Do size and shape matter? Exploring the interactions and the

metabolome of the soil isolate *Hylemonella gracilis*

Olaf Tyc[1,2]*, Purva Kulkarni[1,3], Adam Ossowicki[1], Vittorio Tracanna[4], Marnix H.

Medema[4], Peter van Baarlen[5], W.F.J. van Ijcken[6], Koen J. F. Verhoeven[1] and Paolina

Garbeva[1, 7]

[1]Netherlands Institute of Ecology (NIOO-KNAW), Department of Microbial Ecology, PO
BOX 50, 6700 AB Wageningen, Netherlands
10
11 [2]Goethe University, Department of Internal Medicine I, University Hospital Frankfurt,
12 Theodor-Stern-Kai 7, 60590 Frankfurt, Germany
13
14 [3]Translational Metabolic Laboratory, Radboud University Medical Center, Geert Grooteplein
15 zuid 10, 6525 GA Nijmegen, Netherlands
16
17 [4]Wageningen University, Department of Plant Sciences, Bioinformatics Group,
18 Droevendaalsesteeg 1, 6708 PB Wageningen, Netherlands
19
20 [5]Wageningen University, Department of Animal Sciences, Host-Microbe Interactomics,
21 Postbus 338, 6700 WD Wageningen, Netherlands
22
23 [6]Center for Biomics, Erasmus University Medical Center, Building Ee, Room Ee6.79b

24 Wytemaweg 80, 3015 CN Rotterdam Rotterdam, Netherlands

25
[7]Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Natural and Life Sciences,

University of Copenhagen, Denmark

Correspondence:
Goethe University, Department of Internal Medicine I, University Hospital Frankfurt, Theodor-
Stern-Kai 7, 60590 Frankfurt, Germany, E-Mail: olaf.tyc@kgu.de

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or

financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Keywords: Small soil bacteria; *Hylemonella* sp.*;* Interspecific interactions; Volatile-organic-

compounds; Transcriptome analysis; Metabolome analysis

Abstract

Microbial community analysis of aquatic environments showed that an important component of microbial diversity consists of bacteria with cell sizes smaller than ~0.1 μm. However, so far no study investigated if such bacteria with small cell sizes exist in terrestrial environments as well.

Here, we isolated soil bacteria that passed through a 0.1 μm filter, by applying a novel isolation and culturing approach. The complete genome of one of the isolates was sequenced and the bacterium was identified as *Hylemonella gracilis*. We performed a set of interaction assays with phylogenetically distant soil bacteria with larger cell and genome size. The interaction assays revealed that *H. gracilis* grows better when interacting with other soil bacteria like *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87 and *Serratia plymuthica*. Furthermore, we observed that *H. gracilis* is able to change the behavior of interacting bacteria without direct cell-cell contact. Transcriptomics and metabolomics analysis was performed with the aim to explain the mechanisms of these interactions.

Our study indicates that soil bacteria that can pass through a 0.1 μM filter may have been overlooked in soil microbial communities and that such bacteria are able to induce transcriptional and metabolomics responses in other soil-bacteria. Furthermore, we revealed that the interaction allowed utilization of substrates that are not utilized by monocultures.

Introduction

Bacteria are ubiquitous living organisms with various cell shapes and sizes surrounding us in all environments (1, 2). Soil is the most complex habitat harboring the largest diversity and density of bacteria known to date (cell densities ranging from 10^7 to 10^{10} cells/g of soil (3-5). Soil bacteria are part of a community where they are in constant interaction with their own and other species (6-8). Bacteria produce and release a plethora of metabolites into their environment. In this way, they not only modify their niche but also affect the behavior and the secondary metabolite production of nearby bacteria (9-11). Soil bacteria are known to produce both soluble and volatile secondary metabolites with different physicochemical and biological properties (7, 12-14). In contrast to soluble compounds, volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are rather small molecules (< 300 Da) that can diffuse easily through air- and water-filled soil-pores, (15-17). These physiochemical properties make VOCs ideal metabolites for long-distance communication and interactions between soil microorganisms (18-21).

In aquatic environments, bacteria are naturally found at lower cell density of 10^3 - 10^6 cells/mL (22-24). Recent studies have shown that a significant component of microbial diversity consists of bacteria with cell sizes smaller than ~0.1 µm (25-27). However, little is known about bacteria with such small cell sizes in soil environments. One can assume that small cell size can be an advantage in challenging environments like soil. The distribution of microorganisms in soil is influenced by its water and moisture content, a low soil moisture content leads to lower connectivity between soil pores, and thus to a lower number of accessible micro-habitats.

Small bacterial cell size is often linked to a small genome size caused by genome streamlining (28). Recent metagenomics studies suggest that genome streamlining is ubiquitous in many bacteria (29, 30). In some cases, the primary metabolism of one organism can be directly built on the primary metabolism of another organism, known as syntrophic relationships (31, 32). The Black Queen Hypothesis states that genome-streamlined organisms have an evolutionary advantage because of the loss of genes whose function can be replaced by bacteria in the surrounding environment, effectively conserving energy (33). Since bacteria with smaller genomes have less adaptive capacity compared to bacteria with bigger genome sizes, many of them depend on specific environmental conditions and the presence of other specific organisms (34) to produce metabolites that support their persistence.

Here, we explore if soil bacteria that are able to pass through 0.1 µm filters are present in soil and we investigated their interaction with other soil bacteria. The major research questions were if, and how inter-specific interactions between bacteria smaller than 0.1 µM and other common

103 soil bacteria affected fitness, behavior, gene expression, and the production of secondary

104 metabolites.

Materials and Methods

Isolation and identification of bacteria that pass through 0.1 µm filters

108 Please see **Fig. S1** and Supplementary Methods.

Identification of bacteria that passed through 0.1 µm filter

111 One type of bacterial colony was observed on the inoculated plates. The grown colonies were

112 later identified as *Hylemonella gracilis* by 16S rRNA sequence analysis. Please see

113 Supplementary Methods.

Bacteria and culture conditions

116 Please see Supplementary Methods and **Supplementary Table 1**.

Microscopy

119 Please see Supplementary Methods.

Bacterial interactions assays on 1/10th TSBA plates

122 For the interaction assay, liquid bacterial cultures were diluted to an OD_{600} of 0.005

123 (*Paenibacillus* and *Serratia*) or to an OD_{600} of 0.05 (*H. gracilis*). A 10 µl droplet was added in

124 the middle of a 6 cm diameter Petri dish (monocultures) or next to each other in a distance of

125 ~0.5 cm (pairwise interactions), for details please see Supplementary Methods.

Effects of cell-free supernatants of *Paenibacillus* and *Serratia* on the growth of *H. gracilis*

128 Please see Supplementary Methods.

DNA isolation and genome sequencing of *H. gracilis*

Genomic DNA of *H. gracilis* was extracted using a QIAGEN Genomic-tip 500/G DNA kit

Qiagen, cat# 10262 (for details see Supplementary Methods). The genome sequencing was

performed on the PacBio RS II platform (Pacific Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA, USA) using

P6-C4 chemistry at the Institute for Genome Sciences (IGS), Baltimore, Maryland, USA. The

sequencing resulted in a total of 70,101 reads with N50 of 17 309 nucleotides. The PacBio raw

sequences were analyzed using SMRT portal V2.3.0.140936. p.4150482. Sequences were

assembled *de novo* with the RS_HGAP_assembly 3 protocol (© Copyright 2010 - 2014, Pacific
Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA, USA) with default settings on an estimated genome size of 3.8
Mbp. The resulting assemblies were subjected to scaffolding using the RS_AHA_scaffolding
1 protocol. The genome assembly properties are shown in **Supplementary Table 2**. The final
contigs were annotated using PROKKA V1.11 (42) and InterproScan 5.16 55.0 (43). The
whole genome sequence was submitted as *Hylemonella gracilis* strain NS1 to NCBI GenBank
(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/) under accession # CP031395.

***In silico* analysis of secondary metabolite gene clusters**
For *in silico* analysis of secondary metabolite gene clusters, the genome sequences of *H.*
gracilis, Paenibacillus sp. AD87 and *Serratia plymuthica* PRI2C were submitted to the
antiSMASH web server (http://antismash.secondarymetabolites.org/) version 4.0 (44).

RNA sampling, isolation and RNA- sequencing
Please see Supplementary Methods.

Pathway annotations
Please see Supplementary Methods.

Exploration of missing genes and genome streamlining in *Hylemonella*
RAST annotations of *Serratia*, *Paenibacillus* and *H. gracilis* were used to compare the genomes
and to explore the genomes for missing genes in metabolic pathways (http://rast.nmpdr.org)
(52-54). RAST was used to identify missing core genes in genomes. The missing gene
sequences were extracted and assigned with KEGG Orthology (50, 55). Presence/absence of
genes belonging to metabolic pathways was compared across the three genomes to identify
shared genes and pathways and to determine incomplete metabolic pathways in *H. gracilis*.

Catabolic profiling using BioLog EcoPlate™
Please see Supplementary Methods.

Trapping of volatile organic compounds and GC-Q-TOF analysis
Please see Supplementary Methods.

Ambient mass-spectrometry imaging analysis (LAESI-MSI)

Please see Supplementary Methods.

Analysis of Ambient mass-spectrometry imaging (LAESI-MSI) Data

Please see Supplementary Methods.

Data availability

The raw data of this article will be made available by the authors, to any qualified researcher.

The whole genome sequence of *Hylemonella* strain NS1 is available at the NCBI GenBank

under accession # CP031395, the raw reads of the transcriptomics data are available at the

Sequence Read Archive (SRA) https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra under accession #

PRJNA483535.

Results

Isolation and identification of bacteria that pass through 0.1 μM filter

Using a novel bacterial isolation and cultivation approach, we isolated bacteria from a terrestrial

soil sample able to pass through a 0.22 μm and a 0.1 μm pore-size filters. The bacteria were

identified as *Hylemonella gracilis* (Gram-negative, class betaproteobacteria, order

Burkholderiales). The colonies showed a round and colorless morphology when grown on

$1/10^{th}$ TSBA plates (**Fig. 1a**). Microscopically the bacteria had a spiraled morphology with a

length of approximately 6 - 12 μM which is typical for *Hylemonella* species (**Fig. 1b**).

Hylemonella **grows better in interaction with other bacteria**

H. gracilis growth was determined during the interaction with two phylogenetically distantly

related soil bacteria (*Paenibacillus* sp. AD87 and *Serratia plymuthica* PRI-2C) and compared

to that of the monoculture. The bacterial colony forming units of *H. gracilis* (CFU/mL) obtained

on 1/10th TSBA plates from monocultures and interactions are summarized in **Fig. 2**. Cell

counts of *Paenibacillus* were 7.68 x 10^7 CFU/mL in interaction with *H. gracilis* (**Fig. 2a**).

During the interaction with *H. gracilis*, the growth of *Serratia* was significantly negatively

affected (*P=0.037*) after five days of incubation by reaching 1.47 x 10^9 CFU/mL compared to

the monocultures (**Fig. 2a**).

The bacterial colony forming units (CFU) obtained from *H. gracilis* grown in presence of cell

free supernatants (CFS) of *Paenibacillus* and *Serratia* are summarized in **Fig. 2b**. *H. gracilis*

growth was significantly increased (*P*=0.011) when growing in presence of cell free

supernatants of *Paenibacillus* resulting in higher cell counts compared to the monoculture by

reaching 1.10 x 10^6 CFU/mL. In the presence of cell free supernatant from *Serratia, H. gracilis*

reached the highest cell counts at 1.72 x 10^6 CFU/mL (P=0.000) after five days of incubation

(**Fig. 2b**).

Interaction between bacterial species allows use of substrates that are not used in

monoculture

The catabolic profiling using EcoPlates^{TM} revealed that *Paenibacillus* was able to utilize 11 of

the 31 carbon sources in monoculture, *Serratia* and *H. gracilis* were able to utilize 17 and 16

carbon sources respectively. Interestingly, three compounds could be utilized only during co-

cultivation of *H. gracilis* with one of the other species, these compounds could not be utilized

by any of the species in monoculture. Specifically, alpha- cyclodextrin was utilized only during

co-cultivation of *H. gracilis* with *Paenibacillus*, while L-threonine and glycyl-L-glutamic acid

were utilized only during the interaction of *Serratia* and *H. gracilis* **Fig. 3.**

Genomic features of *H. gracilis, Serratia* and *Paenibacillus*

Sequencing of the complete genome of *H. gracilis* resulted in a genome size of 3.82 Mbp with

3,648 coding sequences (CDS). As expected, the genome analysis revealed that the genome of

Hylemonella is smaller and contains fewer genes when compared to both *Serratia plymuthica*

PRI-2C (5.4 Mbp) and *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87 (7 Mbp). The genome features of all three

bacteria are summarized in **Supplementary Table 2**.

***In silico* analysis of gene clusters encoding for secondary metabolites**

In silico analysis of *Paenibacillus* revealed a total of 10 gene clusters coding for secondary

metabolites. From which two gene clusters belonged to the class of terpenes, one to

bacteriocins, one to lasso peptides, two to the class of lanthipeptides, one to nonribosomal

peptides, one to others, one to the class of type III polyketide synthases and one gene cluster

belonging to the class of siderophores (**Fig. 4a**). For *Serratia* the *in silico* analysis revealed in

total 9 gene clusters from which two gene clusters belonged to the class of Non-Ribosomal

Peptides, one to Hsr-lactones, one to Aryl polyene- Siderophores, one to the class of T1PKS-

NRPS hybrids, one to the class of Thiopeptides, one to the class of Butyrolactones, one to the

class of Terpenes and one to the class of others (**Fig. 4b**). Flor *H. gracilis* the AntiSMASH

analysis revealed that *H. gracilis* possesses relatively few gene clusters related to secondary

metabolism. A total of three gene clusters for *H. gracilis* were detected, of which one belonged

to the class of bacteriocins, one to the class of terpenes, and one to aryl polyenes, the latter

being a homolog to the aryl polyene gene cluster from *Xenorhabdus doucetiae* (Genbank:

NZ_FO704550.1) **Fig. 4c**.

Pathway analysis in *H. gracilis* compared to *Serratia* and *Paenibacillus*

The RAST comparison of *Paenibacillus* and *H. gracilis* revealed that 504 unique enzymes

(according to their EC numbers) were exclusive to *Paenibacillus*, while 434 were present only

in *H. gracilis*; 532 EC numbers shared by both genomes (**Fig. 5a**). The RAST comparison of

Serratia and *H. gracilis* revealed that 751 enzymes were present only in *Serratia*, and 260 were

present only in *H. gracilis*. 727 EC numbers participating in diverse metabolic pathways were

found in both genomes (**Fig. 5b**).

The RAST analysis revealed five missing genes in *H. gracilis* compared to *Serratia* and

Paenibacillus. The missing genes were annotated with the following ontology terms:

GO:0008473 (ornithine cyclodeaminase activity), GO:0008696 (4-amino-4-

deoxychorismatelyase activity), GO:0003920 (GMP reductase activity), GO:0004035 (alkaline

phosphatase activity) and GO:0008442 (3-hydroxyisobutyrate dehydrogenase). We verified if

the loss of these genes would render specific pathways obsolete. However, alternative pathways

routes are present for these GO terms according to the KEGG database. The pathway analysis

by RAST did not suggest any essential missing pathway components in metabolic pathways in

H. gracilis. Still, the comparison of the number (n) of genes present in each RAST subsystem

category revealed major differences in several subsystem categories, specifically in the

categories "Carbohydrates metabolism" and "Phosphorus metabolism" (**Fig. 5c**). *H. gracilis*

possesses no genes for these categories, whereas *Paenibacillus* possesses 393 and 82 genes,

and *Serratia* 395 and 46 genes, respectively. A major difference in the absolute number of genes

in a category is also observed for Amino Acids and Derivatives, for which *H. gracilis* possesses

318 genes, *Paenibacillus* possesses 358 and *Serratia* 448 genes.

Effect of inter-specific interactions on gene expression

267   RNA- sequencing was performed to better understand the effects of bacterial interaction on

268   gene expression of each interacting partner. The transcriptome analysis of monocultures and

269   interspecies interactions revealed a total of 277 significant differentially expressed genes; 100

270   down-regulated and 177 up-regulated (**Supplementary Table 2**).

Effect of inter-specific interactions on gene expression in Paenibacillus and H. gracilis

In *Paenibacillus* histidine biosynthesis and dephosphorylation genes were up-regulated (**Supplementary Table 3**), while cellular-growth-related genes were down-regulated (**Supplementary Table 3**) at day 10 of the interaction with *H. gracilis* (**Fig. 6b**). For the interaction of *H. gracilis* with *Paenibacillus* a total of 15 significant differentially expressed genes were found (0 at day 5 and 15 at day ten). At day five, genes related to sulfur assimilation, chemotaxis and response to (chemical/external) stimuli were upregulated in *H. gracilis* in the presence of *Paenibacillus*. Genes related signal transduction (T) were the category with the most differentially expressed genes during the interaction of *H. gracilis* with *Paenibacillus* compared to the monoculture of *H. gracilis* (**Supplementary Table 8** and **9**, **Fig. 6a, b**).

Effect of inter-specific interactions on gene expression Serratia and H. gracilis

During the interaction of *Serratia* with *H. gracilis*, 61 genes were significantly differentially expressed at day five and 10 at day ten. At the day five, iron-sulfur cluster-assembly-related genes, a sulfur transferase and a transaminase were up-regulated, while genes related to inorganic diphosphatase activity, exonuclease activity and DNA repair were downregulated. At day ten, genes related to sulfur transmembrane transport, sulfur compound catabolism and cysteine biosynthesis were upregulated, and genes related to sulfur compound metabolism and translation were downregulated. (**Supplementary Table 4** and **5**). For *Serratia*, genes related to signal transduction and translation, ribosome structure and biogenesis were the most differentially expressed gene categories (**Fig. 6c**). For *H. gracilis* in interaction with *Serratia*, 182 differentially expressed genes were identified at day ten and only one at day five. At day five, genes related to the ribosome/ribonucleoproteins, organelle organisation/assembly and (iron)-sulfur cluster assembly were upregulated and genes related to the innate immune response (Toll Like Receptor signalling) were downregulated (**Supplementary Table 6** and **7**). At day ten, genes related to signal transduction and chemotaxis were up- regulated in *H. gracilis*. For *H. gracilis*, the most upregulated genes were linked to the chemotaxis pathway and iron scavenging, indicating activity in competition (**Fig. 6a**).

Metabolomic analysis of volatile compounds

The volatile blend composition of the monocultures differed from that of the co-cultures. Clear separations between the controls, monocultures and co-cultures were obtained in PLS-DA score plots (**Fig. 7a**). The analysis revealed a total of 25 volatile organic compounds produced by mono- and co-cultured bacteria that were not detected in the non-inoculated controls (**Table 2**).

Of these, 17 are identified and categorized in six chemical classes (alkenes, benzoids, sulfides,
thiocyanates, terpenes, furans). The remaining eight compounds could not be assigned with
certainty to a known compound. The most abundant volatile organic compounds were sulfur-
containing compounds such as dimethyl disulfide ($C_2H_6S_2$) and dimethyl trisulfide ($C_2H_6S_3$).
These two sulfur compounds were produced by all three bacteria. Interestingly an unknown
compound with RT 26.4 min produced by the monocultures of *H. gracilis* was not detected in
the interactions with *Serratia* (**Table 2**). Two other unknown compounds with RT 4.15 min and
with RT 24.34 min produced by the monocultures of *Paenibacillus* were not detected in the
interactions with *H. gracilis* (**Table 2**).
316

DART-MS based metabolomics
The metabolomics analysis based on DART-MS revealed separations between the controls,
monocultures, and co-cultures as presented in PLS-DA score plots (**Fig. 7b**). The metabolomic
composition of the monocultures differed from that of the co-cultures (**Fig. 7b**). Statistical
analysis (ONE-WAY ANOVA and post-hoc TUKEY HSD-test) revealed 617 significant mass
features present on day five and day ten of which 48 could be tentatively assigned to specific
compounds. Most of the significant peaks were found in the co-cultures of *H. gracilis* with
Paenibacillus. The significant mass features and the corresponding tentative metabolites can
be found in **Supplementary Table 10**.
326

Mass spectrometry imaging metabolomics
LAESI-MSI was performed to visualize the localization of metabolites in their native
environments in monoculture as well as during interaction without performing any extraction.
Across all treatments, clear separation was observed amongst the samples for controls,
monocultures and interactions **(Fig. 8a)**. An average of 1050 mass features was detected per
treatment. To list mass features that could explain separation amongst the controls,
monocultures and interactions, values of variable importance in projection (VIP) were
calculated. The top 40 statistically significant mass features with a VIP score > 2.0 are shown
in **Fig. 8b**. The mass features have been listed based on their decreasing influence on
classification amongst the different treatments. To visualize the statistically significant mass
features between monoculture and interaction samples in a pairwise manner, volcano plots were
constructed **(Fig. S3)**. Metabolites with a log2 fold change threshold of 1.0 on the x-axis and a
t-test threshold (*p* value) of 1.0 on the y-axis were considered significantly differentially
abundant between monoculture and interaction samples.

The volcano plot (**Fig. S3a**) for *Hylemonella* monoculture (HM) and the interaction of *H.*
gracilis with *Paenibacillus* (PH) shows 53 mass features (in green) located in the upper right
quadrant, indicating that their concentrations are significantly higher in HM as compared to
PH. 18 mass features (in red) in the upper left quadrant of the plot have a significantly lower
concentration in HM as compared to PH. The box-and-whisker plots for the four statistically
significant differentially abundant metabolites selected from the volcano plot for the pair HM
and PH are shown in **Fig. S2a**. The ion intensity maps for these statistically significant
metabolites are shown alongside box-and-whisker plots. The ion intensity maps are color coded
based on the standard rainbow color scale where a pixel in red represented a high concentration
and the pixel in black represents no concentration of the selected metabolite. As can be seen,
m/z 425.2886 and *m/z* 558.2832 show higher abundance in interaction sample PH, whereas *m/z*
410.8587 and *m/z* 716.7610 display high abundance in HM as compared to PH.
353
For the pairwise analysis performed for *Paenibacillus* monoculture (PM) and the interaction of
H. gracilis with *Paenibacillus* (PH), 149 mass features (in green) displayed significantly high
concentration in PM and 75 mass features (in red) had significantly low concentration in PM
as compared to PH (**Fig. S3b**). This is also evident in the box-and-whisker plots and the ion
intensity maps that are presented for four statistically significant metabolites belonging to this
set (**Fig. S2b)**.
360
For the pairwise analysis for *H. gracilis* monoculture (HM) and the interaction of *Serratia* and
H. gracilis (SH), 57 mass features (in green) displayed significantly high concentration in HM
and 42 mass features had significantly low concentration in HM as compared to SH (**Fig. S3c**).
The box-and-whisker plots along with the ion intensity maps for four statistically significant
metabolites belonging to this set are shown in **Fig. S2c**. For the pairwise analysis for *Serratia*
monoculture (SM) and the interaction of *Serratia* and *H. gracilis* (SH), 135 mass features (in
green) displayed significantly high concentration in SM and 65 mass features had significantly
low concentration in SM as compared to SH (**Fig. S3d**). The box-and-whisker plots along with
the ion intensity maps for four statistically significant metabolites belonging to this set are
shown in **Fig. S2d**.
371
372 To visualize the number of shared and unique metabolites amongst the monoculture and
373 interaction samples Venn diagrams were plotted. The Venn diagram (**Fig. S3e**) for
374 monocultures *H. gracilis* and *Paenibacillus* and their interaction shows 80 metabolites unique

to *H. gracilis* monoculture, 75 metabolites unique to *Paenibacillus* monoculture and 100 metabolites that are unique during their interaction. 1062 metabolites were shared within these three treatments. Similarly, the Venn diagram **(Fig. S3f)** for monocultures *H. gracilis* and *Serratia* and their interaction shows 196 metabolites unique to *H. gracilis* monoculture, 48 metabolites unique to *Serratia* monoculture and 120 metabolites that are unique during their interaction.

Discussion

Here we report the first time isolation of *H. gracilis* from a terrestrial soil sample. This bacterium passed a 0.1 µm filter, which suggests a very small cell size, justifying ultra-small bacteria (26). However, against our expectation, the microscopical analysis revealed that this bacterium is not ultra-small in cell size but possesses a very thin diameter and showed the typical spiraled morphology known for these species (63-66). These observations are in line with previous research by Wang et al. showing that *H. gracilis* is capable of passing through filters of various pore sizes ranging from 0.45 µM to 0.1µM (67), most probably thanks to their cell shape and cell morphology.

The bacterial interaction assays revealed that H. gracilis grows better when interacting with Paenibacillus sp. Or Serratia. H. gracilis cell numbers were higher when exposed to cell-free supernatants of Paenibacillus and Serratia, suggesting that H. gracilis interaction benefits are related to the metabolites released by these bacteria. We hypothesized that H. gracilis grows better in co-culture, either because growth is stimulated by signals produced by the other organism, or because the environment that is created by the other organism allows H. gracilis to make more efficient use of the metabolic pathways. Indeed, the metabolic experiments with BioLog™ plates showed that during interspecific interactions of H. gracilis with Paenibacillus or with Serratia, more carbohydrates could be utilized compared to the monocultures. This is an interesting observation, as it indicates that the interaction of bacteria can trigger the production of exo-enzymes enabling the degradation of carbohydrates, which the bacteria were not able to degrade in monoculture.

We speculated that since *H. gracilis* grows better in interaction with other bacteria and is of relatively small cell size, it is possible that *H. gracilis* has evolved a genome streamlining strategy, i.e. the adaptive loss of genes for which functions it relies on interaction with other bacteria. Indeed, whole-genome sequencing of *H. gracilis* revealed a genome size of 3.82 Mbp. This is a relatively small genome size for free-living soil bacteria that typically have estimated average genome sizes of ~4.7 Mbp (34, 68-71). The *in silico* antiSMASH (44) comparison of

genes that are part of secondary metabolite gene clusters showed that the *H. gracilis* genome contained only three gene clusters encoding the production of secondary metabolites (bacteriocins, terpenes, and aryl polyenes), which provides additional evidence of genome streamlining. Terpenes and aryl polyenes are known as protective compounds against abiotic stressors, while bacteriocins have antimicrobial activities against closely related bacteria (17, 72-76). We hypothesized that *H. gracilis* has undergone genome streamlining, to be more competitive, by retaining only the most essential metabolic functions. Genome streamlining refers to the reduction of genome size by loss of genes, which may for instance evolve in symbiotic or co-occurring species when some genomic functions are redundant in one species and are functionally compensated by other species (Giovannoni et. al., 2014). Thus, gene loss and reduced genome size may cause dependency on other microbes in their surroundings, and this may explain a considerable part of the phenomenon of the uncultured microbial majority. Interestingly, most of the data supporting streamlining theory come from the study of bacteria from aquatic environments, where bacterial cell density is lower. However, the same process may be important in high density soil microbial communities.

To understand the mechanisms of interaction, we performed transcriptome analysis on the interaction pairs of *H. gracilis* with *Serratia* and *Peanibacillus*. Interestingly, more significantly differentially expressed genes were induced by *H. gracilis* in the other two competing bacteria as compared to the transcriptomic changes in *H. gracilis* induced by *Serratia* or *Paenibacillus* (**Supplementary Table 2**). Several processes, enriched according to GO term enrichment analysis, could be part of a mechanism(s) mediating interactions between *H. gracilis* and *Serratia* and *Paenibacillus*, for example genes related to chemotaxis. Moreover, the GO terms for signal transduction, secondary metabolite production and, cell motility were enriched in the transcriptome of *H. gracilis* during the interaction with *Paenibacillus*, suggesting that chemotaxis is an important feature during interspecific interactions between these two bacterial taxa (77, 78). In addition, Iron-sulfur (Fe-S) complex assembly GO terms were enriched in the transcriptomes of *H. gracilis* during the interaction with *Serratia* and *Paenibacillus*. Fe-S clusters are important for sustaining fundamental life processes: they participate in electron transfer, substrate binding/activation, iron or sulfur storage, regulation of gene expression, and enzyme activity (80, 81). This up-regulation could indicate that in co-culture, the interacting bacteria releases metabolites that *H. gracilis* could use for synthesizing Fe-S complexes. It is also possible that iron-sulfur complex assembly is activated during competition with the interacting bacteria for sulfur, or iron collection (82-85).

The metabolic pathway analysis showed that the loss of genes in *H. gracilis* does not
appear to have resulted in functional loss of metabolic pathways. However, shedding non-
necessary redundant genes in several metabolic pathways could explain why and how the
genome of *H. gracilis* has become so small. Nevertheless, the lost genes are not essential to
complete metabolic pathways and only appear to result in limited options in certain metabolic
pathways. The RAST analysis showed that all metabolic pathways remain feasible. The only
exception is EC term 5.2.1.1 (maleate isomerase) which makes it not clear which alternative
pathway can be used by *H. gracilis* to synthesize fumarate. There are several ways to synthesize
fumarate, e.g. in the glycolysis pathway (66, 92, 93) and in the citric acid cycle (66, 94). The
performed metabolomics analysis revealed the production of specific antimicrobial compounds
such as pyrollnitrin (*Serratia*) and 2,5-bis(1-methylethyl)-pyrazine (*Paenibacillus*) which are
well known for their broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity (95-99). However, the produced
antimicrobial compounds didn't show activity against *H. gracilis*: in both interactions, *H.*
gracilis showed increased growth when growing in co-culture with either *Paenibacillus* or
Serratia.

The understanding of natural metabolites that mediate interactions between organisms
in natural environments is the key to elucidate ecosystem functioning. The detection and
identification of the compounds that mediate such interactions is still challenging. Techniques
such as mass spectrometry imaging (MSI) provide new opportunities to study environmentally
relevant metabolites in their spatial context (35-37). In this study, the metabolomics was
performed using three independent approaches namely DART-MS analysis, GC/MS-Q-TOF
analysis and, ambient imaging mass spectrometry (LAESI- MS) from living bacterial colonies.
The Imaging MS analysis revealed that several mass features were detected in higher abundance
during the interaction of *H. gracilis* with *Paenibacillus*, these mass features were m/z 425.2886
and m/z 558.2832. However, the here used technology (LAESI-MSI) is not suitable for
unambiguous compound annotation, but LAESI- MSI can still be used for putative compound
annotation. To annotate the detected mass features to compounds with high certainty, LAESI
mass spectrometry imaging should be coupled with ion mobility separation as suggested by
(100-102). Yet, LAESI-MSI can help to spatially distinguish the produced secondary
metabolites of living bacterial colonies with limited sample preparation and can give insight
into the spatial distribution of metabolites.
Several studies indicate that the volatile composition of the volatiles greatly depends on biotic
interactions and on growth conditions (15, 19, 59, 103, 104). Here, a higher number of volatile
compounds were detected in the bacterial interaction pairs, most likely due to the combination

of emitted volatiles of the interacting bacteria. The high amount of sulfur-containing
compounds indicates that these compounds are commonly produced by bacteria and might play
an important role in signaling during interspecific interactions (105, 106). However, no novel
volatile compounds during the co-cultivation of the three bacteria were detected.

Overall, our study showed that *H. gracilis* is able to pass through 0.1 µM filter, and is present
in terrestrial environments. The growth and behavior of *H. gracilis* were dependent on the
interacting partner and they might be metabolically dependent on the neighboring bacteria. At
the same time, *H. gracilis* is able to change the behaviour of the interacting bacteria without
direct cell-cell contact. This study have laid a good bases for isolating soil bacterial with a small
cell size and for exploring interactions between bacteria with different cell and genome size.
Deciphering such interactions is key to understanding ecosystem functioning and the assembly
of microbial communities.

Acknowledgement
This work was financially supported by The Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research
(NWO) VIDI personal grant 864.11.015 granted to PG. The authors also want to thank the
students of the WUR bioinformatics course BIF-51806 (2018) for their input and primarily data
analysis and discussions on the data.

Author contributions
OT and PG designed the experiments. OT, AO, PK and WIJ performed the lab experiments.
OT, PK and performed the data analysis and prepared the figures and tables. OT, PK, AO, VT,
MHM, PB, KJFV and PG wrote the manuscript.

Figure legends
Figure 1: Microscopy pictures of *Hylemonella gracilis* (a) colonies on agar plates captured
at 20x magnification and (**b**) single bacterial cells captured at 400 X magnification.

Figure 2: Colony counts (CFU/mL) revealed after 5 days of incubation during the plate
based interaction experiment (a) and (b) revealed during the cell free supernatant (CFS)
exposure experiment. Abbreviations: *H. gracilis* monoculture (HM), *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87
monoculture (PM), *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87 – *H. gracilis* coculture (PH), *S. plymuthica*
monoculture (SM), *S. plymuthica* - *H. gracilis* coculture (SH). *H. gracilis* - *Paenibacillus* sp.
AD87 coculture (HP), *H. gracilis* – *S. plymuthica* coculture (HS). Significant differences in

cell counts (CFU/mL) between co-cultures (treatment) and monocultures (controls) are indicated by asterisks (ONE-WAY ANOVA, post-hoc TUKEY test).

Figure 3: Results overview of the Biolog EcoPlate^{TM} experiment. The EcoPlate contains 31 different carbon sources, bacteria were inoculated in monoculture or in pairwise combinations. Colour codec: blue=carbon source could be utilized in monoculture, yellow= carbon source could be utilized in co-culture. Abbreviations: *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87 monoculture (PM), *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87 - *H. gracilis* coculture, (PH) *H. gracilis* monoculture (HM), *S. plymuthica* PRI-2C monoculture (SM), *S. plymuthica* PRI-2C - *H. gracilis* coculture (SH).

Figure 4: *In silio* comparison of biosynthetic gene clusters (BGCs) present in the three soil bacteria based on antismash *in silico* analysis (https://antismash.secondarymetabolites.org/). From left to right (**a**) *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87 with a genome size of 7.0 MBp, n=10 gene clusters for secondary metabolites, (**b**) *S. plymuthica* PRI-2C with a genome size of 5.4 MBp, n=9 gene clusters for secondary metabolites and (**c**) *H. gracilis* with a genome size of 3.8 MBp, n= 3 gene clusters for secondary metabolites.

Figure 5: Results of the comparison analysis carried out with the RAST pipeline (https://rast.nmpdr.org/) (**a**) Venn- diagram showing the results for the number (n) of expressed genes present solely in monoculture and the number of shared expressed genes for the monocultures of *Hylemonella* (HM), *Paenibacillus* monoculture (PM) and *Serratia* monoculture (SM) and the interaction of *Hylemonella* with *Paenibacillus* (PH) and for the interaction of *Hylemonella* with *Serratia* (SH). (**b**) Boxplot showing number (n) of expressed genes present in each RAST subsystem category.

Figure 6: Pie-charts representing up-regulated genes identified by differential gene expression analysis and COG annotation (**a**) *Hylemonella gracilis* monoculture gene expression level (**b**) *H. gracilis* in co-culture with *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87; (**c**) *H. gracilis* co-culture with *S. plymuthica* PRI-2C. In the co-culture of *H. gracilis* with *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87, genes related to signal transduction (**T**) were the category with the most differentially expressed genes. In the co- culture of *H. gracilis* with *S. plymuthica* PRI-2C genes related to signal transduction (**T**), translation, ribosome structure and biogenesis (**J**) were the most prevalent differentially expressed gene categories.

Figure 7: PLSD-A plots of the metabolomics data (a) PLS-DA 2D- plots of volatiles emitted by monocultures and pairwise combinations of *H. gracilis*, *Paenibacillus* and *Serratia plymuthica* after ten days of inoculation, time point (t=10 days) **(b)** PLS-DA 2D- plots of the analysed DART-MS data of monocultures and mixtures of *H. gracilis*, *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87 and *Serratia plymuthica* PRI-2C after ten days of inoculation, time point (t=10 days).

Figure 8: PLS-DA plots of the first 40 significant mass features observed after analysis of the LAESI-MSI data. (a) PLS-DA score plot for *H. gracilis* monoculture (HM), *Paenibacillus sp. AD87* monoculture (PM), *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87 – *H. gracilis* coculture (PH), *S. plymuthica* PRI-2C monoculture (SM), *S. plymuthica* PRI-2C - *H. gracilis* coculture (SH) and TSBA control (TSBA). **(b)** First 40 statistically significant features identified by PLS-DA based on Variable Importance in Projection (VIP) score. The colored boxes on the right indicate the relative concentrations of the corresponding metabolite in each group under study.

References

1. Gibbons SM, Gilbert JA. 2015. Microbial diversity—exploration of natural ecosystems and microbiomes. Current Opinion in Genetics & Development 35:66-72.
2. Levin PA, Angert ER. 2015. Small but Mighty: Cell Size and Bacteria. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology 7.
3. Uroz S, Buee M, Murat C, Frey-Klett P, Martin F. 2010. Pyrosequencing reveals a contrasted bacterial diversity between oak rhizosphere and surrounding soil. Environmental Microbiology Reports 2:281-288.
4. Curtis TP, Sloan WT, Scannell JW. 2002. Estimating prokaryotic diversity and its limits. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99:10494-9.
5. Torsvik V, Ovreas L. 2002. Microbial diversity and function in soil: from genes to ecosystems. Curr Opin Microbiol 5:240-5.
6. Stubbendieck RM, Vargas-Bautista C, Straight PD. 2016. Bacterial Communities: Interactions to Scale. Frontiers in Microbiology 7.
7. Hibbing ME, Fuqua C, Parsek MR, Peterson SB. 2010. Bacterial competition: surviving and thriving in the microbial jungle. Nature Reviews Microbiology 8:15-25.
8. Cornforth DM, Foster KR. 2013. Competition sensing: the social side of bacterial stress responses. Nature Reviews Microbiology 11:285-293.
9. Traxler MF, Watrous JD, Alexandrov T, Dorrestein PC, Kolter R. 2013. Interspecies Interactions Stimulate Diversification of the *Streptomyces coelicolor* Secreted Metabolome. Mbio 4(4), e00459-13.
10. Tyc O, van den Berg M, Gerards S, van Veen JA, Raaijmakers JM, de Boer W, Garbeva P. 2014. Impact of interspecific interactions on antimicrobial activity among soil bacteria. Frontiers in Microbiology 5,.
11. Seyedsayamdost MR, Traxler MF, Clardy J, Kolter R. 2012. Old Meets New: Using Interspecies Interactions to Detect Secondary Metabolite Production in Actinomycetes. Natural Product Biosynthesis by Microorganisms and Plants, Pt C 517:89-109.

591   12.   Crits-Christoph A, Diamond S, Butterfield CN, Thomas BC, Banfield JF. 2018.
592   Novel soil bacteria possess diverse genes for secondary metabolite biosynthesis.
593   Nature 558:440-444.
594   13.   Reddy BVB, Kallifidas D, Kim JH, Charlop-Powers Z, Feng ZY, Brady SF. 2012.
595   Natural Product Biosynthetic Gene Diversity in Geographically Distinct Soil
596   Microbiomes. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 78:3744-3752.
597   14.   Charlop-Powers Z, Owen JG, Reddy BVB, Ternei MA, Brady SF. 2014. Chemical-
598   biogeographic survey of secondary metabolism in soil. Proceedings of the
599   National Academy of Sciences 111:3757-3762.
600   15.   Schulz S, Dickschat JS. 2007. Bacterial volatiles: the smell of small organisms. Nat
601   Prod Rep 24:814-42.
602   16.   Kai M, Haustein M, Molina F, Petri A, Scholz B, Piechulla B. 2009. Bacterial
603   volatiles and their action potential. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 81:1001-12.
604   17.   Tyc O, Song C, Dickschat JS, Vos M, Garbeva P. 2017. The Ecological Role of
605   Volatile and Soluble Secondary Metabolites Produced by Soil Bacteria. Trends in
606   Microbiology 25:280-292.
607   18.   Chernin L, Toklikishvili N, Ovadis M, Kim S, Ben-Ari J, Khmel I, Vainstein A. 2011.
608   Quorum-sensing quenching by rhizobacterial volatiles. Environmental
609   Microbiology Reports 3:698-704.
610   19.   Tyc O, Zweers H, De Boer W, Garbeva P. 2015. Volatiles in inter-specific bacterial
611   interactions. Frontiers in Microbiology 6.
612   20.   Schmidt R, Cordovez V, de Boer W, Raaijmakers J, Garbeva P. 2015. Volatile affairs
613   in microbial interactions. ISME J doi:10.1038/ismej.2015.42.
614   21.   Effmert U, Kalderas J, Warnke R, Piechulla B. 2012. Volatile mediated interactions
615   between bacteria and fungi in the soil. J Chem Ecol 38:665-703.
616   22.   Rocha AM, Yuan Q, Close DM, O'Dell KB, Fortney JL, Wu J, Hazen TC. 2016. Rapid
617   detection of microbial cell abundance in aquatic systems. Biosensors and
618   Bioelectronics 85:915-923.
619   23.   Qin Y, Hou J, Deng M, Liu Q, Wu C, Ji Y, He X. 2016. Bacterial abundance and
620   diversity in pond water supplied with different feeds. Scientific Reports 6:35232.
621   24.   Pan D, Nolan J, Williams KH, Robbins MJ, Weber KA. 2017. Abundance and
622   Distribution of Microbial Cells and Viruses in an Alluvial Aquifer. Frontiers in
623   Microbiology 8.
624   25.   McKay DS, Gibson EK, Thomas-Keprta KL, Vali H, Romanek CS, Clemett SJ, Chillier
625   XDF, Maechling CR, Zare RN. 1996. Search for Past Life on Mars: Possible Relic
626   Biogenic Activity in Martian Meteorite ALH84001. Science 273:924-930.
627   26.   Luef B, Frischkorn KR, Wrighton KC, Holman H-YN, Birarda G, Thomas BC, Singh
628   A, Williams KH, Siegerist CE, Tringe SG, Downing KH, Comolli LR, Banfield JF.
629   2015. Diverse uncultivated ultra-small bacterial cells in groundwater. Nature
630   Communications 6:6372.
631   27.   Uwins Philippa JR, Webb Richard I, Taylor Anthony P. 1998. Novel nano-
632   organisms from Australian sandstones, vol 83, p 1541.
633   28.   Lynch M. 2006. Streamlining and simplification of microbial genome architecture.
634   Annu Rev Microbiol 60:327-49.
635   29.   Neuenschwander SM, Ghai R, Pernthaler J, Salcher MM. 2017.
636   Microdiversification in genome-streamlined ubiquitous freshwater
637   Actinobacteria. The Isme Journal 12:185.
638   30.   Brewer TE, Handley KM, Carini P, Gilbert JA, Fierer N. 2016. Genome reduction in
639   an abundant and ubiquitous soil bacterium 'Candidatus Udaeobacter copiosus'.
640   Nature Microbiology 2:16198.

31. Dolfing J. 2013. Syntrophy in microbial fuel cells. The Isme Journal 8:4.

32. Pande S, Kost C. 2017. Bacterial Unculturability and the Formation of
Intercellular Metabolic Networks. Trends in Microbiology 25:349-361.

33. Morris JJ, Lenski RE, Zinser ER. 2012. The Black Queen Hypothesis: Evolution of
Dependencies through Adaptive Gene Loss. mBio 3.

34. Giovannoni SJ, Cameron Thrash J, Temperton B. 2014. Implications of
streamlining theory for microbial ecology. The Isme Journal 8:1553.

35. Petras D, Jarmusch AK, Dorrestein PC. 2017. From single cells to our planet—
recent advances in using mass spectrometry for spatially resolved metabolomics.
Current Opinion in Chemical Biology 36:24-31.

36. Hopwood DA. 2013. Imaging Mass Spectrometry Reveals Highly Specific
Interactions between Actinomycetes To Activate Specialized Metabolic Gene
Clusters. Mbio 4.

37. Fang J, Dorrestein PC. 2014. Emerging mass spectrometry techniques for the
direct analysis of microbial colonies. Current Opinion in Microbiology 19:120-
129.

38. Garbeva P, van Elsas JD, de Boer W. 2012. Draft Genome Sequence of the
Antagonistic Rhizosphere Bacterium Serratia plymuthica Strain PRI-2C. Journal
of Bacteriology 194:4119-4120.

39. Tyc O, de Jager VCL, van den Berg M, Gerards S, Janssens TKS, Zaagman N, Kai M,
Svatos A, Zweers H, Hordijk C, Besselink H, de Boer W, Garbeva P. 2017.
Exploring bacterial interspecific interactions for discovery of novel antimicrobial
compounds. Microbial Biotechnology 10:910-925.

40. de Boer W, Wagenaar AM, Klein Gunnewiek PJA, van Veen JA. 2007. In vitro
suppression of fungi caused by combinations of apparently non-antagonistic soil
bacteria. Fems Microbiology Ecology 59:177-185.

41. Garbeva P, de Boer W. 2009. Inter-specific Interactions Between Carbon-limited
Soil Bacteria Affect Behavior and Gene Expression. Microbial Ecology 58:36-46.

42. Seemann T. 2014. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics
30:2068-2069.

43. Jones P, Binns D, Chang HY, Fraser M, Li W, McAnulla C, McWilliam H, Maslen J,
Mitchell A, Nuka G, Pesseat S, Quinn AF, Sangrador-Vegas A, Scheremetjew M,
Yong SY, Lopez R, Hunter S. 2014. InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function
classification. Bioinformatics 30:1236-40.

44. Medema MH, Blin K, Cimermancic P, de Jager V, Zakrzewski P, Fischbach MA,
Weber T, Takano E, Breitling R. 2011. antiSMASH: rapid identification, annotation
and analysis of secondary metabolite biosynthesis gene clusters in bacterial and
fungal genome sequences. Nucleic Acids Research 39:W339-46.

45. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat
Meth 9:357-359.

46. Li B, Dewey CN. 2011. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq
data with or without a reference genome. Bmc Bioinformatics 12:1-16.

47. Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. 2010. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for
differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 26.

48. Zhou XB, Lindsay H, Robinson MD. 2014. Robustly detecting differential
expression in RNA sequencing data using observation weights. Nucleic Acids
Research 42.

49. Team RC. 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. 2013.

50. Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Morishima K. 2016. BlastKOALA and GhostKOALA: KEGG
Tools for Functional Characterization of Genome and Metagenome Sequences. J
Mol Biol 428:726-731.
51. Sayers EW, Cavanaugh M, Clark K, Ostell J, Pruitt KD, Karsch-Mizrachi I. 2018.
GenBank. Nucleic Acids Research 47:D94-D99.
52. Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA, Formsma K, Gerdes
S, Glass EM, Kubal M, Meyer F, Olsen GJ, Olson R, Osterman AL, Overbeek RA,
McNeil LK, Paarmann D, Paczian T, Parrello B, Pusch GD, Reich C, Stevens R,
Vassieva O, Vonstein V, Wilke A, Zagnitko O. 2008. The RAST Server: Rapid
Annotations using Subsystems Technology. BMC Genomics 9:75.
53. Overbeek R, Olson R, Pusch GD, Olsen GJ, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S,
Parrello B, Shukla M, Vonstein V, Wattam AR, Xia F, Stevens R. 2014. The SEED
and the Rapid Annotation of microbial genomes using Subsystems Technology
(RAST). Nucleic Acids Research 42:D206-D214.
54. Brettin T, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S, Olsen GJ, Olson R, Overbeek R,
Parrello B, Pusch GD, Shukla M, Thomason JA, 3rd, Stevens R, Vonstein V, Wattam
AR, Xia F. 2015. RASTtk: a modular and extensible implementation of the RAST
algorithm for building custom annotation pipelines and annotating batches of
genomes. Sci Rep 5:8365.
55. Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Kawashima M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M. 2016. KEGG as a
reference resource for gene and protein annotation. Nucleic Acids Research
44:D457-D462.
56. Anonymous. !!! INVALID CITATION !!!
57. Muñiz S, Lacarta J, Pata MP, Jiménez JJ, Navarro E. 2014. Analysis of the Diversity
of Substrate Utilisation of Soil Bacteria Exposed to Cd and Earthworm Activity
Using Generalised Additive Models. PLOS ONE 9:e85057.
58. Rutgers M, Wouterse M, Drost SM, Breure AM, Mulder C, Stone D, Creamer RE,
Winding A, Bloem J. 2016. Monitoring soil bacteria with community-level
physiological profiles using Biolog™ ECO-plates in the Netherlands and Europe.
Applied Soil Ecology 97:23-35.
59. Garbeva P, Hordijk C, Gerards S, de Boer W. 2014. Volatiles produced by the
mycophagous soil bacterium Collimonas. Fems Microbiology Ecology 87.
60. Gibb S, Strimmer K. 2012. MALDIquant: a versatile R package for the analysis of
mass spectrometry data. Bioinformatics 28:2270-1.
61. Bardou P, Mariette J, Escudié F, Djemiel C, Klopp C. 2014. jvenn: an interactive
Venn diagram viewer. BMC Bioinformatics 15:293.
62. Xia J, Sinelnikov IV, Han B, Wishart DS. 2015. MetaboAnalyst 3.0-making
metabolomics more meaningful. Nucleic Acids Research 43:W251-7.
63. Hylemon PB, Wells JS, Krieg NR, Jannasch HW. 1973. The Genus Spirillum: a
Taxonomic Study1. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary
Microbiology 23:340-380.
64. Pawlowsk D, Raslawsky A, Siebert G, Metzger D, Koudelka G. 2011. Identification
of Hylemonella gracilis as an Antagonist of Yersinia pestis Persistence. J Bioterr
Biodef S 3:2.
65. Spring S, Jackel U, Wagner M, Kampfer P. 2004. Ottowia thiooxydans gen. nov., sp.
nov., a novel facultatively anaerobic, N2O-producing bacterium isolated from
activated sludge, and transfer of Aquaspirillum gracile to Hylemonella gracilis
gen. nov., comb. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 54:99-106.
66. Willems A. 2014. The Family Comamonadaceae, p 777-851. *In* Rosenberg E,
DeLong EF, Lory S, Stackebrandt E, Thompson F (ed), The Prokaryotes:

Alphaproteobacteria and Betaproteobacteria doi:10.1007/978-3-642-30197-
1_238. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg.

67. Wang Y, Hammes F, Boon N, Egli T. 2007. Quantification of the filterability of
freshwater bacteria through 0.45, 0.22, and 0.1 μm pore size filters and shape-
dependent enrichment of filterable bacterial communities. Environmental
science & technology 41:7080-7086.

68. Cobo-Simón M, Tamames J. 2017. Relating genomic characteristics to
environmental preferences and ubiquity in different microbial taxa. BMC
Genomics 18:499.

69. Angly FE, Willner D, Prieto-Davó A, Edwards RA, Schmieder R, Vega-Thurber R,
Antonopoulos DA, Barott K, Cottrell MT, Desnues C, Dinsdale EA, Furlan M,
Haynes M, Henn MR, Hu Y, Kirchman DL, McDole T, McPherson JD, Meyer F, Miller
RM, Mundt E, Naviaux RK, Rodriguez-Mueller B, Stevens R, Wegley L, Zhang L,
Zhu B, Rohwer F. 2009. The GAAS Metagenomic Tool and Its Estimations of Viral
and Microbial Average Genome Size in Four Major Biomes. PLOS Computational
Biology 5:e1000593.

70. Frank JA, Sørensen SJ. 2011. Quantitative Metagenomic Analyses Based on
Average Genome Size Normalization. Applied and Environmental Microbiology
77:2513-2521.

71. Xia LC, Cram JA, Chen T, Fuhrman JA, Sun F. 2011. Accurate Genome Relative
Abundance Estimation Based on Shotgun Metagenomic Reads. PLOS ONE
6:e27992.

72. Lee GW, Lee S, Chung MS, Jeong YS, Chung BY. 2015. Rice terpene synthase 20
(OsTPS20) plays an important role in producing terpene volatiles in response to
abiotic stresses. Protoplasma 252:997-1007.

73. Loreto F, Schnitzler J-P. 2010. Abiotic stresses and induced BVOCs. Trends in
Plant Science 15:154-166.

74. Heng NCK, Wescombe PA, Burton JP, Jack RW, Tagg JR. 2007. The diversity of
bacteriocins in Gram-positive bacteria. *In* Riley MA, Chavan MA (ed),
Bacteriocins: ecology and evolution. Springer, Berlin.

75. Riley MA. 2011. Bacteriocin-mediated competitive interactions of bacterial
populations and communities, p 13-26, Prokaryotic Antimicrobial Peptides.
Springer.

76. Riley MA, Wertz JE. 2002. Bacteriocins: evolution, ecology, and application.
Annual Review of Microbiology 56.

77. Wadhams GH, Armitage JP. 2004. Making sense of it all: bacterial chemotaxis.
Nature reviews Molecular cell biology 5:1024.

78. Szurmant H, Ordal GW. 2004. Diversity in chemotaxis mechanisms among the
bacteria and archaea. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 68:301-319.

79. Garbeva P, Silby MW, Raaijmakers JM, Levy SB, Boer W. 2011. Transcriptional
and antagonistic responses of Pseudomonas fluorescens Pf0-1 to
phylogenetically different bacterial competitors. ISME Journal 5:973-85.

80. Johnson DC, Dean DR, Smith AD, Johnson MK. 2005. Structure, function, and
formation of biological iron-sulfur clusters. Annu Rev Biochem 74:247-81.

81. Bandyopadhyay S, Chandramouli K, Johnson MK. 2008. Iron-sulfur cluster
biosynthesis. Biochem Soc Trans 36:1112-9.

82. Crowley D, Wang Y, Reid C, Szaniszlo P. 1991. Mechanisms of iron acquisition
from siderophores by microorganisms and plants, p 213-232, Iron Nutrition and
Interactions in Plants. Springer.

83.   Allison SD. 2005. Cheaters, diffusion and nutrients constrain decomposition by
microbial enzymes in spatially structured environments. Ecology Letters 8:626-
635.
84.   Pagès A, Grice K, Vacher M, Welsh DT, Teasdale PR, Bennett WW, Greenwood P.
2014. Characterizing microbial communities and processes in a modern
stromatolite (S hark B ay) using lipid biomarkers and two - dimensional
distributions of porewater solutes. Environmental microbiology 16:2458-2474.
85.   Lill R. 2009. Function and biogenesis of iron–sulphur proteins. Nature 460:831.
86.   Ishige T, Krause M, Bott M, Wendisch VF, Sahm H. 2003. The Phosphate
Starvation Stimulon of Corynebacterium glutamicum Determined by DNA
Microarray Analyses. Journal of Bacteriology 185:4519-4529.
87.   Picard F, Loubière P, Girbal L, Cocaign-Bousquet M. 2013. The significance of
translation regulation in the stress response. Bmc Genomics 14:1-11.
88.   Silberbach M, Burkovski A. 2006. Application of global analysis techniques to
Corynebacterium glutamicum: New insights into nitrogen regulation. Journal of
Biotechnology 126:101-110.
89.   Takeuchi O, Sato S, Horiuchi T, Hoshino K, Takeda K, Dong Z, Modlin RL, Akira S.
2002. Cutting edge: role of Toll-like receptor 1 in mediating immune response to
microbial lipoproteins. The Journal of Immunology 169:10-14.
90.   Hemmi H, Takeuchi O, Kawai T, Kaisho T, Sato S, Sanjo H, Matsumoto M, Hoshino
K, Wagner H, Takeda K. 2000. A Toll-like receptor recognizes bacterial DNA.
Nature 408:740.
91.   Hayashi F, Smith KD, Ozinsky A, Hawn TR, Eugene CY, Goodlett DR, Eng JK, Akira
S, Underhill DM, Aderem A. 2001. The innate immune response to bacterial
flagellin is mediated by Toll-like receptor 5. Nature 410:1099.
92.   Müller V. 2001. Bacterial fermentation. e LS.
93.   Muñoz - Elías EJ, McKinney JD. 2006. Carbon metabolism of intracellular
bacteria. Cellular microbiology 8:10-22.
94.   Huynen MA, Dandekar T, Bork P. 1999. Variation and evolution of the citric-acid
cycle: a genomic perspective. Trends in microbiology 7:281-291.
95.   Beck HC, Hansen AM, Lauritsen FR. 2003. Novel pyrazine metabolites found in
polymyxin biosynthesis by Paenibacillus polymyxa. Fems Microbiology Letters
220:67-73.
96.   Kucerova-Chlupacova M, Kunes J, Buchta V, Vejsova M, Opletalova V. 2015. Novel
pyrazine analogs of chalcones: synthesis and evaluation of their antifungal and
antimycobacterial activity. Molecules 20:1104-17.
97.   Lapenda J, Silva P, Vicalvi M, Sena K, Nascimento S. 2015. Antimicrobial activity of
prodigiosin isolated from Serratia marcescens UFPEDA 398. World Journal of
Microbiology and Biotechnology 31:399-406.
98.   Chernin L, Brandis A, Ismailov Z, Chet I. 1996. Pyrrolnitrin production by an
Enterobacter agglomerans strain with a broad spectrum of antagonistic activity
towards fungal and bacterial phytopathogens. Current Microbiology 32:208-212.
99.   Dhar Purkayastha G, Mangar P, Saha A, Saha D. 2018. Evaluation of the biocontrol
efficacy of a Serratia marcescens strain indigenous to tea rhizosphere for the
management of root rot disease in tea. PloS one 13:e0191761-e0191761.
100. Kulkarni P, Wilschut RA, Verhoeven KJ, van der Putten WH, Garbeva P. 2018.
LAESI mass spectrometry imaging as a tool to differentiate the root metabolome
of native and range-expanding plant species. Planta 248:1515-1523.

101.   Li H, Smith BK, Márk L, Nemes P, Nazarian J, Vertes A. 2015. Ambient molecular
838   imaging by laser ablation electrospray ionization mass spectrometry with ion
839   mobility separation. International Journal of Mass Spectrometry 377:681-689.
840   102.   Stopka SA, Agtuca BJ, Koppenaal DW, Paša - Tolić L, Stacey G, Vertes A, Anderton
841   CR. 2017. Laser - ablation electrospray ionization mass spectrometry with ion
842   mobility separation reveals metabolites in the symbiotic interactions of soybean
843   roots and rhizobia. The Plant Journal 91:340-354.
844   103.   Schulz S, Fuhlendorff J, Reichenbach H. 2004. Identification and synthesis of
845   volatiles released by the myxobacterium Chondromyces crocatus. Tetrahedron
846   60:3863-3872.
847   104.   Blom D, Fabbri C, Connor EC, Schiestl FP, Klauser DR, Boller T, Eberl L, Weisskopf
848   L. 2011. Production of plant growth modulating volatiles is widespread among
849   rhizosphere bacteria and strongly depends on culture conditions. Environmental
850   Microbiology 13:3047-3058.
851   105.   Kabil O, Vitvitsky V, Banerjee R. 2014. Sulfur as a signaling nutrient through
852   hydrogen sulfide. Annual review of nutrition 34:171-205.
853   106.   Audrain B, Farag MA, Ryu CM, Ghigo JM. 2015. Role of bacterial volatile
854   compounds in bacterial biology. Fems Microbiology Reviews 39:222-233.
855

Table 1: Genome assembly statistics and outcome of the *in silico* analysis on secondary metabolite gene clusters of *H. gracilis, Serratia plymuthica* PRI-2C and *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87.

Feature / Organism	*Hylemonella gracilis*	*S. plymuthica* PRI-2C	*Paenibacillus* sp. AD87
contigs	1	1	30
bases	3822245	5474685	7086713
number of chromosomes	1	1	1
size chromosome 1	3822245	5464425	7086713
CDS	3648	4929	6216
GC- content (%)	65.1	55.7	46.2
number of RNAs	53	109	146
genes	3625	5284	6375
in silico detected secondary metabolite clusters (antiSMASH)	3	9	10
Total genome size (bases)	**3822245**	**5474685**	**7086713**

1 **Table 2:** Tentatively identified volatile organic compounds (VOCs) produced by a *H.*

2 *gracilis, Serratia plymuthica* PRI-2C and *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87 strains in mono- and co-

3 cultures.

#	Compound name	RT*	ELRI**	p-value***	chemical class	HM	SM	SH	PM	PH
						Detected in treatment				
1	2-methylfuran	2,18	738	0,041	Furan	X		X	X	X
2	2-methylpropanoic Acid	3,01	755	0,014	Alkenes	X	X	X	X	X
3	mix pentanal + heptane	3,21	760	0,008	Alkenes	X		X		X
4	methyl thycocyanate	3,44	764	0,020	Thioesters	X	X	X	X	X
5	1-Pentanol	3,95	772	0,012	Alkenes		X	X	X	X
6	dimethyl disulfide	4,01	775	0,012	Sulfides	X	X	X	X	X
7	unknown compound 1	4,15	778	0,003	-		X	X	X	
8	toluene	4,44	784	0,014	Benzenoids	X	X	X	X	X
9	methyl Isovalerate	4,76	789	0,018	Terpenes		X	X	X	X
10	cyclohexane	8,07	852	0,031	Alkenes		X	X	X	X
11	dimethyl trisulfide	11,35	914	0,013	Sulfides	X	X	X	X	X
12	benzonitrilie	12,06	928	0,037	Alkenes	X	X	X	X	X
13	2-Ethyl-4-methylpentan-1-ol	17,26	1026	0,015	Alkenes		X	X	X	X
14	2,5-bis(1-methylethyl)-pyrazine	20,56	1090	0,031	Pyrazines				X	X
15	undecane	21,31	1100	0,014	Alkenes	X		X	X	X
16	unknown compound 2	24,34	1140	0,013	-				X	
17	unknown compound 3	25,92	1160	0,011	-	X	X	X	X	X
19	unknown compound 4	26,40	1165	0,018	-	X		X	X	X
20	unknown compound 5	26,90	1170	0,003	-	X			X	X
21	alpha-terpineol	27,34	1178	0,016	Terpenes	X	X	X	X	X
22	undecane, 2,6-dimethyl	28,27	1190	0,004	Benzenoids	X	X	X	X	X
23	gamma-terpineol	28,42	1192	0,006	Terpenes	X		X		
24	terpene like compound 1	29,32	1202	0,012	Terpenes	X		X		
25	terpene like compound 2	31,49	1231	0,009	Terpenes	X	X	X	X	X
Number of detected compounds (n)						**16**	**15**	**20**	**20**	**19**

Abbreviations:

HM= *H. gracilis* monoculture, SM= *Serratia plymuthica* PRI-2C monoculture, PM=

Paenibacillus sp. AD87 monoculture, PH= *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87 and *H. gracilis* co-

culture, SH= *Serratia plymuthica* PRI-2C and *H. gracilis* co-culture

= Compound number

RT* = Retention time, the RT value stated is the average of three technical replicates.

ELRI** = Experimental linear retention index value, the RI value stated is the average of

three replicates.

p-value***= statistical significance (peak area and peak intensity)

Figure 1: Microscopy pictures of *Hylemonella gracilis* (a) colonies on agar plates captured at 20x magnification and (**b**) single bacterial cells captured at 400 X magnification.

Figure 2: Colony counts (CFU/mL) revealed after 5 days of incubation during the plate based interaction experiment (a) and (b) revealed during the cell free supernatant (CFS) exposure experiment. Abbreviations: *H. gracilis* monoculture (HM), *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87 monoculture (PM), *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87 – *H. gracilis* coculture (PH), *S. plymuthica* monoculture (SM), *S. plymuthica* - *H. gracilis* coculture (SH). *H. gracilis* - *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87 coculture (HP), *H. gracilis* – *S. plymuthica* coculture (HS). Significant differences in cell counts (CFU/mL) between co-cultures (treatment) and monocultures (controls) are indicated by asterisks (ONE-WAY ANOVA, post-hoc TUKEY test).

Strain/Treatment	PM	SM	HM	PH	SH
Compound					
Pyruvic Acid Methyl Ester	blue	blue	blue	yellow	yellow
Tween 40	blue	blue	blue	yellow	yellow
Tween 80		blue	blue	yellow	yellow
α-Cyclodextrin				yellow	
Glycogen	blue			yellow	
D-Cellobiose	blue	blue	blue	yellow	
α-D-Lactose		blue		yellow	yellow
β-Methyl-D-Glucoside	blue	blue	blue	yellow	yellow
D-Xylose	blue	blue	blue	yellow	yellow
i-Erythritol					
D-Mannitol	blue	blue	blue	yellow	yellow
N-Acetyl-D-Glucosamine		blue	blue	yellow	yellow
D-Glucosaminic Acid					
Glucose-1-Phosphate	blue	blue	blue	yellow	yellow
D-L-α-Glycerol Phosphate	blue	blue	blue	yellow	yellow
D-Galactonic Acid γ-Lactone					
D-Galacturonic Acid		blue	blue	yellow	yellow
2-Hydroxy Benzoic Acid					
4-Hydroxy Benzoic Acid		blue	blue	yellow	yellow
γ-Hydroxybutyric Acid					
Lactonic Acid					
α-Ketobutyric Acid					
D-Malic Acid					
L-Arginine					
L-Asparagine		blue	blue	yellow	yellow
L-Phenylalanine		blue		yellow	yellow
L-Serine	blue	blue	blue	yellow	yellow
L-Threonine					yellow
Glycyl-L-Glutamic Acid					yellow
Phenylethyl-amine					
Putrescine	blue	blue	blue	yellow	yellow

Figure 3: Results overview of the Biolog EcoPlate^{TM} experiment. The EcoPlate contains 31 different carbon sources, bacteria were inoculated in monoculture or in pairwise combinations. Colour codec: blue=carbon source could be utilized in monoculture, yellow= carbon source could be utilized in co-culture. Abbreviations: *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87 monoculture (PM), *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87 - *H. gracilis* coculture, (PH) *H. gracilis* monoculture (HM), *S. plymuthica* PRI-2C monoculture (SM), *S. plymuthica* PRI-2C - *H. gracilis* coculture (SH).

Figure 4: *In silio* comparison of biosynthetic gene clusters (BGCs) present in the three soil bacteria based on antismash *in silico* analysis (https://antismash.secondarymetabolites.org/). From left to right (**a**) *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87 with a genome size of 7.0 MBp, n=10 gene clusters for secondary metabolites, (**b**) *S. plymuthica* PRI-2C with a genome size of 5.4 MBp, n=9 gene clusters for secondary metabolites and (**c**) *H. gracilis* with a genome size of 3.8 MBp, n= 3 gene clusters for secondary metabolites.

Figure 5: Results of the comparison analysis carried out with the RAST pipeline (https://rast.nmpdr.org/) (**a**) Venn- diagram showing the results for the number (n) of expressed genes present solely in monoculture and the number of shared expressed genes for the monocultures of *Hylemonella* (HM), *Paenibacillus* monoculture (PM) and *Serratia* monoculture (SM) and the interaction of *Hylemonella* with *Paenibacillus* (PH) and for the interaction of *Hylemonella* with *Serratia* (SH). (**b**) Boxplot showing number (n) of expressed genes present in each RAST subsystem category.

Figure 6: Pie-charts representing up-regulated genes identified by differential gene expression analysis and COG annotation (**a**) *Hylemonella gracilis* monoculture gene expression level (**b**) *H. gracilis* in co-culture with *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87; (**c**) *H. gracilis* co-culture with *S. plymuthica* PRI-2C. In the co-culture of *H. gracilis* with *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87, genes related to signal transduction (**T**) were the category with the most differentially expressed genes. In the co- culture of *H. gracilis* with *S. plymuthica* PRI-2C genes related to signal transduction (**T**), translation, ribosome structure and biogenesis (**J**) were the most prevalent differentially expressed gene categories.

Figure 7: PLSD-A plots of the metabolomics data (**a**) PLS-DA 2D- plots of volatiles emitted by monocultures and pairwise combinations of *H. gracilis*, *Paenibacillus* and *Serratia plymuthica* after ten days of inoculation, time point (t=10 days) (**b**) PLS-DA 2D- plots of the analysed DART-MS data of monocultures and mixtures of *H. gracilis*, *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87 and *Serratia plymuthica* PRI-2C after ten days of inoculation, time point (t=10 days).

Figure 8: PLS-DA plots of the first 40 significant mass features observed after analysis of the LAESI-MSI data. (a) PLS-DA score plot for *H. gracilis* monoculture (HM), *Paenibacillus sp. AD87* monoculture (PM), *Paenibacillus* sp. AD87 – *H. gracilis* coculture (PH), *S. plymuthica* PRI-2C monoculture (SM), *S. plymuthica* PRI-2C - *H. gracilis* coculture (SH) and TSBA control (TSBA). **(b)** First 40 statistically significant features identified by PLS-DA based on Variable Importance in Projection (VIP) score. The colored boxes on the right indicate the relative concentrations of the corresponding metabolite in each group under study.