Inactivation of Aβ$_{42}$ Protomer-dimer Dissociation Reaction via Increasing Protomer Size

Ikuo Kurisaki[*1], Shigenori Tanaka[*1]

[1]Department of Computational Science, Graduate School of System Informatics, Kobe

University, 1-1 Rokkodai-cho, Nada-ku, Kobe 657-8501, Japan

[*]Ikuo Kurisaki

E-mail: kurisaki@bear.kobe-u.ac.jp, Tel: +81-78-803-6472

[*]Shigenori Tanaka

E-mail: tanaka2@kobe-u.ac.jp, Tel: +81-78-803-6620

Abstract

Amyloid fibril growth is supposed to be common pathogenic causes for neurodegenerative diseases, triggered by sufficient amounts of growth nuclei species. Since the molecular entity of growth nuclei is regarded as fibril-like aggregates, clarifying the minimum size of thermodynamically stable fibril-like aggregates has been a long standing problem to understand molecular mechanisms of amyloid fibril growth. We studied this problem by examining relationship between the size of fibril-like amyloid-β (1-42) (Aβ_{42}) aggregates and their thermodynamic stability. Seven different protomer dimers were examined as Aβ_{42} fibril-like aggregate models with employing atomistic molecular dynamics simulations. This study has found that increase of protomer size suppresses conformational fluctuation of these aggregates and inactivates protomer-protomer dissociation reactions by making timescales much longer than mean lifetime of human beings at the point of pentamer dimer formation. This observation shows apparent contribution of protomer size to stabilization of fibril-like aggregates, thus implying that dimer formation of relatively small protomers is a turning point toward growth nuclei formation. Meanwhile, Aβ_{42} monomer dissociation from the edges of protomers can occur within timescales ranging from microsecond to second and could work for Aβ_{42} protomer decomposition. This observation implies that suppressing the decomposition

route leads to stable Aβ_{42} growth nuclei formation.

Introduction

Amyloid formation of proteins has been widely observed in biological systems and such aggregate products are classified into the two categories, functional amyloid and pathogenic amyloid.[1] In particular, the latter have drawn much attention because they are supposed as causes of several neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer and Parkinson diseases.[2]

Since suppression of amyloid formation is considered as practical therapeutic strategy for these serious diseases,[3,4] molecular mechanisms for their formation have been extensively studied.[5-7] It is supposed that amyloid fibril formation proceeds via the consecutive three phases. Firstly, monomers assemble to make repertoire of oligomers; a part of oligomers assumes fibril-like, growth-competent aggregates referred to as growth nuclei (lag phase).[8,9] Secondly, growth nuclei species associate with each other to make larger protofibrils while they convert natively folded monomers into growth-competent monomers to enhance rapid fibril formation (growth phase). Finally, fibril growth processes are balanced with fibril decomposition processes, then reaching thermal equilibrium and completing fibril formation (plateau phase).

In particular, the progress from lag phase to growth phase plays a critical role in an amyloid fibril formation. Sufficient amounts of growth nuclei species are formed in the

lag phase[10], then triggering amyloid fibril growth[9]. The molecular entity of growth nuclei

is regarded as fibril-like aggregates.[9] Thus clarifying the minimum size of

thermodynamically stable fibril-like aggregates, which works as growth nuclei species,

is a landmark to understand molecular mechanisms for the shift from lag phase to growth

phase, but has still been performed unsatisfactorily.

Then, we could reach this landmark knowledge by examining the speculation we made

in our earlier study[11]: amyloid-β (1-42) (Aβ$_{42}$) protomer growth results in suppression of

conformational fluctuation such as inter-Aβ$_{42}$ protomer twisting and then

thermodynamically stabilizes Aβ$_{42}$ protofibrils.

Recalling earlier experimental studies on preliminary formation of Aβ$_{42}$ aggregates[12-14], we could find thermodynamically stable, growth-competent aggregates among

oligomeric Aβ$_{42}$ species. Meanwhile we should note molecular diversity of Aβ$_{42}$

aggregates appearing in lag phase[15-18]. It is still challenging for experimental approaches

to separately examine physicochemical characters of individual oligomeric aggregate

species. For the purpose, molecular simulations are practical tools because they can

selectively analyze a specific aggregate species.

In this study, we examined relationship between the size of fibril-like Aβ$_{42}$ aggregates

and their thermodynamic stability, aiming to identify the minimum species of irreversibly

formed fibril-like Aβ42 aggregate within biological period. We performed molecular

dynamics simulations for each of seven different Aβ42 fibril-like aggregates,

Aβ42 protomer dimers. Conformational fluctuation and dissociation free energies of these

dimers were analyzed to validate the relationship.

Materials and Methods

Setup of amyloid-β (1-42) protomer dimer systems

We used the cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) structure (PDB entry: 5OQV[19]) to

construct amyloid-β (1-42), Aβ42, protomer dimer systems; a protomer denotes an Aβ42

oligomer composed of Aβ42 monomers with growth-competent conformation. Here we

consider dimer of N-mer Aβ42 protomer as the model of fibril-like aggregate, where the

value of N ranges 4 to 10 (**Figure 1**). Each of the seven models is annotated by Aβ42(N:N)

or simply N:N, hereafter. Nε protonation state was employed for each of histidine residues,

and all carboxyl groups in aspartate and glutamate residues were set to the deprotonated

state. Employing each of the seven Aβ42(N:N), we prepared seven Aβ42 protomer dimer

systems, whose annotations and molecular components are summarized in **Table 1**. The

additional detail for system construction is described in Supporting Information (see **SI-**

1).

Figure 1. Molecular structures of Aβ$_{42}$ protomer dimers. Each of two integers separated by colon denotes the number of Aβ$_{42}$ monomer in the protomer. The X and Z axes are shown on this plane. The cross in circle denotes Y-axis which directs from this surface to the back.

Table 1. Molecular components of Aβ$_{42}$ protomer dimer systems employed for the molecular dynamics simulations.

size of Aβ$_{42}$ protomer	number of K$^+$ cations	number of water molecules	box axis length [Å]		
			x	y	z
4	24	33406	138.4	104.2	78.4
5	30	35679	139.1	105.4	83.3
6	36	38153	139.8	106.0	87.7
7	42	41156	140.5	106.9	92.5
8	48	42498	140.6	107.4	96.8
9	54	44855	141.5	108.4	101.7
10	60	46828	141.6	109.2	106.4

To calculate the forces acting among atoms, AMBER force field 14SB[20], TIP3P water

model[21,22], and JC ion parameters adjusted for the TIP3P water model[23,24] were used for amino acid residues, water molecules, and ions, respectively. Molecular modeling of each $A\beta_{42}$(N:N) system was performed using the LEaP modules in AmberTools 17 package[25].

Simulation setup

Molecular mechanics (MM) and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed under the periodic boundary condition with GPU-version PMEMD module in AMBER 17 package[25] based on SPFP algorism[26] with NVIDIA GeForce GTX1080 Ti. Electrostatic interaction was treated by the Particle Mesh Ewald method, where the real space cutoff was set to 9 Å. The vibrational motions associated with hydrogen atoms were frozen by SHAKE algorithm through MD simulations. The translational center-of-mass motion of the whole system was removed by every 500 steps to keep the whole system around the origin, avoiding an overflow of coordinate information from the MD trajectory format. These simulation conditions mentioned above were common in all of the simulations discussed in this manuscript.

Unbiased molecular dynamics simulation

Following temperature relaxation NVT simulations, 30-ns NPT MD simulations (300

K, 1 bar) were performed and used for following analyses. The system temperature and pressure were regulated with Berendsen thermostat[27] with a 5-ps of coupling constant and Monte Carlo barostat with attempt of system volume change by every 100 steps, respectively. A set of initial atomic velocities was randomly assigned from the Maxwellian distribution at 0.001 K at the beginning of the NVT simulations. The time step of integration was set to 2 fs. For each $A\beta_{42}$ fibril system, this simulation procedure was repeated thirty times by assigning different initial atomic velocities. The further details are shown in Supporting Information (see **SI-2**).

Steered and umbrella sampling molecular dynamics simulations

Dissociation processes of $A\beta_{42}$ monomer or $A\beta_{42}$ protomer were described by combining a steered molecular dynamics (SMD) simulation with umbrella sampling molecular dynamics (USMD) simulations. The definitions for reaction coordinates for both SMD and USMD simulations are given in Results and Discussion section.

SMD was employed to dissociate an $A\beta_{42}$ monomer or protomer from the remaining part of $A\beta_{42}$(N:N). 0.25-ns SMD simulation was carried out under constant NPT condition (300 K, 1 bar), where the system temperature and pressure were regulated by Langevin thermostat with 1-ps^{-1} collision coefficient, and Monte Carlo barostat with attempt of

system volume change by every 100 steps, respectively. The value of reaction coordinate was gradually changed through the SMD simulations by imposing the harmonic potential with the force constant of 100 kcal/mol/Å^2.

Then, certain numbers of snapshot structures were extracted from the SMD trajectory and employed for USMD windows. Following temperature relaxation simulations, several nanosecond NVT USMD simulations (300 K) were performed for each of the USMD windows (**Table S1** and **Tables S2-3** in Supporting Information for Aβ$_{42}$ protomer dissociation and Aβ$_{42}$ monomer dissociation, respectively). The system temperature was regulated using Langevin thermostat with 1-ps^{-1} collision coefficient. Each of the last 1-ns USMD trajectories was used to construct a potential of mean force.

This combined SMD-USMD procedures are repeated eight times for each Aβ$_{42}$ protomer dimer system. Sets of initial atomic coordinates for SMD simulations were randomly selected from the thirty set of unbiased 30-ns NPT MD simulations without allowing duplication. The further details are illustrated in Supporting Information (see **SI-3**).

Trajectory analyses

Dihedral angle, hydrogen bond (HB) formation and root mean square deviation

(RMSd) were calculated with the cpptraj module in AmberTools 17 package[25]. We calculated RMSd to the cryo-EM derived $A\beta_{42}$ protomer dimer structure[19] using the backbone heavy atoms (i.e., $C\alpha$, N, C and O). The geometrical criterion of HB formation is as follows: H-X distance was < 3.5 Å and X-H-Y angle was > 120˚, where X, Y and H denote acceptor, donor and hydrogen atoms, respectively.

Each set of USMD trajectories was used to calculate potential of mean force (PMF) with Weighed Histogram Analysis Method (WHAM)[28,29]. Statistical errors of PMF values, $\sigma_{PMF}(\xi)$, were estimated by employing bootstrapped sampling[30]:

$$\sigma_{PMF}(\xi) = \left[(N_b - 1)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N_b} \left(W_{b,k}(\xi) - \langle W_b(\xi) \rangle \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (1)$$

Here, N_b, ξ, and $W_{b,k}(\xi)$ denote the number of bootstrapped sampling, the reaction coordinate and the value of kth bootstrapped potential of mean force at each point of ξ, respectively. $\langle W_b(\xi) \rangle$ is average over all $W_{b,k}(\xi)$, where the value of N_b is set to 200.

Reaction rate, k_{TST}, is estimated by using Eyring's transition state theory:

$$k_{TST} = \frac{k_B T}{h} \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta F^\dagger}{k_B T} \right) \quad (2)$$

Here, $\Delta F^\dagger$, h, k_B and T denote an activation barrier height, Planck constant, Boltzmann constant and a temperature of system, respectively. Reaction time scale, τ_{TST}, is defined as the inverse of k_{TST}. $\Delta F^\dagger$ is defined as $F(\xi_0') - F(\xi_0)$, where PMF has local minimum

at ξ_0, and gradient of PMF turns from positive to negative values at ξ_0', which is greater than ξ_0. The estimation with employing Eq. 2 is supposed to be an upper bound of the reaction rate (or a lower bound of the reaction time)[31,32] and we accordingly remarked the influence on each of observations we obtained (see related discussion in Results and Discussion section).

Molecular structures were illustrated using Visual Molecular Dynamics (VMD).[33] Error bars are calculated from standard error and indicate 95% confidence interval if there is no annotation.

Results and Discussion

Inter-Aβ₄₂ protomer twisting is suppressed through fibril growth

We examined conformational fluctuation of Aβ₄₂ protomer dimers under thermal equilibrium by employing each thirty sets of 30-ns unbiased NPT MD simulations. **Figure 2** shows time-course change of averaged RMSd values for Aβ₄₂ protomer dimers. Larger protomer dimers have smaller converged RMSd values, suggesting suppression of conformational fluctuation through protofibril growth. For each of the seven systems, it can be considered that the values reach equilibrium after 20 ns. Aβ(4:4) system shows relatively large RMSd fluctuation compared with the other six systems. Nonetheless, the

fluctuation is in magnitude of c.a. 0.2 Å within the time domain and seems smaller than

atomic scales, then possibly being insignificant. Accordingly, we employed partial MD

trajectories in the period after 20 ns for following analyses.

Figure 2. Time-course analyses of root mean square deviation (RMSd). Each result of

Aβ42 protomer dimer systems is distinguished by color. The time domain supposed as

convergence is indicated by the red rectangle.

We characterize conformational fluctuation of Aβ42 protomer dimer by using the inter-

Aβ42 protomer twisting angle (θ_T) (**Figure 3A**). This θ_T is defined as the dihedral angle

in the manner similar to that in our earlier study[11]. **Table 2** gives statistical analyses for

values of θ_T calculated for each of the seven systems. We could not find significant

difference in averaged value of θ_T among the seven systems. Meanwhile, standard

deviation (S. D.) reflects the effect of protomer size; $A\beta_{42}(4{:}4)$ shows larger S. D. of θ_T than the other systems.

Figure 3. Inter-$A\beta_{42}$ protomer twisting angle. (A) illustration of the twisting angle. (B) twisting angle distributions for each $A\beta_{42}$ protomer dimer system. In panel A, $\vec{X}_m$ denotes the coordinate averaged for the C_α atoms of the mth residues in a $A\beta_{42}$ monomer over the protomer (shown with blue ball); $A\beta_{42}(4{:}4)$ is illustrated here as an example. In panel B, each result of $A\beta_{42}$ protomer dimer systems is distinguished by color, and bin width is set to $1°$.

Table 2. Statistical analyses of inter-Aβ_{42} protomer twisting angle.

Aβ_{42} protofibril model system	twisting angle [deg.]	
	average $\pm$ 2 $\times$ S. E.[*]	S. D.[*]
Aβ_{42}(4:4)	193.9 $\pm$ 2.6	7.1
Aβ_{42}(5:5)	193.5 $\pm$ 1.5	4.0
Aβ_{42}(6:6)	194.3 $\pm$ 1.1	2.9
Aβ_{42}(7:7)	194.9 $\pm$ 1.1	2.9
Aβ_{42}(8:8)	194.8 $\pm$ 1.0	2.7
Aβ_{42}(9:9)	193.1 $\pm$ 1.0	2.7
Aβ_{42}(10:10)	193.1 $\pm$ 1.2	3.2

[*]S. E. and S. D. denote standard error and standard deviation, respectively.

Dependence of the S. D. on protomer size can be further clarified by showing the shapes of the distributions of θ_T (**Figure 3B**). That for Aβ_{42}(4:4) shows longer tails (the S.D. value is 7.0 deg.) than those for other systems. Comparing Aβ_{42}(5:5) with Aβ_{42}(4:4), the S. E. value shows 1.75-fold decrease, for example. We can find further localization of distributions for larger Aβ_{42} protomer dimers, whose S. D. values are c.a. 3.0 deg. These observations clearly indicate that Aβ_{42} protofibril growth results in suppression of inter-protomer rotation. This result validates the former part of our hypothesis.

Increase of hydrogen bond formation between the protomers additively changes the height of free barrier of protomer dissociation reaction

We test the latter part of our hypothesis that suppressing conformational fluctuation of Aβ42 protomer dimer actually enhances thermodynamic stability of the protomer dimer. A potential of mean force (PMF) was calculated for each of protomer-protomer dissociation reactions, where the distance between centers of mass for each protomer is employed as the reaction coordinates (see **Figure 4A** for the definition).

Figure 4. Analyses for Aβ42 protomer-protomer dissociation reaction. (A) Illustration of reaction coordinate. (B) Potential of mean forces (PMFs). (C) Height of activation barrier

obtained from the PMFs. (D) Reaction time evaluated with Erying's transition state theory. In panel A, $\vec{X}_{m-n,s-t}$ denotes the averaged coordinate (shown with blue ball), which is calculated for the (n−m+1) C_α atoms of the mth, $m+1^{th}$ … n^{th} and s^{th}, $s+1^{th}$ … t^{th} residues in the protomer, and the case of Aβ_{42}(4:4) is illustrated here as an example. In panel B, each of Aβ_{42} protomer dimer systems is distinguished by color.

As shown in **Figure 4B**, each of the PMFs has one activation barrier toward the dissociation direction, appearing to be uphill. Furthermore, the height of activation barrier clearly increases with the size of protomer, thus corroborating the latter part of our hypothesis (**Figure 4C**).

This change can be explained by considering the number of hydrogen bond (HB) formation between the protomers. **Figure 4C** and **Figure 5A** show the height of activation barrier and the number of inter-Aβ_{42} protomer HB, respectively. We can find that both of these two quantities additively change according to protomer size and it thus could be said that increase of the protomer size directly contributes to enthalpic stabilization of Aβ_{42} protomer dimers and suppresses inter-protomer rotation.

It is worthwhile to note that the number of hydrogen bond formation per Aβ_{42} monomer ($n_{monomer}^{HB}$) seems to converge up to Aβ_{42}(8:8) (**Figure 5B**): $n_{monomer}^{HB}$ shows monomer size-

dependent, *extensive* property by Aβ₄₂(7:7), while it converts into monomer size-independent *intensive* variable from Aβ₄₂(8:8). This observation let us suggest that Aβ₄₂(8:8) is a boundary where a flexible fibril-like aggregate converts into solid Aβ₄₂ protofibril structure.

Figure 5. Hydrogen bond (HB) formation between Aβ₄₂ protomers. (A) whole number of the HB. (B) the number of HB divided by the number of Aβ₄₂ monomer in the Aβ₄₂ protomer dimer.

Using the heights of activation barriers calculated above, we estimated the time scale of protomer dissociation reactions (τ [s]) (**Figure 4D**). From the biological point of view, it is worth discussing the difference between that of Aβ_{42}(4:4) and Aβ_{42}(5:5), in particular. According to our estimations with Eyring's transition state theory, the value of τ for Aβ_{42}(4:4) is 8.8 ms which falls into timescales of cellular processes, while that for Aβ_{42}(5:5) is 1680 year which is much longer than mean lifetime of human being. This reaction time estimation denotes that the protomer-protomer dissociation reaction could be inactivated in decomposition processes of Aβ_{42}(5:5) and also in those of greater protomer dimers.

Since Eyring's transition state theory would often underestimate reaction timescales,[31,32] correction of such underestimation might indicate that oligomeric species smaller than Aβ_{42}(5:5), *e.g.*, Aβ_{42}(4:4), show inactivation of inter-protomer dissociation as well. Nonetheless, the observation results for inactivation of inter-Aβ_{42} protomer dissociation within the biological timescales would remain unchanged essentially (see also below).

The above observation, which is obtained by considering the seven model systems of Aβ_{42} fibril-like aggregate, supports our speculation in the point that increasing size of

protomer contributes to thermodynamic stability of fibril-like aggregates. Considering that such inactivation of the dissociation route is observed with respect to $A\beta_{42}(5:5)$ and greater protomer dimers, even dimer formation of relatively small protomers might be an important turning point in amyloid fibril formation process as the first step of formation of growth nuclei.

$A\beta_{42}$ protomer size has lesser influence on monomer dissociation from the edge of a protomer dimer

It can be assumed that increase of protomer size similarly excludes an alternative route of $A\beta_{42}$ aggregate decomposition, that is, $A\beta_{42}$ monomer dissociation from the edges of protomers. To examine effects of protomer size on the alternative dissociation route, we analyzed thermodynamic stability of $A\beta_{42}$ monomer on the protomer edge. We calculated potential of mean forces for monomer dissociations from $A\beta_{42}(4:4)$ and $A\beta_{42}(10:10)$ (**Figure 6A** and **6B**), the smallest and largest protomer dimers examined in this study, respectively.

The estimated reaction times are 1.6 μs and 5.9 ms for $A\beta_{42}(4:4)$ and $A\beta_{42}(10:10)$, respectively. Although increase of protomer size leads to suppression of monomer dissociation from a protomer edge, the estimated reaction time is still within several milli

seconds even for $A\beta_{42}$(10:10). This observation suggests that $A\beta_{42}$ monomer dissociation is an active route for $A\beta_{42}$ protomer dimer decomposition even under suppression of protomer-protomer dissociation.

It is noted that Eyring's transition state theory would often underestimate the reaction time.[31,32] Referring to earlier kinetic studies on other biomacromolecular processes[34-36] and directly applying their underestimation corrections to the value we obtained here, the timescale of monomer dissociations from $A\beta_{42}$(4:4) might become 10^6-fold larger at maximum. Nonetheless, even if we consider 10^6-fold larger value for monomer dissociation from $A\beta_{42}$(4:4) edges, the value of 1.6 s still falls into timescales of cellular processes. Then, monomer dissociation reaction from the $A\beta_{42}$(4:4) edges might work for an active route of $A\beta_{42}$(4:4) protomer dimer decomposition, then preventing their functional expression as growth-competent aggregates, growth nuclei.

Figure 6. Analyses for Aβ42 monomer dissociation reaction. (A) Illustration of reaction

coordinate. (B) Potential of mean forces (PMFs). In panel A, $\vec{X}^{(l)}_{m-n}$ denotes the averaged

coordinate (shown with blue ball), which is calculated for the ($n-m+1$) C_α atoms of the

m^{th}, $m+1^{\text{th}}$ … n^{th} residues for l monomers in the protomer, and the case of Aβ42(4:4) is

illustrated here as an example. The dissociated monomer and the neighboring trimer is

colored by transparent yellow and blue, respectively. In panel B, each of Aβ42 protofibril

systems is distinguished by color.

We have found the effect of increasing protomer size on dissociation reaction for fibril-

like aggregate by examining thermodynamic stability of Aβ42 protomer dimers. Since the

protomer-protomer dissociation is inactivated, formation of a pentameric Aβ42 protomer

dimer might be a turning point toward minimum growth nuclei. On the other hand, the

relatively rapid timescale of Aβ42 monomer dissociation from protomer edges implies

thermodynamic instability of tetrameric Aβ_{42} protomer dimer. There could be an alternative key factor for a stable formation of the oligomeric species besides protomer sizes. Nonetheless, further investigation for such a factor is beyond the scope of this study, thus being briefly addressed below and left for future study.

Concluding Remarks

Clarifying minimum size of growth-competent aggregates is a landmark knowledge to understand molecular mechanisms for amyloid fibril formation. In this context, we examined relationship between Aβ_{42} protomer size and thermodynamic stability of the protomer dimers.

We then elucidated that increase of protomer size suppresses protomer-protomer dissociation and thermodynamically inactivates this reaction route upon the disassembly processes. This observation validates our earlier speculation and then indicates that a protomer size is an important factor for thermodynamic stability of fibril-like aggregates which appear in an earlier phase of amyloid fibril formation. Furthermore, we obtained a new speculation that even dimer formation of relatively small protomers (Aβ_{42} pentamer dimer as for our model systems) is an important turning point toward growth nuclei formation.

On the other hand, our observations also imply an alternative key factor for stable formation of $A\beta_{42}$ growth nuclei species, because monomer dissociation routes appear to be still active for $A\beta_{42}$ protomer decomposition. Recalling the earlier experimental insights into micelle-like aggregate formation upon amyloid fibril growth,[12,14,33,37] crowding of $A\beta_{42}$ monomers surrounding fibril-like aggregates is a possible candidate to suppress relatively rapid monomer dissociation routes. This speculation will be examined in future study by considering roles of molecular crowding in preliminary formation of growth nuclei for stable formation of relatively small protomer dimer such as $A\beta_{42}$(5:5).

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

Detailed procedures for unbiased MD, SMD and USMD simulations, Figures and Tables

for analyses of these simulations.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas

"Chemistry for Multimolecular Crowding Biosystems" (JSPS KAKENHI Grand No.

JP17H06351).

Author Information

Corresponding Authors

Ikuo Kurisaki - Graduate School of System Informatics, Kobe University, 1-1

Rokkodai,Nada-ku, Kobe 657-8501, Japan; ORCID: 0000-0003-4519-1093; Email:

kurisaki@bear.kobe-u.ac.jp

Shgenori Tanaka - Graduate School of System Informatics, Kobe University, 1-1

Rokkodai,Nada-ku, Kobe 657-8501, Japan; ORCID: 0000-0002-6659-2788; Email:

tanaka2@kobe-u.ac.jp

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

References

1.   Chiti, F.; Dobson, C. M., Protein Misfolding, Amyloid Formation, and Human Disease: A Summary of Progress Over The Last Decade. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **2017**, *86*, 27-68.

2.   Chiti, F.; Dobson, C. M., Protein Misfolding, Functional Amyloid, and Human Disease. *Ann. Rev. Biochem.* **2006**, *75*, 333-366.

3.   Tolar, M.; Abushakra, S.; Sabbagh, M., The path forward in Alzheimer's disease therapeutics: Reevaluating the Amyloid Cascade Hypothesis. *Alzheimers Dement.* **2020**, *16*, 1553-1560.

4.   Selkoe, D. J.; Hardy, J., The Amyloid Hypothesis of Alzheimer's Disease at 25years. *Embo Mol. Med.* **2016**, *8*, 595-608.

5.   Knowles, T. P. J.; Waudby, C. A.; Devlin, G. L.; Cohen, S. I. A.; Aguzzi, A.; Vendruscolo, M.; Terentjev, E. M.; Welland, M. E.; Dobson, C. M., An Analytical Solution to the Kinetics of Breakable Filament Assembly. *Science* **2009**, *326*, 1533-1537.

6.   Cohen, S. I. A.; Linse, S.; Luheshi, L. M.; Hellstrand, E.; White, D. A.; Rajah, L.; Otzen, D. E.; Vendruscolo, M.; Dobson, C. M.; Knowles, T. P. J., Proliferation of Amyloid-β 42 Aggregates Occurs through A Secondary Nucleation Mechanism. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2013**, *110*, 9758-9763.

7.   Owen, M. C.; Gnutt, D.; Gao, M. M.; Warmlander, S. K. T. S.; Jarvet, J.;

Graslund, A.; Winter, R.; Ebbinghaus, S.; Strodel, B., Effects of In Vivo Conditions on

Amyloid Aggregation. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2019**, *48*, 3946-3996.

8.   Harper, J. D.; Lansbury, P. T., Models of Amyloid Seeding in Alzheimier's

Disease and Scrapie: Mechanistic Truths and Physiological Consequences of the Time-

dependent Solubility of Amyloid Proteins. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **1997**, *66*, 385-407.

9.   Ruggeri, F. S.; Sneideris, T.; Vendruscolo, M.; Knowles, T. P. J., Atomic Force

Microscopy for Single Molecule Characterisation of Protein Aggregation. *Arch. Biochem.*

Biophys. **2019**, *664*, 134-148.

10.   Cohen, S. I. A.; Vendruscolo, M.; Dobson, C. M.; Knowles, T. P. J., From

Macroscopic Measurements to Microscopic Mechanisms of Protein Aggregation. *J. Mol.*

Biol. **2012**, *421*, 160-171.

11.   Kurisaki, I.; Tanaka, S., ATP Converts Aβ(42) Oligomer into Off-Pathway

Species by Making Contact with Its Backbone Atoms Using Hydrophobic Adenosine. *J.*

Phys. Chem. B **2019**, *123*, 9922-9933.

12.   Sabate, R.; Estelrich, J., Evidence of The Existence of Micelles in The

Fibrillogenesis of β-amyloid Peptide. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2005**, *109*, 11027-11032.

13.   Wolff, M.; Zhang-Haagen, B.; Decker, C.; Barz, B.; Schneider, M.; Biehl, R.;

Radulescu, A.; Strodel, B.; Willbold, D.; Nagel-Steger, L., Aβ_{42} Pentamers/Hexamers Are the Smallest Detectable Oligomers in Solution. *Sci. Rep-Uk* **2017**, *7*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02370-3

14. Novo, M.; Freire, S.; Al-Soufi, W., Critical Aggregation Concentration for The Formation of Early Amyloid-β (1-42) Oligomers. *Sci. Rep-Uk* **2018**, *8*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-19961-3

15. Colletier, J. P.; Laganowsky, A.; Landau, M.; Zhao, M.; Soriaga, A. B.; Goldschmidt, L.; Flot, D.; Cascio, D.; Sawaya, M. R.; Eisenberg, D., Molecular Basis for Amyloid-β Polymorphism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* **2011**, *108*, 16938-43.

16. Hard, T., Protein Engineering to Stabilize Soluble Amyloid β-protein Aggregates for Structural and Functional Studies. *Febs J.* **2011**, *278*, 3884-3892.

17. De, S.; Wirthensohn, D. C.; Flagmeier, P.; Hughes, C.; Aprile, F. A.; Ruggeri, F. S.; Whiten, D. R.; Emin, D.; Xia, Z. J.; Varela, J. A.; Sormanni, P.; Kundel, F.; Knowles, T. P. J.; Dobson, C. M.; Bryant, C.; Vendruscolo, M.; Klenerman, D., Different Soluble Aggregates of Aβ42 Can Give Rise to Cellular Toxicity Through Different Mechanisms. *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09477-3

18. Kollmer, M.; Close, W.; Funk, L.; Rasmussen, J.; Bsoul, A.; Schierhorn, A.; Schmidt, M.; Sigurdson, C. J.; Jucker, M.; Fandrich, M., Cryo-EM Structure and

Polymorphism of Aβ Amyloid Fibrils Purified from Alzheimer's Brain Tissue. *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12683-8

19.   Gremer, L.; Scholzel, D.; Schenk, C.; Reinartz, E.; Labahn, J.; Ravelli, R. B. G.; Tusche, M.; Lopez-Iglesias, C.; Hoyer, W.; Heise, H.; Willbold, D.; Schroder, G. F., Fibril Structure of Amyloid-β(1-42) by Cryo-electron Microscopy. *Science* **2017**, *358*, 116-119.

20.   Maier, J. A.; Martinez, C.; Kasavajhala, K.; Wickstrom, L.; Hauser, K. E.; Simmerling, C., ff14SB: Improving the Accuracy of Protein Side Chain and Backbone Parameters from ff99SB. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2015**, *11*, 3696-3713.

21.   Jorgensen, W. L.; Chandrasekhar, J.; Madura, J. D.; Impey, R. W.; Klein, M. L., Comparison of Simple Potential Functions for Simulating Liquid Water. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1983**, *79*, 926-935.

22.   Kusalik, P. G.; Svishchev, I. M., The Spatial Structure in Liquid Water. *Science* **1994**, *265*, 1219-1221.

23.   Joung, I. S.; Cheatham, T. E., Determination of Alkali and Halide Monovalent Ion Parameters for Use in Explicitly Solvated Biomolecular Simulations. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2008**, *112*, 9020-9041.

24.   Joung, I. S.; Cheatham, T. E., III, Molecular Dynamics Simulations of the Dynamic and Energetic Properties of Alkali and Halide Ions Using Water-Model-Specific

Ion Parameters. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2009**, *113*, 13279-13290.

25.   Case, D. A.; Cerutti, D. S.; Cheatham, T. E., III ; Darden, T. A.; Duke, R. E.; Giese, T. J.; Gohlke, H.; Goetz, A. W.; Greene, D.; Homeyer, N.; Izadi, S.; Kovalenko, A.; Lee, T. S.; LeGrand, S.; Li, P.; Lin, C.; Liu, J.; Luchko, T.; Luo, R.; Mermelstein, D.; Merz, K. M.; Monard, G.; Nguyen, H.; Omelyan, I.; Onufriev, A.; Pan, F.; Qi, R.; Roe, D. R.; Roitberg, A.; Sagui, C.; Simmerling, C.; Botello-Smith, W. M.; Swails, J.; Walker, R. C.; Wang, J.; Wolf, R. M.; Wu, X.; Xiao, L.; York, D. M.; Kollman, P. A. *Amber 17*; University of California: San Francisco, CA, 2017.

26.   Le Grand, S.; Gotz, A. W.; Walker, R. C., SPFP: Speed without Compromise-A Mixed Precision Dodel for GPU Accelerated Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **2013**, *184*, 374-380.

27.   Berendsen, H. J. C.; Postma, J. P. M.; Vangunsteren, W. F.; Dinola, A.; Haak, J. R., Molecular-dynamics with Couping to An External Bath. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1984**, *81*, 3684-3690.

28.   Grossfield , A. *WHAM: the Weighted Histogram Analysis Method*, version 2.0.9; University of Rochester Medical Center: Rochester, NY, 2013.

29.   Kumar, S.; Bouzida, D.; Swendsen, R. H.; Kollman, P. A.; Rosenberg, J. M., The Weighted Histogram Analysis Method for Free-Energy Calculations on Biomolecules .1.

The Method. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1992**, *13*, 1011-1021.

30.   Hub, J. S.; de Groot, B. L.; van der Spoel, D., g_wham-A Free Weighted Histogram Analysis Implementation Including Robust Error and Autocorrelation Estimates. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2010**, *6*, 3713-3720.

31.   Case, D. A., Dynamical Simulation of Rate Constants in Protein-Ligand Interactions. *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Bio.* **1988**, *52* (1), 39-70.

32.   Zhou, H. X., Rate Theories for Biologists. *Q. Rev. Biophys.* **2010**, *43*, 219-293.

33   Humphrey, W.; Dalke, A.; Schulten, K., VMD: Visual Molecular Dynamics. *J. Mol. Graphics Modell.* **1996**, *14*, 33-38.

34.   Roux, B.; Karplus, M., Ion-Transport in a Gramicidin-Like Channel - Dynamics and Mobility. *J. Phys. Chem.-Us* **1991**, *95*, 4856-4868.

35.   Hridya, V. M.; Hynes, J. T.; Mukherjee, A., Dynamical Recrossing in the Intercalation Process of the Anticancer Agent Proflavine into DNA. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2019**, *123*, 10904-10914.

36.   Dutzler, R.; Schirmer, T.; Karplus, M.; Fischer, S., Translocation mechanism of long sugar chains across the maltoporin membrane channel. *Structure* **2002**, *10*, 1273-1284.

37.   Lomakin, A.; Chung, D. S.; Benedek, G. B.; Kirschner, D. A.; Teplow, D. B., On

The Nucleation and Growth of Amyloid β-protein Fibrils: Detection of Nuclei and

Quantitation of Rate Constants. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1996**, *93*, 1125-1129.

For TOC graphics only