Remarked Suppression of Aβ₄₂ Protomer-Protomer Dissociation Reaction Elucidated by Molecular Dynamics Simulation

Running title: turning point of amyloid fibril formation

Ikuo Kurisaki[*1], Shigenori Tanaka[*1]

[1]Department of Computational Science, Graduate School of System Informatics, Kobe

University, 1-1 Rokkodai-cho, Nada-ku, Kobe 657-8501, Japan

[*]Ikuo Kurisaki

E-mail: kurisaki@bear.kobe-u.ac.jp, Tel: +81-78-803-6472

[*]Shigenori Tanaka

E-mail: tanaka2@kobe-u.ac.jp, Tel: +81-78-803-6620

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding

authors upon reasonable request.

Funding statement

This work was supported by a Grant-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas

"Chemistry for Multimolecular Crowding Biosystems" (JSPS KAKENHI Grant No.

JP17H06353) and MEXT Quantum Leap Flagship Program (Grant No.

JPMXS0120330644).

Ethics approval statement

None.

Patient consent statement

None.

Permission to reproduce material from other sources

There are no reproduced materials from other sources. All of the materials were newly created for this manuscript.

Clinical trial registration

None.

Conflicts of interest disclosure

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Abstract

Multimeric protein complexes are molecular apparatuses to regulate biological systems and often determine their fate. Among proteins forming such molecular assemblies, amyloid proteins have drawn attention over a half-century since amyloid fibril formation of these proteins is supposed to be a common pathogenic cause for neurodegenerative diseases. This process is triggered by the accumulation of fibril-like aggregates, while the microscopic mechanisms are mostly elusive due to technical limitation of experimental methodologies in individually observing each of diverse aggregate species in the aqueous solution. We then addressed this problem by employing atomistic molecular dynamics simulations for the paradigmatic amyloid protein, amyloid-β (1-42) (Aβ$_{42}$). Seven different dimeric forms of oligomeric Aβ$_{42}$ fibril-like aggregate in aqueous solution, ranging from tetramer to decamer, were considered. We found additive effects of the size of these fibril-like aggregates on their thermodynamic stability and have clarified kinetic suppression of protomer-protomer dissociation reactions at and beyond the point of pentamer dimer formation. This observation was obtained from the specific combination of the Aβ$_{42}$ protomer structure and the physicochemical condition that we here examined, while it is worthwhile to recall that several amyloid fibrils take dimeric forms of their protomers. We could thus conclude that the stable formation of fibril-like protomer dimer

should be involved in a turning point where rapid growth of amyloid fibrils is triggered.

Keywords: amyloid-β (1–42), amyloid fibril formation, protein aggregate, molecular dynamics simulations, protein (dis)assembly, thermodynamic stability

Abbreviations: amyloid protein, amyloid-β (1-42) ($A\beta_{42}$); cryogenic electron microscopy (cryo-EM); molecular mechanics (MM); molecular dynamics (MD); steered molecular dynamics (SMD); umbrella sampling molecular dynamics (USMD); potential of mean force (PMF); hydrogen bond (HB)

Introduction

Biological events essential for cell survival are brought about through assembly and disassembly of multiple proteins[1,2]. To understand how these multimeric protein complexes function inside the cell, physicochemical characterization of their formation mechanism is indispensable. It is becoming more feasible to directly examine the microscopic mechanisms due to technical developments in the last two decades[3,4], whereas their applications to biological systems have been limited so far and the comprehensive knowledge has not yet been obtained satisfactorily.

Among various kinds of assembly of multimeric proteins, amyloid is one of homomeric forms of protein assembly, often referred to as protein aggregates, and has been widely observed in biological systems. Such aggregate products are classified into the two categories, functional amyloid and pathogenic amyloid.[5] In particular, the latter have drawn much attention because they are supposed as causes of several neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer and Parkinson diseases.[6]

Since suppression of amyloid formation is considered as practical therapeutic strategy for these serious diseases,[7,8] molecular mechanisms for their formation have been extensively studied.[9-11] It is supposed that amyloid fibril formation proceeds via the consecutive three phases. Firstly, monomers assemble to make repertoire of oligomers; a

part of oligomers assumes fibril-like, growth-competent aggregates referred to as growth nuclei (lag phase).[12,13] Secondly, growth nuclei species associate with each other to make larger protofibrils, while they convert natively folded monomers into growth-competent monomers to enhance rapid fibril formation (growth phase). Finally, fibril growth processes are balanced with fibril decomposition processes, then reaching thermal equilibrium and completing fibril formation (plateau phase).

In particular, the progress from lag phase to growth phase plays a critical role in an amyloid fibril formation. Sufficient amounts of growth nuclei species are formed in the lag phase[14], then triggering amyloid fibril growth[13]. The molecular entity of growth nuclei is regarded as fibril-like aggregates.[13] Thus clarifying the minimum size of thermodynamically stable fibril-like aggregates, which can be involved in amyloid fibril growth, is a landmark to understand molecular mechanisms for the shift from lag phase to growth phase.

Under these circumstances, an experimental study reported that amyloid protein, amyloid-β (1-42) (Aβ$_{42}$) oligomers can take growth-competent fibril-like forms in the aqueous solution and such protomers bring about the secondary nucleation reactions.[15] We can suppose that accumulation of such oligomeric Aβ$_{42}$ protomers is one of possible routes leading to conversion from the lag phase to the growth phase. Then understanding

microscopic mechanisms of the accumulation process is a promising key to develop new therapeutic strategies for suppressing amyloid formation and thus preventing onsets of several neurodegenerative diseases.

However, it is still challenging to experimentally obtain the microscopic insights into the accumulation processes. This is due to molecular diversity of $A\beta_{42}$ aggregates found in the lag phase[16-19]: it has been technically unfeasible to separately observe and physicochemically characterize each of $A\beta_{42}$ oligomers undergoing aggregation with the atomic resolution.

Then, we address to answer this question with employing atomistic molecular dynamics simulations for oligomeric $A\beta_{42}$ protomers. We here focus on an elementary process of $A\beta_{42}$ protomer accumulation, particularly dimer formation of protomers. Seven $A\beta_{42}$ protomer dimers in aqueous solution are considered as models of $A\beta_{42}$ fibril-like aggregates, where the size of $A\beta_{42}$ protomers ranges from tetramer to decamer. We examined the relationship between thermodynamic stability of $A\beta_{42}$ protomer dimer and the size of protomer. Furthermore, we discussed the association/dissociation mechanism from the structural point of view by testing the hypothesis obtained in our previous study, that $A\beta_{42}$ protomer growth results in suppression of conformational fluctuation such as inter-$A\beta_{42}$ protomer twisting and then thermodynamically stabilizes $A\beta_{42}$ fibril-like

aggregates[20].

We observed kinetic suppression of protomer-protomer dissociation reactions even for Aβ$_{42}$ pentamer dimer. Our observation then suggests that stable formation of oligomeric protomer species is involved in a turning point in Aβ$_{42}$ amyloid fibril formation processes, then giving an important clue toward comprehensive understanding of microscopic mechanisms for shift from the lag phase to the growth phase.

Materials and Methods

Setup of amyloid-β (1-42) protomer dimer systems

We used the cryogenic electron microscopy (cryo-EM) structure (PDB entry: 5OQV[21]) to construct amyloid-β (1-42), Aβ$_{42}$, protomer dimer systems; a protomer denotes an Aβ$_{42}$ oligomer composed of Aβ$_{42}$ monomers with growth-competent conformation. Although there is another full-length Aβ$_{42}$ fibril structure (PDB entry: 2NAO[22]), we selected this 5OQV structure by considering the relationship with our earlier study[20].

Here we consider dimer of N-mer Aβ$_{42}$ protomer as the model of fibril-like aggregate, where the value of N ranges 4 to 10 (**Figure 1**). Each of the seven models is annotated by Aβ$_{42}$(N:N) or simply N:N, hereafter. Nε protonation state was employed for each of histidine residues, and all carboxyl groups in aspartate and glutamate residues were set to

the deprotonated state. Employing each of the seven Aβ₄₂(N:N), we prepared seven Aβ₄₂

protomer dimer systems, whose annotations and molecular components are summarized

in **Table 1**. Since we are interested in relationship between size and thermodynamic

stability for these Aβ₄₂ protomers, no biological co-solutes were added into aqueous

solution except for the counter ions to electronically neutralize these molecular systems.

The additional detail for system construction is described in Supporting Information (see

SI-1).

Figure 1. Molecular structures of Aβ₄₂ protomer dimers. Each of two integers separated by colon denotes the number of Aβ₄₂ monomer in the protomer. The X and Z axes are shown on this plane. The cross in circle denotes Y-axis which directs from this surface to the back.

Table 1. Molecular components of Aβ₄₂ protomer dimer systems employed for the molecular dynamics simulations.

size of $A\beta_{42}$ protomer	number of K^+ cations	number of water molecules	box axis length [nm]		
			x	y	z
4	24	33406	13.8	10.4	7.8
5	30	35679	13.9	10.5	8.3
6	36	38153	14.0	10.6	8.8
7	42	41156	14.1	10.7	9.3
8	48	42498	14.1	10.7	9.7
9	54	44855	14.2	10.8	10.2
10	60	46828	14.2	10.9	10.6

To calculate the forces acting among atoms, AMBER force field 14SB[23], TIP3P water model[24,25], and JC ion parameters adjusted for the TIP3P water model[26,27] were used for amino acid residues, water molecules, and ions, respectively. Molecular modeling of each $A\beta_{42}$(N:N) system was performed using the LEaP modules in AmberTools 17 package[28].

Simulation setup

Molecular mechanics (MM) and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed under the periodic boundary condition with GPU-version PMEMD module in AMBER 17 package[28] based on SPFP algorism[29] with NVIDIA GeForce GTX1080 Ti. Electrostatic interaction was treated by the Particle Mesh Ewald method, where the real space cutoff was set to 0.9 nm. The vibrational motions associated with hydrogen atoms were frozen by SHAKE algorithm through MD simulations. The translational center-of-

mass motion of the whole system was removed by every 500 steps to keep the whole system around the origin, avoiding an overflow of coordinate information from the MD trajectory format. These simulation conditions mentioned above were common in all of the simulations discussed in this manuscript.

Unbiased molecular dynamics simulation

Following temperature relaxation NVT simulations, 30-ns NPT MD simulations (300 K, 1 bar) were performed and used for following analyses. The system temperature and pressure were regulated with Berendsen thermostat[30] with a 5-ps of coupling constant and Monte Carlo barostat with attempt of system volume change by every 100 steps, respectively. A set of initial atomic velocities was randomly assigned from the Maxwellian distribution at 0.001 K at the beginning of the NVT simulations. The time step of integration was set to 2 fs. For each $A\beta_{42}$ fibril system, this simulation procedure was repeated thirty times by assigning different initial atomic velocities. The further details are shown in Supporting Information (see **SI-2**).

Steered and umbrella sampling molecular dynamics simulations

Dissociation processes of $A\beta_{42}$ protomer were described by combining a steered

molecular dynamics (SMD) simulation with umbrella sampling molecular dynamics (USMD) simulations. The definitions for reaction coordinates for both SMD and USMD simulations are given in Results and Discussion section.

SMD was employed to dissociate an $A\beta_{42}$ protomer from the remaining part of $A\beta_{42}$(N:N). 0.25-ns SMD simulation was carried out under constant NPT condition (300 K, 1 bar), where the system temperature and pressure were regulated by Langevin thermostat with 1-ps^{-1} collision coefficient, and Monte Carlo barostat with attempt of system volume change by every 100 steps, respectively. The value of reaction coordinate was gradually changed through the SMD simulations by imposing the harmonic potential with the force constant of 4.184 kJ/mol/nm^2.

Then, certain numbers of snapshot structures were extracted from the SMD trajectory and employed for USMD windows. Following temperature relaxation simulations, several nanosecond NVT USMD simulations (300 K) were performed for each of the USMD windows (**Table S1** in Supporting Information for $A\beta_{42}$ protomer dissociation). The system temperature was regulated using Langevin thermostat with 1-ps^{-1} collision coefficient. Each of the last 1-ns USMD trajectories was used to construct a potential of mean force.

This combined SMD-USMD procedures are repeated eight times for each $A\beta_{42}$

protomer dimer system. Sets of initial atomic coordinates for SMD simulations were randomly selected from the thirty set of unbiased 30-ns NPT MD simulations without allowing duplication. The further details are illustrated in Supporting Information (see **SI-3**).

Trajectory analyses

Dihedral angle, hydrogen bond (HB) formation and root mean square deviation (RMSd) were calculated with the cpptraj module in AmberTools 17 package[28]. We calculated RMSd to the cryo-EM derived Aβ_{42} protomer dimer structure[21] using the backbone heavy atoms (i.e., C$_\alpha$, N, C and O). The geometrical criterion of HB formation is as follows: H-X distance was < 0.35 nm and X-H-Y angle was > 120˚, where X, Y and H denote acceptor, donor and hydrogen atoms, respectively.

Each set of USMD trajectories was used to calculate potential of mean force (PMF) with Weighed Histogram Analysis Method (WHAM)[31,32]. Statistical errors of PMF values, $\sigma_{PMF}(\xi)$, were estimated by employing bootstrapped sampling[33]:

$$\sigma_{PMF}\left(\xi\right)=\left[\left(N_b-1\right)^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^{N_b}\left(W_{b,k}\left(\xi\right)-\left\langle W_b\left(\xi\right)\right\rangle\right)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (1)$$

Here, N_b, ξ, and $W_{b,k}\left(\xi\right)$ denote the number of bootstrapped sampling, the reaction coordinate and the value of kth bootstrapped potential of mean force at each point of

ξ, respectively. $\langle W_b(\xi) \rangle$ is average over all $W_{b,k}(\xi)$, where the value of N_b is set to 200.

Reaction rate, k_{TST}, is estimated by using Eyring's transition state theory:

$$k_{TST} = \frac{k_B T}{h} \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta F^\dagger}{k_B T}\right) \qquad (2)$$

Here, $\Delta F^\dagger$, h, k_B and T denote an activation barrier height, Planck constant, Boltzmann constant and a temperature of system, respectively. Reaction time scale, τ_{TST}, is defined as the inverse of k_{TST}. $\Delta F^\dagger$ is defined as $F(\xi_0{'}) - F(\xi_0)$, where PMF has local minimum at ξ_0, and gradient of PMF turns from positive to negative values at $\xi_0{'}$, which is greater than ξ_0. The estimation with employing Eq. 2 is supposed to be an upper bound of the reaction rate (or a lower bound of the reaction time)[34,35], although this does not essentially change the conclusion we obtained from this study (see related discussion in Results and Discussion section).

Molecular structures were illustrated using Visual Molecular Dynamics (VMD).[36] Error bars are calculated from standard error and indicate 95% confidence interval if there is no annotation.

Results and Discussion

Inter-Aβ42 protomer twisting is suppressed through fibril growth

We examined conformational fluctuation of Aβ42 protomer dimers under thermal noise

by employing each thirty sets of 30-ns unbiased NPT MD simulations. **Figure 2** shows

time-course change of averaged RMSd values for $A\beta_{42}$ protomer dimers. For each of the

seven systems, it can be considered that the values reach convergence after 20 ns so that

we suppose that conformation of each $A\beta_{42}$ protomer dimer is relaxed under aqueous

condition. Larger protomer dimers have smaller converged RMSd values, suggesting

suppression of conformational fluctuation through increasing sizes of protomers. $A\beta(4:4)$

system shows relatively large RMSd fluctuation compared with the other six systems.

Nonetheless, the fluctuation is in magnitude of c.a. 0.2 nm within the time domain and

seems smaller than atomic scales, then possibly being insignificant. Accordingly, we

employed partial MD trajectories in the period after 20 ns for following analyses.

Figure 2. Time-course analyses of root mean square deviation (RMSd). Each result of Aβ42 protomer dimer systems is distinguished by color. The time domain supposed as convergence is indicated by the red rectangle.

Recalling the hypothesis about the effect of Aβ42 protomer size on the conformational fluctuation of Aβ42 protomer dimers, we examined inter-Aβ42 protomer twisting angle (θ_T) (**Figure 3A**). This θ_T is defined as the dihedral angle in the manner similar to that in our earlier study [20]. **Table 2** gives statistical analyses for values of θ_T calculated for each of the seven systems. We could not find significant difference in averaged value of θ_T among the seven systems. Meanwhile, standard deviation (S. D.) reflects the effect of protomer size; Aβ42(4:4) shows larger S. D. of θ_T than the other systems.

Figure 3. Inter-Aβ₄₂ protomer twisting angle. (A) illustration of the twisting angle. (B) twisting angle distributions for each Aβ₄₂ protomer dimer system. In panel A, $\vec{X}_m$ denotes the coordinate averaged for the C_α atoms of the mth residues in a Aβ₄₂ monomer over the protomer (shown with blue ball); Aβ₄₂(4:4) is illustrated here as an example. In panel B, each result of Aβ₄₂ protomer dimer systems is distinguished by color, and bin width is set to 1˚.

Table 2. Statistical analyses of inter-Aβ₄₂ protomer twisting angle.

Aβ₄₂ protofibril model system	twisting angle [degree]	
	average ± 2 × S. E.[*]	S. D.[*]
Aβ₄₂(4:4)	193.9 ± 2.6	7.1
Aβ₄₂(5:5)	193.5 ± 1.5	4.0
Aβ₄₂(6:6)	194.3 ± 1.1	2.9
Aβ₄₂(7:7)	194.9 ± 1.1	2.9
Aβ₄₂(8:8)	194.8 ± 1.0	2.7
Aβ₄₂(9:9)	193.1 ± 1.0	2.7
Aβ₄₂(10:10)	193.1 ± 1.2	3.2

Dependence of the S. D. on protomer size can be further clarified by showing the shapes of the distributions of θ_T (**Figure 3B**). That for Aβ42(4:4) shows longer tails (the S.D. value is 7.0˚) than those for other systems. Comparing Aβ42(5:5) with Aβ42(4:4), the S. D. value shows 1.75-fold decrease, for example. We can find further localization of distributions for larger Aβ42 protomer dimers, whose S. D. values are c.a. 3.0˚. These observations clearly indicate that increasing the size of Aβ42 protomer results in suppression of inter-protomer rotation. This result validates the former part of our hypothesis that Aβ42 protomer growth results in suppression of conformational fluctuation such as inter-Aβ42 protomer twisting.

Increase of hydrogen bond formation between the protomers additively changes the height of free barrier of protomer dissociation reaction

We test the latter part of our hypothesis that suppressing conformational fluctuation of Aβ42 protomer dimer actually enhances thermodynamic stability of the protomer dimer. A potential of mean force (PMF) was calculated for each of protomer-protomer dissociation reactions, where the distance between centers of mass for each protomer is

employed as the reaction coordinates (see **Figure 4A** for the definition).

Figure 4. Analyses for Aβ42 protomer-protomer dissociation reaction. (A) Illustration of reaction coordinate. (B) Potential of mean forces (PMFs). (C) Height of activation barrier obtained from the PMFs. (D) Reaction time evaluated with Erying's transition state theory. In panel A, $\vec{X}_{m-n,s-t}$ denotes the averaged coordinate (shown with blue ball), which is calculated for the (n−m+1) C_{α} atoms of the mth, m+1th … nth and sth, s+1th … tth residues in the protomer, and the case of Aβ42(4:4) is illustrated here as an example. In panel B, each of Aβ42 protomer dimer systems is distinguished by color.

As shown in **Figure 4B**, each of the PMFs has one activation barrier toward the dissociation direction, appearing to be uphill. Furthermore, the height of activation barrier clearly increases with the size of protomer, thus corroborating the latter part of our hypothesis (**Figure 4C**).

This change can be explained by considering the number of hydrogen bond (HB) formation between the protomers. **Figure 4C** and **Figure 5A** show the height of activation barrier and the number of inter-Aβ_{42} protomer HB, which is calculated by using both backbone and sidechain atoms in Aβ_{42} monomers, respectively. We can find that both of these two quantities additively change according to protomer size and it thus could be said that increase of the protomer size proportionally contributes to enthalpic stabilization of Aβ_{42} protomer dimers and prevents inter-protomer rotation.

It is worthwhile to note that the number of hydrogen bond formation per Aβ_{42} monomer ($n_{monomer}^{HB}$) seems to converge up to Aβ_{42}(8:8) (**Figure 5B**): $n_{monomer}^{HB}$ shows apparent monomer size-dependence by Aβ_{42}(7:7), while the variable seems to become monomer size-independent from Aβ_{42}(8:8). This observation let us suggest that Aβ_{42}(8:8) is a boundary where a (relatively) flexible fibril-like aggregate converts into solid Aβ_{42} protofibril structure.

Figure 5. Hydrogen bond (HB) formation between Aβ$_{42}$ protomers. (A) whole number of the HB. (B) the number of HB divided by the number of Aβ$_{42}$ monomer in the Aβ$_{42}$ protomer dimer.

Protomer-protomer dissociation reaction is remarkably suppressed at the point of Aβ$_{42}$ pentamer formation

Using the heights of activation barriers calculated above, we estimated the time scale of protomer dissociation reactions (τ [s]) (**Figure 4D**). From the biological point of view,

it is worthwhile discussing the difference between that of $A\beta_{42}(4{:}4)$ and $A\beta_{42}(5{:}5)$, in particular. We can find the critical change of the reaction timescale, due to the exponential dependence on activation barrier height in Eq. 2. According to our estimations with Eyring's transition state theory, the value of τ for $A\beta_{42}(4{:}4)$ is 8.8 ms which falls into timescales of cellular processes, while that for $A\beta_{42}(5{:}5)$, 1680 year, is much longer than mean lifetime of human being. This reaction time estimation for $A\beta_{42}(5{:}5)$ straightforwardly denotes that the protomer-protomer dissociation reaction is kinetically suppressed in decomposition processes of $A\beta_{42}(5{:}5)$ and also in those of greater protomer dimers.

It is noted that Eyring's transition state theory would often underestimate reaction timescales,[34,35]. Oligomeric species smaller than $A\beta_{42}(5{:}5)$, *e.g.*, $A\beta_{42}(4{:}4)$, may show suppression of inter-protomer dissociation as well. Nonetheless, the observation for suppression of inter-oligomeric $A\beta_{42}$ protomer dissociation remains unchanged essentially, of course.

The suppression of protomer-protomer dissociation would be common in other $A\beta_{42}$ fibril phenotypes and other amyloid fibrils. It is noted that our observation for the $A\beta_{42}$ pentamer dimer is obtained from the specific combination of the $A\beta_{42}$ protomer structure and the physicochemical condition that we here examined. Meanwhile, several amyloid

fibrils take dimeric forms of their protomers[37,38] so that it can be assumed that protomers composed of a sufficient number of the subunits form the fibril-like dimer and show remarkable thermodynamics stability.

We thus suppose that the remarkable suppression of protomer-protomer dissociation via formation of oligomeric fibril-like aggregates is an important turning point where the lag phase moves to the growth phase, even for other amyloid fibril formation processes proceeding under different physicochemical conditions.

Conclusion

The accumulation of growth nuclei species triggers conversion from the lag phase into the growth phase, whereas the molecular mechanisms are mostly elusive. In this context, we examined an elementary process of the accumulation, the protomer dimer formations, by considering a paradigmatic amyloid protein, $A\beta_{42}$. With examining the effects of the protomer size on thermodynamic stability of the protomer dimers, we clarified that dimer formation of $A\beta_{42}$ pentamer remarkably suppresses the protomer-protomer dissociation.

Recalling that several amyloid fibrils are found in dimeric form of the protomers[37,38], we then suppose that suppression of the reaction pathway in the aggregate disassembly process is the common step which promotes accumulation of the growth nuclei species

such as oligomeric protomers. Since protein aggregate formations are essentially intricate processes characterized by multiple conformations of specific protein and their complex formations, more detailed elucidations of microscopic and macroscopic mechanisms for their association/dissociation processes remain to be performed computationally and experimentally. Meanwhile, the observation we obtained in this study could be a landmark knowledge to provide further physicochemical insights into formation mechanisms of such complicated molecular assemblies.

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available. Detailed procedures for unbiased MD, SMD and USMD simulations, Figures and Tables for analyses of these simulations.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a Grant-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas "Chemistry for Multimolecular Crowding Biosystems" (JSPS KAKENHI Grand No. JP17H06353) and MEXT Quantum Leap Flagship Program (Grant No. JPMXS0120330644).

Author Information

Corresponding Authors

Ikuo Kurisaki - Graduate School of System Informatics, Kobe University, 1-1 Rokkodai, Nada-ku, Kobe 657-8501, Japan; ORCID: 0000-0003-4519-1093; Email: kurisaki@bear.kobe-u.ac.jp

Shigenori Tanaka - Graduate School of System Informatics, Kobe University, 1-1 Rokkodai, Nada-ku, Kobe 657-8501, Japan; ORCID: 0000-0002-6659-2788; Email: tanaka2@kobe-u.ac.jp

References

1. Bolanos-Garcia VM, Wu Q, Ochi T, Chirgadze DY, Sibanda BL, Blundell TL. Spatial and temporal organization of multi-protein assemblies: achieving sensitive control in information-rich cell-regulatory systems. *Philos T R Soc A.* 2012;370(1969):3023-3039.

2. Marsh JA, Teichmann SA. Structure, dynamics, assembly, and evolution of protein complexes. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 2015;84:551-575.

3. Erba EB, Signor L, Petosa C. Exploring the structure and dynamics of macromolecular complexes by native mass spectrometry. *J Proteomics.* 2020;222.

4. Uchihashi T, Ganser C. Recent advances in bioimaging with high-speed atomic force microscopy. *Biophys Rev.* 2020;12(2):363-369.

5. Chiti F, Dobson CM. Protein misfolding, amyloid formation, and human disease: a summary of progress over the last decade. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 2017;86:27-68.

6. Chiti F, Dobson CM. Protein misfolding, functional amyloid, and human disease. *Annual Review of Biochemistry.* 2006;75:333-366.

7. Tolar M, Abushakra S, Sabbagh M. The path forward in Alzheimer's disease therapeutics: Reevaluating the amyloid cascade hypothesis. *Alzheimers Dement.* 2020;16(11):1553-1560.

8.   Selkoe DJ, Hardy J. The amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease at 25years. *Embo Mol Med.* 2016;8(6):595-608.

9.   Knowles TPJ, Waudby CA, Devlin GL, et al. An Analytical Solution to the Kinetics of Breakable Filament Assembly. *Science.* 2009;326(5959):1533-1537.

10.   Cohen SIA, Linse S, Luheshi LM, et al. Proliferation of amyloid-β42 aggregates occurs through a secondary nucleation mechanism. *P Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2013;110(24):9758-9763.

11.   Owen MC, Gnutt D, Gao MM, et al. Effects of in vivo conditions on amyloid aggregation. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2019;48(14):3946-3996.

12.   Harper JD, Lansbury PT. Models of amyloid seeding in Alzheimier's disease and scrapie: Mechanistic truths and physiological consequences of the time-dependent solubility of amyloid proteins. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 1997;66:385-407.

13.   Ruggeri FS, Sneideris T, Vendruscolo M, Knowles TPJ. Atomic force microscopy for single molecule characterisation of protein aggregation. *Arch Biochem Biophys.* 2019;664:134-148.

14.   Cohen SIA, Vendruscolo M, Dobson CM, Knowles TPJ. From macroscopic measurements to microscopic mechanisms of protein aggregation. *J Mol Biol.* 2012;421(2-3):160-171.

15.   Leppert A, Tiiman A, Kronqvist N, et al. Smallest secondary nucleation competent Aβ aggregates probed by an ATP-independent molecular chaperone domain. *Biochemistry.* 2021;60(9):678-688.

16.   Colletier JP, Laganowsky A, Landau M, et al. Molecular basis for amyloid-β polymorphism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2011;108(41):16938-16943.

17.   Hard T. Protein engineering to stabilize soluble amyloid β-protein aggregates for structural and functional studies. *Febs J.* 2011;278(20):3884-3892.

18.   De S, Wirthensohn DC, Flagmeier P, et al. Different soluble aggregates of Aβ 42 can give rise to cellular toxicity through different mechanisms. *Nat Commun.* 2019;10:1541. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09477-3

19.   Kollmer M, Close W, Funk L, et al. Cryo-EM structure and polymorphism of Ab amyloid fibrils purified from Alzheimer's brain tissue. *Nat Commun.* 2019;10:4760. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12683-8

20.   Kurisaki I, Tanaka S. ATP converts $Aβ_{42}$ oligomer into off-pathway species by making contact with its backbone atoms using hydrophobic ddenosine. *J Phys Chem B.* 2019;123(46):9922-9933.

21.   Gremer L, Scholzel D, Schenk C, et al. Fibril structure of amyloid-β (1-42) by cryo-electron microscopy. *Science.* 2017;358(6359):116-119.

22. Walti MA, Ravotti F, Arai H, et al. Atomic-resolution structure of a disease-relevant Aβ(1-42) amyloid fibril. *P Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2016;113(34):E4976-E4984.

23. Maier JA, Martinez C, Kasavajhala K, Wickstrom L, Hauser KE, Simmerling C. ff14SB: improving the accuracy of protein side chain and backbone parameters from ff99SB. *J Chem Theory Comput.* 2015;11(8):3696-3713.

24. Jorgensen WL, Chandrasekhar J, Madura JD, Impey RW, Klein ML. Comparison of simple potential functions for simulating liquid water. *J Chem Phys.* 1983;79(2):926-935.

25. Kusalik PG, Svishchev IM. The Spatial structure in liquid water. *Science.* 1994;265(5176):1219-1221.

26. Joung IS, Cheatham TE. Determination of alkali and halide monovalent ion parameters for use in explicitly solvated biomolecular simulations. *J Phys Chem B.* 2008;112(30):9020-9041.

27. Joung IS, Cheatham TE, III. Molecular Dynamics Simulations of the Dynamic and energetic properties of alkali and halide ions using water-model-specific ion parameters. *J Phys Chem B.* 2009;113(40):13279-13290.

28. Case DA, Cerutti DS, Cheatham TE III et al. *Amber 17.* University of California,

San Francisco 2017.

29.   Le Grand S, Gotz AW, Walker RC. SPFP: Speed without compromise-A mixed

precision model for GPU accelerated molecular dynamics simulations. *Comput*

Phys Commun. 2013;184(2):374-380.

30.   Berendsen HJC, Postma JPM, Vangunsteren WF, Dinola A, Haak JR. Molecular-

Dynamics with coupling to an external bath. *J Chem Phys.* 1984;81(8):3684-3690.

31.   Grosfield A, *WHAM*: the weighted histogram analysis method, Version 2.0.9.

University of Rochester Medical Center: Rochester, NY.

32.   Kumar S, Bouzida D, Swendsen RH, Kollman PA, Rosenberg JM. The weighted

histogram analysis method for free-energy calculations on biomolecules .1. the

method. *J Comput Chem.* 1992;13(8):1011-1021.

33.   Hub JS, de Groot BL, van der Spoel D. g_wham-A free weighted histogram

analysis implementation including robust error and autocorrelation estimates. *J*

Chem Theory Comput. 2010;6(12):3713-3720.

34.   Case DA. Dynamical simulation of rate constants in protein-ligand interactions.

Prog Biophys Mol Bio. 1988;52(1):39-70.

35.   Zhou HX. Rate theories for biologists. *Q Rev Biophys.* 2010;43(2):219-293.

36.   Humphrey W, Dalke A, Schulten K. VMD: Visual molecular dynamics. *J Mol*

Graph Model. 1996;14(1):33-38.

37.   Fandrich M, Nystrom S, Nilsson KPR, Bockmann A, LeVine H, Hammarstrom P.

Amyloid fibril polymorphism: a challenge for molecular imaging and therapy. *J

Intern Med.* 2018;283(3):218-237.

38.   Tycko R. Amyloid Polymorphism: structural basis and neurobiological relevance.

Neuron. 2015;86(3):632-645.