Title: Gene loss during the transition to multicellularity

Authors: Berenice Jiménez-Marín[1,2], Jessica B. Rakijas[1], Antariksh Tyagi[1], Aakash Pandey[1],

Erik R. Hanschen[3], Jaden Anderson[1], Matthew G. Heffel[1,2], Thomas G. Platt[1], Bradley J. S. C.

Olson[1]*

Affiliations:

1. Division of Biology, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA

2. Interdepartmental Genetics Graduate Program, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA

3. Los Alamos National Lab, Los Alamos, NM, USA

*Corresponding author

Summary: Multicellular evolution is a major transition associated with momentous

diversification of multiple lineages and increased developmental complexity. The volvocine

algae comprise a valuable system for the study of this transition, as they span from

unicellular to undifferentiated and differentiated multicellular morphologies despite their

genomes being highly similar, suggesting multicellular evolution requires few genetic

changes to undergo dramatic shifts in developmental complexity. Here, the evolutionary

dynamics of five volvocine genomes were examined, where a gradual loss of genes was

observed in parallel to the co-option of a few key genes. Protein complexes in the five

species exhibited a high degree of novel interactions, suggesting that gene loss promotes

evolutionary novelty. This finding was supported by gene network modeling, where gene

loss outpaces gene gain in generating novel stable network states. These results suggest

developmental complexity may be driven by gene loss rather than gene gain.

The evolution of multicellular organisms is a prerequisite for the emergence of complex

organismal body plans (Grosberg & Strathmann, 2007; Knoll, 2011). However, the genomic

basis for multicellular evolution is poorly understood (Olson & Nedelcu, 2016). The volvocine

algae are a valuable model system for studying multicellular evolution because they have

undergone a recent transition to multicellularity (~200 MYA)(Herron, Hackett, Aylward, &

Michod, 2009), and the ~100 member species span a wide range of developmental complexity

within a size range from 10 μm to 3 mm (Hanschen, Herron, Wiens, Nozaki, & Michod, 2018a).

This group includes unicellular *Chlamydomonas*, undifferentiated multicellular *Gonium* (8-16

cells), multicellular isogamous *Yamagishiella* (32 cells)*,* multicellular anisogamous *Eudorina* (32

cells), and multicellular differentiated *Volvox* (>500 cells)(Coleman, 2012; D. L. Kirk, 2005).

Based on morphology, differentiated multicellular volvocines were predicted to have

evolved from their unicellular ancestors by stepwise acquisition of developmental processes,

such as establishment of organismic polarity, genetic control of cell number, size expansion, and

division of labor among cell lineages (D. L. Kirk, 2005)(Figure 1A, cartoons). However,

phylogenetic approaches suggest that diversification among the volvocine algae was rapid

(Herron et al., 2009) and many of the genetic changes allowing for the evolution of

developmental complexity took place early in the evolution of the volvocines suggesting that

genetic gains for each step might not fully explain the organismal complexity of the volvocines

(Hanschen et al., 2016). Indeed, the genomes of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, Gonium pectorale,*

and *Volvox carteri[a]* are highly similar and syntenic (Blaby et al., 2014; Hanschen et al., 2016;

Merchant et al., 2007). Moreover, a homolog of the cell cycle regulator and tumor suppressor

Retinoblastoma (RB) is sufficient for undifferentiated multicellularity (Hanschen et al., 2016),

---

[a]Hereafter referred to by genus

suggesting co-option of a developmental regulator can result in complex developmental patterns

required for multicellularity (Olson & Nedelcu, 2016). Thus, the evolution and diversification of

the volvocine algae is thought to have heavily relied on duplication, divergence and co-option of

key functional elements.

Co-option, however, is unlikely to be the only evolutionary process behind volvocine

multicellularity. Gene loss is found in many lineages undergoing evolutionary change (Albalat &

Canestro, 2016; Fernandez & Gabaldon, 2020; Go, Satta, Takenaka, & Takahata, 2005; Guijarro-

Clarke, Holland, & Paps, 2020; Kvitek & Sherlock, 2013); nevertheless, its action in concert

with other evolutionary drivers is not well understood (Bhattacharya et al., 2018; Bolotin &

Hershberg, 2015; Bowles, Bechtold, & Paps, 2019; Lopez-Escardo et al., 2019). To investigate

the role of gene loss during the evolution of multicellularity, we report the annotation of

genomes from two volvocine algae (*Yamagishiella unicocca* and *Eudorina sp.*)[a]. These newly

analyzed genomes, in addition to those of *Chlamydomonas, Gonium,* and *Volvox* (Hanschen et

al., 2016; Merchant et al., 2007; Prochnik et al., 2010), were subjected to comprehensive analysis

of genic evolutionary trajectory. We demonstrate that significant loss of conserved genes has

occurred in the volvocine lineage, and through a gene network model, we show that gene loss

outperforms gene gain in generating stable novelty. Our results additionally suggest that only a

few genes were co-opted for volvocine multicellularity. Furthermore, differential protein-protein

interactions (PPI) are observable between species. These results suggest that elimination of non-

essential functions at the transition to multicellularity likely drives changes to molecular

networks that result in novel functions associated to changes in developmental complexity.

Results

We compared the genomes of five closely related volvocine algae exhibiting the major changes

in morphological complexity (D. L. Kirk, 2005)(Figure 1A cartoons, Table S1) and validated

Figure 1. Volvocine algal genomes are highly similar. **A**, Genome-wide phylogeny of the volvocine algae (green) compared to other chlorophycean outgroups (blue) inferred by PosiGene. Branch values indicate distance from the nearest node. Note *Chlamydomonas* is unicellular and closely related to colonial volvocines. **B**, Orthologous groups shared between five species (Supplementary File 1). **C**, Pfam domains shared between five species studied (Supplementary File 2).

their annotation using BUSCO (Seppey M., 2019). BUSCO inferences suggest that all five

annotated genomes are of high quality (Table S2). Orthologous group and protein family domain

(Pfams) catalogs for the analyzed species and their chlorophyte outgroups were compiled, and a

genome-wide reconstruction of volvocine phylogeny was generated (Figure 1A) based on

orthologous groups, which is in close agreement with previously determined phylogenies based

on smaller gene sets (Hanschen et al., 2016; Herron & Michod, 2008; Nozaki, Misumi, &

Kuroiwa, 2003). Overall, 13,424 orthologous groups were identified; of these, 7,163 (53.3%) are

shared among the five genomes, and 1,820 are species specific (13.6%, Figure 1B). Analysis of

the evolutionary trajectory of Pfams (El-Gebali et al., 2019) in the five species shows 1,725 Pfam

domains. Of these, 1,275 (73.9%) are shared in all five species, and 88 (5.1%) are species

specific. Notably, 8,958 (66.7%) orthologous groups, and 1,515 (87.8%) Pfams are shared by at

least 4 species (Figure 1C). Pairwise comparisons of orthologous group and Pfam domains

between recently diverged species further highlight this strong conservation (Supplementary

Figure S1A, B). These results support previous findings of the remarkable similarity found

between the volvocine genomes (Hanschen et al., 2016; Prochnik et al., 2010), despite their

marked developmental and morphological differences.

Given the high degree of similarity between volvocine algae genomes (Figure 1B, C), we

hypothesized that small differences between the *Chlamydomonas, Gonium, Yamagishiella,*

Eudorina and *Volvox* genomes contribute to the evolution of multicellularity. Rates of evolution

of shared genes and protein domains (orthologous groups and Pfam domains) for the five species

were analyzed by normalization to the distance from their Last Common Ancestor (LCA) to

account for potential signatures of gene or domain gain and loss. This analysis revealed that 375

(5.1%) orthologous groups have undergone significant changes, with a bias towards gene loss

(146 expanding orthologous groups, 229 contracting, Figure 2A outliers, shaded grey area).

Surprisingly, while most Pfam domains were conserved, significantly expanding domains were

less abundant than their contracting counterparts (39 and 96, respectively, Figure 2B outliers,

Figure 2. Gene loss outpaces gain in volvocine algae genomes. Distribution of the loss and gain

rates per distance to LCA in volvocine and red algae for **A**, orthologous groups, **B**, Pfam domains,

C, transcription factors, and **D**, protein kinases. Outlier groups, which represent significant loss

(negative values) or gain (positive values) rates, are in shaded grey areas. **E**, Histone copy number

per distance to LCA decreases except for H1 in the volvocine genomes. **F**, Histone copies (left) are

reduced with minimal loss of tail variants (right). **G**, Quantification of positively selected genes per

species using *Chlamydomonas* (yellow) and *Volvox* (purple) as reference genomes.

shaded grey area). Pfam domain counts per gene did not show significant variation between the

five species (Supplementary Figure S2), and contraction trends were not observed in highly

conserved genes Actin, β1-Tubulin, β2-Tubulin, α2-Tubulin, ferridoxin, ATP-synthase α, ATP-

synthase β, dynein heavy chain 1α, and dynein heavy chain 1β (Supplementary Figure S3,

TableS3).

Co-option of master regulators such as transcription factors (TFs) or protein kinases

(PKs) is thought to be important for evolutionary innovation (Carroll, 2001; Hanschen et al.,

2016). Analysis of all identified TF and PK families in the volvocine algae (49 and 84

respectively) revealed that 7 families of TFs (14.3%) underwent significant contraction, while

none expanded significantly (Figure 2C, Supplementary Figure S4A). Similarly, 16 families of

PKs (19.0%) underwent significant change, where 3 of the PKs expanded and 13 contracted

(Figure 2D, Supplementary Figure S4B). These data suggest that volvocine algae have lost genes

with conserved functions. Conversely, gene gain occurred at a much lower rate and frequency

(Fig 2A-F, Supplementary Figure S4).

To gain further insight into gene loss as a mechanism of multicellular evolution, we

analyzed histone genes for their evolutionary dynamics. Chromatin is an important regulator of

gene expression, where post-translational modifications of histone N-termini are conserved and

well understood (Waterborg, Robertson, Tatar, Borza, & Davie, 1995). Thus, histone genes were

analyzed for their evolutionary dynamics. All families of histones, except linker H1, were

reduced in number as developmental complexity increases in the volvocine algae (Figure 2E,

Table S4 – S5). Analysis of histone N-termini methylation and acetylation site variation for

H2A, H2B, H3, and H4 shows that H2A and H4 underwent copy number reduction (Figure 2F,

Table S4). However, Histone H2B and H3 exhibited greater N-terminal variant diversity in

Gonium (Figure 2F, Table S5). These data suggest that post-translational changes in histones

may facilitate increases in developmental complexity through altering gene expression in the

context of gene loss. Red algae (Rhodophyceae) also display various degrees of developmental

complexity and exhibit signatures of gene loss (Bhattacharya et al., 2018). Hence, their

orthologous groups (Figure 2A), Pfam domains (Figure 2B), TFs (Figure 2C), PKs (Figure 2D)

and histone (Table S6) dynamics were examined in parallel to their volvocine counterparts. This

analysis confirmed the presence of contraction signatures in red algae, agreeing with earlier

reports (Bhattacharya et al., 2018).

Few genes in the *Gonium* and *Volvox* genomes show a signature of undergoing positive

selection, as measured by the ratio of non-synonymous to synonymous mutations

(dN/dS)(Hanschen et al., 2016). To assess the impact of positive selection on increases to

developmental complexity, orthologous groups of genes with representatives in all five species

were subjected to dN/dS analysis. Using *Chlamydomonas* as the reference for ratio calculation

219, 56, 77 and 43 genes exhibited positive selection in *Gonium, Yamagishiella, Eudorina*, and

Volvox, respectively (Figure 2G). Using *Volvox* as the reference, there were 74, 248, 67 and 83

genes with a significant dN/dS value in *Chlamydomonas*, *Gonium*, *Yamagishiella,* and *Eudorina*,

respectively (Figure 2G). *Gonium* has a larger number of genes under positive selection, with the

other volvocine genomes examined having comparable albeit lower numbers of genes under

positive selection. These results suggest that positive selection is not the only force involved in

volvocine evolution; rather, it seems like processes of gene loss coupled to key co-option events

might have set the stage for instances of positive selection, especially upon the transition to

undifferentiated multicellularity.

The genomes of the volvocines are highly syntenic (Hanschen et al., 2016; Prochnik et

al., 2010), thus allowing the examination of the mechanism underlying gene loss for the 229

contracting orthologous groups at the sequence level (Figure 2A). Determination of the

mechanisms behind gene loss at the sequence level is difficult to do in other phyla, where it is

inferred indirectly through phylogenetic analysis (Fernandez & Gabaldon, 2020; Guijarro-Clarke

et al., 2020). The contracting groups contain 1,560 genes assembled into *Chlamydomonas*

chromosomes, of which 1,218 (78.1%) in 196 (85.6%) orthologous groups had loci that were

adequately assembled in all genomes for further analysis. This analysis demonstrated 28 of 1,218

genes in contracting orthologous groups are retained (preservation of orthologs across all

Figure 3. Gene loss in the volvocine algae occurs primarily by gradual decay. **A**, Retention, decay, and deletion of 1,218 loci belonging to orthologous groups undergoing contraction in volvocine species. **B**, Cross species quantification of retention (light green), decay (blue), and deletion (black) of loci in contracting orthologous groups. **C**, Quantification of events of retention and loss by decay relative to evolutionary relationships between volvocine species. Losses that do not follow phylogenetic distributions are considered cryptic (dark blue).

species), while 1,190 loci exhibit gene loss. The mechanisms of gene loss were then examined by

tBLASTx to determine if remnants of the lost genes (gene decay) were present at the locus or if

remnants of the gene were completely lost (gene deletion). Our results show gene decay is more

abundant than deletion in all the colonial species except for *Volvox*; 56.5% of *Gonium,* 60.7% of

Yamagishiella, 57.3% of *Eudorina* and 17.4% of *Volvox* gene losses show decay signatures

(Figure 3A). Observed signatures of decay confirm that our observations of gene loss are not

likely to be caused by technical issues with genome comparisons, but rather are, for the most

part, evolutionary losses of gene function. Thus, the volvocines exhibit a burst of gene loss,

primarily by decay during the transition to undifferentiated multicellualrity that continued as

organismal complexity increased.

Cross-species comparisons of retention, decay, and deletion events show that most

candidate gene losses, 960 loci (78.8%), are due to decay (Figure 3B). Surprisingly, our analysis

also suggests 797 (65.4%) loci are gene loss candidates in all four multicellular species. Of these,

674 (55.3%) occurred by gradual decay (Figure 3C, Table S7). The presence of decay signatures

eliminates the possibility that these loci represent gene gains of *Chlamydomonas*. In the case of

deletions (18.9%), only 123 loci (10.1%) were completely absent in all multicellular species,

which could either represent complete gene loss in the multicellular lineage or *Chlamydomonas*-

specific gene gains. The predominance and distribution of decay signatures suggest that a major

gene loss event(s) occurred after the LCA of colonial volvocine algae diverged from the lineage

of rather than that the *Chlamydomonas* lineage experienced extensive gene gains after diverging

from multicellular volvocines.

Previously, genes important for *Volvox* development were hypothesized to involved in

the evolution of multicellularity (Hanschen et al., 2016; Olson & Nedelcu, 2016; Prochnik et al.,

2010). used phylogenetic analysis to gain deeper understanding of co-option in the volvocine

algae. Cyclin D1 genes (Supplementary Figure S5), certain extracellular matrix (ECM) related

genes (Table S8, Supplementary Figure S6, S7), and the *Volvox* cell differentiation gene *regA*

(Supplementary Figure S8) follow a duplication and divergence pattern that coincides with

increases in complexity. However, other developmentally relevant genes such as *inv,*

(Supplementary Figure S9) and *glsA,* (Supplementary Figure S10) do not. Genes that encode

intrinsically disordered proteins (IDPs) were also examined, since these proteins might be co-

opted for novel developmental functions in the volvocine algae (Dunker et al., 1998; Dunker et

al., 2008). However, no significant change in the IDP content, frequency or distribution was

observed between the volvocine algae genomes (Supplementary Figure S11).

182   To examine the role of genetic innovation in volvocine evolutionary history, their genes

were assigned to nine phylostrata (Supplementary Figure S12). This analysis revealed that 53.7

to 63.0% of the genes fell under phylostratum 1 (PS-1, cellular organisms) and PS-2

(Eukaryotes) (Supplementary Figure S12, dark grey). Conversely, 0 to 4.4% of the genes were

found in PS-7 (family). Pfam phylostrata also fail to coincide with the evolution of

multicellularity (Supplementary S12, light grey). These results suggest that co-option and

expansion of key genes is a relevant, but does not coincide with stepwise increases in

developmental complexity as previously thought (D. L. Kirk, 2005).

190   To understand how developmental complexity could evolve in the context of significant

gene loss in the volvocine algae, the dynamics of their protein-protein interactions (PPIs) were

examined. We reasoned that changes in PPIs could lead to impactful changes in volvocine

developmental complexity, even though few genes are undergoing positive selection or co-option

(Figure 2G). Examination of silver-stained 2D-PAGE gels of total protein extracted from

Chlamydomonas, Gonium and *Eudorina* shows extensive differences in the proteomic makeup of

each species (Figure 4A, Supplementary Figure S13).

Changes in morphological and developmental complexity in the volvocine algae are

thought to be related to the cytoskeleton (D. L. Kirk & Nishii, 2001). This is because cytoskeletal

elements common to *Chlamydomonas* and *Volvox* are involved in cell division in both species,

and in the latter also in inversion (D. L. Kirk & Nishii, 2001). Hence, PPIs of the actin and

tubulin cytoskeleton were examined. Tubulin and actin proteins are highly conserved in the

volvocine algae (Supplementary Figure S14), and as expected, complexes of similar size were

found in *Chlamydomonas, Gonium,* and *Eudorina* 2D-PAGE immunoblots (Figure 4B, C,

Supplementary Figure S13). Minor differences in migration patterns for both proteins in the

denaturing dimension are likely caused by post-translational modifications. Notably, novel α-

tubulin complexes were shifted along the native axis, suggesting the presence of larger, species-

specific complexes containing tubulin (Figure 4B, arrows). Similarly, 2D-PAGE immunoblots

for β-actin showed a common streak in all three species, but also displayed species-specific

actin-containing complexes that are larger than the shared complex (Figure 4C, arrows). Taken

Figure 4. Protein-protein interactions differ among volvocine species. Blue Native (BN) PAGE followed by SDS-PAGE of lysates from *Chlamydomonas* (pseudocolored cyan)*, Gonium* (pseudocolored magenta)*,* and *Eudorina* (pseudocolored yellow). **A**, Overlay of silver stains. RuBisCO signal is circled in red. **B**, Overlay of western blot signals for α-tubulin. Tubulin signals for species-specific complexes are indicated by color coded arrows. **C**, Overlay of western blot signals for β-actin. Actin signals from unique complexes are indicated by color coded arrows.

210 together, these results indicate that while the genomes of the volvocine algae are highly similar

211 and experiencing gene loss, PPIs between conserved gene products are forming species-specific

212 complexes, which could account for the observed differences in biological complexity between

213 the volvocines.

Figure 5. Gene loss in a fully connected network (c=1) of genes (N=10) yields a higher proportion of

novel stable network states than gene gain. Wagner model simulates loss (orange) and duplication

(blue) of k genes. Grey shading represents variance.

To evaluate the potential of gene loss as a mechanism of evolutionary transitions, gene

loss and gain were modeled using an adaptation of the Wagner gene regulatory network model

(Wagner, 1994). Our model mirrors the effects of gene gain in Wagner's original model, which

demonstrated that networks more often reach a new equilibrium state following an intermediate

number of gene duplications than when there are many or few duplications (Wagner, 1994). Our

model predicts similar outcomes following gene loss with the proportion of novel stable gene

network configurations being higher following gene deletions as compared to an equal number

of duplications (Figure 5). Upon iterating the model over a broad range of network

connectivities, similar results were obtained (Supplementary Figure S15). Through network-wide

loss of robustness, novel stable network states might result in regulatory rewiring that allows for

major changes to developmental programs. In sum, our evidence indicates that the signature of

gene loss in the volvocine genomes may represent an example of a broadly used mechanism for

the evolution of developmental complexity.

Discussion

Our work challenges the common assumption that biological and genomic complexity are

positively correlated (Adami, Ofria, & Collier, 2000; Trigos, Pearson, Papenfuss, & Goode,

2018). If this were the case, the developmental gains in *Volvox* and species that exhibit

seemingly intermediate steps of developmental complexity (typified by *Gonium, Yamagishiella*

and *Eudorina*) might be the consequence of sequential acquisition of novel genes and/or the

result of co-option events correlating to each developmental gain (Cheng, Fowler, Tam,

Edwards, & Miller, 2003; Grochau-Wright et al., 2017; Nishii, Ogihara, & Kirk, 2003; Olson &

Nedelcu, 2016). However, the five analyzed genomes did not show evidence of extensive gene

duplication, subspecialization or neofunctionalization. Rather, we discovered that a very small

set of expanding and co-opted genes are found in the five species (Table S8, Supplementary

Figure S5 – S10), some of which have an evolutionary signature of sequential co-option. With

few exceptions (e.g., *reg,* extracellular matrix (ECM) genes, cyclin D), it was not possible to

assign novel genes to specific developmental gains in the volvocine algae, despite the complexity

of these novel traits.

Notwithstanding the obvious similarities between volvocine genomes (Figure 1B, C),

genetic losses in conserved genomic regions have occurred in volvocine history (Figure 3).

Orthologous groups and Pfam domains both show more numerous significant gene losses than

they do gains, and the rate of loss for some of these groups can be quite dramatic (Figure 2A, B),

even in the absence of significant variation in the number of Pfam domain counts per gene

between the five species (Supplementary Figure S2). Regulatory and histone genes, which are

important for development (Carroll, 2001; Gaiti et al., 2017; Hanschen et al., 2016), are not

exceptions to this trend (Figure 2C, D, E). The non-uniform distribution of gene loss events

across the five species (Figure 3A, C), as well as the signatures of decay found for many of these

genes (Figure 3B), contend that the observed patterns are not a consequence of annotation

disparities or analytical biases. Events of gene loss throughout evolution are not unique to the

volvocines, suggesting that this is a widespread phenomenon (Bhattacharya et al., 2018; Bowles

et al., 2019; Lopez-Escardo et al., 2019). However, this is the first time to our knowledge that the

mode of gene loss has been traced within a lineage. The mechanisms underlying the observed

rates of decay for genes lost remain enigmatic, but their presence allows for the inference that

most gene loss events are *bona fide* and, not *Chlamydomonas* specific gene gains. Evidence for

gene loss through gradual decay in the context minimal duplication and divergence differs from

the model of differential loss of paralogs that has been proposed for metazoans (Fernandez &

Gabaldon, 2020). This supports the adaptive role of gene loss for phenotypic innovation.

Previous reports posited that gene duplication is a costly process due to the expense of

synthesizing the gene products and the impact of increased function/gene dosage alteration on

the cell (Adler, Anjum, Berg, Andersson, & Sandegren, 2014). Moreover, novel stable regulatory

networks can be readily generated via gene loss (Figure 5, Supplementary Figure S15). Hence,

both theoretical and biological systems suggest that gene gains are neither the only nor the fastest

route to biological innovation. Our data supports the idea that the transition to multicellularity is

driven by re-purposing existing genes for new functions while eliminating others as opposed to

by generating of extensive genetic novelty.

The patterns of gene loss and PPI diversification in the volvocine algae illustrate vigorous

genomic and proteomic shifts that likely underlie changes to evolutionary and developmental

constraints between members of a single lineage. A significant proportion of the gene losses

(~55%) identified in the volvocine algae were shared by the four colonial species, whereas other

gene loss patterns were less numerous (Figure 3C). The ancestor of undifferentiated *Gonium*

(and the other multicellular species studied) would then appear to be the hotspot for initiation of

gene loss and co-option. Analysis of genes with a signature for positive selection shows *Gonium*

has the largest number of genes under positive selection among the species we studied (Figure

2G), and it appears to have undergone diversification of histone H3 N-terminal tails (Figure 2F).

Perhaps the developmental complexity portrayed by *Volvox* was made possible by the same

mechanisms and tools visible in *Gonium*, such as co-option of RB (Olson & Nedelcu, 2016).

Although the volvocine transition to undifferentiated multicellularity is a single event,

differentiated multicellularity has arisen multiple times (Albalat & Canestro, 2016; Nozaki et al.,

2000). The volvocine spherical colony morphology has also evolved at least twice (Yamashita et

al., 2016); *Astrephomene* is notably similar to *Eudorina* phenotypically, it is closely related to

Gonium (Goniaceae) and its embryogenesis and development is markedly different from that of

other spheroidal volvocines (Yamashita et al., 2016). These examples support the possibility that

complex multicellularity is a relatively straightforward consequence of simple multicellularity.

Should this be the case, the true evolutionary transition lies in establishing the conditions that

stabilize undifferentiated multicellularity through loss of gene functions that might be necessary

to maintain the unicellular state. In sum, our results indicate that biological innovation is driven

primarily by gene loss events, allowing for reconfiguration of gene networks, differential use of

existing functional repertoires, and reducing the impact of genetic gains.

Materials and methods

Strains and genomes

Chlamydomonas reinhardtii CC-503 MT$^+$ genome version 5.5 (Merchant et al.,

2007)(GCA_000002595.3), *Gonium pectorale* K3-F3-4 MT$^-$ NIES-2863 (Hanschen et al.,

2016)(GCA_001584585.1), and *Volvox carteri f. nagariensis,* Eve S1 (Prochnik et al., 2010)

genome versions 1 (GCA_000143455.1) and 2.1 (available on Phytozome)(Goodstein et al.,

2012; Prochnik et al., 2010) were used for all analyses. Genome assemblies for *Yamagishiella*

and *Eudorina* were kindly provided by Dr. Hisayoshi Nozaki before publication (Hamaji et al.,

2018). All analyses involving *Yamagishiella* were performed using *Y. unicocca* strains 2012-

1026-YU-F2-6 MT$^+$ NIES-3982, and 2012-1026-YU-F2-1 MT$^-$ NIES-3983. For *Eudorina*,

Eudorina sp. strains 2010-623-F1-E4 female NIES-3984 and 2010-623-F1-E2 male NIES-

3985 were utilized. Analyzed red algae genomes have been published previously: *Porphyra*

umbilicalis (Brawley et al., 2017)(GCA_002049455.2), *Pyropia yezoensis* (Nakamura et al.,

2013)(GCA_009829735.1), *Chondrus crispus* (Collen et al., 2013)(GCA_000350225.2),

Porphyridium purpureum (Bhattacharya et al., 2013)(GCA_000397085.1) and *Cyanidioschyzon*

merolae (Nozaki et al., 2007)(GCA_000091205.1). Chlorophyte outgroup species genomes have

been published previously: *Chromochloris zogingiensis* (available on Phytozome)(Goodstein et

al., 2012; Roth et al., 2017), *Chlorella variabilis* (Blanc et al., 2010)(ADIC00000000),

Micromonas pusilla (Worden et al., 2009)(GCA_000151265.1), and *Ostreococcus lucimarinus*

(Palenik et al., 2007)(GCA_000092065.1).

Algae growth conditions and library preparation

Yamagishiella unicocca 2012-1026-YU-F2-1 (NIES-3983, MT⁻) and *Eudorina* sp. strain

2010-623-F1-E4 (NIES-3984, female) were axenically cultured for RNA-seq experiments.

Algae were grown in Standard Volvox Media (SVM) with 0.5% CO_2 bubbling at 30°C, 350

μmol m⁻¹sec⁻¹ light intensity on a 14:10 day:night cycle. Triplicate cultures were harvested by

centrifugation at 600g for 10 min with 0.005% Tween-20. RNA was extracted using a Quick-

RNA Plant Miniprep Kit (Zymo Research). RNA-Seq libraries were prepared using the TruSeq

Stranded mRNA kit (Illumina, Inc. San Diego, California, 20020595) according to

manufacturer's conditions.

Axenic cultures for 2D PAGE were grown as follows: *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* strain

21*gr* (*Chlamydomonas* collection CC-4889) was grown in TAP media to a density of ~10⁶

cells/mL in ambient conditions with 0.5% CO_2 bubbling. *Gonium pectorale* (strain Kaneko4,

MT⁺ NIES-1711) was grown in SVM + acetate media to a density of ~10⁶ colonies/mL in

ambient conditions with 0.5% CO_2 bubbling. *Eudorina* sp. strain 2010-623-F1-E4 (NIES-3984,

female) was grown in SVM to a density of ~10⁶ colonies/mL at 30°C with 350 μmol m⁻¹ sec⁻¹

light intensity on a 14:10 day: night cycle with 0.5% CO_2 bubbling.

Evidence-based gene prediction

The gene models for *Yamagishiella* and *Eudorina* genomes were generated using RNA-seq data

and the AUGUSTUS 3.2.3 software package (Stanke & Morgenstern, 2005), in a manner similar

to gene predictions for *Volvox* and *Gonium* (Hanschen et al., 2016; Prochnik et al., 2010)The

gene models for *Yamagishiella* and *Eudorina* genomes were generated using RNA-seq data and

the AUGUSTUS 3.2.3 software package (Stanke & Morgenstern, 2005), in a manner similar to

gene predictions for *Volvox* and *Gonium* (Hanschen, Herron, Wiens, Nozaki, & Michod, 2018b;

Hanschen et al., 2016; Prochnik et al., 2010). AUGUSTUS predicted genes were merged with

the genome mapped reads and de-duplicated to remove any splice variants.

Identification of orthologous groups

Orthologous groups of volvocine algae and red algae genomes were determined using

OrthoMCL 2.0.9 (Li, Stoeckert, & Roos, 2003). The optimum inflation value was determined

empirically by testing a variety of values ranging from 1.2 to 4.0 in increments of 0.1

(Supplementary Figure S16). The inflation value of 1.9 was used for all analyses (see

Supplementary File 1).

Identification of Pfam domains

The diversity and abundance of known Pfam domains in the volvocine algae and red algae

genomes were identified using the PFAM (Finn et al., 2014) database version 31 and associated

Perl scripts available at: ftp://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/Pfam/Tools/ (El-Gebali et al., 2019)(see

Supplementary File 2).

Analysis of other genomic features

Transcription factors and protein kinases in the annotated volvocine algae genomes were

identified using the iTAK version 1.7 program and database (Zheng et al., 2016). The

Chlamydomonas gene definitions on GenBank were used to identify histone H1 (Accession

#XM_001696120) and quantified (Figure 2E second panel, Table S4). Histones H2A, H2B, H3,

H4 (Accession # L41841) genes in the volvocine algae were identified using the reciprocal best

BLAST hit method with a e-value cutoff of 1e-5. H2A, H2B, H3 and H4 genes were further

filtered using e-values of 1e-10 and quantified (Figure 2E panels 3-6, Figure 2F first panel, Table

S5). The first 30 amino acids from each histone were used for analysis of duplication and

presence of predicted post-translational modification sites (lysine methylation and acetylation,

arginine methylation) using PmeS (Shi et al., 2012b) and PLMLA (Shi et al., 2012a)

respectively. N-tails per histone per species that had different predicted sites with SVM >=0.6

were considered tail variants and quantified (Figure 2F second panel, Table S5). Histone genes

were also identified in the five red algae genomes using gene definitions from the *Chondrus*

genome annotation (Table S6). Nine internal control genes, Actin, α-2 Tubulin, ATP-A, ATP-B,

β-1 Tubulin, β-2 Tubulin, Dynein heavy chain 1α, Dynein heavy chain 1β and Ferridoxin were

also identified in the volvocine algae genomes using the reciprocal best BLAST hit method

(Table S3).

Identification of gene count trends

Rate of gene number change was calculated by applying a linear regression model on the

relationship between gene counts per orthologous group per species and distance of said species

from their Last Common Ancestor (LCA, Figure 1A). Rate of change values per orthologous

group were subjected to quartile analysis to identify outlier groups (Figure 2A). This same

analysis was performed on Pfam domains (Figure 2B), transcription factors (Figure 2C), and

protein kinases (Figure 2D) for volvocine species and for red algae species. Regression and

quartile analyses were performed using custom Python scripts.

Identification of positively selected genes

Genes with dN/dS value > 1 were identified using the PosiGene pipeline (Sahm, Bens, Platzer, &

Szafranski, 2017). Two independent PosiGene analyses were performed using *Chlamydomonas*

and *Volvox* genome annotations as anchors. Chlorophytes *Chlorella variabilis*, *Micromonas*

pusilla, *Ostreococcus tauri* and *Chromochloris zofingiensis* were used as outgroups. Similarly,

the red algae genes under positive selection were identified using *Porphyra umbilicalis* as anchor

species as it has previously been reported to be closest to the LCA of red algae (Alexander,

Yang, & Hinds, 2003; Yang et al., 2016). As a part of the workflow, PosiGene generates a

phylogenetic tree from the isoform assignments (Figure 1A, Supplementary figure S17).

Distances from LCA were calculated using these trees.

Characterization of gene loss patterns

To evaluate annotation completeness, BUSCO v4.0.2 (Seppey M., 2019) was run in protein

mode using the Chlorophyta lineage dataset for each volvocine species.

Orthologous groups showing significant contraction (Figure 2A) were selected for gene

loss analysis based on whether they included *Chlamydomonas* genes. *Chlamydomonas* loci that

were not assigned to chromosomes were not analyzed further. Orthologous groups that included

Chlamydomonas loci with the aforementioned characteristics (target loci) served as anchors for

obtention of genes up and downstream, called the 'syntenic neighborhood'. Orthologs for loci in

the syntenic neighborhood, as well as target loci for *Gonium, Yamagishiella, Eudorina* and

Volvox were retrieved from their respective orthologous groups. Instances of no ortholog found

for target loci were subjected to tBLASTx (Altschul, Gish, Miller, Myers, & Lipman, 1990)

2.2.18+ searches using tailored databases based on syntenic neighborhoods for each species.

Non-informative (loss of syntenic neighborhoods or no BLAST results) genes were omitted from

further analyses, but only comprised 21.9% of the total dataset. tBLASTx hits were filtered for

quality (e-value < 1e-5). Hits passing quality threshold were considered to be evidence of a gene

remnant suggestive of loss via decay. Genes with no hits passing quality threshold and

conserving synteny are candidate deleted genes. Decayed and deleted genes were assigned to

bins corresponding to their pattern of absence (e.g. a gene lost by whichever mechanism only in

Gonium, but present in the other clades; see Figure 3C and Table S7), or by type of event, where

decayed gene clusters consist of orthologs with at least one signature of decay in multicellular

species, and deleted gene clusters are those where all losses happen via deletion (Figure 3B).

Percentages of decay and deletion cases were calculated, and Chi square goodness-of-fit tests

were applied to determine statistical significance of observed patterns using a uniform

distribution as expected probability distribution (Table S7). All analyses except for tBLASTx

search were performed using custom Python scripts.

Identification and analysis of known co-opted genes

VARL genes from *Yamagishiella* and *Eudorina* were previously described (Grochau-

Wright et al., 2017; M. M. Kirk et al., 1999). Cell cycle genes in *Yamagishiella* and *Eudorina*

genomes were annotated as previously described (Hanschen et al., 2016; Prochnik et al., 2010)

using tBLASTn. Transcriptome data and manual curation (Hanschen et al., 2016; Prochnik et al.,

2010) was used to prepare gene models. Known pherophorins are composed of two pherophorin

domains (Pfam DUF3707) that are connected by a variable length hydroxyproline-rich repeat

region (D. L. Kirk, Birchem, & King, 1986). All genes containing two or more pherophorin

domains were identified as pherophorin genes. Matrix metalloproteases (MMPs) contain a single

metalloprotease domain (Peptidase M11, PF05548). To identify the MMP genes in the five

genomes, the genes containing this Pfam domain were selected for the existence of the

[HQ]EXXHXXGXXH motif in the gene model (Hallmann, 2003). The *invA, invB, invC* and *glsA*

genes were identified in *Yamagishiella* and *Eudorina* by the presence of kinesin (PF00225) and

DnaJ (PF00226) domains respectively (Cheng et al., 2003; Nishii et al., 2003). To identify the

MMP genes in the five genomes, the genes containing this Pfam domain were selected for the

existence of the [HQ]EXXHXXGXXH motif in the gene model (Hallmann, 2003). The *invA,*

invB, invC and *glsA* genes were identified in *Yamagishiella* and *Eudorina* by the presence of

kinesin (PF00225) and DnaJ (PF00226) domains respectively (Cheng et al., 2003; Nishii et al.,

2003).

Prediction of lineage specific genes

Phylostratigraphy (Domazet-Loso, Brajkovic, & Tautz, 2007) was used to predict the

lineage of genes in the volvocine algae genomes as described previously (Hanschen et al., 2016).

The phylogenetic classes of volvocine algae were defined in the input data as per NCBI

taxonomy. All protein sequences were searched against the NCBI non-redundant database from

version 2.6+(Altschul et al., 1990), with an e-value cutoff of 1e-3. Phylostratigraphic

classification of each gene ranged from PS-1 to PS-9. Gene level phylotratigraphic classification

was converted to Pfam domain level classification.Phylostratigraphy (Domazet-Loso et al.,

2007) was used to predict the lineage of genes in the volvocine algae genomes as described

previously (Hanschen et al., 2016). The phylogenetic classes of volvocine algae were defined in

the input data as per NCBI taxonomy. All protein sequences were searched against the NCBI

non-redundant database from version 2.6+(Altschul et al., 1990), with an e-value cutoff of 1e-3.

Phylostratigraphic classification of each gene ranged from PS-1 to PS-9. Gene level

phylotratigraphic classification was converted to Pfam domain level classification.

Identification of protein disorder and protein binding site disorder

Protein disorder was calculated using DISOPRED3 (Jones & Cozzetto, 2015; Ward,

McGuffin, Bryson, Buxton, & Jones, 2004), that yields percentage disorder in each protein

sequence as well as that of protein binding sites. The frequency distribution of percentage protein

disorder was calculated and plotted.

2D gel electrophoresis and silver stain

448   Approximately 10^6 cells/colonies of *Chlamydomonas*, *Gonium,* and *Eudorina* were

449 pelleted and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. Cells were lysed by six rounds of liquid nitrogen

450 freeze/thaw lysis in in low salt TBS (50 mM Tris, 50 mM NaCl, pH 7.5) and

451 protease/phosphatase inhibitors (Plant Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma, Burlington,

452 Massachusetts), 1 mM Benzamidine, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM Na_3VO_4, 5 mM NaF, 0.5 mM β-

453 glycerophosphate, 0.1 mM EDTA) (Olson et al., 2010). Insoluble material was pelleted by

454 centrifugation at 20,000 **g** for 10 min at 4°C. Supernatant was removed and protein content was

455 quantified by Bradford assay (BioRad, Hercules, California)(Olson & Markwell, 2007). For blue

456 native PAGE, 20 μg of protein per well was loaded into a 4-12% native PAGE gel (Fisher,

457 Waltham, Massachusetts) with native running buffer (Fisher) and light blue buffer at the cathode

458 (Fisher). Gel was run until the Coomassie dye front had exited the gel. Lanes were excised using

459 a razor and incubated in 1x Tris-MOPS running buffer (Genscript, Piscataway, New Jersey) with

460 100 mM DTT and 5 mM sodium bisulfite at 55°C for 10 min. Gel slice was loaded into a

461 NuPAGE 4-12% Bis-Tris gel (Fisher) and run with Tris-MOPS running buffer with 5 mM

462 sodium bisulfite in the cathode buffer until the blue dye front had exited the gel. Silver staining

463 was carried out using a Silver Staining Kit (Pierce) according to manufacturer's protocols.

464 **Western blot and antibodies**

465   Western transfer was performed in Tris-Glycine transfer buffer to a nitrocellulose

466 membrane (0.45 μm pore, Pall Scientific, Port Washington, New York)(Olson et al., 2010).

467 Membranes were blocked in 1% milk (α-tubulin) or 5% BSA (β-Actin) for 1 hr. Primary

468 antibodies (mouse anti-α tubulin, Sigma T6074 1:5000; mouse anti-β actin HRP, Santa Cruz sc-

469 47778 HRP, 1:5000, Dallas, Texas) were incubated at 4°C overnight with gentle shaking.

470 Membranes were washed with TBS 0.1% Tween-20 (TBST) and tubulin blots were probed with

anti-mouse secondary (Pierce 31430, Rockford, Illinois) for 1 hr. Actin blots did not require a

secondary antibody, as mouse anti-β actin is already HRP conjugated. Membranes were

developed using Premium Western Blotting Reagent (LI-COR, Lincoln, Nebraska) and scanned

for chemiluminescence on a C-Digit Scanner (LI-COR).

Phylogenetic analysis

In order to determine phylogenetic relationships, the genes from all five volvocine

genomes and *Coccomyxa subellipsoidea* (Chlorophyta, GCF_000258705.1) were aligned using

MUSCLE v3.8.425 (Edgar, 2004) and a phylogenetic tree was produced using RaxML v8

(Stamatakis, 2014) with protein gamma model and automatic model selection. A rapid

bootstrapping analysis with 1000 bootstrap replicates was performed and a majority ruled

consensus tree was produced.

Regulatory network model

A Wagner regulatory network model (Wagner, 1994) was designed as follows: a gene

network of N genes whose on or off expression state at a time t is given as:

$$\vec{S}(t) := \left(S_1(t), \dots, S_N(t)\right)$$

Where $S_i(t)$ is the expression state of the ith gene at time t, $S_i{=}0$ reflects an off state, and $S_i{=}1$

reflects an on state. The state $S_i(t)$ is determined by the network of interactions affecting gene i.

The expression state of gene i can be changed due to regulatory interactions. These changes can

be modelled as a set of differential equations:

$$S_i(t + \tau) = \sigma\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{ij} S_j(t)\right]$$

Here, $\sigma(x)$ is the sign function similar to Wagner's model and w_{ij} is the weight of interaction

between gene i and gene j. The connectivity matrix is given by w. Overall connectivity of the

network (c) is given by the average fraction of entries in w that are different from zeros (i.e. $c \in$

$(0,1)$). The effect of gene duplication on a random network was assessed as in Wagner (Wagner,

1994).

The effect of gene deletion on a random network was assessed as follows. A network of

size N was considered. The initial and final states of the gene network were randomly chosen

where each individual gene has an equal probability of being on or off (i.e. $p(S_i(0) = 1) =$

$p\left(S_i^{eq} = 1\right) = 0.5$). Entries in w were randomly and independently chosen from the Gaussian

distribution ($\mu = 0, \sigma = 1$). Only weight matrices that lead to stable expression patterns ($\vec{S}^{eq}$) as

$t \to \infty$ were retained. We iterated the differential equation 100 times to confirm if w results in

$\vec{S}^{eq}$ when the initial state is $\vec{S}(0)$. If not, a new w was chosen. Once the network

set ($\vec{S}(0), w, \vec{S}^{eq}$) was found, k genes ($k \in (1,9)$) were randomly deleted from the $\vec{S}(0)$ to give

$\vec{S}^{\Delta}(0)$. This was done by randomly setting the expression state of the k genes to 0. The

connectivity matrix w was updated such that the effect of remaining genes were set to 0.

However, the effect of the deleted genes on the remaining genes were randomly selected from

the Gaussian distribution. The updated connectivity matrix w was then used to simulate the new

final expression state $\vec{S}^{\Delta eq}( )$. Hamming distance (d_h) was calculated between $\vec{S}^{\Delta eq}$ and $\vec{S}^{eq}$. For

each k, 1000 iterations of simulation were done. The effect of gene deletion was reported as the

proportion of instances where $d_h > k$. Calculation of proportion of novel stable networks for

gene gain and loss was performed using custom scripts.

Acknowledgments

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant

Nos. MCB-1715894 and MCB-1412738. We wish to acknowledge Professors Hisayoshi Nozaki

and Takashi Hamaji for kindly sharing valuable data with us, Hunter Goddard for providing

insight on custom scripts, and Professors Stephen Miller, Christopher Toomajian, and Stephanie

Shames for their feedback on early drafts of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Adami, C., Ofria, C., & Collier, T. C. (2000). Evolution of biological complexity. *Proc Natl Acad*
Sci U S A, 97(9), 4463-4468. doi:10.1073/pnas.97.9.4463
Adler, M., Anjum, M., Berg, O. G., Andersson, D. I., & Sandegren, L. (2014). High fitness costs
and instability of gene duplications reduce rates of evolution of new genes by
duplication-divergence mechanisms. *Mol Biol Evol, 31*(6), 1526-1535.
doi:10.1093/molbev/msu111
Albalat, R., & Canestro, C. (2016). Evolution by gene loss. *Nat Rev Genet, 17*(7), 379-391.
doi:10.1038/nrg.2016.39
Alexander, K., Yang, H. S., & Hinds, P. W. (2003). pRb inactivation in senescent cells leads to
an E2F-dependent apoptosis requiring p73. *Mol Cancer Res, 1*(10), 716-728.
Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., & Lipman, D. J. (1990). Basic local alignment
search tool. *J Mol Biol, 215*(3), 403-410. doi:10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2
Bhattacharya, D., Price, D. C., Chan, C. X., Qiu, H., Rose, N., Ball, S., . . . Yoon, H. S. (2013).
Genome of the red alga Porphyridium purpureum. *Nat Commun, 4*, 1941.
doi:10.1038/ncomms2931
Bhattacharya, D., Qiu, H., Lee, J., Yoon, H. S., Weber, A. P. M., & Price, D. C. (2018). When
Less is More: Red Algae as Models for Studying Gene Loss and Genome Evolution in
Eukaryotes. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences, 37*(1), 81-99.
doi:10.1080/07352689.2018.1482364
Blaby, I. K., Blaby-Haas, C. E., Tourasse, N., Hom, E. F., Lopez, D., Aksoy, M., . . . Prochnik, S.
(2014). The Chlamydomonas genome project: a decade on. *Trends Plant Sci, 19*(10),
672-680. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2014.05.008
Blanc, G., Duncan, G., Agarkova, I., Borodovsky, M., Gurnon, J., Kuo, A., . . . Van Etten, J. L.
(2010). The Chlorella variabilis NC64A genome reveals adaptation to photosymbiosis,
coevolution with viruses, and cryptic sex. *Plant Cell, 22*(9), 2943-2955.
doi:10.1105/tpc.110.076406
Bolotin, E., & Hershberg, R. (2015). Gene Loss Dominates As a Source of Genetic Variation
within Clonal Pathogenic Bacterial Species. *Genome Biol Evol, 7*(8), 2173-2187.
doi:10.1093/gbe/evv135
Bowles, A. M. C., Bechtold, U., & Paps, J. (2019). The Origin of Land Plants Is Rooted in Two
Bursts of Genomic Novelty. *Curr Biol*. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2019.11.090
Brawley, S. H., Blouin, N. A., Ficko-Blean, E., Wheeler, G. L., Lohr, M., Goodson, H. V., . . .
Prochnik, S. E. (2017). Insights into the red algae and eukaryotic evolution from the
genome of Porphyra umbilicalis (Bangiophyceae, Rhodophyta). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S*
A, 114(31), E6361-E6370. doi:10.1073/pnas.1703088114
Carroll, S. B. (2001). Chance and necessity: the evolution of morphological complexity and
diversity. *Nature, 409*(6823), 1102-1109. doi:10.1038/35059227
Cheng, Q., Fowler, R., Tam, L. W., Edwards, L., & Miller, S. M. (2003). The role of GlsA in the
evolution of asymmetric cell division in the green alga Volvox carteri. *Dev Genes Evol,*
213(7), 328-335. doi:10.1007/s00427-003-0332-x

Coleman, A. W. (2012). A Comparative Analysis of the Volvocaceae (Chlorophyta)(1). *J Phycol, 48*(3), 491-513. doi:10.1111/j.1529-8817.2012.01168.x

Collen, J., Porcel, B., Carre, W., Ball, S. G., Chaparro, C., Tonon, T., . . . Boyen, C. (2013). Genome structure and metabolic features in the red seaweed Chondrus crispus shed light on evolution of the Archaeplastida. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 110*(13), 5247-5252. doi:10.1073/pnas.1221259110

Domazet-Loso, T., Brajkovic, J., & Tautz, D. (2007). A phylostratigraphy approach to uncover the genomic history of major adaptations in metazoan lineages. *Trends Genet, 23*(11), 533-539. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2007.08.014

Dunker, A. K., Garner, E., Guilliot, S., Romero, P., Albrecht, K., Hart, J., . . . Villafranca, J. E. (1998). Protein disorder and the evolution of molecular recognition: theory, predictions and observations. *Pac Symp Biocomput*, 473-484.

Dunker, A. K., Oldfield, C. J., Meng, J., Romero, P., Yang, J. Y., Chen, J. W., . . . Uversky, V. N. (2008). The unfoldomics decade: an update on intrinsically disordered proteins. *BMC Genomics, 9 Suppl 2*, S1. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-9-S2-S1

Edgar, R. C. (2004). MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time and space complexity. *BMC Bioinformatics, 5*(1), 113. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-5-113

El-Gebali, S., Mistry, J., Bateman, A., Eddy, S. R., Luciani, A., Potter, S. C., . . . Finn, R. D. (2019). The Pfam protein families database in 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res, 47*(D1), D427-D432. doi:10.1093/nar/gky995

Fernandez, R., & Gabaldon, T. (2020). Gene gain and loss across the metazoan tree of life. *Nat Ecol Evol, 4*(4), 524-533. doi:10.1038/s41559-019-1069-x

Finn, R. D., Bateman, A., Clements, J., Coggill, P., Eberhardt, R. Y., Eddy, S. R., . . . Punta, M. (2014). Pfam: the protein families database. *Nucleic Acids Res, 42*(Database issue), D222-230. doi:10.1093/nar/gkt1223

Gaiti, F., Jindrich, K., Fernandez-Valverde, S. L., Roper, K. E., Degnan, B. M., & Tanurdzic, M. (2017). Landscape of histone modifications in a sponge reveals the origin of animal cis-regulatory complexity. *Elife, 6*, e22194. doi:10.7554/eLife.22194

Go, Y., Satta, Y., Takenaka, O., & Takahata, N. (2005). Lineage-specific loss of function of bitter taste receptor genes in humans and nonhuman primates. *Genetics, 170*(1), 313-326. doi:10.1534/genetics.104.037523

Goodstein, D. M., Shu, S., Howson, R., Neupane, R., Hayes, R. D., Fazo, J., . . . Rokhsar, D. S. (2012). Phytozome: a comparative platform for green plant genomics. *Nucleic Acids Res, 40*(Database issue), D1178-1186. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr944

Grochau-Wright, Z. I., Hanschen, E. R., Ferris, P. J., Hamaji, T., Nozaki, H., Olson, B., & Michod, R. E. (2017). Genetic basis for soma is present in undifferentiated volvocine green algae. *J Evol Biol, 30*(6), 1205-1218. doi:10.1111/jeb.13100

Grosberg, R. K., & Strathmann, R. R. (2007). The Evolution of Multicellularity: A Minor Major Transition? *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 38*(1), 621-654. doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.36.102403.114735

Guijarro-Clarke, C., Holland, P. W. H., & Paps, J. (2020). Widespread patterns of gene loss in the evolution of the animal kingdom. *Nat Ecol Evol, 4*(4), 519-523. doi:10.1038/s41559-020-1129-2

Hallmann, A. (2003). Extracellular matrix and sex-inducing pheromone in Volvox. *Int Rev Cytol, 227*, 131-182. doi:10.1016/S0074-7696(03)01009-X

Hamaji, T., Kawai-Toyooka, H., Uchimura, H., Suzuki, M., Noguchi, H., Minakuchi, Y., . . . Nozaki, H. (2018). Anisogamy evolved with a reduced sex-determining region in volvocine green algae. *Commun Biol, 1*, 17. doi:10.1038/s42003-018-0019-5

Hanschen, E. R., Herron, M. D., Wiens, J. J., Nozaki, H., & Michod, R. E. (2018a). Multicellularity Drives the Evolution of Sexual Traits. *Am Nat, 192*(3), E93-E105. doi:10.1086/698301

Hanschen, E. R., Herron, M. D., Wiens, J. J., Nozaki, H., & Michod, R. E. (2018b). Repeated
evolution and reversibility of self-fertilization in the volvocine green algae. *Evolution,*
72(2), 386-398. doi:10.1111/evo.13394
Hanschen, E. R., Marriage, T. N., Ferris, P. J., Hamaji, T., Toyoda, A., Fujiyama, A., . . . Olson,
B. J. (2016). The Gonium pectorale genome demonstrates co-option of cell cycle
regulation during the evolution of multicellularity. *Nat Commun, 7*, 11370.
doi:10.1038/ncomms11370
Herron, M. D., Hackett, J. D., Aylward, F. O., & Michod, R. E. (2009). Triassic origin and early
radiation of multicellular volvocine algae. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 106*(9), 3254-3258.
doi:10.1073/pnas.0811205106
Herron, M. D., & Michod, R. E. (2008). Evolution of complexity in the volvocine algae: transitions
in individuality through Darwin's eye. *Evolution, 62*(2), 436-451. doi:10.1111/j.1558-
5646.2007.00304.x
Jones, D. T., & Cozzetto, D. (2015). DISOPRED3: precise disordered region predictions with
annotated protein-binding activity. *Bioinformatics, 31*(6), 857-863.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu744
Kirk, D. L. (2005). A twelve-step program for evolving multicellularity and a division of labor.
BioEssays, 27(3), 299-310. doi:10.1002/bies.20197
Kirk, D. L., Birchem, R., & King, N. (1986). The extracellular matrix of Volvox: a comparative
study and proposed system of nomenclature. *J Cell Sci, 80*, 207-231.
Kirk, D. L., & Nishii, I. (2001). Volvox carteri as a model for studying the genetic and cytological
control of morphogenesis. *Development Growth & Differentiation, 43*(6), 621-631.
doi:10.1046/j.1440-169X.2001.00612.x
Kirk, M. M., Stark, K., Miller, S. M., Muller, W., Taillon, B. E., Gruber, H., . . . Kirk, D. L. (1999).
regA, a Volvox gene that plays a central role in germ-soma differentiation, encodes a
novel regulatory protein. *Development, 126*(4), 639-647.
Knoll, A. H. (2011). The Multiple Origins of Complex Multicellularity. *Annual Review of Earth and*
Planetary Sciences, Vol 39, 39(1), 217-239. doi:10.1146/annurev.earth.031208.100209
Kvitek, D. J., & Sherlock, G. (2013). Whole genome, whole population sequencing reveals that
loss of signaling networks is the major adaptive strategy in a constant environment.
PLoS Genet, 9(11), e1003972. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003972
Li, L., Stoeckert, C. J., Jr., & Roos, D. S. (2003). OrthoMCL: identification of ortholog groups for
eukaryotic genomes. *Genome Res, 13*(9), 2178-2189. doi:10.1101/gr.1224503
Lopez-Escardo, D., Grau-Bove, X., Guillaumet-Adkins, A., Gut, M., Sieracki, M. E., & Ruiz-Trillo,
I. (2019). Reconstruction of protein domain evolution using single-cell amplified
genomes of uncultured choanoflagellates sheds light on the origin of animals. *Philos*
Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci, 374(1786), 20190088. doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0088
Merchant, S. S., Prochnik, S. E., Vallon, O., Harris, E. H., Karpowicz, S. J., Witman, G. B., . . .
Grossman, A. R. (2007). The Chlamydomonas genome reveals the evolution of key
animal and plant functions. *Science, 318*(5848), 245-250. doi:10.1126/science.1143609
Nakamura, Y., Sasaki, N., Kobayashi, M., Ojima, N., Yasuike, M., Shigenobu, Y., . . . Ikeo, K.
(2013). The first symbiont-free genome sequence of marine red alga, Susabi-nori
(Pyropia yezoensis). *PLoS One, 8*(3), e57122. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057122
Nishii, I., Ogihara, S., & Kirk, D. L. (2003). A kinesin, invA, plays an essential role in volvox
morphogenesis. *Cell, 113*(6), 743-753. doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(03)00431-8
Nozaki, H., Misawa, K., Kajita, T., Kato, M., Nohara, S., & Watanabe, M. M. (2000). Origin and
evolution of the colonial volvocales (Chlorophyceae) as inferred from multiple,
chloroplast gene sequences. *Mol Phylogenet Evol, 17*(2), 256-268.
doi:10.1006/mpev.2000.0831

Nozaki, H., Misumi, O., & Kuroiwa, T. (2003). Phylogeny of the quadriflagellate Volvocales (Chlorophyceae) based on chloroplast multigene sequences. *Mol Phylogenet Evol, 29*(1), 58-66. doi:10.1016/S1055-7903(03)00089-7

Nozaki, H., Takano, H., Misumi, O., Terasawa, K., Matsuzaki, M., Maruyama, S., . . . Kuroiwa, T. (2007). A 100%-complete sequence reveals unusually simple genomic features in the hot-spring red alga Cyanidioschyzon merolae. *BMC Biol, 5*, 28. doi:10.1186/1741-7007-5-28

Olson, B. J., & Markwell, J. (2007). Assays for determination of protein concentration. *Curr Protoc Protein Sci, Chapter 3*(September), Unit 3 4. doi:10.1002/0471140864.ps0304s48

Olson, B. J., & Nedelcu, A. M. (2016). Co-option during the evolution of multicellular and developmental complexity in the volvocine green algae. *Curr Opin Genet Dev, 39*, 107-115. doi:10.1016/j.gde.2016.06.003

Olson, B. J., Oberholzer, M., Li, Y., Zones, J. M., Kohli, H. S., Bisova, K., . . . Umen, J. G. (2010). Regulation of the Chlamydomonas cell cycle by a stable, chromatin-associated retinoblastoma tumor suppressor complex. *Plant Cell, 22*(10), 3331-3347. doi:10.1105/tpc.110.076067

Palenik, B., Grimwood, J., Aerts, A., Rouze, P., Salamov, A., Putnam, N., . . . Grigoriev, I. V. (2007). The tiny eukaryote Ostreococcus provides genomic insights into the paradox of plankton speciation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 104*(18), 7705-7710. doi:10.1073/pnas.0611046104

Prochnik, S. E., Umen, J., Nedelcu, A. M., Hallmann, A., Miller, S. M., Nishii, I., . . . Rokhsar, D. S. (2010). Genomic analysis of organismal complexity in the multicellular green alga Volvox carteri. *Science, 329*(5988), 223-226. doi:10.1126/science.1188800

Roth, M. S., Cokus, S. J., Gallaher, S. D., Walter, A., Lopez, D., Erickson, E., . . . Niyogi, K. K. (2017). Chromosome-level genome assembly and transcriptome of the green alga Chromochloris zofingiensis illuminates astaxanthin production. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 114*(21), E4296-E4305. doi:10.1073/pnas.1619928114

Sahm, A., Bens, M., Platzer, M., & Szafranski, K. (2017). PosiGene: automated and easy-to-use pipeline for genome-wide detection of positively selected genes. *Nucleic Acids Res, 45*(11), e100. doi:10.1093/nar/gkx179

Seppey M., M. M., Zdobnov E.M. . (2019). BUSCO: Assessing Genome Assembly and Annotation Completeness. *Methods in Molecular Biology, 1962*.

Shi, S. P., Qiu, J. D., Sun, X. Y., Suo, S. B., Huang, S. Y., & Liang, R. P. (2012a). PLMLA: prediction of lysine methylation and lysine acetylation by combining multiple features. *Mol Biosyst, 8*(5), 1520-1527. doi:10.1039/c2mb05502c

Shi, S. P., Qiu, J. D., Sun, X. Y., Suo, S. B., Huang, S. Y., & Liang, R. P. (2012b). PMeS: prediction of methylation sites based on enhanced feature encoding scheme. *PLoS One, 7*(6), e38772. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0038772

Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics, 30*(9), 1312-1313. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033

Stanke, M., & Morgenstern, B. (2005). AUGUSTUS: a web server for gene prediction in eukaryotes that allows user-defined constraints. *Nucleic Acids Res, 33*(Web Server issue), W465-467. doi:10.1093/nar/gki458

Trigos, A. S., Pearson, R. B., Papenfuss, A. T., & Goode, D. L. (2018). How the evolution of multicellularity set the stage for cancer. *Br J Cancer, 118*(2), 145-152. doi:10.1038/bjc.2017.398

Wagner, A. (1994). Evolution of gene networks by gene duplications: a mathematical model and its implications on genome organization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 91*(10), 4387-4391. doi:10.1073/pnas.91.10.4387

Ward, J. J., McGuffin, L. J., Bryson, K., Buxton, B. F., & Jones, D. T. (2004). The DISOPRED
server for the prediction of protein disorder. *Bioinformatics, 20*(13), 2138-2139.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bth195
Waterborg, J. H., Robertson, A. J., Tatar, D. L., Borza, C. M., & Davie, J. R. (1995). Histones of
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Synthesis, acetylation, and methylation. *Plant Physiol,*
109(2), 393-407. doi:10.1104/pp.109.2.393
Worden, A. Z., Lee, J. H., Mock, T., Rouze, P., Simmons, M. P., Aerts, A. L., . . . Grigoriev, I. V.
(2009). Green evolution and dynamic adaptations revealed by genomes of the marine
picoeukaryotes Micromonas. *Science, 324*(5924), 268-272.
doi:10.1126/science.1167222
Yamashita, S., Arakaki, Y., Kawai-Toyooka, H., Noga, A., Hirono, M., & Nozaki, H. (2016).
Alternative evolution of a spheroidal colony in volvocine algae: developmental analysis
of embryogenesis in Astrephomene (Volvocales, Chlorophyta). *BMC Evol Biol, 16*(1),
243. doi:10.1186/s12862-016-0794-x
Yang, E. C., Boo, S. M., Bhattacharya, D., Saunders, G. W., Knoll, A. H., Fredericq, S., . . .
Yoon, H. S. (2016). Divergence time estimates and the evolution of major lineages in the
florideophyte red algae. *Sci Rep, 6*, 21361. doi:10.1038/srep21361
Zheng, Y., Jiao, C., Sun, H., Rosli, H. G., Pombo, M. A., Zhang, P., . . . Fei, Z. (2016). iTAK: A
Program for Genome-wide Prediction and Classification of Plant Transcription Factors,
Transcriptional Regulators, and Protein Kinases. *Mol Plant, 9*(12), 1667-1670.
doi:10.1016/j.molp.2016.09.014

745