Temporal enhancement of cross-adaptation between density and size perception

based on the theory of magnitude

Rumi Hisakata and Hirohiko Kaneko

School of Engineering, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Kanagawa, Japan

* Rumi Hisakata,

Email: hisakata.r.aa@m.titech.ac.jp

Rumi Hisakata: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7502-4053

Keywords

spatial estimation, density adaptation, temporal enhancement, theory of magnitude.

Author Contributions

Rumi Hisakata: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Investigation, Visualization, Writing-

Original draft preparation, Writing- Reviewing and Editing, Funding acquisition.

Hirohiko Kaneko: Conceptualization, Methodology, Visualization, Supervision, Writing-

Reviewing and Editing.

Abstract

The estimation of spatial distances is one of the most important perceptual outputs of

vision and can easily be deduced even with detached objects. However, how the visual

system encodes distances between objects and object sizes is unclear. Hisakata, Nishida,

and Johnston (2016) reported a new adaptation effect, in which the perceived distance

between objects and the size of an object shrink after adaptation to a dense texture. They

proposed that the internal representation of density plays a role in a spatial metric system

that measures distance and size. According to the theory of magnitude (Walsh, 2003), the

estimation of spatial extent (distance and size) shares common metrics with the

estimation of temporal length and numerosity magnitudes and is processed at the same

stage. Here, we show the existence of temporal enhancement in cross-adaptation between

density and size perception. We used the staircase method to measure the temporal

property. The test stimuli were two circles, and the adapting stimulus had a dotted texture.

The adapting texture refreshed every 100 or 300 ms, or not at all (static), during the adaptation. The results showed that the aftereffects from a refreshing stimulus were larger than those under the static condition. On the other hand, density adaptation lacked such enhancement. This result indicates that repetitive presentation of an adapting texture enhanced the density–size cross-aftereffect. According to the theory of magnitude, a common mechanism encodes spatial and temporal magnitude estimation and the adaptation to temporal density explains this cross-adaptation enhancement.

Introduction

We can visually estimate distances between trees in a park even though there is nothing in that space. It is strange that our visual system can estimate "vacant space" despite it receiving no signal from that space. Concerning the estimation of vacancy, Hisakata, Nishida, and Johnston (2016) reported a new adaptation effect, called the density–size aftereffect, in which the perceived distance shrinks after adaptation to a dense texture (1). They argued that this indicates that density plays a role as a metric for the estimation of distance between objects, and that adaptation to a dense texture reduces the scale, resulting in the distance between objects appearing smaller. In other words, apparent density mirrors the change in the scale of the metric itself. This property of adaptation is different from that of traditional size or spatial frequency adaptation, in which the direction of perceptual change is opposite to that of the adapting stimulus. Therefore, the representation of size or spatial frequency at early visual stages should remain unchanged even if the estimated distance between objects becomes shorter. In which case, at which stage is the visual metric read?

Concerning the estimation of space, time, and numerosity, Walsh (2003) proposed the theory of magnitude (TOM), a conceptual framework that assumes that the processing of magnitude estimation of space, time, and numbers share a common cortical basis (2). Regarding the cortical area related to the TOM, the intraparietal sulcus (IPS) seems to be responsible for magnitude estimation because many physiological studies have shown that the judgement of size or orientation, such as the bisection task, and the estimation of lengths of line, numerosity, and duration all activate this region (e.g. 3–5). Researchers have claimed that the magnitude estimation system in the IPS gathers sensory signals from cortical areas with different modalities and then makes a common representation of their magnitudes for action (2, 6, 7). It is likely that this common magnitude processing

system reads the special metric represented by density information in the perceptual
system.
Concerning apparent numerosity, Burr and Ross (2008) reported that the apparent
numerosity of texture decreases (increases) after adaptation to a large (small) number,
indicating that the system for estimating numbers is adaptable (8). Other studies showed
that this aftereffect occurs in a higher cortical area, such as the IPS (3, 9, 10); therefore, it
is likely that a common system for magnitude estimation is adopted in the numerosity
aftereffect. Recently, Aagten-Murphy and Burr (2016) reported that the numerosity
aftereffect increases with repetition of the adapting stimulus, not with an increase in the
adaptation duration (11). This is noteworthy; the fact that the number of repetitions, not
the duration, is crucial for the numerosity aftereffect indicates that apparent numerosity is
represented in higher-order mechanisms.
In this study, we investigated the effects of both the repetition and duration of an adapting
stimulus on the density–size aftereffect. If the density–size aftereffect demonstrated in
Hisakata et al. (2016) shares common mechanisms with the numerosity aftereffect
mentioned above, it should have a temporal property similar to that of the latter. In other
words, the repetition, not the duration, of an adapting texture should increase
adaptation-induced space compression, as with numerosity adaptation. In experiment 1,
we measured the timecourse of the density–size aftereffect in a similar way as that
employed by Aagten-Murphy and Burr (2016) for the numerosity aftereffect. We
manipulated both the presented repetition number and duration of the adapting texture
and measured the perceived size of an object before and after adaptation. In experiment 2,
we directly examined the effect of repetition of the adapting texture on both size and
density perceptions.
90
Results
In experiment 1, we measured the perceived size of a circle before and after adaptation to
examine the timecourse of the density aftereffect. During a session, two circles were
presented in the left and right visual fields and an observer judged which circle was larger
(Fig. 1a). In the first 60 trials, the test stimulus alone was presented repeatedly in the left
and right visual fields for 200 ms (Fig. 1b). In the middle 60 trials, an adapting texture
was inserted between successive test stimulus presentations. In the last 120 trials, again
only the test stimulus was presented. One session was composed of one staircase, so that

we could track the shift in perceived size during the session. We manipulated the duration

and repetition number of the adapting stimulus to examine which factor was crucial for

the aftereffect. We had two duration conditions, 1 or 5 s, and three repetition conditions,

in which both the position and luminance of the texture were refreshed every 100 or

300 ms or were not refreshed (static).

Figure 1. a) Example of an adapting texture (left panel) and the test stimulus (right panel).

b) Sequence for one experimental session. In the baseline and post-adaptation trials, only

the test stimulus was presented. In the adaptation trials, an adapting texture was presented

between presentations of the test stimulus. The size of the comparison stimulus was

manipulated according to the staircase method in a one-up, one-down manner.

Fig. 2a shows the changes in perceived size before, during, and after texture adaptation

under all refresh conditions for each observer. Red and green lines in each panel show the

results of the adaptation duration conditions of 1 and 5 s, respectively. The perceived size

of a circle presented on the adapted side shrank in the adaptation phase under all

conditions. Interestingly, the shrinkage reached the maximum value right after the

beginning of the adaptation phase.

Figure 2. a) Averaged timecourse data of perceived size before, during, and after density

adaptation for each observer (each indicated by a pair of letters). In (a) and (d), each panel

shows the results under each refresh condition; light red and blue areas indicate the

adaptation duration conditions of 1 and 5 s, respectively. Red and blue solid lines indicate

functions fitted to the data of the adapting conditions, 1 and 5 s, respectively.

b) Estimated amplitude of the density–size aftereffect with a bootstrap simulation with

10,000 runs. In (b) and (e), the amplitude was estimated using the median of the

histogram from non-parametric bootstrapping. The error bars in (b) and (c) indicate 95%

confidence intervals estimated with the percentile bootstrap method. c) Averaged

parameters across the observers with the bootstrap simulation run 10,000 times.

d) Averaged timecourse data of perceived density before, during, and after adaptation for

each observer. e) Estimated amplitude of density–density aftereffects with a bootstrap

simulation with 10,000 runs.

To show the timecourse of the adaptation effect quantitatively, we fitted a function (see

Materials and Methods) and calculated the amplitudes and rise and decay times. After this

fitting, we assessed whether differences were significant based on the overlap between

95% confidence intervals estimated with the percentile bootstrap method. The amplitudes

of shrinkage were larger for the 5-s adaptation than for the 1-s one under all refresh rate

conditions, showing that the adaptation duration is important for the density–size

aftereffect. This result is inconsistent with the temporal characteristics of the numerosity

aftereffect shown in Aagten-Murphy and Burr (2016) (11). The amplitude of the aftereffect under the static (no refresh) condition was smaller than those with a refresh rate of once every 100 and 300 ms for both adaptation durations of 1 and 5 s (Fig. 2b). There results indicate that the refresh frequency of the adapting texture also affects shrinkage, especially under the 5-s adaptation condition.

To compare this characteristic of size perception with that of density perception, we conducted the same measurement using a textured pattern instead of a circle as the test stimulus. Here, the pattern consisted of dots in random positions, and the observer judged whether the left or right texture was denser. For density perception, the magnitude of the aftereffect did not differ between adaptation conditions of 1 and 5 s; furthermore, it did not seem that refreshing the adapting texture affected the magnitude of the aftereffect (Fig. 2d and e). This result suggests that the density–density aftereffect saturates even when the adaptation period is short (1 s), whereas the density–size aftereffect was affected by both the adaptation duration and refresh frequency, indicating that the magnitude of the density–size aftereffect is influenced by temporal adaptation.

To examine the effect of repetition on both the density–size and density–density aftereffects directly, we manipulated the repetition number in experiment 2. During this experiment, the first adaptation period lasted for 60 s, and top-up adaptation was presented for 5 s in each trial (Fig. 3a). Four staircases were run in each session, and the perceived size and density was defined by the average of the last 10 reversals of each staircase. The refresh rate of the adapting texture varied from 0 (static) to 15 Hz. Fig. 3b shows the changes in perceived size (left) and density (right) due to the aftereffect. Temporal refreshing of the adapting texture enhanced the aftereffect on size perception ($F(4,28) = 10.07$, $p < 0.0001$, $\eta^2 = 0.206$, and Ryan's method multiple comparison showed 0 Hz < other temporal frequencies); on the other hand, it did not change the aftereffect on density perception except under a refresh frequency of 15 Hz ($F(4,28) = 41.29$, $p = 0.034$, $\eta^2 = 0.099$, Ryan's method multiple comparison showed 0 Hz < 15 Hz). As in experiment 1, we found a difference in the characteristics of temporal enhancement for size and density perceptions after adaptation to a dense texture.

Figure 3. a) Stimulus presentation in experiment 2. b) Results of the aftereffect for each observer (each indicated by a pair of letters) including the average values. The left and right panels show the change in perceived size and density after adaptation, respectively, as a function of the refresh frequency of the adapting stimulus. Error bars indicate the standard errors of the means.

Discussion

Based on the TOM, we hypothesized that the density–size aftereffect should be affected by temporal modulation of the adapting texture, as with the numerosity aftereffect. Here, we showed that repeated presentation of the adapting texture increased the shrinkage in perceived size after adaptation. We consider this an indirect effect of temporal adaptation at the higher stage of estimating a common "magnitude." If all magnitudes of different perceptual modalities, such as time, space, and number, are estimated in a common cortical area, it is likely that the temporal characteristics of an adapting stimulus are similar for those modalities.

We assume that two stages are necessary to explain the density–size aftereffect. The first stage is density representation as a spatial metric in the visual sensory area. The second stage is the estimation processing based on that metric. The conceptual relationship between these stages is depicted in Fig. 4. As mentioned in our Introduction, the metric

could change due to a long exposure to a dense texture; in other words, adaptation occurs

at the visual processing stage. The estimation stage is then related to the representation of

common magnitude, indicating that magnitude estimation in one modality could affect

that in other modalities.

A possible mechanism would be that a common system to code magnitude adapts to the

temporal number of a particular presentation because it also estimates the temporal

presentation number, and temporal duration could be coded there (12–14). The temporal

frequency and velocity of stimuli affect the perceived duration (e.g. 15–17). For example,

after a long exposure to flickering or moving stimuli with high temporal frequency, the

magnitude estimation system is exhausted, and the output value would be smaller. If a

common magnitude estimation system is used for spatial estimation, the perceived size or

distance would be affected by temporal refreshing of an adapting texture, resulting in a

density–size aftereffect, as with the perceived duration. We observed this effect in the

present results. We suppose that this indirect effect of temporal refreshing on spatial

estimation is limited; therefore, the effect was saturated at a refresh rate of 2 Hz. On the

other hand, the estimation of density itself is represented at the earliest stage of visual

processing, in which spatial and temporal information are processed independently.

Therefore, temporal refreshing did not modulate the aftereffect on density perception.

In experiment 2, refreshing at a relatively high frequency (15 Hz) induced a larger

density–density aftereffect, whereas a low frequency did not. We speculate that the

apparently high-density texture produced by refreshing with high frequency caused the

greater density aftereffect. Because we used white and black dots on a gray background

and the positions changed with a 15 Hz refresh rate, an afterimage might easily have

remained (e.g. 18) and be treated as a member of the adapting dots, resulting in an

increased density of the adapting texture.

Figure 4. Conceptual model of the functional relationship between the intraparietal sulcus
(IPS) and visual sensory areas.

In this study, we examined the relationship between density adaptation and the magnitude
estimation system. We assumed that if the magnitude estimates of size and distance are
related to the density–size aftereffect, temporal modulation of an adaptation that can
affect other modalities, such as numerosity adaptation, should also affect the density–size
aftereffect. Here, the results showed that the perceived size shrinkage after adaptation to a
dense texture increased as the frequency of temporal refreshing of an adapting texture
increased, whereas this was not the case for the density–density aftereffect. From these
results, we propose that basic density representation in the visual area acts as a spatial
metric and the magnitude estimation system reads this spatial metric. For our next step,
using functional magnetic resonance imaging, we will identify the brain area related to
magnitude and density representations after adaptation.

Materials and Methods
Experiment 1
Stimuli were generated on a computer (Apple MacBookPro 2016) and displayed on a
liquid crystal display gaming monitor (EIZO FROIS 27-in, 2560×1440 pixels, refresh
rate 100 Hz, 2.24 arcmin/pix, mean luminance 54.5 cd/m^2, gamma-corrected). The
viewing distance was 57.3 cm, and the size of the adapting texture was $15 \times 15°$. Three
observers consented to participate in the experiment (one of the authors and two

observers naïve to the purpose of the study). They all had normal or corrected-to-normal

visual acuity. The study was approved by the Tokyo Institute of Technology Ethics

Committee, Japan, and conformed to guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki on the

of human observers in research.

The adapting texture was composed of white and black dots with a diameter each of

10 pixels. The test stimulus was an open circle with a black edge. We used the simple

staircase method (one-up, one-down) to measure the perceived size of the test circle.

experimental session had one staircase for measuring the timecourse of the transition in

perceived size. The session consisted of three parts: baseline, adaptation, and

post-adaptation. In the baseline part, two circles were presented for 200 ms in both the

left and right visual fields; one was the standard stimulus (12.25 deg^2) and the other was

the comparison stimulus (the size varied according to the observer's previous response).

Within 1100 ms after presentation, the observer responded with which circle, left or

was larger. After a 200-ms blank, the next stimulus was presented. Each presentation of

test stimuli (one trial) was repeated 60 times, taking a total of 90 s. During the

periods, adapting textures were presented between presentations of the test circles.

Textures were presented in both the left and right visual fields with black frames to

prevent a size aftereffect. One of the textures consisted of 144 dots and the other

Each dot in the adapting textures was positioned at a point on a square grid with a

displacement of up to 30 arcmin, which was updated every 300 ms (3.33 Hz) or 100 ms

(10 Hz), or not updated (static). There were two adaptation duration conditions (1 and

5 s). The adaptation part consisted of 60 trials and took 156 or 396 s depending on the

stimulus duration. In the post-adaptation part, only the test stimulus was presented, as in

the baseline part.

In each trial, we recorded the size of the stimulus compared to the standard one

(12.25 deg^2). The values of the first 10 trials were excluded from analysis because these

included initial fluctuations. We defined the average value from the 11th to 60th trials as

the baseline, and this baseline value was subtracted from all analyzed data. After

subtraction, we calculated moving averages with a range of ±22 trials. We fitted the

following function to the timecourse data with a non-linear least squares method:

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} a, \ t \leq 90 \\ S_{max} * \exp\left(1 - \left(\frac{-t}{\tau_{adapt}}\right)\right) + a, \ 90 < t \leq adapting \ duration \\ S_{max} * \exp\left(\frac{-t}{\tau_{post}}\right) + a, \ adapting \ duration < t \end{cases}$$

where t is the time in seconds, a is the baseline of shrinkage before adaptation, which

should be approximately 0, S_{max} is the maximum value of the magnitude of the effect,

τ_{adapt} is the time in which the maximum value is reached, and τ_{post} is the decay time.

We defined the difference between a and S_{max} as the amplitude, which is plotted in

Fig. 2. Non-parametric bootstrapping was carried out with 10,000 runs to calculate the

95% confidence intervals of these parameters with the percentile method.

We used the same procedure to measure density adaptation. In this case, only the test

stimulus was different from the size trials, in that the texture consisted of white and

black dots whose positions were randomly assigned within an area of $15 \times 15°$. The

standard texture contained 49 dots, and the numbers of dots for estimating density

varied according to the staircase method. The presentation duration of the test stimulus

was 200 ms.

Experiment 2

The apparatus and stimuli were the same as those used in experiment 1. Eight observers

consented to participate in the experiment (one of the authors and seven observers naïve

to the purpose of the study). The duration of the first adaptation period was 60 s with a

5-s top-up adaptation in subsequent trials. Each dot in the adapting texture was

positioned at a point on a square grid with a random displacement of up to 30 arcmin,

which was updated every 66.67 ms (15 Hz) or 300 ms (3 Hz), or not updated (static).

The center of the stimulus was located at 9.55° in terms of visual angle from the

fixation point. We used the one-up, one-down staircase method to measure the point of

subjective equality. The position of the adapting visual field, left or right, was balanced

among sessions. There were breaks of at least 5 min between sessions. We ran four

sessions for each condition and defined the magnitude of perceived shrinkage using the

average of the last 10 trials. For the density–density adaptation measurement, the

procedure was the same except that the test stimulus was a texture composed of white

and black dots each with a diameter of 10 pixels that were positioned randomly within

the adaptation area.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (18K18341) and

Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) (20H01783).

References

1.   R. Hisakata, S. Nishida, A. Johnston, An adaptable metric shapes perceptual space.

Curr. Biol. **26**, 1911–1915 (2016).

2.   V. Walsh, A theory of magnitude: common cortical metrics of time, space and

quantity. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* **7**, 483–488 (2003).

3.   E. Castaldi, D. Aagten-Murphy, M. Tosetti, D. Burr, M. C. Morrone, Effects of

adaptation on numerosity decoding in the human brain. *Neuroimage* **143**, 364–377

(2016).

4.   V. Dormal, M. Andres, M. Pesenti, Contribution of the right intraparietal sulcus to

numerosity and length processing: an fMRI-guided TMS study. *Cortex* **48**,

623–629 (2012).

5.   V. Dormal, M. Pesenti, Common and specific contributions of the intraparietal

sulci to numerosity and length processing. *Neuroimage* **47**, S111 (2009).

6.   G. Anobile, R. Arrighi, E. Castaldi, D. C. Burr, A sensorimotor numerosity system.

Trends Cogn. Sci. **25**, 24–36 (2021).

7.   G. Anobile, R. Arrighi, I. Togoli, D. C. Burr, A shared numerical representation for

action and perception. *Elife* **5** (2016).

8.   D. Burr, J. Ross, A visual sense of number. *Curr. Biol.* **18**, 425–428 (2008).

9.   G. Anobile, G. M. Cicchini, D. C. Burr, Number As a Primary Perceptual Attribute:

A Review. *Perception* **45**, 5–31 (2016).

10. W. Liu, Z.-J. Zhang, B.-C. Li, Y.-J. Zhao, Y. Tang, Numerosity adaptation along the

Y-Axis affects numerosity perception along the X-Axis: does numerosity

adaptation activate MNLs? *Atten. Percept. Psychophys.* **77**, 1358–1370 (2015).

11. D. Aagten-Murphy, D. Burr, Adaptation to numerosity requires only brief exposures, and is determined by number of events, not exposure duration. *J. Vis.* **16**, 22 (2016).

12. M. J. Hayashi, R. B. Ivry, Duration Selectivity in Right Parietal Cortex Reflects the Subjective Experience of Time. *J. Neurosci.* **40**, 7749–7758 (2020).

13. M. J. Hayashi, *et al.*, Interaction of numerosity and time in prefrontal and parietal cortex. *J. Neurosci.* **33**, 883–893 (2013).

14. M. J. Hayashi, W. van der Zwaag, D. Bueti, R. Kanai, Representations of time in human frontoparietal cortex. *Commun. Biol.* **1**, 233 (2018).

15. S. W. Brown, Time, change, and motion: the effects of stimulus movement on temporal perception. *Percept. Psychophys.* **57**, 105–116 (1995).

16. S. Kaneko, I. Murakami, Perceived duration of visual motion increases with speed. *J. Vis.* **9**, 14 (2009).

17. D. Linares, A. Gorea, Temporal frequency of events rather than speed dilates perceived duration of moving objects. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 8825 (2015).

18. R. J. Hall, W. E. Wilsoncroft, Prolonging visual after images. *Psychon. Sci.* **1**, 267–268 (1964).