Yuying Lin[1*], Iulia Darolti[1], Benjamin L. S. Furman[1], Pedro Almeida[2], Benjamin A. Sandkam[1], Felix Breden[3], Alison E. Wright[4], Judith E. Mank[1,5]

1 Department of Zoology and Biodiversity Research Centre, University of British Columbia, Canada

2 Department of Genetics, Evolution and Environment, University College London, United Kingdom

3 Department of Biological Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Canada

4 Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, School of Biosciences, University of Sheffield

5 Biosciences, University of Exeter, Penryn Campus, United Kingdom

*Corresponding author E-mail: linyuying@zoology.ubc.ca

ABSTRACT

Differences in allele frequencies at autosomal genes between males and females in a

population can result from two scenarios. Unresolved sexual conflict over survival produces

allelic differentiation between the sexes. However, given the substantial mortality costs

required to produce allelic differences between males and females at each generation, it

remains unclear how many loci within the genome experience significant sexual conflict over

survival. Alternatively, recent studies have shown that similarity between autosomal and Y

sequence, arising from duplication onto the Y, can create perceived allelic differences, and this

represents potentially resolved sexual conflict. However, Y duplications are most likely in

species with large non-recombining regions, in part because they simply represent larger

targets for duplications. We assessed the genomes of 120 wild-caught guppies, which

experience extensive predation- and pathogen-induced mortality and have a relatively small

ancestral Y chromosome. We identified seven autosomal genes that show allelic differences

between male and female adults. Five of these genes show clear evidence of whole or partial

gene duplication to the Y chromosome, suggesting that the male-specific region of the guppy Y

chromosome, although relatively small, may nonetheless act as a hotspot for the resolution of

sexual conflict. The remaining two genes show evidence of partial homology to the Y. Overall,

our findings suggest that the guppy genome experiences a very low level of unresolved sexual

conflict over survival, and instead the Y chromosome, despite its small ancestral size and recent

origin, acts as a major mechanism of conflict resolution.

Keywords: gene duplications, Y chromosome, sexual conflict, *Poecilia reticulata*

Introduction

Differences in allele frequency between males and females at autosomal loci can result from two alternative sources. Numerous recent studies have used allelic differences between males and females within a population (F_{ST}, D_{xy}, etc) as a way to infer sex-differences in viability or survival, and therefore sexual conflict over mortality (Cheng & Kirkpatrick, 2016; Dutoit et al., 2018; Flanagan & Jones, 2017; Kasimatis et al., 2021; Lucotte et al., 2016; Wright et al., 2018, 2019).This approach assumes that allele frequencies are the same in males and females at conception, but diverge over the course of a generation for loci with alleles that benefit the survival of one sex at some survival cost to the other (intra-locus sexual conflict). We expect that sexual conflict over survival would produce a signature of allelic differentiation between the sexes as well as balancing selection (Mank, 2017). The latter results from all forms of intra-locus sexual conflict, not just that over survival, as alleles are selected for or against depending on whether they are present in males or females (Barson et al. 2015; Connallon & Clark 2014; Foerster et al. 2007; Hawkes et al. 2016; Johnston et al. 2013; Lonn et al. 2017; Ruzicka et al. 2019). Balancing selection resulting from sexual conflict may be a major factor in the maintenance of genetic polymorphisms within populations (Connallon & Clark 2014), therefore, the proportion of loci subject to unresolved sexual conflict within the genome, as well as the types of loci affected, may have important implications for a range of evolutionary factors, such as the speed and genetic basis of adaptation.

Intra-locus conflict over survival implies a significant mortality cost each generation. Modelling and simulation methods suggest that the sex-specific mortality rates necessary to generate significant allelic differences between the sexes within each generation are quite high for any one locus (Bissegger et al. 2019; Kasimatis, Ralph, & Phillips 2019; Ruzicka et al. 2020). This precludes the presence of large numbers of sites subject to sexual conflict due to survival, as the associated mortality load would simply be too great. Moreover, recent work has highlighted the potential that many perceived allelic sex differences between the sexes actually are the result of sequence homology between autosomal and sex-linked loci (Bissegger et al. 2019; Kasimatis et al. 2019; Mank, Shu, & Wright 2020; Ruzicka et al. 2020). The Y chromosome may preferentially retain duplicates that play an important role in male development or fitness

(Bachtrog, 2013; Carvalho et al., 2015), and the process of Y duplication ironically offers a route

to sexual conflict resolution even though it may lead to the mistaken perception of unresolved

conflict acting on autosomal loci.

It therefore remains unclear how common and pervasive sexual conflict over survival is in

natural populations, or what types of loci, if any, it is expected to target. Here we assess the

potential for intersexual F_{ST} based on a sample of 120 wild-caught adult guppies, which are

expected to experience substantial predation- and pathogen-induced mortality. We identified

seven genes that show evidence of allelic differences between adult males and females. Of

those seven genes, five show evidence of Y duplicates, and two have sex-specific functions,

including germline differentiation and sex hormone signaling. This is surprising, as although the

size of the guppy Y varies substantially across populations and related species (Wright et al.

2017; Darolti et al. 2019; Ameida et al. 2021), the conserved, ancestral part of the Y is very

small, spanning at most 5 Mb (Almeida et al., 2020; Darolti et al., 2019; Fraser et al., 2020).

Given this small size, we might expect that the Y chromosome in guppies does not represent a

large enough genomic target for sexual conflict resolution through gene duplication. Instead,

our results show that the guppy Y, although a very small region of the genome, may indeed act

as a hotspot for the resolution of conflict.

Results

We individually sequenced 60 male and 60 female wild-caught adults from three rivers in

Trinidad to an average of ~30X coverage in males and ~20X coverage in females after filtering,

trimming and quality control (see Materials and Methods for further details). The greater read

depth in males was designed to aid detection of the Y chromosome, which is present in only

one copy in each genome. Because the size of the non-recombining region of the sex

chromosome varies across guppy populations (Almeida et al., 2020; Wright et al., 2017), and

sex chromosome divergence results in allelic differences on the X and Y between males and

females, we confined all our analyses to autosomal sequence, although we present the X

chromosome (Chromosome 12) in figures for comparison.

From our sequencing data, we obtained 7,889,657 biallelic high quality filtered autosomal SNPs.

We further filtered these to 253,375 SNPs present in annotated autosomal coding sequence.

We focused on coding sequence for three reasons. First, non-coding sequence is enriched for

repetitive elements, and the accumulation of repetitive elements on the ancestral guppy Y

chromosome (Almeida et al., 2020) would lead to male-specific SNPs in repetitive elements that

could bias our estimates of Y duplications. Second, previous work has suggested that

unresolved sexual conflict on the autosomes occurs primarily in coding sequence (Ruzicka et al.,

2019), and this fits with the substantial evidence that sexual conflict over gene regulation can

be relatively quickly resolved through sex-specific gene regulation (Kopp, Duncan, & Carroll

2000; Mank 2017; Wright et al. 2019). Finally, by focusing on functional coding sequence, we

are able to determine the potential functional role of genes that are subject to sexual conflict.

Intersexual F_{ST}

Given the low level of allelic divergence expected between males and females, it is critical to

minimize false positives (Kasimatis et al. 2019). We therefore used three independent methods

to estimate SNPs with elevated intersexual F_{ST}. We identified SNPs that were 1) in the top 1% of

the autosomal F_{ST} distribution 2) were significant after permutation testing of samples (1000

replicates, $P < 0.001$) and 3) showed significant differences in male and female allele frequency

based on Fisher's exact test ($P < 0.001$) (Supplementary Fig. 1). We identified 504 autosomal

coding sequence SNPs that were significant by all three of these measures (Supplementary Figs.

1 and 2), designated as high intersexual F_{ST} SNPs.

We then identified autosomal coding genes with ≥ 3 high intersexual F_{ST} SNPs (Bissegger et al.

2019; Tobler, Nolte, & Schlötterer 2017), resulting in seven loci with significant sexual

differentiation. For each of these genes, average intersexual F_{ST} was significantly greater than

the autosomal average (Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 3).

Estimates of intersexual F_{ST} and Tajima's D can be biased due to relatedness of individuals

within groups. Although our sampling design was balanced, with 10 females and 10 males

collected at two separate sites for each of three rivers across the island of Trinidad (see

Almeida et al. 2020 for details), we could not account for relatedness in wild-caught samples at

the time of collection. We therefore assessed pairwise kinship coefficients among all our

samples using both KING (Manichaikul et al., 2010) and NgsRelate (Korneliussen & Moltke

2015), as implemented in ANGSD (Korneliussen, Albrechtsen, & Nielsen 2014). Neither method

identified greater relatedness among male compared to female samples (Supplementary Fig. 4).

Y duplications

When mapping whole-genome data to a female reference genome, sequence similarity

between the male-specific Y chromosome and the autosomes can lead to the perception of

allelic differences between males and females. Recent work has shown that many genes with

allelic sex differences are in fact autosomal loci that either have recent Y duplicates (Bissegger

et al. 2019; Mank et al. 2020) or otherwise display sequence homology to the Y (Kasimatis et al.,

2021) . We can use differences in M:F read depth to identify these genes (Hall et al., 2013).

Duplication of the complete coding sequence for an autosomal gene on the Y chromosome

would produce an average M:F read depth of 1.5 (three copies in males, two in females), and

subsequent tandem duplications on the Y would result in M:F read depth > 1.5. Partial Y

duplications, or a full duplication followed by significant differentiation, would result in average

M:F read depth > 1 and < 1.5 when mapping to a female genome after correcting for

differences in library size.

Five of our seven sexually differentiated genes showed average M:F read depth significantly > 1

(Table 1, Supplemental Fig. 5) consistent with at least a partial duplication of the coding

sequence on the Y chromosome (Fig. 1). Four of these Y-duplicated genes have annotated

functions, two of which (Olr1496-like and Fezf1) have a known role in sexual differentiation

(Table 1), as is expected for genes on the Y chromosome.

In order to differentiate whether the pattern of elevated M:F F_{ST} is due to limited sequence

homology between the autosomal genes and the Y chromosome, or results from at least partial

gene duplication, we mapped the pattern of M:F read depth across each of these 5 genes (Fig.

1). The high M:F read depth for Olr1492-like, consistent across the full length of the single exon

of this gene, suggests a complete duplication, possibly in two copies (Fig. 1A).Similarly, the M:F

read depth ratio for si:rp71-17i16.5 (Fig. 1C), Bcl11a (Fig. 1E), and Fezf1 (Fig. 1G) suggests a

single or double copy duplication, of just the exons, possibly through retrotransposition. The

high M:F read depth in ENSPRG05754 (Fig. 1I) suggests a partial Y duplication of the last exon of

the gene, likely present in several Y copies.

Given sufficient evolutionary time, Y duplications will also accumulate male-specific SNPs

(Tobler et al. 2017), leading to a signal of elevated M:F SNP density. Of the five sexually

differentiated genes with greater read depth in males, none showed significantly higher M:F

SNP density (Table 1), although all values were >1, suggesting that duplication to the Y is

relatively recent, and consistent with the recent origin of this chromosome (Darolti et al. 2019).

Across our seven sexually differentiated genes, we did not observe evidence of duplications to

the X chromosome, which would result in three copies in males and four in females and

therefore an average M:F read depth significantly <1.

Sexual conflict over survival for autosomal genes

We identified just two sexually differentiated genes without significantly higher M:F read depth

(Table 1), both of which displayed relatively modest levels of intersexual F_{ST} (0.0237 and

0.0098). At first consideration, this small number of loci with modest F_{ST} is consistent with

limited unresolved sexual conflict and the expectation that large numbers of high intersexual

F_{ST} loci would require unsustainable levels of sex-specific mortality each generation (Bissegger

et al. 2019; Kasimatis et al. 2019; Ruzicka et al. 2020).

Genes subject to ongoing sexual conflict are expected to experience balancing selection, which

is often measured with Tajima's D (Bissegger et al. 2019; Cheng & Kirkpatrick 2016; Mank 2017;

Wright et al. 2018). We therefore compared Tajima's D for these two genes to the autosomal

average, genes with known immune function, which are known to be subject to high levels of

balancing selection (Andrés et al. 2009; Ferrer-Admetlla et al. 2008; Van Oosterhout 2009;

Weedall & Conway 2010), and to other genomic categories (Fig. 2). Contrary to our expectation

of elevated Tajima's D for these two sexually differentiated genes, neither showed a Tajima's D

value significantly greater than the autosomal average, and Tajima's D for ENSPREG15023 is

significantly lower than the autosomal average (Fig. 2).

Many things can influence Tajima's D estimates, and the lack of an elevated signature of

balancing selection relative to the remainder of the genome is not necessarily indicative of a

lack of sexual conflict. In order to understand the dynamics of these two genes in more detail,

we mapped M:F read depth as a function of genomic location and intersexual F_{ST} (Fig. 3).

Indeed, we found evidence of elevated M:F read depth for ENSPREG15023 across most of the

exon, consistent with either partial duplication or full exonic duplication to the Y followed by

partial degeneration. Many of the high F_{ST} SNPs in the gene have a high M:F read depth,

consistent with Y duplication or significant Y homology. The pattern for ENSPREG12439 is more

consistent with significant Y homology given the highly variable M:F read depth across the

gene. Importantly, all SNPs with high M:F F_{ST} values for this gene also show high M:F read

depth. These results, coupled with the lack of elevated Tajima's D, suggest that these two genes

also exhibit significant homology to the Y, and that this is driving the elevated intersexual F_{ST}

instead of unresolved sexual conflict over survival.

Discussion

We identified 504 coding sequence SNPs in the guppy autosomal genome which showed

significant differences in allele frequency between males and females. We used these to

identify seven autosomal genes with significant average intersexual F_{ST}. Our approach, based on

the intersection of three statistical methods, reduces the likelihood of false positives and

results in a high confidence gene list of intersexual F_{ST} (Table 1, Supplementary Figs. 1, 2, and

3). This list of genes can be used to assess the relative role of Y duplication in resolving conflict

and driving intersexual F_{ST}, versus the role of sex differences in mortality in sexual conflict of

autosomal genes.

The Y chromosome in guppies as a locus for conflict resolution.

Five of the seven high-confidence sexually differentiated genes showed evidence of Y

duplications based on elevated male-to-female read depth ratios (Table 1, Supplementary Fig.

4). Two of these (Olr1496-like and Fezf1) have sex-specific functions based on Gene Ontology

and Gene Cards annotations, consistent with theory and empirical studies showing that Y

chromosomes can accumulate gene duplicates with male-specific functions (Carvalho et al.

2015; Mahajan & Bachtrog 2017). Specifically, Olr1496 (Olfactory factor 1496-like gene) plays a

role in multiple aspects of germline development in *C. elegans* (Cho, Rogers, & Fay 2007), and

Fezf1 (FEZ Family Zink Finger 1) plays an important role in the migration of gonadotropin-

releasing neurons (Damla Kotan et al., 2014).

Y chromosomes in general represent a unique genomic environment. Although Y chromosome

gene content degrades quickly following recombination suppression (Bachtrog, 2013), several

recent studies indicate that older, large Y chromosomes, such as that in *Drosophila* (Carvalho et

al. 2015; Koerich et al. 2008; Tobler et al. 2017), sticklebacks (Bissegger et al. 2019) and humans

(Kasimatis et al. 2020), contain substantial numbers of genes duplicated from autosomes.

Duplications may represent an important mechanism of sex chromosome divergence, and the Y

chromosome may preferentially retain duplicates that play an important role in male

development or fitness (Bachtrog, 2013; Carvalho et al., 2015), offering a hotspot for sexual

conflict resolution within the genome.

However, it remains unclear how common Y duplications of autosomal genes occur in younger

systems with a far smaller male-specific region. Although the size varies by population, the

conserved non-recombining region of the Y chromosome in guppies is relatively small, spanning

at most 5 Mb (Almeida et al., 2020; Darolti et al., 2019). Others have failed to recover evidence

for even this limited region of Y degeneration (Charlesworth et al., 2020; Fraser et al., 2020).

Our findings add further support for a region of recombination suppression across populations

on Trinidad, as only a male-specific region of the Y can explain the M:F read depth differences

we observe. Moreover, our work suggests that the guppy Y chromosome is dynamic with

regard to gene content, and acts as a hotspot for gene duplications with male-specific functions

despite its recent origin and small size. It is also possible that Y genes have duplicated to the

autosomes, which would also produce a pattern of increased male read depth, although this is

arguably less likely. Taken together, our work suggests that even homomorphic sex

chromosomes may act as a hotspot of sexual conflict resolution. Moreover, our results further

emphasize the importance of accounting for Y gene duplications in scans for M:F F_{ST}, as the all

of our sexually differentiated genes show evidence of Y duplication.

The Y-duplicated genes we identify here are not present in our previous list of Y-linked genes

based on male-specific sequence (Almeida et al., 2020), or in other similar analyses (Fraser et

al., 2020). This is not surprising, as duplications, particularly if recent, will still retain substantial

homology to the autosomal copy and will not be detected when bioinformatically identifying

sequence that is unique to male genomes. Consistent with recent duplications and limited

divergence, none of our Y-duplicated genes exhibit significantly elevated average M:F SNP

density (Table 1, Fig. 2), although the values are all >1.

We have previously (Wright et al., 2018) noted evidence of intersexual F_{ST} in guppies (*Poecilia*

reticulata). This finding was curious given that the data from this earlier work derived from a

lab population, free of most of the pathogens and all the predators that would exacerbate sex-

differences in mortality and predation (Wright et al., 2018). It was not clear how much of this

signal, if any, was due to Y duplications. However, it is worth noting that elevated intersexual

F_{ST} was highest for genes with male-biased expression, as would be expected for genes with Y

duplicates. We also did not observe a concomitant pattern of elevated Tajima's D for these

genes, which is inconsistent with sexual conflict over survival.

Sexual conflict over survival targets few genes in the guppy autosomal genome

Intra-locus sexual selection over survival or viability leads to allele frequency differences

between the sexes over the course of a generation, as an allele increases the survival of one sex

at a mortality cost to the other (Kasimatis et al. 2019; Mank 2017; Wright et al. 2018). The

significant mortality costs required each generation to generate allele frequency differences

between the sexes preclude large numbers of genes with significant M:F F_{ST} (Bissegger et al.

2019; Kasimatis et al. 2019; Ruzicka et al. 2020). At most, we might expect a limited number of

loci with significant allelic differentiation between males and females, and this would be most

evident in wild species where males and females experience differences in predation, parasite

or pathogen loads. Despite the fact that we sampled wild individuals of a classic prey species

with very high potential for sexual conflict over survival, we observe just two loci in the genome

that show evidence of sexual conflict over survival based on M:F F_{ST}. Both of these loci exhibit

very low M:F F_{ST}.

We expect that if these genes are indeed subject to sexual conflict over mortality, we would

observe elevated Tajima's D (Fig. 2), however this was not the case for either locus. Moreover,

both of these loci exhibit patterns of M:F read depth consistent with significant Y homology

(Fig. 3). This suggests that the potential for sexual conflict over survival is quite low, even in a

species where we most expect to observe it.

Concluding remarks

Here we use a high-stringency filtering method to detect genes within the guppy genome that

exhibit population genetic signatures expected from sexual conflict over survival. Although wild

guppies are expected to have high potential for sexual conflict over survival, we in fact found no

genes within the genome with patterns consistent with this. Instead, despite the small size of

the conserved non-recombining region of the guppy Y, we observe five loci that show patterns

consistent with autosome-to-Y duplications, and two more that are suggestive of Y homology of

without duplication. This highlights the potential of even young, small Y chromosomes as

regions of conflict resolution.

Materials and Methods

Data Collection and Genotyping

Samples were collected from three rivers, Aripo, Yarra, and Quare, in Trinidad in December

2016, in accordance with national collecting guidelines. In total, 10 males and 10 females were

collected from one high predation and one low predation population in each river, resulting in

120 samples, which were sequenced individually with Illumina HISEQX. Further sequencing

details are available in Almeida et al. (2020).

We used FastQC v0.11 (www.bioinformatics. babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc) and

Trimmomatic 0.36 (Bolger et al., 2014) to remove adapter sequences and low-quality reads.

After quality control, we recovered ~30X average sequencing depth for males and ~20X

sequencing depth for females. High quality reads were aligned against the *Poecilia reticulata*

female reference genome (Ensembl GCA_000633615.2) (Künstner et al., 2016), using BWA

0.7.15 MEM algorithm (Li & Durbin 2009) with default parameters. We filled in mate

coordinates and mate related flags, sorted alignment by coordinates, and marked PCR

duplications with SAMtools-1.9 (Li et al., 2009).

We called genotypes across all the samples using the `mpileup` function from bcftools with the

following parameters: --min-MQ 20 --min-BQ 20 --skip-indels -a FORMAT/AD, FORMAT/DP.

After genotyping, we used VCFtools v0.1.16 (Danecek et al., 2011) to exclude SNPs that had

either : (1) genotype quality < 25; (2) sequencing depth <0.5x or >10x of average depth; (3)

missing data in > 10% of individuals; (4) minor allele frequency < 0.05 or (5) relative read depth

between reference allele and alternative allele < 0.2 or > 0.8. In total, the autosomal filtered

SNP dataset consisted of 7,889,657 biallelic SNPs. We extracted 253,375 SNPs in annotated

coding sequences (Ensembl build Guppy Female 1.0) for downstream analysis. Finally, we

confined our analysis to autosomal genes, but included the X chromosome (Chromosome 12) in

figures as a means of comparison.

Intersexual F_{ST}

In order to estimate intersexual allele frequency differences, we implemented Weir &

Cockerham's estimator of F_{ST} (Weir & Cockerham 1984) between males and females using

VCFtools v0.1.16 for each SNP in genome-wide coding sequence regions. We employed three

methods jointly to identify SNPs exhibiting high F_{ST}. First, we used a cut-off method, retaining

SNPs in only the top 1% of autosomal F_{ST} values. Second, we performed permutation tests by

randomly assigning individuals to one of two sex groups to generate a null distribution of F_{ST}

across the genome. We determined significance for each SNP from 1000 replicates, using a P <

0.001 threshold. Finally, we performed Fisher's exact test on SNPs to determine significance of

allele frequency differences between males and females (P < 0.001). We denoted SNPs that

were significant in all three of these measures as high F_{ST} SNPs.

Using approaches to further limit false positives (Bissegger et al., 2019; Tobler et al., 2017), we

identified 7 genes with ≥ 3 high intersexual F_{ST} SNPs, which we designated as sexually

differentiated genes. We calculated average intersexual F_{ST} for all genes using VCFtools v0.1.16,

respectively. We used Wilcoxon rank-sum test to indicate statistical difference in intersexual F_{ST}

between autosomal genes and other gene categories (sexually differentiated genes and genes

on the sex chromosome).

Relatedness Inference

In order to avoid biases in calculating intersexual allele frequency differences due to

relatedness among individuals, we used KING 2.2.7 (Manichaikul et al., 2010) to infer the

pairwise degree of relatedness between individuals from estimated kinship coefficients. We

first converted genotype data from the raw, unfiltered SNPs dataset to plink binary format

using PLINK 1.9 (Purcell et al., 2007). In order to avoid potential biases from KING software

(Ramstetter et al., 2017) and validations, we also used NgsRelate v2 (Korneliussen & Moltke

2015), implemented in ANGSD (Korneliussen et al. 2014) to infer genetic relatedness

coefficients for each pair of individuals.

Assessing Y Duplications of Sexually Differentiated Genes

When using a female reference genome, reads from genes which have duplicated to the male-

specific region of the Y chromosome will map back to original autosomal or X chromosome

regions, resulting in elevated M:F coverage (Bissegger et al. 2019; Mank et al. 2020). For

example, if an autosomal gene has one Y duplication, we would expect three copies in males

(two autosomal and one Y-linked) and two copies in females, and therefore an average M:F

read depth of 1.5. We first calculated M:F read depth for each coding sequence SNP from

genotype data, as male coverage/female coverage, correcting for differences in average

genomic read depth between males and females. In order to validate the M:F read depth ratio

of sexually differentiated genes, we calculated male and female normalized read depth for 7

genes for each base pair, based on male and female pooled reads.

Genes on the Y chromosome will accumulate male-specific mutations over time, leading to an

increased number of male-specific mutations as well as elevated M:F SNP density (Bissegger et

al. 2019; Mank et al. 2020). M:F SNP density for each gene was calculated as number of male

SNPs/number of female SNPs.

Tajima's D

Based on the filtered genotype data, we calculated Tajima's D for the coding sequence of all

autosomal genes using VCFtools v0.1.16. We compared mean Tajima's D for autosomal genes

(excluding those with immune function), genes with immune function (defined following Wright

et al. 2017, Wright et al. 2018), and our seven sexually differentiated genes.

Functional Annotations

The small number of sexually differentiated genes precludes Gene Ontology enrichment

analysis. We therefore cataloged functional annotations from the *Danio rerio* Gene Ontology

(Ashburner et al., 2000; "The Gene Ontology resource: enriching a GOld mine," 2021) and Gene

Cards (Stelzer et al., 2016).

Acknowledgements

This was funded by a Chinese Scholarship Council Doctoral Scholarship to YL (No.

201906040216), a grant from the European Research Council (grant agreement 680951) and a

Canada 150 Research Chair to JEM. We thank The Trinidad Ministry of Agriculture, Land and

Fisheries and Indar Ramnarine for field permits. We thank XXX for helpful comments and

suggestions on the manuscript.

Data Accessibility Statement

DNA-seq data are publicly available in the NCBI SRA (BioProject ID PRJEB39998). Scripts of all

the analysis are available on GitHub XXXXX.

Author Contributions

J.E.M. and Y.L. designed the study. B.S., F.B. and J.E.M. collected samples. P.A. collected raw

sequencing data. Y.L., I.D., B.L.S.F., P.A., B.S., A.E.W. and J.E.M. performed the data analysis.

J.E.M., Y.L., I.D., B.L.S.F., B.S., F.B. and A.E.W. contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

References

Almeida, P., Sandkam, B. A., Morris, J., Darolti, I., Breden, F., & Mank, J. E. (2020). Divergence
and Remarkable Diversity of the Y Chromosome in Guppies. *Molecular Biology and*
Evolution, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa257
Andrés, A. M., Hubisz, M. J., Indap, A., Torgerson, D. G., Degenhardt, J. D., Boyko, A. R.,
Gutenkunst, R. N., White, T. J., Green, E. D., Bustamante, C. D., Clark, A. G., & Nielsen, R.
(2009). Targets of balancing selection in the human genome. *Molecular Biology and*
Evolution. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msp190
Ashburner, M., Ball, C. A., Blake, J. A., Botstein, D., Butler, H., Cherry, J. M., Davis, A. P., Dolinski,
K., Dwight, S. S., Eppig, J. T., Harris, M. A., Hill, D. P., Issel-Tarver, L., Kasarskis, A., Lewis, S.,
Matese, J. C., Richardson, J. E., Ringwald, M., Rubin, G. M., & Sherlock, G. (2000). Gene
ontology: Tool for the unification of biology. In *Nature Genetics*.
https://doi.org/10.1038/75556
Bachtrog, D. (2013). Y-chromosome evolution: Emerging insights into processes of Y-
chromosome degeneration. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, *14*(2), 113–124.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3366
Barson, N. J., Aykanat, T., Hindar, K., Baranski, M., Bolstad, G. H., Fiske, P., Jacq, C., Jensen, A. J.,
Johnston, S. E., Karlsson, S., Kent, M., Moen, T., Niemelä, E., Nome, T., Næsje, T. F., Orell,
P., Romakkaniemi, A., Sægrov, H., Urdal, K., … Primmer, C. R. (2015). Sex-dependent
dominance at a single locus maintains variation in age at maturity in salmon. *Nature*,
528(7582), 405–408. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16062
Bissegger, M., Laurentino, T. G., Roesti, M., & Berner, D. (2019). Widespread intersex
differentiation across the stickleback genome – The signature of sexually antagonistic
selection? *Molecular Ecology*, *July*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15255
Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., & Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, *30*(15), 2114–2120.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170
Carvalho, A. B., Vicoso, B., Russo, C. A. M., Swenor, B., & Clark, A. G. (2015). Birth of a new gene
on the Y chromosome of Drosophila melanogaster. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
of Sciences of the United States of America. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1516543112
Charlesworth, D., Bergero, R., Graham, C., Gardner, J., & Yong, L. (2020). Locating the sex
determining region of linkage group 12 of guppy (Poecilia reticulata). *G3: Genes, Genomes,*
Genetics. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.120.401573
Cheng, C., & Kirkpatrick, M. (2016). Sex-Specific Selection and Sex-Biased Gene Expression in
Humans and Flies. *PLoS Genetics*. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006170
Cho, S., Rogers, K. W., & Fay, D. S. S. (2007). The C. elegans Glycopeptide Hormone Receptor

Ortholog, FSHR-1, Regulates Germline Differentiation and Survival. *Current Biology*.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2006.12.027

Connallon, T., & Clark, A. G. (2014). Balancing selection in species with separate sexes: Insights
from fisher's geometric model. *Genetics*. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.114.165605

Damla Kotan, L., Ian Hutchins, B., Ozkan, Y., Demirel, F., Stoner, H., Cheng, P. J., Esen, I., Gurbuz,
F., Kenan Bicakci, Y., Mengen, E., Yuksel, B., Wray, S., & Kemal Topaloglu, A. (2014).
Mutations in FEZF1 cause kallmann syndrome. *American Journal of Human Genetics*.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2014.08.006

Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C. A., Banks, E., DePristo, M. A., Handsaker, R. E.,
Lunter, G., Marth, G. T., Sherry, S. T., McVean, G., & Durbin, R. (2011). The variant call
format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics*. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330

Darolti, I., Wright, A. E., Sandkam, B. A., Morris, J., Bloch, N. I., Farré, M., Fuller, R. C., Bourne, G.
R., Larkin, D. M., Breden, F., & Mank, J. E. (2019). Extreme heterogeneity in sex
chromosome differentiation and dosage compensation in livebearers. *Proceedings of the*
National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, *116*(38), 19031–19036.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1905298116

Dutoit, L., Mugal, C. F., Bolívar, P., Wang, M., Nadachowska-Brzyska, K., Smeds, L., Yazdi, H. P.,
Gustafsson, L., & Ellegren, H. (2018). Sex-biased gene expression, sexual antagonism and
levels of genetic diversity in the collared flycatcher (Ficedula albicollis) genome. *Molecular*
Ecology. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14789

Ferrer-Admetlla, A., Bosch, E., Sikora, M., Marquès-Bonet, T., Ramírez-Soriano, A., Muntasell,
A., Navarro, A., Lazarus, R., Calafell, F., Bertranpetit, J., & Casals, F. (2008). Balancing
Selection Is the Main Force Shaping the Evolution of Innate Immunity Genes. *The Journal*
of Immunology. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.181.2.1315

Flanagan, S. P., & Jones, A. G. (2017). Genome-wide selection components analysis in a fish with
male pregnancy. *Evolution*. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13173

Foerster, K., Coulson, T., Sheldon, B. C., Pemberton, J. M., Clutton-Brock, T. H., & Kruuk, L. E. B.
(2007). Sexually antagonistic genetic variation for fitness in red deer. *Nature*.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05912

Fraser, B. A., Whiting, J. R., Paris, J. R., Weadick, C. J., Parsons, P. J., Charlesworth, D., Bergero,
R., Bemm, F., Hoffmann, M., Kottler, V. A., Liu, C., Dreyer, C., & Weigel, D. (2020).
Improved Reference Genome Uncovers Novel Sex-Linked Regions in the Guppy (Poecilia
reticulata). *Genome Biology and Evolution*, *12*(10), 1789–1805.
https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evaa187

Hall, A. B., Qi, Y., Timoshevskiy, V., Sharakhova, M. V., Sharakhov, I. V., & Tu, Z. (2013). Six novel
Y chromosome genes in Anopheles mosquitoes discovered by independently sequencing
males and females. *BMC Genomics*. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-273

Hawkes, M. F., Gamble, C. E., Turner, E. C. R., Carey, M. R., Wedell, N., & Hosken, D. J. (2016).
Intralocus sexual conflict and insecticide resistance. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B:*
Biological Sciences. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.1429

Johnston, S. E., Gratten, J., Berenos, C., Pilkington, J. G., Clutton-Brock, T. H., Pemberton, J. M.,
& Slate, J. (2013). Life history trade-offs at a single locus maintain sexually selected genetic
variation. *Nature*. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12489

Kasimatis, K. R., Abraham, A., Ralph, P. L., Kern, A. D., Capra, J. A., & Phillips, P. C. (2021).

Evaluating human autosomal loci for sexually antagonistic viability selection in two large
biobanks. *Genetics*, *217*(1). https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/iyaa015
Kasimatis, K. R., Ralph, P. L., & Phillips, P. C. (2019). Limits to genomic divergence under sexually
antagonistic selection. *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics*, *9*(11), 3813–3824.
https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.119.400711
Koerich, L. B., Wang, X., Clark, A. G., & Carvalho, A. B. (2008). Low conservation of gene content
in the Drosophila Y chromosome. *Nature*. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07463
Kopp, A., Duncan, I., & Carroll, S. B. (2000). Genetic control and evolution of sexually dimorphic
characters in Drosophilia. *Nature*. https://doi.org/10.1038/35046017
Korneliussen, T. S., Albrechtsen, A., & Nielsen, R. (2014). ANGSD: Analysis of Next Generation
Sequencing Data. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *15*(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-014-
0356-4
Korneliussen, T. S., & Moltke, I. (2015). NgsRelate: A software tool for estimating pairwise
relatedness from next-generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv509
Künstner, A., Hoffmann, M., Fraser, B. A., Kottler, V. A., Sharma, E., Weigel, D., & Dreyer, C.
(2016). The genome of the trinidadian guppy, Poecilia reticulata, and variation in the
Guanapo population. *PLoS ONE*, *11*(12), 1–25.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0169087
Li, H., & Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
transform. *Bioinformatics*. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324
Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., &
Durbin, R. (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352
Lonn, E., Koskela, E., Mappes, T., Mokkonen, M., Sims, A. M., & Watts, P. C. (2017). Balancing
selection maintains polymorphisms at neurogenetic loci in field experiments. *Proceedings*
of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1621228114
Lucotte, E. A., Laurent, R., Heyer, E., Ségurel, L., & Toupance, B. (2016). Detection of allelic
frequency differences between the sexes in humans: A signature of sexually antagonistic
selection. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, *8*(5), 1489–1500.
https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evw090
Mahajan, S., & Bachtrog, D. (2017). Convergent evolution of y chromosome gene content in
flies. *Nature Communications*, *8*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00653-x
Manichaikul, A., Mychaleckyj, J. C., Rich, S. S., Daly, K., Sale, M., & Chen, W. M. (2010). Robust
relationship inference in genome-wide association studies. *Bioinformatics*.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq559
Mank, J. E. (2017). Population genetics of sexual conflict in the genomic era. *Nature Reviews*
Genetics, *18*(12), 721–730. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg.2017.83
Mank, J. E., Shu, J. J., & Wright, A. E. (2020). Signature of sexual conflict is actually conflict
resolved. In *Molecular ecology* (Vol. 29, Issue 2, pp. 215–217).
https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15311
Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M. A. R., Bender, D., Maller, J., Sklar,
P., De Bakker, P. I. W., Daly, M. J., & Sham, P. C. (2007). PLINK: A tool set for whole-

genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *American Journal of Human*
519   *Genetics*. https://doi.org/10.1086/519795
520   Ramstetter, M. D., Dyer, T. D., Lehman, D. M., Curran, J. E., Duggirala, R., Blangero, J., Mezey, J.
521   G., & Williams, A. L. (2017). Benchmarking relatedness inference methods with genome-
522   wide data from thousands of relatives. *Genetics*.
523   https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.117.1122
524   Ruzicka, F., Dutoit, L., Czuppon, P., Jordan, C. Y., Li, X., Olito, C., Runemark, A., Svensson, E. I.,
525   Yazdi, H. P., & Connallon, T. (2020). The search for sexually antagonistic genes: Practical
526   insights from studies of local adaptation and statistical genomics. *Evolution Letters*, *4*(5),
527   398–415. https://doi.org/10.1002/evl3.192
528   Ruzicka, F., Hill, M. S., Pennell, T. M., Flis, I., Ingleby, F. C., Mott, R., Fowler, K., Morrow, E. H., &
529   Reuter, M. (2019). Genome-wide sexually antagonistic variants reveal long-standing
530   constraints on sexual dimorphism in fruit flies. In *PLoS Biology* (Vol. 17, Issue 4).
531   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000244
532   Stelzer, G., Rosen, N., Plaschkes, I., Zimmerman, S., Twik, M., Fishilevich, S., Iny Stein, T., Nudel,
533   R., Lieder, I., Mazor, Y., Kaplan, S., Dahary, D., Warshawsky, D., Guan-Golan, Y., Kohn, A.,
534   Rappaport, N., Safran, M., & Lancet, D. (2016). The GeneCards suite: From gene data
535   mining to disease genome sequence analyses. *Current Protocols in Bioinformatics*.
536   https://doi.org/10.1002/cpbi.5
537   The Gene Ontology resource: enriching a GOld mine. (2021). *Nucleic Acids Research*.
538   https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1113
539   Tobler, R., Nolte, V., & Schlötterer, C. (2017). High rate of translocation-based gene birth on the
540   Drosophila Y chromosome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*
541   *States of America*, *114*(44), 11721–11726. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1706502114
542   Van Oosterhout, C. (2009). A new theory of MHC evolution: Beyond selection on the immune
543   genes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*.
544   https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2008.1299
545   Weedall, G. D., & Conway, D. J. (2010). Detecting signatures of balancing selection to identify
546   targets of anti-parasite immunity. In *Trends in Parasitology*.
547   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2010.04.002
548   Weir, B. S., & Cockerham, C. C. (1984). Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population
549   structure. *Evolution*. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1984.tb05657.x
550   Wright, A. E., Darolti, I., Bloch, N. I., Oostra, V., Sandkam, B., Buechel, S. D., Kolm, N., Breden, F.,
551   Vicoso, B., & Mank, J. E. (2017). Convergent recombination suppression suggests role of
552   sexual selection in guppy sex chromosome formation. *Nature Communications*, *8*.
553   https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14251
554   Wright, A. E., Fumagalli, M., Cooney, C. R., Bloch, N. I., Vieira, F. G., Buechel, S. D., Kolm, N., &
555   Mank, J. E. (2018). Male-biased gene expression resolves sexual conflict through the
556   evolution of sex-specific genetic architecture. *Evolution Letters*, *2*(2), 52–61.
557   https://doi.org/10.1002/evl3.39
558   Wright, A. E., Rogers, T. F., Fumagalli, M., Cooney, C. R., & Mank, J. E. (2019). Phenotypic sexual
559   dimorphism is associated with genomic signatures of resolved sexual conflict. *Molecular*
560   *Ecology*. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15115
561

562 **Tables and Figures**

563 **Table 1.** Summary statistics of sexually differentiated genes. Statistically significant values are
564 shown in bold.

ENSPREG[1] (Gene Name if known)	Chrom	Mean F_{ST}[2]	M:F read depth[3]	M:F SNP density[3]	Gene functions[4]
03921 (Olr1496-like)	LG7	**0.1645**	**1.3809**	1.3000	Immune response & germline differentiation
03854 (si:rp71-17i16.5)	LG7	**0.0892**	**1.1580**	1.1200	Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase signalling, involved in immune, inflammatory and allergic responses
09757 (BCL11A)	LG15	**0.0419**	**1.3280**	1.0000	Hematopoietic cell differentiation & brain development
07572 (Fezf1)	LG6	**0.0412**	**1.5082**	1.0077	Nervous system development, migration of gonadotropin-releasing hormone neurons
05754	LG15	**0.0238**	**1.8887**	0.9445	N/A
15023	LG18	**0.0237**	1.0829	1.2143	N/A
12439	LG22	**0.0098**	1.0802	1.0417	N/A

565 1 Ensembl guppy gene number
566 2 Significance based on Wilcoxon's rank-sum test ($P < 0.01$), compared to autosomal average.
567 3 Significance based on Fisher's Exact Test ($P < 0.05$), relative to genome-wide M:F SNP density.
568 4 From Gene Ontology (Ashburner et al., 2000; "The Gene Ontology resource: enriching a GOld
569 mine," 2021) and Gene Cards (Stelzer et al., 2016).
570

Fig. 1. Elevated M:F read depth ratio indicates partial gene duplication of Olr1496-like (Panels A
and B), si:rp71-17i16.5 (Panels C and D), BCL11A (Panels E and F), Fezf1 (Panels G and H),
ENSPREG05754 (Panels I and J). Left panels show M:F read depth as a function of physical
position (in Mb), with coding regions shaded in grey. Panels on the right show intersexual F_{ST}
and M:F read depth ratio for each SNP. 95% autosomal confidence intervals for M:F read depth
are indicated by horizontal red lines.

Fig. 2. Distribution of Tajima's D among different gene categories. Significant differences to
autosomal distribution are indicated (Wilcoxon rank-sum test, *P < 0.01, **P < 0.001, ***P <
0.0001, ns: non-significant).

Fig. 3. Normalized M:F read depth ratio for ENSPREG15023 (panels A and B) and ENSPREG12439 (panels C and D). Left panels show M:F read depth as a function of physical position (in Mb), with coding regions shaded in grey. Panels on the right show intersexual F_{ST} and M:F read depth ratio for each SNP. 95% autosomal confidence intervals for M:F read depth are indicated by horizontal red lines.

611 **Supplementary Fig. 5.** Normalized M:F read depth ratio, with seven sexually differentiated
612 genes indicated in orange. Steel blue and grey: autosomes; Light grey: sex chromosome
613 (Chromosome 12).

615
616