1

Structure of an infectious mammalian prion

Allison Kraus[1,2*], Forrest Hoyt[3], Cindi L. Schwartz[3], Bryan Hansen[3], Andrew G. Hughson[2], Efrosini Artikis[2],
Brent Race[2], Byron Caughey[2*]

[1]Department of Pathology, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, OH, USA

[2]Laboratory of Persistent Viral Diseases, Rocky Mountain Laboratories, National Institute of Allergy and
Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Hamilton, MT 59840, USA

[3]Research Technologies Branch, Rocky Mountain Laboratories, National Institute of Allergy and
Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Hamilton, MT 59840, USA

*correspondence to Allison Kraus (alk127@case.edu) or Byron Caughey (bcaughey@nih.gov)

ABSTRACT

Classical mammalian prions are assemblies of prion protein molecules that are extraordinarily
transmissible, with a microgram of protein containing up to 10^8 lethal doses of infectivity[1,2]. Unlike most
other pathologic and amyloidogenic proteins, prions typically contain glycolipid anchors [3] and abundant
asparagine-linked glycans[4-6]. The infectious nature, complexity, and biophysical properties of prions have
complicated structural analyses and stymied any prior elucidation of 3D conformation at the
polypeptide backbone level[7]. Here we have determined the structure of the core of a fully infectious,
brain-derived prion by cryo-electron microscopy with ~3.1 Å resolution. The purified prions are amyloid
fibrils comprised of monomers assembled with parallel in-register intermolecular beta sheets and
connecting chains. Residues ~95-227 of each monomer provide one rung of the ordered fibril core, with
the glycans and glycolipid anchor projecting from the lateral surfaces of the fibril. The fibril ends, where
prion growth occurs, are formed by single monomers in an extended serpentine combination of β-
arches, a Greek key, and loops that presumably template the refolding of incoming monomers. Our
results describe an atomic model to underpin detailed molecular hypotheses of how pathologic prion
proteins can propagate as infectious agents, and how such propagation and associated pathogenesis
might be impeded.

Main

Mammalian prion diseases include Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease, bovine spongiform encephalopathy,
chronic wasting disease, and scrapie[8,9]. These and many other prion diseases are transmissible, largely
untreatable, and fatal. During prion infections, prions can multiply in the host by many orders of
magnitude. Although it has long been apparent that prions have high β-sheet content[1,10,11] and
propagate via templated conformational conversion of the host's normal prion protein (PrP) isoform,

PrP[C 12-14], the detailed 3D structures that make this happen have been elusive. PrP-based prions are

comprised primarily of misfolded multimers[15,16], generically called PrP[Sc 8]. PrP[C] exists primarily as a

heavily glycosylated, glycophosphatidylinositol (GPI)-linked and membrane-bound monomer[3-6,17] with a

predominantly α-helical C-terminal domain and an intrinsically disordered N-terminal domain[18]. Upon

conversion to PrP[Sc], refolding into high-β-sheet conformers[1,10,11] occurs with assembly into ordered

aggregates such as amyloid fibrils[19,20] and 2D crystals[21]. Although the most infectious prion particles per

unit protein were found to be ~600 kDa, much larger amyloid fibrils are also highly infectious[16]. PrP[Sc]

multimers can induce, or seed, the conversion of PrP[C] into PrP[Sc 12,22] in a manner that maintains prion

strain-specific PrP[Sc] conformations[13].

Although the characteristics of *bona fide* PrP[Sc] fibrils have foiled any previous determination of their

detailed 3D structures, low-resolution analyses have been interpreted as indicating 2 protofilaments[19,23-

27]. Such studies supported a plausible, but as yet unvalidated, model in which protofilaments comprised

of stacked 4-rung β-solenoid monomers are loosely intertwined to give a cross-section with 2-fold axial

symmetry[25,26].   In contrast, synthetic amyloid fibrils of full-length or N- and/or C-terminally truncated

bacterially-derived recombinant PrP can adopt parallel-in-register intermolecular β-sheet (PIRIBS)-based

architectures[28-34]. While two types of recombinant PIRIBS-based fibrils have shown detectable infectivity

when large amounts were injected into the brains of rodents[35,36], the observed attack rates and/or long

incubation periods suggest that these fibrils had extremely low titers per unit protein relative to most

natural prion strains. Nonetheless, these and other empirical findings have supported hypothetical

PIRIBS-based models for PrP[Sc] in which a single PrP molecule spans the entire fibril axis to give an

asymmetric cross-section[31].

Importantly, following recent seminal cryo-EM-based structure determinations for various non-PrP

protein amyloids (e.g.[37]), high-resolution cryo-EM structures of synthetic recombinant human PrP94-178

(rhu94-178)[33] and PrP23-231 (rhuPrP23-231)[34] amyloid fibrils were recently solved. Each of these fibrils

have 2 protofilaments with PIRIBS-based proteinase K (PK)-resistant cores that are much smaller than

bona fide infectious PrP[Sc] fibrils and, therefore, are likely to lack infectivity[38,39]. Thus, although diverse

types of evidence have constrained features of PrP fibril structures and their variants, no data from fully

infectious prions have revealed the folding of monomers within PrP[Sc] assemblies, or allowed

unambiguous discrimination between widely divergent hypothetical prion models[7,9,26,31]. Here, we

report a high-resolution cryo-EM structure of highly infectious, brain-derived PrP[Sc] fibrils with GPI

anchors and the full complement of asparagine (N)-linked glycans[3,6].

PrP[Sc] purification, infectivity, seeding activity, and negative stain EM

We purified PK-resistant PrP[Sc] fibrils from brains of clinically ill hamsters infected with the 263K scrapie

prion strain. Gel electrophoretic analyses of the fibril preparations indicated predominant bands at ~20-

32 kDa, or oligomers thereof, that comigrated with bands reacting with PrP antibodies in immunoblots

(Extended Data Fig. 1a). As expected, PK-treatment caused a size shift consistent with cleavages within

the N-terminal domain up to ~residue 90, leaving the remaining C-terminal residues intact[40,41].

Densitometry of protein-stained gels indicated that the preparations were ~97-98% PrP. Incubation time

bioassays yielded estimated titers of 5.0 x 10^{8} to 2.0 x 10^{9} 50% lethal doses per mg protein (Methods

and Extended Data Fig. 1d,e). Prion seeding assays[42] showed that our final manipulations immediately

prior to preparation of EM grids (see Methods) did not affect seeding activity (Extended Data Fig. 1b,c).

Negative stain transmission EM of these preparations indicated fibrillar structures (Fig. 1a). Although the

fibrils were often bundled and matted, the many isolated fibrils that we found had twists with narrow
cross-over points.

Fig. 1. **EM images and density maps of 263K prion fibrils**. **a**, Negatively stained transmission EM
image (bar = 50 nm). **b**, Raw 2D cryo-EM image (cropped representation) with associated fast
Fourier transform showing signals for regular 4.9 Å spacings (orange arrows). White arrows
indicate globules. **c**, Projection of density map of fibril cross-section derived from single particle
cryo-EM analysis. **d**, Surface depictions of density map with indicated dimensions and colors
showing local resolutions according to bar. **e**, Projection of the fibril density map.

Tomographic analyses

Cryo-EM revealed that unstained 263K fibrils (Extended Data Fig. 2) had widths at the widest point of
13-20 nm (Extended Data Table 1). Of the isolated 263K fibrils for which twist was determinable (n=401),
>99% were left-handed across 2 preparations (Extended Data Fig. 2e-h & Supplementary Information
Movies 1-3). Approximately 45% of fibrils had visible ~4 nm globular features aligned along one side. The
globules were spaced at 7-10 nm but could be seen along the entire length of some fibrils (Extended
Data Fig. 2a-b & Supplementary Information Movies 1-3) and increased overall fibril widths by ~2-4 nm
(Extended Data Table 1). Although the nature of these globules remains unclear, they might have been
due in part to binding of residual detergent or other non-PrP[Sc] molecules. Nonetheless, the single-
sidedness of the globules implied an asymmetric fibril cross-section. Importantly, such asymmetry
differs from the symmetrical 2-protofilament cores that have been shown for the rhuPrP23-231 fibrils[34]
and rhuPrP94-178 fibrils[33], and postulated for mouse scrapie prions[25-27].

Single particle analysis and 3D image reconstruction

Additional features of PrP[Sc] fibrils were resolved with single particle acquisition and helical
reconstruction. Although this process inevitably yields a small subset of the initial particle set, several
factors suggest that our results represent the preponderance of PrP[Sc] in our fibril preparations (see
Supplementary Information). Imaging and data parameters are provided in Extended Data Table 2. Fast
Fourier transforms of raw 2D cryo-EM images gave weak signals indicating regular 4.9 Å spacings (Fig.
1b, offset); these signals were much stronger in transforms of particle 2D class averages (Extended Data
Fig. 3d). Such spacings were also visible perpendicular to the fibril axis in images of 2D class averages.
Cross-over points and multiple axial bands of density defined the twist along the fibril axis (Extended
Data Fig. 3b,d). 3D classifications using the 2D particle class averages ultimately converged on a single
core morphology. In contrast to previous low resolution cryo-EM-based modelling of a mouse prion
strain[25,26], our 3D density map was reconstructed from the entire fibrillar cross-section (rather than
halves assumed to be equivalent) without the computational imposition of symmetry about the fibril
axis.

Using helical reconstruction techniques, we determined a 3.1 Å resolution map of the fibril core (Fig. 1 &
2, Extended Data Fig. 3d & Table 2). Lateral views again indicated rungs with regular 4.9 Å spacing
perpendicular to the fibril axis (Fig. 1d,e). The rungs were highly uniform, which is consistent with a
PIRIBS-based architecture in which each rung is the same, i.e. comprised of one PrP molecule, but
inconsistent with β-solenoid models in which adjacent rungs are formed by different PrP segments.
Accordingly, we built a 3D structural model by threading the PrP polypeptide backbone through
densities in the fibril cross-section (Fig. 2b).

Fig. 2. 263K prion model based on cryo-EM density map. a, Extended fibril model as a ribbon diagram. **b**, PrP residues 95-227 threaded through a cross-sectional density map (mesh). **c**, Schematic depiction of fibril core showing side chain orientations relative to the polypeptide backbone. Residues assigned to β-sheets in Chimera are marked by thicker backbones with arrowheads. Side chains of residues 194-196 (faded) were poorly resolved. **d**, β-sheets in a stacked trimeric segment of the fibril. Structural elements as labelled and disulfide bond indicated by pair of yellow spheres. **e**, Coulombic charge representation. **f**, Kyte-Doolittle hydrophobicity surface of fibril ends (templates) showing the protruding (green arrowhead) and receding (magenta arrowheads) hydrophobic Greek key motif at opposite ends.

Cross-sectional asymmetry

In this structure, the cross-section is indeed asymmetric about the fibril axis (Fig. 1 & 2b-f). The well-resolved fibril core, which primarily represents residues 95-227, was ~13 nm in longest dimension and ~3.5 nm at its narrowest (Fig. 1e). We infer from the known attachment sites of the glycans (N181 and N197) and GPI anchor (S231) that these moieties project into the solvent. However, these structures were largely unresolved in the averaged image reconstruction, presumably because of their heterogeneity in sequence[6] and conformation[43]. Nonetheless, the first unit of N-linked glycans, N-acetylglucosamine, is consistent with the densities that are adjacent to N181 and N197 (Extended Data Figs. 4 & 5). Despite published doubts that bulky glycans could fit on each rung of a PIRIBS-based core of an amyloid[7], recent *in silico* studies have shown that such glycans can be accommodated[43,44]. We note that the GPI anchor and N197-linked glycans are aligned asymmetrically along one edge of the fibril where they might anchor and/or contribute to the globules observed in single particle imaging and tomography (Fig. 1b & Extended Data Fig. 2). Another key asymmetric feature of the core is the preponderance of cationic residues in the N-terminal half and anionic residues in the C-terminal half (Fig. 2e). Surface hydrophobicity maps show hydrophobic zones in both halves, as well as a hydrophobic bridge across the interface between the two halves (Fig. 2f). On the N terminal side, a tightly packed dry zipper seals residues 96-100 to 142-145 (Fig. 3a). Other major features of the 263K structure include the following:

Hydrophobic "Greek key" motif

A particularly hydrophobic stretch of residues ~112-134 is organized in an architecture reminiscent of the "Greek key" topology in α-synuclein fibrils[45]. These residues have been shown to form species-dependent PIRIBS-based β-cores in rPrP23-145 fibrils[32,46] or a much more elongated β-arch in rhuPrP94-178 fibrils[33]. However, these previously observed topologies differ markedly from the 263K Greek key (Figs. 2b-d & 3b and Extended Data Fig. 6a).

Fig. 3. Features of the 263K prion core. a, Dry zipper (purple box) formed by tight interdigitation of sidechains of residues H96, Q98 and N100 with those of W145 and N143. **b**, Tip of Greek key

motif (brown box) with hydrophobic packing of sidechains of A117, A120, V122 and L130 in the
center and between V121 across a staggered interface (see below) to N174 and V176. **c**, Tight
packing of hydrophobic sidechains at the base of the disulfide β-arch (green box). **d**, Wide and
presumably hydrated gap between the flanks of disulfide β-arch near the tip (blue box). Dashed
lines are shown in place of poorly resolved residues 194-196. **e**, Staggered interface between
Greek key residues and central strand of residues 170-176 is shown with a ribbon diagram of
interfacing residues and a hydrophobicity surface. **f**, Model (stick) and cryo-EM map densities
(transparent surface) with monomers differentiated by color.

Middle (125-168) β-arch

Residues ~125-168 form a major hairpin or, more conventionally, β-arch[34] (Figs. 2b-d) that is related to
what we had termed the "127-161 hairpin" in earlier *in silico* modelling[31]. Our initial prediction of a β-
arch in this region was based on an observation that the introduction of an artificial disulfide bond into
the corresponding residues of murine PrP, which covalently linked the short β1 and β2 strands of PrP[C],
was compatible with conversion to PrP[Sc] within scrapie-infected cells[47]. However, in the 263K structure,
this middle β-arch is flipped across one or two cross-sectional axes relative to the orientations depicted
in our previous hypothetical models[31].

Disulfide β-arch

263K fibrils also have a disulfide-linked β-arch between C179 and C214 (Figs. 2b-d & 3c,d). This β-arch is
reminiscent of motifs that have been predicted for PIRIBS-based prions[28,29,31], or observed in rhuPrP23-
231 fibrils[34]. However, in contrast to recombinant PrP fibrils, the disulfide β-arch in 263K prions contains
two N-linked glycans. Also, the flanks of this arch are straighter than the β-arch in rhuPrP23-231 fibrils[34],
except for a notable kink at M206-R208, and, the presumably hydrated gap between flanks near the tip
of the arch is larger (Fig 3d, Extended Data Fig. 6b). The extreme C-terminal residues fold back against
the flank of the disulfide loop at Y218, whereas in rhuPrP23-231 fibrils, this fold occurs at R220. Perhaps
this conformational difference is influenced by sequence differences and the GPI anchor at the C-
terminus of the 263K monomers, which is absent in rhuPrP23-231 fibrils.

Staggered interface between N- and C-terminal domains

Lateral views of the 263K fibril show that residues of each monomer are not entirely coplanar across the
fibril cross-section and ends (Fig. 2f). At the interface between the Greek key domain and more C-
terminal residues, residues ~119-135 of one monomer are most closely opposed to residues 158-177 of
the i-1 and i-2 monomers (Fig. 3b,e,f). This results in uneven fibril ends with the hydrophobic Greek key
motif protruding at one end and receding at the other (Fig. 2f).

Further comparisons to historical data

The 263K cryo-EM structure is consistent with a variety of other known characteristics of 263K prions or
other mammalian prions that we have not already discussed above. These features include secondary
structure composition, exposure (or lack thereof) of antibody epitopes, conditional exposures of minor
proteolytic cleavage sites, exchange rates of PrP backbone amide protons, and the lack of clear x-ray

diffraction and cryo-EM signals for 10 Å inter-sheet spacings that are typical of other amyloid fibrils (see
Supplementary Information and Extended Data Fig. 7).

Conclusions and perspectives

From the cryo-EM-based density map of this highly infectious mammalian prion, the serpentine
threading of the polypeptide backbone of residues 95-227 and orientations of almost all of the
sidechains relative to the backbone were clear. Further atomistic details of sidechain conformations
were approximated by molecular modeling and best fit with the density map. The span of 134 residues
packed into the ordered 263K core is more than twice as large than in the protofilament cores of
previously studied recombinant PrP fibrils[32-34]. The asymmetric 263K PIRIBS-based core architecture is
starkly different than has been proposed for murine prion fibrils based on lower-resolution cryo-EM and
X-ray fiber diffraction studies[25,26], with no evidence of either β-solenoids or independent, equivalent
protofilaments. The middle (125-168) and disulfide β-arches were predicted in previous modelling of PrP
amyloids[28,29,31], but the relative orientation of these arches in the actual 263K prion structure is flipped
relative to our earlier speculative models. The 263K disulfide β-arch, which is heavily glycosylated, is
markedly different from the unglycosylated disulfide β-arch of rhuPrP23-231 fibrils[34] (Extended Data Fig.
6). Moreover, the attachment of glycans at N197 residues would block the head-to-head interaction
observed between the 2 protofilaments in the rhuPrP23-231 fibrils. The Greek key motif of hydrophobic
residues 113-134 is clearly distinct from those of rhuPrP23-145[32] or rhu94-178[33] (Extended Data Fig. 6).
Neither this Greek key motif, the middle β-arch, nor any other ordered folding in the N-terminal half of
the 263K prion core were resolved previously in the presumably non-infectious rhuPrP23-231 fibrils[34].
Thus, the additional folding in the N-terminal half of the 263K prion core may be important for
infectivity.

The C-terminal GPI anchors twist along one side of the fibril, where they would likely bind to membranes
in vivo (Fig. 4)*.* This twisted tethering might often wrap the fibrils in membranes, impeding formation of
amyloid plaques, obscuring fibril visualization in tissue sections, and causing membrane distortions that
are pathognomonic for prion disease such as spiral membrane invaginations[17,48]. In this topology, the
fibril axis must run parallel to the membrane surface, with access to much of the fibril surface blocked
by either the N-linked glycans or the membrane. The seeding surfaces at the fibril ends would then be
held perpendicular to membrane surface. As postulated previously for PIRIBS-based fibril architectures
in general[31,49], these surfaces can be envisioned to provide a precise template for the refolding of
incoming monomers for the conformationally faithful propagation of PrP[Sc 13].

Comparison of the structures of PrP[C 50] and 263K fibrils indicates that key events in this conformational
conversion are the peeling of PrP[C]'s β1-helix 1-β2 loop away from helices 2 and 3 and the unspiraling of
each of the helices to form the extended strands of the middle and disulfide β-arches in PrP[Sc] (Extended
Data Fig. 8). This conversion also requires disruption of the β1-β2 sheet of PrP[C], with the associated
intramolecular hydrogen bonds becoming intermolecular in PrP[Sc]. Further studies will be needed to
determine the order of these and other conformational changes, and the extent to which permutations
in PIRIBS-based architectures, and even completely different architectures such as β-solenoids, might
populate the range of infectious PrP-based prion strains.

Fig. 4. Membrane-bound 263K prion model of ordered fibril core (turquoise) with hypothetical
illustrations of glycans (red) and GPI anchors (blue) that were unresolved in the cryo-EM
images. For simplicity, single representative structures for the N-linked glycans and GPI anchors
are depicted here; however, the actual glycan and GPI anchor structures and conformations will
be more varied[6]. The curl of the membrane (gray) is shown hypothetically to follow the axial
twist of the GPI anchors.

Methods

Animal studies

Animals were housed at RML in an AAALAC-accredited facility. Experiments were in accordance with the
NIH RML Animal Care and Use Committee approved protocols (2018-011 and 2016-039). Syrian golden
hamsters were purchased from Envigo. Tg7 mice[51] for bioassays were obtained from colonies
maintained at RML. To generate 263K prions for the current study, hamsters were anesthetized and
intracerebrally inoculated with 30 μl of 1% 263K scrapie brain homogenate stock containing 3.8 x 10^6
50% lethal doses (LD$_{50}$). Animals were euthanized from 72-78 days post inoculation when consistent,
advanced clinical signs of prion disease were observed. Brains were collected from these animals as
described previously[52].

263K PrP^{Sc} fibril purification

PK-resistant PrP^{Sc} was purified from the hamster brain (n=20-30 per preparation) as described
previously[52]. Two independent preparations (preps 1 & 2, 10 y old and fresh, respectively) were

analyzed with nearly indistinguishable results in all stages of this biochemical characterization and
imaging through tomography, single particle analysis, and 3D image reconstructions at resolutions down
to ~4.2 Å. However, the animal infectivity bioassay was performed only with prep 1 and, because of
insufficient quantity of prep 1, the final push to collect the 12,828 movies used to improve resolution
into the 3 Å range was performed with prep 2. SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting with a polyclonal rabbit
antiserum against PrP C-terminal residues (R20)[53] were performed essentially as described previously[54].
Immediately prior to grid preparation, fibril preparations were vortexed and allowed to sit for 10 min to
gravity pellet highly bundled fibrils. Aliquots were taken from the supernatant and diluted in 20 mM Tris
pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl containing 0.02% amphipol 8-35 and sonicated.

Bioassays of purified 263K prions

To assess the infectivity of purified 263K fibrils used for cryo-EM grid preparations, fibrils from prep 1
were diluted in inoculation buffer (2% fetal bovine serum in phosphate buffered balanced saline). Serial
100-fold dilutions containing 1 µg, 10 ng, and 100 pg PK-resistant PrP^{Sc} were intracerebrally inoculated
as 30 µL volumes into Tg7 mice (n=7-8 per group). Infectivity titers were estimated by comparing the
average incubation period at each dose to a standard curve of inoculated titer vs incubation period that
was generated from previous end-point dilution assays of 263K hamster scrapie brain homogenate in
Tg7 mice. Immunohistological analyses of brain tissues were performed as described[38].

Prion seeding assay (RT-QuIC) analysis

Endpoint dilution analysis of the fibril preparations was conducted using RT-QuIC with recombinant
hamster PrP90-231 substrate as described[42].

Negative stain electron microscopy

Ultrathin carbon on lacey carbon support film grids (400 mesh, Ted Pella, Redding, CA) were briefly
glow-discharged and floated on droplets of fibril solutions. Grids were briefly washed by sequential
immersion in milliQ water before being negatively stained with Nano-W (2% methylamine tungstate)
stain (Nanoprobes, Yaphank, NY) and wicked dry. Grids were imaged at 80 kV with a Hitachi HT-7800
transmission electron microscope and an XR-81 camera (Advanced Microscopy Techniques, Woburn,
MA).

Cryo-EM

C-Flat 1.2/1.3 300 mesh copper grids (Protochips, Morrisville, NC) were glow-discharged with a 50:50
oxygen/hydrogen mixture in a Solarus 950 (Gatan, Pleasanton CA) for 10 s. Grids were mounted in the
tweezers for an EM GP2 plunge freezer (Leica, Buffalo Grove, IL) and a 3 µl droplet of 0.05% amphipol
A8-35 in phosphate buffered saline was added to the carbon surface and hand blotted, leaving behind a
very thin film. The tweezers were then raised into the chamber of the plunge freezer, which was set to
22°C and 90% humidity. 3 µl of recently sonicated sample was added to the carbon side of the grid and
allowed to sit for 60 s. The sample was blotted for ~4 s followed by a subsequent 3 s drain time and then
plunged into liquid ethane kept at -180°C. Grids were mounted in AutoGrid assemblies and then loaded
into a Titan Krios G3i (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) with a K3 camera and BioQuantum GIF
(Gatan, Pleasanton, CA). Images were acquired at 0.55 Å/pixel at Super Resolution mode, 60 e-/Å2, and
60 total frames. A total of 12,828 movies were collected using SerialEM[55].

Cryo-electron tomography

Grids were prepared exactly as those prepared for Cryo-EM, except 1 µl of 5 nm Protein A gold (CMC, Utrecht, Netherlands) was added with 2 µl of sample on the grid before the 60 s wait time. In some cases, sample and gold fiducials were added to ultrathin carbon on lacey carbon support film (200 mesh, Ted Pella, Redding, CA) grids that were not treated with 0.05% amphipol, blotted by hand, and plunged into liquid ethane. Tilt-series were acquired bidirectional from zero every 3° between ±60° for a total dose of ~80 e⁻/$Å^2$ at a pixel size of 2.87 Å using Tomography 4 software with a Falcon III camera operating in linear mode on a Krios G1 transmission electron microscope (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) operating at 300 kV. Tomograms were generated with weighted back projection using IMOD [56]*.*

Image processing

Motion correction of raw movie frames and helical reconstruction were performed with RELION 3.1[57]. CTF estimation was performed using CTFIND4.1[58]. Fibrils were picked manually (Extended Data Fig. 3a), and segments were extracted with an inter-box distance of 14.7 Å with a 370 Å box size. A second set of particles was extracted with a box size of 1280 pixels and was downscaled to a box size of 256 pixels. Reference-free 2D class averaging (Extended Data Fig. 3b) was performed on both particles sets using a regularization parameter of T = 2, in-plane angular sampling rate of 2°, a tube diameter of 200 Å, and the translational offset limited to 4.9 Å. 2D classes, from the large box size, were used to estimate the cross-over distance of the fibril. An initial 3D model was then generated, from the small box size, from multiple 2D class averages[57]. This initial model was used for 3D auto refinement with C1 symmetry, initial resolution limit of 40 Å, initial angular sampling of 3.7°, offset search range of 8 pixels, initial helical twist of -0.7°, initial helical rise of 4.8 Å, and using 20% of the segment central Z length. The output from auto refinement was used for 3D classification without allowing for image alignment to remove poorly aligned segments from auto refinement. Classes were selected for further refinement based on similarity of features in their cross-section (excluding visually low resolution and poorly aligned classes), estimated resolution, overall accuracy of rotation and translation, and Fourier completeness (Extended Data Fig. 3c). Auto-refinement was then performed while optimizing the helical twist and rise. Auto-refinement with refinement of twist and rise yielded a final map with a twist of -0.847° and rise of 4.874 Å. Iterative cycles of CTF refinement, Bayesian polishing, and auto refinement were used until resolution estimates stabilized. Post processing in RELION was performed with a soft-edged mask representing 10% of the central Z length of the fibril. Sharpening was applied with a B-factor of -31 $Å^2$. Resolution estimates were obtained between independent refined half-maps at 0.143 FSC (Extended Data Fig. 3d).

Model building

De novo building of the atomic model was carried out using Coot[59]. Assuming inclusion of residues ~90-231 into the polypeptide backbone, residue placement was initially guided by the Cys179-Cys214 disulfide bond and nearby bulky residues of 172-175 and 162-164. The remaining N and C-terminal amino acids were manually added to the model followed by targeted real-space refinement in Coot. Individual subunits were translated along the axis of the fibril to generate a stack of three consecutive subunits to maintain interactions between adjacent subunits. The translated subunits were rigid-body fit in Coot. Iterative real-space refinement and validation with Coot and Phenix[60,61] were carried out in the absence of any imposed model restraints with further local refinement in Fourier space using RefMac5[62].

Model validation was carried out with CaBLAM[63], MolProbity[64], and EMringer[65], and any outliers/clashes
identified and corrected with subsequent iterative refinements/validation as described above.
Additional details are as listed in Extended Data Table 2.

Extended Data Figure Legends

Extended Data Fig. 1. 263K PrP^{Sc} preparations are protease-resistant, seed-competent, and highly
infectious. a, Gel analysis of two independent 263K purifications. Purified 263K prions from two
preparations were subjected to PK digestion (PK+) and used for gel analysis and subsequent silver
staining or PrP immunoblotting as indicated. Untreated 263K (PK-) is shown in the first lane. **b**, Traces
from quadruplicate ThT readings over time for RT-QuIC reactions seeded with 2.4 fg of either the initial
purification of prep 1 (Total), or the same subjected to subsequent manipulations to improve fibril
distribution (Supernatant). Negative control reactions seeded with normal brain homogenate (NBH) at
10^{-4} tissue w/v dilution. **c**, Endpoint dilution RT-QuIC comparison of lag times of individual reaction wells
(n=4) seeded with the Total or Supernatant fractions of 263K prep 1. Data points above 30 h represent
reactions that failed to cross the positivity threshold within the total 30-h reaction time. Bar indicate
means. **d**, Kaplan-Meier survival curves after inoculation of the designated amount of 263K fibril prep 1
in transgenic mice (n = 7-8 per group) that overexpress hamster PrP^C. Survival time was determined by
the need to euthanize the mice according to clinical criteria for definite scrapie as referenced in
Methods. Dots represent individual animals euthanized at the designated time. **e**, Brain tissue from
animals inoculated at the highest dose was used for PrP and GFAP immunostaining, and hematoxylin
and eosin (H&E) staining. Consistent with the features observed with typical 263K clinical disease, PrP
deposition, astrogliosis (GFAP), and spongiform change were observed. Scale bar = 50 μm.

Extended Data Fig. 2. Tomography of 263K prions shows left-handed fibrils and asymmetric
decoration with globules. **a,** Tomographic slice of a fibril with globules visible on the ends, outlined with
white circles in **a'. b,** Another slice with globules, as marked in **b'**. **c,d,** Two slices of a 263K fibril without
apparent globules. **e-h,** Fibrils from 4 different tomograms showing the top, middle, and bottom slices,
illustrating the left-handed helix found in >99% of fibrils analyzed by tomography. Scale bars: **a-d** = 25
nm, **e-h** = 50 nm.

Extended Data Fig. 3. Particle selection and 2D and 3D classifications in Relion. **a**, Representative
micrographs are shown with examples of manually selected segments of fibrils (green) used for particle
extraction. **b**, Representative 2D classifications from a total of 35 used for 3D model building. **c**,
Representative cross-sections from 3D classes. The first and third classes (asterisks) were used for
subsequent model refinement, and the others of this group discarded due to visually low resolution and
poor alignment. **d**, Enlarged view of one of the 2D classes (highlighted in **b**) showing the 4.9Å repeated
spacing perpendicular to the fibril axis. Associated fast Fourier transform indicating signals at 4.9 Å
(inset). **e**, Fourier shell correlation plots of masked and unmasked models.

Extended Data Fig. 4. Peripheral map densities (blue) **not occupied by the polypeptide backbone of**
residues 95-227. Glycans and lipid anchor positions are labeled. Charged residues are often found next
to unidentified peripheral map densities that are not associated with the known (labeled) glycan or GPI
anchor attachment sites.
381

Extended Data Fig. 5. **Additional models with residues K194-E196 and first N-acetylglucosamine on N181 and N197**. **a**, A polypeptide backbone inclusive of residues K194-E196 is shown within the map density (mesh). **b**, The first N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) units attached to the sidechains of N181 or N197 are shown within map densities. **c**, Magnified cross-sectional view of N181 with the GlcNAc residue. **d**, Magnified side view of the model with GlcNAc residue placement in the map densities visible directly adjacent to N181. The remaining glycan extends off the fibril axis, and outside the resolved map densities.

Extended Data Fig. 6: Comparison of 263K Greek key and disulfide β-arches to related motifs in previously described recombinant PrP fibrils. a, Polypeptide backbone tracings of residues 112-141 of the 263K prion Greek key compared to a Greek key-like motif modelled previously for synthetic rhuPrP23-144[46] or a more extended hydrophobic core of rhuPrP94-178[33] fibrils based on solid state NMR or cryo-EM data, respectively. **b**, Tracings of residues 170-227 the cryo-EM-based disulfide β-arches of 263K prions (current work) and synthetic rhuPrP23-231 fibrils[34]. Yellow bar indicates the disulfide bond.

Extended Data Fig. 7. Antibody epitopes and proteolytic cleavage sites on 263K fibril core cross-section. a, Exposure of YYR and RYYR epitopes (encircled in red), one or both of which are reactive with PrP^{Sc}-selective antibodies[66]. **b**, Locations of sequences that are thought to bracket elements of the PrP^{Sc}-selective MAb 15B3 epitope (encircled in red). **c**, M109 and M112 (encircled in red), which are key elements of the MAb 3F4 antibody epitope. **d**, Primary (large yellow arrow) and conditional minor secondary (small yellow arrows) PK cleavage sites. Bottom depicts core that would remain after partial unfolding and removal of residues 90-119, revealing the minor PK cleavage sites. Blue arrows mark lysines in this segment.

Extended Data Fig. 8: Domain separation and helix untwisting in conversion of PrP^{C} [67] to PrP^{Sc}. Models depicting the need for PrP^{C}'s β1-Helix 1-β2 loop (turquoise) to separate from helices 2 and 3 (purple), and for each the helices to untwist into extended chains during conversion to PrP^{Sc}. This mechanism is reminiscent of a previously proposed "banana peeling model"[68]. Also notable is the breakage of the intramolecular backbone H-bonds of PrP^{C}'s β1-β2 sheet as they become intermolecular in PrP^{Sc} and no longer in the same sheet (new positions encircled in red). Residues 23-124 of PrP^{C} are disordered in PrP^{C} [67] and only residues 95-124 are depicted (orange) in the top 2 panels. Although these conformational changes must occur during conversion, the order of these and other events, and whether an intermediate specifically like the one depicted ever exists at any particular step, are not known. The disulfide bond between C179-C214 (pair of yellow spheres) is visible only in the lower 2 panels. Note: elements of these models may not be accurately matched in scale.

Main References

1. Caughey, B.W.*, et al.* Secondary structure analysis of the scrapie-associated protein PrP 27-30 in water by infrared spectroscopy. *Biochemistry* **30**, 7672-7680 (1991).
2. Caughey, B. & Kraus, A. Transmissibility versus Pathogenicity of Self-Propagating Protein Aggregates. *Viruses* **11**(2019).
3. Stahl, N., Borchelt, D.R., Hsiao, K. & Prusiner, S.B. Scrapie prion protein contains a phosphatidylinositol glycolipid. *Cell* **51**, 229-240 (1987).
4. Bolton, D.C., Meyer, R.K. & Prusiner, S.B. Scrapie PrP 27-30 is a sialoglycoprotein. *J.Virol.* **53**, 596-606 (1985).

5. Manuelidis, L., Valley, S. & Manuelidis, E.E. Specific proteins associated with Creutzfeldt-Jakob
disease and scrapie share antigenic and carbohydrate determinants. *Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA* **82**,
4263-4267 (1985).
6. Rudd, P.M*., et al.* Glycosylation differences between the normal and pathogenic prion protein
isoforms. *Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.U.S.A.* **96**, 13044-13049 (1999).
7. Baskakov, I.V*., et al.* The prion 2018 round tables (I): the structure of PrP(Sc). *Prion* **13**, 46-52
(2019).
8. Prusiner, S.B. Prions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **95**, 13363-13383 (1998).
9. Kraus, A., Groveman, B.R. & Caughey, B. Prions and the potential transmissibility of protein
misfolding diseases. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **67**, 543-564 (2013).
10. Safar, J., Roller, P.P., Gajdusek, D.C. & Gibbs, C.J., Jr. Conformational transitions, dissociation,
and unfolding of scrapie amyloid (prion) protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* **268**, 20276-20284 (1993).
11. Pan, K.-M*., et al.* Conversion of alpha-helices into beta-sheets features in the formation of the
scrapie prion protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **90**, 10962-10966 (1993).
12. Kocisko, D.A*., et al.* Cell-free formation of protease-resistant prion protein. *Nature* **370**, 471-474
(1994).
13. Bessen, R.A*., et al.* Nongenetic propagation of strain-specific phenotypes of scrapie prion
protein. *Nature* **375**, 698-700 (1995).
14. Telling, G.C*., et al.* Evidence for the conformation of the pathologic isoform of the prion protein
enciphering and propagating prion diversity. *Science* **274**, 2079-2082 (1996).
15. Caughey, B., Raymond, G.J., Kocisko, D.A. & Lansbury, P.T., Jr. Scrapie infectivity correlates with
converting activity, protease resistance, and aggregation of scrapie-associated prion protein in
guanidine denaturation studies. *J. Virol.* **71**, 4107-4110 (1997).
16. Silveira, J.R*., et al.* The most infectious prion protein particles. *Nature* **437**, 257-261 (2005).
17. Caughey, B., Baron, G.S., Chesebro, B. & Jeffrey, M. Getting a grip on prions: oligomers,
amyloids, anchors and pathological membrane interactions. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **78**, 177-204
(2009).
18. Wuthrich, K. & Riek, R. Three - dimensional structures of prion proteins. *Adv.Prot.Chem.* **57**, 55-
82 (2001).
19. Merz, P.A., Somerville, R.A., Wisniewski, H.M. & Iqbal, K. Abnormal fibrils from scrapie-infected
brain. *Acta Neuropathol.* **54**, 63-74 (1981).
20. Diringer, H*., et al.* Scrapie infectivity, fibrils and low molecular weight protein. *Nature* **306**, 476-
478 (1983).
21. Wille, H*., et al.* Structural studies of the scrapie prion protein by electron crystallography.
Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.U.S.A **99**, 3563-3568 (2002).
22. Caughey, B., Kocisko, D.A., Raymond, G.J. & Lansbury, P.T. Aggregates of scrapie associated
prion protein induce the cell-free conversion of protease-sensitive prion protein to the
protease-resistant state. *Chem.& Biol.* **2**, 807-817 (1995).
23. Sim, V.L. & Caughey, B. Ultrastructures and strain comparison of under-glycosylated scrapie
prion fibrils. *Neurobiol.Aging* **30**, 2031-2042 (2009).
24. Amenitsch, H., Benetti, F., Ramos, A., Legname, G. & Requena, J.R. SAXS structural study of
PrP(Sc) reveals ~11 nm diameter of basic double intertwined fibers. *Prion* **7**, 496-500 (2013).
25. Vazquez-Fernandez, E*., et al.* The Structural Architecture of an Infectious Mammalian Prion
Using Electron Cryomicroscopy. *PLoS Path.* **12**, e1005835 (2016).
26. Spagnolli, G*., et al.* Full atomistic model of prion structure and conversion. *PLoS Path.* **15**,
e1007864 (2019).
27. Terry, C*., et al.* Structural features distinguishing infectious ex vivo mammalian prions from non-
infectious fibrillar assemblies generated in vitro. *Sci Rep* **9**, 376 (2019).

28. Cobb, N.J., Sonnichsen, F.D., McHaourab, H. & Surewicz, W.K. Molecular architecture of human
prion protein amyloid: a parallel, in-register beta-structure. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A* **104**, 18946-
18951 (2007).
29. Cobb, N.J., Apetri, A.C. & Surewicz, W.K. Prion protein amyloid formation under native-like
conditions involves refolding of the C-terminal alpha-helical domain. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283**, 34704-
34711 (2008).
30. Tycko, R., Savtchenko, R., Ostapchenko, V.G., Makarava, N. & Baskakov, I.V. The alpha-helical C-
terminal domain of full-length recombinant PrP converts to an in-register parallel beta-sheet
structure in PrP fibrils: evidence from solid state nuclear magnetic resonance. *Biochemistry* **49**,
9488-9497 (2010).
31. Groveman, B.R.*, et al.* Parallel in-register intermolecular beta-sheet architectures for prion-
seeded prion protein (PrP) amyloids. *J. Biol. Chem.* **289**, 24129-24142 (2014).
32. Theint, T.*, et al.* Species-dependent structural polymorphism of Y145Stop prion protein amyloid
revealed by solid-state NMR spectroscopy. *Nat Commun* **8**, 753 (2017).
33. Glynn, C.*, et al.* Cryo-EM structure of a human prion fibril with a hydrophobic, protease-resistant
core. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **27**, 417-423 (2020).
34. Wang, L.Q.*, et al.* Cryo-EM structure of an amyloid fibril formed by full-length human prion
protein. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **27**, 598-602 (2020).
35. Kim, J.I.*, et al.* Mammalian prions generated from bacterially expressed prion protein in the
absence of any mammalian cofactors. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**, 14083-14087 (2010).
36. Choi, J.K.*, et al.* Amyloid fibrils from the N-terminal prion protein fragment are infectious. *Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**, 13851-13856 (2016).
37. Goedert, M.*, et al.* Tau Protein and Frontotemporal Dementias. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* **1281**, 177-
199 (2021).
38. Kraus, A.*, et al.* PrP P102L and nearby lysine mutations promote spontaneous in vitro formation
of transmissible prions. *J. Virol.* (2017).
39. Groveman, B.R.*, et al.* Role of the central lysine cluster and scrapie templating in the
transmissibility of synthetic prion protein aggregates. *PLoS Path.* **13**, e1006623 (2017).
40. Prusiner, S.B., Groth, D.F., Bolton, D.C., Kent, S.B. & Hood, L.E. Purification and structural studies
of a major scrapie prion protein. *Cell* **38**, 127-134 (1984).
41. Hope, J.*, et al.* The major polypeptide of scrapie-associated fibrils (SAF) has the same size,
charge distribution and N-terminal protein sequence as predicted for the normal brain protein
(PrP). *EMBO J.* **5**, 2591-2597 (1986).
42. Wilham, J.M.*, et al.* Rapid End-Point Quantitation of Prion Seeding Activity with Sensitivity
Comparable to Bioassays. *PLoS Path.* **6**, e1001217 (2010).
43. Artikis, E., Roy, A., Verli, H., Cordeiro, Y. & Caughey, B. Accommodation of In-Register N-Linked
Glycans on Prion Protein Amyloid Cores. *ACS Chem Neurosci* **11**, 4092-4097 (2020).
44. Spagnolli, G.*, et al.* Modeling PrP(Sc) Generation Through Deformed Templating. *Front Bioeng
Biotechnol* **8**, 590501 (2020).
45. Tuttle, M.D.*, et al.* Solid-state NMR structure of a pathogenic fibril of full-length human alpha-
synuclein. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **23**, 409-415 (2016).
46. Theint, T.*, et al.* Structural Studies of Amyloid Fibrils by Paramagnetic Solid-State Nuclear
Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **140**, 13161-13166 (2018).
47. Hafner-Bratkovic, I.*, et al.* Globular domain of the prion protein needs to be unlocked by domain
swapping to support prion protein conversion. *J.Biol.Chem.* **286**, 12149-12156 (2011).
48. Jeffrey, M. Review: membrane-associated misfolded protein propagation in natural
transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs), synthetic prion diseases and Alzheimer's
disease. *Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol.* **39**, 196-216 (2013).

49. Tycko, R. & Wickner, R.B. Molecular structures of amyloid and prion fibrils: consensus versus
controversy. *Acc Chem Res* **46**, 1487-1496 (2013).
50. Donne, D.G.*, et al.* Structure of the recombinant full-length hamster prion protein PrP(29- 231):
the N terminus is highly flexible [see comments]. *Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.U.S.A.* **94**, 13452-13457
(1997).
524

525 **Additional References for Methods, Extended Data and Supplemental Information**

526
51. Race, R., Oldstone, M. & Chesebro, B. Entry versus blockade of brain infection following oral or
intraperitoneal scrapie administration: Role of prion protein expression in peripheral nerves
and spleen. *J. Virol.* **74**, 828-833 (2000).
52. Raymond, G.J. & Chabry, J. Purification of the pathological isoform of prion protein (PrPSc or
PrPres) from transmissible spongiform encephalopathy-affected brain tissue. in *Techniques in*
Prion Research (eds. Lehmann, S. & Grassi, J.) 16-26 (Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 2004).
53. Caughey, B., Raymond, G.J., Ernst, D. & Race, R.E. N-terminal truncation of the scrapie-
associated form of PrP by lysosomal protease(s): implications regarding the site of conversion of
PrP to the protease-resistant state. *J.Virol.* **65**, 6597-6603 (1991).
54. Baron, G.S.*, et al.* Effect of glycans and the glycophosphatidylinositol anchor on strain
dependent conformations of scrapie prion protein: improved purifications and infrared spectra.
Biochemistry **50**, 4479-4490 (2011).
55. Mastronarde, D.N. Automated electron microscope tomography using robust prediction of
specimen movements. *J Struct Biol* **152**, 36-51 (2005).
56. Kremer, J.R., Mastronarde, D.N. & McIntosh, J.R. Computer visualization of three-dimensional
image data using IMOD. *J Struct Biol* **116**, 71-76 (1996).
57. Scheres, S.H.W. Amyloid structure determination in RELION-3.1. *Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol*
76, 94-101 (2020).
58. Rohou, A. & Grigorieff, N. CTFFIND4: Fast and accurate defocus estimation from electron
micrographs. *J Struct Biol* **192**, 216-221 (2015).
59. Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W.G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of Coot. *Acta*
Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr **66**, 486-501 (2010).
60. Afonine, P.V.*, et al.* Towards automated crystallographic structure refinement with
phenix.refine. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **68**, 352-367 (2012).
61. Headd, J.J.*, et al.* Use of knowledge-based restraints in phenix.refine to improve macromolecular
refinement at low resolution. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **68**, 381-390 (2012).
62. Murshudov, G.N., Vagin, A.A. & Dodson, E.J. Refinement of macromolecular structures by the
maximum-likelihood method. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **53**, 240-255 (1997).
63. Williams, C.J. Duke University (2015).
64. Chen, V.B.*, et al.* MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography.
Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr **66**, 12-21 (2010).
65. Barad, B.A.*, et al.* EMRinger: side chain-directed model and map validation for 3D cryo-electron
microscopy. *Nat. Methods* **12**, 943-946 (2015).
66. Paramithiotis, E.*, et al.* A prion protein epitope selective for the pathologically misfolded
conformation. *Nat.Med.* **9**, 893-899 (2003).
67. James, T.L.*, et al.* Solution structure of a 142-residue recombinant prion protein corresponding
to the infectious fragment of the scrapie isoform. *Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.U.S.A.* **94**, 10086-10091
(1997).
68. Adrover, M.*, et al.* Prion Fibrillization Is Mediated by a Native Structural Element That Comprises
Helices H2 and H3. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**, 21004-21012 (2010).

69. Caughey, B., Raymond, G.J. & Bessen, R.A. Strain-dependent differences in beta-sheet
conformations of abnormal prion protein. *J.Biol.Chem.* **273**, 32230-32235 (1998).
70. Smirnovas, V.*, et al.* Structural organization of brain-derived mammalian prions examined by
hydrogen-deuterium exchange. *Nat.Struct.Mol.Biol.* **18**, 504-506 (2011).
71. Speare, J.O., Rush, T.S., Bloom, M.E. & Caughey, B. The role of helix 1 aspartates and salt bridges
in the stability and conversion of prion protein. *J.Biol.Chem.* **278**, 12522-12529 (2003).
72. Korth, C.*, et al.* Prion (PrPSc)-specific epitope defined by a monoclonal antibody. *Nature* **390**, 74-
77 (1997).
73. Orru, C.D.*, et al.* Prion disease blood test using immunoprecipitation and improved quaking-
induced conversion. *mBio* **2**, e00078-00011 (2011).
74. Safar, J.*, et al.* Eight prion strains have PrP(Sc) molecules with different conformations [see
comments]. *Nat.Med.* **4**, 1157-1165 (1998).
75. Sajnani, G., Pastrana, M.A., Dynin, I., Onisko, B. & Requena, J.R. Scrapie prion protein structural
constraints obtained by limited proteolysis and mass spectrometry. *J.Mol.Biol.* **382**, 88-98
(2008).
76. Groveman, B.R.*, et al.* Charge neutralization of the central lysine cluster in prion protein (PrP)
promotes PrP(Sc)-like folding of recombinant PrP amyloids. *J. Biol. Chem.* **290**, 1119-1128
(2015).
77. Wong, C.*, et al.* Sulfated glycans and elevated temperature stimulate PrP^{Sc} dependent cell-free
formation of protease-resistant prion protein. *EMBO J.* **20**, 377-386 (2001).
78. Kocisko, D.A., Lansbury, P.T., Jr. & Caughey, B. Partial unfolding and refolding of scrapie-
associated prion protein: evidence for a critical 16-kDa C-terminal domain. *Biochemistry* **35**,
13434-13442 (1996).
79. McKenzie, D.*, et al.* Reversibility of scrapie inactivation is enhanced by copper. *J.Biol.Chem.* **273**,
25545-25547 (1998).
80. Eisenberg, D.S. & Sawaya, M.R. Structural Studies of Amyloid Proteins at the Molecular Level.
Annu. Rev. Biochem. **86**, 69-95 (2017).

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Prof. Sjors Scheres for his early advice and encouragement. We thank Dr.
Kunpeng Li at the CWRU cryo-EM facility for assistance in collecting cryo-EM data. We thank Ms.
Elizabeth Fisher for helpful suggestions and oversight of the EM facility; Dr. Dave Dorward for help with
preliminary negative stain TEM; Drs. Suzette Priola, Bradley Groveman, Amitava Roy and Ankit
Srivastava for their helpful in-house review of this manuscript; Katie Williams for help with bioassays; Dr.
Jacqueline Leung for assistance in analyzing related tomography data; Nancy Kurtz and Lori Lubke for
neuropathological slide processing; and Austin Athman and Anita Mora for graphics arts assistance. This
work was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the NIAID; Mary Hilderman Smith, Zoë
Smith Jaye, and Jenny Smith Unruh in memory of Jeffrey Smith; and the Britton Fund, Case Research
Institute, and CWRU School of Medicine. This work utilized the computational resources of the NIH HPC
Biowulf cluster (http://hpc.nih.gov).

Author information

Contributions

AK and BC supervised the project. All authors designed experiments. AH purified the PrP^{Sc}. AH and AK
provided *in vitro* analyzes of PrP^{Sc} preparations. BR bioassayed PrP^{Sc} and performed neuropathological
analyses. CS and AK prepared EM grids and collected EM data. CS analyzed the tomographic data. FH,
CS, BH, and AK processed the cryo-EM images. FH, AK developed map parameters and FH performed
class averaging and refined EM map densities in Relion. FH, BC, AK built *de novo* atomic models of PrP^{Sc},
FH and AK performed iterative refinements and map/model validation. EA performed validations for
model stability and built and evaluated glycosylated and GPI-anchored models. All authors helped to
prepare the manuscript.

Supplementary Information is available for this paper.

EXTENDED DATA

Extended Data Fig. 1. 263K PrP^{Sc} preparations are protease-resistant, seed-competent, and highly
infectious. a, Gel analysis of two independent 263K purifications. Purified 263K prions from two
preparations were subjected to PK digestion (PK+) and used for gel analysis and subsequent silver
staining or PrP immunoblotting as indicated. Untreated 263K (PK-) is shown in the first lane. **b**, Traces
from quadruplicate ThT readings over time for RT-QuIC reactions seeded with 2.4 fg of either the initial
purification of prep 1 (Total), or the same subjected to subsequent manipulations to improve fibril
distribution (Supernatant). Negative control reactions seeded with normal brain homogenate (NBH) at
10^{-4} tissue w/v dilution. **c**, Endpoint dilution RT-QuIC comparison of lag times of individual reaction wells
(n=4) seeded with the Total or Supernatant fractions of 263K prep 1. Data points above 30 h represent
reactions that failed to cross the positivity threshold within the total 30-h reaction time. Bar indicate
means. **d**, Kaplan-Meier survival curves after inoculation of the designated amount of 263K fibril prep 1
in transgenic mice (n = 7-8 per group) that overexpress hamster PrP^{C}. Survival time was determined by
the need to euthanize the mice according to clinical criteria for definite scrapie as referenced in
Methods. Dots represent individual animals euthanized at the designated time. **e**, Brain tissue from
animals inoculated at the highest dose was used for PrP and GFAP immunostaining, and hematoxylin
and eosin (H&E) staining. Consistent with the features observed with typical 263K clinical disease, PrP
deposition, astrogliosis (GFAP), and spongiform change were observed. Scale bar = 50 μm.

Extended Data Fig. 2. Tomography of 263K prions shows left-handed fibrils and asymmetric decoration with globules. **a,** Tomographic slice of a fibril with globules visible on the ends, outlined with white circles in **a'**. **b,** Another slice with globules, as marked in **b'**. **c,d,** Two slices of a 263K fibril without apparent globules. **e-h,** Fibrils from 4 different tomograms showing the top, middle, and bottom slices, illustrating the left-handed helix found in >99% of fibrils analyzed by tomography. Scale bars: **a-d** = 25 nm, **e-h** = 50 nm.

648 **Extended Data Table 1. Tomogram-based dimensions of 263K fibrils of preps 1 & 2 with and without**
649 **globules**

Fibril Prep	Globules	n	Length (nm)	Width (nm)
1	+	15	188 ±87	20 ±3
	-	64	176 ±66	16 ±3
2	+	98	137 ±54	18 ±2
	-	71	98 ±33	16 ±2

651 **Extended Data Table 2. Cryo-EM data, refinement, and validations**

	263K (pdb, emdb)	With 194-196
Data collection and processing		
Magnification	81,000x	
Voltage (kV)	300	
Electron dose (e-/ Å^2)	60	
Pixel size (super resolution)	0.545	
Symmetry imposed	C1	
Initial particle segments	337368	
Final particle segments	15884	
Map resolution (Å) (FSC 0.143)	3.14	
Helical rise (Å)	4.874	
Helical twist (°)	-0.847	
Map sharpening B factor (Å^2)	-30.98	
Model Refinement		
Initial Model	*de novo*	
R.M.S. deviations		
Bond lengths (Å)	0.011	0.011
Bond angles (°)	1.284	1.283
MolProbity score	1.26	1.35
Clash score	2.77	2.10
Rotamer outliers (%)	0	0
Ramachandran plot		
Favored (%)	96.83	94.66
Allowed (%)	3.17	5.34
Outliers (%)	0	0
EM Ringer score	5.01	4.68
Model vs. Data (CC)	0.80	0.80

Extended Data Fig. 3. Particle selection and 2D and 3D classifications in Relion. **a**, Representative

micrographs are shown with examples of manually selected segments of fibrils (green) used for particle

extraction. **b**, Representative 2D classifications from a total of 35 used for 3D model building. **c**,

Representative cross-sections from 3D classes. The first and third classes (asterisks) were used for

subsequent model refinement, and the others of this group discarded due to visually low resolution and

poor alignment. **d**, Enlarged view of one of the 2D classes (highlighted in **b**) showing the 4.9Å repeated

spacing perpendicular to the fibril axis. Associated fast Fourier transform indicating signals at 4.9 Å

(inset). **e**, Fourier shell correlation plots of masked and unmasked models.

665
666
667

670 **Extended Data Fig. 4. Peripheral map densities (blue) not occupied by the polypeptide backbone of**

671 **residues 95-227**. Glycans and lipid anchor positions are labeled. Charged residues are often found next

672 to unidentified peripheral map densities that are not associated with the known (labeled) glycan or GPI

673 anchor attachment sites.

Extended Data Fig. 5. **Additional models with residues K194-E196 and first N-acetylglucosamine on N181 and N197**. **a**, A polypeptide backbone inclusive of residues K194-E196 is shown within the map density (mesh). **b**, The first N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) units attached to the sidechains of N181 or N197 are shown within map densities. **c**, Magnified cross-sectional view of N181 with the GlcNAc residue. **d**, Magnified side view of the model with GlcNAc residue placement in the map densities visible directly adjacent to N181. The remaining glycan extends off the fibril axis, and outside the resolved map densities.

Extended Data Fig. 6: Comparison of 263K Greek key and disulfide β-arches to related motifs in
previously described recombinant PrP fibrils. a, Polypeptide backbone tracings of residues 112-141 of
the 263K prion Greek key compared to a Greek key-like motif modelled previously for synthetic
rhuPrP23-144[46] or a more extended hydrophobic core of rhuPrP94-178[33] fibrils based on solid state NMR
or cryo-EM data, respectively. **b**, Tracings of residues 170-227 the cryo-EM-based disulfide β-arches of
263K prions (current work) and synthetic rhuPrP23-231 fibrils[34]. Yellow bar indicates the disulfide bond.

a. YYR and RYYR epitopes

b. 15B3 epitope component

c. 3F4 epitope

d. Proteolytic cleavage sites

Extended Data Fig. 7. Antibody epitopes and proteolytic cleavage sites on 263K fibril core cross-
section. a, Exposure of YYR and RYYR epitopes (encircled in red), one or both of which are reactive with
PrP^{Sc}-selective antibodies[66]. **b**, Locations of sequences that are thought to bracket elements of the PrP^{Sc}-
selective MAb 15B3 epitope (encircled in red). **c**, M109 and M112 (encircled in red), which are key
elements of the MAb 3F4 antibody epitope. **d**, Primary (large yellow arrow) and conditional minor
secondary (small yellow arrows) PK cleavage sites. Bottom depicts core that would remain after partial
unfolding and removal of residues 90-119, revealing the minor PK cleavage sites. Blue arrows mark
lysines in this segment.

Extended Data Fig. 8: **Domain separation and helix untwisting in conversion of PrP^C [67] to PrP^Sc.** Models depicting the need for PrP^C's β1-Helix 1-β2 loop (turquoise) to separate from helices 2 and 3 (purple), and for each the helices to untwist into extended chains during conversion to PrP^Sc. This mechanism is reminiscent of a previously proposed "banana peeling model"[68]. Also notable is the breakage of the intramolecular backbone H-bonds of PrP^C's β1-β2 sheet as they become intermolecular in PrP^Sc and no longer in the same sheet (new positions encircled in red). Residues 23-124 of PrP^C are disordered in PrP^C [67] and only residues 95-124 are depicted (orange) in the top 2 panels. Although these conformational changes must occur during conversion, the order of these and other events, and whether an intermediate specifically like the one depicted ever exists at any individual step, are not known. The disulfide bond between C179-C214 (yellow spheres) is visible only in the lower 2 panels. Note: elements of these models may not be accurately matched in scale.