTTTACCAGAGTTAA-3' |
|  | Forward | 5'-CCATTCTTACGACCCAGATTTCA-3' |
|  | Reverse | 5'-CCCTCTAGGTCCAGTGGGTAAC-3' |
| ZNF711 | Forward | 5'-CACACGCCAGACTCTAGAATGG-3' |
|  | Reverse | 5'-CCATTCCAGCCACAAAATCTTG-3' |


| hsa-miR-181a-5p | Cat \#339306 <br> QIAGEN® | GeneGlobe ID -YP00206081 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UniSp6 (miRNA <br> Spike in) | Cat \#339306 <br> QIAGEN® | GeneGlobe ID - YP00203954 |

UCG isodecoder sequences:
>hs_tRNAArg_CCG_TCG_(UCG1)_gaccgcgtggcctaatggataaggcgtctgacttcggatcagaagattgag ggttcgagtcccttcgtggtcgcca
>hs_tRNAArg_TCG_(UCG2)_ggccgngtggcctaatggataaggcgtctgacttcggatcanaagattgcaggttng agtnctgccncggtcgcca

## iPSC culture and maintenance

iPSCs cell line WTSli002 purchased from EBISC (European bank for induced pluripotent cells) were maintained on feeder-free conditions on Geltrex LDEV-Free hESC-qualified Reduced Growth Factor Basement Membrane Matrix (ThermoFisher Scientific, A1413302) in Essential $8^{\text {TM }}$ Flex Media Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, A2858501) with $0,1 \%$ Penicillin/Streptomycin (ThermoFisher Scientific, 15140122).

## iPSC differentiation in dorsal NPCs

To obtain Neural progenitor cells (NPCs) from the dorsal telencephalon, embryoid bodies (EB) were formed by incubating iPSCs clusters with Accutase (ThermoFisher Scientific, A1110501) for 7 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and dissociated into single cells. To obtain EB of the same size, $3 \times 10^{6}$ cells were added per well in the AggreWell 800 plate (STEMCELL Technologies, 34815) with Essential $8^{\text {TM }}$ Flex Media supplemented with Stemgent hES Cell Cloning \& Recovery Supplement (1X, Ozyme, STE01-0014-500) and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (Day-1). After 24 hours in culture (Day0), EB from each microwell were collected by pipetting up and down the medium several times and transferred into Corning® non-treated culture dishes (Merck, CLS430591-500EA) in EB medium containing DMEM/F12 GlutaMAX (ThermoFisher Scientific, 35050061), 20\% KnockOut ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Serum Replacement (ThermoFisher Scientific, 10828028), 1\% Non-Essential Amino Acid (ThermoFisher Scientific,11140035), 0,1\% Penicillin/Streptomycin (ThermoFisher Scientific, 15140122), $100 \quad \mu \mathrm{M}$ 2-mercaptoethanol (ThermoFisher Scientific, 31350010), supplemented with two inhibitors of the SMAD signalling pathway, $2,5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Dorsomorphin (Sigma-Aldrich, P5499) and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ SB-431542 (Abcam, ab120163). EB medium supplemented as described previously was changed every day for 5 days. On Day 6, floating EBs are plated on 0,01 \% Poly-L-ornithine (Sigma-Aldrich, P4957) and $5 \mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{mL}$ Laminin (Sigma-Aldrich, L2020) coated dishes for rosette expansion in Neurobasal minus vitamin A (ThermoFisher Scientific 10888), B-27
supplement without vitamin A (ThermoFisher Scientific 12587), 1\% GlutaMAX (ThermoFisher Scientific 35050061), 0,1\% Penicillin/Streptomycin (ThermoFisher Scientific 15140122) and $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ 2-Mercaptoethanol (ThermoFisher Scientific 31350010). The neural medium was supplemented with $10 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ epidermal growth factor (PreproTech AF-100-15) and $10 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ basic fibroblast growth factor (R\&D Systems 234-FSE-025). From day 6 to day 10 the medium was changed everyday until the appearance of rosettes. On day 10 , rosettes are manually picked up using a syringe and dissociated with Accutase, then seeded on Poly-L-ornithine/Laminin coated dishes for expansion of dorsal NPCs. They were maintained with passage for two additional weeks to achieve a large pool of neural precursor cells (NPCs).

## NPC drug treatment

NPCs are seeded in Poly-L-Ornithine and Laminin coated coverslips in 24 well plates at a density of $2.10^{5}$ cells per well. After 48 hours, the medium is changed and combined with $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of 2,6 Diaminopurine (DAP) (Sigma Aldrich 247847) or equal volume of sterile $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

## NPC immunostainings

24 hours after DAP treatment NPCs were fixed in $4 \%$ paraformaldehyde for 10 min, permeabilized and blocked for 45 minutes with blocking buffer (PBS supplemented with 0.3\% Triton-X100, 2\% horse serum). Primary antibodies, Sox2 (1/500, Milipore AB5603) and DCX (1/2000, Milipore AB2253), were incubated overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using the same solution. Cells were rinsed three times with PBS and incubated 1 hour at RT with secondary antibodies and DAPI (1/10000, Sigma-Aldrich D9564) diluted in the same solution and rinsed 3 times with PBS before mounting on slides with VectaShield® Vibrance mounting medium.

## Neuronal cells image acquisitions

Images were acquired in z-stacks using a confocal microscope Nikon A1R HD25 with a 60X objective. Images were flattened with a max intensity Z-projection.

## Neurogenesis quantification

All cells (DAPI) from each acquisition were numbered using Fiji's point tool. Cells expressing DCX (immature neurons) and SOX2 (NPCs and intermediates which also started expressing DCX) were also numbered on 5 to 6 microscopy images. Over 1400 cells were numbered for each condition in triplicate. A ratio of DCX expressing cells is calculated over the total cell number and expressed in fold change and compared between DAP treated and untreated cells.

## Branching quantifications

All DCX expressing neurons were traced using Simple Neurite Tracer (SNT) from the Neuroanatomy Plugin by Fiji. Length measurements of traces were performed using the SNT Measure Menu, and thin projections were counted manually using Fiji's point tool. Quantifications were performed on 5 acquisitions and each IF experiment was done in triplicate. Ratios for the number of thin projections/neuron length (mm) were calculated and compared between DAP treated and control cells.

## Drosophila NMJ analysis

For NMJ staining, third instar larvae were dissected in cold PBS and fixed with 4\% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 45 min. Larvae were then washed in PBST (PBS $+0.5 \%$ Triton X100) six times for 30 min and incubated overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with mouse anti-synaptotagmin, 1:200 (3H2 2D7, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, DSHB). After six 30 min washes with PBST, secondary antibody anti-mouse conjugated to Alexa-488 and TRITC-conjugated anti-HRP (Jackson ImmunoResearch) were used at a concentration of 1:1,000 and incubated at room temperature for 2 h . Larvae were washed again six times with PBST and finally mounted in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories).

For DAP treatment, freshly hatched Canton-S flies were collected and placed on a normal food medium containing $600 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of 2,6 Diaminopurine (DAP) (Sigma aldrich 247847). After 5 days, third instar larvae were dissected and subjected to NMJ staining.

Images from muscles 6-7 (segment A2-A3) were acquired with a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope. Serial optical sections at $1,024 \times 1,024$ pixels with $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thickness were obtained with the $\times 40$ objective. Bouton number was quantified using Imaris 9 software. ImageJ software was used to measure the muscle area and the NMJ axon length and branching. Statistical tests were performed in GraphPad (PRISM 8).

## Drosophila behaviour assays

Flies were raised at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for associative memory assays and the corresponding controls. All behaviour experiments were performed on young adults (1-3 day-old). All behaviour experiments were performed on starved flies, which is a prerequisite for appetitive conditioning with a sucrose reinforcement. 0-2 days after hatching, flies were put on starvation for 21 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on mineral water (Evian). Appetitive memory assay: Appetitive associative conditioning was performed in custom-designed barrel-type apparatus as previously described (Colomb et al, 2009), which allows the parallel conditioning of three groups of flies. The odorants 3-octanol and 4-methylcyclohexanol, diluted in paraffin oil at a
final concentration of $0,29 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$, were used for conditioning and for the test of memory retrieval. Groups of $20-50$ flies were subjected to one cycle of appetitive olfactory conditioning as follows: throughout the conditioning protocol, flies were submitted to a constant air flow at $0,6 \mathrm{~L} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$. After 90 s of habituation, flies were first exposed to an odorant (the $\mathrm{CS}^{+}$) for 1 min while given access to dried sucrose; flies were then exposed 45 s later to a second odorant without shocks (the $\mathrm{CS}^{-}$) for 1 min . 3-octanol and 4-methylcyclohexanol were alternately used as CS $^{+}$and CS. The memory test was performed in a T-maze apparatus. Each of the two arms of the T-maze were connected to a bottle containing one odorant (either 3-octanol or 4-methylcyclohexanol) diluted in paraffin oil. The global air flow from both arms of the T-maze was set to $0,8 \mathrm{~L} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$. Flies were given 1 min in complete darkness to freely move within the T-maze. Then flies from each arm were collected and counted. The repartition of flies was used to calculate a memory score as $\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{CS}+}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{cs}-}\right) /\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{cS}+}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{cs}-}\right)$. A single performance index value is the average of two scores obtained from two groups of genotypically identical flies conditioned in two reciprocal experiments, using either odorant as the $\mathrm{CS}^{+}$. Thus values of performance index range between -1 and +1 , the value of 0 (equal repartition) corresponding to 'no memory'. The indicated ' $n$ ' is the number of independent performance index values for each genotype. LTM performance was assessed 24 hrs (+/- 2 hrs ) after conditioning, STM $1 \mathrm{hr}(+/-30 \mathrm{~min})$ after conditioning. Innate odor avoidance and sucrose attraction assay: Innate sucrose preference was measured in a T-maze. Flies were given the choice for 1 min between one arm of the T-maze coated with dried sucrose, and one empty arm. There was no air flow in the T-maze for this assay. Flies were then collected from each arm and counted; an attraction index was calculated as $\left(\mathrm{N}_{\text {sucrose }}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {empty }}\right) /\left(\mathrm{N}_{\text {surrose }}+\mathrm{N}_{\text {empty }}\right)$. The side of the T-maze with sucrose was alternated between experimental replicates. Innate odor avoidance was measured in a T-maze. One arm of the T-maze was connected to a bottle containing the tested odorant (3-octanol or 4-methylcyclohexanol) diluted in paraffin oil, the other arm was connected to a bottle containing paraffin oil only. The global air flow from both arms of the T-maze was set to $0,8 \mathrm{~L} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$. Flies were given 1 min in complete darkness to freely move within the T-maze. Flies were then collected from each arm and counted; an avoidance index was calculated as $\left(\mathrm{N}_{\text {air }}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {odor }}\right) /\left(\mathrm{N}_{\text {air }}+\mathrm{N}_{\text {odor }}\right)$. The side of the T -maze with odorant-interlaced air was alternated between experimental replicates. Quantification and statistical analysis: All data are presented as mean $\pm$ SEM. Performances from different groups (mutant and control) were statistically compared using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's posthoc pairwise comparison between the mutant genotypes and the control group.

## RESULTS

## Comprehensive identification of human FTSJ1 tRNA targets

To identify new tRNA targets of human FTSJ1, we compared the Nm modification profiles of positions 32 and 34 for all detectable tRNA species in human LCLs obtained from control individuals ( $n=4$ ) vs. LCLs obtained from individuals with ID harbouring loss-of-function and pathogenic variants in FTSJ1 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$, from four unrelated families) (Table 1). Four of these affected individuals were already described and harbour distinct molecular defects: a splice variant leading to a premature stop codon (Freude et al, 2004) (LCL65AW and LCL65JW), a deletion encompassing FTSJ1 and its flanking gene SLC38A5 (Froyen et al, 2007) (LCL11), and a missense variant (p.Ala26Pro) affecting an amino acid located close to FTSJ1 catalytic pocket, resulting in the loss of $\mathrm{Gm}_{34}$, but not of $\mathrm{Cm}_{32}$ in human tRNA ${ }^{\text {Phe }}$ (Guy et al, 2015) (LCL22). The last individual was not reported nor characterised before. This patient presents mild ID and behavioural manifestations and harbours a de novo pathogenic variant affecting the consensus acceptor splice site of exon 6 (NM_012280.3: c.362-2A>T) (LCL-MM). This mutation leads to the skipping of exon 6 in the mRNA (r.362_414del) leading to a frameshift and a premature stop codon (p.Val121Glyfs*51) (Figure S1A). FTSJ1 mRNA steady state level in LCL-MM was significantly reduced when compared to LCL from control individuals (Figure S1B). In addition, treating the LCL-MM cells with cycloheximide to block translation, and thus the nonsense mediated mRNA decay (NMD) pathway (Tarpey et al, 2007), led to an increase of FTSJ1 mRNA abundance (Figure S1C). This result suggests that FTSJ1 mRNA from LCL-MM cells is likely degraded via the NMD pathway.

To obtain a comprehensive picture of the Nm-MTase specificity for FTSJ1 in vivo, we performed RiboMethSeq analysis on LCLs isolated from affected individuals described above and compared with LCL from healthy individuals. RiboMethSeq allows tRNA-wide Nm detection based on random RNA fragmentation by alkaline hydrolysis followed by library preparation and sequencing ((Marchand et al, 2017) and Material and Methods). Using this approach, we could confirm the known FTSJ1 targets (e.g. $\mathrm{tRNA}^{\text {Phe(GAA) }}$ and $\mathrm{tRNA}^{\operatorname{TP}(C C A)}$ ) and assign the FTSJ1-deposited Nm modifications to their predicted positions in the ACL ( $\mathrm{C}_{32}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{34}$, Figure 1). However, using only the MethScore calculation we could not detect a variation for $\mathrm{Cm}_{32}$ in $\mathrm{tRNA}{ }^{\text {Phe(GAA). This scoring strategy shows its limits in some particular }}$ situation as MethScore is calculated for 2 neighbouring nucleotides, thus simultaneous loss of two closely located Nm residues (e.g. $\mathrm{Cm}_{32}$ and $\mathrm{Gm}_{34}$ in $\mathrm{tRNA}{ }^{\text {Phe }}$ ) makes analysis of MethScore misleading (Angelova et al, 2020). Moreover, the presence of multiple reverse transcription (RT) arresting hyper-modification (e.g. m¹G37/o2yW37 (Anreiter et al, 2021)) in
the same tRNA regions impairs RT, thereby reducing the number of cDNAs spanning the ACL. Nevertheless, considering all these potential limitations when using only MethScore calculation, a visual inspection of raw cleavage profiles was performed (Figure S1D and Table 2) and revealed to be the most appropriate. When visualising raw reads count profile, reads' ends number at position $33\left(\mathrm{Cm}_{32}\right)$ of tRNA ${ }^{\text {Phe(GAA) }}$ was increased in FTSJ1 mutated cells (Figure S1D), indicating a loss of $\mathrm{Cm}_{32}$ of tRNA ${ }^{\text {Phe(GAA) }}$ in FTSJ1 mutated LCLs. Thus, using both MethScore (Figure 1) and visual inspection on all RiboMethSeq human tRNA sequences (Figure S1D) we were able to confirm known FTSJ1 tRNA targets and, importantly, discover new FTSJ1-dependent $\mathrm{Cm}_{32} / \mathrm{Um}_{32}$ modification in tRNA ${ }^{\text {Gly }}$, tRNA ${ }^{\text {Leu }}$, tRNA ${ }^{\text {Pro }}$ and tRNA ${ }^{\text {Cys }}$ (see Table 2 for isoacceptors details). Unexpectedly, $\mathrm{Um}_{34}$ in tRNA ${ }^{\text {Leu(UAA })}$ also demonstrated clear FTSJ1-dependence, however, the exact nature of this modified nucleotide remains unknown (Table 2). In contrast, the protection signal observed at position 32 in human tRNA ${ }^{\text {Ala(AlGC) }}$ is not FTSJ1-dependent and most likely results from $\psi m_{32}$ (visible in HydraPsiSeq (Marchand et al, 2022) profiling (Y.M. personal communication)) and not $\mathrm{Um}_{32}$.

## FTSJ1 loss of function deregulates mRNAs steady state level

To obtain insights into the impact of FTSJ1 loss on gene expression, we performed a transcriptome analysis in patient and control LCLs. Transcript differential expression analysis shows that FTSJ1 dysfunction led to a deregulation of 686 genes (Table 3 and Figures S2A and S2B). This relatively low number is in agreement with a previous report showing 775 genes deregulated in human HeLa cells knock-down for FTSJ1 (Trzaska et al, 2020a), as well as with the 110 mRNAs deregulated in KD of one FTSJ1 Drosophila ortholog (Angelova and Dimitrova et al. 2020).

Even though LCLs do not have a neural origin, analysis of the genes deregulated in affected individuals revealed a clear enrichment (FE $=7.9$ with $p$-value $=7.44 \mathrm{E}-06$ and FDR $=4.40 \mathrm{E}-03$ ) in biological process Gene Ontology (GO) term corresponding to brain morphogenesis (Figure 2A). In addition, and similarly to what we reported in a previous mRNA-seq analysis of Drosophila S2 cells knocked-down for Trm7_34 (Angelova and Dimitrova et al. 2020), 5 out of the top 10 most enriched terms were related to mitochondrial biological processes. Also, in agreement with a recently described role of human FTSJ1 in translational control (Nagayoshi et al, 2021; Trzaska et al, 2020a) and of yeast Trm7 in the general amino-acid control pathway (Han et al, 2018), four biological processes related to translation were affected in FTSJ1 mutated LCLs (FE >3.5, Figure 2A).

To strengthen the transcriptome analysis, we selected three representative and disease-relevant deregulated mRNAs based on their fold change level of expression and related involvement in brain or cancer diseases. Mutations in the human ZNF711 gene were
previously reported to be involved in the development of ID (van der Werf et al, 2017). The mRNA-seq and RT-qPCR analyses showed a significant downregulation of ZNF711 mRNA in FTSJ1 mutant LCLs when compared to control LCLs (Table 3 and Figure 2B). BTBD3 activity is known to direct the dendritic field orientation during development of the sensory neuron in mice cortex (Matsui et al, 2013) and to regulate mice behaviours (Thompson et al, 2019). We found that BTBD3 mRNA was significantly upregulated in both mRNA-seq and RT-qPCR analyses (Figure 2B). Lastly, SPARC (Tai \& Tang, 2008) and more recently FTSJ1 (Holzer et al, 2019; He et al, 2020) gene products activities were proposed to be involved in both metastasis and tumour suppression. In the absence of FTSJ1, we could confirm that SPARC mRNA was significantly reduced (Table 3 and Figure 2B). Taken together, these results show deregulation of some mRNAs linked to cancer and brain functioning in FTSJ1 affected individuals' blood derived LCLs.

## FTSJ1 loss of function affects the miRNA population

Our previous work on the Drosophila homologs of FTSJ1, Trm7_32 and Trm7_34, showed that their loss of functions led to perturbations in the small non-coding RNA (sncRNA) gene silencing pathways, including the miRNA population (Angelova and Dimitrova et al. 2020)To address whether such small RNA perturbations are conserved in NSXLID affected individuals we performed small RNA sequencing on the 5 LCLs carrying FTSJ1 loss-of-function variants compared to the 4 LCLs from control individuals. The principal component analysis (PCA) from the different FTSJ1 loss-of-function cell lines shows a high similarity and thus clusters on the PCA plot, while the wild type lines were more dispersed, possibly explained by their geographic origins (Figure S3A). The DESeq2 differential expression analysis showed statistically significant deregulation of 36 miRNAs when comparing FTSJ1 mutants to control LCLs. 17 miRNA were up- and 19 down-regulated (Figures 3A, S3B and $\log 2$ FC and adjusted $p$ values in Table S1). Importantly, as already reported in Drosophila (Angelova and Dimitrova et al. 2020), the global miRNA distribution was not drastically affected, thus ruling out general involvement of FTSJ1 in miRNA biogenesis.

Next, we sought for possible links between the 36 significantly deregulated miRNAs in FTSJ1 mutant cells and neuronal functions or neurodevelopmental disorders. Interestingly, 21 of these miRNAs were already identified in other small RNA-seq studies performed in the context of brain diseases such as epilepsy, Parkinson's and Alzheimer's diseases (Lau et al, 2013; Kretschmann et al, 2015; Ding et al, 2016; Roser et al, 2018). In addition, 29 of the deregulated miRNAs were linked to different types of cancers (Lund, 2010; Watahiki et al, 2011; Li et al, 2015; Khuu et al, 2016; Yang et al, 2017; Jiang et al, 2018), including 21 involved specifically in brain-related cancers, mostly in glioblastoma
(Gillies \& Lorimer, 2007; Shi et al, 2008; Lund, 2010; Conti et al, 2016) (Figure 3B and Table 4).

To strengthen the small RNA-seq data, four hemizygous FTSJ1 LCLs (control) and five LCLs mutants for FTSJ1 were analysed by northern blotting with a specific probe complementary to miRNA-181a-5p. We selected this miRNA as it was highly upregulated in our small RNA-seq analysis and it was previously reported to be involved in vascular inflammation and atherosclerosis (Su et al, 2019), as well as expressed in neuronal cells in mammals (Dostie et al, 2003). One clear hybridization signal was observed in all FTSJ1 mutant LCLs corresponding to mature miRNA-181a-5p (Figure 3C). In contrast, the 4 control LCLs show no or weak signal even after image over-exposure (Figures 3C). Together these results demonstrate that FTSJ1 loss of function affects specifically the steady state levels of some miRNA and suggests that the deregulation of miRNA-mediated gene silencing observed in FTSJ1 mutant LCLs was not caused by a global failure in miRNA biogenesis (Figures 3A, S3B and Table S1).

## FTSJ1 mutation perturbates the silencing activity of miR-181a-5p miRNA

As some of the FTSJ1 deregulated miRNAs and mRNAs were implicated in similar biological processes such as cancer and brain function, we wondered if there were some miRNA::mRNA pairs that could be involved in these commonly deregulated processes. Using miRNet 2.0 (Chang et al, 2020), we performed a bioinformatics cross-analysis of the small RNA-seq and mRNA-seq datasets. We found a subset of FTSJ1-deregulated miRNAs that were previously shown to modulate some of the FTSJ1 deregulated mRNAs. For instance, the SPARC mRNA is an experimentally confirmed target of mir-10a-5p (Bryant et al, 2012; Wang et al, 2020). This result thus suggests that SPARC mRNA downregulation observed in FTSJ1 mutants may be due to its increased silencing by the upregulated miR-10a-5p. This cross-analysis also revealed that the BTBD3 gene is potentially targeted by miR-181a-5p (He et al, 2015), the two of which were upregulated in NSXLID affected individuals-derived LCLs (Figures 3A, 3C and Table 4), implicating a possible connection between them that differs from the canonical miRNA silencing pathway. LCL are known to be hardly transfectable (Nagayoshi et al, 2021), however miR-181a-5p and BTBD3 are expressed similarly in HeLa cells (Figure S4A). Thus, by mimicking miR-181a-5p expression or repression, we show that miR-181a-5p silences BTBD3 in HeLa cells (Figure S4B), strongly suggesting that BTBD3 mRNA is a bona fide target of miR-181a-5p. Strikingly, in FTSJ1 mutant cells, the silencing activity of miR-181a-5p on BTBD3 is compromised in both HeLa and LCL. Interestingly, despite the fact that 39 ZNF mRNAs were found potentially regulated by miR-181a-5p (Table 4 and (He et al, 2015)) and the over-representation of this miRNA in FTSJ1 mutant (Figures 3A, 3C and Table S1), no evidence of miRNA regulation
was yet found for ZNF711, a gene previously reported to be involved in the development of ID (van der Werf et al, 2017).

FTSJ1 is involved in human neuronal morphology during development
The loss of FTSJ1 in humans gives rise to ID, yet the underlying mechanism is still unclear. Both neuronal morphology (Chen et al, 2020) and behaviour (Jensen et al, 2019) have been reported in patients affected by a wide range of ID disorders, with a variety of genetic etiologies and their corresponding mouse models. To address whether loss of human FTSJ1 also affects neuronal morphology, we altered FTSJ1 activity using 2,6-Diaminopurine (DAP) (Palma \& Lejeune, 2021; Trzaska et al, 2020b) in human Neural Progenitor Cells (NPC). DAP is a recently discovered drug that binds to FTSJ1 and inhibits its methylase activity (Palma \& Lejeune, 2021; Trzaska et al, 2020b). Immunostainings were performed for Sox2, a transcription factor expressed in NPCs, and Doublecortin (DCX), an associated microtubule protein expressed in differentiating NPCs or immature neurons, reflecting neurogenesis. Importantly, the DAP treatment did not significantly affect the differentiation of the NPCs (DCX-) to immature neurons (DCX+) (Figure 4A). This is in agreement with previous reports showing the absence of severe brain morphological defects in mice mutated for FTSJ1 (Jensen et al, 2019; Nagayoshi et al, 2021). However DCX positive cells treated with $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ DAP showed a $25 \%$ increase in the number of interstitial protrusions, likely filopodia, on their neurites compared to the smoother appearance of the neurites of untreated control cells (Figures 4B and 4C). These spines' morphological defects on DAP treated DCX+ cells are reminiscent of those observed on mature neurons from mutant mice of the Fragile X mental retardation protein (FMRP) (Braun \& Segal, 2000), as well as from human patients' brains that suffer from the fragile $X$ syndrome. Furthermore similar findings were recently reported in mice brains mutated for FTSJ1 (Nagayoshi et al, 2021), suggesting that this is a conserved phenotypic consequence of the loss of FTSJ1.

## Drosophila FTSJ1 ortholog is involved in neuronal morphology during development

To further address whether the control of neuron morphology by FTSJ1 is a conserved feature across evolution we dissected the neuromuscular junctions (NMJs) of Drosophila larvae carrying mutations in the orthologs of the FTSJ1 gene as well as larvae fed with DAP (Palma \& Lejeune, 2021; Trzaska et al, 2020b). Examination of the NMJs in Trm7_32 and Trm7_34 double homozygous mutant larvae or larvae fed with DAP revealed a significant synaptic overgrowth when compared to control larvae (Figure 5). Furthermore, as observed for the human NPC treated with DAP (Figures 4B and 4C), the neurite branching was strongly increased in both, double mutant and fed treated larvae (Figure 5). However
the overall length of the axons was not significantly altered. These results indicate that Drosophila FTSJ1s, alike human FTSJ1, control neuronal morphology.

## Reward learning requires FTSJ1 activity in Drosophila

FTSJ1 loss of function affected individuals suffer from significant limitations both in intellectual functioning and in adaptive behaviour. Similar phenotypes including impaired learning and memory capacity were recently observed in FTSJ1 KO mice that also present a reduced body weight and bone mass, as well as altered energy metabolism (Jensen et al, 2019; Nagayoshi et al, 2021). In flies, we recently showed that the loss of FTSJ1 orthologs causes reduced lifespan and body weight, as well as locomotion defects (Angelova and Dimitrova et al. 2020).

To address whether fly memory was also altered in these mutants we applied the appetitive conditioning assay. We found that short-term memory (STM) of single Trm7_34 or Trm7_32 and double Trm7_34;Trm7_32 heterozygous mutant flies was indistinguishable from that of wild-type controls (Figure 6A). However, long-term memory (LTM) was significantly impaired in all of these three mutant combinations (Figure 6B). Importantly, naive heterozygous mutants flies detected sugar properly and behave normally when exposed to repellent odors used in the olfactory memory assay (Figures 6C and 6D), suggesting that the LTM defect was not due to a confounding alteration of sensory abilities. Thus, these results indicate that the Drosophila FTSJ1 ortholog Trm7_34 and Trm7_32 has a specific function in LTM, and importantly demonstrate clearly that both tRNA Nm32 and Nm34 modifications have function in long term memory.

## DISCUSSION

In this study, we characterised at the molecular and cellular levels the effect of FTSJ1 loss of function in human cells. We used the innovative RiboMethSeq method to analyse the Nm status from five patients carrying distinct loss of FTSJ1 functions, which led us to the identification of new human FTSJ1 tRNA targets. Furthermore we identify specific transcripts and miRNA that are misregulated in the absence of FTSJ1, that may contribute to the FTSJ1 pathologies, and suggest potential cross-regulation among them. Lastly we show for the first time that the lack of FTSJ1 alters the morphology of human neurons, a phenotype that is conserved in Drosophila and is associated with long term memory deficit.

The power of the RiboMethSeq approach is that it allows to analyse the Nm status of the totality of transcribed tRNA species and not only selected tRNAs based on the prior but incomplete knowledge of FTSJ1 targets. Furthermore, this approach covers the whole tRNA-ome and thus can identify variations in Nm at the single nucleotide resolution, which is very useful to distinguish tRNA isoacceptors for instance that differ by only few nucleotides. Our results from the RiboMethSeq performed on patient and control LCLs confirmed the already known human tRNA targets of FTSJ1. For instance, $\mathrm{Cm}_{32}$ and $\mathrm{Cm}_{34}$ of $\operatorname{TRNA} \mathrm{A}^{\operatorname{Trp}(C C A)}$ as well as position 34 in tRNA ${ }^{\text {Phe(GAA) }}$ and tRNA ${ }^{\text {Leu(CAG) }}$ were validated by our approach. Only $\mathrm{Cm}_{32}$ of $\mathrm{tRNA}{ }^{\text {Phe(GAA) }}$, which is a well-known target of FTSJ1, could not be validated at the first glance. The analysis of this position is challenging due to low read numbers necessary for its quantification. This is the result of two confounding factors. On one hand the calculation of MethScores (Figure 1A) is based on the two neighbouring nucleotides (Marchand et al, 2016) Since FTSJ1 deposits Nm at both 32 and 34 positions in tRNA ${ }^{\text {Phe }}$, the calculated MethScore at position 32 is affected when position 34 of the same tRNA is also Nm modified. Second, we previously reported that tRNA ${ }^{\text {Phe(GAA) }}$ ACL positions are challenging to detect due to the specific hyper-modification on position 37 of tRNA ${ }^{\text {Phe }}$ (Angelova and Dimitrova et al. 2020). Indeed, o $2 \mathrm{yW}_{37} / \mathrm{m}^{1} \mathrm{G}_{37}$ impairs reverse transcription thereby reducing the number of cDNAs spanning the ACL. Nevertheless, deeper visual inspection of the raw reads profile shows that Nm at position 32 was indeed lost in FTSJ1 mutated cells when compared to control LCL (Figure S1D), confirming the previous reports.

Importantly, we confirmed recent (tRNA ${ }^{\operatorname{Arg}(U C G)}$ and $\mathrm{tRNA}^{\operatorname{Gln}(C U G)}$ ) and identified novel (tRNA ${ }^{\text {Gly(CCC) }}$, tRNA ${ }^{\text {Leu(UAA) }}$, tRNA $^{\text {Pro }}$, and tRNA ${ }^{\text {Cys(GCA) }}$, Table 2) tRNA targets for human FTSJ1. In the case of tRNA ${ }^{\text {Arg(UCG) }}$, we confirmed not only a new target for FTSJ1, but also a modification which was not previously reported in modomics but only recently in HEK293 FTSJ1 CRISPR mutant (Li et al, 2020a). Indeed $\mathrm{C}_{32}$ is known to be $\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{C}$ and not Nm modified for the two other isoacceptors (tRNA ${ }^{\text {Arg(CCU) }}$ and tRNA ${ }^{\text {Arg(UCU) })}$ (Boccaletto et al, 2018). Similarly, there was no evidence for a human $\mathrm{Cm}_{32} \operatorname{tRNA}{ }^{\operatorname{Gln}(\mathrm{CUG})}$ and only the other
isoacceptor tRNA ${ }^{\operatorname{Gin}(U U G)}$ was reported in Modomics as 2'-O-methylated at $\mathrm{C}_{32}$. Still, $\mathrm{Cm}_{32}$ on tRNA ${ }^{\text {Gln(CUG) }}$ was recently discovered as a target of Drosophila Trm7_32 (Angelova and Dimitrova et al. 2020). Among the newly uncovered FTSJ1 targets in this study, $\mathrm{Um}_{32}$ tRNA ${ }^{\text {Gly }(C C C)}$ was the only one that has been reported in Modomics, however the enzyme responsible for this modification was yet unknown. Our results demonstrate that FTSJ1 is the dedicated human Nm -Mtase that installs $\mathrm{Um}_{32} / \mathrm{Cm}_{32}$ and $\mathrm{Cm}_{34} / \mathrm{Um}_{34} / \mathrm{Gm}_{34}$ residues on human tRNAs.

Our transcriptomic analysis also highlighted novel transcripts and miRNA targets that may play important roles in the development of the diseases. For instance we found 36 differentially expressed miRNAs, most of which were already associated with brain diseases and functioning and/or cancer development. Strikingly, the most prevalent associated cancer types were the ones related to the brain tissues. Consistently with the post-transcription regulation role of miRNA, we also found through mRNA-seq an enrichment of brain morphogenesis-related mRNAs differentially expressed in FTSJ1 loss of function when compared to control LCLs. Interestingly, a cross-analysis of these two RNA sequencing experiments revealed potential miRNA::target mRNA couples among the deregulated RNA populations. This is indicative of possible miRNA silencing changes in the absence of FTSJ1, similarly to what we report earlier in Drosophila FTSJ1 mutant orthologs. The predicted miRNA::mRNA couples need to be further validated individually in neuronal tissues, although their report from miRnet database (Chang et al, 2020) already includes experimental evidence on the miRNA::mRNA regulation, particularly for BTBD3 and SPARC mRNAs (Bryant et al, 2012; Wang et al, 2020; He et al, 2015). In addition to the reported prediction (He et al, 2015), we show that BTBD3 is a bona fide miR-181a-5p target. Surprisingly, both BTBD3 and miR-181a-5p were up-regulated in FTSJ1 depleted patient cells. (Angelova and Dimitrova et al. 2020)suggest that Nm-MTases genes could act upstream of small RNA biogenesis and function through transcriptional downregulation of Argonaute mRNA in Drosophila FTSJ1 mutants (Angelova and Dimitrova et al. 2020) and in human cells (not shown). On the other hand, tRNA fragments (tRF) abundance seen in FTSJ1 mutant fly (Angelova and Dimitrova et al. 2020) and mice (Nagayoshi et al, 2021) can associate with Dicer, Argonaute and Piwi proteins, thus affecting their silencing function. Such tRF-mediated titration of proteins away from canonical substrates has been previously reported in Drosophila and human cell lines (Durdevic et al, 2013; Goodarzi et al, 2015).

Affected individuals carrying mutations in FTSJ1 suffer from ID (Guy et al, 2015; Freude et al, 2004; Ramser et al, 2004) but the mechanism underlying this pathology has remained elusive. A recent report from Nagayoshi et al. added some insight by showing that Ftsj1 loss of function in mice provoke dendritic spine overgrowth at hippocampus and cortex neurons (Nagayoshi et al, 2021), suggesting that a similar alteration of neuron morphology
may exist in human patients, which might impair their functioning. Indeed we observed long, thin protrusion in human neurons affected for FTSJ1 activity. These protrusions are very similar in size and shape to the dendritic spines observed in hippocampus and cortex neurons of Ftsj1 loss of function mice (Nagayoshi et al, 2021). A similar observation was also described earlier for FMRP mutant mice (Braun \& Segal, 2000) and FMRP human affected individuals' brains suffering from ID (Irwin et al, 2000). More examples of improper neuron morphology and in particular spine immaturity were found in additional gene loss of functions causative of ID (Levenga \& Willemsen, 2012). This suggests that the lack of proper neuronal morphology may be a common feature of ID. More work will be required to address how these changes in spine arborization occur in the absence of FTSJ1 and how this translate into the disease. Interestingly in this study we found that BTBD3 mRNA is significantly upregulated in FTSJ1 mutated LCLs. Since BTBD3 controls dendrite orientation in mammalian cortical neurons (Matsui et al, 2013) it will be an interesting target to further characterize in the context of FTSJ1 ID pathology.

A synaptic overgrowth was also observed in Drosophila, indicating that this function of FTSJ1 is conserved across evolution. In addition we found that the long term memory but not the short term was significantly altered in the absence of FTSJ1 in flies. This is consistent with the learning deficits observed in mice and humans. In contrast to Human FTSJ1 and the yeast ortholog TRM7, Drosophila uses two distinct paralogs to methylate positions 32 and 34 , respectively, on tRNAs ACL. Interestingly, we found that the lack of both, Trm7_34 and Trm7_32 had an effect on long term memory, suggesting that the methylation at wobble position 34 and 32 are critical for this function. However, the lack of both modifications (as in mammals Ftsj1 mutant) is not cumulative regarding the memory deficit (Figure. 6). This last observation is strongly supported by the affected human individual that harbours a missense variant (p.Ala26Pro, LCL22 in this study), resulting in loss of $\mathrm{Gm}_{34}$, but not of $\mathrm{Cm}_{32}$ in human tRNA ${ }^{\text {Phe }}$ (Guy et al, 2015). Further studies should aim to understand how the loss of methylation at these ACL positions affects the learning and memory functions.

The heterogeneity of ID makes it extremely challenging for genetic and clinical diagnosis (llyas et al, 2020). Our RiboMethSeq and transcriptomics approaches performed on NSXLID affected individuals have with high confidence extended the panel of FTSJ1's targets. Since our investigation was carried out on LCLs derived from the blood of affected individuals, our resource provides potential new biomarkers for diagnosis of FTSJ1-related ID in the future. For instance, miR-181a-5p, which is detected only in patient derived blood cells, constitutes already a good candidate for such purpose. Therefore our study highlights the usefulness of companion diagnostics in clinical settings, in addition to exome sequencing, for potential discovery of prognostic markers of complex diseases.
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## FIGURES TITLES AND LEGENDS

Figure 1. FTSJ1 targets multiple tRNAs at positions 32 and 34 in humans. Methylation scores (MethScore) for 2'-O-methylated positions in tRNAs showing altered methylation in FTSJ1 loss-of-function mutant LCLs. MethScore (Score C), representing the level of ribose methylation was calculated from protection profiles. Data are shown for positions 32 and 34 in different $H$. sapiens tRNAs as measured in different LCL lines that are indicated with different colour code. Grey: control LCL; blue: FTSJ1 mutant LCLs. Met(CAU)-Cm34 is not deposited by FTSJ1 and shown here as a control (unaltered methylation in FTSJ1 mutants).

Figure 2. FTSJ1 loss of function leads to mRNAs deregulation in NSXLID affected individuals LCLs. (A) FTSJ1 loss of function mRNAs GO term. GO analysis of the 686 deregulated genes in FTSJ1 function-deficient LCLs derived from NSXLID affected individuals ( 5 mutants vs. 2 control LCLs; $p$-values are indicated with error bars on the right of each box. The most enriched GO term is brain morphogenesis. GO analysis was performed using http://geneontology.org/. (B) RT-qPCR analysis confirms deregulation in ZNF711, BTBD3 and SPARC mRNAs expression levels. Normalized to GAPDH steady state levels. $n>3$. $p$-values were calculated with paired Student's $t$-test ${ }^{* *} p<0,01,{ }^{* * *} p<0,001$. WT values: mean of 2 control FTSJ1 LCL. Mutant values: mean of all (x5) FTSJ1 mutant LCLs of this study, or two (LCL MM and LCL 65JW) for ZNF711 qPCR.

Figure 3. FTSJ1 loss of function leads to miRNAs deregulation in NSXLID affected individuals LCLs. (A) Heat map generated using the pheatmap package in $R$ showing the 50 best deregulated miRNAs in p-values, and sorted fold change from most down-regulated (blue) to most up-regulated (red) are represented in two experimental conditions: FTSJ1 loss of function LCLs (blue turquoise) compared to controls LCLs (pink). Condition points to the FTSJ1 LCL status, WT (Control) or mutated for FTSJ1 gene (FTSJ1). The data come from normalized and variance stabilizing transformed read counts using the DESeq2 package in R. (B) Bibliographic search (Table. 4) of the miRNAs deregulated in FTSJ1 loss of function LCLs reveals evidence for many of them as being implicated in cancers or brain development and brain diseases. The number of miRNAs related to brain, cancer and brain-cancer specifically are indicated respectively in the blue, green and red circle. The Venn diagram was generated by http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/. (C) Northern blot analysis with ${ }^{32}$ P-labelled probe specific for hsa-miR-181a-5p confirms the upregulation of this miRNA in FTSJ1 loss of function condition already detected by small RNAseq analysis. A ${ }^{32}$ P-labelled probe specific for human U6 RNA was used to assess equal loading on the blot.

Figure 4. FTSJ1 depletion affects human neurons' neurite spines morphology. (A) DAP induced FTSJ1 inhibition does not affect human NPC to immature neuron differentiation. Immunostainings for DCX and SOX2 were performed on human iPSCs derived NPCs either treated with $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ DAP or equal volume of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for 24 h . Cells were numbered on microscopy acquisitions, and the ratio of DCX expressing cells over total cell number was calculated and expressed in fold change. Error bars represent standard deviation of three independent experiments; n.s: not significant (over 1400 cells numbered for a single experiment). (B). Lower panel: Human NPCs inhibited for FTSJ1 with $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ DAP for 24 h (DAP $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) present an increased number of neurite spines during NPC to immature neuron differentiation. DCX protein expressed in immature neurons is marked in green (DCX). Dashed white line represents the zoom-in zone depicted in the top right corner with a continuous white line. White stars (*) in the magnified inset point to the fine spine neurites. Upper panel: Untreated NPCs (Control). Nuclear staining was performed using DAPI depicted in blue (DAPI). (C) Quantification of thin spines of DCX positive cells (Figure 4B above). Thin projections were numbered and normalized over the total length of the immature neurons as traced and measured by SNT (Fiji plugin). Quantifications were carried out on 5 acquisitions for each experiment (Control and DAP $100 \mu \mathrm{M})$ (>40 branches/acquisition on average). Aggregate of 3 independent experiments. Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney's test **P=0,0098.

Figure 5. FTSJ1 dependent Nm regulates axonal morphlogy in the Drosophila nervous system. Left panel: Representative confocal images of muscle-6/7 NMJ synapses of larval abdominal hemisegments A2-A3 for the indicated genotypes labelled with anti-synaptotagmin (green) and HRP (red) to reveal the synaptic vesicles and the neuronal membrane. Scale bar: $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Right panel: Quantification of normalized bouton number (total number of boutons/muscle surface area (MSA) $\left(\mu m^{2} \times 1,000\right)$ ) (top), normalized axon length (middle) and normalized branching (bottom) of NMJ 6/7 in A2-A3 of the indicated genotypes. Bars show mean $\pm$ s.e.m. Multiple comparisons were performed using one-way ANOVA with a post hoc Sidak-Bonferroni correction. (ns. = not significant; *P < 0.05; ***P < 0.001; ****P < 0.0001). Numbers of replicated neurons (n) are: 74 for WT; 36 for Trm7_32; 29 for Trm7_34; 48 for Trm7_32, Trm7_34 and 34 for WT untreated and 45 for WT treated with DAP. Canton-S larvae were used as wild-type control.

Figure 6. FTSJ1 Drosophila FTSJ1 ortolog Trm7_34 mutants are defective for appetitive long-term memory. Behavioral performances are reported as mean +/- SEM. Statistical significance was tested with a one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey posthoc pairwise comparisons. Asterisks on the barplots indicate the level of significance of the pairwise comparison with control. The $p$-value indicated in the legend corresponds to the output of the ANOVA. (A) Flies were starved on mineral water for 21 hrs and then trained with an appetitive associative olfactory learning protocol (odor paired with sucrose ingestion). Short-term memory (STM) performance was measured 1 hr after learning. The STM score of flies heterozygous mutants for Trm7_32 (+/Trm7_32), Trm7_34 (+/Trm7_34), and double heterozygous Trm7_32; Trm7_34 (Trm7_32;Trm7_34) were not different from their genotypic controls (+/w ${ }^{1118}$ ) ( $n=12$ per condition; $p=0.99$ ). ( $\mathbf{B}$ ) Flies were starved on mineral water for 21 hrs and then trained with an appetitive associative olfactory learning protocol (odor paired with sucrose ingestion). Long-Term Memory (LTM) performance was measured 24 hrs after learning. The LTM score of flies heterozygous mutants for Trm7_32 (+/Trm7_32), Trm7_34 (+/Trm7_34), and double heterozygous Trm7_32; Trm7_34 (Trm7_32;Trm7_34) were severely impaired as compared to their genotypic controls $\left(+/ w^{1118}\right)(n=16-19$ per condition; $p=0.0007)$. (C) Flies were starved on mineral water for 21 hrs , and their attraction to sucrose was then measured. The innate sucrose preference of flies heterozygous mutants for Trm7_32 (+/Trm7_32), Trm7_34 (+/Trm7_34), and double heterozygous Trm7_32; Trm7_34 (Trm7_32;Trm7_34) were not different from their genotypic controls $\left(+/ w^{1118}\right)(n=14$ per condition; $p=0.99)$. (D) Flies were starved on mineral water for 21 hrs , and their avoidance to the odorants used in the olfactory memory assays, 3-octanol (OCT) and 4-methylcyclohexanol (MCH) was then measured. The innate odor avoidance of flies heterozygous mutants for Trm7_32 (+/Trm7_32), Trm7_34 (+/Trm7_34), and double heterozygous Trm7_32; Trm7_34 (Trm7_32;Trm7_34) were not different from their genotypic controls ( $+/ w^{1118}$ ) ( $n=10$ per condition; OCT: $p=0.26$; MCH: $p=$ $0.28)$.

## TABLES AND TABLES LEGENDS

| tRNA $^{\text {Phe(GAA) }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individual | $\mathbf{C m}_{32}$ | $\mathbf{G m}_{34}$ | LCL code name |
| Control <br> individuals | Present | Present | LCL16 <br> LCL18 <br> LCL24 <br> LCL54 |
| Affected individuals <br> with FTSJ1 variant | Absent | Absent | LCL65AW <br> LCL65JW <br> LCL11 |
| Affected individual <br> with FTSJ1 variant | Present | Absent | LCLMM |

Table 1. FTSJ1 targets tRNA $^{\text {Phe }}$ at positions 32 and 34 in humans. Control and affected FTSJ1 individuals Nm status at positions 32 and 34 of human tRNA ${ }^{\text {Phe }}$.

| tRNA target | Human |  |  |  | DrosophilaPreviousRiboMethSeq |  | S. cerevisiaePreviousHPLC and/or MS |  | Mouse <br> Previous HPLC/ MS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current RiboMethSeq |  | Previous <br> HPLC/ MS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N32 | N34 | N32 | N34 | N32 | N34 | N32 | N34 | N32 | N34 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Arg } \\ \text { (UCG1)\$ } \end{gathered}$ | Cm | no | Cm (Li et al, 2020b) | no | no | no | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Arg} \\ \text { (CCG) } \end{gathered}$ | Um | no | Um, Cm (Li et al, 2020b) | Cm ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ <br> (Li et al, <br> 2020b) | no | no | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Arg} \\ \text { (ACG) } \end{gathered}$ | Cm* | 1 | Cm (Li et al, 2020b) | no | Cm (Angelova $\&$ Dimitrova et al, 2020 ) | no | n.d. | n.d. | Cm (Nagayoshi et al, 2021) | n.d. |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Arg } \\ (\text { UCG2)\$ } \end{gathered}$ | Cm | no | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| Leu $\begin{gathered} \text { (CAG_CAA) } \\ 91 \% \_9 \% \end{gathered}$ | Um | no | no | Cm (Li et al, 2020b; Kawarada et al, 2017) | Cm (Angelova $\&$ Dimitrova et al, 2020 ) | Cm <br> (Angelova <br> $\&$ <br> Dimitrova <br> et al, <br> 2020 ) | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | Um_ $h^{5}{ }^{5} \mathrm{Cm}$ (Nagayoshi et al, 2021) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leu } \\ & \text { (UAA) } \end{aligned}$ | no | U'm* | n.d. | no | no | no | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cm } \\ \text { (Guy et } \\ \text { al, } \\ \text { 2012b) } \end{gathered}$ | ncm ${ }^{5}$ Um (Glasser et al, 1992; Guy et al, 2012b) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cm } \\ \text { (Nagayoshi } \\ \text { et al, } \\ 2021 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{ncm}^{5} \mathrm{Um}$ <br> (Nagayoshi <br> et al, 2021) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Leu } \\ \text { (A/IAG) } \\ 76 \% \end{gathered}$ | U/ $\psi \mathrm{m}$ | 1 | n.d. | no | no | no | n.d. | n.d. | $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \mathrm{m}$ <br> (Nagayoshi <br> et al, <br> 2021) | n.d. |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Leu } \\ \text { (UAG) } \\ 24 \% \end{gathered}$ | U/ $/ \mathrm{m}$ | no | n.d. | no | no | no | n.d. | n.d. | Um (Nagayoshi et al, 2021) | n.d. |
| Phe (GAA) | Cm* | Gm | $\quad$ Cm (Guy et al, 2015; Li et al, 2020b; Nagayos hi et al, 2021) | Gm <br> (Guy et al, 2015; Li et <br> al, 2020b; <br> Nagayoshi <br> et al, <br> 2021) | $\mathrm{Cm} *$ (Angelova $\&$ Dimitrova et al, 2020) |  <br> Dimitrova et al, 2020) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cm } \\ \text { (Guy et } \\ \text { al, } \\ \text { 2012b) } \end{gathered}$ | Gm <br> (Guy et <br> al, 2012b) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cm } \\ \text { (Nagayoshi } \\ \text { et al, } \\ 2021 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | Gm (Nagayoshi et al, 2021) |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trp } \\ & \text { (CCA) } \end{aligned}$ | Cm | Cm | Cm (Guy et <br> al, 2015; <br> Li et al, <br> 2020b; <br> Nagayos hi et al, 2021) | Cm <br> (Guy et al, 2015; Li et <br> al, 2020b; <br> Nagayoshi <br> et al, <br> 2021) | Cm <br>  <br> Dimitrova et al, 2020) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Cm } \\ \text { (Angelova } \\ \& \\ \text { Dimitrova } \\ \text { et al, } \\ 2020 \text { ) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cm } \\ \text { (Guy et } \\ \text { al, } \\ \text { 2012b) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cm } \\ \text { (Guy et } \\ \text { al, } \\ \text { 2012b) } \end{gathered}$ | Cm <br> (Nagayoshi <br> et al, <br> 2021) | Cm <br> (Nagayoshi et al, 2021) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GIn (CUG_UUG) 92\%_8\% | Cm | no | Cm (Li et al, 2020b) | n.d. | Cm (Angelova $\&$ Dimitrova et al, 2020 ) | no | n.d. | n.d. | Cm <br> (Nagayoshi <br> et al, <br> 2021) |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gly } \\ & \text { (CCC) } \end{aligned}$ | Um | no | n.d. | no | no | no | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Val } \\ \text { (AAC_CAC_TAC) } \\ 73 \% \_26 \% \_1 \% \end{gathered}$ | no | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (\mathrm{AAC}) \end{gathered}$ | Cm <br> (Nagayo shi et al, 2021) | n.d. | Cm (Angelova $\&$ Dimitrova et al, 2020 ) | no | n.d. | n.d. | Cm <br> (Nagayoshi <br> et al, <br> 2021) |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Pro } \\ \text { (AGG_CGG_UGG) } \\ 34 \% \_23 \% \_42 \% \end{gathered}$ | Um* | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { (AGC) } \end{gathered}$ | no | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Cys } \\ \text { (GCA_ACA) } \\ 97 \% \text { _3\% } \end{gathered}$ | Cm* | no | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| Met <br> (CAU) <br> non FTSJ1 Target | no | Cm | no | Cm <br> (Vitali \& Kiss, 2019; <br> Li et al, 2020b) | no | no | no | no | n.d. | n.d. |

Table 2. FTSJ1 targets multiple human tRNAs at positions 32 and 34. A summary of tRNA nucleotides revealed to date, including by the current study, as targets of human FTSJ1, as well as those targeted by Drosophila Trm7_32 and Trm7_34, and yeast Trm7 in the respective organisms. For the tRNA targets are given the isotype (determined by the bound amino acid) and the isoacceptor (determined by the ACL sequence). In blue are highlighted the studies done with the site-specific RiboMethSeq and in grey, the ones done by mass spectrometry (MS) single nucleotide analysis. n.d. stands for non-determined and indicates that the tRNA was not tested or if tested the data was not analysable. no stands for non-detected $\mathrm{Nm} . \mathrm{Cm}, \mathrm{Gm}$ and Um stand for 2'-O-methylated respectively C, G and U nucleotides.* indicates Nm RiboMethSeq detection by visual inspection of the raw reads profile not MethScore, see Figure S1D for an example. When several anticodon sequences are present for tRNA isoacceptors, proportion of every sequence in the healthy subject is indicated on the bottom. $\mathrm{Cm}^{\#}$ indicates Cm detection in ( Li et $\mathrm{al}, 2020 \mathrm{~b}$ ) that could be due to a high sequence similarity with another tRNAArg, tRNAArg(CCG)-2-1 containing a C32. The observed Cm decrease in FTSJ1 KO cells in this study may come from C32 of tRNAArg(CCG)-2-1 that was modified by FTSJ1 and not from the C34 level of tRNAArg(CCG). $\mathrm{Cm}^{@}$ indicates hm5Cm34 or 55 Cm 34 in tRNALeu(CAA) shown in (Kawarada et al, 2017). I stand for inosine (FTSJ1 independent). U? ${ }^{*}$ * indicates clear FTSJ1-dependence, however, the exact nature of this modified U remains unknown. tRNAArg (UCG) and (CCG) have identical sequences but differ only at positions 32 and 34 . \$ stand for UCG isodecoders (sequences in the Material and Methods section). tRNALeu (A/IAG) and (UAG) are isoacceptors, they differs only by the N34 nucleotide, and both have Um32 (or $\psi \mathrm{m} 32$ ).

| \# | Symbol | baseMean _mutant | baseMean _wt | log2FoldChange _Mutant_vs_WT | padj | \# | Symbol | baseMea n_mutan t | baseMean _wt | log2FoldChange _Mutant_vs_WT | padj |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SASH1 | 1002,73 | 7,65 | 7,33 | 2,69E-41 | 36 | RNASE6 | 639,96 | 1645,30 | -1,72 | 1,43E-07 |
| 2 | FCRL4 | 515,44 | 7,09 | 6,05 | $2,08 \mathrm{E}-26$ | 37 | CD38 | 3629,12 | 297,01 | 2,52 | 1,60E-07 |
| 3 | GSTT1 | 381,66 | 1,49 | 8,82 | 1,81E-18 | 38 | LOC728640 | 2914,46 | 2322,11 | 0,80 | 2,04E-07 |
| 4 | PPP1R21 | 5078,43 | 6380,13 | -1,06 | 1,92E-17 | 39 | APBB2 | 1332,24 | 3371,16 | -2,21 | 2,18E-07 |
| 5 | TINAG | 522,56 | 2,62 | 7,59 | 5,23E-17 | 40 | USMG5 | 7566,88 | 6750,46 | 0,76 | 2,45E-07 |
| 6 | ADCY6 | 544,04 | 17,61 | 3,38 | 1,20E-16 | 41 | FBN2 | 638,23 | 76,83 | 3,67 | 2,64E-07 |
| 7 | DSC2 | 990,21 | 134,24 | 3,01 | 9,55E-15 | 42 | HTR7 | 2,11 | 273,06 | -21,67 | 3,12E-07 |
| 8 | IL17RB | 3188,28 | 616,47 | 3,45 | 1,22E-14 | 43 | ALOX5 | 1890,29 | 5091,55 | -2,91 | 3,96E-07 |
| 9 | ABCA12 | 1267,89 | 3695,42 | -3,75 | 1,49E-14 | 44 | DDX60L | 962,23 | 110,32 | 2,42 | 5,75E-07 |
| 10 | JAZF1 | 333,25 | 17,53 | 5,14 | 1,99E-14 | 45 | B3GALNT1 | 617,28 | 26,67 | 4,51 | 8,73E-07 |
| 11 | TNRC6C | 1612,67 | 274,90 | 2,90 | 3,30E-14 | 46 | COX7B | 12243,87 | 9892,47 | 0,64 | 1,05E-06 |
| 12 | SYNE1 | 4579,60 | 5860,78 | -0,97 | 2,35E-13 | 47 | CBLB | 3203,88 | 5817,18 | -1,72 | 1,33E-06 |
| 13 | CPXM1 | 2071,71 | 6,69 | 8,34 | 2,34E-12 | 48 | PAPLN | 1212,67 | 3785,94 | -1,77 | 1,35E-06 |
| 14 | FNIP2 | 787,53 | 60,32 | 2,80 | 8,93E-12 | 49 | ANKRD26P3 | 561,85 | 2,58 | 8,71 | 1,79E-06 |
| 15 | CDH2 | 1169,70 | 45,93 | 5,50 | 1,06E-10 | 50 | ACVR2B | 355,06 | 771,16 | -1,78 | 1,88E-06 |
| 16 | TBX15 | 2674,86 | 22,11 | 5,58 | 1,52E-10 | 51 | RBPMS | 341,58 | 0,52 | 8,65 | 2,01E-06 |
| 17 | C14orf105 | 2783,34 | 168,09 | 3,35 | 4,51E-10 | 52 | PSMD7 | 34420,17 | 28659,74 | 0,64 | 2,07E-06 |
| 18 | AMPD3 | 1793,58 | 4186,29 | -2,29 | 5,50E-10 | 53 | MPHOSPH8 | 33819,66 | 28333,28 | 0,63 | 2,38E-06 |
| 19 | GAS2 | 2013,39 | 25,82 | 5,45 | 7,09E-10 | 54 | CTSW | 110,71 | 8,47 | 5,36 | 2,71E-06 |
| 20 | EVC | 293,08 | 3187,02 | -6,61 | 7,09E-10 | 55 | MY09B | 10534,78 | 14242,52 | -0,53 | 2,84E-06 |
| 21 | TNFAIP2 | 1331,48 | 3608,85 | -1,82 | 1,15E-09 | 56 | IQGAP2 | 6537,41 | 9197,51 | -1,20 | 3,22E-06 |
| 22 | TSPYL5 | 829,00 | 72,33 | 3,10 | 1,19E-09 | 57 | AMOTL1 | 2535,84 | 68,85 | 3,67 | 3,94E-06 |
| 23 | HERC5 | 11068,07 | 2191,72 | 1,45 | 1,98E-09 | 58 | MANEAL | 354,94 | 982,06 | -1,69 | 4,72E-06 |
| 24 | UBE2QL1 | 205,44 | 53,77 | 3,16 | 2,23E-09 | 59 | SPATS2L | 7329,68 | 2990,72 | 0,97 | 4,97E-06 |
| 25 | ARHGAP6 | 3915,43 | 352,19 | 3,53 | 2,73E-09 | 60 | VEGFB | 6472,65 | 5415,88 | 0,90 | 5,21E-06 |
| 26 | SLAIN1 | 6757,48 | 3157,85 | 1,24 | 2,73E-09 | 61 | ATP1B1 | 7552,46 | 859,00 | 2,47 | 5,25E-06 |
| 27 | CERS6 | 5027,25 | 5425,85 | -1,13 | 3,74E-09 | 62 | SIX3 | 800,36 | 1203,65 | -6,36 | 5,25E-06 |
| 28 | ATP8B1 | 296,62 | 13,02 | 3,73 | 5,99E-09 | 63 | LOC285972 | 1639,86 | 2658,04 | -1,16 | 7,04E-06 |
| 29 | GRIA3 | 43,74 | 504,25 | -3,95 | 7,66E-09 | 64 | MYO18A | 8284,31 | 9316,46 | -0,69 | 8,77E-06 |
| 30 | MARCH8 | 1078,97 | 1225,73 | -1,64 | 7,68E-09 | 65 | L1TD1 | 67,03 | 1,01 | 8,23 | 8,90E-06 |
| 31 | DUSP4 | 17734,90 | 5898,88 | 1,94 | 1,58E-08 | 66 | RRP7B | 3521,07 | 2614,81 | 0,94 | 9,80E-06 |
| 32 | EPB41L5 | 1929,07 | 494,79 | 1,93 | 1,70E-08 | 67 | SPARC | 4705,62 | 16484,18 | -1,60 | 1,51E-05 |
| 33 | ZNF711 | 1265,24 | 3592,15 | -3,34 | 1,05E-07 | 68 | ESF1 | 32558,55 | 26226,19 | 0,69 | 1,60E-05 |
| 34 | RGS2 | 1264,73 | 83,46 | 3,85 | 1,26E-07 | 69 | FUT8 | 10906,46 | 16945,75 | -0,94 | 1,64E-05 |
| 35 | TP53BP2 | 2231,99 | 622,32 | 2,13 | 1,41E-07 | 70 | MIR363 | 109,28 | 0,00 | 8,71 | 1,71E-05 |

Table 3. FTSJ1 loss of function leads to mRNAs deregulation in NSXLID affected individuals LCLs. A list of the 70 most significantly deregulated mRNAs in FTSJ1 LCLs mutants versus controls.

| miRNA | Brain related | Brain cancer related | Cancer related |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hsa-miR-20b-5p | - | - | (Khuu et al, 2016) |
| hsa-miR-222-3p | (Lau et al, 2013) <br> (Kretschmann et al, 2015) <br> (Kan et al, 2012) <br> (Risbud \& Porter, 2013) | (Gillies \& Lorimer, 2007) (Zhang et al, 2010) | - |
| hsa-miR-548ax | - | neuroblastoma for other miR-548 family members | (Watahiki et al, 2011) (also others cancers for other miR-548 family members) |
| hsa-miR-125b-2-3p | yes | yes | yes |
| hsa-miR-221-3p | (Kretschmann et al, 2015) (Kan et al, 2012) (Risbud \& Porter, 2013) (Ding et al, 2016) (Ma et al, 2016) (Roser et al, 2018) | (see miR-222-3p) | (Fornari et al, 2008) |
| hsa-miR-335-3p | yes | yes | yes |
| hsa-miR-181b-2-3p | (see miR(181a-5p) | (see miR(181a-5p) | (see miR(181a-5p) |
| hsa-miR-99a-5p | yes | yes | yes |
| hsa-miR-10a-5p | (Gui et al, 2015) (Roser et al, 2018) | (Tehler et al, 2011) (Lund, 2010) | (Tehler et al, 2011) (Lund, 2010) |
| hsa-miR-181b-3p | (see miR(181a-5p) | (see miR(181a-5p) | (see miR(181a-5p) |
| hsa-miR-106a-5p | yes | yes | yes |
| hsa-miR-181a-2-3p | (see miR(181a-5p) | (see miR(181a-5p) | (see miR(181a-5p) |
| hsa-miR-146a-5p | yes | yes | yes |
| hsa-miR-4482-3p | - | - | - |
| hsa-miR-125b-5p | yes | yes | yes |
| hsa-miR-450b-5p | - | - | yes |
| hsa-miR-424-3p | yes | - | yes |
| hsa-miR-363-3p | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { (Lau et al, 2013) } \\ & \text { (Kiyosawa et al, 2019) } \end{aligned}$ | (Conti et al, 2016) (Qiao et al, 2013) | (Jiang et al, 2018) <br> (Ye et al, 2017) <br> (Hu et al, 2016) <br> (Wang et al, 2016) <br> (Karatas et al, 2016) <br> (Chapman et al, 2015) <br> (Zhang et al, 2016) <br> (Khuu et al, 2016) |
| hsa-let-7c-5p | - | - | - |
| hsa-miR-450a-5p | yes | - | yes |
| hsa-miR-18b-5p | - | - | - |
| hsa-miR-550a-3p | - | - | yes |
| hsa-miR-181a-5p | (Zhang et al, 2017) (Ding et al, 2016) (Roser et al, 2018) | (Shi et al, 2008) | (Yang et al, 2017) (Li et al, 2015) |
| hsa-miR-550b-2-5p | - | - | yes |
| hsa-miR-181a-3p | (see miR(181a-5p) | (see miR(181a-5p) | (see miR(181a-5p) |
| hsa-miR-181b-5p | (see miR(181a-5p) | (see miR(181a-5p) | (see miR(181a-5p) |
| hsa-miR-183-5p | yes | yes | yes |
| hsa-miR-99a-3p | yes | yes | yes |


| hsa-miR-135a-5p | yes | yes | yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hsa-miR-146b-5p | yes | yes | yes |
| hsa-miR-542-5p | - | yes | yes |
| hsa-miR-944 | yes | - | yes |
| hsa-miR-625-5p | - | - | - |
| hsa-miR-625-3p | - | - | - |
| hsa-miR-4772-5p | - | - | yes |
| hsa-miR-182-5p | yes | yes | yes |
| Total \# | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ |  |

Table 4. Bibliographic search on miRNA deregulated in FTSJ1 loss-of-function LCL mutant cell line. The list shows for each miRNA if any link was found to brain development or brain-related diseases, also cancer and specifically to brain-cancers. The references are given for most of the miRNAs. The color code of the miRNA names indicates if they were found to be up- (red) or down-regulates (blue) in FTSJ1 mutant LCLs derived from NSXLID affected individuals compared to control LCLs derived from healthy individuals.
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GO Biological Process
Brain morphogenesis (GO:0048854)
Mitochondrial ATP synthesis coupled electron transport (GO:0042775) ATP synthesis coupled electron transport (GO:0042773) Oxidative phosphorylation (GO:0006119) NIK/NF-kappaB signaling (GO:0038061) Mitochondrial translational elongation (GO:0070125) Mitochondrial translational termination (GO:0070126) Translational termination (GO:0006415) Translational elongation (GO:0006414) Respiratory electron transport chain (GO:0022904) Establishment of cell polarity (GO:0030010) Establishment or maintenance of cell polarity (GO:0007163)

Cellular protein complex disassembly (GO:0043624) Regulation of morphogenesis of an epithelium (GO:1905330) T cell receptor signaling pathway (GO:0050852)

ATP metabolic process (GO:0046034)
Actin cytoskeleton organization (GO:0030036) Actin filament-based process (GO:0030029) Positive regulation of hydrolase activity ( $\mathrm{GO}: 0051345$ ) Negative regulation of cell communication (GO:0010648)
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B


| LCL16 | LCL18 | LCL25 | LCL54 | LCL22 | LCL11 | LCL65AW | LCL65JW | LCLMM | Condition | Condition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-4482-3p | FTSJ1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-125b-2-3p | Control |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-135a-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-99a-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-99a-3p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-125b-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-335-3p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-542-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-let-7c-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-944 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-450b-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-450a-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-1910-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-424-3p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-183-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-96-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-550b-2-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-3194-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-550a-3p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-182-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-424-5p | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-625-3p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-625-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-221-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-222-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-106a-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-146a-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-3529-3p | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-7-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-146b-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-18b-5p | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-181b-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-222-3p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-190a-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-181a-2-3p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-221-3p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-4772-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-181b-2-3p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-181a-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-363-3p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-181a-3p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-20b-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-181b-3p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-363-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-10a-3p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-10a-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-3614-3p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-455-5p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-18b-3p |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hsa-miR-548ax |  |
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