Home range and movement patterns of the Andean Fox *Lycalopex culpaeus* (Molina 1782) in Cotopaxi National Park, Ecuador

Armando Castellanos, Francisco X. Castellanos*, Roland Kays, and Jorge Brito

* Corresponding author: Francisco X. Castellanos, Andean Bear Foundation, Quito, Ecuador; and Instituto Nacional de Biodiversidad (INABIO), Calle Rumipamba 341 y Av. de Los Shyris. 17-07-8976, Quito, Ecuador

Armando Castellanos: Andean Bear Foundation, Quito, Ecuador; and Instituto Nacional de Biodiversidad (INABIO), Calle Rumipamba 341 y Av. de Los Shyris. 17-07-8976, Quito, Ecuador

Roland Kays: North Carolina Museum of Natural Sciences, Raleigh, NC, USA; and Department of Forestry & Environmental Resources, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA.

Jorge Brito: Instituto Nacional de Biodiversidad (INABIO), Calle Rumipamba 341 y Av. de Los Shyris. 17-07-8976, Quito, Ecuador

Castellanos et al.: Andean fox home range and movement patterns

Word count: 2,309

Abstract: Here we report movement patterns and home range estimates of an Andean fox (*Lycalopex culpaeus*) in Cotopaxi National Park, representing the first GPS-tagging of the species. The GPS functioned well during the 197-day tracking period. Home range sizes ranged between 4.9 - 8.1 km^2, depending on the estimation method. Movement speeds averaged 0.17 km/hr. at day vs. 0.87 km/hr. at night, and distance traveled averaged 0.23 km at day vs. 0.89 km at night. These results are part of research in Ecuador studying the behavior and interactions of mammals with other species and humans.

The Andean Fox (*Lycalopex culpaeus* Molina 1782) is native to western South America, distributed from southern Colombia to southern Argentina (Ramírez-Chaves et al. 2013). It is the second-largest canid in South America (Sillero-Zubiri 2009), with nocturnal and crepuscular habits (Monteverde and Piudo 2011). The Andean fox is an opportunist that typically eats small mammals but can also feed on fruits (Cadena-Ortíz et al. 2020).

Studies of the home range of the Andean Fox have found sizes of 3.8–18.8 km^2 in females and 1.4–7.3 km^2 in males (Table 1). However, studies so far have only used VHF tracking collars, and been conducted in a limited part of its wide range. Herein, we report the first study of movement of Andean Fox in Ecuador which also represents the first use of GPS collars for this species.

On 26 September 2019 we captured an adult male Andean Fox in the Cotopaxi National Park (0° 33' 42.1" S 78° 26' 00.5" W; 3,647 m.a.s.l; Fig. 1A) with a wire box trap. We immobilized the animal with an intramuscular dart with a combination of

Ketamine hydrochloride and Xylazine hydrochloride (Castellanos et al. 2020), weighed (10.4 kg), measured (head length = 21 cm.; body length = 65 cm.; neck circumference = 29 cm.; chest circumference = 41 cm.; and tail length = 53 cm.) and assessed its health status (healthy). We fit the animal with an Iridium/GPS collar, Lite model of 450 g., (Vectronic Aerospace GmbH, Berlin, Germany), which also was equipped with a VHF transmitter, temperature sensor, mortality sensor, and a drop-off mechanism. Capture was performed through scientific research authorization No MAAE-ARSFC-2020-0642, and guidelines of the Ministry of Environment of Ecuador for the use and care of animals were followed.

This fox, nicknamed "Mashca" was monitored from September 26, 2019 to April 10, 2020 (197 days) until its death, due to unknown reasons. GPS sampling rates were set to two hours. We were not able to immediately retrieve the fox specimen after death due to transportation restrictions in effect due to the COVID-19 pandemic in Ecuador. However, these stationary GPS positions taken following Mashca's death were used as opportunistic calibration data to calculate the location error for the collar (Fleming et al. 2020).

GPS fixes were successful in 2,332 of 2,367 attempts (98.5%) (Castellanos et al. in prep). To filter out low quality fixes we removed 35 GPS positions with DOP > 3 values and 2D-3D GPS fix types, leaving 2,332 high quality locations. Moreover, three positions were manually marked as outliers since they were evident incorrect coordinates based on impossibly fast movements. We estimated home ranges using four different methods: Minimum Convex Polygon (MCP), Kernel Density Estimate (KDE), Autocorrelated Kernel Density Estimate (AKDE), and Nearest-Neighbor Convex Hull k-method (k-NNCH) (Fleming and Calabrese 2017; Getz and Wilmers 2004; Lyons et al. 2013; Mohr 1947; Seaman and Powel 1996) using R v4.0.0 (R Core Team 2020), and the adehabitatHR, and T-LoCoH packages (Calabrese et al. 2016;

Calenge 2006; Lyons et al. 2018). The latter was also used to examine hull metrics for time-use dimensions in order to identify habitat usage and behavior patterns. An inter-visit gap period of $\geq$12 hours was defined to obtain the average duration of each visit (mnlv; mean number of locations per visit), and visitation rates to the hulls (nsv; number of separate visits).

The 95% MCP method resulted in a 6.22 km^2 home range. The 95% KDE estimate was 8.1 km^2 (Fig. 1C) while the 95% AKDE estimates was 6.92 km^2. Lastly, the T-LoCoH package allowed to estimate a 95% home range of 4.91 km^2 (Fig. 1B). We also estimated the core area using the 50% AKDE (1.99km^2) and 50% T-LoCoH (1.53km^2) isopleths. These core areas overlapped with sites with high anthropogenic activity, especially in Los Mortiños Hostal and agricultural fields. In general, the fox moved around its home range without staying for more than ~5 hours in one area (Fig. 1D). Duration of visit (mnlv) around the crops was of ~1-5 hours and visitation rates (nsv) estimate that hulls were visited from ~60-140 times. Likewise, mnlv around Los Mortiños Hostal indicate that visits didn't last longer than ~7 hours, although Mashca stayed over 10 hours few times, and nsv were lower than around crop areas mostly between ~40-80 times (Fig. 1D).

Our data supports the current knowledge of the nocturnal and crepuscular activity of this species. Distances, and speeds were greater during night hours, probably due to increasing foraging and hunting behaviors (Fig. 2). In daylight, Mashca traveled minimum and maximum distances of 0 and 2.7 km, respectively (average 0.23 ± 0.39 km). Whereas, at night it traveled minimum distances of 0 km and maximum of 3.34 km (average 0.89 ± 0.7 km). Average speed during the whole tracking period in daylight was 4.02 km/day, while instantaneous speeds estimates were 0.17 ± 0.05 km/hr. with minimum speeds around 0.14 km/hr. and maximum of 0.63 km/hr. At night, the average speed of the complete sampling period was 20.8 km/night, and instantaneous

speeds were around 0.87 ± 0.93 km/hr. with minimum and maximum speeds of $0.76 - 1.35$ km/hr. respectively (Fig. 2).

This is the first GPS collaring of this species, showing that these new smaller tracking units can collect useful data in mountainous terrain, with an accuracy of 35 m. We used a combination of older (MCP) and newer (AKDE) home range estimates to facilitate comparison with past studies. Our estimates for home range size for the Ecuadorian Andean fox are larger than the ones obtained in other studies (Table 1). We suspect some of this difference is due to the difficulty of recording VHF locations compared to GPS, especially in difficult terrain. Indeed, Olarte et al. (2009) had 190 fixes in a single female, while Johnson and Franklin (1994) had a minimum of 20 and a mean of 45 observations per individual (Table 1). In comparison, we obtained 2,332 high quality locations in 6 months, with very few missed fixes, obtaining an unbiased and comprehensive measure of animal movement, compared to VHF tracking.

The AKDE and T-LoCoH core and home range areas presented in this study are the first for this species. Interestingly, core areas overlap highly anthropized areas (Fig. 1B). On one hand this suggests the species is adapted to human disturbance. However, the early mortality of this individual might also show the riskiness of this strategy (although cause of death could not be determined).

Even though no strong conclusions can be drawn from the data analysis of a single male specimen, it is noticeable that home ranges estimated in our study are different from several specimens of different South American countries, probably due to the high number and high quality of locations recorded (Table 1).

With the success of this pilot study, additional work should continue to expand the knowledge of this species across its range, incorporating satellite tracking technology and modern analytical methods to estimate animal movement and home

ranges in order to learn more about their interrelationships with other species, and with humans.

Acknowledgements: We thank the Cotopaxi Provincial Office/MAAE, Cotopaxi National Park and their park rangers, Watershed and Water Protection Fund (FONAG), Wilson Lutuala for the help provided, Susana Escandón for the research sponsorship, and Angel Yánez-Zapata for his assistance in field work. Special thanks to Christen Fleming and Shauhin Alavi for their help with the ctmm package.

Author contributions: Armando Castellanos and Jorge Brito conceived this study and gathered data. Francisco Castellanos analyzed data guided by Roland Kays. All authors wrote and reviewed the manuscript prior to submission.

Research funding: The procurement of the collars has been funded by the International Climate Initiative (ICI or IKI in German) of the German Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation, Building and Nuclear Safety with support of KfW Development Bank (BMZ project no. 2098 10 987).

Conflict of interest statement: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Compliance with ethical standards: Handling and all activities regarding specimens followed ethical procedures recommended by the American Society of Mammalogists (Sikes et al. 2016).

References

Cadena-Ortíz, H., Ordóñez-Pozo, C., Freire, E., and Brito, J. (2020). Dieta del zorro andino *Lycalopex culpaeus* (Molina, 1782) (Mammalia: Carnivora: Canidae) en la Reserva Ecológica Los Ilinizas, Ecuador. Ecotrópicos 32: e0011.

Calabrese, J. M., Flemming, C. H., and Gurarie, E. (2016). ctmm: An R package for analyzing animal relocation data as a continuous-time stochastic process. Methods Ecol. Evol. 7: 1124–1132.

Calenge, C. (2006). The package adehabitat for the R software: a tool for the analysis of space and habitat use by animals. Ecol. Model. 197: 516–519.

Castellanos, A., Yánez, A.E., Carrillo, J., Castellanos, F., and Arias, L. (2020). First report of canine distemper in the Andean fox (*Lycalopex culpaeus*) in Ecuador. Boletín Técnico 15, Serie Zoológica 16: 5–9.

Fleming, C.H. and Calabrese, J.M. (2017). A new kernel density estimator for accurate home-range and species-range area estimation. Methods Ecol. Evol. 8: 571–579.

Fleming, C.H., Drescher-Lehman, J., Noonan, M.J., Akre T.S.B., Brown, D.J., Cochrane, M.M., Dejid, N., DeNicola, V., DePerno, C.S., and Dunlop, J.N. et al. (2020). A comprehensive framework for handling location error in animal tracking data. bioRxiv, DOI: 10.1101/2020.06.12.130195

Getz, W. and Wilmers, C. (2004). A local nearest-neighbor convex-hull construction of home ranges and utilization distributions. Ecography 27: 489–505.

Johnson, W.E. and Franklin, W.L. (1994). Spatial resource partitioning by sympatric grey fox (*Dusicyon griseus*) and culpeo fox (*Dusicyon culpaeus*) in Southern Chile. Can. J. Zool. 72: 1788–1793.

Juarez, K.M. and Marinho-Filho, J. (2002). Diet, habitat use, and home ranges of sympatric canids in central Brazil. J. Mammal. 83(4): 925–933.

Lyons, A.J, Turner, W.C., and Getz, W. (2013). A space-time characterization of movement over real landscapes. Mov. Ecol. 1(2): 1–14.

Lyons, A.J. and Getz, W.M. (2018). T-LoCoH: time local convex hull home range and time-use analysis. R package version 1.40.05. Available at: <http://tlocoh.r-forge.r-project.org> (Accessed August 09 2020).

Mohr, C.O. (1947). Table of equivalent populations of North American small mammals. Am. Midl. Nat. 37: 223–249.

Monteverde, M.F. and Piudo L. (2011). Activity patterns of the culpeo fox (*Lycalopex culpaeus magellanica*) in a non-hunting area of northwetersn Patagonia, Argentina. Mamm. Study 36: 119–125.

Olarte, K.M., Jiménez, J. E., Pacheco, L.F., and Gallardo, G. (2009). Actividad y uso del hábitat de un zorro culpeo y su cría (*Pseudalopex culpaeus*) en el Parque Nacional Sajama (Oruro, Bolivia). Ecol. Boliv. 44: 131–135.

R Development Core Team. (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing (version 4.0.0). R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available at: <http://www.R-project.org>

Ramírez-Chaves, H.E., Chaves-Salazar, J.M., and Mendoza-Escobar, R.H. (2013). Nuevo registro del lobo de páramo *Lycalopex culpaeus* (Mammalia: Canidae) en el suroccidente de Colombia con notas sobre su distribución en el país. Acta Zool. Mex. 29(2): 412–422.

Salvatori, V., Vaglio-Laurin, G., Meserve, P. L., Boitani, L., and Campanella, A. (1999). Spatial organization, activity, and social interactions of culpeo foxes (*Pseudalopex culpaeus*) in north-central Chile. J. Mammal. 80: 980–985.

Seaman, D.E. and Powell, R.A. (1996). An evaluation of the accuracy of kernel density estimators for home range analysis. Ecology 77: 2075–2085.

Sikes, R.S., Animal Care and Use Committee of the American Society of Mammalogists. (2016). 2016 Guidelines of the American Society of

Mammalogists for the use of wild mammals in research and education. J. Mammal. 97: 663–688.

Sillero-Zubiri, C. (2009). Family Canidae (Dogs). In: D.E. Wilson and Mittermeier R.A. (Eds.). *Handbook of the Mammals of the World.* Vol. 1. Barcelona, Spain, pp. 352–446.

TABLE LEGENDS

n	N	Species	Tracking method	MCP	MH	Kernel methods	AKDE	LoCoH	Location	Reference
1	2332	*Lycalopex culpaeus*	Iridium/GPS collar	6.22		8.1	6.92	4.91	Cotopaxi National Park, Ecuador	This study
20	20-45	*Lycalopex culpaeus*	VHF radio collar	3.5 ± 0.6	7.7 ± 1.4				Torres del Paine National Park, Chile	Johnson and Franklin 1994
7	3967	*Lycalopex culpaeus*	Collar-mounted VHF transmitter	3.31 (1.4 – 7.3)	3.37 (1.6 – 8.4)	2.24 (1.1 – 5.7)			Fray Jorge National Park, Chile	Salvatori et al. 1999
1*	60	*Lycalopex vetulus*	TW-3 radio transmitter	3.85					Rio Pratudão Ranch, Central Brazil	Juarez and Marinho-Filho 2002
1*	190	*Lycalopex culpaeus*	VHF radio collar	18.8					Sajama National Park, Bolivia	Olarte et al. 2009

Table 1. n = sample of adult males, females are shown with an asterisk. Johnson and Franklin (1994) estimate home ranges for both sexes simultaneously; N = recorded locations; MCP = Minimum Convex Polygon; MH = Mean harmonic; AKDE = Autocorrelated Kernel Density Estimate; LoCoH = Local Convex Hull. Only 95% estimates of all methods are shown, except for Johnson and Franklin (1994) 100% MCP. Only seasonal home ranges are shown for a better comparison with our 6-month tracking period. Values are measured in km^2.

FIGURES LEGENDS

Figure 1. A) Study site located in Cotopaxi National Park B) Home range (violet) and core area (yellow) of Mashca created from 2,332 locations using the fixed k-method. C) 95% KDE D) Mashca's fixed positions colored according to the mean number of locations per visit. Color time representations are shown to the left and measured in hours. Hulls were created from 2,329 locations using the fixed k-method.

Figure 2. Instantaneous speeds estimates obtained through the ctmm package. Mashca's speeds during the day and night are shown in gray and yellow, respectively. Dotted lines show average speeds.