High-pressure sprayed siRNAs influence the efficiency but not the profile of transitive

silencing

Veli Vural Uslu[1]*, Athanasios Dalakouras[2], Victor A Steffens[1], Gabi Krczal[1], Michael

Wassenegger[1,3]*

[1]AlPlanta-Institute for Plant Research, RLP AgroScience GmbH, Neustadt an der Weinstraße, Germany

[2] Institute of Industrial and Forage Crops, Hellenic Agricultural Organization ELGO-DEMETER, Larissa,

Greece

[3]Centre for Organismal Studies Heidelberg, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

*Corresponding author

SUMMARY

In plants, small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) are a quintessential class of RNA interference

(RNAi)-inducing molecules produced by the endonucleolytic cleavage of double stranded

RNAs (dsRNAs). In order to ensure robust RNAi, siRNAs are amplified through a positive

feedback mechanism called transitivity. Transitivity relies on RNA-DIRECTED-RNA

POLYMERASE 6 (RDR6)-mediated dsRNA synthesis using siRNA-targeted RNA. The

newly synthesized dsRNA is subsequently cleaved into secondary siRNAs by DICER-LIKE

(DCL) endonucleases. Just like primary siRNAs, secondary siRNAs are also loaded into

ARGONAUTE proteins (AGOs) to form an RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC)

reinforcing the cleavage of the target RNA. Although the molecular players underlying

transitivity are well established, the mode of action of transitivity remains elusive. In this

study, we investigated the influence of primary target sites on transgene silencing and

transitivity using the GFP-expressing *Nicotiana benthamiana* 16C line, high pressure

spraying protocol (HPSP), and synthetic 22-nucleotide (nt) long siRNAs. We found that the

22-nt siRNA targeting the 3' of the GFP transgene was less efficient in inducing silencing

when compared to the siRNAs targeting the 5' and middle region of the GFP. Moreover,

sRNA sequencing of locally silenced leaves showed that the amount but not the profile of

secondary RNAs is shaped by the occupancy of the primary siRNA triggers on the target

RNA. Our findings suggest that RDR6-mediated dsRNA synthesis is not primed by primary

siRNAs and that dsRNA synthesis appears to be generally initiated at the 3' end of the target

RNA.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

This work answers a long-standing question about the role of siRNA triggers in initiating

transitive silencing. By using high-pressure spraying-mediated delivery of synthetic siRNAs,

we provided experimental evidence that target position of 22-nt-long primary siRNAs

influences the efficiency of RDR6-driven double stranded RNA (dsRNA) synthesis, but it

does not change the profile of accumulating secondary siRNAs originating from processing of

RDR6-produced dsRNA.

INTRODUCTION

In plants, RNA interference (RNAi) plays a critical role in transcriptional regulation, genome

stability and pathogen defense. RNAi machinery is initiated by double stranded RNAs

(dsRNAs) in the cell. DsRNAs are processed by the endonucleases DICER-LIKE 4 (DCL4),

DCL2 and DCL3 into 21-, 22- and 24-nt siRNAs, respectively (Deleris et al. 2006; Fusaro et

al. 2006; Blevins et al. 2006). In general, 21-nt siRNAs are loaded onto AGO1 and cleave

complementary transcripts, in a process termed post-transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS)

(Hamilton and Baulcombe 1999). 22-nt siRNAs are also loaded onto AGO1 and are involved

in either the onset of transitivity (Chen et al. 2010; Cuperus et al. 2010) or translational

inhibition (Wu et al. 2020). Finally, 24-nt siRNAs are generally loaded onto AGO4 and the

resulting complex is transported into the nucleus, where it reinforces RNA-directed DNA

methylation (RdDM) (Chan et al. 2004; Dalakouras and Wassenegger 2013; Wassenegger et

al. 1994).

An enzyme of paramount importance in plant RNAi is RNA-DIRECTED RNA

POLYMERASE 6 (RDR6). According to a mechanism termed sense-PTGS (S-PTGS), RDR6

is recruited onto aberrant RNAs (abRNAs) if the abRNA amount exceeds a certain threshold.

The resulting primary dsRNAs are processed into siRNAs, initiating target RNA silencing

(Parent et al. 2015; Wassenegger and Krczal, 2006). In addition, it has been demonstrated

that RDR6 is recruited to transcripts that are targeted by (primary) siRNAs for the generation

of dsRNA and secondary siRNAs, which map upstream and downstream of the primary

siRNA site, a process termed transitivity (Bleys et al. 2006a; Bleys et al. 2006b; Koscianska

et al. 2005; Montgomery et al. 2008a; Montgomery et al. 2008b; Vaistij and Jones 2009;

Vaistij et al. 2002; Vermeersch et al. 2010; Vermeersch et al. 2013; Moissiard et al. 2007;

Mlotshwa et al. 2008; Chen et al. 2010; Cuperus et al. 2010; Manavella et al. 2012, Sakurai et

al. 2021).

A growing body of evidence suggests that the onset of transitivity is influenced by the

nature of the target transcript. Indeed, transcripts originating from intron-containing genes are

poor substrates for RDR6 compared to those derived from intronless ones (Christie et al.

2011a; Christie and Carroll 2011; Christie et al. 2011b; Dadami et al. 2014; Dadami et al.

2013; Liu and Chen 2016; Vermeersch et al. 2010). In addition, transcript expression levels,

as well as regulatory elements at the promoter, and/or terminator sequence have been

implicated in the efficiency of transitivity (de Felippes and Waterhouse 2020). As noted

before, RDR6 transcribes abRNAs, which are devoid of 5´ cap and/or 3´ polyadenylation tail

(Gazzani et al. 2004; Luo and Chen 2007; Parent et al. 2015). AbRNAs may be produced by

both intronless and intron-containing genes e.g. upon read-through transcription or misplicing.

In the first case, the abRNAs are processed by RDR6, while in the second case, spliceosome

association seems to preferentially recruit the exonucleolytic RNA quality control for their

degradation (Christie et al. 2011a; Elvira-Matelot et al. 2016; Tsuzuki et al. 2017; Dalakouras

et al. 2019).

Along with the intrinsic features of the target transcript, the sRNA trigger itself appears

to affect the initiation and efficiency of transitive silencing. It has been suggested that sRNAs

with a size of 22-nt (Chen et al. 2010) or sRNAs containing an asymmetric bulge in their

duplex (Manavella et al. 2012) are changing AGO1 conformation thereby recruiting RDR6 to

their target, most likely with the aid of mediators such as SDE3 (Garcia et al. 2012), SDE5,

and SGS3 (Sakurai et al. 2021). In line with this, DCL2, responsible for the generation of 22-

nt siRNAs, is required for transitivity initiation (Mlotshwa et al. 2008). Yet, whether 22-nt

sRNAs mediate target cleavage, besides RDR6 recruitment, remains elusive. Previous reports

suggested that RNA cleavage is required for transitivity (Carbonell et al. 2012; Yoshikawa et

al. 2005). However, other studies challenge this assumption, arguing that cleavage is

dispensable for the onset of transitivity (Arribas-Hernandez et al. 2016; de Felippes et al.

2017).

RDR6 is postulated to initiate transcription predominantly from the very 3′ of the target

transcript (Schiebel et al. 1993) and not from an internal site, e.g. the siRNA cleavage site

(Moissiard et al. 2007). Furthermore, it has been shown that the 3' cleavage fragment of the

abRNA predominantly contributes to the RDR6-mediated transitivity. In contrast, the 5'

cleavage fragment, lacking a polyA tail, is subjected to exosome degradation after cleavage,

preventing its transcription by RDR6 (Branscheid et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2015). Transitivity

spreads both upstream and downstream of the primary siRNA site (Chen et al. 2010;

Manavella et al. 2012; McHale et al. 2013; Montgomery et al. 2008b). Yet, transitive

spreading is not indefinite, since RDR6 seems to reach its transcriptional limits after

approximately 700 nt (Axtell et al. 2006; Moissiard et al. 2007).

Despite considerable progress, the exact mode of action of sRNAs on transitivity

remains largely unaddressed (de Felippes and Waterhouse 2020). This is because transitivity

studies in plants have been based so far on (1) transgenes expressing hairpin RNAs (hpRNAs)

or inverted repeats (IRs), which are processed into a plethora of siRNAs, undermining any

attempt to allocate specific roles to discrete siRNAs (Bleys et al. 2006a; Bleys et al. 2006b;

Koscianska et al. 2005; Vaistij et al. 2002; Vermeersch et al. 2010; Vermeersch et al. 2013),

(2) endogenous micro RNAs (miRNAs), which induce transitivity at very specific

endogenous loci and thus exhibit a mode of action hardly reflecting a more generalized

process (Axtell et al. 2006; Montgomery et al. 2008a; Montgomery et al. 2008b), and (3)

artificial miRNAs (amiRNAs), whose artificial long RNA precursor molecules are generally

misprocessed into more than a single amiRNA (Chen et al. 2010; Cuperus et al. 2010;

Manavella et al. 2012; McHale et al. 2013). In order to tackle incongruence and investigate

the onset and establishment of transitivity triggered by discrete/unique sRNAs, in this study *in*

vitro synthesized 22-nt siRNAs targeting the 5', middle and 3' of a GREEN FLUORESCENT

PROTEIN (GFP) transcript were exogenously delivered by High Pressure Spraying Protocol

(HPSP) in the GFP-expressing *Nicotiana benthamiana* 16C plant line (Dalakouras et al. 2016;

Uslu et al. 2020). The data presented in this study provide novel insights of the mechanistic

details of transitivity in plants.

RESULTS

SiRNAs targeting 5'-GFP and mid-GFP regions display stronger silencing than 3'-GFP.

We monitored silencing efficiency using the GFP transgene in the *Nicotiana benthamiana*

16C line (Nb-16C) (Voinnet and Baulcombe 1997). We designed three 22-nt long siRNAs

(siRNA#164, siRNA#386, and siRNA#739) targeting the 5', middle, and 3' regions of the

GFP, respectively (Figure 1a). Each siRNA consists of a double stranded RNA with a 20-nt

overlapping sequence, a 5'-phosphate and 2-nt overhangs at the 3' sides, which were not

methylated. The overlapping sequences possess a uracil-adenine pairing at the end to ensure

an effective AGO1 loading (Czech et al. 2009) (Figure 1a). We applied siRNAs on Nb-16C

leaves via HPSP and local silencing phenotypes were evaluated 6 days post spraying (6 dps).

17 out of 17 of the siRNA#164- and siRNA#386-sprayed Nb-16C plants (16C-siR164 and

16C-siR386) showed strong and consistent local silencing. In contrast, 14 out of 17 of the

siRNA739-treated plants (16C-siR739) had relatively mild silencing phenotypes, and 3 out of

the 17 16C-siR739 displayed no GFP silencing, at all (Figure 1b). When the strength of local

silencing is evaluated by the ratio of silenced areas to the whole leaf area, we found that 16C-

siR164, 16C-siR386, and 16C-siR739 lead to 45%, 35%, and 7% silenced areas in average,

respectively (Figure S1). Systemic silencing phenotypes were evaluated at 35 dps; 15 out of

17 16C-siR164, 13 out of 17 16C-siR386, and only 1 out of 17 of the 16C-siR739 plants

showed systemic silencing (Figure 1c). Hence, 22-nt siRNAs targeting the 5'-GFP and mid-

GFP regions resulted in significantly more efficient local ($p<10^{-3}$ with Student's t-test based

on silenced areas) and systemic ($p<10^{-4}$ with Fishers exact test based on the number of

systemically silenced plants) transgene silencing than the 22-nt siRNAs targeting the 3' GFP

region.

The level of silencing efficiency of siRNAs is independent of the target site accessibility

In order to exclude that the recorded difference in silencing efficiency between the 5'- and 3'-

mapping 22-nt siRNAs was not due to differential AGO loading and/or GFP target

accessibility by these siRNAs we used 21-nt siRNAs exactly matching to siRNA#164 and

siRNA#739 sequence with one missing nucleotide at the 3'end of the top strand and 5'end of

the bottom strand (named as siRNA#164-21, siRNA#739-21, respectively) (Figure 2a). These

21-nt siRNAs were delivered via HPSP and 6 days post spraying 5 out of 6 Nb-16C displayed

local silencing phenotype for both siRNA#164-21 and siRNA#739-21 (Figure 2b). The level

of silencing based on the silent areas and the number of plants displaying a silencing

phenotype were indistinguishable between siRNA#164-21nt and siRNA#739-21nt (Figure 2c).

In addition, we agroinfiltrated Nb-16C with 21-nt GFP amiRNA constructs targeting regions

in the close proximity of siRNA#164 and siRNA#739 and observed that both 5' and 3'

amiRNAs lead to a similar level of silencing at 8 days post infiltration (Figure S2).

Collectively, the 21-nt siRNA spraying and 21-nt amiRNA agroinfiltration assays strongly

suggested that the GFP target is equally susceptible at its 5' and 3' regions to small RNAs.

Thus, the reasons for the discrepancy of silencing efficiency upon spraying of 5' and 3'-

mapping 22-nt siRNAs seem to lie elsewhere.

22-nt siRNA occupancy influences the amount of secondary siRNAs but not the

transitivity hotspots

It is well known that silencing efficiency is positively correlated to transitivity levels. We thus

reasoned that the discrepancy in silencing efficiency among the 5', middle and 3' 22-nt

siRNAs could reflect differences in the corresponding levels of transitivity onset. In order to

investigate this, we performed sRNA sequencing (sRNA-seq) using leaf samples showing

local silencing at 6 dps. SRNA-seq revealed the presence of 21-, 22-, and 24-nt secondary

sRNAs mapping to the GFP sequence on both strands outside of the regions targeted by

sprayed 22-nt siRNAs demonstrating that all three 22-nt siRNAs led to transitive silencing as

early as 6 dps (Figure 3a). The combined normalized profiles of 21-, 22-, and 24-nt long

sRNAs in a sliding window of 10-nt revealed multiple secondary siRNA hotspots shared

among the different siRNA treatments (see Materials and Methods, sRNA-seq normalization)

(Figure 3a). The qualitative profile of sRNA reads obtained by percentage density distribution

(pdd, see Materials and Methods, sRNA-seq quantification) also showed several perfectly

matching hotspots (Figure 3b). The most prominent secondary siRNA hotspot (Transitivity

Hot Spot, THS) appeared as two adjacent peaks between positions +541 and +577 of the GFP

cDNA, fully overlapping for all three siRNA treatments (Figure 3a, 3b). Despite the matching

hotspots, siRNA#164 treatment compared to siRNA#386 and siRNA#739, and siRNA#386

treatment compared to siRNA#739 produced significantly higher amounts of transitive

siRNAs, when compared to siRNA#386 and siRNA#739 ($p<10^{-5}$) (Figure 3c). The average

distance between two transitivity peaks was measured 23.8bp (Figure 3d).

Primary 22-nt siRNA target sites do not influence secondary siRNA distribution

In order to find out whether primary 22-nt siRNA binding sites shape the secondary sRNA

distribution, we divided the GFP cDNA into 6 regions: 5' region of the siRNA#164 (1-164),

the regions between siRNA#164 and siRNA#386 (165-386), siRNA#386 and THS region

(387-540), THS and siRNA#739 region (578-739), 3' region of siRNA#739 (740-772), and

the THS region (541-577) itself. The regional percentage distribution of the sRNA-reads (rpd-

sRNA, see Materials and Methods, sRNA-seq quantification) showed that in the region 1-164,

which was 612 bp upstream from the 3'end of the GFP cDNA, contains less than 1% of the

reads for all siRNA treatments and there was no statistical difference when different

treatments were compared (Figure 4a). A significantly higher portion of secondary siRNAs in

16C-siR164 mapped to the region 165-386 when compared to those of 16C-siR386 and 16C-

siR739 ($p<10^{-3}$). In the 387-540 region, siRNA#164 treatment lead to significantly higher

percentage of reads when compared to siRNA#739 but not to siRNA#386 ($p<0.05$).

Interestingly, the percentage distribution of the reads in the THS did not differ among the

siRNA treatments. On the other 3' side of the THS, 16C-siR164 had significantly lower ratio

of reads in the 578-739 region, than 16C-siR386 and 16C-siR739 ($p<10^{-3}$) (Figure 4a). Since

these regions are different in length, we calculated the average read density per base pair

(ARDBP) for each treatment (see Materials and Methods, sRNA quantification) (Figure 4b).

None of the siRNA treatments lead to a specific enrichment or depletion on the 5' side of their

primary target site when compared to the 3' side (Figure 4b). For all three siRNAs treatments,

the transitive siRNA distribution per base pair was the highest in THS and ARDBP decreased

both on 5' and 3' sides of the THS as the distance of the region to THS increased (Figure 4b).

It needs to be noted here that even simple water spraying on Nb-16C yielded solely sense

strand sRNAs due to GFP degradation (Uslu et al. 2020). Namely, the sense strand sRNAs we

obtained upon 22-nt siRNA spraying was a combination of both secondary siRNAs and GFP

degradation products, whereas the antisense sRNAs must be solely considered as RDR6

transcription products. Therefore, strand specific ARDBP (ssARDBP) was used to distinguish

the contribution of sense and anti-sense strands. SsARDBP showed that in regions 164-386,

387-540 and 578-739 of 16C-siR164 and 16C-siR386, mainly antisense secondary siRNAs

contributed to minor but statistically significant differences in secondary siRNA enrichment

when compared to 16C-siR739 (Figure 4c). Hence, despite having mild differences in the

secondary siRNA enrichment between the 5' and 3' side of the THS upon different treatments,

the overall distribution of secondary siRNAs remained identical in all treatments (Figure 4b,

Figure S3).

The size distribution of secondary siRNAs was not affected by the primary siRNA target

site

The raw read counts of 21-, 22- and 24-nt reads mapping to the GFP were compared to 21-nt

reads mapping to the GFP. 22-nt reads were approximately one third and 24-nt reads were

approximately one seventh of the 21-nt reads in all siRNA treatments regardless of the strand

polarity (Figure 5a). In corroboration with previous findings, the cleavage process appeared to

have a preference for DCL4, DCL2, and DCL3, in this order (Kumakura et al. 2009; Pontes et

al. 2013). However, the ratio of 21-nt transitive siRNA reads (normalized to all 21-nt long

reads) were equal to the 22-nt secondary siRNAs reads (normalized to all 22-nt reads) in all

treatments (Figure 5b), suggesting that DCL preference (DCL4>DCL2>DCL3) did not

change from early to late stages of transitivity. In siR164, 21- and 22-nt reads contributed to

THS equally. However, the 22- to 21-nt ratio in THS in siR164 decreased in siR386 samples

and decreased even further in siR739 samples (black arrows, Figure 6a, 6b). There are

multiple peaks like THS, which were more prominent on the sense strand in all treatments

(black arrows, Figure 6a). Besides, there were a few peaks on the sense strand, specific to 22-

nt reads, which were completely absent in the anti-sense strand (purple arrow, Figure 6b).

Although the most abundant secondary siRNA read in THS had a 5' uracil for majority of the

samples, taking top 5 or top 10 highly represented reads into consideration, we did not detect

any particular sequence feature or motif, which might underlie differential representation of

the reads on the sense and the anti-sense strands.

DISCUSSION

Transitive silencing has been well studied before, but its induction was never analyzed by

using unique/discrete siRNAs. We have previously shown that upon HPSP, 22-nt siRNAs

were the most potent inducers of local and systemic silencing when compared to 21-nt and

24-nt siRNAs (Dalakouras et al. 2016). In this study, we used 22-nt siRNAs targeting

different regions of the GFP transgene in the Nb-16C line to analyze the onset of transitivity

by sRNA-seq. Overall, our data showed that 22-nt siRNAs targeting the 5', middle and 3' of

the GFP, all induced local silencing at different levels (Figure S1).

The efficiency of transitivity (in terms of the quantity of secondary siRNAs produced)

was positively correlated with the gradual proximity of the siRNA trigger towards the 5' of

the target (see below). It has been demonstrated before that the generation of secondary

dsRNAs/siRNAs not only amplifies/reinforces local silencing, but also mediates the

surpassing of a certain threshold required for the onset of systemic silencing (Kalantidis et al.

2006). Indeed, we observed that the gradual siRNA proximity to the 5' led to not only more

efficient transitivity but also to more efficient establishment of systemic silencing. These data

suggest that transitivity and systemic silencing are tightly connected, although the RNA

molecule serving as the actual mobile signal still needs to be identified.

Having a closer look at the generation of the secondary siRNA profiles in the locally

silenced tissue provides some interesting insights regarding the mechanistic details of

transitivity. (1) All sprayed 22-nt siRNAs triggered transitivity towards both their 5' and 3', demonstrating that siRNA-mediated transitivity is bi-directional and not uni-directional (Vaistij et al. 2002). (2) Despite targeting different regions on the GFP transcript,, the three applied 22-nt siRNAs produced very similar transitivity patterns, suggesting that they were not used as primers for RDR6 (otherwise transitivity would have spread only towards the 5' upstream region of each siRNA trigger), corroborating previous reports (Moissiard et al. 2007). (3) In all three 22-nt siRNA applications, RDR6 transcription started from the very 3' region of the transcript and proceeded towards the 5' for approximately 700 nt (since very few secondary siRNAs were detected in the 1-168 region), again in line with previous reports (Moissiard et al. 2007). (4) The homogeneity of the transitivity pattern in all three cases suggests that the starting point from which RDR6 initiated transcription referred to the very 3' region of non-cleaved target transcripts, and not to the 3' end fragments that are generated by RISC-mediated cleavage. Moreover, prematurely terminated transcripts of the GFP that could be considered as abRNA are unlikely serving as templates for RDR6. Accordingly, the role of 22-nt siRNAs would be to predominantly recruit RDR6 to the 3' end of the target rather than mediate target RNA cleavage (Figure 7). Indeed, 22-nt siRNAs and/or siRNAs exhibiting an asymmetric bulge at their duplex were supposed to change AGO1 conformation (Manavella et al. 2012). This new conformation could not only impair AGO1's RNase activity but could also recruit RDR6 to the 3' of the target transcript, conceivably with the aid of mediators such as SDE3 and SGS3 (Garcia et al. 2012, Sakurai et al. 2021). Although 22-nt miRNAs are demonstrated to cleave the target RNAs, our study shows 22-nt siRNAs have evidently a different mode of action than 22-nt miRNAs. We are unaware why this might be so, but it should be taken into consideration that siRNAs and miRNAs share neither the same biogenesis pathway (e.g. different DCLs and other protein partners involved) nor identical biochemical properties (e.g. miRNAs may contain asymmetric bulges whereas siRNAs are perfect duplexes) (5) The accumulating secondary siRNAs were predominantly of 21-nt in

size, followed by 22-nt siRNAs and only negligible numbers of 24-nt siRNAs. These findings

were in line with previous reports showing that, while all DCLs predominantly co-localize in

the nucleus, DCL4 is also present in the cytoplasmic SGS3/RDR6 bodies, thus having

privileged access to the RDR6-produced dsRNA (Kumakura et al. 2009; Pontes et al. 2013).

(6) Investigating the polarity of secondary siRNA reads, we recorded that they were mainly of

sense rather than antisense polarity (compared to the sense target). The reasons for this

observation are not very clear, but we speculate that antisense strands, loaded onto AGO1 and

exhibiting cleavage activity on the sense target are degraded post-cleavage, while sense

strands, having no obvious target in the cell, have a longer half-life (Shi et al., 2020). (7) The

fact that no U-only or A-only sRNA reads were detected (which could have been derived

from DCL processing of an A/U-only dsRNA) suggests that RDR6 does not process polyA-

containing mRNAs. It has been demonstrated that polyA inhibits RDR6 (Baeg et al. 2017).

Moreover, it was shown that RDR6 prefers non-polyadenylated transcripts (Luo and Chen

2007). Indeed, RDR6 transcription of polyA would generate U-only siRNAs that could

indiscriminately target any polyA-containing mRNA for cleavage, with devastating effects for

the plant cell (Dalakouras et al. 2019). Thus, we suggest that in our case, the sprayed 22-nt

siRNAs targeted both GFP mRNAs and GFP abRNAs, but seemingly did not efficiently

cleave them. To the GFP mRNA, the 22-nt siRNA failed to recruit RDR6 due to the presence

of the polyA, but inhibited its translation (Wu et al. 2020). To the GFP abRNA, RDR6 could

be recruited to initiate S-PTGS. However, the Nb-16C line does not show spontaneous

silencing indicating that the concentration of abRNA is too low for the initiation of S-PTGS.

The presence of 22-nt siRNAs appears to kinetically facilitate RDR6 recruitment to abRNA,

culminating in the generation of secondary 21-nt siRNAs that targeted both GFP mRNA and

GFP abRNA for degradation and reinforcement of silencing state (Figure 7). Besides, the 22-

nt siRNA mapping to the 3' end of the GFP transcript well may pose a steric hindrance for

RDR6 recruitment, which underlies weak local and systemic silencing (Figure 7).

Introducing pure siRNAs to plants via HPSP allows exploring the mechanistical

aspects of RNAi and transitivity in an unprecedented clarity. Nevertheless, further studies

employing spraying of siRNAs of variable biochemical properties in RNAi-deficient mutants

would shed additional light in the elusive nature of transitive and systemic silencing.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

High Pressure Spraying Protocol (HPSP)

14 of 25 days old *Nicotiana benthamiana* 16C (Nb-16C) plants were used for spraying. Given

siRNAs (Figure 1a, 2a) are synthesized by Metabion (www.metabion.com) and dissolved in

water. For each plant 200µl of aqueous siRNA solution at 1µM concentration is sprayed from

a 0.5-1 cm distance at the shoot apical meristem and at the abaxial surface of the leaves with

an airbrush pistol (CONRAD AFC-250A, 0.25mm nozzle). 5-6 bar pressure is provided by

the METABO Elektra Beckum Classic 250 compressor for spraying (www.metabo.com). For

each siRNA a separate unused airbrush is used to prevent cross-contamination. Furthermore,

at the times of spraying only one set of plants (14 Nb-16C and 3 Nb-16C) were present in the

room and they were covered with a transparent plastic hood subsequent to spraying to prevent

siRNA cross-contamination through aerosols.

Ultraviolet (UV) Monitoring

GFP expressing Nb-16C plants were visualized 6 days post spraying (dps) and 35 dps under

UV light (Blak-Ray B-100 AP Lamp, www.uvp.com). Images were taken using a Canon

EOS700D (18-55mm), aperture priority mode (A=10). First systemic silencing appeared 14

dps and GFP monitoring was weekly performed up to 60 dps.

RNA Extraction and Small RNA Sequencing

6 dps, 1 leaf per plant from three plants were pooled as one biological replicate of an sRNA-

seq sample. The silenced parts of the leaves were cut out. Three samples per siRNA spraying

were collected. The other sprayed leaves were left on the plant to monitor the development of

systemic silencing. SRNAs were extracted by mirVana miRNA extraction kit according to

manufacturer's instructions (www.thermofisher.com). 250 ng of RNA per biological replicate

were provided to GenXPro GmbH for sRNA-seq. GenXPro used TrueQuant SmallRNA Seq

Kit for library preparation (https://genxpro.net/). Illumina NextSeq500 instrument was used

for sequencing the libraries with 75 cycles of sequencing. As expected from previous reports,

sRNA-seq quality control show that 24- and 21-nt reads are the most abundant reads (Table

S1, Blevins et al. 2015, Uslu et al. 2020).

Bioinformatic Analysis

SRNA-seq reads are mapped to the GFP sequence of the Nb-16C (Philips et al., 2017). The

bam files are visualized on TABLET® (Milne et al. 2013) and the following data processing

and line charts/bar graphs have been plotted using Microsoft Excel.

Elimination of aerosol based cross-contamination upon spraying

When siRNAs are sprayed not all of them enter the plant cells and most likely, the majority of

them stick to the surface of the leaves. In addition, a reasonable number of siRNAs will, as

aerosolic particles, contaminate other non-sprayed plants. Despite all efforts to block cross-

contamination via aerosols upon spraying, in all plants we could still detect siRNAs, which

were not sprayed to this particular plant but some others. In order to assess the contribution of

this aerosol based cross contamination, we sprayed 3 Nb-16C plants with an siRNA mapping

to the promoter sequence of the GFP (siRNA-35S). Upon sequencing of these control plants,

we could detect previously sprayed siRNAs, namely siRNA#164, siRNA#386, siRNA#739.

However, we never monitored any contamination-related GFP silencing, in corroboration

with our previous data (Uslu et al. 2020). Moreover, sRNA-seq data of siRNA-35S-sprayed

(data not shown) and water-sprayed (Uslu et al. 2020) Nb-16C samples did not reveal

secondary siRNAs or any other anti-sense sRNA mapping to the GFP sequences. This

suggests that the contaminating siRNAs did not contribute to transitivity. In order to focus on

the secondary siRNAs only, we eliminated all reads mapping to siRNA164, siRNA386, and

siRNA739. It should be noted that the sprayed siRNAs represented the far most (91% to 98%)

of all reads mapping to the GFP. This underpins the assumption that most of the sprayed

siRNAs stick to the leaf surface.

SRNA-seq Normalization

Since there are currently no established protocols for normalizing the reads, we used

miRNA159 abundance, average and geometric average reads of 3 independent miRNAs,

sprayed siRNA abundance, total number of reads, total number of 21-nt reads, total GFP

sense reads, NOS terminator reads as a reference to normalize the sRNA reads mapping to the

GFP. However, the variance among biological replicates was too high to obtain any

meaningful comparison between different treatments. Finally, we normalized sRNAs mapping

to the GFP sequence with the total number of sRNAs of given size. Namely, 21-nt long reads

mapping to the GFP were normalized to the number of all 21-nt reads (Table S1). We

obtained the lowest variance among biological replicates using this size-based normalization.

Normalized average read counts refer to this number multiplied with 10^6 (Figure 2a, 2c, 4b, 5).

SRNA-seq quantification

Percent density distribution (pdd) is calculated by dividing the sum of 21-, 22-, and 24-nt

reads mapping to the given position to the total number of 21-, 22-, and 24-nt reads mapping

to the GFP (Figure 2b). Pdd gives an accurate comparison of the distribution of secondary

siRNAs among different treatments, allowing us to compare the treatments qualitatively,

regardless of the total abundance of transitive siRNAs. Regional percent distribution of 21-,

22-, 24-nt reads (rpd-sRNA) is obtained by dividing the number of 21-, 22-, and 24-nt reads

mapping to the region of interest given in the X-axis to the total number of 21-, 22- and 24-nt

reads mapping to the GFP. However, we obtain higher values of rpd-sRNA for larger regions.

In order to avoid the size-bias, we calculated average read density per base pair (ARDBP).

For ARDBP, we normalized strand specific rpd-sRNA for each region given in the X-axis by

the size of the region. ARDBP allows comparison of transitivity distribution of different

treatments in a quantitative manner (Figure 4b). The abundance of 21-, 22-, and 24-nt long

sRNAs are compared by simply dividing the raw read counts of the sRNAs mapping to GFP

to the raw read counts of the 21-nt long sRNAs mapping to GFP (Figure 5a). Next, we

evaluated the abundance of 21-, 22-, and 24-nt sRNAs mapping to the GFP normalized with

the total number of corresponding size sRNA along the GFP (Figure 5b)

Statistical Tests

The statistical comparison of systemic silencing efficiency among different treatments were

performed by Fisher's Exact Test with a significance threshold of $p < 0.001$ (Figure 1c). The

statistical analysis pdsRNA, ARDBP, and pdd are performed by pairwise comparisons using

Student's t-test. The significance thresholds are: $p < 0.05(*)$, $p < 0.01(**)$, and $p < 0.001(***)$.

Quantification of Silencing Efficiency.

Western Blot and RNA quantification-based biochemical methods are not sensitive enough to

detect silencing for the following three reasons. (1) HPSP causes wounding of the cells, which

in turn change the transcript and protein level GFP and the genes for normalization. (2) The

sample collection area is heterogeneous, and it is not possible to isolate the silenced areas

very precisely. (3) At 6dps the silencing efficiency is too low (1-10%) to quantify reliably by

biochemical methods. Therefore, local silencing efficiency is evaluated by comparing the area

of silenced section to the rest of the leaf. For RGB images, the silenced area and the whole

leaf area are manually marked by "Freehand Selections" tool on FIJI (www.imagej.net). The

area is measured by "Measure" tool on FIJI. The silenced area value is divided by the whole

leaf area. The resulting value is multiplied by hundred for the percent silencing efficiency. For

32-bit images, an auto threshold is applied on the GFP channel and far red channel, while the

zero values are assigned as NaN. The GFP area is divided by far red channel area to find non-

silenced area (NSA). The percent silencing efficiency is quantified as (1-NSA)*100.

Generation of artificial miRNA constructs.

The artificial miRNA constructs designed to target GFP and to contain the Ath-miR319a

backbone were synthesized by Life Technologies and delivered cloned into pMA-T vector

(www. thermofisher.com). Then, the amiRNA cassette was *Xho*I/*Nco*I cloned into pG104

binary vector and introduced into ATHV agrobacteria for agroinfiltration, as described before

(Dadami et al. 2013).

ACCESSION NUMBERS

The data discussed in this publication have been deposited in NCBI's Gene Expression

Omnibus (Edgar et al., 2002) and will be accessible through GEO Series accession number

upon reviews' request.

Author Contributions

VVU, GK, AD and MW conceived the experiments and wrote the manuscript. VVU and

conducted the experiments and analyzed the data, unless otherwise is indicated. AD

performed the cloning and the agroinfiltration of amiRNA constructs. VAS contributed to the

bioinformatic analysis of the sRNA-seq. All authors contributed to the article and approved

the submitted version.

Funding

Funding of this project was provided by the German Research Foundation (DFG), Grant: Wa1019/14-1 and by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF), Grant: 03180531.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

SHORT LEGENDS FOR SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Supplementary Table 1| sRNA-seq quality control. Total read numbers and 21, 22, and 24nt read numbers given in the table. In addition, the 22nt reads matching exactly to sprayed RNAs are provided.

Supplementary Figure 1| The comparison of silenced among 22-nt siRNA treatments. The images of 9 leaves per treatment, which were used for sRNA-seq, were captured as RGB image. The silenced red areas were quantified and compared to the whole leaf area. siR164 and siR386 have significantly larger silenced areas than siR739 ($p<0.001$).

Supplementary Figure 2| AmiRGFP constructs design and function upon agroinfiltration in Nb-16C. Schematic representation of constructs: P^{35S}: CaMV 35S promoter, T^{35S}: CaMV 35S terminator; T^{NOS}: nopaline synthase (NOS) terminator; GFP: green fluorescence protein; amiRGFP5′: artificial miRNA targeting the 5′ region of GFP (138-158 bp); amiRGFP3′: artificial miRNA targeting the 3′ region of GFP (672-692 bp). Transcription of the aMIRGFP transgene generates pri-amiRGFP (not depicted) that is

processed to pre-amiRGFP. Ideally, DCL1 processing of the latter produces the 21-bp

amiRGFP:amiRGFP* duplex that has 2-nt 3′ overhangs. However, processing by additional

DCLs cannot be excluded (not depicted). Mismatches that generates symmetric bulges in the

pre-amiRGFP and amiRGFP:amiRGFP* molecules are indicated with open boxes. In red, the

sequence of the single stranded 21-nt amiRGFP. Upon agroinfiltration, amiRGFP 5' and

amiRGFP 3' target the GFP transcript at positions 138-158 bp and 672-692 bp, respectively,

and cleave it (loss of GFP expression upon ultraviolet examination 8 days post

agroinfilration).

Supplementary Figure 3| Comparison of abundance of secondary siRNAs according to

their position with respect to THS. The normalized 21,22, and 24nt mapping to the 5' side

of the THS is normalized to the 3' side of the THS on both strands for three siRNA treatments.

(**, $p<0.01$)

484
REFERENCES

Arribas-Hernandez L, Marchais A, Poulsen C, Haase B, Hauptmann J, Benes V, Meister G,
Brodersen P (2016) The Slicer Activity of ARGONAUTE1 Is Required Specifically
for the Phasing, Not Production, of Trans-Acting Short Interfering RNAs in
Arabidopsis. The Plant cell 28 (7):1563-1580
Axtell MJ, Jan C, Rajagopalan R, Bartel DP (2006) A two-hit trigger for siRNA biogenesis in
plants. Cell 127 (3):565-577
Baeg K, Iwakawa HO, Tomari Y (2017) The poly(A) tail blocks RDR6 from converting self
mRNAs into substrates for gene silencing. Nat Plants 3:17036.
doi:10.1038/nplants.2017.36
Blevins T, Rajeswaran R, Shivaprasad PV, Beknazariants D, Si-Ammour A, Park HS,
Vazquez F, Robertson D, Meins F, Jr., Hohn T, Pooggin MM (2006) Four plant Dicers
mediate viral small RNA biogenesis and DNA virus induced silencing. Nucleic acids
research 34 (21):6233-6246
Blevins T, Podicheti R, Mishra V, Marasco M, Wang J, Rusch D, Tang H, Pikaard CS (2015)
Identification of Pol IV and RDR2-dependent precursors of 24 nt siRNAs guiding de
novo DNA methylation in Arabidopsis. Elife. 4:e09591.
Bleys A, Van Houdt H, Depicker A (2006a) Down-regulation of endogenes mediated by a
transitive silencing signal. RNA (New York, NY 12 (9):1633-1639

Bleys A, Vermeersch L, Van Houdt H, Depicker A (2006b) The frequency and efficiency of endogene suppression by transitive silencing signals is influenced by the length of sequence homology. Plant physiology 142 (2):788-796

Branscheid A, Marchais A, Schott G, Lange H, Gagliardi D, Andersen SU, Voinnet O, Brodersen P (2015) SKI2 mediates degradation of RISC 5'-cleavage fragments and prevents secondary siRNA production from miRNA targets in Arabidopsis. Nucleic Acids Res. 43(22):10975-88. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv1014.

Carbonell A, Fahlgren N, Garcia-Ruiz H, Gilbert KB, Montgomery TA, Nguyen T, Cuperus JT, Carrington JC (2012) Functional analysis of three Arabidopsis ARGONAUTES using slicer-defective mutants. The Plant cell 24 (9):3613-3629

Chan SW, Zilberman D, Xie Z, Johansen LK, Carrington JC, Jacobsen SE (2004) RNA silencing genes control de novo DNA methylation. Science 303 (5662):1336. doi:10.1126/science.1095989

Chen HM, Chen LT, Patel K, Li YH, Baulcombe DC, Wu SH (2010) 22-Nucleotide RNAs trigger secondary siRNA biogenesis in plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107 (34):15269-15274. doi:10.1073/pnas.1001738107

Christie M, Brosnan CA, Rothnagel JA, Carroll BJ (2011a) RNA decay and RNA silencing in plants: competition or collaboration? Front Plant Sci 2:99

Christie M, Carroll BJ (2011) SERRATE is required for intron suppression of RNA silencing in Arabidopsis. Plant Signal Behav 6 (12):2035-2037

Christie M, Croft LJ, Carroll BJ (2011b) Intron splicing suppresses RNA silencing in Arabidopsis. Plant J 68 (1):159-167. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04676.x

Cuperus JT, Carbonell A, Fahlgren N, Garcia-Ruiz H, Burke RT, Takeda A, Sullivan CM, Gilbert SD, Montgomery TA, Carrington JC (2010) Unique functionality of 22-nt miRNAs in triggering RDR6-dependent siRNA biogenesis from target transcripts in Arabidopsis. Nat Struct Mol Biol 17 (8):997-1003. doi:10.1038/nsmb.1866

Czech B, Zhou R, Erlich Y, Brennecke J, Binari R, Villalta C, Gordon A, Perrimon N, Hannon GJ (2009) Hierarchical rules for Argonaute loading in Drosophila. Mol Cell. 13;36(3):445-56. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2009.09.028.

Dadami E, Dalakouras A, Zwiebel M, Krczal G, Wassenegger M (2014) An endogene-resembling transgene is resistant to DNA methylation and systemic silencing. RNA Biol 11 (7):934-941. doi:10.4161/rna.29623

Dadami E, Moser M, Zwiebel M, Krczal G, Wassenegger M, Dalakouras A (2013) An endogene-resembling transgene delays the onset of silencing and limits siRNA accumulation. FEBS letters 18 (587(6)):706-710

Dalakouras A, Lauter A, Bassler A, Krczal G, Wassenegger M (2019) Transient expression of intron-containing transgenes generates non-spliced aberrant pre-mRNAs that are processed into siRNAs. Planta 249 (2):457-468. doi:10.1007/s00425-018-3015-6

Dalakouras A, Wassenegger M (2013) Revisiting RNA-directed DNA methylation. RNA Biol 10 (3):453-455. doi:10.4161/rna.23542

Dalakouras A, Wassenegger M, McMillan JN, Cardoza V, Maegele I, Dadami E, Runne M, Krczal G, Wassenegger M (2016) Induction of Silencing in Plants by High-Pressure Spraying of In vitro-Synthesized Small RNAs. Front Plant Sci 7:1327. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.01327

de Felippes FF, Marchais A, Sarazin A, Oberlin S, Voinnet O (2017) A single miR390 targeting event is sufficient for triggering TAS3-tasiRNA biogenesis in Arabidopsis. Nucleic acids research

de Felippes FF, Waterhouse PM (2020) The Whys and Wherefores of Transitivity in Plants. Front Plant Sci 11:579376. doi:10.3389/fpls.2020.579376

Deleris A, Gallego-Bartolome J, Bao J, Kasschau KD, Carrington JC, Voinnet O (2006) Hierarchical action and inhibition of plant Dicer-like proteins in antiviral defense. Science (New York, NY 313 (5783):68-71

Elvira-Matelot E, Bardou F, Ariel F, Jauvion V, Bouteiller N, Le Masson I, Cao J, Crespi MD, Vaucheret H (2016) The Nuclear Ribonucleoprotein SmD1 Interplays with Splicing, RNA Quality Control, and Posttranscriptional Gene Silencing in Arabidopsis. The Plant cell 28 (2):426-438

Fusaro AF, Matthew L, Smith NA, Curtin SJ, Dedic-Hagan J, Ellacott GA, Watson JM, Wang MB, Brosnan C, Carroll BJ, Waterhouse PM (2006) RNA interference-inducing hairpin RNAs in plants act through the viral defence pathway. EMBO Rep 7 (11):1168-1175. doi:10.1038/sj.embor.7400837

Garcia D, Garcia S, Pontier D, Marchais A, Renou JP, Lagrange T, Voinnet O (2012) Ago hook and RNA helicase motifs underpin dual roles for SDE3 in antiviral defense and silencing of nonconserved intergenic regions. Mol Cell 48 (1):109-120. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2012.07.028

Gazzani S, Lawrenson T, Woodward C, Headon D, Sablowski R (2004) A link between mRNA turnover and RNA interference in Arabidopsis. Science 306 (5698):1046-1048. doi:10.1126/science.1101092

Hamilton AJ, Baulcombe DC (1999) A species of small antisense RNA in posttranscriptional gene silencing in plants. Science 286 (5441):950-952

Kalantidis K, Tsagris M, Tabler M (2006) Spontaneous short-range silencing of a GFP transgene in Nicotiana benthamiana is possibly mediated by small quantities of siRNA that do not trigger systemic silencing. Plant J 45 (6):1006-1016. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.02664.x

Koscianska E, Kalantidis K, Wypijewski K, Sadowski J, Tabler M (2005) Analysis of RNA silencing in agroinfiltrated leaves of Nicotiana benthamiana and Nicotiana tabacum. Plant Mol Biol 59 (4):647-661. doi:10.1007/s11103-005-0668-x

Kumakura N, Takeda A, Fujioka Y, Motose H, Takano R, Watanabe Y (2009) SGS3 and RDR6 interact and colocalize in cytoplasmic SGS3/RDR6-bodies. FEBS Lett 583 (8):1261-1266. doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2009.03.055

Liu L, Chen X (2016) RNA Quality Control as a Key to Suppressing RNA Silencing of Endogenous Genes in Plants. Molecular plant 9 (6):826-836

Luo Z, Chen Z (2007) Improperly terminated, unpolyadenylated mRNA of sense transgenes is targeted by RDR6-mediated RNA silencing in Arabidopsis. The Plant cell 19 (3):943-958

Manavella PA, Koenig D, Weigel D (2012) Plant secondary siRNA production determined by microRNA-duplex structure. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109 (7):2461-2466. doi:10.1073/pnas.1200169109

McHale M, Eamens AL, Finnegan EJ, Waterhouse PM (2013) A 22-nt artificial microRNA mediates widespread RNA silencing in Arabidopsis. Plant J 76 (3):519-529. doi:10.1111/tpj.12306

Milne I, Stephen G, Bayer M, Cock PJ, Pritchard L, Cardle L, Shaw P,Marshall D (2013) Using Tablet for visual exploration of secondgeneration sequencing data. Brief Bioinform 14:193–202.

Mlotshwa S, Pruss GJ, Peragine A, Endres MW, Li J, Chen X, Poethig RS, Bowman LH, Vance V (2008) DICER-LIKE2 plays a primary role in transitive silencing of transgenes in Arabidopsis. PloS one 3 (3):e1755

Moissiard G, Parizotto EA, Himber C, Voinnet O (2007) Transitivity in Arabidopsis can be primed, requires the redundant action of the antiviral Dicer-like 4 and Dicer-like 2, and is compromised by viral-encoded suppressor proteins. RNA (New York, NY 13 (8):1268-1278

Montgomery TA, Howell MD, Cuperus JT, Li D, Hansen JE, Alexander AL, Chapman EJ, Fahlgren N, Allen E, Carrington JC (2008a) Specificity of ARGONAUTE7-miR390 interaction and dual functionality in TAS3 trans-acting siRNA formation. Cell 133 (1):128-141

Montgomery TA, Yoo SJ, Fahlgren N, Gilbert SD, Howell MD, Sullivan CM, Alexander A, Nguyen G, Allen E, Ahn JH, Carrington JC (2008b) AGO1-miR173 complex initiates phased siRNA formation in plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 105 (51):20055-20062

Parent JS, Jauvion V, Bouche N, Beclin C, Hachet M, Zytnicki M, Vaucheret H (2015) Post-transcriptional gene silencing triggered by sense transgenes involves uncapped antisense RNA and differs from silencing intentionally triggered by antisense transgenes. Nucleic Acids Res 43 (17):8464-8475. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv753

Philips JG, Naim F, Lorenc MT, Dudley KJ, Hellens RP, Waterhouse PM (2017) The widely used Nicotiana benthamiana 16c line has an unusual T-DNA integration pattern including a transposon sequence. PLoS One. 23;12(2):e0171311. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0171311.

Pontes O, Vitins A, Ream TS, Hong E, Pikaard CS, Costa-Nunes P (2013) Intersection of small RNA pathways in Arabidopsis thaliana sub-nuclear domains. PLoS One 8 (6):e65652. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065652

Sakurai Y, Baeg K, Lam AYW, Shoji K, Tomari Y, Iwakawa HO (2021). Cell-free reconstitution reveals the molecular mechanisms for the initiation of secondary siRNA biogenesis in plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 3;118(31)

Schiebel W, Haas B, Marinkovic S, Klanner A, Sanger HL (1993) RNA-directed RNA polymerase from tomato leaves. II. Catalytic in vitro properties. The Journal of biological chemistry 268 (16):11858-11867

Shi CY, Kingston, ER, Kleaveland, B, Lin DH, Stubna MW, Bartel, DP (2020) The ZSWIM8 ubiquitin ligase mediates target-directed microRNA degradation. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, *370*(6523), eabc9359

Tsuzuki M, Motomura K, Kumakura N, Takeda A (2017) Interconnections between mRNA degradation and RDR-dependent siRNA production in mRNA turnover in plants. J Plant Res 130 (2):211-226

Uslu VV, Bassler A, Krczal G, Wassenegger M (2020) High-Pressure-Sprayed Double Stranded RNA Does Not Induce RNA Interference of a Reporter Gene. Front Plant Sci 11:534391. doi:10.3389/fpls.2020.534391

Vaistij FE, Jones L (2009) Compromised virus-induced gene silencing in RDR6-deficient plants. Plant physiology 149 (3):1399-1407

Vaistij FE, Jones L, Baulcombe DC (2002) Spreading of RNA targeting and DNA methylation in RNA silencing requires transcription of the target gene and a putative RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. Plant Cell 14 (4):857-867. doi:10.1105/tpc.010480

Vermeersch L, De Winne N, Depicker A (2010) Introns reduce transitivity proportionally to their length, suggesting that silencing spreads along the pre-mRNA. Plant J 64 (3):392-401

Vermeersch L, De Winne N, Nolf J, Bleys A, Kovarik A, Depicker A (2013) Transitive RNA silencing signals induce cytosine methylation of a transgenic but not an endogenous target. Plant J 74 (5):867-879

Voinnet O, Baulcombe DC (1997) Systemic signalling in gene silencing. Nature 389 (6651):553. doi:10.1038/39215

Wassenegger M, Heimes S, Riedel L, Sanger HL (1994) RNA-directed de novo methylation of genomic sequences in plants. Cell 76 (3):567-576. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(94)90119-8

Wassenegger M, Krczal G (2006) Nomenclature and functions of RNA-directed RNA
polymerases. Trends in plant science, 11(3), 142–151.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2006.01.003
Wu H, Li B, Iwakawa H, Pan Y, Tang X, Ling-hu Q, Liu Y, Sheng S, Feng L, Zhang H,
Zhang X, Tang Z, Xia X, Zhai J, Guo H (2020a) Plant 22-nt siRNAs mediate
translational repression and stress adaptation. Nature. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2231-y
Yoshikawa M, Peragine A, Park MY, Poethig RS (2005) A pathway for the biogenesis of
trans-acting siRNAs in Arabidopsis. Genes & development 19 (18):2164-2175
Yu A, Saudemont B, Bouteiller N, Elvira-Matelot E, Lepère G, Parent JS, Morel JB, Cao J,
Elmayan T, Vaucheret H (2015) Second-Site Mutagenesis of a Hypomorphic
argonaute1 Allele Identifies SUPERKILLER3 as an Endogenous Suppressor of
Transgene Posttranscriptional Gene Silencing. Plant Physiol. 169(2):1266-74. doi:
10.1104/pp.15.00585.

667
668

670
671
672 **FIGURE LEGENDS**
673
Figure 1| Local silencing phenotypes obtained by 22-nt siRNAs. (a) 35S promoter (p35S),
Green Fluorescent Protein 5 coding region (GFP5) and NOPALINE SYNTHASE terminator
(tNOS) is shown. The exact location of where siRNA#164, siRNA#386, and siRNA739 map
on the GFP are provided as #164-188, #386-410, #739-763, respectively. The RNA duplex
sequences of the siRNAs with 3' 2 nt overhangs are provided and U-A base pairing at the 5'
ends are shown in red letters. (b) Local silencing at 6 dps were documented for the whole
plant and single leaves under the UV light. Fully GFP expressing plants have green stems,
orange leaves. The silenced areas appear in red. The numbers on the left panel indicate the
number of plants showing local silencing and the total number of plants used for the treatment.
The red silenced areas on the right panel were excised and used for sRNA-seq. (c) Systemic
silencing at 35 dps were documented on the whole plant under UV light and single leaves
under GFP and Far Red fluorescence using PharosFX Imager. The silenced areas appear in
red. The numbers on the left panel indicate the number of plants showing systemic silencing
and the total number of plants used for the treatment.
688
Figure 2| Silencing phenotypes obtained by 21-nt siRNAs. (a) 35S promoter (p35S), Green
Fluorescent Protein 5 coding region (GFP5) and NOPALINE SYNTHASE terminator (tNOS)
is shown. The exact location of where siRNA#164-21nt, siRNA#739-21nt map on the GFP
are provided as #165-188 #740-763, respectively. The RNA duplex sequences of the siRNAs
with 3' 2 nt overhangs are provided and U-A base pairing at the 5' ends are shown in red
letters. (b) The upper panel shows the whole plants sprayed with the corresponding siRNAs,
imaged under the UV light. Fully GFP expressing plants have green stems, orange/green
leaves. The silenced areas appear in red (shown with white arrows). The lower panel shows
composite images of the silenced leaves under GFP and Far Red fluorescence using PharosFX
Imager. (c) The red silenced areas compared to the whole leaf were calculated. The composite
images in the the lower panel were used for quantification by FIJI for three leaves each
treatment. The error bars indicate standard error. No significant (ns) differences in silent areas
found.
702

Figure3| Quantity and quantitative distribution of transitive siRNAs along GFP. (a) The graph shows normalized read counts of 21-, 22- and 24-nt combined along GFP. Each of 21-, 22-, and 24-nt reads mapping to the GFP is normalized to total 21-, 22-, and 24-nt reads in the sample and multiplied with 10^6 for each position along the GFP. These normalized values of 21-, 22- and 24-nt siRNAs are summed up for each biological replicate and the average value of the biological replicates are shown in a sliding window of 10 nt. In the X-axis, the translational start site, the stop codon and the position, where the siRNAs map are provided together with Transitivity Hot Spot (THS). (b) Percent density distribution (pdd) is calculated for each position (see Materials and Methods). The average pdd values of biological replicates are plotted in a sliding window of 10 nt and the percentage values for each position is given in Y-axis. The relevant positions along the GFP are given in X-axis. (c) The normalized counts of combined 21-, 22-, and 24-nt long reads mapping to GFP are plotted for each siRNA treatment. The values of each biological replicate are shown as data points in the bar chart. Student's t-test is used for pairwise comparison (***, $p<10^{-3}$). (d) The distance between the peaks, shared among all three siRNA treatments are calculated and provided in a bar chart. Horizontal axis shows the distance in the unit of base pairs. In all the charts and graphs, siRNA#164 is shown in blue, siRNA#386 in orange, and siRNA#739 is grey.

Figure 4| Regional and strand-specific distribution of transitive siRNAs. (a) Regional percent distribution of 21-, 22- and 24-nt reads (rpd-sRNA, see Materials and Methods) between the positions given in the X-Axis in addition to THS, which is taken as a separate area. For each treatment, the average rpd-sRNA of three biological replicates are plotted. The error bars indicate standard deviation and student's t-test is used for pairwise statistical comparison between different siRNA treatments. (b) In order to avoid size-bias in rpd-sRNA, average percent read density per base pair (ARDPB, see Materials and Methods) is plotted to compare the rpd-sRNA between different regions for each siRNA treatment. The ARDPB decreases as the region is further away from THS for all siRNA treatments. The position of the siRNAs used for the experiment is shown in the X-axis with respect to the six regions of interest. (c) Strand specific ARDPB is plotted for the regions given in the X-axis. Y axis shows the ARDPB in terms of percent probability for sense (bars with solid colours above the X-axis, and bars with diagonal patterns below the X-axis). Student t-test is used for pairwise comparisons between siRNA treatments in the given region. In all the charts siRNA#164 is shown in blue, siRNA#386 in orange, and siRNA#739 in grey.

Figure 5| Comparison of 21-, 22- and 24-nt transitive siRNAs. (a) Average of total 21-, 22-, and 24-nt reads mapping to GFP normalized to 21-nt reads mapping to GFP of three biological replicates upon siRNA treatments. Regardless of the siRNA treatment, 22-nt reads are one third of the 21-nt reads and 24-nt reads are one seventh of the 21-nt reads on both sense (solid colours above X-axis) and anti-sense strand (diagonal pattern below X-axis). (b) The ratio of 21-, 22- and 24-nt reads mapping to GFP regardless of the strand, normalized to the total number of sRNAs of 21-, 22- and 24-nt siRNA reads, respectively, for each position on GFP. The value is multiplied with 10^6 and the graph is plotted in a sliding window of 10 nt. siRNA#164 treatment is shown in blue, siRNA#386 in orange, and siRNA739 in grey. From the darkest to the lightest tone of each colour, 21-, 22-, and 24-nt long reads are plotted, respectively.

Figure 6| Strand Specific Distribution of 21- and 22-nt transitive siRNAs. (a) The ratio of 21- siRNA reads mapping to GFP, normalized to the total number of sRNAs of 21- reads for each position on GFP (b). The ratio of 22- siRNA reads mapping to GFP, normalized to the total number of sRNAs of 22- reads for each position on GFP. The values are multiplied with 10^6 and the graph is plotted in a sliding window of 10 nt in a strand specific manner (See

754   Materials and Methods). Sense strand is plotted with a solid line above the X-axis with and
755   anti-sense strand is plotted with a dotted line below the X-axis. Black arrows show the THS
756   peak, which is more prominent on the sense strand, whereas purple arrow depicts a 22-nt
757   siRNA read peak in the sense strand, which is lacking in the anti-sense strand.
758
Figure 7| A model for the role of siRNAs in transitivity. A plant gene may generate either
legitimate mRNAs or aberrant RNAs (abRNAs) that lack a 5' cap structure and/or a 3'
polyadenylation tail. In the absence of siRNAs, abRNAs above a certain threshold, posing a
potential deleterious effect on the plant cell, are channeled (primarily) to RDR6-mediated
decay (sense PTGS) (top left panel) and (secondarily) to competing exonucleolytic RNA
decay pathways (not shown). In the presence of 21-nt siRNAs, abRNAs are targeted and
cleaved by 21-nt siRNAs at the target site, with a comparable efficiency between 5' and 3'
target site (PTGS) (top right panel). The cleavage products are not processed, at least
significantly, by RDR6, but are rapidly degraded by exonucleolytic pathways (as typically
happens in the case of 21-nt miRNA cleavage products) (not shown). In the presence of 22-nt
siRNAs, AGO1 conformation is seemingly affected by 22-nt siRNA loading (or by small
RNAs containing an asymmetric bulge) and this impairs AGO/RISC capacity for cleavage.
Instead, AGO1-loaded 22-nt siRNAs, targeting 5' of the target efficiently recruit RDR6 to the
3' end of abRNAs, possibly with a mediator such as SDE3, SDE5, and SGS3 (bottom left
panel). On the other hand, AGO1-loaded 22-nt siRNAs, targeting 5' of the target create a
steric hindrance for RDR6 recruitment and reduce RNA dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp)
activity (bottom right panel). RDR6 can move along a distance of approximately 700 nt,
generating 21-nt secondary siRNAs (transitivity). The resulting dsRNA is cleaved
hierarchically by DCL4, DCL2, and in negligible amounts by DCL3. These secondary 21-nt
siRNAs cleave homologous mRNAs and abRNAs (local PTGS) and can be transported
through the plasmodesmata to neighboring cells. Importantly, during the process of
transitivity, an RNA signal of yet unidentified nature is generated and transported through the
phloem to establish systemic PTGS to distant parts of the plant. In this model RDR6 is shown
to process only abRNA and not mRNAs. Indeed, polyadenylation tails were shown to inhibit
RDR6 transcription, a meaningful evolutionary strategy to avoid generation of U-only
siRNAs which could indiscriminately target any given mRNA for PTGS.

808
809
810   **Figure 2:**

812 **Figure3**
813

814
815 **Figure4**

817 **Figure 5:**

818
819
820 **Figure 6:**
821

823 **Figure7:**
824

825
826 **Figure S1:**
827

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

834
835 **Figure S2:**
836

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2

837
838 **Figure S3:**
839

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 3

Ratio of read on the 5' of the THS to 3' of the THS

Ratio 5' THS to 3' THS of normalized 21, 22, 24nt reads

siR164 siR386 siR739

840
841
842
843

     Supplementary Table 1

	total reads	21nt	22nt	24nt		22nt-All	22nt reads mapping to sprayed siRNAs
164_1	4369898	172793	69028	159097		113124	44096
164_2	3730724	163838	31004	103126		185600	154596
164_3	2960764	101214	32222	74411		99776	67554
386_4	3280249	98369	47037	89837		64847	17810
386_5	2845604	133271	61026	109896		91443	30417
386_6	3093553	81749	29355	66598		59341	29986
739_7	3494055	132018	39471	118383		138770	99299
739_8	3149294	85241	27560	50388		95000	67440
739_9	3215061	93197	27114	56642		103919	76805